The use of imaging flow cytometry for rapid, high-throughput and automated analysis

of the *Leishmania mexicana* promastigote cell cycle provides new insights into cell

cycle events of short duration.

Short title: Imaging flow cytometry analysis of the *L. mexicana* cell cycle

Jessie Howell[1], Sulochana Omwenga[2], Melanie Jimenez[3] and Tansy C. Hammarton[2]*

[1]James Watt School of Engineering, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

[2]School of Infection and Immunity, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom

[3]Biomedical Engineering Department, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author. Email: Tansy.Hammarton@glasgow.ac.uk (TH)

Abstract

Promastigote *Leishmania mexicana* have a complex cell division cycle characterised by the ordered replication of several single-copy organelles, a prolonged S phase and very rapid G2 and cytokinesis phases, accompanied by cell cycle stage-associated morphological changes. Here we exploit these morphological changes to develop a high-throughput and semi-automated imaging flow cytometry (IFC) pipeline to analyse the cell cycle of *L. mexicana* in live cells. Firstly, we demonstrate that, unlike several other DNA stains, Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Orange (DCO) is non-toxic and enables quantitative DNA imaging in live *L. mexicana* promastigotes. Secondly, by tagging the orphan spindle kinesin, KINF, with mNeonGreen, we describe KINF's cell cycle-dependent expression and localisation. Then, by combining manual gating of DCO DNA intensity profiles with automated masking and morphological measurements of parasite images, visual determination of the number of flagella per cell, and automated masking and analysis of mNG:KINF fluorescence, we provide a newly detailed description of *L. mexicana* promastigote cell cycle events that, for the first time, includes the durations of individual G2, mitosis and post-mitosis phases. By applying IFC in this way, we were able, in minutes, to capture tens of thousands of high-quality brightfield and fluorescent images of live *L. mexicana* cells in solution, and to acquire quantitative data across multiple parameters for every image captured. Our custom-developed masking and gating scheme allowed us to identify elusive G2 cells, show that cytokinesis commences during early mitosis and continues after mitosis is complete, and identify newly divided cells that were within the first 12 minutes of the new cell cycle. Our new pipeline offers many advantages over traditional methods of cell cycle analysis such as fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry and paves the way for novel high-throughput analysis of *Leishmania* cell division.

Author Summary

Leishmania mexicana is a single-celled parasite that is spread by sand flies and causes a

spectrum of diseases called the leishmaniases in humans and animals. To cause disease, *L.*

mexicana parasites must replicate and divide, and their cell division cycle has unusual and

complex features, including that the parasite changes shape as it replicates. To aid analysis

of the *L. mexicana* cell cycle, we developed a new quantitative DNA staining technique and

also generated a fluorescent parasite cell line that highlighted when cells were dividing their

DNA after replicating it (mitosis). We then applied a high-throughput technique called

imaging flow cytometry to capture images of tens of thousands of these parasites in just a

few minutes. For each image, we were able to extract data about DNA replication, cell

shape and also whether the cells were in mitosis or not. This provided new insights into how

the parasites divide and how long each stage of cell division takes. More importantly, the

imaging pipelines we have developed offer great advantages in terms of speed and depth

over more traditional analysis techniques such as microscopy and should pave the way for

increasingly detailed analyses of parasite cell biology in the future.

Introduction

Leishmania spp. are unicellular parasitic protists responsible for the leishmaniases, a heterogenous group of neglected tropical diseases that affect humans and animals, causing disfiguring and potentially disabling cutaneous lesions to fatal visceral disease. Over 20 species of *Leishmania* can cause disease in humans, and there are more than 90 sand fly vector species that transmit the parasites between hosts (https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/leishmaniasis). Key to being able to complete its digenetic life cycle and to cause disease in humans and animals, is the ability of the parasite to proliferate in its hosts. Thus, understanding better how its cell cycle is regulated at the molecular level could offer new avenues for the development of novel therapeutics for leishmaniasis.

Leishmania are members of the Kinetoplastida, an order characterised by the presence of two DNA-containing organelles: the nucleus, and the kinetoplast, which contains the genome of the organism's single mitochondrion. The kinetoplast is linked to a pair of basal bodies comprising one immature pro-basal body and one mature basal body that subtends a single flagellum. The flagellum emerges from the cell body via a specialized invagination of the plasma membrane termed the flagellar pocket, which likely acts as the sole site of endo- and exocytosis in the cell (1). *Leishmania* also possess a single Golgi apparatus, located between the nucleus and kinetoplast, and beneath the plasma membrane is a subpellicular microtubule cytoskeleton that remains assembled throughout the cell cycle. Replication and segregation of the single copy organelles occurs in a precise order to ensure that two viable daughter cells are generated post-cell division, and, in procyclic promastigote parasites, these events are accompanied by significant changes in cell morphology (1, 2) (S1 Fig).

At the start of the cell cycle (G1 phase), *Leishmania* promastigotes possess one nucleus (N), one kinetoplast (K) and one flagellum (F) (S1 Fig). During G1, the cell body doubles in length, but not in width (2). The cell length then remains constant while DNA is replicated during S phase. About one hour before the end of S phase, basal body maturation occurs to nucleate the growth of a new flagellar axoneme, but the second flagellum only becomes

visible by light microscopy when it emerges from the flagellar pocket around the end of S

phase. Within the post-S phase period, G2 phase has not been precisely defined for

Leishmania, and is assumed to be very brief (2). Mitosis then ensues – in *L. mexicana*, the

nucleus divides before the kinetoplast (2), although the order is reversed in other *Leishmania*

species (3, 4). G2 and mitosis are accompanied by a reduction in cell length and a

simultaneous expansion in cell width. Finally, cytokinesis, which involves localised

remodelling of the microtubule cytoskeleton and invagination of the plasma membrane to

form a cleavage furrow to bisect the cell, completes the cell cycle (2, 4).

Thus, cell morphology and organelle replication are intricately linked in *Leishmania* procyclic

promastigotes. Parasite cell cycle progression is commonly assessed through visualization

of organelles (through staining, immunofluorescence or the use of fluorescent marker

proteins) alongside observation of cell morphology, as well as quantitation of DNA content

via flow cytometry (typically using fixed cells stained with propidium iodide) (2, 5-7). These

methods are not without their limitations, though. While microscopy can provide high

resolution spatial information, it can be highly labour-intensive if performed manually,

especially if rare populations of cells are being studied, large numbers of cells are required

for the analysis or measurements of cell morphology (e.g. length, width) are performed by

hand using software such as ImageJ/Fiji (2, 8). Further, artefacts can be introduced if live

cells are imaged for extended periods without the use of an environmental chamber, or if

cells are fixed prior to staining. Conversely, flow cytometry approaches are high-throughput

and can provide information on total DNA (or protein) content within a cell, but they do not

offer information on how the DNA is packaged or the localisation of proteins or structures

within the cell, and only provide limited information on cell size and morphology (e.g. from

side scatter measurements).

Imaging flow cytometry (IFC) (9, 10) potentially offers a powerful alternative for cell cycle

analysis, since it combines the high-throughput and quantitative analysis of flow cytometry

with the visual and spatial information of microscopy by capturing images in brightfield,

darkfield and fluorescence modes for every cell analysed. Further, the application of masks to identify areas of interest allows many morphological parameters, including length, width, perimeter, area, aspect ratio (AR) and circularity to be measured or calculated automatically. Upon identifying the morphological properties of a population of cells of interest (for example, short, wide, fluorescence positive or long, narrow, fluorescence negative), the application of masks and development of a gating strategy enables the automatic classification of cells matching these parameters. This has enabled the detection and morphological analysis of a wide range of cell types, from phytoplankton (11, 12) to blood cells (13-15), circulating endothelial and tumour cells (16-18), intracellular pathogens (19, 20) and bacteria (21). Further, IFC has been used to aid or automate cell cycle analysis of human cell lines and fission yeast, both with stained cell populations (22-24) and in unlabelled cells (25), and can also increase the throughput and reproducibility of assays that detect subcellular phenotypes and activities, for example, DNA damage (26, 27), multinuclearity (28), changes to organelle structure or morphology (29, 30) and nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of proteins (31). While IFC has previously been used to analyse several aspects of kinetoplastid biology (32-34), it has not, to our knowledge, been used to study their cell cycle.

Here we aimed to investigate if IFC could be applied to study the *Leishmania* cell cycle and whether it offered advantages over conventional methods of cell cycle analysis. We demonstrate that IFC offers a high throughput and convenient approach for analysing the *Leishmania* cell cycle in live cells. We find that Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Orange quantitatively stains DNA in live promastigote cells and when combined with automated IFC measurements of cell body length and width, allows discrimination between early and late G1 phase cells, S phase, and G2/M/cytokinesis cells. Further, by tagging the orphan kinesin, KINF, with mNeonGreen, we use IFC to detail its cell cycle-dependent expression and localisation, and use the tagged cell line to provide greater resolution of cell cycle stages. For the first time, this allowed G2 cells to be distinguished from cells undergoing mitosis or cytokinesis, the durations of G2 and the post-mitotic period to be quantified, and newly divided G1 cells to be identified.

139   The ability to capture tens of thousands of cell images within a few minutes, measure multiple

140   morphological and fluorescence parameters for every cell, and then apply custom gates to

141   automatically identify populations of interest, provides a step-change in the type and scale of

142   analysis of *Leishmania*'s cell cycle and cell biology. Significantly, when applied to live cells,

143   IFC offers near real-time analysis of perturbations within a population.

Results

Imaging flow cytometry is suitable for morphological analysis of *L. mexicana*

To determine whether IFC is a suitable tool to analyse the *L. mexicana* promastigote cell cycle, live and paraformaldehyde (PFA)- or methanol-fixed parasites were analysed by IFC to confirm that the previously reported cell cycle-dependent changes in cell morphology (2) could be observed (Fig 1). Cells with morphologies corresponding to all cell cycle stages were observed in the live and fixed populations, although some methanol-fixed cells appeared to have aberrant morphology (with cells appearing fatter and more rounded) (Fig 1A). Measurements of length and width calculated using a cell body mask (Fig 1B,C) revealed that both PFA- and methanol-fixed cells were shorter in length (on average by around 8.8% and 38.1%, respectively) and wider (7.2% and 8.1%) than live cells (Fig 1D), indicating that fixing cells in solution alters their morphological parameters. For this study, therefore, only live cells were imaged.

Fig 1. Analysis of *L. mexicana* promastigote morphology using IFC.

(A) IFC images from live and PFA- or methanol (MeOH)-fixed promastigote *L. mexicana* C9T7 cells.

(B) Schematic of cell body mask-based morphological measurements used for IFC analysis. (C)

Images of a cell with and without a mask. (D) Violin plots of the length and width of cell populations

from masked IFC images (n >26,499). The box plots show the 25th and the 75th percentiles, and the

mean in red. The whiskers represent the median +/- 1.5X interquartile range. Scale bars (dotted

lines): 7 µm. See S1A Table for raw data.

Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Orange quantitatively stains DNA in live *L. mexicana* promastigotes

To determine the cell cycle position of individual *L. mexicana* parasites, a quantitative DNA stain suitable for use in live promastigote cells was required. Propidium iodide (PI) quantitatively stains DNA (S2A,B Fig), but requires cell fixation, and is thus unsuitable for use in live cells. Hoechst 33342 is commonly used to stain the nucleus and kinetoplast in live *Leishmania* (35-37), but IFC indicated that it does not bind proportionally to DNA (S2C Fig). Images of Hoechst-stained cells revealed staining outside of the nucleus that may account for it being non-quantitative (S2C Fig). DRAQ5 stained only the nucleus in the majority of cells, while Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Violet (DCV) stained both the nucleus and kinetoplast, but neither were quantitative (S2D,E Fig). Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Ruby (DCR) was also non-quantitative, with staining appeared in foci throughout the cell body (S2F Fig). However, Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Orange (DCO) was found to stain both the nucleus and kinetoplast throughout the cell cycle (Fig 2A), and to stain DNA quantitatively (Fig 2B,C), analogous to PI in fixed cells (S2A,B Fig, Fig 2D). Incubation with DCO did not affect cell viability (S3 Fig), but a marked reduction in the fluorescence of G2/M cells was observed if there was a delay of >20 minutes in them being analysed by IFC following staining (S4 Fig). Hence, cells were analysed by IFC immediately after incubation with DCO.

Fig 2. DCO stains DNA quantitatively in live *L. mexicana* promastigotes

(A) Brightfield and fluorescence images of *L. mexicana* promastigote C9T7 cells at different cell cycle

stages stained with DCO. N: nucleus; K: kinetoplast; F: flagellum. Scale bars: 7 µm. (B) The

fluorescence intensity profile of cells (*n* =13920) stained with DCO and analysed with IFC. Peaks are

indicated with arrowheads for non-replicated DNA (2C; corresponding to cells in G1 phase),

replicated DNA (4C; cells in G2/M phase or cytokinesis) and cells with intermediate DNA content

(Int.; cells undergoing S phase). (C) Cell cycle modelling of the DCO DNA intensity profile (panel B)

using the FCS Express™ Multicycle engine (Rabinovitch & Bagwell debris subtraction (38, 39) and

Dean/Jett/Fox cell cycle modelling (40)). The cell cycle stage of each curve is indicated. (D) Graph

comparing the proportions of cells with different DNA contents, as modelled following staining with

PI (S2A,B Fig) and DCO (panels B and C). Error bars represent the standard deviations of the means

of three replicates. See S1B Table for raw data.

Automatic cell cycle classification of promastigote cells

Due to biological variation and the cell cycle being a continuum, there is an overlap in the DNA contents of cells in G1 and early S phase, and of cells in late S and G2/M phases. To account for this natural overlap, cell cycle mathematical models such as the Dean/Jett/Fox model (40) (Fig 2C, S2B Fig) or the Watson Pragmatic curve (41) can be used to extrapolate the proportions of cells in each cell cycle stage from DNA fluorescence measurements obtained by flow cytometry. Alternatively, manual gating can be performed where the user draws custom boundaries around the different DNA content peaks obtained (6, 42, 43). Yet neither method considers the biology of the system nor can accurately classify the cell cycle stage of individual cells. Indeed, it has been previously reported that due to the long S phase, short G2 phase and rapid cytokinesis in *L. mexicana*, there is significant overlap of cell cycle stages within DNA intensity plots (44). Given the significant morphological changes of *L. mexicana* cells during the cell cycle, and the ability of IFC to link morphological and DNA fluorescence data for individual cells, we hypothesised that IFC could result in greater resolution in classifying the cell cycle stage of individual cells than can be obtained using other methods such as microscopy and flow cytometry.

Live *L. mexicana* promastigotes were stained with DCO and fluorescence intensity profiles were obtained using IFC. The 2C (G1 cells plus overlapping early S phase cells), intermediate (S phase cells plus overlapping G1 and early G2 phase cells) and 4C (cells in G2/M and cytokinesis, plus overlapping late S phase cells) DNA peaks were then manually gated (Fig 3A). Given the ability of IFC to analyse many cellular parameters, we asked which, if any, morphological parameter(s) could allow cells in different cell cycle stages to be distinguished. Thirteen parameters (area, aspect ratio, brightfield intensity, circularity, compactness, diameter, elongatedness, height, length, perimeter, shape ratio, thickness_min and width) were plotted for cells present in each of the gates (Fig 3B, S5 Fig, S6A Fig). Of these, only elongatedness, height, length, perimeter and, to some extent, width offered discrimination between the cells within the three gates. Since the profiles for

elongatedness, height, length and perimeter were very similar, we chose to proceed with cell

body length, as this would allow the easiest comparison with previous morphological studies.

Within the 2C gate, cell body lengths displayed a broad distribution, as expected, given that

cells grow from their smallest length at the start of early G1, to their longest at the end of G1

phase, with the peak centred on 10 µm (S6A Fig). Within the intermediate (2C-4C) gate, the

length distribution was shifted to the right, as expected for cells in S phase, peaking at ~13.5

µm, although there was a shoulder of shorter cells (~18% cells with cell bodies ≤10 µm in

length), suggesting some overlap between G1 and S phases within this gate (S6A Fig). The

width distributions for each of these gates were similar (S6B Fig), with the majority of cells <

4 µm in width, and width peaking at ~3.3 µm, consistent with previous observations that cells

remain constant in width until G2 phase (2).

For the 4C gate, cell body length revealed a bimodal distribution, with peaks centred at ~7.5

µm and ~14.5 µm (Fig. 3B). This is likely to reflect that late S phase and early G2 phase

cells are long, but very rapidly shorten and widen during G2 phase and into mitosis (2). Next,

these peaks were gated to separate cells with cell bodies of ≤10 µm (36.6% cells; short) or

>10 µm (63.4% cells; long) in length (Fig 3B). The range of morphological parameters

described above were plotted for the long and short populations to determine whether any

further discrimination of these populations could be achieved. Only aspect ratio,

elongatedness and width offered a means of distinguishing the majority of short 4C-gated

cells from all other cells gated on DNA content; none of the parameters selectively

discriminated long 4C-gated cells (Fig 3C, S7 Fig). Again, to allow the easiest comparison

with previous studies, we chose to focus on width.

Both long and short 4C-gated cell populations displayed a bimodal width distribution.

Overall, the majority of the shorter 4C cells were wider than the longer 4C cells (Fig 3C).

Based on previously described *L. mexicana* promastigote morphology (2), it is likely that the

cells from the 4C gate with longer, narrower cell bodies comprised mostly late S/early G2

phase cells, while the 4C cells with shorter, wider cell bodies comprised mainly cells in late

G2, M phase and cytokinesis. There was some overlap in width between the long and short

cell populations (Fig 3C, subpeaks 2 and 3), most likely reflecting the changes to cell

morphology that occur during G2 phase, as well as biological variation in cellular

morphology. This was consistent with viewed images of the 4C cells corresponding to each

subpeak (Fig 3D); long, narrow cells had either one flagellum (S phase), or one long and one

very short flagellum (likely early G2 phase), while short, wide cells had two flagella (with a

longer daughter flagellum) often accompanied by evidence of cytokinesis furrow formation or

cleavage (G2/M/C cells). These data emphasise the pitfalls of relying on manual gating

alone to determine cell cycle stage solely from DNA content, since the 4C gate includes a

significant proportion of cells still in S phase in addition to post-S phase stages.

Fig 3. Distinguishing late S, G2, M and cytokinesis cells based on their DNA content and cell body

parameters.

L. mexicana C9T7 promastigote cells were stained with DCO and analysed by IFC. (A) The DNA

fluorescence profile previously presented in Fig 2B was manually gated using IDEAS™ software (blue

boxes) to select cells with 2C, intermediate (Int.; 2C-4C) or 4C DNA content based on the peaks. (B)

Plot of the cell body lengths of cells within the gated 4C population (blue line). A 10 µm cut-off was

applied to separate the two peaks (red and yellow boxes). (C) Plot of the cell body widths of each of

the two subpopulations identified in panel B (yellow (length > 10 µm) and red (length ≤ 10 µm), with

example images from the respective peaks shown in (D). The distal end of the daughter flagellum in

cells with two flagella is indicated by a white arrowhead. Scale bar: 7 µm.

Given the difficulties in unambiguously identifying the cell cycle stage of cells post-S phase

from their DNA content and cell morphology alone, the spindle-associated orphan kinesin

KINF was tagged at its N terminus with mNeonGreen using CRISPR/Cas9 technology in *L.*

mexicana promastigote C9T7 cells to allow the identification of cells undergoing mitosis.

Analysis of cells expressing mNG:KINF by immunofluorescence using an anti-mNG

antibody, in conjunction with the β-tubulin antibody, KMX, revealed cell cycle-dependent

localisation (Fig 4), consistent with a previous report of KINF localisation (45). Cytoplasmic

fluorescence was observed in all cells, but this was deemed to be background as similar

fluorescence was observed in the C9T7 parental cell line (Fig 4A) and mNG:KINF was not

detected in the cytoplasm when live cells were analysed by IFC (Fig 5A). In C9T7

mNG:KINF cells, mNG:KINF was expressed, as expected, in cells undergoing mitosis and

shown to colocalise with the spindle microtubules (Fig 4G,H). However, following mitosis and

disassembly of the spindle, mNG:KINF dispersed throughout each nucleus in 2N2K2F cells

as daughter cells were separated by cytokinesis (Fig 4I). Amongst 1N1K1F cells, three

groups of cells could be distinguished based on their mNG:KINF fluorescence and cell body

length. A few 1N1K1F cells with short cell bodies displayed mNG:KINF fluorescence

distributed across their nucleus (Fig 4B) and it is likely that these were new G1 phase cells,

with residual mNG:KINF fluorescence remaining after cytokinesis. Other 1N1K1F cells

displayed no mNG:KINF fluorescence, including cells with short (Fig 4C) or long (Fig 4D) cell

bodies, and likely represented cells further through G1 phase and into S phase. Additionally,

some long 1N1K1F cells also displayed mNG:KINF fluorescence, as a single, roughly

circular, focus, within the nucleus (Fig 4E) and likely represented cells later in S phase

starting to synthesise mNG:KINF in preparation for mitosis. 1N1K2F cells without a visible

mitotic spindle (G2 phase cells) also displayed mNG:KINF staining, distributed across the

nucleus (Fig 4F). Thus, it appears that mNG:KINF expression commences partway through

S phase, with the protein localising across the nucleus. mNG:KINF then localises to the

mitotic spindle, and following spindle disassembly, redistributes across the nuclei, persisting

into early G1 before, presumably, the protein is degraded.

Fig 4. mNG:KINF displays a cell cycle-dependent localisation.

Immunofluorescence was performed on *L. mexicana* promastigote C9T7 (control) (A) or C9T7

mNG:KINF cells (B-I) with the KMX-1 antibody to detect β-tubulin and an anti-mNG antibody to

detect mNG:KINF. Cells were also stained with DAPI to visualise DNA. From left to right: brightfield,

DAPI (blue), KMX-1 (red), mNG (green) and DAPI/KMX-1/mNG merged images. The number of nuclei

(N), kinetoplasts (K) and flagella (F) per cell is indicated (N^d indicates a dividing nucleus), along with

the cell cycle stage. White arrowheads indicate N, K and F. Dotted boxes highlight the mitotic spindle

as stained by the KMX-1 antibody, which is enlarged and indicated by asterisks in the non-broken

line boxes; green arrowheads indicate mNG:KINF staining within the nucleus. Scale bar = 5 μm.

We then sought to determine if we could automatically classify and quantify the cell cycle

expression pattern of mNG:KINF using IFC. In IFC images of C9T7 mNG:KINF cells, the

mNG:KINF fluorescence signal was masked (Fig 5A) and the number of masks (and

therefore discrete mNG:KINF signals) per cell was determined using the IDEAS™ spot count

feature. This allowed cells with no, one or two mNG:KINF foci per cell to be distinguished.

Further, cells with one mNG:KINF focus were expected, from immunofluorescence data to

possess either a roughly circular focus in their nucleus or an elongated focus on the mitotic

spindle (Fig 4B, E-H and Fig 5A), which could be distinguished by the aspect ratio intensity

(ARI) of the mask. By visual inspection of cell images, cells with an elongated mNG:KINF

signal (signifying mNG:KINF at the mitotic spindle) had an ARI < 0.62, while cells with a

circular mNG:KINF focus in the nucleus had an ARI ≥ 0.62. Thus, it was possible to

automatically classify C9T7 mNG:KINF cells as having a) no mNG:KINF foci (mNG:KINF-),

b) one circular (nuclear) focus (1CF) of mNG:KINF, c) spindle-associated mNG:KINF

(mitosis) or d) two circular foci (2CF) of mNG:KINF (post-mitosis) (S1C-F Table). These

groupings were then classified into subgroups according to their cell body dimensions

(length and width) to aid with cell cycle stage classification. At this stage, several anomalous

subgroups were identified through manual visual inspection of the cell images from each

group and were removed from further analysis (S1C-E Table). The largest of these

subgroups was a group of short, wide mNG:KINF negative cells (~5.9% total cells) that were

unclassifiable into any given cell cycle stage due to the mix of cells within this group; some

cells had morphologies consistent with being in early G1, mitosis or cytokinesis but many

had aberrant morphologies or appeared dead. Two groups of 2CF cells (short and narrow,

and long and narrow, together accounting for ~0.9% total cells) contained a small extra-

nuclear dot of mNG:KINF fluorescence in the region of the kinetoplast and were discarded

due to the aberrant fluorescence pattern, and a further group of 2CF cells (long and wide;

~0.9% total cells), which were found to contain images with two cells side by side, were

discarded because they had been misclassified by the automatic masking. Following

removal of these aberrant cell groups, the average distribution of mNG:KINF staining in the

remaining cells was as follows: 72.4% were mNG:KINF-, 19.7% had 1CF, 6.3% had spindle-

shaped mNG:KINF fluorescence (mitosis) and 1.5% had 2CF (post-mitosis) (Fig 5B; S1F

Table).

Fig 5. Cell cycle-dependent expression and localisation of mNG:KINF facilitates the classification of

cells in G2, M and cytokinesis.

(A) IFC images of live *L. mexicana* C9T7 mNG:KINF promastigote cells with differential expression of

mNG:KINF (from left to right: brightfield, mNG:KINF (pink), IDEAS™-applied mask (cyan) and

brightfield/mNG:KINF merge). The likely cell cycle stage of each example cell is indicated. A white

arrowhead indicates the new flagellum that has just emerged from the flagella pocket in G2 phase.

(B) Bar graph showing the proportions of cells displaying each expression/localisation pattern of

mNG:KINF. (C) Examples of short and wide 1CF cells. Top: cell showing inaccurate masking of the

mNG:KINF signal; such cells were subsequently reclassified as 2CF cells. Middle: cell in very early G1

phase (short, with 1F). Bottom: cell in G2 phase (with 2F). (D) % distribution of all cells within the 1CF

population after the reclassification of the post-mitotic cells as 2CF. Example images of each cell

type are shown. Scale bars: 7 μm. Error bars show standard deviation of the means of 3 replicates.

From visual inspection, the 2CF cells contained only post-mitotic cells. However, inspection

of cells classified as having mNG:KINF at the mitotic spindle, revealed a small group of long,

narrow cells that only possessed one flagellum (S1C-E Table). From the orientation of the

mNG:KINF fluorescence, which was aligned along the long axis of the cell, rather than

perpendicular to it as is more normal during mitosis, it was judged that this subgroup was

rather in S phase, with a slightly more elongated mNG:KINF signal than the majority of 1CF

cells (S8 Fig); thus these cells were reclassified as S phase. Within the 1CF population, it

was expected that there would be very early G1 phase cells with short, narrow cell bodies, S

phase cells with long, narrow cell bodies as well as G2 cells with a range of morphologies,

some of which would overlap with the morphologies of the other cell cycle stages. However,

while these cell types were indeed observed during visual inspection of the 1CF cell images

and associated cell body dimension scatter plots, an additional group of short, wide cells

possessing two circular mNG:KINF foci was observed (0.3 % total cells; Fig 5C, S1C-E

Table). For these cells, only one of the two foci had been masked, likely because the

second CF was in a different focal plane from the first (Fig 5C); these cells were reclassified

as being post-mitosis. To identify G2 cells amongst the remaining 1CF cells (19.7% total

cells), cell images were visually inspected for the presence of two visible flagella (Fig 5C).

3.9% total cells were deemed to be in G2 phase by this criterion (Fig 5D, S1C-F Table) and,

as predicted by previous analyses showing that post-S phase cells undergo significant

morphological remodelling in preparation for mitosis (2), G2 cells displayed a wide variation

in length and width (S9 Fig).   Further, 1CF cells were judged to be in very early G1 if they

were short and narrow with one flagellum (2.3 % total cells) and in S phase if they were long

with one flagellum (13.5 % total cells) (Fig 5C, Table 1, S1C-F Table).

Table 1 Properties of C9T7 mNG:KINF cell cycle stage populations

Variable	Cell population							
Cell cycle stage	Very early G1	Early G1	Late G1	Early S	Late S	G2	M	Cytokinesis
NKF configuration	1N1K1F	1N1K1F	1N1K1F	1N1K1F	1N1K1F	1N1K2F	1N^{d}1K2F	2N2K2F
DNA content	2C	2C	2C	~2C-3C	~3C-4C	4C	4C	4C
Cell body length (μm)	≤10	≤10	>10	>10	>10	any	≤10	≤10
Cell body width (μm)	<4	<4	<4	<4	<4	any	≥4	≥4
mNG:KINF status	positive	negative	negative	negative	positive	positive	positive	positive
mNG:KINF pattern	1 circular focus	n/a	n/a	n/a	1 circular focus	1 circular focus	spindle	2 circular foci
% population	2.3	17.7	27.9	27.0	13.4	3.9	6.1	1.7
Duration of cell cycle stage	12 mins (0.20 hrs)	1 hr 40 mins (1.67 hrs)	3 hrs 1 mins (3.02 hrs)	3 hrs 30 mins (3.5 hrs)	2 hr 2 mins (2.03 hrs)	38 mins (0.64 hrs)	1 hr 2 mins (1.03 hrs)	19 mins (0.31 hrs)
Cumulative time through cell cycle	12 mins (0.20 hrs)	1 hr 52 mins (1.87 hrs)	4 hrs 53 mins (4.88 hrs)	8 hrs 23 mins (8.38 hrs)	10 hrs 25 min (10.41 hrs)	11 hrs 3 mins (11.05 hrs)	12 hrs 5 mins (12.08 hrs)	12 hrs 23 mins (12.39 hrs)
Duration in unit cell cycle stage	0.016 U	0.135 U	0.243 U	0.282 U	0.164 U	0.052 U	0.083 U	0.025 U
Cumulative time through unit cell cycle	0.016 U	0.151 U	0.394 U	0.677 U	0.841 U	0.892 U	0.975 U	1 U

Based on mNG:KINF expression and localisation, cell morphology and DNA content, C9T7 mNG:KINF cells were classified into different cell cycle populations. The proportions of cells in each of the cell cycle populations was then used to calculate the duration of that stage. Cumulative time through the cell cycle was also calculated up to the end of each cell cycle stage using Williams' analysis (46). Absolute timings were also converted to a proportion of the unit cell cycle, where cell cycle progress is scaled to between 0 and 1. N: nucleus; K: kinetoplast; F: flagellum; 2C, 3C and 4C: DNA content; U: units.

Similarly, within the mNG:KINF- population, early G1 cells were identified through their short,

narrow cell bodies (17.7% total cells), but the remainder (late G1 and early S phase cells;

55.0% total cells) could not be separated on their morphological features because they both

had similarly long cell bodies (Table 1, S1C-E,H Table). Therefore, we looked to distinguish

them using DNA content. On analysis of mNG:KINF cells stained with DCO, it was apparent

this combination of fluorescence was unsuitable; although mNG and DCO fluorescence are

both excited by a 488 laser, they emit in different channels of the imaging flow cytometer, but

their optimal excitation required very different laser powers (3 mW for DCO and 120mW for

mNG:KINF). Therefore, KINF was tagged with mCherry which is excited by a 561 nm laser,

in an attempt to allow simultaneous determination of DNA content using DCO staining.

However, the resultant mCherry:KINF fluorescent protein was not bright enough to be

detected by IFC. Instead, a second aliquot of the C9T7 mNG:KINF cells analysed for

mNG:KINF fluorescence was stained with DCO and excited with the 488 nm laser at 3 mW.

This enabled the detection of DCO staining in C9T7 mNG:KINF cells without exciting

detectable mNG:KINF fluorescence, enabling the quantification of cellular DNA content by

applying manual 2C, intermediate (2C-4C gate) and 4C gates (see example plot in S10 Fig

and data for three replicates in S1G,H Table). Given the overlap of G1 and S phase cells

within the 2C and intermediate gates (S6A,B Fig), a precise determination of the proportions

of non-fluorescent G1 and S phase cells was not possible. However, by subtracting the

proportions of early G1 cells (determined from their morphology and mNG:KINF

fluorescence (short cell bodies with either one mNG:KINF focus (2.25 %) or no mNG:KINF

fluorescence (17.65%)) from the average percentage of cells in the 2C gate obtained from

DCO staining of C9T7 mNG:KINF cells (47.8%), it can be estimated that within the

mNG:KINF- population, 27.9% cells are in G1 phase. Subtracting this value from the 55.0%

of combined G1/S mNG:KINF- long cells indicated that 27.0% total non-fluorescent cells

were in S phase (Table 1, S1H Table).

The resultant percentages allowed the durations of the various cell cycle stages to be

calculated (2, 46) for the C9T7 mNG:KINF cell line based on its doubling time of 12 hours 23

minutes (12.4 hours) (Table 1). Cell cycle timings were also converted to a fraction of the

unit cell cycle (where progress through the cell cycle is scaled to between 0 and 1). This

showed that G1 phase lasted for ~4.9 hours, S phase for ~5.5 hours, G2 phase for just 38

minutes (0.64 hrs), mitosis for ~1 hr and the post-mitotic period for 19 minutes (0.31 hrs),

equating to 0.4, 0.45, 0.05, 0.08 and 0.03 of the unit cell cycle, respectively, consistent with

the unit cell cycle values calculated by Wheeler et al, 2011 (2). This is the first determination

of the length of G2 and the post-mitotic period in *L. mexicana.* A precise timing for

cytokinesis was not possible from our data, since visual inspection of the mitotic and post-

mitotic populations showed that in the majority of cases, the formation and cleavage of the

furrow began during mitosis (Fig 6). A few mitotic cells were observed without a visible

furrow (Fig 6A), but it could not be ruled out that this was due to their orientation in the

images. However, it is clear from our analysis that cytokinesis commences early in mitosis

and continues beyond the completion of mitosis. Cell cleavage appeared to mostly begin at

the anterior end (the flagella end) of the cell, but some cells showed cleavage occurring

bidirectionally from each end (Fig 6). The cell cycle timings also revealed that mNG:KINF

expression commenced ~60% of the way through S phase (8.4 hrs or 0.68 U into the cell

cycle) with mNG:KINF being present for the remainder of the cell cycle before being

degraded very rapidly, 12 minutes into the new cell cycle. These data provide a more

detailed set of timings of the different cell cycle stages in promastigote *L. mexicana* as

shown in the scaled schematic in Fig 7.

A   **Mitotic**   **B**   **Post-mitotic**

Fig 6. Cytokinesis begins early during mitosis.

Images of (A) mitotic and (B) post-mitotic C9T7 mNG:KINF cells, as determined from their mNG:KINF

fluorescence pattern, showing the formation of a furrow (closed arrowheads) and cell cleavage

(open arrowheads). Scale bars: 7 μm.

Figure 7. Schematic of the *L. mexicana* cell cycle

The inner circle shows the five main stages of the cell cycle, scaled according to their duration, along

with the DNA content of cells progressing through those stages. The outer circle indicates the cell

cycle-dependent expression of mNG:KINF, either to circular foci within the nucleus (1 or 2) or to the

mitotic spindle. The '0' indicates cells with no mNG:KINF expression. Cartoons of cells at each cell

cycle stage showing the cell body and flagella (light purple), nuclei and kinetoplasts (dark purple),

and forming furrow (dotted and solid lines) are indicated on the outside. mNG:KINF nuclear

fluorescence is in green.

Discussion

Much of our current knowledge of *Leishmania* cell cycle events and their order and duration derives from studies where fixed promastigote parasites have been analysed manually by fluorescence microscopy (2, 4, 6, 8, 47). DNA dyes are commonly used to visualise and enumerate the nuclei and kinetoplasts or thymidine analogues such as BrdU (5-bromo-2`-deoxyuridine) or EdU (5-ethynyl-2`-deoxyuridine) to detect DNA synthesis. Immunofluorescence of cytoskeletal proteins may aid visualising and documenting organelle replication, and cell morphology is generally assessed under phase contrast and may be supplemented with electron microscopy to analyse the structural detail of cells. Cell morphological parameters such as cell body or flagellum length and width may be measured and DNA content measurements performed on individual cells using software such as ImageJ (48, 49). While there is no doubt that such studies are often very detailed and carefully performed, the laborious nature of manual analyses have limited the numbers of cells able to be analysed to a few hundred, at most, per replicate (2, 4). Often, microscopy is supplemented with flow cytometry analysis of total cellular DNA content of PI-stained cells (6), which, while offering greater throughput (tens of thousands of cells analysed per replicate), does not provide data on how many organelles are in each cell, and only limited information about cell size and shape.

High throughput analysis of microscopy images (of fixed or live cells, stained with DNA dyes and/or expressing fluorescently tagged proteins) has also been developed for kinetoplastids, using ImageJ scripts to automate measurement of DNA content and nucleus and kinetoplast number per cell, cell length, width, shape and the position of nuclei and kinetoplasts along the long axis of the cell (44, 50). Further, the nuclei and kinetoplasts in *L. mexicana* and the related kinetoplastid, *Trypanosoma brucei*, can be differentially stained with different DNA dyes (44, 51). Base-pair intercalators (BPIs) such as PI and SybrGreen, do not have any particular sequence specificity and so stain the nucleus, which contains more DNA, more

brightly than the kinetoplast. In contrast, minor groove binding dyes (MGBs), such as DAPI

(4`, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) and Hoechst 33342, have a greater affinity for A-T-rich DNA

and therefore preferentially stain kinetoplast DNA over the nuclear DNA. Co-staining with

one BPI and one MGB dye allows, following chromatic aberration correction and colour

deconvolution of acquired fluorescent images, the automated detection of nuclei and

kinetoplasts, and the separate measurement of their DNA content using ImageJ (44).

However, this approach requires that cells are imaged on microscope slides prior to ImageJ

analysis, with both sample/slide preparation and image capture being critical for accuracy

and consistency and, potentially, rate-limiting.

Here, we have developed new cell cycle analysis pipelines for live *L. mexicana*

promastigotes in solution that require only minimal manipulation (washing, staining (if

required) and resuspension in PBS) of the parasites prior to their analysis by IFC. Imaging

cells live and in solution offers several advantages over imaging cells on microscope slides.

Fixation artefacts are not introduced, cells cannot dry out and cell morphology is not altered

by coming into contact with a surface. The throughput of IFC greatly surpasses that of

microscopy, with tens of thousands of cell images and dozens of parameters for each image

being captured in as little as 5 minutes. Being able to view brightfield and fluorescence

images of every cell analysed, and to automatically quantify their many parameters without

needing to write custom scripts, offers hereto unparalleled insights into the *Leishmania* cell

cycle, and provides far greater consistency of analysis than has been possible to date with

other methods. Additionally, because IFC captures all possible brightfield and fluorescent

parameters for every cell image at the outset, this permits backtracking during analysis to

explore additional parameters for any given cell, even if these were not part of the initial

experimental design, as well as to visually verify gated cells, and, as we have done here, to

supplement automatically acquired data with manual analysis, thereby providing enormous

flexibility.

To be able to take advantage of all that IFC has to offer, we first had to find a DNA dye that

was quantitative in live *L. mexicana* cells. The BPI, PI, is quantitative (S2A,B Fig), but can

only be used in fixed, RNAse-treated cells due to it being non-membrane permeable and

also binding to RNA. Despite the MGB Hoechst 33342 being widely used to stain DNA in

live *Leishmania*, it was not quantitative under the conditions used here (S2C Fig), which was

surprising because Hoechst is a quantitative stain for live *T. brucei*. However, examination

of images of cells stained with Hoechst showed that they also displayed regions extra-

nuclear fluorescence, suggesting that Hoechst staining was not restricted to the nucleus.

The BPI, DRAQ5, in contrast, appeared visually to label only the nucleus (S2D Fig), but still

was not quantitative. While the DNA binding mechanisms of the Vybrant Dye Cycles are to

our knowledge, not known, DCV appeared to specifically label both the nucleus and

kinetoplast, with brighter staining of the kinetoplast, suggesting it might be an MGB.

However, it was also not quantitative (S2E Fig), despite being so in *T. brucei* bloodstream

form cells (52). DCR also was not quantitative, staining both the nucleus and kinetoplast,

but also throughout the cytoplasm (S2F Fig) under the conditions tested. However, we found

a third Vybrant DyeCycle dye, DCO, previously reported to be quantitative in procyclic for *T.*

brucei (53), was quantitative at a much lower concentration (0.625 µM) in promastigote *L.*

mexicana than that used for *T. brucei* (5 µM), provided cells were imaged promptly after

staining (Fig 2, S4 Fig). We also showed it to be non-toxic under the conditions used (S3

Fig), which is important given that DCO, along with DRAQ5 and Hoechst 33342, were

reported to display cytotoxicity and cause G2/M arrest in HeLa cells (54, 55). DCO stained

both DNA-containing organelles, preferentially labelling the kinetoplast, suggesting it may be

an MGB. Since S phase of the nucleus and kinetoplast are synchronous in *L. mexicana*

promastigotes, this dye worked well to determine relative DNA content of cells throughout

the cell cycle, providing comparable data to PI (Fig 2, S2A,B Fig), although the preferential

binding to kDNA should be borne in mind in the event of analysing DNA content under

conditions where DNA synthesis of one of these organelles is preferentially affected.

Staining live *Leishmania* with DCO before imaging with IFC not only allowed a cell's DNA

content to be determined, giving an approximation of its cell cycle position, but also to be

matched to its morphology, allowing greater level of automatic discrimination of some cell

cycle stages, e.g., cells in G1 phase (2C gate) could be split into early and late G1 cells on

the basis of their length. However, distinguishing late S phase cells from early G2 cells, or

late G2 cells from mitotic cells was not possible from their DNA content and cell body

length/width due to their identical DNA content and overlapping dimensions. To delineate the

start and end of G2 phase, we employed fluorescent labelling of the orphan spindle kinesin,

KINF, to detect spindle formation at the start of mitosis and disassembly at the end of

mitosis. Serendipitously, we found that mNG:KINF expression and localisation allowed us to

also identify mid-S phase-G2 cells (one circular focus, long cells), post-mitotic cells in the

latter stages of cytokinesis (2 circular foci in short, wide cells) and newly divided cells (one

circular focus, short, narrow cells). We were then able to distinguish late S phase and early

G2 phase cells by examining images of these cells to determine whether a second daughter

flagellum had emerged from the flagellar pocket. Although we performed this manually in

this study, we believe this should be possible to automate by, for example, fluorescently

tagging the axoneme capping structure protein (ACS1; (56)) at the distal tip of the flagellum

and using the IDEAS™ spot count feature to distinguish between one and two ACS1 foci.

However, to combine ACS fluorescence with mNG:KINF may, depending on its brightness,

require a tag other than mCherry, which we found when tagged to KINF was not detectable

by IFC. The recently developed mScarlet3 (57), or perhaps a cyan fluorescent protein (58)

may provide an alternative that also permits co-staining and analysis with DCO.

Being able to distinguish cells in different cell cycle stages allowed the calculation of their

duration and allowed us to determine the length of the G2, mitosis and post-mitosis phases

separately for the first time (Table 1). While the doubling time of our C9T7 mNG:KINF cell

line (12. 4 hrs) is considerably longer than that of the wildtype WHO strain

MNYC/BZ/62/M379 cell line (7.1 hrs) used by Wheeler *et al.*, (2), the unit cell cycle durations

of the pre-S (0.40 U), S (0.45 U) and post-S (0.16) phases we determined are highly

consistent the equivalent ranges reported by Wheeler *et al*., from four different analysis

methods (pre-S: 0.35-0.4; S: 0.4-0.49; post-S: 0.14-0.22). Further, we provide much greater

resolution of cell cycle stages, being able to distinguish three stages of G1 phase (newly

divided cells, early and late G1), early and late S phase, G2 phase, mitosis and post-mitosis

(Table 1). Of particular note is the ability, because of the high-throughput nature of IFC, to

detect reliably cells in short-lived cell cycle stages, for example, new cells within the first 12

minutes of the cell cycle (2.3% cells), G2 phase (38 minutes; 3.9% cells) and post-mitotic

cells (19 minutes; 1.7% cells). Further, being able to view sufficient numbers of cells in rarer

cell cycle stages may negate the need to use enrichment techniques such as cell cycle

synchronisation with agents such as hydroxyurea or flavopiridol (6, 59-61). Additionally, we

were able to demonstrate that cytokinesis likely commences in early mitosis (Fig 6), with

new membrane, and presumably also the subpellicular microtubules of the cleavage furrow

forming in cells where mNG:KINF fluorescence shows there is still a mitotic spindle present.

Cell cleavage appears to commence at the anterior end of the cell body and proceed

towards the posterior in most cells, but a number of cells could be observed with cell

cleavage occurring bidirectionally from each end of the cell, indicating some differences

compared to cytokinesis in the related kinetoplastid, *T. brucei* (62, 63).

Overall, our application of IFC to studying the cell cycle in *Leishmania* provides an extra

dimension to the growing body of IFC applications for studying the cell biology of parasites.

IFC has been used to assess morphological changes occurring during promastigote to

amastigote differentiation in *L. donovani* (64), to assess colocalisation of mCLING and

lysotracker fluorescent stains in *T. brucei* (32) and to assess PI live/dead staining and for

morphological measurements in *L. mexicana* (34). IFC has also been employed extensively

to study host-parasite interactions for *Leishmania* and other intracellular pathogens) (19, 65-

68) as well as to detect *Leishmania* infections in blood and parasite dissemination to organs

around the body (69). It has also been used to study trogocytosis by *Entamoeba histolytica*

(70) and for drug screening in *Plasmodium falciparum* (71). The huge flexibility and high-

throughput nature of IFC provide opportunities to study the cell biology of parasites such as

Leishmania at a scale and level of detail that hitherto has not been possible. The cell cycle

analysis pipelines we have developed here should, for example, provide the means to

identify and extensively characterise cell cycle and morphological perturbations in mutant or

drug-treated cell lines, to study the expression and localisation of proteins of interest

throughout the cell cycle and to identify rare phenotypes that only occur in a small subset of

cells. Furthermore, IFC provides a simple method of automated image capture for live cells,

reducing artefacts and experimental variation, as well as standardised and largely

automated image analysis that allows the visual insights of microscopy to be linked with the

high-throughput quantitation of flow cytometry for every individual cell, providing a step

change for *Leishmania* cell cycle analysis.

Materials and methods

***Leishmania* cell culture**

Leishmania mexicana M379 Cas9 T7 (C9T7; (72)) promastigote cells were cultured in

medium 199 (M199; (73)) supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 32 µgml^{-1}

hygromycin and 50 µgml^{-1} nourseothricin sulphate at 27°C. All analyses were conducted

using cells grown to mid-log phase (1x10^6 – 7x10^6 cellsml^{-1}).

Generation of an mNG:KINF tagged cell line

The spindle-associated orphan kinesin F (KINF; LmxM.25.1950) was tagged with

mNeonGreen (mNG) at its N-terminus using CRISPR-Cas9 technology (73). PCR was

performed to generate the sgRNA and DNA donor templates with primers designed using

LeishGEdit (http://www.leishgedit.net/) [upstream forward primer: 5`-

TCGTTCTCTCCGTCTCTCGGGGTGGCTGCCgtataatgcagacctgctgc-3`, upstream reverse

primer: 5`-TACCGCCACCTTGATGCTAGACGACGACATactacccgatcctgatccag-3` and 5'

sgRNA primer: 5`-

aaattaatacgactcactataggCTCCTTGCACCAGCAAAGACgttttagagctagaaatagc-3`] as well as

the common sgRNA scaffold primer G00, and the pPLOTv1 blast-mNeonGreen-blast

plasmid (72) as DNA template. C9T7 cells were transfected with the amplified templates and

tagged cell lines were selected and cloned as described by (73). The expression of

mNG:KINF was confirmed and analysed by fluorescence microscopy and IFC.

Immunofluorescence

1×10^7 *L. mexicana* promastigote mid-log phase cells were washed with phosphate buffered

saline (PBS), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 mins at room temperature (RT) and

washed again in PBS before being permeabilised in 4% bovine serum albumin (BSA)

containing 0.075% Tween-20 for 10 mins at RT. Cells were then sequentially incubated in

mouse anti-mNeonGreen (IgG2c; Chromotek), followed by rat anti-mouse IgG(H+L)-

AlexaFluor488 (ThermoFisher), mouse anti-KMX-1 (IgG2b; Millipore Merck) and then goat

anti-mouse IgG2b(Fcγ)-AlexaFluor594 (ThermoFisher), each for 2 hours at RT. Each

antibody was diluted 1:500 in 4% BSA/0.075% Tween-20, and cells were washed twice with

PBS between each antibody addition. After the final antibody was washed off, cells were

resuspended in PBS and stored at 4°C in the dark. Before imaging, Fluoroshield™ with

DAPI (Sigma) was added to the cell suspension before mounting on poly-L-lysine-coated

glass slides. Imaging was performed with a Zeiss Axio Observer 3 microscope and ZEN

Blue software (Zeiss). Images were processed with ImageJ version 2.9.0 (74).

Imaging flow cytometry (IFC)

For IFC of live, unstained cells, ~5×10^6 *L. mexicana* promastigote cells were washed and

then resuspended in 60 μl PBS. Alternatively, live cells were first resuspended in 1 ml M199

medium or PBS and stained in the dark with Hoechst 33342 (1 μgml^{-1} for 10 minutes at RT),

Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Orange (DCO; 0.625 μM for 30 minutes at RT), Vybrant™

DyeCycle™ Violet (DCV; 40 µM for 15 minutes at 27°C), Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Ruby (DCR;

12.5 µM for 15 minutes at 27°C) or with DRAQ5™ (Invitrogen; 25 µM for 15 minutes at RT),

before being harvested and resuspended in 60 µl PBS. To fix cells, *L. mexicana* were

washed in PBS and incubated in either 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for overnight at

4°C, or in ice-cold 70% methanol/30% PBS overnight at 4°C. To stain methanol-fixed cells

with propidium iodide, cells were washed in PBS/5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid

(EDTA) and resuspended in 500 µl PBS/5 mM EDTA/10 µgml^{-1} propidium iodide/20 µgml^{-1}

RNAse A and incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes in the dark. All fixed cells were resuspended

in 60 µl PBS just prior to being analysed by IFC. Prepared cells were loaded onto an Amnis$^®$

ImageStream$^{®X}$ Mk II imaging flow cytometer (Cytek Biosciences) alongside 1 µm diameter

SpeedBeads (Amnis) and analysed using INSPIRE™ acquisition software. At least 10,000

events were captured in brightfield (BF) and fluorescence modes at 60X magnification for

each sample using laser colour and power settings appropriate for the relevant dye. Cell

data were analysed using the post-acquisition IDEAS™ software version 6.0 (75) and with

FCS Express™ version 7.10.0007 (DeNovo software by Dotmatics) for cell cycle modelling.

Gating strategy

A gating strategy (S11 Fig) was employed to identify single cells and gate out doublets,

debris and images with artefacts (e.g. images containing SpeedBeads, out of focus cells,

incorrect masking (see below), cell rosettes or more than one cell). Gates were placed

accordingly following visual inspection of ≥ 100 cells corresponding to individual points on

the relevant plots. *Leishmania* cells and then singlets were identified from cell body area

(calculated *via* the application of a cell body mask (see below) versus side scatter and cell

body area versus aspect ratio (AR) plots, respectively. The aspect ratio (minor axis/major

axis) of each cell body mask was calculated by IDEAS™ by applying an ellipse of best fit

around the mask and determining the longest and shortest dimensions (major and minor

axes, respectively). Out of focus images were excluded by gating for cells with a high

gradient root mean square (RMS; a measure of pixel contrast), the IDEAS™ Spot Count

feature allowed the exclusion of images containing multiple cell masks, and images

containing overlapping cells were excluded using cell body area versus compactness plots.

For DCO-labelled cells, further gating was undertaken to include only cells with high enough

fluorescent intensity to have successfully taken up the stain (S12A Fig). For mNG:KINF

tagged cell lines, additional gating was performed to identify and mask those cells

expressing mNG:KINF at above background levels. An mNG:KINF negative gate was placed

by first analysing untagged cells, before an mNG:KINF positive gate was drawn around

mNG:KINF tagged cells with higher fluorescence (S12B Fig). The mNG:KINF fluorescent

signal was then masked, as described below, to enable automatic determination of its

shape.

Generation of masks

Masks were generated for the cell body (excluding the flagellum) to allow calculation of its

length, width and area (Fig 1B,C), and also for mNG:KINF nuclear staining to allow

automatic classification of the staining pattern. The cell body mask was generated from the

pre-defined brightfield mask in IDEAS™, using an Adaptive Erosion Coefficient of 71 and an

area range of >50 pixels (equivalent to 5.56 µm^2). IDEAS™ measures the longest length of

the cell body mask at its midline (length) and, using the Thickness Max feature, from the

midline to the widest point of the mask (half maximum width), which was then doubled to

give the maximum width. As the resolution of measurements was 1 pixel, which equated to

0.33 µm for length and 0.66 µm for width, random noise was added to the data to smooth

these measurements. To do this, the data was extracted from IDEAS™ and imported into

RStudio (R version 4.0.2), where a random value between -0.33 and 0.33 µm for each

length measurement, or between -0.66 and 0.66 µm for each width was added to each data

point, before violin plots of the data were generated.

A mask of the mNG:KINF staining was generated using the IDEAS™ Intensity function to

identify pixels with a raw intensity of >100. The IDEAS™ spot count feature was used to

determine the number of masks per cell, and the aspect ratio intensity (ARI; minor axis

intensity/major axis intensity) was used to distinguish between circular masks and spindle-

shaped masks, which were determined visually to have ARIs of ≥ 0.62 and < 0.62,

respectively.

Parameters analysed

The following parameters were automatically measured by IDEAS™: area (of mask; μm^2),

aspect ratio, brightfield intensity (sum of the intensity of the pixels within a mask, with the

background subtracted), circularity (which measures the degree of the mask's deviation from

a circle), compactness (similar to circularity but measures all pixels and takes intensity into

consideration), height and width (calculated by drawing a rectangular box around the cell

and measures its longest and shortest dimensions, respectively), elongatedness

(height/width), thickness_min/max (double the distance from the midpoint of the cell to the

perimeter at the narrowest/widest point of the cell), length, shape ratio

(thickness_min/length), diameter (assumes the object is a circle and calculates the diameter

using the area, defined as $2 \times \sqrt{(Area/\pi)}$ and the perimeter (of mask, μm).

Cell cycle analysis

Images of triplicate populations of *Leishmania* C9T7 and C9T7 mNG:KINF promastigote

cells stained with DCO were captured by IFC using a laser power of 3 mW, which allowed

the detection of DCO but was not sufficient to excite mNG:KINF to detectable levels. Gating

was performed as described above and DNA content was examined by plotting a histogram

of DCO intensity. Gates were drawn manually around the 2C, intermediate (>2C but <4C)

and 4C peaks, with the resultant data averaged for the three replicates. Cells were further

classified by their morphology into either long (L; >10 µm) or short (S; ≤10 µm) and wide (W;

>4 µm) or narrow (N) (≤ 4 µm) to allow more precise cell cycle stage identification, using the

morphological analysis of Wheeler *et al*., 2011 (2) as a guide. Short cells from the 2C gate

were reasoned to be in early G1 phase, while long 2C gated cells were in late G1 phase or

early S phase. Long cells in the 4C gate were deemed to be towards the end of S phase or in early G2 phase, while short 4C gated cells were in late G2 phase, mitosis or cytokinesis. Long cells from the intermediate DNA content gate were judged to be mostly in S phase, albeit with some overlap with late G1 and early G2 cells. Additionally, G2 cells (two visible flagella) were distinguished from late S phase cells (one visible flagellum) by manually determining the number of flagella per cell from IFC brightfield images.

A second aliquot of C9T7 mNG:KINF cells, unstained with DCO, was analysed by IFC using a laser power of 120 mW to permit detection of mNG:KINF. Here, gating was performed as described above and cells were classified according to the fluorescence pattern observed: no fluorescence, one, roughly circular, focus of fluorescence across the nucleus, one elongated focus on the mitotic spindle or two circular foci of fluorescence, one in each of the nuclei present in cells with two nuclei) (S12B Fig). These data were combined with morphological parameters (the length of the cell body and the number of flagella visible in brightfield images) to provide a more precise assessment of the cell cycle stage of individual cells. Short cells with one circular focus of nuclear mNG:KINF fluorescence were deemed to be in very early G1 phase while short cells with no mNG:KINF fluorescence were slightly later (but still early) in G1 phase. Long cells with no mNG:KINF fluorescence were either in late G1 or S phase, while long cells with a circular focus of mNG:KINF in the nucleus were either in S phase or G2 phase, distinguishable by the number of visible flagella per cell. Short wide cells with an elongated, spindle shaped focus of mNG:KINF fluorescence were deemed to be undergoing mitosis, while short wide cells with two nuclei and mNG:KINF fluorescence distributed across both of them had completed mitosis and were undergoing cytokinesis. Three additional unexpected populations were also detected: short wide cells with no mNG:KINF fluorescence (5.9% total cells), long wide cells with two circular mNG:KINF foci (0.9% total cells) and short narrow cells, also with two circular mNG:KINF foci (0.7% total cells). Upon visual inspection of the cells within these populations, the short wide non-fluorescent cells were found to display a wide range of cell body morphologies,

many of them aberrant (S13A Fig). The long wide population with two mNG:KINF foci were

found to mainly contain two cells located close together that had erroneously been classified

as a single cell (S13B Fig), and in the short narrow population with two mNG:KINF foci, one

foci was found to be much smaller than usual, suggesting additional mNG:KINF

fluorescence in the region of the kinetoplast, independent of their cell cycle stage (S13C

Fig). All of these cell populations were discarded from further analysis.

Since cells in late G1 and S phase are of similar length, and the DNA content of late G1 and

early S phase cells is practically indistinguishable, in long cells with no mNG:KINF

fluorescence, an estimate of the distribution of these cells between late G1 and S phase was

made using intensity profiles of DCO fluorescence in DCO stained C9T7 mNG:KINF cells.

The percentage of 2C gated cells was calculated, before the percentages of short cells in

early S phase (with or without mNG:KINF fluorescence, obtained from IFC of non-DCO

stained mNG:KINF cells, as described above) were subtracted, leaving an estimate of cells

in late G1 phase. This was then subtracted from the total percentage of long cells with no

mNG:KINF fluorescence to provide an estimate of the proportion of S phase cells with no

mNG:KINF fluorescence.

Cell cycle timings were calculated using the following equation (2, 46):

791
$$x = t\frac{\ln(1 - \frac{1}{2}y)}{-\ln(2)}$$

where x = time through the cell cycle, t = doubling time of the population and y = cumulative

proportion of cells up to and including that stage of the cell cycle. To express cell cycle stage

timings as progress through the unit (0-1) cell cycle, *t* was set to 1. This equation accounts

for the 'new cell' bias of continual replication within an asynchronous logarithmic population,

which results in double the number of newly divided daughter cells being present compared

to cells that are about to divide at the end of the previous cell cycle.

Acknowledgements

799 The authors would like to thank Pj Chana from Cytek Bio for useful advice, discussions and

800 training on IFC. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons

802 Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this

803 submission.

References

1.   Sunter J, Gull K. Shape, form, function and Leishmania pathogenicity: from textbook descriptions to biological understanding. Open Biol. 2017;7(9).

2.   Wheeler RJ, Gluenz E, Gull K. The cell cycle of Leishmania: morphogenetic events and their implications for parasite biology. Mol Microbiol. 2011;79(3):647-62.

3.   Minocha N, Kumar D, Rajanala K, Saha S. Kinetoplast morphology and segregation pattern as a marker for cell cycle progression in Leishmania donovani. J Eukaryot Microbiol. 2011;58(3):249-53.

4.   Ambit A, Woods KL, Cull B, Coombs GH, Mottram JC. Morphological events during the cell cycle of Leishmania major. Eukaryot Cell. 2011;10(11):1429-38.

5.   Chandra U, Yadav A, Kumar D, Saha S. Cell cycle stage-specific transcriptional activation of cyclins mediated by HAT2-dependent H4K10 acetylation of promoters in Leishmania donovani. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(9):e1006615.

6.   Damasceno JD, Marques CA, Beraldi D, Crouch K, Lapsley C, Obonaga R, et al. Genome duplication in Leishmania major relies on persistent subtelomeric DNA replication. eLife. 2020;9:e58030.

7.   Zakharova A, Albanaz ATS, Opperdoes FR, Škodová-Sveráková I, Zagirova D, Saura A, et al. Leishmania guyanensis M4147 as a new LRV1-bearing model parasite: Phosphatidate phosphatase 2-like protein controls cell cycle progression and intracellular lipid content. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2022;16(6):e0010510.

8.   Corrales RM, Vaselek S, Neish R, Berry L, Brunet CD, Crobu L, et al. The kinesin of the flagellum attachment zone in Leishmania is required for cell morphogenesis, cell division and virulence in the mammalian host. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(6):e1009666.

9.   Goda K, Filby A, Nitta N. In Flow Cytometry, Image Is Everything. Cytometry A. 2019;95(5):475-7.

10.   Rees PS, H.D., Filby, A. Carpenter, A.E. Doan, M. Imaging flow cytometry. Nature Reviews Methods Primers. 2022;2:86.

11.   Hildebrand M, Davis A, Abbriano R, Pugsley HR, Traller JC, Smith SR, et al. Applications of Imaging Flow Cytometry for Microalgae. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1389:47-67.

12.   Dunker S, Boho D, Wäldchen J, Mäder P. Combining high-throughput imaging flow cytometry and deep learning for efficient species and life-cycle stage identification of phytoplankton. BMC Ecology. 2018;18(1):51.

13.   Niswander LM, McGrath KE, Kennedy JC, Palis J. Improved quantitative analysis of primary bone marrow megakaryocytes utilizing imaging flow cytometry. Cytometry A. 2014;85(4):302-12.

14.   Fertrin KY, Samsel L, van Beers EJ, Mendelsohn L, Kato GJ, McCoy JP, Jr. Sickle Cell Imaging Flow Cytometry Assay (SIFCA). Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1389:279-92.

15.   Lippeveld M, Knill C, Ladlow E, Fuller A, Michaelis LJ, Saeys Y, et al. Classification of Human White Blood Cells Using Machine Learning for Stain-Free Imaging Flow Cytometry. Cytometry A. 2020;97(3):308-19.

16.   Samsel L, McCoy JP, Jr. Detection and Characterization of Rare Circulating Endothelial Cells by Imaging Flow Cytometry. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1389:249-64.

17.   Dent BM, Ogle LF, O'Donnell RL, Hayes N, Malik U, Curtin NJ, et al. High-resolution imaging for the detection and characterisation of circulating tumour cells from patients with oesophageal, hepatocellular, thyroid and ovarian cancers. Int J Cancer. 2016;138(1):206-16.

18.   Muchlinska A, Smentoch J, Zaczek AJ, Bednarz-Knoll N. Detection and Characterization of Circulating Tumor Cells Using Imaging Flow Cytometry-A Perspective Study. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(17).

19.   Haridas V, Ranjbar S, Vorobjev IA, Goldfeld AE, Barteneva NS. Imaging flow cytometry analysis of intracellular pathogens. Methods. 2017;112:91-104.

20. Dekel E, Rivkin A, Heidenreich M, Nadav Y, Ofir-Birin Y, Porat Z, et al. Identification and
classification of the malaria parasite blood developmental stages, using imaging flow cytometry.
Methods. 2017;112:157-66.
21. Power AL, Barber DG, Groenhof SRM, Wagley S, Liu P, Parker DA, et al. The Application of
Imaging Flow Cytometry for Characterisation and Quantification of Bacterial Phenotypes. Front Cell
Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:716592.
22. Filby A, Perucha E, Summers H, Rees P, Chana P, Heck S, et al. An imaging flow cytometric
method for measuring cell division history and molecular symmetry during mitosis. Cytometry Part
A. 2011;79A(7):496-506.
23. Patterson JO, Swaffer M, Filby A. An Imaging Flow Cytometry-based approach to analyse the
fission yeast cell cycle in fixed cells. Methods. 2015;82:74-84.
24. Filby A, Day W, Purewal S, Martinez-Martin N. The Analysis of Cell Cycle, Proliferation, and
Asymmetric Cell Division by Imaging Flow Cytometry. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1389:71-95.
25. Blasi T, Hennig H, Summers HD, Theis FJ, Cerveira J, Patterson JO, et al. Label-free cell cycle
analysis for high-throughput imaging flow cytometry. Nat Commun. 2016;7:10256.
26. Rodrigues MA, Beaton-Green LA, Wilkins RC, Fenech MF. The potential for complete
automated scoring of the cytokinesis block micronucleus cytome assay using imaging flow
cytometry. Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen. 2018;836(Pt A):53-64.
27. Wills JW, Verma JR, Rees BJ, Harte DSG, Haxhiraj Q, Barnes CM, et al. Inter-laboratory
automation of the in vitro micronucleus assay using imaging flow cytometry and deep learning. Arch
Toxicol. 2021;95(9):3101-15.
28. Vorobjev IA, Bekbayev S, Temirgaliyev A, Tlegenova M, Barteneva NS. Imaging Flow
Cytometry of Multi-Nuclearity. Methods Mol Biol. 2023;2635:87-101.
29. Tatrai P, Gergely F. Centrosome function is critical during terminal erythroid differentiation.
EMBO J. 2022;41(14):e108739.
30. Wortzel I, Porat Z, Seger R, Maik-Rachline G. Applying imaging flow cytometry and
immunofluorescence in studying the dynamic Golgi structure in cultured cells. STAR Protoc.
2022;3(2):101278.
31. Fasler-Kan E, Baiken Y, Vorobjev IA, Barteneva NS. Analysis of Nucleocytoplasmic Protein
Shuttling by Imaging Flow Cytometry. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1389:127-37.
32. Wiedeman J, Mensa-Wilmot K. A fixable probe for visualizing flagella and plasma
membranes of the African trypanosome. PloS one. 2018;13(5):e0197541-e.
33. Hurrell BP, Schuster S, Grün E, Coutaz M, Williams RA, Held W, et al. Rapid Sequestration of
Leishmania mexicana by Neutrophils Contributes to the Development of Chronic Lesion. PLOS
Pathogens. 2015;11(5):e1004929.
34. Baron N, Tupperwar N, Dahan I, Hadad U, Davidov G, Zarivach R, et al. Distinct features of
the Leishmania cap-binding protein LeishIF4E2 revealed by CRISPR-Cas9 mediated hemizygous
deletion. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 2021;15(3):e0008352.
35. Chiboub O, Sifaoui I, Abderrabba M, Mejri M, Fernandez JJ, Diaz-Marrero AR, et al.
Apoptosis-like cell death upon kinetoplastid induction by compounds isolated from the brown algae
Dictyota spiralis. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14(1):198.
36. Carnielli JBT, Dave A, Romano A, Forrester S, de Faria PR, Monti-Rocha R, et al.
3'Nucleotidase/nuclease is required for Leishmania infantum clinical isolate susceptibility to
miltefosine. EBioMedicine. 2022;86:104378.
37. Yanase R, Moreira-Leite F, Rea E, Wilburn L, Sadlova J, Vojtkova B, et al. Formation and
three-dimensional architecture of Leishmania adhesion in the sand fly vector. Elife. 2023;12.
38. Rabinovitch PS. DNA content histogram and cell-cycle analysis. Methods Cell Biol.
1994;41:263-96.
39. Bagwell CB, Mayo SW, Whetstone SD, Hitchcox SA, Baker DR, Herbert DJ, et al. DNA
histogram debris theory and compensation. Cytometry. 1991;12(2):107-18.

40.   Fox MH. A model for the computer analysis of synchronous DNA distributions obtained by flow cytometry. Cytometry. 1980;1(1):71-7.

41.   Watson JV, Chambers SH, Smith PJ. A pragmatic approach to the analysis of DNA histograms with a definable G1 peak. Cytometry. 1987;8(1):1-8.

42.   Marques CA, Dickens NJ, Paape D, Campbell SJ, McCulloch R. Genome-wide mapping reveals single-origin chromosome replication in Leishmania, a eukaryotic microbe. Genome Biol. 2015;16:230.

43.   Magalhaes T, Silva DKC, Teixeira JDS, De Lima JDT, Barbosa-Filho JM, Moreira DRM, et al. A Betulinic Acid Derivative, BA5, Induces G0/G1 Cell Arrest, Apoptosis Like-Death, and Morphological Alterations in Leishmania sp. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:846123.

44.   Wheeler RJ, Gull K, Gluenz E. Detailed interrogation of trypanosome cell biology via differential organelle staining and automated image analysis. BMC Biol. 2012;10:1.

45.   Halliday C, Billington K, Wang Z, Madden R, Dean S, Sunter JD, et al. Cellular landmarks of Trypanosoma brucei and Leishmania mexicana. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2019;230:24-36.

46.   Williams F. Dynamics of microbial populations. Patten BC, editor. New York: Academic Press; 1971.

47.   Ambaru B, Gangadharan GM, Subramanya HS, Gupta CM. Profilin is involved in G1 to S phase progression and mitotic spindle orientation during Leishmania donovani cell division cycle. PLoS One. 2022;17(3):e0265692.

48.   Hammarton TC, Kramer S, Tetley L, Boshart M, Mottram JC. Trypanosoma brucei Polo-like kinase is essential for basal body duplication, kDNA segregation and cytokinesis. Mol Microbiol. 2007;65(5):1229-48.

49.   Sadlova J, Price HP, Smith BA, Votypka J, Volf P, Smith DF. The stage-regulated HASPB and SHERP proteins are essential for differentiation of the protozoan parasite Leishmania major in its sand fly vector, Phlebotomus papatasi. Cell Microbiol. 2010;12(12):1765-79.

50.   Wheeler RJ. ImageJ for Partially and Fully Automated Analysis of Trypanosome Micrographs. Methods Mol Biol. 2020;2116:385-408.

51.   Peacock L, Bailey M, Carrington M, Gibson W. Meiosis and haploid gametes in the pathogen *Trypanosoma brucei*. Current Biology. 2014;24(2):181-6.

52.   Kabani S, Waterfall M, Matthews KR. Cell-cycle synchronisation of bloodstream forms of Trypanosoma brucei using Vybrant DyeCycle Violet-based sorting. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2010;169(1):59-62.

53.   Siegel TN, Kawahara T, DeGrasse JA, Janzen CJ, Horn D, Cross GAM. Acetylation of histone H4K4 is cell cycle regulated and mediated by HAD in Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular Microbiology. 2008;67(4):762-71.

54.   Wlodkowic D, Darzynkiewicz Z. Please do not disturb: destruction of chromatin structure by supravital nucleic acid probes revealed by a novel assay of DNA-histone interaction. Cytometry A. 2008;73(10):877-9.

55.   Wojcik K, Dobrucki JW. Interaction of a DNA intercalator DRAQ5, and a minor groove binder SYTO17, with chromatin in live cells--influence on chromatin organization and histone-DNA interactions. Cytometry A. 2008;73(6):555-62.

56.   Varga V, Moreira-Leite F, Portman N, Gull K. Protein diversity in discrete structures at the distal tip of the trypanosome flagellum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114(32):E6546-E55.

57.   Gadella TWJ, Jr., van Weeren L, Stouthamer J, Hink MA, Wolters AHG, Giepmans BNG, et al. mScarlet3: a brilliant and fast-maturing red fluorescent protein. Nat Methods. 2023;20(4):541-5.

58.   Golub M, Guillon V, Gotthard G, Zeller D, Martinez N, Seydel T, et al. Dynamics of a family of cyan fluorescent proteins probed by incoherent neutron scattering. J R Soc Interface. 2019;16(152):20180848.

59.   de Oliveira BCD, Assis LHC, Shiburah ME, Paiva SC, Fontes VS, de Oliveira LS, et al. Synchronization of Leishmania amazonensis Cell Cycle Using Hydroxyurea. Methods Mol Biol. 2022;2579:127-35.

60.   Simpson L, Braly P. Synchronization of Leishmania tarentolae by hydroxyurea. J Protozool.
1970;17(4):511-7.
61.   Hassan P, Fergusson D, Grant KM, Mottram JC. The CRK3 protein kinase is essential for cell
cycle progression of *Leishmania mexicana*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2001;113:189-98.
62.   Hammarton TC. Who Needs a Contractile Actomyosin Ring? The Plethora of Alternative
Ways to Divide a Protozoan Parasite. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2019;9:397.
63.   Wheeler RJ, Gull K, Sunter JD. Coordination of the Cell Cycle in Trypanosomes. Annu Rev
Microbiol. 2019;73:133-54.
64.   Dandugudumula R, Fischer-Weinberger R, Zilberstein D. Morphogenesis Dynamics in
Leishmania Differentiation. Pathogens. 2022;11(9).
65.   Regli IB, Passelli K, Martinez-Salazar B, Amore J, Hurrell BP, Muller AJ, et al. TLR7 Sensing by
Neutrophils Is Critical for the Control of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. Cell Rep. 2020;31(10):107746.
66.   Carlsen ED, Jie Z, Liang Y, Henard CA, Hay C, Sun J, et al. Interactions between Neutrophils
and Leishmania braziliensis Amastigotes Facilitate Cell Activation and Parasite Clearance. J Innate
Immun. 2015;7(4):354-63.
67.   Terrazas C, Oghumu S, Varikuti S, Martinez-Saucedo D, Beverley SM, Satoskar AR.
Uncovering Leishmania-macrophage interplay using imaging flow cytometry. J Immunol Methods.
2015;423:93-8.
68.   Abidin BM, Hammami A, Stager S, Heinonen KM. Infection-adapted emergency
hematopoiesis promotes visceral leishmaniasis. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(8):e1006422.
69.   Jha B, Reverte M, Ronet C, Prevel F, Morgenthaler FD, Desponds C, et al. In and out:
Leishmania metastasis by hijacking lymphatic system and migrating immune cells. Front Cell Infect
Microbiol. 2022;12:941860.
70.   Miller HW, Suleiman RL, Ralston KS. Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica Mediates
Acquisition and Display of Human Cell Membrane Proteins and Evasion of Lysis by Human Serum.
mBio. 2019;10(2).
71.   Chia WN, Lee YQ, Tan KS. Imaging flow cytometry for the screening of compounds that
disrupt the Plasmodium falciparum digestive vacuole. Methods. 2017;112:211-20.
72.   Beneke T, Madden R, Makin L, Valli J, Sunter J, Gluenz E. A CRISPR Cas9 high-throughput
genome editing toolkit for kinetoplastids. R Soc Open Sci. 2017;4(5):170095.
73.   Beneke T, Gluenz E. LeishGEdit: A Method for Rapid Gene Knockout and Tagging Using
CRISPR-Cas9. In: Clos J, editor. Leishmania: Methods and Protocols. New York, NY: Springer New
York; 2019. p. 189-210.
74.   Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat
Methods. 2012;9(7):671-5.
75.   IDEAS User Manual v6 [Available from:
https://www.pedsresearch.org/uploads/pages/img/IDEAS_User_Manual_6.pdf.

Supporting information captions

S1 Fig. Cell cycle-dependent morphologies of *L. mexicana*. A representation of the morphological changes seen as a cell progresses through the different cell cycle stages. The relative timings of the cell cycle stages are not to scale. M: mitosis; C: cytokinesis; N: nucleus; K: kinetoplast; F: flagellum.

S2 Fig. Intensity profiles of *L. mexicana* promastigote cells stained with various DNA dyes. C9T7 cells were incubated with the various dyes, as detailed in the Materials and Methods, before being analysed on the ImageStream. Each intensity profile shows the data acquired from ~30,000 cells, with example images below (left panel: brightfield image; right panel: fluorescence image; scale bars 7 µm). (A) Methanol-fixed cells stained with propidium iodide. (B) Cell cycle modelling of the propidium iodide DNA intensity profile (panel A) using the FCS Express™ Multicycle engine (Rabinovitch & Bagwell debris subtraction (38, 39) and Dean/Jett/Fox cell cycle modelling (40)). The cell cycle stages of each curve are indicated. C-F: live cells stained with Hoechst, DRAQ5, Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Violet and Vybrant™ DyeCycle™ Ruby, respectively.

S3 Fig. DCO does not affect viability of *L. mexicana* promastigotes. Following incubation with DCO, C9T7 cells were washed three times in PBS and resuspended in M199 at a density of 5×10^5 cellsml^{-1}. Control (unstained) cells were similarly processed. Cell density was measured over 72 hours and a growth curve plotted.

S4 Fig. Stability of DCO. (A) Triplicate populations of *L. mexicana* promastigote C9T7 cells were stained with 0.625 µM DCO for 30 minutes at room temperature before being resuspended in PBS. Following resuspension in PBS, cell samples were analysed by IFC at the time points indicated. Fluorescence intensity profiles are shown for one representative replicate (*n* = ~15,000 cells).

S5 Fig. Morphological parameters of different cell cycle stages. *L. mexicana* C9T7 promastigotes were stained with DCO, analysed by IFC and gated according to their DNA

intensity (2C, intermediate DNA content (Int.; 2C-4C) and 4C) (Fig. 3A). The area, aspect

ratio (AR), brightfield intensity (BF_Intensity), circularity, compactness, diameter,

elongatedness, height, perimeter, shape ratio and thickness_min of the cells were then

plotted for each gate, as indicated in the figure.

S6 Fig. Linking DNA content and cellular dimensions. (A) Length and (B) width

distributions of C9T7 cells from the DNA intensity plot presented in Fig 3A. Top panels: cells

within the 2C gate; middle panels: cells within the intermediate (2C-4C) gate; bottom: overlay

of cells in all gates.

S7 Fig. Morphological parameters of cells gated on DNA content and length. *L.*

mexicana C9T7 promastigotes were stained with DCO, analysed by IFC and gated

according to their DNA intensity (2C, intermediate DNA content (Int.; 2C-4C) and 4C) (Fig.

3A). 4C gated cells were then further gated according to their length (short: <10 μm; long ≥

10 μm) (Fig 3B). The area, aspect ratio (AR), brightfield intensity (BF_Intensity), circularity,

compactness, diameter, elongatedness, height, length, perimeter, shape ratio,

thickness_min and width of the cells in each gate were then plotted, as indicated in the

figure.

S8 Fig. S phase cells with elongated mNG:KINF fluorescence. Some long, narrow C9T7

mNG:KINF cells with a single flagellum were observed to possess a single elongated focus

of mNG:KINF fluorescence that led to them being classified automatically as having a mitotic

spindle. Cells such as these were manually removed from the 'mNG:KINF spindle'

population and added to the S phase 1CF population.

S9 Fig. Dimensions of G2 phase cells. The lengths (A) and widths (B) of C9T7 mNG:KINF

cells identified as being in G2 phase were plotted.

S10 Fig. Fluorescence intensity plot of C9T7 mNG:KINF cells stained with DCO. Cells

were imaged with a laser power of 3 mW to excite only DCO and not mNG:KINF to

detectable levels. 2C, intermediate (2C-4C) and 4C gates were placed as indicated (blue

boxes) with the % cells within each of the gates indicated on the right. Data was from the

Run 2 replicate; data from the Runs 1 and 3 replicates is in S1G Table.

S11 Fig. ImageStream cell gating strategy. Following ImageStream acquisition, data were

processed using a user-defined gating strategy in IDEAS to identify single cells and gate out

doublets, debris and images with artefacts. First, cell body area was plotted against side

scatter, with gating applied to exclude debris and speed beads (A; teal gate). Next, singlet

cells were selected using a cell body area vs aspect ratio plot (B; blue gate). The best in

focus cells in bright field (BIF_BF) were identified via the Gradient_RMS parameter (C;

orange bar). Images with a single mask (i.e. one object per image) were selected using

"Spot Count" (D) and plotting cell body area against cell compactness enabled the removal

of overlapping cells, resulting in the 'Processed' population (E, light blue gate), which was

then utilised for morphological and fluorescence analysis. n = 30,000 C9T7 cells.

S12 Fig. ImageStream cell cycle gating strategy. Using a 'processed' population (Fig.

S11), separate gating strategies were employed for the cell cycle analysis of DCO-stained

C9T7 mNG:KINF cells excited with a laser power of 3 mW (A) and unstained C9T7

mNG:KINF cells excited with a laser power of 120 mW (B). (A) The best in-focus DNA

images were selected using Gradient_RMS (I; red bar) and cells sufficiently stained with

DCO were identified via their fluorescent intensity (ii; orange gate). The cell cycle stages of

the cells were then determined based on their DCO intensity (iii; G1, S and G2/M bars). To

facilitate further resolution of cell cycle stage within the G2/M cell population, morphological

characteristics were analysed. Cell body length (iv) and width (v; using the Thickness Max

parameter) were plotted to identify short (length ≤10 μm), long (length >10 μm), narrow

(width ≤4 μm) and wide (width >4 μm) cells. (B) Cells expressing mNG:KINF were identified

by gating on green fluorescence greater than that of the parental untagged cell line (i; green

gate). Cell cycle stages were determined from the cell length (ii, short and long bars, as in

(A)) and width (iii; Thickness Max, narrow and wide bars, as in (A)) and by their mNG:KINF

fluorescence pattern. mNG:KINF foci were identified using masks, allowing the separation of

cells with one or two mNG:KINF foci (iv). The aspect ratio intensity of cells with a single

mNG:KINF focus allowed cells with a circular focus or an elongated spindle-shaped focus to

be distinguished (v).

S13 Fig. Aberrant mNG:KINF populations. Example images of C9T7 mNG:KINF cells that

were discarded from further analysis. (A) short, wide cells with mNG fluorescence below the

threshold. The majority of these cells had aberrant morphology that meant they could not be

assigned to any given cell cycle stage. (B) cells assigned by IDEAS™ as long and wide with

two circular mNG:KINF foci. The majority of images from this group were found to be of two

individual cells in close proximity that had been misclassified. (C) short narrow cells that

were classified as having two circular mNG:KINF foci by IDEAS™. All of these cells were

found to have unequally sized foci, suggesting that there was some additional fluorescence

in the region of the kinetoplast (white arrowheads).

S1 Table. Raw and compiled IFC data. (A) Live vs fixed raw data. Length and width

measurements used to compile Fig 1D. (B) DCO vs PI percentages. Cell cycle modelling

data from triplicate IFC analysis of C9T7 cells stained with DCO or PI as used to compile Fig

2D. (C-E) KINF Run1-3. Raw and processed data from triplicate IFC analysis of unstained

mNG:KINF cells analysed with a laser power of 120 mW. Further details are given in the

'Notes' section at the bottom of the worksheet. (F) KINF averages. Mean values + standard

deviations for data from the three mNG:KINF replicates, used to compile Fig 5B,D. (G) DCO.

Gate data from triplicate IFC analysis of DCO-stained mNG:KINF cells analysed with a laser

power of 3 mW. Run 2 data is presented in S10 Fig. (H) Calculated timings. Compiled

mNG:KINF and DCO IFC data used to generate the cell cycle stage durations presented in

Table 1 and Fig 7. Further details are given in the 'Notes' section at the bottom of the

worksheet.