Title: Detecting, mapping, and suppressing the spread of a decade-long *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* nosocomial outbreak with genomics

Authors: William Stribling[1], Lindsey R. Hall[1], Aubrey Powell[1,2], Casey Harless[1], Melissa J. Martin[1], Brendan W. Corey[1], Erik Snesrud[1], Ana Ong[1], Rosslyn Maybank[1], Jason Stam[1], Katie Bartlett[1], Brendan T. Jones[1], Lan N. Preston[1], Katherine F. Lane[1], Bernadette Thompson[3], Lynn M. Young[3], Yoon I. Kwak[1], Alice E. Barsoumian[4], Ana-Elizabeth Markelz[4], John L. Kiley[4], Robert J. Cybulski[1,5], Jason W. Bennett[1], Patrick T. Mc Gann[1,*] and Francois Lebreton[1,*]

Affiliations:

[1] Multidrug-Resistant Organism Repository and Surveillance Network (MRSN), Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA.

[2] Department of Pathology, Brooke Army Medical Center, Joint Base San Antonio-Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA.

[3] Infection Prevention & Control, Brooke Army Medical Center, Joint Base San Antonio-Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA.

[4] Infectious Disease Service, Department of Medicine, Brooke Army Medical Center, Joint Base San Antonio Fort Sam Houston, Texas, USA.

[5] Bacterial Disease Branch, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, Maryland, USA.

*Address correspondence to: francois.lebreton.ctr@health.mil and patrick.t.mcgann4.civ@health.mil

Keywords: nosocomial transmission, MDR, ESKAPE, antibiotic resistance, infection control, microbial genomics, evolution.

ABSTRACT:

Whole-genome sequencing is revolutionizing bacterial outbreak investigation but its application to the clinic remains limited. In 2020, prospective and retrospective surveillance detected a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak with 254 isolates collected from 82 patients in 27 wards of a hospital. Its origin was dated to the late 90s, just after the facility opened, and patient-to-patient and environment-to-patient cases of transmission were inferred. Over time, two epidemic subclones evolved in separate hosts and hospital areas, including newly opened wards, and hospital-wide sampling confirmed reservoirs persisted in the plumbing. Pathoadaptive mutations in genes associated with virulence, cell wall biogenesis, and antibiotic resistance were identified. While the latter correlated with the acquisition of phenotypic resistances to 1st (cephalosporin), 2nd (carbapenems) and 3rd (colistin) lines of treatment, maximum parsimony suggested that a truncation in a lipopolysaccharide component coincided with the emergence of a subclone prevalent in chronic infections. Since initial identification, extensive infection control efforts guided by routine, near real-time surveillance have proved successful at slowing transmission.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT:

Every year, millions of hospital-associated infections are threatening patient lives. This, in a world in which rates of resistances to existing antibiotics are increasing. And this, at a time dubbed the post-antibiotic era when new drugs are scarce. But now is also the golden age of genomics. Here, applying this transformative technology to the clinic revealed an outbreak of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, resistant to last line antibiotics, that had escaped detection for decades. The mapping of transmission chains, through hospital floors, pointed to environmental reservoirs in intensive care units but also provided critical insights into the evolution and adaptation of this pathogen. Genomic data, shared in near real-time with the hospital, resulted in targeted interventions and the prevention of new cases.

MAIN TEXT:

INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a versatile, opportunistic human pathogen causing a wide range of infections of both community and hospital origin[1-3]. In the clinic, patients with cystic fibrosis (CF), severe burns or neutropenia are of highest risk and cases are associated with high morbidity and mortality[3]. Furthermore, the management of these infections is increasingly difficult due to the global emergence of multidrug resistant (MDR) strains, especially carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (CRPA), which now account for 16-30% of cases in the US[4]. In response, global and national agencies have categorized CRPA as a "Critical" pathogen posing a serious threat on public health[4].

While high-risk MDR lineages, exemplified by the most globally prevalent sequence type (ST) 235, are enriched in isolates carrying acquired carbapenemases and/or extended-spectrum beta lactamases (ESBLs)[5,6], the hallmark of *P. aeruginosa* lies in its remarkable propensity for developing resistances through chromosomal mutations[7]. Within the hundreds of intrinsic genes comprising this mutational resistome, several harbor well-characterized modifications that are the most common cause of resistance for nearly all classes of drugs[7]. These include gain-of-function mutations in gyrase subunits GyrAB (fluoroquinolones), penicillin-binding protein PBP3 (cephalosporins), various Mex efflux systems (multiple antimicrobials) as well as inactivation of the porin OprD (carbapenems) or the sensor component of the PhoPQ system, the latter associated with resistance to last-line polymyxin antibiotics[8-10].

Being capable of both acute and chronic infection, *P. aeruginosa* possesses a large arsenal of virulence factors such as a type 4 pili (T4P), O-antigens and lipopolysaccharides (LPS), extracellular enzymes, exotoxins (*e.g.* ExoS/U), a flagellum and five types of secretion systems (TSSs)[11]. These are generally part of complex regulatory pathways in

which two-component systems (TCSs) often play a major role[11,12]. The ability of *P.*

aeruginosa to form biofilm is a key aspect of its persistence in patients with chronic

infections as well as in environmental niches[13]. In the hospital, biofilms are often found in

water-related environments and eradication is particularly difficult due to increased

disinfectant resistance[1,14]. This in turn poses a challenge for infection prevention and control

(IPAC) as illustrated by the increasing reports of *P. aeruginosa* outbreaks and hospital-

acquired infections (HAI) linked to contaminated sinks, drains or taps[15–18].

Here, routine genome-based surveillance of MDR clinical isolates across the US

Military Health System (MHS) identified an epidemic cluster of *P. aeruginosa* in a single

hospital in 2020. Retrospective analysis of isolates from the preceding ten years, together

with Bayesian phylogenetics, revealed a protracted outbreak clone with cases from all

hospital floors and an origin dated to the late 1990s. Dissection of this unique dataset sheds

new light on the evolution, persistence, and routes of transmission of *P. aeruginosa* in the

clinic.

RESULTS

P. aeruginosa ST-621 is endemic in a large US tertiary hospital.

The Multidrug-Resistant Organism Repository and Surveillance Network (MRSN) performed whole genome sequencing (WGS) of 5,129 *P. aeruginosa* isolates received between 2011 and 2020 from 71 facilities around the globe[19]. While a total of 547 STs were represented, high-risk epidemic lineages ST-235/*exoU+* (10%) and ST-244/*exoS+* (5%) were the most prevalent and widespread with isolates recovered from >28 facilities in each case (**Fig. S1A**). By contrast, isolates from lineage ST-621/*exoS+* also represented 5% of the total STs, but originated from just 6 facilities, with 89% from a single US tertiary hospital (Facility A) and sharing high-level genetic relatedness by core genome MLST (cgMLST) (**Fig. S1B**). Furthermore, of the 5 additional facilities from which ST-621 was detected, patient transfer from facility A was observed in three instances and isolates from the remaining two were genetically distinct strains (**Fig. S1B**).

Retrospective analysis revealed that a total of 254 ST-621 isolates were collected from facility A between May 2011 and December 2020. The majority were isolated from respiratory (42%) and urine (39%) samples, followed by wound (7%), surveillance (6%), tissue (3%), and blood cultures (2%) (**Table S1**).

A protracted outbreak with cases dispersed throughout floors and wards.

Phylogenetic analysis confirmed that all ST-621 isolates from facility A belonged to the same outbreak clone with an average distance (all vs all) of just 38 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (**Fig. 1A**). A total of 82 patients were represented, with the majority (56%) contributing serial isolates. In particular, six patients (ID 10, 27, 34, 35, 49 and 63) showed prolonged carriage, best exemplified by patient 35 from whom 21 urine isolates were collected over 4.5 years (**Fig. 1A and B**).

Two outbreak subclones, designated SC1 and SC2, were identified from the tree topology and showed increased genetic relatedness within each (average distance of 24 and 31 SNPs, respectively) (**Fig. 1A**). Overall ST-621 isolates were observed in 27 wards/units from all 7 floors of two buildings (Main and Tower Buildings) in facility A (**Fig. 1C**), yet subclones SC1 and SC2 were spatially and temporally distinct. 78% of SC1 isolates were collected from patients in the Main Building and 60% were detected before 2014. In contrast, 52% of SC2 isolates originated from patients in the Tower Building and 77% were collected after 2014 (**Fig. 1A**). Specifically, the early spread of SC1 was largely connected to patients in adjacent ICUs and step-down units on the 2nd and 3rd floors (wards 1-10) of the Main Building. The subsequent emergence of SC2 largely centered in patients receiving care in adjacent ICUs (wards 20-21) on the 3rd floor within the Tower Building (**Fig. 1A and C**). Throughout the outbreak, new cases due to either SC1 or SC2 were also detected from patients in the emergency room (ER). Unlike the isolates from other locations, these ER isolates were nearly all (32 vs 92%, p <.0001) from urine cultures (**Fig. 1C**).

The epidemic curve revealed that between 2011 and 2016 an average of 11.5 new cases were detected every year. From 2017 to 2021, only 12 new patients were identified (3 cases a year) (**Fig. 1D**). Although the rate of outbreak cases appeared to decrease, the overall number of *P. aeruginosa* cases in facility A (irrespective of lineages) remained stable throughout the studied period. However, due to staffing issues, the number of isolates received/sequenced by the MRSN every year was not consistent.

An origin dated soon after the opening of facility A.

Though the collection of clinical isolates at facility A only started in 2011, genetic diversity was already evident (16.3 SNPs on average), suggesting an earlier origin (**Table S2**). Molecular clock phylogenetic analyses of all studied isolates inferred the time of the

most recent common ancestor (MRCA) to be in 1999 (± 3 years), soon after the opening of

the Main Building of facility A in 1996 (**Fig. 2**). Furthermore, this analysis predicted that,

despite the observed temporal succession in sequenced isolates, SC2 was not a descendant of

SC1. Instead, the two subclones emerged concurrently with respective MRCAs dated in 2004

(± 2 years) (**Fig. 2**).

Despite this predicted early origin, it is only after facility expansion and the opening

of the Tower Building in 2012 that the first SC2 isolates were ever sampled (**Table S1**).

Specifically, the earliest SC2 isolate collected was from a wound infection in patient 27.

Remarkably, the 15 serial isolates collected over 3 years (2012 to 2015) from this chronically

infected patient were not monophyletic and their MRCA coincided with the emergence of

this subclone (**Fig. 2**).

Environmental reservoirs sustain nosocomial transmission

The presumed origin of an infection, either directly from patient-to-patient or from

environment-to-patient, was designated based on SNP thresholds (starting from pairwise

comparisons for all inter-patient isolates, **Table S2**) and known epidemiological links, or lack

thereof. Indeed, while a unimodal distribution of SNPs (peak at 40.5) was observed for the

whole cohort, a bimodal profile appeared when inter-patient isolates from the same month,

the same ward, or both were selected (local maxima of the lower peak at 12.4, 15.3, and 11.6

SNPs respectively) (**Fig. 1E**). This pool of virtually identical isolates from patients with

spatial and temporal overlap represented the most likely cases of direct, patient-to-patient

transmission. Pairs of isolates from only 10 patients satisfied these conditions (**Table S1**): All

carried subclone SC1, occurred in 2011 and 2012 (with two exceptions), and six were

hospitalized in ICU #1 in the Main Building of facility A (**Fig. 1C**).

In contrast, a presumed environmental origin was designated when a new patient was

infected with a virtually identical strain from a preceding patient in the same ward, but no

known temporal overlap was established for at least 1 year. Twelve cases met these criteria

(**Fig. 1C and Table S2**). Among them, 3 patients (ID 57, 61, and 74) in ICU #20 on the

Tower Building had primary isolates of subclone SC2 that were distinct by only 0-4 SNPs

despite being collected years apart (in 2015, 2016, and 2018, respectively) (**Fig. 1C**).

Furthermore, an origin from contaminated surfaces was also predicted for 7 of the 9 most

recent patients (2018-2020). This included 5 cases caused by the apparent resurgence of SC1

in ICUs #1-2 of the Main Building (**Fig. 1C**).

Genomic inferences were supported by contemporary environmental sampling,

conducted in 2021 and 2022, which confirmed the existence of reservoirs (*i.e*. sink drains

located in patient rooms) of both SC1 and SC2 isolates (n = 17) in 7 distinct patient rooms in

wards #1, 2, 6 and 20 throughout the Main and Tower Buildings of facility A (**Fig. 3, Table**

S3). In that timeframe, an additional 56 clinical isolates (25 SC1 and 31 SC2) from 13

patients (including 11 new cases) were collected, indicating transmission events were still

occurring (**Fig. 3**).

Selection on resistance genes and rising prevalence of CRPA due to porin defects.

Phenotypically, a prototypical outbreak isolate showed non-susceptibility to

aminoglycosides (except for amikacin), 3rd and 4th generation cephalosporins, carbapenems,

and fluoroquinolones (**Table S4**). However, resistance profiles were not homogenous and

temporal patterns were observed (**Fig. 4A**). In particular, while 63% of the isolates collected

in 2011 were non-susceptible to imipenem, this fraction gradually increased to >95% by 2014

and beyond. In the same timeframe, the prevalence of isolates with non-susceptibility to

cefepime decreased from 81% to 37% (**Fig. 4A**). Both phenomena were linked to the decline

of SC1 and rise of SC2, with the latter displaying significantly higher (98% to 76% in SC1,

p<0.05) and lower (38% to 66%, p<0.05) rates of resistance to imipenem and cefepime,

respectively (**Fig. 2**). In contrast, resistance to tobramycin and ciprofloxacin was highly

prevalent and remained relatively stable over the sampling period (**Fig. 4A**).

Genotypically, outbreak isolates contained no plasmids (based on the finished genomes

of a diverse subset of isolates, **Table S1**) and, besides an intrinsic AmpC-type β-lactamase,

only carried two acquired resistance genes, known to confer resistance to ciprofloxacin

(*crpP*) and tobramycin (*aac(6')-Ib4*) (**Table S1**). To explain other phenotypic resistances,

and in the absence of an acquired ESBL or carbapenemase, a genome-wide analysis of non-

synonymous (NSY) and loss of function (LOF) mutations was performed for all outbreak

isolates (**Table S5**). From a list of 164 genes (**Table S6**) for which known chromosomal

mutations have been linked to antibiotic resistance[11-13], 68 distinct mutations (including 20

predicted LOF) in 37/164 genes were identified in at least one outbreak isolate (**Table S7**).

Of particular interest, 8 genes (or functional clusters) were each mutated independently three

or more times (**Fig. 4B**).

The most frequently mutated gene was *oprD*, encoding a porin that facilitates the

diffusion of amino acids, small peptides, and carbapenem antibiotics into the cell[8]. Five of the

six independent mutations identified in OprD were predicted LOF, including an R6* stop

codon and a R318fs frameshift observed in 115 SC2 and 92 SC1 isolates, respectively (**Fig.**

4B, Table S7). Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP) predicted that both the

R318fs and R6* mutations occurred early (between 2006-2008) within the evolution of their

respective subclones (**Fig. 2**). Despite this early origin, highly carbapenem resistant SC2-

OprD-R6* isolates were first sampled from patients in mid-2012 and only became

predominant from 2014 onward (**Fig. 2**).

Within all other mutations in antibiotic resistance associated genes (**Table S7**), only two

more variants were found in > 50 outbreak isolates: a W97* stop codon in β-lactamase

expression regulator AmpD and a R504C substitution in penicillin-binding protein PBP3

(**Fig. 4B**). The LOF in AmpD, predicted to have emerged in 2012, was observed in a subset

of 51 monophyletic SC2-OprD-R6* background isolates that were not consistently resistant

to cefepime (**Fig. 2**). In contrast, the R504C substitution in PBP3 was acquired independently

twice (within SC1-OprD-R318fs in 2007 and within SC2-OprD-R6* in 2014) and was

strongly associated with a resistance to 4th-generation cephalosporins (**Fig. 2**). Overall, *ampD*

and *pbp3* were among the genes with the most independently acquired NSY or LOF

mutations, with six and four distinct sites, respectively (**Fig. 4B**). Accumulation of mutations,

albeit in small numbers of isolates, was also observed in other genes involved in β-lactam

resistance (*e.g.* catabolite repression control *crc*, and *mpl* UDP-muramic acid/peptide ligase)

as well as antibiotic efflux systems (*mexXY* and *mexCD-oprJ*) (**Fig. 4B**).

Sporadic emergence of colistin resistance.

Though rare, the presence and impact of mutations in genes associated with resistance

to colistin, a last-line antibiotic, was examined. NSY mutations were detected in the TCSs

ColRS (three distinct sites in four isolates) (**Fig. 4B**) and PmrAB (two distinct sites in three

isolates) (**Table S7**) but colistin MIC's were the same as wild-type isolates (4 mg/L). By

contrast, patient 2 (who had a history of colistin therapy) acquired a predicted LOF mutation

(E77fs) in sensor protein PhoQ in two serial isolates resulting in an 8-fold increase in MIC

(32 mg/L) (**Fig. 4C**). Notably, the emergence of this colistin resistant strain, which occurred

in early 2011 (**Fig. 2**), remained constrained to a single patient and no further spread was

detected.

Mutational convergence in virulence, cell wall biogenesis and signaling pathways.

Genome-wide, 64 genes were each mutated independently two or more times over the

course of this outbreak (**Table S5**). Compared to the distribution observed for the whole

genome, these 64 genes were functionally enriched for signal transduction (28% vs 6% in the

whole genome, p<0.05) and cell wall/membrane biogenesis (17% vs 7%, p<0.05) (**Fig. 5A**).

For the latter category, besides genes already discussed for their direct role in antibiotic

resistance (i.e *pbp3, oprD, mpl, and mex* loci), six other genes were recurrently mutated in

outbreak isolates including LPS biosynthesis *rmlA* and choline transporter *betT2* (**Fig. 5A**).

For genes associated with signaling functions, various TCS involved in pathogenesis

were represented, including *narXL*, *fleRS*, and the catabolic regulation *cbrAB* (**Fig. 5A**).

Notably, *cbrAB* was the most independently mutated loci in this dataset with 16 distinct NSY

mutations in 80 isolates from both subclones. Beyond TCS, sigma factor *pvdS,* required for

toxin expression (6 NSY and 1 LOF), motility regulator *morA* (11 NSY and 2 LOF) and

mucoidy regulatory system *mucAB* (4 sites including three predicted LOF) were also

recurrently mutated (though rarely fixed) in outbreak isolates (**Fig. 5A).**

Finally, at the level of functional pathways, and compared to the theoretical frequency

for an even distribution of mutated sites across the whole genome (0.11 sites per kb of coding

sequence), SYN and LOF mutations were significantly enriched in genes involved in the

biosynthesis of the Type IV secretion system (0.59 sites/kb, p<0.05), flagellar biosynthesis

and chemotaxis (0.21 sites/kb, p<0.05), alginate production (0.31 sites/kb, p<0.05) and O-

antigen production (0.36 sites/kb, p<0.05) (**Fig. 5B, Table S8**). Importantly, the WbpX D-

rhamnosyltransferase, part of the O-antigen biosynthesis pathway, was disrupted (S19fs) in

127 SC2 isolates (**Table S5**). PAUP predicted this frameshift occurred in 2005 ($\pm$ 24

months), concomitant with the predicted emergence of SC2 (**Fig. 2**).

DISCUSSION

WGS technology is revolutionizing IPAC. Here, routine surveillance of MDR

pathogens across the MHS was critical in uncovering a decades-long *P. aeruginosa* epidemic

cluster. With traditional approaches alone[20], comparable outbreaks may avoid detection due

to the sporadic nature of patient infections, scattering of cases throughout the hospital, and

changing antibiotic susceptibility profiles. Furthermore, because of budget constraints,

surveillance programs focusing on "high-risk" isolates carrying select resistance genes (*e.g.*

ESBLs, carbapenemases)[4–6], would also fail to detect this ST-621 outbreak clone. Indeed,

while the ST-621 lineage was the focus of several studies in the late 2000's, these examined a

bla_{IMP-13} carrying epidemic clone which spread throughout Europe and has since been

sporadically detected in South America and Southeast Asia[21–24]. To our knowledge this IMP-

carrying strain has not been reported in the USA to date, and the outbreak in Facility A is the

result of a distinct MDR clone lacking the carbapenemase.

Similar to the MHS, healthcare networks worldwide that already benefit from

prospective WGS surveillance programs are reporting large numbers of unrecognized

outbreaks[18]. However, two resources rarely available in other settings were key to this

investigation: a genome repository of isolates from the preceding decade and the ability to

conduct prospective environmental sampling. The former was integral to date the presumed

origin of the outbreak to the late 90s, soon after the hospital opened, and to trace the

evolution and separate spread of two subclones throughout floors and wards. The latter

revealed that, more than 20 years later, reservoirs of both subclones persist in sink drains

from patient rooms throughout the facility. This supports previous evidence implicating these

sites as major reservoirs for *P. aeruginosa* in the clinic[1,25]. Decontamination of drains can be

extremely challenging due to the limited penetration of disinfectants, the lack of access (*e.g.*

to perform scrubbing), recolonization (*e.g.* disposal of contaminated patient specimens) or

retrograde growth from p-traps[1,14,25]. Furthermore, sinks with shallow basins and gooseneck

faucets directing water straight into the drain, similar to those in Facility A (**Fig. 3A**), have

been linked to increased back splash onto nearby surfaces and medical equipment[26].

Besides environmental contamination, reservoirs within patients likely contributed to

the spread and longevity of the outbreak. In particular, chronic infections, documented for a

significant fraction of patients, provided a recurring source of the epidemic clone. It is

noteworthy that 5 of the 6 patients with chronic (> 1 year) infections (and 9 out of 11 if

reduced to 6 months) were carriers of subclone SC2. Compared to SC1, all but one SC2

isolates carried a truncated WbpX glycosyltransferase, an essential component of the

common polysaccharide antigen (CPA) biosynthesis[27]. While this mutation could result in

increased immune evasion, the rough LPS phenotype recurrently observed *in vivo* (in

particular in CF patients) usually results from a functional CPA but the lack of O-specific

antigen[11,28]. In further evidence for a progression toward a host-adapted lifestyle, a

convergence of mutations in genes involved in alginate production, quorum-sensing

deficiency, loss of motility, and decreased protease secretion, all phenotypes associated with

chronic infections[29], was observed throughout the 10 years of sampling.

Considering its role in chronic infections and the distinctive association with patients

and sinks in the Tower Building of Facility A, the origin and emergence of subclone SC2 is

of particular importance. Supported by BEAST2 inferences, a plausible scenario would be

that a patient with an initial infection acquired during a stay in the Main Building before the

SC1-2 split (ca. 2005) proceeded to shed the epidemic strain in the newly opened (2012)

Tower Building during successive visits. Importantly, the Main and Tower Buildings of

facility A have distinct plumbing systems, providing the necessary ecological separation

(minus inter-hospital patient transfers) for the further spread and divergence of the two sub-

clones. Patient 27 is just such an example, but the lack of early sampling (2000-2010) and

incomplete collection thereafter precludes a definitive answer. Indeed, other scenarios cannot

be discounted, with a recent study showing sinks in a newly built ICU were already

contaminated with an outbreak clone of *P. aeruginosa* before the arrival of the first patients[17].

One of the most notable features of this outbreak was the evolution of antibiotic

resistance over time, with the emergence of resistance to 1st (cephalosporin), 2nd

(carbapenems) and 3rd (colistin) line treatments. The potent R504C substitution in PBP3 was

selected in each subclone, and in addition to facilitating cephalosporin resistance, has also

been linked to ceftazidime/avibactam resistance[30]. While no patient prescription data is

available from Facility A, it can be speculated that the high prevalence of cephalosporin

resistance in early outbreak isolates led to increased carbapenem use, which in turn selected

for the many independently evolved OprD mutants, one of the most common mechanisms for

carbapenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa*[11]. Finally, albeit a sporadic event, the emergence of

colistin resistance, through a well characterized mechanism, is a reminder that the threat of

extensively drug resistant *P. aeruginosa* is only a prescription and a few mutations away[31].

The data generated during this study has resulted in various ongoing interventions

(*e.g.* closing sinks, replacing tubing, using foaming detergents[32]) at Facility A. Sampling and

sequencing, in near real-time, of all *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates (and not just MDR) also

commenced in 2021. Notably, as of this writing, no new ST-621 patient cases have been

reported in over 9 months, and the unbiased contemporary data using all *P. aeruginosa* from

patients at this facility confirms the spread has slowed, with just 3 cases identified in 2022.

Similar to Facility A, the roll-out of routine WGS surveillance in the clinic has the potential

to improve patient care and prevent some of the estimated 136 million hospital-associated

drug-resistant infections per year globally[33].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection & Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

As mandated by the US National Action Plan for Combating Antibiotic-Resistant
Bacteria (CARB), the Multidrug Resistant Organism Repository and Surveillance Network
(MRSN) collects and analyses clinically relevant MDR organisms across the U.S. Military
Health System (MHS) and around the world in collaboration with the Global Emerging
Infections Surveillance (GEIS) Branch. From 2011 to 2020, 5,129 MDR *P. aeruginosa*
isolates were collected from 71 facilities and sent to the MRSN. Of these, 1,511 were
collected from Facility A, a large tertiary U.S. military hospital located in the continental
United States. In total, 253 isolates belonged to the ST-621 outbreak clone.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) was performed in a College of American
Pathologists (CAP)-accredited lab at Facility A using a Vitek 2 (card GN AST 71 and GN ID;
bioMérieux, NC, USA). For isolates without MICs, AST was performed in the MRSN CAP-
accredited clinical lab as previously described[34].

Whole Genome Sequencing & Bioinformatic Analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted and sequenced via Illumina MiSeq or NextSeq benchtop
sequencer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) as previously described[34]. Long read sequencing
was performed using PacBio RS II (Pacific Biosciences of California, Inc., Menlo Park, CA).
Kraken 2 was used to identify isolate species and check for contamination[35]. Short-read
sequencing data were trimmed for adapter sequence content and quality using bbduk[36]. *De
novo* assembly was performed using Newbler v2.9[37]. Minimum thresholds for contig size and
coverage were set at 200 bp and 49.5×, respectively. Long-read sequencing data were
assembled using HGAP 3.0 in the SMRT Analysis portal. *In silico* multilocus sequence
typing (MLST) was performed using the scheme developed by Curran and Dawson[38].

Antimicrobial resistance genes were annotated using a combination of AMRFinderPlus and

ARIBA[39,40]. The genomes of all 253 ST-621 isolates have been deposited in the National

Center for Biotechnology Information under BioProject PRJNA852179.

Core Genome MLST, Gene Annotation, SNP Calling, and Phylogenetic Analysis

Core genome MLST were determined in SeqSphere + (Ridom, Germany) using the

cgMLST scheme developed by Curran *et al*[38] and a 90% cut-off (3,960 of 4,400 genes). SNP

calling was performed with Snippy v.4.4.5 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy) using error

corrected [Pilon v1.23] and annotated [Prokka v1.14.6] draft assembly, with isolate MRSN

4605 as the reference[41,42]. Type strain PAO1 was used as the basis for annotation[43]. PanSeq39

was run with a fragmentation size of 500□bp to find sequences with ≥95% identity in ≥90%

of the isolates to generate the core genome SNP alignment and accessory genome binary

alignment for the selected diversity set of 310 isolates. The SNP-based phylogeny was built

using RAxML (version 8.2.11)40 from a 534□kb variable position alignment using the GTR

GAMMA model and the rapid bootstrapping option for nucleotide sequences (100

replicates)The core SNP alignment was filtered for recombination using Gubbins v2.3.1[44]. A

SNP-based phylogeny was created by inferring a maximum-likelihood tree with RaxML-NG

v0.9.0 using GTR+G (50 parsimony, 50 random) and was rooted at MRSN 6994[45]. The

phylogeny was visualized in iTOL[46].

Gene annotation and clustering showed 8,754 total genes in the pangenome of the

outbreak. The core genome (present in ≥ 99% of isolates) was comprised of 5,674 genes, with

a shell genome of 297 genes found in ≥ 95% of isolates, and a cloud genome of 530 genes

found in ≥ 15% of isolates.

Curation and analysis of recurring mutations in outbreak isolates

Genome-wide analysis of non-synonymous and loss-of-function mutations in all

outbreak isolates showed a total of 629 mutated sites (257 singletons and 372 sites shared by

≥ 2 isolates) in 488 distinct genes (**Table S5**). For variant sites identified in >99% of outbreak

isolates, individual nucleotide-based sequence alignments were performed using a wild-type

allele from the PAO1 genome (https://www.pseudomonas.com/). This allowed the

identification of sites for which the MRSN 4605 reference genome was carrying the mutation

and not the remaining outbreak isolates. These were curated (e.g. entry was modified to

reflect the true mutation and its presence/absence in all outbreak isolates) manually and

indicated as such in **Table S5**.

SNP distances between isolates from different patients

SNP distances were obtained from the alignment by using snp-dist

(https://github.com/treemann/snp-dists). To create violin plots, the complete all-vs-all dataset

was limited, based on 4 sets of criteria, to pairs of isolates that (1) originated from different

patients; (2) originated from different patients, and were collected in the same month; (3)

originated from different patients and were collected in the same ward; and (4) originated

from different patients, were collected in the same month, and the same ward.

Primary isolates were designated as Patient-to-Patient if they were part of a pair from

different patients, were collected in the same ward, were separated by ≤ 10 SNPs, and were ≤

31 days apart. Primary isolates were designated as environmental if they were part of a pair

from different patients, were collected in the same ward, were collected > 365 days apart, and

were separated by a number of SNPs ≤ 3x the number of years they were separated (rounding

down). All other primary isolates were designated as Undetermined.

Bayesian evolutionary phylogenetic analysis

To evaluate the strength of the temporal signal, TempEst v1.5.3 was utilized to visualize

the relationship between root-to-tip genetic distances for samples with known collection

dates[47]. To date internal nodes of interest on the phylogeny, bayesian phylogenetic inference

was performed using BEAST2 v2.6.5 on a recombination free alignment, removing samples

with uncertain collection dates, and accounting for constant sites with beast2_constsites

(https://github.com/andersgs/beast2_constsites)[48]. The HKY substitution model was selected

based on evaluation of all possible substitution models in bModelTest v1.2.1[49]. The random

clock model was selected based on support by the marginal likelihood value using the Nested

Sampling package v1.1.0[50]. BEAST2 was run under a coalescent constant population model

with a Markov chain Monte Carlo length of 1×10^8 sampling every 5×10^3 steps. Analyses

were repeated five times to confirm consistency between the obtained posterior distributions.

Parameter estimates were computed using Tracer v1.7.1. Posterior trees were combined with

LogCombiner and summarized in TreeAnnotator after a 50% burn-in. The final MCC target

tree was visualized in FigTree v1.4.4 (https://github.com/rambaut/figtree) and annotated

using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/).

List of Supplementary Materials

Table S1 - Isolate Metadata

Table S2 - All vs All SNP Comparison

Table S3 - Environmental Isolates

Table S4 - Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Table S5 - Prediction of variants in outbreak isolates

Table S6 - Resistome reference intrinsic alleles

Table S7 - Mutations in intrinsic genes associated with antibiotic resistance

Table S8 - Mutations in functional groups or pathways

References and Notes

1. Kerr, K. G. & Snelling, A. M. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a formidable and ever-present adversary. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **73**, 338–344 (2009).

2. September, S. M., Els, F. A., Venter, S. N. & Brö, V. S. Prevalence of bacterial pathogens in biofilms of drinking water distribution systems. doi:10.2166/wh.2007.004

3. Rodríguez-Rojas, A., Oliver, A. & Blázquez, J. Intrinsic and Environmental Mutagenesis Drive Diversification and Persistence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Chronic Lung Infections. *J. Infect. Dis*. **205**, 121–127 (2012).

4. World Health Organization. Prioritization of pathogens to guide discovery, research and development of new antibiotics for drug resistant bacterial infections, including tuberculosis. *Essential medicines and health products* 1–88 (2017). doi:WHO reference number: WHO/EMP/IAU/2017.12

5. Hong, D. J. *et al.* Epidemiology and characteristics of metallo-ß-lactamase-producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Infect. Chemother.* **47**, 81–97 (2015).

6. del Barrio-Tofiño, E., López-Causapé, C. & Oliver, A. Pseudomonas aeruginosa epidemic high-risk clones and their association with horizontally-acquired β-lactamases: 2020 update. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **56**, 106196 (2020).

7. Blair, J. M. A., Webber, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Ogbolu, D. O. & Piddock, L. J. V. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2014 131* **13**, 42–51 (2014).

8. Li, H., Luo, Y. F., Williams, B. J., Blackwell, T. S. & Xie, C. M. Structure and function of OprD protein in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: From antibiotic resistance to novel therapies. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology* **302**, 63–68 (2012).

9. Bruchmann, S., Dötsch, A., Nouri, B., Chaberny, I. F. & Häussler, S. Quantitative

contributions of target alteration and decreased drug accumulation to pseudomonas

aeruginosa fluoroquinolone resistance. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **57**, 1361–1368

(2013).

10.   Clark, S. T. *et al.* Penicillin-binding protein 3 is a common adaptive target among

Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from adult cystic fibrosis patients treated with β-

lactams. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **53**, 620–628 (2019).

11.   Jurado-Martín, I., Sainz-Mejías, M. & McClean, S. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: An

Audacious Pathogen with an Adaptable Arsenal of Virulence Factors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*

22, 1–37 (2021).

12.   Juan, C., Peña, C. & Oliver, A. Host and pathogen biomarkers for severe Pseudomonas

aeruginosa infections. *J. Infect. Dis.* **215**, S44–S51 (2017).

13.   Thi, M. T. T., Wibowo, D. & Rehm, B. H. A. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. *Int.*

J. Mol. Sci. **21**, 1–25 (2020).

14.   Smith, K. & Hunter, I. S. Efficacy of common hospital biocides with biofilms of multi-

drug resistant clinical isolates. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **57**, 966–973 (2008).

15.   Naze, F. *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa outbreak linked to mineral water bottles in a

neonatal intensive care unit: Fast typing by use of high-resolution melting analysis of a

variable-number tandem-repeat locus. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**, 3146–3152 (2010).

16.   Quick, J. *et al.* Seeking the source of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in a recently

opened hospital: An observational study using whole-genome sequencing. *BMJ Open*

4, e006278 (2014).

17.   Sukhum, K. V. *et al.* Antibiotic-resistant organisms establish reservoirs in new hospital

built environments and are related to patient blood infection isolates. *Commun. Med.* **2**,

62 (2022).

18.   Parcell, B. J. *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa intensive care unit outbreak: winnowing

of transmissions with molecular and genomic typing. *J. Hosp. Infect.* **98**, 282 (2018).

19. Lebreton, F. *et al.* A panel of diverse Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates for

research and development . *JAC-Antimicrobial Resist.* **3**, (2021).

20. Giani, T. *et al.* Italian nationwide survey on pseudomonas aeruginosa from invasive

infections: Activity of ceftolozane/tazobactam and comparators, and molecular

epidemiology of carbapenemase producers. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **73**, 664–671

(2018).

21. Mereuță, A. I., Docquier, J. D., Rossolini, G. M. & Buiuc, D. [Detection of metallo-

beta-lactamases in gram-negative bacilli isolated in hospitals from Romania--research

fellowship report]. *Bacteriol. Virusol. Parazitol. Epidemiol.* **52**, 45–49 (2007).

22. Arhin, F. F., Draghi, D. C., Pillar, C. M., Moeck, G. & Sahm, D. F. Spread of the

blaIMP-13 gene in French Pseudomonas aeruginosa through sequence types ST621,

ST308 and ST111. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **40**, 562–563 (2012).

23. Santella, G. *et al.* Intercontinental dissemination of IMP-13-producing Pseudomonas

aeruginosa belonging in sequence type 621. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **48**, 4342–4343 (2010).

24. Teo, J. Q. M. *et al.* Genomic characterization of carbapenem-non-susceptible

Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Singapore. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* **10**, 1706–1716

(2021).

25. Shireen Kotay, a Weidong Chai, a William Guilford, b Katie Barry, a Amy J.

Mathersa, C. & Division. Spread from the Sink to the Patient□: In Situ Study Using

Green Fluorescent. **83**, 1–12 (2017).

26. Hota, S. *et al.* Outbreak of Multidrug-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Colonization

and Infection Secondary to Imperfect Intensive Care Unit Room Design . *Infect.*

Control Hosp. Epidemiol. **30**, 25–33 (2009).

27. Lam, J. S., Taylor, V. L., Islam, S. T., Hao, Y. & Kocíncová, D. Genetic and

509  Functional Diversity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Lipopolysaccharide. *Front.*

510  *Microbiol.* **2**, (2011).

511 28. Hu, P. *et al.* Molecular epidemiology, resistance, and virulence properties of

512  Pseudomonas aeruginosa cross-colonization clonal isolates in the non-outbreak setting.

513  *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **55**, 288–296 (2017).

514 29. Marvig, R. L., Sommer, L. M., Molin, S. & Johansen, H. K. Convergent evolution and

515  adaptation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa within patients with cystic fibrosis. *Nat. Genet.*

516  **47**, 57–64 (2015).

517 30. López-Causapé, C. *et al.* Evolution of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutational

518  resistome in an international Cystic Fibrosis clone. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1–15 (2017).

519 31. Chambers, J. R. & Sauer, K. The MerR-like regulator BrlR impairs Pseudomonas

520  aeruginosa biofilm tolerance to colistin by repressing PhoPQ. *J. Bacteriol.* **195**, 4678–

521  4688 (2013).

522 32. Jones, L. D. *et al.* Effectiveness of foam disinfectants in reducing sink-drain gram-

523  negative bacterial colonization. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **41**, 280–285 (2020).

524 33. Balasubramanian, R., Van Boeckel, T. P., Carmeli, Y., Cosgrove, S. & Laxminarayan,

525  R. Global incidence in hospital-associated infections resistant to antibiotics: An

526  analysis of point prevalence surveys from 99 countries. *PLoS Med.* **20**, e1004178

527  (2023).

528 34. Galac, M. R. *et al.* A Diverse Panel of Clinical Acinetobacter baumannii for Research

529  and Development . *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **64**, 1–11 (2020).

530 35. DE, W., J, L. & B, L. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biol.*

531  **20**, (2019).

532 36. Bushnell, B. BBMap. Available at: https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/. (Accessed:

533  5th October 2021)

37. Silva, G. G. *et al.* Combining de novo and reference-guided assembly with

scaffold_builder. *Source Code Biol. Med.* **8**, 23 (2013).

38. Curran, B., Jonas, D., Grundmann, H., Pitt, T. & Dowson, C. G. Development of a

Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for the Opportunistic Pathogen Pseudomonas

aeruginosa. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **42**, 5644 (2004).

39. Feldgarden, M. *et al.* Validating the AMRFINder tool and resistance gene database by

using antimicrobial resistance genotype-phenotype correlations in a collection of

isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **63**, (2019).

40. Hunt, M. *et al.* ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from

sequencing reads. *Microb. Genomics* **3**, e000131 (2017).

41. Walker, B. J. *et al.* Pilon: An Integrated Tool for Comprehensive Microbial Variant

Detection and Genome Assembly Improvement. *PLoS One* **9**, (2014).

42. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–

2069 (2014).

43. Stover, C. K. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1, an

opportunistic pathogen. *Nat. 2000 4066799* **406**, 959–964 (2000).

44. Croucher, N. J. *et al.* Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant

bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, e15 (2015).

45. Kozlov, A. M., Darriba, D., Flouri, T., Morel, B. & Stamatakis, A. RAxML-NG: a

fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

Bioinformatics 1–3 (2019). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz305

46. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for

phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **49**, W293–W296 (2021).

47. Rambaut, A., Lam, T. T., Carvalho, L. M. & Pybus, O. G. Exploring the temporal

structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus*

Evol. **2**, (2016).

48. Bouckaert, R. *et al.* BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary

Analysis. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10**, (2014).

49. Bouckaert, R. R. & Drummond, A. J. bModelTest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model

averaging and model comparison. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **17**, 1–11 (2017).

50. Russel, P. M., Brewer, B. J., Klaere, S. & Bouckaert, R. R. Model Selection and

Parameter Inference in Phylogenetics Using Nested Sampling. *Syst. Biol.* **68**, 219–233

(2019).

Acknowledgments: The authors are thankful to all the staff of the MRSN and the clinical

microbiology laboratory of the MRSN MTF network. Additionally, we would like to thank

Dr. Madeline Galac for sharing insight on phylogenetic analysis using parsimony and Dr.

Xavier Didelot for helpful discussions in predicting patient transmission events during

bacterial outbreaks. The manuscript has been reviewed by the Walter Reed Army Institute of

Research and there is no objection to its presentation. The views expressed herein are those of

the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Defense

Health Agency, Brooke Army Medical Center, the Department of Defense, nor any agencies

under the U.S. Government.

Funding: This study was funded by the U.S. Army Medical command and the Defense

Medical Research and Development Program. In addition, this study was partly funded by the

Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division (AFHSD), Global Emerging Infections

Surveillance (GEIS) Branch ProMIS P0079_22_WR. The funders have no role in the

decision to publish or the preparation of this article.

Author Contributions: P.T.M and F.L. designed research; W.S., L.R.H, A.P., C.H., M.J.M,

B.W.C., E.S., A.O., R.M., J.S., K.B., B.T.J, L.P., K.L., B.T., L.M.Y., Y.I.K., P.T.M. and F.L.

performed research. W.S., L.R.H., C.H., E.S., J.W.B., P.T.M. and F.L. analyzed research;

B.T., A.B., A.E.M, J.L.K, R.J.C., J.W.B. contributed clinical metadata and isolates. W.S.,

M.J.M, P.T.M and F.L. wrote the paper with input from all authors.

Data and materials availability: Both genomic assemblies and raw sequencing data of all

isolates analyzed in this study are publicly available in the NCBI database under the

BioProject number PRJNA8852179

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The isolates and clinical information were

collected as part of the public health surveillance activities of the MRSN, as determined by

the WRAIR branch director and Human Subjects Protection Branch (HSPB) which granted

ethical approval. Informed patient consent was waived as samples were taken under a

hospital surveillance framework for routine sampling. The research conformed to the

principles of the Helsinki Declaration.

Figure 1. Phylogenetic and spatio-temporal analysis of 253 clinical ST-621 *P. aeruginosa*

isolates. (A) SNP-based, core genome phylogeny. Outbreak subclones are colored with red

(SC1) or blue (SC2) branches. From inner- to outermost, datasets show: the year, the floor,

the building, and the source of isolation. Patients with prolonged carriage (>1 year) are

indicated. **(B)** Timeline of isolate collection. Each line indicates a single patient. Isolates are

shown as dots colored by the floor the patient was on. Patients with prolonged carriage are

highlighted. **(C)** Mock floor plan of the Main (light blue) and Tower (dark blue) Buildings of

Facility A. Wards and floors are numbered. For each floor, a pie chart indicates the proportion

of isolates collected from urine, respiratory, or other cultures. Within each ward, isolates are

colored by year of collection with a red outline indicating primary isolates. Symbols indicate

the presumed origin of infection. **(D)** Bar charts showing for each year the number of: all *P.*

aeruginosa primary clinical isolates collected at Facility A (bottom), the subset of genome-

sequenced primary isolates (middle), and the subset of identified ST-621 isolates (top). Bars

are color-coded by the isolation source. **(E)** Distribution of SNP distances for all inter-patient

isolates or subsets collected in the same month, the same ward, or both. Dotted lines indicate

the local maxima.

Figure 2. Dated phylogeny of 253 ST-621 outbreak isolates. Time-stamped phylogeny.

Error bars for annotated nodes are shown as gray boxes. Years are indicated on the left, along

with the opening of the Main Building (T1), and the Tower Building extension (T2). The

MRCA of SC1 and SC2 are indicated in black text above the node. The MRCA of isolates

from patients with prolonged carriage are shown in red, indicated by their patient ID. The

predicted emergence of select variants are shown in blue. Data showing the floor and source

isolates were collected from is shown, as in Figure 1. Select datasets are shown including the

antibiotic susceptibility testing results for cefepime (cephalosporin) and imipenem

(carbapenem).

Figure 3. Identification of environmental reservoirs of the ST-621 outbreak clone in sink

drains. (A) Photos depict a swab from a sink drain in a patient room and the typical sink

design (shallow basins and gooseneck faucets) in facility A. **(B)** Minimum Spanning Tree of

326 Facility A ST-621 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, including contemporary isolates collected in

2021 and 2022 from clinical (n= 56) and environmental (n= 17) sources. Isolates from wards

#1, 6 and 20 where environmental contamination was identified are colored. Environmental

isolates are indicated with the letter E.

Figure 4. Antibiotic resistance and poly-variant sites of 253 outbreak isolates. (A) Bar

charts showing the proportion of clinical isolates resistant to tobramycin, cefepime,

imipenem, and ciprofloxacin each year from 2011-2020. **(B)** Chart of mutations (excluding

synonymous) in resistance-associated genes. Each block indicates one distinct mutation

observed within the corresponding gene. Blocks are colored by the number of isolates sharing

this exact mutation. Blocks are outlined to indicate whether the mutation is found within SC1,

SC2, or isolates from both subclones. Mutations causing a predicted loss-of-function (LOF)

are indicated with a star. **(C)** Pruned SNP-based phylogeny showing the acquisition of

colistin resistance. Patient ID, day of collection (since the first outbreak isolate), and

available colistin MIC are shown on the right. The MRCA of the PhoQ E77fs mutation is

indicated with a triangle.

Figure 5. Identification of pathoadaptive mutations. (A) Distribution of COG functional

categories for all genes (Ref) compared to the subset of genes (Sel) with 2 or more distinct

mutated sites (excluding synonymous) in outbreak isolates. Significant enrichment in cell

wall biogenesis and signal transduction categories are indicated. A positional chart of

mutations across the entire chromosome of closed reference genome for isolate 4605 is

provided. The number of unique mutation sites within each gene is indicated (top) as well as

the number of outbreak isolates which carried each mutation (bottom). Genes with 3 or more

distinct mutated sites are labeled and genes involved in cell wall biogenesis and signal

transduction genes are color coded. **(B)** Accumulation of mutations (excluding synonymous)

in genes and pathways associated with *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis. Each block indicates one

distinct mutation observed within a gene part of the indicated functional group (data available

in Table S8). Blocks are colored by the number of isolates sharing this exact mutation. Blocks

are outlined to indicate whether the mutation is found within SC1, SC2, or isolates from both

subclones. Mutations causing a predicted loss-of-function (LOF) are indicated with a star.

664 **Figure S1. Minimum Spanning Trees of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cgMLST.** Isolates are

665 color coded by facility, showing the 10 most prominent. **(A)** Includes all 5,129 *Pseudomonas*

666 *aeruginosa* in the MRSN's collection from 2011-2020. Clusters of isolates belonging to ST-

667 235, -244, and -621 are indicated. **(B)** Includes all ST-621 isolates from 2011-2020. Genetic

668 distances higher than 23 allelic differences are indicated.

A

SC1

SC2

35 49 49 27 27

Tree scale: 10 SNPs ⊢━┥

Year

Floor
ER
N/A

Building
Main
Tower
N/A

Source
Other
Urine
Respiratory

Predicted Origin
☆ Patient-to-Patient
◇ Environmental
○ Undetermined
● Serial

B

Patient ID

34
35

2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020

C

Floor

Main   Tower

7   19   25

6   17 18   24

5   15 16   23

4   13 14   22

3   11 12
     9   10   21
     7   8
     6   20

2   3   4   5
     1   2

1   ER   n/a

D

No. of primary isolates

15
10
5
0
ST-621

100
66
33
0
Sequenced

500
333
166
0
Collected

2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020

E

SNP Difference

All   Month   Ward   Both
n=31177   n=581   n=2474   n=123

Category

A

B

Ward 2011-2020 2021-2022

1: ● ●

6: ● ●

20: ● ●

Other: ○ ●

Environmental Sample: **E**

SC1   SC2

A

ST-244

ST-621

ST-111

ST-235

B

Facility A   B   C   D   E   F   G   H   I   J   Other