Human receptive endometrial organoid for deciphering the implantation window

Yu Zhang[acdefg*], Rusong Zhao[abcdefg*], Chaoyan Yang[acdefg], Jinzhu Song[acdefg], Peishu Liu[h], Yan Li[acdefg], Boyang Liu[acdefg], Tao Li[ij], Changjian Yin[acdefg], Minghui Lu[acdefg], Zhenzhen Hou[acdefg], Chuanxin Zhang[acdefg], Zi-Jiang Chen[acdefgkl#], Keliang Wu[acdefg#], Han Zhao[acdefg#]

Author affiliation:

a. Center for Reproductive Medicine, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

b. The Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou Municipal Hospital, Gusu School, Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, 215000, China

c. Key Laboratory of Reproductive Endocrinology of Ministry of Education, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

d. Shandong Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

e. Shandong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Reproductive Health, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

f. Shandong Technology Innovation Center for Reproductive Health, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

g. National Research Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology and Reproductive Genetics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

h. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Qilu Hospital of Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250012, China

i. Department of Gynecology, Shandong Provincial Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, 250021, China.

j. Department of Gynecology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, Shandong, 250021, China

k. Shanghai Key Laboratory for Assisted Reproduction and Reproductive Genetics, Shanghai, 200135, China

l. Center for Reproductive Medicine, Ren Ji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200135, China

30   *These authors contributed equally: Yu Zhang, Rusong Zhao.

31   #To   whom   correspondence   may   be   addressed.   Email: hanzh80@sdu.edu.cn,

32   wukeliang_527@163.com, chenzijiang@hotmail.com

34   **Classifications:** Cell Biology

Abstract

Human endometrial receptivity is a critical determinant of pregnancy success; however, in vivo studies of its features and regulation are particularly challenging due to ethical restriction. Recently, the development of human endometrial organoids has provided a powerful model to examine this intricate biological process. In this study, we established a specialized human window-of-implantation (WOI) endometrial organoid system that mimics the in vivo receptive endometrium. The endometrial organoid recapitulates not only the structural attributes of glands and stroma, but also the in vivo endometrium's cell types at the single-cell level. Furthermore, the WOI endometrial organoid exhibits the features of in vivo implantation endometrium such as hormone responsiveness, secretory functions, and distinctive implantation window hallmarks, including decidualization, extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling, pinopode formation, cilia generation and metabolism. This in vitro model also effectively demonstrates the process of proliferation-secretory transformation, ciliary epithelium differentiation, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). As such, WOI organoids hold great promise as a robust platform to unravel the intricate mechanisms governing endometrial receptivity regulation, maternal-fetal interactions, and associated diseases, ultimately driving impactful advancements in the field.

Keywords

Endometrium, organoid, implantation window

Introduction

The human endometrium, comprising various cell types, experiences shedding (menstrual phase), regeneration (proliferative phase), and differentiation (secretory phase) under the regulation of estrogen and progesterone during the menstrual cycle (1). A brief window, called the "window-of-implantation (WOI)" or mid-secretory phase, allows for embryo implantation into the endometrium (1). The endometrium at the embryo implantation site contains diverse cells, including ciliated epithelial cells, decidualized stromal cells and immune cells. Cyclic endometrial changes akin to those in humans are exclusive to apes, Old World monkeys, molossid bats, and spiny mice, but not mice (1), rendering typical mouse models insufficient for accurately simulating human endometrium. Existing endometrial cell lines, such as Ishikawa and HEEC cells, consist solely of a single cell type, thus failing to reproduce the intricate physiological structure and function of the endometrium.

Replicating and reconstructing human organs has become essential for exploring tissue physiology and function. Organoid, a self-assembled 3D structure, closely resemble in vivo tissue or organ (2). They offer high expansibility, phenotypic, and functional properties, emerging as powerful tools for investigating tissue physiology and disease (3). In 2017, the first long-term and hormone-responsive human endometrial organoid was established using adult stem cells from endometrial biopsy(2). Based on this, Margherita Y. Turco, et al developed noninvasive methods to construct endometrial organoids from menstrual flow(4). Apart from adult stem cells, pluripotent stem cells were also induced to endometrial stromal fibroblasts and epithelium, and then cocultured to form organoids(5, 6), which offered vigorous proliferative capacity but lacked immune cells and other components of the microenvironment. In efforts to mimic human endometrial cell types in vitro, the endometrial organoids progressed from epithelial organoids(7), to assemblies of epithelial and stromal cells(8, 9) and then to stem cell-laden 3D artificial endometrium(10, 11), which were solely closer but not completely identical to the endometrium. Additionally, pathological endometrial organoid models have been established for conditions like endometriosis, endometrial hyperplasia, Lynch syndrome, and endometrial cancer (12). These organoids simulated endometrial morphology, hormone responsiveness, and physiological and pathological processes in vitro (3, 7, 13-15), facilitating the study of physiological phenomena (13, 16, 17), pathogenic mechanisms(14)

and drug screening(12).

Although various regulators have been suggested to be involved in WOI, we are far from

understanding how embryo implantation occurs during the WOI due to ethical limitations and lack

of in vitro receptive endometrial model. Transforming from proliferative to receptive endometrium

involves dynamic changes like decidualization, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and

ciliated epithelial development (1, 18), which have not been reconstructed in any in vitro model to

date. Here, we successfully established a receptive endometrial organoid system regulated by

hormones and reflecting the in vivo WOI endometrial features, providing a platform for studying

physiological and pathological endometrium and maternal-fetal interactions during the peri-

implantation period.

Results

Endometrial organoids possess endometrial morphology and function

To establish endometrial organoids in vitro, prereceptive endometrium from reproductive-age women was dissociated into single cells or small cell masses, which were embedded with Matrigel in expansion medium (ExM). We devised a "window-of-implantation (WOI)" organoid by supplementing the culture system with prolactin (PRL), human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), and human placental lactogen (hPL), alongside E2, MPA, and cAMP. In contrast, endometrial organoids maintained in ExM constituted the "control (CTRL)" group, while organoids treated with E2 for two days, followed by E2, MPA, and cAMP for an additional six days, induced the secretory phase as previously reported(7), and were designated the "secretory (SEC)" group (Fig. 1A). Theoretically, the WOI organoids are initially in the secretory phase, thus, possessing characteristics of the SEC organoid, more importantly, they should exhibit the traits of mid-secretory phase. The endometrial organoid, consisting of vesicle-like glands, fibrous stromal cells and other surrounding cells, developed into a 3D structure with the support of Matrigel (Fig. 1B~1C, Video S1~S4). As the organoids grew and differentiated, the endometrial glands enlarged, epithelial cells adopted a paving stone arrangement, and stromal cells formed an extensive network (Fig. 1C). The glandular epithelium marker E-cadherin, stromal cell marker vimentin, and endometrial gland marker FOXA2 were expressed in the cultured endometrial organoid, which resembled the endometrium morphologically (Fig. 1D). Moreover, the endometrial organoids exhibited substantial expression of the proliferation marker Ki67, while the apoptosis marker cleaved caspase-3 was undetectable, signifying the organoids' robust proliferative capacity (Fig. 1E).

Functionally, endometrial organoids successfully secreted glycogen into the lumen, analogous to in vivo endometrial activity, thereby providing nourishment for embryo implantation (Fig. 1D). The CTRL organoids exhibit minimal glycogen secretion, whereas both SEC and WOI organoids display substantial glycogen secretion into the glandular lumen (Fig.S1A). Furthermore, the endometrium undergoes cyclical alterations under regulation by estrogen (E2) and progesterone in vivo. Following hormonal stimulation, WOI organoids exhibited slower growth than SEC and CTRL organoids, while CTRL organoids maintained robust proliferative activity (Fig. 1B). In our

organoids, after treatment with E2 for two days and subsequently with medroxyprogesterone

acetate (MPA) and cAMP for fourteen days, the organoids demonstrated significantly increased

expression of progesterone receptor (PR A/B) and a slight elevation in estrogen receptor α (ERα)

relative to the CTRL group (Fig. S1B), suggesting the sensitivity to hormone treatment. These

results demonstrate that our organoids exhibited comparable morphology, secretory function, and

hormone responsiveness to the in vivo endometrium.

Developing receptive endometrial organoids in vitro mimicking the implantation-window

endometrium

Single-cell transcriptomics analysis with reference to CellMarker, PanglaoDB, Human Cell Atlas,

Human Cell Landscape, and scRNASeqDB, and previous endometrium related studies[1, 7, 9, 19]

revealed the presence of epithelium, stromal cells and immune cells in WOI organoids (Fig. 2A, Fig.

S3B~S3C). With respect to glandular and luminal epithelium, secretory epithelium, LGR5

epithelium, ciliated epithelium and EMT-derived stromal cells, the WOI organoids closely resemble

the mid-secretory endometrium (Fig.2A, Fig.S3C~S3D) according to comparisons of the scRNA-

seq data of our organoids and mid-secretory endometrium described by Stephen R. Quake in 2020

[1]. We have captured the morphology of immune and stromal cells using 3D clearing staining and

light sheet microscopy imaging, with vimentin marking stromal cells, CD44 designating immune

cells and FOXA2 identifying glands (Fig.2B~2C). Thus, we sought to confirm the presence of

immune cells and investigate the proportion of T cells and macrophages in the endometrial

organoids using flow cytometry. The gating strategy for T cells and macrophages was shown in Fig.

2D. White blood cells (WBC) were determined as $CD45^+$ cells, while T cells and macrophages were

defined as $CD45^+CD3^+$ cells and $CD45^+CD68^+CD11b^+$ cells, respectively.

Given that the mid-secretory phase is when the implantation window opens and significant

transcriptional changes occur, we focused on comparing gene expression and transcription

regulation during this phase (Fig.2E~2F), referring to Stephen R. Quake 2020 for mid-secretory

phase scRNA-seq data[1]. Pathways including mitochondrial energy metabolism, cell adhesion,

cytoskeleton regulation, and epithelial cell growth were all upregulated in both the WOI organoid

and mid secretory endometrium compared with that in the CTRL and SEC organoids (Fig. 2E).

Analysis of key transcription factors (TFs) involved in implantation revealed similarities between WOI organoids and mid secretory epithelium when compared to the CTRL and SEC organoids, including hypoxia response (such as previously reported HIF1A(20)), embryo implantation (such as FBLN1(21)), lipid metabolism (such as VMP1(22)), cell migration, and cell junction (such as TJP1(23)) (Fig. 2F). Moreover, markers of endometrial decidualization (such as S100A10(24)), EMT (such as FAT1(25) and FOXF2(26)), and receptivity (such as NEAT1(27), SERPINB9(28), SOX17(29) and SOX4(30)) were expressed at comparable level in EMT-derived stromal cells in both groups (Fig. 2F). We then conducted the endometrial receptivity test (ERT) to assess the receptive state of the endometrium(31) and endometrial organoids (Fig. 2G). Hormone-treated organoids derived from pre-receptive endometrium entered the receptivity phase, suggesting the successful formation of the implantation window in vitro. Collectively, these results demonstrate that the developed WOI organoids closely resemble the in vivo endometrium during the implantation window in terms of cell composition, function, transcriptional regulation, and endometrial receptivity.

Receptive endometrial organoids recapitulate WOI-associated biological characteristics

We analyzed the transcriptome and proteome profiles of WOI organoids compared to those of CTRL or SEC organoids to assess WOI associated biological characteristics (Fig. 3A, 3E, Fig. S2A~S2D). WOI organoids exhibited a strong hormone response, as demonstrated by PGR at the transcriptome level (Fig. 3B). Combined analysis of the transcriptome and proteome of the WOI organoids demonstrated 179 upregulated genes/proteins compared with those in the CTRL group (Fig. S2E), and most of these genes/proteins are involved in the estrogen response (Fig. S2F). Furthermore, the progesterone response level tested by PRA/B immunostaining was highest in the WOI group, meanwhile estrogen responsive protein OLFM4 was also upregulated (Fig. 3C). FOXO1 is a critical marker of endometrial receptivity depending on PGR signaling. We found WOI organoids showed a significantly elevated FOXO1 compared with the CTRL organoids (Fig.3D, Video S5), indicating that progesterone signaling was involved in WOI organoid establishment. The above results demonstrated that the WOI organoids responded strongly to estrogen and progesterone.

The growth and development, assembly and movement of cilia, a characteristic endometrial

structure, are dynamically regulated by the hormones during the menstrual cycle, which are essential

for the establishment of the endometrial implantation window and embryo implantation. Cilia

assembly(marked by acetyl-α-tubulin(13)) and motile cilia (marked by TPPP3 and ODF2(32))

appeared dominant in the WOI organoids (Fig. 3F~3G, Fig.S2I). Moreover, the expression of

tubulin, integrin and components of the PI3K-Akt pathway, which function to generate pinopodes

and respond to invasion, was also upregulated in the WOI organoids (Fig. 3F, Fig.S2J). Electron

microscopy confirmed the existence of pinopodes, glycogen particles, microvilli and cilia in the

endometrial organoids (Fig. 3H). The pinopodes of WOI organoids arranged more densely on the

luminal side of epithelium than that of CTRL organoids, which contributes the embryo adhesion.

The endometrial epithelium is composed of ciliated cells and non-ciliated cells with microvilli. The

microvilli of WOI organoids were densely packed, while cilia were only observed in the WOI

organoids. In addition, the WOI organoids had much more glycogen particles than the CTRL group.

These structures marked the establishment of endometrial receptivity and benefited for the embryo

adhesion to the endometrium.

Other characteristics of the implantation window involved decreased cell proliferation (marked by

CDH5 and MEIS1), increased cell differentiation (marked by FGFBP1 and TFF1), extracellular

matrix (ECM) remodeling (marked by PDGFB and IGFBP4(33)) and reduced cell adhesion (marked

by EFNA5, LAMA3 and RHO GTPase) (Fig. 3B, Fig. S2G). Besides, energy metabolism

(represented by SLC25A1(34)) and hypoxia response (represented by HIF1α(20)) were also

characteristically enhanced in WOI organoids (Fig. 3B, Fig. S2F~S2H), which maintained the

receptive state of endometrial organoids.

Structural cells construct WOI with functionally dynamic changes

Based on the overall WOI associated biological characteristics at the organoid level, we further

examined the functionally dynamic changes in structural cells during the WOI. Although the

differences between the WOI and SEC organoids were slight at the organoid level, the WOI

organoids displayed more thoroughly differentiation to the mid-secretory endometrium than the

SEC organoids at the single-cell level.

In terms of energy metabolism, the WOI organoids exhibited upregulation of monocarboxylic acid

and lipid metabolism and hypoxia response. Likewise, the secretory epithelium, critical for the implantation window, accumulated more in the SEC and WOI groups, contributing to cellular metabolic processes and HIF-1 signaling pathway response to hypoxia at the single-cell level (Fig. 4A, Fig. S3E). WOI organoid secretory epithelium exhibited enhanced peptide metabolism and mitochondrial energy metabolism compared to the SEC group, supporting endometrial decidualization and embryo implantation (Fig. 4B). Moreover, increased secretory epithelium and decreased proliferative epithelium in the SEC and WOI organoids suggested the transformation from proliferation to secretory phase, which is an obvious feature of the implantation window (Fig. 4A). Proliferative epithelium differentiates into secretory epithelium under the regulation of branch nodal genes between state 5 and state 6, such as the GAS5, RPL and RPS families, as revealed by single-cell trajectory (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4A~B, Fig. S4G). The WOI organoids showed more thoroughly differentiation from proliferative to secretory epithelium in relation to the SEC organoids (Fig. 4C, Fig. S4A). Overall, the WOI organoids indicated that proliferative epithelium transformed to secretory epithelium with increased energy metabolism and hypoxia response during the implantation window.

Given that the WOI organoids exhibited increased cilia assembly and motile cilia, the transformation and function of the ciliated epithelium gained attention. Single-cell transcriptome analysis revealed hormone treatment increased ciliated epithelium and decreased unciliated epithelium in SEC and WOI groups by altering the expression of key genes (Fig. 4A). These crucial genes, including KRT19, MALAT1, RPL21 and RPS2, expressed divaricately at the fork of differentiation from ciliated cells toward ciliated or unciliated cells (Fig. 4D, Fig. S4C~D, Fig. S4H). Ciliated epithelium was enriched in protein binding, cilium organization, and assembly genes, while unciliated epithelium was enriched in actin cytoskeleton and translation genes (Fig. S3E). The WOI organoids' ciliated epithelium regulated vasculature development and displayed higher transcriptional activity than the SEC group (Fig. S3F). Ciliated epithelium interacts with immune cells and secretory epithelium, modulating angiogenesis via NRP1-VEGFA and NRP2-VEGFA(35) in all groups, with NRP2 highly expressed in WOI organoids (Fig. 4F, Fig. S2K). The WOI ciliated epithelium showed enhanced invasion ability via CD74-COPA(36), CD74-APP(36) and ROR2-WNT5A(37) (Fig. 4F). Thus, WOI organoids revealed accumulated ciliated epithelium's role in preparing the implantation

window.

The WOI organoids displayed upregulated cell differentiation not only at organoid level but also at

cellular level, which is represented by EMT. EMT is a common and crucial biological event in the

endometrium during the implantation window(38). Synthetic analysis of the transcriptome and

proteome revealed increased EMT occurrence in WOI organoids (Fig. S2F). EMT-derived stromal

cells, exhibiting gene expression patterns characteristic of both epithelial and stromal cells, are the

indication of EMT and more abundant in the SEC and WOI groups (Fig. 4A). Pseudotime trajectory

indicated proliferative epithelium transformed into EMT-derived stromal cells, regulated by key

genes such as DOC2B, FXYD3, and LPCAT3 (Fig. 4E, Fig. S4E~F, Fig. S4I). The WOI organoids

exhibited more thoroughly differentiation to EMT-derived stromal cells than the SEC organoids (Fig.

4E). EMT-derived stromal cells showed alterations in protein binding, cell cycle, organelle

organization, and reproduction, with more enhanced lamellipodium-mediated cell migration, cell

junction and cytoskeleton regulation in the WOI organoids than the SEC organoids (Fig. S3E~S3F).

EMT-derived stromal cells and epithelium cooperated in transitioning from proliferation phase to

implantation window (Fig.4G). We found that NRP1 and SLC7A1 were highly expressed by EMT-

derived stromal cells, and their receptors (SEMA3A and CSF1) were more upregulated in the

epithelium of WOI group than SEC group (Fig.4G). NRP1-SEMA3A has been reported to promote

vascularization and responds to hypoxia(39). SLC7A1(40) is the key arginine transporter, and

CSF1(41) is a kind of pro-survival cytokines, both of which support receptivity establishment,

embryo implantation and development. However, angiogenesis-related and TNF-related pathways

were downregulated in WOI organoids (Fig.4G). The functions of NRP1-VEGFB and ADRB2-

VEGFB in angiogenesis are not yet clear and require further exploration. Elevated TNF has been

reported connected to stromal cell demise and pregnancy failure(42). Compared with common

stromal cells, EMT-derived stromal cells communicate slightly differently with epithelial or immune

cells. CD44 and CD46, which are involved in cell adhesion(43) and immunoregulation(44), are

highly expressed in stromal cells and EMT-derived stromal cells, respectively, and bind separately

with SPP1 and JAG1 in epithelial and stromal cells (Fig. 4G, Fig. S3G). In general, WOI organoids

demonstrated EMT's role in mediating endometrial transformation towards the implantation window.

Discussion

In our study, we constructed the WOI endometrial organoids, and observed the remarkable resemblance in structure and function to the in vivo endometrium. The organoids consist of three primary types of endometrial cells, specifically epithelial, stromal, and immune cells, with epithelial cells assembling into glands and surrounded by immune cells and stromal cells. This similarity in cellular composition and tissue architecture to the endometrium lays the foundation for simulating receptive endometrium.

Although previous studies treated endometrial organoid with E2, P4, and cAMP to induce a transition to the secretory phase in the endometrium, the methodology to accurately simulate the implantation window or mid-secretory endometrium remains elusive and warrants further investigation. Previous research suggested that placental signals could further promote the differentiation of endometrial organoids (2), and PRL, hCG and HPL have been implicated in processes such as decidualization, implantation, immunoregulation, and angiogenesis (45-47). Detailly, PRL, synthesized by the adenohypophysis, endometrium, and myometrium, plays a vital role in implantation, immunoregulation, and angiogenesis, with the secretory endometrium producing PRL in response to MPA and E2, leading to ciliated cell formation and stromal cell decidualization (2, 45). HCG, secreted by trophoblasts in early pregnancy, influences decidual cells (46) and improves endometrial thickness and receptivity(48). The infusion of hCG increases the levels of key factors associated with endometrial receptivity, such as endocytosis proteins, hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1), chemokines, and glycodelin (49). HPL contributes to the development and function of uterine glands(47). Consequently, the additional supplementation of PRL, hCG and HPL in our system augmented hormone responsiveness and receptivity, while promoting cell differentiation, ultimately yielding a model more representative of the receptive endometrium.

Here, our WOI organoids display specific features of in vivo receptive endometrium. They show similarly upregulated energy and lipid metabolism to the in vivo implantation window. Enhanced energy metabolism involves monocarboxylic acid metabolism and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. Monocarboxylic acids, exemplified by lactate, pyruvate, and ketone bodies, are vital metabolites in most mammalian cells. During the implantation window, elevated lactate levels

mobilize endometrial monocarboxylic acid metabolism and function as a pregnancy-related signal,

stimulating ATP secretion in the epithelium. Subsequently, ATP, the primary product of energy

metabolism, induces neighboring epithelial cells to release IL8, promoting decidualization of

stromal cells (50). Lipid metabolism, responsible for energy storage, signal transduction, cell

proliferation, apoptosis, and membrane trafficking, plays a crucial role in endometrial receptivity

and implantation, although its mechanisms remain unclear (51-53). Thus, the WOI organoids build

a metabolic framework for simulating in vivo implantation window.

Functional alterations in secretory epithelium and EMT-derived stromal cells at the single-cell level

also occur during the implantation window and warrant attention. The secretory epithelium responds

to hypoxia through the HIF1 signaling pathway during the implantation window. HIF1A maintains

oxygen homeostasis by increasing VEGF levels throughout the menstrual cycle, while HIF2A

promotes angiogenesis for embryo implantation (20, 54). Angiogenesis and vascular remodeling

support functional endometrial layer repair, endometrial thickening, receptivity, and embryo

implantation. Importantly, EMT, a signature transition to the receptive endometrium, occurs in the

proliferative epithelium and EMT-derived stromal cells and is involved in opening the epithelial

layer of endometrium(38), during which epithelial cells lose polarity and cell-to-cell junctions,

stromal cells acquire the migratory and invasive abilities (55). These implantation window

associated cellular characteristics are reproduced in the WOI organoids, which confirms that they

are currently the closest model to the in vivo state. The WOI organoids will allow us to explore the

underlying mechanisms and advance the field of embryo implantation research.

However, our WOI endometrial organoids also have some limitations. We observed a decreased

abundance of immune cells, primarily T cells characteristically expressing TSPYL2, RSRP1 and

CD44, in WOI organoids, suggesting a possible immunoregulation in preparation for embryo

implantation. But the immune cell composition of organoids varies from that of the in vivo

endometrium. Although the organoids contain epithelial, stromal and immune cells, making them

the closest model to the in vivo endometrium currently available, it is undeniable that they cannot

perfectly replicate the in vivo state. The in vivo endometrium consists of functional and basal layers

with more abundant cell subtypes than organoids due to the superior regulation by systemic

hormones and nutrients. Moreover, the endometrial organoids lack the mechanical properties of the

myometrium that impact in vivo endometrium (56). We are looking forward to constructing the

whole uterus in vitro with stem cell induction, 3D printing technology, and microfluidic systems.

In summary, we developed a receptive endometrial organoid that mimics the features of in vivo

implantation-window endometrium features (Fig. 5). Our human endometrial organoid comprises

epithelium, stromal cells, and immune cells, ensuring hormone responsiveness and endometrial

secretion function while recapitulating aspects such as decidualization, ECM remodeling, pinopode

formation, cilia generation, EMT and metabolism. This receptive endometrial organoid serves as a

platform to investigate endometrial physiology, maternal-fetal interactions, and functional or

organic diseases, with potential for functional applications and clinical translation.

Materials and Methods

Establishment of endometrial organoids

All experiments involving human subjects followed medical ethical principles and the Declaration of Helsinki, and were approved by the Ethics Committee of Qilu Hospital of Shandong University. Informed consents were obtained from patients. Females of reproductive age who received hysterectomy for benign diseases were selected for this study. Clinical information of patients providing endometrial tissue was listed in Dataset S1. Experimental procedures are presented in detail in the Supporting Information.

Hormone treatment of endometrial organoids

The hormone regimen for inducing endometrial secretory phase, as described by Thomas E. Spencer et al (7), consisted of estradiol for 2 days followed by a combination of estradiol (Sigma E2758), medroxyprogesterone acetate (Selleck S2567) and N6,2′-O-dibutyryladenosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate sodium salt (cAMP) (Sigma D0627) for 6 days.

To simulate the endometrium of implantation window, we developed a model by incorporating various pregnancy-related hormones. The hormone regimen included estradiol for the first two days, followed by a combination of estradiol, medroxyprogesterone acetate, cAMP, Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (HCG) (Livzon Pharmaceutical Group Inc), Human Placental Lactogen (HPL) (R&D Systems 5757-PL), and prolactin (Peprotech 100-07) for 6 days. The CTRL group was cultured in ExM without the addition of hormones. (Fig. 1A)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (82192874 to H.Z), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFC2700301 to KL.W), the Basic Science Center Program (31988101 to Z.-J.C.), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities(2022JC006 to KL.W), the Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University Taishan Scholars Program of Shandong Province（tsqn201909194 to KL.W）, Innovative research team of high-level local universities in Shanghai (SHSMU-ZLCX20210201 to KL.W).

We sincerely appreciate Prof. Tianqing Li (Kunming University of Science and Technology) and

Prof. Shaorong Gao (Tongji University) for insightful comments and discussions.

We are grateful to Guangzhou Genedenovo Biotechnology Co., Ltd for assisting in sequencing and

bioinformatics analysis. We also thank to YiKon Medical from China for technological assistance

of ERT, Jingjie PTM Biolab (HangZhou) Co., Inc. for proteomics.

Author contributions: Y. Zhang, R. Zhao and H. Zhao designed the experiments. Y. Zhang and R.

Zhao performed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted data. Y. Zhang wrote the manuscript.

R. Zhao, Y. Li, B. Liu, and H. Zhao revised the manuscript. P. Liu provided human endometrium

samples. C.Yang, J. Song, T. Li, C. Yin, M. Lu, Z. Hou and C. Zhang provided technical support.

H. Zhao, K. Wu and Z.-J.C conceived the project and supervised research. All authors revised and

approved the manuscript.

The authors declare no competing interest.

References

References

1.   W. Wang *et al.*, Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of the human endometrium during the
menstrual cycle. *Nat Med* **26**, 1644-1653 (2020).

2.   M. Y. Turco *et al.*, Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human
endometrium in a chemically defined medium. *Nat Cell Biol* **19**, 568-577 (2017).

3.   M. Boretto *et al.*, Development of organoids from mouse and human endometrium
showing endometrial epithelium physiology and long-term expandability. (2017).

4.   T. Cindrova-Davies *et al.*, Menstrual flow as a non-invasive source of endometrial
organoids. *Commun Biol* **4**, 651 (2021).

5.   V. C. Cheung *et al.*, Pluripotent stem cell-derived endometrial stromal fibroblasts in a
cyclic, hormone-responsive, coculture model of human decidua. *Cell Rep* **35**, 109138
(2021).

6.   L. Gong *et al.*, Bi-potential hPSC-derived Mullerian duct-like cells for full-thickness and
functional endometrium regeneration. *NPJ Regen Med* **7**, 68 (2022).

7.   P. D. Harriet C. Fitzgerald, Susanta K. Behura, Danny J. Schust, and Thomas E. Spencer,
Self-renewing endometrial epithelial organoids of the human uterus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A, 23132-23142 (2019).

8.   T. Wiwatpanit *et al.*, Scaffold-Free Endometrial Organoids Respond to Excess Androgens
Associated With Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **105**, 769-780
(2020).

9.   K. M. Thomas M Rawlings, Deborah M Taylor, Matteo A Molè, Katherine J Fishwick, Maria
Tryfonos, Joshua Odendaal, Amelia Hawkes, Magdalena Zernicka-Goetz, Geraldine M
Hartshorne, Jan J Brosens, Emma S Lucas, Modelling the impact of decidual senescence
on embryo implantation in human endometrial assembloids. *eLife* **2021;10:e69603** (2021).

10.   S. R. Park *et al.*, 3D stem cell-laden artificial endometrium: successful endometrial
regeneration and pregnancy. *Biofabrication* **13** (2021).

11.   S. R. Park *et al.*, Development of cell-laden multimodular Lego-like customizable
endometrial tissue assembly for successful tissue regeneration. *Biomater Res* **27**, 33 (2023).

12.   M. Boretto *et al.*, Patient-derived organoids from endometrial disease capture clinical
heterogeneity and are amenable to drug screening. *Nat Cell Biol* **21**, 1041-1051 (2019).

13.   S. Haider *et al.*, Estrogen Signaling Drives Ciliogenesis in Human Endometrial Organoids.
Endocrinology **160**, 2282-2297 (2019).

14.   D. R. Cochrane *et al.*, Single cell transcriptomes of normal endometrial derived organoids
uncover novel cell type markers and cryptic differentiation of primary tumours. *J Pathol*
252, 201-214 (2020).

15.   B. N. Bui *et al.*, Organoids can be established reliably from cryopreserved biopsy catheter-
derived endometrial tissue of infertile women. *Reprod Biomed Online* **41**, 465-473 (2020).

16.   H. C. Fitzgerald, A. M. Kelleher, C. Ranjit, D. J. Schust, T. E. Spencer, Basolateral secretions
of human endometrial epithelial organoids impact stromal cell decidualization. *Mol Hum*
Reprod **29** (2023).

17.   P. M. Kirkwood *et al.*, Single-cell RNA sequencing and lineage tracing confirm
mesenchyme to epithelial transformation (MET) contributes to repair of the endometrium
at menstruation. *Elife* **11** (2022).

18.   S. G. Paule *et al.*, Podocalyxin is a key negative regulator of human endometrial epithelial
receptivity for embryo implantation. *Hum Reprod* **36**, 1353-1366 (2021).
19.   L. Garcia-Alonso *et al.*, Mapping the temporal and spatial dynamics of the human
endometrium in vivo and in vitro. *Nat Genet* **53**, 1698-1711 (2021).
20.   L. E. K. Rocío Martínez-Aguilar, Jane J Reavey, Hilary O D Critchley and Jacqueline A
Maybin, HYPOXIA AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH: The presence and role of hypoxia in the
endometrium. *Reproduction* 10.1530/rep, F1-F17 (2021).
21.   M. Fatmous, A. Rai, Q. H. Poh, L. A. Salamonsen, D. W. Greening, Endometrial small
extracellular vesicles regulate human trophectodermal cell invasion by reprogramming
the phosphoproteome landscape. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **10**, 1078096 (2022).
22.   X. Jiang *et al.*, Lack of VMP1 impairs hepatic lipoprotein secretion and promotes non-
alcoholic steatohepatitis. *J Hepatol* **77**, 619-631 (2022).
23.   W. T. Kuo *et al.*, The Tight Junction Protein ZO-1 Is Dispensable for Barrier Function but
Critical for Effective Mucosal Repair. *Gastroenterology* **161**, 1924-1939 (2021).
24.   L. Bissonnette *et al.*, Human S100A10 plays a crucial role in the acquisition of the
endometrial receptivity phenotype. *Cell Adh Migr* **10**, 282-298 (2016).
25.   C. Srivastava *et al.*, FAT1 modulates EMT and stemness genes expression in hypoxic
glioblastoma. *Int J Cancer* **142**, 805-812 (2018).
26.   L. Liu *et al.*, si-SNHG5-FOXF2 inhibits TGF-beta1-induced fibrosis in human primary
endometrial stromal cells by the Wnt/beta-catenin signalling pathway. *Stem Cell Res Ther*
11, 479 (2020).
27.   J. Geng, C. Cui, Y. Yin, Y. Zhao, C. Zhang, LncRNA NEAT1 affects endometrial receptivity
by regulating HOXA10 promoter activity. *Cell Cycle* **21**, 1932-1944 (2022).
28.   M. F. Vargas *et al.*, Effect of single post-ovulatory administration of levonorgestrel on
gene expression profile during the receptive period of the human endometrium. *J Mol*
Endocrinol **48**, 25-36 (2012).
29.   S. Kinnear, L. A. Salamonsen, M. Francois, V. Harley, J. Evans, Uterine SOX17: a key player
in human endometrial receptivity and embryo implantation. *Sci Rep* **9**, 15495 (2019).
30.   P. Huang *et al.*, SOX4 facilitates PGR protein stability and FOXO1 expression conducive
for human endometrial decidualization *Elife* **2022 Mar 4;11:e72073.** (2021).
31.   A. He *et al.*, The role of transcriptomic biomarkers of endometrial receptivity in
personalized embryo transfer for patients with repeated implantation failure. *J Transl Med*
19, 176 (2021).
32.   A. Devesa-Peiro, P. Sebastian-Leon, A. Parraga-Leo, A. Pellicer, P. Diaz-Gimeno, Breaking
the ageing paradigm in endometrium: endometrial gene expression related to cilia and
ageing hallmarks in women over 35 years. *Hum Reprod* **37**, 762-776 (2022).
33.   K. Kalra, J. Eberhard, N. Farbehi, J. J. Chong, M. Xaymardan, Role of PDGF-A/B Ligands in
Cardiac Repair After Myocardial Infarction. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**, 669188 (2021).
34.   Y. Yang *et al.*, SLC25A1 promotes tumor growth and survival by reprogramming energy
metabolism in colorectal cancer. *Cell Death Dis* **12**, 1108 (2021).
35.   M. N. Lasse D. Jensen, et al, VEGF-B-Neuropilin-1 signaling is spatiotemporally
indispensable for vascular and neuronal development in zebrafish. *PNAS* **Nov 3** (2015).
36.   M. Li *et al.*, BUB1 Is Identified as a Potential Therapeutic Target for Pancreatic Cancer
Treatment. *Front Public Health* **10**, 900853 (2022).

37. T. Li *et al.*, WNT5A Interacts With FZD5 and LRP5 to Regulate Proliferation and Self-
Renewal of Endometrial Mesenchymal Stem-Like Cells. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **10**, 837827
(2022).

38. A. Owusu-Akyaw, K. Krishnamoorthy, L. T. Goldsmith, S. S. Morelli, The role of
mesenchymal-epithelial transition in endometrial function. *Hum Reprod Update* **25**, 114-
133 (2019).

39. A. Casazza *et al.*, Impeding macrophage entry into hypoxic tumor areas by Sema3A/Nrp1
signaling blockade inhibits angiogenesis and restores antitumor immunity. *Cancer Cell* **24**,
695-709 (2013).

40. X. Wang *et al.*, Functional role of arginine during the peri-implantation period of
pregnancy. I. Consequences of loss of function of arginine transporter SLC7A1 mRNA in
ovine conceptus trophectoderm. *FASEB J* **28**, 2852-2863 (2014).

41. S. A. Robertson, P. Y. Chin, J. G. Femia, H. M. Brown, Embryotoxic cytokines-Potential roles
in embryo loss and fetal programming. *J Reprod Immunol* **125**, 80-88 (2018).

42. L. Du *et al.*, Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals defective decidua stromal niche
attributes to recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Cell Prolif* **54**, e13125 (2021).

43. C. Sancakli Usta, G. Turan, C. B. Bulbul, A. Usta, E. Adali, Differential expression of Oct-4,
CD44, and E-cadherin in eutopic and ectopic endometrium in ovarian endometriomas
and their correlations with clinicopathological variables. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* **18**, 116
(2020).

44. G. Le Friec *et al.*, The CD46-Jagged1 interaction is critical for human TH1 immunity. *Nat
Immunol* **13**, 1213-1221 (2012).

45. R. S. Auriemma *et al.*, The Interplay Between Prolactin and Reproductive System: Focus on
Uterine Pathophysiology. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* **11**, 594370 (2020).

46. S. P. d'Hauterive *et al.*, Human Chorionic Gonadotropin and Early Embryogenesis: Review.
Int J Mol Sci **23** (2022).

47. F. W. Bazer, R. C. Burghardt, G. A. Johnson, T. E. Spencer, G. Wu, Mechanisms for the
establishment and maintenance of pregnancy: synergies from scientific collaborations.
Biol Reprod **99**, 225-241 (2018).

48. C. Nwabuobi *et al.*, hCG: Biological Functions and Clinical Applications. *Int J Mol Sci* **18**
(2017).

49. A. P. Bielfeld *et al.*, A Proteome Approach Reveals Differences between Fertile Women
and Patients with Repeated Implantation Failure on Endometrial Level(-)Does hCG Render
the Endometrium of RIF Patients? *Int J Mol Sci* **20** (2019).

50. X. W. Gu *et al.*, ATP mediates the interaction between human blastocyst and endometrium.
Cell Prolif **53**, e12737 (2020).

51. K. King *et al.*, Dynamics of lipid droplets in the endometrium and fatty acids and oxylipins
in the uterine lumen, blood, and milk of lactating cows during diestrus. *J Dairy Sci* **104**,
3676-3692 (2021).

52. F. Vilella, L. B. Ramirez, C. Simon, Lipidomics as an emerging tool to predict endometrial
receptivity. *Fertil Steril* **99**, 1100-1106 (2013).

53. D. Braga *et al.*, Lipidomic profile as a noninvasive tool to predict endometrial receptivity.
Mol Reprod Dev **86**, 145-155 (2019).

54. S. Guo *et al.*, Hypoxia and its possible relationship with endometrial receptivity in

adenomyosis: a preliminary study. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* **19**, 7 (2021).

55.   M. Chen *et al.*, Bioinformatic analysis reveals the importance of epithelial-mesenchymal

transition in the development of endometriosis. *Sci Rep* **10**, 8442 (2020).

56.   N. Gjorevski *et al.*, Tissue geometry drives deterministic organoid patterning. *Science* **375**,

eaaw9021 (2022).

A

CTRL — ExM

Growth

SEC — ExM+E2 → ExM+E2+MPA+cAMP

WOI — ExM+E2 → ExM+E2+MPA+cAMP+HCG+PRL+hPL

Day0 — Day2 — Day8

- epithelial cell
- endometrial gland
- stromal cell
- decidualized stromal cell
- immune cell

B

	Day 0	Day 2	Day 8
CTRL			
SEC			
WOI			

C

Endometrial Gland | Stromal Cell

Endometrial gland surrounded by stromal cells 72h | Stromal Cell 48h

D

E-Cadherin Vimentin DAPI | FOXA2 DAPI | PAS

Endometrium

Organoid

E

Ki67 E-Cadherin DAPI | Cleaved Caspase-3 E-Cadherin DAPI

Fig 1 Endometrial organoids possess endometrial morphology and function

(A) Human endometrial organoids constructed from adult stem cells were treated with expansion medium (ExM) (CTRL) or subjected to hormonal stimulation. Timeline of endometrial organoid cultured by ExM (CTRL), ovarian steroid hormones simulating secretory phase (SEC), ovarian steroid hormones combining PRL and placental hormones to mimic the window of implantation (WOI).

(B) Endometrial organoids in the CTRL, SEC and WOI groups displayed similar growth patterns during the culture period. Scale bar = 200 μm.

(C) Screenshot of video S1 showing endometrial glands gradually developing into a vesicular shape, and the surrounding stromal cells arranging in a fibrous pattern in the endometrial organoids (100x, up-left). Screenshot of video S2 displaying the stromal cells growing in fibrous pattern and forming an extensive network in the endometrial organoids (200x, up-right). (The yellow arrows indicate stromal cells and the white arrows indicate endometrial glands). The epithelial cells arrange like paving stones (middle and down, left). Stromal cells formed an extensive network (middle and down, right). The arrowhead indicates stromal cells. Scale bar = 100 μm (middle), Scale bar = 50 μm (down).

(D) Validation of epithelial, stromal cell and endometrial gland markers (E-cadherin, vimentin and FOXA2, respectively) with immunofluorescence (IF) in the endometrium in vivo and endometrial organoids in vitro. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar = 40 μm. of endometrium in vivo and endometrial organoid in vitro. Scale bar = 20 μm.

(E) IF analysis of proliferation and apoptosis indicated by Ki67 and cleaved caspase-3, respectively. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar = 40 μm.

Fig 2 Developing receptive endometrial organoids in vitro mimicking the implantation-window endometrium

(A) T-SNE plot of scRNA-seq data from three individual endometrial organoids of the CTRL, SEC and WOI groups (left). T-SNE plot of combined scRNA-seq data from the three kinds of organoids and mid-secretory endometrium (right).

(B) Exhibition of stromal cell marked by vimentin through combination of organoid clearing, IF and light sheet microscopy. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. The arrowhead indicates stromal cells. Scale bar = 40 μm (left), Scale bar = 30 μm (right).

(C) Exhibition of immune cell marked by CD44 and endometrial gland marked by FOXA2 through combination of organoid clearing, IF and light sheet microscopy. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. The arrowhead indicates immune cells. Scale bar = 30 μm (left), Scale bar = 10 μm (right).

(D) Flow cytometric analysis of T cells and macrophages in the endometrial organoid. Gating strategy used for determining white blood cells ($CD45^+$ cells), T cells ($CD45^+CD3^+$ cells) and macrophages ($CD45^+CD68^+CD11b^+$ cells).

(E) Heatmap and bubble diagram illustrating highly expressed genes as well as GO functions enriched in both organoids during the WOI and mid secretory endometrial tissue in terms of SOX9+LGR5+ epithelium, stem-derived epithelium, secretory epithelium, proliferative epithelium, unciliated epithelium, stromal cells and EMT-derived stromal cells. The color of heatmap represents log-transformed fold change of gene expression.

(F) Heatmaps showing differentially expressed TFs of endometrial organoids and endometrium in the secretory epithelium (left) and EMT-derived stromal cells (right). The color represents log-transformed fold change of gene expression.

(G) Endometrial receptivity evaluation of endometrium and their derived WOI organoids through ERT. Asterisks indicate individual samples.

Fig 3 Receptive endometrial organoid exhibits the implantation window at the transcription and protein levels

(A) Principal component analysis (PCA) plot computed with differentially expressed genes in the bulk transcriptome of endometrial organoids belonging to the CTRL, SEC and WOI groups.

(B) Heatmap showing that enrichment of differentially expressed genes for the terms of extracellular matrix remodeling, hormone response, negative regulation of cell proliferation, monocarboxylic acid metabolism, lipid metabolism, cell adhesion, negative regulation of cell differentiation and RHO GTPase signaling. The color represents log-transformed fold change of gene expression.

(C) Responsiveness to progesterone and estrogen was evaluated by IF to PRA/B and OLFM4 with IF respectively. Scale bar = 40 μm, **$P \leq 0.005$.

(D) Exhibition of implantation marker (FOXO1) and endometrial gland marker (FOXA2) through combination of organoid clearing, IF and light sheet microscopy. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. **$P \leq 0.005$.

(E) PCA plot computed with differentially expressed proteins in the microproteomics of endometrial organoids belonging to the CTRL, SEC and WOI groups.

(F) Dot bar diagram exhibiting protein expression levels related to cilia in the CTRL and WOI groups (left). Dot bar diagram displaying tubulin, integrin and PI3K-AKT pathway-related protein expression levels in the SEC and WOI groups (right).

(G) IF analysis of cilia assembly marked by acetyl-α-tubulin. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. The arrowhead indicates cilia. Scale bar = 50 μm. *$P \leq 0.05$.

(H) Electron micrograph of the CTRL (top) and WOI (bottom) endometrial organoid showing pinopodes (P), glycogen granule (asterisk), microvilli (white arrows) and cilia (orange arrows). Scale bar = 1 μm.

Fig 4 Receptive endometrial organoid exhibits the implantation window at single cell level

(A) Bar graph exhibiting various percentages of each cell type in the three groups.

(B) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) between the SEC and WOI groups for the secretory epithelium.

(C-E) Pseudotime trajectory showing the transformation between proliferative and secretory epithelium (C), ciliated and unciliated epithelium (D), proliferative epithelium and EMT-derived stromal cells (E) in the CTRL, SEC and WOI groups. Arrows indicate the direction of the pseudotime trajectory.

(F-G) Dot plots demonstrating the Cellphone DB analysis of relevant receptors and ligands of ciliated epithelium (F) and EMT-derived stromal cells (G) with other cell types. The size of the dot represents the level of significance. The color of the dot indicates the mean of the average expression level of interacting molecule 1 in ciliated epithelium or EMT-derived stromal cells and molecule 2 in other cell types.

Fig.5 Schematic diagram displaying the establishment and validation of receptive endometrial organoids, and summarizing the characteristic biological events of endometrial implantation window.