Genetic consequences of improved river connectivity in brown trout (Salmo trutta, L.)

Paolo Moccetti[1,2,3], Jamie R. Dodd[2], Domino A. Joyce[1], Andy D. Nunn[2], Ben Gillespie[4], Jonathan D. Bolland[2]

[1] Evolutionary and Ecological Genomics Group, School of Natural Sciences, University of Hull, UK

[2] Hull International Fisheries Institute, School of Natural Sciences, University of Hull, UK

[3] Energy and Environment Institute, University of Hull, UK

[4] Yorkshire Water Services Limited, Western House, Western Way, Bradford, BD6 2SZ, UK

Author for correspondence:
Paolo Moccetti[1,2,3]
School of Natural Sciences, University of Hull
Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, UK
Email address: moccetti.paolo@gmail.com, p.m.moccetti-2019@hull.ac.uk

Keywords: connectivity; river fragmentation, fishway; fish pass; gene flow; genetic diversity; SNPs, BACI

Abstract

Fragmentation of watercourses poses a significant threat to biodiversity, particularly for migratory fish species. Mitigation measures, such as fishways have been increasingly implemented to restore river connectivity and support fish migration. The effects of such restoration efforts are typically tested using telemetry and fisheries methods, which do not fully capture the broader population movements that may have important consequences for population viability. We performed a before-and-after control-impact study using genetic tools (SNPs) to investigate the effect of a newly implemented fishway, aiming to enhance upstream spawning migration of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*, Linnaeus) in a reservoir with two headwater tributaries fragmented by man-made weirs. Another reservoir with two barrier-free

tributaries was also analysed as a control. Our results showed that the isolated brown trout population was self-sustaining in the reservoir before the installation of the fishway, and we found genetic structuring and differentiation between fragmented headwater tributaries before the fishway construction, but not in the control reservoir. Unexpectedly, after the fishway construction we observed increased genetic differentiation between populations of newly recruited juvenile fish in the reservoir tributary and fish in the reservoir. We propose this was caused by philopatric behaviour of brown trout to their natal spawning tributary. In contrast, we did not find any genetic changes in the tributary without a fishway or in the barrier-free reservoir system. Given the scarcity of similar studies, we advocate for an increased use of genetic analyses to monitor and evaluate the effect of efforts to restore river connectivity and inform future management strategies.

Introduction

Fragmentation and loss of habitat caused by the conversion of land and waterways for human activities is a serious threat to Earth's biological diversity (Collinge, 1996; Jetz, Wilcove & Dobson, 2007). For centuries, river systems have been altered for industry, including power, agriculture and flood control, through the construction of dams and weirs (Benke, 1990; Vitousek et al., 1997; Liermann et al., 2012). This infrastructure has caused a reduction in the connectivity of riverine ecosystems (Cowx and Welcomme, 1998), delayed migration of fish species (Caudill et al., 2007) and in some cases made sections of river completely impassable (Clark et al., 2020). It is estimated that there are at least 1.2 million river-barriers in Europe (Belletti et al., 2020), with almost 66,000 obstructions in Great Britain alone(Jones et al., 2019), that are either restricting or halting access to key life stage habitats for native fish species. These obstructions have a detrimental effect on fish communities (Belliard et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2013; Harris et al., 2017) causing a number of riverine fish species to become critically endangered or extinct (Nicola, Elvira & Almodóvar, 1996; Humphries & Winemiller, 2009; Liermann et al., 2012; Dias et al., 2017; Pavlova et al., 2017). Anthropogenic fragmentation in fish populations can also lead to genetic erosion, increased risk of inbreeding and reduced potential for genetic adaptation (Bijlsma & Loeschcke, 2012; Stelkens et al., 2012; Coleman et al., 2018; Vera-Escalona et al., 2018; González-Ferreras et al., 2022).

In recent decades, in North America and Western Europe there has been a growing
commitment to restore riverine connectivity by removing artificial barriers (Habel et al.,
2020), often associated with significant costs. Fishways, structures designed to enhance fish
passage, have also been extensively employed as a solution to remediate the negative
influence of fragmentation in cases where the complete removal of physical barriers is not
achievable (Dodd, Cowx & Bolland, 2017). However, the effectiveness and impact of
fishway structures is highly variable, highlighting the importance of case-specific testing and
evaluation (Noonan, Grant & Jackson, 2012). Evaluation of fishway effectiveness in
enhancing fish movements and restoring longitudinal connectivity typically involve fish
telemetry, video and acoustic cameras monitoring and population abundance surveys over
time (Bunt, Castro-Santos & Haro, 2012; Birnie-Gauvin et al., 2017; Pennock et al., 2017;
Dodd, Cowx & Bolland, 2018; Wilkes et al., 2019). While these methods are valuable in
understanding the individual movement patterns of fish in relation to fishway characteristics
and changes in recruitment due to improved habitat connectivity, they have technical, spatial,
and temporal limitations (Wilkes et al., 2019). They do not fully capture the broader
population movements and the origin of fish using the fishway, and therefore the longer-term
population changes that might have important consequences for population longevity.

Incorporating genetic analyses into the assessment of the effects of fishways and
barrier removal can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the gene flow, genetic
diversity, population structure, and the long-term viability and resilience of wild fish
populations, as recommended by Wilkes et al. (2019). Most studies, though, have focussed
on investigating the contemporary genetic structure either in situations of existing river
fragmentation or once measures to restore river connectivity have been implemented (e.g.,
Meldgaard, Nielsen & Loeschcke, 2003; Kinziger et al., 2008; Perrier et al., 2014; Torterotot
et al., 2014; Carim et al., 2016; Gouskov et al., 2016; Ferreira et al., 2017; Van Leeuwen et
al., 2018; González-Ferreras et al., 2022), largely due to limited availability of usable tissue
samples (for DNA extraction) collected prior to the intervention (Zarri et al., 2022). This
makes it difficult to quantify the changes that are a result of the intervention. Before-and-after
studies directly comparing genetic changes following barrier removal and river restoration
are the best way to test the effect of mitigation measures, but they are almost absent in the
literature (Zarri et al., 2022), with just a few studies attempting to investigate the genetic
effects of restoration interventions on fish (Neville, Dauwalter & Peacock, 2016; Wood,
Welsh & Todd Petty, 2018; Fraik et al., 2021). These studies exclusively focussed on barrier
removals, such as culverts and dams, neglecting the investigation of fishways. Fishways are

potentially less effective in enhancing fish migration compared to actual removals and may
also impose selective pressures on specific fish types (McLaughlin et al., 2013; Silva et al.,
2018; Tamario et al., 2019; Wilkes et al., 2019; Zarri et al., 2022). Furthermore, the difficulty
in identifying suitable "control" populations for comparison mean no genetic studies are yet
to perform before-after control-impact (BACI) analysis, despite being recognised as the most
robust approach to effect of an impact variable (Moland et al., 2021). The scarcity of such
studies hinders scientists, managers and decision-makers from truly assessing the efficacy of
measures to mitigate river fragmentation. Our study addresses this using brown trout (*Salmo*
trutta, Linneaus) before and after the installation of a fishway in comparison to those in a
fragmented tributary and in two barrier-free tributaries as controls.

Brown trout are a global species known to exhibit high variability in life histories and
movement strategies, which encompass residency, potamodromy (freshwater migratory) and
anadromy (migration between freshwater and sea) (Klemetsen et al., 2003; Klemetsen, 2013;
Ferguson & Prodöhl, 2022). These strategies involve spawning, feeding and refuge
migrations within their life cycle (Banks, 1969; Jonsson and Jonsson, 2011; Ferguson et al.,
2019). Brown trout typically spawn on gravel substrate in running water (Klemetsen et al.,
2003) but there are infrequent reports of lake spawning (Brabrand, Koestler & Borgstrøm,
2002; Brabrand, Hansen & Koestler, 2006; Arostegui & Quinn, 2019). Like most salmonid
species, brown trout exhibit philopatric behaviour, i.e. normally 'home' to their natal river
and nursery grounds to spawn (Jonsson & Jonsson, 2011; Keefer & Caudill, 2014). This
makes them highly susceptible to the impacts of riverine barriers, especially populations
where a subset of adults undertake an obligatory upstream migration from lentic or marine
locations. The consequences of anthropogenic fragmentation on brown trout population
structure have been partly investigated (e.g., Stelkens et al., 2012; Klütsch et al., 2019;
González-Ferreras et al., 2022), but the impact of fishway construction and barrier removal
remains largely unknown, partly due to the complexity of their life history strategies. Also,
the study of non-anadromous salmonid species often receives considerably less attention
compared to their anadromous counterparts, possibly due to the higher economic and
recreational value of the latter (Silva et al., 2018; Ferguson et al., 2019; García-Vega et al.,
2022). This bias has resulted in a lack of tools and knowledge for managing the freshwater
migratory forms of brown trout, which are declining in several European countries (Waldner
et al., 2020).

Here, we performed one of the first before-after control-impact genetic investigations
to evaluate the impact of constructing a fishway on a wild fish population. We collected

samples from a reservoir and two tributaries with no artificial barriers (control), and a second reservoir and two tributaries which had large barriers (weirs) that prevented brown trout migration from the reservoir (Anonymous author et al., in prep.) (impact). It remained unknown if brown trout could spawn and recruit in the reservoir or if the fish in the reservoir originated from downstream movements from the headwater tributaries. Samples were collected from both reservoir systems before and after the construction of a fishway on one of the reservoir tributaries with a large weir. We used a KASP genotyping assay to generate SNP data to examine the genetic consequences of historic fragmentation, i.e. before data, and efforts to restore river connectivity, i.e. after data, on brown trout. Specifically, we asked whether (I) barriers had promoted genetic structuring and low genetic diversity within the impact reservoir system, and (II) enhanced upstream migration of brown trout through the fishway would result in a decrease in genetic structuring and an increase in admixture within the tributary, compared to the no barrier tributary, and the no barrier control system.

Material and Methods

Study system

Langsett (53°29'47.0"N, 1°41'10.3"W) and Grimwith (54°04'38.6"N, 1°54'36.2"W) reservoirs are geographically isolated (~ 66 km apart) artificial impoundments situated at 245 and 288 m, respectively, above sea level in the north of England, UK (Fig. 1 A). The original impoundments were built in 1904 (Langsett) and 1864 (Grimwith), with the latter being increased in size in 1983. They have surface areas of 0.49 and 1.43 km^2 and mean depths of 12.65 and 14.8 m, respectively. Both reservoirs have a simple fish community and harbour land-locked brown trout populations. Additionally, Langsett Reservoir harbours three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*, Linneaus), while Grimwith Reservoir harbours Eurasian minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*, Linneaus) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum), stocked for recreational fishing.

Langsett Reservoir has substantial weirs situated on the mouths of the only two viable spawning tributaries, the River Little Don (hereafter RLD) and Thickwoods Brook (hereafter TWB) (Figs. 1 A, B). Tracking studies carried out between 2014-2017 showed that these weirs prevented the upstream migration of brown trout from Langsett Reservoir to the

headwater tributaries, but could not definitively determine whether individuals were able to

spawn within the reservoir. However, there was evidence of fish moving downstream from

the headwater tributaries into the reservoir (Anonymous author et al., in prep). In December

2017, a Larinier-style fish pass (21.7m x 0.45m, 1:7.9) was opened on the RLD weir (Figs. 1

B, C) to ease passage for migrating brown trout from Langsett Reservoir into the headwater

tributary, whereas no fishway was installed on the TWB tributary. With the exception of

2017 (when the fish pass opened in December), the fish pass was operational between 1

October- 31 April each year, coinciding with brown trout spawning migration. Tracking

analyses from 2017-2021 detected upstream movements of brown trout through the fish pass

with overall passage efficiency varying between 7 - 42% (Anonymous author et al., in prep.).

The two tributaries in Grimwith Reservoir, Blea Gill Beck (hereafter BGB) and Gate

Up Gill (hereafter GUG), lack barriers preventing migration (Fig. 1 A). Therefore, Grimwith

system was used as a baseline comparison for assessing the effects of fragmentation and

subsequent restored connectivity on brown trout populations within Langsett system, after

construction of the fish pass on the RLD.

Figure 1. Study area map of Langsett and Grimwith reservoir systems (A) and weir on the River Little Don (RLD) at Langsett, before (B) and after (C) the Larinier fish pass was built in December 2017.

Sampling

Fisheries surveys were performed annually in the main tributaries and reservoirs at Langsett and Grimwith systems in September-October 2015 – 2022 (see Suppl. Figs. 1, 2, and Suppl. Tables 1-3 for details about sampling sites, years and fish length distributions). Brown trout were captured using a combination of seine and fyke netting in the reservoirs (seine: approximately 150 m x 4 m; 20 mm stretch mesh - set from a boat in a rectangle parallel to the bank and hauled ashore; double fyke nets, 10, 14 and 17 mm mesh size, 53 cm ring size, 2.75 m net length, 6 m 'leader' net attached to both ends) and backpack electric fishing equipment (Electracatch 24 V DC input, 200-400 V, 100 W, 50 Hz Pulsed DC, variable pulse width output) in the tributaries. Following each sampling event, captured fish were

transferred to water-filled containers where they were monitored, before being measured (fork length, mm) and scale samples were removed and stored in paper envelopes at room temperature. In 2022, a ventral fin-clip was also taken from every fish and stored in absolute ethanol for later DNA extraction. After processing, all fish were then held in a recovery tank until they regained balance and were actively swimming before being returned to the river/reservoir at the site of capture. All fish were treated in compliance with the UK Animals in Scientific Procedures Act 1986 under Home Office licence numbers PPL 60/4400 and PD6C17B56.

Genetic analyses

DNA extraction, genotyping and quality control

DNA was extracted from dry scales and adipose fin samples employing a modified Mu-DNA: Tissue protocol (Sellers et al., 2018) using a solid phase reversible immobilization (SPRI) magnetic bead capture method (adapted from Roland & Reich, 2012) to isolate high molecular weight DNA. The DNA samples were sent to LGC Ltd, UK, for genotyping. A custom 192 SNP array designed for brown trout (see Saint-Pé et al., 2019, for details) was used for data generation. Six SNPs positioned in mitochondrial DNA were excluded from the dataset, leaving 186 available loci for genomic investigation. We then performed quality control (QC) and filtering of SNPs data in PLINK version 1.9 and 2.0 (www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/ and www.cog-genomics.org/plink/2.0/; Chang et al., 2015; Purcell et al., 2007). SNPs were filtered for missing genotypes (PLINK 1.9. command: *geno 0.2*) and SNPs in high linkage disequilibrium were pruned (*--indep 50 5 1.4*). Individuals with high rate of missing SNPs (*--mind 0.2*) were discarded from analyses. Replicates and twin samples were also removed using PLINK 2.0 (*--king-cutoff 0.354*). These QC steps resulted in the retention of 78 SNPs when fish from Langsett and Grimwith systems were analysed together. For consistency, the same 78 SNPs were retained for the temporal investigation of individual reservoirs.

Genetic architecture of brown trout populations in Langsett and Grimwith reservoir systems <u>*before*</u> *the fish pass construction*

To investigate the genetic structuring of brown trout populations in Langsett and Grimwith

reservoir systems prior to the fish pass opening, a principal component analysis (PCA) was

performed in PLINK 1.9 using individuals from the RLD ($n = 36$), TWB ($n = 34$), Langsett

Reservoir ($n = 30$), BGB ($n = 30$), GUG ($n = 29$) and Grimwith Reservoir ($n = 12$). Using the

same samples, ADMIXTURE v.1.3. (Alexander, Novembre & Lange, 2009) was used to

infer the most likely number of genetic clusters (K, testing from K=1 to K=6), determined

based on the lowest cross-validation error. To investigate whether brown trout residing in

Langsett reservoir were spawning and self-returning, a further ADMXITURE analysis

(testing from K=1 to K=3) was carried out using only fish from Langsett.

Genetic architecture of brown trout populations in Langsett and Grimwith reservoir systems

<u>after</u> fish pass construction

To assess temporal changes in genetic structuring in Langsett and Grimwith systems, the

samples from the two reservoir systems were then analysed separately using the same 78

SNPs used for preliminary analyses (see section above). First, a discriminant analysis of

principal components (DAPC) using the 'adegenet' v. 2.1.10 R package (Jombart, 2008;

Jombart & Ahmed, 2011) was performed to describe population structure over time in the

two reservoir systems. In each reservoir dataset, samples were divided into six groups

consisting of the sampling location (RLD, Langsett Reservoir, TWB and BGB, Grimwith

Reservoir, GUG) and period of sampling ('Before' and 'After' fish pass opening in the

RLD). Two PC axes were retained as predictors of genetic differences among these groups

based on the parsimonious $k-1$ criterion (as opposed to the proportional variance criterion;

Thia, 2023), where k is the number of sampling locations (three in our case). The 'hierfstat'

v. 0.5.11 package (Goudet, 2005) was used to calculate pairwise F_{ST} (Weir & Cockerham,

1984) among groups (*genet.dist* function, method = *WC84*) and observed heterozygosity

(H_o), gene diversity (H_s) and inbreeding coefficient (F_{is}) for each group (*basic.stats* function).

Loci deviating from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium were computed using the function *hw.test*

in the 'pegas' v. 1.2 package (Paradis, 2010). The number of monomorphic loci was also

assessed as a proxy of genetic diversity in each group using the software NeEstimator v. 2.1

(Do et al., 2014). Finally, to estimate the gene flow among groups, relative directional

migration rates were evaluated based on *Nm* distance (i.e. effective number of migrants;

Alcala et al,. 2014) using the *divMigrate* function in the 'diveRsity' v. 1.9.90 package

(Keenan et al., 2013; Sundqvist et al., 2016). The scripts used to run the analyses are publicly

available at: https://tinyurl.com/trout-genetics.

Results

Genetic architecture of brown trout populations in Langsett and Grimwith reservoir systems

<u>before</u> the fish pass construction

Brown trout in Langsett (impact) and Grimwith (control) reservoir systems were genetically

differentiated from one another, as shown by the first Principal Component (PC1)

successfully separating the fish from the two systems (Fig. 2). In the Grimwith system, fish

from the reservoir and "no barrier" tributaries (BGB and GUG) overlapped widely showing

no genetic structuring within the system. This was confirmed by ADMXITURE analysis,

which identified only one genetic unit for the Grimwith system (Suppl. Fig. 3), and by the

low pairwise genetic distances (F_{ST} = 0.0040-0.0014; Table 1) and high gene exchange (mean

Nm = 0.82; Table 2) between locations. In contrast, Langsett system showed genetic

structuring, with the two artificially fragmented tributaries (TWB and RLD) genetically

distinct from each other (Figs. 2, 3, Suppl. Fig. 3). In the main Langsett Reservoir, some

individuals exhibited admixed genetic profiles from both tributaries (RLD and TWB),

indicating interbreeding between brown trout originating from these two sources (Figs. 2, 3,

Suppl. Fig. 3). However, there were also individuals showing high similarity with the

tributaries, suggesting they originated directly from these headwater locations. (Figs. 2, 3,

Suppl. Fig. 3). F_{ST} comparisons (Table 3) also supported these findings showing higher

values between RLD and TWB tributaries (F_{ST} = 0.1315) than between these two tributaries

and Langsett Reservoir (F_{ST} = 0.0109 and 0.0749, for comparison with RLD and TWB,

respectively; Table 3). Estimates of gene flow among groups were in line with the above

results, showing an overall low gene exchange in the Langsett system (mean *Nm* = 0.24;

Table 4), with nearly absence of gene flow between two tributaries (RLD and TWB) with

weirs at their mouths (*Nm* = 0.06-0.07; Table 4). Overall, Grimwith reservoir system had a

higher genetic diversity than Langsett reservoir system (Table 5), showing higher H_o (mean =

0.34 vs. 0.20), H_s (mean = 0.36 vs. 0.20) and only few monomorphic loci (mean □ 2 vs. 35).

Figure 2. Genetic structuring of brown trout populations from the Langsett (circles) and
Grimwith (triangles) systems. The principal components analysis (PCA) plot is based on 78
SNPs pruned for linkage disequilibrium. Dots represent individual fish, and variance (%)
explained by the first and second axes are shown.

Table 1. Pairwise genetic distance between locations in Grimwith system before and after the
construction of the fish pass as inferred by F_{ST}.

	BGB Before	BGB After	Grimwith Res. After	Grimwith Res. Before	GUG Before
BGB After	0.0075				
Grimwith Res. After	0.0079	0.0131			
Grimwith Res. Before	-0.0040	-0.0040	-0.0110		
GUG Before	0.0042	0.0172	0.0131	0.0014	
GUG After	0.0094	0.0138	0.0146	-0.0001	0.0102

Table 2. Estimates of gene flow (from rows to columns) of locations in the Grimwith system before and after the fish pass construction in the RLD at Langsett system computed using *Nm*.

	Grimwith Res. Before	Grimwith Res. After	BGB Before	BGB After	GUG Before	GUG After
Grimwith Res. Before		0.88	1	0.46	0.68	0.49
Grimwith Res. After	0.78		0.79	0.41	0.60	0.38
BGB Before	0.73	0.66		0.48	1	0.47
BGB After	0.59	0.44	0.66		0.49	0.41
GUG Before	0.55	0.55	0.98	0.33		0.47
GUG After	0.50	0.42	0.62	0.33	0.55	

Figure 3. ADMIXTURE analysis plot (K=2) of brown trout populations from Langsett system before the fish pass construction. The analysis is based on 78 SNPs pruned for linkage disequilibrium. Colours indicate different genetic clusters. Each fish individual is represented by a vertical bar.

322   **Table 3.** Pairwise genetic distance between locations in Langsett system before and after the
323   construction of the fish pass as inferred by F_{ST}.

	RLD Before	**RLD After**	**Langsett Res. After**	**Langsett Res. Before**	**TWB Before**
RLD After	0.0342				
Langsett Res. After	0.0100	0.0408			
Langsett Res. Before	0.0109	0.0341	-0.0006		
TWB Before	0.1315	0.1367	0.0799	0.0749	
TWB After	0.1428	0.1442	0.0851	0.0866	0.0249

326   **Table 4.** Estimates of gene flow (from rows to columns) of locations in the Langsett system
327   before and after the fish pass construction in the RLD computed using *Nm*.

	Langsett Res. Before	Langsett Res. After	RLD Before	RLD After	TWB Before	TWB After
Langsett Res. Before		1	0.49	0.24	0.11	0.09
Langsett Res. After	0.89		0.52	0.19	0.10	0.08
RLD Before	0.55	0.68		0.25	0.06	0.06
RLD After	0.28	0.28	0.30		0.06	0.06
TWB Before	0.15	0.15	0.07	0.06		0.25
TWB After	0.10	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.25	

Table 5. Estimates of observed heterozygosity (H_o), observed gene diversity (H_s), inbreeding (F_{is}), loci deviating from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and number of monomorphic loci for each location in the Langsett and Grimwith systems before and after the construction of the fish pass in the RLD. Total number of loci = 78. N = number of samples.

Location	N	H_o	H_s	F_{is}	Loci deviating from HWE	Number of monomorphic loci
Langsett Res. Before	30	0.2081	0.2113	0.0150	6	34
Langsett Res. After	39	0.2262	0.2218	-0.0195	12	33
RLD Before	36	0.2048	0.2142	0.0441	14	32
RLD After	42	0.2018	0.1946	-0.0368	15	34
TWB Before	34	0.1859	0.1892	0.0173	9	38
TWB After	12	0.1976	0.1863	-0.0610	0	38
Grimwith Res. Before	12	0.3355	0.3701	0.0937	0	5
Grimwith Res. After	17	0.3363	0.3797	0.1141	2	4
GUG Before	29	0.3395	0.3616	0.0611	17	1
GUG After	12	0.3344	0.3484	0.0401	0	5
BGB Before	30	0.3427	0.3608	0.0500	10	1
BGB After	12	0.3504	0.3484	-0.0059	0	7

Genetic architecture of brown trout populations in Langsett and Grimwith reservoir systems after fish pass construction

Genetic diversity (H_o, H_s and number of monorphic loci) in Langsett (impact) and Grimwith (control) reservoir systems did not change over time, with Grimwith showing higher genetic variation than Langsett both before and after the fish pass construction (Table 5). The DAPC showed contrasting patterns across reservoir systems (Fig. 4 A, B). In Grimwith, the locations 'Before' and 'After' overlapped widely meaning the genetic architecture of tributaries and reservoir did not change over time. The proportion of successful reassignment of individual fish to their original sampling site was low (25.9 %; Suppl. Table 4) indicating a poor accuracy in distinguishing the different groups. The presence of a unique stable genetic unit in the Grimwith system over time was also supported by the high level of relative migration rate (0.33 – 1, mean Nm = 0.59; Table 2) and low genetic distances (F_{ST} max. = 0.0172; Table 1) among temporal groups. Conversely, in the Langsett system, the genetic composition of

the RLD tributary changed after construction of the fish pass, as shown by the reduced

overlap along the second discriminant function between fish from the 'RLD Before' and

'RLD After' groups (Fig. 4A), compared with fish from Langsett reservoir and TWB whose

'Before' and 'After' samples overlap extensively (Fig. 4A). This was reflected by the

successful reassignment rate that was of 76.2 % for the 'RLD After' (Suppl. Table 4).

Consistently, estimated gene flow among sites was lower between 'RLD After' and fish from

'RLD Before', 'Langsett Before' and 'Langsett After' ($Nm = 0.19 – 0.30$) compared to gene

flow among 'RLD Before', 'Langsett Before' and 'Langsett After' ($Nm = 0.49 – 1$; Table 4).

Nm values between TWB and the other locations remained low over time (Nm max. $= 0.11$;

Table 4). Finally, estimates of F_{ST} were also consistent with a differentiation process

occurring in the RLD, with F_{ST} values between RLD and Langsett Reservoir shifting from

$F_{ST} = 0.0109$ 'Before', to $F_{ST} = 0.0408$ 'After' the fish pass was built (Table 3). In contrast,

there were no changes in genetic distance between TWB and RLD and Langsett Reservoir

over time, indicating stability in gene flow among these sites in Langsett system (Table 3).

Figure 4. DAPC plot of Langsett (A) and Grimwith (B) systems before and after the fish pass

construction in RLD. The plots are based on 78 SNPs pruned for linkage disequilibrium. Dots

represent individual fish.

Discussion

Our study is one of the first to use before-after control-impact genetic samples for assessing

the restoration of migratory corridors in freshwater systems and, to our knowledge, it is the

first of its kind for brown trout.

Before the fish pass, we observed genetic structuring and reduced genetic diversity in

the fragmented Langsett (impact) system compared to the "no-barrier" Grimwith (control)

system. This is consistent with populations genetics theory and previous studies on fish,

where man-made river barriers reduced gene flow, which induced genetic erosion and

structuring (Zarri et al., 2022). While genetic structuring *per se* does not necessarily imply

negative consequences for populations, as it could indicate local fine-scale adaptation, which

is common in salmonids (Garcia De Leaniz et al., 2007; Fraser et al., 2011), reduced genetic

diversity poses risks for wild populations, potentially leading to maladaptation, decreased

survival and fertility and increased vulnerability to environmental modifications (Hedrick &

Miller, 1992; Lande, 1992; Hedrick & Kalinowski, 2000). It follows that brown trout in the

Langsett system might be less resilient to future changes compared to those in the Grimwith

system.

The genetic structure (PCA and ADMIXTURE) observed in the Langsett system prior

to the fish pass construction indicates that brown trout were likely spawning and recruiting

within the reservoir. Indeed, some individuals caught in Langsett Reservoir showed

admixture from the RLD and TWB tributaries, suggesting interbreeding in the reservoir but

other individuals captured in the Langsett Reservoir seemed to be fish from the RLD and

TWB tributaries, which is in accordance with telemetry analyses (Anonymous author et al.,

in prep.). The potential for spawning downstream of the RLD weir is supported by the

presence of a short reach (50 m) of river with suitable substrate. They may also have spawned

in the lentic water in the reservoir, as has been observed elsewhere for brown trout (Arostegui

& Quinn, 2019). Conservation efforts could therefore focus on identifying and preserving

possible spawning habitats in lakes and reservoirs in addition to mitigating riverine barriers.

In this instance, fish pass construction was predicated on the assumption that brown trout

must be a provided access to lotic tributaries upstream of the lentic reservoir to complete their

lifecycle and contribute to the next generation.

Despite the short period since the implementation of the fish pass on the RLD (~ 5

years), changes in genetic structuring (but not genetic diversity) in the Langsett system were

observed, confirming that restored connectivity can rapidly impact the genetic makeup of

populations (Neville, Dauwalter & Peacock, 2016; Fraik et al., 2021; Prunier et al., 2023).

Contrary to expectations though, genetic structuring increased, with the individuals in the

RLD tributary becoming more differentiated from those in the Langsett Reservoir and TWB,

rather than less. This genetic shift was supported by the DAPC, increased F_{ST} and reduced

inferred gene flow between RLD and Langsett Reservoir fish. The genetic profile of fish

from TWB, which had no remediation measure, exhibited no changes. Previous studies on

other salmonid species assessing genetic changes in response to river barriers removals, have

shown contrasting patterns. Fraik et al. (2021) reported an increase in admixture, while
Neville, Dauwalter & Peacock (2016) found no changes in genetic structuring, but an
unexpected reduction in genetic diversity after barrier removal. Together with our findings, it
seems that the impact of restored river connectivity on population genetics and migratory
dynamics can be highly variable due to the complex interplay between evolutionary,
ecological, and environmental processes. As a result, predicting these effects can be
challenging, and thus further genetic analyses with BACI survey design are recommended to
quantify the effects of river connectivity restoration efforts.

The differences in RLD after fish pass construction were attributed to juvenile fish in
the most downstream survey site, suggesting they are the progeny of adult fish that have
moved through the fish pass and spawned in the river (see Suppl. Figs.1, 4). We propose that
the fish pass has allowed fish that previously migrated downstream from the RLD into the
reservoir the opportunity to return to their original home site upstream of the weir for
spawning. This has reduced gene flow and promoted divergence between fish from the RLD
and those from Langsett reservoir, as the latter are assumed to spawn and recruit downstream
of the weir. Obviously, this hypothesis is reliant on the fact that brown trout home back to
their natal place in the RLD for spawning, and has been confirmed using telemetry
(Anonymous author et al., in prep). Previous studies have documented a wide range of
homing accuracy in brown trout, ranging from fine-scale within-river homing to substantial
straying among catchments (Halvorsen & Stabell, 1990; Armstrong & Herbert, 1997;
Carlsson & Nilsson, 2000; Massa-Gallucci et al., 2010; Swatdipong et al., 2010; King et al.,
2016; Mikheev et al., 2021; Källo et al., 2022, 2023). The potential for fine-scale homing
behaviour and genetic admixing of brown trout above and below an impassable river barrier
(a waterfall) has also been shown by Carlsson et al. (1999). F_{ST} statistics revealed that fish
captured downstream of the waterfall exhibited a closer genetic proximity to individuals
sampled upstream of the barrier, compared to those captured at the end of a barrier-free
adjacent tributary located also downstream of the waterfall. These findings support the notion
of high philopatry among brown trout to the specific tributary and highlight the potential for
genetic mixing with individuals descending from upstream regions in the presence of an
impassable barrier as also found in other studies on salmonids (Meldgaard, Nielsen &
Loeschcke, 2003; González-Ferreras et al., 2022).

An alternative explanation for the observed counterintuitive differentiation in the
RLD tributary at Langsett could be genetic drift. This scenario may arise if the fish pass is
ineffective, resulting in continued isolation of the RLD from the reservoir and subsequent

directional genetic changes due to stochastic events. However, the absence of relevant
genetic changes observed in both TWB (Langsett system) and the sites within the Grimwith
system over the same time span, coupled with telemetry analyses of fish ascending the RLD
fish pass (Anonymous author et al., in prep.), makes this less likely and genetic diversity in
the RLD (H_o, H_s and proportion of monomorphic loci) remained constant over time.
Alternatively, the genetic changes observed in the RLD could be attributed to fish migrating
from the genetically distinct TWB population, traversing the reservoir, utilising the fish pass,
and subsequently breeding in the RLD. However, if this were the case, we would expect to
observe an increased genetic relatedness among these tributaries after the construction of the
fish pass, which is not evident in our findings.

Genetic differentiation driven by homing in salmonids is widely recognised for
rapidly promoting the evolution of local adaptions (Hendry et al., 2000), even at
microgeographic scale (Carlsson & Nilsson, 2000). Such local adaption can significantly
increase the fitness of populations, highlighting the importance of managing wild fish
populations for this (Fraser et al., 2011). Preserving genetically unique populations ensures
the conservation of genetic and phenotypic traits that are well-suited to their specific habitats
and contributes to the overall resilience and long-term viability of wild fish stocks. In this
study, the availability of temporal (before-after) genetic samples allowed us to detect this
incipient differentiation, which would not have been possible using other traditional methods
used to assess the impact of fishways, such as telemetry and population abundance surveys.

Genetic divergence resulting from homing behaviour is an idea challenged by our
findings in the no barrier reservoir system though. In Grimwith system, where migratory
barriers are absent, complete genetic mixing, i.e. absence of homing behaviour, was observed
over time. There are several potential explanations for this discrepancy between the two
reservoir systems. These include variations in local ecological and environmental conditions,
as well as differences in gene pools, which may trigger differential behavioural responses in
the two systems. For instance, water chemistry differences between reservoir systems may
trigger different homing behaviours, known to be driven by olfaction (Salmenkova, 2017).
The origin of brown trout lineages and possible historical stocking in the two systems could
also explain the observed dissimilarities, but there is no evidence to suggest stocking has
occurred.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates the efficacy of genetic tools in monitoring river fragmentation and restored connectivity. We were able to confirm that the isolated reservoir population was self-sustaining before the addition of the fishway. Within a short period of five years after fish pass construction, we already detected signals of genetic differentiation potentially driven by philopatric behaviour, that may lead to local adaptation. To our knowledge, this is the first study detecting such genetic shift in fish populations following restoration of migratory corridors. Given the scarcity of similar studies, and the contrasting findings they present, we advocate for an increased use of genetic studies to monitor and manage fish populations. This allows managers to more holistically address whether the objectives of potentially expensive connectivity interventions have ultimately been successful.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by Yorkshire Water Services Limited. PM was supported by the Leeds-York-Hull Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Doctoral Training Partnership (DTP) Panorama under grant NE/S007458/1. We also thank the University of Hull staff and post-graduate students for support in the field.

Data Archiving Statement:

Data for this study are available at: to be completed after manuscript is accepted for publication.

References

Alcala N, Goudet J, Vuilleumier S. 2014. On the transition of genetic differentiation from isolation to panmixia: What we can learn from GST and D. Theoretical Population Biology 93:75–84. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2014.02.003.

Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome Research 19:1655–1664. DOI: 10.1101/gr.094052.109.

Armstrong JD, Herbert NA. 1997. Homing movements of displaced stream-dwelling brown trout. Journal of Fish Biology 50:445–449. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1997.tb01372.x.

Arostegui MC, Quinn TP. 2019. Reliance on lakes by salmon, trout and charr

(Oncorhynchus, Salmo and Salvelinus): An evaluation of spawning habitats, rearing strategies and trophic polymorphisms. Fish and Fisheries 20:775–794. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12377.

Banks JW. 1969. A Review of the Literature on the Upstream Migration of Adult Salmonids. Journal of Fish Biology 1:85–136. DOI: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1969.tb03847.x.

Belletti B, Garcia de Leaniz C, Jones J, Bizzi S, Börger L, Segura G, Castelletti A, van de Bund W, Aarestrup K, Barry J, Belka K, Berkhuysen A, Birnie-Gauvin K, Bussettini M, Carolli M, Consuegra S, Dopico E, Feierfeil T, Fernández S, Fernandez Garrido P, Garcia-Vazquez E, Garrido S, Giannico G, Gough P, Jepsen N, Jones PE, Kemp P, Kerr J, King J, Łapińska M, Lázaro G, Lucas MC, Marcello L, Martin P, McGinnity P, O'Hanley J, Olivo del Amo R, Parasiewicz P, Pusch M, Rincon G, Rodriguez C, Royte J, Schneider CT, Tummers JS, Vallesi S, Vowles A, Verspoor E, Wanningen H, Wantzen KM, Wildman L, Zalewski M. 2020. More than one million barriers fragment Europe's rivers. Nature 588:436–441. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-3005-2.

Belliard J, Marchal J, Ditche J-M, Tales E, Sabatié R, Baglinière J-L. 2009. Return of adult anadromous allis shad (Alosa alosa L.) in the river Seine, France: A sign of river recovery? River Research and Applications 25:788–794. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1221.

Benke AC. 1990. A Perspective on America's Vanishing Streams. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 9:77–88. DOI: 10.2307/1467936.

Bijlsma R, Loeschcke V. 2012. Genetic erosion impedes adaptive responses to stressful environments. Evolutionary Applications 5:117–129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00214.x.

Birnie-Gauvin K, Larsen MH, Nielsen J, Aarestrup K. 2017. 30 years of data reveal dramatic increase in abundance of brown trout following the removal of a small hydrodam. Journal of Environmental Management 204:467–471. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.09.022.

Brabrand Å, Hansen BR, Koestler AG. 2006. Creation of artificial upwelling areas for brown trout, Salmo trutta, spawning in still water bodies. Fisheries Management and Ecology 13:293–298. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2400.2006.00505.x.

Brabrand Å, Koestler AG, Borgstrøm R. 2002. Lake spawning of brown trout related to groundwater influx. Journal of Fish Biology 60:751–763. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2002.tb01699.x.

Brown JJ, Limburg KE, Waldman JR, Stephenson K, Glenn EP, Juanes F, Jordaan A. 2013. Fish and hydropower on the U.S. Atlantic coast: failed fisheries policies from half-way technologies. Conservation Letters 6:280–286. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12000.

Bunt CM, Castro-Santos T, Haro A. 2012. Performance of fish passage structures at upstream barriers to migration. River Research and Applications 28:457–478. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.1565.

Carim KJ, Eby LA, Barfoot CA, Boyer MC. 2016. Consistent loss of genetic diversity in isolated cutthroat trout populations independent of habitat size and quality. Conservation Genetics 17:1363–1376. DOI: 10.1007/s10592-016-0867-9.

Carlsson J, Nilsson J. 2000. Population Genetic Structure of Brown Trout (Salmo Trutta L.) within a Northern Boreal Forest Stream. Hereditas 132:173–181. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.2000.00173.x.

Carlsson J, Olsén KH, Nilsson J, ØVerli Ø, Stabell OB. 1999. Microsatellites reveal fine-scale genetic structure in stream-living brown trout. Journal of Fish Biology 55:1290–1303. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb02076.x.

Caudill CC, Daigle WR, Keefer ML, Boggs CT, Jepson MA, Burke BJ, Zabel RW, Bjornn TC, Peery CA. 2007. Slow dam passage in adult Columbia River salmonids associated

with unsuccessful migration: delayed negative effects of passage obstacles or condition-
dependent mortality? Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 64:979–995.
DOI: 10.1139/f07-065.

Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. 2015. Second-
generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience
4:s13742-015-0047–8. DOI: 10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8.

Clark C, Roni P, Keeton J, Pess G. 2020. Evaluation of the removal of impassable barriers on
anadromous salmon and steelhead in the Columbia River Basin. Fisheries Management
and Ecology 27:102–110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12410.

Coleman RA, Gauffre B, Pavlova A, Beheregaray LB, Kearns J, Lyon J, Sasaki M, Leblois
R, Sgro C, Sunnucks P. 2018. Artificial barriers prevent genetic recovery of small
isolated populations of a low-mobility freshwater fish. Heredity 120:515–532. DOI:
10.1038/s41437-017-0008-3.

Collinge SK. 1996. Ecological consequences of habitat fragmentation: implications for
landscape architecture and planning. Landscape and Urban Planning 36:59–77. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2046(96)00341-6.

Dias MS, Tedesco PA, Hugueny B, Jézéquel C, Beauchard O, Brosse S, Oberdorff T. 2017.
Anthropogenic stressors and riverine fish extinctions. Ecological Indicators 79:37–46.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.03.053.

Do C, Waples RS, Peel D, Macbeth GM, Tillett BJ, Ovenden JR. 2014. NeEstimator v2: re-
implementation of software for the estimation of contemporary effective population size
(Ne) from genetic data. Molecular Ecology Resources 14:209–214. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12157.

Dodd JR, Cowx IG, Bolland JD. 2017. Efficiency of a nature-like bypass channel for
restoring longitudinal connectivity for a river-resident population of brown trout. Journal
of Environmental Management 204:318–326. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.09.004.

Dodd JR, Cowx IG, Bolland JD. 2018. Win, win, win: Low cost baffle fish pass provides
improved passage efficiency, reduced passage time and broadened passage flows over a
low-head weir. Ecological Engineering 120:68–75. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05.028.

Ferguson A, Prodöhl PA. 2022. Identifying and conserving sympatric diversity in trout of the
genus Salmo, with particular reference to Lough Melvin, Ireland. Ecology of Freshwater
Fish 31:177–207. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12651.

Ferguson A, Reed TE, Cross TF, McGinnity P, Prodöhl PA. 2019. Anadromy, potamodromy
and residency in brown trout Salmo trutta: the role of genes and the environment.
Journal of Fish Biology 95:692–718. DOI: 10.1111/jfb.14005.

Ferreira DG, Souza-Shibatta L, Shibatta OA, Sofia SH, Carlsson J, Dias JHP, Makrakis S,
Makrakis MC. 2017. Genetic structure and diversity of migratory freshwater fish in a
fragmented Neotropical river system. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 27:209–
231. DOI: 10.1007/s11160-016-9441-2.

Fraik AK, McMillan JR, Liermann M, Bennett T, McHenry ML, McKinney GJ, Wells AH,
Winans G, Kelley JL, Pess GR, Nichols KM. 2021. The Impacts of Dam Construction
and Removal on the Genetics of Recovering Steelhead (Oncorhynchus mykiss)
Populations across the Elwha River Watershed. Genes 12. DOI:
10.3390/genes12010089.

Fraser DJ, Weir LK, Bernatchez L, Hansen MM, Taylor EB. 2011. Extent and scale of local
adaptation in salmonid fishes: Review and meta-analysis. Heredity 106:404–420. DOI:
10.1038/hdy.2010.167.

García-Vega A, Fuentes-Pérez JF, Leunda Urretabizkaia PM, Ardaiz Ganuza J, Sanz-Ronda
FJ. 2022. Upstream migration of anadromous and potamodromous brown trout: patterns

and triggers in a 25-year overview. Hydrobiologia 849:197–213. DOI: 10.1007/s10750-
021-04720-9.
Garcia De Leaniz C, Fleming IA, Einum S, Verspoor E, Jordan WC, Consuegra S, Aubin-
Horth N, Lajus D, Letcher BH, Youngson AF, Webb JH, Vøllestad LA, Villanueva B,
Ferguson A, Quinn TP. 2007. A critical review of adaptive genetic variation in Atlantic
salmon: Implications for conservation. Biological Reviews 82:173–211. DOI:
10.1111/j.1469-185X.2006.00004.x.
González-Ferreras AM, Leal S, Barquín J, Almodóvar A. 2022. Patterns of genetic diversity
of brown trout in a northern Spanish catchment linked to structural connectivity. Aquatic
Sciences 84:48. DOI: 10.1007/s00027-022-00877-0.
Goudet J. 2005. hierfstat, a package for r to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics.
Molecular Ecology Notes 5:184–186. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-
8286.2004.00828.x.
Gouskov A, Reyes M, Wirthner-Bitterlin L, Vorburger C. 2016. Fish population genetic
structure shaped by hydroelectric power plants in the upper Rhine catchment.
Evolutionary Applications 9:394–408. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12339.
Habel M, Mechkin K, Podgorska K, Saunes M, Babiński Z, Chalov S, Absalon D, Podgórski
Z, Obolewski K. 2020. Dam and reservoir removal projects: a mix of social-ecological
trends and cost-cutting attitudes. Scientific Reports 10:19210. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-
020-76158-3.
Halvorsen M, Stabell OB. 1990. Homing behaviour of displaced stream-dwelling brown
trout. Animal Behaviour 39:1089–1097. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-
3472(05)80781-X.
Harris JH, Kingsford RT, Peirson W, Baumgartner LJ. 2017. Mitigating the effects of
barriers to freshwater fish migrations: the Australian experience. Marine and Freshwater
Research 68:614–628.
Hedrick PW, Kalinowski ST. 2000. Inbreeding Depression in Conservation Biology. Annual
Review of Ecology and Systematics 31:139–162. DOI:
10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.139.
Hedrick PW, Miller PS. 1992. Conservation Genetics: Techniques and Fundamentals.
Ecological Applications 2:30–46. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/1941887.
Hendry AP, Wenburg JK, Bentzen P, Volk EC, Quinn TP. 2000. Rapid evolution of
reproductive isolation in the wild: Evidence from introduced salmon. Science 290:516–
518. DOI: 10.1126/science.290.5491.516.
Humphries P, Winemiller KO. 2009. Historical Impacts on River Fauna, Shifting Baselines,
and Challenges for Restoration. BioScience 59:673–684. DOI: 10.1525/bio.2009.59.8.9.
Jetz W, Wilcove DS, Dobson AP. 2007. Projected Impacts of Climate and Land-Use Change
on the Global Diversity of Birds. PLOS Biology 5:e157.
Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.
Bioinformatics 24:1403–1405. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129.
Jombart T, Ahmed I. 2011. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP
data. Bioinformatics 27:3070–3071. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521.
Jones J, Börger L, Tummers J, Jones P, Lucas M, Kerr J, Kemp P, Bizzi S, Consuegra S,
Marcello L, Vowles A, Belletti B, Verspoor E, Van de Bund W, Gough P, Garcia de
Leaniz C. 2019. A comprehensive assessment of stream fragmentation in Great Britain.
Science of The Total Environment 673:756–762. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.125.
Källo K, Baktoft H, Kristensen ML, Birnie-Gauvin K, Aarestrup K. 2022. High prevalence of
straying in a wild brown trout (Salmo trutta) population in a fjord system. ICES Journal
of Marine Science 79:1539–1547. DOI: 10.1093/icesjms/fsac079.

Källo K, Birnie-Gauvin K, Baktoft H, Aarestrup K. 2023. On the factors affecting migration
and straying in brown trout (Salmo trutta). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
Sciences. DOI: 10.1139/cjfas-2022-0298.
Keefer ML, Caudill CC. 2014. Homing and straying by anadromous salmonids: a review of
mechanisms and rates. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 24:333–368. DOI:
10.1007/s11160-013-9334-6.
Keenan K, McGinnity P, Cross TF, Crozier WW, Prodöhl PA. 2013. diveRsity: An R
package for the estimation and exploration of population genetics parameters and their
associated errors. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4:782–788. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12067.
King RA, Hillman R, Elsmere P, Stockley B, Stevens JR. 2016. Investigating patterns of
straying and mixed stock exploitation of sea trout, Salmo trutta, in rivers sharing an
estuary in south-west England. Fisheries Management and Ecology 23:376–389. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/fme.12181.
Kinziger AP, Loudenslager EJ, Hankin DG, Anderson EC, Garza JC. 2008. Hybridization
between Spring- and Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Returning to the Trinity River,
California. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 28:1426–1438. DOI:
10.1577/M07-103.1.
Klemetsen A. 2013. The most variable vertebrate on Earth. Journal of Ichthyology 53:781–
791. DOI: 10.1134/S0032945213100044.
Klemetsen A, Amundsen P-A, Dempson JB, Jonsson B, Jonsson N, O'Connell MF,
Mortensen E. 2003. Atlantic salmon Salmo salar L., brown trout Salmo trutta L. and
Arctic charr Salvelinus alpinus (L.): a review of aspects of their life histories. Ecology
of Freshwater Fish 12:1–59. DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-0633.2003.00010.x.
Klütsch CFC, Maduna SN, Polikarpova N, Forfang K, Aspholm PE, Nyman T, Eiken HG,
Amundsen P-A, Hagen SB. 2019. Genetic changes caused by restocking and
hydroelectric dams in demographically bottlenecked brown trout in a transnational
subarctic riverine system. Ecology and Evolution 9:6068–6081. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5191.
Lande R. 1992. Neutral Theory of Quantitative Genetic Variance in an Island Model with
Local Extinction and Colonization. Evolution 46:381–389. DOI: 10.2307/2409859.
Liermann CR, Nilsson C, Robertson J, Ng RY. 2012. Implications of Dam Obstruction for
Global Freshwater Fish Diversity. BioScience 62:539–548. DOI:
10.1525/bio.2012.62.6.5.
Massa-Gallucci A, Coscia I, O'Grady M, Kelly-Quinn M, Mariani S. 2010. Patterns of
genetic structuring in a brown trout (Salmo trutta L.) metapopulation. Conservation
Genetics 11:1689–1699. DOI: 10.1007/s10592-010-0061-4.
McLaughlin RL, Smyth ERB, Castro-Santos T, Jones ML, Koops MA, Pratt TC, Vélez-
Espino L-A. 2013. Unintended consequences and trade-offs of fish passage. Fish and
Fisheries 14:580–604. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12003.
Meldgaard T, Nielsen EE, Loeschcke V. 2003. Fragmentation by weirs in a riverine system:
A study of genetic variation in time and space among populations of European grayling
(Thymallus thymallus) in a Danish river system. Conservation Genetics 4:735–747.
DOI: 10.1023/B:COGE.0000006115.14106.de.
Mikheev PB, Jarvis MG, Matthaei CD, Ingram T, Reid MR, Nikiforov AI, Chernienko IS,
Closs GP. 2021. Straying of brown trout in the catchment of a large New Zealand river
evaluated by otolith microchemistry. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 30:433–443. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12595.
Moland E, Fernández-Chacón A, Sørdalen TK, Villegas-Ríos D, Thorbjørnsen SH,
Halvorsen KT, Huserbråten M, Olsen EM, Nillos Kleiven PJ, Kleiven AR, Knutsen H,

Espeland SH, Freitas C, Knutsen JA. 2021. Restoration of Abundance and Dynamics of
Coastal Fish and Lobster Within Northern Marine Protected Areas Across Two
Decades. Frontiers in Marine Science 8. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.674756
Neville H, Dauwalter D, Peacock M. 2016. Monitoring Demographic and Genetic Responses
of a Threatened Inland Trout to Habitat Reconnection. Transactions of the American
Fisheries Society 145:610–626. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2015.1131747.
Nicola GG, Elvira B, Almodovar A. 1996. Dams and fish passage facilities in the large rivers
of Spain: effects on migratory species.
Noonan MJ, Grant JWA, Jackson CD. 2012. A quantitative assessment of fish passage
efficiency. Fish and Fisheries 13:450–464. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-
2979.2011.00445.x.
Paradis E. 2010. pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular
approach. Bioinformatics 26:419–420. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696.
Pavlova A, Beheregaray LB, Coleman R, Gilligan D, Harrisson KA, Ingram BA, Kearns J,
Lamb AM, Lintermans M, Lyon J, Nguyen TTT, Sasaki M, Tonkin Z, Yen JDL,
Sunnucks P. 2017. Severe consequences of habitat fragmentation on genetic diversity of
an endangered Australian freshwater fish: A call for assisted gene flow. Evolutionary
Applications 10:531–550. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12484.
Pennock CA, Bender D, Hofmeier J, Mounts JA, Waters R, Weaver VD, Gido KB. 2017.
Can fishways mitigate fragmentation effects on Great Plains fish communities?
Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 75:121–130. DOI: 10.1139/cjfas-
2016-0466.
Perrier C, Le Gentil J, Ravigne V, Gaudin P, Salvado JC. 2014. Origins and genetic diversity
among Atlantic salmon recolonizing upstream areas of a large South European river
following restoration of connectivity and stocking. Conservation Genetics 15:1095–
1109. DOI: 10.1007/s10592-014-0602-3.
Prunier JG, Loot G, Veyssiere C, Poulet N, Blanchet S. 2023. Novel operational index
reveals rapid recovery of genetic connectivity in freshwater fish species after riverine
restoration. Conservation Letters 16:e12939. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12939.
Saint-Pé K, Leitwein M, Tissot L, Poulet N, Guinand B, Berrebi P, Marselli G, Lascaux J-M,
Gagnaire P-A, Blanchet S. 2019. Development of a large SNPs resource and a low-
density SNP array for brown trout (Salmo trutta) population genetics. BMC Genomics
20:582. DOI: 10.1186/s12864-019-5958-9.
Salmenkova EA. 2017. Mechanisms of homing in salmonids. Biology Bulletin Reviews
7:287–298. DOI: 10.1134/S2079086417040077.
Sellers GS, Di Muri C, Gómez A, Hänfling B. 2018. Mu-DNA: a modular universal DNA
extraction method adaptable for a wide range of sample types. Metabarcoding and
Metagenomics 2:e24556. DOI: 10.3897/mbmg.2.24556.
Silva AT, Lucas MC, Castro-Santos T, Katopodis C, Baumgartner LJ, Thiem JD, Aarestrup
K, Pompeu PS, O'Brien GC, Braun DC, Burnett NJ, Zhu DZ, Fjeldstad H-P, Forseth T,
Rajaratnam N, Williams JG, Cooke SJ. 2018. The future of fish passage science,
engineering, and practice. Fish and Fisheries 19:340–362. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12258.
Stelkens RB, Jaffuel G, Escher M, Wedekind C. 2012. Genetic and phenotypic population
divergence on a microgeographic scale in brown trout. Molecular Ecology 21:2896–
2915. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05581.x.
Sundqvist L, Keenan K, Zackrisson M, Prodöhl P, Kleinhans D. 2016. Directional genetic
differentiation and relative migration. Ecology and Evolution 6:3461–3475. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2096.

Swatdipong A, Vasemägi A, Niva T, Koljonen M-L, Primmer CR. 2010. High level of
population genetic structuring in lake-run brown trout, Salmo trutta, of the Inari Basin,
northern Finland. Journal of Fish Biology 77:2048–2071. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02784.x.
Tamario C, Sunde J, Petersson E, Tibblin P, Forsman A. 2019. Ecological and Evolutionary
Consequences of Environmental Change and Management Actions for Migrating Fish.
Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7:1–24. DOI: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00271.
Thia JA. 2023. Guidelines for standardizing the application of discriminant analysis of
principal components to genotype data. Molecular Ecology Resources 23:523–538.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13706.
Torterotot J-B, Perrier C, Bergeron NE, Bernatchez L. 2014. Influence of Forest Road
Culverts and Waterfalls on the Fine-Scale Distribution of Brook Trout Genetic Diversity
in a Boreal Watershed. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 143:1577–1591.
DOI: 10.1080/00028487.2014.952449.
Van Leeuwen CHA, Dalen K, Museth J, Junge C, Vøllestad LA. 2018. Habitat fragmentation
has interactive effects on the population genetic diversity and individual behaviour of a
freshwater salmonid fish. River Research and Applications 34:60–68. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.3226.
Vera-Escalona I, Senthivasan S, Habit E, Ruzzante DE. 2018. Past, present, and future of a
freshwater fish metapopulation in a threatened landscape. Conservation Biology
32:849–859. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13093.
Vitousek PM, Mooney HA, Lubchenco J, Melillo JM. 1997. Human Domination of Earth's
Ecosystems. Science 277:494–499. DOI: 10.1126/science.277.5325.494.
Waldner K, Bechter T, Auer S, Borgwardt F, El-Matbouli M, Unfer G. 2020. A brown trout
(Salmo trutta) population faces devastating consequences due to proliferative kidney
disease and temperature increase: A case study from Austria. Ecology of Freshwater
Fish 29:465–476. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12528.
Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984. Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of Population
Structure. Evolution 38:1358–1370. DOI: 10.2307/2408641.
Wilkes MA, Webb JA, Pompeu PS, Silva LGM, Vowles AS, Baker CF, Franklin P, Link O,
Habit E, Kemp PS. 2019. Not just a migration problem: Metapopulations, habitat shifts,
and gene flow are also important for fishway science and management. River Research
and Applications 35:1688–1696. DOI: 10.1002/rra.3320.
Wood DM, Welsh AB, Todd Petty J. 2018. Genetic Assignment of Brook Trout Reveals
Rapid Success of Culvert Restoration in Headwater Streams. North American Journal of
Fisheries Management 38:991–1003. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/nafm.10185.
Zarri LJ, Palkovacs EP, Post DM, Therkildsen NO, Flecker AS. 2022. The Evolutionary
Consequences of Dams and Other Barriers for Riverine Fishes. BioScience 72:431–448.
DOI: 10.1093/biosci/biac004.