1

BRAIDing receptors for cell-specific targeting

4   Hui Chen[1], Sung-Jin Lee[1], Ryan Li, Asmiti Sura, Nicholas Suen, Archana Dilip, Yan Pomogov,

5   Meghah Vuppalapaty, Timothy T. Suen, Chenggang Lu, Yorick Post, and Yang Li[*]

7   Surrozen Inc., 171 Oyster Point Blvd, Suite 400, South San Francisco, CA, USA

8   [1] HC and SJL contributed equally to this work.

9   [*]Correspondence: yang@surrozen.com

Abstract

Systemic toxicity is a major challenge in the development of therapeutics. Consequently, cell-type-specific targeting is needed to improve on-target efficacy while reducing off-target toxicity. Here, we describe a cell-targeting system we have termed BRAID (**BR**idged **A**ctivation by **I**ntra/intermolecular **D**ivision) whereby an active molecule is divided into two inactive or less active parts that are subsequently brought together via a so-called 'bridging receptor' on the target cell. This concept was validated using the WNT/β-catenin signaling system, demonstrating that a multivalent WNT agonist molecule divided into two inactive components assembled from different epitopes via the hepatocyte receptor βKlotho induces signaling specifically on hepatocytes. These data provide proof-of-concept for this cell-specific targeting strategy and in principle, this may also allow activation of multiple signaling pathways where desirable. This approach has broad application potential for other receptor systems.

Keywords: cell targeting, split, complementation, induced proximity, WNT, Frizzled, FZD, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein, LRP, surrogate, WNT mimetic, stem cell, organoid, βKlotho, BRAID (**BR**idged **A**ctivation by **I**ntra/intermolecular **D**ivision), SWIFT (**S**plitting of **W**NT to **I**nduce **F**unctional **T**argeting)

INTRODUCTION

Receptor-mediated signaling is fundamental to cell–cell communication, regulation of cellular growth/differentiation, maintenance of tissue function/homeostasis, and regulation of injury repair. When a cell-specific response is necessary, nature achieves that selectivity through various mechanisms such as cell-specific receptors, ligand gradient, short-range ligands, and direct cell–cell contacts. However, most ligand receptor systems have pleiotropic effects due to the broad expressions of receptors on multiple cell types. While this may be important when a coordinated response in multiple cell types or tissues is needed, achieving cell-specific signaling to avoid systemic toxicity or off-target effects remains a major challenge in both research and therapeutic development. In addition, it is often difficult to identify differences between normal and diseased cells for a particular signaling pathway of interest, making it challenging, if not impossible, to modulate that signaling pathway selectively in either diseased or normal cells. Therefore, methods for effective cell targeting are needed for both research and therapeutic development.

Compared with cell-targeted antagonists, cell-targeted agonists are more complex to design. In addition to cell targeting, agonist molecules are also subject to stringent requirements in terms of affinity, epitope, and geometry (Dickopf et al., 2020). Previous efforts to engineer cell-selective growth factors and cytokines have employed various approaches, such as the "chimeric activators" concept (Cironi et al., 2008), where a cell-targeting arm ("targeting element") is attached to either the wild-type ligand or a mutated ligand with reduced affinity toward the signaling receptor ("activity element"). Such an approach has been applied to several signaling pathways, such as those based on erythropoietin (Taylor et al., 2010; Burrill et al., 2016), interferons (Cironi *et al.*, 2008; Garcin et al., 2014), and interleukin-2 (Ghasemi et al., 2016; Lazear et al., 2017). While some selectivity has been achieved by attaching a targeting arm to a wild-type ligand, up to 1000-fold selectivity has been achieved using a mutated ligand (Garcin *et al.*, 2014).

The "chimeric activators" approach is based on the cooperativity concept, where mutations that weaken the affinity of a natural ligand to its receptor are selected as the "activity element". Due to this weakened affinity, the mutant "activity element" alone displays a significantly right-shifted dose–response curve (i.e., lower potency) in an activity assay, for both target and non-target cells that express the signaling receptors. When the "targeting element" is

tethered to the mutant "activity element", the "targeting element" helps to increase the local

concentration of the mutant "activity element" on the desired target cell surface. This

combination drives engagement of the signaling receptor and subsequent intracellular signaling

activation, left-shifting the dose–response curve (i.e., higher potency) on the target cell and

creating a separation between target vs. non-target cells. While conceptually elegant, identifying

the appropriate mutations that achieve the precise reduction of affinity to be rescued by the

"targeting element" is not trivial. In some cases, while the potency could be rescued, the

maximal signaling strength remained compromised, resulting in a partial agonist. In addition, the

selectivity is not exquisite, with most literature examples reporting a modest 10-fold separation

between target vs. non-target cells. Additional strategies that show promising results have also

been reported; for example, inactive pro-drugs that require tumor-associated proteases for

activation (Puskas et al., 2011; Skrombolas et al., 2019); complementation of two inactive

components via targeted assembly in trans (Banaszek et al., 2019); and passive enrichment on

the target cell leading to colocalization of monomeric subunits (Mock et al., 2020) or inactive

"split" components (Quijano-Rubio et al., 2022).

Here, we present a novel concept to achieve cell targeting for ligand/receptor systems that

involves multicomponent receptor complexes, where a productive signaling competent receptor

complex is directly assembled through a "bridging element". We have tested this concept using

the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway as a model system.

The WNT pathway is highly conserved across species and crucial for embryonic

development as well as adult tissue homeostasis and regeneration (Nusse and Clevers, 2017).

WNT-induced signaling through β-catenin stabilization has been widely studied and is achieved

by the ligand binding to frizzled (FZD) and the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein

(LRP) families of receptors. There are 19 mammalian WNTs, 10 FZDs (FZD_{1-10}), and two LRPs

(LRP5 and LRP6). WNTs are highly hydrophobic due to lipidation, which is required for them to

function, and they are promiscuous, capable of binding and activating multiple FZD and LRP

pairs (Janda et al., 2012; Kadowaki et al., 1996; Dijksterhuis et al., 2015). Elucidating the

functions of individual FZDs in tissues has been hampered by difficulties in producing the

ligands and their lack of receptor and tissue selectivity. Recent breakthroughs in the development

of WNT-mimetic molecules have largely resolved the production and receptor-specificity

challenges (Janda et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020; Tao et al., 2019; Miao et al., 2020). Although

tissue selectivity has been partly achieved by tissue injury (damaged tissues seem more sensitive to WNTs (Xie et al., 2022)), the ability to target WNTs to specific cells and tissues would be a significant technical and therapeutic advancement.

The WNT mimetics reported so far are all bispecific and can simultaneously bind to FZDs and LRPs, and their optimal stoichiometry (at least for the antibody-based molecules) are tetravalent bispecific (2:2 format), requiring two FZD binders and two LRP binders in the same molecule to achieve efficient signaling (Tao *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2020). We have taken advantage of the fact that two FZD binders alone and two LRP binders alone do not signal. Cell specificity may be achieved by attaching a "targeting element" (capable of binding to another cell surface receptor, called a bridging receptor here) to these two inactive molecules. Signaling-competent receptor complexes consisting of two FZDs and two LRPs could then be assembled via the bridging receptor on the target cell surface. This approach reduces or eliminates the need to mutate and reduce the affinity of the "active elements" towards the signaling receptor, and creates a highly cell specific activation of the signaling pathway as the individual components are inactive. A detailed proof-of-concept study based on the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway is presented herein. We have termed the use of cell-targeted WNT mimetics SWIFT (**S**plitting of **W**NT to **I**nduce **F**unctional **T**argeting).

RESULTS

Conceptual design of cell-targeted activators via a bridging receptor

Figure 1A shows one optimized design for a WNT mimetic that is a tetravalent bispecific antibody-based molecule. It is important to note that efficient WNT/β-catenin signaling requires two FZD binding domains and two LRP binding domains in one molecule (Chen *et al.*, 2020). Figure 1B shows a cell-targeting approach using the bridging receptor concept, i.e., the SWIFT approach. The first step of this concept is to split the active molecule into inactive components. In the case of the tetravalent bispecific WNT mimetic, the active molecule is split into one molecule having two FZD binding arms and a second molecule having two LRP binding arms. These two inactive components are then each tethered to a different "bridging element" binding to a different epitope on the same bridging receptor (the tethered molecules named αLRP-αBR-1 and αFZD-αBR-2 in Fig. 1B, top two panels). αLRP-αBR-1 and αFZD-αBR-2 are each inactive on non-targeting cells where the bridging receptor is not expressed (Fig. 1B top panels). On targeting cells where the bridging receptor is expressed, FZD and LRP are then assembled or brought into proximity with one another by αLRP-αBR-1 and αFZD-αBR-2 via the bridging receptor, recreating the signaling-competent receptor complex (Fig. 1B bottom panel).

Proof of concept with the targeted WNT mimetic molecules

To test the concept shown in Fig. 1B, we selected the βKlotho and endocrine fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) ligand system as the bridging receptor system. FGF21 is an endocrine hormone produced by the liver that regulates metabolic homeostasis (BonDurant and Potthoff, 2018). FGF21 signals through FGFR1c, FGFR2c, and FGFR3c in the presence of co-receptor βKlotho (Zhang and Li, 2015). The binding of FGF21 to the receptor complex is primarily driven by its affinity toward βKlotho via its C-terminal domain (Lee et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2018), while its N-terminal domain is important for FGFR interaction and signaling (Yie et al., 2012; Micanovic et al., 2009). βKlotho-binding antibodies that could induce βKlotho/FGFR signaling have also been identified, and one particular agonistic βKlotho antibody binds to a different epitope on βKlotho from FGF21 and does not compete with FGF21 binding (Min et al., 2018). Therefore, the following bridging receptor (βKlotho) binding elements were selected to test the cell-targeting SWIFT concept:

- FGF21FL (full length FGF21) that can bind to βKlotho and induces FGFR signaling
- FGF21ΔC (FGF21 without the C-terminal βKlotho interaction domain) that does not bind βKlotho nor is capable of inducing FGFR signaling
- FGF21ΔN (FGF21 without the N-terminal FGFR interaction domain) that binds βKlotho but does not signal
- FGF21ΔNΔC that cannot bind βKlotho and cannot signal
- 39F7 IgG that binds βKlotho, to a different epitope from FGF21, and can induce FGFR signaling

The FZD- and LRP-binding domains selected were F (binds $FZD_{1,2,5,7,8}$) and L (binds LRP6), previously termed F1 and L2, respectively (Chen *et al.*, 2020). Graphic representations of the binders and their various combinations are shown in Figs. 2A-C.

To test the concept in Fig. 1B, the FZD binder (F) was combined with two versions of the bridging receptor (βKlotho) binder, F-FGF21FL and F-FGF21ΔN (Fig. 2B), and the LRP binder (L) was combined with the other bridging receptor (βKlotho) binder 39F7 as L-39F7 (Fig. 2B).

We first confirmed the binding of the various fusion molecules shown in Fig. 2B and 2C to their respective target proteins. All F- and L-containing molecules bind to either FZD_7 or LRP6E3E4 fragments as expected (Figs. 2D-J). FGF21FL, FGF21ΔN, and 39F7 bind to βKlotho, while FGF21 without its C-terminal domain (FGF21ΔC and FGF21ΔNΔC) does not bind to βKlotho (Figs. 2D-J). Therefore, the molecule formats of the various fusion proteins have no significant impact on the individual element's binding to their target receptors.

Next, we performed Octet binding assays to assess whether F-FGF21 or L-39F7 allow simultaneous bindings to their target receptors. Sequential additions of the various F-FGF21 fusion molecules to the sensor surface, followed by FZD_7 CRD and then βKlotho show that a stepwise increase in binding signal is observed with FZD_7 CRD, and that F-FGF21FL and F-FGF21ΔN show additional binding to βKlotho but not F-FGF21ΔC nor F-FGF21ΔNΔC (Fig. 2K). This suggests that F-FGF21FL or F-FGF21ΔN are capable of simultaneous binding to both FZD_7 and βKlotho receptors.

Sequential additions of the various L, 39F7, and αGFP (a negative control antibody) combinations to the sensor surface followed by LRP6E3E4 and then βKlotho revealed a stepwise increase in binding signal with L-39F7 but not the other negative control molecules, αGFP-39F7

and L-αGFP (Fig. 2L). This suggests that L-39F7 can simultaneously bind to both LRP6 and βKlotho receptors.

The ability of this set of molecules to activate WNT/β-catenin signaling was assessed in WNT-responsive Huh7 and HEK293 Super TOP-FLASH (STF) reporter cells (Zhang et al., 2020). As shown in Fig. 3B, the combination of F-FGF21FL or F-FGF21ΔN with L-39F7 resulted in WNT/β-catenin signaling in Huh7 cells, a liver cell line that expresses the bridging receptor βKlotho (*KLB*), but not in HEK293 cells, where βKlotho is not expressed (Fig. 3A and 3G). This signaling depends on the presence of both FZD and LRP binding arms and the ability to bind the bridging receptor, as the removal of the LRP binding arm L from L-39F7 or inactivation of βKlotho binding arms (use of FGF21ΔC or FGF21ΔNΔC, or the replacement of 39F7 with αGFP) removes activity in both cells (Figs. 3A-F). We further examined the target cell selective Wnt signal activation in a 2-layer cell culture system as depicted in Fig. 3H. In this co-culture system, two different cell types are separated by a permeable membrane, and the two different cell lines are stimulated with the same treatment medium in the same vessel. The combination of F-FGF21ΔN and L-39F7 showed a robust increase in WNT/β-catenin signaling in Huh7 cells as compared to the negative control mixture of F-FGF21ΔNΔC and L-39F7. The target cell specific WNT/β-catenin signaling activation was not detected in HEK293 cells (Fig. 3H). Whether plating cells in the upper or lower level of the co-culture system did not affect specificity or potency. These results provide experimental evidence supporting the SWIFT concept presented in Fig. 1B.

Validation of liver-specific targeting with primary human cells

To test the potency of these molecules in cells directly derived from the tissue of interest, we selected primary human hepatocytes and human small intestinal organoids (Fig. 4A). These cultures better represent the physiological and transcriptional characteristics of the liver and intestine compared to cell lines. As expected, primary human hepatocytes express bridging receptor βKlotho, while human small intestinal cells do not (Fig. 4B). The combination of F-FGF21ΔN and L-39F7 in human hepatocytes causes a significant increase in the expression of WNT target gene *AXIN2* after 24 h compared with the control (Fig. 4C). The combination with the negative control F-FGF21ΔNΔC does not upregulate *AXIN2*. The same panel of molecules does not elicit any target gene expression in human small intestinal cells, confirming the need for

207 bridging receptor expression for activity (Fig. 4D). These results in primary cells support the

208 feasibility of this cell-specific targeting strategy, and we expected them to be predictive of tissue

209 specific *in vivo* responses.

DISCUSSION

A major challenge in the development of new therapeutics is the effective targeting of drugs towards a desired cell type. Due to the pleiotropic expression and actions of most drug targets in many cell types/organs and the lack of differentiation between diseased vs. normal cells, many drugs exhibit either dose-limiting toxicity or act on multiple cell types of opposing activity, rendering them less effective. Therefore, developing effective cell-targeting methods would allow reduced systemic toxicity and higher efficacy for more effective treatments.

Herein, we have described a novel cell-targeting approach termed BRAID whereby an active drug molecule is divided into inactive parts that are assembled via a bridging receptor specific to the target cell. Although complementation and splitting a molecule into inactive parts have been explored previously, the novelty of our approach is that there is no requirement for the two split inactive components to interact with one another. Therefore, it does not require cooperative interactions between the split components and receptors for activity. In our approach, assembly of the signaling complex is achieved by simultaneous binding of the two divided inactive components through a common bridging receptor (Fig. 1B).

We tested this concept using the WNT/β-catenin signaling pathway as a model system. This signaling system requires two receptors, FZD and LRP. The present authors (and others) have generated antibody-based WNT mimetic molecules by linking FZD binders and LRP binders in a single molecule to activate the FZD/LRP receptor complex (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Janda *et al.*, 2017; Tao *et al.*, 2019). To explore the BRAID concept with the WNT signaling receptors, we first divided a tetravalent bispecific WNT mimetic into two components, one containing two FZD binding domains and the other containing two LRP binding domains. To each of these two inactive components, a moiety that binds to the bridging receptor, in this case βKlotho (predominantly expressed in hepatocytes), was attached. The two bridging receptor binding moieties are FGF21 and 39F7, which bind to non-overlapping regions on βKlotho (Min *et al.*, 2018). As demonstrated both on WNT-responsive reporter cell lines as well as on primary human hepatocytes and human intestinal organoids, target-cell-specific WNT signaling is indeed observed when the two inactive components are combined. The signaling only occurs on the target-cell hepatocytes and not on HEK293 nor intestinal cells. Furthermore, activity depends on the presence of βKlotho binding domains on the two inactive components, as removal of

βKlotho-binding ability from either the FZD or the LRP half of the molecule (or having βKlotho
but not LRP binding), resulted in inactive combinations (Figs. 3 and 4).

These results provided compelling evidence for this novel cell-targeting concept. We
envision that other potential ways of dividing the WNT or WNT-mimetic molecules, including
different geometries, FZD/LRP stoichiometry ratios, and linker lengths, or having one FZD- and
LRP-binding arm in each component, may also result in active targeted WNT mimetics. We have
termed this cell targeted WNT system, SWIFT. Our studies here focused on the WNT signaling
pathway, and βKlotho/FGF21/39F7 receptor ligand system was used to illustrate the
BRAID/SWIFT cell targeting concept. Whether these molecules may additional modulate
endocrine FGF signaling and metabolic homeostasis could be the subject of future studies.

WNT plays important roles in many tissues during development as well as in adult tissue
homeostasis and injury repair, and dysregulated WNT signaling results in various human disease
conditions (Nusse and Clevers, 2017). Accordingly, SWIFT offers the opportunity to specifically
target WNT activation in desired cell types, facilitating both academic research and the
development of novel therapeutics.

In conclusion, we have described a novel cell-targeting approach that may be broadly
applied to various signaling systems. This approach, in principle, also allows action upon a
combination of different signaling pathways simultaneously, potentially providing additive or
synergistic effects.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Leona Cheng, Haili Zhang, Jasmine Tan, Hayoung Go, and Sean Bell for technical support and discussions. We thank Huy Nguyen for the illustration in Figure 1. And we thank Wen-Chen Yeh and Craig Parker for critical reading of the manuscript and Kathee Littrell for editorial support.

Author contributions: HC, SJL and YP Formal analysis, Supervision, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; HC, SJL, RL, AS, NS, AD, YP, MV, TS, CL and YP, Resources, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—review and editing; YL, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Resources, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Visualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft; Writing—review and editing

Declaration of interests: All authors are current or former full-time employees and shareholders of Surrozen, Inc. YL is Executive Vice President of Research at Surrozen, Inc. A patent application is pending for the work described in this manuscript.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Molecular cloning

All constructs were cloned into pcDNA3.1(+) mammalian expression vector (Thermo Fisher). The L-scFv binder was constructed by fusing the heavy-chain variable region (VH) to the N-terminus of the light-chain variable (VL) region with a 15-mer linker (GSAASGSSGGSSSGA). The anti-GFP scFv binder was constructed by fusing the VH to the N-terminus of the VL with a 15-mer-linker (GGGGSGGGGSGGGGS). All human IgG1 constructs contain the L234A/L235A/P329G mutations (LALAPG) in Fc domain to eliminate effector function (Lo et al., 2017). For generating the constructs of scFv-39F7 IgG1, the scFv binder was fused to the N-terminus of 39F7 LC with a 5-mer-linker GSGSG. To generate the construct of L-αGFP IgG1, the L-scFv was fused to the N-terminus of αGFP LC with a 15-mer-linker GSGSGGSGSGGSSGG. To generate the FGF21-variant-appended F IgG molecules, FGF21 variants were fused to the C-terminus of the LC of F IgG1 (LALAPG) with a 5-mer-linker (GGSGS). FGF21FL has the mature protein sequence (H29-S209) with RGE mutations in the C-terminus (Stanislaus et al., 2017); FGF21ΔN is the sequence of FGF21FL with the deletion of the N-terminus H29–R45; FGF21ΔC is the sequence of FGF21FL with the deletion of the C-terminus S190–S209; FGF21ΔNΔC is the sequence of FGF21FL with the deletion of both the N-terminal H29–R45 and the C-terminal S190–S209.

Protein production

All recombinant proteins were produced in Expi293F cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by transient transfection. The proteins were first purified using CaptivA Protein A affinity resin (Repligen), unless otherwise specified. All proteins were further purified with Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using 1x HBS buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl). The proteins were subsequently examined by SDS-polyacrylamide electrophoresis and estimated to have >90% purity.

Super Top-Flash (STF) assays

WNT signaling activity was measured using HEK293 and Huh7 cells containing a luciferase gene controlled by a WNT-responsive promoter (STF assay) as previously reported by Zhang *et al.*, 2020). In brief, cells were seeded at a density of 10,000 per well in 96-well plates.

For 2-layer cell culture, either Huh7 STF or HEK293 STF cells were seeded into tissue culture-

treated 6.5 mm transwell inserts (top well, Corning) with the density of 20,000. The transwell

inserts were then placed into wells in a 24 well plate where HEK293 STF or Huh7 STF cells

were plated with the density of 116,000 (bottom well), respectively. 24 h later, indicated WNT

signaling activators were added in the presence of 3 μM IWP2 to inhibit the production of

endogenous WNTs and the presence of 20 nM Fc-R-Spodin 2. Cells were lysed with Luciferase

Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega) and luciferase activity was measured with Luciferase

Assay System (Promega) using vendor-suggested procedures.

Affinity measurement and step-binding assay

Binding kinetics of F-FGF21 series (F-FGF21FL, F-FGF21ΔN, F-FGF21ΔC, and F-

FGF21ΔNΔC) to human FZD_7 CRD and βKlotho (Fisher Scientific) or L-39F7 series (L-39F7,

αGFP-39F7, and L-αGFP) to human LRP6E3E4 and βKlotho, respectively, were determined by

bio-layer interferometry (BLI) using an Octet Red 96 (PALL ForteBio) instrument at 30 °C and

1000 rpm with AHC biosensors (Sartorius). Various F-FGF21 or L-39F7 proteins were diluted to

50 nM in the running buffer and captured by the AHC biosensor, followed by dipping into wells

containing the FZD_7 CRD, LRP6E3E4, and βKlotho at different concentrations in a running

buffer or into a well with only the running buffer as a reference channel. The dissociation of the

interaction was followed with the running buffer. The monovalent K_D for each binder was

calculated by Octet System software, based on fitting to a 1:1 binding model.

Step-binding assays were performed with the BLI using the Octet Red 96 instrument at

30 °C and 1000 rpm with AHC biosensors. Various F-FGF21 or L-39F7 proteins were diluted to

50 nM in the running buffer and captured by the AHC biosensor, followed by dipping into wells

containing the 100 nM FZD_7 CRD or 100 nM LRP6E3E4. The sensor chips next moved into 150

nM βKlothos containing 100 nM FZD_7 CRD or containing 100 nM LRP6E3E4 to check the

additional bindings of β-Klotho. Sensorgram slopes were compared for βKlotho bindings.

Primary human cells

Human hepatocytes were purchased from BioIVT (10-donor pooled cryoplateable

X008001-P) and cultured in LONZA hepatocyte maintenance medium (CC-3198). Briefly,

plastic culture plates were coated with 20% Matrigel Matrix (CB40230C) and cells were plated

in plating medium (BioIVT Z990003). After 4 h, the medium was changed to maintenance medium and refreshed every day for three days prior to the 24-h experiment.

Human small intestinal organoids were kindly supplied by the Calvin Kuo Lab at Stanford. Organoids were maintained and expanded as previously described (Sato et al., 2011). Briefly, adapted expansion medium containing advanced DMEM, 10 mM HEPES, 1x GlutaMAX, 1x penicillin–streptomycin, 1x B27, 1x N2, 1.25 mM N-acetylcysteine, 10 mM nicotinamide, 50 ng/mL recombinant human EGF, 50 ng/mL recombinant human Noggin, 20 nM R-Spondin 2, 0.1 nM L-F Wnt mimetic, 10 nM recombinant gastrin, 500 nM A83-01, and 10 µM SB202190.

Treatment of molecules was done in the presence of 20 nM R-Spondin 2 for 24 h at a concentration of 10 nM. After 24 h, the cells were harvested and RNA collected for quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). Each experiment with both primary human hepatocytes and human small intestinal organoids was repeated three times.

qPCR analysis of gene expression

RNAs from HEK293, Huh7 cells, or primary human cells were extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was produced using the SuperScript IV VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher). βKlotho (*KLB*) RNA was quantified using Maxima SYBR Green qPCR master mix on a Bio-Rad CFX96 real time PCR machine.

Cycle threshold (C_t) values were normalized to the expression of constitutive *ACTINB* RNA using the following oligomers: ACTB_F1: CTGGAACGGTGAAGGTGACA. ACTB_R1: AAGGGACTTCCTGTAACAATGCA. KLB_F1: ATCTAGTGGCTTGGCATGGG. KLB_R1:CCAAACTTTCGAGTGAGCCTTG. KLB_F2:CACTGAATCTGTTCTTAAGCCCG. KLB_R2: GGCGTTCCACACGTACAGA. KLB_F3: GGAGGTGCTGAAAGCATACCT. KLB_R3: TCTCTTCAGCCAGTTTGAATGC

 Figures Legends

Figure 1. **Activation of a signaling pathway by split molecules through binding to a**

bridging receptor on the target cell surface. A) Efficient WNT/β-catenin signaling requires

two FZD binding domains and two LRP binding domains in one WNT mimetic molecule. B)

WNT mimetic molecule is split into one molecule having two FZD binding arms (2:0) and a

second molecule having two LRP binding arms (0:2) and then each tethered to a different

"bridging element" binding to a different epitope on the same bridging receptor (named αLRP-

αBR-1 and αFZD-αBR-2) (top two panels). On target cells where the bridging receptor is

expressed, FZD and LRP are then assembled by αLRP-αBR-1 and αFZD-αBR-2 via the bridging

receptor, recreating the signaling-competent receptor complexes (bottom panel). Arrows indicate

activation of WNT/β-catenin signaling.

Figure 2. Diagrams of the molecules used in the experiment to provide proof-of-concept for

SWIFT. A) Elements that are used in the split molecules. The LRP6 binder element is in scFv

format; FZD binder is in IgG1 format; both αGFP IgG1 and αGFP scFv are used for assembly of

the negative control molecules. Two types of βKlotho binders are used. Binder#1, 39F7 IgG1, a

βKlotho monoclonal antibody; Binder#2, FGF21FL and different deletion variants. B)

Assembled SWIFT molecules. C) Assembled negative control molecules. D–G) Bindings of

various F-FGF21 fusion proteins to FZD_7 and βKlotho. Bindings of FZD_7 and β-Klotho to F-

FGF21FL (D), F-FGF21ΔN (E), F-FGF21ΔC (F), or F-FGF21ΔNΔC (G) were determined by

Octet. H–J) Binding of LRP6 and βKlotho to L-39F7 (H), αGFP-39F7 (I), or L-αGFP (J) were

determined by Octet. Mean K_D values were calculated for the binding curves with global fits (red

dotted lines) using a 1:1 Langmuir binding model. (K) Step bindings of FZD_7 and βKlotho to

various F-FGF21 fusion proteins. Sequential binding of F-FGF21FL (blue sensorgram), F-

FGF21ΔN (red sensorgram), F-FGF21ΔC (light-green sensorgram), or F-FGF21ΔNΔC (green

sensorgram), followed by FZD_7 CRD, then followed by addition of βKlotho on Octet shows

simultaneous engagement of both FZD_7 and βKlotho to the indicated F-FGF21 proteins.

Sensorgrams for FZD_7 and βKlotho area (red dotted box) are enlarged at the right. (L) Step

binding of LRP6 and βKlotho to L-39F7 and its control proteins. Sequential binding L-39F7

(blue sensorgram), L-αGFP (light-blue sensorgram), αGFP-39F7 (red sensorgram), or 39F7 IgG

(green sensorgram), followed by LRP6E3E4, then followed by addition of βKlotho on Octet

shows simultaneous engagement of both LRP6 and βKlotho to the indicated L-39F7 and its

control proteins. Sensorgrams for LRP6 and βKlotho area (red dotted box) are enlarged on the

right.

Figure 3. Dose-dependent STF assay of SWIFT molecules in HEK293 and Huh7 cells. A–F)

Various F-FGF21 fusion proteins in the presence of L-39F7 in HEK293 STF cells (A) and Huh7

STF cells (B); various F-FGF21 fusion proteins in the presence of L-αGFP in HEK293 STF cells

(C) and Huh7 STF cells (D); and various F-FGF21 fusion proteins in the presence of αGFP-39F7

in HEK293 STF cells (E) and Huh7 STF cells (F). G) Expression of bridging receptor βKlotho

(*KLB*) in HEK293 and Huh7 cells normalized to *ACTB*, relative to HEK293 expression. H) STF

responses in a 2-layer cell culture system after 16h treatment with 10 nM of indicated molecules.

Data are representative of three independent experiments performed in triplicate and are shown

as mean ± standard deviation (SD). *$P<0.05$, ***$P \leq 0.001$ (Unpaired t test)

Figure 4. Activity of targeted molecules in primary human cells. A) Representative images of

primary human hepatocyte cultures in 2D or human small intestinal organoids. Scale bar: 200

μm. B) Expression of bridging receptor βKlotho (*KLB*) in hepatocytes or small intestinal cells.

C) WNT target gene *AXIN2* expression normalized to control treatment after 24-h treatment with

10 nM of the indicated molecules in human hepatocytes. D) WNT target gene *AXIN2* expression

normalized to control treatment after 24-h treatment with 10 nM of the indicated molecules in

human small intestinal organoids. *$P<0.05$ (Unpaired t test), ***$P \leq 0.001$ (one-way ANOVA),

each data point represents an independent experiment performed in duplicate.

References

Banaszek, A., Bumm, T.G.P., Nowotny, B., Geis, M., Jacob, K., Wolfl, M., Trebing, J., Kucka,
K., Kouhestani, D., Gogishvili, T., et al. (2019). On-target restoration of a split T cell-engaging
antibody for precision immunotherapy. Nat Commun *10*, 5387. 10.1038/s41467-019-13196-0.

BonDurant, L.D., and Potthoff, M.J. (2018). Fibroblast Growth Factor 21: A Versatile Regulator
of Metabolic Homeostasis. Annu Rev Nutr *38*, 173-196. 10.1146/annurev-nutr-071816-064800.

Burrill, D.R., Vernet, A., Collins, J.J., Silver, P.A., and Way, J.C. (2016). Targeted
erythropoietin selectively stimulates red blood cell expansion in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
113, 5245-5250. 10.1073/pnas.1525388113.

Chen, H., Lu, C., Ouyang, B., Zhang, H., Huang, Z., Bhatia, D., Lee, S.J., Shah, D., Sura, A.,
Yeh, W.C., and Li, Y. (2020). Development of Potent, Selective Surrogate WNT Molecules and
Their Application in Defining Frizzled Requirements. Cell Chem Biol *27*, 598-609 e594.
10.1016/j.chembiol.2020.02.009.

Cironi, P., Swinburne, I.A., and Silver, P.A. (2008). Enhancement of cell type specificity by
quantitative modulation of a chimeric ligand. J Biol Chem *283*, 8469-8476.
10.1074/jbc.M708502200.

Dickopf, S., Georges, G.J., and Brinkmann, U. (2020). Format and geometries matter: Structure-
based design defines the functionality of bispecific antibodies. Comput Struct Biotechnol J *18*,
1221-1227. 10.1016/j.csbj.2020.05.006.

Dijksterhuis, J.P., Baljinnyam, B., Stanger, K., Sercan, H.O., Ji, Y., Andres, O., Rubin, J.S.,
Hannoush, R.N., and Schulte, G. (2015). Systematic mapping of WNT-FZD protein interactions
reveals functional selectivity by distinct WNT-FZD pairs. J Biol Chem *290*, 6789-6798.
10.1074/jbc.M114.612648.

Garcin, G., Paul, F., Staufenbiel, M., Bordat, Y., Van der Heyden, J., Wilmes, S., Cartron, G.,
Apparailly, F., De Koker, S., Piehler, J., et al. (2014). High efficiency cell-specific targeting of
cytokine activity. Nat Commun *5*, 3016. 10.1038/ncomms4016.

Ghasemi, R., Lazear, E., Wang, X., Arefanian, S., Zheleznyak, A., Carreno, B.M., Higashikubo,
R., Gelman, A.E., Kreisel, D., Fremont, D.H., and Krupnick, A.S. (2016). Selective targeting of
IL-2 to NKG2D bearing cells for improved immunotherapy. Nat Commun *7*, 12878.
10.1038/ncomms12878.

Janda, C.Y., Dang, L.T., You, C., Chang, J., de Lau, W., Zhong, Z.A., Yan, K.S., Marecic, O.,
Siepe, D., Li, X., et al. (2017). Surrogate Wnt agonists that phenocopy canonical Wnt and beta-
catenin signalling. Nature *545*, 234-237. 10.1038/nature22306.

Janda, C.Y., Waghray, D., Levin, A.M., Thomas, C., and Garcia, K.C. (2012). Structural basis of
Wnt recognition by Frizzled. Science *337*, 59-64. 10.1126/science.1222879.

Kadowaki, T., Wilder, E., Klingensmith, J., Zachary, K., and Perrimon, N. (1996). The segment polarity gene porcupine encodes a putative multitransmembrane protein involved in Wingless processing. Genes Dev *10*, 3116-3128. 10.1101/gad.10.24.3116.

Lazear, E., Ghasemi, R., Hein, S.M., Westwick, J., Watkins, D., Fremont, D.H., and Krupnick, A.S. (2017). Targeting of IL-2 to cytotoxic lymphocytes as an improved method of cytokine-driven immunotherapy. Oncoimmunology *6*, e1265721. 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1265721.

Lee, S., Choi, J., Mohanty, J., Sousa, L.P., Tome, F., Pardon, E., Steyaert, J., Lemmon, M.A., Lax, I., and Schlessinger, J. (2018). Structures of beta-klotho reveal a 'zip code'-like mechanism for endocrine FGF signalling. Nature *553*, 501-505. 10.1038/nature25010.

Lo, M., Kim, H.S., Tong, R.K., Bainbridge, T.W., Vernes, J.M., Zhang, Y., Lin, Y.L., Chung, S., Dennis, M.S., Zuchero, Y.J., et al. (2017). Effector-attenuating Substitutions That Maintain Antibody Stability and Reduce Toxicity in Mice. J Biol Chem *292*, 3900-3908. 10.1074/jbc.M116.767749.

Miao, Y., Ha, A., de Lau, W., Yuki, K., Santos, A.J.M., You, C., Geurts, M.H., Puschhof, J., Pleguezuelos-Manzano, C., Peng, W.C., et al. (2020). Next-Generation Surrogate Wnts Support Organoid Growth and Deconvolute Frizzled Pleiotropy In Vivo. Cell Stem Cell *27*, 840-851 e846. 10.1016/j.stem.2020.07.020.

Micanovic, R., Raches, D.W., Dunbar, J.D., Driver, D.A., Bina, H.A., Dickinson, C.D., and Kharitonenkov, A. (2009). Different roles of N- and C- termini in the functional activity of FGF21. J Cell Physiol *219*, 227-234. 10.1002/jcp.21675.

Min, X., Weiszmann, J., Johnstone, S., Wang, W., Yu, X., Romanow, W., Thibault, S., Li, Y., and Wang, Z. (2018). Agonistic beta-Klotho antibody mimics fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21) functions. J Biol Chem *293*, 14678-14688. 10.1074/jbc.RA118.004343.

Mock, J., Stringhini, M., Villa, A., Weller, M., Weiss, T., and Neri, D. (2020). An engineered 4-1BBL fusion protein with "activity on demand". Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 31780-31788. 10.1073/pnas.2013615117.

Nusse, R., and Clevers, H. (2017). Wnt/beta-Catenin Signaling, Disease, and Emerging Therapeutic Modalities. Cell *169*, 985-999. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.016.

Puskas, J., Skrombolas, D., Sedlacek, A., Lord, E., Sullivan, M., and Frelinger, J. (2011). Development of an attenuated interleukin-2 fusion protein that can be activated by tumour-expressed proteases. Immunology *133*, 206-220. 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2011.03428.x.

Quijano-Rubio, A., Bhuiyan, A.M., Yang, H., Leung, I., Bello, E., Ali, L.R., Zhangxu, K., Perkins, J., Chun, J.H., Wang, W., et al. (2022). A split, conditionally active mimetic of IL-2 reduces the toxicity of systemic cytokine therapy. Nat Biotechnol. 10.1038/s41587-022-01510-z.

Sato, T., Stange, D.E., Ferrante, M., Vries, R.G., Van Es, J.H., Van den Brink, S., Van Houdt, W.J., Pronk, A., Van Gorp, J., Siersema, P.D., and Clevers, H. (2011). Long-term expansion of

epithelial organoids from human colon, adenoma, adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's epithelium.
Gastroenterology *141*, 1762-1772. 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.07.050.

Shi, S.Y., Lu, Y.W., Richardson, J., Min, X., Weiszmann, J., Richards, W.G., Wang, Z., Zhang,
Z., Zhang, J., and Li, Y. (2018). A systematic dissection of sequence elements determining beta-
Klotho and FGF interaction and signaling. Sci Rep *8*, 11045. 10.1038/s41598-018-29396-5.

Skrombolas, D., Sullivan, M., and Frelinger, J.G. (2019). Development of an Interleukin-12
Fusion Protein That Is Activated by Cleavage with Matrix Metalloproteinase 9. J Interferon
Cytokine Res *39*, 233-245. 10.1089/jir.2018.0129.

Stanislaus, S., Hecht, R., Yie, J., Hager, T., Hall, M., Spahr, C., Wang, W., Weiszmann, J., Li,
Y., Deng, L., et al. (2017). A Novel Fc-FGF21 With Improved Resistance to Proteolysis,
Increased Affinity Toward beta-Klotho, and Enhanced Efficacy in Mice and Cynomolgus
Monkeys. Endocrinology *158*, 1314-1327. 10.1210/en.2016-1917.

Tao, Y., Mis, M., Blazer, L., Ustav, M.J., Steinhart, Z., Chidiac, R., Kubarakos, E., O'Brien, S.,
Wang, X., Jarvik, N., et al. (2019). Tailored tetravalent antibodies potently and specifically
activate Wnt/Frizzled pathways in cells, organoids and mice. Elife *8*. 10.7554/eLife.46134.

Taylor, N.D., Way, J.C., Silver, P.A., and Cironi, P. (2010). Anti-glycophorin single-chain Fv
fusion to low-affinity mutant erythropoietin improves red blood cell-lineage specificity. Protein
Eng Des Sel *23*, 251-260. 10.1093/protein/gzp085.

Xie, L., Fletcher, R.B., Bhatia, D., Shah, D., Phipps, J., Deshmukh, S., Zhang, H., Ye, J., Lee, S.,
Le, L., et al. (2022). Robust colonic epithelial regeneration and amelioration of colitis via FZD-
specific activation of Wnt signaling. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.
10.1016/j.jcmgh.2022.05.003.

Yie, J., Wang, W., Deng, L., Tam, L.T., Stevens, J., Chen, M.M., Li, Y., Xu, J., Lindberg, R.,
Hecht, R., et al. (2012). Understanding the physical interactions in the FGF21/FGFR/beta-Klotho
complex: structural requirements and implications in FGF21 signaling. Chem Biol Drug Des *79*,
398-410. 10.1111/j.1747-0285.2012.01325.x.

Zhang, J., and Li, Y. (2015). Fibroblast Growth Factor 21 Analogs for Treating Metabolic
Disorders. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) *6*, 168. 10.3389/fendo.2015.00168.

Zhang, Z., Broderick, C., Nishimoto, M., Yamaguchi, T., Lee, S.J., Zhang, H., Chen, H., Patel,
M., Ye, J., Ponce, A., et al. (2020). Tissue-targeted R-spondin mimetics for liver regeneration.
Sci Rep *10*, 13951. 10.1038/s41598-020-70912-3.
527

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 2

Figure 2

Figure 2

K

F-FGF21 series → FZD₇ → βKlotho

L

L-39F7 series → LRP6 → βKlotho

Figure 3

Figure 4