Metabolic impact of heterologous protein production in *Pseudomonas putida*: Insights into

carbon and energy flux control

Philippe Vogeleer[1], Pierre Millard[1,2], Ana-Sofia Ortega Arbulú[4], Katharina Pflüger-Grau[4],

Andreas Kremling[4] and Fabien Létisse[1,3]*

[1]Toulouse Biotechnology Institute, Université de Toulouse, INSA, UPS, Toulouse, France.

[2]MetaToul-MetaboHUB, National Infrastructure of Metabolomics and Fluxomics, Toulouse,

France.

[3]Present address: Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale (IPBS), Université de

Toulouse, CNRS, Université Toulouse III - Paul Sabatier (UT3), Toulouse, France

[4]Technical University Munich, TUM School of Engineering and Design, Department of Energy

and Process Engineering, Systems Biotechnology, Germany

*Corresponding author

Fabien Létisse : fabien.letisse@univ-tlse3.fr

Abstract

For engineered microorganisms, the expression of heterologous proteins that are often

useless to host cells represents a burden on resources, which have to be shared with normal

cellular processes. Within a certain metabolic leeway, this competitive process has no impact

on growth. However, once this leeway, or free capacity, is fully utilized, the extra load

becomes a metabolic burden that inhibits cellular processes and triggers a broad cellular

response, reducing cell growth and often hindering the production of heterologous proteins.

In this study, we sought to characterize the metabolic rearrangements occurring in the central

metabolism of *Pseudomonas putida* at different levels of metabolic load. To this end, we

constructed a *P. putida* KT2440 strain that expressed two genes encoding fluorescent

proteins, one in the genome under constitutive expression to monitor the free capacity, and

the other on an inducible plasmid to probe heterologous protein production. We found that

metabolic fluxes are profoundly reshuffled, notably at the level of periplasmic pathways, as

soon as the metabolic load exceeds the free capacity. Heterologous protein production leads

to the decoupling of anabolism and catabolism, resulting in large excess energy production

relative to the requirements of protein biosynthesis. Finally, heterologous protein production

was found to exert a stronger control on carbon fluxes than on energy fluxes, indicating that

the flexible nature of *P. putida*'s central metabolic network is solicited to sustain energy

production.

Highlights : (3 – 5 bullet points/85 characters)

Heterologous protein production in *P. putida* reshuffles the periplasmic metabolism.

Increased protein production progressively decouples catabolism from anabolism.

Protein production exerts a stronger control on energy than on carbon fluxes.

Glucose is directed towards ATP production to meet the elevated energy demands.

Keywords: Heterologous protein production, *P. putida*, fluxomics, metabolic control,

metabolic burden, resource allocation

1. Introduction

Pseudomonas putida is widely regarded as a valuable workhorse for white biotechnology due

to its combination of rapid growth, minimal nutrient requirements, and versatile metabolism

(Nikel and de Lorenzo, 2018). Its resistance to toxic compounds, organic solvents and to

variations in pH and temperature also make it attractive for industrial applications. Moreover,

P. putida lacks the virulence factors commonly found in the genome of other *Pseudomonas*

species (*i.e.* type III secretion system and endotoxin A) (Udaondo et al., 2016). Its ability to

produce endogenous biopolymers, such as polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) and non-ribosomal

peptides (Loeschcke and Thies, 2015) is widely exploited. It is also used in bioremediation

processes, as it produces various enzymes that degrade xenobiotic aromatic compounds (de

Lorenzo, 2008; Poblete-Castro et al., 2017). This ability to degrade aromatic compounds and

its high intrinsic resistance to metabolic and physiological stresses differentiates *P. putida*

from other bacterial species used in synthetic biology such as *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus*

subtilis (Nikel et al., 2014), and makes *P. putida* a promising organism for biotechnological

applications.

However, biotechnological production is often limited by cellular capacity (Ceroni et al., 2015)

and in engineered cells, the heterologous expression of proteins represents an unnatural load,

which consumes energy and cellular resources, such as ribosomes, polymerases and

metabolites. Cells have a certain metabolic leeway, which we call their *free capacity*, that

allows them to produce heterologous proteins without reducing their growth rate. Any

additional load, however, becomes a burden and the growth rate decreases (Borkowski et al.,

2016). The central metabolism responds by providing precursors, and chemical and redox

energy for the implemented task, but this also triggers stress-induced mechanisms related to

protein production (Wittmann et al., 2007).

P. putida's metabolism is characterized by its particular central carbon network (Figure 1). At

the periplasmic level, glucose is primarily directly oxidized to gluconate with a smaller fraction

being converted to 2-ketogluconate (2-KG). Once internalized into the cytoplasm by their

respective transporters, these metabolites are converted into 6-phosphogluconate (6-PG)

either by gluconate kinase (GntK) or *via* the 2-KG bypass, by the activity of 2-KG kinase (KguK)

and 2-KG-6-P reductase (KguD) (del Castillo et al., 2007; Vicente and Cánovas, 1973).

Furthermore, the classical Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas (EMP) pathway is nonfunctional

because of the absence of phosphofructokinase, which normally converts fructose-6-

phosphate to fructose-1,6-biP. As a consequence, carbon is processed mainly *via* the Entner-

Doudoroff (ED) pathway and partially *via* the pentose phosphate (PP) pathway (Nikel et al.,

2015). Compared to the EMP pathway, commonly known as glycolysis, the oxidation of one

mole of glucose into two pyruvates through the ED pathway yields less ATP (one mole only)

but an equal amount of catabolic and anabolic reducing power (one NADH or one NADPH

molecule, respectively) instead of two NADHs. Remarkably, NADPH formation is also boosted

by the recycling of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) formed from glucose in the ED

pathway to hexose-phosphate, and the combined action of enzymes from the ED pathway,

the EMP pathway (in the gluconeogenic direction) and the PP pathway, in what is collectively

known as the EDEMP cycle (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019; Nikel et al., 2015). The EDEMP

cycle enables *P. putida* to adjust NADPH production to meet anabolic demands and provides

the intrinsic resistance to oxidative stress (Kim and Park, 2014). The cyclic architecture of *P.*

putida's metabolism thus supports stress resistance alongside oxidative energy production. In

the context of biotechnology applications (Volke et al., 2020), an important question is how

the specific features of *P. putida*'s central metabolism allow the bacteria to cope with the

metabolic load incurred by protein overproduction.

The aim of this study was to characterize the rearrangements in *P. putida*'s central metabolism

at different levels of protein overproduction. Following Ceroni *et al*. (2015), we constructed a

P. putida KT2440 strain that i) constitutively expressed the *mCherry* gene to monitor cellular

capacity and ii) carried a plasmid encoding maltose-binding protein (MBP) fused to fluorescent

eGFP for better detection under the control of an inducible promoter. Protein production was

tuned by varying the concentrations of the inducer, and quantified by measuring the level of

green fluorescence. The metabolic response to the different levels of metabolic burden

induced by gradually increasing heterologous protein production was investigated by

measuring bacterial growth and carbon and energy fluxes. Comparisons between metabolic

flux maps obtained under induced and non-induced conditions provide insights into the flux

rearrangements within the central metabolism caused by protein production.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Strains and plasmids

P. putida and *E. coli* strains were cultivated at 30 °C or 37 °C, respectively, in either LB or M9

minimal medium (Miller, 1974) with glucose as C-source. Strains were stored in cryotubes at

-80 °C, in LB medium containing 15 % glycerol (v/v).

Construction of the capacity monitor strain, P. putida CAP. *P. putida* CAP was constructed by

inserting the *mCherry* gene into the chromosome of *P. putida* KT2440 under the control of a

constitutive promoter. The promoter of the *lac*-operon of *E. coli* was chosen, as this promoter

is only regulated by its genomic context (Oehler, 2009). The fragment containing the *mCherry*

gene under the control of *lacIp* was cloned into pTn7-M (Zobel et al., 2015) choosing SpeI and SacI restriction sites in *E. coli* DH5α λpir. The resulting pTn7-M_lacIp-*mCherry* vector was introduced into *P. putida* KT2440 by quadruple conjugation with *E. coli* DH5α λpir (pTn7-M_lacIp-*mCherry*) as donor, *E. coli* HB101 (pRK600) as a helper strain for conjugation, *E. coli* DH5α (pTnS1) to provide transposase, and *P. putida* KT2440 as recipient (Choi and Schweizer, 2006; Zobel et al., 2015). The resulting *P. putida* KT2440 *attTn7::lacIp-mCherry* strain, referred to as *P. putida* CAP (for capacity), was selected by growth on citrate and resistance towards gentamycin. The correct integration of *lacIp-mCherry* was confirmed by amplification of a fragment spanning the *lacIp-mCherry* region and sequencing.

Construction of the burden plasmid pSEVA438-MBPeGFP. The *malE* gene was genetically fused *via* a GlySer-linker (GGGGS) to the N-terminus of *eGFP* by overlap extension PCR. Primers were designed to remove the periplasmic signal sequence of *malE* to avoid extracellular transport of the heterologous protein. eGFP was tagged on the C-terminus with a polyhistidine-tag (6xHis-tag), yielding the MBPeGFP fragment. The fragment was ligated into pSEVA438 using EcoRI and SpeI as restriction sites and the resulting plasmid, pSEVA438-MBPeGFP, was transferred to *E. coli* DH5α λpir using the TSS method (Chung et al., 1989). Positive clones were then selected by streptomycin resistance. After verification of pSEVA438_MBPeGFP by sequencing, the plasmid was transferred to *P. putida* CAP by triparental mating (de Lorenzo and Timmis, 1994), yielding *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) (Figure 2A).

2.2 Media and preculture conditions. For each experiment, the strains were first streaked onto LB agar plates containing 200 µg/mL streptomycin and incubated overnight at 30 °C. Then, 3 mL of LB medium with 200 µg/mL streptomycin were inoculated from a single isolated

colony and the cultures were incubated for 8 to 16 h at 30 °C and 200 rpm in an orbital shaker

(Inova 4230, New Brunswick Scientific, New Brunswick, NJ, USA). Cells were first diluted

(1/100) in 50 mL of M9 medium containing 17.4 g/L $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 12H_2O$, 3.0 g/L KH_2PO_4, 2.0 g/L

NH_4Cl, 0.5 g/L NaCl, 0.5 g/L $MgSO_4$, and 3.3 mg/L $CaCl_2$, and 1 mL of a trace element solution

containing 15 mg/L $Na_2EDTA \cdot 2H_2O$, 4.5 mg/L $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.3 mg/L $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 1 mg/L

$MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, 1 mg/L H_3BO_3, 0.4 mg/L $Na_2MoO \cdot 2H_2O$, 3 mg/L $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$,0.3 mg/L $CuSO_4 \cdot$

$5H_2O$. M9 preculture medium was supplemented with 3 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ glucose for flux analysis, or with

3 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ of a glucose mixture containing 80 % $^{13}C_1$-labeled glucose and 20 % U-$^{13}C_6$-labeled

glucose (Eurisotop, Saint Aubin, France). The glucose, $MgSO_4$ and trace element solutions

were sterilized by filtration (Minisart 0.2-μm syringe filter; Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) and

other solutions were autoclaved. Cells were incubated in a 250 mL baffled flask at 30 °C and

shaken at 200 rpm. Exponentially growing cells were harvested by centrifugation (Sigma 3-

18K centrifuge, Sigma-Aldrich, Seelze, Germany) at 5,000 g for 15 min at room temperature,

washed twice in fresh medium without glucose, and this inoculum was used to inoculate

microtiter plates or bioreactors. A 0.5 M stock solution of inducer was prepared by solubilizing

3-methyl-benzoate (3-MB) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) in 0.5 M NaOH.

2.3 Batch culture in microtiter plate. *P. putida* KT2440 CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) inoculum

was diluted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.07 (Genesys 6 spectrophotometer,

Thermo, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in 5 mL M9 medium containing 3 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ glucose and 200 µg/mL

streptomycin and supplemented with 50 µL of different inducer concentrations (0, 0.5, 1, 2.5,

5, 10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500, 1000 µM) prepared from a 0.5 M stock solution of 3-MB (Sigma-

Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) solubilized in a 0.5 M NaOH solution and filtered through a 0.2 μm

filter for sterilization (Minisart 0.2 µm syringe filter; Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). These

dilutions were then inoculated (100 µL) in triplicate into a 96 well microtiter plate (Sarstedt,

Nümbrecht, Germany) and incubated at 30 °C in a plate reader (CLARIOstar[Plus], BMG Labtech,

Ortenberg, Germany). The optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) and fluorescence of GFP

(excitation wavelength, λ_{ex}, 470 nm; emission wavelength 1, λ_{em1}, 515 nm),

autofluorescence (λ_{ex}, 470 nm; λ_{em}, 580 nm) and *mCherry* (λ_{ex}, 570 nm; λ_{em}, 620 nm) were

measured every 20 min for 48 h under continuous 200 rpm double orbital shaking. Three

independent biological replicates were analyzed.

2.4 Batch culture in bioreactors. *P. putida* KT2440 WT and *P. putida* CAP

(pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) were grown in a 500 mL bioreactor (my-Control, Applikon

Biotechnology INC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) containing 300 mL of bioreactor-adapted M9 medium

containing 4.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ NH_4Cl, 2.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ KH_2PO_4, 0.5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ NaCl, 0.5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ $MgSO_4$, 4.3 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $CaCl_2$,

and 1 mL of the trace element solution supplemented with 10 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ glucose. For flux analysis,

^{12}C-glucose was replaced by a 10 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ mixture of 80 % (mol/mol) $^{13}C_1$-labeled glucose and 20

% (mol/mol) U-$^{13}C_6$-labeled glucose (with a ^{13}C purity of 99 %; Eurisotop, Saint Aubin, France).

The pH was maintained at 7.0 ± 0.1 by automatically adding 14% (g/g) ammonia (VWR,

Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) or 10 % (g/g) phosphoric acid (PanReac AppliChem, Barcelona,

Spain) and the temperature was set to 30 °C. Adequate aeration of the culture was achieved

by automatically controlling the stirrer speed and the gas flow to maintain > 30 % oxygen

saturation. Streptomycin (200 µg/mL) was added to the *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP)

culture. The inducer for protein production was added before cell inoculation, at 10 µM or 1

mM from a 0.5 M stock solution of 3-MB (Sigma-Aldrich, S[t] Louis, MO, USA) solubilized in 0.5

M NaOH. Foaming was avoided by manually adding 50 µL of antifoam 204 (Sigma-Aldrich, S[t]

Louis, MO, USA) before inoculation and when required during growth. The bioreactor was

inoculated to an OD_{600} of 0.15. Depending on the culture time, 1.0 to 2.5 mL of culture were

withdrawn from the bioreactor every 0.5 to 1 h to monitor the OD_{600}, fluorescence, and

protein and metabolite contents of the culture. Cell dry weight (CDW) was determined by

filtering 5 mL of cells grown in M9 supplemented with glucose at OD_{600} 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 on

preweighed membrane filters (Sartorius 0.2 µm, 47 mm, Goettingen, Germany) under vacuum

filtration. The filters were then washed with a 0.9 % NaCl solution, incubated at 80 °C and

weighed every 24 h until a constant weight was reached. Under our laboratory conditions, the

OD_{600} CDW correlation for *P. putida* was CDW $[g \cdot L^{-1}] = 0.57 \pm 0.01 \times OD_{600}$.

2.5 Fluorescence data processing. The green fluorescence specifically emitted by eGFP was

measured in relative light units (RLU_{GFP}) using a procedure similar to the one described by

Lichten et al. (2014). Both specific and non-specific eGFP fluorescence signals were measured

at λem = 515 and 580 nm to calculate the autofluorescence signal, which was then subtracted

to avoid overestimating heterologous protein production. The ratio of autofluorescence at

515 nm and 580 nm was calculated for a KT2440 WT culture, yielding $r_a = RLU_{515}/RLU_{580} = 2.479$

($R^2 = 0.999$; Figure S1A supplementary data). Based on these data, the autofluorescence of *P.*

putida CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) was determined for each measurement and subtracted

from the level of green fluorescence, yielding the amount of fluorescence specific to the

heterologous protein, $RLU_{GFP} = RLU_{515} - r_a \times RLU_{580}$, (Lichten et al., 2014). The overlap between

the autofluorescence signal of the cells and the fluorescence emitted by mCherry at 620 nm

was negligible.

2.6 Purification of heterologous protein. The heterologous protein MBPeGFP was purified

using NEBExpress Ni spin columns (NEB**,** Ipswich, MA, USA) according to manufacturer

recommendations. Briefly, 50 mL of *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) culture induced with

1 mM of 3-MB (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) were extracted from a bioreactor at OD_{600}

of 2.25, harvested at 5,000 *g* for 15 min, and stored at -80 °C until further analysis. Frozen cell

pellets were resuspended in 4 mL of IMAC buffer (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) on ice and dispersed

using an ultrasonic disruptor (Sonics, VibraCell^{TM}, Newtown, CT, USA) in 9 sonication cycles

(20 s ON, 30 s OFF; power amplitude, 20 %) with a pause of 60 s in between. Cell debris was

removed by centrifugation (15 min at 16,100 g). Protein purification was then carried out

following the manufacturer's protocol. After adding 200 μL of elution buffer containing 50 mM

imidazole, the concentration of MBPeGFP was measured with a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay

using bovine serum albumin (BSA) dilutions ranging from 0 to 206.25 μg/mL to establish a

standard curve (Figure S1B supplementary data). Purified MBPeGFP was two-fold serially

diluted. BSA standard and purified protein (25 μL) were each added in triplicate to a microtiter

plate (Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) and 200 μL of a 50:1 mixture of BCA and copper(II)

sulfate solutions (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) was added to each well containing a

sample. The plate was incubated for 30 min at 37 °C, then left to cool at room temperature

for 5 min before absorbance was measured at 562 nm with a plate reader (CLARIOstar^{Plus},

BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany). The final concentration of purified protein was 1076

μg/mL. This stock solution was then diluted (at concentrations of 175, 150, 125, 100, 75, 50

μg/mL) and mixed with 4X Laemmli buffer (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) containing 1 % β-

mercaptoethanol for SDS-PAGE calibration, as detailed below (Figure S1C and D

supplementary data).

2.7 Heterologous protein quantification by SDS-PAGE and fluorescence correlation factor.

Bioreactor culture samples (60 μL) were extracted at an OD$_{600}$ of 1 to 4, diluted to an OD$_{600}$ of

1, mixed with 4X Laemmli buffer (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) containing 1 % β-

mercaptoethanol and stored at −20 °C until analysis. After denaturation for 5 min at 95 °C, 8

μL of cellular extract and dilutions of purified protein (at 175, 150, 125, 100, 75, 50 μg/mL)

were loaded onto a 12.5 % SDS-PAGE gel and separated at 160 V for 70 min in a mini-protean

tetra cell (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) using Tris-glycine-SDS running buffer. The gels were

washed once with distilled water and stained with InstantBlue® Coomassie Protein Stain

(Abcam, Cambridge, UK). The gels were viewed using a ChemiDOC XRS molecular imager

(Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) (Figure S1C supplementary data) and the images were analyzed

using Image Lab v6.0.1 (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA). Brightness and contrast were adjusted

automatically using the software. Lanes and bands were identified manually. The lane profile

tool was used to quantify the peak area that corresponded as precisely as possible to the

protein band. The resulting calibration curve for MBPeGFP (Figure S1D supplementary data)

was used to estimate its concentration in the samples (Figure S1E supplementary data). A

correlation factor between the amount of green fluorescence ($RLU_{MBPeGFP}$) emitted by the

sample and the protein concentration was calculated from the slope of the curve (Fluo factor

7.25×10^{-9} - 0.0007, R^2 = 0.954). This was used to convert the levels of green fluorescence

measured in other samples into MBPeGFP protein concentrations.

2.8 Quantification of extracellular metabolites by NMR.

Glucose, gluconate and 2-KG were identified and quantified by nuclear magnetic resonance

(NMR). Culture samples (1 mL) were collected every 0.5 to 1 h during growth, centrifuged at

14,500 g for 3 min, and the supernatants were stored at −20 °C until analysis. The supernatants

(180 µL) were mixed with 20 µL of an internal standard consisting of 10 mM deuterated

trimethylsilylpropanoic acid (TSP-d4) diluted in D_2O. Proton NMR spectra were recorded on

an Avance III 500-MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5-mm z-gradient TXI (^1H, ^{13}C, ^{31}P) probe

(Brucker, Rheinstatten, Germany). Quantitative ^1H NMR analysis was performed at 286 K,

using a zgpr30 sequence with a recycle delay of 10 s, and presaturation at 4.7 ppm for water

suppression. Thirty-two scans were accumulated (32k data points with a spectral width of 10

ppm) after 4 dummy scans. Inverse gated ^{13}C decoupling was used for samples containing ^{13}C-

Glucose to ensure the spectra were quantitative. The zgigpr sequence was used with ^{13}C

decoupling during ^1H acquisition (396 ms), a recycle delay of 10 s, and presaturation at 4.7

ppm for water suppression. Thirty-two scans were accumulated (8k data points with a spectral

width of 20 ppm). The spectra were processed using Topspin 3.1 (Bruker, Rheinstatten,

Germany). The reported results are the average values obtained from at least three biological

replicates.

2.9 Calculation of Growth Rates and Extracellular Fluxes

Growth rates and extracellular metabolite fluxes were determined from the time courses of

biomass, glucose, gluconate and 2-KG concentrations, as measured by NMR. All calculations

were performed using PhysioFit 1.0.2 (Peiro et al., 2019) https://github.com/MetaSys-

LISBP/PhysioFit)). MBPeGFP and mCherry production rates ($q_{MBPeGFP}$ and $q_{mcherry}$) were

calculated by multiplying the respective yields by the growth rate.

2.10 ^{13}C-labeled samples and quantitative isotopic analysis.

Samples of culture medium (100 μL) for quantitative isotopic analyses and ^{13}C-metabolic flux

analyses were collected during the first growth phase (between OD_{600} 2 and 4). The samples

were plunged and vigorously mixed in 2 mL of methanol/acetonitrile/H_2O (4:4:2) precooled at

-20 °C, incubated for 2 h at -20 °C, evaporated overnight in a SpeedVac (SC110A SpeedVac

Plus, ThermoSavant, Waltham, MA, USA) and stored at -80 °C until IC-MS analysis. The cell

extracts were then resuspended in 100 μL deionized water, and centrifuged at 14,500 g for 10

min at 4 °C to remove cell debris. Mass fractions of intracellular metabolites were quantified

using an ion chromatograph (IC; Thermo Scientific Dionex ICS- 50001 system; Dionex,

Sunnyvale, CA, United States) coupled to an LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) equipped with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI)

probe. Fourier transform mass spectra were recorded in full-scan negative ion mode at a

resolution of 60,000 at m/z = 400. The ion chromatography and mass spectrometry

experiments are described in greater detail elsewhere (Vogeleer and Létisse, 2022). In total,

three samples were analyzed for each of three independent biological replicates.

Isotopologues were quantified from 11 metabolic intermediates covering the central

metabolism (6-phosphogluconate, glucose 6-phosphate, fructose 6-phosphate, fructose 1,6-

bisphosphate, 2/3-phosphoglycerate, phosphoenolpyruvate, ribose 5-phosphate,

sedoheptulose 7-phosphate, citrate/isocitrate, malate and succinate). The mass fractions

were corrected for naturally occurring isotopes, using IsoCor 2.2.0 ((Millard et al., 2019),

https://github.com/MetaSys-LISBP/IsoCor) and high resolution spectra (60,000 at m/z = 400),

with corrections for the natural abundance and isotopic purity (99 %) of the tracer element.

2.11 ^{13}C-Metabolic flux analyses

^{13}C metabolic fluxes were calculated using the software influx_s (Sokol et al., 2012), based on

a flux model that included the mass balances and carbon atom transitions of *P. putida*'s main

central metabolic pathways (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019; Nikel et al., 2015): glucose

uptake, glycolysis (EMP), pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), ED pathway, tricarboxylic acid

cycle (TCA), anaplerotic reactions, and gluconate and 2-KG secretion. Following Kohlstedt and

Wittmann (2019), the flux through the Kdgk reaction was fixed at 4.9 % of the flux through the

Gntk reaction. Precursor requirements for biomass synthesis were estimated based on the

composition of the biomass (van Duuren et al., 2013) and growth rates. Similarly, precursor

requirements for the synthesis of heterologous protein were estimated from the protein

sequence and from protein production rates. Intracellular fluxes were estimated by fitting i)

extracellular fluxes (glucose uptake, and gluconate, 2-KG, biomass and protein production)

and ii) the ^{13}C-labelling patterns of intracellular metabolites measured by IC-MS. A chi-square

statistical test was used to assess the goodness-of-fit (based on 95 % confidence intervals) for

each condition. For comparison, the estimated fluxes were then normalized to the rate of

substrate uptake, set to 100. For each condition, the mean fluxes and the corresponding

standard deviations were determined from three independent biological replicates. The

isotopic data and metabolic fluxes for each independent biological replicate are given in Table

S2 in the supplementary material. All model files and flux calculation results are available at

https://github.com/MetaSys-LISBP/pseudomonas_metabolic_burden.

2.12 Calculation of carbon, redox and energy balances

The carbon balance was determined by calculating the proportion of carbon converted from

glucose to biomass, gluconate, 2-KG, CO_2 and heterologous protein during the exponential

phase as outlined in the results section. The amounts of biomass, gluconate, 2-KG and

heterologous protein produced were quantified as described above. The amount of CO_2

produced during the exponential phase was calculated from the percentage concentrations

of CO_2 and N_2 measured in the gas output using a Dycor ProLine Process mass spectrometer

(Ametek, Berwyn, PA, USA). The biomass formula was assumed to be $C_1H_{1.52}O_{0.41}N_{0.27}P_{0.02}S_{0.01}$

(van Duuren et al., 2013).

NADPH production and consumption fluxes were estimated by summing the fluxes through

all reactions producing or consuming NADPH along with NADPH fluxes for the synthesis of

biomass (anabolism) and heterologous protein. Similarly, ATP production *via* substrate-level

phosphorylation was calculated by summing the fluxes of ATP-producing reactions and

subtracting the fluxes of ATP-consuming reactions. ATP produced *via* oxidative

phosphorylation was inferred from the production rates of NADH, $FADH_2$ and $FMNH_2$,

assuming P/O ratios of of 1.875 for the conversion of NADH and $PQQH_2$ into ATP and a P/O

ratio of 1.0 for the conversion of $FADH_2$ (Nikel et al., 2015). ATP demand was calculated by

summing the requirements for anabolism, non-growth-associated maintenance (van Duuren

et al., 2013), and for the biosynthesis of the heterologous protein, assuming 5 ATP equivalents

per amino acid residue added (Garett and Grisham, 1999).

3. Results

3.1 Characterization of the metabolic burden in *P. putida*

To characterize the physiological impact of the metabolic burden due to heterologous protein

production in *P. putida* KT2440, we introduced a fluorescent protein under the control of a

constitutive promoter into the *att* site in the chromosome as a proxy for the biosynthetic

capacity of *P. putida* cells. We chose the mCherry fluorescent protein controlled by the *lacIp*

promotor from the *E. coli lac*-operon, which is not regulated when isolated from its original

genomic environment. The amount of mCherry produced during heterologous protein

production was therefore taken to be representative of the availability of cellular resources

and served as a proxy for the biosynthetic capacity of the cells (Ceroni et al., 2015).

The eGFP labelled protein chosen to impose a metabolic burden was introduced into *P. putida*

KT2440 cells on a plasmid under the control of an inducible promoter (Figure 2A). The eGFP

domain was fused to the C-terminus of MBP, forming a 623-amino-acid fusion protein,

MBPeGFP (Figure 2B). The advantages of MBPeGFP for this study were that the fluorescence

emitted by eGFP could be used to estimate protein yields during growth, while the MBP

domain increased solubility and limited aggregation (Raran-Kurussi et al., 2015). To facilitate

purification, a His-tag was added to the C-terminal part of the eGFP domain (sequence is

available in supplementary data). The MBPeGFP encoding gene was integrated into pSEVA438, under the control of a XylS/Pm expression system induced by 3-MB.

The associated metabolic burden was quantified in terms of the growth, biosynthetic capacity and heterologous protein production of *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) cultures in microtiter plates. The expression of MBPeGFP was modulated by exposing the cells to various concentrations of 3-MB inducer, from 0 to 1000 µM (Figure 2C).

Four types of behavior can be distinguished in these data. First, at very low 3-MB concentrations (< 0.5 µM), the small amounts of MBPeGFP produced did not represent a metabolic burden since there was no impact on *P. putida* growth or biosynthetic capacity (mCherry production). Note that some MBPeGFP production was observed even in the absence of inducer, indicating that as reported previously (Balzer et al., 2013), the XylS/Pm expression system is slightly leaky. The growth rate (μ_{max}) was 0.57 ± 0.02 h^{-1}, as reported in the literature for this strain when grown on glucose (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019; Kozaeva et al., 2021). Second, exposure to between 0.5 and 10 µM 3-MB was associated with a dramatic reduction in μ_{max} from 0.57 ± 0.02 h^{-1} to 0.15 ± 0.02 h^{-1}, and increased mCherry production (Figure 2D). This relationship between growth rate and biosynthetic capacity is in agreement with Ceroni et al.'s findings in *E. coli* (Ceroni et al., 2015). The MBPeGFP production rate remained constant, meaning that the decrease in cell growth did not directly translate into a higher heterologous protein production rate (Figure 2E). Under these conditions, resource sharing is detrimental to cell growth with no clear benefit in terms of protein production. From 10 to 250 µM 3-MB, the MBPeGFP production rate gradually increased while cell growth and mCherry production remained constant. The MBPeGFP production rate at 250 µM 3-MB was twice what it was at 10 µM 3-MB. Here too, the rates of cell growth and protein

production did not directly counterbalance each other, indicating that the coordination of

these processes is more complex than a simple sharing of cellular resources. Finally, exposing

the cells to more than 250 µM 3-MB did not increase the MBPeGFP production rate and had

no additional impact on their growth rate or biosynthetic capacity.

Having observed that exposing *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) to various concentrations

of inducer generated different levels of metabolic burden, we explored how the central

metabolism of the host copes with *low* (without inducer), *medium* (10 µM 3-MB), and *high*

(1000 µM 3-MB) degrees of metabolic burden.

3.2 Quantitative analysis of *P. putida* physiology during heterologous protein production.

Cell cultures were scaled-up from micro-titer plates to bioreactors so that key environmental

parameters (pH, dissolved oxygen tension (DOT), temperature, agitation, *etc.*) could be

adjusted precisely. *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) was cultivated in minimal medium

supplemented with 10 g·L $^{-1}$ glucose as sole carbon source and containing either none, 10 µM

or 1000 µM 3-MB. Figure 3 compares the resulting culture profiles with those obtained under

the same conditions with WT *P. putida* KT2440.

In the absence of inducer, *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) grew at the same rate (0.58 ±

0.03 h^{-1}) in the bioreactor as in the microtiter plate (Figure 2) and as the WT strain in the

bioreactor (Table1). This value is also similar to those reported in the literature for growth on

glucose (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019). In the presence of 10 or 1000 µM 3-MB, the growth

rates in the exponential phase were half (0.29 ± 0.01 h^{-1}) or one third (0.19 ± 0.04 h^{-1}) of the

non-induced value, respectively. As observed in microtiter plates, the production rate of

mCherry also decreased, by a factor of 1.3 and 1.7, respectively.

Under non-induced conditions, MBPeGFP represented an estimated 0.39 ± 0.07 % of the total

CDW, confirming the slight leakiness of the XylS/Pm expression system. In the presence of 10

µM and 1000 µM inducer, the production rate of MBPeGFP increased by factors of 5 and

almost 12, respectively, leading to heterologous protein yields of 4.15 ± 0.28 % and 14.07 ±

0.90 % of the total CDW (Table 1).

These results show that the chosen induction conditions had the expected effects, namely a

low metabolic burden in the absence of induction, and *medium* and *high* burdens with 10 and

1000 µM 3-MB, respectively.

3.3 Protein production strongly modifies the periplasmic metabolism

The burden imposed by the synthetic expression system for maintenance and protein

production leads to profound modifications of the central metabolism (Wittmann et al., 2007).

To investigate the metabolic rearrangements occurring in *P. putida* in response to

heterologous protein production, the dynamic profiles of extracellular metabolites in the

culture media of WT and CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) *P. putida* strains were quantified by

NMR.

P. putida has been observed to produce gluconate and small amounts of 2-KG during

exponential growth on glucose, with concentrations peaking at 5 mM and 0.2 µM,

respectively, and both compounds subsequently being co-consumed with glucose (Nikel et al.,

2015). In the absence of inducer, a similar profile was observed here for gluconate, while the

2-KG concentration dropped below the limit of detection (Figure 3, upper panel). The

concentrations of both compounds reached much higher levels in the presence of inducer,

with the concentration of 2-KG peaking at 2 and 4 mM in the presence of 10 and 1000 µM 3-

MB, respectively. Note that no other metabolites were found to accumulate in these experiments.

The rates of glucose consumption (q_{Glc}), gluconate and 2-KG production (q_{Gnt} and q_{2KG}), and mCherry and MBPeGFP production ($q_{mcherry}$, q_{GFP}) were determined during the exponential growth phase based on the concentrations of biomass and extracellular metabolites and on protein fluorescence. The fact that these rates were constant throughout the exponential growth phase indicates that the cells had reached a steady state (Figure 3, upper panel; Table 1 & Supplementary Figure S3). Under low MBPeGFP production conditions, the rates of glucose consumption (6.9 mmol·g^{-1}·h^{-1} ± 0.54) and gluconate production ($0.8 ± 0.2$ mmol·g^{-1}·h^{-1}) were similar to those calculated for the WT strain (Table 1) (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019)), while the extracellular concentration of 2-KG was below the limit of detection. Under medium and high MBPeGFP production conditions, glucose consumption decreased to $4.6 ± 0.4$ mmol·g^{-1}·h^{-1} in the presence of 10 µM 3-MB and $3.4 ± 0.3$ mmol·g^{-1}·h^{-1} at 1000 µM 3-MB, while 2-KG production was 0.2 mmol·g^{-1}·h^{-1} under both conditions (Table 1). CO_2 production was also lower under these conditions than in the WT strain.

These results indicate that heterologous protein production caused a marked slowdown of metabolic activity in *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP), as reflected in particular by the lower rate of glucose consumption. More surprising is the sharp change in periplasmic metabolism evidenced by the accumulation of 2-KG. To the best of our knowledge, this phenomenon has never previously been reported in *P. putida*, but it is similar to the acetate overaccumulation observed under recombinant protein production conditions in *E. coli* (San et al., 1994; Ying Lin and Neubauer, 2000).

3.4 Heterologous protein production consumes very little carbon but profoundly alters the distribution of carbon usage in *P. putida*

The carbon balance was calculated for each condition by summing the amounts of carbon used to produce biomass, gluconate, 2-KG, CO_2 and heterologous protein (Figure 3, lower panel). These compounds accounted for nearly 100 % of carbon usage in each case (WT: 98.7 ± 2 %, uninduced: 99.0 ± 2 %, 10 μM: 98.2 ± 6 %, 1000 μM: 99.1 ± 8 %), confirming that no other carbon molecules were produced in significant amounts. Under low MBPeGFP production conditions, the proportions of carbon used for biomass, gluconate, CO_2 and 2-KG production were similar to those observed for the WT strain. The proportion of carbon used for biomass production decreased to 0.461 ± 0.006 Cmol/Cmol and 0.387 ± 0.069 Cmol/Cmol under medium and high protein production conditions, respectively, while the proportion of carbon diverted to gluconate decreased by a factor of 1.3 and 2.6, respectively, and the fraction used for 2-KG production increased significantly to 5.2 ± 1.3 % of total carbon usage at 10 μM 3-MB and 8.6 ± 4.0 % 1000 μM 3-MB. Remarkably, the total amount of carbon converted into gluconate and 2-KG was constant across all studied conditions.

The proportion of carbon used for MBPeGFP production was negligible in the absence of inducer, and was just 0.024 ± 0.015 Cmol/Cmol and 0.041 ± 0.010 Cmol/Cmol in the presence of 10 and 1000 μM 3-MB, respectively. However, the amount of carbon excreted as CO_2 by the cell increased from 0.325 ± 0.048 Cmol/Cmol without inducer to 0.372 ± 0.017 Cmol/Cmol in the presence of 10 μM 3-MB and 0.440 ± 0.052 Cmol/Cmol with 1000 μM 3-MB (Figure 3, lower panel). In other words, under conditions of significant heterologous protein production, part of the carbon normally used for biomass was completely oxidized into CO_2. Therefore,

although production of the heterologous protein only accounted for a small percentage of the

total carbon consumed, it had a profound impact on cellular metabolism.

3.5 Metabolic flux analyses reveal metabolic rearrangements in the central metabolism of

P. putida

We employed ^{13}C metabolic flux analysis to measure the alterations in the central metabolism

of *P. putida* in response to heterologous protein production. To minimize the impact of culture

history, we quantified ^{13}C incorporation in central metabolites that have a faster turnover rate

compared to the end products of the carbon metabolism.

3.5.1 Metabolic flux map for *P. putida* WT

We first compared the central metabolic flux distribution measured in WT *P. putida* KT2440

(Figure 4) with those based on LC- or GC-MS based ^{13}C metabolic flux analyses reported in the

literature (Nikel et al., 2015; Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019). Similarly to previous reports, we

found that glucose was mainly oxidized in the periplasm into gluconate (91 %) by glucose

dehydrogenase (Gcd) and to a lesser extent (5 %) into 2-KG by gluconate dehydrogenase

(Gad), with a significant (> 10 %) gluconate excretion into the culture supernatant. Most of the

gluconate (76 %) was phosphorylated into 6-phosphogluconate (6PG). At this metabolic node,

the carbon flux through the 2-KG bypass was 4 %. 6PG was mainly channeled into the ED

pathway *via* 2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (2-KDPG), cleaved into glyceraldehyde-3-

phosphate (G3P) and pyruvate. G3P was mainly driven toward the low EMP pathway, where

it converged with 2-KDPG breakdown at the pyruvate node. Approximatively 20 % of G3P was

directed toward the EDEMP cycle. Despite this flux, glucose was mainly oxidated in the

periplasm, where the oxidative flux was 6 times higher than in the cytoplasm. The flux

channeled through the EDEMP cycle is however essential as it increases the NADPH reducing

power and ensures the supply of precursors (R5P, E4P, F6P) required for anabolism. Finally,

pyruvate was mainly driven toward the TCA cycle, with no significant flux through the

glyoxylate shunt. The concerted action of pyruvate dehydrogenase (Pdh) and pyruvate

carboxylase (Pyc) fuels the TCA cycle while replenishing the supply for anabolic needs. The flux

through malic enzyme (Mae) was remarkably high, contributing approximately one quarter of

the total influx into the pyruvate pool.

3.5.2 Metabolic flux map for *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP).

Next, we examined the metabolic flux distributions in the central metabolism of *P. putida* CAP

(pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) under different degrees of metabolic burden.

As mentioned above, *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) produced small amounts of

heterologous protein even in the absence of inducer, exploiting only a part of its free capacity.

The flux distribution in the absence of inducer was similar to the one determined for the WT

strain (Figure 4B), indicating that using a small amount of *P. putida*'s free capacity only has a

negligible effect on the carbon flux distribution. In other words, this metabolic leeway can be

exploited to produce exogenous compounds such as proteins without affecting the cellular

machinery. Increasing this free capacity would therefore appear to be a viable strategy to

increase the amount of heterologous protein that can be produced without imposing a

metabolic burden. For example, removing 4.3 % of dispensable genes from *P. putida*'s genome

(including genes encoding for flagellar motility and genome stability) has been observed to

improve heterologous protein yields by 40 % (Lieder et al., 2015).

In contrast, the central metabolic flux distribution of *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) was

profoundly altered under medium and high metabolic burdens. First, periplasmic gluconate

oxidation into 2-KG by FAD-dependent Gad increased up to 2-fold without any significant

change either in gluconate oxidation through the ED pathway *via* gluconate kinase (GntK) or

via 2-KG bypass. The increased flux through Gad was compensated by a 2-fold decrease in

excreted gluconate and by significant excretion of 2-KG. Therefore this metabolic reshuffling

resulted mainly in increased production of $FADH_2$ through Gad flux.

Second, whereas the flux through the EDEMP cycle tended to decrease under increased

MBPeGFP production, the flux through the other pathways of the central metabolism

increased, particularly through the endergonic subset of the EMP pathway and in the TCA

cycle. The fluxes through phosphoglycerate kinase (Pgk), phosphopyruvate hydratase (Eno)

and pyruvate kinase (Pyk) increased by respectively 10 %, 12 % and 7 % under medium

MBPeGFP production compared with the low production condition, and by 22 %, 26 % and 50

% respectively at high MBPeGFP production. The fluxes through the TCA cycle dehydrogenases

(isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh), α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (AkgdH), succinate

dehydrogenase (Sdh) and to a lesser extent malate dehydrogenase (Mdh)) increased by

roughly 15% and 25% under medium and high MBPeGFP production conditions relative to the

baseline level. Note that the glyoxylate cycle was not activated by protein production.

This analysis of metabolic fluxes shows that heterologous protein production leads to a

reshuffling of *P. putida*'s central carbon metabolism, with carbon fluxes redirected toward the

oxidative catabolic pathway. This presumably provides the extra chemical and redox energy

required to synthesize the heterologous protein and counter the corresponding stress while

still satisfying basic housekeeping needs.

3.6 *P. putida* generates an apparent excess of ATP under heterologous protein production

conditions

The rearrangement of central carbon fluxes for heterologous protein production point to an important adjustment of energy metabolism. We therefore calculated redox and ATP fluxes from the measured flux distributions as described in the Materials & Methods section.

In agreement with previous studies (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019; Nikel et al., 2015), our data indicate that *P. putida* WT generates a catabolic excess of NADPH (Figure 5A). Isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh) supplies roughly half of the NADPH, and malic enzyme a third. The oxidative branch of the PP pathway contributes very little, despite it being the main contributor to NADPH production in other microorganisms, such as *E. coli* (Nicolas et al., 2007). The apparent surplus of NADPH is converted into NADH *via* transhydrogenase activities (PntAB and SthA). As expected in aerobic growth, ATP is overwhelmingly produced by oxidative phosphorylation, mainly for anabolic purposes (Figure 5B). Unlike NADPH, ATP-production and consumption balance out (>93 %).

Compared to the WT strain, NADPH production fluxes were respectively 27 %, 45 % and 54 % lower in the CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) strain under low, medium and high MBPeGFP production conditions, respectively (Figure 5A). While the NADPH consumption flux was similar to the WT strain's under low MBPeGFP production conditions, it was much lower under medium and high MBPeGFP production. NADPH was mainly used for anabolism, and less than one-fifth (8.6%) of the total NADPH consumed was used for heterologous protein synthesis under high protein production conditions. As in the WT strain, NADPH production exceeded the cellular demand, with the excess amount accounting for a large proportion of total NADPH production under medium and high MBPeGFP production conditions (43 ± 11 % and 53 ± 5 % respectively). Excessive NADPH production during heterologous protein production has also been reported for other microorganisms (Daniels et al., 2018; Driouch et al., 2012; Nocon et

al., 2016; Toya et al., 2014). Similar to the WT strain, the apparent surplus of NADPH was

converted into NADH by transhydrogenase.

Regarding energy metabolism, low MBPeGFP production did not have an obvious impact on

production and consumption fluxes in the CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) strain (Figure 5B). In

contrast, under medium and high protein production conditions, ATP production and

consumption fluxes were reduced by 36 % and 39 %, respectively, compared to the WT strain,

while the contributions of oxidative phosphorylation and anabolic demand to the ATP balance

remained close to the levels estimated in the WT strain. Remarkably, the amount of ATP used

for heterologous protein production was very low, even under high induction. As observed for

NADPH, a large apparent surplus of ATP was generated upon medium and high MBPeGFP

production, representing respectively 25 % and 40 % of the total ATP consumed. This large

apparent excess probably covered unquantified energy usages in protein biosynthesis, such

as protein folding, as well as cellular adaptations to the stresses associated with protein

overproduction.

3.7 Metabolic control analysis of heterologous protein production

We then aimed to quantify the extent of control exerted by heterologous protein production

on metabolic fluxes using flux control coefficients (C_p^J), which quantify the degree of control

exerted by a given parameter p, here the protein production rate, on each flux J. Control

coefficients were calculated as the fractional change in the steady-state flux J in response to

a fractional change in p (Fell and Thomas, 1995; Heinrich and Rapoport, 1974; Kacser and

Burns, 1973):

$$C_p^J = \frac{\delta J}{J} \bigg/ \frac{\delta p}{p} = \frac{\delta \ln J}{\delta \ln p}$$

If the C_p^J coefficients remain constant across different experiments in which p is modulated,

their value can be estimated by fitting a linear function to a *ln-ln* plot, whose slope is equal to

the control strength. Here, we used (steady-state) data collected for the various levels of

protein production (Figure 4 & supplemental Table S2) to estimate the control exerted by

protein production on carbon and energy fluxes. Note that the C_p^J coefficients measure the

sensitivity of the system to both direct metabolic regulation (though thermodynamics and

metabolite-enzyme interactions), as well as to the indirect (hierarchical) action of signal

transduction and gene expression.

The degree of control exerted by the MBPeGFP production rate on carbon and energy fluxes

was investigated at the level of individual reactions and for more global processes such as

growth and ATP and NADPH formation. This analysis provided an overall picture of the cellular

processes affected by heterologous protein production (Figure 6). Among the analyzed fluxes,

a majority of fluxes (25 out of 40, accounting for 63 %) showed a significant correlation with

protein production ($r^2 > 0.5$, $p < 0.02$). This indicates that the control exerted on these

reactions remained stable across all MBPeGFP production conditions. The low r^2 values (high

p-values) obtained for the other fluxes may indicate an absence of control (*e.g.* Gnd) or reflect

more complex, nonlinear control patterns that cannot be captured by linear regression (*e.g.*

Ppc) (Figure S4).

Looking more closely at the control coefficients, MBPeGFP production exerted a negative

control on virtually all fluxes (with coefficients ranging from -0.17 to -0.99), in line with the

global decrease in fluxes observed in response to MBPeGFP production. This negative control

was observed for glucose uptake (-0.30±0.05, $r^2 = 0.80$, $p = 5.10^{-4}$), periplasmic glucose

oxidation ($C_p^{gcd} = -0.59±0.10$, $r^2 = 0.80$, $p = 5.10^{-4}$), the ED pathway ($C_p^{edd} = -0.62±0.11$, $r^2 =$

0.79, p = 6.10^{-4}), the EMP pathway (C_p^{pgk} = -0.52±0.09, r² = 0.80, p = 5.10^{-4}), and the TCA cycle

(C_p^{CS} = -0.53±0.09, r² = 0.83, p = 3.10^{-4}). Significant control was also observed on ATP fluxes

($C_p^{ATP\_prod}$ = -0.20±0.04, r² = 0.78, p = 8.10^{-4}), with similar levels of control on ATP production

via oxidative phosphorylation and *via* substrate phosphorylation, and on NADPH fluxes

($C_p^{NADPH\_prod}$ = -0.17±0.06, r² = 0.51, p = 0.02). The control on energy and redox fluxes was

weaker (coefficients between -0.17 and -0.19) than on carbon fluxes (coefficients between -

0.30 and -0.99), indicating that in *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP), energy fluxes are less

sensitive to heterologous protein production than carbon fluxes are. These results also

indicate that the fraction of glucose used for energy production increased with the level of

heterologous protein production. These metabolic rearrangements were reflected in terms of

cell physiology by a strong control on the growth rate (C_p^{growth} = -0.46±0.08; r² = 0.79, p = 5.10^{-}

^{4}).

These results offer a quantitative understanding of the impact of heterologous protein

production on *P. putida*'s metabolism. The level of control remained largely stable across the

three induction levels studied, indicating that all pathways responded smoothly to

heterologous protein production. The fact that the response of carbon fluxes was more

pronounced compared to energy fluxes highlights the flexibility of the central carbon

metabolism and the robustness of the energy metabolism in *P. putida*.

Conclusions

In this study, we conducted a detailed quantitative analysis to examine how *P. putida* responds

to different degrees of metabolic burden caused by heterologous protein production. Our

results indicate that low levels of heterologous protein production do not require any

metabolic adaptation, because the extra demand does not exceed *P. putida*'s free capacity.

However, when this free capacity is exceeded, growth is progressively inhibited and a global

slowdown of metabolism is observed. Despite the relatively low (< 5%) carbon usage

associated with heterologous protein production, our study revealed significant

rearrangements in metabolic fluxes. These rearrangements indicate that even a small fraction

of carbon dedicated to protein production can have a profound impact on the overall

metabolic network of *P. putida.* As revealed by metabolic control analysis, heterologous

protein production exerts a tighter control on carbon fluxes than on energy fluxes, suggesting

that the flexible nature of *P. putida*'s central metabolic network is solicited to maintain energy

production. The metabolic flux response indicates a smooth, progressive decoupling of

anabolism from catabolism, with energy production increasing far beyond the expected

energy demands for protein biosynthesis. Similar behaviour was observed in other micro-

organisms (Daniels et al., 2018; Driouch et al., 2012; Nocon et al., 2016; Toya et al., 2014),

highlighting the genericity of microbial response to metabolic burdens. The apparent excess

energy is presumably used for the transcription, translation and folding of the heterologous

protein and in processes associated with plasmid maintenance, expression and amplification.

Energy requirements for these different processes are difficult to quantify and appear to be

largely underestimated. Moreover, some of this surplus must also be used by the cells to

overcome the stresses caused by heterologous protein production. These stresses remain to

be elucidated and merit further investigation; indeed, a comprehensive understanding of the

stress imposed by heterologous protein production would help identify promising targets and

optimize and upscale production processes.

5. Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6. Funding

This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche [grant number ANR-18-

CE92-0027-01] and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) [Project number

406709163].

7. Acknowledgments

The authors thank MetaToul (Metabolomics & Fluxomics Facilities, Toulouse, France,

www.metatoul.fr) and its staff for technical support and access to the NMR facility. MetaToul

is part of the French National Infrastructure for Metabolomics and Fluxomics

(www.metabohub.fr), funded by the ANR (MetaboHUB-ANR-11-INBS-0010). Edern Cahoreau

is gratefully acknowledged for assistance with NMR spectroscopy. The French National

Research Agency (ANR) and the German Research Foundation (DFG) are acknowledged for

funding of the CHEAP project and the ANR for funding a post-doctoral fellowship to P.V.

8. References

Balzer, S., Kucharova, V., Megerle, J., Lale, R., Brautaset, T., Valla, S., 2013. A comparative
analysis of the properties of regulated promoter systems commonly used for
recombinant gene expression in Escherichia coli. Microbial Cell Factories 12, 26.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-12-26
Borkowski, O., Ceroni, F., Stan, G.-B., Ellis, T., 2016. Overloaded and stressed: whole-cell
considerations for bacterial synthetic biology. Current Opinion in Microbiology,
Antimicrobials. Microbial systems biology 33, 123–130.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.07.009

Ceroni, F., Algar, R., Stan, G.-B., Ellis, T., 2015. Quantifying cellular capacity identifies gene expression designs with reduced burden. Nat Methods 12, 415–418. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3339

Choi, K.-H., Schweizer, H.P., 2006. mini-Tn7 insertion in bacteria with single attTn7 sites: example Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat Protoc 1, 153–161. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.24

Chung, C.T., Niemela, S.L., Miller, R.H., 1989. One-step preparation of competent Escherichia coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86, 2172–2175. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.7.2172

Daniels, W., Bouvin, J., Busche, T., Rückert, C., Simoens, K., Karamanou, S., Van Mellaert, L., Friðjónsson, Ó.H., Nicolai, B., Economou, A., Kalinowski, J., Anné, J., Bernaerts, K., 2018. Transcriptomic and fluxomic changes in Streptomyces lividans producing heterologous protein. Microb Cell Fact 17, 198. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-018-1040-6

de Lorenzo, V., 2008. Systems biology approaches to bioremediation. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, Chemical biotechnology / Pharmaceutical biotechnology 19, 579–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2008.10.004

de Lorenzo, V., Timmis, K.N., 1994. Analysis and construction of stable phenotypes in gram-negative bacteria with Tn5- and Tn10-derived minitransposons. Methods Enzymol 235, 386–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/0076-6879(94)35157-0

del Castillo, T., Ramos, J.L., Rodríguez-Herva, J.J., Fuhrer, T., Sauer, U., Duque, E., 2007. Convergent Peripheral Pathways Catalyze Initial Glucose Catabolism in Pseudomonas putida: Genomic and Flux Analysis. J. Bacteriol. 189, 5142. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00203-07

Driouch, H., Melzer, G., Wittmann, C., 2012. Integration of in vivo and in silico metabolic fluxes for improvement of recombinant protein production. Metab Eng 14, 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2011.11.002

Fell, D.A., Thomas, S., 1995. Physiological control of metabolic flux: the requirement for multisite modulation. Biochem J 311 (Pt1), 35–39. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3110035

Garett, H.R., Grisham, M., Charles, 1999. Biochemistry, 2nd edition. ed. Sanders College Publication, Fort Worth.

Heinrich, R., Rapoport, T.A., 1974. A linear steady-state treatment of enzymatic chains. General properties, control and effector strength. Eur J Biochem 42, 89–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1974.tb03318.x

Kacser, H., Burns, J.A., 1973. The control of flux. Symp Soc Exp Biol 27, 65–104.

Kim, J., Park, W., 2014. Oxidative stress response in Pseudomonas putida. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98, 6933–6946. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-014-5883-4

Kohlstedt, M., Wittmann, C., 2019. GC-MS-based 13C metabolic flux analysis resolves the parallel and cyclic glucose metabolism of Pseudomonas putida KT2440 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. Metab Eng 54, 35–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2019.01.008

Kozaeva, E., Volkova, S., Matos, M.R.A., Mezzina, M.P., Wulff, T., Volke, D.C., Nielsen, L.K., Nikel, P.I., 2021. Model-guided dynamic control of essential metabolic nodes boosts acetyl-coenzyme A–dependent bioproduction in rewired Pseudomonas putida. Metabolic Engineering 67, 373–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2021.07.014

Lichten, C.A., White, R., Clark, I.B., Swain, P.S., 2014. Unmixing of fluorescence spectra to
resolve quantitative time-series measurements of gene expression in plate readers.
BMC Biotechnology 14, 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-14-11

Lieder, S., Nikel, P.I., de Lorenzo, V., Takors, R., 2015. Genome reduction boosts heterologous
gene expression in Pseudomonas putida. Microb Cell Fact 14.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0207-7

Loeschcke, A., Thies, S., 2015. Pseudomonas putida—a versatile host for the production of
natural products. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99, 6197–6214.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6745-4

Millard, P., Delépine, B., Guionnet, M., Heuillet, M., Bellvert, F., Létisse, F., 2019. IsoCor:
isotope correction for high-resolution MS labeling experiments. Bioinformatics 35,
4484–4487. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz209

Nicolas, C., Kiefer, P., Letisse, F., Krömer, J., Massou, S., Soucaille, P., Wittmann, C., Lindley,
N.D., Portais, J.-C., 2007. Response of the central metabolism of Escherichia coli to
modified expression of the gene encoding the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase.
FEBS Lett 581, 3771–3776. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2007.06.066

Nikel, P.I., Chavarría, M., Fuhrer, T., Sauer, U., de Lorenzo, V., 2015. Pseudomonas putida
KT2440 Strain Metabolizes Glucose through a Cycle Formed by Enzymes of the Entner-
Doudoroff, Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas, and Pentose Phosphate Pathways. J Biol Chem
290, 25920–25932. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.687749

Nikel, P.I., de Lorenzo, V., 2018. Pseudomonas putida as a functional chassis for industrial
biocatalysis: From native biochemistry to trans-metabolism. Metabolic Engineering,
Metabolic Engineering Host Organism Special Issue 50, 142–155.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymben.2018.05.005

Nikel, P.I., Martínez-García, E., de Lorenzo, V., 2014. Biotechnological domestication of
pseudomonads using synthetic biology. Nat Rev Microbiol 12, 368–379.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3253

Nocon, J., Steiger, M., Mairinger, T., Hohlweg, J., Rußmayer, H., Hann, S., Gasser, B.,
Mattanovich, D., 2016. Increasing pentose phosphate pathway flux enhances
recombinant protein production in Pichia pastoris. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 100,
5955–5963. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-7363-5

Oehler, S., 2009. Feedback Regulation of Lac Repressor Expression in Escherichia coli. J
Bacteriol 191, 5301–5303. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00427-09

Peiro, C., Millard, P., de Simone, A., Cahoreau, E., Peyriga, L., Enjalbert, B., Heux, S., 2019.
Chemical and Metabolic Controls on Dihydroxyacetone Metabolism Lead to
Suboptimal Growth of Escherichia coli. Appl Environ Microbiol 85, e00768-19.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00768-19

Poblete-Castro, I., Borrero-de Acuña, J.M., Nikel, P.I., Kohlstedt, M., Wittmann, C., 2017. Host
Organism: Pseudomonas putida, in: Industrial Biotechnology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd,
pp. 299–326. https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527807796.ch8

Raran-Kurussi, S., Keefe, K., Waugh, D.S., 2015. Positional effects of fusion partners on the
yield and solubility of MBP fusion proteins. Protein Expr Purif 110, 159–164.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2015.03.004

San, K.Y., Bennett, G.N., Aristidou, A.A., Chou, C.H., 1994. Strategies in high-level expression
of recombinant protein in Escherichia coli. Ann N Y Acad Sci 721, 257–267.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1994.tb47399.x

Sokol, S., Millard, P., Portais, J.-C., 2012. influx_s: increasing numerical stability and precision
for metabolic flux analysis in isotope labelling experiments. Bioinformatics 28, 687–
693. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr716
Toya, Y., Hirasawa, T., Morimoto, T., Masuda, K., Kageyama, Y., Ozaki, K., Ogasawara, N.,
Shimizu, H., 2014. 13C-metabolic flux analysis in heterologous cellulase production by
Bacillus subtilis genome-reduced strain. Journal of Biotechnology 179, 42–49.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.03.025
Udaondo, Z., Molina, L., Segura, A., Duque, E., Ramos, J.L., 2016. Analysis of the core genome
and pangenome of Pseudomonas putida. Environ Microbiol 18, 3268–3283.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13015
van Duuren, J.B., Puchałka, J., Mars, A.E., Bücker, R., Eggink, G., Wittmann, C., dos Santos,
V.A.M., 2013. Reconciling in vivo and in silico key biological parameters of
Pseudomonas putidaKT2440 during growth on glucose under carbon-limited
condition. BMC Biotechnology 13, 93. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-13-93
van Winden, W., Verheijen, P., Heijnen, S., 2001. Possible Pitfalls of Flux Calculations Based on
13C-Labeling. Metabolic Engineering 3, 151–162.
https://doi.org/10.1006/mben.2000.0174
Vicente, M., Cánovas, J.L., 1973. Glucolysis in Pseudomonas putida: Physiological Role of
Alternative Routes from the Analysis of Defective Mutants. J Bacteriol 116, 908–914.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.116.2.908-914.1973
Vogeleer, P., Létisse, F., 2022. Dynamic Metabolic Response to (p)ppGpp Accumulation in
Pseudomonas putida. Front Microbiol 13, 872749.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.872749
Volke, D.C., Calero, P., Nikel, P.I., 2020. Pseudomonas putida. Trends in Microbiology 28, 512–
513. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.02.015
Wittmann, C., Weber, J., Betiku, E., Kromer, J., Bohm, D., Rinas, U., 2007. Response of fluxome
and metabolome to temperature-induced recombinant protein synthesis in
Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol 132, 375–84.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2007.07.495
Ying Lin, H., Neubauer, P., 2000. Influence of controlled glucose oscillations on a fed-batch
process of recombinant Escherichia coli. Journal of Biotechnology 79, 27–37.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1656(00)00217-0
Zobel, S., Benedetti, I., Eisenbach, L., de Lorenzo, V., Wierckx, N., Blank, L.M., 2015. Tn7-Based
Device for Calibrated Heterologous Gene Expression in Pseudomonas putida. ACS
Synth. Biol. 4, 1341–1351. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.5b00058
786

10. Table

Table 1: **Growth parameters of glucose-grown *P. putida* KT2440 WT and *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) for different inducer concentrations.** [a] Not detected. n=3

9. Figures

Fig 1. Metabolic pathway of *P. putida* KT2440's central metabolism. The EDEMP pathway is circled in turquoise and the TCA cycle in water-green. Biomass precursors are indicated by grey triangles. Arrow sizes reflect the intracellular carbon flux of glucose-grown cells relative to the glucose uptake rate (set to 100 %) determined by Kohlstedt and Wittmann (Kohlstedt and Wittmann, 2019). EII and EIII represent the C_2 and C_3 fragment pools, respectively, bound to transketolase and transaldolase, and transferred to an aldose acceptor as described by Kleijn et al. (2005). Reaction names and equations are provided in the supplemental data (Supp data table S1).

Fig. 2. Physiological response of *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) to heterologous protein production. Schematic representation of the engineered *P. putida* strain with a red fluorescent protein gene (*mCherry*) integrated into the chromosome to monitor biosynthetic capacity and the green fluorescent fusion protein MBPeGFP encoded on a pSEVA438_*MBPeGFP* plasmid (A). Schematic representation of the MBPeGFP fusion protein with an N-terminal maltose binding protein (MBP) domain, the eGFP domain and a C-terminal His-tag sequence (B). Growth rate (C), mCherry production rate (D) and MBPeGFP production rate (E) of *P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) cultivated with different inducer

concentrations. Results are averages from at least 3 biological replicates. 3-MB: 3-methyl-

benzoate.

Fig. 3: Growth profiles and carbon balance of glucose-grown *P. putida* KT2440 WT (A, E) and

***P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) under low (B, F), medium (C, G) and high (D, H)**

heterologous protein production conditions. The first phase, during which samples were

collected and growth parameters were calculated, is highlighted in blue. The solid lines

represent the best fits obtained with physiofit (Peiro et al., 2019). The corresponding results

for the biological replicates are shown in Figure S2.

Fig. 4 Relative carbon flux distributions of KT2440 WT (A) and *P. putida* CAP

(pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) (B) grown on glucose with or without 3-MB. All flux values are

normalized to the specific glucose uptake rate of each condition, which was set to 100. Values

represent the mean ± standard deviation of two biological replicates for the WT strain (A) and

at least 3 biological replicates for the CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) strain (B). In panel B, the flux

values correspond from top to bottom to: low (without inducer), medium (10 µM 3-MB), and

high (1000 µM 3-MB) heterologous protein production conditions. Reaction names and

equations are provided in the supplemental data (Supp data table S1).

Fig. 5. Redox and energy fluxes of *P. putida* KT2440 WT and *P. putida* CAP

(pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) grown on glucose with or without 3-MB. The absolute fluxes

$(mmol \cdot g_{CDW}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$ related to the production and consumption of NADPH (A) and ATP (B) were

determined from the carbon fluxes shown in Figure 4. Results are averages from 2 biological

replicates for the WT strain and at least 3 biological replicates for the CAP

(pSEVA438_MBPeGFP) strain; error bars represent standard deviations. Abbreviations: Gnd:

6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; Zwf: Glucose-6-P 1-dehydrogenase; Mae: malic enzyme;

Idh: isocitrate deshydrogenase; Pgk: phosphoglycerate kinase; Pyk: pyruvate kinase; SucACD:

succinyl CoA synthetase; Ox-P: oxidative phosphorylation; 2-KG bypass: 2-ketogluconate

bypass; Ppc: phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase; Pyc: pyruvate carboxylase; Gntk: gluconate

kinase; Glk: Glucokinase.

Fig. 6. Control exerted on *P. putida*'s carbon and energy metabolism by heterologous protein

production. Overview of the flux control coefficients (A). Control coefficients determined for

carbon and energy fluxes through the main pathways (glucose uptake, EMPP, EDP, TCA cycle,

ATP and NADPH production, and growth) (B), where dots represent experimental flux values

(expressed on a logarithmic scale), lines represent the best linear fits, and shaded areas

correspond to 95 % confidence intervals on the fits. Coefficients that could not be determined

reliably for some reactions are marked *n.d.*

Parameter	WT strain	*P. putida* CAP (pSEVA438-MBPeGFP)		
3-methyl-benzoate (µM)	0	0	10 µM	1000 µM
μ_{max} [(h^{-1})]	0.58 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.03	0.29 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.04
$q_{mcherry}$ (RLU · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	ND^a	1120 ± 48	835 ± 81	659 ± 77
$q_{MBPeGFP}$ (mg · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	ND^a	2.3 ± 0.5	9.3 ± 0.8	26.7 ± 6.0
q_{CO2} (mmol · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	13.0 ± 1.9	12.5 ± 1.9	10.7 ± 1.0	9.0 ± 1.3
$Y_{X/S}$ (g$_X$ · mol^{-1})	82.1 ± 6.8	84.4 ± 4.2	66.5 ± 6.0	55.0 ± 7.0
$Y_{MBPeGFP}$ (mg · g$_{CDW}$)	ND^a	3.9 ± 0.7	41.5 ± 2.8	140.7 ± 9.0
q_{Glc} (mmol · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	7.0 ± 0.3	6.9 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.3
q_{Gnt} (mmol · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	0.78 ± 0.22	0.81 ± 0.18	0.44 ± 0.04	0.15 ± 0.07
q_{2-KG} (mmol · [g$_{CDW}$ · h]$^{-1}$)	0.06 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.05	0.22 ± 0.02

A

P. putida CAP (pSEVA438_MBPeGFP)

Cellular capacity

LacIp (constitutive)

Genome

mcherry

mCherry

Heterologous protein

+ 3-MB

XylS/Pm
(inducible)

SmR

pSEVA438

MBP*eGFP*

malEeGFP

MBPeGFP

P. putida str. KT2440

B

623 aa

MBP | eGFP -His-tag

N-ter | C-ter

C

Growth rate (h^{-1})

Inducer concentration [μM]

D

$q$mcherry (RLU·g_{CDW}^{-1}·h^{-1})

E

qMBPeGFP (RLU·g_{CDW}^{-1}·h^{-1})

Inducer concentration [μM]