Brd4::Nutm1 fusion gene initiates NUT carcinoma *in vivo*

Dejin Zheng[1,2,10], Ahmed Elnegiry[1,2,8,10], Chenxiang Luo[1,2,9,10], Mohammed Amine

Bendahou[3], Liangqi Xie[3], Diana Bell[4], Yoko Takahashi[5], Ehab Hanna[5], George I.

Mias[2,6], Mayra F. Tsoi[7, #] and Bin Gu[1,2, #, *]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, College of Human Medicine, Michigan

State University East Lansing, Michigan, United States

[2] Institute for Quantitative Health Science and Engineering, Michigan State University East Lansing,

Michigan, United States

[3] Infection Biology and Cancer Biology Program, Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland,

Ohio, United States.

[4] City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, 20220, Pathology, Duarte, California, United States;

[5] Department of Head and Neck Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston,

United States

[6] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, College of Nature Science, Michigan State

University East Lansing, Michigan, United States

[7] Department of Pathobiology and Diagnostic Investigation, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michigan

State University East Lansing, Michigan, United States

[8] Home Institution: Department of Cytology and Histology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Aswan

University, Aswan, Egypt

[9] Home Institution: Center for Reproductive Medicine and Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, the

First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, PR China.

[10]Equal contribution.

#Correspondence to Bin Gu (gubin1@msu.edu) and Mayra Tsoi (tsoimayr@msu.edu)

*Lead contact

1. Abstract: Nut carcinoma (NC) is an aggressive cancer with no effective treatment. The majority (70%) of NUT carcinoma is associated with chromosome translocation events that lead to the formation of a *BRD4::NUTM1* fusion gene. However, because the *BRD4::NUTM1* gene is unequivocally cytotoxic when ectopically expressed in cell lines, questions remain on whether the fusion gene can initiate NC. Here, we report the first genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) for NUT carcinoma recapitulating the human mutation. By stochastically inducing a chromosome translocation mirroring the human event, we demonstrated that the *Brd4::Nutm1* fusion gene could induce aggressive carcinomas in mice. The tumors present histopathological and molecular features similar to human NC, with an enrichment of undifferentiated cells. Similar to the reports of human NC incidence, *Brd4::Nutm1* can induce NC from a broad range of tissues, demonstrating that its oncogenic potential is not lineage-restricted. The consistent induction of tumors of squamous phenotypes, even from ductal epithelial and mesenchymal tissues, demonstrated a strong reprogramming activity of BRD4::NUTM1. The new mouse model provided a critical preclinical model for NC and opens new opportunities for understanding the oncogenic mechanism and developing new therapies.

2. Introduction:

NUT carcinomas (NC), formally named NUT Midline Carcinomas (NMC), are poorly-differentiated squamous cell carcinomas that often arise within midline organs[1-3]. Other primary sites, including skin[4], pancreas[5], pelvic organs[6,7], and soft tissues[8,9], have also been reported. They are aggressive tumors that typically present with metastatic disease at diagnosis[10]. The prognosis of NC is dismal, with an overall survival time of

6.5 months, even with intensive treatment, including surgery, radiation, and

chemotherapy[10]. NC is rare; a recent estimation suggests that NC accounts for

approximately 0.21% of all cancers, with an estimated 3500 new cases emerging in the

U.S. each year[11]. However, because NC lacks a distinctive diagnostic feature and is not

widely recognized by many clinicians around the world, the case rates are likely

underestimated. NC is more prevalent in young people, with a median incidence age in

the 20s; it causes a dramatic loss of life[12]. Thus, it is critical to understand the

pathogenesis of NC, which will lead to better treatment for NC patients.

Clinical studies have established a strong association of NC with chromosome

translocation events that produce fusion genes involving a testis-specific gene known as

Nuclear protein in testis (NUTM1)[13], with various epigenetic factors, including BRD4

(70% of cases), and BRD3, NSD3, ZNF532, [1,4,9,14-17]. Limited genomic sequencing and

karyotyping analysis suggested that these fusion genes, likely acting as an abnormal

epigenetic modifier, can drive NC without other mutations in a quiet genomic

landscape[18]. At the molecular level, it was suggested that, by recruiting the P300

acetyltransferase (HAT) to ectopic sites, BRD4::NUTM1, and the other fusion proteins,

drive malignant transformation by activating oncogenes and epithelial progenitor genes

such as *cMYC*, *SOX2* and *TP63*[19-23].

However, when ectopically expressed in non-NC cell lines, *BRD4::NUTM1* is

unequivocally cytotoxic[2,24,25], contradictory to the proposed oncogenic functions. To

reconcile these contradictory observations, we consider two possible explanations.

First, many fusion oncogenes have been shown to require a specific cell lineage status

to achieve cell transformation and are otherwise toxic to other cell types. This is coined

as a concept of 'cellular pliancy' first in pediatric cancer and then in cancer in general[25-

27]. Second, it could simply be that the over-expression system used on cell lines

expresses the *BRD4::NUTM1* oncogene at a too-high level. It is not uncommon to

observe gene dosage-dependent toxicity of oncogenes[28,29]. Thus, the discrepancy in

expression levels could be a simple explanation for the cytotoxicity of the

BRD4::NUTM1 oncogene.

To recapitulate the NC oncogenesis in an experimental model in which both critical

parameters are controlled, we developed a genetically engineered mouse model

(GEMM). Using cre-lox-mediated chromosome translocation, the model ensured the

recapitulation of human-mimicking chromosome translocation and established syntenic

control of the resultant *Brd4-Nutm1* fusion gene. Taking advantage of the intrinsic low

frequency of cre-lox mediated interchromosome translocation[30-33], the model

recapitulated the stochastic nature of fusion gene formation. Using various cre-drivers,

we demonstrated a broad oncogenic activity of BRD4-NUTM1 across tissues, covering

all reported sites of human NC. BRD4-NUTM1 drives aggressive squamous cell

carcinoma with various degrees of differentiation, consistent with human NCs. Further,

the model demonstrated a strong intrinsic metastasis potential of NC, recapitulating a

key feature of human NC. Thus, we reported the first GEMM that recapitulates the

intrinsic genetic lesion and oncogenic process of human NC. The model will create

tremendous opportunities for understanding and developing treatments for this

devastating disease.

3. Results:

3.1 *BRD4::NUTM1* induces NC-like tumors from epithelial progenitor cells:

We designed and developed a mouse model to model the NC initiation event using

2C-HR-CRISPR[34] (Figure 1A). Loxp sites were inserted into the appropriate introns of

the mouse *Brd4* and *Nutm1* genes to allow stochastic induction of a reciprocal t(2;17)

chromosome translocation forming the Brd4-Nutm1 fusion gene by Cre recombinase.

To track the development of NC *in vivo*, we inserted a Luc2TdTomato reporter cassette

downstream of the endogenous *Nutm1* coding sequence, separated by a T2A self-

cleaving sequence[35]. The Luc2TdTomato reporter expressed specifically in *Nutm1*-

expressing post-meiotic spermatids as expected (Supplementary Figure 1 A and B). For

brevity, mice carrying both loxp sites and the reporter cassette linked to *Nutm1^{loxp}* are

designated NC translocators (NCT). From this point, unless otherwise explained,

NCT$^{+/wt}$ refers to *Brd4*$^{loxp/wt}$; *Nutm1* $^{loxp/wt}$ whereas NCT$^{+/+}$ refers to *Brd4*$^{loxp/loxp}$;*Nutm1*

$^{loxp/loxp}$.

Because around 40% of human NCs are poorly differentiated, squamous cell

carcinoma (SCC) arose in the head-and-neck region and expressing markers

reminiscent of basal progenitor cells[3,36-38], we first tested NC induction using the

Krt14Cre allele that expresses the Cre recombinase in ectoderm-derived basal

progenitor cells[39] (supplementary Figure 1C). At weaning age, KRT14Cre$^{+/-}$; NCT$^{+/wt}$

mice developed BLI-positive head tumors at 100% penetrance (Figure 1B and

Supplementary Figure 1D)(n>50 mice), with some mice also developing skin tumors.

These tumors grew exponentially (Figure 1D) and rapidly led to a moribund state

(followed by humane euthanasia) (Fig. 1E), consistent with the aggressive nature of

human NC, likely due to the large tumor burden in the oral cavity causing obstruction of

the upper airway and digestive tracts. Upon autopsy, tumors were identified in the oral

cavity (mandible, maxilla, and tongue), salivary glands, eyelids, ear canal, and skin

(Supplementary Figure 1E); all of these locations have been reported in human patients

and consistent with the expression pattern of Krt14Cre.

We validated the formation of the t(2;17) chromosome translocation by 1)duo color

fluorescent in situ hybridization (DC-FISH) (Figure 1F); 2) Sanger sequencing of

junction sequence (Supplementary Figure 1F); 3) karyotyping (Supplementary Figure

1G); and 4) fusion calling in whole genome sequencing (Supplementary figure 1H).

Furthermore, immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis against NUTM1 detected pervasive

nuclear expression of the BRD4-NUTM1 protein in the tumors (Figure 1G and

Supplementary Figure 1I). No BRD4-NUTM1 was expressed in NC surrounding normal

epithelial or tumor-associated stromal cells (Figure 1G), confirming the low frequency

and stochastic nature of the chromosome translocation. The above-described data

suggest that the t(2;17) chromosome translocation that creates *Brd4::Nutm1* can induce

aggressive tumors from mouse epithelial basal cells. Because the mouse tumors satisfy

two key diagnostic criteria applied to humans – the detection of the chromosome

translocation by FISH and the detection of BRD4::NUTM1 proteins by IHC[15,40], we call

these tumors mouse NUT Carcinoma (mNC).

3.2 Mouse NCs Present Histopathological and Molecular Features of Human NC.

Most human NCs are categorized as poorly differentiated squamous cell carcinomas,

characterized by primitive round cells with variable areas of abrupt squamous

differentiation and keratinization[11]. This heterogeneous phenotype has caused profound

confusion in diagnosing NC. Also, the intra and inter-tumor heterogeneity can create a

plasticity space for therapy resistance. As shown in Figure 2A, mNCs consist of large

areas of basaloid, polygonal, or spindle-shaped cells. Many tumors exhibit areas of

squamous cell differentiation and keratinization that occupy variable proportions of the

tumor. These features are consistent with the histopathological observations in the

clinical setting. Furthermore, a board-certified veterinary pathologist scored 50 cases of

mouse NC arising in the head-and-neck and skin sites and concluded that the mouse

NCs present a wide range of degrees of squamous cell differentiation (Figure 2B),

recapitulating the human pattern. Notably, even within a single mouse, individual

tumors can display variable morphology (Figure 2C), demonstrating intra and inter-

tumor heterogeneity within the same genetic background. Thus, mNCs reproduced the

morphological heterogeneity of human NC.

A few key molecular features define human NC. First, the BRD4-NUTM1 proteins

form nuclear foci, possibly as biological condensates mediated by the highly disordered

sequences in NUTM1, in human NC[41,42]. We detected such foci in mNCs through both

Immunofluorescence (IF) and IHC (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure 2A). Second,

human NC tissues and cell lines demonstrated nuclear foci of Histone 3 lysine 27

Acetylation (H3K27ace), likely caused by the recruitment of P300 Histone

Acetyltransferase (HAT) by NUTM1[19]. We detected such foci in mNCs through IF

(Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure 2B). Third, human NCs express other hallmark

genes, including the basal progenitor cell markers P63, Krt14, SOX2, and oncogene

cMYC. These genes have also been implicated as required for the progression of

NC[20,22,23]. The majority of oral mNC cells express P63, Krt14, and cMYC (Figure 2F,

Supplementary Figure 2C). However, SOX2 is expressed in a small proportion of NC

cells with a clustered pattern in all tested mouse NCs (Figure 2F and Supplementary

Figure 2C). In clinical pathology practice, SOX2 is considered a stem cell marker in NC

and is expressed in various proportions of NC cells[23,43] , consistent with the mouse

data. Whether the SOX2-positive NC cells represent a cancer stem cell population is a

critical question to be investigated in the future.

3.3 mNCs are aggressive poorly differentiation cancer at the transcriptomics

level:

To gain a global understanding of how transcriptomics reprogramming promoted

aggressive mNC, we performed bulk RNA sequencing analysis on six normal oral

mucosa (control) and six oral mNC (tumor) samples. As shown in Supplementary Figure

3 A-C, the RNA sequencing data is of high quality, with a clear separation of the six

normal oral mucosa and the six mouse NC repeats. The analysis revealed 3065

upregulated genes and 2654 downregulated genes in mNCs, demonstrating pervasive

transcriptomics reprogramming (Figure 3A). Gene set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)

against the Hallmark database revealed the activation of many pathways that may

contribute to an aggressive phenotype, including cell proliferation and mitosis, DNA

repair, MYC target pathways, and metabolic reprogramming (Figure 3B). Notably,

downregulated genes are specifically enriched for immuno-stimulatory pathways (Figure

3B).

To understand whether the poorly differentiated morphological traits are represented

at the transcriptomics level, we used CIBERSORT to deconvolute the bulk RNA

sequencing data using published single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq)

signatures[44,45]. As reference data, we used the published scRNA-seq data on the oral

mucosa epithelial from the *Tabula Muris* project[46], which represents the cells from which

the NC tumors are derived. As shown in Figure 3C, compared to the normal oral

mucosa samples, there is a strong enrichment of the proliferating basal cell expression

profile in the NC samples. CIBERSEQ analysis using another independently produced

mouse oral mucosa scRNA-seq reference data produced similar result[47]

(Supplementary Figure 3D). The CIBERSORT results are consistent with the

histological features of these tumors; for example, case K0329020 showed more

differentiated histology, while K0424100NC is more undifferentiated (Figure 3D). At the

molecular level, the overwhelmingly enriched proliferating basal cell profile is consistent

with the pervasive expression of basal cell markers (Figure 2). Consistent with its highly

proliferative nature, mNC cells overwhelmingly expressed the cell proliferation marker

Ki67 (Figure 3E). In addition, the differentiated keratinocyte marker KRT13 was only

detected in small regions with apparent keratinization (Figure 3F). Thus, the

Brd4::Nutm1 expression trapped most NC cells in a proliferative progenitor status, likely

contributing to its aggressive nature.

3.4. *BRD4::NUTM1* can induce aggressive malignancy from a broad range of

tissues.

In humans, although most NCs were detected within the head and neck or thoracic

tissues[3], there were reports of carcinoma carrying the *BRD3/4-NUTM1* fusion genes in

many other epithelial tissues, such as the pancreas[5], the kidney[48], and the pelvic

organs[7]; and even mesenchymal tissues as undifferentiated soft tissue tumors[8]. This

broad tissue distribution is a unique property of NC compared to many other fusion

gene-driven cancers and indicates that the *BRD4::NUTM1* fusion gene may transform a

broad range of cell types covering all three germ layers. However, due to the limited

number of cases, it is unclear whether the reported *NUTM1* fusion genes are the cancer

driver or background mutations irrelevant to oncogenesis in these cases. We tested it

using the GEMM.

There are two cases of NC involving the pancreas reported in very young children[5].

The congenital nature of these cases suggests that an embryonic progenitor was

transformed. Thus, we crossed the Pdx1Cre allele[49], which drives recombination in

endoderm-derived embryonic ductal progenitor cells into the NCT mouse line.

Pdx1Cre;NCT$^{+/-}$ mice developed pancreatic tumors with 100% penetrance(18/18)

(Figure 4A, B and supplementary Figure 4A). The pancreatic mNCs are fast-growing

SCC (Supplementary Figure 4B) presenting all histopathological and molecular markers

as oral mNCs (Figure 4C and Supplementary Figure 4B). Beyond the epithelial tissue,

a few cases of soft tissue tumors have been reported to carry BRD3/4-NUTM1 fusion

genes, suggesting that the fusion gene can also collaborate with mesoderm-derived

mesenchymal gene regulatory networks (GRNs) to drive NC[8]. To test this, we used a

Prrx1Cre to drive the chromosome translocation in the fetal limb mesenchyme cells[50].

These mice developed fast-growing tumors within limbs at 100% penetrance (9/9)

(Figure 4D, E and Supplementary Figure 4C). The tumors are also fast-growing SCC

presenting all histopathological and molecular markers of NC (Figure 4F and

Supplementary Figure 4D). The ability to induce SCC from pancreatic ductal progenitors

that normally initiate adenocarcinoma and mesenchymal progenitors that normally

initiate sarcomas demonstrated a strong reprogramming activity of BRD4::NUTM1[49,51].

The clonal and stochastic nature of the translocation induction provided a unique

advantage of modeling the reality of stochastic gaining of the fusion gene across tissues

in humans. We crossed the NCT mouse line with the NLS-Cre mouse line that

constitutively expresses Cre in all mouse tissues[52]. This cross will induce

BRD4::NUTM1, forming chromosome translocation stochastically across tissues. The

NLS-Cre; NCT^{+/-} mice developed tumors detected by BLI signals at high penetrance

(24/25) (Supplementary Figure 5A). Autopsy guided by BLI imaging identified tumors in

a broad range of anatomical locations, including the head and neck region (oral

mucosa, paranasal sinuses, tongue, salivary glands), thoracic cavity, stomach, kidney,

ovary, skin, and limb soft tissues (Figure 5A), covering all reported anatomical locations

of human NC. Most of these tumors were diagnosed as SCC with differing degrees of

differentiation (Figure 5B and C and Supplementary Figure 5B and 5C), confirming a

consistent phenotype. Notably, although the NLC-Cre driven mNCs consistently

express NUTM1, cMYC and KRT14, the expression of P63 and SOX2 are variable

(Figure 5B and C). The inter-tumor heterogeneity of these progenitor transcription

factors is consistent with pathological observations in human patients and may be

responsible for the remarkable plasticity and resistance to therapies of NC. Critically,

atypical histological phenotypes were reported in isolated human NC cases, including

glandular and chondrogenic metaplasia[53]. Consistently, regions of chondrogenic and

glandular transitions were observed in some mNCs (Figure 5D). The new GEMM

provided a critical tool for investigating the reprogramming capability of *Brd4::Nutm1* to

drive NC across tissues with remarkable heterogeneity.

3.5. Mouse NCs have high metastasis potential.

Most human NC patients have metastatic disease at diagnosis, contributing

significantly to its dismal prognosis[2,54,55]. Of the more than 100 oral NC mice we

analyzed, there were four cases of metastasis to proximal lymph nodes (Figure 6A),

suggesting metastatic potentials of mouse NC. However, because the oral NCs lead to

a quick death of mice, they may not allow the time for metastasis to establish and

emerge. To further investigate, we focused on analyzing the Pdx1Cre driven pancreatic

NC, which survives longer. Pancreatic NC mice consistently develop broad

dissemination and metastasis at ten weeks of age. Large numbers of dissemination

nodules (carcinomatosis) develop across the mesentery membrane (Figure 6B and

Supplementary Figure 6A). Distant Micro-metastasis was detected in the lungs and liver

(Figure 6B). The metastatic tumors express NC markers, including NUTM1, cMYC, P63,

KRT14, and contain populations of SOX2-positive cells (Figure 6C).

It is unclear why NCs are extremely metastatic. Desmoplasia, characterized by the

recruitment of cancer-associated fibroblasts and pervasive deposition of extracellular

matrix proteins, is strongly associated with metastatic potential in common cancers such

as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC)[56-58]. Desmoplastic histological features

have been reported in a number of human NC case reports and are routinely observed

in clinical diagnostic practice[59-61], yet, have never been characterized at the molecular

level. Through RNA sequencing analysis of mouse NC, we detected large increases in

the expression of fibroblast genes such as *fibroblast activation protein (FAP)*, *smooth*

muscle alpha actin (Acta2) and SM22alpha (*Tagln*) (Figure 7A). Mouse NC tissue also

express high levels of ECM genes including *fibronectin (Fn1)*, *collagens* (17 types) and

collagen cross-linking enzymes such as *lysyl oxidase (Lox)*, *Loxl2, Loxl3* and

peroxidasin (Pxdn) (Figure 7B). Confirming these results, both mouse oral and

pancreatic NC contain large numbers of activated fibroblast cells and abundant

collagen-based ECM (Figure 7C). In addition to the unique desmoplastic stromal

response, the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) process has been closely linked

to metastasis[62]. A gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed significant activation

of the Epithelial-mesenchymal transition program in mouse NCs (adjusted *p*-value =

2.16E-07 or 2.16×10^{-7}), with strong upregulation of mesenchymal markers including

Snai1[63], Zeb1[64] and Vimentin[65,66] (Figure 7D). Consistently, at an advanced stage,

regions of both mouse oral and pancreatic NC lost the membrane-localized epithelial

marker E-Cadherin and gained the expression of Zeb1 and Vimentin to differing

degrees (Figure 7E), demonstrating EMT, which might be associated with the

metastatic potential of NC. It is clear now that EMT is a reversible process marking a

continuous spectrum of phenotypes[67]. However, it is under debate whether full EMT is

required for metastasis and whether a reverse process called Mesenchymal Epithelial

Transition (MET) is required for the establishment of metastasis[62]. We analyzed EMT

phenotypes of the metastatic lesions in mouse NC. As shown in Figure 7F, in some

cases such as the lymph node metastasis of oral NC, the majority of cancer cells within

the metastasis tumor expressed E-Cadherin but also vimentin and Zeb1, showing a

mixed Epithelial-mesenchymal phenotype. On the other hand, the mesenteric nodules

developed from pancreatic NCs have lost membrane localized E-cadherin but did not

significantly upregulate Vimentin and ZEB1. Thus, there is likely a complex, non-binary

interplay between desmoplasia, EMT, and metastasis of NC (more examples in

supplementary Figure 6). The new GEMM provides a powerful tool to dissect these

interplays.

4. Discussion:

Nut carcinoma is an aggressive cancer with a dismal prognosis[2,10]. Because NC is

extremely rare in the human population and is often diagnosed at a late disease stage,

relevant *in vivo* models are vital for understanding its pathogenesis and for therapy

development[20,25]. The new NC GEMM addressed key issues that are challenging to

explore with currently available human cell line and xenograft models and created new

opportunities for understanding NC.

First, a long-standing dilemma in NUT carcinoma research is that although the

disease is strongly associated with chromosome translocations events that induce the

expression of NUTM1 fusion genes such as *BRD4::NUTM1*, the ectopic expression of

the fusion genes in non-NC cells are unequivocally cytotoxic[2,24]. This dilemma raises a

critical question as to whether the *NUTM1* fusion genes are indeed responsible for NC

initiation. Through analyzing hundreds of NC GEMM, our data demonstrated that when

expressed at the endogenous level from an endogenous chromosome translocation,

BRD4::NUTM1 can efficiently induce aggressive cancers with high penetrance. The

mouse tumors that BRD4::NUTM1 induced presented similar histopathological and

molecular features of human NCs. mNCs also reproduced the intrinsic intra and inter-

tumor heterogeneity. This comprehensively characterized GEMM firmly demonstrates

that the *BRD4::NUTM1* fusion gene is sufficient to induce NC-like tumors *in vivo*.

Second, although human NC is rare, cases have been reported in a broad range of

tissues. The main affected sites were reported to be head and neck (~40% of reported

cases) and thoracic (~50% of reported cases)[3]. There are two possible explanations for

the predilection for these sites: 1) The cell types in these tissues are more receptive to

transformation by *BRD4::NUTM1*. 2) Fewer cases were diagnosed at other sites due to

limited clinical awareness. The second explanation is not trivial, considering the history

of NUT carcinoma. It was first thought to be a pediatric thymic cancer[1]. With the

increased awareness and better availability of diagnostic methods such as the NUTM1

IHC and next-generation sequencing, NC is now considered a preferentially young adult

cancer that can occur in any age group and many tissue sites[3]. Our data showed that

when *Brd4::Nutm1* fusion was induced in tissue progenitors of all three germ layers

using cell type-specific cre drivers, NC can be induced at 100% penetrance in the

respective tissue. Furthermore, when *BRD4::NUTM1* fusion was induced stochastically

using a constitutively active Cre driver, mNCs can arise in many tissues, such as the

paranasal sinuses, ovary and kidney, previously reported in humans, and previously not

reported tissues, such as the gastric tissues. Our data raised a critical possibility that

the low case rate outside the head and neck/thoracic region might be a result of

diagnosis bias, either due to limited clinical awareness or in the case of gastric cancer,

the low general prevalence and research intensity in North America[68]. Broader and

global surveys of NUT Carcinoma cases in hard-to-diagnose cancers with monolithic,

poorly differentiated morphology in all tissues may establish a more complete picture of

NC in humans. At the molecular level, the broad cell type receptivity and the universal

SCC phenotype implied that BRD4::NUTM1 coerces a shared molecular program in cell

types across all germ layers to initiate NC. Yet, BRD4::NUTM1 has a strong

reprogramming ability to drive an aggressive poorly differentiated SCC phenotype of NC

regardless of lineage context. The new GEMM provided a unique model to further

investigate the key molecular mechanisms underlying NC oncogenesis.

Fourth, human NCs are highly metastatic, yet, currently, there is no established

model to study this key aggressive phenotype. The GEMM uncovered an extremely

strong metastatic potential of NC. GEMMs are not always optimal for studying

metastasis. In many GEMMs of common cancers, mice reach their endpoint due to the

growth of primary tumors before significant metastasis[69]. In GEMMs that consistently

develop metastasis, such as the mutant K-Ras driven pancreatic ductal carcinoma

(PDAC) model, metastasis takes a long time to develop (15-40 weeks) and is

challenging to study[49,70]. The pancreatic NC GEMM develops widespread metastasis in

a short time window (5-10 weeks), providing ample materials to investigate the

mechanisms of NC metastasis in vivo. Critically, the primary mouse NCs do present

phenotypes, including desmoplasia and EMT that are associated with metastatic

potentials. Further investigation using the new GEMM will lead to a fruitful

understanding of the metastasis mechanism and therapeutic strategies of NC.

As immune therapy has transformed the therapeutic landscape of aggressive cancers

such as melanoma and lymphoma, it is critical to investigate the immune system's

interaction with targeted or immune therapies for NC. The GEMM reported here is the

first immune-competent experimental model for NC and can provide a valuable platform

for studying the immune therapies for NC.

After our preprint was online, another NC mouse model was submitted and published

[71]. This model uses the *cre*-dependent one-way genetic switch (FLEx) conditional

inversion system and a Sox2-CreERT2 tamoxifen driver to induce the *Brd4::Nutm1*

fusion gene from the mouse Brd4 allele. In parallel, these two GEMM each have unique

advantages and disadvantages in modeling NC. First, the FlEx model is driven by

Tamoxifen inducible Cre, thus have better temporal control. However, the high

recombination efficiency will mean that the fusion gene will be induced in a large

number of cells simultaneously, mimicking the sequencing mutagenesis and field

carcinogenesis in common cancers[72], different from the clonal stochastic reality of

fusion gene driven cancer. Our translocation model recapitulates such a reality.

Second, the FlEx model induces mNCs only in the esophagus, providing a clear and

easily accessible target tissue for study. However, there has been no report of primary

NC in the esophagus. Whether this inconsistency is due to species difference, miss-

assigning of human primary NC, or an artifact due to pervasive induction of

Brd4::Nutm1 in all esophagus basal cells by the Sox2-CreERT, remains to be

determined. In contrast, our translocation model closely recapitulated the human tissue

spectrum, including the rare cases outside the head-and-neck and thoracic regions,

providing a richer resource understanding of the NC oncogenesis from different tissues.

Furthermore, the broad tissue distribution of the translocation model will allow

intersectional analysis to find the core mechanisms that drive NC and model more

tissue contexts, which will be critical for future drug delivery and immune therapy

research.

In summary, we have reported the first GEMM for NC that recapitulates the

endogenous chromosome translocation. The new GEMM can enhance our

understanding of this disease and open up many opportunities for developing targeted

therapies. The GEMM will serve as a valuable preclinical tool for the global community

to study the mechanism of NC and develop new treatments.

4. Materials and Methods:

4.1 Ethical statement:

All animal work was carried out under PROTO202000143 and PROTO 202300127,

approved by the Michigan State University (MSU) Campus Animal Resources (CAR)

and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) in AAALAC credited

facilities.

4.2 Mouse line generation:

All mouse generation work follows our published 2-cell (2C)- Homologous

Recombination (HR)- CRISPR (2C-HR-CRISPR) method and protocol and is described

briefly as follows[34,73,74]. First, 2-cell stage embryos of the CD1 strain were microinjected

with Cas9-mSA mRNA (75ng/ul), sgRNA targeting around the stop codon of the Nutm1

gene (GGUGGCCCUCUGCUUCCUAC, chemically modified sgRNA from Synthego Inc.

50ng/ul) and a biotinylated PCR donor consisted of homology arms (5'arm 1000bp and

3'arm 600bp) spanning the coding sequence of T2A-Luc2TdTomato cassette (5ng/ul).

The Luc2TdTomato cassette was cloned from the pCDNA3.1(+)/Luc2-TdT plasmid

(Addgene 32904, kind gift from Christopher Contag)[35]. Injected embryos were implanted

into pseudopregnant females the same day to generate founder pups. Positive founders

were screened by long-range PCR spanning homology arms using the following primer

sets. 5' arm gtF: GAGACTGTCATAGACAGCATCCAAGAT and 5'arm gtR:

tcatggctttgtgcagctgc; 3'arm gtF: ggactacaccatcgtggaacagta and 3'arm gtR:

CATATTTAACAGTCCCACGGAGAG. A positive founder mouse was out-crossed to

CD1 mice to generate N1 mice. The N1 mice were genotyped by PCR. Additionally,

genomic regions spanning the targeting cassette and 3' and 5' homology arms were

Sanger-sequenced to validate correct targeting. Insertion copy number was evaluated

by digital droplet PCR ddqPCR. Heterozygous N1 mice have only one insertion copy,

demonstrating single-copy insertion. An N1 that passed all quality controls was further

outcrossed with CD1 for two generations to remove any potential off-target mutations

introduced by CRISPR-Cas9 editing to generate N3. Heterozygous N3s were bred

together to establish a homozygous colony of the Nutm1-T2A-Luc2TdTomato

mousseline.

Then two additional mouse lines were generated in parallel. First, a loxp site was

inserted into the intron 10 of the Brd4 gene (Brd4loxp) by electroporating CD1 zygotes

with Cas9 proteins, a chemically modified sgRNA (GAAUAGAGUGCUGCUACACU,

Synthego Inc.), and a single strand oligo DNA donor (ssODN), with 30 base homology

arms on each side spanning the loxp site

(TCTCCCTGCAGAGTGGCAAGATGCCCAGTGataacttcgtatagcatacattatacgaagttatTAG

CAGCACTCTATTCTCTCCATCTGGGTA). Electroporated zygotes were implanted into

pseudopregnant females the same day to generate founder pups. Positive founder pups

were screened by PCR using primers: BRD4 loxp gt F:

TGCCTGGGCTCTTCTGTCCAC, and BRD4 loxp gt R:

CAACCCAAGGTTGATGGGACCC. A positive founder mouse was out-crossed to CD1

mice to generate N1 mice. The N1 mice were genotyped by PCR. Additionally,

genomic regions spanning the targeting cassette and 3' and 5' homology arms were

Sanger-sequenced to validate correct targeting. An N1 that passed all quality controls

was further outcrossed with CD1 for two generations to remove any potential off-target

mutations introduced by CRISPR-Cas9 editing to generate N3. Second, a loxp site was

inserted into the intron 1 of the Nutm1-T2A-Luc2TdTomato gene (Nutm1-loxp) by

microinjecting Nutm1-T2A-Luc2TdTomato homozygous 2-cell embryos. (75ng/ul),

sgRNA targeting around the intron (ACCGGAUCCCAACCGCUCUA, chemically

modified sgRNA from Synthego Inc. 50ng/ul) and a biotinylated PCR donor consisting of

homology arms (5'arm 500bp and 3'arm 500bp) spanning a loxp site and artificial

genotyping primer sequences (5ng/ul). Injected embryos were implanted into

pseudopregnant females the same day to generate founder pups. Positive founders

were screened by long-range PCR spanning homology arms using the following primer

sets. 5' arm gtF: GCATGAAATCAGACCAAGTGGGC and 5'arm gtR:

AAGCTGACCCTGAAGTTCATCTG; 3'arm gtF: ggactacaccatcgtggaacagta and 3'arm

gtR: CATATTTAACAGTCCCACGGAGAG. A positive founder mouse was out-crossed

to CD1 mice to generate N1 mice. The N1 mice were genotyped by PCR. Additionally,

genomic regions spanning the targeting cassette and 3' and 5' homology arms were

Sanger-sequenced to validate correct targeting. An N1 that passed all quality controls

was further outcrossed with CD1 for two generations to remove any potential off-target

mutations introduced by CRISPR-Cas9 editing to generate N3. Starting from N3s, the

Brd4loxp and Nutm1loxp strains were bred to double homozygous to generate the NC

translocator (NCT) mouse line.

For inducing the BRD4::NUTM1 fusion, NCT+/+ mice were crossed with heterozygous

KRT14Cre mice (Jackson Lab 018964) for oral cancer, Pdx1Cre mice (Jackson Lab

014647) for pancreatic NC, Prrx1Cre mice(Jackson Lab 005584) for limb soft tissue NC

and NLS-Cre (MGI:3586452) for universal screen. The Cre mouse lines from Jackson

Lab was on the B6 background and had black fur. To facilitate sensitive bioluminescent

imaging, the strain was outcrossed for two generations with CD1 mice to generate

albino mice. Progenies from the crosses were genotyped; the cre-positive ones were

used as experimental groups, while the cre-negative ones were used as controls.

Bioluminescence imaging:

NC mice were subjected to regular bioluminescence imaging to monitor tumor signals.

During the imaging procedure, mice received an intraperitoneal injection of 150 mg/kg D-

Luciferin Potassium (Goldbio). After 20 minutes, images of both dorsal and ventral

positions were captured using IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System (Perkin Elmer)

under anesthesia. To quantify the tumor signal intensity, regions of interest (ROIs) were

delineated around the head area. The total flux (photons/sec) within the ROIs was

measured using the Living Image® Software. The average value of the total flux from

both dorsal and ventral images was used for generating tumor growth curves.

4.3. Necropsy and tissue collection

Routine necropsies were performed by ventral midline approach. Tumors, draining

lymph nodes, heads, lungs, and liver were harvested and fixed in 10% neutral buffered

formalin for 24 h or flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen for further analyses. Tissue samples

were either fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4º C for 24 h or 10% neutral buffered

formalin at room temperature for 24 h. Heads were decalcified in 10% EDTA for 12-14

days at 4º C by replacing the decalcification solution every two days. Tissues were

either routinely processed, and embedded in paraffin wax or used for cryo-sections.

4.4. Histopathology

Serial, 5-µm thick sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin-eosin

(H&E). Neoplasms were characterized based on anatomic location, necrosis,

inflammation (polymorphonuclear and mononuclear), and histologic features (focal

dysplasia, undifferentiated carcinoma, poorly differentiated carcinoma with regional

squamous differentiation, and well-differentiated squamous cell carcinoma as

described[75]. Histopathologic examination was performed by a board-certified veterinary

pathologist (MFT).

4.5. Immunohistochemistry

Antigen retrieval was done using sodium citrate pH 6 for 20 min at 97 ºC.

Immunohistochemistry was performed using antibodies targeting NUTM1 (1:100,

Huabio HA721690), TdTomato (1:500, Origene, ABOO40-500), cMYC (1:500,

Proteintech, 10828-1-AP), SOX2 (1:1000, R&D Systems, AF2018), TP63 (1:1000,

Sigma Aldrich, AMAB91224), KRT14 (1:500, Novus Biologicals, NBP2-67585), and

involucrin (1:1000, BioLegend, 924401) using Agilent Dako Autostainer Link 48 and

EnVision FLEX kit (Dako). Immunoreactivity for each antibody was assessed by light

microscopy.

4.6. Cryosection:

Tissues for cryosections were dehydrated using 30% sucrose at 4°C overnight.

Samples were embedded in OCT and snap-frozen on a steel bench block immersed in

liquid nitrogen. Samples were sectioned at 4-µm thickness using a cryostat (Leica).

4.7. Immunofluorescence:

Slides were dried at room temperature for 30 minutes and permeabilized using 0.1%

Tween 20 for 30 minutes. Samples were blocked using PBST containing 5% normal

donkey serum for 1 hour at room temperature. The primary antibodies (same as

described in the IHC section) diluted in blocking solution were applied on tissue sections

and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Slides were rinsed three times for 5 minutes each with

PBS. Secondary antibodies diluted in blocking solution were applied on tissue sections

and incubated in a dark humid chamber for 1 hour at room temperature. Slides were

rinsed three times for 5 minutes each with PBS. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst

33258 and then mounted with Vectashield® mounting medium. Slides were imaged with

a Leica Thunder microscope imaging system.

4.8. Duo color FISH:

The Oligopaint FISH probe libraries were constructed as described previously (Xie et

al., Nature Methods, 2020). A ssDNA oligo pool was ordered and synthesized as

custom arrays from GenScript. Each oligo consists of a 30-37 nucleotide (nt) homology

to the 30kb region, flanking the mBrd4-mNut1m fusion point from the mm10 genome

assembly. Each Oligo was run through the OligoArray2.0 with the parameters -n 22 -D

1000 -l 32 -L 32 -g 52 -t 75 -T 85 -s 60 -x 60 -p 35 -P 80-m "GGGGG;CCCCC;

TTTTT;AAAAA" according to algorithms developed from the Wu laboratory

(https://oligopaints.hms.harvard.edu/). The mBrd4 and mNut1m oligo subpool consists

of a unique set of primer pairs for PCR amplification, a 20 nt T7 promoter sequence for

in vitro transcription, and a 20 nt region for reverse transcription. The mBrd4 oligo pool

was amplified by GCGGGACGTAAGGGCAACCG and GCGTTGCGGTGCGATCTTCT.

The mNut1m was amplified by TGATAACCCACGCACGGCTG and

GACCCGGGCCACTAACACGA. Following PCR amplification, each Oligopaint probes

were generated by in vitro transcription and reverse transcription, in which ssDNA oligos

conjugated with ATTO565 and ATTO647 fluorophores were introduced during the

reverse transcription step. The Oligopaint covered genomic regions (mm10) used in this

study are listed below: mBrd4, chr17:32,210,998-32,244,827. mNut1m,

chr2:112,227,883-112,257,004. The oligo sequences for conjugating fluorophores

during reverse transcription are: /5ATTO565N/AATTCGGCAGACCCGAATGC

(mNut1m) and /5ATTO647N/CCTATCCCCTGTGTGCCTTG (mBrd4).

DNA FISH is performed on cryosections prepared as described above. Tissue slides

were washed 2X with 1XPBS and permeabilized in 0.5% TritonX100 in 1XPBS for

60min in a Coplin Jar. After 2X wash in 1XPBS, slides were treated with 0.1M HCl for

5min, followed by 3X washes with 2XSSC and 30 min incubation in 2X SSC + 0.1%

Tween20 (2XSSCT) + 50% (v/v) formamide (EMD Millipore, cat#S4117). For each

sample, we prepare a 25ul hybridization mixture containing 2XSSCT+ 50% formamide

+10% Dextran sulfate (EMD Millipore, cat#S4030) supplemented with 0.5µl 10mg/mL

RNaseA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat# 12091021) +0.5µl 10mg/mL salmon sperm

DNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat# 15632011) and 20pmol probes with distinct

fluorophores. The probe mixture was thoroughly mixed by vortexing and briefly

microcentrifuged. The hybridization mix was transferred directly onto the slide covered

with a clean coverslip. The coverslip was sealed onto the slide by adding a layer of

rubber cement (Fixo gum, Marabu) around the edges. Each slide was denatured at

80°C for 5 min, then transferred to a humidified hybridization chamber and incubated at

42°C for 16 hours in a heated incubator. After hybridization, samples were washed 2X

for 15 minutes in prewarmed 2XSSCT at 60 °C and then were further incubated at

2XSSCT for 10min at RT, at 0.2XSSC for 10min at RT, at 1XPBS for 2X5min with DNA

counterstaining with DAPI. Then coverslips were mounted on slides with Prolong

Diamond Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat#P36961) for imaging

acquisition.

DNA FISH images were acquired using a structured illumination microscopy on a Zeiss

AxioObserver microscope (Zeiss Elyra7). Images were taken with a Plan-Apochromat

63x/1.40 oil DIC objective in a lens immersion medium having a refractive index of

1.515 and two pco.edge 4.2 CL HS sCMOS cameras. We used 405nm (Excitation

wavelength) and 460nm (Emission wavelength) for the DAPI channel, 561nm

(Excitation wavelength) and 579nm (Emission wavelength) for the ATTO565 channel,

and 633nm (Excitation wavelength) and 654nm (Emission wavelength) for the ATTO647

channel. We acquired 13 phase images for each focal plane. Image post-processing

was performed with the ZEN 3.0 SR (black) software.

4.9. RNA sequencing

Total RNA was prepared from tumors and normal tissues using the RNeasy Mini Kit

(Qiagen Inc.). The quality control, cDNA library preparation and bulk RNA sequencing

were performed by Novogene Inc following standard protocol using the Novaseq 6000

sequencer. Each sample were sequenced for 20M reads.

FastQC v0.11.7[76] was used to evaluate sequence quality, GC content, overrepresented

sequences and adapter contamination of the raw paired-end FASTQ reads for each

sample. Trimmomatic v0.39[77] was used to trim raw reads using following settings: PE

ILLUMINACLIP: TruSeq3-PE.fa:2:30:10:8:true HEADCROP:15 CROP:110. All samples

passed quality control based on the results of FastQC. Quality trimmed reads were

pseudoaligned to GENCODE mouse transcript reference (GRCm39, release M32) and

transcription levels were quantified using kallisto[78] (version: 0.46.1, parameters: -b 100 -

l 110). Gene expression levels were generated using tximport v1.28.0[79] and filtered for

lowly expressed genes (0.5 count per million in at least six samples). Differential

expression analysis were carried out using the Bioconductor v3.17.1[80] package DESeq2

v1.40.2[81]. rlog-normalized counts were obtained from the differential expression analysis

and used for principal component analysis, sample correlation calculation and k-means

clustering[81]. The Wald test was used by DESeq2 to identify genes that are differentially

expressed. Benjamini-Hochberg was used to adjust the p values for multiple testing[82].

The log2 fold change (LFC) was shrunken by using the "apeglm" method to provide more

accurate estimates[83]. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined based on LFC >

1 or < -1 and adjusted p-value < 0.05. ClusterProfiler v4.8.2[84] was used to perform

functional enrichment analysis by using different databases: Gene Ontology[85,86], KEGG

PATHWAY[87], Reactome[88] and the Hallmark gene sets of Molecular Signatures Database

(MSigDb)[89]. Lists of downregulated DEGs and upregulated DEGs were separately

examined for Over Representation Analysis (ORA)[90]. The shrunken LFCs were used to

rank all DEGs decreasingly to generate a gene list as an input for Gene Set Enrichment

Analysis (GSEA)[91]. Redundant GO terms were removed by GOSemSim with a cut-off of

0.7[92]. Pathways or gene sets with adjusted p value < 0.05 were considered significantly

enriched. RNA-seq data will be available through GEO after publication.

4.10. Whole genome sequencing:

Genomic DNAs was extracted from normal and tumor samples using The GeneJet DNA

kit (Thermo Fisher), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality control,

cDNA library preparation and RNA sequencing were performed by Novogene Inc

following standard protocol. A total of 1.0 ug DNA per sample was used for library

preparations. Each sample were sequenced for 10-16X coverage. WGS data will be

available through GEO after publication.

Raw reads for each sample were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.39[77] (PE

ILLUMINACLIP:2:30:10:8:true HEADCROP:5 CROP:120). Then the trimmed reads were

aligned to the GENCODE mouse primary genome assembly (GRCm39, release M32)

using the BWA-MEM algorithm in the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) v0.7.17[93] with

following options: -M to flag shorter split hits as secondary; -R to add read group

information. The SortSam tool of Picard v2.25.0[94] was used to sort the output files from

BWA by queryname and export output as binary Sam (BAM) files. Subsequently,

duplicate reads were marked using markDuplicates tool in Picard and the resulting files

were sorted using SortSam by coordinates. The AlignmentSummaryMetrics and

CollectWgsMetrics tools of Picard were used to collect alignment and genome coverage

statistics. The Mutect2 tool of GATK v4.1.4.1[95] used to identify and call variants in each

sample individually. Variants were firstly filtered using FilterMutectCalls of GATK to

remove probable technical or germline artifacts. Additional filters can be used to decrease

the false-positive rate via SnpSift v4.1[96]: mutant allele frequency (≥5%), coverage at

particular positions in tumor and normal samples (≥5×) and supporting reads for the

mutation in the tumor samples (at least two). Filtered variants were further compared to

exclude known polymorphisms by using bcftools v1.9.64[97]. snpEff v4.1[96] was used to

anotate the effects of variants. Large structural variations were identified using Delly

v0.7.8[98].

4.11. Cell-type deconvolution analysis

The signature matrixes were separately generated from two published single cell RNA-

seq datasets for implementation in the CIBERSORTx deconvolution algorithm[99]. Briefly,

the preprocessed count matrix of mouse tongue from 10x genomics sequencing was

extracted from the Tabula Muris. Quality control, normalization, and clustering were

performed as described in the original study[46]. The transcripts per kilobase million (TPM)

gene expression profiles of 7538 labeled cells, pertaining to 7 distinct cell types

(proliferating basal cells, basal cells, suprabasal differentiating keratinocytes, suprabasal

differentiated keratinocytes, differentiated keratinocytes, filiform differentiated

keratinocytes and Langerhans cells), were used to build a signature matrix file

(q value = 0.1). The number of barcode genes per cell type was held between 300 and

500, resulting in a matrix consisting of 2256 genes. With the same strategy, the

preprocessed, mouse oral epithelial basal layer cells-derived single-cell RNA-seq dataset

from Jones KB, et al.[47] was also used to generate a signature matrix of with 8 cell

subtypes and 1970 genes included. The two signature matrixes were then applied to

deconvolute the bulk RNA-seq TPM matrix of mNC tumor and normal control to predict

the fraction of cell subtypes, with the absolute mode, S-mode batch correction, and 100

permutations.

Karyotyping:

Karyotyping analysis was performed on two early passage cell lines derived from

mouse oral NC by Karyologic Inc. following standard protocol.

Software and statistics:

The tumor growth and survival data were analyzed and visualized using Prism 9 software

(GraphPad, USA). Animal sacrifice was considered the endpoint for both analyses. The

median survival times were calculated utilizing the Kaplan-Meier analysis, and

significance values were determined by conducting the log-rank test. A p-value of less

than 0.05 defined statistical significance.

Author contribution:

D.Z: formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, writing review,

and editing;

A.E: formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, writing review

and editing;

C.L: formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, writing review

and editing;

M.B: methodology and visualization for FISH

L.X: methodology and visualization for FISH

D.B: Pathology analysis, human pathology insights, writing, review and editing;

Y.T: Human clinical insights, writing, review and editing;

E.H: Human clinical insights, writing, review and editing;

G.M: Bioinformatics analysis, writing, review and editing;

M.T: histopathological and IHC analysis, writing, review and editing;

B.G: conceptualization, design and generation of NC GEMM, formal analysis,

investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, writing original draft, writing review

and editing; providing funding support;

Funding: This research is supported by an R37 grant (R37CA269076) from the

National Institute of Science (NIH)/National Cancer Institute (NCI) and startup funding

both awarded to B.G.

Conflict of Interest:

The Authors declear no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment:

We thank Dr. Andrew Sikora, Dr. Xiao Zhao and Dr. Jennifer Wang from the MD

Anderson Cancer Center for constructive discussions. We thank MSU Transgenic and

Genome Editing Facility (TGEF) for their help in generating the NC GEMM and the

Histology laboratory at Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (Taylor Vaughn) for their help

in histopathology and IHC analysis. We would also like to thank MSU Precision Health

Program Tissue Analysis Core for assistance in slide scanning.

689
690
References:

1 Kubonishi, I. *et al.* Novel t(15;19)(q15;p13) chromosome abnormality in a thymic
carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **51**, 3327-3328 (1991).
2 French, C. A. Pathogenesis of NUT midline carcinoma. *Annu Rev Pathol* **7**, 247-265,
doi:10.1146/annurev-pathol-011811-132438 (2012).
3 Chau, N. G. *et al.* An Anatomical Site and Genetic-Based Prognostic Model for Patients
With Nuclear Protein in Testis (NUT) Midline Carcinoma: Analysis of 124 Patients. *JNCI*
Cancer Spectr **4**, pkz094, doi:10.1093/jncics/pkz094 (2020).
4 Nishimura, Y., Ryo, E., Yamazaki, N., Yatabe, Y. & Mori, T. Cutaneous Primary NUT
Carcinoma With BRD3-NUTM1 Fusion. *Am J Surg Pathol* **45**, 1582-1584,
doi:10.1097/PAS.0000000000001801 (2021).
5 Shehata, B. M. *et al.* NUT midline carcinoma in a newborn with multiorgan disseminated
tumor and a 2-year-old with a pancreatic/hepatic primary. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* **13**, 481-
485, doi:10.2350/09-10-0727-CR.1 (2010).
6 Ball, A. *et al.* A rare case of NUT midline carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol Case Rep* **3**, 1-3,
doi:10.1016/j.gynor.2012.09.004 (2012).
7 Jung, M., Kim, S. I., Kim, J. W., Jeon, Y. K. & Lee, C. NUT Carcinoma in the Pelvic Cavity
With Unusual Pathologic Features. *Int J Gynecol Pathol* **41**, 292-297,
doi:10.1097/PGP.0000000000000801 (2022).
8 Dickson, B. C. *et al.* NUTM1 Gene Fusions Characterize a Subset of Undifferentiated Soft
Tissue and Visceral Tumors. *Am J Surg Pathol* **42**, 636-645,
doi:10.1097/PAS.0000000000001021 (2018).
9 Chien, Y. W. *et al.* Primary malignant epithelioid and rhabdoid tumor of bone harboring
ZNF532-NUTM1 fusion: the expanding NUT cancer family. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*
58, 809-814, doi:10.1002/gcc.22785 (2019).
10 Bauer, D. E. *et al.* Clinicopathologic features and long-term outcomes of NUT midline
carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* **18**, 5773-5779, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-1153 (2012).
11 French, C. A. NUT Carcinoma: Clinicopathologic features, pathogenesis, and treatment.
Pathol Int **68**, 583-595, doi:10.1111/pin.12727 (2018).
12 Lauer, U. M., Hinterleitner, M., Horger, M., Ohnesorge, P. V. & Zender, L. NUT
Carcinoma-An Underdiagnosed Malignancy. *Front Oncol* **12**, 914031,
doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.914031 (2022).
13 Shiota, H. *et al.* Nut Directs p300-Dependent, Genome-Wide H4 Hyperacetylation in
Male Germ Cells. *Cell Rep* **24**, 3477-3487 e3476, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.08.069
(2018).
14 Kees, U. R., Mulcahy, M. T. & Willoughby, M. L. Intrathoracic carcinoma in an 11-year-
old girl showing a translocation t(15;19). *Am J Pediatr Hematol Oncol* **13**, 459-464,
doi:10.1097/00043426-199124000-00011 (1991).

15 French, C. A. *et al.* Midline carcinoma of children and young adults with NUT
rearrangement. *J Clin Oncol* **22**, 4135-4139, doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.02.107 (2004).
16 French, C. A. *et al.* BRD4-NUT fusion oncogene: a novel mechanism in aggressive
carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **63**, 304-307 (2003).
17 French, C. A. *et al.* NSD3-NUT fusion oncoprotein in NUT midline carcinoma: implications
for a novel oncogenic mechanism. *Cancer Discov* **4**, 928-941, doi:10.1158/2159-
8290.CD-14-0014 (2014).
18 He, M. *et al.* Tumor Mutation Burden and Checkpoint Immunotherapy Markers in NUT
Midline Carcinoma. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* **28**, 495-500,
doi:10.1097/PAI.0000000000000781 (2020).
19 Alekseyenko, A. A. *et al.* The oncogenic BRD4-NUT chromatin regulator drives aberrant
transcription within large topological domains. *Genes Dev* **29**, 1507-1523,
doi:10.1101/gad.267583.115 (2015).
20 Eagen, K. P. & French, C. A. Supercharging BRD4 with NUT in carcinoma. *Oncogene* **40**,
1396-1408, doi:10.1038/s41388-020-01625-0 (2021).
21 French, C. A. *et al.* BRD-NUT oncoproteins: a family of closely related nuclear proteins
that block epithelial differentiation and maintain the growth of carcinoma cells.
Oncogene **27**, 2237-2242, doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1210852 (2008).
22 Grayson, A. R. *et al.* MYC, a downstream target of BRD-NUT, is necessary and sufficient
for the blockade of differentiation in NUT midline carcinoma. *Oncogene* **33**, 1736-1742,
doi:10.1038/onc.2013.126 (2014).
23 Wang, R. *et al.* Activation of SOX2 expression by BRD4-NUT oncogenic fusion drives
neoplastic transformation in NUT midline carcinoma. *Cancer Res* **74**, 3332-3343,
doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2658 (2014).
24 Haruki, N. *et al.* Cloned fusion product from a rare t(15;19)(q13.2;p13.1) inhibit S phase
in vitro. *J Med Genet* **42**, 558-564, doi:10.1136/jmg.2004.029686 (2005).
25 Gu, B. & Hakun, M. C. Challenges and Opportunities in NUT Carcinoma Research. *Genes*
12, 235 (2021).
26 Chen, X., Pappo, A. & Dyer, M. A. Pediatric solid tumor genomics and developmental
pliancy. *Oncogene* **34**, 5207-5215, doi:10.1038/onc.2014.474 (2015).
27 Puisieux, A., Pommier, R. M., Morel, A. P. & Lavial, F. Cellular Pliancy and the Multistep
Process of Tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* **33**, 164-172, doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2018.01.007
(2018).
28 Minas, T. Z. *et al.* Combined experience of six independent laboratories attempting to
create an Ewing sarcoma mouse model. *Oncotarget* **8**, 34141-34163,
doi:10.18632/oncotarget.9388 (2017).
29 Amin, A. D., Rajan, S. S., Groysman, M. J., Pongtornpipat, P. & Schatz, J. H. Oncogene
Overdose: Too Much of a Bad Thing for Oncogene-Addicted Cancer Cells. *Biomark*
Cancer **7**, 25-32, doi:10.4137/BIC.S29326 (2015).
30 Forster, A. *et al.* Engineering de novo reciprocal chromosomal translocations associated
with Mll to replicate primary events of human cancer. *Cancer Cell* **3**, 449-458,
doi:10.1016/s1535-6108(03)00106-5 (2003).

31 Van Deursen, J., Fornerod, M., Van Rees, B. & Grosveld, G. Cre-mediated site-specific
translocation between nonhomologous mouse chromosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
92, 7376-7380, doi:10.1073/pnas.92.16.7376 (1995).
32 Drynan, L. F. *et al.* Mll fusions generated by Cre-loxP-mediated de novo translocations
can induce lineage reassignment in tumorigenesis. *EMBO J* **24**, 3136-3146,
doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600760 (2005).
33 Buchholz, F., Refaeli, Y., Trumpp, A. & Bishop, J. M. Inducible chromosomal translocation
of AML1 and ETO genes through Cre/loxP-mediated recombination in the mouse. *EMBO*
Rep **1**, 133-139, doi:10.1093/embo-reports/kvd027 (2000).
34 Gu, B., Posfai, E. & Rossant, J. Efficient generation of targeted large insertions by
microinjection into two-cell-stage mouse embryos. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 632-637,
doi:10.1038/nbt.4166 (2018).
35 Patel, M. R. *et al.* Longitudinal, noninvasive imaging of T-cell effector function and
proliferation in living subjects. *Cancer Res* **70**, 10141-10149, doi:10.1158/0008-
5472.CAN-10-1843 (2010).
36 Arnold, K. *et al.* Sox2(+) adult stem and progenitor cells are important for tissue
regeneration and survival of mice. *Cell Stem Cell* **9**, 317-329,
doi:10.1016/j.stem.2011.09.001 (2011).
37 Senoo, M., Pinto, F., Crum, C. P. & McKeon, F. p63 Is essential for the proliferative
potential of stem cells in stratified epithelia. *Cell* **129**, 523-536,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.045 (2007).
38 Murphy, M. J., Wilson, A. & Trumpp, A. More than just proliferation: Myc function in
stem cells. *Trends Cell Biol* **15**, 128-137, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2005.01.008 (2005).
39 Dassule, H. R., Lewis, P., Bei, M., Maas, R. & McMahon, A. P. Sonic hedgehog regulates
growth and morphogenesis of the tooth. *Development* **127**, 4775-4785,
doi:10.1242/dev.127.22.4775 (2000).
40 Haack, H. *et al.* Diagnosis of NUT midline carcinoma using a NUT-specific monoclonal
antibody. *Am J Surg Pathol* **33**, 984-991, doi:10.1097/PAS.0b013e318198d666 (2009).
41 Reynoird, N. *et al.* Oncogenesis by sequestration of CBP/p300 in transcriptionally
inactive hyperacetylated chromatin domains. *EMBO J* **29**, 2943-2952,
doi:10.1038/emboj.2010.176 (2010).
42 Tripathi, S. *et al.* Defining the condensate landscape of fusion oncoproteins. *Nature*
Communications **14**, 6008, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-41655-2 (2023).
43 Sun, H., McGuire, M. F., Zhang, S. & Brown, R. E. NUT Midline Carcinoma:
Morphoproteomic Characterization with Genomic and Therapeutic Correlates. *Ann Clin*
Lab Sci **45**, 692-701 (2015).
44 Newman, A. M. *et al.* Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression
profiles. *Nat Methods* **12**, 453-457, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3337 (2015).
45 Newman, A. M. *et al.* Determining cell type abundance and expression from bulk tissues
with digital cytometry. *Nature Biotechnology* **37**, 773-782, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-
0114-2 (2019).
46 Tabula Muris, C. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates a Tabula
Muris. *Nature* **562**, 367-372, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0590-4 (2018).

47   Jones, K. B. *et al.* Quantitative Clonal Analysis and Single-Cell Transcriptomics Reveal
815   Division Kinetics, Hierarchy, and Fate of Oral Epithelial Progenitor Cells. *Cell Stem Cell*
816   **24**, 183-192 e188, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.10.015 (2019).

817   48   Yang, F., Shen, D. & Shi, J. Primary renal NUT carcinoma identified by next-generation
818   sequencing: a case report and literature review. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol* **14**, 662-669 (2021).

819   49   Hingorani, S. R. *et al.* Preinvasive and invasive ductal pancreatic cancer and its early
820   detection in the mouse. *Cancer Cell* **4**, 437-450, doi:10.1016/s1535-6108(03)00309-x
821   (2003).

822   50   Logan, M. *et al.* Expression of Cre Recombinase in the developing mouse limb bud
823   driven by a Prxl enhancer. *Genesis* **33**, 77-80, doi:10.1002/gene.10092 (2002).

824   51   Xiao, W., Mohseny, A. B., Hogendoorn, P. C. & Cleton-Jansen, A. M. Mesenchymal stem
825   cell transformation and sarcoma genesis. *Clin Sarcoma Res* **3**, 10, doi:10.1186/2045-
826   3329-3-10 (2013).

827   52   Belteki, G. *et al.* Conditional and inducible transgene expression in mice through the
828   combinatorial use of Cre-mediated recombination and tetracycline induction. *Nucleic*
829   *Acids Res* **33**, e51, doi:10.1093/nar/gni051 (2005).

830   53   den Bakker, M. A. *et al.* NUT midline carcinoma of the parotid gland with mesenchymal
831   differentiation. *Am J Surg Pathol* **33**, 1253-1258, doi:10.1097/PAS.0b013e3181abe120
832   (2009).

833   54   Bell, D. *et al.* High-grade sinonasal carcinomas and surveillance of differential expression
834   in immune related transcriptome. *Ann Diagn Pathol* **49**, 151622,
835   doi:10.1016/j.anndiagpath.2020.151622 (2020).

836   55   Thawani, R. *et al.* The contemporary management of cancers of the sinonasal tract in
837   adults. *CA Cancer J Clin* **73**, 72-112, doi:10.3322/caac.21752 (2023).

838   56   Whatcott, C. J. *et al.* Desmoplasia in Primary Tumors and Metastatic Lesions of
839   Pancreatic Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* **21**, 3561-3568, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-1051
840   (2015).

841   57   Ren, B. *et al.* Tumor microenvironment participates in metastasis of pancreatic cancer.
842   *Mol Cancer* **17**, 108, doi:10.1186/s12943-018-0858-1 (2018).

843   58   Mascharak, S. *et al.* Desmoplastic stromal signatures predict patient outcomes in
844   pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cell Reports Medicine*,
845   doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101248 (2023).

846   59   Stelow, E. B. A review of NUT midline carcinoma. *Head Neck Pathol* **5**, 31-35,
847   doi:10.1007/s12105-010-0235-x (2011).

848   60   Agaimy, A. *et al.* NUT Carcinoma of the Salivary Glands: Clinicopathologic and Molecular
849   Analysis of 3 Cases and a Survey of NUT Expression in Salivary Gland Carcinomas. *Am J*
850   *Surg Pathol* **42**, 877-884, doi:10.1097/PAS.0000000000001046 (2018).

851   61   Gokmen-Polar, Y., Cano, O. D., Kesler, K. A., Loehrer, P. J. & Badve, S. NUT midline
852   carcinomas in the thymic region. *Mod Pathol* **27**, 1649-1656,
853   doi:10.1038/modpathol.2014.63 (2014).

854   62   Bakir, B., Chiarella, A. M., Pitarresi, J. R. & Rustgi, A. K. EMT, MET, Plasticity, and Tumor
855   Metastasis. *Trends Cell Biol* **30**, 764-776, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2020.07.003 (2020).

856   63   Nieto, M. A. The snail superfamily of zinc-finger transcription factors. *Nat Rev Mol Cell*
857   *Biol* **3**, 155-166, doi:10.1038/nrm757 (2002).

64   Liu, Y., El-Naggar, S., Darling, D. S., Higashi, Y. & Dean, D. C. Zeb1 links epithelial-
mesenchymal transition and cellular senescence. *Development* **135**, 579-588,
doi:10.1242/dev.007047 (2008).
65   Ridge, K. M., Eriksson, J. E., Pekny, M. & Goldman, R. D. Roles of vimentin in health and
disease. *Genes Dev* **36**, 391-407, doi:10.1101/gad.349358.122 (2022).
66   Berr, A. L. *et al.* Vimentin is required for tumor progression and metastasis in a mouse
model of non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncogene* **42**, 2074-2087, doi:10.1038/s41388-023-
02703-9 (2023).
67   Brabletz, S., Schuhwerk, H., Brabletz, T. & Stemmler, M. P. Dynamic EMT: a multi-tool
for tumor progression. *EMBO J* **40**, e108647, doi:10.15252/embj.2021108647 (2021).
68   Xie, Y., Shi, L., He, X. & Luo, Y. Gastrointestinal cancers in China, the USA, and Europe.
Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) **9**, 91-104, doi:10.1093/gastro/goab010 (2021).
69   Giacobbe, A. & Abate-Shen, C. Modeling metastasis in mice: a closer look. *Trends Cancer*
7, 916-929, doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2021.06.010 (2021).
70   Chen, Y. *et al.* Dual reporter genetic mouse models of pancreatic cancer identify an
epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition-independent metastasis program. *EMBO Mol Med*
10, doi:10.15252/emmm.201809085 (2018).
71   Durall, R. T. *et al.* The BRD4-NUT fusion alone drives malignant transformation of NUT
carcinoma. *Cancer Res*, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-23-2545 (2023).
72   Sinjab, A., Han, G., Wang, L. & Kadara, H. Field Carcinogenesis in Cancer Evolution: What
the Cell Is Going On? *Cancer Res* **80**, 4888-4891, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-20-1956
(2020).
73   Gu, B., Posfai, E., Gertsenstein, M. & Rossant, J. Efficient Generation of Large-Fragment
Knock-In Mouse Models Using 2-Cell (2C)-Homologous Recombination (HR)-CRISPR. *Curr*
Protoc Mouse Biol **10**, e67, doi:10.1002/cpmo.67 (2020).
74   Gu, B., Gertsenstein, M. & Posfai, E. Generation of Large Fragment Knock-In Mouse
Models by Microinjecting into 2-Cell Stage Embryos. *Methods Mol Biol* **2066**, 89-100,
doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-9837-1_7 (2020).
75   Stelow, E. B. *et al.* NUT rearrangement in undifferentiated carcinomas of the upper
aerodigestive tract. *Am J Surg Pathol* **32**, 828-834, doi:10.1097/PAS.0b013e31815a3900
(2008).
76   Bioinformatics, B. *FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data*,
<https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/> (2010).
77   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
(2014).
78   Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq
quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**, 525-527, doi:10.1038/nbt.3519 (2016).
79   Soneson, C., Love, M. I. & Robinson, M. D. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-
level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Res* **4**, 1521,
doi:10.12688/f1000research.7563.2 (2015).
80   Gentleman, R. C. *et al.* Bioconductor: open software development for computational
biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol* **5**, R80, doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80 (2004).

81　Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550, doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
(2014).
82　Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and
Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc B* **57**, 289-300, doi:DOI
10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x (1995).
83　Zhu, A., Ibrahim, J. G. & Love, M. I. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count
data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 2084-
2092, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty895 (2019).
84　Yu, G. C., Wang, L. G., Han, Y. Y. & He, Q. Y. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing
Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *Omics* **16**, 284-287,
doi:10.1089/omi.2011.0118 (2012).
85　Ashburner, M. *et al.* Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene
Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* **25**, 25-29, doi:10.1038/75556 (2000).
86　Gene Ontology, C. *et al.* The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023. *Genetics* **224**,
doi:10.1093/genetics/iyad031 (2023).
87　Kanehisa, M. A database for post-genome analysis. *Trends Genet* **13**, 375-376,
doi:10.1016/s0168-9525(97)01223-7 (1997).
88　Vastrik, I. *et al.* Reactome: a knowledge base of biologic pathways and processes.
Genome Biol **8**, R39, doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-3-r39 (2007).
89　Liberzon, A. *et al.* The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set
collection. *Cell Syst* **1**, 417-425, doi:10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004 (2015).
90　Boyle, E. I. *et al.* GO::TermFinder--open source software for accessing Gene Ontology
information and finding significantly enriched Gene Ontology terms associated with a
list of genes. *Bioinformatics* **20**, 3710-3715, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bth456 (2004).
91　Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for
interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545-
15550, doi:10.1073/pnas.0506580102 (2005).
92　Yu, G. C. *et al.* GOSemSim: an R package for measuring semantic similarity among GO
terms and gene products. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 976-978,
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq064 (2010).
93　Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324 (2009).
94　Institute, B. *"Picard Toolkit."*, <GitHub Repository.
https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/> (2019).
95　McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing
next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**, 1297-1303,
doi:10.1101/gr.107524.110 (2010).
96　Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single
nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster
strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* **6**, 80-92, doi:10.4161/fly.19695 (2012).
97　Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping
and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*
27, 2987-2993, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr509 (2011).

98   Rausch, T. *et al.* DELLY: structural variant discovery by integrated paired-end and split-
read analysis. *Bioinformatics* **28**, i333-i339, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts378 (2012).
99   Newman, A. M. *et al.* Determining cell type abundance and expression from bulk tissues
with digital cytometry. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 773-782, doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0114-2
(2019).

Figure 1: Generation of the NC GEMM: A. Genetic design of the Nut carcinoma

translocator (NCT) and the generation of the Krt14Cre induced mNC. B. Representative

BLI images of mice with head NC. C. BLI images of the growth kinetics of mNC. D.

Growth curves of mNC in 8 mice quantified by BLI signals; each curve represents one mouse. E. Kaplan Meier survival curve of Krt14Cre induced mNC mice. P value calculated by log-rank test. F. DC-FISH of the *Brd4* (Green) and *Nutm1* (Red) on mNC. G. A representative NUTM1 IHC image of NC bearing whole mouse head (a) and zoom-ins on the tumor (b) and normal oral mucosa (c) regions.

Figure 2: Histological and molecular characteristics of mNCs: A. Representative H&E staining images of Krt14Cre mNC tumors at different anatomical locations. B. The distribution of pathological features of mNCs. C. Tumors formed in the same mouse presenting variable histological features. D. Representative image of IF staining of NUTM1 in mNC showing nuclear foci. TdTomato staining demarcates tumor cells E. Representative images of IF analysis of H3K27ace in mNCs, depicting nuclear foci in tumor cells (b) versus a diffused nuclear stain in peri-tumor stromal cells (c). F. Representative IHC images of cMYC, KRT14, P63, and SOX2 in oral mNCs.

Figure 3: mNCs largely consist of proliferative progenitor cells. A. Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in mNCs compared to normal oral mucosa. B. GSEA enrichment of HALLMARK pathways in mNCs. C. CIBERSORT deconvolution of the transcriptome of mNCs according to the *Tabula Muris* dataset. D. Representative histology images of mNCs that enriches the proliferative basal cell profile at different

level. E. A representative Ki67 IHC image of mNCs. F. A representative IF image of NC cells (tdTomato) and the ones expressing keratinization marker KRT13.

Figure 4: *Brd4::Nutm1* initiates pancreatic and soft tissue tumors. A. Representative BLI images of mice with pancreatic mNCs. B. A representative macroscopic image of pancreatic mNCs. C. Representative H&E, and IHC images of NUTM1, cMYC, KRT14, P63, and SOX2 in pancreatic mNCs. D. Representative BLI images of mice with soft tissue mNCs. E. A representative macroscopic image of soft tissue mNCs. F.

Representative H&E, and IHC images of NUTM1, cMYC, KRT14, P63, and SOX2 in pancreatic mNCs.

Figure 5: *Brd4::Nutm1* initiates NC across tissues. A. Tissue distribution of the NLS-Cre

driven mNCs; left: distribution throughout body. Right. Distribution of the head and neck

tumors. B. Representative whole-head H&E, high magnification H&E, and IHC images

of nasal and oral NLS-Cre driven mNCs. C. Representative macroscopic, H&E, and IHC

images of NLS-Cre driven mNCs outside the head and neck region. D. Representative

H&E, IHC and Alcian Blue cartilage staining images of chondrogenic and ductal

metaplasia in mNCs.

Figure 6: mNCs are highly metastatic. A. Representative H&E and IHC images of a lymph node metastasis from oral mNC. B. Representative macroscopic and H&E images of peritoneal/mesentry, lung and liver metastasis of pancreatic mNCs. C. Representative H&E and IHC images of peritoneal metastasis of pancreatic mNCs.

Figure 7: mNCs present desmoplastic and EMT features that could contribute to its metastatic potential. A. Upregulation of markers of activated fibroblasts in mNCs. B. Upregulation of ECM and their modifier genes in mNCs. C. Representative images of desmoplastic features of oral (upper) and pancreatic (bottom) mNCs. D. GSEA enrichment of EMT genes (left) and upregulation of key EMT markers in oral mNCs. E. Expression of the epithelial marker E-Cadherin and the Mesenchymal marker Vimentin and Zeb1 in advanced oral and pancreatic mNCs, showing regions of EMT. TdTomato staining demarcates NC cells. F. Expression of the epithelial marker E-Cadherin and the Mesenchymal marker Vimentin and Zeb1 in metastatic mNCs, presenting heterogenous EMT status. TdTomato staining demarcates NC cells.

Supplementary Figure legends:

Supplementary Figure 1. The validation of the NC GEMM. A. Representative BLI images of NCT mice. B. A representative IF image of NUTM1 and TdTomato in the testis of NCT mice. C. A representative IF image of TdTomato in a whole head section of Krt14Cre; R26-LSL-TdTomato mice, depicting the tissue distribution of Cre activities. D. BLI images of 19 representative Krt14Cre-dirven NC mice. E. The tissue distribution of Krt14Cre-dirven mNCs. F. A representative sange sequencing track of the *Brd4::Nutm1* fusion junction. G. A representative karyotype of Krt14Cre-dirven mNC cells. Arrows indicates the t(2;17) translocation. H. Circos chart of chromosome translocation detected by whole genome sequencing of two mNCs. The thick blue line indicates t(2;17) forming *Brd4::Nutm1* is the only recurrent chromosome translocation. I. Two additional whole head NUTM1 IHC in mNC bearing mice.

Supplementary Figure 2. Molecular features if mNCs. A. A representative IHC image showing NUTM1 nuclear foci in mNCs. B. Representative IF images showing H3K27ace nuclear foci in mNCs. C. Representative whole head section IHC images of P63, KRT14, cMYC and SOX2 in two mNC bearing mice.

Supplementary Figure 3. RNA sequencing analysis of mNCs. A. RNA integrity number of samples demonstrated appropriate quality. B. Clustering analysis of RNA sequencing data. C. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of RNA sequencing data D. CIBERSORT deconvolution of the bulk RNA sequencing data using a second set of single-cell RNA sequencing signatures of oral mucosa epithelium.

Supplementary Figure 4. BRD4::NUTM1 induced pancreatic and soft tissue mNCs. A. BLI images of 18 Pdx1Cre;NCT mice. B. Representative H&E and IHC images of pancreatic NC from Pdx1Cre;NCT mice. C. BLI images of 9 Prrx1Cre;NCT mice. D. B. Representative H&E and IHC images of soft tissue NC from Prrx1Cre;NCT mice.

Supplementary Figure 5. BRD4::NUTM1 induced NC from a broad range of tissues. A. BLI images of 25 NLS-Cre; NCT mice. B. Histopathological diagnosis of 62 mNCs derived from NLS-Cre; NCT mice. C. Additional representative H&E images of mNCs derived from NLS-Cre; NCT mice. D. Additional representative H&E images of metaplastic mNCs derived from NLS-Cre; NCT mice.

Supplementary Figure 6. Metastatic lesions from pancreatic mNC. A. Representative macroscopic images of metastatic mNCs. B. Representative IF of EMT markers of abdominal metastasis from pancreatic mNCs. C. Representative IF of EMT markers of diaphram metastasis from pancreatic mNCs. D. Representative IF of EMT markers of thymic metastasis from pancreatic mNCs.