Alternative TSS use is widespread in *Cryptococcus* fungi in response to environmental cues and regulated genome-wide by the transcription factor Tur1

Thi Tuong Vi DANG[1,#b], Corinne MAUFRAIS[2], Jessie COLIN[1,3], Frédérique MOYRAND[1],

Isabelle MOUYNA[1], Jean-Yves COPPEE[1], Chinaemerem U. ONYISHI[5, #a], Joanna

LIPECKA[4], Ida Chiara GUERRERA[4], Robin C. MAY[5] and Guilhem JANBON[1*]

1. Université Paris Cité, Institut Pasteur, Unité Biologie des ARN des Pathogènes Fongiques,

Département de Mycologie, Paris, France

2. Université Paris Cité, Institut Pasteur, HUB Bioinformatique et Biostatistique, C3BI, USR

3756 IP CNRS, Paris, France

3. Ecole Pratique des Hautes Etudes, PSL Research University, Paris, France

4. Université Paris Cité, SFR Necker INSERM US24/CNRS UAR3633, Proteomics Platform,

Paris, France

5. Institute of Microbiology and Infection and School of Biosciences, University of

Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

* Guilhem.Janbon@pasteur.fr

#a Current address: Molecular Mycology and Immunity Section, Laboratory of Host

Immunity and Microbiome, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID),

National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA.

#b Current address: TUD Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany

Abstract

Alternative transcription start site (TSS) usage regulation has been identified as a major means of gene expression regulation in metazoans. However, in fungi, its impact remains elusive as its study has thus far been restricted to model yeasts. Here, we first re-analysed TSS-seq data to define genuine TSS clusters in two species of pathogenic *Cryptococcu*s. We identified two types of TSS clusters associated with specific DNA sequence motifs. Our analysis also revealed that alternative TSS usage regulation in response to environmental cues is widespread in *Cryptococcus*, altering gene expression and protein targeting. Importantly, we performed a forward genetic screen to identify a unique transcription factor (TF) named Tur1, which regulates alternative TSS (altTSS) usage genome-wide when cells switch from exponential phase to stationary phase. ChiP-Seq and DamID-Seq analyses suggest that at some loci the role of Tur1 might be direct. Tur1 has been previously shown to be essential for virulence in *C. neoformans*. We demonstrated here that a *tur1Δ* mutant strain is more sensitive to superoxide stress and phagocytosed more efficiently by macrophages than the wild-type (WT) strain.

In eukaryotes, gene transcription begins at the core promoter by the assembly of a pre-

initiation complex comprised of general transcription factors that recruit the DNA-dependent

RNA polymerase II and melt DNA to create the transcription bubble (1). In recent years,

different RNA sequencing strategies have been used in metazoans to define two types of core

promoters characterized by specific chromatin structure, DNA sequence and distribution of

TSS (2). These analyses have shown that TSS are by nature heterogeneous and organized in

clusters. These TSS clusters can be sharp or broad, sharp ones being associated with TATA-

box genes (1, 3). These studies also revealed that transcription can be initiated from multiple

TSS clusters in most genes with a very dynamic pattern of usage (4-8) which can be specific

to different cell types and development stages (6, 9-11).

In fungi, although TSS sequencing data have been produced in several species (12-14),

the analysis of TSS structure and usage is limited to the two model yeasts *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (15-18). Nevertheless, these studies also revealed

a significant number of alternative TSS (altTSS) usage patterns, with some being specific to

growth conditions or meiotic stages (12, 19) and others revealed by mutation of genes

encoding chromatin modifiers or remodelers (20-22).

Cryptococcus neoformans is a pathogenic basidiomycete yeast which is responsible

for 180,000 deaths every year worldwide (23). In addition to its ability to grow at 37°C, its

main virulence factors are a polysaccharide capsule, the production of melanin and its ability

to replicate in macrophages (24, 25). In recent years, we produced several sets of RNA-seq

data which were used to produce detailed coding gene annotation of the genomes of several

pathogenic *Cryptococcus* species (26-28). Our analysis also revealed that nearly all the genes

contain several short introns, which are essential for gene expression (29, 30). As expected,

alternative splicing is prominent in *Cryptococcus*, although its impact on proteome structure is

limited primarily to regulating gene expression (27). More recently, we produced TSS-seq

and 3UTR-seq data from *C. neoformans* and its sibling species *Cryptococcus deneoformans*

grown under four conditions (*i.e.* exponential phase and stationary phase at 30 and 37°C)

(14). We used this dataset to re-annotate the transcript leader (TL) and 3'-UTR sequences in

these species. Our analysis revealed that, in contrast to *S. cerevisiae*, *Cryptococcus* TL

sequences frequently contain upstream Open Reading Frames (uORFs). These yeasts use the

strength of a Kozac-like consensus to determine translation start codon usage, thus regulating

both gene expression and protein localization (14). This analysis also revealed thousands of

additional TSS clusters associated with coding genes, suggesting that alternative TSS (altTSS)

usage might be widespread in *Cryptococcus* (14). This hypothesis was supported by several

recent studies reporting gene specific examples of altTSS usage regulation in these yeasts. For

instance, Pum1, an RNA-binding protein, is known to positively regulate the expression of

ZNF2, a master regulator of filamentation and virulence in *C. neoformans* (31). Under

filamentation-inducing conditions, the TF Znf2 favors *PUM1* transcription from a

downstream TSS, which excludes from the transcript the Pum1-binding site that is normally

found in the TL. This shorter form being immune to the negative autoregulation, this leads to

the accumulation of the Pum1 protein which in turn activates *ZNF2* expression (31).

Moreover, when exposed to UV light, *C. neoformans* switches off TSS at the *UVE1* gene and

uses an upstream altTSS. This promotes the transcription of a longer mRNA coding for the

mitochondrial isoform of the DNA damage repair endonuclease Uve1, thus protecting the

mitochondrial genome from potentially lethal UV-induced DNA damage (32). Finally, a

recent study reports that, under copper-limited conditions, *C. neoformans* cells promote the

usage of a downstream altTSS at both *SOD1* and *SOD2* superoxide dismutase genes, thus

regulating transcript stability and protein subcellular localization of these proteins,

respectively (33).

In the present study, we re-analyzed these *Cryptococcus* TSS-seq data to first

characterize the structure of a genuine TSS cluster in these yeasts. We then described

alternative TSS usage and demonstrated that it represents a major means to regulate

transcriptome and proteome structure in these fungi. More importantly, we screened a

transcription factor mutant collection to identify genes regulating altTSS usage in

C. neoformans. We showed that the transcription factor Tur1 is necessary for genome wide

altTSS usage regulation during the exponential to stationary phase transition. We also

performed ChiP-Seq and DamID-seq analyses to study the binding of Tur1 at the regulated

loci. Finally, we showed that Tur1 regulates superoxide stress resistance and interaction with

macrophage linking altTSS usage and virulence in this major fungal pathogen.

Results

TSS-cluster characterisation in *Cryptococcus*

We previously used TSS-seq data obtained from cells grown under four conditions in triplicate to construct twelve GFF files defining the coordinates of several thousand TSS clusters associated with coding genes in two *Cryptococcus* species (14). To evaluate alternative TSS usage, we aimed to construct a TSS cluster reference GFF file. We first thought to merge the twelve condition-specific GFF files originally constructed. However, this simplistic strategy resulted in a very poor result. Firstly, most of the resulting merged TSS were doubtful since they were only 1 bp-wide. Secondly, at several loci the TSS cluster size and number varied between conditions. This merging operation also resulted in the definition of very large TSS (more than 100 bp wide) with probably poor biological relevance (see Supplementary Figure S1). This result suggested that the strategy previously used to define the TSS clusters (14) was not optimal and resulted in the identification of a number of doubtful TSS clusters. To better define the characteristics of genuine TSS clusters in *C. neoformans*, we reasoned that when only one TSS cluster is found upstream of the annotated ATG (aATG) of a well-expressed gene, this cluster should be genuine. We thus first considered only the 50% most expressed genes in exponential phase 30°C and for which a single TSS cluster has been originally identified upstream of the aATG (n = 761). We then performed sub-clusterization analysis (see material and methods) and characterized the structure of the resulting clusters using two parameters: size and thermodynamic entropy-related shape index (SI), which is a measure of the heterogeneity of the TSS cluster (34). Finally, we kept only the TSS clusters with both sizes and SI values comprised between the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles for further analysis (n = 719). Plotting the size and SI of this set of newly defined TSS clusters with the MixtureInf (35) based statistical test revealed a bimodal

distribution defining two categories: the Sharp clusters characterized by a lower size and high

SI values and the Broad TSS clusters having the converse characteristics (Fig 1A and 1B).

MEME Suite software 5.4.1 (36) *de novo* pattern discovery mode was used to examine the

region going from -100 to +30 relative to the major position within each TSS cluster to

identify six enriched motifs (Fig 1C). Notably, our analysis identified a TATA motif

positioned -40 to -35 bp upstream of the TSS. We noticed that genes with a sharp TSS cluster

are enriched within the TATA-box containing genes, as previously observed in some other

model organisms (1) (Fig 1C). Overall, 23.5 % of the Sharp TSS clusters are associated with a

TATA-box containing genes compared to only 11.7 % of the Broad TSS clusters and 14.5 %

of both groups of TSS clusters (χ^2 test, *p*-value <0.05). The distance between the TATA-box

and the TSS in *Cryptococcus* is comparable with that reported in metazoans (28 to 33 bp) and

in *S. pombe* (25 to 32 bp) (2, 3) This short distance suggests that *C. neoformans* uses a classic

model of transcription initiation in which the preinitiation complex (PIC) assembles on

TATA-box and loads the RNAPII on a TSS located in close proximity. In contrast, *S.*

cerevisiae as well as most hemiascomycetes use a scanning model of transcription initiation in

which the PIC scans the sequence downstream to find TSSs which results in longer and more

variable distances between the TATA-box and the TSS (40 to 120 bp) (3).

We performed the same analysis using the TSS-seq data obtained from cells grown in the

three other conditions (*i.e.* Exponential phase 37°C; Stationary phase 30°C and 37°C). Even

though the gene set considered was different each time, similar bimodal distribution of sizes

and SI as well as motif enrichments and positions were observed, suggesting general features

in *C. neoformans* (S2 and S3 Figs). Previous studies in other organisms indicated that

regulated genes are associated with the presence of a TATA-box whereas constitutive ones

tend to be devoid of TATA-box (2, 5, 8, 34, 37). To determine if this phenomenon is

conserved in *C. neoformans*, we used or produced spiked in RNA-seq data from *C.*

neoformans cells grown in diverse conditions (*i.e.* exponential phase at 30°C in the presence

of either fluconazole (15 µg/mL) or SDS (0.01% w/v), exponential phase at 37°C or

stationary phase at 30°C) (14) to perform differential gene expression analysis using RNA-seq

data produced from cells growing in exponential phase at 30°C as the reference. We then used

a variability score as a proxy to evaluate the degree of gene expression variability. A gene not

regulated in any of these four alternative conditions will have a score of 0 whereas a gene

regulated by all these modifications of culture conditions will have a score of 4. Our results

revealed that genes harboring a TATA-box in their promoter are more prone to be regulated

than the genes of the control group (devoid of TATA-box) (Wilcoxon rank sum test, *p*-value

< 0.0001) (Fig 1D). TATA-box containing genes also display a higher absolute log fold-

change between conditions than genes devoid of TATA-box (Wilcoxon rank sum test, p-value

< 0.0001) (Fig 1E). As said above, we identified five additional enriched motifs proximal to

the *Cryptococcus* TSS. Some of these motifs like "AAYKCCG" were more commonly

associated with broad TSS some other as "GGRNG" were more commonly associated the

with sharp TSS. Yet, their functionally remains to be studied.

Given that Sharp TSS cluster associated genes are enriched in TATA-boxes, it is no surprise

that these genes are also more prone to be regulated and with a higher magnitude than Broad

cluster associated genes (Wilcoxon rank sum test, p-value < 0.05) (Fig 2A). To figure out

which feature, the presence of TATA-box or the TSS cluster shape, is the true determinant for

gene expression variability, we conducted stratification analyses. We compared absolute log

fold-change between Sharp and Broad cluster associated genes within the TATA-box-

harboring genes and within the no-TATA-box genes. In both groups, the difference between

Sharp and Broad cluster is no longer detected, suggesting that this higher magnitude of gene

expression change in Sharp cluster is most likely due to higher percentage of TATA-box

containing genes in this group (Fig 2B). Meanwhile, TATA-box containing genes display

higher absolute log fold-change between conditions compared to the genes without TATA-

box regardless of the TSS cluster shape (Wilcoxon rank sum test, p-value < 0.0001) (Fig 2C)

confirming the presence of TATA-box as the decisive factor to explain gene expression

variability in *C. neoformans*. Overall, this re-analysis of TSS-seq data revealed the existence

of two types of TSS clusters in *Cryptococcus*. Sharp TSS cluster-containing genes are more

often associated with a TATA-box and more prone to be regulated in response to a

modification of the environment than the Broad TSS cluster containing genes. These results

are in good agreement with data published on several other organisms (1, 12, 16, 38, 39)

suggesting that our TSS cluster definition approach is valid.

Alternative TSS cluster identification in *Cryptococcus*

To evaluate alternative TSS usage in *Cryptococcus*, we applied the same subclusterization

procedure to all previously identified TSS clusters (14). We then merged the overlapping TSS

clusters obtained from the four growth conditions in triplicate. The resulting TSS cluster

reference GFF file defines the genomic coordinates of 7,213 TSS clusters associated with

4,931 coding genes in *C. neoformans* (72.6% of the coding genes) (S4 Table). We defined a

TSS cluster as annotated TSS clusters if it satisfies all these three criteria: it is the most

upstream cluster within a gene, the distance between the 5' boundary of the cluster and the

annotated 5' extremity of the gene does not exceed 50 bp, and the 3' border of the cluster is at

least 20 bp upstream of the annotated ATG. Every other TSS clusters were considered as

alternative TSS clusters. Here we identified 2,431 genes associated with two or more TSS

clusters. In total, 3,817 TSS clusters were considered as alternative. We performed the same

analysis using the *C. deneoformans* data and defined 4,064 alternative TSS clusters associated

with 2,581 genes (S4 Table).

altTSS within the TL sequence

A large proportion of these alternative TSS clusters (37% (n = 1,405) in *C. neoformans* and

31% (n = 1,256) in *C. deneoformans*) are positioned within the TL sequence. Their usage

regulates the length of the TL sequence (Fig 3) and can result in the inclusion or exclusion of

protein binding sites, secondary structures or uORFs, potentially impacting mRNA

subcellular localization, stability or translation efficiency (14, 15, 40). We previously reported

that *Cryptococcus* TL sequences are very rich in uORFs and that uORF containing mRNAs

are more prone to be degraded by the NMD pathway than uORF-free mRNAs, thus reducing

translation efficiency (14). Here, we identified 542 and 633 genes in *C. neoformans* and *C.*

deneoformans respectively, for which the sequence between the annotated TSS cluster and the

alternative TSS cluster located in the TL contains one or several uORFs. In these genes,

alternative TSS usage can regulate the presence or absence of uORFs within the mRNA. In

these cases, we reasoned that the production of a short transcript devoid of uORFs would be a

way to stabilize it by preventing its degradation by the NMD pathway. Accordingly, among

the genes containing one uORF within their TL sequence, the ones containing an alternative

TSS potentially skipping it are less prone to be up-regulated by the deletion of the major

NMD factor *UPF1* than those devoid of alternative TSS within their TL sequence (Fig 3A)

(Wilcoxon rank sum test, *p*-value = 1.246 x 10^{-10}). For instance, usage of an alternative TSS

within the TL sequence of the CNA08250 locus skips 11 uORFs and results in the production

of a short isoform immune to the NMD, whereas the long isoform can only be revealed by the

deletion of *UPF1* (Fig 3B).

altTSS close to the annotated start codon

We identified 220 alternative TSS clusters associated with 213 genes in *C. neoformans* (208 TSS clusters and 199 genes in *C. deneoformans*) positioned between -20 and +90 nt from the aATG, when counting bases on the spliced mRNA. The usage of each of these altTSSs results in the transcription of an mRNA which can code a protein truncated from its N-termini, thereby potentially lacking an N-terminal targeting motif. Accordingly, DeepLoc 2.0 (41) analysis predicts that whereas for 169 of these genes the long isoform has a defined subcellular localization, for 87 of them the usage of an altTSS is predicted to result in the production of a shorter isoform with a different subcellular localization (S5 Table and Fig 4). For instance, we identified an altTSS associated with the gene *MAE102* (CNAG_06374) which encodes a putative mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase (Fig 5A). Depending on the TSS used, the predicted translated protein contains a mitochondrial targeting signal (MTS) or not. Interestingly, the usage of this altTSS is regulated by the growth condition, the long isoform encoding the putative mitochondrial protein being transcribed in stationary phase at 30°C, whereas the exponential phase condition triggers mostly the transcription of the short isoform predicted to code a protein devoid of MTS. Accordingly, a C-terminal mNeonGreen-tagged version of Mae102 protein revealed a regulated localisation of this protein by the phase of growth. It seems to accumulate into mitochondrial-like particles in stationary phase (Fig 5B) whereas, in exponential phase it localizes in the cytosol and the nucleus (Figs 5B and S4). Moreover, mutation of the first ATG into CGT (M1R) or deletion of the sequence between the two ATGs (MTSΔ) increase the percentage of cells having a nucleus localization of the protein in exponential phase. In stationary phase, the Mae102 protein mitochondrial-like localization seems to be lost confirming the functionality of the MTS sequence. Overall, these results are consistent with the alternative localization of the Mae102 isoforms depending on the alternative TSS usage regulated by the growth phase.

altTSS downstream of the start codon

The 2,181 remaining TSS clusters (2,576 in *C. deneoformans*) are positioned at least 90 bp

after the annotated start codon and promote the transcription of shorter RNAs than the

annotated ones (S4 Table). We named this last category of transcripts TRASS for Transcript

Resulting from Alternative Start Sites. One example of TRASS is given in Fig 6. At the

CNC03460 locus in *C. deneoformans*, cells growing in exponential phase at 30°C use

mainly an altTSS located within the sixth intron of the gene and positioned within a CDS-

intron 1395 bp downstream of the annotated TSS. In contrast, cells in stationary phase

mainly use the annotated altTSS as confirmed by Northern-blot analysis (Fig 6B).

Although the function of these RNAs was unknown, we noticed that nearly all the TRASS

have coding capacity. Overall, 1,523 potential new proteins could be encoded by these

RNAs in *C. neoformans*. Most of these new proteins would be in frame with the annotated

ones and would thus be completely ignored though classical proteomic analysis. To gain

insights into the coding capacities of the TRASS, we performed N-terminomic analysis (42)

using proteins extracted from cells growing in stationary phase at 30°C. We identified 844

peptide sequences corresponding to N-terminal sequences associated with 12% of the coding

genes (n = 810) in *C. neoformans*. As expected, most of the N-terminal peptides (97%; n =

818) correspond to the annotated N-terminal sequence of 784 proteins (Table A in S6

Table). Also as expected, we identified 19 peptides corresponding to 19 proteins presenting

an alternative N-terminal sequence produced from an altTSS close to the annotated ATG

(Table B in S6 Table). Finally, 7 N-terminal sequences are likely the products of translation

of 7 TRASS (Table B in S6 Table). A striking case is the gene CNAG_04307 (*URO1*)

coding Urate oxidase for which we identified a peptide that is out-of-frame with the main

protein. Of course, these represent only a few examples of peptides translated from these

RNAs and it is probable that a large part of the TRASS are lncRNAs. Nevertheless, N-

terminomic analysis, although powerful and efficient in selecting protein N-termini, is not

powerful enough for low abundance alternative N-termini suggesting that much more

TRASS encoded peptides are produced in these cells.

Alternative TSS usage in *Cryptococcus*

To further explore the dynamics of alternative TSS usage in *Cryptococcus*, we evaluated how

each TSS is employed depending on the growth phase (Exponential or Stationary) and

temperature (30°C or 37°C). TSS cluster usage was evaluated considering an "expression

level" in each replicate of each condition measured by the number of TSS-seq reads

associated within a given TSS cluster. These numbers were then normalized by the total

amount of TSS-seq reads aligned to each coding gene. To limit the potential bias associated

with this type of normalization, we limited our analysis to genes for which we could count at

least 20 TSS reads in each considered condition. Overall, we considered 3,648 TSS clusters

belonging to 1,366 genes in *C. neoformans* under four growth conditions (4,311 TSS clusters

belonging to 1,621 genes in *C. deneoformans*) for this analysis. We confidently identified

1478 altTSS usage regulations by growth condition in 627 *C. neoformans* genes (Fig 7A, S7

Table). Alternative TSS usage seems to be more dynamic in *C. deneoformans* with 2,369

significant regulations in 1,070 genes. Interestingly, 25% of the altTSS usage regulations are

specific to the considered comparison (Fig 7A). Explicit examples of these regulations are

given on the Fig 7B. At the gene *PKP1* (CNAG_00047), two TSS are used alternatively

depending on the phase of growth. In stationary phase at 30°C, an altTSS within the second

intron is mainly used and promotes the transcription of a TRASS. Strikingly, we also

identified *SOD1* and *SOD2* genes as regulated by altTSS usage during this phase transition

(Supplementary Figure S5). In that case, the pattern of altTSS usage in stationary phase is

similar to the one previously reported in copper limiting condition using gene specific

experiments (33). Alternative TSS usage at these two genes has been reported to be regulated

by copper and is dependent on the transcription factor Cuf1 which can recognize a Cu-

responsive element (CuRE) found in their promoters (33). It is possible that the stationary

phase condition somehow mimics the copper shortage as used by the Thiele laboratory thus

altering altTSS usage at these loci in the same way. We also observed regulation of altTSS by

the temperature. For instance, at the locus CNAG_00812, the usage of a downstream TSS is

favored in stationary phase at 37°C thus promoting the production of a TRASS. Moreover, at

the loci CNAG_01272 and CNAG_03239, a change in temperature in stationary and

exponential phase, respectively, alters the usage of an altTSS located within the TL sequence

(Fig 7B). Our original idea was to compare the results obtained with the two studied sibling

species of *Cryptococcus*. We observed an apparent low conservation of these regulations with

only 16.21% and 10.12% of the altTSS usage regulations observed in *C. neoformans* upon the

transition of the growth phase and temperature change, respectively conserved in *C.*

deneoformans (S4 Table). However, visual examination of some of the "non-conserved"

events revealed that the apparent non-conservation was mainly due to the poor level of one or

the other TSS in one species which did not pass the different thresholds of our bioinformatic

pipelines (Supplementary Figure S6). Indeed, in some cases, the position of the altTSS is

conserved but regulation is reversed. In others, the altTSS exists but its position is not

conserved (Supplementary Figure S6). These observations suggest that the degree of

conservation of these regulations might be more significant than they appear at first glance.

Tur1 regulates altTSS usage at the *PKP1* gene

Our analysis revealed a widespread regulation of altTSS usage in *Cryptococcus* regulating

both transcriptome and proteome structures in response to modifications of the growth

condition. Although the mechanisms associated with these regulations remain unknown, the

specificity of some of these regulations suggests that alternative TSS usage is mediated by

precise regulators. We reasoned that at least some of these regulators might be transcription

factors (TF) as previously observed in mammals and in yeast, (9, 10, 33, 43). To identify

altTSS usage regulators in *Cryptococcus*, we screened a library of 155 TF mutant strains (44)

using a RT-qPCR assay with primers specific to the long or both the long and the short

isoforms identified at the *PKP1* gene (CNAG_00047). This gene encodes a protein that shares

homology with the *S. cerevisiae* mitochondrial protein kinase Pkp1 (45). In *C. neoformans,*

PKP1 transcription can start at two altTSS clusters with different expression patterns in

exponential and stationary phase at 30°C (Fig 7B). RNA was extracted from the wild type

strain (WT) and the 155 TF mutant strains grown in stationary phase at 30°C. The level of the

long and the short mRNA isoforms was then evaluated by RT-qPCR. We identified a single

mutant strain altered for altTSS usage at this locus. This mutant strain displayed no growth

defect and is mutated at the locus CNAG_05642 encoding a zinc cluster TF previously

designated Fzc37 (44). Sequence conservation analysis revealed that this TF is basidiomycete

specific with no predicted function. In this mutant strain, the short isoform is strongly down-

regulated in stationary phase compared to the WT strain (*t*-test *p-value* < 0.01) whereas the

expression of the long isoform is not altered (*t*-test *p-value* > 0.05) (Fig 8A). We therefore re-

named this gene *TUR1* (TSS Usage Regulator 1). As expected, complementation of the *tur1Δ*

mutation restored the WT expression of the short isoform (Fig 8A). We confirmed the role of

Tur1 in the regulation of altTSS usage at the *PKP1* gene by constructing a conditional mutant

in which a 2xFlag-CBP tagged version of *TUR1* is expressed under the control of the

C. neoformans GAL7 promoter (46) (Fig 8C). As expected, the expression of the *PKP1* short

isoform was only observed in stationary phase when the cells were grown with galactose as

the sole source of carbon. No regulation was observed when cells were grown in glucose (Fig

8B) a condition in which the *GAL7* promoter is repressed and no Tur1 protein is produced

(Fig 8B).

Tur1 regulated transcriptome structure in *C. neoformans*

To get more insights into the role of *TUR1* in *C. neoformans* biology, we performed spiked-in

RNA-seq experiments using RNA extracted from *tur1Δ* cells grown in either stationary or

exponential phase. In the wildtype background, the transition between exponential phase to

stationary phase is associated with a strong decrease of the transcriptome size with 82% (n=

5,582) of the genes downregulated at least 2-fold (Fig 9A; S8 Table). Our estimate is that the

number of RNA molecules is reduced by 3.8-fold per genome during this phase transition.

This is similar to that observed previously in *S. cerevisiae,* in which the overall transcription

rate is reduced about three to five times during the exponential to stationary phase transition

(47). In the *tur1Δ* mutant strain, this slowdown of the metabolism is less marked than in the

WT strain, and we measured only a 1.5-fold reduction of the total number of RNA molecules

per genome and identified only 27% (n =1,814) of the genes downregulated by at least two-

fold (Fig 9A; S8 Table). Interestingly, nearly 97% of these downregulated genes in the mutant

strain were also downregulated in the WT background (Fig 9B). GO-term analysis showed

that this set of conserved downregulated genes is enriched in genes coding translation and

ribosome biosynthesis linked functions. In contrast, the set of genes downregulated in the WT

but not the *tur1Δ* strain is enriched in genes coding proteins linked to transcription regulation

suggesting that this transcription factor is necessary for such regulation.

This striking effect of *TUR1* deletion on transcriptome structure regulation during exponential

to stationary phase transition suggested that this TF could have a genome-wide effect on TSS

regulation. To discover whether Tur1 would regulate altTSS at any other locus, we performed

TSS-seq in the *tur1Δ* mutant strain using cells grown in exponential and stationary phase at

30°C. Here again, in a very conservative way, we restricted our analysis to only 806 genes

with at least 2 TSSs and at least 20 TSS reads in all four samples (WT E30, WT S30, *tur1Δ*

E30 and *tur1Δ* S30) (S9 Table). As expected, the stationary phase enhanced usage of the

second TSS of *PKP1* in the WT strain whereas usage of this distal TSS is nearly abolished in

the *tur1Δ* background confirming the Tur1-dependent altTSS usage regulation at this locus

(Fig 10A, 10B). Strikingly, 91% (n = 155) of the 171 genes with altTSS significantly

regulated by the growth phase in the WT, were not regulated anymore in a *tur1Δ* background

(Fig 10A). For instance, at the *IDP1* gene (CNAG_03920), which encodes a putative

mitochondrial isocitrate dehydrogenase, cells growing in exponential phase mostly use the

first TSS which promotes the production of a long mitochondrial isoform whereas in

stationary phase, the second TSS is mainly used promoting the synthesis of a short cytosolic

isoform (Fig 10B). In the absence of *TUR1,* this growth phase dependent regulation is lost,

and the long isoform is expressed in both exponential and stationary phases (Fig 10B). In

contrast, at some loci, deletion of *TUR1* has little impact on altTSS usage (Fig 10A). For

instance, Tur1 has only a minor role if any on the altTSS usage regulation by the growth

phase at the *SOD1* and *SOD2* genes (Fig 10B). *TUR1* deletion also revealed new growth

phase dependent altTSS usage regulation in *C. neoformans*. Thus, we identified 5 genes for

which this regulation can be only observed in the *tur1Δ* context (Fig 10). The *YSA1* gene

coding for an ADP-ribose pyrophosphatase is such an example. In that case, the long isoform

produced in stationary phase in the *tur1Δ* strain contains a MTS absent in the current *C.*

neoformans genome annotation (Fig 10B).

A Tur1-dependent Mae102 subcellular targeting

As seen above, in a wild-type context, a growth phase dependent altTSS usage regulation was

observed at the *MAE102* gene. In that case, the second TSS is mostly used in exponential

phase whereas in stationary phase, the long isoform targeted to the mitochondria is expressed

using the most upstream TSS (Fig 5A). *TUR1* deletion completely reversed this pattern (Fig

11A). Indeed, *tur1Δ* mutant grown in stationary phase preferentially expresses the short

isoform, whereas in exponential phase it mostly uses the upstream TSS, only expressing the

long isoform albeit at a low level (Fig 11B). To study the impact of *TUR1* deletion on

Mae102 protein subcellular targeting, we deleted *TUR1* in a strain expressing a C-terminal

mNeonGreen-tagged version of the Mae102 protein. In this genetic background, in

exponential phase, Mae102 does not localize in the nucleus in agreement with the absence of

production of the short isoform (Fig 5B). In stationary phase, under which the short isoform is

strongly induced in *tur1Δ*, Mae102 seems to be poorly targeted to the mitochondria. It is

noteworthy that Mae102 is not targeted to the nucleus either suggesting that additional factors

specifically present in exponential phase are necessary for targeting this protein to the

nucleus. Overall, this analysis exemplifies how Tur1 regulates altTSS usage to control protein

subcellular targeting during the transition between exponential to stationary phase (Fig 5B).

Tur1 could act directly on a subset of genes

To determine whether the effect of Tur1 on the regulation of aTSS usage during the transition

from exponential to stationary phase could be direct, we constructed a strain expressing a 2x

flag tagged version of Tur1 under the control of the actin gene promoter. After phenotypically

validating the strain, we used it to perform ChIP-Seq analysis on chromatin purified from

cells grown in exponential and stationary phases in triplicate. After peak calling using

MASC2 (48), we considered positions where a peak was identified in all 3 stationary phase

replicates (151 peaks), or all 3 exponential replicates (29 peaks), or both (400 peaks) to be

robust Tur1 binding sites (S10 Table). These 580 Tur1 binding sites were associated with 713

genes. Nearly 60% (n = 414) of these genes were downregulated in WT during the transition

from exponential to stationary phase, but not in the *tur1Δ* strain, suggesting a direct regulation

by Tur1 in this process. Finally, we found that 13% (n=20) of the genes regulated in a Tur1-

dependent manner by alternative TSSs during this phase transition were also bound by Tur1,

suggesting a direct role of Tur1 in this regulation at these loci. However, the patterns of ChIP-

seq read alignment were not easy to interpret and overall, these ChIP-seq experiments

remained partly inconclusive regarding the mechanism by which Tur1 regulates alternative

TSS usage in *Cryptococcus*. We reasoned that the use of a strong promoter to express the

tagged version of Tur1 might have confounded our ChIP-Seq results by masking potential

regulation. To overcome this problem, we constructed a strain expressing a Dam-Tur1 fusion

protein under the control of the native *TUR1* promoter and performed DamID-Seq analysis

(49) using DNA purified from cells grown in exponential and stationary phases in triplicate.

Analysis of the data revealed 1698 adenine residues associated with 1283 genes that were

specifically methylated in either exponential or stationary phase, suggesting genome wide

regulated Tur1 binding (S11 Table). As for our ChIP-Seq analysis, nearly 60% (n = 731) of

these genes were downregulated in WT during the transition from exponential to stationary

phase but not in the *tur1Δ* strain, suggesting direct regulation. However, the overlap between

the two lists was only 13% (n = 106). We found thzt 21% of the genes (n = 32) regulated by

aTSS in a Tur1-dependent manner contained methylated adenine residues. Interestingly, a

highly regulated adenine residue was identified very close to the second TSS in *PKP1*,

suggesting regulated Tur1 binding (Fig 10B).

Overall, both strategies indicate that Tur1 does indeed bind DNA of some genes regulated by

alternative TSSs, suggesting that at least for some genes the regulation may be direct.

However, the pattern of Tur1 binding regulation during the transition from exponential to

stationary phase suggested by the two strategies did not provide a straightforward model for

Tur1-dependent aTSS regulation.

449 Tur1 regulates oxidative stress sensitivity and resistance to macrophage phagocytosis

Tur1 was previously reported to regulate mating efficiency, sensitivity to menadione and

cadmium, as well as virulence in a mouse infection model (44). We confirmed the sensitivity

of *tur1Δ* mutant to menadione, a commonly used superoxide generator and, this sensitivity

was reverted in the *tur1Δ+TUR1* complemented strain (Fig 12A). However, the *tur1Δ* mutant

does not display growth defect in the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as H_2O_2

(44), 0.2 mM Cumen hydroperoxide, or 2 mM $NaNO_2$ (Figure S7). This suggests the

protective function of Tur1 against ROS generator is specific to superoxide generator

menadione, consistent with the fact that cells employ distinct mechanisms to adapt to different

reactive oxygen species (50). Similarly, we observed no growth defect of the *tur1Δ* strain in

the presence of an inhibitor of superoxide dismutase (sodium dithiocarbamate (100 mM))

(Figure S7). This result is in good agreement with the fact that Tur1 does not affect neither the

expression of *SOD1* and *SOD2* nor the altTSS usage at these loci (Fig 10). STRING 1.5 (51)

analysis of the genes regulated by altTSS in a Tur1-dependent manner showed an enrichment

in GO-Terms related to translation (large and small ribosome subunits assembly, translation

initiation) but also genes related to glycolysis and glycogenesis (glycolytic and pyruvate

metabolic processes). We also identified several mitochondrial protein encoding genes among

those regulated by altTSS in a Tur1-dependent manner. We thus thought to test the sensitivity

of *tur1Δ* to inhibitors of different components of the respiratory chain: 0.4 mM rotenone

(complex I), 10 mM Dimethyl malonate (complex II), 5 µg/mL antimycin A (complex III),

and 0.2 µg/ml oligomycin (complex V). We found that the growth of the *tur1Δ* mutant is

severely impeded in the presence of 5 µg/mL antimycin A, a known inducer of mitochondrial

superoxide production (52), but not affected by the other agents (Fig 12A and Supplementary

Figure S7). These results suggest that Tur1 might contribute to oxidative stress defense in *C.*

neoformans. Interestingly, *tur1Δ* mutant cells are also phagocytosed significantly more

efficiently than both the WT and the complemented strain (Fig 12B). Collectively, these

results suggest that Tur1 might regulate *C. neoformans* virulence by somehow regulating its

sensitivity to ROS and its resistance to phagocytosis.

Discussion

There is no accepted standard bioinformatics pipeline to analyze TSS-seq data mostly because the structure and density of genes are very different between organisms and because the strategies used to produce this type of sequencing data are diverse (5, 17, 53-55). Here we report a *Cryptococcus*-adapted bioinformatics protocol to analyze TSS-seq data. We thus identified thousands of TSS clusters associated with coding genes in two species of pathogenic *Cryptococcus*. The structure of these TSS clusters (*i.e.*, broad, or sharp) and the preferential association of sharp TSS clusters with TATA-box containing promoters and highly regulated genes resemble results previously obtained in some other reference organisms (2, 8, 12, 34, 54, 56-58). This suggests that our strategy is robust and that the TSS clusters identified are genuine. Moreover, alternative TSS usage analysis identified thousands of alternative usage events which impact nearly a quarter of the coding genes in both *Cryptococcus* species. Because we have been very conservative in our bioinformatics analysis and because we only compared four conditions of growth for this study, our data suggest that altTSS usage might be far more widespread. In fact, altTSS usage is probably a major regulator of gene expression as well as transcriptome and proteome diversity in *Cryptococcus* as observed in metazoans (5, 6, 11, 34) and for some aspects, in the two model yeasts (16, 17, 19).

Regulation by alternative TSS can impact gene expression by altering transcript stability as it has been previously shown for alternative splicing (27). Although both regulations have the potential to greatly impact the transcriptome by inducing transcripts degradation, they differ in several aspects. First, altTSS-mediated regulation is predicted to mostly rely on cytoplasmic degradation by NMD whereas the decay induced by intron-retention is NMD-independent and occurs in the

nucleus (27). Besides, the extent of the impact of these two means of regulation is

dramatically different. Indeed, we previously showed that the impact of alternative

splicing is probably minor whereas the data we present in this study suggest a

remarkable regulatory potential for altTSS-mediated regulation. First, as previously

reported in baker's yeast (59, 60) and more recently genome-wide in plants (61), number of

altTSS clusters are positioned close to the annotated ATG in *Cryptococcus*. As shown, growth

conditions can regulate altTSS usage at *MAE102* and promote the synthesis of protein

isoforms with different N-terminal sequences and different subcellular targeting. Second, in

both *Cryptococcus* species, we identified close to two thousand altTSSs positioned within the

coding sequence of genes and promoting TRASS transcription. These internal TSS have been

previously reported in metazoans (62) and a few examples exist in model yeasts in which their

usage can be also regulated by the growth conditions and/or the genetic background (16, 19,

22, 63, 64). For example, in *S. cerevisiae* two studies identified a few dozen genes where

internal TSS usage is regulated by cell-fate transition during gametogenesis (19, 64).

However, the coding potential of the resulting transcripts has been difficult to evaluate. Since

most peptides potentially encoded by these truncated transcripts, including the ones we

identified in this study, are in frame with the full-length protein, classical proteomics is not

able to specifically identify them. Nevertheless, indirect evidence for the existence of these

truncated proteins has been presented. For instance, in *S. cerevisiae*, cell-fate transition

specific truncated transcripts have been shown to overlap with ribosome protected fragments

(19) and in *S. pombe,* "disruptive" internal altTSS located within or downstream of conserved

protein domains are generally poorly used. Yet only a few examples of functional truncated

proteins have been reported (62, 64, 65). Here, N-terminomic approach strongly suggests that

a significant part of these transcripts is translated. Our results are in good agreement with *S.*

cerevisiae data showing that some "internal cryptic" transcripts exhibiting 5' truncation can

be translated from downstream in-frame start codons and thus giving rise to shorter

polypeptides (22). As for baker's yeast, the function of these TRASS translated peptides is not

known. Similarly, the function of all the other TRASS which might not be translated is

unknown, though we can speculate that in some cases at least, the usage of one TSS might

impact nucleosome positioning and chromatin modifications to regulate positively or

negatively the transcription of the other TSS as shown in yeast (19, 66, 67). In some other

cases, the TRASS might have a function in trans which remains to be discovered.

Our analysis reveals an extended regulation of altTSS usage in response to change in

growth conditions in *Cryptococcus*. In that sense, these results are similar to results obtained

in *S. pombe* in which 42 % of the genes are regulated by altTSS usage in response to

environmental cues (16). The study of *S. pombe* alternative TSS usage identified specific

motifs associated with altTSS according to the type of stress or the condition of growth tested

(16) suggesting specific regulation of altTSS by transcription factors. This is in good

agreement with several other studies in baker's yeast. For instance, Ndt80 regulates the

expression of internal transcripts during gametogenesis (19, 64) whereas Ume6 controls the

expression of long undecoded transcript isoforms (LUTIs) during meiosis (66). In Valine and

Isoleucine restricted conditions, Gcn4 can bind within the CDS or the 3'UTR of about 300

genes where it can promote bidirectional transcription (63). These data suggest the existence

of a programmed regulation of altTSS usage depending on the growth condition in addition to

the classically identified regulons. Similarly in plants, light activates phytochromes which will

act on transcription factor activities to coordinate altTSS usage impacting both the uORF-

mediated inhibition of gene expression and protein localization (61, 68). In humans, a specific

pattern of altTSS usage has been reported according to cell type, developmental stage, or

cancer (69, 70). Accordingly, we here identified a basidiomycete specific transcription factor

regulating altTSS usage during the transition from exponential phase to stationary phase in

C. neoformans. In the absence of this transcription factor, the altTSS usage during this change

in cell physiology is globally impaired. In pathogenic and nonpathogenic yeasts, entry to

stationary phase is usually characterized by a slowdown of cell metabolism through the action

of several transcription factors (71-73). It is predictable that such program alteration of altTSS

usage exists in all these fungi tuning the transcriptome and cell metabolism toward a

quiescent state.

Our analysis suggests that Tur1 is a global regulator of aTSS usage in *C. neoformans*

during the transition from exponential to stationary phase. Our ChIP-seq and DamId-seq

analysis suggests both a direct and indirect mode of action depending on the locus.

Interestingly, our data suggest that Tur1 binds to the internal sequence of *PKP1* only during

exponential phase, although its absence does not affect alternative TSS usage during this

phase. This effect of *TUR1* deletion is restricted to the stationary phase, during which it is

required to regulate TSS2 usage but does not bind *PKP1*. These results suggest a model (Fig

13) in which Tur1 binding during exponential phase is required for the recruitment/activation

of another transcription factor that will bind to the Tur1 internal promoter during stationary

phase. This change in transcription factor usage could be due to a change in chromatin

structure due to the increase in TSS1 usage observed during this phase of growth. Indeed,

modification of the transcriptional sense or antisense can alter the chromatin structure

allowing TF binding as previously shown (18, 74). It is important to say that the present

model is probably an oversimplification of what happens during the ten hours between the

exponential and stationary time points during which the switch is TSS usage can be observed.

However, this type of TF-TF interaction associated with TF exchange and alternative

transcript formation has been described in the literature. For example, in fission yeast during

glucose starvation, orchestrated exchanges and interactions between the TFs Tup11/12, Rst2,

Atf1 and Php5 resulted in the transition from a stage where only a long RNA (mlonRNA) is

transcribed to a stage where the short functional Flp1 mRNA is produced (75). Finally, since

we also observed Tur1-dependent aTSS regulation at loci where we did not observe Tur1

binding, we must also consider Tur1 as an indirect regulator of aTSS usage, controlling the

expression of unknown direct positive or negative regulators. Accordingly, 48 TFs are

regulated in a Tur1-dependent manner during the transition from exponential to stationary

phase.

Tur1 regulates virulence in *C. neoformans* but does not regulate the expression of its

main virulence factors (*i.e.*, capsule biosynthesis, melanin production or fitness at 30°C or

37°C). However, *TUR1* deletion impacts resistance to superoxide stress as well as the

phagocytosis of *C. neoformans* by macrophages, phenotypes previously shown to impact

virulence in *C. neoformans* (76, 77). More specifically, antimycin A treatment is known to

drastically induce extra-mitochondrial superoxide release (78). Given that Tur1 regulates the

localization of mitochondrial enzymes like Mae102, it could potentially contribute to

mitochondrial metabolism's defense against oxidative stress by facilitating the distribution of

these enzymes. However, further experiments are necessary to establish a conclusive link and

determine a direct impact.

Besides the model yeasts and *Cryptococcus*, altTSS has been poorly analyzed in fungi

(18). Nevertheless, specific examples in *Neurospora crassa, Aspergillus oryzae, Fusarium*

graminearum and *Candida glabrata* suggest a widespread although completely ignored

regulation of the altTSS usage in pathogenic fungi (31, 79-81). We identified thousands of

new RNA molecules resulting from the usage of altTSS and showed that at least a subset of

them are coding for new proteins or proteins isoforms with a different subcellular localization

in *Cryptococcus*. We can anticipate that the same will be true for all other fungal pathogens.

Our work suggests that the impact of altTSS usage in their biology and virulence might be

major. This study opens up new possibilities for exploring the interactions between host

pathogens and emerging players, as well as uncovering insights into gene regulation and the

structure of the transcriptome in fungi.

Material and Methods

DNA and RNA sequencing

Each *Cryptococcus* cell preparation was spiked in with one tenth (OD/OD) of *S. cerevisiae*

strain FY834 (82) and cells were grown in YPD at 30°C in exponential phase. Cells were

washed, snap frozen and used to prepare RNA and total DNA samples as previously described

(83, 84). For RNA-seq, strand-specific, paired-end cDNA libraries were prepared from 10 μg

of total RNA by polyA selection using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina) according

to manufacturer's instructions. cDNA fragments of ~400 bp were purified from each library

and confirmed for quality by Bioanalyzer (Agilent). DNA-seq libraries were prepared using

the kit TruSeq DNA PCR-Free (Illumina). Then, 100 bases were sequenced from both ends

using an Illumina HiSeq2500 instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions

(Illumina). Trimmed reads were mapped to the *Cryptococcus* and *S. cerevisiae* genomes using

Bowtie2 (85) and Tophat2 (86) as previously described (14). RNA-seq reads counts were then

normalized considering the ratios of DNA and RNA aligned to each genomes using the

strategy previously described by Malabat and colleagues (53). Differential gene expression

analysis was performed using the DESeq2 package (87) using default settings but ommiting

the associated read count normalization step.

TSS-seq libraries preparations were performed as previously described (14, 53) replacing the

TAP enzyme by the Cap-Clip Acid Pyrophosphatase (Cellscript). Sequencing was performed

on Illumina HiSeq2500 to obtain fifty base single end reads. Data processing including reads

trimming, clipping, and mapping were performed as previously described (14). TSS reads

were first clustered using arbitrarily chosen maximum intra-cluster distance (d = 50 nt)

between sites. We then looked for the optimal "d" value to define clusters, reasoning that an

excessively small value would separate reads belonging to a single cluster into several ones,

whereas an overestimated "d" would merge reads from different clusters into a single one. We

thus tested increasing values of "d" (from d =1 to d =50) reasoning that the size of the clusters

thus defined will also increase until their actual boundaries would be reached. We here

considered only the genes with only one TSS cluster in their TL sequence using the

exponential 30°C dataset and d =50 defined. We then plotted the "d" values with the

percentage "P" of clusters reaching their maximum cluster size (Supplementary Figure S8A.

We then used the nls function in R to modelized this relationship in the following equation

where $P = 100/ (1+\exp(-0.1655*d)^{4.2957}$, (Supplementary Figure S8B). With the model, the

correlation of d and P reached its peak at d=17 (Supplementary Figure S8B). We thus choose

this value for our analysis.

ChIP-Seq analysis

ChIP-Seq analysis were performed as previously described (88)**.** Briefly, cells were grown in

100 mL YPD medium at 30°C to a final A600nm at 0.8-1.0 (exponential phase) or for 16

hours (stationary phase). Cells were then crosslinked by addition of 2.7 mL of 37%

formaldehyde (1% final concentration) and let for 10 minutes at 25°C with gentle shaking (70

rpm). Crosslinking reaction was stopped by addition of 8 mL of 2.5M Glycine and let for 10

min at 25°C with gentle shaking (70 rpm). Cells were harvested by 5 min centrifugation at

3000 xg, washed with PBS and pellets from from half of the culture were resuspended in 500

µL lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes-KOH at pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton-

X100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF and 1X EDTA-free Complete protease

inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). Approximately 500 µL of 0.5 mm diameter glass beads were

added and cells were lysed by 8 runs of 40 sec of bead beating (6.5m/sec, FastPrep-24, MP

Biomedicals) with cooling on ice between each round. Cell lysate was collected by

centrifugation at 2000 xg for 1 min after piercing the bottom of the tube with a needle and

placing it on top of a clean tube. This step was repeated after addition of 500 µL of lysis

buffer on the glass beads. Chromatin was fragmented using a Bioruptor Pico (diagenode) for

15 cycles (30 sec on, 30 sec off) to give fragments size between 200 bp and 500 bp. Samples

were centrifuged at 16000 xg for 10 min and supernatants were recovered, hereafter referred

to as chromatin extracts. 20 µL of each chromatin extract were kept aside for "input" samples.

Immunoprecipitation. Remaining chromatin extracts were incubated overnight at 4°C with 50

µL of prewashed anti-Flag M2 magnetic beads suspension (Millipore, Merck M8823) per

sample. The day after, beads were washed twice with 1 mL of lysis buffer, twice with 1 mL

"high salt" lysis buffer (as lysis buffer except for NaCl which is increased up to 360 mM),

once with wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5 % NP-40, 0.5 % sodium

deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA) and once with 1 mL TE. ChIP were eluted twice for 10 min at

80°C in 100 µL TE-SDS (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 % SDS). DNA recovery.

Decrosslink was achieved by adding 15 µL of 20 mg/mL proteinase K to eluates and

overnight incubation at 65°C. The day after, DNA was recovered using Phenol-Chloroform

method, quantified by QuBit and sent for sequencingfor Illumina sequencing to Novogen

(UK). After trimming, the 150 paired ends reads were mapped using Minimap2 (89). Peak

calling was performed using MACS2 (48) using default settings.

DamID-Seq Analysis

DamID was essentially performed as described in (49). Breifly, for DpnII digestion and

alkaline phosphatase treatment, 2.5 µg of genomic DNA extracted using the Phenol-

Chloroform method and treated with RNase A were digested with 10 units of DpnII (NEB,

R0543S) in a final volume of 20 µL at 37°C for 6 hours. Enzyme was inactivated 20 min at

65°C. Samples were then treated with 5 units of Antartic phosphatase (NEB M0289S) in a

final volume of 50 µL for one hour at 37°C. Phosphatase was inactivated 10 min at 70°C and

reaction was cleaned up using nucleospin PCR clean-up XS column (Macherey Nagel,

740611) with elution in 12 µL of elution buffer. For DpnI digestion, 5 µL of the eluate was

digested overnight at 37°C with 10 units of DpnI (Takara, 1235A) in a final volume of 10 µL.

Enzyme was inactivated 20 min at 80°C. Ligation of the pre-annealed dsAdR (AdRt/AdRb)

adapter duplex to DNA fragments was performed overnight at 16°C in a final volume of 20

µL with 2.5 µM dsAdR and 400 units of T4 DNA ligase (NEB M0202S). Reaction was

cleaned up using nucleospin PCR clean-up XS column (Macherey Nagel, 740611) and eluted

in 50 µL of elution buffer. PCR with Advantage 2 (Takara 639207). 5 µL of ligation sample,

2.5 µL of 10X Advantage Buffer, 0.5 µL of 10 mM dNTP, 0.5 µL 10 µM AdR_PCR primer

and 0.5 µL of 50X polymerase mix were mixed in a final volume of 25 µL. PCR was carried

out as follows: 68°C for 10 min; 1 cycle of 94°C for 3 min, 65°C for 5 min and 68°C for 15

min; 4 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 65°C for 1 min and 68°C for 10 min; and 17 cycles of 94°C

for 1 min, 65°C for 1 min and 68°C for 2 min. 8 PCR reaction of 25 µL were performed for

each sample, pooled and cleaned up together before sending for Illumina sequencing

(Novogene). After triming of illumina adaptors, the DamID adaptor

(GGTCGCGGCCGAG+GATC) containing reads were selected and aligned to the *C.*

neoformans reference genome using Minimap2 (89). Adenine residues of genomic GATC

sequences positionned at the extremities of these reads were considered as potential

methylated positions. The number of reads were normalized by the total number of reads

aligned to the genome in each samples. To identify adenine methylated residues, we

substracted the average number of reads obtained in the 3 control samples to the number reads

obtained in the experimental samples in same condition. Negative numbers were considered

as zeros.

Calculating thermodynamic entropy-related shape index (SI) of TSS cluster

The SI index was calculated as previously demonstrated by Hoskin and colleagues (34) as

below:

$SI = 2 + \sum_{i}^{L} p_i \log_2 p_i$

where p is the probability of observing a TSS at base position i within the cluster, and L is the

set of positions that possess mapped reads in the cluster.

TSS cluster subpopulation detection and cluster shape classification

We considered only genes with only one TSS cluster in their TL and kept only clusters with

both Size and SI between 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles, as evaluated using the data obtained in

exponential phase 30°C (719 genes in exponential phase 30°C, 691 in stationary phase 30°C,

635 genes in exponential 37°C, 586 genes in stationary phase 37°C). To detect if there is more

than one population of TSS clusters, the first principal component (PC1) of Size and SI was

used as input for the function "emtest.norm" of R package "'MixtureInf" (35) with the default

value of the finite mixture model under null hypothesis m0=1. The output of function

"emtest.norm" includes the percentage of each sub-population together with the detected

models with theirs mean and standard deviation. This information was then supplied to the

argument "theta" of the function "plotmix.norm" to plot histogram of the observations and the

fitted density of a mixture of models. TSS clusters were then classified into two groups based

on the mean value of PC1 plus and minus one standard deviation of each group as returned by

the function "emtest.norm".

Motif enrichment discovery in core promoter region

To find motifs enriched in promoter regions, the genomic sequences from -100 to +30 of the

major TSS peaks were extracted and used as input in MEME Suite software 5.4.1 (36) (*de*

novo pattern discovery mode). Default settings were applied except these following

parameters: number of motifs: 6, minimum width: 6, maximum width: 10, and search given

strand only. The six motifs found were then scanned in each group of Sharp and Broad

clusters in each condition with Fimo mode (threshold *p*-value ≤ 0.0005) to examine their

number and positions in each dataset.

altTSS usage analysis

The "expression level" of each TSS cluster was calculated for each replicate and normalized

by the total number of reads associated with gene containing the cluster. We did not consider

the clusters that never contribute more than 10% total reads associated with a given gene in

any replicate. We then performed four comparisons: EXPO 30 vs STAT 30, EXPO 37 vs

STAT 37, EXPO 30 vs EXPO 37, and STAT 30 vs STAT 37.

N- terminomic experiments

Lysis and protein extraction

Cells were lysed by sonication in lysis buffer containing 0.5% SDS, 100 mM HEPES pH 8,

10 mM DTT, 10 mM EDTA, supplemented with protease inhibitors (Complete Mini EDTA-

free, Roche). After sonication, the lysate was incubated for 20 min at RT with 1 µL of

benzoase and centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 *g* at 4°C. The supernatant was reduced and

alkylated using 20 mM TCEP and 10 mM NEM (N-ethylmaleimide) respectively, for 30 min

at RT in the dark. Protein concentration was determined with DC assay (BioRad) and 200 µg

of each sample were further processed.

TAILS protocol (Terminal amine isotopic labeling of substrates)

TAILS experiment was based on reference protocol (42) with some modifications. Prior to

labeling, the samples were first precipitated using acetone-methanol method to remove all

primary amines. Briefly, 8 sample volumes of ice-cold acetone and 1 volume of ice-cold

methanol were added, samples were let to precipitate overnight at -80°C, centrifuged for 30

min at 14,000 g at 4°C and the pellet washed twice with ice-cold methanol. The dried pellet

was resuspended in 1% SDS, 100 mM TEAB pH 8 and incubated for 5 min at $95^{°}$C. pH was

adjusted to 7.5. Dimethylation labeling was carried out by adding 40 mM formaldehyde and

20 mM sodium cyanoborohydride ($NaBH_3CN$) and incubating overnight at 37°C. The

reaction was quenched by addition of 500 mM of Tris-HCl pH 6.8 and incubation for 1h at

$37^{°}$C.

<u>Tryptic digestion</u>

S-Trap mini spin column (Protifi, Hutington, USA) digestion was performed on dimethylated

samples, according to manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, SDS concentration was first

adjusted to 5% and aqueous phosphoric acid was then added to a final concentration of 2.5%

following by the addition of S-Trap binding buffer (90% aqueous methanol, 100 mM TEAB,

pH 7.1). Mixtures were loaded on S-Trap columns by 30 sec centrifugation at 4,000 g. Six

washes were performed before adding the trypsin (Promega) at 1/20 ratio and incubation for 2

h at 47°C. After elution, 10 µg of peptides were kept for MS/MS analysis and the remaining

samples were vacuum dried.

<u>Negative selection by HPG-ALDII polymer</u>

Dried peptides were resuspended in 100 µL of 100 mM HEPES pH 7. The HPG-ALDII

polymer was added to samples at a polymer/peptide ratio 5:1 (w/w), followed by addition of

20 mM $NaBH_3CN$. Samples were then incubated overnight at 37°C. 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7

was added and samples were incubated for 30 min at $37^{°}$C before loading by centrifuging for

10 min at 12,000 *g* on 10 kDa Amicon (Millipore) centrifugation device previously washed

with 100 mM NaOH and water. The flow-through was collected and additional washing step

was performed. The filters were then rinsed with water, polymer discarded and filters spined-

down in upside-down position for 3 min at 5,000 *g*. Collected fractions were pooled with

flowthroughs and vacuum-dried.

<u>MS/MS analysis</u>

Peptides were resuspended in 10% ACN, 0.1% TFA in HPLC-grade water prior to MS

analysis. For each run, 1/10 of peptide solution was injected in a nanoRSLC-Q Exactive

PLUS (RSLC Ultimate 3000) (Thermo Scientific,Waltham MA, USA). Peptides were loaded

onto a μ-precolumn (Acclaim PepMap 100 C18, cartridge, 300 μm i.d.×5 mm, 5 μm)

(Thermo Scientific), and were separated on a 50 cm reversed-phase liquid chromatographic

column (0.075 mm ID, Acclaim PepMap 100, C18, 2 μm) (Thermo Scientific).

Chromatography solvents were (A) 0.1% formic acid in water, and (B) 80% acetonitrile,

0.08% formic acid. Peptides were eluted from the column with the following gradient 5% to

40% B (120 minutes), 40% to 80% (1 minute). At 121 minutes, the gradient stayed at 80% for

5 minutes and, at 126 minutes, it returned to 5% to re-equilibrate the column for 20 minutes

before the next injection. One blank was run between each replicate to prevent sample

carryover. Peptides eluting from the column were analyzed by data dependent MS/MS, using

top-10 acquisition method. Peptides were fragmented using higher-energy collisional

dissociation (HCD). Briefly, the instrument settings were as follows: resolution was set to

70,000 for MS scans and 17,500 for the data dependent MS/MS scans to increase speed. The

MS AGC target was set to 3.106 counts with maximum injection time set to 200 ms, while

MS/MS AGC target was set to 1.105 with maximum injection time set to 120 ms. The MS

scan range was from 400 to 2,000 m/z. Dynamic exclusion was set to 30 seconds duration.

<u>Data analysis</u>

The MS files were processed with the MaxQuant software version 1.5.8.3 and searched with

Andromeda search engine against the annotated *C. neofomans* database (14) but also against a

database containing all alternative proteins potentially resulting for alternative TSS usage. To

search parent mass and fragment ions, we set a mass deviation of 3 ppm and 20 ppm

respectively. The minimum peptide length was set to 7 amino acids and strict specificity for

trypsin cleavage was required, allowing up to two missed cleavage sites. NEM alkylation

(Cys) was set as fixed modification, whereas oxidation (Met) and N-term acetylation, N-term

Dimethylation (any N-term), Dimethylation (Lys) were set as variable modifications. The

false discovery rates (FDRs) at the protein and peptide level were set to 1%. Scores were

calculated in MaxQuant as described previously (90). The reverse and common contaminants

hits were removed from MaxQuant output. The output files "peptides" and

"modificationSpecificPeptides" were combined and further analyzed on excel.

Transcription factor mutant library screening

Total RNA (5 μg) extracted from each of the *C. neoformans* 150 TF mutant strains (44) was

subjected to DNAse I treatment (Roche) to eliminate contaminating genomic DNA. A total of

1 μg of the DNase I-treated RNA was then used for reverse-transcription (RT) with Maxima

First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Scientific™). qPCR experiments using CFX96

Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) with SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR

Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to manufacturer's instructions. The primer pairs used

were specific of either long (in the region located between TSS1 and TSS2) or both isoforms

(downstream of TSS2) of *PKP1* or *ACT1* (S1 Table). The number of short isoforms was

calculated as the number of both *PKP1* RNA isoforms minus the number of long isoforms.

The levels of the *PKP1* long and short isoforms were compared between WT and mutant

strains. The level of expression of *ACT1* was used as a control.

Construction of strains deleted and complemented for *TUR1* gene in *C. neoformans*

The *TUR1* gene was deleted in *C. neoformans* KN99α reference strain (26) (S2 Table) by inserting a NEO[R] cassette in place of the coding sequence by electroporation using a transient CRISPR-Cas9 expression (TRACE) system (91). Plasmids and primers to amplify Cas9 and gRNA against *TUR1* are listed in S3 and S1 Tables, respectively. For the complemented strain, we PCR amplified a DNA fragment spanning a genomic DNA region form 1kb upstream of annotated ATG to 1kb downstream of stop codon of the gene *TUR1*. This fragment was then cloned into *pSDMA57 (S2* Table*)* allowing the integration at the genomic "safe haven 1" locus and complementation of *TUR1* in *tur1Δ::NAT* mutant (92). For galactose-inducible complementation, the *TUR1* sequence from annotated ATG to 1kb downstream of stop codon was amplified, and then was fused at the 5' end with 2xFLAG-CBP (93) amplified from plasmid pCM189-NTAP (94). This construct was positioned under the control of the *GAL7* promoter in the plasmid pSDMA57-GAL7p-TUR1. The construct was then PCR-amplified and co-transformed into *tur1Δ* mutant strain together with a PCR-amplified DNA fragments containing the sequence of Cas9 and a PCR-amplified DNA fragment coding the gRNA targeting Safe Haven 1 as previously described (91). For the construction of the *P_{ACT1}::2xFLAG-CBP::TUR1* strain, the sequence of the *ACT1* promoter was PCR amplified and used with the *2xFLAG-CBP::TUR1* strain before and being targeted to the Safe Haven 1 as previously described (91). The resulting strain NE1605 was then used for ChiP-Seq analysis. Finaly, a strain expressing the fusion protein Dam:Tur1 under the control of the *TUR1* native promoter was constructed using a similar strategy. Briefly, the *E. coli* DNA adenine methyltransferase gene was amplified from the plasmid kindly given by Eugene Gladyshev (Institut Pasteur) and fused with *TUR1* gene. The fusion PCR fragment was then targeted to the *TUR1* locus native locus after co-transformation of the *C. neoformans*

Cas9 expressing strain CM2049 (95) with a *TUR1* specific gRNA and a linear plasmid

containing a nourseothricin resistance marker. The resulting strain NE1713 was used for

DamID-Seq experiments.

Construction of mNeon tagged *MAE102* genes, fused ATG and mutated aATG mutant

strains

For *MAE102* gene tagging, the region covering mNeonGreen-CBP-2xFlag-terminator

together with NAT1 cassette was PCR amplified from pBHM2404 and fused in 5' with 1 kb

upstream the STOP codon of *MAE102* (without including it) and in 3' with 1kb downstream

of the STOP codon to allow recombination in the genome (see S1 Table for primers). This

cassette was co-transformed with a PCR fragment allowing production of a gRNA directed

against the 3' of *MAE102* in the strain CM2049 in which the Cas9 gene is integrated at the

Safe Heaven 2 (95, 96) and constitutively expressed. The *mae102-MTSΔ* mutant in which the

region containing a putative MTS has been deleted was constructed using a similar strategy.

Here a cassette in which 1kb upstream of the annotated ATG was fused with 1kb starting at

the second ATG was first constructed before being co-transformed with the NEO marker

(pSDMA57 cut by PacI), these two constructs being targeted by appropriate gRNAs at the

aATG of *MAE102* and at the Safe Haven 1 locus, respectively. These mutations were done on

the mNeonGreen-tagged version of *MAE102*. Finally, the *mae102-M1R* mutant was

constructed with the same strategy using a cassette in which the annotated ATG was replaced

by a CGT. Primers, strains, and plasmids used to construct these mutants are listed in the S1,

S2 and S3 Tables, respectively.

Western Blot

The *C. neoformans tur1Δ* mutant strain expressing the fusion protein 2xFlag-CBP-Tur1 under

the control of the *GAL7* promoter (46) was cultured at 30°C in media containing glucose

(YPD) or galactose (YPGAL) until exponential phase or stationary phase. Total proteins were

extracted from 10 OD_{600} units of cells and were dissolved in 250 µL of 1X SDS-PAGE

sample buffer. 10 µL of protein extract were loaded on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel

before being transferred to a nylon membrane and probed with an anti-flag M2 antibody

(Sigma Aldrich F1804). An anti-β-actin antibody (Sigma Aldrich A2066) was used as control.

Northern Blot

RNA was extracted with TRIZOL Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the

manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA (10 µg) was separated by denaturing agarose gel

electrophoresis and transferred onto Hybon-N+ membrane (Sigma-Aldrich) and probed with

[^{32}P]dCTP-radiolabelled DNA fragments. The banding pattern was quantified with a Typhoon

9200 imager and Image Quantifier 5.2 sofware (Molecular dynamics).

Phenotypic assays

For spot assays, cells grown in liquid YPD medium at 30 °C for 16 h were counted, serially

diluted and spotted on solid YPD medium supplemented with chemical compounds purchased

from Sigma Aldrich : 0.2 mM cumen hydroperoxide, 2 mM $NaNO_2$, 100 mM sodium

dithiocarbamate , 0.4 mM rotenone , 10 mM dimethyl malonate, and 0.2 µg/mL oligomycin.

Cells were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days and the plates were photographed.

For disc inhibition assays, overnight cultures of indicated *C. neoformans* strains were grown

overnight. The following day cells were diluted to a final OD_{600} of 0.1 and 100 µL was then

spread on YPD plates. Filter discs containing 20 µL of 10 mM menadione or 5 µg/mL

antimycin A were positioned at the center of the Petri dish and plates were incubated at

30 °C for 3 days and photographed.

Phagocytosis assay

The murine macrophage J774A.1 cell line (97) was cultured in T-75 flasks (Fisher Scientific)

in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium, low glucose (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich), containing 10

% live fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich), 2 mM L-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1

% Penicillin and Streptomycin solution (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C and 5 % CO_2. Cells were

passaged when 70 % to 90 % confluent by scraping and resuspending in fresh complete

DMEM at a ratio of 1:3 to 1:6.

Phagocytosis assays were performed to measure the capacity of J774 macrophages to engulf

C. neoformans WT, *tur1Δ*, and *tur1Δ+TUR1* strains. Twenty-four hours before the start of the

phagocytosis assay, $1x10^5$ J774 macrophages were seeded onto wells of a 24-well plate

(Greiner Bio-One). The cells were then incubated overnight at 37°C and 5 % CO_2. At the

same time, a colony of each strain was picked from the stock plate and resuspended in 3 mL

liquid YPD broth. The culture was incubated at 25°C overnight under constant rotation (20

rpm). On the day of the assay, macrophages were activated using 150 ng/mL phorbol

12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour at 37°C. PMA stimulation was

performed in serum-free media to eliminate the contribution of complement proteins during

phagocytosis. To prepare *C. neoformans* for infection, an overnight *C. neoformans* culture

was washed two times in 1X PBS and centrifuged at 6,500 rpm for 2.5 min. To infect

macrophages with unopsonised *C. neoformans*, after the final wash, the *C. neoformans* pellet

was resuspended in 1 mL PBS, counted using a hematocytometer, and fungi incubated with

macrophages at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10:1. The infection was allowed to take

place for 2 hours at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Afterwards, extracellular *Cryptococcus* was washed

off and wells were treated with 10 μg/mL calcofluor white (CFW) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10

min at 37°C to distinguish between phagocytosed and extracellular *C. neoformans*. Images

were acquired using the Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope (Nikon) fitted with the

QICAM Fast 1394 camera (Hamamatsu) under 20X magnification and using the phase

contrast objective and CFW channel. Images were analyzed using the Fiji image processing

software (ImageJ). Two hundred macrophages were counted and phagocytic index (PI) was

quantified as the percentage of macrophages that phagocytosed at least one *Cryptococcus* cell

(PI= ((number of infected macrophage/total number of macrophages counted) * 100%)).

AVAILABILITY and ACCESSION NUMBERS

932 Raw and summarized sequencing data are available on GEO under accession number

933 GSE237320 (RNA-seq, TSS-seq, DNA-seq, ChIP-Seq, DamID-Seq). Proteomic data has

934 been submitted to ProteomeXchange via the PRIDE database under the accession number

935 PXD045272.

References

1.   Haberle V, Stark A. Eukaryotic core promoters and the functional basis of transcription
initiation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19(10):621-37.

2.   Carninci P, Sandelin A, Lenhard B, Katayama S, Shimokawa K, Ponjavic J, et al. Genome-wide
analysis of mammalian promoter architecture and evolution. Nat Genet. 2006;38(6):626-35.

3.   Lu Z, Lin Z. The origin and evolution of a distinct mechanism of transcription initiation in
yeasts. Genome Res. 2021;31(1):51-63.

4.   Adiconis X, Haber AL, Simmons SK, Levy Moonshine A, Ji Z, Busby MA, et al. Comprehensive
comparative analysis of 5⎕-end RNA-sequencing methods. Nature Meth. 2018;15(7):505-11.

5.   Kimura K, Wakamatsu A, Suzuki Y, Ota T, Nishikawa T, Yamashita R, et al. Diversification of
transcriptional modulation: large-scale identification and characterization of putative alternative
promoters of human genes. Genome Res. 2006;16(1):55-65.

6.   Nepal C, Hadzhiev Y, Previti C, Haberle V, Li N, Takahashi H, et al. Dynamic regulation of the
transcription initiation landscape at single nucleotide resolution during vertebrate embryogenesis.
Genome Res. 2013;23(11):1938-50.

7.   Cooper SJ, Trinklein ND, Anton ED, Nguyen L, Myers RM. Comprehensive analysis of
transcriptional promoter structure and function in 1% of the human genome. Genome Res.
2006;16(1):1-10.

8.   Forrest ARR, Kawaji H, Rehli M, Kenneth Baillie J, de Hoon MJL, Haberle V, et al. A promoter-
level mammalian expression atlas. Nature. 2014;507(7493):462-70.

9.   Bharti K, Liu W, Csermely T, Bertuzzi S, Arnheiter H. Alternative promoter use in eye
development: the complex role and regulation of the transcription factor MITF. Development.
2008;135(6):1169-78.

10.   Patta I, Madhok A, Khare S, Gottimukkala KP, Verma A, Giri S, et al. Dynamic regulation of
chromatin organizer SATB1 via TCR-induced alternative promoter switch during T-cell development.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(11):5873-90.

11.   Pal S, Gupta R, Kim H, Wickramasinghe P, Baubet V, Showe LC, et al. Alternative transcription
exceeds alternative splicing in generating the transcriptome diversity of cerebellar development.
Genome Res. 2011;21(8):1260-72.

12.   Lu Z, Lin Z. Pervasive and dynamic transcription initiation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
Genome Res. 2019;29(7):1198-210.

13.   Aoyama T, Nakayama H, Ueno K, Inukai T, Tanabe K, Nagi M, et al. Genome-wide survey of
transcriptional initiation in the pathogenic fungus, *Candida glabrata*. Genes Cells. 2014;19(6):478-
503.

14.   Wallace EWJ, Maufrais C, Sales-Lee J, Tuck LR, de Oliveira L, Feuerbach F, et al. Quantitative
global studies reveal differential translational control by start codon context across the fungal
kingdom. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48:2312-31.

15.   Arribere JA, Gilbert WV. Roles for transcript leaders in translation and mRNA decay revealed
by transcript leader sequencing. Genome Res. 2013;23(6):977-87.

16.   Thodberg M, Thieffry A, Bornholdt J, Boyd M, Holmberg C, Azad A, et al. Comprehensive
profiling of the fission yeast transcription start site activity during stress and media response. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2019;47(4):1671-91.

17.   Pelechano V, Wei W, Steinmetz LM. Extensive transcriptional heterogeneity revealed by
isoform profiling. Nature. 2013;497(7447):127-31.

18.   Dang TTV, Colin J, Janbon G. Alternative Transcription Start Site Usage and Functional
Implications in Pathogenic Fungi. J Fungi (Basel). 2022;8(10).

19.   Chia M, Li C, Marques S, Pelechano V, Luscombe NM, van Werven FJ. High-resolution analysis
of cell-state transitions in yeast suggests widespread transcriptional tuning by alternative starts.
Genome Biol. 2021;22(1):34.
20.   Kaplan CD, Laprade L, Winston F. Transcription elongation factors repress transcription
initiation from cryptic sites. Science. 2003;301:1096-9.
21.   Carrozza MJ, Li B, Florens L, Suganuma T, Swanson SK, Lee KK, et al. Histone H3 Methylation
by Set2 Directs Deacetylation of Coding Regions by Rpd3S to Suppress Spurious Intragenic
Transcription. Cell. 2005;123(4):581-92.
22.   Wei W, Hennig BP, Wang J, Zhang Y, Piazza I, Pareja Sanchez Y, et al. Chromatin-sensitive
cryptic promoters putatively drive expression of alternative protein isoforms in yeast. Genome Res.
2019;29(12):1974-84.
23.   Rajasingham R, Smith RM, Park BJ, Jarvis JN, Govender NP, Chiller TM, et al. Global burden of
disease of HIV-associated cryptococcal meningitis: an updated analysis. The Lancet Infectious
Diseases. 2017;17(8):873-81.
24.   Idnurm A, Bahn YS, Nielsen K, Lin X, Fraser JA, Heitman J. Deciphering the model pathogenic
fungus *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2005; 3:753-64.
25.   Johnston SA, May RC. Cryptococcus interactions with macrophages: evasion and
manipulation of the phagosome by a fungal pathogen. Cell Microbiol. 2013;15(3):403-11.
26.   Janbon G, Ormerod KL, Paulet D, Byrnes III EJ, Chatterjee G, Yadav V, et al. Analysis of the
genome and transcriptome of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* reveals complex RNA expression
and microevolution leading to virulence attenuation. PLoS Genet. 2014;10:e1004261.
27.   Gonzalez-Hilarion S, Paulet D, Lee K-T, Hon C-C, Lechat P, Mogensen E, et al. Intron retention-
dependent gene regulation in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Sci Rep. 2016;6:32252.
28.   Gröhs Ferrareze PA, Maufrais C, Silva Araujo Streit R, Priest SJ, Cuomo CA, Heitman J, et al.
Application of an optimized annotation pipeline to the *Cryptococcus deuterogattii* genome reveals
dynamic primary metabolic gene clusters and genomic impact of RNAi loss. G3 (Bethesda).
2021;11(2).
29.   Janbon G. Introns in *Cryptococcus*. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2018;113(7):e170519-e.
30.   Goebels C, Thonn A, Gonzalez-Hilarion S, Rolland O, Moyrand F, Beilharz TH, et al. Introns
regulate gene expression in *Cryptococcus neoformans* in a Pab2p dependent pathway. PLoS Genet.
2013;9:e1003686.
31.   Kaur JN, Panepinto JC. Morphotype-specific effector functions of *Cryptococcus neoformans*
PUM1. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23638.
32.   Verma S, Idnurm A. The Uve1 endonuclease Is regulated by the white collar complex to
protect *Cryptococcus neoformans* from UV Damage. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(9):e1003769.
33.   Smith AD, Garcia-Santamarina S, Ralle M, Loiselle DR, Haystead TA, Thiele DJ. Transcription
factor-driven alternative localization of *Cryptococcus neoformans* superoxide dismutase. J Biol Chem.
2021;296:100391.
34.   Hoskins RA, Landolin JM, Brown JB, Sandler JE, Takahashi H, Lassmann T, et al. Genome-wide
analysis of promoter architecture in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome Res. 2011;21:182-92.
35.   Li P, Chen J. Testing the Order of a Finite Mixture. Journal of the American Statistical
Association. 2010;105(491):1084-92.
36.   Bailey TL, Johnson J, Grant CE, Noble WS. The MEME Suite. Nucleic Acids Res.
2015;43(W1):W39-49.
37.   Yamamoto YY, Yoshioka Y, Hyakumachi M, Obokata J. Characteristics of core promoter types
with respect to gene structure and expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. DNA Res. 2011;18(5):333-42.
38.   Sandelin A, Carninci P, Lenhard B, Ponjavic J, Hayashizaki Y, Hume DA. Mammalian RNA
polymerase II core promoters: insights from genome-wide studies. Nature Reviews Genetics.
2007;8(6):424-36.
39.   Ni T, Corcoran DL, Rach EA, Song S, Spana EP, Gao Y, et al. A paired-end sequencing strategy
to map the complex landscape of transcription initiation. Nature Meth. 2010;7(7):521-7.

40.   Hinnebusch AG, Ivanov IP, Sonenberg N. Translational control by 5ꞌ-untranslated regions of
eukaryotic mRNAs. Science. 2016;352(6292):1413-6.
41.   Thumuluri V, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen Alexander R, Nielsen H, Winther O. DeepLoc
2.0: multi-label subcellular localization prediction using protein language models. Nucleic Acids Res.
2022;50(W1):W228-W34.
42.   Kleifeld O, Doucet A, Prudova A, auf dem Keller U, Gioia M, Kizhakkedathu JN, et al.
Identifying and quantifying proteolytic events and the natural N terminome by terminal amine
isotopic labeling of substrates. Nat Protoc. 2011;6(10):1578-611.
43.   Sehgal A, Hughes BT, Espenshade PJ. Oxygen-dependent, alternative promoter controls
translation of tco1+ in fission yeast. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36(6):2024-31.
44.   Jung K-W, Yang D-H, Maeng S, Lee K-T, So Y-S, Hong J, et al. Systematic functional profiling of
transcription factor networks in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Nat Com. 2015;6:6757.
45.   Krause-Buchholz U, Gey U, Wünschmann J, Becker S, Rödel G. YIL042c and YOR090c encode
the kinase and phosphatase of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. FEBS
Lett. 2006;580(11):2553-60.
46.   Wickes BL, Edman JC. The *Cryptococcus neoformans GAL7* gene and its use as an inducible
promoter. Mol Microbiol. 1995;16:1099-109.
47.   Choder M. A general topoisomerase I-dependent transcriptional repression in the stationary
phase in yeast. Genes Dev. 1991;5(12a):2315-26.
48.   Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, et al. Model-based analysis
of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol. 2008;9(9):R137.
49.   Gutierrez-Triana JA, Mateo JL, Ibberson D, Ryu S, Wittbrodt J. iDamIDseq and iDEAR: an
improved method and computational pipeline to profile chromatin-binding proteins. Development.
2016;143(22):4272-8.
50.   Thorpe GW, Fong CS, Alic N, Higgins VJ, Dawes IW. Cells have distinct mechanisms to
maintain protection against different reactive oxygen species: oxidative-stress-response genes. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(17):6564-9.
51.   Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, et al. The STRING database in
2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded
gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D605-d12.
52.   Wang L, Duan Q, Wang T, Ahmed M, Zhang N, Li Y, et al. Mitochondrial Respiratory Chain
Inhibitors Involved in ROS Production Induced by Acute High Concentrations of Iodide and the Effects
of SOD as a Protective Factor. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2015;2015:217670.
53.   Malabat C, Feuerbach F, Ma L, Saveanu C, Jacquier A. Quality control of transcription start
site selection by nonsense-mediated-mRNA decay. Elife. 2015;4:e06722.
54.   Morton T, Petricka J, Corcoran DL, Li S, Winter CM, Carda A, et al. Paired-End Analysis of
Transcription Start Sites in *Arabidopsis* Reveals Plant-Specific Promoter Signatures. Plant Cell.
2014;26(7):2746.
55.   Li H, Hou J, Bai L, Hu C, Tong P, Kang Y, et al. Genome-wide analysis of core promoter
structures in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* with DeepCAGE. RNA Biol. 2015;12(5):525-37.
56.   Yamashita R, Sathira NP, Kanai A, Tanimoto K, Arauchi T, Tanaka Y, et al. Genome-wide
characterization of transcriptional start sites in humans by integrative transcriptome analysis.
Genome Res. 2011;21(5):775-89.
57.   Rach EA, Winter DR, Benjamin AM, Corcoran DL, Ni T, Zhu J, et al. Transcription initiation
patterns indicate divergent strategies for gene regulation at the chromatin level. PLoS Genet.
2011;7(1):e1001274.
58.   Lenhard B, Sandelin A, Carninci P. Metazoan promoters: emerging characteristics and insights
into transcriptional regulation. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(4):233-45.
59.   Natsoulis G, Hilger F, Fink GR. The *HTS1* gene encodes both the cytoplasmic and
mitochondrial histidine tRNA synthetases of *S. cerevisiae*. Cell. 1986;46(2):235-43.
60.   Carlson M, Botstein D. Two differentially regulated mRNAs with different 5' ends encode
secreted with intracellular forms of yeast invertase. Cell. 1982;28(1):145-54.

61. Ushijima T, Hanada K, Gotoh E, Yamori W, Kodama Y, Tanaka H, et al. Light Controls Protein
Localization through Phytochrome-Mediated Alternative Promoter Selection. Cell. 2017;171(6):1316-
25.e12.
62. Nieke S, Yasmin N, Kakugawa K, Yokomizo T, Muroi S, Taniuchi I. Unique N-terminal
sequences in two Runx1 isoforms are dispensable for Runx1 function. BMC Developmental Biology.
2017;17(1):14.
63. Rawal Y, Chereji RV, Valabhoju V, Qiu H, Ocampo J, Clark DJ, et al. Gcn4 Binding in Coding
Regions Can Activate Internal and Canonical 5' Promoters in Yeast. Mol Cell. 2018;70(2):297-311.e4.
64. Zhou S, Sternglanz R, Neiman AM. Developmentally regulated internal transcription initiation
during meiosis in budding yeast. PLoS One. 2017;12(11):e0188001.
65. Nuckolls NL, Nidamangala Srinivasa A, Mok AC, Helston RM, Bravo Núñez MA, Lange JJ, et al.
S. pombe wtf drivers use dual transcriptional regulation and selective protein exclusion from spores
to cause meiotic drive. PLoS Genet. 2022;18(12):e1009847.
66. Tresenrider A, Morse K, Jorgensen V, Chia M, Liao H, van Werven FJ, et al. Integrated
genomic analysis reveals key features of long undecoded transcript isoform-based gene repression.
Mol Cell. 2021;81(10):2231-45.e11.
67. Chen J, Tresenrider A, Chia M, McSwiggen DT, Spedale G, Jorgensen V, et al. Kinetochore
inactivation by expression of a repressive mRNA. Elife. 2017;6.
68. Kurihara Y, Makita Y, Kawashima M, Fujita T, Iwasaki S, Matsui M. Transcripts from
downstream alternative transcription start sites evade uORF-mediated inhibition of gene expression
in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(30):7831-6.
69. Andersson R, Gebhard C, Miguel-Escalada I, Hoof I, Bornholdt J, Boyd M, et al. An atlas of
active enhancers across human cell types and tissues. Nature. 2014;507(7493):455-61.
70. Demircioğlu D, Cukuroglu E, Kindermans M, Nandi T, Calabrese C, Fonseca NA, et al. A Pan-
cancer Transcriptome Analysis Reveals Pervasive Regulation through Alternative Promoters. Cell.
2019;178(6):1465-77.e17.
71. Mao Y, Chen C. The Hap Complex in Yeasts: Structure, Assembly Mode, and Gene Regulation.
Front Microbiol. 2019;10:1645.
72. Werner-Washburne M, Braun E, Johnston GC, Singer RA. Stationary phase in the yeast
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol Rev. 1993;57(2):383-401.
73. Sagot I, Laporte D. The cell biology of quiescent yeast - a diversity of individual scenarios. J
Cell Sci. 2019;132(1).
74. Novačić A, Menéndez D, Ljubas J, Barbarić S, Stutz F, Soudet J, et al. Antisense non-coding
transcription represses the PHO5 model gene at the level of promoter chromatin structure. PLoS
Genet. 2022;18(10):e1010432.
75. Asada R, Umeda M, Adachi A, Senmatsu S, Abe T, Iwasaki H, et al. Recruitment and delivery
of the fission yeast Rst2 transcription factor via a local genome structure counteracts repression by
Tup1-family corepressors. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(16):9361-71.
76. Wang Y, Pawar S, Dutta O, Wang K, Rivera A, Xue C. Macrophage Mediated
Immunomodulation During *Cryptococcus* Pulmonary Infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol.
2022;12:859049.
77. Brown SM, Campbell LT, Lodge JK. *Cryptococcus neoformans*, a fungus under stress. Curr
Opin Microbiol. 2007;10(4):320-5.
78. Muller FL, Liu Y, Van Remmen H. Complex III releases superoxide to both sides of the inner
mitochondrial membrane. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(47):49064-73.
79. Colot HV, Loros JJ, Dunlap JC. Temperature-modulated alternative splicing and promoter use
in the Circadian clock gene frequency. Mol Biol Cell. 2005;16(12):5563-71.
80. Inoue T, Toji H, Tanaka M, Takama M, Hasegawa-Shiro S, Yamaki Y, et al. Alternative
transcription start sites of the enolase-encoding gene enoA are stringently used in
glycolytic/gluconeogenic conditions in *Aspergillus oryzae*. Curr Genet. 2020;66(4):729-47.
81. Park AR, Son H, Min K, Park J, Goo JH, Rhee S, et al. Autoregulation of ZEB2 expression for
zearalenone production in *Fusarium graminearum*. Mol Microbiol. 2015;97(5):942-56.

82.   Winston F, Dollard C, Ricupero-Hovasse SL. Construction of a set of convenient
Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains that are isogenic to S288C. Yeast. 1995;11(1):53-5.
83.   Varma A, Kwon-Chung KJ. Rapid method to extract DNA from *Cryptococcus neoformans*. J
Clin Microbiol. 1991;29:810-2.
84.   Moyrand F, Lafontaine I, Fontaine T, Janbon G. *UGE1* and *UGE2* regulate the UDP-
glucose/UDP-galactose equilibrium in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Eukaryot Cell. 2008;7:2069-77.
85.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
2012;9(4):357-9.
86.   Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of
transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol.
2013;14(4):R36-R.
87.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:550.
88.   de Jonge WJ, Brok M, Kemmeren P, Holstege FCP. An Optimized Chromatin
Immunoprecipitation Protocol for Quantification of Protein-DNA Interactions. STAR Protoc.
2020;1(1):100020.
89.   Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics.
2018;34(18):3094-100.
90.   Cox J, Mann M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-
range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. Nature Biotechnology.
2008;26(12):1367-72.
91.   Lin J, Fan Y, Lin X. Transformation of *Cryptococcus neoformans* by electroporation using a
transient CRISPR-Cas9 expression (TRACE) system. Fungal Genet Biol. 2020;138:103364.
92.   Arras SD, Chitty JL, Blake KL, Schulz BL, Fraser JA. A genomic safe haven for mutant
complementation in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. PLoS One. 2015;10(4):e0122916.
93.   Summers DK, Perry DS, Rao B, Madhani HD. Coordinate genomic association of transcription
factors controlled by an imported quorum sensing peptide in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. PLoS Genet.
2020;16(9):e1008744.
94.   Saveanu C, Rousselle JC, Lenormand P, Namane A, Jacquier A, Fromont-Racine M. The p21-
activated protein kinase inhibitor Skb15 and its budding yeast homologue are 60S ribosome assembly
factors. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(8):2897-909.
95.   Huang MY, Joshi MB, Boucher MJ, Lee S, Loza LC, Gaylord EA, et al. Short homology-directed
repair using optimized Cas9 in the pathogen Cryptococcus neoformans enables rapid gene deletion
and tagging. Genetics. 2022;220(1).
96.   Upadhya R, Lam WC, Maybruck BT, Donlin MJ, Chang AL, Kayode S, et al. A fluorogenic *C.*
neoformans reporter strain with a robust expression of m-cherry expressed from a safe haven site in
the genome. Fungal Genet Biol. 2017;108:13-25.
97.   Ralph P, Nakoinz I. Phagocytosis and cytolysis by a macrophage tumour and its cloned cell
line. Nature. 1975;257(5525):393-4.
98.   Fukasawa Y, Tsuji J, Fu SC, Tomii K, Horton P, Imai K. MitoFates: improved prediction of
mitochondrial targeting sequences and their cleavage sites. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2015;14(4):1113-
26.

1190

1191

 Figure Legends

Fig 1. TSS cluster classification, motif enrichment, and the relationship with gene

expression

(A) The distribution of TSS clusters in terms of cluster width (Size) and shape index (SI) is

represented as a 2D density plot in which Size and SI distribution of TSS clusters display a

bimodal pattern. Corresponding histogram of Size and SI are projected on the x-axis and y-

axis, respectively. (B) Histogram (bold blue line) and density plot (bold black line) of the first

principal component (PC1) of Size and SI. Two subpopulations of PC1 are detected by

statistical test using "MixtureInf" R package and represented as the theoretical density plot

(doted black line). (C) Upper panel: Enrichment and position relative to the major position

within the TSS cluster of 6 detected motifs within the promoter sequence. Lower panel:

Percentage of presence of each motif in Sharp and Broad clusters associated genes. (D) Score

of gene expression change for TATA-box-harboring genes (pink) or devoid of TATA-box

(blue) are represented as dots. Dot size is proportional to the percentage of each score value in

y-axis. Sample sizes n, mean (red dots) and confidence interval (red lines) of the mean are

indicated for both groups. Significance was computed using Wilcoxon rank sum test, *p*-value

< 0.0001. (E) Magnitude of gene expression change for TATA-box-harboring genes and

genes devoid of TATA-box is depicted as violin plots and box plots. Average of Absolute

Log Fold Change in 4 growth conditions compared to WT (main text) is on y-axis. Sample

sizes n are indicated for both groups. Significance was computed using Wilcoxon rank sum

test, *p*-value < 0.0001. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1214

1215

Fig 2. Relationship between TSS cluster shape, TATA-box and gene expression dynamic.

(A) Magnitude of gene expression change of Sharp cluster genes and Broad cluster genes is

depicted as violin plots and box plots. Average of Absolute Log Fold Change in 4 growth

conditions compared to WT (main text) is on y-axis. Sample sizes n are indicated for each

group. Significance was computed using Wilcoxon rank sum test, p-value <0.05. (B)

Stratification analysis comparing TATA-box-harboring genes and TATA-box-devoid genes

within those having Sharp clusters (left panel) and those with Broad clusters (right panel).

Sample sizes n are indicated for each group. Significance was computed using Wilcoxon rank

sum test, p-value < 0.0001 for both comparisons. (C) Stratification analysis comparing genes

with Sharp clusters and genes with Broad clusters within TATA-box-harboring genes (left

panel) and TATA-box-devoid genes (right panel). Sample sizes n are indicated for each

group. Significance was computed using Wilcoxon rank sum test, p-value > 0.05 for both

comparisons. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1229

1230

Fig 3. altTSS usage regulates the presence or absence of uORFs within the mRNA

impacting its sensitivity to NMD. Among 3,055 uAUG containing genes in *C.*

deneoformans, genes having alternative TSS within their TL sequence are more resistant to

NMD. (A) Cumulative distribution plot of Log fold change between *upf1Δ* mutant and WT at

30°C are generated for genes which use an altTSS at 30°C (blue line) and genes that do not

use an altTSS at 30°C (red line). A one-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov test shows that these

differences are significant (p-value = 1.95 x 10^{-10}). (B) IGV visualization of RNA-seq and

TSS-seq at the CNA08250 locus. The gene CNA08250 possesses an alternative TSS that

skips the uORFs of the transcript leader sequence. At 30°C, the alternative TSS is

prominently used, resulting in the short mRNA isoform devoid of uORF. The data underlying

this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1242

1243 **Fig 4. altTSS usage can result in the production of proteins shorter than the annotated**

1244 **ones, lacking N-terminal targeting motif** and targeted to a different organelle. Alluvial

1245 diagram depicting the predicted localization of long and short protein isoforms as predicted by

1246 DeepLoc 2.0 (41).

1247

1248

Fig 5. Mae102 subcellular localization is regulated through alternative TSS usage. (A)

IGV visualization of RNA-seq and TSS-seq at the *MAE102* locus. In exponential phase at

30°C cells mainly use an altTSS (TSS2) located 19 bp downstream of the annotated ATG.

The shorter mRNA produces a protein isoform lacking the mitochondria targeting signal

(MTS, red box) as predicted by DeepLoc 2.0 and MitoFates (41, 98). In stationary phase at

30°C, the annotated TSS (TSS1) is mainly used to produce a full-length transcript which is

translated in a protein containing an MTS at its N-terminal. In exponential phase at 30°C,

usage of the downstream TSS2 produces a protein expected to go mainly to cytosol. The

percentages indicate the proportion of each TSS cluster used in each condition. Detailed

numbers are given in S8 Table. alternative usage of the two TSS clusters (B) DIC and

fluorescent microscopy images of *C. neoformans* cells expressing a Mae102-mNeonGreen

fusion protein (green) in different mutants: WT, *mae102-MTSΔ*, *mae102-M1R* and *tur1Δ*.

Mitochondria are stained using MitoTracker (red). The percentages refer to the proportion of

cells with a Mae102 nuclear localization when are grown under exponential phase.

1263

1264

Fig 6. altTSS usage regulates the production of TRASS in *Cryptococcus* (A) IGV

visualization of RNA-seq and TSS-seq at the CNC03460 locus when *C. deneoformans* cells

were cultivated at 30°C under exponential (E30) or stationary (S30) phase, respectively. The

percentages indicate the proportion of each TSS cluster used in each condition. Detailed

numbers are given in S8 Table. (B) Northern-blot validation of the alternative RNA

molecule production. For CNC03460 the probe was amplified using primers specific of the

second half of the gene (arrows). The actin gene (*ACT1*) was used as reference.

1272

1273

Fig 7. Differential analysis of altTSS usage in response to the growth phase and

temperature changes (A) Venn diagram illustration the overlap between the altTSS usage

regulation (FDR < 0.05) in 4 conditions: exponential phase (E30), stationary phase (S30) at

30°C, exponential phase (E37), stationary phase (S37) at 37°C in *C. neoformans*. (B) IGV

visualization of RNA-seq and TSS-seq of examples altTSS usage regulated by the

temperature and the growth phase. The percentages indicate the proportion of each TSS

cluster used in each condition. Detailed numbers are given in S8 Table. qRT-PCR

confirmation of these regulations was performed using primers specific of one or both

isoforms. Y-axis shows 1000*fold-change compared to the number of *ACT1* mRNA

molecules. Experiments were performed in biological triplicates and technical duplicates.

Error bars are shown median +/-standard deviation. Green and red arrows indicate the

position of the primers used for these experiments.

1286

1287

Fig 8. Tur1 regulates the altTSS usage at *PKP1* during the transition from exponential phase to stationary phase at 30°C. (A) RT-qPCR measuring the level of the long and short mRNA isoforms of *PKP1* in WT, *tur1Δ*, and the complemented *tur1Δ+TUR1* strains grown in stationary or exponential phase at 30°C. Y-axis shows 1000*fold-change compared to the number of *ACT1* mRNA molecules (B) RT-qPCR measuring the level of the long and short mRNA isoforms of *PKP1* in a *P_{GAL7}-2xFlag-CBP-TUR1* strain in exponential phase and stationary phase at 30°C, cultured in YPD (glucose) or YPGAL (galactose). Y-axis shows 1000*fold-change compared to the number of *ACT1* mRNA molecules. Experiments were performed in biological triplicates and technical duplicates. Error bars are shown median +/- standard deviation. (C) Western-blot analysis of *TUR1* conditional expression. Tur1 was detected using an anti-flag antibody and actin was used as control. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

Fig 9. Comparative differential gene expression analysis during the transition exponential to stationary phase in the WT and *tur1Δ* strains. (A) Number of genes significantly regulated in WT and *tur1Δ* strains during the exponential to stationary phase transition at 30°C determined by DeSEQ2 (2-fold change; adjusted *p*-value < 0.05). (B) Venn diagram describing the overlap of genes downregulated during the exponential to stationary phase transition at 30°C in WT and *tur1Δ* strains. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

Fig 10. Tur1 is a global regulator of altTSS usage in *C. neoformans*. (A) Venn diagram depicting the overlap between altTSS regulated genes during transition from exponential phase to stationary phase in the WT and *tur1Δ* strains. (B) IGV visualization of RNA-seq and

1312   TSS-seq data at representative altTSS regulated genes in WT and *tur1Δ* strains grown in

1313   exponential phase (E30) and stationary phase (S30) at 30°C The percentages indicate the

1314   proportion of each TSS cluster used in each condition. Detailed numbers are given in S9

1315   Table. The position of the DamID-Seq methylated residues in exponential phase are indicated

1316   at the locus CNAG_00047.

1317

Fig 11. Tur1 regulates altTSS usage at *MAE10*2. (A) IGV visualization of RNA-seq and

TSS-seq of CNAG_06374 in WT and *tur1Δ* strain grown in exponential phase (E30) and

stationary phase (S30) at 30°C. The percentages indicate the proportion of each TSS cluster

used in each condition. Detailed numbers are given in S9 Table. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of the

level of the long and short *MAE102* mRNA isoforms in WT, *tur1Δ*, and complemented *tur1Δ*

+ *SH1*::*TUR1* strains grown in exponential or stationary phase at 30°C. Y-axis shows

1000*fold-change compared to the number of *ACT1* mRNA molecules. Experiments were

performed in biological triplicates and technical duplicates. Error bars are shown median +/-

standard deviation. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1327

Fig 12. Tur1 regulates resistance to menadione, antimycin A and macrophage

phagocytosis.   (A) Results of resistance disc assays. 2 mM menadione and 5 µg/mL

antimycin A filter discs were used on YPD plates. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 days

and photographed. (B) Macrophage phagocytosis assay shows the phagocytic index for

unopsonized *C. neoformans* WT, *tur1Δ*, and complemented *tur1Δ+TUR1* strains as described

in Material and Methods. The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1334

1335 **Fig 13: Tentative model for Tur1 start site selection.** At the *PKP1* locus, Tur1 binds to an

1336 open chromatin region during exponential phase. In stationary phase, the increased usage of

1337 TSS1 changes the chromatin structure and Tur1 may recruit another TF to activate TSS2

1338 usage. In addition, Tur1 could control the expression of positive or negative regulators acting

1339 at other sites regulated by aTSS.

1340

1341 **SI legends**

1342

S1 Table. List of primers used in this study

S2 Table. List of strains used in this study

S3 Table. List of plasmids used in this study

S4 Table. List of genes with altTSS clusters identified in *C. neoformans* **and** *C.*
deneoformans. GeneID of genes in *C. neoformans* or *C. deneoformans* and the ortholog
genes in the other species, TSS clusters identified by TSS-seq in this study with start position
(start), end position (stop), and the position which is supported by the highest number of TSS-
seq read within that cluster (max), the strand of the gene, and the annotation of TSS clusters
as "Annotated" or "Alternative" as in Methods.

S5 Table. List of genes for which the condition dependent regulation of altTSS usage
results in the production of protein isoforms with different subcellular localization as
predicted by Deeploc2.0

S6 Table. N-terminomic analysis. (A) Peptides identified by N-terminomic. (B) Peptides
specific of mRNAs resulting from altTSS usage identified by N-terminomic.

S7 Table. List of genes regulated by altTSS in response to change in temperature or/and
growth phase. GeneID of genes identified as regulated by alternative TSS usage in this study,
followed by TSS clusters, their positions, and their normalized expression in each replicates
as described in Methods, mean normalized expression in each condition, difference in mean
normalized expression and False discovery rate of the differential comparison (FDR).

1362

S8 Table. List of genes regulated by the exponential to stationary phase transition in the
WT and *tur1Δ* **in** *C. neoformans*

S9 Table. List of genes with at least 2 TSSs and at least 20 TSS reads in all four samples
(WT E30, WTS30, *tur1Δ* **E30 and** *tur1Δ* **S30).** GeneID of genes identified as regulated by
alternative TSS usage in this study, followed by TSS clusters, their positions, and their
normalized expression in each replicates as described in Methods, mean normalized
expression in each condition, difference in mean normalized expression and False discovery
rate of the differential comparison (FDR).

S10 Table. S10 Table positions and weight to the peaks identified by ChIP-seq analysis

S11 Table. Position of the methylated adenine residues identified by DamID-Seq and
specific of either stationary or exponential phase.
1374

1375

1376 **Supplementary figures**

Supplementary Figure S1 : TSS cluster sizes obtained after directly merging the twelve gff files originally generated in *Wallace et al* (14).

Supplementary Figure S2. TSS clusterization using *C. neoformans* TSS-seq data obtained in three other growth conditions also revealed two types of TSS (broad and sharp). (A) Stationary phase 30°C (B) exponential phase 37°C, and (C) stationary phase 37°C. Left. Distribution of the TSS clusters in terms of cluster width (Size) and shape index (SI) is represented as a 2D density plot in which Size and SI distribution of TSS clusters display a bimodal pattern. Corresponding histogram of Size and SI are projected on x-axis and y-axis, respectively. Right. Histogram (bold blue line) and density plot (bold black line) of the first principal component (PC1) of Size and SI. Two subpopulations of PC1 are detected by statistical test using "MixtureInf" R package and represented as the theoretical density plot (dotted black line). The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

Supplementary Figure S3. Similar motif enrichment was observed associated with broad and sharp clusters generated from each set of TSS-seq data. Enrichments and positions relative to the major position within the TSS cluster of 6 detected motifs within the TL sequence.

Supplementary figure S4. Mae102 localization under exponential phase. The number of cells with Mea102 nuclear localization is indicated

Supplementary Figure S5. altTSS usage regulation of *SOD1* and *SOD2* genes in exponential and stationary phases. IGV visualization of RNA-seq (upper tracks) and TSS-seq (bottom tracks) at the CNAG_01019 (*SOD1*) and CNAG_04388 (*SOD2*) loci when *C. neoformans* cells were cultivated at 30°C under exponential or stationary phase, respectively.

1402

Supplementary Figure S6. Apparent "non-conserved" altTSS can be conserved between

***C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans*.** IGV visualization of RNA-seq and TSS-seq at the

VPS70 and *PKP1* loci of *C. neoformans* and *C. deneoformans* obtained when cells were

cultivated at 30°C under stationary phase. At the *C. deneoformans VPS70* gene, the same

altTSS observed in *C. neoformans* is visible albeit very poorly used. At the *C. deneoformans*

PKP1 gene, an altTSS is also visible but not at the same position as the one observed in *C.*

neoformans. Interestingly, in both cases, the regulation of altTSS is reversed between species.

1410

1411   **Supplementary Figure S7. Sensitivity of the *tur1Δ* mutant to oxidative agents.** 10-fold

1412   serial dilutions (starting with 10^7 cells/mL) of the wildtype (WT), *tur1* mutant (*tur1Δ)* and

1413   complemented strain *(tur1Δ TUR1)* cells were spotted on YPD medium containing Cumen

1414   hydroperoxide (CHP), NaNO$_2$, Sodium dithiocarbamate, rotenone, Dimethyl malonate, or

1415   oligomycin. Plates were incubated 3 days at 30°C before being photographed

1416

Supplementary Figure S8. Optimization of TSS data clusterization. (A) Left panel:

Cumulative percentage of clusters that reach size when testing a range of d values from 1 to

50. Right panel: Correlation between d and percentage of clusters that reach a maximum size.

The red rectangle illustrates the areas where d runs from 12 to 18 in both panels. (B) Left

panel: The data in (A) is modelized as the red smooth curve. Right panel: Correlation between

d and modelized percentage of clusters that reach maximum size, which reaches the

maximum value at d=17 (red line). The data underlying this Figure can be found in S1 Data.

1424

1425

TSS1 TSS2 CNAG_06374 (*MAE102*)

0% 60%

9% 1%

33%

93%

48%

3%

A

tur1Δ   WT

SOD1
SOD2

5   17   155

YSA1

PKP1
MAE102
IDP1

B

CNAG_00047 (*PKP1*)

DamID-Seq

WT E30
    S30
tur1Δ E30
    S30

WT E30 54%   45%
    S30 8%   92%
tur1Δ E30 67%   33%
    S30 35%   64%

CNAG_03920 (*IDP1*)

        29%   0%   70%
        8% 26%   66%
WT E30 75%   0%   25%
    S30 96%   4%   0%
tur1Δ E30
    S30

CNAG_04388(*SOD2*)

WT E30
    S30
tur1Δ E30
    S30

WT E30 96%   4%
    S30 10%   88%
tur1Δ E30 67%   28%
    S30 27%   67%

CNAG_02986(*YSA1*)

WT E30
    S30
tur1Δ E30
    S30

WT E30 14%   84%
    S30 11%   88%
tur1Δ E30 11%   89%
    S30 60%   40%

A

CNAG_06374 (*MAE102*)

WT E30
WT S30
tur1Δ E30
tur1Δ S30

WT E30 — 40% | 60%
WT S30 — 99% | 1%
tur1Δ E30 — 82% | 18%
tur1Δ S30 — 34% | 66%

B

Fold-change compared to ACT1 *1000

Long isoform | Short isoform

WT — E30, S30

tur1Δ — E30, S30

tur1Δ + TUR1 — E30, S30