Activation of ATF3 via the Integrated Stress Response Pathway Regulates Innate Immune

Response to Restrict Zika Virus.

Pheonah Badu[1,2] Gabriele Baniulyte[1,2], Morgan A. Sammons[1,2,3] and Cara T. Pager[1,2,3]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts and Sciences, University at Albany-SUNY,

Albany, NY 12222

[2]The RNA Institute, College of Arts and Sciences, University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, NY

12222

Running Head: ATF3 modulates Zika virus infection.

[3]Address correspondence to:

Cara T. Pager, ctpager@albany.edu

Morgan A. Sammons, masammons@albany.edu

<u>**Key words**</u>

Zika virus

Flavivirus

Transcription Factor

Integrated Stress Response

Innate immune response

Abbreviations

ATF3 - Activating transcription factor 3

ATF4 - Activating transcription factor 4

BMDMs - Bone marrow-derived macrophages

CHOP - C/EBP homologous protein

DENV- Dengue virus

DMSO - Dimethyl sulfoxide

eIF2α - Eukaryotic initiation factor 2-alpha

GCN2 - General control non-derepressible-2

HRI - Heme-regulated eIF2α kinase

IFN - Interferon

ISG - Interferon stimulated genes

ISR - Integrated stress response

ISRIB - Integrated stress response inhibitor

JEV - Japanese encephalitis virus

MCMV - murine cytomegalovirus

NS - Nonstructural

PKR - Protein kinase R; double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase

PERK - Protein kinase R-like ER kinase

UPR - Unfolded protein response

ZIKV - Zika virus

ZIKV PRVABC59 - Zika virus Puerto Rico isolate

ZIKV MR766 – Zika virus Ugandan isolate

Abstract

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a re-emerging mosquito-borne flavivirus that can have devastating health

consequences. The developmental and neurological effects from a ZIKV infection arise in part

from the virus triggering cellular stress pathways and perturbing transcriptional programs. To

date, the underlying mechanisms of transcriptional control directing viral restriction and virus-

host interaction are understudied. Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3) is a stress-induced

transcriptional effector that modulates the expression of genes involved in a myriad of cellular

processes, including inflammation and antiviral responses, to restore cellular homeostasis.

While ATF3 is known to be upregulated during ZIKV infection, the mode by which ATF3 is

activated and the specific role of ATF3 during ZIKV infection is unknown. In this study, we show

via inhibitor and RNA interference approaches that ZIKV infection initiates the integrated stress

response pathway to activate ATF4 which in turn induces ATF3 expression. Additionally, by

using CRISPR-Cas9 system to delete ATF3, we found that ATF3 acts to limit ZIKV gene

expression in A549 cells. We also determined that ATF3 enhances the expression of antiviral

genes such as STAT1 and other components in the innate immunity pathway to induce an

ATF3-dependent anti-ZIKV response. Our study reveals crosstalk between the integrated stress

response and innate immune response pathways and highlights an important role for ATF3 in

establishing an antiviral effect during ZIKV infection.

Importance

ZIKV is a re-emerging mosquito-borne flavivirus that co-opts cellular mechanisms to support

viral processes which can reprogram the host transcriptional profile. Such viral-directed

transcriptional changes and the pro- or anti-viral outcomes remain understudied. We previously

showed that ATF3, a stress-induced transcription factor, is significantly upregulated in ZIKV

infected mammalian cells, along with other cellular and immune response genes. We now

define the intracellular pathway responsible for ATF3 activation and elucidate the impact of

ATF3 expression on ZIKV infection. We show that during ZIKV infection the integrated stress

response pathway stimulates ATF3 which enhances the innate immune response to antagonize

ZIKV infection. This study establishes a link between viral-induced stress response and

transcriptional regulation of host defense pathways and thus expands our knowledge on virus-

mediated transcriptional mechanisms and transcriptional control of interferon stimulated genes

during ZIKV infection.

Introduction

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a flavivirus that is spread mainly by *Aedes* mosquitoes (1) and causes self-

limiting infections characterized by mild symptoms such as fever, headache, and joint pain (2).

The re-emergence of ZIKV from 2007 to 2016 produced large outbreaks on the Yap Island,

French Polynesia, and the American region (3). These outbreaks implicated the virus in

intrauterine-linked complications termed congenital Zika syndrome which includes

microcephaly, congenital malformations, and fetal demise (4–6). Additionally, the recent surges

in infection in adults also revealed an association with Guillain-Barré syndrome, a neurological

disease which results in paralysis (7–10). Combined these damaging effects make re-emerging

ZIKV a significant public health challenge, which is worsened by climate-induced vector

expansion, mosquito, sexual and intrauterine transmission routes (11–15) and the absence of

antiviral drugs and vaccines. Improving our understanding of the core mechanisms of viral

processes, virus-host interactions, and viral restriction may provide valuable clues to help offset

this re-emerging public health challenge.

ZIKV has a single-stranded positive-sense RNA genome, approximately 11,000 nucleotides in

length, that is translated into a single polyprotein upon viral entry into a host cell. Viral

translation occurs on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane and is followed by proteolytic

cleavage of the polyprotein. This process produces structural proteins (capsid [C], precursor

membrane [prM], and envelope [E]) involved in formation of virions and non-structural proteins

required for protein processing (NS2B and NS3), viral replication (NS1, NS2A, NS3. NS4A,

NS4B, and NS5, the RNA dependent RNA polymerase [RdRp]), and immune evasion (NS1,

NS5) (16, 17). After these viral proteins are made, the viral genome is replicated on the ER

membrane. This process triggers extensive remodeling of the ER membrane as host proteins

together with viral nonstructural (NS) proteins assemble to form replication complexes (18, 19).

Newly replicated genomes subsequently associate with structural proteins to form the nascent

virion on the ER membrane at sites juxtaposed to the replication complex (18, 19). As a result of

the immense structural changes to the ER membrane and the accumulation of misfolded

proteins in the ER, cellular homeostasis is disrupted. In response, the cell activates two distinct

but overlapping signaling networks namely the Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) and the

Integrated Stress Response (ISR) (20–23).

The ISR is a network of signaling pathways in eukaryotic cells stimulated by external and

internal stressors including viral infection, nutrient deprivation, and ER stress (24). These

stressors activate a four-member family of eIF2α kinases, PERK (Protein Kinase R-like ER

kinase), PKR (Protein Kinase R; a double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase), GCN2

(general control non-derepressible-2) and HRI (heme-regulated eIF2α kinase) (25). All four

kinases share sequence similarity in their catalytic domains but have different regulatory

domains. Therefore, each kinase responds to a distinct stress, but all target the translation

initiation factor eIF2 and phosphorylate the serine 51 residue of the alpha subunit (26). This

phosphorylation event inhibits the guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the eIF2 complex,

eIF2B, and prevents the assembly of translation pre-initiation complexes (26). Ultimately, eIF2α

phosphorylation represses global cap-dependent translation but promotes the preferential

translation of select mRNAs that play key roles in resolving the stress (27).

Activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) is one of the best studied effector proteins of the ISR

(20, 23). This transcription factor acts as a master regulator of stress and is selectively

translated through a mechanism involving the delayed translational reinitiation on an upstream

open reading frame upon eIF2α phosphorylation (27, 28). When induced, ATF4 controls the

transcriptional programs of a cohort of genes involved in cell survival or cell death. The overall

outcome of ATF4 expression is context specific and is influenced by the cell type, type of

stressor, and the duration of stress (29, 30). One target of ATF4 is Activating Transcription

Factor 3 (ATF3), another stress response gene activated during stressful conditions. Depending

on the cellular environment or nature of the stress, ATF3 can be activated by other effectors

beside ATF4 (31–33). Like ATF4, ATF3 belongs to the ATF/CREB family of transcription factors

and can function as either a transcriptional activator or repressor (31–33). ATF3 has a DNA

binding domain as well as a basic leucine zipper (bZip) region that is important for dimer

formation (34). When promoting transcription of target genes, ATF3 heterodimerizes with other

bZip proteins like c-JUN, while in a repressive role, ATF3 forms homodimers or stabilizes

inhibitory co-factors at promoter sites (34, 35). Generally, ATF3 modulates various cellular

processes like autophagy, innate immune and inflammatory responses, DNA damage response,

and cell cycle progression (31–33). During viral infection, activation of ATF3 produces

paradoxical outcomes (36–38). Notably during infection with the mosquito-borne flavivirus

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), ATF3 was shown to repress the expression of select

interferon stimulated and autophagy genes which enhanced viral protein and RNA levels (37).

Our recent global transcriptomic analysis of human neuronal SH-SY5Y cells infected two

different isolates of ZIKV, Uganda (MR799) and Puerto Rico (PRVABC59), and DENV serotype

2 revealed an upregulation of immune response genes in both ZIKV strains but not in DENV

(39). Additionally, genes involved in cellular responses were significantly upregulated

particularly in PRVABC59 infected cells, including genes associated with both the UPR and ISR

pathways (e.g., *ATF4*, *ATF3*, and *CHOP/DDIT3*) (39). Elevated *ATF4* expression indicated that

the ISR pathway was activated during ZIKV PRVABC59 infection, which in turn stimulated *ATF3*

expression and downstream targets like *CHOP* for stress management. However, the functional

significance of ATF3 in ZIKV infection and if this stress-induced transcription factor exhibited

pro- or anti-viral functions, had not been determined.

In this study, we used ISR-specific inhibitors and RNAi approaches to show that during ZIKV

infection the ISR pathway stimulated ATF4 expression which directly activated ATF3. We further

demonstrated that in the absence of ATF3, the levels of ZIKV protein, RNA, and virions

increased, indicating that ATF3 functioned to restrict viral infection. Finally, we determined that

knockout of ATF3 altered the expression of anti-viral innate immune genes during ZIKV

infection. Our data reveal the effects of ATF3 regulation within the cell and highlight that ATF3-

driven regulation of innate immunity pathways impedes ZIKV infection.

<u>Results</u>

ZIKV induces strong ATF3 expression 24-hours post infection.

In a previous gene expression study, we observed that ZIKV PRVABC59 (ZIKV[PR]) infection in a

neuronal cell line (SH-SY5Y) stimulated immune and stress response genes such as *ATF3* and

CHOP (39). Moreover, in a reanalysis of RNA-seq data collected from peripheral blood

mononuclear cells from patients in early- and late-acute and convalescent stages of ZIKV

infection, we determined that *ATF3* levels were increased (40). To determine when ATF3 was

stimulated during ZIKV infection, we infected cells with ZIKV and examined viral and cellular

proteins and RNA levels at different timepoints following infection. In this research we used the

human A549 lung adenocarcinoma cell line as these cells support robust ZIKV infection (41–

44), can induce an immune response upon viral infection (42, 44), and are a tractable cell

culture system to investigate foundational molecular mechanisms and cellular pathways

influencing ZIKV infections (40). The highest level of the ZIKV nonstructural protein NS1 was

observed at 24 hours post-infection and correlated with peak ATF3 protein expression (Fig 1A).

ATF4 protein expression increased from 12- to 24-hours following infection and remained

steady until 48 hours post-infection (Fig 1A). Consistent with this trend, viral, *ATF4, ATF3,* and

CHOP mRNA significantly increased at 24 hours post-infection (Fig 1B-E). Since high viral

protein and RNA production occurred at 24 hours post-infection, we reasoned that translation

and replication peaked 24 hours after ZIKV infection and declined by 48 hours as virion

packaging occurred. As predicted, a high titer of virions was released 48 hours after infection

(Fig 1F). We similarly examined ATF3 expression following infection with MR766, the original

ZIKV strain isolated in Uganda in 1947 (1, 45). ZIKV MR766 also induced ATF3 mRNA and

protein expression, albeit at 48 hours post-infection compared to 24 hours for ZIKV PRVABC59

(data not shown). Together, these data indicated that peak viral protein and RNA expression

coincided with ATF3 RNA and protein expression. Moreover, the induction of ATF3 expression

during ZIKV infection is consistent with increased ATF3 levels in two biologically relevant

systems to ZIKV infection namely SH-SY5Y neuronal cells and peripheral blood mononuclear

cells (PBMCs) isolated from ZIKV-infected patients (39, 40).

ATF3 restricts ZIKV gene expression.

To determine the functional importance of ATF3 during ZIKV infection, we generated an ATF3

knock-out (KO) A549 cell line using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing with a guide RNA targeting

exon 2. We validated ATF3 KO by sequence analysis (data not shown) and by comparing ATF3

expression in WT and KO cell lines treated with DMSO or tunicamycin (data not shown).

Tunicamycin inhibits the first step of protein N-linked glycosylation to affect the folding of

glycosylated proteins in the ER (46, 47). The accumulation of these misfolded proteins in the ER

lumen induces ER stress, activation of PERK, a UPR and ISR sensor, which phosphorylates

eIF2α and enhances translation of ATF4 to induce ATF3 expression. Indeed, in WT A549 cells

ATF3 expression was induced by tunicamycin treatment, but ATF3 protein was absent in the

KO cells (data not shown). Notably, RT-qPCR analysis showed that ATF3 mRNA was

upregulated in the KO cells (data not shown). Because the gRNA used to generate the KO cells

targets a region within exon 2 which contains the translational start codon, transcription of *ATF3*

was not affected by genome editing, whereas expression of the ATF3 protein was strongly

inhibited (data not shown). Hence, when ATF4, the upstream effector of ATF3, was induced

upon stress, the effector activated the transcription of ATF3, but downstream translation was

impeded.

Next, WT and ATF3 KO cells were mock-infected or infected with ZIKV at two different moi (1

and 10 PFU/cell). Cells were harvested at 24 hours post-infection, and virus and ATF3

expression examined by western blotting and RT-qPCR. Our data showed that ZIKV infection

induced ATF3 protein expression in WT cells but not in ATF3 KO cells (Fig 2A). Interestingly,

we found that in ATF3 deficient cells the levels of the ZIKV NS1 protein were notably increased

compared to NS1 levels in WT cells (Fig 2A). Consistent with the increase in ZIKV protein, viral

RNA was significantly upregulated in ATF3 deficient cells compared to WT cells (Fig 2B).

Consistent with the tunicamycin treated cells (data not shown), ATF3 protein and RNA

expression was induced by infection in WT cells and absent in the ATF3 KO cells (Fig 2A and

Fig 2C). We additionally performed plaque assays to quantify virion titer produced in WT and

ATF3 KO cells and determined that a greater number of infectious particles were produced in

the absence of ATF3 (Fig 2D). To validate these data, we also examined ZIKV gene expression

in WT HCT-116 colorectal cells, which have high ATF3 expression profile (48), and ATF3 KO

HCT-116 cells, which were generated by an alternative gene editing approach based on adeno-

associated virus mediated homologous recombination (49). We observed a similar increase in

the level of ZIKV protein, RNA, and viral titers in infected ATF3 KO HCT116 cells (Fig 2E-G).

Overall, these results indicate that ATF3 expression suppressed ZIKV gene expression, and this

effect was not cell type specific.

ATF3 is activated through the ISR pathway during ZIKV infection.

A number of effector proteins (e.g., ATF4, p53, NF-kB, and JNK), associated with different

signaling pathways, are known to induce ATF3 expression (31–33). Given that ZIKV induces

changes in ER membrane morphology (19), activates ER stress sensors (IRE-1, ATF6, and

PERK) (41, 50, 51), and the presence of double-stranded viral RNA intermediates activate PKR

(41, 52, 53), we reasoned that increased ATF3 expression was initiated through the ISR

pathway (Fig 3A). Specifically, activation of the ISR kinases during ZIKV infection would lead to

a shutdown of cap-dependent translation, increase translation of ATF4, and subsequent

activation of ATF3 (Fig 3A). To investigate if the ISR pathway was responsible for ATF3

activation during ZIKV infection, we inhibited the ISR pathway in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells

using a general ISR inhibitor (ISRIB). ISRIB acts on eIF2B, a guanine nucleotide exchange

factor involved in translation and renders the cells resistant to the effects of eIF2α

phosphorylation (54–56). ISRIB or DMSO (vehicle control) were added to cells 1-hour after the

initial virus infection and maintained in the media until cells were harvested at 24 hours post-

infection. ZIKV infection in DMSO treated cells elicited strong viral protein and RNA expression,

high viral titers, and increased ATF4 and ATF3 levels - all consistent with ZIKV inducing the ISR

pathway (Fig 3B-3G). However, in the presence of ISRIB, virus protein and RNA expression and

virion production decreased (Fig 3B, 3F & 3G). The effects of ISRIB on ZIKV infection were not

the result of inhibitor toxicity as a cell viability assay showed that treatment with 500 nM of

ISRIB for 24 hours did not affect A549 cell growth (Fig 3H). These data show that the ISR

pathway is an important modulator of ZIKV gene expression.

We next examined the consequence of ISRIB on ATF4, the central integrator of the ISR

pathway(20, 23). In mock-infected cells treated without or with ISRIB, ATF4 protein and RNA

levels remained unchanged (Fig 3B & 3C). However, in ZIKV-infected ISRIB-treated cells ATF4

protein levels decreased and mirrored the levels in mock-infected cells in the absence or

presence of ISRIB (Fig 3B). These data support the function of ISRIB as a pharmacological

inhibitor of the ISR pathway. We also verified the inhibitor activity by measuring the mRNA

levels of asparagine synthetase (*ASNS*), a well characterized downstream target that is

transcriptionally controlled by ATF4 (57–59). Specifically in the presence of ISRIB, global

cellular translation would progress in the absence or presence of a stressor. Consequently,

ATF4 protein expression, and that of the downstream targets such as *ASNS*, would be

suppressed (Fig 3B). Indeed, *ASNS* mRNA levels were reduced in both mock- and ZIKV-

infected cells treated with ISRIB (Fig 3D). In contrast, ZIKV-infected cells treated with DMSO

showed increased ATF4 protein and mRNA (Fig 3B & 3C), and increased *ASNS* mRNA

abundance (Fig 3D).

Last, we examined ATF3 protein and mRNA expression (Fig 3B & 3E). ATF3 expression was

not activated in mock-infected cells treated with DMSO or ISRIB. As expected, during ZIKV

infection ATF3 mRNA and protein were expressed, while in the presence of ISRIB the levels of

ATF3 mRNA decreased (Fig 3E), consistent with the effect of ISRIB on ATF4 protein

abundance (Fig 3B). Unexpectedly however, ATF3 protein levels notably increased with ISRIB

treatment (Fig 3B). Since ATF3 is a transcription factor and functions in the nucleus, we next

examined the subcellular localization of the increased protein levels. Here mock- and ZIKV-

infected cells treated with DMSO or ISRIB were harvested, and the cytoplasmic and nuclear

fractions isolated, and the protein distribution examined by western blot analysis (Fig 3I). We

used fibrillarin and α-tubulin as cellular markers for the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions,

respectively. Subcellular fractionation showed that the increased levels of ATF3 protein in ZIKV-

infected cells treated with ISRIB were present in the nuclear fraction (Fig 3I). These results

show that following ZIKV infection and inhibition of the ISR pathway, the accumulated ATF3

predominantly localized to the nucleus.

Because *ATF3* mRNA levels decreased in ZIKV-infected cells treated with ISRIB but the protein

significantly increased (Fig 3E & 3B), we examined whether this response was specific to the

broad ISR inhibitor or if an ISR kinase-specific inhibitor would have the same response. We

therefore treated mock- and ZIKV-infected cells without or with GSK2606414, an inhibitor that

blocks autophosphorylation of PERK (60) and downstream activation of the ISR pathway

induced by ER stress (Fig 3A). Like the effect of ISRIB, PERK inhibition decreased viral protein

and RNA were expressed with ZIKV-infection (data not shown). ATF4 protein and mRNA levels

on the other hand increased in ZIKV-infected cells treated with the PERK inhibitor (data not

shown), which was likely the result of activation of the other ISR kinases (Fig 3A), such as PKR,

in response to ZIKV-infection (52, 61). Notably in ZIKV-infected cells inhibition of PERK also

decreased *ATF3* mRNA levels and increased ATF3 protein levels (data not shown). Overall,

these results show that during ZIKV infection, ATF3 is activated through the ISR pathway, and

is expected to modulate cellular stress by regulating transcription of specific genes. However,

when the ISR pathway is inhibited, ATF3 protein expression may be upregulated, through either

enhanced cap-dependent translation or mechanisms stabilizing the protein, which could control

the cellular stress induced during viral infection. Future studies will examine the mechanism

directing upregulation of ATF3 protein and downstream transcriptional control.

ATF4 is the key activator of ATF3 during ZIKV infection.

Our data show that the ISR pathway is an important regulator of ZIKV gene expression and

contributor to ATF3 activation. Thus, we next investigated if ATF4, the master regulator of the

ISR pathway, was the upstream activator of ATF3 during ZIKV infection. To this end, we

depleted ATF4 with shRNAs stably transduced in A549 cells, and then either mock or ZIKV

infected the A549 cells. As a control, we used A549 cells stably expressing a scramble non-

targeting shRNA. Viral and cellular protein and RNA were analyzed 24 hours post-infection. To

determine if depletion of ATF4 would affect ATF3 expression, we first treated cells with

tunicamycin or DMSO (vehicle control) to induce ATF3 expression. In control non-targeting

shRNA transduced cells treated with tunicamycin we observed an increase in ATF4 and ATF3

expression (Fig 4A & 4B). ZIKV infection upregulated ATF4 and ATF3 protein and RNA

abundance (Fig 4A & 4B). Conversely, knock-down of ATF4 significantly reduced ATF3 levels in

tunicamycin-treated and ZIKV-infected cells (Fig 4A & 4B). Interestingly, and in contrast to the

deletion of ATF3 in A549 cells (Fig 2), we found that depletion of ATF4 decreased ZIKV protein

and RNA levels (Fig 4A & 4C). These data suggest that in ZIKV-infected cells, ATF4 is the key

activator of ATF3, and ATF4 expression acts to promote ZIKV gene expression.

ATF3 and ATF4 have opposing effects during ZIKV infection.

ATF3 expression functions to restrict ZIKV gene expression, while the upstream effector protein

ATF4 has a proviral role (Fig 2B, 2C, 2F, 2G, 4A & 4C). With these opposing functions, we

hypothesized that if both ATF3 and ATF4 were depleted, viral expression would be restored to

levels comparable with WT infected cells. To test this hypothesis, we transfected WT and ATF3

KO cell lines with either a control siRNA or siRNA targeting ATF4. These cells were then mock-

infected or infected with ZIKV. By western blot and RT-qPCR we determined that ATF4 was

successfully depleted in both WT and ATF3 KO cells (Fig 5A & 5B). Consistent with the data in

Fig 4, depletion of ATF4 in WT cells decreased the abundance of ZIKV protein and RNA, and

the expression of ATF3 (Fig 5A & 5C). In line with our prediction, we observed that ZIKV protein

and RNA levels were rescued in cells lacking ATF3 and depleted of ATF4, albeit not to the

same level as in WT A549 cells (Fig 5A & 5C). Therefore, ATF3 and ATF4 have opposing roles

that together modulate the cellular response to ZIKV infection.

Global analysis of ATF3-dependent gene expression in response to ZIKV.

In the absence of ATF3, ZIKV protein, RNA and titers increase (Fig 2). One mode by which

ATF3 might restrict ZIKV gene expression is by regulating the transcription of distinct genes that

antagonize ZIKV. To better understand the gene regulatory networks controlled by ATF3 that

appear to restrict ZIKV infection, we compared changes in the polyA+ transcriptome of A549 WT

and ATF3 KO cell lines after 24 hours of mock- or ZIKV-infection. Principal component analysis

(PCA) revealed four clusters separating the samples by genotype (WT and ATF3 KO) and

infection condition (mock and ZIKV) (Fig 6A). ZIKV infection induced substantial changes in the

transcriptome in both, WT and ATF3 KO, genotypes. However, most transcripts had increased

expression in both cell types after ZIKV infection with 1,769 transcripts being upregulated in WT

and 2,184 transcripts being upregulated in ATF3 KO compared to the mock infection condition

(Fig 6B & 6C). Upon closer investigation, more than half of upregulated transcripts in each

genotype were shared (1,157), but a considerable number of transcripts were unique to each

genotype (Fig 6D). These results suggest that ATF3 has a specific transcriptional role in the

viral-induced stress response (Fig 6D).

Next, we used gene set enrichment strategies to group differentially expressed genes into

functional biological and phenotypic categories. We focused on the genes upregulated in

response to ZIKV infection (Fig 6B & 6C). Pathway enrichment analysis suggested that most of

the ZIKV-induced transcripts were immune response-associated genes (Fig 6E), in line with the

expected cellular response to viral infection (62). More than half of the transcripts upregulated

after ZIKV infection in WT cells were also significantly upregulated in ATF3 KO (Fig 6D), and

these genes were primarily associated with interferon and cytokine signaling (Fig 6E). Despite

significant upregulation in response to ZIKV relative to mock infection, many of the immune

response-associated genes displayed dampened induction and lower overall transcript

abundance in ATF3 KO relative to WT (Fig 6F). Select genes involved in interferon signaling and

innate immune responses were induced by ZIKV only in WT cells (Fig 6F). ZIKV-induced genes

specific to ATF3 KO were associated with cellular metabolism and cell structural components

like membrane lipids and cytoskeletal components (Fig 6E). The impact of these ATF3 KO-

specific ZIKV targets could, for example, affect the formation of ZIKV replication complexes,

regulation of autophagy, and ZIKV pathogenesis (19, 63–65). Overall, these global gene

expression data are consistent with the hypothesis that ATF3 positively regulates the

transcription of genes involved in the innate immune response as one mechanism to restrict

ZIKV infection.

To validate the RNA-seq data, key genes involved in the IFN-induced antiviral pathway

including *IFNB1, STAT1, IFIT1, MX1, ISG15, IRF9, OASL,* and *DDX58/RIG-I* were chosen for

RT-qPCR (Fig 6G-I, and data not shown) and ELISA or immunoblot analyses (Fig 6J-M). We

analyzed mRNA expression in WT and ATF3 KO cells that were mock- or ZIKV infected. From

our RT-qPCR results, the expression pattern of all genes tested reflected the expression profiles

from our RNA-seq analysis (Fig 6F-I). At the protein level, the secreted IFN-β protein, as

measured by ELISA was significantly lower in ATF3 KO ZIKV-infected cells despite the RNA

levels being higher (Fig 6G & 6J). This decrease in the amount of secreted IFN-β might be a

consequence of translational regulation, ER stress, and effects on vesicular trafficking. By

immunoblot, the protein levels of STAT1 in ATF3 KO cells, without and with ZIKV infection, were

notably decreased compared to WT cells (Fig 6K). The decrease in STAT1 protein levels

affected the levels of STAT1 phosphorylation (Fig 6K) and in turn the abundance the interferon

stimulated IFIT1 and MX1 mRNAs and proteins (Fig 6I & 6L, and data not shown). Alternatively,

the absence of ATF3 might also transcriptionally affect IFIT1 and MX1 mRNA, and protein

levels. Altogether, these data indicate that ATF3, either directly or indirectly, enhances the

expression of antiviral immune response genes during ZIKV infection.

ATF3-mediated antiviral immune enhancement is specific to ZIKV-infection.

Poly I:C, a synthetic double-stranded RNA mimic, can activate double-stranded (ds) RNA

sensors such TLR3 in the endosome and RIG-1 and MDA-5 in the cytoplasm (66–68). Induction

of these sensors converge on IRF3 resulting in IFN-α/β expression (62, 69). To determine if the

role of ATF3 in enhancing the antiviral response was specific to ZIKV, we examined the levels

of select IFN-stimulated antiviral genes post poly I:C transfection in WT and ATF3 KO A549 cell

lines (Fig 7A-D). Poly I:C induced expression of *STAT1*, *IFIT1*, and *MX1* in WT cells (Fig 7A-C).

Notably, following poly I:C transfection the transcript levels of *STAT1*, *IFIT1*, and *MX1* further

increased in ATF3 KO cells compared with WT cells, (Figures 7A-C). Protein analysis showed a

modest increase in STAT1 but not STAT2 proteins, and the presence of phosphorylated STAT1

and STAT2 and induced IFIT1, MX1, and ATF3 proteins in WT in transfected with poly I:C (Fig

7D). In ATF3 KO cells, the levels of STAT1 but not STAT2, were reduced compared to WT

cells, and poly I:C had no effect on these proteins (Fig 7D). Poly I:C treatment in ATF3 KO cells,

like WT cells, induced phosphorylation of STAT1 and STAT2 (Fig 7D). Notably, the protein

levels of IFIT1 and MX1, consistent with the mRNA levels, were higher in ATF3 KO cells than in

WT cells (Fig 7B-D). Unlike our observation after ZIKV infection, ATF3 in WT cells in response

to dsRNA mimic poly I:C negatively affects the expression of IFN response genes.

To further validate the specific regulation of ATF3 observed with ZIKV, we exposed both cell

lines to IFN-β, which activates the JAK/STAT signaling cascade to initiate the type-1 IFN

antiviral pathway and production of interferon stimulated genes. In response to IFN-β treatment,

RT-qPCR analysis showed an increased expression of *STAT1*, *IFIT1,* and *MX1* in WT cells (Fig

7F-G). Additionally, the expression of these genes was significantly higher in the ATF3 KO cells

(Fig 7F-G). Following incubation with IFN-β of WT cells, we show by immunoblot that STAT1

and STAT2 were phosphorylated, and downstream IFN-stimulated IFIT1 and MX1 were

expressed, indicating that the JAK/STAT signaling pathway was activated (Fig 7H). IFN-β

treatment of WT cells also induced ATF3 expression (Fig 7H). Notably, in cells lacking ATF3 the

abundance of STAT1 and MX1 were decreased, (Fig 7H) even though the mRNA transcripts

were elevated (Fig 7E & 7G) and STAT1 was robustly phosphorylated (Fig 7H). Despite the

increased of *IFIT1* mRNA levels in ATF3 KO cells following incubation with IFN-β (Fig 7F), IFIT1

protein levels were only modestly increased (Fig 7H). Overall, these data show that the innate

immune response pathway when activated by either a synthetic double-stranded RNA mimic or

following IFN-β treatment is not hindered by the absence of ATF3. Moreover, ATF3 restricts the

expression of select transcripts within the type-1 IFN pathway under these conditions.

ATF3 acts on genes within the JAK/STAT pathway to limit ZIKV infection

In response to viral infection, the innate immune pathway is activated to restrict virus infection

(62, 69). In particular, the primary response is initiated by pattern recognition receptors which

recognize different viral components and lead to expression of type 1 interferons (e.g., IFN-β)

(62, 69). The release of interferon initiates the secondary innate immune response and

expression of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) which establish an antiviral state within the cell

(62, 69). Our data indicate that ATF3 promotes the expression of components within the innate

immune response pathway to restrict ZIKV infection (Fig 6). To investigate whether ATF3 affects

ZIKV gene expression when the innate immune response is blocked, we selectively inhibited

JAK1 and JAK2, key tyrosine kinases in the JAK/STAT signaling pathway using Ruxolitinib (70,

71) and infected WT and ATF3 KO cells for 24 hours. In WT cells, Ruxolitinib treatment inhibited

the phosphorylation of STAT1 in response to ZIKV infection (Fig 8D), which blocked the

expression of downstream ISGs such as IFIT1, MX1, OASL, and ISG15 (Fig 8B-D, and data not

shown) and increased the abundance of ZIKV RNA to levels similar to ZIKV infection in ATF3

KO cells (Fig 8A). In ZIKV-infected ATF3 KO cells, Ruxolitinib similarly inhibited the JAK-STAT

signaling pathway to restrict downstream IFN stimulated responses (Fig 8B-D). Moreover,

following Ruxolitinib treatment the abundance of *MX1* mRNA was not significantly different

between WT and ATF3 KO ZIKV-infected cells (Fig 8C). In contrast, *IFIT1* mRNA levels were

elevated in ZIKV-infected ATF3 KO cells treated with Ruxolitinib compared to WT cells (Fig 8B)

but this modest increase did not result in detectable IFIT1 protein (Fig 8D). Notably, ZIKV RNA

levels were similar in ATF3 KO cells in the absence or presence of Ruxolitinib (Fig 8A). These

data show that ATF3 expression affects components within the JAK/STAT signaling cascade to

suppress ZIKV gene expression and virion production. In particular, the decreased expression of

STAT1 in ATF3 KO cells (Fig 6 and Fig 8D), could be the central component which attenuates

the downstream IFN stimulated response. ATF3 was previously shown to bind the STAT1

promoter region in murine cells (37), which presents the possibility that in A549 cells STAT1 is

similarly transcriptionally controlled by ATF3, although such interactions remain to be

determined.

Discussion

ATF3 mediates adaptive responses via the positive or negative modulation of cellular processes

including immune response, autophagy, and apoptosis (31–33). For virus infections, ATF3

expression can produce anti-viral outcomes by regulating the transcription of host antiviral

genes (38, 72, 73). Conversely this stress-induced transcription factor may benefit the virus by

dampening the expression of genes necessary for virus restriction and/or resolution of virus-

induced stress (36–38, 74). We previously showed that ATF3 was upregulated during ZIKV

infection of SH-SY5Y cells and PMBC isolated from early acute ZIKV-infected patients (39, 40),

however the upstream effector proteins inducing ATF3 expression and the impact of ATF3

activation on ZIKV gene expression was unknown.

In this study we determined that peak ATF3 expression coincides with robust ZIKV protein and

RNA expression at 24 hours after infection in A549 cells (Fig 1). We identified the ISR pathway

as the upstream signaling cascade leading to ATF3 activation during ZIKV infection (Fig 3) with

ATF4 as the direct effector of ATF3 in this pathway (Fig 4). This observation is consistent with

ZIKV activating the ISR through the ER sensor PERK and double-strand RNA sensor PKR (41,

51, 53). Upon stress induction, these kinases phosphorylate eIF2α leading to the attenuation of

global protein synthesis. This event initiates ATF4 translation and subsequently, ATF4 induces

ATF3 expression (20, 75). Finally, we show that ATF3 enhances the expression of innate

immune response (Fig 6) to suppress ZIKV gene expression (Fig 2). Overall, these data reveal

important crosstalk between the integrated stress response pathway, ATF3 and antiviral

responses during ZIKV infection.

Virus activation of the ISR either protects the against viral infections or is subverted by the virus

to promote viral replication. Evidence of these roles has been demonstrated in several studies

involving viruses within the *Flavivirus genus* (76–81). For example, in a JEV infection model, the

JEV NS2A protein counteracted the antiviral effects of the ISR by specifically blocking PKR

activation and eIF2α phosphorylation, thereby ensuring effective viral replication (79). Similarly,

during DENV infections in Huh7 and A549 cells, stimulation of PERK and IRE-1α signaling led

to increased viral replication (80). However, in the case of West Nile virus (WNV), previous

reports indicated that infection induced PERK and PKR kinases lead to apoptosis and

repressed viral replication (76, 81). Like other flaviviruses, ZIKV infection activates the PERK

arm of the ISR pathway in human neural stem cells, and in embryonic mouse cortices after

intra-cerebroventricular injection with the virus (51). This activation of the ISR pathway

increased *ATF4*, *ATF3* and *CHOP* mRNA levels and caused a disruption in the proper formation

and survival of neurons during cortical development. Interestingly, co-treatment with the PERK

inhibitor GSK2656157 attenuated this outcome (51). Consistent with these data, in A549 ZIKV-

infected cells, we observed that GSK2656157 inhibited the phosphorylation and activation of

PERK and ATF4 translation, which reduced *ATF3* and *PERK* mRNA accumulation and

decreased ZIKV protein and RNA levels (data not shown).

When we inhibited the ISR pathway during ZIKV infection using ISRIB, a broad ISR inhibitor

(Fig 3) or GSK2606414, a PERK inhibitor (data not shown), ATF4 protein expression was

reduced and *ATF3* mRNA levels were negligible (Fig 3, and data not shown). These results

align with ATF4 being the upstream effector protein of ATF3 in the ISR pathway (Fig 4).

Unexpectedly however, ATF3 protein, but not the mRNA, levels dramatically increased (Fig 3)

following inhibition of the ISR and ZIKV infection. Of note, we did not see this same response

following tunicamycin treatment and inhibition of the PERK pathway (data not shown).

Consistent with the transcriptional role of ATF3, we observed that the protein was predominantly

in the nucleus (Fig 3I). At present, the mechanism leading to increased ATF3 protein levels is

unknown. One possibility might be that following ISRIB treatment and ZIKV infection, the low

levels of *ATF3* mRNA are more efficiently translated via the cap-dependent mechanism. We

also considered that, like ATF4, ATF3 might be translationally regulated via an upstream open

reading frame (28). However, inspection of the 5' UTR revealed a short UTR length and the

absence of an upstream (or downstream) AUG codon that could direct this stress-induced

translational control mechanism. Alternatively, under the appropriate stress conditions, ATF3

protein levels could be regulated by either an alternate translational control mechanism such as

via an internal ribosomal entry site and/or protein stability/turnover pathway (82, 83). Indeed,

ATF3 protein stability has been shown to be regulated by UBR1/UBR2 and MDM2

ubiquitinases, and the ubiquitin-specific peptidase 33 (USP33) protein (84, 85). It is therefore

possible that differential expression of ubiquitinases and/or deubiquitinases during ZIKV

infection and inhibition of the ISR pathway changed ATF3 protein levels. Additional experiments

are however needed to investigate such regulation.

ATF4 is a master regulator of the ISR pathway (20, 23, 86). During ZIKV infection we observed

increased levels of ATF4 RNA and protein (Fig 1A-B), and this increase in ATF4 expression led

to the activation of ATF3 (Fig 4). This result aligns with a previous study showing that ATF3 is

regulated redundantly by two different stress-dependent pathways: the ATF4-dependent ISR

pathway and the p53 gene regulatory network (87). Specifically, ATF4 regulates ATF3 directly

at the transcript level via promoter binding and regulation but if ATF4 is inhibited or depleted,

ATF3 can still be turned on by other pathways (87). In contrast to the antiviral effects of ATF3,

we determined that depleting ATF4, led to a decrease in ZIKV protein and RNA expression (Fig

4). Proviral functions of ATF4, such as directly controlling cellular transcription to promote

human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1), human herpes virus 8 (HHV-8), and murine

cytomegalovirus (MCMV) infections, have been described (88–92). While the mode by which

ATF4 positively regulates ZIKV remains to be determined, one possibility could be the activation

of ATF4-dependent genes like GADD34 (growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible protein 34)

which downregulate the ISR by recruiting protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) to dephosphorylate

eIF2α, promote ZIKV translation and downstream steps in the infectious cycle (41, 93). ATF4

was also found to positively affect porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus

(PRRSV), a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus that replicates in cytoplasm (41). Thus,

like PRRSV, ATF4 could be affecting a specific step(s) in the ZIKV infectious cycle. Regardless,

future studies are needed to uncover the mode by which ATF4 positively regulates ZIKV.

ATF3 affects a host of cellular systems, including cell cycle (94), apoptosis (95), neuron

regeneration (96, 97), serine and nucleotide biosynthesis (98, 99) and the immune response

(31). For the latter, ATF3 functions have been described as a rheostat that regulates the

immune response (31). For instance, in ATF3-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages

(BMDM), the expression of IFN-β and other downstream components were upregulated

compared to WT cells, and this attenuated LMCV and VSV*DG(Luc) replicon infections (74). In

NK cells, ATF3 negatively regulated IFN-γ expression however, the reverse was observed in

MCMV infected ATF3 knockout mice compared to WT mice (38). Similarly, ISGs were

upregulated in JEV infected Neuro2A and MEF cells depleted of ATF3, and chromatin

immunoprecipitation studies showed that ATF3 bound to select promoter regions in STAT1,

IRF9, and ISG15 (37). Given these prior studies showing ATF3 regulating the immune

response, we reasoned that ATF3 transcriptionally controlled genes involved in the innate

immune response, to promote ISG expression and restrict ZIKV infection. From our RNA-seq

data, the absence of ATF3 specifically led to a decrease in the transcription of genes involved in

IFN pathways (Fig 6) which supports the role of ATF3 as a positive transcriptional regulator of

these genes during ZIKV infection. Notably, depletion of ATF3 did not suppress all innate

immune effectors as *IFNB1* (IFN-β*)* was upregulated in both WT and ATF3 KO cells (Fig 6F &

6G), and *IFNB1* mRNA levels were significantly higher in the ATF3 KO cells compared to WT

cells (Fig 6G). In BMDM, two ATF3 binding sites were identified in the promoter and upstream

region of *IFNB1,* where the second binding site functioned to negatively regulate *IFNB1* levels

(74). It is possible that in our A549 KO system this second binding site is nonfunctional and thus

IFNB1 expression is not subjected to feedback regulation. Alternatively, other studies predict

that ATF3 potentially suppresses interferon expression by remodeling nucleosomes and

keeping chromatin in a transcriptionally inactive state through interacting with histone

deacetylase 1 (74, 100). Future transcriptomic studies defining ATF3 genomic occupancy during

ZIKV infection will elucidate how this stress induced transcription factor differentially directs the

expression of *IFNB1* and other ISGs. Last, the higher abundance of *IFNB1* in ATF3 KO cells did

not result in increased IFN-β. Instead, the amount of IFN-β secreted from the ATF3 KO cells

was less than in WT cells (Fig 6J). One possibility for this difference could be that with

increased levels of ZIKV infection (Fig 2), ER stress may persist which would affect the overall

trafficking of secreted proteins such as IFN-β.

Sood and colleagues first showed that ATF3 was upregulated during JEV infection and that

RNAi depletion of ATF3 decreased JEV protein and RNA abundances as well as viral titers

(101). Moreover, during JEV infection, ATF3 was reported to negatively regulate antiviral

response and autophagy, likely by controlling transcription (37). In contrast, our findings indicate

that ATF3 functions as a positive effector of the antiviral response (Fig 6), specifically targeting

genes within the type-1 IFN pathway to suppress ZIKV gene expression and virion production

(Fig 8). These differences might be explained by differences in the cell types used in these

experiments and/or impact of dimerization on ATF3 function. ATF3 can have both activator and

repressor functions (34, 102), depending on whether this stress inducible transcription factor

homodimerizes or forms a heterodimer with other transcription factors. The previous JEV

studies were conducted using mouse Neuro2A and mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (37), while

we used human A549 lung adenocarcinoma and HCT-116 colorectal carcinoma cells (Fig 2).

Differences in the abundance of interacting partners between mouse and human cell lines may

influence ATF3 dimerization and thus the transcriptional responses. Alternatively, as JEV and

ZIKV belong to different flavivirus clades, the difference in ATF3 function may be related to a

virus specific response. Future studies are needed to elucidate the virus genetic determinants

that modulate ATF3 function.

Finally, we investigated how ATF3 might enhance the interferon response during ZIKV infection.

To this end we treated WT and ATF3 KO cells with either poly I:C or IFN-β or inhibited the

JAK/STAT signaling pathway with Ruxolitinib. In contrast to ZIKV-infection in ATF3 KO cells,

poly I:C and IFN-β treatment of ATF3 KO cells activated JAK/STAT signaling, increased the

transcript levels of *STAT1, IFIT1,* and *MX1* (Fig 7A-C & Fig 7E-G), and led to the expression of

downstream IFN-induced proteins (Fig 7D & 7H). These data showed that the ATF3 effect on

the innate immune response is ZIKV-specific. These data also highlight the ability of ATF3 to

discern various stressors, enabling context-specific regulation consistent with the role as a

transcriptional regulator (34, 49). The difference in ATF3 function under poly I:C or IFN-β

treatment conditions may stem from differences in upstream pathways activating ATF3 or the

selection of binding partners that regulate the transcription of downstream targets (31, 33).

Future studies addressing these questions will provide mechanistic insights into the impact of

diverse stimuli on ATF3 activation and downstream regulatory effects particularly on the

interferon response.

When we investigated the effect of ATF3 expression on the JAK/STAT pathway by treating

ZIKV-infected cells with Ruxolitinib, the JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, viral RNA expression was

predictably increased compared to control-treated WT cells (Fig 8A). Interestingly, ATF3

depletion alone, or in combination with JAK inhibition led to an increase in viral RNA levels

similar to ZIKV-infected WT cells treated with Ruxolitinib (Fig 8A). These data suggest that

ATF3 targets the JAK/STAT pathway to enhance antiviral response to ZIKV. With ATF3 binding

sites previously identified in the promoter regions of STAT1 in mouse neuronal cells (37) and

ATF3 recently shown to promote STAT1 expression in a diabetic injury model (103), we posit

that, ATF3 directly regulates STAT1 transcription within the JAK/STAT pathway to enhance the

antiviral response against ZIKV infection (Fig 2 & Fig 6). By regulating STAT1 abundance in

response to ZIKV-infection, downstream effects following IFN-β (and/or IFN-γ) induction of the

pathway (Fig 6F) would impact ISG expression and functions. Future studies that establish the

direct targets of ATF3 particularly within the IFN pathway and the type of regulation will provide

valuable mechanistic insights on the role of ATF3 during ZIKV infection.

In summary, our study demonstrates that during ZIKV infection, the stress-induced transcription

factor ATF3, activated through the ISR pathway and ATF4, enhances antiviral response by

directly influencing the expression of genes involved in the JAK/STAT signaling pathway and

regulation of the antiviral state. Our findings reveal important crosstalk between the ISR and

antiviral   response   pathway   through   ATF3.   Overall,   our   work   contributes   to   a   deeper

understanding of the complex interplay between ZIKV infection, cellular stress pathways, and

transcriptional control and the impact on infection outcomes.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and ZIKV

A549 (Human lung epithelial adenocarcinoma, ATCC CCL-185) wild type (WT) and ATF3

knock-out (KO) cell lines were maintained in Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (DMEM;

Gibco, #11995-065) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Seradigm, #97068-085),

10 mM nonessential amino acids (NEAA; Gibco, #11140076), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco,

#25030081) and 1mM sodium pyruvate (Gibco, #11360070). The HCT-116 wild-type and ATF3

knockout cell lines were generously provided by Dr. Chunhong Yan, Augusta University (49).

These cells were grown in McCoy's 5A media (Corning, #10-050-CV) supplemented with 10%

FBS (Seradigm, #97068-085) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco, #15140163). Vero

cells (ATCC CRL-81) were cultured in DMEM (Gibco, #11995-065) supplemented with 10%

FBS (Seradigm, #97068-085), 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco, #15140163) and 10 mM

HEPES (Gibco, #15630080). HEK 293FT cells (Invitrogen, #R70007) were grown in DMEM

(Gibco, #11995-065) with 10% FBS (Seradigm, #97068-085), 10 mM NEAA (Gibco,

#11140076) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco, #25030081). All cell lines were cultured at 37°C with

5% CO_2 in a water-jacketed incubator. $ZIKV^{PR}$ (Puerto Rico PRVABC59) strain was a gift from

Dr. Laura Kramer (Wadsworth Center NYDOH) with permission from the CDC. Viral stocks were

prepared in C6/36 cells (ATCC CRL-1660) by infecting near confluent cells at a multiplicity of

infection (moi) of 0.1 and incubating at 28°C. At 7 days post-infection, media from infected cells

were collected and aliquots supplemented with 20% FBS were stored at -80°C. Viral RNA was

extracted and examined by RT-qPCR and viral titers were measured by plaque assay to

validate infection.

Creating the ATF3 Knock-out (KO) A549 Cell Line

We generated A549 ATF3 KO cells in our laboratory using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. The following gRNA sequence targeting ATF3 was cloned into pLentiCRISPRv2 plasmid: 5'-CCACCGGATGTCCTCTGCGC-3' (Genscript, Clone ID C88007). HEK 293FT cells were co-transfected with pLentiCRISPRv2-ATF3 CRISPR gRNA, and pMD2.G (Addgene, #12259) and psPAX2 (Addgene, #12260) packaging plasmids using JetOptimus DNA transfection reagent (Polyplus, #101000025) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Media containing lentivirus was collected 24- and 48-hours post-transfection and pooled together. The pooled lentivirus media was filtered through a 0.45 mm pore filter and used to transduce A549 cells in the presence of 6 µg/ml polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, TR1003). Twenty-four hours later, the lentivirus-containing media was removed, replaced with fresh media and cells were incubated at 37°C. After 24 hours of incubation, the transduced cells were transferred into new tissue culture dishes and puromycin (1 µg/ml) (InvivoGen, #ant-pr-1) selection was carried out for 4 days by which time all A549 WT control cells were killed by the antibiotic. Individual clones were isolated by diluting, seeding in a 96-well plate, and incubating at 37°C. Following expansion, clones were screened in the absence and presence of tunicamycin and ATF3 expression determined by western blotting and RT-qPCR. DNA was also isolated from successful KO clones using DNAzol (Invitrogen, #10503027) reagent. PCR was subsequently carried out with forward and reverse primers (5'-CTGCCTCGGAAGTGAGTGCT-3' and 5'-AACAGCCCCCTGCCTAGAAC-3') that spanned part of the *ATF3* intron 1 and exon 2. The PCR products were cloned into pCR2.1 Topo vector (Invitrogen, #K450002) and the sequence analyzed by Sanger sequencing to verify the KO.

ZIKV Infection

Twenty-four hours prior infection, cells were seeded in a 100mm tissue culture dish at 1 x10^6

cells/dish for WT cells and 1.2 x 10^6 cells/dish for ATF3 KO cells. At this cell density, the cells

were near 80% confluent on day of infection. Control cells were trypsinized and counted to

determine the volume of virus required for a moi of 1 or 10 plaque forming units (PFU)/cell. An

aliquot of viral stock was then thawed at RT, and an appropriate volume of the viral stock was

diluted in PBS (Gibco, #14190250) to a final volume of 1 ml and added to cells. For mock-

infected plates, 1 ml of PBS was added. Cells were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, rocking every

15 minutes. An hour later, 9 ml of media was added per plate and returned to the incubator for

24 hours.

siRNA, shRNA, and Poly I:C Transfections

Single stranded oligos synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) were used for

transient transfections. Sense (5'-CGUACGCGGAAUACUUCGAUU-3') and anti-sense (5'-

UCGAAGUAUUCCGCGUACGUU-3') oligos targeting the control *Gaussia* luciferase GL2 gene

(104), were prepared by incubating in annealing buffer (150 mM Hepes [pH 7.4], 500 mM

potassium acetate, and 10 mM magnesium acetate) for 1 minute at 90°C followed by a 1-hour

incubation at 37°C. The duplex had a final concentration of 20 µM. Prior to transfection, 4 x 10^5

A549 cells were seeded in 6-well plates for 24 hours. The cells were then transfected with 50

nM control and ATF4 SilencerSelect siRNA (ThermoFisher Scientific, #s1702) using

Lipofectamine RNAi Max transfection reagent (Invitrogen, #13778100) based on the

manufacturer's protocol.

To generate A549 cells stably expressing shRNAs, the following the lentivirus approach was

performed. HEK 293FT cells were transfected with 1µg of TRC-pLKO.1-Puro plasmid containing

either non-targeting shRNA (5'-CAACAAGATGAAGAGCACCAA-3') or ATF4-targeted shRNA

(5'-GCCTAGGTCTCTTAGATGATT-3') (Sigma-Aldrich), together with 1 µg mixture of packaging

plasmids (pMD2.G and psPAX2) prepared in JetOptimus reagent and buffer (Polyplus,

#101000025) as per the manufacturer's instructions. After 24 and 48 hours of transfection,

media containing lentivirus was harvested, pooled together, and filtered through a 0.45 µm filter.

Pre-seeded A549 cells were subsequently transduced with the lentivirus in the presence of 6

µg/ml of polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich, TR1003). After 24 hours, the lentivirus-containing media was

removed, replaced with fresh media and cells were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Following

incubation, the transduced cells were transferred into new tissue culture dishes and puromycin

(1 µg/ml) (InvivoGen, #ant-pr-1) selection was carried out for 4 days. Finally, we screened the

transfected and transduced cells by western blot and RT-qPCR to assess the efficiency of

knockdown.

A549 WT and ATF3 KO cells were transfected with 1 µg/ml Poly I:C (Sigma-Aldrich, #P1530) for

6 hours at 37°C using Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen, #L3000015) (105).

Cellular RNA and proteins were harvested after transfection for further analysis.

Chemical Treatments

Tunicamycin (Sigma-Aldrich; #T7765) was dissolved in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, #34869) at a

stock concentration of 2 mM. ER stress was induced by treating cells with 2 µM tunicamycin for

6 hours at 37°C. GSK2606414 (PERK inhibitor; Sigma-Aldrich, #516535) was dissolved in

DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, #34869) to achieve a 30 µM stock concentration. Cells that were mock

and ZIKV infected were co-treated with PERK inhibitor at a final concentration of 30 nM for 24

hours at 37°C. ISR Inhibitor (ISRIB; Sigma-Aldrich, #SML0842) (54–56), was reconstituted at 5

mM stock concentration in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, #34869) and used at 500 nM on cells for 24

hours at 37°C. Ruxolitinib, a selective inhibitor of JAK 1/2 was reconstituted in DMSO to a stock

concentration of 10 mM. Mock and ZIKV-infected cells were simultaneously treated with

Ruxolitinib (Selleckchem, #S1378) at 30 nM for the duration of infection. Cells were stimulated

with 10 ng/ml IFN-β (R&D Systems, #8499-IF-010) diluted in sterile water for 24 hours at 37°C.

Harvest of Chemically Treated and ZIKV-Infected Cells

Mock- and virus-infected and chemically treated cells were harvested as follows; first media was

aspirated from the cell culture dishes. Cells were gently washed twice with 4 ml cold PBS

(Gibco, #14190250) and aspirated. A volume of 1 ml cold PBS (Gibco, #14190250) was then

added to the plates, cells were scraped off the plate using a cell lifter and the cell suspension

was thoroughly mixed. Equal volumes of 500 µl were aliquoted into two separate tubes. Cell

suspensions were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 30 seconds to pellet the cells. The supernatant

was aspirated off and cells in one tube were prepared for protein analysis while the other tube

was prepared for RNA analysis.

Cell Viability Assay

A549 cells in a 96-well plate were seeded at 4×10^{3} cells/well in 100 µl media and incubated at

37°C 2 days prior to cell viability measurements. Next, cells were treated with the

pharmacological inhibitor (GSK2606414 or ISRIB) in 100 µl of media and incubated at 37°C.

After 24 hours, plates were removed from incubator and allowed to equilibrate to room

temperature for 30 minutes. A volume of 100 µl of CellTiter-Glo 2.0 reagent (Promega, #G9241)

was then added to each well and mixed on an orbital shaker for 2 minutes to lyse the cells. The

plate was incubated in the dark for 10 minutes to stabilize the signal and the luminescence was

read using a Promega GloMax 96 Microplate Luminometer. Cell viability data were obtained

from three biological replicates.

Western Blot Analysis

Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate

(SDS), 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxycholic acid, 150 mM NaCl) containing protease and

phosphatase inhibitors (EDTA-free; ThermoScientific, #A32961) and incubated on ice for 20

minutes. The lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 20 minutes at 4°C and the clarified

supernatant collected. Protein concentrations were quantified using the DC protein assay kit

(Bio-Rad, #5000111EDU). Twenty-five micrograms (25 µg) of proteins were separated in 8%,

10% or 12% SDS-polyacrylamide (PAGE) gel at 100 V for 2 hours. Proteins from gels were

transferred on to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, #IPVH00010) at 30 V overnight,

100 V for 1 hour or 70 V for 45 minutes at 4°C, respectively. The blots were activated in

absolute methanol (Phamco-Aaper, #339000000) and stained with PonceauS (Sigma-Aldrich,

#P7170) to determine transfer efficiency. Next, blots were washed in PBS buffer (Gibco,

#14190250) with 0.1% Tween (Sigma-Aldrich, #P7949) and blocked in 5% milk or 5% BSA

(Sigma-Aldrich, #A9647) in PBS-T for 1 hour at room temperature. The blots were incubated

with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 1 or 2 hours at room temperature or

overnight at 4°C. This was followed with three 10-minute PBS-T washes after which the blots

were incubated in secondary antibodies diluted with blocking buffer for 1 hour at room

temperature. The blots were washed three times in PBS-T and the proteins were visualized

using Clarity Western ECL blotting substrate (Bio-Rad, #1705061) or SuperSignal West Femto

(ThermoScientific, #34094). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-ZIKV NS1

(GeneTex, GTX133307; 1:10,000), mouse anti-GAPDH (ProteinTech, #60004-1-lg; 1:10,000),

rabbit anti-ATF3 (Abcam, #AB207434; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-ATF4 (D4B8) (Cell Signaling,

#11815; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-PERK (D11A8) (Cell Signaling, #5683; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-eIF2α

(D7D3)(Cell Signaling, #5324; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-p-eIF2α (D9G8) (Cell Signaling, #3398;

1:1,000), rabbit anti-STAT1 (D1K9Y) (Cell Signaling, #14994; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-phospho-

STAT1 (D4A7) (Cell Signaling, #7649; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-STAT2 (D9J7L) (Cell Signaling,

#72604; 1:1,000), rabbit anti- phospho-STAT2 (D3P2P) (Cell Signaling, #88410, 1:1,000), rabbit

anti-IFIT1 (D2X9Z) (Cell Signaling, #14769; 1:1,000), rabbit anti-MX1(D3W7I) (Cell Signaling,

#37849, 1:1,000), rabbit anti-fibrillarin (Abcam, #Ab166630, 1:6000), mouse α-tubulin

(Proteintech, #,66031-1-Ig, 1:5,000). Donkey anti-rabbit-IgG (Invitrogen, #31458) and donkey

anti-mouse-IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotech, #sc-2314) were used as secondary antibodies at a

1:10,000 dilution. In Figure 6K and Figure 6L, we show the same PVDF membrane that was

probed for STAT1, IFIT1 and GAPDH. This blot is denoted by #. The images have been

separated into the two figures panels.

Plaque Assays

Vero cells were seeded in 6-well plates at a density of $7x10^5$/well and incubated at 37^oC with 5%

CO_2 overnight. The following day, ten-fold serial dilutions from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6} of media from

infections were prepared in 1 x PBS (Gibco, #14190250). The media on Vero cells seeded the

previous day was aspirated, 150 µl of 1 x PBS was added to the mock well, and 150 µl of each

virus dilution was added to the remaining wells. The cells were incubated at 37^oC with 5% CO_2

for 1 hour, with gentle rocking every 15 minutes. After incubation, the PBS or virus dilution in

PBS was aspirated and 3 ml of overlay consisting of 1:1 2 x DMEM (DMEM high glucose, no

sodium bicarbonate buffer powder [Gibco # 12-100-046] in 500 mL of RNase-free water, 84 mM

of sodium bicarbonate, 10% FBS and 2% penicillin and streptomycin, at pH 7.4) and 1.2%

avicel (FMC, #CL-611) was added to each well and the plates were incubated at 37^oC with 5%

CO_2. Five days post-infection, the overlay was aspirated, cells were fixed with 1 ml of 7.4%

formaldehyde (Fischer Scientific, #F79-500) for 10 minutes at room temperature, rinsed with

water and plaques were visualized using 1% crystal violet (ThermoScientific, #R40052) in 20%

methanol. Viral titers were determined from duplicate viral dilutions and three biological

replicates.

RT-qPCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, #15596026) and the RNA

Clean and Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, #R1018). The RNA was DNase-treated using the

TURBO DNA-free^{TM} kit (Invitrogen, #AM1907) and reverse transcribed using the High-Capacity

cDNA Reverse Transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems, #4368813). The resulting cDNA

was used for qPCR analysis with iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix reagents (Biorad,

#1725124) and CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR system (Biorad). RT-qPCR data shown are

from at least three independent experiments, with each sample assayed in three technical

replicates. The RT-qPCR primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

Statistical Analysis

The data shown are from at least three independent experiments. Data were analyzed using

Prism 9.4.1 software (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) to establish statistical significance. We

performed two-tailed student T-test for two group comparisons.

RNA-seq sample processing and analysis

A549 WT and ATF3 KO cell lines were either mock or ZIKV infected at a moi of 10, as

described above. At 24 hours post-infection, cells were harvested, and total RNA was isolated

using TRIzol reagent (Ambion by Life Technologies) and the RNA Clean and Concentrator kit

(Zymo Research, #R1018). Total RNA was DNAse-treated with the TURBO DNAse-free^{TM}

reagent (Invitrogen, #AM1907) and RNA quality was assessed via Bioanalyzer 2100 RNA

analysis. Only samples with an RNA Integrity Number (RIN) greater than 8.5 were used for

subsequent experiments. PolyA-selected, strand-specific RNA-seq libraries were generated and

sequenced in paired-end mode (150 x 2) on an Illumina HiSeq 3000 by Genewiz (Azenta Life

Sciences). Raw FastQ files and DESeq2 results tables are deposited in Gene Expression

Omnibus via accession number GSE233049.

Differential Gene Expression Analysis

Abundance of transcripts from the *Ensembl* hg38 genome/transcriptome assembly (v.104) was

quantified using *kallisto* in quant mode with 100 bootstraps (106)*.* Transcript counts (in TPM,

transcripts per million) were imported into the *R* statistical computing environment via *tximport*

(107). Differential gene expression between infection and genotype conditions were quantified

using DESeq2 (108). Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the top 4000

transcripts with the highest expression levels (TPM).

Gene ontology analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) terms and enrichment statistics were derived from performing GO analysis

using Metascape (109). Gene lists were extracted from DESEQ2 (108) results comparing

between genotypes and treatment conditions (WT ZIKV vs MOCK, ATF3 KO MOCK vs ZIKV,

MOCK ATF3 KO vs WT or ZIKV ATF3 KO vs WT) where changes in expression were significant

(padj > 0.05) and substantial (2-fold change [2FC], upregulated or downregulated). A single

gene list for every genotype and treatment combination was used as an input for Metascape

offline analysis with Reactome and default search parameters. Metascape Gene Ontology terms

and associated statistics were used as an input to generate dotplots with top 10 terms for each

sample with GSEApy library (110). Heatmaps in Fig. 6F were generated using DESEQ2

normalized counts which were row-wise normalized using z-score.

Data Availability

RNA-seq data from wild-type and ATF3 knockout A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cells

either mock-infected or infected with ZIKV PRVABC59 at a moi of 10 PFU/cell and harvested at

24 hours post-infection has been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) at

GSE233049.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

This work was supported by grants from National Institutes of Health to CTP (R01GM123050

and R21AI178672) and MAS (R35GM138120). PB was supported by a generous predoctoral

fellowship from the American Heart Association (Award ID: 903514). The research in this

manuscript is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the

official views of the NIH or AHA. We also gratefully acknowledge Kristen Kaytes, and Drs.

Marlene Belfort and John Cleary at UAlbany and The RNA Institute for their thoughtful

comments and suggestions on this manuscript.

References

1. Dick GWA. 1952. Zika Virus (I). Isolations and serological specificity. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 46:509–520.

2. Duffy MR, Chen T-H, Hancock WT, Powers AM, Kool JL, Lanciotti RS, Pretrick M, Marfel M, Holzbauer S, Dubray C, Guillaumot L, Griggs A, Bel M, Lambert AJ, Laven J, Kosoy O, Panella A, Biggerstaff BJ, Fischer M, Hayes EB. 2009. Zika virus outbreak on Yap Island, Federated States of Micronesia. N Engl J Med 360:2536–2543.

3. Lazear HM, Diamond MS. 2016. Zika Virus: New Clinical Syndromes and Its Emergence in the Western Hemisphere. J Virol 90:4864–4875.

4. Coyne CB, Lazear HM. 2016. Zika virus — reigniting the TORCH. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:707–715.

5. Pierson TC, Diamond MS. 2018. The emergence of Zika virus and its new clinical syndromes. Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0446-y.

6. França GVA, Schuler-Faccini L, Oliveira WK, Henriques CMP, Carmo EH, Pedi VD, Nunes ML, Castro MC, Serruya S, Silveira MF, Barros FC, Victora CG. 2016. Congenital Zika virus syndrome in Brazil: a case series of the first 1501 livebirths with complete investigation. Lancet 388.

7. Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, Leparc-Goffart I, Lastere S, Valour F, Baudouin L, Mallet H, Musso D, Ghawche F. 2014. Zika virus infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome–case report, French Polynesia, December 2013. Euro Surveill 19:pii=20720.

8. Nascimento OJM, da Silva IRF. 2017. Guillain–Barré syndrome and Zika virus outbreaks. Curr Opin Neurol 30:500–507.

9. Cao-Lormeau VM, Blake A, Mons S, Lastère S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, Dub T, Baudouin L, Teissier A, Larre P, Vial AL, Decam C, Choumet V, Halstead SK, Willison HJ, Musset L, Manuguerra JC, Despres P, Fournier E, Mallet HP, Musso D, Fontanet A, Neil J, Ghawché F. 2016. Guillain-Barré Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: a case-control study. Lancet 387:1531–1539.

10. Styczynski AR, Malta JMAS, Krow-Lucal ER, Percio J, Nóbrega ME, Vargas A, Lanzieri TM, Leite PL, Staples JE, Fischer MX, Powers AM, Chang GJJ, Burns PL, Borland EM, Ledermann JP, Mossel EC, Schonberger LB, Belay EB, Salinas JL, Badaro RD, Sejvar JJ, Coelho GE. 2017. Increased rates of Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with Zika virus outbreak in the Salvador metropolitan area, Brazil. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11:e0005869.

11. Ryan SJ, Carlson CJ, Mordecai EA, Johnson LR. 2019. Global expansion and redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 13:e0007213.

12. Ryan SJ, Carlson CJ, Tesla B, Bonds MH, Ngonghala CN, Mordecai EA, Johnson LR, Murdock CC. 2021. Warming temperatures could expose more than 1.3 billion new people to Zika virus risk by 2050. Glob Chang Biol 27:84–93.

13. Wang L, Jia Q, Zhu G, Ou G, Tang T. 2024. Transmission dynamics of Zika virus with multiple infection routes and a case study in Brazil. Sci Rep 14:7424.

14. Marbán-Castro E, Goncé A, Fumadó V, Romero-Acevedo L, Bardají A. 2021. Zika virus infection in pregnant women and their children: A review. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 265:162–168.

901   15.   Grant R, Fléchelles O, Tressières B, Dialo M, Elenga N, Mediamolle N, Mallard A, Hebert
902   J-C, Lachaume N, Couchy E, Hoen B, Fontanet A. 2021. In utero Zika virus exposure and
903   neurodevelopment at 24 months in toddlers normocephalic at birth: a cohort study. BMC
904   Med 19:12.

905   16.   Sager G, Gabaglio S, Sztul E, Belov GA. 2018. Role of Host Cell Secretory Machinery in
906   Zika Virus Life Cycle. Viruses 10:559.

907   17.   Ye Q, Liu ZY, Han JF, Jiang T, Li XF, Qin CF. 2016. Genomic characterization and
908   phylogenetic analysis of Zika virus circulating in the Americas. Infect Genet Evol 43:43–
909   49.

910   18.   Romero-Brey I, Bartenschlager R. 2016. Endoplasmic Reticulum: The Favorite
911   Intracellular Niche for Viral Replication and Assembly. Viruses 8:160.

912   19.   Cortese M, Goellner S, Acosta EG, Neufeldt CJ, Oleksiuk O, Lampe M, Haselmann U,
913   Funaya C, Schieber N, Ronchi P, Schorb M, Pruunsild P, Schwab Y, Chatel-Chaix L,
914   Ruggieri A, Bartenschlager R. 2017. Ultrastructural Characterization of Zika Virus
915   Replication Factories. Cell Rep 18:2113–2123.

916   20.   Pakos-Zebrucka K, Koryga I, Mnich K, Ljujic M, Samali A, Gorman AM. 2016. The
917   integrated stress response. EMBO Rep 17:1374–1395.

918   21.   Blázquez A-B, Escribano-Romero E, Merino-Ramos T, Saiz J-C, Martín-Acebes MA.
919   2014. Stress responses in flavivirus-infected cells: activation of unfolded protein response
920   and autophagy. Front Microbiol 5:266.

921   22.   Hetz C, Zhang K, Kaufman RJ. 2020. Mechanisms, regulation and functions of the
922   unfolded protein response. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. Nature Research
923   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0250-z.

924   23.   Costa-Mattioli M, Walter P. 2020. The integrated stress response: From mechanism to
925   disease. Science (1979) 368.

926   24.   Pakos-Zebrucka K, Koryga I, Mnich K, Ljujic M, Samali A, Gorman AM. 2016. The
927   integrated stress response. EMBO Rep 17:1374–1395.

928   25.   Donnelly N, Gorman AM, Gupta S, Samali A. 2013. The eIF2α kinases: their structures
929   and functions. Cell Mol Life Sci 70:3493–511.

930   26.   Wek RC, Jiang H-Y, Anthony TG. 2006. Coping with stress: eIF2 kinases and
931   translational control. Biochem Soc Trans 34:7–11.

932   27.   Harding HP, Novoa I, Zhang Y, Zeng H, Wek R, Schapira M, Ron D. 2000. Regulated
933   translation initiation controls stress-induced gene expression in mammalian cells. Mol
934   Cell 6:1099–108.

935   28.   Vattem KM, Wek RC. 2004. Reinitiation involving upstream ORFs regulates ATF4 mRNA
936   translation in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101:11269–11274.

937   29.   Wang S, Chen XA, Hu J, Jiang JK, Li Y, Chan-Salis KY, Gu Y, Chen G, Thomas C, Pugh
938   BF, Wang Y. 2015. ATF4 Gene Network Mediates Cellular Response to the Anticancer
939   PAD Inhibitor YW3-56 in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells. Mol Cancer Ther 14:877–
940   888.

941   30.   Wortel IMN, van der Meer LT, Kilberg MS, van Leeuwen FN. 2017. Surviving Stress:
942   Modulation of ATF4-Mediated Stress Responses in Normal and Malignant Cells. Trends
943   Endocrinol Metab 28:794–806.

31.   Hai T, Wolford CC, Chang YS. 2010. ATF3, a hub of the cellular adaptive-response
network, in the pathogenesis of diseases: Is modulation of inflammation a unifying
component? Gene Expr 15:1–11.

32.   Rohini M, Haritha Menon A, Selvamurugan N. 2018. Role of activating transcription factor
3 and its interacting proteins under physiological and pathological conditions. Int J Biol
Macromol 120:310–317.

33.   Liu S, Li Z, Lan S, Hao H, Baz AA, Yan X, Gao P, Chen S, Chu Y. 2024. The Dual Roles
of Activating Transcription Factor 3 (ATF3) in Inflammation, Apoptosis, Ferroptosis, and
Pathogen Infection Responses. Int J Mol Sci 25:824.

34.   Liang G, Wolfgang CD, Chen BP, Chen TH, Hai T. 1996. ATF3 gene. Genomic
organization, promoter, and regulation. Journal of Biological Chemistry 271:1695–701.

35.   Hashimoto Y, Zhang C, Kawauchi J, Imoto I, Adachi MT, Inazawa J, Amagasa T, Hai T,
Kitajima S. 2002. An alternatively spliced isoform of transcriptional repressor ATF3 and
its induction by stress stimuli. Nucleic Acids Res 30:2398–2406.

36.   Shu M, Du T, Zhou G, Roizman B. 2015. Role of activating transcription factor 3 in the
synthesis of latency-associated transcript and maintenance of herpes simplex virus 1 in
latent state in ganglia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E5420–E5426.

37.   Sood V, Sharma KB, Gupta V, Saha D, Dhapola P, Sharma M, Sen U, Kitajima S,
Chowdhury S, Kalia M, Vrati S. 2017. ATF3 negatively regulates cellular antiviral
signaling and autophagy in the absence of type I interferons. Sci Rep 7:1–17.

38.   Rosenberger CM, Clark AE, Treuting PM, Johnson CD, Aderem A. 2008. ATF3 regulates
MCMV infection in mice by modulating IFN-γ expression in natural killer cells. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 105:2544–2549.

39.   Bonenfant G, Meng R, Shotwell C, Badu P, Payne AF, Ciota AT, Sammons MA, Berglund
JA, Pager CT. 2020. Asian Zika virus isolate significantly changes the transcriptional
profile and alternative RNA splicing events in a neuroblastoma cell line. Viruses 12:510.

40.   Berglund G, Lennon CD, Badu P, Berglund JA, Pager CT. 2024. Zika virus infection in a
cell culture model reflects the transcriptomic signatures in patients. bioRxiv
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.25.595842.

41.   Mufrrih M, Chen B, Chan S-W. 2021. Zika Virus Induces an Atypical Tripartite Unfolded
Protein Response with Sustained Sensor and Transient Effector Activation and a Blunted
BiP Response. mSphere 6:e0036121.

42.   Frumence E, Roche M, Krejbich-Trotot P, El-Kalamouni C, Nativel B, Rondeau P, Missé
D, Gadea G, Viranaicken W, Desprès P. 2016. The South Pacific epidemic strain of Zika
virus replicates efficiently in human epithelial A549 cells leading to IFN-β production and
apoptosis induction. Virology 493:217–26.

43.   Hou W, Armstrong N, Obwolo LA, Thomas M, Pang X, Jones KS, Tang Q. 2017.
Determination of the Cell Permissiveness Spectrum, Mode of RNA Replication, and RNA-
Protein Interaction of Zika Virus. BMC Infect Dis 17:239.

44.   Li C, Deng Y-Q, Wang S, Ma F, Aliyari R, Huang X-Y, Zhang N-N, Watanabe M, Dong H-
L, Liu P, Li X-F, Ye Q, Tian M, Hong S, Fan J, Zhao H, Li L, Vishlaghi N, Buth JE, Au C,
Liu Y, Lu N, Du P, Qin FX-F, Zhang B, Gong D, Dai X, Sun R, Novitch BG, Xu Z, Qin C-F,
Cheng G. 2017. 25-Hydroxycholesterol Protects Host against Zika Virus Infection and Its
Associated Microcephaly in a Mouse Model. Immunity 46:446–456.

45. Dick GWA. 1952. Zika virus (II). Pathogenicity and physical properties. Trans R Soc Trop
Med Hyg 46:521–534.

46. Heifetz A, Keenan RW, Elbein AD. 1979. Mechanism of action of tunicamycin on the
UDP-GlcNAc:dolichyl-phosphate Glc-NAc-1-phosphate transferase. Biochemistry
18:2186–2192.

47. Lehle L, Tanner W. 1976. The specific site of tunicamycin inhibition in the formation of
dolichol-bound N-acetylglucosamine derivatives. FEBS Lett 71:167–170.

48. Yan F, Ying L, Li X, Qiao B, Meng Q, Yu L, Yuan X, Ren ST, Chan DW, Shi L, Ni P,
Wang X, Xu D, Hu Y. 2017. Overexpression of the transcription factor ATF3 with a
regulatory molecular signature associates with the pathogenic development of colorectal
cancer. Oncotarget 8:47020–47036.

49. Zhao J, Li X, Guo M, Yu J, Yan C. 2016. The common stress responsive transcription
factor ATF3 binds genomic sites enriched with p300 and H3K27ac for transcriptional
regulation. BMC Genomics 17:335.

50. Tan Z, Zhang W, Sun J, Fu Z, Ke X, Zheng C, Zhang Y, Li P, Liu Y, Hu Q, Wang H,
Zheng Z. 2018. ZIKV infection activates the IRE1-XBP1 and ATF6 pathways of unfolded
protein response in neural cells. J Neuroinflammation 15:275.

51. Gladwyn-Ng I, Cordón-Barris L, Alfano C, Creppe C, Couderc T, Morelli G, Thelen N,
America M, Bessières B, Encha-Razavi F, Bonnière M, Suzuki IK, Flamand M,
Vanderhaeghen P, Thiry M, Lecuit M, Nguyen L. 2018. Stress-induced unfolded protein
response contributes to Zika virus-associated microcephaly. Nat Neurosci 21:63–73.

52. Ponia SS, Robertson SJ, McNally KL, Subramanian G, Sturdevant GL, Lewis M, Jessop
F, Kendall C, Gallegos D, Hay A, Schwartz C, Rosenke R, Saturday G, Bosio CM,
Martens C, Best SM. 2021. Mitophagy antagonism by ZIKV reveals Ajuba as a regulator
of PINK1 signaling, PKR-dependent inflammation, and viral invasion of tissues. Cell Rep
37:109888.

53. Ricciardi-Jorge T, da Rocha EL, Gonzalez-Kozlova E, Rodrigues-Luiz GF, Ferguson BJ,
Sweeney T, Irigoyen N, Mansur DS. 2023. PKR-mediated stress response enhances
dengue and Zika virus replication. mBio 14:e0093423.

54. Rabouw HH, Langereis MA, Anand AA, Visser LJ, De Groot RJ, Walter P, Van
Kuppeveld FJM. 2019. Small molecule ISRIB suppresses the integrated stress response
within a defined window of activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:2097–2102.

55. Sidrauski C, McGeachy AM, Ingolia NT, Walter P. 2015. The small molecule ISRIB
reverses the effects of eIF2α phosphorylation on translation and stress granule assembly.
Elife 4:e05033.

56. Zyryanova AF, Kashiwagi K, Rato C, Harding HP, Crespillo-Casado A, Perera LA,
Sakamoto A, Nishimoto M, Yonemochi M, Shirouzu M, Ito T, Ron D. 2021. ISRIB Blunts
the Integrated Stress Response by Allosterically Antagonising the Inhibitory Effect of
Phosphorylated eIF2 on eIF2B. Mol Cell 81:88–103.e6.

57. Barbosa-Tessmann IP, Chen C, Zhong C, Schuster SM, Nick HS, Kilberg MS. 1999.
Activation of the unfolded protein response pathway induces human asparagine
synthetase gene expression. Journal of Biological Chemistry 274:31139–31144.

58. Siu F, Bain PJ, Leblanc-Chaffin R, Chen H, Kilberg MS. 2002. ATF4 is a mediator of the
nutrient-sensing response pathway that activates the human asparagine synthetase
gene. Journal of Biological Chemistry 277:24120–24127.

59.   Chen H, Pan YX, Dudenhausen EE, Kilberg MS. 2004. Amino acid deprivation induces
the transcription rate of the human asparagine synthetase gene through a timed program
of expression and promoter binding of nutrient-responsive basic region/leucine zipper
transcription factors as well as localized histone acetylation. Journal of Biological
Chemistry 279:50829–50839.

60.   Axten JM, Medina JR, Feng Y, Shu A, Romeril SP, Grant SW, Li WHH, Heerding DA,
Minthorn E, Mencken T, Atkins C, Liu Q, Rabindran S, Kumar R, Hong X, Goetz A,
Stanley T, Taylor JD, Sigethy SD, Tomberlin GH, Hassell AM, Kahler KM, Shewchuk LM,
Gampe RT. 2012. Discovery of 7-methyl-5-(1-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]acetyl-2,3-
dihydro- 1H-indol-5-yl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-amine (GSK2606414), a potent and
selective first-in-class inhibitor of protein kinase R (PKR)-like endoplasmic reticulum
kinase (PERK). J Med Chem 55:7193–7207.

61.   García MA, Gil J, Ventoso I, Guerra S, Domingo E, Rivas C, Esteban M. 2006. Impact of
protein kinase PKR in cell biology: from antiviral to antiproliferative action. Microbiology
and Molecular Biology Reviews 70:1032–1060.

62.   Serman TM, Gack MU. 2019. Evasion of innate and intrinsic antiviral pathways by the
Zika Virus. Viruses 11:970.

63.   Zhang Y, Zhao S, Li Y, Feng F, Li M, Xue Y, Cui J, Xu T, Jin X, Jiu Y. 2022. Host
cytoskeletal vimentin serves as a structural organizer and an RNA-binding protein
regulator to facilitate Zika viral replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119:e21e13909119.

64.   Link N, Chung H, Jolly A, Withers M, Tepe B, Arenkiel BR, Shah PS, Krogan NJ, Aydin H,
Geckinli BB, Tos T, Isikay S, Tuysuz B, Mochida GH, Thomas AX, Clark RD, Mirzaa GM,
Lupski JR, Bellen HJ. 2019. Mutations in ANKLE2, a ZIKA Virus Target, Disrupt an
Asymmetric Cell Division Pathway in Drosophila Neuroblasts to Cause Microcephaly. Dev
Cell 51:713-729.e6.

65.   Stoyanova G, Jabeen S, Landazuri Vinueza J, Ghosh Roy S, Lockshin RA, Zakeri Z.
2023. Zika virus triggers autophagy to exploit host lipid metabolism and drive viral
replication. Cell Communication and Signaling 21:114.

66.   Alexopoulou L, Holt AC, Medzhitov R, Flavell RA. 2001. Recognition of double-stranded
RNA and activation of NF-κB by Toll-like receptor 3. Nature 413:732–738.

67.   Li K, Chen Z, Kato N, Gale M, Lemon SM. 2005. Distinct poly(I-C) and virus-activated
signaling pathways leading to interferon-beta production in hepatocytes. Journal of
Biological Chemistry 280:16739–16747.

68.   Kato H, Takeuchi O, Mikamo-Satoh E, Hirai R, Kawai T, Matsushita K, Hiiragi A,
Dermody TS, Fujita T, Akira S. 2008. Length-dependent recognition of double-stranded
ribonucleic acids by retinoic acid-inducible gene-I and melanoma differentiation-
associated gene 5. Journal of Experimental Medicine 205:1601–1610.

69.   McFadden MJ, Gokhale NS, Horner SM. 2017. Protect this house: cytosolic sensing of
viruses. Curr Opin Virol https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2016.11.012.

70.   Mesa RA, Yasothan U, Kirkpatrick P. 2012. Ruxolitinib. Nat Rev Drug Discov 11:103–
104.

71.   Otter CJ, Bracci N, Parenti NA, Ye C, Asthana A, Blomqvist EK, Tan LH, Pfannenstiel JJ,
Jackson N, Fehr AR, Silverman RH, Burke JM, Cohen NA, Martinez-Sobrido L, Weiss
SR. 2024. SARS-CoV-2 nsp15 endoribonuclease antagonizes dsRNA-induced antiviral
signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 121:e2320194121.

72.   Barnabas S, Hai T, Andrisani OM. 1997. The hepatitis B virus X protein enhances the
1079   DNA binding potential and transcription efficacy of bZip transcription factors. Journal of
1080   Biological Chemistry 272:20684–20690.

1081   73.   Shiromoto F, Aly HH, Kudo H, Watashi K, Murayama A, Watanabe N, Zheng X, Kato T,
1082   Chayama K, Muramatsu M, Wakita T. 2018. IL-1β/ATF3-mediated induction of Ski2
1083   expression enhances hepatitis B virus x mRNA degradation. Biochem Biophys Res
1084   Commun 503:1854–1860.

1085   74.   Labzin LI, Schmidt S V, Masters SL, Beyer M, Krebs W, Klee K, Stahl R, Lütjohann D,
1086   Schultze JL, Latz E, De Nardo D. 2015. ATF3 Is a Key Regulator of Macrophage IFN
1087   Responses. Journal of Immunology 195:4446–4455.

1088   75.   Jiang H-Y, Wek SA, McGrath BC, Lu D, Hai T, Harding HP, Wang X, Ron D, Cavener
1089   DR, Wek RC. 2004. Activating transcription factor 3 is integral to the eukaryotic initiation
1090   factor 2 kinase stress response. Mol Cell Biol 24:1365–1377.

1091   76.   Samuel MA, Whitby K, Keller BC, Marri A, Barchet W, Williams BRG, Silverman RH, Gale
1092   M, Diamond MS. 2006. PKR and RNase L contribute to protection against lethal West
1093   Nile Virus infection by controlling early viral spread in the periphery and replication in
1094   neurons. J Virol 80:7009–7019.

1095   77.   Arnaud N, Dabo S, Maillard P, Budkowska A, Kalliampakou KI, Mavromara P, Garcin D,
1096   Hugon J, Gatignol A, Akazawa D, Wakita T, Meurs EF. 2010. Hepatitis C virus controls
1097   interferon production through PKR activation. PLoS One 5:e10575.

1098   78.   Wang J, Kang R, Huang H, Xi X, Wang B, Wang J, Zhao Z. 2014. Hepatitis C virus core
1099   protein activates autophagy through EIF2AK3 and ATF6 UPR pathway-mediated
1100   MAP1LC3B and ATG12 expression. Autophagy 10:766–84.

1101   79.   Tu Y-C, Yu C-Y, Liang J-J, Lin E, Liao C-L, Lin Y-L. 2012. Blocking double-stranded
1102   RNA-activated protein kinase PKR by Japanese encephalitis virus nonstructural protein
1103   2A. J Virol 86:10347–10358.

1104   80.   Lee YR, Kuo SH, Lin CY, Fu PJ, Lin YS, Yeh TM, Liu HS. 2018. Dengue virus-induced
1105   ER stress is required for autophagy activation, viral replication, and pathogenesis both in
1106   vitro and in vivo. Sci Rep 8:489.

1107   81.   Medigeshi GR, Lancaster AM, Hirsch AJ, Briese T, Lipkin WI, DeFilippis V, Früh K,
1108   Mason PW, Nikolich-Zugich J, Nelson JA. 2007. West Nile Virus Infection Activates the
1109   Unfolded Protein Response, Leading to CHOP Induction and Apoptosis. J Virol
1110   81:10849–10860.

1111   82.   Lee JM, Hammarén HM, Savitski MM, Baek SH. 2023. Control of protein stability by post-
1112   translational modifications. Nat Commun 14:201.

1113   83.   Thompson SR. 2012. So you want to know if your message has an IRES? Wiley
1114   Interdiscip Rev RNA 3:697–705.

1115   84.   Mishra R, Lahon A, Banerjea AC. 2020. Dengue Virus Degrades USP33-ATF3 Axis via
1116   Extracellular Vesicles to Activate Human Microglial Cells. Journal of Immunology
1117   205:1787–1798.

1118   85.   Vu TTM, Varshavsky A. 2020. The ATF3 Transcription Factor Is a Short-Lived Substrate
1119   of the Arg/N-Degron Pathway. Biochemistry 59:2796–2812.

1120   86.   Neill G, Masson GR. 2023. A stay of execution: ATF4 regulation and potential outcomes
1121   for the integrated stress response. Front Mol Neurosci 16:1112253.

87.   Baniulyte G, Durham SA, Merchant LE, Sammons MA. 2023. Shared Gene Targets of
the ATF4 and p53 Transcriptional Networks. Mol Cell Biol 43:426–449.

88.   Caselli E, Benedetti S, Gentili V, Grigolato J, Di Luca D. 2012. Short communication:
activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) promotes HIV type 1 activation. AIDS Res Hum
Retroviruses 28:907–912.

89.   Lee SD, Yu KL, Park SH, Jung YM, Kim MJ, You JC. 2018. Understanding of the
functional role(s) of the Activating Transcription Factor 4(ATF4) in HIV regulation and
production. BMB Rep 51:388–393.

90.   Qian Z, Xuan B, Chapa TJ, Gualberto N, Yu D. 2012. Murine Cytomegalovirus Targets
Transcription Factor ATF4 To Exploit the Unfolded-Protein Response. J Virol 86:6712–
6723.

91.   Caselli E, Benedetti S, Grigolato J, Caruso A, Di Luca D. 2012. Activating transcription
factor 4 (ATF4) is upregulated by human herpesvirus 8 infection, increases virus
replication and promotes proangiogenic properties. Arch Virol 157:63–74.

92.   Gao P, Chai Y, Song J, Liu T, Chen P, Zhou L, Ge X, Guo X, Han J, Yang H. 2019.
Reprogramming the unfolded protein response for replication by porcine reproductive and
respiratory syndrome virus. PLoS Pathog 15:e1008169.

93.   Novoa I, Zeng H, Harding HP, Ron D. 2001. Feedback inhibition of the unfolded protein
response by GADD34-mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2alpha. Journal of Cell Biology
153:1011–1021.

94.   Akbarpour Arsanjani A, Abuei H, Behzad-Behbahani A, Bagheri Z, Arabsolghar R,
Farhadi A. 2022. Activating transcription factor 3 inhibits NF-κB p65 signaling pathway
and mediates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in cervical cancer cells. Infect Agent Cancer
17:62.

95.   Kooti A, Abuei H, Farhadi A, Behzad-Behbahani A, Zarrabi M. 2022. Activating
transcription factor 3 mediates apoptotic functions through a p53-independent pathway in
human papillomavirus 18 infected HeLa cells. Virus Genes 58:88–97.

96.   Katz HR, Arcese AA, Bloom O, Morgan JR. 2022. Activating Transcription Factor 3
(ATF3) is a Highly Conserved Pro-regenerative Transcription Factor in the Vertebrate
Nervous System. Front Cell Dev Biol 10:824036.

97.   Hunt D, Raivich G, Anderson PN. 2012. Activating transcription factor 3 and the nervous
system. Front Mol Neurosci 5:7.

98.   Li X, Gracilla D, Cai L, Zhang M, Yu X, Chen X, Zhang J, Long X, Ding HF, Yan C. 2021.
ATF3 promotes the serine synthesis pathway and tumor growth under dietary serine
restriction. Cell Rep 36:109706.

99.   Di Marcantonio D, Martinez E, Kanefsky JS, Huhn JM, Gabbasov R, Gupta A, Krais JJ,
Peri S, Tan Y, Skorski T, Dorrance A, Garzon R, Goldman AR, Tang H-Y, Johnson N,
Sykes SM. 2021. ATF3 coordinates serine and nucleotide metabolism to drive cell cycle
progression in acute myeloid leukemia. Mol Cell 81:2752-2764.e6.

100.   Gilchrist M, Thorsson V, Li B, Rust AG, Korb M, Roach JC, Kennedy K, Hai T, Bolouri H,
Aderem A. 2006. Systems biology approaches identify ATF3 as a negative regulator of
Toll-like receptor 4. Nature 441:173–8.

101. Sood V, Sharma KB, Gupta V, Saha D, Dhapola P, Sharma M, Sen U, Kitajima S,
Chowdhury S, Kalia M, Vrati S. 2017. ATF3 negatively regulates cellular antiviral
signaling and autophagy in the absence of type I interferons. Sci Rep 7:1–17.

102. Chen BPC, Liang G, Whelan J, Hai T. 1994. ATF3 and ATF3ΔZip. Transcriptional
repression versus activation by alternatively spliced isoforms. Journal of Biological
Chemistry 269:15819–15826.

103. Kim JY, Lee SH, Song EH, Park YM, Lim JY, Kim DJ, Choi KH, Park SI, Gao B, Kim WH.
2009. A critical role of STAT1 in streptozotocin-induced diabetic liver injury in mice:
controlled by ATF3. Cellular Signaling 21:1758–1767.

104. Elbashir Sayda M., Harborth Jens, Lendeckel Winfried, Yalcin Abdullah, Weber Klaus,
Tuschl Thomas. 2001. Generation of target cells. Nature 411:494–498.

105. McIntyre W, Netzband R, Bonenfant G, Biegel JM, Miller C, Fuchs G, Henderson E, Arra
M, Canki M, Fabris D, Pager CT. 2018. Positive-sense RNA viruses reveal the complexity
and dynamics of the cellular and viral epitranscriptomes during infection. Nucleic Acids
Res 46:5776–5791.

106. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–7.

107. Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. 2015. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-
level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4:1521.

108. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:1–21.

109. Zhou Y, Zhou B, Pache L, Chang M, Khodabakhshi AH, Tanaseichuk O, Benner C,
Chanda SK. 2019. Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of
systems-level datasets. Nat Commun 10:1523.

110. Fang Z, Liu X, Peltz G. 2023. GSEApy: a comprehensive package for performing gene
set enrichment analysis in Python. Bioinformatics 39:btac757.

1190

1191

1192   **Table 1: Primers used for RT-qPCR**

Gene name	Forward (5'-to-3')	Reverse (5'-to-3')
ZIKV	CCTTGGATTCTTGAACGAGGA	AGAGCTTCATTCTCCAGATCAA
ACTB	GTCACCGGAGTCCATCACG	GACCCAGATCATGTTTGAGACC
ATF3	TGTCAAGGAAGAGCTGAGGTTTG	GATTCCAGCGCAGAGGACAT
ATF4	CAGACGGTGAACCCAATTGG	CAACCTGGTCGGGTTTTGTT
ASNS	GGTACATCCCGACAGTGATGATATT	CCTGGACACTATGAAGTTTTGGATT
CHOP	CCTGGTTCTCCCTTGGTCTTC	AGCCCTCACTCTCCAGATTCC
IFNB1	GGCGTCCTCCTTCTGGAACT	GCCTCAAGGACAGGATGAACTT
IFIT1	TAGCCAGATCTCAGAGGAGCC	CCATTTGTACTCATGGTTGCTG
IRF9	AGCTCCTCCAGCCAAGACA	CCAGCAAGTATCGGGCAAAGG
ISG15	GTACAGGAGCTTGTGCCGT	GCCTTCAGCTCTGACACCGA
MX1	GGCATAACCAGAGTGGCTGT	CATTACTGGGGACCACCACC
OASL	GCTGAAGGATGGGCAGAAATT	CACCCCCTGAGGTCTATGTGA
RIG-I	AGAGCACTTGTGGACGCTTT	ATACACTTCTGTGCCGGGAGG
STAT1	TTCACCCTTCTAGACTTCAGACC	GGAACAGAGTAGCAGGAGGG

1193

Figure legends

FIG 1 ZIKV significantly induces ATF3 expression 24-hours after infection. (**A**) A549 WT cells

were infected with ZIKV^{PR} at moi of 10 PFU/cell for 0-, 12-, 24- or 48-hours post-infection (hpi).

Cellular (ATF4 and ATF3) and viral (NS1) proteins were assayed by western blot with GAPDH

as the loading control. Western blot is representative of at least three independent experiments.

(**B-E**) Total RNA was extracted at the indicated timepoints and used as template for RT-qPCR

to measure the expression of *ATF4*, ZIKV, *ATF3* and *CHOP* mRNAs. The relative mRNA

expression was determined by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using mock-infected cells as reference and the

genes were normalized to *ACTB*. RT-qPCR data are means ± SD of three technical replicates

and determined from three independent experiments. (**F**) Viral titers in the cell culture media

collected at the different infection time points were measured by plaque assay. PFU, plaque

forming units. The data represent the means ± SD of two technical replicates from three

independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by Student T-test. *p<0.01,

**p<0.001, ***p<0.0005, ****p<0.0001, ns-not significant.

1208

Fig 2 ATF3 restricts ZIKV gene expression. The effect of ATF3 expression on ZIKV infection

was examined by infecting A549 WT and ATF3 KO cells with or without ZIKV^{PR} (moi of 1 and 10

PFU/cell) for 24 hours. (**A**) ZIKV NS1 and ATF3 proteins were analyzed by western blot in which

GAPDH was used as the loading control. The western blot shown is a representative of three

independent experiments. Total RNA from infected cells were analyzed by RT-qPCR using

primers specific to (**B**) ZIKV and (**C**) *ATF3.* The RNA expression was normalized to *ACTB* and

the relative transcript expression was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method using mock-infected cells

as reference for the different cell lines. The data shown are means ± SD for three technical

replicates and are from three independent experiments. (**D**) Virions released during infection in

WT and ATF3 KO cells were quantified as the average viral titer (PFU/ml) using the plaque

assay method. The mean PFU/ml ± SD was derived from two technical assays of three

independent experiments. (**E-F**) To validate the role of ATF3 in ZIKV infection, HCT-116 WT

and ATF3 KO cells were infected with ZIKV^{PR} (moi=10 PFU/cell) for 48 hours. (**E**) ATF3 and

viral NS1 proteins were analyzed by western blot with GAPDH as the loading control. (**F**) ZIKV

RNA expression normalized to *ACTB* was determined by RT-qPCR ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method). Data are

from three independent experiments and three technical replicates within each experiment and

are shown as mean ± SD of. (**G**) Viral titers in HCT-116 cell culture media were measured by

plaque assay. Statistical significance was determined by Student T-test. **p<0.05, ****p<0.0001,

ns-not significant

1228

FIG 3 ZIKV activates ATF3 through the Integrated Stress Response (ISR) pathway. (**A**)

Schematic of the ISR pathway. Stress conditions like virus infections, ER stress, amino acid

deprivation and oxidative stress induce stalling of cap-dependent translation by phosphorylating

eIF2α and inducing the translation of ATF4. ATF4 in turn activates downstream targets including

ATF3 to restore cellular homeostasis. A549 WT cells were mock-infected or infected with the

ZIKV^{PR} (moi=10 PFU/cell) in the presence or absence of ISRIB, an ISR inhibitor. Cells were

harvested 24-hours post-infection, and (**B**) cellular and viral proteins analyzed by western blot.

The fold change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) in (**C**) *ATF4*, (**D**) *ASNS*, (**E**) *ATF3* and (**F**) *ZIKV* mRNA levels relative to

ACTB mRNA were determined by RT-qPCR from three technical replicates and three biological

replicates. (**G**) Viral titers in cell culture media were measured by plaque assay. Average viral

titers were calculated from three independent experiments with the paque assay being

performed in duplicate. (**H**) A549 WT cells received no treatment or were incubated with DMSO

(control) or ISRIB. Arbitrary luciferase unit were measured as a proxy for cell viability. (**I**) A549

cells were either mock-infected or infected with ZIKV^{PR} (moi=10 PFU/cell) in the presence or

absence of ISRIB. Cellular and nuclear fractions were prepared from cells harvested 24-hours

post-infection. The resultant subcellular fractions were analyzed by Western blotting and probed

with anti-NS1, ATF4, ATF3, fibrillarin and α-tubulin antibodies. Fibrillarin and α-tubulin were

used as nuclear and cytoplasmic markers respectively. The western bots shown are

representative of three independent experiments. The quantitative data are shown as the

means ± SD. The experiment was repeated three times. Statistical significance was determined

by Student T-test. **p<0.05, ***p<0.0005, ****p<0.0001, ns-not significant. (18, 69)

1250

FIG 4 ATF4 induces ATF3 expression and promotes ZIKV protein and RNA expression. A549

WT cells stably expressing either control or ATF4 targeting shRNA were treated with

tunicamycin (TU) or infected with ZIKV^{PR} (moi=10 PFU/cell). (**A**) ATF4, ATF3 and ZIKV NS1

proteins were assayed via western blot with GAPDH expression measured as the loading

control. The blot shown is a representative of three separate experiments. (**B-C**) Fold change

($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) in *ZIKV* and *ATF3* RNA expression relative to *ACTB* mRNA was determined by RT-

qPCR. The RT-qPCR results presented are the mean ± SD of three technical replicates from

three separate experiments. Statistical significance was determined by Student T-test. **p<0.05,

ns-not significant, * non-specific band detected by the anti-NS1 antibody.

1260

FIG 5 ATF3 suppresses while ATF4 promotes ZIKV RNA and protein expression. A549 WT and

ATF3 KO cells expressing either control or ATF4 targeting siRNA were infected without or with

ZIKV (moi=10 PFU/cell). (**A**) ZIKV NS1, ATF4 and ATF3 proteins were analyzed by western blot

with GAPDH as the loading control. The western blot is a representative from three independent

experiments. (**B-C**) Fold change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) of *ATF4* and *ZIKV* RNA abundance relative to *ACTB*

mRNA was determined by RT-qPCR. For each independent experiment, the RT-qPCR was

performed in triplicate. N=3. The RT-qPCR data shown are the mean ± SD. Statistical

significance was determined by Student t-test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

1269

FIG 6 ATF3 regulates the antiviral immune response. A549 cells WT and ATF3 KO cells were

mock-infected or infected with ZIKV^{PR} (moi=10 PFU/cell) and polyA-selected RNA expression

was examined by RNA-seq analysis 24-hours post-infection. (**A**) PCA plot summarizing

variance in gene expression in two A549 genotypes (WT and ATF3 KO) and infection conditions

(mock and ZIKV). (**B**-**C**) Enhanced volcano plots showing differentially expressed genes in

ZIKV-infected cells compared to (**B**) the mock-infected A549 WT or (**C**) ATF KO cells. The

dotted lines represent the adjusted p-value threshold of 0.05 and fold-change (FC) threshold of

2. (**D**) The venn diagram shows the number of significantly (p-value < 0.05) upregulated (fold-

change > 2; 2FC) genes in ZIKV-infected cells that are shared or unique between the two A549

cell genotypes (WT or ATF KO). (**E**) Metascape pathway enrichment analysis (Reactome) of

shared and unique gene groups described in panel. The dotplot represents top ten enriched

Reactome terms for each gene set. (**F**) Heatmaps showing relative expression values (row-

normalized z-score) of genes associated with interferon signaling pathway that were

significantly upregulated in ZIKV infection condition in at least one A549 genotype (WT or ATF3

KO). Genotype-specific shared or unique genes and select immune gene categories are

highlighted in different colors as indicated in the legend. (**G-I**) mRNA expression of select innate

immune response genes, (**G**) *IFNB1,* (**H**) *STAT1,* and (**I**) *IFIT1* were validated by RT-qPCR

analyses following ZIKV infection (moi=1 and 10 PFU/cell). Target RNAs were normalized to

ACTB mRNA, and the mRNA expression was determined by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. These RT-

qPCR validation experiments were undertaken in three technical replicates from three separate

experiments that were also independent from the RNA-seq samples. The data represent mean

± SD. Statistical significance was determined by Student T-test. *p<0.01, **p<0.001,

***p<0.0005, ****p<0.0001. (**J**) IFN-β protein secretion 24 hours post-infection was measured by

ELISA in WT and ATF3 KO cells. The data show the mean ± SD. N=3. *p<0.05. (**K, L**) Protein

expression of (**K**) STAT1 and phospho-STAT1 (p-STAT1), and (**L**) IFIT1 and MX1 were

analyzed by western blotting. GAPDH levels were used as the loading control. # denotes the

same western blot membrane that was probed for STAT1, IFIT1 and GAPDH. The images are

separated into two panels, **K)** shows changes in STAT1 and phosphorylated STAT1, and **L)**

shows the levels of IFIT1 and MX1 interferon induced proteins. Phosphorylated-STAT1 and

GAPDH (and MX1 and GAPDH) proteins where blotted and probed on separate membranes.

The blots shown are representatives from two separate experiments.

1301

FIG 7 ATF3 selectively represses the expression of factors involved in IFN signaling upon poly

I:C or IFN-β stimulation. A549 WT and ATF3 KO cells were transfected with 1 µg/ml poly I:C for

6 hours at 37°C. Total RNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR and relative mRNA expression was

measured for (**A**) *STAT1*, (**B**) *IFIT1*, and (**C**) *MX1*. Target mRNAs were normalized to *ACTB*

mRNA and relative transcript expression was calculated using the fold change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$) method.

The results presented are means ± SD of three technical replicates from three independent

experiments. (**D**) Western blot analysis shows the abundance of proteins associated with the

antiviral immune response following poly I:C transfection. The experiment was repeated three

times, and a representative blot is shown. A549 WT and ATF3 KO cell lines were treated with

10 ng/ml IFN-β for 24 hours at 37°C. Total RNA was isolated for RT-qPCR analysis using

primers specific for (**E**) *STAT1*, (**F**) *IFIT1* and (**G**) *MX1*. The relative expression of each

transcript was determined as described above. The data shown are the mean ± SD of three

technical replicates of three independent experiments. (**H**) Cell lysates from IFN-β treated WT

and ATF3 KO cells were used to analyze by antiviral (STAT1, STAT2, p-STAT1, p-STAT2,

IFIT1, MX1) and ATF3 protein expression by western blotting. GAPDH was used as the loading

control. A representative blot from three independent experiments is shown. Statistical

significance of the RT-qPCR data was determined by Student T-test. **p<0.05, ***p<0.001.

1319

FIG 8 ATF3 restricts ZIKV infection through regulation of components within the JAK/STAT

antiviral response pathway. A549 WT and ATF3 KO cells were mock- or ZIKV^PR-infected

(moi=10 PFU/cell) and co-treated with 30 nM of the JAK1/2 inhibitor Ruxolitinib for 24 hours at

37°C. Total RNA isolated from cells was used as a template for RT-qPCR analysis. (**A**) *ZIKV*,

(**B**) *IFIT1* and (**C**) *MX1* RNA expression relative to *ACTB* were determined by $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ RT-qPCR

method. The experiments were repeated three times and the data shown are the mean ± SD of

triplicate

measurements. Statistical significance was determined by Student T-test. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001,

****p<0.0001, ns-not significant. (**D**) A representative Western bot showing the expression of

ZIKV NS1, antiviral (STAT1, p-STAT1, IFIT1 and MX1) and ATF3 proteins. N=3.