# Intersubject representational similarity analysis uncovers the impact of state anxiety on multivariate activation patterns in the human extrastriate cortex

Po-Yuan A. Hsiao[1], M. Justin Kim[2,3], Feng-Chun B. Chou[1] & Pin-Hao A. Chen[1,4,5*]

[1] Department of Psychology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
[2] Department of Psychology, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul, South Korea
[3] Center for Neuroscience Imaging Research, Institute for Basic Science, Suwon, South Korea
[4] Neurobiology and Cognitive Science Center, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
[5] Graduate Institute of Brain and Mind Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

* Corresponding author: P.-H.A. Chen (andyphchen@ntu.edu.tw)

Word counts: 2580

Keywords: State anxiety, fMRI, ISRSA, extrastriate cortex, multivariate activation pattern

## Abstract

The current study used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and showed that state anxiety modulated extrastriate cortex activity in response to emotionally-charged visual images. State anxiety and neuroimaging data from 53 individuals were subjected to an intersubject representational similarity analysis (ISRSA), wherein the geometries between neural and behavioral data are compared. This analysis identified the extrastriate cortex (fusiform gyrus and area MT) to be the sole regions whose activity patterns covaried with state anxiety. Importantly, we show that this brain-behavior association is revealed when treating state anxiety data as a multidimensional response pattern, rather than a single composite score. This suggests that ISRSA using multivariate distances may be more sensitive in identifying the shared geometries between self-report questionnaires and brain imaging data. Overall, our findings demonstrate that a transient state of anxiety may influence how visual information – especially those relevant to the valence dimension – is processed in the extrastriate cortex.

# Introduction

Emotions constitute an inherent aspect of human nature, profoundly shaping how we navigate the world. Among the various emotions we experience on a daily basis, anxiety holds particular significance for our survival (Grupe & Nitschke, 2013). An adequate amount of anxiety can heighten our alertness and vigilance, facilitating the detection of threats and avoidance of dangerous situations (Davis & Whalen, 2001). Although previous research demonstrated emotional states can impact visual perception (Phelps et al., 2006), the manner in which transient states of anxiety influence neural representations of the visual world remains largely unknown. Emphasis on trait anxiety in previous studies (Etkin & Wager, 2007; Kim et al., 2011) created a noticeable gap in the neuroimaging literature exploring the link between state anxiety and evoked neural activity during experimental tasks. Moreover, previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies employing task-based experimental designs have demonstrated its suitability in capturing individual differences in mental or emotional states, but not traits (Gratton et al., 2018). Therefore, the present study aims to utilize task-based fMRI, wherein participants will be presented with emotionally valenced pictures, to examine the association between state anxiety and brain activity patterns. To achieve this, intersubject representational analysis (ISRSA) will be employed as it is well-suited for comparing similarities in multivariate patterns across diverse measurements (Chen et al., 2020; Chen & Qu, 2021; Finn et al., 2020). Prior research has demonstrated that compared to univariate analysis, ISRSA is more sensitive in detecting shared geometries between the brain and behavior (Chen et al., 2020; Kim & Kim, 2022). As such, the present study incorporates ISRSA and a data-driven approach to investigate which brain regions might reveal significant associations between individual variations in state anxiety and multivariate brain patterns of the three valence conditions (e.g., positive, negative, or neutral). Here, we hypothesize that, compared to a single aggregate score, using a multidimensional representation might be better at capturing individual variations in state anxiety. Based on prior empirical work, we hypothesize that brain regions known to be responsive to emotionally valenced stimuli such as the amygdala, ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) (Bishop, 2007; Etkin & Wager, 2007; Kim et al., 2011), and the fusiform gyrus (Mattek et al., 2020; Morris et al., 1998; Vuilleumier & Pourtois, 2007) will reveal significant associations with state anxiety.

# Method

## Participants

Fifty-six participants with no history of mental or neurological disorders were recruited for this study in 2015. This study consisted of two phases, where all participants underwent fMRI scanning in the first phase, followed by the completion of a battery of psychological questionnaires in the second phase. Three out of 56

participants failed to complete psychological questionnaires, resulting in a final sample size of 53 participants (12 males, 41 females; mean age = 20.02, age std = 1.82; 13 Asian, 4 Black, 3 Hispanic, 33 White; all participants had no history of mental or neurological disorders) for the subsequent analysis. The sample size of the present study was determined through reference to a prior investigation employing a comparable experimental design, wherein Wagner & Heatherton (2012) recruited 48 participants for their study. All participants provided informed consent, adhering to the guidelines established by the Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at Dartmouth College.

## Experimental procedure

In this study, participants were instructed to complete an emotional reactivity task in the MRI scanner. Within this task, participants were instructed to judge each scene as either indoor or outdoor in a block design paradigm. Each block consisted of six scenes sharing the same valence (i.e., positive, negative, or neutral), with each scene displayed on the screen for a duration of 2.5 seconds, resulting in a total block duration of 15 seconds. Each emotional scene block was then alternated with a fixation block lasting for 15 seconds. Five positive, five neutral, and another five negative emotional blocks were presented in a counterbalanced order across participants.

## Stimuli

A total of 90 emotional scenes were selected from the International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 2008) to be used as stimuli in the emotional reactivity task. Among them, thirty scenes were classified as negative, meeting criteria of both unpleasant and high arousal (valence $M$ = 2.8; arousal $M$ = 5.1). Similarly, thirty scenes were categorized as positive, reflecting pleasant and high arousal (valence $M$ = 7.2; arousal $M$ = 5.0). Additionally, a set of thirty scenes, characterized by neither pleasant nor unpleasant and low arousal, were classified as neutral (valence $M$ = 5.4; arousal $M$ = 3.6). To prevent potential confounds arising from an imbalance in the presence of faces or people across emotional conditions, we ensured that the ratio of faces or people presence was equivalent across the three emotional conditions. This step aimed to rule out any biases that could attribute observed differences in response to a particular emotional condition due to an overabundance of faces or people, following the same procedure described previously (Wagner & Heatherton, 2012).

## State anxiety

After the fMRI scanning session, participants were asked to complete the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger & Gonzalez-Reigosa, 1971). The STAI is a widely-used scale to assess individual differences in both their state and trait anxiety. Specifically, the State Anxiety subscale, consisting of 20 items, measures the current

and transient state of anxiety stemming from stress or other negative emotions. State anxiety tends to decrease as stress is reduced or negative emotions are alleviated. In contrast, the Trait Anxiety subscale, also containing 20 items, captures enduring individual differences in the stable and long-term propensity for anxiety. Trait anxiety, as opposed to transient states, arises from inherent or long-standing stress factors, rendering it more stable and less susceptible to short-term fluctuations in external stimuli or events. Given the primary focus of this study on individual differences in state anxiety, our following reported results were solely based on the findings related to state anxiety.

## Image acquisition and preprocessing

### Imaging parameters

MRI scanning was conducted using a Philips Achieva 3T scanner with a 32-channel head coil. Structural images were acquired by using a T1-weighted MPRAGE protocol, consisting of 160 sagittal slices with a TR of 9.9 ms, TE of 4.6 ms, voxel size of 1 x 1 x 1 mm, and flip angles of 8 degrees). Functional images were acquired using a T2*-weighted echo-planar sequence, consisting of 36 axial slices, 3 mm thick with 0.5 mm gap, 3 x 3 mm in-plane resolution, and with TR of 2500 ms, TE of 35 ms, voxel size of 3 x 3 x 3 mm, flip angles of 90 degrees, as well as field of view of 240 $mm^2$.

### fMRI data preprocessing

The fMRI data from the emotional reactivity task was analyzed utilizing SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, England) in conjunction with a preprocessing and analysis toolbox (http://github.com/ddwagner/SPM8w). The first step in the analysis involved correcting for differences in acquisition time between slices. Subsequently, within the first functional run, image realignment was performed using affine registration with 6 DOFs for motion correction. Following motion correction, the images underwent an unwarping procedure to decrease residual motion-related distortions that were not previously corrected. The next step involved normalizing the images to a standard stereotaxic space (3 x 3 x 3 mm isotropic voxels), SPM8 EPI template, which conforms to the ICBM 152 brain template space. Lastly, spatial smoothing was applied using a 6-mm full-width-at-half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

For each participant, a general linear model was computed, incorporating condition effects and covariates of no interest (a session mean, a linear trend to account for low-frequency drift, and six movement parameters derived from realignment corrections). The model was then convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function. Subsequently, beta images were generated for the positive, negative, and neutral conditions, which were further used for the following analyses. To investigate potential associations between individual variations in state anxiety

and corresponding variations in multivariate activation patterns for each emotion condition, the entire brain was divided into 50 functionally similar regions-of-interests (ROIs). These 50 non-overlapping ROIs were defined based on meta-analytic functional coactivations from the Neurosynth database (de la Vega et al., 2016), and the parcellations can be accessed at https://neurovault.org/collections/2099/. Multivariate activation patterns for each valence condition were then extracted from each ROI. All subsequent analyses were performed using these multivariate activation patterns obtained from these ROIs, with particular focus given to results from the fusiform gyrus, amygdala, vmPFC, and dmPFC. For completeness, exploratory analyses were performed on all other ROIs.

## Intersubject representational similarity analysis (ISRSA)

We then employed ISRSA (Chang et al., 2018) to explore which brain regions may reveal significant associations between individual variations in state anxiety and variations in multivariate activation patterns for each valence condition. ISRSA investigates how intersubject variability in self-reported measurements relates to variability in multivariate activation patterns. ISRSA accomplishes this by utilizing second-order statistics similar to classical representational similarity analysis (Kriegeskorte et al., 2008; Nummenmaa et al., 2012).

The ISRSA procedure begins with computing subject-by-subject distance matrices based on self-reported data (i.e., state anxiety) and neural data, respectively. Regarding individual variability in state anxiety, in order to test whether compared to taking a single aggregate score, using a multidimensional representation would be better to capture individual variations in state anxiety, we conducted both multivariate distance and univariate aggregate score distance matrices for the state anxiety scores. For the multivariate distance matrix, cosine distance was utilized to calculate dissimilarity across all items in the state anxiety subscale between each pair of participants (Liao & Xu, 2015; Maharani et al., 2020). To obtain the univariate aggregated score distance matrix, we calculated the absolute difference between the composite state anxiety scores, thereby quantifying intersubject dissimilarity between each pair of participants. Regarding the neural data, within each valence condition, pairwise Euclidean distances between participants' multivariate brain patterns were computed per ROI, producing subject-by-subject distance matrices for each ROI. Spearman rank correlations were used to evaluate the associations between the intersubject dissimilarity of the behavioral multivariate and neural intersubject distance matrices, as well as the behavioral univariate aggregate score distance and neural intersubject distance matrices for each valence condition. To assess the significance of these correlations, Mantel permutation tests were utilized (Mantel, 1967; Nummenmaa et al., 2012), which is commonly employed to calculate one-tailed p values in ISRSA research (Chen et al., 2020; van Baar et al., 2019). Given the increased probability of Type I error with multiple tests, Bonferroni correction was applied to control the family-wise error rate (FWE) during multiple comparisons.

Consequently, the thresholded *p*-value after the Bonferroni correction was set to 0.001.

## Results

ISRSA results using multivariate distances of state anxiety consistently revealed significant associations between intersubject variations in state anxiety and multivariate patterns in the fusiform gyrus. This effect was persistent across valence conditions: positive, $r(1376) = 0.33$, $p < 0.001$ (Figure 1(A-1)), negative ($r(1376) = 0.32$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 1(A-2)), and neutral scenes ($r(1376) = 0.36$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 1(A-3); Table 1). Similarly, significant associations were observed in area MT for positive ($r(1376) = 0.36$, $p < 0.001$), negative ($r(1376) = 0.32$, $p < 0.001$), and neutral scenes ($r(1376) = 0.36$, $p < 0.001$; Table 1). However, no significant associations were found in the amygdala, vmPFC, or dmPFC during the viewing of positive, negative, or neutral scenes (Table 1). On the other hand, results from the ISRSA using univariate aggregate score distances of state anxiety indicated no significant associations in the fusiform gyrus for positive ($r(1376) = -0.01$, $p = 0.549$; Figure 1(B-1)), negative ($r(1376) = -0.05$, $p = 0.796$; Figure 1(B-2)), and neutral conditions ($r(1376) = -0.11$, $p = 0.959$; Figure 1(B-3); Table 1). Similarly, no significant associations were found in area MT, amygdala, vmPFC, or dmPFC during the viewing of positive, negative, or neutral scenes (Table 1).

**Table 1. Summary of ISRSA results using multivariate distances and univariate aggregate score distances for computing intersubject similarity in state anxiety.** The values indicate the Spearman correlation from the ISRSA analysis and the values within the parentheses correspond to the *p* values.

ISRSA using multivariate distances

| | fusiform gyrus | area MT | amygdala | vmPFC | dmPFC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Positive | 0.33 (< 0.001) | 0.36 (< 0.001) | 0.09 (0.147) | 0.04 (0.284) | 0.08 (0.171) |
| Negative | 0.32 (< 0.001) | 0.32 (< 0.001) | 0.20 (0.011) | 0.01 (0.422) | 0.02 (0.410) |
| Neutral | 0.36 (< 0.001) | 0.36 (< 0.001) | 0.11 (0.108) | 0.11 (0.083) | -0.09 (0.869) |

ISRSA using univariate aggregate score distances

| | fusiform gyrus | area MT | amygdala | vmPFC | dmPFC |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Positive | -0.01 (0.549) | 0.02 (0.375) | 0.06 (0.205) | 0.01 (0.444) | 0.02 (0.371) |
| Negative | -0.05 (0.796) | 0.03 (0.335) | 0.04 (0.288) | 0.01 (0.411) | 0.04 (0.276) |
| Neutral | -0.11 (0.959) | -0.07 (0.882) | 0.11 (0.058) | 0.04 (0.234) | 0.06 (0.167) |




**Figure 1. ISRSA using multivariate distances and univariate aggregate score distances in computing intersubject similarity in state anxiety.** (A) ISRSA using multivariate distances revealed significant associations between individual variations in state anxiety and multivariate patterns in the fusiform gyrus across all three valence conditions. (B) In contrast, ISRSA using univariate aggregate score distances yielded no significant associations in the fusiform gyrus. Spearman rank correlations and Mantel permutation tests were used to test for statistically significant brain-anxiety associations. The color bar indicates the Spearman correlation value from the ISRSA for each ROI.

## Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrate that intersubject similarity in neural representations of emotionally-charged and neutral stimuli was associated with similarity in their self-reported levels of state anxiety. Notably, these associations are primarily observed in the extrastriate cortex, specifically in the fusiform gyrus and area MT. Cognitive neuroscience research over the past two decades has emphasized the role of emotion in modulating stimulus representation in the visual cortex (Carretié et al., 2022; Kragel et al., 2019; Morris et al., 1998). By placing our results within this broader context, the present findings suggest that elevated state anxiety may influence perception by altering the neural representations of visual information in the extrastriate cortex (more so for the fusiform gyrus than the unexpected effect in the area MT). This proposition aligns with a recent study indicating that representations of valence are organized in a gradient within the fusiform gyrus (Mattek et al., 2020). It is likely that an anxious state, compared to a calm state, may bias individuals toward perceiving emotionally charged stimuli differently (Hirsch et al., 2016; Mathews & MacLeod, 1994), leading to alterations in neural representations within the fusiform gyrus. Furthermore, this effect may not only be limited solely to emotionally-charged scenes but could extend to neutral scenes as well. Interestingly, this suggestion finds support in previous research demonstrating that differential neural and behavioral responses to emotionally neutral stimuli are associated with individual differences in anxiety (Cooney et al., 2006; Yoon & Zinbarg, 2008). Overall, our study builds upon prior work that has focused on specific brain regions like the amygdala (Somerville et al., 2004) and proposes a potential candidate mechanism for how anxious states might alter the representations of visual stimuli at the level of the extrastriate visual cortex.

Somewhat unexpectedly, there was a notable absence of significant associations in either the amygdala or vmPFC. While previous studies found correlations between state anxiety and neural responses using univariate methods, they usually involved facial expressions of emotion (Bishop et al., 2004; Somerville et al., 2004) rather than emotional scenes. In cases where emotional scenes were used, such effects appear to depend on experimental design (passive viewing vs. cognitive reappraisal) or pre-existing mental health conditions (Denny et al., 2015). Our multivariate ISRSA approach expands on these findings to suggest that the extrastriate cortex is also impacted by state anxiety. That said, we do note that amygdala activity patterns to negative stimuli were marginally correlated with state anxiety ($p < 0.011$), but we are careful not to interpret this observation as this effect did not pass the corrected statistical threshold.

In sum, the present study examined the impact of a transient state of anxiety on neural representations of visual information. Rather than relying solely on a univariate aggregated score, we employed multivariate distances to capture individual differences in state anxiety. This approach considers the full spectrum of

individual variations across all items, avoiding the reduction of the multivariate nature to a single average score. In line with other recent work (Chen et al., 2020), the present findings provide further evidence that, compared to a single aggregated score, the existence of more nuanced and sensitive individual differences might be within a multi-dimensional space (Jolly & Chang, 2019). Lastly, although we include participants with diverse racial identities, the diversity in age distribution in our study is limited. Future studies are needed to expand the age distribution in order to test the generalizability of our findings.

## Conclusion

Collectively, our results demonstrate that a transient anxious state can indeed influence the neural representations of valence, with particular relevance observed in the fusiform gyrus. These findings underscore the critical role of an anxious state in shaping how we perceive and interpret the world around us.

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by funding from the NSTC (MOST 109-2636-H-002-006 and 110-2636-H-002-004; NSTC 111-2628-H-002-004 and 111-2423-H-002-008-MY4 to P.-H.A. Chen) in Taiwan. This research was also supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF-2021R1F1A1045988 to M.J. Kim). The code and data used to perform the analysis in the paper will be available on Github pending publication of this manuscript.

## Reference

Bishop, S. J. (2007). Neurocognitive mechanisms of anxiety: an integrative account. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *11*(7), 307–316.

Bishop, S. J., Duncan, J., & Lawrence, A. D. (2004). State anxiety modulation of the amygdala response to unattended threat-related stimuli. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *24*(46), 10364–10368.

Carretié, L., Fernández-Folgueiras, U., Álvarez, F., Cipriani, G. A., Tapia, M., & Kessel, D. (2022). Fast unconscious processing of emotional stimuli in early stages of the visual cortex. *Cerebral Cortex*, *32*(19), 4331–4344.

Chang, L., Jolly, E., Cheong, J. H., Burnashev, A., & Chen, P.-H. A. (2018). *cosanlab/nltools: 0.3.11*. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2229813

Chen, P.-H. A., Jolly, E., Cheong, J. H., & Chang, L. J. (2020). Intersubject representational similarity analysis reveals individual variations in affective experience when watching erotic movies. *NeuroImage*, *216*, 116851.

Chen, P.-H. A., & Qu, Y. (2021). Taking a computational cultural neuroscience approach to study parent-child similarities in diverse cultural contexts. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *15*, 703999.

Cooney, R. E., Atlas, L. Y., Joormann, J., Eugène, F., & Gotlib, I. H. (2006). Amygdala activation in the processing of neutral faces in social anxiety disorder: is neutral really neutral? *Psychiatry Research*, *148*(1), 55–59.

Davis, M., & Whalen, P. J. (2001). The amygdala: vigilance and emotion. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *6*(1), 13–34.

de la Vega, A., Chang, L. J., Banich, M. T., Wager, T. D., & Yarkoni, T. (2016). Large-Scale Meta-Analysis of Human Medial Frontal Cortex Reveals Tripartite Functional Organization. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*, *36*(24), 6553–6562.

Denny, B. T., Fan, J., Liu, X., Ochsner, K. N., Guerreri, S., Mayson, S. J., Rimsky, L., McMaster, A., New, A. S., Goodman, M., Siever, L. J., & Koenigsberg, H. W. (2015). Elevated amygdala activity during reappraisal anticipation predicts anxiety in avoidant personality disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *172*, 1–7.

Etkin, A., & Wager, T. D. (2007). Functional neuroimaging of anxiety: a meta-analysis of emotional processing in PTSD, social anxiety disorder, and specific phobia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *164*(10), 1476–1488.

Finn, E. S., Glerean, E., Khojandi, A. Y., Nielson, D., Molfese, P. J., Handwerker, D. A., & Bandettini, P. A. (2020). Idiosynchrony: From shared responses to individual differences during naturalistic neuroimaging. *NeuroImage*, *215*, 116828.

Gratton, C., Laumann, T. O., Nielsen, A. N., Greene, D. J., Gordon, E. M., Gilmore, A. W.,

Nelson, S. M., Coalson, R. S., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., Dosenbach, N. U. F., & Petersen, S. E. (2018). Functional Brain Networks Are Dominated by Stable Group and Individual Factors, Not Cognitive or Daily Variation. *Neuron*, *98*(2), 439–452.e5.

Grupe, D. W., & Nitschke, J. B. (2013). Uncertainty and anticipation in anxiety: an integrated neurobiological and psychological perspective. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience*, *14*(7), 488–501.

Hirsch, C. R., Meeten, F., Krahé, C., & Reeder, C. (2016). Resolving Ambiguity in Emotional Disorders: The Nature and Role of Interpretation Biases. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *12*, 281–305.

Jolly, E., & Chang, L. J. (2019). The Flatland Fallacy: Moving Beyond Low–Dimensional Thinking. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, *11*(2), 433–454.

Kim, M. J., Loucks, R. A., Palmer, A. L., Brown, A. C., Solomon, K. M., Muraven, M., & Whalen, P. J. (2011). The structural and functional connectivity of the amygdala: From normal emotion to pathological anxiety. *Behavioural Brain Research*, *223*(2), 403–410.

Kim, W., & Kim, M. J. (2022). Morphological similarity of amygdala-ventral prefrontal pathways represents trait anxiety in younger and older adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *119*(42), e2205162119.

Kragel, P. A., Reddan, M. C., LaBar, K. S., & Wager, T. D. (2019). Emotion schemas are embedded in the human visual system. *Science Advances*, *5*(7), eaaw4358.

Kriegeskorte, N., Mur, M., & Bandettini, P. (2008). Representational similarity analysis–connecting the branches of systems neuroscience. *Frontiers in Systems …*. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2605405/

Lang, P. J., Bradley, M. M., Cuthbert, B. N., Cuthbert, Greenwald, M., Öhman, A., Vaitl, D., Hamm, A., Cook, E., Bertron, A., Petry, M., Bruner, R., Mcmanis, M., Zabaldo, D., Martinez, S., Cuthbert, S., Ray, D., Koller, K., Kolchakian, M., … Barber, K. (2008). *International Affective Picture System (IAPS):* Retrieved April 21, 2023, from https://gitlab.pavlovia.org/rsaitov/experimental-psycholoy-ltu-final/raw/d3b3ec5364d25179c983d82c944baf04e06fd7ee/IAPS.TechManual.1-

20.2008.pdf

Liao, H., & Xu, Z. (2015). Approaches to manage hesitant fuzzy linguistic information based on the cosine distance and similarity measures for HFLTSs and their application in qualitative decision making. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *42*(12), 5328–5336.

Maharani, D. A., Machbub, C., Rusmin, P. H., & Yulianti, L. (2020). Improving the Capability of Real-Time Face Masked Recognition using Cosine Distance. *2020 6th International Conference on Interactive Digital Media (ICIDM)*, 1–6.

Mantel, N. (1967). The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research*, *27*(2), 209–220.

Mathews, A., & MacLeod, C. (1994). Cognitive approaches to emotion and emotional disorders. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *45*, 25–50.

Mattek, A. M., Burr, D. A., Shin, J., Whicker, C. L., & Kim, M. J. (2020). Identifying the representational structure of affect using fMRI. *Affective Science*, *1*(1), 42–56.

Morris, J. S., Friston, K. J., Büchel, C., Frith, C. D., Young, A. W., Calder, A. J., & Dolan, R. J. (1998). A neuromodulatory role for the human amygdala in processing emotional facial expressions. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, *121 ( Pt 1)*, 47–57.

Nummenmaa, L., Glerean, E., Viinikainen, M., Jääskeläinen, I. P., Hari, R., & Sams, M. (2012). Emotions promote social interaction by synchronizing brain activity across individuals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *109*(24), 9599–9604.

Phelps, E. A., Ling, S., & Carrasco, M. (2006). Emotion facilitates perception and potentiates the perceptual benefits of attention. *Psychological Science*, *17*(4), 292–299.

Somerville, L. H., Kim, H., Johnstone, T., Alexander, A. L., & Whalen, P. J. (2004). Human amygdala responses during presentation of happy and neutral faces: correlations with state anxiety. *Biological Psychiatry*, *55*(9), 897–903.

Spielberger, C. D., & Gonzalez-Reigosa, F. (1971). The state-trait anxiety inventory. *Revista* . http://journal.sipsych.org/index.php/IJP/article/view/620

van Baar, J. M., Chang, L. J., & Sanfey, A. G. (2019). The computational and neural

substrates of moral strategies in social decision-making. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1483.

Vuilleumier, P., & Pourtois, G. (2007). Distributed and interactive brain mechanisms during emotion face perception: evidence from functional neuroimaging. *Neuropsychologia*, *45*(1), 174–194.

Wagner, D. D., & Heatherton, T. F. (2012). Self-regulatory depletion increases emotional reactivity in the amygdala. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *8*, 410–417.

Yoon, K. L., & Zinbarg, R. E. (2008). Interpreting neutral faces as threatening is a default mode for socially anxious individuals. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *117*(3), 680–685.