Omics driven onboarding of the carotenoid producing red yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* CBS 6938

Emma E. Tobin[1,†], Joseph H. Collins[1,†], Celeste B. Marsan[1], Gillian T. Nadeau[1], Kim Mori[1], Anna Lipzen[2], Stephen Mondo[2], Igor V. Grigoriev[2,3], Eric M. Young[1]*

[1] Life Sciences and Bioengineering Center, Department of Chemical Engineering, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA

[2] U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

[3] Plant and Microbial Biology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720

[†] Equal contribution

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. emyoung@wpi.edu

ABSTRACT

Transcriptomics is a powerful approach for functional genomics and systems biology, yet

it can also be used for genetic part discovery. Genetic part discovery has never been more

necessary, as advances in synthetic biology increase the number of tractable organisms that need

tunable gene expression for genetic circuits and metabolic pathways. Therefore, approaches are

needed to assess a tractable organism and obtain a convenient set of genetic parts to support

future research. Here, we describe a genomic and transcriptomic approach to derive a modular

integrative part library with constitutive and regulated promoters in the basidiomycete yeast

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous CBS 6938. *X. dendrorhous* is currently the sole

biotechnologically relevant organism in the Tremellomycete family - it produces large amounts of

astaxanthin, especially under oxidative stress and exposure to light. Particularly for this yeast,

there are not large libraries of parts from related organisms that could be transferred. They must

be derived. To do this, a contiguous genome was first obtained through combined short read and

long read sequencing. Then, differential gene expression (DGE) analysis using transcriptomics

was performed, comparing oxidative stress and exposure to different wavelengths of light. This

revealed a set of putative light-responsive regulators that mediate a complex survival response

to ultraviolet (UV) where *X. dendrorhous* upregulates aromatic amino acid and tetraterpenoid

biosynthesis and downregulates central carbon metabolism and respiration. The DGE data was

then used to derive 26 constitutive and regulated gene expression elements from the genome.

The gene expression elements were designed to be compatible with a new modular cloning

system for *X. dendrorhous* which includes integration sites, terminators, selection markers, and

reporters. Each element was characterized by luciferase assay of an integrated gene expression

cassette. Notably, a novel promoter from a hypothetical gene that has 9-fold activation upon UV

exposure was characterized. This study defines an advanced modular genetic part collection for

engineering the basidiomycete *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938 while simultaneously discovering

potential targets for increasing tetraterpenoid biosynthesis. Further, it demonstrates that -omics-

to-parts workflows can simultaneously provide useful genomic data and advance genetic tools for

nonconventional microbes, particularly those without a related model organism. This approach

will be broadly useful in current efforts to engineer diverse microbes.

KEY POINTS

- Omics-to-parts can be applied to non-model organisms for rapid "onboarding".

- 26 promoters native to *X. dendrorhous* were identified.

- Omics revealed unique photobiology in *X. dendrorhous*.

KEYWORDS

X.dendrorhous, transcriptomics, regulation, DGE, nonconventional, photobiology

INTRODUCTION

Yeasts are a diverse group of fungi characterized by unicellular growth, which is useful in industrial fermentations. The group is not monophyletic, rather the yeast state has emerged several times across the fungal kingdom (Nagy et al 2014). Therefore, yeasts have different genome architectures, metabolic capabilities, and stress tolerances that are not only due to the ecological niches to which they are adapted, but also due to their different lineages (Boekhout et al 2021). The impact this biodiversity might have on synthetic biology and industrial biotechnology is currently being explored (Wagner & Alper 2016; Steensels et al. 2014; Steensels & Verstrepen 2014; Snoek et al. 2015). However, the different lineages limit the transferability of genetic tools between yeasts (Zeevi et al. 2014; Cao et al. 2017), particularly for the basidiomycete yeasts that are not closely related to any model organism (Wen et al. 2020; Otoupal et al. 2019; Johnson 2013). Genomics analysis of the fungal kingdom is well-developed (Almeida et al. 2014; Libkind et al. 2020; Peris et al. 2023; Collins et al. 2021; Merényi et al. 2023), which is a foundation that can be leveraged to derive a collection of genetic parts in addition to enabling insight into fundamental biology. Here, we demonstrate an -omics-to-parts workflow for the basidiomycete yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* [*Phaffia rhodozyma*], currently the only biotechnologically relevant organism from the Tremellomycete family of Basidiomycota (Bellora et al. 2016).

The model yeast, the ascomycete *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, has long been the host of choice for yeast metabolic engineering (Da Silva et al. 2012; Jeppsson et al. 2003; Shen et al. 2012; Farhi et al. 2011; Young et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2024; Galanie et al. 2015; Hong & Nielsen et al. 2012). While valuable for converting hexoses to ethanol, engineering *S. cerevisiae* to consume other carbon sources and produce other economically valuable compounds can require extensive reprogramming, which imposes metabolic and gene expression burden (Li et al. 2022; Lie et al. 2013; Karim et al. 2013). This is particularly true of tolerance, which can be due to many genes interacting in currently unpredictable ways. This has led to a growing body of literature that

investigates other yeasts, termed nonconventional simply because they are not *S. cerevisiae*, as

potential hosts for metabolic engineering since they are already adapted to consume desired

carbon sources, produce desired classes of molecules, and tolerate different fermentation

conditions, thus less burden is incurred when engineering them (Torres-Haro et al. 2021;

Rodríguez-Sáiz et al. 2010; Yaguchi et al. 2017; Pyne et al. 2023; Löbs et al. 2017). Methods for

developing genetic tools are needed for these organisms.

Developing genomics and genetic tools in nonconventional yeasts is challenging. This is

because a high-quality genome, gene regulatory data, species-specific DNA parts for genetic

manipulation, and efficient transformation procedures are often missing. The process of

developing these elements, termed "onboarding," can be accelerated for nonconventional

ascomycete yeasts closely related to *S. cerevisiae* because the genomics and genetics are

similar, permitting transfer of similar promoter and plasmid designs (Durmusoglu et al. 2023).

Onboarding organisms from relatively unknown classes, particularly basidiomycete yeasts, does

not have this advantage because there is not a closely related model organism with a complete,

contiguous genome and well-developed genetics.

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous is a nonconventional yeast that has gained attention in

the aquaculture (Kheirabadi et al. 2022; Bjerkeng et al. 2007; Bampidis et al. 2022) and

nutraceutical (Ambati et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2019; Gimeno-Pérez et al. 2015; Fernández-Arrojo

et al. 2007) industries due to its natural ability to produce astaxanthin, a vibrant red pigment with

potent antioxidant properties (Naguib 2000; Higuera-Ciapara et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2020). It is

currently the only Tremellomycete with biotechnology applications. Because of its industrial

potential, *X. dendrorhous* research has been centered around response to stressors (Santopietro

et al. 1998; Schroeder et al. 1995; Rodríguez-Sáiz et al. 2010), ability to utilize low-cost carbon

sources (Villegas-Méndez et al. 2021; Phoproek et al. 2021; Lai et al. 2023; Gervasi et al. 2018;

Dominguez-Bocanegra & Torres-Munoz 2004; Ananda & Vadlani 2011; An et al. 2001),

mutagenesis (Ukibe et al. 2008; Palágyi et al. 2006; Gassel et al. 2013; Chumpolkulwong et al.

1997; Baeza et al. 2009; Ang et al. 2019; An et al. 1989), astaxanthin extraction techniques (Yin

et al. 2013; Mussagy et al. 2022; Batghare et al. 2018; Aguilar-Machado et al. 2020), and

characterization of carotenogenic-adjacent pathways and enzymes (Ojima et al. 2006; Leiva et

al. 2015; Gutiérrez et al. 2015; Córdova et al. 2017; An et al. 1999; Alcaíno et al. 2008). However,

genetic engineering is limited, with the most common method being overexpression of

carotenogenic genes through the increase of gene copy number (Verdoes et al. 2003; Ledetzky

et al. 2014; Breitenbach et al. 2011; Gassel et al. 2014).

An organism to be used as a host for synthetic biology and precision genome engineering

needs a high-quality genome sequence, a modular gene expression parts collection, and efficient

transformation methods. While *X. dendrorhous* currently has several genomes sequenced

(Sharma et al. 2015; Bellora et al. 2016) and successful transformation protocols (Yamamoto et

al. 2016; Wery et al. 1997), there is not a high-quality contiguous genome for precision genome

engineering nor is there a modular gene expression part collection. So far, only 8 constitutive

promoters from central carbon metabolism are available (Rodríguez-Sáiz et al. 2009; Hara et al.

2014). Furthermore, complex but uncharacterized regulation of the astaxanthin biosynthetic

pathway limits utilization of this organism as a platform for production (Rodríguez-Sáiz et al. 2010;

Zhang et al. 2019; Tropea et al. 2013; Stachowiak 2013; Schroeder & Johnson 1995; Li et al.

2023; Liu et al. 2006; Huang et al. 2022; Kim et al. 2005). Therefore, a combined genomics and

transcriptomics effort could reveal metabolic regulation and yield additional promoters for future

engineering, which could be key to realizing the potential of this yeast.

Here, we describe an -omics-driven workflow from genome sequencing and

transcriptomics to a modular gene expression parts collection for *X. dendrorhous*. The final parts

collection contains 26 promoters within a hierarchical TypeIIS enzyme assembly strategy that

includes terminators, selection markers, reporters, and integration cassettes. Analysis of the

transcriptomics data also generates new insights into the *X. dendrorhous* response to light and

oxidative stress. Thus, this work expands the understanding of *X. dendrorhous* photobiology and

genetic tools available for this yeast. Therefore, this combined systems and synthetic biology

approach can deliver a suite of data and tools for nonconventional yeast that have no closely

related model host.

RESULTS

High quality contiguous genome of *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938

A high-quality *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938 genome was obtained through Prymetime

sequencing (Collins et al. 2021). Prymetime assembled the genome into sixteen contigs over

1,000 bp long, and relative lengths of the contigs are depicted in Figure S1a. Subsequent

benchmarking universal single-copy ortholog (BUSCO) analysis revealed that the CBS 6938

genome contains 94.0% complete and single copy BUSCOs (Figure S1b). This is improved over

previously available genomes in contiguity and completeness.

Foundational genetic tools for onboarding *X. dendrorhous*

In addition to obtaining an accurate genome sequence, we performed a set of experiments

to make *X. dendrorhous* easier to engineer. We first examined possible selection markers. Rather

than seek to create synthetic auxotrophy, which has a variety of metabolic impacts (Mülleder et

al. 2012), we screened for antibiotic sensitivity beyond the two antibiotics commonly used in *X.*

dendrorhous - geneticin and hygromycin (Gassel et al. 2013; Yamamoto et al. 2016; Wery et al.

1997). Specifically, we compared *X. dendrorhous* sensitivity to nourseothricin and zeocin with the

common antibiotics in a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay (Methods). The results of

the MIC assay are shown in Figure 1a. The most effective compound was found to be

nourseothricin with 11.6% cell survival at a concentration of 10 µg/mL. Thus, we synthesized a *X.*

dendrorhous codon optimized version of the *natR* gene for selection in subsequent engineering,

termed *XdNatR.*

We then designed a modular cloning system for rapidly assembling *X. dendrorhous*

genetic elements (Figure 1b). This system uses the same enzymes and scars as previously

published modular cloning systems based on TypeIIS restriction enzymes, often termed

GoldenGate assembly (Young et al. 2018; Iverson et al. 2016). Like those systems, the *X.*

dendrorhous system consists of Level 0 genetic elements (individual promoters, genes, and

terminators), Level 1 transcription units (combinations of promoters, genes, and terminators), and

Level 2 integrative plasmids that have homology arms and the ability to integrate several

transcription units along with a selection marker. Yet, there are two significant differences. The

first is that the *lacZ* element in the destination plasmids is replaced with the lethal gene *ccdb* to

shift from blue/white screening of correct clones to a system where only correct clones grow on a

selection plate (Bernard et al. 1994). The second is that the homology arms for *S. cerevisiae* were

replaced with *X. dendrorhous* homology arms flanking the *crtYB* gene derived from the

resequenced genome (Table S1). Since the *crtYB* gene product catalyzes the first committed step

in visibly colorful terpenoid production, replacement of *crtYB* creates a facile red/white screening

method for correctly integrated clones.

To optimize the transformation protocol, we designed an initial integrative element using

the TypeIIS assembly scheme. We combined the known glutamate dehydrogenase promoter

(P_{gdh}) and the glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase terminator (T_{gpd}) to express *XdNatR*, creating

an XdNatMX resistance cassette, which was subsequently cloned into the integrative plasmid and

transformed into *X. dendrorhous*. In our hands, the efficiency of the published transformation was

low (Wery et al. 1998), so several modifications were made as described in Methods and shown

in Figure 1c. Ultra-high-performance liquid-chromatography (UPLC) was then used to

demonstrate successful *crtYB* knock-out through measurement of astaxanthin. Astaxanthin peaks

were detected in wild type but not knock-out extractions (Figure 1d). Thus, with the design of the

integration cassette and confirmation of the transformation protocol, we were able to achieve

efficient and targeted integration of DNA into the *X. dendrorhous* genome using homologous

recombination.

We then cloned a luminescent reporter, NanoLuc (England et al. 2016), under the control

of two of the known strongest promoters, P_{act} and P_{adh4}, into our modular cloning scheme along

with XdNatMX (Figure 1b), integrated it into the genome, and measured expression via luciferase

assay (Figure 1e). NanoLuc-producing cells created approximately 1,000-fold greater

luminescence compared to the *crtYB* knockout strain, and nearly 10,000-fold greater

luminescence compared to the parent strain. The higher luminescence observed from the *crtYB*

knockout strain may be attributed to biological autoluminescence, a phenomenon that has been

found to be directly related to oxidative stress (Bereta et al. 2021). Together, these experiments

developed an advanced modular assembly strategy, including selection, reporters, and an

integration site for *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6398.

Gene expression analysis for part derivation and elucidating photobiology

Of the current available promoters for *X. dendrorhous*, only P_{adh4} and P_{act} have high

strength (Hara et al. 2014). Therefore, there is a need for more strong promoters. Furthermore,

previous work has shown that astaxanthin metabolism is induced by oxidative stress and light

(Zhang et al. 2019; Tropea et al. 2013; Stachowiak et al. 2013; Schroeder et al. 1995; Li et al.

2023; Liu et al. 2006; Kim et al. 2005; Vázquez et al. 2001), and Huang *et al.* has discovered a

homolog of the white-collar 2 transcription factor in *X. dendrorhous* (Huang et al. 2022), hinting

that *X. dendrorhous* may possess stress and light-inducible promoters. Transcriptomics, and

particularly differential gene expression (DGE) analysis, is one way that both strong constitutive

and regulated promoters could be identified.

Yet, it is not known that the response observed in astaxanthin biosynthesis is indeed

transcriptionally mediated. Thus, before conducting transcriptomics, we used the genome

sequence to design quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) probes to target genes in the

terpenoid (*MVK*, *PMVK*, *MVD*, *IDI*, *FPS*, and *crtE*) and carotenogenic (*crtYB*, *crtI*, and *crtS*)

pathways. We compared the expression of these genes in the dark and under ultraviolet light

exposure (Methods). The log2 fold change (light/dark) of each gene in the pathway is depicted in

Figure 2. Upstream genes *MVK*, *PMVK*, *MVD*, *IDI*, *FPS*, and *crtE* exhibited little to no change in

expression between dark and ultraviolet conditions. However, expression of *crtYB*, *crtI*, and *crtS*

was upregulated. Notably, the *crtI* gene showed the highest log2 fold change. This indicates that

upstream terpenoid synthesis to the diterpenoid intermediate, geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, is

unaffected by light. These results are the first to demonstrate that light, particularly ultraviolet,

transcriptionally upregulates carotenogenesis, not the upstream terpenoid pathway, in *X.*

dendrorhous.

Once we confirmed there was a transcription-mediated response to light, we designed a

transcriptomics experiment to quantify differential gene expression across dark, ultraviolet, blue,

green, and red light, with hydrogen peroxide oxidative stress as a control (Figure 3a). To perform

the experiment, we constructed a Light Plate Apparatus (LPA) to culture *X. dendrorhous* under a

variety of conditions (Gerhardt et al. 2016). Sequencing of the samples was done by the

Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, through their Community Science Program, and

log 2 fold change in Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million (FPKM) was calculated

relative to gene expression in the dark using DESeq2 (Methods).

Transcriptomics elucidates *X. dendrorhous* photobiology

Before deriving promoters, we first analyzed the DGE data to understand the *X.*

dendrorhous light response. We examined the terpenoid and carotenoid pathway (Figure 3b), as

well as putative photoreceptor genes (Figure 3c) and the top differentially expressed genes in

ultraviolet and oxidative stress (Figure 3d and 3e, respectively).

The terpenoid pathway data corroborated our previous evidence that exposure to

ultraviolet light leads to upregulated expression of *crtI* with a log2 fold-change of 0.90. This is

below the typically accepted significant log2 fold-change of 1.0, yet the other genes in terpenoid

and carotenoid metabolism had no significant changes even at a low threshold of log2 fold-change

0.5. In contrast, oxidative stress upregulated genes in both pathways (*MVK*, *PMVK*, *IDI*, *FPS*,

crtYB, and *crtI*), with *IDI* having the greatest increase (log2 fold-change of 1.79). This finding is

significant because *IDI* is a known bottleneck for the mevalonate pathway in other organisms

(Shin et al. 2022). Therefore, a deregulated *IDI* could be a target for increasing flux through the

terpenoid pathway.

The light response systems in *X. dendrorhous* are relatively unknown, save white collar

(Huang et al. 2022). However, systems such as cryptochrome, BLUF-domain, rhodopsin, and

photolyase are known in other fungi, including a distantly related basidiomycete *Ustilago maydis*

(Yu & Fischer 2019; Brych et al. 2016; Brych et al. 2021). Therefore, we searched the *X.*

dendrorhous CBS 6938 genome with BLAST for putative fungal photoreceptor proteins within the

BLUF, rhodopsin, white collar, and cryptochrome families (Figure 3c). Photoreceptor proteins

predicted to be in the same family were differentiated with arbitrarily assigned numbers. We found

that the putative cryptochromes increased transcription most dramatically in ultraviolet and blue

light exposure. Additionally, putative white collar and rhodopsin genes increased expression. To

our knowledge, this is the first evidence of cryptochrome, rhodopsin, and BLUF-domain light

response systems in *X. dendrorhous*. The oxidative stress condition corroborated that these

genes were responding specifically to light because most putative photoreceptors were

downregulated or unaffected by hydrogen peroxide.

Finally, we filtered the whole genome transcriptomic data for the twenty genes most up-

or downregulated by ultraviolet light. Of these twenty, ten upregulated and six downregulated

genes had log2 fold-changes of 1.50 or greater (Figure 3d). Upregulated genes include short-

chain dehydrogenase (SDR) which is involved in the induction of light-regulated pathways (SDR)

(Bruchez et al. 1996), MSS4-like protein (MSS4) which is involved in cell survival through cell

cycle regulation and actin cytoskeleton formation (Desrivières et al. 1998), and endonuclease III

(Nth) which is directly involved in response to ultraviolet stress through DNA repair (Serafini &

Schellhorn 1999). These functions collectively correspond to an expected stress response from

ultraviolet exposure (Sinha & Häder 2002). Another upregulated gene, conidiation-specific protein

6 (Con-6), is involved in the reproductive cycle and is also upregulated after blue-light exposure

in *Neurospora crassa* (Olmedo et al. 2010). Unexpectedly, ultraviolet light upregulates expression

of glycogenin glucosyltransferase (GYG) (Goldraji et al. 1996, Smythe & Cohen 1991), an enzyme

that initiates glycogen nucleation, and E3 ubiquitin ligase (E3), which is involved in growth and

protein turnover (Cao & Xue 2021). Also of note, four of the ten upregulated genes were predicted

to result in hypothetical proteins, indicating that our understanding of light response mechanisms

is incomplete. Several downregulated genes in ultraviolet are involved in the cytochrome c

system, including cytochrome c (CYC1) itself, cytochrome c peroxidase (CCP) (Pelletier & Kraut

1992), and the heme activator protein (HAP3) involved in cytochrome c regulation (Hahn &

Guarente 1988). Others are mitochondrial electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase

(ETFQO) which is involved in the electron transport chain (Toplak et al. 2019), and caleosin (CLO)

which is usually upregulated during stress (Rahman et al. 2018), succinate dehydrogenase

cytochrome b560 (SdhC) (Abraham et al. 1994), and aconitate hydratase (ACON) which is an

indicator of oxidative stress (Rakhmanova et al. 2023). Two further genes are unclear but labeled

as a transcriptional regulator (TR) and endonuclease (NUCR). We then identified the ten most

upregulated and ten most downregulated genes in the hydrogen peroxide exposure condition.

Many of these genes are expected, and are different from the genes in the light conditions,

supporting the idea that light and oxidative stresses elicit separate responses (Figure 3e).

To more clearly analyze the overall metabolic response to ultraviolet, genes were

clustered into functional groups using the ERGO™ Bioinformatics software from Igenbio.

Upregulation of functional groups is depicted in Figure 4a, along with their regulation pattern under

oxidative stress. Generally, DNA repair and amino acid metabolic functions were upregulated.

Interestingly, aromatic amino acid degradation and modification were upregulated by both

ultraviolet light and hydrogen peroxide, but amino acid uptake was only upregulated in ultraviolet.

Furthermore, electron transport, glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and carbohydrate metabolism were

downregulated (Figure 4b). These results support the general trends observed in the analysis of

individual genes. We synthesized these observations into a qualitative description of the *X.*

dendrorhous CBS 6938 metabolic shift in response to ultraviolet (Figure 4c). With this view, the

transcriptional response indicates that *X. dendrorhous* likely redirects flux from central carbon

metabolism to carotenoids and aromatic amino acids upon ultraviolet exposure. Aromatic amino

acids absorb ultraviolet and are also precursors to other ultraviolet light absorbing molecules like

melanin and mycosporine compounds (Llewellyn et al. 2020; Gilchrest et al. 1996; Bhatia et al.

2011). The activation of aromatic amino acid pathways in response to ultraviolet has not been

previously observed in basidiomycetes. These results indicate that the response to ultraviolet in

X. dendrorhous is more extensive and complex than acting on carotenogenesis alone.

Furthermore, the significant fraction of hypothetical proteins hints at additional unknown

mechanisms that also contribute to the response.

Transcriptomics-driven part selection allows simultaneous derivation of constitutive and

regulated genetic parts

With initial analysis complete, we then sought to leverage the DGE data to derive gene

expression parts as originally intended. Since the data covered a variety of conditions, we

reasoned that it should be possible to derive putative strong constitutive and regulated promoters.

We identified strong constitutive promoters by sorting each transcriptomics dataset by fragments

per kilobase of exon per million mapped fragments (FPKM) count, choosing the genes that had

the highest and consistent FPKM counts across conditions (Figure 5a). Notably, the known strong

ADH promoter is among these, yet no other central carbon metabolism promoters are

represented. This result contests the convention of simply selecting central carbon metabolism

promoters as genetic parts for yeasts. We also selected promoters from the genes in Figure 3d

that had log2 fold-changes of 1.50 or greater when exposed to ultraviolet light (Figure 5b and 5c,

respectively). We used the resequenced genome to obtain the promoter regions upstream of each

of these 26 promoters, as defined by a JGI promoter analysis tool. These putative promoters were

then cloned into our modular cloning system to drive expression of the luciferase reporter and

integrated into the genome (Figure 5d).

Then, expression of each construct via a luciferase assay in the dark and under ultraviolet

exposure was measured (Methods). As Figure 5e shows, the constitutive promoters result in high

luminescence that does not change between the dark and the light. Many of the putative activated

promoters showed increased luminescence in response to ultraviolet (Figure 5f). However, most

of these increases were not large. The two strongest inducible promoters were found to be

hypothetical proteins HP3 and HP2 with log2 fold-changes of 3.01 and 1.47, respectively (Figure

5h). The putative repressed promoters did not show any significant repression (Figure 5g and 5i).

We reasoned that the long half-life of luciferase was confounding measurement of repression.

Thus, we designed a qPCR experiment, and the results indicated no change in transcript levels

(data not shown). Therefore, it seems likely that the promoter sequences selected do not contain

the regulatory elements, or there could be a variety of context-dependent epigenetic factors.

Taken together, our results show that transcriptomics driven part discovery can

consistently derive strong constitutive promoters, but inconsistently derive regulated promoters

due to a variety of possible factors. Even so, we were able to discover ten strong promoters as

well as two promoters that can be activated by ultraviolet, one of which that has 9-fold induction

upon exposure to ultraviolet light. Thus, our part discovery efforts generated a collection of

constitutive and regulated promoters that are compatible with our modular cloning system for *X.*

dendrorhous CBS 6938.

DISCUSSION

In this study, a promising nonconventional yeast was subjected to a streamlined set of

genomics and genetics experiments that transformed it into a host for advanced genetic

engineering and synthetic biology. This was done by obtaining a high-quality genome, developing

advanced modular genetic tools, measuring gene regulation, and deriving a collection of genetic

from transcriptomic data. Now, it is possible to interrogate the unique metabolism and

photobiology of *X. dendrorhous* in a similar manner to other nonconventional yeasts.

Our analysis of *X. dendrorhous* photobiology revealed novel insights into the biological

mechanisms of basidiomycete light response. We found evidence of multiple different fungal light

response systems, including the first indication of cryptochromes, rhodopsins, and BLUF-domains

in *X. dendrorhous*. Although previous studies have indicated that light increases astaxanthin

production (An et al. 1989; Ojima et al. 2006; Leiva et al. 2015; Gutiérrez et al. 2015), we

determined for the first time that light transcriptionally regulates the carotenoid, or tetraterpenoid,

pathway but not the upstream terpenoid pathway. The key node, *IDI*, is also regulated by the

oxidative stress response. We learned that *X. dendrorhous* engages a variety of survival

responses in response to ultraviolet, including activation of DNA repair and reproduction pathways

and repression of central carbon metabolism and mitochondrial respiration. We also observed

aromatic amino acid biosynthesis is upregulated in addition to tetraterpenoid biosynthesis. Taken

together, these data paint a picture of an extensive and coordinated response to light. This

complex system is likely key to survival of *X. dendrorhous* in its ecological niche of fallen trees at

high altitudes (Libkind et al. 2007), which would include extended periods of intense ultraviolet

exposure.

It is interesting to note that our genome integration approach results in efficient on-target

insertion of constructs via homologous recombination (HR). Our findings support the results of

others that *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938 has an active HR pathway (Hara et al. 2014), which is

incredibly beneficial for future strain engineering efforts. This challenges the dogma that

nonconventional yeasts favor the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) mechanism, and argues

that other yeasts besides *S. cerevisiae* may favor HR (Schwartz et al. 2017; Schwarzhans et al.

2016).

Leveraging transcriptomics allowed us to derive constitutive and regulated gene

expression parts. Only one of the ten strongest promoters was from central carbon metabolism,

challenging the practice of simply deriving promoters from central carbon metabolism for

nonconventional yeasts. Transcriptomics has been previously used to identify regulated

promoters in other yeasts (Gasser et al. 2015; Brink et al. 2023; Zahrl et al. 2017). This work

builds on this prior evidence, adding a modular cloning standard to create an -omics-to-parts

workflow that can yield needed constitutive and inducible promoters for construction of genetic

devices. This -omics-to-parts workflow can be generalized to derive genetic parts collections for

nonconventional yeasts.

Development of novel production platform hosts requires an understanding of genome

composition and genetic regulation, which also is essential for genetic tool and genetic part

development. This work demonstrates how an integrated genomics and genetics approach can

simultaneously deliver new biological understanding and onboard a nonconventional organism,

particularly a nonconventional yeast with no closely related model organism. This integrated

approach, consisting of a small number of experiments, promises to accelerate organism

onboarding efforts.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Strains and media

The *X. dendrorhous* type strain CBS 6938 (ATCC 96594) was cultured at 21 °C in YPD (YEP, Sunrise Science, 1877-1KG plus 20 g/L glucose, Alfa Aesar, A16828) media for all experiments. For all light plate apparatus experiments, the *X. dendrorhous* seed cultures were shielded from any external light by wrapping the flasks with aluminum foil. *X. dendrorhou*s transformants were selected using YPD with 30 mg/L nourseothricin (Jena Bioscience, AB-101-10ML). Solid media plates were made using 20 g/L agar (Sunrise Science, 1910-1KG). Golden gate (Type-IIS) cloning was conducted using chemically competent *Escherichia coli* DH5α cells (NEB, C2987H). Construction of destination vectors with the toxic selection marker ccdB was done with chemically competent One Shot ccdB Survival 2 T1R *E. coli* cells (Invitrogen, A10460). Both *E. coli* strains were grown in 25 g/L LB Miller broth (Fisher Scientific, BP1426-2) at 37°C. Antibiotic selection was carried out using 100 mg/L carbenicillin (Alfa Aesar, J61949), 25 mg/L chloramphenicol (Alfa Aesar, B20841), and 50 mg/L kanamycin (Alfa Aesar, J61272) for level 0, level 1, and level 2 assemblies, respectively.

gDNA isolation and sequencing

Isolation of gDNA from *X. dendrorhous* and next-generation sequencing was performed as described by Collins et al. 2021.

Construction of a light plate apparatus for various light wavelengths

The light plate apparatus (LPA) was assembled following a user's manual published by the Tabor lab at Rice University (Gerhardt et al. 2016). The LPA is an instrument capable of shining two individual LED lights on cell cultures in a 24-well plate. It is comprised of a 3D-printed

shell surrounding a soldering board with 48 LED light sockets oriented below a 24-well plate with

a clear bottom (AWLS-324042, Arctic White). The LPA allows each culture to be exposed to two

unique LED lights without disrupting neighboring cultures.

RNA isolation from the light plate apparatus

LPA cultures were started in 2 mL of YPD media at OD_{600} = 1 and shaken for 4 hours at

200 rpm while exposed to one red (λ = 660 nm), green (λ = 565 nm), blue (λ = 470 nm), white

(visible light spectrum), or UV LED light (λ = 400 nm), or no LED light. For the transcriptome

sequencing experiments, the hydrogen peroxide condition replaced the white light condition. The

hydrogen peroxide condition consisted of YPD media with hydrogen peroxide at a concentration

of 10 mM and had no LED light. 1 mL of *X. dendrorhous* culture was taken forward for RNA

isolation, which used a cell homogenization and TRIzol-based method. *X. dendrorhous* cells were

first resuspended in 200 µL of cell lysis buffer (0.5 M sodium acetate, 5% SDS, 1 mM EDTA) and

transferred to pre-filled tubes with 400-micron zirconium beads (OPS diagnostics, PFMB 400-

100-34). The cells were homogenized using a FastPrep-24 machine from MP Biomedical for two

cycles at 6 m/s for 30 seconds each, with a 1-minute incubation on ice between each cycle. 800

µL of Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026) was then added to the cell lysate and incubated on

ice for 10 minutes, followed by two more cycles on the FastPrep machine. On ice, 100 µL of 1–

bromo–3–chloropropane (Sigma Aldrich, B9673-200ML) was added to the cell lysate, inverted to

mix, and incubated for 5 minutes. The tubes were spun down at 4°C for 14,000 x g for 15 minutes.

400 µL of supernatant was transferred to 400 µL of 100% ethanol. The RNA was purified using

the Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit (NEB, T2010S) following the supplier's instructions.

qRT-PCR evaluation of carotenogenesis pathway activity in response to light exposure

RNA samples were converted to cDNA using the Protoscript II First Strand cDNA

Synthetsis Kit (NEB, E6560S) following the supplier's standard protocol instructions. RT-qPCR

was then performed using IDT's PrimeTime Gene Expression Master Mix (Integrated DNA

Technologies Inc., Skokie, Illinois, 1055772) and PrimeTime qPCR pre-mixed assay with probes

and primers. Sequences can be found in Table S2. Probes targeting genes-of-interest were

labeled with FAM fluorophores and probes targeting the actin housekeeping gene were labeled

with HEX fluorophores. qRT-PCR was performed in triplicate. Primers were designed on

Benchling (https://benchling.com/) and optimal probe placement was determined using

PrimerQuest (https://www.idtdna.com/PrimerQuest). The QuantStudio 6 Flex system was used,

and instructions provided by IDT for the standard cycling protocol were followed.

RNA transcriptome sequencing and differential gene expression analysis

cDNA preparation and sequencing was performed per JGI's standard workflow described

in Girgoriev et al (Kim et al. 2005). Raw FASTQ file reads were filtered and trimmed using the

Joint Genome Institute (JGI) QC pipeline (Clum et al. 2021). Filtered reads from each library were

aligned to the reference genome using HISAT version 0.1.4-beta (Kim et al. 2015). featureCounts

was used to generate the raw gene counts file using gff3 annotations (Liao et al. 2014). Raw gene

counts were used to evaluate the level of correlation between biological replicates using

Pearson's correlation and determine which replicates would be used in the DGE analysis.

DESeq2 (version 1.10.0) was subsequently used to determine which genes were differentially

expressed between pairs of conditions (Love et al. 2014). The parameter used to call a gene

differentially expressed between conditions was a p-value < 0.05. Three biological replicates were

performed for each treatment. Promoter names were determined by comparing gene annotations

across ERGO's gene ontology, Interpro, JGI predictions, and BLAST results, as shown in Table

S4.

Gene set enrichment analysis

Annotated *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938 genome and gene count table from mapped transcriptomic reads were uploaded to ERGO 2.04 (Igenbio, inc) (Overbeek et al. 2003). Genes were functionally enriched according to ERGO groups using GAGE5 (Luo et al. 2009).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

All PCRs were done using Q5 2X Master Mix (NEB, M0492L). Primers were designed on Benchling (https://benchling.com/) and the NEB Tm Calculator (https://tmcalculator.neb.com/). All primers were ordered from IDT. PCR reactions closely followed NEB instructions. Briefly, reactions were done in 50 µL total volume; 25 µL Q5 Master Mix, 2.5 µL of each primer, X µL of template DNA (1 ng plasmid DNA or 100 ng genomic DNA), and 20-X µL nuclease free water (VWR 02-0201-0500). Reactions were run on a thermocycler with the following manufacturer instructions.

Gibson cloning

Gibson assembly was used to construct destination vectors, which were designed for TypeIIS-based cloning. Reactions closely followed instructions from the NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (NEB, E2621S). Fragments were amplified with PCR and designed to have 20-30 bp overlaps. The DpnI enzyme was used to digest template plasmid or *X. dendrorhous* genomic DNA according to the manufacturer's instructions (NEB, R0176S). The amplified fragments were purified using the Zymo Clean & Concentrator Kit (Zymo, D4005), followed by dilution to 0.2 pmols for 2-3 fragments or 0.5 pmols for 4 or more fragments. The fragments, 10 µL of HiFi master mix, and nuclease free water were combined in a PCR tube for a 20 µL total reaction volume. The mixture was run on a thermocycler at 50°C for 60 minutes, with a hold at 10°C.

TypeIIS Cloning and Parts

A modular, hierarchical TypeIIS cloning scheme was used construct genetic designs for

integration into *X. dendrorhous*. This scheme consisted of three levels; transcriptional parts (level

0), transcription units (level 1), and integrative pathways (level 2). Cloning reactions were based

on the enzymes BbsI (Thermo Scientific, ER1011) or BsaI (NEB, R3733). Cloning reactions

consisted of 1 µL of N parts, 1 µL of BsaI or BbsI, 1 µ L of 10X Ligase Buffer, 0.4 µL of T4 DNA

ligase (Promega, M1794), and 7.9 - N µL of nuclease free water, where N is the number of parts.

Reactions were run on a thermocycler at 37°C for 5 hours, 50 °C for 15 minutes, 80 °C for 20

minutes, and a hold at 10°C. All genetic parts used in this study can be found in Supplementary

Table 1. All cloning vector maps can be found in Figure S2.

Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Assay

X. dendrorhous cultures were diluted with YPD to an OD_{600} = 1.0 and 100 µL was

pipetted into columns 2-11 of a 96-well plate (Corning, 3596). Column 11 served as a positive

control of only *X. dendrorhous* culture. A negative control of 100 µL YPD was pipetted into

column 12. The antifungals hygromycin (ThermoFisher, 10687010), zeocin (Jena Bioscience,

AB-103S), geneticin (ThermoFisher, 10131-035), and nourseothricin (Jena Bioscience, AB-

101L) were chosen for the experiment. Stocks of each antifungal were made in concentrations

of 5120 µg/mL and 7680 µg/mL. Five biological replicates of antifungal concentration were

made by pipetting 200 µL of an antifungal into three wells of column 1. A multichannel pipette

was used to perform a serial dilution to column 10. At column 10, 100 µL of the culture and

antibiotic mixture was pipetted and discarded, leaving 100 µL of mixture in every well. The 96-

well plates were incubated at 21°C for 3 days. The OD_{600} was measured on a BioTek Synergy

H1 plate reader with column 12 as a blank. Survival percentage was calculated by the following

equation: $\frac{OD_{600}A}{OD_{600}PC} \cdot 100\%$ where $OD_{600}A$ represents the average OD_{600} associated with a certain

antibiotic concentration, and $OD_{600}PC$ represents the average OD_{600} for the positive controls in

column 11. Outliers were calculated using an interquartile range and were excluded in survival

percent calculations. Outliers were distributed nearly evenly across all four antibiotics

treatments with 16 in the hygromycin data, 13 in the nourseothricin data, 15 in the zeocin data,

and 20 in the geneticin data. Outliers were calculated and removed due to imperfect

measurements of plate readers with heavy yeast cells, such as *X. dendrorhous*.

***X. dendrorhous* transformation**

Integrative pathway DNA for transformation was excised from level 2 vectors with a BsaI

digestion. This digestion was comprised of 40 µL plasmid DNA, 5 µL 10X CutSmart Buffer, 2 µL

BsaI, and 3 µL nuclease free water. The reaction was run at 37°C for 10 hours, 80°C for 20

minutes, and a hold at 10°C. The resulting DNA fragments were then purified with the Zymo Clean

& Concentrator Kit and were ready for transformation. *X. dendrorhous* transformations were

based on an electroporation method described by Visser et. al. 2003. *X. dendrorhous* was first

streaked on a YPD agar plate and grown at 21°C for approximately 2 days. A single colony was

used to inoculate 50 mL YPD media in a 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The cells were shaken at 21°C

and 200 rpm for another 2 days. These cells were then used to inoculate 200 mL of YPD in a 1 L

Erlenmeyer flask at $OD_{600} = 0.02$. The cells were grown at 21°C and 200 rpm until reaching OD_{600}

= 1.2 (approximately 20 hours). From here, the cells were pelleted at 1500 x g for 5 min and

resuspended in 25 mL of freshly made 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH=7.0, Sigma-

Aldrich, P8281-100G & P9791-100G) with 25 mM diothiothreitol (Acros Organics, 426380500).

The cells were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes, and then pelleted at 4°C for 5

minutes at 1500 x g. The cells were then washed with 25 mL of ice cold STM buffer (pH = 7.5,

270 mM sucrose, Millipore Sigma, 1.07651.5000, 10 mM Tris HCl, Alfa Aesar, J67233, 1 mM

$MgCl_2$, VWR, E525-100ML) and spun down again at 4°C for 5 minutes at 1500 x g. This wash

step was repeated and followed by resuspension of the pellet in 500 µL of STM buffer. Now

electrocompetent, the cells were divided into 60 µL aliquots and kept on ice until electroporation.

10 µL of transforming DNA was mixed with a 60 µL aliquot and transferred to an ice cold 0.2 cm

electroporation cuvette (ThermoFisher, 21-237-2). Electroporation was performed with a Gene

Pulser electroporator (Bio-Rad, 1998.018.1, 1998.018.2, & 1998.018.3) at 0.8 kV, 1000 ohms,

and 25 µF. Immediately following the electric pulse, 500 µL of ice cold YPD was added to the

cuvette. This mixture was then transferred to 4 mL of YPD in a 14 mL Falcon tube and grown

overnight on a rotating drum. The next morning, the cells were spun down for 5 minutes at 1500

x g, resuspended in 500 mL of filtered water, and spread onto selection plates. The plates were

incubated at 21°C until colonies appeared, which typically occurred in 2-3 days. All strain

modifications performed on CBS 6938 can be found in Table S3.

Astaxanthin extraction from wild-type and *crtYB* knockouts

X. dendrorhous CBS 6938 wild-type and *crtYB* knockout strains were grown for 3 days in

the dark at 21°C and 200 rpm. 1 mL of culture was taken forward and centrifuged at 10,850 x g

for 10 minutes. The cell pellet was washed with Milli-Q filtered water twice before resuspension

in 1 mL of acetone (SigmaAldrich, 34850-1L). Cell and acetone mixtures were poured into pre-

filled tubes of 400-micron zirconium beads (OPS Diagnostics, PFMB 400-100-34), then

transferred to snap-cap microcentrifuge tubes (Eppendorf, 022363743). The Bullet Blender Storm

Pro tissue homogenizer (NextAdvance, BT24M) was used at 12 m/s for 5 minutes to mechanically

lyse the cells. Afterward, tubes were centrifuged for 10,850 x g for 10 minutes. 200 µL of

supernatant was pipetted into a nylon syringeless filter (Whatman, UN203NPENYL) and

transferred to a 2 mL chromatography vial (Agilent, 5181-3376) with a glass vial insert (5183-

2085) and crimp top cap (Agilent, 8010-0051).

Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography detection of astaxanthin

UPLC analysis was performed using a modified method from Bohoyo-Gil et al. 2012.

Modifications include an injection volume of 10 µL, usage of a Shimadzu Nexcol C18 1.8 µm

column (Shimadzu, 220-91394-03), and analysis on the Nexera Series UPLC (Shimadzu; RF-

20AXS, RID-20A, SCL-40, DGU-403, DGU-405, CTO-40C, SPD-M40, C-40 LPGE, LC-40D XS,

SIL-40C XS).

Bioluminescence detection assay

LPA cultures were started in 2 mL of YPD media at $OD_{600} = 1$ and shaken for 24 hours at

200 rpm. *X. dendrorhous* was exposed to either a UV ($\lambda = 400$ nm) or dark (no LED light) condition.

Bioluminescence was detected using the Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega, N1110).

Assay buffer and assay reagent were mixed in a 50:1 ratio to create to assay solution. Aliquots of

50 µL of *X. dendrorhous* cells were mixed with 50 µL assay solution in a 96-well plate (Corning,

3904). Bioluminescence was measured on a BioTek Synergy H1 plate reader.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EET, JHC, and EMY conceived of the study. EET and JHC performed all experiments and analysis save the sequencing and initial processing of RNA-seq data. CBM and GTN constructed the light plate apparatus (LPA). KM acquired microscope images of red yeast. AL, SM, and IG performed transcriptomic sequencing and initial processing of RNA-seq data. EET and EMY wrote the manuscript.

* Emma E. Tobin and Joseph H. Collins contributed equally.

COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICAL STANDARDS

FUNDING

This project is supported by a National Science Foundation CAREER award to EMY, award number 1944046. EET is supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship. The work (proposal 10.46936/10.25585/60001246) conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. The Department of Energy operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Development of Prymetime was supported by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI), Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) under Finding Engineering Linked Indicators (FELIX) program contract #N66001-18-C-4507. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of ODNI, IARPA, or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental purposes notwithstanding any copyright annotation therein.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Emma E. Tobin declares she has no conflict of interest. Joseph H. Collins declares he has no

conflict of interest. Celeste B. Marsan declares she has no conflict of interest. Gillian T. Nadeau

declares she has no conflict of interest. Kim Mori declares she has no conflict of interest. Anna

Lipzen declares she has no conflict of interest. Stephen Mondo declares he has no conflict of

interest. Igor V. Grigoriev declares he has no conflict of interest. Eric M. Young declares he has

no conflict of interest.

DECLARATIONS

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of

the authors.

Figure 1. Improving foundational *X. dendrorhous* genetic tools. (a) Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) results depicting the survival percentage of *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6398 when exposed to the antibiotics zeocin, geneticin, hygromycin, and nourseothricin. **(b)** Hierarchical TypeIIS enzyme assembly strategy to generate fragments for homologous recombination into the *crtYB* site. **(c)** BioRender depiction of the high-efficiency transformation procedure. **(d)** Confirmation of deletion of *crtYB* activity via UHPLC. Wild type is shown in red and the *crtYB* knockout is shown in black. Overlapped UHPLC chromatograms show the lack of astaxanthin at a retention time of 0.998 in the strain with the XdNatMX cassette inserted. **(e)** Luminescence of two strains expressing Nanoluc with strong constitutive promoters, P_{act} and P_{adh4}, the *X. dendrorhous* crtYB::NatMX deletion, and the *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6938 wild type. *Abbreviations:* Nanoluc - nanoluciferase, NatMX - nourseothricin resistance transcription unit.

Figure 2. Transcriptional response of terpenoid and carotenoid biosynthesis in response to ultraviolet light exposure. Differential expression between dark and ultraviolet as measured by the log2 fold change (log2FC) of RT-qPCR ΔΔCt values (Methods). Upstream mevalonate and terpenoid genes are not affected by ultraviolet, but downstream carotenoid biosynthetic genes *crtI* and *crtS* are upregulated, *crtI* most strongly. *Abbreviations:* MVK – mevalonate kinase, PMVK – phosphomevalonate kinase, MVD - diphosphomevalonate decarboxylase, IDI - isopentenyl-diphosphate isomerase, FPS - farnesyl diphosphate synthase, crtE - geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase, crtYB - bifunctional lycopene cyclase/phytoene synthase, crtI – phytoene desaturase, crtS - cytochrome-P450 hydroxylase.

Figure 3. Transcriptomics and differential gene expression (DGE) of *X. dendrorhous* CBS 6398 under light exposure and oxidative stress. Blue represents induction and red represents repression. Color intensities are equally scaled across charts. **(a)** Depiction of the experimental workflow for obtaining RNA from *X. dendrorhous* cultured in the light plate apparatus (LPA) under

light and hydrogen peroxide stress conditions. **(b)** Regulation of mevalonate and carotenogenesis
genes by light and H_2O_2. Expression of *crtI* and *crtS* is considerably induced by ultraviolet light,
and *crtI* is also induced by oxidative stress. **(c)** Transcriptional response of putative photoreceptor
homologs identified by BLAST. The numbers for genes within each class are arbitrarily assigned.
(d) Top ten ultraviolet upregulated and downregulated genes. *Abbreviations:* HP1-4 – hypothetical
proteins, SDR – short chain dehydrogenase, Con-6 – conitiation-specific protein 6, GYG –
glycogenin glucosyltransferase, MSS4 – phosphatidylinitosol-4-phosphate 5-kinase, E3 – E3
ubiquitin ligase, Nth – endonuclease III, SAM – S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent
methyltransferase, sdhC – succinate dehydrogenase cytochrome b560 large subunit, ACON –
aconitate hydratase, ETFQO – electron-transferring-flavoprotein dehydrogenase, CCP –
cytochrome c peroxidase, TR – unclassified transcriptional regulator, NUCR – unclassified
endonuclease, CLO – caleosin, CYC1 – cytochrome c, HAP3 – transcriptional activator heme
activator protein. **(e)** Top ten H_2O_2 induced and repressed genes. *Abbreviations:* HP5-8 –
hypothetical proteins, TrxR – thioredoxin reductase, NADPH DH – NADPH dehydrogenase, SAM
– S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase, TPx – thioredoxin reductase, SDR –
short chain dehydrogenase, CAT – catalase, Fes1 – Fes1-domain containing protein, MFS –
major facilitator superfamily permease, PPR – pentatricopeptide repeat protein, PPOX –
protoporphyrinogen oxidase, SNF2 – SNF2 family DNA-dependent ATPase, TCRS – two-
component sensor, RAD16 – DNA repair protein, PRP – pre-mRNA-processing-splicing factor,
β1,6GnT – beta-1,6-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase, Ras1 GEF – Ras1 guanine nucleotide
exchange factor.

Figure 4. Transcriptomic analyses clustered by ERGO functional groups. (a) Overall upregulation of metabolic processes by ultraviolet light or H_2O_2. **(b)** Overall downregulation of metabolic processes by ultraviolet light or H_2O_2. **(c)** Summary of metabolic processes regulated by ultraviolet light. Generally, metabolic flux is being drawn from central carbon metabolism to synthesis of aromatic amino acids.

Figure 5. Deriving constitutive and regulated promoters from the transcriptome. (a) FPKM counts of the ten strongest constitutive promoters. *Abbreviations:* HP9-11 – hypothetical proteins, UspA – universal stress protein A, Grg1 – glucose-repressible protein 2, ADH – alcohol

dehydrogenase, MLRQ – NADH-ubiquinone reductase complex 1 MLRQ subunit, RPL6 –
ribosomal protein L6P, RP – ribosomal protein, RPL19 – ribosomal protein L19E. **(b)** FPKM
counts of the top 10 inducible promoters, also shown in Figure 3d. **(c)** FPKM counts of the top 6
repressible promoters, also shown in Figure 3d. **(d)** Hierarchical DNA assembly and expression
characterization workflow for each of the 26 promoters. **(e)** Expression strength of the strong
constitutive promoters as measured by Nanoluc luminescence for recombinant *X. dendrorhous*
strains grown in the dark or exposed to ultraviolet light. **(f)** Expression strength of the ultraviolet
inducible promoters as measured by Nanoluc luminescence for recombinant *X. dendrorhous*
strains grown in the dark or exposed to ultraviolet light. **(g)** Expression strength of the ultraviolet
repressible promoters as measured by Nanoluc luminescence for recombinant *X. dendrorhous*
strains grown in the dark or exposed to ultraviolet light. **(h)** Log2 fold change of luminescence
intensity for the inducible promoters. **(i)** Log2 fold change of luminescence intensity for the
repressible promoters.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The genome was deposited in GenBank under the BioProject PRJNA1053634. Each replicate was registered as an NIH BioSample by JGI and can be found under the accessions SAMN15720006, SAMN15720353, and SAMN15720008 (UV1-3), SAMN15719986, SAMN15719959, and SAMN15720031 (blue1-3), SAMN15720054, SAMN15720004, and SAMN15719987 (green1-3), SAMN15719960, SAMN15719963, and SAMN15720352 (red1-3), SAMN15719962, SAMN15720053, and SAMN15719985 ($H_2O_2$1-3), SAMN15720005, SAMN15720007, and SAMN15719961 (dark1-3). Additionally, all genomics and transcriptomics data can be found within the JGI genome portal under the JGI Project ID 1255824.

REFERENCES

Abraham PR, Mulder A, van't Riet J, Raué HA (1994) Characterization of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* nuclease gene CYB3 encoding a cytochrome b polypeptide of respiratory complex II. MGG 242

Aguilar-Machado D, Delso C, Martinez JM, Morales-Oyervides L, Montañez J, Raso J (2020) Enzymatic processes triggered by PEF for astaxanthin extraction from *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Front Bioeng Biotechnol

Alcaíno J, Barahona S, Carmona M, Lozano C, Marcoleta A, Niklitschek M, Sepúlveda D, Baeza M, Cifuentes V (2008) Cloning of the cytochrome P450 reductase (crtR) gene and its involvement in the astaxanthin biosynthesis of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. BMC Microbiol 8:169

Almeida P, Gonçalves C, Teixeira S, Libkind D, Bontrager M, Masneuf-Pomarède I, Albertin W, Durrens P, Sherman DJ, Marullo P, Hittinger CT, Gonçalves P, Sampaio JP (2014) A Gondwanan imprint on global diversity and domestication of wine and cider yeast *Saccharomyces uvarum*. Nat Commun 5:4044

Ambati RR, Moi PS, Ravi S, Aswathanarayana RG (2014) Astaxanthin: sources, extraction,

stability, biological activities and its commercial applications – a review. Marine Drugs

An GH, Cho MH, Johnson EA (1999) Monocyclic carotenoid biosynthetic pathway in the yeast

Phaffia rhodozyma (*Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*). J Biosci Bioeng 88:189-193

An GH, Jang BG, Cho MH (2001) Cultivation of the carotenoid-hyperproducing mutant 2A2N of

the red yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* (*Phaffia rhodozyma*) with molasses. J Biosci

Bioeng 92:121-125

An GH, Schuman DB, Johnson EA (1989) Isolation of *Phaffia rhodozyma* mutants with increased

astaxanthin content. Apply Environ 55:116-124

Ananda N, Vadlani PV (2011) Carotenoid value addition of cereal products by monoculture and

mixed-culture fermentation of *Phaffia rhodozyma* and *Sporobolomyces roseus*. Cereal Chem

88:467-472

Ang FS, Khaw SY, Few LL, See Too WC, Chew AL (2019) Isolation of a stable astaxanthin-

hyperproducing mutant of Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous through random mutagenesis. Appl

Biochem Microbiol 55:255-262

Baeza M, Retamales P, Sepúlveda D, Lodato P, Jiménez A, Cifuentes V (2009) Isolation

characterization and long-term preservation of mutant strains of *Xanthophyllomyces*

dendrorhous. J Basic Microbiol 49:135-141

Bampidis V, Azimonti G, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Dusemund B, Fašmon Durjava M, Kouba M,

López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Villa RE,

Woutersen R, Galobart J, Holcznecht O, Vettori MV (2022) Safety and efficacy of a feed additive

consisting of astaxanthin-rich *Phaffia rhodozyma* for salmon and trout. EFSA Journal 20

Batghare AH, Pati S, Roy K, Moholkar VS (2018) Mechanistic investigations in ultrasound-

assisted extraction of astaxanthin from *Phaffia rhodozyma* MTCC 7536. Bioresour Technol Rep

4:166-173

Bellora N, Moliné M, David-Palma M, Coelho MA, Hittinger CT, Sampaio JP, Gonçalves P, Libkind

D (2016) Comparative genomics provides new insights into the diversity, physiology, and

sexuality of the only industrially exploited tremellomycete: *Phaffia rhodozyma*. BMC Genom 17

Bereta M, Teplan M, Chafai DE, Radil R, Cifra M (2021) Biological autoluminescence as a

noninvasive monitoring tool for chemical and physical modulation of oxidation in yeast cell culture.

Sci Rep 11

Bernard P, Gabarit P, Bahassi EM, Couturier M (1994) Positive-selection vectors using the F

plasmid ccdB killer gene. Gene 148:71-74

Bhatia S, Garg A, Sharma K, Kumar S, Sharma A, Purohit AP (2011) Mycosporine and

mycosporine-like amino aicds: a paramount tool against ultraviolet irradiation. Phcog Rev

Bjerkeng B, Peisker M, von Schwartzenberg K, Ytrestøyl T, Åsgård T (2007) Digestibility and

muscle retention of astaxanthin in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*, fed diets with the red yeast

Phaffia rhodozyma in comparison with synthetic formulated astaxanthin. Aquaculture 269:1-4

Boekhout T, Amend AS, El Baidouri F, Gabaldón T, Geml J, Mittelbach M, Robert V, Tan CS,

Turchetti B, Vu D, Wang QM, Yurkov A (2021) Trends in yeast diversity discovery. Fungal Divers

114:491-537

Bohoyo-Gil D, Dominguez-Valhondo D, García-Parra JJ, González-Gómez D (2012) UHPLC as

a suitable methodology for the analysis of carotenoids in food matrix. Eur Food Res Technol 235

Breitenbach J, Visser H, Verdoes JC, van Ooyen AJJ, Sandmann G (2011) Engineering of

geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate synthase levels and physiological conditions for enhanced

carotenoid and astaxanthin synthesis in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Biotechnol Lett
33:755-761

Brink DP, Mierke F, Norbeck J, Siewers V, Andlid T (2023) Expanding the genetic toolbox of
Rhodotorula toruloides by identification and validation of six novel promoters induced or
repressed under nitrogen starvation. Microb Cell Fact 22

Bruchez JJP, Eberle J, Kohler W, Kruft V, Radford A, Russo VEA (1996) Bli-4, a gene that is
rapidly increased by blue light, encodes a novel mitochondrial, short chain alcohol
dehydrogenase-like protein in *Neurospora Crassa*. MGG 252

Brych A, Haas FB, Parzefall K, Panzer S, Schermuly J, Altmüller J, Engelsdorf T, Terpitz U,
Rensing SA, Kiontke S, Batschauer A (2021) Coregulation of gene expression by white collar 1
and phytochrome in *Ustilago Maydis*. FG & B 152

Brych A, Mascarenhas J, Jaegar E, Charkiewicz E, Pokorny R, Bölker M, Doehlemann G,
Batschauer A (2016) White collar 1-induced photolyase expression contributes to UV-tolerance
of *Ustilago maydis*. Microbiologyopen 5

Cao C, Xue C (2021) More than just cleaning: ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis in fungal
pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol

Cao M, Seetharam AS, Severin AJ, Shao Z (2017) Rapid isolation of centromeres from
Scheffersomyces Stipitis. Synth Biol 6:2028-2034

Chumpokulwong N, Kakizono T, Nagai S, Nishio N (1997) Increased astaxanthin production by
Phaffia rhodozyma mutants isolated as resistant to diphenylamine. J Ferment Bioeng 83:429-434

Clum A, Huntemann M, Bushnell B, Foster B, Foster B, Roux S, Hajek PP, Varghese N,
Mukherjee S, Reddy TBK, Daum C, Yoshinaga Y, O'Malley R, Seshadri R, Kyrpides NC, Eloe-
Fadrosh EA, Chen IMA, Copeland A, Ivanova NN (2021) DOE JGI metagenome workflow.
mSystems 6

Collins JH, Keating KW, Jones TR, Balaji S, Marsan CB, Çomo M, Newlon ZJ, Mitchell T, Bartley
B, Adler A, Roehner N, Young EM (2021) Engineered yeast genomes accurately assembled from
pure and mixed samples. Nat Commun 12:1485

Córdova P, Gonzalez AM, Nelson DR, Gutiérrez MS, Baeza M, Cifuentes V, Alcaíno J (2017)
Characterization of the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase genes (P450ome) from the
carotenogenic yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. BMC Genom 18:540

Da Silva NA, Srikrishnan S (2012) Introduction and expression of genes for metabolic engineering
applications in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* FEMS Yeast Res 12:197-214

Desrivières S, Cooke FT, Parker PJ, Hall HM (1998) MSS4, a phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate
5-kinase required for organization of the actin cytoskeleton in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. JBC
273

Dominguez-Bocanegra AR, Torres-Munoz JA (2004) Astaxanthin hyperproduction by *Phaffia*
rhodozyma (now *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*) with raw coconut milk as sole source of
energy. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 66:249-252

Durmusoglu D, Al'Abri I, Li Z, Islam Williams T, Collins LB, Martínez JL, Crook N (2023) Improving
therapeutic protein secretion in the probiotic yeast *Saccharomyces boulardii* using a multifactorial
engineering approach. Microb Cell Fact 22:109

England CG, Ehlerding EB, Cai W (2016) NanoLuc: a small luciferase is brightening up the field
of bioluminescence. Bioconjugate Chem 18:1175-1187

Farhi M, Marhevka E, Masci T, Marcos E, Eyal Y, Ovadis M, Abeliovich H, Vainstein A (2011)
Harnessing yeast subcellular compartments for the production of plant terpenoids. Metab Eng
13:474-481

Fernández-Arrojo L, Marín D, Gómez De Segura A, Linde D, Alcalde M, Gutiérrez-Alonso P,
Ghazi I, Plou FJ, Fernández-Lobato M, Ballesteros A (2007) Transformation of maltose into

prebiotic isomaltooligosaccharides by a novel α-glucosidase from *Xanthophyllomyces*

dendrorhous. Process Biochem 42

Galanie S, Thodey K, Trenchard IJ Filsinger Interrante M, Smolke CD (1979) Complete

biosynthesis of opioids in yeast. Science 349:1095-1100

Gassel S, Breitenbach J, Sandmann G (2014) Genetic engineering of the complete carotenoid

pathway towards enhanced astaxanthin formation in Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous starting

from a high-yield mutant. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:345-350

Gassel S, Schewe H, Schmidt I, Schrader J, Sandmann G (2013) Multiple improvement of

astaxanthin biosynthesis in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* by a combination of conventional

mutagenesis and metabolic pathway engineering. Biotechnol 35:565-569

Gasser B, Steiger MG, Mattanovich D (2015) Methanol regulated yeast promoters: production

vehicles and toolbox for synthetic biology. Microb Cell Fact

Gerhardt KP, Olson EJ, Castillo-Hair SM, Hartsough LA, Landry BP, Ekness F, Yokoo R, Gomez

EJ, Ramakrishnan P, Suh J, Savage DF, Tabor JJ (2016) An open-hardware platform for

optogenetics and photobiology. Sci Rep 6

Gervasi T, Pellizzeri V, Benameur Q, Gervasi C, Santini A, Cicero N, Dugo G (2018) Valorization

of raw materials from agricultural industry for astaxanthin and β-carotene production by

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous. Nat Prod Res 32:1554-1561

Gilchrest BA, Park HY, Eller MS, Yaar M (1996) Mechanisms of ultraviolet light-induced

pigmentation. Photochem Photobiol

Gimeno-Pérez M, Linde D, Fernández-Arrojo L, Plou FJ, Fernández-Lobato M (2015)

Heterologous overproduction of β-Fructofuranosidase from yeast *Xanthophyllomyces*

dendrorhous, an enzyme producing prebiotic sugars. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99

Goldraji A, Curtino JA (1996) M-gylcogenin, the protein moiety of *Neurospora crassa*

proteoglycogen, is an auto- and transglucosylating enzyme. Biochem Biophys Red Commun 227

Gutiérrez MS, Rojas MC, Sepúlveda D, Baeza M, Cifuentes V, Alcaíno J (2015) Molecular

characterization and functional analysis of cytochrome B5 reductase (CBR) encoding genes from

the carotenogenic yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. PLoS One 10

Hahn S, Guarente L (1988) Yeast HAP2 and HAP3: transcriptional activators in a heteromeric

complex. Science 240:317-321

Hara KY, Morita T, Endo Y, Mochizuki M, Araki M, Kondo A (2014) Evaluation and screening of

efficient promoters to improve astaxanthin production in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Appl

Microbiol Biotechnol 98:6787-6793

Hara KY, Morita T, Mochizuki M, Yamamoto K, Ogino C, Araki M, Kondo A (2014) Development

of a multi-gene expression system in Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous. Microb Cell Fact 13:175

Higuera-Ciapara I, Félix-Valenzuela L, Goycoolea FM (2006) A review of its chemistry and

applications. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 46:185-196

Hong KK, Nielsen J (2012) Metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a key cell factory

platform for future biorefineries. Cell Mol Life Sci 69:2671-2690

Huang R, Ding R, Liu Y, Li F, Zhang Z, Wang S (2022) Transcription factor WC2 regulates the

biosynthesis of astaxanthin in yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Microb Biotechnol

15:2578-2593

Iverson SV, Haddock TL, Beal J, Densmore DM (2016) CIDAR MoClo: Improved MoClo assembly

standard and new *E. coli* part library enable rapid combinatorial design for synthetic and traditional

biology. ACS Synth Biol 5

Jeppsson M, Johansson B, Traff K, Hahn-Hagerdal B, Gorwa-Grauslund MF (2003) Use of
metabolic engineering to enhance xylose consumption rate and ethanol yield in recombinant
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 20:228

Karim AS, Curran KA, Alper HS (2013) Characterization of plasmid burden and copy number in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae for optimization of metabolic engineering applications. FEMS Yeast
Res 13:107-116

Kheirabadi EP, Shekarabi PH, Yadollahi F, Soltani M, Najafi E, von Hellens J, Flores CL, Salehi
K, Faggio C (2022) Red yeast (*Phaffia rhodozyma*) and its effect on growth, antioxidant activity
and color pigmentation of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Aquac Rep 23

Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. Nat Methods 12

Kim JH, Kang SW, Kim SW, Chang HI (2005) High-level production of astaxanthin by
Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous mutant JH1 using statistical experimental designs. Biosci
BIotechnol BIochem 69:1743-1748

Lai J, Liu W, Bu J, Chen X, Hu B, Zhu M (2023) Enhancement of astaxanthin production from
food waste by *Phaffia rhodozyma* screened by flow cytometry and feed application potential.
Biotechnol Appl Biochem 70:1817-1829

Ledetzky N, Osawa A, Iki K, Pollmann H, Gassel S, Breitenbach J, Shindo K, Sandmann G (2014)
Multiple transformation with the crtYB gene of the limiting enzyme increased carotenoid synthesis
and generated novel derivatives in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Arch Biochem Biophys
545:141-147

Leiva K, Werner N, Sepúlveda D, Barahona S, Baeza M, Cifuentes V, Alcaíno J (2015)
Identification and functional characterization of the CYP51 gene from the yeast
Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous that is involved in ergosterol biosynthesis. BMC Microbiol 15:89

Li W, Cui L, Mai J, Shi TQ, Lin L, Zhang ZG, Ledesma-Amaro R, Dong W, Ji XJ (2022) Advances
in metabolic engineering paving the way for the efficient biosynthesis of terpenes in yeasts. J
Agric Food Chem

Li W, Luna-Flores CH, Anangi R, Zhou R, Tan X, Jessen M, Liu L, Zhou R, Zhang T, Gissibl A,
Cullen PJ, Ostrikov K (2023) Oxidative stress induced by plasma-activated water stimulates
astaxanthin production in *Phaffia rhodozyma*. Bioresour Technol 369:128370

Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) FeatureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30

Libkind D, Peris D, Cubillos FA, Steenwyk JL, Opulente DA, Langdon QK, Rokas A, Hittinger CT
(2020) Into the wild: new yeast genomes from natural environments and new tools for their
analysis. FEMS Yeast Res 20

Libkind D, Ruffini A, van Broock M, Alves L, Sampaio JP (2007) Biogeography, host, specificity,
and molecular phylogeny of the basidiomycetous yeast *Phaffia rhodozyma* and its sexual form,
Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous. App Environ Microbiol 73

Liu L, Redden H, Alper HS (2013) Frontiers of yeast metabolic engineering: diversifying beyond
ethanol and *Saccharomyces*. Curr Opin Biotechnol

Liu Y, Zhao X, Gan F, Chen X, Deng K, Crowe SA, Hudson GA, Belcher MS, Schmidt M, Astolfi
MCT, Kosina SM, Pang B, Shao M, Yin J, Sirirungruang S, Iavarone AT, Reed J, Martin LBB, El-
Demerdash A, Kikuchi S, Misra RC, Liang X, Cronce MJ, Chen X, Zhan C, Kakumanu R, Baidoo
EEK, Chen Y, Petzold CJ, Northen TR, Osbourn A, Scheller H, Keasling JD (2024) Complete
biosynthesis of QS-21 in engineered yeast. Nature

Liu YS, Wu JY, Ho K (2006) Characterization of oxygen transfer conditions and their effects on
Phaffia rhodozyma growth and carotenoid production in shake-flask cultures. Biochem Eng J
27:331-335

Llewellyn CA, Greig C, Silkina A, Kultschar B, Hitchings MD, Farnham G (2020) Mycosporine-like

amino acid and aromatic amino acid transcriptome response to UV and far-red light in the

cyanobacterium *Chlorogloeopsis fritschii* PCC 6912. Sci Rep 10

Löbs AK, Schwartz C, Wheeldon I (2017) Genome and metabolic engineering in non-conventional

yeasts: current advances and applications. Synth Syst Biotechnol 2:198-207

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-

seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15

Luo W, Friedman MS, Shedden K, Hankenson KD, Woolf PJ (2009) GAGE: generally applicable

gene set enrichment for pathway analysis. BMC Bioinform 10

Merényi Z, Krizsán K, Sahu N, Liu XB, Bálint B, Stajich JE, Spatafora JW, Nagy LG (2023)

Genomes of fungi and relatives reveal delayed loss of ancestral gene families and evolution of

key fungal traits. Nat Ecol Evol 7:1221-1231

Mülleder M, Capuano F, Pir P, Christen S, Sauer U, Oliver SG, Ralser MA (2012) Prototrophic

deletion mutant collection for yeast metabolomics and systems biology. Nat Biotechnol

Mussagy CU, Santos-Ebinuma VC, Herculano RD, Coutinho JAP, Pereira JFB, Pessoa A (2022)

Ionic liquids or eutectic solvents? Identifying the best solvents for the extraction of astaxanthin

and β-carotene from *Phaffia rhodozyma* yeast and preparation of biodegradable films. Green

Chem 24:118-123

Naguib YMA (2000) Antioxidant activities of astaxanthin and related carotenoids. J Agric Food

Chem 48:1150-1154

Nagy LG, Ohm RA, Kovács GM, Floudas D, Riley R, Gácser A, Sipiczki M, Davis JM, Doty SL,

de Hoog GS, Lang BF, Spatafora JW, Martin FM, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett DS (2014) Latent homology

and convergent regulatory evolution underlies the repeated emergence of yeasts. Nat Commun

5

Ojima K, Breitenbach J, Visser H, Setoguchi Y, Tabata K, Hoshino T, van den Berg J, Sandmann

G (2006) Cloning of the astaxanthin synthase gene from *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*

(*Phaffia rhodozyma*) and its assignment as β-carotene 2-hydroxylase/4-ketolase. MGG 275:148-

158.

Olmedo M, Ruger-Herreros C, Luque EM, Corrochano LM (2010) A complex photoreceptor

system mediates the regulation by the light of the conidiation genes con-10 and con-6 in

Neurospora crassa. FG & B 47:352-363

Otoupal PB, Ito M, Arkin AP, Magnuson JK, Gladden JM, Skerker JM (2019) Multiplexed CRISPR-

Cas9-based genome editing of *Rhodosporidium toruloides.* mSphere 4

Johnson EA (2013) Biotechnology of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts – the basidiomycetes. Appl

Microbiol Biotechnol 97:7563-7577

Overbeek R, Larsen N, Walunas T, D'Souza M, Pusch G, Selkov E, Liolios K, Joukov V,

Kaznadzey D, Anderson I, Bhattacharyya A, Burd H, Gardner W, Hanke P, Kapatral V, Mikhailova

N, Vasieva O, Osterman A, Vonstein V, Fonstein M, Ivanova N, Kyrpides N (2003) The ERGO™

genome analysis and discovery system. Nucleic Acids Res

Palágyi Z, Linka B, Papp T, Vágvölgyi C (2006) Isolation and characterization of

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous mutants with altered carotenoid content. Acta Aliment 35:223-

228

Pelletier H, Kraut J (1992) Crystal structure of a complex between electron transfer partners,

cytochrome c peroxidase and cytochrome c. Science 258:5089

Peris D, Ubbelohde EJ, Kuang MC, Kominek J, Langdon QK, Adams M, Koshalek JA, Hulfachor

AB, Opulente DA, Hall DJ, Hyma K, Fay JC, Leducq JB, Charron G, Landry CR, Libkind D,

Gonçalves C, Gonçalves P, Sampaio JP, Wang QM, Bai FY, Wrobel RL, Hittinger CT (2023)

Macroevolutionary diversity of traits and genomes in the model yeast genus *Saccharomyces*.

Nature Commun 14:1-19

Phoproek P, Saenjum C, Tinoi J (2021) Rice straw hydrolysis and cultivation of

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous for astaxanthin production and antioxidant properties. Res J

Biotechnol 16:37-46

Pyne ME, Bagley A, Narcross L, Kevvai K, Exley K, Davies M, Wang Q, Whiteway M, Martin VJJ

(2023) Screening non-conventional yeasts for acid tolerance and engineering *Pichia occidentalis*

for production of muconic acid. Nat Commun 14:5294

Rahman F, Hassan M, Hanano A, Fitzpatrick DA, McCarthy CGP, Murphy DJ (2018) Evolutionary,

structural, and functional analysis of the caleosin/peroxygenase gene family in the fungi. BMC

Genom 19

Rakhmanova TI, Sekova VY, Gessler NN, Isakova EP, Deryabina YI, Popova TN, Shurubor YI,

Krasnikov BF (2023) Kinetic and regulatory properties of *Yarrowia lipolytica* aconitate hydratase

as a model-indicator of cell redox state under pH stress. Int J Mol Sci 24

Rodríguez-Sáiz M, de la Fuente JL, Barredo JL (2010) *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* for the

industrial production of astaxanthin. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 88:645-658

Rodríguez-Sáiz M, Godio RP, Álvarez V, de la Fuente JL, Martín JF, Barredo JL (2009) The

NADP-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase gene from the astaxanthin producer

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous: use of its promoter for controlled gene expression. Mol

Biotecnol 41:165-172

Santopietro LMD, Spencer JFT, Spencer DM, Siñeriz F (1998) Effects of oxidative stress on the

production of carotenoid pigments by *Phaffia rhodozyma* (*Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*) Folia

Microbiol 43:173-176

Schroeder WA, Johnson EA (1995) Singlet oxygen and peroxyl radicals regulate carotenoid

biosynthesis in *Phaffia rhodozyma*. JBC 270:18374-18379

Schwartz C, Shabbir-Hussain M, Frogue K, Blenner M, Wheeldon I (2017) Standardized

markerless gene integration for pathway engineering in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. ACS Synth Biol 6

Schwarzhans JP, Wibberg D, Winkler A, Luttermann T, Kalinowski J, Friehs K (2016) Non-

canonical integration events in *Pichia pastoris* encountered during standard transformation

analysed with genome sequencing. Sci Rep 6

Serafini DM, Schellhorn HE (1999) Endonuclease III and endonuclease IV protect *Escherichia*

coli from the lethal and mutagenic effects of near-UV irradiation. Can J Microbiol 45

Sharma R, Gassel S, Steiger S, Xai X, Bauer R, Sandmann G, Thines M (2015) The genome of

the basal agaricomycete *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* provides insights into the organization

of its acetyl-CoA derived pathways and the evolution of agaricomycotina. BMC Genom 16:233

Shen MWY, Fang F, Sandmeyer S, Da Silva NA (2012) Development and characterization of a

vector set with regulated promoters for systematic metabolic engineering in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. Yeast 29:495-503

Shin J, South EJ, Dunlop MJ (2022) Transcriptional tuning of mevalonate pathway enzymes to

identify the impact on limonene production in *Escherichia coli*. ACS Omega 7

Sinha RP, Häder DP (2002) UV-induced DNA damage and repair: a review. Photochemical and

Photobiological Sciences 1:225-236

Smythe C, Cohen P (1991) The discovery of glycogenin and the priming mechanism for the

glycogen biogenesis. Eur J Biochem 200

Snoek T, Picca Nicolino M, Van den Bremt S, Mertens S, Saels V, Verplaetse A, Steensels J,

Verstrepen KJ (2015) Large-scale robot-assisted genome shuffling yields industrial

Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeasts with increased ethanol tolerance. Biotechnol Biofuels 8:1-32

Stachowiak B (2013) Effect of illumination intensities on astaxanthin synthesis by

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous and its mutants. Food Sci Biotechnol 22:1033-1038

Steensels J, Meersman E, Snoek T, Saels V, Verstrepen KJ (2014) Large-scale selection and

breeding to generate industrial yeasts with superior aroma production. Appl Environ 80:6965-

6975

Steensels J, Verstrepen KJ (2014) Taming wild yeast: potential of conventional and

nonconventional yeasts in industrial fermentations. Annu Rev Microbiol 68:61-80

Toplak M, Brunner J, Tabib CR, Macheroux P (2019) Closing the gap: yeast electron-transferring

flavoprotein links the oxidation of d-lactate and d-α-Hydroxyglutarate to energy production via the

respiratory chain. FEBS Journal 286

Torres-Haro A, Verdín J, Kirchmayr MR, Arellano-Plaza M (2021) Metabolic engineering for high

yield synthesis of astaxanthin in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. Microb Cell Fact

Tropea A, Gervasi T, Melito MR, Curto A, Curto R (2013) Does the light influence astaxanthin

production in Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous? Nat Prod Res 27:648-654

Ukibe K, Katsuragi T, Tani Y, Takagi H (2008) Efficient screening for astaxanthin-overproducing

mutants of the yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* by flow cytometry. FEMS Microbiol Lett

286:241-248

Vázquez M (2001) Effect of the light on the carotenoid profiles of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*

strains (formerly *Phaffia rhodozyma*) Food Technol BIotechnol 39:123-128

Verdoes JC, Visser H, Van Ooyen AJJ (2003) Metabolic engineering of the carotenoid

biosynthetic pathway in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* (*Phaffia rhodozyma*) in non-

conventional yeasts in genetics, biochemistry and biotechnology. Springer

Villegas-Méndez MÁ, Papadaki A, Pateraki C, Balagurusamy N, Montañez J, Koutinas AA,

Morales-Oyervides L (2021) Fed-bath bioprocess development for astaxanthin production by

Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous based on the utilization of *Prosopis* sp. pods extract. Biochem

Eng J 166

Visser H, van Ooyen AJJ, Verdoes JC (2003) Metabolic engineering of astaxanthin-biosynthetic

pathway of *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*. FEMS Yeast Res

Wagner JM, Alper HS (2016) Synthetic Biology and Molecular Genetics in non-conventional

yeasts: current tools and future advances. FG & B 89:126-136

Wangs J, Liu S, Wang H, Xiao S, Li C, Li Y, Liu B (2019) *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*-derived

astaxanthin regulates lipid metabolism and gut microbiota in obese mice induced by a high-fat

diet. Mar Drugs 17

Wen Z, Zhang S, Odoh CK, Jin M, Zhao ZK (2020) *Rhodosporidium toruloides* – a potential red

yeast chassis for lipids and beyond. FEMS Yeast Res 20

Wery J, Gutker D, Renniers ACHM, Verdoes JC, van Ooyen AJJ (1997) High copy number

integration into the ribosomal DNA of the yeast *Phaffia rhodozyma*. Gene 184:89-97

Wery J, Verdoes JC, van Ooyen AJJ (1998) Efficient transformation of the astaxanthin-producing

yeast *Phaffia rhodozyma*. Biotechnol Techn 12:399-405

Yaguchi A, Rives D, Blenner M (2017) New kids on the block: emerging oleaginous yeast of

biotechnological importance. AIMS Microbiol 3:227-247

Yamamoto K, Hara KY, Morita T, Nishimura A, Sasaki D, Ishii J, Ogino C, Kizaki N, Kondo A

(2016) Enhancement of astaxanthin production in *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous* by efficient

method for the complete deletion of genes. Microb Cell Fact 15:155

Yin C, Yang S, Liu X, Yan H (2013) Efficient extraction of astaxanthin from *Phaffia rhodozyma*

with polar and non-polar solvents after acid washing. Chin J Chem Eng 21:776-780

Young EM, Zhao Z, Gielesen BEM, Wu L, Gordon DB, Roubos JA, Voigt CA (2018) Iterative

algorithm-guided design of massive strain libraries applied to itaconic acid production in yeast.

Metab Eng 48:33-43

Yu Zenzhong, Fischer R (2019) Light sensing and responses in fungi. Nat Rev Microbiol 17:25-

36

Zahrl RJ, Peña DA, Mattanovich D, Gasser B (2017) Systems biotechnology for protein

production in *Pichia pastoris*. FEMS Yeast Res

Zeevi D, Lubliner S, Lotan-Pompan M, Hodis E, Vesterman R, Weinberger A, Segal E (2014)

Molecular dissection of the genetic mechanisms that underlie expression conservation in

orthologous yeast ribosomal promoters. Genome Res 24:1991-1999

Zhang C, Chen X, Too HP (2020) Microbial astaxanthin biosynthesis: recent achievements,

challenges, and commercialization outlook. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 104:5725-5737

Zhang J, Li QR, Zhang MH, You Y, Wang Y, Wang YH (2019) Enhancement of carotenoid

biosynthesis in *Phaffia rhodozyma* PR106 under stress conditions. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem

83:2375-2385