Genomic analyses unveil unprecedented human-induced demographic

collapse and recovery in a wide-ranging cervid

Camille Kessler[1,3]*, Aaron B.A. Shafer[1,2]*

[1]Environmental and Life Sciences Graduate Program, Trent University, 7K9J 7B8 Peterborough,

Ontario, Canada

[2]Department of Forensic Science, Trent University, 2140 East Bank Drive, Peterborough, ON K9J

7B8, Canada

[3]Lead contact

*Correspondence: camillekessler@trentu.ca (CK), aaronshafer@trentu.ca (ABAS)

Abstract

The glacial cycles of the Quaternary heavily impacted species through refugial contractions and post-glaciation expansions. Similarly, population demographic trajectories were intensely shaped by human pressures such as harvest and land use changes, particularly during the colonial-era. White-tailed and mule deer survived in different refugia during the last glacial maximum and were heavily impacted by the European colonisation as harvest and forestry practices drove white-tailed deer to extirpation in some regions, and severely reduced mule deer populations. Here, we analysed 73 re-sequenced deer genomes form across their North American range to understand the consequences of climatic and anthropogenic pressures on deer demographic history and adaptation. We found a strong signal of glacial-induced vicariance and demographic decline; notably, there is a severe decline in white-tailed deer effective population size (N_e) at the end of the Last Glacial Maximum. We found robust evidence for a colonial impact in the form of a recent and dramatic drop in N_e in all analysed populations, followed by a recovery in mainland white-tailed deer only. Historical census size and restocking data show a remarkable parallel to historical N_e estimates, while temporal N_e/N_c ratio shows patterns of conservation concern for mule deer. Signatures of selection highlight genes related to olfaction genes essential to deer biology and a cold receptor previously detected in woolly mammoth. We also detected numerous immune-genes that we surmise reflect the changing land-use patterns in North America. Our study provides a detailed picture of anthropogenic and climatic-induced decline in deer diversity, and clues to understanding the conservation concerns of mule deer and the incredible demographic recovery of white-tailed deer.

Keywords: Odocoileus, LGM, island population,

Introduction

Species abundance and distribution are impacted by both natural and anthropogenic factors. In the northern hemisphere, the glacial cycles of the Quaternary with the ice sheet advances, retreat, and corresponding sea level fluctuations[1–3], heavily impacted worldwide biota. These environmental changes dramatically affected demographic trajectories; cold periods forced species to adapt, move or retreat to refugia, their population decreasing or going extinct in the process, while warming episodes allowed for population expansion, colonisations[4], and adaptive divergence[5]. Several large mammals are hypothesised to have gone extinct primarily because of climate change during this period, notably the Eurasian musk ox (*Ovibos moschatus*), woolly rhinoceros (*Coelodonta antiquitatis*), and Steller's sea cow (*Hydrodamalis gigas*)[6–8].

The more recent Anthropocene also dramatically shaped demographic trajectories of wildlife through farming, harvest and logging[9,10]. Human pressures generally increased in the colonial era where settlers profoundly changed the land, leading to declines in endemic populations[11–16]. In Australia, for example, genomic analyses of seven extinct rodent species suggests a sudden demographic decline following the European colonisation[17]. The colonial impact has also been implicated in the extinction of the mainland Tasmanian devil (*Sarcophilus harrisii*) and blue antelope (*Hippotragus leucophaeus*)[18,19]. Beyond the demographic impacts, humans can also alter selection pressures experienced by populations through for example selective harvest, which could lead to artificial selection as has been suggested in brown bears (*Ursus arctos*), bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*) and moose (*Alces alces*)[20–23].

Severe population contractions or bottlenecks increase genetic drift and risk of inbreeding, and can lead to the accumulation of mildly deleterious mutations[24,25], as seen in the woolly

mammoth and alpine ibex[26,27]. Additionally, population size fluctuations leave discernible

genomic patterns along the genome: a declining population will exhibit a positive Tajima's D

and a site frequency spectrum (SFS) that is relatively flat, whereas an expanding population

will present a negative Tajima's D and a SFS skewed towards low-frequency alleles[28].

Depending on the extent of the contraction, both in magnitude and duration, such populations

might also present with a low effective population size (N_e), particularly if the bottleneck was

strong and the population took a while to recover[29]. In contrast, a population that remained

steady over time, or one that recovered quickly from a bottleneck, will generally exhibit a larger

N_e[25]. Genomic data can be used to model the changes in historical N_e[30–32], and recent analytical

advancements allow for recent trends of N_e to be inferred[33]; collectively, this allows for

disentangling historical climatic and modern anthropogenic factors shaping demographic

history. Both contemporary and historical estimates of N_e when compared to census size

appear to reflect adaptive potential and extinction risk[34,35].

The North American genus *Odocoileus* (deer) were heavily impacted by both the glacial cycles

of the Pleistocene and European colonisation. Divergence estimates suggest the speciation

between white-tailed (*O. virginianus*; WTD) and mule deer (*O. hemionus*; MD) took place

between one and 3.13 Ma[36,37], with the group becoming among the most successful Cervids

during glacial cycles[38]. White-tailed deer and MD are primarily found in North America, and

both species survived in different refugia during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 26 to 19 ka[39])[40–

43]. Deer abundance is heavily monetised through hunting-related activities[44], and both species

have been, and continue to be, important to indigenous communities as a source of food,

clothing and culture[45,46]. White-tailed deer was likely the most abundant large-mammal prey in

the late Holocene in eastern North America, and while some Indigenous People depended on

them for subsistence, indigenous harvest appeared to minimally impact WTD numbers[47,48]. In

contrast, European colonisation, specifically unregulated harvest and forestry practices,

resulted in heavily depleted and even extirpated WTD populations; this led to massive

restocking efforts across the United States in the 20th century[49]. Mule deer abundance is, and

was, lower than WTD, but MD populations were also diminished due to unregulated hunting,

hitting their low at the beginning of the 20th[th] century[50]. Stocking efforts were much less

pervasive in MD, and the species stabilized or recovered due to effective management

strategies[50–54].

Here, we aim to understand the consequences of climatic and anthropogenic pressures on

WTD & MD populations through demographic and selection analyses. We hypothesise that the

population genetic histories of North American deer were strongly impacted by the glacial

cycles of the Pleistocene and the European colonisation of the continent. We computed

genome-wide summary statistics, reconstructed WTD & MD demographic histories and

quantified genomic variation linked to environmental factors and selective forces.

Materials and Methods

Sampling & Sequencing

We sequenced the genomes of 73 deer collected across North America (Fig.1A, Table S1)

including published WGS data from 28 deer (PRJNA830519; Kessler et al., 2023). We extracted

DNA using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit following manufacturer's instructions and

checked concentration using Invitrogen Qubit assays. DNA was sent to The Centre for Applied

Genomics in Toronto, Canada, for library preparation and sequencing. All samples were

sequenced to an average of 4x coverage on an Illumina HiSeqX, except for four samples which

were re-sequenced to achieve higher coverage (> 15x; Table S1, Fig.1A).

We obtained census data for WTD and MD in the USA from 1450 to 2016[55], complemented up

to 2021 following the same methodology[56], and digitised restocking information for WTD in the

USA[49].

Data processing

We analysed and trimmed raw reads with FastQC (v0.11.9)[57] and Trimmomatic (v0.36)[58],

respectively. Using bwa-mem (v0.7.17)[59], we aligned raw reads to the WTD genome

(GCA_014726795.1) and sorted the reads using SAMtools sort (v1.10)[60]. We identified and

removed duplicates in Picard MarkDuplicates (v2.23.2)[61] and Sambamba view (v0.7.0)[62]. We

used GATK RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner (v4.1.7.0)[63] to carry out a local re-

alignment and used Sambamba flagstat, mosdepth (v0.3.1)[64] and MultiQC (v1.10)[65] for quality

checks.

For sample sequenced on multiple lanes, we specified the read groups before removing

duplicates, and merged the sequencing files using Picard AddOrReplaceReadGroups and

MergeSamFiles, respectively. To have a consistent coverage among samples for non-SMC

demographic analyses, we down-sampled data to the average coverage of all other samples

(4x) using Picard DownsampleSam. We called the genotypes (-doGeno 4) and estimated

genotype likelihood using the GATK model (-gl 2) in ANGSD (v0.918)[66]. We retained SNPs with a

minimum base quality and a minimum mapQ quality of 20 (-minQ 20 & minMapQ 20) and a

minimum p-value of 1e-6 (-SNP_pval 1e-6).

Population subdivisions and summary statistics

We used PCAngsd (v1.02)[67] to investigate population clustering between and within species,

this program fits best our data as it is designed explicitly for low-coverage data and genotype

likelihoods. We investigated the relationship between the spread on the PCA and the

geographic distribution of our samples using a Pearson's correlation test in R (v4.2.0)[68]. We also

ran NGSadmix[69] to help decipher population structure in the MD samples. The program was

run with K = 1 up to K = 10 and 10 replicates, we identified the best K value using CLUMPAK [70,71].

We converted the hardcalls generated in ANGSD (.geno file) into a .vcf file using a Python script

(genoToVCF.py[72]) and retained only biallelic sites (--max-alleles 2) in VCFtools (v0.1.16)[73]. We

introduce the following convention to refer to populations supported by the PCAngsd and

NGSadmix and used in the following analyses (Fig.1B): mainland WTD = WTD_{ML}, Florida Keys

WTD = WTD_{KEY}, Mule deer subspecies = MD_{MD}, and MD black-tailed deer subspecies = MD_{BTD}.

Using VCFtools we computed weighted F_{st} between the four populations, as well as Tajima's D

and nucleotide diversity (π) per populations, all three processed in windows of 50kbp. We used

PLINK (v1.90b6.21)[74] to compute F_{ROH} on the 160 scaffolds representing the N90 of the WTD's

genome assembly, using the following non-default criteria: 100kb window size containing a

minimum of 50 SNPs, allowing up to three heterozygote sites and 10 missing calls per called

ROH. We computed F_{ROH} per individual using the sum of ROH sizes divided by the sum of N90

scaffolds length.

Demographic inference

We inferred the historical demography of WTD_{KEY}, WTD_{ML}, MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD} by implementing the

multiple sequentially Markovian coalescent (MSMC) model[31] that generates estimates of N_e

over time. Here we used four high-coverage samples from both WTD population and MD

subspecies (Fig.1A, Table S1). We first generated a 35-mer mappability mask file using SNPable

as is required for MSMC with more than one sample[32]. We also phased the samples with

whathap (v1.3)[75] and filtered out scaffolds shorter than 500 Kbp. We ran MSMC2, including 100

bootstraps, using 1×2+25×1+1×2+1×3 as time segment pattern. To estimate the cross-

coalescence rate across populations, we carried out a pairwise comparison of the first

haplotype from each sample using the -P flag and skipped any sites with ambiguous phasing.

To test and account for ancestral migration, we implemented MSMC-IM[76], assuming a

generation time of 2 years[77,78] and a mutation rate of 1.33×10^{-8} mutations/site/generation.

We then used GONE[33] to compute demographic history in the recent past from patterns of

linkage disequilibrium for the four populations (WTD_{KEY}, WTD_{ML}, MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD}). We restricted

this analysis to the 160 scaffolds representing the N90 of the WTD assembly, input map files

were produced from vcf in PLINK. We ran GONE with 500 repetitions on 500 generations with

30,000 SNPs per chromosome, a minor allele frequency pruning of 0.01, a hc value of 0.04, a

recombination rate of 0.5 for WTD populations and 0.35 for the MD populations [36]. We

compared the census size (N_c) estimate from Webb[55] to the N_e resulting from GONE to obtain a

contemporary N_e/N_c ratio over time.

To model explicitly the demographic history of WTD and MD, we further filtered the dataset in

VCFtools to I) account for linkage disequilibrium by removing sites that were within 10kbp of

each other (--thin 10000), and II) remove all missing data (--max-missing 1). To compute the

folded site frequency spectrum, we used δaδi (v 2.1.1)[79] and dadi_pipeline (v3.1.7)[80] with default

settings and four repetitions to optimise and fit demographic models. We performed two

different demographic comparisons: 1) WTD_{KEY}, WTD_{ML} and all MD, and 2) MD_{MD}, MD_{BTD} and

WTD_{ML} as outgroup. We selected relevant models involving migration and asynchronous

splitting (Table S2), and the best model was selected based on the log-likelihood and its

consistency across runs. The best model was additionally run with 100 bootstrapped

frequency spectrums to quantify uncertainty. We used the N_c estimates taken from Webb[55,56] to

the ancestral N_e taken from NuA values in the δaδi analysis to obtain ancestral N_e/N_c ratio over

time for both species.

Adaptive divergence

We used a redundancy analysis (RDA) to detect genotype-environment association and loci

under local adaptation across individuals. We collected 19 bioclimatic variables at a resolution

of 2.5' from WorldClim2 using the R package raster (v.3.6-3)[81,82] and extracted the values

corresponding to the coordinates of our samples. As RDA analyses are problematic when using

highly correlated variables, we investigated the Pearson correlation between the 19

bioclimatic variables, latitude, longitude and removed predictors that showed a correlation

higher than 0.7[83]. For the dataset comprising all individuals, we additionally included a variable

for the species. We carried out the analyses with the R package vegan (v.2.6-4)[84] based on the

datasets created for demographic modelling. We investigated conditional models with the

condition set as either the bioclimatic variables or the longitude.

We computed the integrated haplotype score (iHS) and the extended haplotype homozygosity

(EHH) on the scaffolds representing the N90 of the WTD reference genome to detect regions

under selection in the four populations. We started by phasing our data in SHAPEIT (v2.r904[85]),

then calculated and normalised the selection statistics in vcflib (v1.0.3[86]) with the functions iHS

and normalize-iHS and default settings. We identified peaks in the most extreme 1% iHS values

in R using the function findPeaks[87] and extracted the gene IDs in 50Kbp windows. The genes

with available entry IDs were uploaded to Uniprot[88] for ID mapping where we retrieved the gene

names and functions. The list was manually curated, and each gene was assigned to one or

more of the following categories based on its function: reproduction, immunity, physiology,

metabolism, sensory perception, development, and other.

We analysed all results and produced all the graphics in R.

Results

Population subdivisions and summary statistics

We called 144,634,190 SNPs from 73 individuals at a coverage of 4x on average. The PCA on

allele frequencies of all individuals presents a clear species delimitation along PC1 which

explained 46% of the variation, whereas PC2 separated the WTD_{KEY} individuals (Fig.1B). WTD-

specific PCAs showed the delimitation between WTD_{ML} and WTD_{KEY} individuals (PC1); PC2

strongly correlated with longitude (Pearson correlation = -0.88, p-value = < 2.2e-16) and

accounted for 7% of the variation (Fig.S1A). In the MD PCA, PC1 correlated to longitude

(Pearson correlation = 0.83, p-value = 5.96e-06), with PC2 associated to latitude (Pearson

correlation = 0.47, p-value = 0.037, Fig.S1B). The MD PCA showed one clear cluster of 13

samples; we clarified the population identity of the seven remaining individuals using

NGSadmix that assigned two admixed individuals to the first cluster (MD_{MD})and identified a

second population of five individuals (MD_{BTD}; Fig.S1C).

Weighted F_{st} measures are very high between species (0.36 - 0.58); intra-specific comparisons

ranged from 0.12 to 0.17 (Table S3). Tajima's D values were positive only for the WTD_{KEY}

population (0.11), all three other exhibited negative values, the lowest being -0.89 for WTD_{ML}

(Table 1). F_{ROH} values were lowest in the MD populations (<= 0.3%), where WTD_{KEY} presented the

highest F_{ROH} value (1.4%; Table 1)

Demographic inference

We inferred the demography of four high-coverage samples, one per population, using MSMC2

analysis. The trajectories of the four samples split at approximately 600 ka where the MD_{MD}

population start declining whereas WTD populations keep increasing (Fig.2A). Both MD

population trajectories are very similar and show a general steady decline. WTD_{KEY} appear to

decline about 46 ka, whereas WTD_{ML} drastically increased to peak at an N_e of >1 million

individuals, followed by a clear crash at the end of the LGM (Fig.2A). Species split times inferred

by the cross-coalescence rate of the MSMC-IM model is about 500 ka (Fig.S2A), the within-

species split times are estimated at approximately 25 ka between WTD_{ML} and WTD_{KEY}, and

about 70 ka for MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD}(Fig.S2B).

The N_e of WTD_{KEY} appears very low and stable for the last ~400 years, reaching its lowest at ~350

individuals (Fig.2B). Temporally, the WTD_{ML} population decreased with variable intensity that

ended with a drastic decline to a N_e of ~2000 between 126- and 142-years BP. Following this

severe drop, the WTD_{ML} population rapidly rebounded to reach a current effective population

size estimated at just under 5M individuals (Fig.2B); this clearly correlates with census data and

restocking efforts (Fig.2C). Both MD populations exhibited a slow but steady increase until

approximately 300 years ago, after which both trajectories show a gradual decline ending with

a severe drop without recovery (Fig.2B). MD N_e patterns are more decoupled from the census

data; N_c here shows a crash in both MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD} with N_e lagging. A subsequent small

recovery of MD_{MD} N_e is visible (Fig.2C), but the contemporary N_e/N_c ratio is higher and more

variable for MD (mean = 0.49, var = 0.3 vs WTD mean = 0.18 & var = 0.01) with values from the

last century mostly below 0.1. Both species have a lower ancestral N_e/N_c ratio with low

variability, the averages are of 0.06 and 0.01 for WTD and MD, respectively. WTD's historical

ratio (var = 0.03) is more variable than MD's (var = 0.0001) and the contemporary WTD (Fig.3).

Finally, we modelled the demographic history of our four populations using the site frequency

spectra. For our first comparison, we analysed the demographic history of the trio WTD_{KEY} –

WTD_{ML} – MD, the best model supports adjacent secondary contact between populations (Fig.

4A). This model shows a general MD decline, and a WTD expansion followed by a decline,

including secondary contact between adjacent populations (Fig.4A, table 2). Notably, there

was a demographic crash in WTD_{KEY} at approximately 6 ka. In our second trio, we investigated

the relationship between MD_{MD} – MD_{BTD} – WTD_{ML} for which the best model suggests a secondary

contact between WTD_{ML} – MD_{MD} that predates the split between the two MD populations.

Ancient migration between WTD_{ML} and MD is extremely low, but migration increases over time;

this model also suggests population expansion for WTD_{ML} but a decline for both MD_{BTD} and

MD_{MD} (Fig.4B, table 2).

Adaptive divergence

We conducted three redundancy analyses to identify genotypes linked to environmental

variables and loci under local adaptation. The full model inter-specific RDA explained 16.5% of

the variation, but most of the variation was driven by the species variable (Table 3, Fig.S5A).

The full model for the WTD explained 6.32% of the variation and included five predictors - BIO1,

BIO2 (mean diurnal range), BIO8 (mean temperature of wettest quarter), BIO15 (precipitation

seasonality) and longitude being significant (Table 3, Fig.S5B). There were 12,206 outlier SNPs

predominantly associated with annual mean temperature (BIO1; Table 3, Fig.S5C). In the

redundancy analysis on MD individuals, we found only BIO2 and BIO16 (precipitation of wettest

quarter) were significant predictors, and found 7434 outlier SNPs, most of which were

associated with the variable BIO16 (Table 3).

We found a total of 443 genes within 25 Kbp of an iHS peaks, including 249 with an existing

Entry ID and identified function (Table S4). There were 121 genes around iHS peaks in the

WTD_{ML} population, 45 of which we could assign an explicit category. Most were assigned to

immunity (18 genes), including two genes related to the major histocompatibility complex

(MHC): *HLA-DQA1* and *HLA-DQB*. Additionally, we found two genes linked to sensory perception:

one odorant receptor (*OR5D18*) and one cold receptor (TRPM8, Table S4). We identified 23

genes surrounding iHS peaks in the WTD_{KEY}, including one MHC gene: *HLA-DRB4*. For the MD_{MD}

population, we could explicitly categorise 34 genes on a total of 95 neighbouring iHS peaks.

They include four MHC genes: *HLA-DQA1*, *HLA-DQB1*, *HLA-DRB3* and *HLA-DRB4,* another gene

related to the MHC: *HIVEP2*, three genes described as olfactory or odorant receptors (*OR51I1*,

OR5D18, *TAAR5*), and one cold receptor (*GRIK2*). Finally, we identified 26 genes adjacent to iHS

peaks in the MD_{BTD} population.

Discussion

Both climatic and anthropogenic impact have had roles in the demographic trajectories of species around the globe[7–9]. The complex climatic cycles of the Pleistocene, concluding with the LGM, generally forced species to move from a wide range into smaller, often isolated refugia[4]. Subsequently, the peopling of North America[89] and the colonisation by Europeans several millennia later represented further pressures to many populations inhabiting the continent[13,49,90]. Here, we reconstructed the demographic history and signals of selection of white-tailed and mule deer to improve our understanding of climatic and anthropogenic pressures on these enigmatic North American species. Through extensive genomic analysis we observed a remarkable overlap between climate and human drivers and WTD N_e, and provide the first temporal N_e/N_c assessment based on whole genome sequencing, with contemporary evaluations of MD supporting the demographic concerns facing this species[51,55].

Strong climatic impact on deer populations

The glacial cycles of the Quaternary forced populations to move or adapt, resulting in population divergence[91,92], decline[93–96] and even replacement[97]. Consistently, our results show the clear impact of climate on WTD during the late Quaternary: while WTD populations did not appear to be heavily impacted by glacial cycles prior to ~250 ka, the period starting ~190 ka onwards shows large changes in N_e that mirror climatic fluctuations (Fig.2A). A striking example is WTD$_{ML}$ for which we observe an intense N_e drop the coincides with the LGM. WTD populations were may have been separated into different refugia during this period[37,41,98,99] with a collective contraction that left a strong signal on the WTD genome. Similarly, the Key deer (*O. v. clavium*), considered a subspecies of WTD, showed a constant decline that predated the LGM, with a clear separation from WTD$_{ML}$ ~20 ka (Fig.2A; S2A). Key deer were thought to have become

permanently isolated ~8,000 ka[41,100]; which is reflected by the demographic decline recovered

in the δaδi analysis around this time (Table 2). This separation and isolation led to a clear loss

of genomic diversity and accumulation of deleterious alleles in the Florida keys[101], and they are

currently listed as Endangered[102]. The collective demographic methods here accurately

pinpoint the LGM as a driver of subdivision and declines in WTD.

The LGM is implicated in the MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD} separation, where subspecies were separated in

different refugia which increased intra-specific divergence[37,40,43]. Our results are somewhat

equivocal as our CCR estimate a MD/BTD split approximately 70 ka but δaδi places it closer to

the LGM. Pre-split, the common ancestor of MD_{MD} and MD_{BTD} appeared lower, but stable

through time, in stark contrast to the WTD populations (Fig.2A). As MD are prone to population

fluctuations[103], and N_e is most strongly influenced by long periods of low N_c[104], the overall stable

historical N_e is consistent with population genetic theory. Cold adaptation might also have

been the determinant factor in this stability as MD are the most tolerant to cold climates[105].

Interestingly, we found selection patterns at the genes *GRIK2* in MD_{MD} and *TRPM8* in WTD_{ML}, both

conferring sensitivity to cold temperature (Table S4). Mutations identified in woolly

mammoth's *TRPM8* were suggested to be linked to the species' adaptation to cold climates[106].

Human-induced collapse, varying selection pressures on white-tailed deer

Driven by overharvest and change in land use for agriculture and logging, historical decline and

near extirpation of WTD has been thoroughly documented in the USA[49]. The timing differed

depending on the region, with for example Illinois WTD reaching their lowest population size

in 1878 and Montana in 1941[49]. This depletion led managers to supplement deer populations

with translocations[49] (Fig.2C). The WTD_{ML} population quickly rebounded, and analyses of the

nearly extirpated populations of Mississippi and Missouri show that the bottleneck had little to

no effect on deer's genetic variation[78,107]. The apparent absence of diversity consequences from

a bottleneck has also been observed in the deer introductions on Anticosti Island (Québec,

Canada) where WTD retained a high genetic diversity[108], and on St. John (Virgin Islands, USA)

where deer show high heterozygosity and low inbreeding[109]. Despite this apparent high

diversity, which we also see across the genome (Table 1), a detailed assessment of deleterious

alleles showed a high genetic load for WTD[101,110], with a putative link to stocking efforts[110].

Purging does not appear to have taken place in WTD[110], which might be cause for concern for

isolated populations with high loads like the Florida Keys[101].

The fluctuations in historical census sizes combined with the restocking data depict a clear

history of WTD decline and recovery in the USA (Fig.2C). The recent demographic trajectory of

the WTD_{ML} population strikingly mirrors census size estimates (Fig.2B); this presented us with a

unique opportunity to measure N_e/N_c over time which showed a stable relationship in WTD

(Fig.3). The high adaptive potential of WTD combined with the recent demographic collapse

(species wide lowest N_e ~1800) and recovery, allows us to suggest that any detected sweep that

is not fixed is likely the result of selection in the past 150 years. In mainland WTD, we found a

wide variety of immunity-related genes, including two MHC genes potentially under selection

which would suggest selection pressures from pathogens (Table S4). WTD are subject to many

diseases, some of which have arisen recently from livestock, such as the epizootic

haemorrhagic and chronic wasting disease, as well as a variety of tick-borne diseases[111]. WTD

is also the main reservoir of bovine tuberculosis on the continent with strong repercussions in

cases of transmission to cattle[111]. While immune genes often are detected in genome scans,

their presence in WTD scans is consistent with the large land use changes that have transpired

in North America over the past two centuries.

Previous analyses suggest that some olfactory genes are under balancing selection in deer[36],

we detected this function here again with the gene *OR5D18* under positive selection. In WTD,

smell is critical for predator response, sociality, and foraging, however little is known about the

genetics of this essential sense. We also found seven genes involved in fertilisation,

spermatogenesis, or sperm morphology (Table S5). As WTD & MD hybridise in areas of

sympatry[37,98,112] and as previous analyses suggest hybrid incompatibilities[36], we highlight the

potential of those genes in this context. Particularly, the gene RFX2 which is necessary for

spermatogenesis in mice[113], and *ASTL* and *RABL2A* that are linked to infertility[114,115].

Delayed collapse but similar selection pressures on mule deer

Human Settlements on the MD historic range were estimated at less than 20 in 1800, and while

harvest likely depressed populations in the surrounding areas, the rest of the range probably

saw minimal impact[50]. Over time and with the increased settlements in western North America,

MD populations strongly declined from the end of the 19th century throughout the range[50]. In

Colorado for example, while MD were deemed abundant in the 19th century only to become

rare between 1900 and 1930[51,53]. This is consistent with our analysis which shows a sharp

decrease in the MD_{MD} population during that period, though the MD_{BTD} population decline

appeared later. These population reductions also appeared to happen after the WTD collapse,

possibly reflecting the later western wave of settlers and more recent land use changes; as a

migrating species MD might respond more to human-induced habitat change. For example,

the limitation in winter range habitat appears to be driving MD decline in Colorado[51,53]. Thus,

collectively the delayed and decoupling of N_e from N_c, and more muted temporal response of

N_e, is consistent the species ecology and human intervention history.

In MD_{MD}, we found many immunity-related genes under selection, including one MHC gene

unique to this population (*HLA-DRB3*, Table S4). Diseases affecting MD are often the same that

infect WTD, including the risk of spilling over to and from livestock[111]. Chronic wasting disease

is of particular concern for this MD: it is considered as a major factor in the species decline in

many regions[116], with the genomic and immune response clearly polygenic[117]. Regarding

sensory perception and in addition to the cold receptor *GRIK2*, we identified two odorant

receptors and two trace amine receptors as potentially under selection in this population,

olfaction is just as critical for MD as it is for WTD. Finally, linked to reproduction, we find *RFX2*

as in WTD_{ML}, as well as two other genes unique to this population and involved in sperm

morphology or differentiation (Table S4).

Variable N_e/N_c ratio through time

The N_e/N_c ratio is informative on the genomic health and demographic histories of species,

which is why it has often been used to assess populations of management and conservation

concern[35,118,119]. Indeed, a population exhibiting a low contemporary N_e/N_c ratio is more subject

to rapid loss in genetic diversity than populations with a higher ratio[35,118]. While, this ratio is

highly variable between taxa, the generally accepted median of concern in wild populations is

0.1[118,120]. The seven most recent values for MD are all below 0.1 and overall, the more recent

time points show higher variability in contemporary N_e/N_c (Fig.3). The larger fluctuations in

MD's N_c in the last 100 years have led to a decoupling of N_e and N_c and reflect the species higher

demographic stochasticity. This recent reduced ratio could mean that the species is at risk of

faster loss of genetic diversity and echoes other calls of concern[51,53]. Interestingly, historical

N_e/N_c ratio presents low variability for both species and no immediate red flag[34]; this ratio is

clearly driven by the high N_c of both species, and focused sampling of isolated populations

might reveal a different pattern as seen in caribou[121].

Conclusion

The dramatic drop in N_e in the recent past of all deer populations studied here is robust

evidence for a colonial impact through unregulated hunting and changes in land use. Even

though WTD & MD populations were heavily depleted, both species showed signs recovery,

particularly white-tailed deer, from restocking efforts. While diversity and demographic trends

appear positive, there is also a high genetic load in WTD[110], and a low contemporary N_e/N_c ratio

in MD. Selection surrounding a wide variety of genes, including some of biological importance

in WTD & MD such as genes related to immunity, olfaction, and reproduction appear evident.

Historical sampling of *Odocoileus* will prove informative for disentangling human-induced

selection and genetic load in these wide-ranging cervids.

Acknowledgements

Camille Kessler was supported by an International Graduate Scholarship. This work was

supported by NSERC Discovery Grant (Grant Number: RGPIN-2017- 03934); ComputeCanada

Resources for Research Groups (Grant Number: RRG gme-665-ab); Canadian Foundation for

Innovation: John R. Evans Leaders Fund and the Ontario Early Researcher Award (Grant

Number: #36905). For providing samples, we thank Alan Cain, Steeve Côté, Anh Dao, Marco

Festa-Bianchet, Brad Fulk, Eric Hoffman, Levi Jaster, Daniel Koelsch, Emily Latch, Brent

Patterson, Joe Nocera, Don Stewart & Russell Easy, Jonathan Shaw, David Walter and Jon

Wheeler. We also thank Jose Alberto López Alemán for commenting on the text.

We sampled deer from across North America, a stolen land which remains a home to many

First Peoples and Trent University is located on the traditional territory of the Michi Saagiig

Anishnaabeg. As settlers, we are grateful to have had the opportunity to live and work on this

land, and to benefit from it. We would like to show our respect to the First Peoples and thank

them for their care, stewardship, and teachings. Miigwetch.

Data Accessibility

411   Raw reads for the 45 deer additional individuals were deposited on the NCBI Sequence Read

412   Archive (Accession number PRJNA830519). All scripts are available on GitLab

413   (https://gitlab.com/WiDGeT_TrentU/graduate_theses/-/tree/master/Kessler/CH_02).

Author contributions

415   CK and ABAS conceived the study, ABAS collected the samples, CK performed the molecular

416   laboratory work and the bioinformatic analyses with contribution from ABAS for MSMC2, CK

417   and ABAS wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

419   CK and ABAS declare no competing interests.

References

1. Allen, J.R.M., Forrest, M., Hickler, T., Singarayer, J.S., Valdes, P.J., and Huntley, B. (2020). Global vegetation patterns of the past 140,000 years. J Biogeogr *47*, 2073–2090. 10.1111/jbi.13930.

2. Batchelor, C.L., Margold, M., Krapp, M., Murton, D.K., Dalton, A.S., Gibbard, P.L., Stokes, C.R., Murton, J.B., and Manica, A. (2019). The configuration of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets through the Quaternary. Nat Commun *10*, 3713. 10.1038/s41467-019-11601-2.

3. Gowan, E.J., Zhang, X., Khosravi, S., Rovere, A., Stocchi, P., Hughes, A.L.C., Gyllencreutz, R., Mangerud, J., Svendsen, J.-I., and Lohmann, G. (2021). A new global ice sheet reconstruction for the past 80 000 years. Nat Commun *12*, 1199. 10.1038/s41467-021-21469-w.

4. Shafer, A.B.A., Cullingham, C.I., Côté, S.D., and Coltman, D.W. (2010). Of Glaciers and Refugia: a Decade of Study Sheds New Light on the Phylogeography of Northwestern North America. Molecular Ecology *19*, 4589–4621. 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04828.x.

5. Pamilo, P., and Savolainen, O. (2004). Post-Glacial Colonization, Drift, Local Selection and Conservation Value of Populations: A Northern Perspective. Hereditas *130*, 229–238. 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1999.00229.x.

6. Lord, E., Dussex, N., Kierczak, M., Díez-del-Molino, D., Ryder, O.A., Stanton, D.W.G., Gilbert, M.T.P., Sánchez-Barreiro, F., Zhang, G., Sinding, M.-H.S., et al. (2020). Pre-extinction Demographic Stability and Genomic Signatures of Adaptation in the Woolly Rhinoceros. Current Biology *30*, 3871-3879.e7. 10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.046.

7. Lorenzen, E.D., Nogués-Bravo, D., Orlando, L., Weinstock, J., Binladen, J., Marske, K.A., Ugan, A., Borregaard, M.K., Gilbert, M.T.P., Nielsen, R., et al. (2011). Species-specific responses of Late Quaternary megafauna to climate and humans. Nature *479*, 359–364. 10.1038/nature10574.

8. Sharko, F.S., Boulygina, E.S., Tsygankova, S.V., Slobodova, N.V., Alekseev, D.A., Krasivskaya, A.A., Rastorguev, S.M., Tikhonov, A.N., and Nedoluzhko, A.V. (2021). Steller's sea cow genome suggests this species began going extinct before the arrival of Paleolithic humans. Nat Commun *12*, 2215. 10.1038/s41467-021-22567-5.

9. Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., Barnosky, A.D., García, A., Pringle, R.M., and Palmer, T.M. (2015). Accelerated modern human–induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Sci. Adv. *1*, e1400253. 10.1126/sciadv.1400253.

10. IPCC (2022). Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. 3–33.

11. Peros, M.C., Munoz, S.E., Gajewski, K., and Viau, A.E. (2010). Prehistoric demography of North America inferred from radiocarbon data. Journal of Archaeological Science *37*, 656–664. 10.1016/j.jas.2009.10.029.

12. Tavares, G.M., Reales, G., Bortolini, M.C., and Fagundes, N.J.R. (2019). Measuring the impact
of European colonization on Native American populations in Southern Brazil and Uruguay:
Evidence from mtDNA. Am J Hum Biol *31*, e23243. 10.1002/ajhb.23243.

13. Lindo, J., Huerta-Sánchez, E., Nakagome, S., Rasmussen, M., Petzelt, B., Mitchell, J.,
Cybulski, J.S., Willerslev, E., DeGiorgio, M., and Malhi, R.S. (2016). A time transect of exomes
from a Native American population before and after European contact. Nat Commun *7*,
13175. 10.1038/ncomms13175.

14. Stevens, N., Bond, W., Feurdean, A., and Lehmann, C.E.R. (2022). Grassy Ecosystems in the
Anthropocene. Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. *47*, 261–289. 10.1146/annurev-environ-112420-
015211.

15. Brain, R.A., and Prosser, R.S. (2022). Human induced fish declines in North America, how do
agricultural pesticides compare to other drivers? Environ Sci Pollut Res *29*, 66010–66040.
10.1007/s11356-022-22102-z.

16. Woinarski, J.C.Z., Burbidge, A.A., and Harrison, P.L. (2015). Ongoing unraveling of a
continental fauna: Decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European
settlement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. *112*, 4531–4540. 10.1073/pnas.1417301112.

17. Roycroft, E., MacDonald, A.J., Moritz, C., Moussalli, A., Portela Miguez, R., and Rowe, K.C.
(2021). Museum genomics reveals the rapid decline and extinction of Australian rodents
since European settlement. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA *118*, e2021390118.
10.1073/pnas.2021390118.

18. Brüniche–Olsen, A., Jones, M.E., Burridge, C.P., Murchison, E.P., Holland, B.R., and Austin,
J.J. (2018). Ancient DNA tracks the mainland extinction and island survival of the
Tasmanian devil. J Biogeogr *45*, 963–976. 10.1111/jbi.13214.

19. Hempel, E., Bibi, F., Faith, J.T., Koepfli, K.-P., Klittich, A.M., Duchêne, D.A., Brink, J.S.,
Kalthoff, D.C., Dalén, L., Hofreiter, M., et al. (2022). Blue Turns to Gray: Paleogenomic
Insights into the Evolutionary History and Extinction of the Blue Antelope (Hippotragus
leucophaeus). Molecular Biology and Evolution *39*, msac241. 10.1093/molbev/msac241.

20. Allendorf, F.W., and Hard, J.J. (2009). Human-induced evolution caused by unnatural
selection through harvest of wild animals. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. *106*, 9987–9994.
10.1073/pnas.0901069106.

21. Coltman, D.W., O'Donoghue, P., Jorgenson, J.T., Hogg, J.T., Strobeck, C., and Festa-
Bianchet, M. (2003). Undesirable evolutionary consequences of trophy hunting. Nature *426*,
655–658. 10.1038/nature02177.

22. Kvalnes, T., Saether, B.-E., Haanes, H., Røed, K.H., Engen, S., and Solberg, E.J. (2016).
Harvest-induced phenotypic selection in an island population of moose, Alces alces.
Evolution *70*, 1486–1500. 10.1111/evo.12952.

23. Van De Walle, J., Pigeon, G., Zedrosser, A., Swenson, J.E., and Pelletier, F. (2018). Hunting
regulation favors slow life histories in a large carnivore. Nat Commun *9*, 1100.
10.1038/s41467-018-03506-3.

24. Frankham, R. (2005). Genetics and extinction. Biological Conservation *126*, 131–140.
10.1016/j.biocon.2005.05.002.

25. Charlesworth, B. (2009). Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and
variation. g e n e ti c s, 11.

26. Palkopoulou, E., Mallick, S., Skoglund, P., Enk, J., Rohland, N., Li, H., Omrak, A., Vartanyan,
S., Poinar, H., Götherström, A., et al. (2015). Complete Genomes Reveal Signatures of
Demographic and Genetic Declines in the Woolly Mammoth. Current Biology *25*, 1395–
1400. 10.1016/j.cub.2015.04.007.

27. Grossen, C., Guillaume, F., Keller, L.F., and Croll, D. (2020). Purging of highly deleterious
mutations through severe bottlenecks in Alpine ibex. Nat Commun *11*, 1001.
10.1038/s41467-020-14803-1.

28. Hahn, M.W. (2018). Molecular population genetics (Oxford University Press; Sinauer
Associates).

29. Martchenko, D., and Shafer, A. (2022). Contrasting whole-genome and reduced
representation sequencing for population demographic inference: an alpine mammal
example. 10.22541/au.164190604.45542461/v1.

30. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-
genome sequences. Nature *475*, 493–496. 10.1038/nature10231.

31. Schiffels, S., and Durbin, R. (2014). Inferring Human Population Size and Separation History
from Multiple Genome Sequences. Nat Genet *46*, 919–925. 10.1038/ng.3015.

32. Schiffels, S., and Wang, K. (2020). MSMC and MSMC2: The Multiple Sequentially Markovian
Coalescent. In Statistical Population Genomics Methods in Molecular Biology., J. Y. Dutheil,
ed. (Springer US), pp. 147–166. 10.1007/978-1-0716-0199-0_7.

33. Santiago, E., Novo, I., Pardiñas, A.F., Saura, M., Wang, J., and Caballero, A. (2020). Recent
Demographic History Inferred by High-Resolution Analysis of Linkage Disequilibrium.
Molecular Biology and Evolution *37*, 3642–3653. 10.1093/molbev/msaa169.

34. Wilder, A.P., Supple, M.A., Subramanian, A., Mudide, A., Swofford, R., Serres-Armero, A.,
Steiner, C., Koepfli, K.-P., Genereux, D.P., Karlsson, E.K., et al. (2023). The contribution of
historical processes to contemporary extinction risk in placental mammals. Science *380*,
eabn5856. 10.1126/science.abn5856.

35. Palstra, F.P., and Ruzzante, D.E. (2008). Genetic estimates of contemporary effective
population size: what can they tell us about the importance of genetic stochasticity for wild

population persistence? Molecular Ecology *17*, 3428–3447. 10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2008.03842.x.

36. Kessler, C., Wootton, E., and Shafer, A.B.A. (2023). Speciation without gene-flow in
hybridizing deer. Molecular Ecology *32*, 1117–1132. 10.1111/mec.16824.

37. Wright, E.A., Roberts, E.K., Platt, R.N., Bayouth, J.V., Conway, W.C., and Bradley, R.D. (2022).
Mitochondrial capture and subsequent genetic divergence generates a novel haplogroup:
evidence from ancient and ongoing hybridization in mule and white-tailed deer. Journal
of Mammalogy *103*, 723–736. 10.1093/jmammal/gyab156.

38. Hewitt, D.G. (2011). Biology and management of white-tailed deer (CRC Press).

39. Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X.,
Hostetler, S.W., and McCabe, A.M. (2009). The Last Glacial Maximum. Science *325*, 710–714.
10.1126/science.1172873.

40. Latch, E.K., Heffelfinger, J.R., Fike, J.A., and RHODES Jr, O.E. (2009). Species-wide
Phylogeography of North American Mule Deer (Odocoileus hemionus): Cryptic Glacial
Refugia and Postglacial Recolonization. Molecular Ecology *18*, 1730–1745. 10.1111/j.1365-
294X.2009.04153.x.

41. Ellsworth, D.L., Honeycutt, R.L., Silvy, N.J., Bickham, J.W., and Klimstra, W.D. (1994).
Historical Biogeography and Contemporary Patterns Of Mitochondrial DNA Variation In
White-Tailed Deer From The Southeastern United States. Evolution *48*, 122–136.
10.1111/j.1558-5646.1994.tb01299.x.

42. Greenslade, A.D. (1998). Inter- and intraspecific phylogeography of North American
Odocoileus Deer based on mitochondrial DNA sequences.

43. Latch, E.K., Reding, D.M., Heffelfinger, J.R., Alcalá-Galván, C.H., and Rhodes, O.E. (2014).
Range-wide Analysis of Genetic Structure in a Widespread, Highly Mobile Species
(Odocoileus hemionus) Reveals the Importance of Historical Biogeography. Mol Ecol *23*,
3171–3190. 10.1111/mec.12803.

44. Cambronne, A. (2013). Deerland: America's Hunt for Ecological Balance and the Essence of
Wildness.

45. Peres, T.M., and Altman, H. (2018). The Magic of Improbable Appendages: Deer Antler
Objects in the Archaeological Record of the American South. Journal of Archaeological
Science: Reports *20*, 888–895. 10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.10.028.

46. Adams, K.P., and Hamilton, R.J. (2011). Management History. In Biology and management
of white-tailed deer, D. G. Hewitt, ed. (CRC Press).

47. Weitzel, E.M. (2021). Investigating overhunting of white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus)
in the late Holocene Middle Tennessee River Valley. Southeastern Archaeology *40*, 1–19.
10.1080/0734578X.2021.1873641.

48. Wolverton, S., Nagaoka, L., Densmore, J., and Fullerton, B. (2008). White-tailed deer harvest
pressure & within-bone nutrient exploitation during the mid- to late Holocene in southeast
Texas. Before Farming *2008*, 1–23. 10.3828/bfarm.2008.2.3.

49. McDonald, J.S., Miller, K.V., Association, Q.D.M., and Resources, D.B.W.S. of F. (2004). A
History of White-tailed Deer Restocking in the United States, 1878 to 2004 (Quality Deer
Management Assoc.).

50. Jensen, W.F., Bleich, V.C., and Whittaker, D.G. (2023). Historical Trends in Black-tailed Deer,
Mule Deer, and Their Habitats. In Ecology and management of black-tailed and mule deer
of North America, J. Heffelfinger, P. R. Krausman, and A. Cox, eds. (CRC Press).

51. Bergman, E.J., Doherty, P.F., White, G.C., and Holland, A.A. (2015). Density dependence in
mule deer: a review of evidence. Wildlife Biology *21*, 18–29. 10.2981/wlb.00012.

52. Clements, D., and Young, A. (1997). A viewpoint: Rangeland health and mule deer habitat.
JOURNAL OF RANGE MANAGEMENT.

53. Gill, R.B. (1999). Declining Mule Deer Populations in Colorado: Reasons and Responses (a
report to the Colorado Legislature, November 1999) (Colorado Division of Wildlife).

54. Gruell, G.E. (1986). Post-1900 mule deer irruptions in the Intermountain West: Principal
cause and influences (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain
Research Station) 10.2737/INT-GTR-206.

55. Webb, G.K. (2018). Searching the internet to estimate deer population trends in the U.S.,
California, and Connecticut. IIS *19*, 163–173. 10.48009/2_iis_2018_163-173.

56. Deer Friendly Deer Population. Deer Friendly. https://www.deerfriendly.com/decline-of-
deer-populations.

57. Andrews S. (2010). FastQC: a Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc.

58. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114–2120. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

59. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and Accurate Short Read Alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
Transform. Bioinformatics *25*, 1754–1760. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

60. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

61. Broad Institute, GitHub Repository. (2019). "Picard Toolkit."
http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/.

62. Tarasov, A., Vilella, A.J., Cuppen, E., Nijman, I.J., and Prins, P. (2015). Sambamba: fast
processing of NGS alignment formats. Bioinformatics *31*, 2032–2034.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btv098.

63. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella, K.,
Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., et al. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce
framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Research *20*,
1297–1303. 10.1101/gr.107524.110.

64. Pedersen, B.S., and Quinlan, A.R. (2018). Mosdepth: Quick Coverage Calculation for
Genomes and Exomes. Bioinformatics *34*, 867–868. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx699.

65. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Käller, M. (2016). MultiQC: Summarize Analysis
Results for Multiple Tools and Samples in a Single Report. Bioinformatics *32*, 3047–3048.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354.

66. Korneliussen, T.S., Albrechtsen, A., and Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of Next
Generation Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics *15*, 356. 10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4.

67. Meisner, J., and Albrechtsen, A. (2018). Inferring Population Structure and Admixture
Proportions in Low-Depth NGS Data. Genetics *210*, 719–731. 10.1534/genetics.118.301336.

68. R Core Team (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (R
Foundation for Statistical Computing).

69. Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T.S., and Albrechtsen, A. (2013). Estimating Individual Admixture
Proportions from Next Generation Sequencing Data. Genetics *195*, 693–702.
10.1534/genetics.113.154138.

70. Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., and Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the Number of Clusters of
Individuals Using the Software Structure: a Simulation Study. Mol Ecol *14*, 2611–2620.
10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x.

71. Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A., and Mayrose, I. (2015).
Clumpak: a Program for Identifying Clustering Modes and Packaging Population Structure
Inferences Across K. Mol Ecol Resour *15*, 1179–1191. 10.1111/1755-0998.12387.

72. Martin,   S.   (2021).   genomics_general.   GitHub.
https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics_general.

73. Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C.A., Banks, E., DePristo, M.A., Handsaker, R.E.,
Lunter, G., Marth, G.T., Sherry, S.T., et al. (2011). The variant call format and VCFtools.
Bioinformatics *27*, 2156–2158. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.

74. Chang, C.C., Chow, C.C., Tellier, L.C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S.M., and Lee, J.J. (2015). Second-
generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaSci *4*, 7.
10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8.

75. Martin, M., Patterson, M., Garg, S., O Fischer, S., Pisanti, N., Klau, G.W., Schöenhuth, A., and Marschall, T. (2016). WhatsHap: Fast and Accurate Read-based Phasing (Bioinformatics) 10.1101/085050.

76. Wang, K., Mathieson, I., O'Connell, J., and Schiffels, S. (2020). Tracking Human Population Structure through Time from Whole Genome Sequences. PLoS Genet *16*, e1008552. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1008552.

77. Demarais, S., Miller, K.V., and Jacobson, H.A. (2000). White-tailed deer. In Ecology and management of large mammals in North America, S. Demarais and P. R. Krausman, eds. (Prentice Hall).

78. Deyoung, R.W., Demarais, S., Honeycutt, R.L., Rooney, A.P., Gonzales, R.A., and Gee, K.L. (2003). Genetic Consequences of White-tailed Deer (Odocoileus virginianus) Restoration in Mississippi. Molecular Ecology *12*, 3237–3252. 10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01996.x.

79. Gutenkunst, R.N., Hernandez, R.D., Williamson, S.H., and Bustamante, C.D. (2009). Inferring the Joint Demographic History of Multiple Populations from Multidimensional SNP Frequency Data. PLoS Genet *5*, e1000695. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000695.

80. Portik, D.M., Leaché, A.D., Rivera, D., Barej, M.F., Burger, M., Hirschfeld, M., Rödel, M., Blackburn, D.C., and Fujita, M.K. (2017). Evaluating mechanisms of diversification in a Guineo-Congolian tropical forest frog using demographic model selection. Mol Ecol *26*, 5245–5263. 10.1111/mec.14266.

81. Hijmans, R.J. (2022). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling.

82. Fick, S.E., and Hijmans, R.J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology *37*, 4302–4315. 10.1002/joc.5086.

83. Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J.R.G., Gruber, B., Lafourcade, B., Leitão, P.J., et al. (2013). Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography *36*, 27–46. 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x.

84. Oksanen, J., Simpson, G.L., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Szoecs, E., et al. (2022). vegan: Community Ecology Package.

85. O'Connell, J., Gurdasani, D., Delaneau, O., Pirastu, N., Ulivi, S., Cocca, M., Traglia, M., Huang, J., Huffman, J.E., Rudan, I., et al. (2014). A General Approach for Haplotype Phasing across the Full Spectrum of Relatedness. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004234. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004234.

86. Garrison, E., Kronenberg, Z.N., Dawson, E.T., Pedersen, B.S., and Prins, P. (2022). A spectrum of free software tools for processing the VCF variant call format: vcflib, bio-vcf, cyvcf2, hts-nim and slivar. PLoS Comput Biol *18*, e1009123. 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009123.

87. Grinberg,   S.   (2019).   findPeaks.   GitHub.   https://github.com/stas-
g/findPeaks/blob/master/find_peaks.R.

88. Pundir, S., Martin, M.J., O'Donovan, C., and The UniProt Consortium (2016). UniProt Tools.
Current Protocols in Bioinformatics *53*. 10.1002/0471250953.bi0129s53.

89. Skoglund, P., and Reich, D. (2016). A genomic view of the peopling of the Americas. Current
Opinion in Genetics & Development *41*, 27–35. 10.1016/j.gde.2016.06.016.

90. Smith, B.T., Gehara, M., and Harvey, M.G. (2021). The demography of extinction in eastern
North American birds. Proc. R. Soc. B. *288*, 20201945. 10.1098/rspb.2020.1945.

91. Ito, T., Hayakawa, T., Suzuki–Hashido, N., Hamada, Y., Kurihara, Y., Hanya, G., Kaneko, A.,
Natsume, T., Aisu, S., Honda, T., et al. (2021). Phylogeographic History of Japanese
Macaques. J Biogeogr, jbi.14087. 10.1111/jbi.14087.

92. Colella, J.P., Lan, T., Talbot, S.L., Lindqvist, C., and Cook, J.A. (2021). Whole-genome
Resequencing Reveals Persistence of Forest-Associated Mammals in Late Pleistocene
Refugia along North America's North Pacific Coast. J. Biogeogr. *48*, 1153–1169.
10.1111/jbi.14068.

93. Baca, M. (2020). Diverse responses of common vole (Microtus arvalis) populations to Late
Glacial and Early Holocene climate changes - Evidence from ancient DNA. Quaternary
Science Reviews, 13.

94. Meiri, M., Lister, A., Kosintsev, P., Zazula, G., and Barnes, I. (2020). Population dynamics and
range shifts of moose (Alces alces) during the Late Quaternary. J Biogeogr *47*, 2223–2234.
10.1111/jbi.13935.

95. Dussex, N., Alberti, F., Heino, M.T., Olsen, R.-A., van der Valk, T., Ryman, N., Laikre, L.,
Ahlgren, H., Askeyev, I.V., Askeyev, O.V., et al. (2020). Moose Genomes Reveal Past Glacial
Demography and the Origin of Modern Lineages. BMC Genomics *21*, 854. 10.1186/s12864-
020-07208-3.

96. Ersmark, E., Baryshnikov, G., Higham, T., Argant, A., Castaños, P., Döppes, D., Gasparik, M.,
Germonpré, M., Lidén, K., Lipecki, G., et al. (2019). Genetic turnovers and northern survival
during the last glacial maximum in European brown bears. Ecol Evol *9*, 5891–5905.
10.1002/ece3.5172.

97. Loog, L., Thalmann, O., Sinding, M.S., Schuenemann, V.J., Perri, A., Germonpré, M.,
Bocherens, H., Witt, K.E., Samaniego Castruita, J.A., Velasco, M.S., et al. (2020). Ancient DNA
suggests modern wolves trace their origin to a Late Pleistocene expansion from Beringia.
Mol Ecol *29*, 1596–1610. 10.1111/mec.15329.

98. Combe, F.J., Jaster, L., Ricketts, A., Haukos, D., and Hope, A.G. (2021). Population Genomics
of Free-ranging Great Plains White-tailed and Mule deer Reflects a Long History of Inter-
specific Hybridization. Evolutionary Applications *15*, 111–132. 10.1111/eva.13330.

99. Moscarella, R.A., Aguilera, M., and Escalante, A.A. (2003). Phylogeography, Population
Structure, and Implications for Conservation of White-tailed Deer (Odocoileus Virginianus)
in Venezuela. Journal of Mammalogy *84*, 1300–1315. 10.1644/BRB-028.

100.   Villanova, V.L., Hughes, P.T., and Hoffman, E.A. (2017). Combining genetic structure and
demographic analyses to estimate persistence in endangered Key deer (Odocoileus
virginianus clavium). Conserv Genet *18*, 1061–1076. 10.1007/s10592-017-0958-2.

101.   Cars, B., Kessler, C., Hoffman, E.A., Côté, S.D., Koelsch, D., and Shafer, A.B.A. (2023).
Island demographics and trait associations in white-tailed deer.

102.   U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (1967). Endangered Species List.

103.   Forrester, T.D., and Wittmer, H.U. (2013). A review of the population dynamics of mule
deer and black-tailed deer Odocoileus hemionus in North America: Population dynamics
of mule deer and black-tailed deer. Mammal Review *43*, 292–308. 10.1111/mam.12002.

104.   Peart, C.R., Tusso, S., Pophaly, S.D., Botero-Castro, F., Wu, C.-C., Aurioles-Gamboa, D.,
Baird, A.B., Bickham, J.W., Forcada, J., Galimberti, F., et al. (2020). Determinants of genetic
variation across eco-evolutionary scales in pinnipeds. Nat Ecol Evol *4*, 1095–1104.
10.1038/s41559-020-1215-5.

105.   Mautz, W., Pekins, P., and Warren, J. (1985). Cold temperature effects on metabolic rate
of white-tailed, mule, and black-tailed deer in winter coat. Biology of deer production.
Bulletin *22*, 453–457.

106.   Lynch, V.J., Bedoya-Reina, O.C., Ratan, A., Sulak, M., Drautz-Moses, D.I., Perry, G.H.,
Miller, W., and Schuster, S.C. (2015). Elephantid Genomes Reveal the Molecular Bases of
Woolly Mammoth Adaptations to the Arctic. Cell Reports *12*, 217–228.
10.1016/j.celrep.2015.06.027.

107.   Budd, K., Berkman, L.K., Anderson, M., Koppelman, J., and Eggert, L.S. (2018). Genetic
Structure and Recovery of White-tailed Deer in Missouri. Jour. Wild. Mgmt. *82*, 1598–1607.
10.1002/jwmg.21546.

108.   Fuller, J., Ferchaud, A., Laporte, M., Le Luyer, J., Davis, T.B., Côté, S.D., and Bernatchez,
L. (2020). Absence of founder effect and evidence for adaptive divergence in a recently
introduced insular population of white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Mol Ecol *29*,
86–104. 10.1111/mec.15317.

109.   Nelson, S.L., Taylor, S.A., and Reuter, J.D. (2021). An isolated white-tailed deer
(Odocoileus virginianus) population on St. John, US Virgin Islands shows low inbreeding
and comparable heterozygosity to other larger populations. Ecol Evol *11*, 2775–2781.
10.1002/ece3.7230.

110.   Wootton, E., Robert, C., Taillon, J., Côté, S.D., and Shafer, A.B.A. (2023). Genomic health
is dependent on long-term population demographic history. Molecular Ecology *32*, 1943–
1954. 10.1111/mec.16863.

111.   Campbell, T.A., and VerCauteren, K.C. (2011). Diseases and Parasites. In Biology and
management of white-tailed deer (CRC Press), pp. 220–240.

112.   Russell, T., Cullingham, C., Ball, M., Pybus, M., and Coltman, D. (2021). Extent and
direction of introgressive hybridization of mule and white-tailed deer in western Canada.
Evol Appl *14*, 1914–1925. 10.1111/eva.13250.

113.   Shawlot, W., Vazquez-Chantada, M., Wallingford, J.B., and Finnell, R.H. (2015). Rfx2 is
required for spermatogenesis in the mouse: Rfx2 mouse knockout. genesis *53*, 604–611.
10.1002/dvg.22880.

114.   Maddirevula, S., Coskun, S., Al-Qahtani, M., Aboyousef, O., Alhassan, S., Aldeery, M., and
Alkuraya, F.S. (2022). ASTL is mutated in female infertility. Hum Genet *141*, 49–54.
10.1007/s00439-021-02388-8.

115.   Ding, X., Fragoza, R., Singh, P., Zhang, S., Yu, H., and Schimenti, J.C. (2020). Variants in
RABL2A causing male infertility and ciliopathy. Human Molecular Genetics *29*, 3402–3411.
10.1093/hmg/ddaa230.

116.   DeVivo, M.T., Edmunds, D.R., Kauffman, M.J., Schumaker, B.A., Binfet, J., Kreeger, T.J.,
Richards, B.J., Schätzl, H.M., and Cornish, T.E. (2017). Endemic chronic wasting disease
causes mule deer population decline in Wyoming. PLoS ONE *12*, e0186512.
10.1371/journal.pone.0186512.

117.   Seabury, C.M., Oldeschulte, D.L., Bhattarai, E.K., Legare, D., Ferro, P.J., Metz, R.P.,
Johnson, C.D., Lockwood, M.A., and Nichols, T.A. (2020). Accurate Genomic Predictions for
Chronic Wasting Disease in U.S. White-Tailed Deer. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics *10*, 1433–
1441. 10.1534/g3.119.401002.

118.   Frankham, R. (1995). Effective population size/adult population size ratios in wildlife: a
review. Genet. Res. *66*, 95–107. 10.1017/S0016672300034455.

119.   Ferchaud, A.-L., Perrier, C., April, J., Hernandez, C., Dionne, M., and Bernatchez, L. (2016).
Making sense of the relationships between Ne, Nb and Nc towards defining conservation
thresholds in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Heredity *117*, 268–278. 10.1038/hdy.2016.62.

120.   Waples, R.S., Luikart, G., Faulkner, J.R., and Tallmon, D.A. (2013). Simple life-history
traits explain key effective population size ratios across diverse taxa. Proc. R. Soc. B. *280*,
20131339. 10.1098/rspb.2013.1339.

121.   Dedato, M.N., Robert, C., Taillon, J., Shafer, A.B.A., and Côté, S.D. (2022). Demographic
history and conservation genomics of caribou (Rangifer tarandus) in Québec. Evolutionary
Applications *15*, 2043–2053. 10.1111/eva.13495.

Tables

Table 1: Tajima's D, nucleotide diversity and F_{ROH} for our four populations, F_{ROH} as percentage of the N90 scaffolds.

	Tajima's D	Nucleotide diversity (π)	F_{ROH}
WTD_{ML}	-0.89	0.0068	0.89 %
WTD_{KEY}	0.11	0.0038	1.38 %
MD_{MD}	-0.29	0.0036	0.30 %
MD_{BTD}	-0.13	0.0037	0.28 %

Table 2: Best model parameter values from δaδi per comparison and corresponding 95% CI computed on 100 bootstraps.

		nu				m			T				
	Theta	nu1	nu2	nu3	nuA	m1	m2	mA	T1	T1a	T1b	T2	T3
WTD_{KEY} & WTD_{ML} & MD													
Parameters	21'150.17	0.56	1.73	0.20	4.02	0.86	2.15	-	0.57	-	-	0.12	0.02
2.5% CI		0.44	1.34	0.18	0.06	0.07	0.11	-	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.06
97.5% CI		2.61	7.47	2.04	24.23	0.49	2.70	-	3.27	-	-	3.95	4.10
WTD_{ML} & MD_{BTD} & MD_{MD}													
Parameters	20'887.17	2.09	0.29	0.27	0.84	0.79	0.79	0.02	-	0.11	0.86	0.04	-
2.5% CI		1.17	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.03	-	0.04	0.05	0.02	-
97.5% CI		10.03	5.15	9.23	12.95	0.62	12.91	17.37	-	5.07	14.09	8.98	-

Table 3: Anova of the RDA for full and conditional models as well as number of outlier SNPs for each predictor in the full model. Significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

		Adjusted R^2	bio1	bio2	bio8	bio12	bio14	bio15	bio16	bio18	Longitude	Species
Interspecies												
~ BIOs + Long + SP	P-value		0.004	0.001	0.012	0.009	-	0.001	-	-	0.001	0.001
	Variance explained	16.55%	2.58%	3.12%	1.95%	1.95%	-	3.54%	-	-	3.55%	7.96%
	number of outlier SNPs		5448	768	760	2491		47			2820	2101
MD												
~ BIOs + Long	P-value	8.82%	0.093	0.001	-	-	0.116	0.355	0.012	0.374	0.219	-
	Variance explained		5.67%	9.82%	-	-	5.48%	4.82%	6.72%	4.83%	5.04%	-
	number of outlier SNPs		99	540	-	-	690	1	4452	0	1652	-
~ BIOs + Condition(Long)	P-value	4.11%	0.119	0.032	-	-	0.167	0.336	0.003	0.476	-	-
	Variance explained		5.96%	6.23%	-	-	5.84%	5.53%	7.29%	5.35%	-	-
~ Condition(BIOs) + Long	P-value	0.35%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.445	-
	Variance explained		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8.05%	-
WTD												
~ BIOs + Long	P-value	6.32%	0.001	0.001	0.034	-	-	0.001	-	-	0.001	-
	Variance explained		3.37%	3.89%	2.11%	-	-	2.99%	-	-	2.96%	-
	number of outlier SNPs		5625	4487	1025	-	-	209	-	-	777	-
~ BIOs + Condition(Long)	P-value	4.64%	0.001	0.001	0.038	-	-	0.001	-	-	-	-
	Variance explained		3.50%	3.57%	2.18%	-	-	2.94%	-	-	-	-
~ Condition(BIOs) + Long	P-value	1.26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.001	-
	Variance explained		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.38%	-

Figures

A

B

Figure 1: Sample information. (A) Sampling locations, outlined triangles represent high-coverage samples, shaded areas represent each species' range, areas of sympatry in a daker shade (B) PCA of all individuals, based on allele frequencies. Colour coded by species: WTD in purple and MD in green.

Figure 2: Demographic inference. (A) Changes in population size of four high coverage samples as estimated by MSMC2, x-axis represent years before present, shaded areas symbolise full glacial periods (Batchelor et al., 2019). (B) Recent changes in N_e computed by GONE on all samples with bootstraps. (C) Estimated WTD & MD population in the US from Webb (2018) with WTD restocking period for 30 US states (grey rectangles) and corresponding state's year with lowest WTD population (black points) from McDonald et al. (2004).

Figure 3: Contemporary and historical N_e/N_c ratio over time, point colour indicates time before present, N_e of the historical ratio taken from NuA values in the δaδi analysis.

Figure 4: Three populations models in δaδI with best model schema on the right and 2D spectra on the right. (A) MD – WTD$_{ML}$ – WTD$_{KEY}$ comparisons, with MD in green, WTD$_{ML}$ in light and WTD$_{KEY}$ in dark purple. (B) WTD$_{ML}$ – MD$_{MD}$ – MD$_{BTD}$ comparison with WTD$_{ML}$ in light purple, MD$_{MD}$ in light green and MD$_{BTD}$ in dark green.