Genotypic and phenotypic homogeneity of vaginal and rectal yeast isolates from

recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis

Abdul-Rahman Adamu Bukari[a], Rebekah Kukurudz[a], Alexia de Graaf[a*], Devin Habon[a*],

Beamlak Manyaz[a*], Yana Syvolos[a], Aruni Sumanarathne[a], Vanessa Poliquin[b], Aleeza

Gerstein[a,b,#]

[a]Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba,

Canada

[b]Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg,

Manitoba, Canada

[c]Department of Statistics, Faculty of Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba,

Canada

Running head: Low variation in recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis

* Alexia de Graaf, Devin Habon, and Beamlak Manyaz contributed equally to this work and are

listed alphabetically

Author Correspondence to Aleeza Gerstein, aleeza.gerstein@umanitoba.ca

Abstract

Vulvovaginal candidiasis is one of the most common vaginal and fungal infections. Many are successfully treated with antifungal drugs, but ~9% of cases will recur even with treatment (RVVC). We quantified the genotypic and phenotypic diversity of vaginal and rectal yeast isolates from four individuals with a history of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis during a symptomatic relapse. One participant had a *Nakaseomyces glabrata* infection while the other three had *Candida albicans*. We used whole genome sequencing to place the isolates into a global phylogenic context and precisely quantify standing genetic variation within RVVC yeast populations. The *C. albicans* isolates statistically clustered close together and closer than expected to other vaginal isolates in a subgroup of clade 1. In all participants, we found that vaginal and rectal isolates are monophyletic and phylogenetically overlapping, consistent with frequent migration between sites. We found very low levels of genotypic and phenotypic diversity and few phenotypic outliers, consistent with rapid population expansion. This provides a view of the within-host isolate variation that is inconsistent with a rectal source population for vaginal reinfection and a generally small effective population size over time in RVVC.

Importance

Recurrent vaginal yeast infections are relatively common, yet many open questions remain about the infecting fungal population. We examined the genetic and phenotypic diversity within vaginal and fungal populations from four individuals with a history of recurrent yeast infections experiencing symptoms. Three of the participants had a Candida albicans infection (the most common causative species) while the fourth had a Nakaseomyces glabrata infection (the second most common and increasingly implicated). This is the first study to use whole genome sequencing to capture genotypic diversity within recurrent yeast infections precisely. We found that vaginal and rectal isolates were overlapping, indicating frequent migration of individuals between the two sites. The overall level of genetic variation within the populations was very low and nearly all isolates had very similar phenotypes. These results are consistent with rapid population expansion during symptomatic infection and inconsistent with a rectal source population leading to vaginal reinfection.

Introduction

Vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC, colloquially "yeast infection") affects approximately 50-75% of people with vaginas at some point in their lives (1–3). Yeast infections cause significant financial, physical, and emotional strain (4–7). People in the United States spend over half a billion dollars on prescription and over-the-counter medications to treat yeast infections each year (8), and the yearly lost productivity in high-income countries is estimated at $14 billion USD (9). Although the majority of infections are successfully cleared, an estimated ~5-9% of people who have had a yeast infection experience recurrent infections (RVVC), defined as three or more episodes a year (7, 10). The health system cost is estimated at more than $130 million USD per year (9), which is predicted to increase by more than $20 million by 2030 (9). Even with weekly drug treatment, 57% of people with RVVC experience a recurrence within a year, with an 8.4-month median time to symptomatic recurrence, compared to 1.9 months in an untreated control group (11). Many diverse factors are known to trigger infection or predispose individuals to VVC, including hygiene practices, the vaginal microbiota, and host genetics and immunology (12, and references within). However, approximately half of all people with RVVC have no identifiable risk factors (13), signifying the need for additional studies on the biological basis of this chronic condition.

Candida albicans is the most prevalent species isolated from VVC and RVVC infections (collectively, R/VVC), identified from swab cultures in 50-90% of cases (14–18). Other fungal yeast species are also isolated, with *Nakaseomyces glabrata* (formerly *Candida glabrata*) and *Candida tropicalis* increasingly implicated (19). Here we collectively refer to this group of species using the colloquial term "yeast," which reflects a shared morphology, rather than the historical and still common "*Candida* spp." given our current understanding of their phylogenetic relationships and recent official renaming of *N. glabrata* (20, 21). To be consistent with the literature on these conditions, we will continue to use the R/VVC abbreviations, noting that "candidiasis" does not reflect the updated genera names of the diverse species involved.

Understanding the etiology of R/VVC is complicated in part since yeast exists as a common commensal member of the vaginal microbiota without causing symptomatic VVC. Identification of vaginal yeast in the absence of symptoms does not warrant treatment. Approximately 70% of healthy women were found to have vaginal yeast at a single time point through an amplicon-based (i.e., culture-free) study (22). Note that this is much higher than the 20-30% asymptomatic commensal colonization estimate frequently cited from culture-based methods (e.g., 23). Furthermore, self-diagnosis due to symptoms has also been shown to be problematic, as other gynecological infections and dermatologic conditions have overlapping

symptoms (24). Genetic typing and phylogenetic strain analyses in *C. albicans* have repeatedly

found no strict phylogenetic differentiation between the commensal strains and those that cause

symptomatic disease, although some phylogentic clades are potentially over-represented by

commensal strains and strains causing superficial disease (25, 26).

There are limited treatments available for fungal infections in general, including R/VVC.

The two primary options for induction or maintenance therapy in Canada are azole drugs or

boric acid (17), which seem to yield similar recurrence rates (27, 28), and references within).

Isolates from many non-*C. albicans* species have intrinsic resistance to azoles (i.e., have

natural resistance even in the absence of prior drug exposure) (29), and although still relatively

rare, azole-resistant isolates of *C. albicans* have also been found (30, 31). This suggests that

conventional and first-line drugs typically used for VVC are becoming less effective (32). In

addition to drug resistance, drug tolerance, the ability of drug-susceptible populations to grow

slowly in the presence of high levels of fungistatic drugs, has also emerged as a trait that varies

among different fungal species and isolates (33, 34). Tolerance may be implicated in the

propensity to cause fungal disease in other infection contexts (35) but has not previously been

examined in the context of R/VVC.

Relapse in RVVC (i.e., return of symptoms after previous successful treatment) could

theoretically be due to either incomplete vaginal eradication of the yeast population between

symptomatic infections, or complete vaginal eradication followed by re-colonization from an

endogenous or exogenous population (36). There are decades of studies that have sought to

understand the etiology of RVVC, as the answer has potential implications for improving

treatment and reducing or eliminating recurrence. Re-colonization from a sexual partner has

been proposed as a possible mechanism, yet recurrence also occurs in non-sexually active

individuals (37), and a review of eight randomized clinical trials found that treating asymptomatic

sexual partners did not influence cure or recurrence rates (38). The GI tract has also been

suggested as a potential endogenous source population. A study in 1979 that treated RVVC

patients with oral nystatin with the goal of reducing a resident GI population found that it did not

decrease the time to recurrence (39). Furthermore, studies that have looked at yeast

colonization of the GI tract through feces or rectal swabs during an active infection find that not

all participants are culture-positive (39–45). However, this does not necessarily preclude that a

small GI population is present in all individuals (below the culture detection limit in some), which

could act as an endogenous reintroduction source under the right host conditions.

Examining the diversity of strains at different body sites and among recurrent infections

can potentially differentiate between relapse scenarios. If vaginal isolates have different

genotypes at different time points, yet the diversity is present in GI tract isolates, that would be

consistent with reintroduction. If vaginal isolates sampled at different time points consistently

have the same genotype that is not present in the GI tract, this would be consistent with

incomplete eradication. Looking at the level of diversity ("standing genetic variation") within a

single time point during an active symptomatic infection prior to drug treatment can also help us

to understand the evolutionary dynamics of the yeast populations, in terms of migration rate

between sites, population size during and between active infections, and the efficacy of

selection.

The earliest studies examined diversity by looking at 'resistogram' or phenotypic profiles

of strains, by typing strains based on their ability to grow in a panel of diverse chemical

challenges (45–47). This was followed by studies using many different methods of genomic

profiling to assess relatedness among strains, such as rDNA restriction fragment length (48),

DNA/PCR fingerprinting (40, 49–51), CHEF gel analysis (52), microsatellite loci (53–56), and

randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (51, 57–59). The highest genomic resolution and

an ability to accurately map phylogenetic relationships among divergent strains come from

recent studies that have used multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (18, 60, 61) and one very

small study that employed whole genome sequencing (WGS) (62). Considering this whole body

of work, the overall picture does not change drastically with the method employed. The

overarching results are consistent with a role for the maintenance of genotypes ("relapse," i.e.,

the same or very similar genotype is recovered from different body sites and between

successive symptomatic episodes), alongside an opportunity for novel genotypes to arise

("reinfection," sometimes referred to as strain turnover; i.e., there is diversity in genotypes

sampled between subsequent symptomatic episodes). However, the source of genetic novelty

remains unanswered, and previous studies do not necessarily rule out a role for standing

genetic variation to have been consistently present yet undetected, i.e., relapse masquerading

as reinfection.

Here we build on previous work by using high-throughput phenotyping and WGS. We

quantify and compare the diversity of many vaginal and rectal isolates from four individuals with

a history of RVVC in Winnipeg, Canada. Three of the participants had *C. albicans* infections and

one had *N. glabrata*. We collectively refer to these isolates as the "THRIVE-yeast" isolates

following the name of our local umbrella research program to study The Host-microbial

Relationships and Immune function in different Vaginal Environments ("THRIVE",

http://www.mthrive.ca). Colonies were acquired during active symptomatic infections prior to

participants taking antifungal medication for their current symptoms. From all individuals, we

found a complete phylogenetic overlap of vaginal and rectal isolates and low genotypic and

phenotypic diversity with very few phenotypic outlier isolates. Our results indicate low levels of

standing genetic variation during active symptomatic infection. They are consistent with a recent

population bottleneck followed by rapid population expansion and phylogenetic relationships

that demonstrate a high level of migration between the vaginal and rectal populations.

Results

THRIVE-yeast isolates in the global species phylogenies

Seventeen women with a history of RVVC were recruited from a yeast clinic in Winnipeg, Canada. Vaginal and rectal swabs were acquired at the clinic visit and used in colony isolation. Vaginal and rectal isolates were obtained from six participant swabs. For this study, we focused on the four participants who yielded at least 24 vaginal and 24 rectal yeast isolates. Two additional participants had a smaller Five additional participants had vaginal but not rectal isolates. The phylogenetic relationship of 32 THRIVE-yeast isolates (four vaginal and four rectal isolates from each of four participants) was evaluated in the context of previously published whole-genome sequenced (WGS) isolates from each species. Isolates were chosen blind with respect to phenotypes. We constructed a global *N. glabrata* phylogeny from an additional 526 predominantly bloodstream isolates using FastTree (63) . The phylogeny includes isolates from two previously published studies (64, 65) and an additional 416 isolates that had FASTQ files available to download on NCBI (Table S1). Excluding the THRIVE-yeast isolates, in the global phylogeny there are only thirteen isolates that are annotated as originating from the vagina, rectum, or stool, and they are dispersed throughout the phylogeny (Figure 1A). The isolates from the participant with an *N. glabrata* infection (YST6) are monophyletic (Figure 1A). They cluster with 68 additional blood isolates and three of unknown provenance from three different countries (66 from the United States, two from Canada, and three from Australia). It was recently shown that *N. glabrata* phylogenic clades from WGS data are consistent with MLST (ST) clusters (64). Consistent with this, an *in silico* MLST analysis found that 64 isolates in the cluster with YST6 isolates are ST16. Two of the other isolates in that cluster are ST60 and two are ST187. None of the other global isolates are ST16.

The global *C. albicans* phylogeny is composed of 182 isolates from a wide breadth of geographic and anatomical sites (25). Isolates from each of the three participants (YST7, TVY4, and TVY10) form monophyletic groups and fall within a phylogenetic subgroup that contains fifteen previously characterized isolates in clade 1, the most common clade (Figure 1B). Unlike in *N. glabrata*, MLST analysis in *C. albicans* yielding diploid sequence types (DST) is too variable to map to clade assignments (e.g., despite forming a subclade, the fifteen isolates each have a different DST) (25). Interestingly, TVY4 and TVY10 isolates cluster beside each other, while the YST7 isolates are most closely related to three vaginal isolates from other parts of the world (one each from Brazil, Morocco, and China). Five of the remaining twelve isolates in this clade 1 subgroup with YST7 were also isolated from the vagina. There is a statistical enrichment for vaginal isolates in this subgroup compared to the rest of the isolates in clade 1

(THRIVE-yeast isolates from each participant were counted as a single isolate; Fisher exact test

comparing 11 vaginal isolates out of 18 to 5 vaginal isolates out of 24, p = 0.011). If we discount

the 35 predominantly vaginal isolates in clade 13, which is now recognized as likely a separate

species (*C. africanus) (66, 67)*, clade 1 as a whole is also statistically overrepresented for

vaginal isolates compared to the *C. albicans* tree in general (16 vaginal isolates in clade 1 out of

42 total isolates, compared to 18 vaginal isolates out of 105 total isolates; Fisher exact test, p =

0.009). Thus, although vaginal isolates are located throughout the *C. albicans* phylogeny, they

are over-clustered relative to a neutral expectation that vaginal isolates are equally likely to be

found anywhere in the existing tree.

Vaginal and rectal isolates are phylogenetically overlapping and closely-related

We then assessed the fine-scale phylogenic relatedness of vaginal and rectal isolates

from each participant. The *N. glabrata* vaginal and rectal isolates from YST6 are

phylogenetically overlapping and have a low average nucleotide diversity (π = 0.00007) (Figure

2A). FastTree has previously been shown to work well at the species level (68), yet the

FastTree phylogenies provided insufficient resolution for the very closely related THRIVE-yeast

isolate populations. Thus, for each participant, we built a RAxML phylogeny. The YST6

phylogeny was based on 1334 informative sites from 198 730 alignment sites. Two branch

points had bootstrap supports greater than 0.8; in both cases grouping vaginal and rectal

isolates together. The vaginal and rectal isolates from the three participants with *C. albicans*

infections are also overlapping (Figure 2B-D) and with low nucleotide diversities (TYV4, TVY10:

π = 0.0031; YST7: π = 0.0033). The TVY4 phylogeny was based on 3555 informative sites

from 628 501 aligned sites; only one branch leading to four isolates (three vaginal and one

rectal) was well supported (Figure 2B). TVY10 (3779 informative sites from 628 679 alignment

sites; Figure 2C) and YST7 (3309 informative sites based on 628 958 alignment sites; Figure

2D) similarly had a low number of well-supporting branches that nevertheless grouped vaginal

and rectal isolates. The relationship between vaginal and rectal isolates in all four participants is

thus consistent with ongoing and frequent migration between the two populations, at least

during symptomatic infection when the vaginal yeast population is high.

To further quantify the level of genotypic variation among isolates from the same

participant, we examined the intersection of SNPs among the eight sequenced isolates using

the intervene R package (69). The overwhelming majority of SNPs that differentiated the

THRIVE-yeast isolates from the reference genomes were in all eight participant isolates (Figure

2, right panels). There were few unique SNPs in each isolate, with an average of 74 SNPs

among YST6 isolates, 375 SNPs in TVY4 isolates and 345 in YST7 isolates. Interestingly, four

TVY10 isolates (V10, R14, R12, R13) had no unique SNPs, with an average of 706 SNPs in the

others TVY10 isolates. Combined, the relatedness among isolates and low levels of standing

genetic variation seems consistent with a recent and rapid population expansion with ongoing

migration between both vaginal and rectal sites during the symptomatic infection phase.

Little variation in copy number or loss of heterozygosity within isolates

We compared copy number variation (CNV) and loss-of-heterozygosity (LOH) events among

THRIVE-yeast isolates and their closest relatives compared to the reference genomes using

Y_{MAP} (70). No CNVs were identified in any YST6 isolates (Figure 3A). A single ~50 kb CNV on

the right arm of chr3 from 1407495 - 1453045 bp was identified in all eight YST7 isolates

(Figure 3B). This CNV is also present in the closest relative to the YST7 isolates, strain 9518,

but is absent in the next closest-related strains (Niger8, B116 and M17) and the reference

SC5314. As Y_{MAP} visualizations are based on averages across 5000 bp sliding windows, we

sought to examine the region in finer detail. Coverage was measured from the BAM files using

the bedtools function genomecov with the -d option to compute the depth at each position of the

genome. Mapped coverage in this region was inconsistent with the profile of a typical CNV; the

majority of the region had only a slightly elevated copy number relative to the rest of the

genome (Figure 3C). There were two small (< 200 bp) regions that spiked up to ~6-fold and

~14-fold coverage, the first internal to *ALS6* and the second to *ALS7* (Figure 3C). A third region

comprised of elevated coverage maps to another gene with close homology to other genes in

the genome, *CYP5*, a putative peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (71). The region identified in

Y_{MAP} is thus likely to primarily reflect an error in mapping rather than a true CNV with potential

biological effect. No other CNVs or aneuploidies were identified in the other THRIVE-yeast

isolates.

Nearly all telomeres had at least one LOH event identified among the THRIVE-yeast *C.*

albicans isolates compared to the SC5314 reference (Figure 3B, Figure S1). No large interstitial

LOH events were identified. We observed a general trend where all isolates from the same

participant shared an LOH profile. TVY4 isolates share a complicated LOH region on the left

arm of chr2 that flips back and forth from ancestral haplotypes, as well as small LOH regions on

both the left and right arms of chr4. YST7 shares LOH blocks with its' three closely related

vaginal isolates (i.e., 9518, B116, M17) but not Niger8, an oral isolate: on the right arm of chr2

between the centromere to the telomere, on the left arm of chr5, and on the left arm of chrR.

The exception of shared LOH blocks among participant isolates was a single LOH event on the

left arm of chr1, present in three TVY10 isolates (R14, V11 and V13) that cluster together on the

phylogeny. Some LOH regions were also shared between isolates from different participants. All

isolates have an LOH block on the left arm of chr3 and the right arm of chr6. TVY10 and TVY4

isolates share a ~300 kb region on the right arm of chr1. An LOH region on chr7 beside the

ancestral chr7 right arm LOH block is also present in both TVY10 and TVY4, though it is larger

in TVY10. TVY10 and YST7 both have LOH blocks on the left arm of chr5, though the allelic

profile between them is different. The overarching picture that emerges is that LOH seems to be

a common occurrence, with evidence for both variation among isolates of the same participant

(as in TVY10) as well as the potential for parallelism among isolate populations, i.e., when the

region of LOH is shared but the allelic profile differs.

Phenotypic variation

To determine whether the low variation observed in the genotypic results was representative of

the larger isolate collection we acquired from each participant, we compared different

phenotypes among a larger number of isolates. We focused our initial effort on YST6 (*N.*

glabrata) and YST7 (*C. albicans*), with the intention of expanding to the other two *C. albicans*

populations if phenotypic results deviated from the genotypic analyses.

The average growth rate for YST6 isolates was higher than YST7 for vaginal and rectal

isolates in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) medium (Figure 4A). In contrast, the average

growth rate for YST7 was higher than YST6 for vaginal and rectal isolates in vaginal simulative

medium (VSM, Figure 4B). There was no difference in growth rate between vaginal and rectal

isolates from either YST6 or YST7 when grown in either medium (Welch Two Sample t-test,

RPMI medium, YST6: t_{45} = -0.60, P = 0.55, YST7: t_{34} = -1.73, P = 0.09; VSM, YST6: t_{22} = -0.51,

P = 0.62, YST7: t_{22} = 0.24, P = 0.81). A single statistical vaginal outlier with a reduced growth

rate relative to other isolates in the YST6 population was identified, consistent with a visual

inspection of the growth rates (Figure 4, Rosner's test for outliers). No other outliers were

identified in the other populations grown in RPMI or VSM.

We then compared drug resistance and drug tolerance from vaginal and rectal isolates

from YST6 and YST7 for two common drugs prescribed in our local clinic, fluconazole (FLC)

and boric acid (BA). Drug response was assessed through disk diffusion assays at both pH 7

and pH 4.2. pH significantly influenced the response phenotype for both resistance and

tolerance for isolates from both participants examined in both drugs (Figure 5). This was most

apparent in YST6 isolates in FLC, where all isolates were susceptible at pH 7 but resistant at pH

4.2. Albeit to a lesser effect, the same pattern of increased resistance (reduced susceptibility)

and increased tolerance at lower pH was also seen for all other populations in both drugs

except FLC tolerance in YST7. Neither the site of isolation nor the interaction between pH and

site of isolation were significant in any environment for either phenotype except YST6 in BA,

where there was a significant interaction (Figure 5, statistical results in Table 1). A visual

examination of the data also shows that the majority of isolates were very similar to each other.

Formal outlier statistical tests were largely consistent with the qualitative visual assessment,

identifying only five outlier isolates for resistance and three for tolerance (resistance: a YST6

vaginal isolate and two YST7 vaginal isolates with reduced susceptibility to FLC measured at

pH 7, one YST6 vaginal isolate with reduced susceptibility to BA at pH 4, and one YST6 rectal

isolate with increased susceptibility to BA at pH 4; tolerance: one YST6 vaginal isolate in FLC

with reduced tolerance at pH 7, one YST6 rectal isolate in BA at pH 4 with increased tolerance,

and one YST7 rectal isolate in FLC at pH 7 with increased tolerance).

301   There was considerable variation among participants and isolates for invasive growth

(Figure 6). However, there was no difference between YST6 vaginal and rectal isolates

(Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction; W = 252, P = 0.279), while YST7 vaginal

isolates had higher invasive growth than rectal isolates (W = 115.5, P = 0.0002). To determine

whether this was a consistent pattern for *C. albicans* populations, we then quantified invasive

growth in isolates from the other two participants. We found no difference between sites in

TVY10 (W = 372, p-value = 0.74), while rectal isolates exhibited higher invasive growth on

average than vaginal isolates in TVY4 (W = 372, p-value = 0.032). The overall picture is thus

that invasive growth seems to vary more between participants than between site of isolation,

and that statistical differences between sites are likely due to neutral processes rather than

selection for invasive growth in the vaginal environment.

Discussion

Vulvovaginal candidiasis is a common vaginal infection. Although there is a large body of literature on VVC and RVVC (73), many open research questions that have potential diagnostic, prognostic and treatment implications remain. Our work here extends past research that examines the diversity and relatedness of vaginal and rectal isolates. We recovered rectal yeast isolates from six of ten participants with a history of RVVC who were vaginal yeast positive during a clinic visit. This is a proportion similar to previous culture-based studies (rectal colonization in tandem with vaginal colonization between 45-86%, (40–43, 74). We whole-genome sequenced four randomly chosen vaginal and four rectal isolates from the four participants with large vaginal and rectal yeast populations. In all cases, isolates from the same participant formed monophyletic groups with phylogenetically overlapping vaginal and rectal isolates.

Our results are consistent with previous studies that generally found high genetic relatedness between rectal and vaginal isolates (57, 75, 76) However, whole genome sequencing vastly improves upon previously employed methods (such as microsatellites, PCR fingerprinting, or MLST analyses) in resolution, enabling us to determine not only that different isolates are closely related, but there is an extremely low level of standing genetic variation within both the vaginal and rectal populations. Striking, we only saw loss of heterozygosity (LOH) variation among isolates from one participant (TVY10), with three isolates sharing one LOH block that was not present in the other five isolates. All other LOH blocks were shared among participant isolates. LOH has a relatively high mutation rate relative to other types of mutations (77). Accordingly, variation in LOH patterns have previously been found to be extremely prevalent among related isolates in different species and experimental conditions in *C. albicans* (e.g., serial isolates from HIV patients with oropharyngeal candidiasis, 78, among three pairs of *C. albicans* commensal strains isolated from the digestive tract of healthy individuals, 79, and among experimentally evolved populations, 80, 81). Given that recent work in *Caenorhabditis elegans* found that populations evolving in the presence of host defence mechanisms may elevate the LOH rate (82), it is even more surprising to see the overall uniformity in LOH patterns. The WGS genotypic results are thus consistent with frequent migration between vaginal and rectal populations, at least during active symptomatic infection, and a rapid population expansion yielding a low effective population size and little time for variation to arise. They are inconsistent with the rectal environment acting as a source population for vaginal reinfection, as genetic variation would be expected to be higher.

Our work provides a baseline genetic diversity that will be useful in the future to better

understand what happens to the vaginal yeast population between symptomatic episodes and

to generally compare genetic diversity among different body sites and study participant groups.

We chose isolates for sequencing blind to the phenotypic data to provide an unbiased estimate

of diversity. Although it is tempting in experimental work when resources are limited to always

pick the most diverse isolates for characterization, it makes it more difficult to compare results

among studies. The nucleotide diversity in all three *C. albicans* populations was 0.003, two

orders of magnitude lower than the species-wide diversity of 0.36 previously reported (based on

isolates from the major clades 1, 2, 3, 4 and 11 (25). The nucleotide diversity within isolates

from the participant with an *N. glabrata* infection was similarly two orders of magnitude lower

than the previously published species-wide diversity (0.00007 compared to 0.00665 based on

151 isolates (64). Our future work will extend this study to examine diversity between and

across successive symptomatic infections, and to compare the diversity here to within-

population yeast diversity in other healthy and disease contexts.

WGS analyses of the THRIVE-yeast isolates compared to a global collection of isolates

also permitted us to identify a subgroup in clade 1 where vaginal isolates, including the isolates

from our participants with *C. albicans* infections, are over-represented. Compared to other

clades, a greater proportion of clade 1 isolates have previously been shown to be significantly

associated with superficial infections (83), including in the context of VVC (18, 60, 61, 84).

Although vaginal isolates can be found throughout the phylogenetic tree, there may be

something unique to the common ancestor of the subgroup that makes them more amenable to

colonizing and invading epithelial surfaces in general and hence able to cause vaginal diseases

(85, 86). The majority of phenotypes of clinical interest that have previously been assessed are

found to vary considerably among isolates within the same *C. albicans* clade, precluding clear

phenotype × clade associations (e.g., 87, 88). However, it may be that more fine-scale

phylogenetic resolution is required to tease apart statistical relationships. If only a subgroup of

clade 1 isolates are enriched for a particular phenotype, that would not be seen if all clade 1

isolates are grouped together. Intriguingly, Sala *et al.* recently found that VVC isolates induced

greater fungal shedding from epithelial cells and differently stimulated epithelial signalling

pathways, compared to isolates from healthy women (89). This is the clearest *in vitro* assay able

to phenotypically differentiate VVC and healthy isolates, and hence a strong target for a GWAS

analysis able to potentially pinpoint the genetic basis of this seemingly important trait. It would

be of great interest to determine whether there is a genotypic association between common

variants in the subgroup of clade 1 isolates (including isolates from other body sites) and their
interaction with vaginal epithelial cells.

Significantly less work has been done to examine *N. glabrata* in the context of R/VVC
despite the increasing incidence of *N. glabrata* globally as an etiological agent of R/VVC (19,
90)*. Despite the fact that *N. glabrata* exhibits different pathogenesis and immunological
responses compared to *C. albicans (90–92),* it has received less attention. This is evident in the
very low number of vaginal whole-genome sequences available in the public archive. We
exhaustively searched NCBI for available *N. glabrata* sequences. The 526 isolates we found
were overwhelmingly blood isolates; we found only a single vaginal isolate. This precludes
conducting any statistical examination of the phylogenetic tree to determine whether vaginal
isolates cluster more than expected, as we found in *C. albicans.*

Our phenotypic analyses were largely consistent with our genetic results. We primarily
identified differences species-level differences in growth rate and drug response phenotypes.
This was not unexpected, given the common and well-established variation among isolates from
different species. We also found differences in drug resistance and tolerance when we
conducted disk diffusion assays at pH 7 compared to pH 4.2. This is consistent with previous
findings comparing CLSI micro broth testing at pH 7 and more acidic pH values in both *C.*
albicans and *N. glabrata* (93–95). Consistent with the genotypic results, the overarching pattern
was generally low variation and non-statistically different phenotypic distributions between the
vaginal and rectal isolates. This is in concordance with a previous *in vitro* study that found that
rectal isolates were equally able as vaginal isolates in expressing virulence factors (96). The
exception was invasive growth, where the YST7 vaginal population exhibited higher invasive
growth than the rectal population, which could possibly be indicative of selection in the vaginal
environment. We thus phenotyped isolates from the two additional participants with *C. albicans*
infections, finding no consistency in the results: in TVY4 the rectal population had statistically
higher invasive growth, while TVY10 the two populations were not statistically different.
Overwhelmingly, phenotypic variation was thus between participants (and *in vitro* environments)
rather than within isolates from the same individual.

Here we have conducted the largest study to date that employed whole genome
sequencing and high throughput phenotypic analyses to compare vaginal and rectal isolates
from symptomatic RVVC infections. We find no evidence that rectal isolates are more diverse
than vaginal isolates which is inconsistent with the GI tract being a source population for vaginal
reinfection. The extremely low diversity among isolates, including to the level of loss-of-
heterozygosity banding patterns, is indicative of a low level of standing genetic variation across

sites, consistent with frequent population bottlenecks and ongoing migration during symptomatic

infection. Combined, this may help explain why antifungal resistance rarely emerges in RVVC

infections, even in the face of consistent drug exposure, as a low effective population size

reduces the efficacy of selection for resistance.

Methods

Clinical isolates

Clinical samples were collected from four non-pregnant female participants between the ages of 18-50 with a history of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis (RVVC). Seventeen consenting female participants who attended a clinic for individuals with a history of RVVC in Winnipeg, Canada were sampled at the clinic for possible inclusion in this study. Vaginal and rectal swabs were acquired from all participants. Swabs were kept at -4 ℃ and on the ice during transport, and processed within 5 h of acquisition. Swabs were then agitated for ~30 s in 1 mL PBS and a dilution series (1, 1:10, 1:100) was conducted. 100 μL from each of the three different dilutions was spread onto SDA and chromogenic *Candida* agar plates. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 30 °C, at which time up to 24 vaginal and 24 rectal isolates were haphazardly isolated from dilution plates that had clear margins between colonies. Colonies were suspended in 1 mL of 20% glycerol and kept at -70 °C. We collectively refer to all colonies collected as part of this study as "THRIVE-yeast" isolates. Of the seventeen participants, vaginal colonies were acquired from ten. Of those, six were also positive for rectal isolates. We recovered less than 10 rectal colonies from the two participants who were rectal swab positive but not included here. This study has been approved by the University of Manitoba Biomedical Research Ethics Board (HS24769 (B2021:026)) and Shared Health (SH2021:038).

DNA extraction and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from eight single colonies (four each from the vagina and rectum) from one individual with an *N. glabrata* infection (YST6) and three individuals with *C. albicans* infections (YST7, TVY4, TVY10). We followed a standard phenol-chloroform protocol as previously described (97). DNA quality and concentration were assessed on a Thermo Scientific™ NanoDrop 2000 and Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer respectively. Genomic DNA was sent to either Microbial Genome Sequencing Center ("MIGS", Pittsburgh, USA; YST6 and YST7) or SeqCoast Genomics (New Hampshire Ave., USA; TVY4 and TVY10) for sequencing. At MIGS, sample libraries were prepared using the Illumina DNA Prep kit and IDT 10bp UDI indices, and sequenced on NextSeq 2000 using a 300-cycle flow cell kit, producing 2×151bp reads. The bcl-convert v3.9.3 software was used to assess read quality, demultiplex and trim adapter sequences. At SeqCoast Genomics, samples were prepared using an Illumina DNA Prep tagmentation kit and unique dual indexes. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina

NextSeq2000 platform using a 300-cycle flow cell kit to produce 2×150bp paired reads.

DRAGEN v3.10.11 was used to assess read quality, demultiplex and trim adapter sequences.

The reads have been deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)

Sequence Read Archive under BioProject ID PRJNA991137.

In addition to the 32 genomes we sequenced, we downloaded an additional 182 *C. albicans*

FASTQ files from the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database (98) from BioProject Accession

PRJNA432884 (25) and 526 *N. glabrata* FASTQ files from nineteen BioProjects (see Table S1).

Variant calling

The sequence reads were trimmed with Trimmomatic (v0.39) (99) with standard parameters

(LEADING: 10, TRAILING: 3, SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15, MINLEN: 31, TOPHRED33, following

(100)). Quality was assessed with FASTQC

(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) and MultiQC (101). *C. albicans*

trimmed paired-end reads were mapped using bwa-mem (102) to the SC5314 haplotype A

reference genome (A22-s07-m01-r160) downloaded from the Candida Genome Database

(103). The resulting SAM file was coordinate-sorted and converted to a Binary Alignment Map

(BAM) file using samtools v1.9 (104). *N. glabrata* isolates were mapped to the CBS138

reference genome (GCA000002545v2) downloaded from the Ensembl Genome Database

(105). Alignment quality was assessed with CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics from picard

v2.26.3 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) and consolidated across all samples with MultiQC

(101). All files had a >95% mapping quality. BAM files were further processed with picard by

adding a read group annotation so that samples with the same Bioproject ID had the same read

group, removing duplicate PCR amplicons, and fixing mate pairs. Base quality scores for the *C.*

albicans aligned reads were recalibrated with known single-nucleotide polymorphisms obtained

from the Candida Genome Database website

(http://www.candidagenome.org/download/gff/C_albicans_SC5314/Assembly21/A21_Jones_PM

ID_15123810_Polymorphisms.vcf; downloaded on July 29 2020) (106) using the

BaseRecalibrator and ApplyBQSR from the Genome Analysis Toolkit 4.2.4.0. The average

coverage for each isolate was estimated using samtools v1.9 (104).

The GATK Best Practices were adapted for variant calling. In sequence,

HaplotypeCaller, CombineGVCFs, GenotypeVCFs, VariantFiltration, and SelectVariants (107–

109) was used to identify single nucleotide variants (SNPs) among all sequenced isolates in

diploid and haploid mode for *C. albicans* and *N. glabrata* respectively. The results SNP table

was hard filtered using the suggested parameters and to match (25) (QualByDepth <2.0,

FisherStrand >60.0, root mean square mapping quality <30.0, MappingQualityRankSumTest <

−12.5, ReadPosRankSumTest < −8.0).

Phylogeny construction

Phylogenetic trees were constructed for *C. albicans* and *N. glabrata* isolates. For each species,

the multi-sample VCF file consisting of only genomic DNA SNPs was converted to a FASTA

alignment using a publicly available python script that creates an alignment matrix for

phylogenetic analysis (vcf2phylip.py v2.8, downloaded from

https://github.com/edgardomortiz/vcf2phylip) (110). For heterozygous SNPs in *C. albicans* the

consensus sequence is preferentially made based on the reference (haplotype A) base.

Ambiguous bases are written following IUPAC nucleotide ambiguity codes in the matrix. Ploidy

is not specified, as the most parsimonious ploidy is detected by the script. The FASTA

alignment was parsed in FastTree (2.1.11) (63) in the double precision mode to construct an

approximate maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree using the general time reversible model and

the -gamma option to rescale the branch lengths. Compared to the time-consuming ML-based

phylogeny predictors such as RAxML (111), FastTree has been found to produce large dataset

trees with similar accuracy within a significantly shorter amount of time (112). The phylogeny

was visualized and annotated with the Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL, v5) (113). Isolates from *C.*

albicans Clade 13, which is believed to be a closely related but different species termed *C.*

africanus (66, 67, 114) was used to root the phylogeny. The *N. glabrata* phylogeny was rooted

at the midpoint. While constructing the phylogeny with FastTree with this large data set yielded

a phylogeny comparable to *C. albicans* whole-genome phylogenies previously generated by

other groups using RAxML (25, 115), an attempt to use FastTree to resolve the relatedness of

the THRIVE-yeast isolates yielded poor resolution, as most of the SNP sites were ignored

during the tree construction. Hence to generate a more-refined phylogeny depicting the

relatedness among the isolates from each participant, we constructed a maximum likelihood

phylogeny with RAxML v8.2.12 (111) following standard practice using the GTR+G model with

20ML inferences on the alignment, inferring bootstrap replicate trees, applying MRE-based

bootstrapping test, and drawing support values using TBE (Transfer Bootstrap Expectation) on

the best-scoring tree.

We also looked at the variation in SNPs among isolates from the same participant. Using

RTG tools with the vcfsplit option (116), the VCF files for each isolate were extracted from the

multi-sample VCF file. Using the bedr package in R (117), the VCF files were converted to bed

files. These were used to construct upset plots using Intervene, a tool for the intersection and

visualization of multiple gene or genomic region sets (69).

In silico **MLST typing**

As clades in *N. glabrata* are commonly identified and named through MLST analysis ((64, 65)),

we conducted *in silico* MLST analyses of the YST6 isolates as well as the cluster in which the

YST6 isolated are associated with using stringMLST (118) which uses a predefined MLST *N.*

glabrata allele library (FKS, LEU2, NMT1, TRP1, UGP1, URA3) in the PubMLST database

(119). The diploid sequence types (DSTs) of the global *C. albicans* isolates were determined in

a previous study using a PCR-based method (25). *In silico* identification of DST from the WGS

was error-prone and unable to replicate known DSTs.

Nucleotide diversity among THRIVE-yeast isolates

Nucleotide diversity was estimated between the eight THRIVE-yeast isolates from the same

participant as π, the average pairwise difference between all possible isolate pairs. Briefly, as

above, variants were called using GenotypeGVCFs with --all-sites option activated. Vcftools

(v0.1.16) (120) was used to filter the variants (with --max-meanDP 500, --min-meanDP 20, --

max-missing 0.8). Indels and mitochondrial DNA were excluded (--remove-indels, --not-chr). 14

027 543 sites remained among the *C. albicans* isolates (TVY4, YST7, TVY10) and

12 048 365 among the *N. glabrata* (YST6) isolates. Pixy (v1.2.6.beta1) (121) was used to

estimate π using the four isolates from each site (vaginal or rectal) as a population. Compared

to other tools, pixy has been shown to better deal with bias that results from the implicit

assumption that missing genotypes, including those at sites not represented in the VCF, are

homozygous for the reference allele (121).

Loss of heterozygosity and copy number analyses

We conducted genomewide loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and copy number variant (CNV)

analyses using the web-based yeast analyses pipeline (Y_{MAP}) (70). Mitochondrial DNA is by

default excluded from the pipeline. Paired-end reads of the *N. glabrata* isolates were uploaded

and analyzed to the CBS138 reference genome (CGD: s05-m01-r09). As *N. glabrata* is haploid,

the ploidy was set as 1, which by default excludes LOH analyses. For the *C. albicans* isolates

paired-end read data for each isolate was uploaded and analyzed against the SC5314 A21-s02-

m09-r10 reference genome. Ploidy was left at the default value (two, i.e. diploid), and correction

was enabled for GC-content bias and chromosome-end bias. THRIVE-yeast isolates were

compared against the SC5314 haplotype map to distinguish between ancestral and newly

evolved LOH signatures and determine which allele was retained. CNV profiles were compared

to the reference SC5134 isolate as well as closely related isolates in the phylogeny. The

genomic elements within observed CNV regions were identified using the "gene/sequence

resources" section of the Candida Genome Database (CGD, https://candidagenome.org).

Growth Rate Assay

Two separate growth rate assays were conducted to measure growth in RPMI (10.4 % w/v

RPMI powder, 1.5 % w/v dextrose, 1.73 % w/v MOPS, adjusted to pH 7 with NaOH tablets) and

vaginal simulative medium ("VSM", following (25): 1.16 % v/v 5 mM NaCl, 3.6 % v/v 0.5 M KOH,

0.0128 % v/v 99% glycerol, 20 % v/v 0.01 M $Ca(OH)_2$, 1.34 % v/v 0.5 M Urea, 6.6 % v/v 0.5 M

glucose, 0.67% w/v solid YNB, 0.85 % v/v 2 M acetic acid, 0.192 % v/v lactic acid, adjusted to

pH 4.2 with NaOH tablets). For each experiment, 5 µL of frozen glycerol stock from YST6 (*N.*

glabrata) and YST7 (*C. albicans*) vaginal and rectal isolates was inoculated in duplicate into 500

µL of RPMI or VSM, and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C with agitation at 250 rpm. Cultures were

then standardized to an optical density (OD) of 0.01 A600 in RPMI or VSM and 200 µL was

transferred into a 96-well round bottom plate and sealed with a Breathe-Easier sealing

membrane (Electron Microscopy Sciences, PA, United States). OD_{600} readings were taken by

the Epoch plate reader (Biotek) every 15 minutes, with continuous shaking at 37 °C for 48 h.

From each well, the maximal growth rate was calculated as the spline with the highest slope

using a custom R script written by Dr. Richard Fitzjohn (https://github.com/acgerstein/THRIVE-

variation/scripts_real/growthrate_generic.R). The average growth rate between two technical

replicates for each isolate in each growth medium was used for visualization and statistical

analysis. Statistical outliers were determined through Rosner's test of outliers available through

the rosterTest function in the EnvStats R package (122).

Drug resistance and tolerance

Disk diffusion assays were carried out to measure resistance and tolerance to fluconazole and

boric acid at pH 7 and pH 4.2. Standard CLSI M44 guidelines for antifungal disk diffusion

susceptibility testing (123) were generally followed except for pH adjustment as appropriate. To

initiate each experiment, frozen stock of isolates from YST6 (*N. glabrata*) and YST7 (*C.*

albicans) were streaked onto Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) plates and incubated for 72 h at

room temperature. Isolates were then subcultured by streaking one colony onto a fresh SDA

plate and incubating at 37 ºC for 24 h. One colony from each isolate was then suspended in 200

µl of 0.85% saline solution and standardized to an OD_{600} of 0.01 in 1 mL of saline solution.

Within 15 minutes of standardization, 100 µl of the culture was spread evenly using autoclaved

glass beads on Mueller-Hinton (MH) plates that had been adjusted to a pH of 7.0 or a pH of 4.2.

Plates were left to dry for 20 minutes before placing a single 5 mg boric acid or 25 mg

fluconazole disk in the center of the plate. Plates were incubated upside down at 37 ºC. After 48

h, photographs of each plate were taken on a lightbox. Previous work demonstrated consistent

drug resistance values at 24 h and 48 h. The entire experiment was conducted twice for each

isolate × drug × pH combination, with two technical replicates for each of the two biological

replicates.

The 48 h images were processed in ImageJ as previously described (33). They were

cropped to a uniform size, the colours were inverted, and brightness and contrast were adjusted

to maximize the contrast between the white disk and the black background. The adjusted

images were run through the diskImageR package (72) for drug resistance (RAD_{20}) and

tolerance (FOG_{20}) quantification. Briefly, diskImageR calculates resistance as RAD_{20}, as the

radius of the zone of inhibition where growth is reduced by 20% relative to growth on the

margins of the plate where there is no drug, and tolerance as FoG_{20}, the fraction of realized

growth between RAD_{20} and the disk.

For each participant × drug combination, linear mixed-effect models were fit to determine

whether body site (vaginal or rectal) and environment (pH 4.2 or pH 7) or their interaction

significantly influenced resistance or tolerance. This was done using the lmer function in the

lme4 package in the R programming language with replicate as a random effect (124, 125).

Statistical significance was assessed from the type III analysis of variance table with

Satterthwaite's method.

Invasive growth assay

To examine invasive growth, we revised the methods from (126). Freezer stock from 24 isolates

from all four participants (YST6, TVY4, TVY10, and YST7) were streaked onto 20 mL yeast

peptone dextrose (YPD) plates (2% w/v peptone, 2% w/v yeast extract, 1.8% w/v agar, 1% w/v

glucose, 0.00016% w/v adenine sulfate, 0.00008% w/v uridine, 0.1% v/v of chloramphenicol and

ampicillin) and grown for 72 h at room temperature. A single colony was then randomly chosen

from each isolate and inoculated into 200 µL YPD. If no single colonies were available, a similar

amount of culture from the colony lawn was used. Cultures were standardized to OD_{600} 0.01 in 1

mL of liquid YPD media, then 2 µL of standardized culture was spotted onto the surface of a 20

mL solid YPD plate in a hexagonal pattern for a total of 7 spots per plate (i.e., spotted at each

vertex and in the center). Plates were incubated for 96 h at 37 ºC. The surface growth was

washed off using distilled water and a photograph was taken in a dark room on a lightbox. Two

biological replicates were performed for each isolate.

The qualitative amount of invasive growth for each isolate was determined by visual

examination of the post-wash photographs. To develop a five-point scale, two different people

independently went through the post-wash pictures from YST6 and YST7 and selected two to

six representative pictures that fit into five levels of invasive growth (scored as 1-5). The

independent selections were then compared, and one image from each person was chosen as

the most representative for each level of the scale. Using these as a reference, each isolate was

then categorized into the five levels of the scale (0 - no growth/pipette tip indent, 0.25 - pinprick

growth, 0.5 - circular growth evident, 0.75 - circular growth with pinprick, 1 - dense growth

throughout). The maximum score between the two bio-replicates of each isolate was used for

statistical analysis, though the same statistical conclusions were obtained if the mean score was

used instead. For each participant, a Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare vaginal and

rectal isolates.

Data availability

FASTQ files generated for this project has been deposited at the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive under BioProject ID PRJNA991137.

All phenotypic data and code required to reproduce figures and statistical analyses are available

at https://github.com/acgerstein/THRIVE_yeast-VR. Large files (e.g., BAM, VCF) are available

upon request.

Acknowledgements & Funding

We are extremely thankful to the women who have participated in THRIVE-yeast. We are grateful to Dr. Alicia Berard, Dr. Adam Burgener, Kenzie Birse and other THRIVE investigators for sharing their knowledge and resources which were integral in the initiation of this study and thank other members of the THRIVE-yeast research team including study clinicians, laboratory and administrative staff for their contributions. The study was funded through the Manitoba Medical Service Foundation, a University of Manitoba Faculty of Science Collaborative grant and the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIFAR). ACG acknowledges the support of the CIFAR Azrieli Global Scholars Program and start-up funding from the University of Manitoba. A-RAB and AS were supported by EvoFunPath (NSERC CREATE) fellowships. DAH was funded by a University of Manitoba Research Award and the University of Manitoba Department of Microbiology Fellowship for Education Purposes. AdG was supported by a University of Manitoba Science Students' Association and Faculty of Science Undergraduate Student Research Award (USRA). BM was supported by a Faculty of Science USRA. Some swabs and amies buffer tubes were donated by COPAN Italia.

References:

1. Benedict K, Singleton AL, Jackson BR, Molinari NAM. 2022. Survey of incidence, lifetime prevalence, and treatment of self-reported vulvovaginal candidiasis, United States, 2020. BMC Womens Health 22:147.

2. Yano J, Sobel JD, Nyirjesy P, Sobel R, Williams VL, Yu Q, Noverr MC, Fidel PL Jr. 2019. Current patient perspectives of vulvovaginal candidiasis: incidence, symptoms, management and post-treatment outcomes. BMC Womens Health 19:48.

3. Rathod SD, Buffler PA. 2014. Highly-cited estimates of the cumulative incidence and recurrence of vulvovaginal candidiasis are inadequately documented. BMC Womens Health 14:43.

4. Eschenbach DA. 2004. Chronic vulvovaginal candidiasis. N Engl J Med 351:851-852.

5. Sobel JD, Wiesenfeld HC, Martens M, Danna P, Hooton TM, Rompalo A, Sperling M, Livengood C 3rd, Horowitz B, Von Thron J, Edwards L, Panzer H, Chu T-C. 2004. Maintenance fluconazole therapy for recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. N Engl J Med 351:876–883.

6. Sojakova M, Liptajova D, Borovsky M, Subik J. 2004. Fluconazole and itraconazole susceptibility of vaginal yeast isolates from Slovakia. Mycopathologia 157:163–169.

7. Foxman B, Muraglia R, Dietz J-P, Sobel JD, Wagner J. 2013. Prevalence of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis in 5 European countries and the United States: results from an internet panel survey. J Low Genit Tract Dis 17:340–345.

8. Marrazzo J. 2003. Vulvovaginal candidiasis. BMJ 326:993–994.

9. Denning DW, Kneale M, Sobel JD, Rautemaa-Richardson R. 2018. Global burden of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis 18:e339–e347.

10. Donders GGG, Bellen G, Mendling W. 2010. Management of recurrent vulvo-vaginal candidosis as a chronic illness. Gynecol Obstet Invest 70:306–321.

11. Donders G, Sziller IO, Paavonen J, Hay P, de Seta F, Bohbot JM, Kotarski J, Vives JA, Szabo B, Cepuliené R, Mendling W. 2022. Management of recurrent vulvovaginal candidosis: Narrative review of the literature and European expert panel opinion. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 12:934353.

12. Rosati D, Bruno M, Jaeger M, Ten Oever J, Netea MG. 2020. Recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis: An immunological perspective. Microorganisms 8:144.

13. Sobel JD. 2003. Management of patients with recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. Drugs 63:1059–1066.

14. Zhang J-Y, Liu J-H, Liu F-D, Xia Y-H, Wang J, Liu X, Zhang Z-Q, Zhu N, Yan-Yan, Ying Y, Huang X-T. 2014. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: species distribution, fluconazole resistance and drug efflux pump gene overexpression. Mycoses 57:584–591.

15. Shi X-Y, Yang Y-P, Zhang Y, Li W, Wang J-D, Huang W-M, Fan Y-M. 2015. Molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility of 186 *Candida* isolates from vulvovaginal

candidiasis in southern China. J Med Microbiol 64:390–393.

16. Guzel AB, Ilkit M, Akar T, Burgut R, Demir SC. 2011. Evaluation of risk factors in patients
with vulvovaginal candidiasis and the value of chromID Candida agar versus CHROMagar
Candida for recovery and presumptive identification of vaginal yeast species. Med Mycol
49:16–25.

17. Van Schalkwyk, J., Yudin, M.H., Allen, V., Bouchard, C., Boucher, M., Boucoiran, I., Caddy,
S., Castillo, E., Kennedy, V.L., Money, D.M. and Murphy, K., 2015. Vulvovaginitis:
screening for and management of trichomoniasis, vulvovaginal candidiasis, and bacterial
vaginosis. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 37:266–274

18. Song N, Kan S, Pang Q, Mei H, Zheng H, Li D, Cui F, Lv G, An R, Li P, Xiong Z, Fan S,
Zhang M, Chen Y, Qiao Q, Liang X, Cui M, Li D, Liao Q, Li X, Liu W. 2022. A prospective
study on vulvovaginal candidiasis: multicentre molecular epidemiology of pathogenic yeasts
in China. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 36:566–572.

19. Makanjuola O, Bongomin F, Fayemiwo SA. 2018. An update on the roles of Non-albicans
Candida species in vulvovaginitis. J Fungi (Basel) 4:121.

20. Borman AM, Johnson EM. 2021. Name Changes for Fungi of Medical Importance, 2018 to
2019. J Clin Microbiol 59:10-1128.

21. Kidd SE, Abdolrasouli A, Hagen F. 2023. Fungal nomenclature: Managing change is the
name of the game. Open Forum Infect Dis 10:ofac559.

22. Drell T, Lillsaar T, Tummeleht L, Simm J, Aaspõllu A, Väin E, Saarma I, Salumets A,
Donders GGG, Metsis M. 2013. Characterization of the vaginal micro- and mycobiome in
asymptomatic reproductive-age Estonian women. PLoS One 8:e54379.

23. Beigi RH, Meyn LA, Moore DM, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. 2004. Vaginal yeast colonization in
nonpregnant women: A longitudinal study. Obstetrics & Gynecology 104:926-926.

24. Bullarbo M, Andersch B, Samuelson E, Lindgren A, Kondori N, Baltzer IM. 2017. Self-
diagnosis of vulvovaginal candidiasis is poor - A comparison of diagnostic methods
introducing β-glucan as a complement. Bioenergetics 6:1000202.

25. Ropars J, Maufrais C, Diogo D, Marcet-Houben M, Perin A, Sertour N, Mosca K, Permal E,
Laval G, Bouchier C, Ma L, Schwartz K, Voelz K, May RC, Poulain J, Battail C, Wincker P,
Borman AM, Chowdhary A, Fan S, Kim SH, Le Pape P, Romeo O, Shin JH, Gabaldon T,
Sherlock G, Bougnoux M-E, d'Enfert C. 2018. Gene flow contributes to diversification of the
major fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. Nat Commun 9:2253.

26. Anderson FM, Visser N, Amses K, Hodgins-Davis A, Weber AM, Metzner KM, McFadden
MJ, Mills RE, O'Meara MJ, James TY, O'Meara TR. 2023. Candida albicans selection for
human commensalism results in substantial within-host diversity without decreasing fitness
for invasive disease. PLoS Biol 21:e3001822.

27. Iavazzo C, Gkegkes ID, Zarkada IM, Falagas ME. 2011. Boric acid for recurrent
vulvovaginal candidiasis: the clinical evidence. J Womens Health 20:1245–1255.

28. Guaschino S, De Seta F, Sartore A, Ricci G, De Santo D, Piccoli M, Alberico S. 2001.

Efficacy of maintenance therapy with topical boric acid in comparison with oral itraconazole
in the treatment of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 184:598–602.

29. Pfaller MA, Rhomberg PR, Messer SA, Jones RN, Castanheira M. 2015. Isavuconazole,
micafungin, and 8 comparator antifungal agents' susceptibility profiles for common and
uncommon opportunistic fungi collected in 2013: temporal analysis of antifungal drug
resistance using CLSI species-specific clinical breakpoints and proposed epidemiological
cutoff values. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 82:303–313.

30. Fan SR, Liu XP, Li JW. 2008. Clinical characteristics of vulvovaginal candidiasis and
antifungal susceptibilities of *Candida* species isolates among patients in southern China
from 2003 to 2006. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 34:561–566.

31. Berkow EL, Lockhart SR. 2017. Fluconazole resistance in *Candida* species: a current
perspective. Infect Drug Resist 10:237–245.

32. Richter SS, Galask RP, Messer SA, Hollis RJ, Diekema DJ, Pfaller MA. 2005. Antifungal
susceptibilities of *Candida* species causing vulvovaginitis and epidemiology of recurrent
cases. J Clin Microbiol 43:2155–2162.

33. Salama OE, Gerstein AC. 2022. Differential response of *Candida* species morphologies
and isolates to fluconazole and boric acid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 66:e0240621.

34. Rosenberg A, Ene IV, Bibi M, Zakin S, Segal ES, Ziv N, Dahan AM, Colombo AL, Bennett
RJ, Berman J. 2018. Antifungal tolerance is a subpopulation effect distinct from resistance
and is associated with persistent candidemia. Nat Commun 9:2470.

35. Berman J, Krysan DJ. 2020. Drug resistance and tolerance in fungi. Nature Reviews
Microbiology, 18:319-331.

36. Tasić S, Tasić N, Tasić A, Mitrović S. 2002. Recurrent genital candidosis of women;
consequence of reinfection of relapse. Med Biol 9:217-222.

37. Lanchares JL, Hernández ML. 2000. Recurrent vaginal candidiasis changes in
etiopathogenical patterns. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 71:29-35.

38. Giraldo PC, Rodrigues HM, Gosson AM, Amaral R, Eleutério J, Gonçalves AKS. 2014.
Vulvovaginitis and the treatment of asymptomatic partners: a systematic review and meta-
analysis. J Bras Doenças Sex Transm 26:15–20.

39. Milne JD, Warnock DW. 1979. Effect of simultaneous oral and vaginal treatment on the rate
of cure and relapse in vaginal candidosis. Br J Vener Dis 55:362–365.

40. El-Din SS, Reynolds MT, Ashbee HR, Barton RC, Evans EG. 2001. An investigation into
the pathogenesis of vulvo-vaginal candidosis. Sex Transm Infect 77:179–183.

41. Fong IW. 1994. The rectal carriage of yeast in patients with vaginal candidiasis. Clin Invest
Med 17:426–431.

42. Sobel JD. 1986. Recurrent Vulvovaginal Candidiasis. N Engl J Med 315:1455–1458.

43. Mårdh P-A, Novikova N, Stukalova E. 2003. Colonisation of extragenital sites by Candida in
women with recurrent vulvovaginal candiosis. BJOG 110:934–937.

44. Spinillo A, Nicola S, Colonna L, Marangoni E, Cavanna C, Michelone G. 1994. Frequency
and significance of drug resistance in vulvovaginal candidiasis. Gynecol Obstet Invest
38:130–133.

45. O'Connor MI, Sobel JD. 1986. Epidemiology of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis:
identification and strain differentiation of *Candida albicans*. J Infect Dis 154:358–363.

46. Warnock DW, Speller DC, Day JK, Farrell AJ. 1979. Resistogram method for differentiation
of strains of *Candida albicans*. J Appl Bacteriol 46:571–578.

47. Odds FC, Abbott AB. 1980. A simple system for the presumptive identification of *Candida*
albicans and differentiation of strains within the species. Sabouraudia 18:301–317.

48. Stein GE, Sheridan VL, Magee BB, Magee PT. 1991. Use of rDNA restriction fragment
length polymorphisms to differentiate strains of *Candida albicans* in women with
vulvovaginal candidiasis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 14:459–464.

49. Lockhart SR, Reed BD, Pierson CL, Soll DR. 1996. Most frequent scenario for recurrent
Candida vaginitis is strain maintenance with "substrain shuffling": demonstration by
sequential DNA fingerprinting with probes Ca3, C1, and CARE2. J Clin Microbiol 34:767–
777.

50. Soll DR, Galask R, Isley S, Rao TV, Stone D, Hicks J, Schmid J, Mac K, Hanna C. 1989.
Switching of *Candida albicans* during successive episodes of recurrent vaginitis. J Clin
Microbiol 27:681–690.

51. Mendling W, Pinto De Andrade M, Gutschmidt J, Gantenberg R, Presber W, Schonian G.
2000. Strain specificity of yeasts isolated from different locations of women suffering from
vaginal candidosis, and their partners. Mycoses 43:387–392.

52. Vazquez JA, Sobel JD, Demitriou R, Vaishampayan J, Lynch M, Zervos MJ. 1994.
Karyotyping of *Candida albicans* isolates obtained longitudinally in women with recurrent
vulvovaginal candidiasis. J Infect Dis 170:1566–1569.

53. Amouri I, Sellami H, Abbes S, Hadrich I, Mahfoudh N, Makni H, Ayadi A. 2012.
Microsatellite analysis of *Candida* isolates from recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. J Med
Microbiol 61:1091–1096.

54. Sampaio P, Gusmão L, Correia A, Alves C, Rodrigues AG, Pina-Vaz C, Amorim A, Pais C.
2005. New microsatellite multiplex PCR for *Candida albicans* strain typing reveals
microevolutionary changes. J Clin Microbiol 43:3869–3876.

55. Güzel AB, Döğen A, Aydın M, Serin A, Serin MS, Kalkancı A, Ilkit M. 2013. Genotyping
reveals no link between *Candida albicans* genotype and vaginitis severity in Turkish
women. Mycopathologia 175:287–294.

56. Hong N, Lei Y, Chen H, Chen X, Tsui KM, Hu D, Liao W, Yan L, Zhang H, Zhao R, Wu G,
Yu N, Deng S. 2022. Genotyping and Drug Resistance Profile of Clinical Isolates of
Candida albicans from Vulvovaginal Candidiasis in the Eastern China. Mycopathologia
187:217–224.

57. Araújo Paulo de Medeiros M, Vieira de Melo AP, Gonçalves SS, Milan EP, Chaves GM.

2014. Genetic relatedness among vaginal and anal isolates of *Candida albicans* from
women with vulvovaginal candidiasis in north-east Brazil. J Med Microbiol 63:1436–1445.

58. Chong PP, Abdul Hadi SR, Lee YL, Phan CL, Tan BC, Ng KP, Seow HF. 2007. Genotyping
and drug resistance profile of *Candida* spp. in recurrent and one-off vaginitis, and high
association of non-albicans species with non-pregnant status. Infect Genet Evol 7:449–456.

59. Faria-Gonçalves P, Gaspar C, Oliveira AS, Palmeira-de-Oliveira R, Gonçalves T, Martinez-
de-Oliveira J, Palmeira-de-Oliveira A, Rolo J. 2021. Evaluation of overtime phenotypic
variation of yeasts in chronic vulvovaginal candidosis cases. Med Mycol 59:1166–1173.

60. Tian J-Y, Yang Y-G, Chen S, Teng Y, Li X-Z. 2021. Genetic diversity and molecular
epidemiology of *Candida albicans* from vulvovaginal candidiasis patients. Infect Genet Evol
92:104893.

61. Zhu Y, Fang C, Shi Y, Shan Y, Liu X, Liang Y, Huang L, Liu X, Liu C, Zhao Y, Fan S, Zhang
X. 2022. *Candida albicans* multilocus sequence typing clade I contributes to the clinical
phenotype of vulvovaginal candidiasis patients. Front Med 9:837536.

62. Bradford LL, Chibucos MC, Ma B, Bruno V, Ravel J. 2017. Vaginal *Candida* spp. genomes
from women with vulvovaginal candidiasis. Pathog Dis 75:ftx061.

63. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees
for large alignments. PLoS One 5:e9490.

64. Helmstetter N, Chybowska AD, Delaney C, Da Silva Dantas A, Gifford H, Wacker T, Munro
C, Warris A, Jones B, Cuomo CA, Wilson D, Ramage G, Farrer RA. 2022. Population
genetics and microevolution of clinical *Candida glabrata* reveals recombinant sequence
types and hyper-variation within mitochondrial genomes, virulence genes, and drug targets.
Genetics 221:iyac031.

65. Carreté L, Ksiezopolska E, Pegueroles C, Gómez-Molero E, Saus E, Iraola-Guzmán S,
Loska D, Bader O, Fairhead C, Gabaldón T. 2018. Patterns of genomic variation in the
opportunistic pathogen *Candida glabrata* suggest the existence of mating and a secondary
association with humans. Curr Biol 28:15–27.

66. Romeo O, Tietz H-J, Criseo G. 2013. *Candida africana*: Is It a fungal pathogen? Curr
Fungal Infect Rep 7:192–197.

67. Mixão V, Saus E, Boekhout T, Gabaldón T. 2021. Extreme diversification driven by parallel
events of massive loss of heterozygosity in the hybrid lineage of *Candida albicans*.
Genetics 217:iyaa004.

68. Riley R, Nagy L. 2018. Fungal phylogenomics. Methods Mol Biol 1775:251–266.

69. Khan A, Mathelier A. 2017. Intervene: a tool for intersection and visualization of multiple
gene or genomic region sets. BMC Bioinformatics 18:1-8.

70. Abbey DA, Funt J, Lurie-Weinberger MN, Thompson DA, Regev A, Myers CL, Berman J.
2014. YMAP: a pipeline for visualization of copy number variation and loss of
heterozygosity in eukaryotic pathogens. Genome Med 6:1-16.

71. Pemberton TJ. 2006. Identification and comparative analysis of sixteen fungal peptidyl-prolyl

cis/trans isomerase repertoires. BMC Genomics 7:1-30.

72. Gerstein AC, Rosenberg A, Hecht I, Berman J. 2016. diskImageR: quantification of
resistance and tolerance to antimicrobial drugs using disk diffusion assays. Microbiology
162:1059–1068.

73. Jafarzadeh L, Ranjbar M, Nazari T, Naeimi Eshkaleti M, Aghaei Gharehbolagh S, Sobel JD,
Mahmoudi S. 2022. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: An overview of mycological, clinical, and
immunological aspects. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 48:1546–1560.

74. Spinillo A, Carratta L, Pizzoli G, Lombardi G, Cavanna C, Michelone G, Guaschino S. 1992.
Recurrent vaginal candidiasis. Results of a cohort study of sexual transmission and
intestinal reservoir. J Reprod Med 37:343–347.

75. Sampaio P, Gusmão L, Alves C, Pina-Vaz C, Amorim A, Pais C. 2003. Highly polymorphic
microsatellite for identification of *Candida albicans* strains. J Clin Microbiol 41:552–557.

76. Shi W-M, Mei X-Y, Gao F, Huo K-K, Shen L-L, Qin H-H, Wu Z-W, Zheng J. 2007. Analysis
of genital *Candida albicans* infection by rapid microsatellite markers genotyping. Chin Med
J (Engl) 120:975–980.

77. Smukowski Heil C. 2023. Loss of heterozygosity and its importance in evolution. J Mol Evol
91:369–377.

78. Ford CB, Funt JM, Abbey D, Issi L, Guiducci C, Martinez DA, Delorey T, Li B yu, White TC,
Cuomo C, Rao RP, Berman J, Thompson DA, Regev A. 2015. The evolution of drug
resistance in clinical isolates of *Candida albicans*. 4:662.

79. Diogo D, Bouchier C, d'Enfert C, Bougnoux M-E. 2009. Loss of heterozygosity in
commensal isolates of the asexual diploid yeast *Candida albicans*. Fungal Genet Biol
46:159–168.

80. James TY, Michelotti LA, Glasco AD, Clemons RA, Powers RA, James ES, Simmons DR,
Bai F, Ge S. 2019. Adaptation by loss of heterozygosity in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
Clones Under Divergent Selection. Genetics 213:665–683.

81. Ene IV, Farrer RA, Hirakawa MP, Agwamba K, Cuomo CA, Bennett RJ. 2018. Global
analysis of mutations driving microevolution of a heterozygous diploid fungal pathogen.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E8688–E8697.

82. Smith AC, Morran LT, Hickman MA. 2022. Host defense mechanisms induce genome
instability leading to rapid evolution in an opportunistic fungal pathogen. Infect Immun
90:e0032821.

83. Odds FC, Bougnoux M-E, Shaw DJ, Bain JM, Davidson AD, Diogo D, Jacobsen MD,
Lecomte M, Li S-Y, Tavanti A, Maiden MCJ, Gow NAR, d'Enfert C. 2007. Molecular
phylogenetics of *Candida albicans*. Eukaryot Cell 6:1041–1052.

84. Ge S-H, Xie J, Xu J, Li J, Li D-M, Zong L-L, Zheng Y-C, Bai F-Y. 2012. Prevalence of
specific and phylogenetically closely related genotypes in the population of *Candida*
albicans associated with genital candidiasis in China. Fungal Genet Biol 49:86–93.

85. Schmid J, Herd S, Hunter PR, Cannon RD, Yasin MSM, Samad S, Carr M, Parr D,

McKinney W, Schousboe M, Harris B, Ikram R, Harris M, Restrepo A, Hoyos G, Singh KP.
1999. Evidence for a general-purpose genotype in *Candida albicans*, highly prevalent in
multiple geographical regions, patient types and types of infection. Microbiology 145 (Pt
9):2405–2413.

86. Giblin L, Edelmann A, Zhang N, von Maltzahn NB, Cleland SB, Sullivan PA, Schmid J.
2001. A DNA polymorphism specific to *Candida albicans* strains exceptionally successful
as human pathogens. Gene 272:157–164.

87. Cravener MV, Do E, May G, Zarnowski R, Andes DR, McManus CJ, Mitchell AP. 2023.
Reinforcement amid genetic diversity in the *Candida albicans* biofilm regulatory network.
PLoS Pathog 19:e1011109.

88. MacCallum DM, Castillo L, Nather K, Munro CA, Brown AJP, Gow NAR, Odds FC. 2009.
Property differences among the four major *Candida albicans* strain clades. Eukaryot Cell
8:373–387.

89. Sala A, Ardizzoni A, Spaggiari L, Vaidya N, van der Schaaf J, Rizzato C, Cermelli C,
Mogavero S, Krüger T, Himmel M, Kniemeyer O, Brakhage AA, King BL, Lupetti A, Comar
M, de Seta F, Tavanti A, Blasi E, Wheeler RT, Pericolini E. 2023. A new phenotype in
candida-epithelial cell interaction distinguishes colonization- versus vulvovaginal
candidiasis-associated strains. MBio 14:e00107-23.

90. Kennedy MA, Sobel JD. 2010. Vulvovaginal candidiasis caused by Non-albicans *Candida*
species: New insights. Curr Infect Dis Rep 12:465–470.

91. Galocha M, Pais P, Cavalheiro M, Pereira D, Viana R, Teixeira MC. 2019. Divergent
approaches to virulence in *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata*: Two sides of the same coin. Int J
Mol Sci 20:2345.

92. Brunke S, Hube B. 2013. Two unlike cousins: *Candida albicans* and *C. glabrata* infection
strategies. Cell Microbiol 15:701–708.

93. Liu W, Zhang X, Liu Z, Luo X. 2011. Impact of pH on the antifungal susceptibility of vaginal
Candida albicans. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 114:278–280.

94. Sobel JD, Sebastian S, Boikov DA. 2023. A longitudinal study on Fluconazole resistance in
C.albicans vaginal isolates. Mycoses 66:563-565.

95. Danby CS, Boikov D, Rautemaa-Richardson R, Sobel JD. 2012. Effect of pH on *in vitro*
susceptibility of *Candida glabrata* and *Candida albicans* to 11 antifungal agents and
implications for clinical use. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:1403–1406.

96. Araújo Paulo de Medeiros M, Vieira de Melo AP, Maia de Sousa AM, Silva-Rocha WP,
Pipolo Milan E, Maranhão Chaves G. 2017. Characterization of virulence factors of vaginal
and anal isolates of *Candida albicans* sequentially obtained from patients with vulvovaginal
candidiasis in north-east Brazil. J Mycol Med 27:567–572.

97. Selmecki A, Forche A, Berman J. 2006. Aneuploidy and isochromosome formation in drug-
resistant *Candida albicans*. Science 313:367–370.

98. Sayers EW, Bolton EE, Brister JR, Canese K, Chan J, Comeau DC, Connor R, Funk K,

Kelly C, Kim S, Madej T, Marchler-Bauer A, Lanczycki C, Lathrop S, Lu Z, Thibaud-Nissen
F, Murphy T, Phan L, Skripchenko Y, Tse T, Wang J, Williams R, Trawick BW, Pruitt KD,
Sherry ST. 2022. Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information.
Nucleic Acids Res 50:D20–D26.

99. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120.

100. Todd RT, Wikoff TD, Forche A, Selmecki A. 2019. Genome plasticity in *Candida albicans* is
driven by long repeat sequences. Elife 8:e45954.

101. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. 2016. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics 32:3047–3048.

102. Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v2 [q-bio.GN].

103. Skrzypek MS, Binkley J, Binkley G, Miyasato SR, Simison M, Sherlock G. 2017. The
Candida Genome Database (CGD): incorporation of Assembly 22, systematic identifiers
and visualization of high throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D592–D596.

104. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin
R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map
format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

105. Yates AD, Allen J, Amode RM, Azov AG, Barba M, Becerra A, Bhai J, Campbell LI,
Carbajo Martinez M, Chakiachvili M, Chougule K, Christensen M, Contreras-Moreira B,
Cuzick A, Da Rin Fioretto L, Davis P, De Silva NH, Diamantakis S, Dyer S, Elser J, Filippi
CV, Gall A, Grigoriadis D, Guijarro-Clarke C, Gupta P, Hammond-Kosack KE, Howe KL,
Jaiswal P, Kaikala V, Kumar V, Kumari S, Langridge N, Le T, Luypaert M, Maslen GL,
Maurel T, Moore B, Muffato M, Mushtaq A, Naamati G, Naithani S, Olson A, Parker A,
Paulini M, Pedro H, Perry E, Preece J, Quinton-Tulloch M, Rodgers F, Rosello M, Ruffier
M, Seager J, Sitnik V, Szpak M, Tate J, Tello-Ruiz MK, Trevanion SJ, Urban M, Ware D,
Wei S, Williams G, Winterbottom A, Zarowiecki M, Finn RD, Flicek P. 2022. Ensembl
Genomes 2022: an expanding genome resource for non-vertebrates. Nucleic Acids Res
50:D996–D1003.

106. Jones T, Federspiel NA, Chibana H, Dungan J, Kalman S, Magee BB, Newport G,
Thorstenson YR, Agabian N, Magee PT, Davis RW, Scherer S. 2004. The diploid genome
sequence of *Candida albicans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:7329–7334.

107. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA, del
Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, McKenna A, Fennell TJ, Kernytsky AM, Sivachenko AY,
Cibulskis K, Gabriel SB, Altshuler D, Daly MJ. 2011. A framework for variation discovery
and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet 43:491–498.

108. Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A,
Jordan T, Shakir K, Roazen D, Thibault J, Banks E, Garimella KV, Altshuler D, Gabriel S,
DePristo MA. 2013. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis
Toolkit best practices pipeline. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 43:11.10.1–11.10.33.

109. Poplin R, Ruano-Rubio V, DePristo MA, Fennell TJ, Carneiro MO, Van der Auwera GA,

Kling DE, Gauthier LD, Levy-Moonshine A, Roazen D, Shakir K, Thibault J, Chandran S,
Whelan C, Lek M, Gabriel S, Daly MJ, Neale B, MacArthur DG, Banks E. 2018. Scaling
accurate genetic variant discovery to tens of thousands of samples. bioRxiv
https://doi.org/10.1101/201178

110. Ortiz EM. 2019. vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for
phylogenetic analysis. Zenodo 10.5281/zenodo.2540861

111. Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

112. Liu K, Linder CR, Warnow T. 2011. RAxML and FastTree: comparing two methods for
large-scale maximum likelihood phylogeny estimation. PLoS One 6:e27731.

113. Letunic I, Bork P. 2021. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic
tree display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 49:W293–W296.

114. Mixão V, Gabaldón T. 2020. Genomic evidence for a hybrid origin of the yeast
opportunistic pathogen Candida albicans. BMC Biol 18:48.

115. Szarvas J, Rebelo AR, Bortolaia V, Leekitcharoenphon P, Schrøder Hansen D, Nielsen
HL, Nørskov-Lauritsen N, Kemp M, Røder BL, Frimodt-Møller N, Søndergaard TS, Coia JE,
Østergaard C, Westh H, Aarestrup FM. 2021. Danish whole-genome-sequenced *Candida
albicans* and *Candida glabrata* samples fit into globally prevalent clades. J Fungi (Basel) 7:
962.

116. Cleary JG, Braithwaite R, Gaastra K, Hilbush BS, Inglis S, Irvine SA, Jackson A, Littin R,
Rathod M, Ware D, Zook JM, Trigg L, De La Vega FM. 2015. Comparing variant call files
for performance benchmarking of xext-generation sequencing variant calling pipelines.
bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/023754

117. Haider S, Waggott D, C. Boutros P. 2019. bedr: Genomic Region Processing using Tools
Such as "BEDTools", "BEDOPS" and "Tabix." https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=bedr.

118. Gupta A, Jordan IK, Rishishwar L. 2017. stringMLST: a fast k-mer based tool for multilocus
sequence typing. Bioinformatics 33:119–121.

119. Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. 2018. Open-access bacterial population genomics:
BIGSdb software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res
3:124.

120. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE,
Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, McVean G, Durbin R, 1000 Genomes Project Analysis
Group. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27:2156–2158.

121. Korunes KL, Samuk K. 2021. pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and
divergence in the presence of missing data. Mol Ecol Resour 21:1359–1368.

122. Millard SP. 2013. EnvStats: An R Package for Environmental Statistics. Springer New
York.

123. NCCLS. Reference method for antifungal disk diffusion susceptibility testing of yeasts;
approved guideline. NCCLS document M44-A National Committee for Clinical Laboratory

1008   Standards Wayne.

1009 124. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff B, Christensen HB. 2017. lmerTest: Tests in linear mixed effects
1010    models. J Stat Softw 82:1-26.

1011 125. Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using
1012    lme4. J Stat Softw 67:1–48.

1013 126. Zupan J, Raspor P. 2008. Quantitative agar-invasion assay. J Microbiol Methods 73:100–
1014    104.

1015

 Table and figure legends

 Table 1. Statistical results of disk diffusion assay linear mixed-effect models.

 FIG 1 Approximate maximum likelihood phylogenies of (A) *N. glabrata* (n = 526 isolates and
 eight THRIVE-yeast isolates) and (B) *C. albicans* (n = 182 isolates and 24 THRIVE-yeast
 isolates). THRIVE-yeast isolates are indicated with red labels. The YST6 *N. glabrata*
 isolates fall in a cluster of predominantly ST16 isolates (ST16 shown by blue branches,
 black indicates not ST16). TVY4, TVY10, and YST7 *C. albicans* isolates fall in clade 1 (blue
 branches). The physiological sites of isolation are indicated as a colour strip around the
 phylogeny indicating vaginal (purple), rectal (orange), and all other sites (grey). The *N.*
 glabrata phylogeny was rooted at the midpoint, and the *C. albicans* tree was rooted by
 clade 13 (grey labels).

 FIG 2 Fine-scale phylogenetic structure among THRIVE-yeast isolates. Vaginal (V) and rectal
 (R) isolates were acquired during symptomatic infection from four participants with a history
 of RVVC. A) *N. glabrata* isolates from YST6. *C. albicans* isolates from B) TVY4, C) TVY10,
 D) YST7. The left panels depict phylogenetic trees generated with RAxML; blue circles
 indicate branches with a minimum bootstrap support of 0.7. The right panels show the
 number of isolates each variants was found in.

 FIG 3 CNV and LOH profiles of THRIVE-yeast. A) Representative trace of a YST6 isolate (*N.*
 glabrata) and B) TVY10 isolates, representative traces of TVY4 and YST7 isolates, and
 four isolates that are closely related to YST7. Relative copy number compared to the
 reference genomes is shown as the horizontal black line with the scale on the y axis. For *C.*
 albicans isolates, the density of heterozygous SNPs is shown as the vertical lines spanning
 the height of each chromosome box, with the intensity representing the number of SNPs in
 5 kb bins. Heterozygous SNPs are gray, homozygous SNPs are coloured based on the
 retained SC5314 homolog: cyan for "AA" and magenta for "BB." White indicates an
 ancestral LOH in SC5314. For each chromosome the centromere is indicated by an
 indentation in the box. The dots on the bottom line below each box indicate the positions of
 major repeat sequences. C) Fine-scale coverage mapping of the putative CNV on chr3.
 Shown is one representative trace from YST7 R2, all isolates have a similar pattern. Gene
 positions above the figure are approximated.

 FIG 4 Growth rate was measured from 24 vaginal and 24 rectal isolates from YST6 and YST7.
 Optical density was recorded every 15 minutes in a plate reader with constant shaking and
 incubation at 37 ℃. Each point represents the mean of two technical replicates for each
 isolate, 24 isolates were measured for each group. Growth rate was calculated as the
 spline with the highest slope using a custom R script.

 FIG 5 Drug response phenotypes from disk diffusion assays of isolates from YST6 (*N. glabrata*,

left) and YST7 (*C. albicans*, right). Drug resistance (top panels) and drug tolerance (bottom
panels) was measured from disk diffusion assays for fluconazole (FLC) and boric acid (BA).
Drug response was measured on pH 4 and pH 7 Mueller-Hinton plates using the R
package *diskImageR* (72), which computationally measures response parameters from
images of disk diffusion assays. Each point represents the mean of four replicates (two
technical replicates for two biological replicates), with the coloured line indicating the
median of 24 isolates in each group.

1064

1065   **FIG 6** Invasive growth was qualitatively scored after growth on YPD plates for 96 h. Each point
1066   indicates the maximum scores between two bioreplicates for each isolate.

Table 1. Statistical results of disk diffusion assay linear mixed-effect models.

Participant	Drug	Phenotype	pH	Site of isolation	pH*site of isolation
YST6	FLC	Resistance	$F_{1,55} = 1207.3, p < 0.0001$	$F_{1,55} = 0.007, p = 0.93$	$F_{1,55} = 0.007, p = 0.93$
YST6	BA	Resistance	$F_{1,46} = 305.8, p < 0.0001$	$F_{1,46} = 0.81, p = 0.37$	$F_{1,46} = 5.47, p = 0.024$
YST7	FLC	Resistance	$F_{1,46} = 70.0, p < 0.0001$	$F_{1,46} = 3.3, p = 0.075$	$F_{1,46} = 0.08, p = 0.77$
YST7	BA	Resistance	$F_{1,46} = 296.0, p < 0.0001$	$F_{1,46} = 0.43, p = 0.51$	$F_{1,46} = 2.9, p = 0.10$
YST6	FLC	Tolerance	-	$t_{23} = 0.34, p = 0.74$	-
YST6	BA	Tolerance	$F_{1,92} = 7.9, p = 0.006$	$F_{1,92} = 0.16, p = 0.69$	$F_{1,92} = 0.77, p = 0.38$
YST7	FLC	Tolerance	$F_{1,92} = 8.52, p = 0.0044$	$F_{1,92} = 2.2, p = 0.14$	$F_{1,92} = 0.32, p = 0.57$
YST7	BA	Tolerance	$F_{1,46} = 323.1, p < 0.0001$	$F_{1,46} = 1.63, p = 0.21$	$F_{1,46} = 2.13, p = 0.15$

FIG 1 Approximate maximum likelihood phylogenies of (A) *N. glabrata* (n = 526 isolates and eight THRIVE-yeast isolates) and (B) *C. albicans* (n = 182 isolates and 24 THRIVE-yeast isolates). THRIVE-yeast isolates are indicated with red labels. The YST6 *N. glabrata* isolates fall in a cluster of predominantly ST16 isolates (ST16 shown by blue branches, black indicates not ST16). TVY4, TVY10, and YST7 *C. albicans* isolates fall in clade 1 (blue branches). The physiological sites of isolation are indicated as a colour strip around the phylogeny indicating vaginal (purple), rectal (orange), and all other sites (grey). The *N. glabrata* phylogeny was rooted at the midpoint, and the *C. albicans* tree was rooted by clade 13 (grey labels).

FIG 2 Fine-scale phylogenetic structure among THRIVE-yeast isolates. Vaginal (V) and rectal (R) isolates were acquired during symptomatic infection from four participants with a history of RVVC. A) *N. glabrata* isolates from YST6. *C. albicans* isolates from B) TVY4, C) TVY10, D) YST7. The left panels depict phylogenetic trees generated with RAxML; blue circles indicate branches with a minimum bootstrap support of 0.7. The right panels show the number of isolates each variants was found in.

FIG 3 CNV and LOH profiles of THRIVE-yeast. A) Representative trace of a YST6 isolate (*N. glabrata*) and B) TVY10 isolates, representative traces of TVY4 and YST7 isolates, and four isolates that are closely related to YST7. Relative copy number compared to the reference genomes is shown as the horizontal black line with the scale on the y axis. For *C. albicans* isolates, the density of heterozygous SNPs is shown as the vertical lines spanning the height of each chromosome box, with the intensity representing the number of SNPs in 5 kb bins. Heterozygous SNPs are gray, homozygous SNPs are coloured based on the retained SC5314 homolog: cyan for "AA" and magenta for "BB." White indicates an ancestral LOH in SC5314. For each chromosome the centromere is indicated by an indentation in the box. The dots on the bottom line below each box indicate the positions of major repeat sequences. C) Fine-scale coverage mapping of the putative CNV on chr3. Shown is one representative trace from YST7 R2, all isolates have a similar pattern. Gene positions above the figure are approximated.

FIG 4 Growth rate was measured from 24 vaginal and 24 rectal isolates from YST6 and YST7. Optical density was recorded every 15 minutes in a plate reader with constant shaking and incubation at 37 °C. Each point represents the mean of two technical replicates for each isolate, 24 isolates were measured for each group. Growth rate was calculated as the spline with the highest slope using a custom R script.

FIG 5 Drug response phenotypes from disk diffusion assays of isolates from YST6 (*N. glabrata*, left) and YST7 (*C. albicans*, right). Drug resistance (top panels) and drug tolerance (bottom panels) was measured from disk diffusion assays for fluconazole (FLC) and boric acid (BA). Drug response was measured on pH 4 and pH 7 Mueller-Hinton plates using the R package *diskImageR* (72), which computationally measures response parameters from images of disk diffusion assays. Each point represents the mean of four replicates (two technical replicates for two biological replicates), with the coloured line indicating the median of 24 isolates in each group.

FIG 6 Invasive growth was qualitatively scored after growth on YPD plates for 96 h. Each point indicates the maximum scores between two bioreplicates for each isolate.