Loss of PAX6 alters the excitatory/inhibitory neuronal ratio in human cerebral organoids.

Wai Kit Chan[1], Shibla Abdulla[1], Lusi Zhao[1], Danilo Negro[1], Victoria M. Munro[1], Helen Marshall[1],

Zrinko Kozić[1], Megan Brown[1], Michela Barbato[1], Mariana Beltran[2], Neil C Henderson[2], David J.

Price[1], John O. Mason[1]

[1]Simons Initiative for the Developing Brain, Institute for Neuroscience and Cardiovascular

Research, University of Edinburgh, Hugh Robson Building, George Square, Edinburgh EH8 9XD,

UK

[2]Institute for Regeneration & Repair (IRR), University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh Bioquarter, 4 –

7 Little France Drive, Edinburgh EH16 4UU, United Kingdom.

Corresponding Author email address: waikit.chan@ed.ac.uk

Keywords: PAX6, human cerebral organoid, neurodevelopment, cell fate, interneurons,

cerebral cortex

Summary Statement (30 words)

Human cerebral organoids lacking PAX6 expression show an altered excitatory to inhibitory

neuronal ratio, concomitant with altered responses to intercellular PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling.

Abstract (152/180 words)

The transcription factor PAX6 is a crucial regulator of multiple aspects of embryonic forebrain

development. It has well-established roles in the regulation of excitatory and inhibitory

neuron development in the embryonic cortex in mice but PAX6's roles during human forebrain

development are less well understood. Using human cerebral organoids, we investigated

PAX6's roles in human neurodevelopment. Homozygous PAX6 mutant ($PAX6^{-/-}$) organoids were

larger than controls and contained increased inhibitory cell types. Excitatory neurons were

still generated in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids but they were less mature, and a subset showed

dysregulated expression of inhibitory identity genes compared to $PAX6^{+/+}$ controls. The

inhibitory cells found in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids physically segregated from excitatory neurons and

presented a distinct transcriptomic profile when compared to *in vivo* cortical inhibitory

neurons. $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids showed a dysregulated cellular response to PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling,

which contributed to the observed increase in inhibitory neurons and the consequent altered

excitatory to inhibitory neuronal ratio.

Introduction

Neurons in the cerebral cortex fall into two major classes – excitatory (which express the neurotransmitter glutamate) and inhibitory (which express the neurotransmitter GABA) (Cadwell et al., 2019). Excitatory neurons are born in the dorsal telencephalon while large numbers of inhibitory neurons are born ventrally, in the ganglionic eminences before migrating into the cortical plate to form cortical circuits with excitatory neurons. (Anderson et al., 1997; Anderson et al., 2001; Gorski et al., 2002) Recent evidence suggests that in humans, some cortical inhibitory neurons are born in the cortex alongside excitatory neurons (Chung et al., 2024; Delgado et al., 2022; Keefe et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025a). Imbalances between these two cell types are thought to underlie a variety of neurodevelopmental disorders (Bruining et al., 2020). The transcription factor PAX6 is a key regulator of embryonic forebrain development playing a crucial role in cell fate determination (Manuel et al., 2015; Ochi et al., 2022; Walcher et al., 2013). In humans, homozygous PAX6 mutations are either lethal or result in major brain defects including corpus callosum agenesis, cortical thinning, microcephaly, large midline cavities and hypoplastic brainstem (Glaser et al., 1994; Schmidt-Sidor et al., 2009; Solomon et al., 2009). Human PAX6 is predominantly expressed in dorsal regions of the ventricular zone (VZ) in the telencephalon, the region that gives rise to excitatory neurons (Kerwin et al., 2010; Lindsay et al., 2005). Transcriptomic and *in situ* hybridisation analysis of the human fetal cortex revealed high *PAX6* expression in the anterior areas of the cortex that decreased caudally (Eze et al., 2021; Kerwin et al., 2010). In the dorsoventral axis, PAX6 is highly expressed dorsally with a decrease ventrally towards the ganglionic eminences forming a dorsoventral gradient of expression. Human PAX6 is expressed at low levels within the striatal subpallium, partly colocalizing with the ventral transcription factor OLIG2 (Kerwin et al., 2010; Mo and Zecevic, 2008). At more caudal levels however, the pallial/subpallial boundary (PSPB) is clearly delineated by a sharp border between the PAX6-expressing pallium located dorsally, which gives rise to glutamatergic neurons, and the OLIG2-expressing subpallium located ventrally from which GABAergic neurons arise (Kerwin et al., 2010). Besides the VZ, PAX6 is also expressed in the subventricular zone (SVZ) in human, including both the inner and outer subventricular zones (iSVZ and oSVZ) (Eze et al., 2021; Kerwin et al., 2010; Nowakowski et al., 2017; Pollen et al., 2015). The oSVZ is populated by outer radial glia (oRG) cells that characteristically marked by high expression

of PTPRZ1, a receptor for PTN (Hansen et al., 2010; Pollen et al., 2015; Reillo et al., 2011).

However, the functional significance of PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling in oRGs during development is

unknown. The expansion of oRGs, which express PAX6, is a key driver of cortical expansion

and folding in human brain development due to their remarkable self-renewing capacity

(Pollen et al., 2015). While the expression pattern of PAX6 in the developing human brain is

reasonably well described, little is yet known about its functions in the developing human

cortex. Studying cerebral organoids derived from *PAX6*$^{-/-}$ induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs),

we found that PAX6 loss resulted in the generation of abnormal inhibitory cell types that

segregate from other neuronal cell types and are transcriptomically abnormal. While

excitatory neurons were still generated in the absence of functional PAX6, their maturation

was delayed, and a subset of excitatory neurons were also transcriptionally abnormal. Thus,

PAX6 loss resulted in organoids that have an altered excitatory to inhibitory ratio and we show

that this was partially due to dysregulation of the PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling pathway.

Results

Generation of PAX6*$^{-/-}$ *iPSCs and organoids

PAX6 contains two DNA-binding domains, the paired box and homeodomain (Xu et al., 1999).

Paired box mutations have been implicated in the brain malformations described in human

patients (Tzoulaki et al., 2005) and the paired domain alone was found to be necessary and

sufficient to regulate telencephalic development in mice (Haubst et al., 2004). Therefore, to

study the role of PAX6 in human telencephalic development, we targeted the PAX6 loss of

function mutation to the paired domain. We derived *PAX6*$^{-/-}$ mutant iPSC lines from the

parental line NAS2 (Devine et al., 2011) using CRISPR-Cas9 to insert a T residue into the paired

box region of PAX6 (Fig 1A), causing a frameshift mutation that results in premature

termination of PAX6 protein at amino acid residue 31, 20 residues downstream of the

mutation. Two independent *PAX6*$^{-/-}$ clones (A10 and B2) homozygous for this mutation and

two isogenic control clones (Nas2 and Cas92) were selected for further analysis. We confirmed

that PAX6 mutagenesis was successful by PAX6 immunostaining with an antibody specific for

the paired box domain (Engelkamp et al., 1999) and western blot. The former confirmed

absence of PAX6 paired domain in mutant organoids and the latter showed truncated PAX6

protein (Fig 1C-D). All iPSC lines used in this study were subjected to whole genome

sequencing (WGS) analysis. No changes were detected at any of the five predicted most likely

CRISPR off-target sites. Probing further to ascertain whether the targeting procedure may

have introduced mutations that could have compromised the iPSC lines, we found that no

impactful mutations that would affect pluripotency or genomic integrity of the iPSCs

(Supplementary Fig 1). All lines expressed pluripotency markers NANOG, OCT3/4 and TRA-1-

60 (Supplementary Fig 2A)(Devine et al., 2011). Cerebral organoids were grown from $PAX6^{+/+}$

(control: NAS2 & CAS92) and $PAX6^{-/-}$ mutant (A10 & B2) cell lines using a version of the

Lancaster protocol (Lancaster et al., 2013) modified by the inclusion of dual SMAD inhibition

to enhance neural lineage differentiation (Chambers et al., 2009) and growth factors BDNF &

NT3 to support growth and survival of neurons (Birey et al., 2017)(Supplementary Fig 2B).

$PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids were larger than controls but contained fewer rosettes

We characterised the cerebral organoids generated from the iPSCs. To characterise the

morphology of day 60 organoids grown from both $PAX6^{-/-}$ mutant lines and two isogenic

control lines, we cryosectioned and stained for SOX2 (to mark neural progenitors) and N-CAD

(to identify neuroepithelium) (Fig 1E,F). Staining patterns were consistent between organoids

of the same genotype. Although $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids appeared larger than controls, they showed

a lower density of rosettes (radial arrangements of columnar epithelial cells with a central

lumen resembling a cross-section of the developing neural tube). Organoid size was

approximated by measuring the area of the widest cross-section of each organoid. The cross-

sectional area of $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids was 18.09 ± 1.80 mm^2 compared to 11.55 ± 2.40 mm^2 in

controls (Fig 1G). The proportion of organoid tissue containing neural rosettes (demarcated

by SOX2 and N-CAD staining (Gomes et al., 2020)) was $1.4\% \pm 0.29\%$ in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids and

$12.68\% \pm 1.57\%$ in controls (Fig 1H). This decrease was due to a dramatically lower density of

rosettes in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids (Fig 1I). While $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids had lower neural rosette density,

the size of individual rosettes did not differ significantly between $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids and

controls (Fig 1J-K). We previously reported that $Pax6^{-/-}$ mouse cortex showed an increase in

inhibitory cell types that formed ectopias in the developing mouse cortex. These inhibitory

cell types do not integrate into the cortical plate (Manuel et al., 2022). Therefore, we

hypothesise that the increase in overall organoid size coupled with a decrease in neural

rosette formation in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids was due to the emergence of ectopic inhibitory cell

types. As organoids lack an anato mical axis (Cederquist et al., 2019), we define ectopic

inhibitory cell types in organoids as inhibitory cell types whose distribution do not interleave

with excitatory neurons as is usually seen in healthy cerebral organoids (Osaki et al., 2024;

Sharf et al., 2022) but instead form separate territories in this study.

Altered cellular composition in PAX6^{-/-} cerebral organoids.

To characterise the cell types present in *PAX6^{-/-}* and control organoids, we performed single

cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) on four independent batches of organoids with each batch

containing organoids grown from each of the four iPSC lines (two mutants: A10 and B2, and

two controls: NAS2 and CAS92). Following quality control steps to remove ambient RNA, dead

cells, doublets and stressed cells regularly found in brain organoids (Vértesy et al., 2022),

40,461 cells were retained for downstream analysis. Cells were annotated using CellTypist

(Domínguez Conde et al., 2022) trained on a developing human fetal brain dataset (Braun et

al., 2023). Annotation discrepancies were cross-checked against other models trained on

human brain organoid data (He et al., 2024), other developing human fetal brain datasets

(Wang et al., 2025a) and resolved using cell type specific marker genes described in previous

studies (Bhaduri et al., 2020; Braun et al., 2023; He et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2021; Uzquiano et

al., 2022; Yu et al., 2021) (Fig 2A,B). Our dataset contained radial glial progenitors (RGP)

expressing marker genes including *GLI3*, *VIM* and *SLC1A3*; intermediate progenitor cells (IPC)

expressing *NHLH1*, *HES6*, *EOMES*; two clusters of excitatory neurons: excitatory neuron 1 (EN1)

expressing *NEUROD2, NEUROD6, SLC17A7* and excitatory neuron 2 (EN2) expressing marker

genes including *NRXN1*, *RBFOX3*; inhibitory neuron progenitors that express *DLX2*, *DLX6,*

TOP2A and inhibitory neurons that express *GAD1, SLC32A1,* and *DLX2* (Fig 2B, Supplementary

Table 1). There were also two clusters containing small numbers of cells (<410). One of these

(110 cells) was annotated as "unknown" as CellTypist was unable to reach agreement over the

different trained models and none of the marker genes pointed towards a clear cell type

(Supplementary Table 1). The other cluster (404 cells) was annotated as "mixed" because

CellTypist annotated them as a mixture of excitatory and inhibitory neurons depending on the

reference model. However, marker genes in that cluster included *DDIT4* and *HSP90AB1* which

are typically found in stressed cells in organoids (Supplementary Table 1) (Vértesy et al., 2022).

Both "mixed" and "unknown" clusters were found in both *PAX6^{+/+}* and *PAX6^{-/-}* organoids and

were excluded from further analysis.

There was a change in the proportion of cell types found in PAX6-null organoids compared to

controls (Fig 2C). The proportions of each cell type found in $PAX6^{+/+}$ organoids were: RGP 28.7

± 3.7%; IPC, 6.7 ± 1.2%; EN1, 62.1 ± 4.9%; EN2 0.2% ± 0.01%; inhibitory neuron progenitors,

1.8 ± 0.3%; and inhibitory neurons 0.2% ± 0.1%.

In $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids the proportions were: RGP 21.4 ± 3.1%; IPC, 2.3 ± 1.1%; EN1, 14.9 ± 3.5%;

EN2, 5.1 ± 1.4%; inhibitory neuron progenitors, 18.4 ± 0.3%; and inhibitory neurons 36.5% ±

6.4%. Thus, $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids showed a ~25% decrease in RGPs and a ~66% decrease in IPCs

but a ~922% increase in inhibitory neuron progenitors compared to $PAX6^{+/+}$ organoids (Fig 2C-

E). There was also a ~76% decrease in the number of EN1 neurons in mutant organoids (Fig

3C-E, blue). Inhibitory neurons (Fig 2C-E, red) were almost exclusively found in PAX$6^{-/-}$

organoids (36.5%) compared to $PAX6^{+/+}$ controls (0.2%), a ~18150% increase in mutants.

Finally, an excitatory neuronal cluster EN2 that was also almost exclusively found in P$AX6^{-/-}$

organoids, constituted 5.1 ± 1.2% of cells in PAX6-null organoids compared to 0.2 ± 0.01% in

$PAX6^{+/+}$ control organoids (Fig 2C, EN2 - orange), a 2450% increase in mutants. Graphing the

relative proportions of cell-types found in each individual sample showed that the cellular

composition was relatively consistent within organoids of each genotype, as were the

differences between $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids and controls (Fig 2E).

In summary, we found that loss of PAX6 caused a reduction in the proportion of neural

progenitors (RGP & IPC), a decrease in the proportion of excitatory neurons (EN1 & EN2) and

an increase in the proportion of inhibitory progenitors and neurons (Fig 2D). The generation

of inhibitory cell types at the expense of excitatory cell type suggests that PAX6 loss results in

cell fate diversification of neural progenitors from an excitatory to an inhibitory fate.

Inhibitory and excitatory cell types are physically segregated within PAX6$^{-/-}$ organoids.

While the scRNAseq results confirmed that loss of PAX6 results in the increased in inhibitory

neurons, it did not address whether the inhibitory neurons were ectopic. To answer this

question, we examined the distribution of excitatory and inhibitory identity cells in $PAX6^{+/+}$

and $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids. We immunostained cryosections of day 60 organoids for TBR2 (EOMES)

to label cortical IP cells which differentiate into excitatory neurons and DLX2 to identify

inhibitory cell precursors (Fig 3A). Sections throughout each individual organoid were sampled

and reconstructed to form 3D models (Fig 3A). Voxels in each reconstructed organoid were

assigned a value corresponding to their relative level of expression of TBR2 or DLX2, giving a

readout of dorsal versus ventral identity for cell clusters (Fig 3B, Supplementary Fig 3). We did

not observe any inhibitory territory staining in the *PAX6*^(+/+) organoids and our analysis clearly

identified distinct, separate excitatory and inhibitory territories in each *PAX6*^(-/-) organoid (Fig

3C). However, we did find some of these DLX2+ cells in the scRNAseq in the *PAX6*^(+/+) control

organoids, albeit a very small number (328 cells). This most likely indicates that detection of

DLX2 mRNA by scRNAseq is more sensitive than detection of DLX2 protein by

immunofluorescence. Further, as we employed a sampling method of each organoid to build

the 3D models, the small number of cells could be missed during sampling. Nevertheless, the

separation of excitatory/inhibitory territories supports our hypothesis that PAX6 loss results

in the emergence of ectopic inhibitory cell types. We next wondered whether PAX6 loss

diverts neural progenitors only to specific inhibitory cell types.

Inhibitory cell types in PAX6^(-/-) organoids are likely abnormal

To identify the specific subtype(s) of these ectopic inhibitory neurons that were generated in

the *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids, we integrated our dataset with a scRNAseq dataset from fetal human

ventral telencephalon (Yu et al., 2021), where the majority of inhibitory neurons found in the

cortex are generated, to determine whether the inhibitory cells found in the *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids

are equivalent to specific inhibitory subtypes found in the developing human brain (Fig 4A-B).

For this analysis we focussed on the inhibitory neurons in the *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids as they formed

the vast majority of the inhibitory neurons in our dataset, 8704 cells compared to just 20 cells

in the *PAX6*^(+/+) control organoids. Co-clustering of inhibitory cells found in *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids

with a specific inhibitory subtype in the developing fetal brain would indicate transcriptomic

similarity between them. However, we found that the inhibitory neurons in *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids

did not cluster with any specific subtype (Fig 4B). The failure of these cells to co-cluster with

specific known fetal brain inhibitory subtypes suggests that they represent an aberrant

population with a distinct transcriptomic profile, rather than mis-specified normal inhibitory

neuron subtypes.

To further explore the nature of *PAX6*^(-/-) inhibitory cells in our *PAX6*^(-/-) organoids, we conducted

differential gene expression (DGE) analysis between *PAX6*^(-/-) organoid inhibitory cells and

inhibitory cells in the human ventral telencephalon reference dataset. This revealed a total of

6,933 differentially expressed genes (DEGs), 337 of which were downregulated in the $PAX6^{-/-}$

organoids compared to the reference dataset and 6,596 were upregulated (Supplementary

Data). Plotting the top 20 DEGs in a heatmap revealed that all 20 were upregulated in $PAX6^{-/-}$

inhibitory cells (Fig 4C). Some DEGs, including *AUTS2*, *CASK* and *MBD5* are known to be

involved in neuronal development while others encode cellular membrane proteins

implicated in cellular signalling or cell morphology (*NRXN3*, *CTNNA2*, *TUBB3*, *ATRNL1* and

ENOX1) (Fig 4C). To get a more holistic view of the differences between inhibitory cells in $PAX6^{-}$

$^{/-}$ organoids and those in the developing fetal brain, we performed gene ontology (GO) analysis

on all 6933 DEGs. Top-ranked GO terms related to intra- and intercellular processes including

transcription, phosphorylation and intracellular signal transduction (Fig 4D). Looking at the

molecular function GO terms of the DEGs (Fig 4E), the main differences between inhibitory

neurons generated from the loss of PAX6 and *in vivo* developing inhibitory neurons are

dysregulated expression of genes involved in molecular interactions highlighted by most GO

terms being involved in the binding of molecules.

Taken together, the DGE between $PAX6^{-/-}$ inhibitory neurons and in vivo inhibitory neurons

suggests that the loss of PAX6 results in inhibitory neurons with defects in basic cellular

processes including disrupted transcriptional regulation, cell signalling and molecular binding.

The failure of $PAX6^{-/-}$ inhibitory neurons to establish proper molecular machinery for normal

function suggests that the inhibitory neurons that were born due PAX6 loss were abnormal

inhibitory neurons. Perhaps this might explain the extreme segregation into different

"territories" as we have described above that usually happens to abnormal cell types (Fig 3).

Excitatory cell types in PAX6^{-/-} organoids are more immature than those in PAX6^{+/+} controls.

While inhibitory neurons numbers were increased in the expense of excitatory neurons in

$PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids, the organoids still contained a significant number of excitatory neurons (Fig

3C-E). This indicates that loss of PAX6 diverted only a subset of progenitors to an inhibitory

cell fate. We wondered whether PAX6 loss also affected the maturation trajectory of those

excitatory neurons that are still being generated. To address this, we furthered our analysis

into the excitatory neuronal clusters EN1 and EN2 (Fig 3). The majority of excitatory neurons

in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids fell into cluster EN1 which was also found in controls (Fig 3C), indicating

that these cells are transcriptionally similar to *PAX6$^{+/+}$* cells. However, the number of EN2 cells

were substantially increased (~25 fold) in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids compared to controls (Fig 3C)

suggesting that PAX6 promotes a subset of neural progenitors to acquire EN2 cell fate. How

different are the cells in the EN2 cluster compared to EN1?

Highly expressed marker genes in EN2 cells include *NRXN1*, *CAMK4*, *DCC*, and *NDST3* which

are all involved in cellular signalling. Further, key marker genes for excitatory neurons such as

NEUROD2 and *NEUROD6* (Bhaduri et al., 2020) are expressed at lower levels in EN2 cells than

in EN1 (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Data). However, EN2 cells do express

glutamate receptor genes including *GRID1*, *GRIK2* and *SHISA6* (Supplementary Table 1),

characteristic of excitatory glutamatergic neurons. DGE analysis between EN2 and EN1 cells

revealed higher levels of inhibitory marker genes including *DLX5* and *ASCL1*, and genes

involved in cellular signalling including *NRXN1*, *PXDC1*, *ADAM22* (and many more) in EN2 cells

(Fig 5A). Taken together, these findings indicate that a subset of excitatory neurons generated

in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids have an atypical transcriptome, expressing both excitatory and inhibitory

neuronal marker genes, perhaps as a consequence of dysregulated signalling and/or

dysregulated cellular response to signalling.

To determine whether PAX6 loss affects the lineage progression of excitatory neurons in

organoids, we assessed the maturation of the excitatory lineage in both *PAX6$^{+/+}$* and *PAX6$^{-/-}$*

organoids using pseudotime. EN1 and EN2 were grouped together as excitatory neurons for

this analysis as there were insufficient EN2 cells in *PAX6$^{+/+}$* organoids to allow a meaningful

comparison. We found that *PAX6$^{+/+}$* organoids contained more mature cells of the excitatory

lineage than *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids, as visualised by cell density along pseudotime (Fig 5B). Taken

together, these results indicate that in addition to diverting a subset of cortical progenitors

into an inhibitory lineage with an abnormal identity, loss of PAX6 results in a delay in the

maturation of excitatory neurons and enrichment of a particular subset of excitatory neurons,

EN2. This enrichment of EN2 however did not increase the proportion of total excitatory

neurons in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids.

Cell fate diversification in PAX6$^{-/-}$ cerebral organoids may be driven by a dysregulated

response to intercellular signalling.

To address the mechanism underlying these cell fate diversifications caused by loss of PAX6,

we identified genes which drive the diversification from excitatory to inhibitory cell fate in

PAX6$^{-/-}$ organoids. We used scFates to infer developmental trajectories of RGPs (Faure et al.,

2023) by computing pseudotime using RGP as a root node (Fig 6A-C). RGPs progressed

towards either an excitatory (Fig 6D) or an inhibitory neuronal fate (Fig 6F). To identify driver

genes in excitatory and inhibitory cell lineages, we performed DGE analysis along both

developmental trajectories. Driver genes display dynamic, highly variable expression changes

in the excitatory (Fig 6E) and inhibitory branches (Fig 6G). Plotting the expression of these

genes along pseudotime for each branch, we found that they can be grouped into two

categories: well-known marker genes for respective lineages and genes related to cellular

signalling. For example, we identified marker genes for excitatory fate such as *NEUROD6* and

NEUROD2 (Fig 6E); and *DLX6-AS1*, *DLX5* and *ERBB4* for inhibitory fate (Fig 6G). Further, we

found genes related to cellular signalling including *PTPRZ1*, *DLGAP1*, *THSD7A*, *DAB1* in the

excitatory branch (Fig 6E); and *PDZRN3*, *SNTG1*, *NRXN3* in the inhibitory branch (Fig 6G). We

chose to focus further analysis on the *PTPRZ1* pathway as this emerged as the top candidate

driver gene in the excitatory trajectory (Fig 6E).

Decreased sensitivity to PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling in PAX6$^{-/-}$ cerebral organoids results in

altered excitatory/inhibitory neuronal ratio.

Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor type Z1 (PTPRZ1) is a receptor for pleiotrophin (PTN)

(Meng et al., 2000; Wang, 2020). PTPRZ1 is highly expressed in cortical progenitors, especially

in oRGs, a progenitor cell type rarely found in mice but which is greatly expanded in primates

(Pollen et al., 2015). PTN signalling has been implicated in neuronal development (Garcia-

Gutierrez et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2019) and neurite outgrowth (Yanagisawa et al., 2010) but

it has not previously been studied in the context of cortical development. To investigate

whether the PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling pathway or the cellular response to PTN is dysregulated

in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids, we first examined expression of *PTN* and its receptor *PTPRZ1* (Fig 7A-F).

We saw no significant difference in *PTN* expression between *PAX6$^{+/+}$* and *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids (Fig

7A-C) but there was an overall decrease in *PTPRZ1* expression across all individual cell types

in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids compared to controls (Fig 7D-F). In addition to PTPRZ1, PTN has been

reported to interact with other cell surface receptors including ALK, SDC1, SDC2, SDC3, PTPRS,

PTPRB, PLXNB2, CDH10 and NCL (González-Castillo et al., 2015; Wang, 2020). To be

comprehensive, we also examined the mRNA expression of these receptors in our scRNAseq dataset, which revealed no significant differences between $PAX6^{+/+}$ and $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids (Supplementary Fig 4).

We hypothesised that the lowered expression of $PTPRZ1$ in $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids could decrease their sensitivity to PTN signalling, leading to the misspecification of RGPs from excitatory to inhibitory fate. To test this possibility, we treated $PAX6^{+/+}$ and $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids with PTN at an earlier developmental age (organoid day 20) that precedes our observations described above. After seven days, the ratio of inhibitory (DLX2+) to excitatory (TBR2+) cells was determined, as a readout of PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling sensitivity (Fig 7K). Treating $PAX6^{+/+}$ organoids with 50 ng/ml PTN (Fig 7G-H) resulted in a statistically significant 2.75-fold decrease (6.27 to 2.28) in the ratio of DLX2+/TBR2+ cells compared to vehicle treatment (Fig 7L). A surprising observation that we noted was the similarity of the DLX2/TBR2 before PTN treatment between D20 control and mutant organoids given that we described there were not many DLX2+ cells in D60 control organoids resulting in a stark difference in DLX2/TBR2 ratio between the control and $PAX6^{-/-}$ mutant D60 organoids (Fig 2-3). This difference likely reflects the developmental trajectory of cerebral organoids: early-stage organoids (<2 months) contain diverse cell types from multiple nervous system regions, including non-cortical cells, before transitioning to exclusively cortical cell types by ~2 months/D60 (Uzquiano et al., 2022). This possibly explains why DLX2+ cells were present at D20 but drastically reduced at D60 in $PAX6^{+/+}$ control organoids resulting in a similar DLX2/TBR2 ratio between control and $PAX6^{-/-}$ mutant at D20 but not at D60.

Therefore, in the presence of PAX6, progenitor cells respond to the activation of the PTN-PTPRZ1 pathway by committing to an excitatory rather than inhibitory fate. However, treating $PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids with the same dose of PTN (Fig 7I-J) resulted in no change in the ratio of DLX2+/TBR2+ cells (Fig 7L) most probably due to lowered expression of PTPRZ1 rendering the cells less likely to respond to PTN. This indicates that dysregulated cellular response to PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling due to the loss of PAX6 contributes to the misspecification of RGPs from excitatory fate to inhibitory fate, thus altering the excitatory/inhibitory ratio.

Discussion

Here, we have shown that PAX6 loss in human cerebral organoids leads to a subset of cortical

progenitors deviating from excitatory to inhibitory fate, resulting in an altered excitatory to

inhibitory neuronal ratio. The inhibitory cells generated from *PAX6⁻/⁻* physically segregate from

excitatory cells, forming separate territories. While excitatory neurons were still generated in

the absence of PAX6, their maturation appeared to be delayed and we found enrichment of

transcriptomically atypical excitatory cells that show upregulated expression of inhibitory

marker genes such as *ASCL1* and *DLX5* and genes important for cellular signalling such as

NRXN1, *PXDC1*, *ADAM22*. Finally, we present evidence of dysregulated cellular response to

the PTN-PTPRZ1 pathway in neural progenitors as a mechanism contributing to the altered

excitatory to inhibitory neuronal ratio in *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids.

PAX6 mediated regulation of excitatory/inhibitory neuronal fate across model systems

Previously, we described Pax6's role in ensuring that mouse cortical progenitors progress

toward an excitatory neuronal fate by modulating the competence of the progenitors to

respond to the signalling molecules Sonic Hedgehog (Shh), which promotes the adoption of

inhibitory neuronal fate and Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP) which promotes excitatory

neuronal fate without any detectable changes in the expression of the ligand or receptors for

Shh or BMP. The result of this dysregulation in neural progenitors' competence to respond to

Shh and BMP was an increase of progenitors adopting inhibitory cell fate in the expense of

excitatory cell fate (Manuel et al., 2022).

Our present study using human organoids showed that the effect of PAX6 loss on the

excitatory/inhibitory neuronal ratio looks to be conserved across species. It also highlighted

some possible differences between the two model systems. We showed that dysregulated

neural progenitor response to the PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling pathway contributed to the altered

excitatory/inhibitory neuronal ratio in *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids, most likely due to reduced

expression of the PTPRZ1 receptor. PTPRZ1 is normally highly expressed in human/primate

oRGs, a progenitor population that is not readily found in mice and PTN has been reported to

act as a trophic factor responsible for maintaining the oRG stem cell niche (Pollen et al., 2015).

While we did not annotate oRGs separately from RGPs in our scRNAseq dataset, there are

oRGs present in both *PAX6⁺/⁺* and *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids as shown by the expression of the oRG

markers *HOPX*, *PTPRZ1*, *FAM107A* (Pollen et al., 2015) (Supplementary Fig 5B). The data we obtained suggests that PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling could be a possible human-specific mechanism of action underlying the altered excitatory/inhibitory ratio in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids as *Ptprz1* was not found to be differentially expressed between wildtype and Pax6 cortex-specific knockout mice (Manuel et al., 2022).

PTN-PTPRZ1 role in cell fate commitment

The neurotrophic factor PTN is highly expressed in neural stem cells in the adult hippocampus and facilitates the maturation of newborn neurons (Tang et al., 2019). Part of its role in maturation includes the stimulation of neurite outgrowth (Yanagisawa et al., 2010) however, it has not previously been implicated in cell fate specification - specifically neurons of excitatory or inhibitory fate as shown in this study. Cell cycle length can contribute to changes in cell fate, for example in the process of Yamanaka reprogramming in which somatic cells undergo an ultrafast cell cycle of about 8 hours/cycle (Chen et al., 2015). Therefore, PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling could affect neural cell fate specification by influencing the rate of proliferation or cell cycle time. PTN's actions on proliferation and cell cycle are context dependent. PTN signalling is needed for cell cycle progression in glioblastoma cells as it can stimulate glioblastoma stem cells during tumour growth (Chang et al., 2006; Shi et al., 2017), promote proliferation of neural stem and progenitor cells in the hippocampus (Li et al., 2023). In other cell types such as bovine chondrocytes, PTN inhibits proliferation (Tapp et al., 1999). Further experimentation will be required to fully describe the molecular pathway by which PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling influences cortical excitatory/inhibitory neural fate specification. It is possible that changes in other signalling pathways contribute to the altered excitatory/inhibitory neuronal ratio in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* organoids as cell fate commitment is a complex process and likely involves many other factors and cofactors. PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling is almost certainly only one piece of a complex puzzle. Other signalling-related genes that were differentially expressed in *PAX6$^{-/-}$* cells differentiating along the inhibitory pathway included *PDZRN3*, *SNTG1* and *NRXN3* (Supplementary Fig 5A) though experimental validation of these findings is beyond the scope of this study.

Atypical inhibitory and excitatory cells generated in PAX6$^{-/-}$ organoids

We described inhibitory cells and a subset of excitatory cells, EN2, generated in *PAX6*⁻ᐟ⁻

organoids showing atypical transcriptomic profile. Some gene expression differences between

cells in organoids and cells in embryos are to be expected, given likely differences between

the *in vitro* culture environment and fetal environment *in vivo*. However, given the

dysregulation in *PAX6*⁻ᐟ⁻ cells' cellular response to PTN-PTPRZ1 signalling resulting in altered

excitatory/inhibitory ratio of neurons, we consider that it is more likely that PAX6 loss resulted

in the generation of neurons that express inhibitory identity genes in the case of inhibitory

neurons and excitatory identity genes for the subset of excitatory neurons in the EN2 cluster

but are abnormal in their ability to interact with intra and intercellular signalling pathways due

to differences their molecular features compared to *in vivo* inhibitory or excitatory neurons

respectively.

In the case of the inhibitory neurons, previous reports suggested that *Pax6* deletion in mice

resulted in the generation of immature olfactory bulb INs that are marked by the expression

of the genes *Sp8, Scgn, Calb2* and *Tshz1* (Guo et al., 2019; Kroll and O'Leary, 2005). However,

our previous study on *Pax6* deletion in mouse cortical progenitors produce inhibitory neurons

that showed a different response to *Gsx2* loss to normal dLGE cells, cells that would mature

into olfactory bulb INs indicating that the inhibitory neurons in *Pax6*⁻ᐟ⁻ mice are abnormal.

Results from the current study supports the latter suggestion as while PAX6 deletion in

organoids generated INs, they showed an atypical transcriptomic profile. We further assessed

for olfactory bulb IN identity cells (cells that co-express *SP8* and *SCGN*; *SP8*, *CALB2* and *TSHZ1*)

in our scRNAseq dataset but we did not find many, if any, cells at all (Supplementary Fig 5D-

E). Further, the extreme segregation from other cell types in the organoid also supports the

idea that these inhibitory cells are abnormal with an aberrant transcriptomic profile as normal

inhibitory neurons integrate into the developing cerebral cortex *in vivo* and in

organoid/assembloid models (Osaki et al., 2024; Sharf et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2025b).

Figure legends

Fig 1 (A) Diagram showing part of the human *PAX6* locus indicating the location and nature of the mutation introduced to the *PAX6⁻/⁻* iPSC lines. Homozygous insertion of a single T residue in exon 4 caused a frameshift mutation leading to a premature stop codon within exon 4. Exons 1-3 are non-coding. PD – paired domain; LNK – linker; HD – homeodomain; TAD – transactivation domain. (B) Sanger sequencing of *PAX6⁻/⁻* iPSC genomic DNA showing the T insertion mutation. (C) PAX6 PD immunostaining of day 60 control and *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids, confirming PAX6 PD domain absence in mutants. (D) Western blot showing PAX6 protein expression in *PAX6⁻/⁻* iPSCs, A10 and B2 and control lines Nas2 and Cas92. (E,F) SOX2 and N-CAD Immunostaining of representative sections of individual day 60 control and *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids from separate differentiation batches (4). Scale bar = 1 mm. DAPI in blue, SOX2 in green, NCAD in red (G) Quantification of cross-sectional area of day 60 control and *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoids, p = 0.025 (H–K) Quantification of organoid size and rosette characteristics. (H) Area covered by rosettes between genotypes is significantly different with p = 0.0003. (I) Rosette

density between genotypes was also significantly different with p = 0.001. (J – K) Neither the

diameter or area of individual rosettes was significantly different between genotypes (p = 0.88

and p = 0.85 respectively). Each symbol represents an individual organoid while triangles, dots

and square symbols indicate separate organoid batches. N $\geq$ 7 organoid batches. Each data

point shown here consists of an average of 3 organoids per batch. * denotes significant

difference of p < 0.05.

Fig 2 (A) UMAP plot showing combined scRNAseq data from day 60 *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* and control

organoids. RGP – Radial glia progenitor; IPC – Intermediate progenitor cells; EN1 – excitatory

neuron 1, EN2 – excitatory neuron 2. (B) UMAPs showing selected marker gene expression in

specific cell types in day 60 organoids. (C) Separate UMAP plots of scRNAseq data from control

(left) and *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* (right) organoids. (D-E) Comparison of cell type composition between control

and *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* day 60 organoids showing all cell types coloured as in previous panels (A). (D)

Comparison of cell types between *PAX6⁺ᐟ⁺* and *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* (E) shows cell compositions of individual

samples

$$EI = \log \frac{TBR2_q}{DLX2_q}$$

486   **Fig 3** (A) Schema outlining method for production and quantitation of 3D models of organoids.

487   Sections were immunostained for TBR2 and DLX2 and processed as shown. (B) Equation by

488   which excitatory/inhibitory identities were conferred upon specific territories in organoids

489   and (C) graph summarising the segregation of these territories in individual organoids.

Fig 4 (A) scRNAseq dataset of fetal ganglionic eminences from (Yu et al., 2021). (B) Integrated dataset of inhibitory neurons (black) found in this study with the dataset from (A) showing that inhibitory neurons found in *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* organoids do not cluster to a specific inhibitory subtype found in *in vivo* fetal ganglionic eminences but a mixture of subtypes. (C) Heatmap showing top 20 DEGs between the inhibitory neurons from *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* organoid and fetal ventral telencephalon. (D) Top 10 biological process GO terms from the list of DEGs between *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* organoid and fetal inhibitory neurons. (E) Top 20 molecular function GO terms from the list of DEGs between *PAX6⁻ᐟ⁻* organoid and fetal inhibitory neurons.

Fig 5 (A) Differential gene expression between EN1 and EN2 excitatory neuron clusters

503 showing higher expression of inhibitory marker genes and genes involved in cellular signalling

504 in EN2. (B) Pseudotime plot of excitatory lineage cells showing the developmental progression

505 from progenitor to excitatory neurons. *PAX6*^{+/+} is shown in orange and *PAX6*^{-/-} is shown in blue,

506 showing that *PAX6*^{-/-} organoids have more immature cells along the excitatory lineage than do

507 *PAX6*^{+/+} organoids.

Fig 6 (A) Force-directed graph of scRNAseq data with cells arranged in pseudotime and coloured according to their cell type. (B) Same graph as in (A) coloured according to genotype, *PAX6+/+* in orange and *PAX6-/-* in blue. (C) Force-directed graph coloured in pseudotime with early pseudotime in dark blue and later pseudotime in yellow. (D) Force-directed graph showing excitatory differentiation trajectory and (F) inhibitory trajectory in pseudotime. (E) Expression pattern of genes from progenitor to excitatory neurons that were differentially expressed in the excitatory trajectory. (G) Expression pattern of genes from progenitor to inhibitory neurons that were differentially expressed in the inhibitory trajectory. Prog – progenitor; EN – excitatory neuron; IN – inhibitory neuron.

Fig 7 (A) UMAP plot of *PTN* expression in *PAX6⁺/⁺* and (B) in *PAX6⁻/⁻*. (C) Violin plots of *PTN* expression across different cell types found in each genotype, *PAX6⁺/⁺* in brown and *PAX6⁻/⁻* in blue. (D) UMAP plot of *PTPRZ1* expression in *PAX6⁺/⁺* and (B) in *PAX6⁻/⁻*. (C) Violin plots of *PTPRZ1* expression across different cell types found in each genotype, *PAX6⁺/⁺* in brown and *PAX6⁻/⁻* in blue. (G) Representative image of *PAX6⁺/⁺* organoid treated with vehicle and (H) treated with 50ng/ml PTN showing a decrease in DLX2+ cells (red). (I) Representative image of *PAX6⁻/⁻* organoid treated with vehicle and (J) treated with 50ng/ml PTN. (K) Schema showing PTN treatment timeline. (L) Quantification of DLX2+/TBR2+ cells per area after vehicle or PTN treatment.

Supplementary Fig 1. Integrated Genomics Viewer (IGV) plots of genes with the highest difference in mutation counts (SNV, indels, and point mutations) between $PAX6^{+/+}$ and $PAX6^{-/-}$ groups. Mutation variants for each individual samples are denoted as: homozygous variant – cyan; heterozygous variant – blue while allele frequencies are denoted as: high allele frequency of the variant – red; high allele frequency of reference sequence – blue. We examined five genes that showed the highest difference in mutation counts between $PAX6^{+/+}$ and $PAX6^{-/-}$ which were $MUC17$, $PABPC1$, $ESSRA$, $FCGR3A$ and $HLA-B$. $MUC17$ mutations are commonly found in iPSCs and have no reported effects on pluripotency or genomic integrity (D'Antonio et al., 2018). Other than $MUC17$, no mutations were found in coding regions of any of the other genes. Moreover, the variants reported by WGS were found in all four cell

lines (Supplementary Fig 1) signifying that they are background mutations (Young et al., 2012)

present in the parental line NAS2.

Supplementary Fig 2. (A) Immunostaining of CRISPR edited iPSC cell lines used in this study

showing expression of pluripotency markers NANOG, OCT3/4 and TRA-1-60. HeLa cells were

used as a negative control. (B) Timeline illustrating organoid differentiation protocol.

Supplementary Fig 3. 3D models of all individual organoids of both *PAX6*$^{-/-}$ clones (A10 and

B2) that were quantified.

Supplementary Fig 4. UMAP and violin plots showing expression of genes involved in the PTN

signalling pathway showing no differences between $PAX6^{+/+}$ and $PAX6^{-/-}$.

Supplementary Fig 5. (A) UMAP plots of cells expressing *PDZRN3*, *SNTG1* and *NRXN3* with

higher expression in yellow and low expression in dark blue. (B) UMAP plots showing cells that

561   express oRG markers *HOPX*, *PTPRZ1*, and *FAM107A* (C) UMAP plots showing cells that co-

562   express *PAX6* and *MEIS2* (D) UMAP plots showing cells that co-express *SP8* and *SCGN* (E)

563   UMAP plots showing cells that co-express *SP8*, *CALB2* and *TSHZ1*.

Excitatory Neuron1	Excitatory Neuron2	IPC	Inhibitory Neuron	Inhibitory Neuron Progenitor	Mixed	RGP	Unknown
GRIA2	ZFPM2	CACNA2D1	NRXN3	HMGN2	H3-3B	GLI3	TALAM1
NEUROD2	NRXN1	PRDX1	DLX6-AS1	ATAD2	MALAT1	CREB5	CENPF
LRRC7	ZFPM2-AS1	NHLH1	SOX2-OT	SMC4	TALAM1	VIM	NFIA
PDE1A	ENSG00000285755	TENM4	DCX	HMGB2	EIF1	SHROOM3	PTPRD
NELL2	CBLB	HES6	DCLK2	TUBA1B	BNIP3L	MIR99AHG	ZFPM2
SLA	LINGO2	TMSB4X	PDZRN3	CENPU	ZFAS1	SFRP1	ENSG00000271860
NEUROD6	NYAP2	ELAVL4	SLAIN1	DLEU2	VEGFA	DACH1	H1-3
CACNA1E	CACNA2D1	SLCO5A1	CCSER1	TMPO	DDIT4	COL4A5	HDAC9
ZBTB18	CAMK4	NFIA	GAD2	HMGB1	RLF	SLC1A3	CTNND2
SLC24A2	SRRM4	IGFBPL1	ENSG00000239381	CENPF	YTHDC1	ENSG00000271860	THSD7A
THSD7A	DCC	EZR	DLX5	SMC2	KMT2E	TTYH1	OPCML
DAB1	SORBS2	ENSG00000231252	SOX4	RRM2	HSP90AB1	KIAA1217	H1-5
NFIB	GRID1	FYN	ENSG00000241231	KNL1	MATR3	NPAS3	KAZN
AFF3	BICD1	MARCKS	ENOX1	DIAPH3	ARID4B	IQGAP2	FOXG1
PPP2R2B	GRIK2	ELAVL2	CCDC88A	NUSAP1	CCNL1	PARD3B	CNTNAP2
LINC01122	RBFOX3	FOXN2	SNTG1	DEK	RSRC2	ID4	LINGO2
SH3GL2	SHISA6	PGAP1	ERBB4	LMNB1	RBM39	GULP1	DACH1
CNTNAP2	NDST3	CECR2	ATRNL1	EZH2	USPL1	DOK5	SOX5
RBFOX1	NFASC	EOMES	GAD1	TOP2A	OGA	COL4A6	DPP10
SLC4A10	PDE1A	CORO1C	ZNF704	H2AZ1	MORF4L2	ZFP36L1	LRRTM4

566 **Supplementary Table 1**. Marker genes of each cell type.

Material & Methods

Cell culture and organoid generation

iPSCs were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO_2 in StemMACS iPS-Brew XF (Miltenyi) on Laminin 521 (Biolamina) coated plates and passaged with 0.5 mM EDTA (Life Technologies). Organoids were differentiated using a modified Lancaster protocol (Lancaster et al., 2013) which included addition of 10 µM SB431542 (Tocris) and 100 nM LDN-193189 (APExBIO) for neural induction and addition of 20 ng/ml BDNF and NT3 (Peprotech) to the medium at D30 for two weeks to aid neuronal maturation (Supplementary Fig 2B). Briefly, 9000 cells were aggregated using ultra-low attachment 96-well plates into embryoid bodies. These embryoid bodies were then incubated in neural induction media containing dual SMAD inhibition as detailed above at day six. Neural induction media was refreshed for day seven and organoids were embedded in Matrigel on day eight. Organoids were transferred to an orbital shaker and maintained in 37°C with 5% CO_2 incubators for 60 days. All media change were done every other day.

CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis

iPSCs were transfected with sgRNA 3' UCCCUUUCCUCAGGUCACAG 5' (Synthego) via nucleofection using program CA137 on a 4D Nucleofactor X (Lonza) as per manufacturer's instructions. Clones were obtained by single cell dissociation of the transfected pool followed by screening for PAX6 mutation by Sanger sequencing of the *PAX6* locus. Successfully edited clones were re-sequenced and pluripotency test were performed using STEMlight Pluripotency kit (Invitrogen) (Supplementary Fig 1). Likely sites for off-target effects were identified using Cas-OFFinder (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/) and annotated in whole genome sequencing (WGS) data of all the iPSC lines. WGS data of all cell lines are deposited in ENA (accession: PRJEB63603).

Immunohistochemistry

12µm thick cryosections of organoids were permeabilized in 0.1% Triton/PBS before incubation with primary antibodies at 1/200 dilution using appropriate serum as blocking buffer without antigen retrieval step: SOX2 (rabbit, ab92494 Abcam), TBR2 (sheep, AF6166 Bio-Techne), DLX2 (mouse, sc-393879 Santa Cruz); with antigen retrieval step: PAX6 (mouse, AD2.38, described in Engelkamp et al., (1999)), NCAD (mouse, 610920 BD Biosciences). All

primary antibodies (except PAX6) were detected using AlexaFluor secondary antibodies

(Invitrogen) at 1/200 dilution. PAX6 was detected using Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Labs).

StemLight Pluripotency Antibody Kit (Stem Cell Technologies) was used for pluripotency

testing. All immunohistochemistry was performed on ≥ 3 sections of individual control and

$PAX6^{-/-}$ organoids. Number of organoids of each genotype ≥ 3 were used from ≥ 3

differentiation batches.

Western blot

Snap-frozen cells were homogenized in RIPA buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM

EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium DOC, 0.1% SDS, and Pierce protease inhibitor tablet,

EDTA-free (A32965, Thermo Fisher Scientific)] in a bead mill 24 homogenizer (Fisher Scientific).

Genomic DNA was removed with a Benzonase digest (E1014, Merck) for 15 min at room

temperature. Protein concentration was quantified using DC Bio-Rad II (5000112, Bio-Rad) in

a microtiter plate using a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech). Samples were diluted

in one-third volume of 4× Laemmli buffer (0.5 M tris-HCl, 8% SDS, 0.01% bromophenol blue,

40% glycerol, and 10% beta-mercaptoethanol) and denatured at 95°C for 10 min before being

storing at −80°C. Protein samples (20 μg per lane) were resolved and transferred to

nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad). Total protein was measured using Revert 700 total

protein stain (926-11010, LI-COR), and membranes were blocked in Intercept (TBS) blocking

buffer (927-60001, LI-COR) for 1 hour at room temperature. Rabbit anti-PAX6 primary

antibody (901301; BioLegend; 1:500) was incubated at 4°C overnight. Secondary antibodies

[IRDye 800CW donkey anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (926-32213, LI-COR); 1:10,000]

were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature before data were captured on a LI-COR

Odyssey Classic. Western blots were replicated multiple times using 3 to 6 biological replicates

(organoids) per genotype. Data were analyzed using Image Studio Lite (LI-COR) and Microsoft

Excel.

3D modelling

Every 16th section of individual organoids labelled with TBR2 and DLX2 was sampled using

Zeiss Axio Scan Z1 slide scanner. A 200 x 200 μm grid was superimposed on each section and

fluorescent intensities corresponding to both DLX2 and TBR2 expression were measured for

each square. Expression data was then voxelised by assigning three-dimensional coordinates

to each grid square. Voxels were processed using the 3D modelling package Plotly (Sievert,

2020) to produce 3D heatmaps of TBR2 and DLX2 expression in each organoid. To determine

whether excitatory and inhibitory cells were mixed or segregated, voxels of TBR2 and DLX2

expression were used to calculate a EI value, given by the formula: log TBR2/DLX2 intensities

(Fig. 3B). This gave a value range to allow plotting 'excitatory' areas, which contain excitatory

neurons and 'inhibitory' areas where inhibitory neurons are enriched.

Organoid dissociation and library preparation for single cell RNA sequencing

Four organoids of each genotype from four separate differentiation batches were submitted

for scRNAseq. Briefly, each organoid were cut into smaller pieces with a scalpel and incubated

at 37°C in papain solution (Worthington) for 30 minutes (Velasco et al., 2019) before single

cell resuspension for downstream processing using 10X Genomics Chromium Next GEM Single

Cell 3' Reagent Kits v3.1 as per manufacturer's instruction. No organoids were pooled.

Sequencing was performed using Ilumina NovaSeq.

PTN treatment

Treatment on organoids were done according to (Notaras et al., 2022) with slight adjustments.

Briefly, organoids were treated with 50 ng/ml recombinant human PTN, reconstituted in

distilled water or water as control and added to differentiation media for 7 days starting from

day 13. The media was changed on days 13 and 14, and then every other day until they were

harvested on day 20. Number of organoids of each genotype ≥ 3 were used from ≥ 3

differentiation batches.

Preprocessing of Single-Cell Transcriptomics Data

Raw sequencing reads were aligned to the human reference genome (GRCh38, version 2024-

A) using Cell Ranger (v8.0.1, 10x Genomics), and cell-by-gene expression matrices were

generated. Ambient RNA contamination was removed using CellBender (v0.3.0), and potential

doublets were identified and excluded using scDblFinder (v1.18.0). Genes expressed in fewer

than 10 cells were excluded from downstream analyses. Only high-quality cells were retained

based on stringent quality control criteria: cells with mitochondrial RNA content below 10%,

ribosomal RNA content below 15%, hemoglobin RNA content below 5%, and between 500

and 10,000 detected genes were included. To further refine the dataset, Median Absolute

Deviation (MAD) filtering was applied to several quality metrics, including total Unique

Molecular Identifier (UMI) counts, number of detected genes, mitochondrial and ribosomal

RNA content, and the proportion of reads mapping to the top 20 most highly expressed genes.

For each metric, the median and MAD were calculated across all cells, and cells exceeding five

MADs from the median in any category were classified as outliers and removed. Stressed cells

were also excluded using the Gruffi package. Additionally, cells not expressing FOXG1 were

filtered out to focus our analysis on telencephalic cells.

Integration and Annotation of Single-Cell Transcriptomics Data

Data integration and batch correction were performed using scVI (scvi-tools v1.3.1.post1),

which generated a unified latent representation from the combined datasets. Cell type

prediction was performed using CellTypist, incorporating both its built-in "developmental

brain" model (Braun et al., 2023) and custom models built from published datasets (He et al.,

2024; Uzquiano et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2025a). Predicted cell labels were validated by cross-

referencing with known marker genes.

Differential Expression Analysis

Pairwise comparisons between all cell type pairs were conducted using the Wilcoxon rank-

sum test as implemented in Scanpy.

Pseudo-time and Bifurcation Analysis

Pseudotime calculation, cell developmental trajectory and branching were analysed using

scFates (Faure et al., 2023).

Mouse Data Integration

Mouse single-cell data from (Manuel et al., 2022) were integrated with our dataset using scVI

for cross-species comparison.

Whole Genome Analysis

Sequencing reads were quality-checked with FastQC (v0.12.1) and aligned to the human

reference genome (GRCh38) using BWA-MEM (v0.7.18). BAM files were sorted, duplicates

marked, and base quality scores recalibrated using GATK tools (version 4.6.1.0). Variants were

called per sample using GATK HaplotypeCaller in GVCF mode, followed by joint genotyping

with CombineGVCFs and GenotypeGVCFs. Variant calls were filtered using GATK

VariantFiltration and functionally annotated with the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP,

version 113.0).

Statistical analysis

For each between-organoid comparison (*PAX6$^{+/+}$* vs *PAX6$^{-/-}$*), an ANOVA with nested mixed

effects was performed, accounting for the random effect of batches and clones on variance in

a hierarchical manner. Specifically, p-values and confidence intervals were calculated using a

Type III Analysis of Variance with Satterthwaite's method.

Data availability

Raw sequences for both scRNAseq and WGS were deposited in ENA (PRJEB63603).

Code availability

All code used for data analysis in this article is available at Github (https://github.com/chan-

ed/pax6_hom)

Acknowledgements

We thank Tilo Kunath for sharing the NAS2 hIPSC line. We are grateful to Spasimir Shishinyov,

Alexander Markov, and Kanrayat Benjasupawan for assisting with organoid characterisation

and troubleshooting, Thomas Theil and Thomas Pratt for helpful comments on the manuscript.

This work was supported by Simons Initiative for the Developing Brain (grant number 529085,

JOM and DJP) and the Medical Research Council (grant number MR/Y004299/1, WKC, JOM

and DJP).

References

Anderson, S. A., Eisenstat, D. D., Shi, L. and Rubenstein, J. L. R. (1997). Interneuron
Migration from Basal Forebrain to Neocortex: Dependence on Dlx Genes. *Science* **278**, 474–
476.
Anderson, S. A., Marín, O., Horn, C., Jennings, K. and Rubenstein, J. L. R. (2001). Distinct
cortical migrations from the medial and lateral ganglionic eminences. *Development* **128**,
353–363.

Bhaduri, A., Andrews, M. G., Leon, W. M., Jung, D., Shin, D., Allen, D., Jung, D., Schmunk, G., Haeussler, M., Salma, J., et al. (2020). Cell stress in cortical organoids impairs molecular subtype specification. *Nature* **578**, 142–148.

Birey, F., Andersen, J., Makinson, C. D., Islam, S., Wei, W., Huber, N., Fan, H. C., Metzler, K. R. C., Panagiotakos, G., Thom, N., et al. (2017). Assembly of functionally integrated human forebrain spheroids. *Nature* **545**, 54–59.

Braun, E., Danan-Gotthold, M., Borm, L. E., Lee, K. W., Vinsland, E., Lönnerberg, P., Hu, L., Li, X., He, X., Andrusivová, Ž., et al. (2023). Comprehensive cell atlas of the first-trimester developing human brain. *Science* **382**, eadf1226.

Bruining, H., Hardstone, R., Juarez-Martinez, E. L., Sprengers, J., Avramiea, A.-E., Simpraga, S., Houtman, S. J., Poil, S.-S., Dallares, E., Palva, S., et al. (2020). Measurement of excitation-inhibition ratio in autism spectrum disorder using critical brain dynamics. *Sci Rep* **10**, 9195.

Cadwell, C. R., Bhaduri, A., Mostajo-Radji, M. A., Keefe, M. G. and Nowakowski, T. J. (2019). Development and Arealization of the Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron* **103**, 980–1004.

Cederquist, G. Y., Asciolla, J. J., Tchieu, J., Walsh, R. M., Cornacchia, D., Resh, M. D. and Studer, L. (2019). Specification of positional identity in forebrain organoids. *Nature biotechnology* **37**, 436–444.

Chambers, S. M., Fasano, C. A., Papapetrou, E. P., Tomishima, M., Sadelain, M. and Studer, L. (2009). Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling. *Nature biotechnology* **27**, 275–280.

Chang, Y., Berenson, J. R., Wang, Z. and Deuel, T. F. (2006). Dominant negative pleiotrophin induces tetraploidy and aneuploidy in U87MG human glioblastoma cells. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **351**, 336–339.

Chen, X., Hartman, A. and Guo, S. (2015). Choosing Cell Fate Through a Dynamic Cell Cycle. *Curr Stem Cell Rep* **1**, 129–138.

Chung, C., Yang, X., Hevner, R. F., Kennedy, K., Vong, K. I., Liu, Y., Patel, A., Nedunuri, R., Barton, S. T., Noel, G., et al. (2024). Cell-type-resolved mosaicism reveals clonal dynamics of the human forebrain. *Nature* **629**, 384–392.

D'Antonio, M., Benaglio, P., Jakubosky, D., Greenwald, W. W., Matsui, H., Donovan, M. K. R., Li, H., Smith, E. N., D'Antonio-Chronowska, A. and Frazer, K. A. (2018). Insights into the mutational burden of human induced pluripotent stem cells from an integrative omics approach. *Cell Rep* **24**, 883–894.

Delgado, R. N., Allen, D. E., Keefe, M. G., Mancia Leon, W. R., Ziffra, R. S., Crouch, E. E., Alvarez-Buylla, A. and Nowakowski, T. J. (2022). Individual human cortical progenitors can produce excitatory and inhibitory neurons. *Nature* **601**, 397–403.

Devine, M. J., Ryten, M., Vodicka, P., Thomson, A. J., Burdon, T., Houlden, H., Cavaleri, F., Nagano, M., Drummond, N. J., Taanman, J.-W. W., et al. (2011). Parkinson's disease induced pluripotent stem cells with triplication of the α-synuclein locus. *Nature Communications* **2**, 440.

Domínguez Conde, C., Xu, C., Jarvis, L. B., Rainbow, D. B., Wells, S. B., Gomes, T., Howlett, S. K., Suchanek, O., Polanski, K., King, H. W., et al. (2022). Cross-tissue immune cell analysis reveals tissue-specific features in humans. *Science* **376**, eabl5197.

Engelkamp, D., Rashbass, P., Seawright, A. and Heyningen, V. van (1999). Role of Pax6 in development of the cerebellar system. *Development* **126**, 3585–3596.

Eze, U. C., Bhaduri, A., Haeussler, M., Nowakowski, T. J. and Kriegstein, A. R. (2021). Single-cell atlas of early human brain development highlights heterogeneity of human neuroepithelial cells and early radial glia. *Nat Neurosci* **24**, 584–594.

Faure, L., Soldatov, R., Kharchenko, P. V. and Adameyko, I. (2023). scFates: a scalable
python package for advanced pseudotime and bifurcation analysis from single-cell data.
Bioinformatics **39**, btac746.
Garcia-Gutierrez, P., Juarez-Vicente, F., Wolgemuth, D. J. and Garcia-Dominguez, M. (2014).
Pleiotrophin antagonizes Brd2 during neuronal differentiation. *J Cell Sci* **127**, 2554–2564.
Glaser, T., Jepeal, L., Edwards, J. G., Young, S. R., Favor, J. and Maas, R. L. (1994). PAX6 gene
dosage effect in a family with congenital cataracts, aniridia, anophthalmia and central
nervous system defects. *Nat Genet* **7**, 463–471.
Gomes, A. R., Fernandes, T. G., Vaz, S. H., Silva, T. P., Bekman, E. P., Xapelli, S., Duarte, S.,
Ghazvini, M., Gribnau, J., Muotri, A. R., et al. (2020). Modeling Rett Syndrome With Human
Patient-Specific Forebrain Organoids. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* **8**,.
González-Castillo, C., Ortuño-Sahagún, D., Guzmán-Brambila, C., Pallàs, M. and Rojas-
Mayorquín, A. E. (2015). Pleiotrophin as a central nervous system neuromodulator,
evidences from the hippocampus. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* **8**,.
Gorski, J. A., Talley, T., Qiu, M., Puelles, L., Rubenstein, J. L. R. and Jones, K. R. (2002).
Cortical Excitatory Neurons and Glia, But Not GABAergic Neurons, Are Produced in the
Emx1-Expressing Lineage. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 6309–6314.
Guo, T., Liu, G., Du, H., Wen, Y., Wei, S., Li, Z., Tao, G., Shang, Z., Song, X., Zhang, Z., et al.
(2019). Dlx1/2 are Central and Essential Components in the Transcriptional Code for
Generating Olfactory Bulb Interneurons. *Cereb Cortex* **29**, 4831–4849.
Hansen, D. V., Lui, J. H., Parker, P. R. L. and Kriegstein, A. R. (2010). Neurogenic radial glia in
the outer subventricular zone of human neocortex. *Nature* **464**, 554–561.
Haubst, N., Berger, J., Radjendirane, V., Graw, J., Favor, J., Saunders, G. F., Stoykova, A. and
Götz, M. (2004). Molecular dissection of Pax6 function: The specific roles of the paired
domain and homeodomain in brain development. *Development* **131**, 6131–6140.
He, Z., Dony, L., Fleck, J. S., Szałata, A., Li, K. X., Slišković, I., Lin, H.-C., Santel, M., Atamian,
A., Quadrato, G., et al. (2024). An integrated transcriptomic cell atlas of human neural
organoids. *Nature* **635**, 690–698.
Keefe, M. G., Steyert, M. R. and Nowakowski, T. J. (2025). Lineage-resolved atlas of the
developing human cortex. *Nature* **647**, 194–202.
Kerwin, J., Yang, Y., Merchan, P., Sarma, S., Thompson, J., Wang, X., Sandoval, J., Puelles,
L., Baldock, R. and Lindsay, S. (2010). The HUDSEN Atlas: a three-dimensional (3D) spatial
framework for studying gene expression in the developing human brain. *Journal of Anatomy*
217, 289–299.
Kroll, T. T. and O'Leary, D. D. M. (2005). Ventralized dorsal telencephalic progenitors in Pax6
mutant mice generate GABA interneurons of a lateral ganglionic eminence fate. *Proceedings*
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **102**, 7374–7379.
Lancaster, M. A., Renner, M., Martin, C.-A. A., Wenzel, D., Bicknell, L. S., Hurles, M. E.,
Homfray, T., Penninger, J. M., Jackson, A. P. and Knoblich, J. A. (2013). Cerebral organoids
model human brain development and microcephaly. *Nature* **501**, 373-+.
Li, H., Xu, L., Jiang, W., Qiu, X., Xu, H., Zhu, F., Hu, Y., Liang, S., Cai, C., Qiu, W., et al. (2023).
Pleiotrophin ameliorates age-induced adult hippocampal neurogenesis decline and cognitive
dysfunction. *Cell Reports* **42**, 113022.
Lindsay, S., Sarma, S., Martínez-de-la-Torre, M., Kerwin, J., Scott, M., Luis Ferran, J.,
Baldock, R. and Puelles, L. (2005). Anatomical and gene expression mapping of the ventral
pallium in a three-dimensional model of developing human brain. *Neuroscience* **136**, 625–
632.

Manuel, M. N., Mi, D., Mason, J. O. and Price, D. J. (2015). Regulation of cerebral cortical
neurogenesis by the Pax6 transcription factor. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **9**,.
Manuel, M., Tan, K. B., Kozic, Z., Molinek, M., Marcos, T. S., Razak, M. F. A., Dobolyi, D.,
Dobie, R., Henderson, B. E. P., Henderson, N. C., et al. (2022). Pax6 limits the competence of
developing cerebral cortical cells to respond to inductive intercellular signals. *PLOS Biology*
20, e3001563.
Meng, K., Rodríguez-Peña, A., Dimitrov, T., Chen, W., Yamin, M., Noda, M. and Deuel, T. F.
(2000). Pleiotrophin signals increased tyrosine phosphorylation of β-catenin through
inactivation of the intrinsic catalytic activity of the receptor-type protein tyrosine
phosphatase β/ζ. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **97**, 2603–2608.
Mo, Z. and Zecevic, N. (2008). Is Pax6 Critical for Neurogenesis in the Human Fetal Brain?
Cereb Cortex **18**, 1455–1465.
Notaras, M., Lodhi, A., Dündar, F., Collier, P., Sayles, N. M., Tilgner, H., Greening, D. and
Colak, D. (2022). Schizophrenia is defined by cell-specific neuropathology and multiple
neurodevelopmental mechanisms in patient-derived cerebral organoids. *Mol Psychiatry* **27**,
1416–1434.
Nowakowski, T. J., Bhaduri, A., Pollen, A. A., Alvarado, B., Mostajo-Radji, M. A., Di Lullo, E.,
Haeussler, M., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Liu, S. J., Velmeshev, D., et al. (2017). Spatiotemporal
gene expression trajectories reveal developmental hierarchies of the human cortex. *Science*
(New York, N.Y.) **358**, 1318–1323.
Ochi, S., Manabe, S., Kikkawa, T. and Osumi, N. (2022). Thirty Years' History since the
Discovery of Pax6: From Central Nervous System Development to Neurodevelopmental
Disorders. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**, 6115.
Osaki, T., Duenki, T., Chow, S. Y. A., Ikegami, Y., Beaubois, R., Levi, T., Nakagawa-Tamagawa,
N., Hirano, Y. and Ikeuchi, Y. (2024). Complex activity and short-term plasticity of human
cerebral organoids reciprocally connected with axons. *Nat Commun* **15**, 2945.
Pollen, A. A., Nowakowski, T. J., Chen, J., Retallack, H., Sandoval-Espinosa, C., Nicholas, C.
R., Shuga, J., Liu, S. J., Oldham, M. C., Diaz, A., et al. (2015). Molecular Identity of Human
Outer Radial Glia during Cortical Development. *Cell* **163**, 55–67.
Reillo, I., de Juan Romero, C., García-Cabezas, M. Á. and Borrell, V. (2011). A role for
intermediate radial glia in the tangential expansion of the mammalian cerebral cortex. *Cereb*
Cortex **21**, 1674–1694.
Schmidt-Sidor, B., Szymańska, K., Williamson, K., van Heyningen, V., Roszkowski, T.,
Wierzba-Bobrowicz, T. and Zaremba, J. (2009). Malformations of the brain in two fetuses
with a compound heterozygosity for two PAX6 mutations. *Folia Neuropathol* **47**, 372–382.
Sharf, T., Van Der Molen, T., Glasauer, S. M. K., Guzman, E., Buccino, A. P., Luna, G., Cheng,
Z., Audouard, M., Ranasinghe, K. G., Kudo, K., et al. (2022). Functional neuronal circuitry
and oscillatory dynamics in human brain organoids. *Nat Commun* **13**, 4403.
Shi, Y., Ping, Y.-F., Zhou, W., He, Z.-C., Chen, C., Bian, B.-S.-J., Zhang, L., Chen, L., Lan, X.,
Zhang, X.-C., et al. (2017). Tumour-associated macrophages secrete pleiotrophin to promote
PTPRZ1 signalling in glioblastoma stem cells for tumour growth. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15080.
Shi, Y., Wang, M., Mi, D., Lu, T., Wang, B., Dong, H., Zhong, S., Chen, Y., Sun, L., Zhou, X., et
al. (2021). Mouse and human share conserved transcriptional programs for interneuron
development. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **374**, eabj6641.
Sievert, C. (2020). *Interactive Web-Based Data Visualization with R, plotly, and shiny*. Boca
Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC.

Solomon, B. D., Pineda-Alvarez, D. E., Balog, J. Z., Hadley, D., Gropman, A. L., Nandagopal,
R., Han, J. C., Hahn, J. S., Blain, D., Brooks, B., et al. (2009). Compound heterozygosity for
mutations in PAX6 in a patient with complex brain anomaly, neonatal diabetes mellitus, and
microophthalmia. *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part A* **149**, 2543–2546.
Tang, C., Wang, M., Wang, P., Wang, L., Wu, Q. and Guo, W. (2019). Neural Stem Cells
Behave as a Functional Niche for the Maturation of Newborn Neurons through the Secretion
of PTN. *Neuron* **101**, 32-44.e6.
Tapp, H., Hernandez, D. J., Neame, P. J. and Koob, T. J. (1999). Pleiotrophin inhibits
chondrocyte proliferation and stimulates proteoglycan synthesis in mature bovine cartilage.
Matrix Biology **18**, 543–556.
Tzoulaki, I., White, I. M. and Hanson, I. M. (2005). PAX6 mutations: genotype-phenotype
correlations. *BMC Genet* **6**, 27.
Uzquiano, A., Kedaigle, A. J., Pigoni, M., Paulsen, B., Adiconis, X., Kim, K., Faits, T.,
Nagaraja, S., Antón-Bolaños, N., Gerhardinger, C., et al. (2022). Proper acquisition of cell
class identity in organoids allows definition of fate specification programs of the human
cerebral cortex. *Cell* **185**, 3770-3788.e27.
Velasco, S., Kedaigle, A. J., Simmons, S. K., Nash, A., Rocha, M., Quadrato, G., Paulsen, B.,
Nguyen, L., Adiconis, X., Regev, A., et al. (2019). Individual brain organoids reproducibly
form cell diversity of the human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **570**, 523–527.
Vértesy, Á., Eichmüller, O. L., Naas, J., Novatchkova, M., Esk, C., Balmaña, M., Ladstaetter,
S., Bock, C., von Haeseler, A. and Knoblich, J. A. (2022). Gruffi: an algorithm for
computational removal of stressed cells from brain organoid transcriptomic datasets. *The*
EMBO Journal **41**, e111118.
Walcher, T., Xie, Q., Sun, J., Irmler, M., Beckers, J., Öztürk, T., Niessing, D., Stoykova, A.,
Cvekl, A., Ninkovic, J., et al. (2013). Functional dissection of the paired domain of Pax6
reveals molecular mechanisms of coordinating neurogenesis and proliferation. *Development*
(Cambridge) **140**, 1123–1136.
Wang, X. (2020). Chapter Three - Pleiotrophin: Activity and mechanism. In *Advances in*
Clinical Chemistry (ed. Makowski, G. S.), pp. 51–89. Elsevier.
Wang, L., Wang, C., Moriano, J. A., Chen, S., Zuo, G., Cebrián-Silla, A., Zhang, S., Mukhtar,
T., Wang, S., Song, M., et al. (2025a). Molecular and cellular dynamics of the developing
human neocortex. *Nature* 1–10.
Wang, L., Wang, C., Moriano, J. A., Chen, S., Zuo, G., Cebrián-Silla, A., Zhang, S., Mukhtar,
T., Wang, S., Song, M., et al. (2025b). Molecular and cellular dynamics of the developing
human neocortex. *Nature* 1–10.
Xu, H. E., Rould, M. A., Xu, W., Epstein, J. A., Maas, R. L. and Pabo, C. O. (1999). Crystal
structure of the human Pax6 paired domain–DNA complex reveals specific roles for the
linker region and carboxy-terminal subdomain in DNA binding. *Genes Dev* **13**, 1263–1275.
Yanagisawa, H., Komuta, Y., Kawano, H., Toyoda, M. and Sango, K. (2010). Pleiotrophin
induces neurite outgrowth and up-regulates growth-associated protein (GAP)-43 mRNA
through the ALK/GSK3β/β-catenin signaling in developing mouse neurons. *Neuroscience*
Research **66**, 111–116.
Yu, Y., Zeng, Z., Xie, D., Chen, R., Sha, Y., Huang, S., Cai, W., Chen, W., Li, W., Ke, R., et al.
(2021). Interneuron origin and molecular diversity in the human fetal brain. *Nature*
Neuroscience **24**, 1745–1756.
913