1

Identification of Core Yeast Species and Microbe-Microbe Interactions Impacting Larval Growth of *Drosophila* in the Wild

Authors

Ayumi Mure[1], Yuki Sugiura[2], Rae Maeda[2], Kohei Honda[1], Nozomu Sakurai[3], Yuuki Takahashi[1], Masayoshi Watada[4], Toshihiko Katoh[1], Aina Gotoh[1], Yasuhiro Gotoh[5], Itsuki Taniguchi[5], Keiji Nakamura[5], Tetsuya Hayashi[5], Takane Katayama[1], Tadashi Uemura[1,6,7], and Yukako Hattori[1,8*]

Affiliations

[1] Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[2] Center for Cancer Immunotherapy and Immunobiology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[3] National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan

[4] Graduate School of Science and Engineering., Ehime University, Matsuyama, Japan.

[5] Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

[6] Center for Living Systems Information Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[7] AMED-CREST, Tokyo, Japan.

[8] JST FOREST, Tokyo, Japan.

[*] Correspondence: yhattori@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp (Y.H.)

ABSTRACT

Microbiota consisting of various fungi and bacteria have a significant impact on the physiological functions of the host. However, it is unclear which species are essential to this impact and how they affect the host. This study analyzed and isolated microbes from natural food sources of *Drosophila* larvae, and investigated their functions. *Hanseniaspora uvarum* is the predominant yeast responsible for larval growth in the earlier stage of fermentation. As fermentation progresses, *Acetobacter orientalis* emerges as the key bacterium responsible for larval growth, although yeasts and lactic acid bacteria must coexist along with the bacterium to stabilize this host-bacterial association. By providing nutrients to the larvae in an accessible form, the microbiota contributes to the upregulation of various genes that function in larval cell growth and metabolism. Thus, this study elucidates the core microbial species that support animal growth under microbial transition.

INTRODUCTION

In nature, animals live in association with a diverse community of microorganisms. These associated microbes, especially fungi and bacteria, perform a range of beneficial functions for their host, such as nutrient provision and immune modulation (Ikeda-Ohtsubo et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020). Some of these host-microbe associations are facultative and dispensable, while others are more important or even essential for host growth or survival under specific circumstances. Compared to symbioses between a limited number of specific species (Shigenobu & Wilson, 2011; Su et al., 2022), however, those that encompass a larger number of species are intricate and analytically challenging. Additionally, despite a growing number of reports documenting the presence of fungi in the mammalian intestine, including humans, our comprehension of their roles is still limited, with the exception of a handful of pathogenic fungi (Hallen-Adams & Suhr, 2017; Pérez, 2021). Moreover, the composition of these complex microbiota tends to change over time (Moya & Ferrer, 2016; Qiu et al., 2021). Therefore, we focused on how the host sustains its life processes in such unstable environments.

Drosophila melanogaster has made remarkable contributions to our comprehension of the regulatory mechanisms governing development, growth, and metabolism, which are highly conserved among animals. More recently, the fly has emerged as a valuable model for investigating animal-associated microbes. The microbiota associated with *Drosophila* comprise relatively few species, most of which can be cultured aerobically (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012; Grenier & Leulier, 2020; Lee & Brey, 2013). Furthermore, germ-free or gnotobiotic animals can be easily prepared (Ludington & Ja, 2020). The aforementioned advantages of analysis enabled a thorough exploration of the role of *Drosophila*-associated microbes. Notably, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and acetic acid bacteria (AAB) demonstrate the ability to enhance larval growth under nutrient scarcity (Shin et al., 2011; Storelli et al., 2011). However, most researchers have examined only a single species or a limited number of bacteria. Additionally, most previous studies did not investigate the association with yeasts. Possibly, this is because live yeasts are either absent or present in very small quantities, due to antifungal agents added to the *Drosophila* laboratory foods. Instead of live yeasts, the foods typically contain heat-killed budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a major nutrient source, but this yeast species rarely coexists with *Drosophila* in the wild (Hoang et al., 2015). Consequently, the relationship between *Drosophila* and its associated microorganisms has often been classified as facultative (Gallo et al., 2022; Martino et al., 2018), and the role played by associated fungi has been largely overlooked.

In the wild, the presence of microbes is crucial for the developmental growth of *Drosophila* larvae that feed on fruit-based food sources. *D. melanogaster* in its natural habitat feeds on fruits fermented by its associated microbes (Watanabe et al., 2019). Germ-free larvae cannot grow on fresh fruits alone, while inoculation with certain species of yeasts or bacteria promotes pupariation (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Pais et al., 2018). These findings suggest that, in the wild, microbes provide vital nutrients for larval growth. While the bacterial roles have been extensively investigated, few reports have focused on yeasts; some studies described interspecies variation of yeasts with regard to their effects on larval growth (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Solomon et al., 2019), but the underlying mechanisms have not been thoroughly explored. We therefore set out to address the following questions: (1) which species play a central role in food microbiota? (2) what are the essential traits that these species possess? (3) what microbe-derived nutrients are necessary for host growth?

To address these questions, we sampled fermented bananas that had been fed upon by wild *Drosophila* species. We collected the foods at two different timepoints, referred to as "early-stage" and "late-stage" foods, and demonstrated a dramatic shift in fungal and bacterial taxonomic compositions during fermentation. Regarding fungi, we observed that yeasts predominated in both stages, but the dominant species changed between the stages. Among bacterial species, Enterobacteriales accounted for a large proportion in the early stage, whereas LAB and AAB dominated in the late stage. We then isolated yeast and bacterial strains from the food samples and tested their ability to support larval development on a banana agar. *Hanseniaspora uvarum*, the predominant yeast species in the early stage, was able to support larval growth by itself. In contrast, most of the late-stage microbes tested did not efficiently promote larval growth when inoculated individually. However, we found that when the acetic acid bacteria *Acetobacter orientalis* coexisted with either LAB or late-stage yeast species, it effectively promoted larval growth. Our analyses of larvae under different microbial environments, including transcriptomic analyses of first-instars, strongly suggest that *A. orientalis* is potentially able to promote larval growth, although it requires interactions with other late-stage microbes. Finally, we investigated the molecular basis underlying the distinct larval growth-promoting effects among yeast species, including the supportive *H. uvarum* from the early-stage foods and non-supportive *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris* from the late-stage foods. Surprisingly, all the yeast species strongly promoted larval growth upon heat killing. This and additional results indicate that all species produce sufficient nutrients for larval development, but larvae cannot utilize those produced by the live non-supportive species. Our metabolomic analysis and metabolite supplementation assay suggest that only the supportive yeast cells can release critical metabolites for larval growth, including branched-chain amino acids, leucine and/or isoleucine. Collectively, our findings detail the core microbial species, their interactions, and the yeast species-dependent supply of nutrients that contribute to the development of *Drosophila* larvae in nature.

RESULTS

The composition of both yeast and bacteria in the food microbiota shifts as fermentation
progresses, independently of the presence of larvae

To examine the community structure of the microbiota associated with *Drosophila* larvae in nature,
we collected and analyzed fermented foods that had been fed on by wild larvae (Figure 1A, Table
S1A). Because *D. melanogaster* and its related species are often found near human settlements,
we set traps containing freshly peeled and sliced bananas outside of our residences so that wild
flies would lay eggs on the foods. A portion of each fermented food sample was collected as "early-
stage" food, while the remaining food was incubated in the laboratory. When the larvae reached
the late third-instar stage, we collected further fermented "late-stage" food samples (refer to
MATERIALS AND METHODS for experimental definitions of early- or late-stage foods). We
performed sequencing of the fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and the bacterial 16S
rRNA region to analyze the composition of fungi and bacteria in individual samples, respectively
(Figure 1B; Tables S2A and S2B).

In most samples, yeasts consistently accounted for a major proportion of the fungal communities
(top of Figure 1B; Table S2A), as previously reported (Chandler et al., 2012). At the family level,
the compositions showed dramatic differences between the early and late stages; while
Saccharomycodaceae dominated in most of the early-stage foods, Pichiaceae, *Starmerella*, and
Saccharomycetaceae became dominant in the late-stage foods. The dominant bacteria also differed
between the two stages, with Enterobacteriales predominating at the early stage and lactic acid
bacteria (LAB) and acetic acid bacteria (AAB) predominating at the late stage (bottom of Figure
1B; Table S2B). Henceforth, we refer to the transition from early-to-late stages in microbial
composition as the microbial composition shift or simply the composition shift. In this sampling,
we obtained, by chance, a "no-fly" trap; no adult flies were caught in the trap, and no eggs, larvae,
or pupae were found in the food at either stage ("No-fly" in Figure 1B; "No-fly trap" in Tables
S2A and S2B; refer to "Collection of fermented foods and wild *Drosophila* samples" section in
MATERIALS AND METHODS for further details). We analyzed food samples from this trap for
comparison. The microbiota in the foods significantly differed from that of other samples, with a
lower percentage of yeast in the fungal community and a consistently high abundance of
Enterobacteriales in the bacterial community.

A previous study showed that the presence of larvae influences the community structures of
food microbiota (Stamps et al., 2012). Therefore, we sought to determine whether the larvae
contributed to the composition shift (Figure 1C; Table S1B). We prepared microbe-containing
suspensions using newly collected early-stage foods and introduced them to fresh bananas, with
or without germ-free embryos. We then incubated the samples and examined whether the
microbial composition shifts occurred in the bananas. Remarkably, the composition shifts occurred
similarly, irrespective of the presence of larvae, as indicated by the decreased proportions of
Saccharomycodaceae yeast and Enterobacteriales bacteria, along with the increased proportions
of Pichiaceae yeast as well as LAB and AAB (compare "Susp" with "w/o L" or "w/L" in Figure
1D; see also Tables S2C and S2D). These findings suggest that the composition shift observed in
the food microbiota is unlikely to be solely attributed to the presence of larvae but more plausibly
influenced by factors such as interspecies interactions among microbes.

Furthermore, we analyzed the microbial composition of adult flies captured in traps, as well
as the food and larval samples (Figure 1E; Table S1C). This analysis revealed a similar

composition of the yeast species in adult flies and early-stage foods, and a similar composition of
yeast species in larvae and late-stage foods (top of Figure 1F; Table S2C). However, notable
dissimilarities were observed in the bacterial compositions between the *Drosophila* samples and
the early-stage foods, primarily attributed to the conspicuous presence of *Wolbachia* sp.
(Anaplasmataceae) and *Gilliamella apicola* (Orbaceae) in the *Drosophila* samples (bottom of
Figure 1F; Table S2E). Anaplasmataceae and Orbaceae are intracellular and gastrointestinal
symbionts of insects, respectively (Kwong & Moran, 2013; Werren et al., 1995), which plausibly
accounts for their relatively lower abundance in the food samples.

In addition to the community structures, we investigated whether there were alterations in the
overall abundance of yeasts and bacteria between the early- and the late-stage foods. For this
purpose, we performed quantitative RT-PCR to quantify the copy numbers of fungal and bacterial
rDNA in each food sample presented in Figures 1B, 1D, and 1F (Figure S1). The analysis indicated
that there were no dramatic increases or decreases in copy numbers in most food samples. Note
that measuring rDNA copy numbers in the microbiome does not necessarily reflect actual cell
numbers due to variations in the genomic rDNA copy numbers among species (Lofgren et al.,
2019; Stoddard et al., 2015). Nonetheless, these results suggest that the quantities of microbes did
not undergo substantial changes between the two stages.

166 Prominent acceleration of larval development is observed with early-stage-dominant yeast
167 alone, as well as in combination with late-stage-dominant acetic acid bacteria and other
168 microbes

As described in the previous section, we documented the presence of various yeast and bacterial
species in fermented larval foods, the populations of which underwent notable compositional shifts
over time. Previous studies suggest that different yeast or bacterial species contribute to larval
development to varying degrees (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Consuegra, Grenier, Baa-Puyoulet, et
al., 2020; Pais et al., 2018; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Solomon et al., 2019). Continuing this line of
investigation, we undertook to identify which of the dominating microbial species in the early- or
late-stage foods, either individually or as mixtures, promote larval development. To this end, we
isolated fungal and bacterial strains from the food samples (refer to Table S3 and the "Isolation
and species identification of microbes" section in MATERIALS AND METHODS for further
details). We subsequently inoculated the isolated strains, either individually or in combinations,
into a sterile banana-based food (banana agar), and introduced germ-free larvae. Thereafter, we
evaluated the effects of the microbial species on the percentage and the timing of pupariation (see
details in "Quantification of larval development" in MATERIALS AND METHODS). Note that
on this food, larval development critically depends on associated microbes, as the larvae failed to
pupariate on banana agar without microbes ("germ-free (GF)" in Figure 2A and subsequent
figures).

To assess the impact of microbes found in early-stage foods on larval development, we
employed yeast strains belonging to *Hanseniaspora uvarum*, which dominated in the early-stage
foods, or *Pichia kluyveri*, which was also detected in the foods (Table S2A; also represented by
the darkest and the second darkest brown bars in the top of Figure 1B), along with a bacterial strain
of *Pantoea agglomerans*, which constituted the sole dominant bacterial genus (Table S2B; also
represented by cyan bars at the bottom of Figure 1B). We investigated whether each strain or a
combination of the strains promotes larval development (Figures 2A, 2B, S2A, and S2B). When

inoculated individually, *H. uvarum* and *Pa. agglomerans* effectively promoted larval growth ("Y_2"
and "E" in Figures 2A and 2B, respectively; "Y_1" and "E" in Figures S2A and S2B, respectively;
see also Table 1), whereas *Pi. kluyveri*, another yeast species, had almost no promoting effect ("Y_1"
in Figures 2A and 2B; "Y_2" in Figures S2A and S2B). When the strains were combined, we
observed a significantly accelerated larval development in the presence of *H. uvarum* ("1: Y_1Y_2"
and "3: Y_1Y_2E" in Figures 2A and 2B) than in its absence ("2: Y_1E" in Figures 2A and 2B). Hence,
in the early-stage foods, *H. uvarum* played a critical role in promoting larval development.

A similar feeding experiment was conducted using a total of five stocks of the late-stage
microbes (Figures 2C, 2D S2C, and S2D; Table 1): two dominating late-stage yeast species, the
most predominant LAB and AAB species (Table S2B; see also green and orange in the bottom of
Figure 1B, respectively), and *Pa. agglomerans*, which persisted from the early stage, albeit in a
smaller proportion (Table 1). When fed individually, none of the yeast or bacterial species
efficiently supported larval growth, except for *Pa. agglomerans* (lines and symbols with different
brightness of gray in Figure 2C). Conversely, when larvae were fed mixtures, their development
was almost equally efficient as long as LAB and AAB coexisted (color-coded in blues in Figure
2C). In this experiment, the coexistence effects of yeast and acetic acid bacteria were not tested.
Therefore, we repeated the experiment using only one strain from each of the most dominant yeast,
LAB, and AAB species (see the tables in Figures 2F and S2F). Larval development, in terms of
both the pupariation rate and timing, was promoted when the AAB *A. orientalis* was inoculated
together with either a yeast (*Starmerella bacillaris* or *Pi. kluyveri*) or a LAB (*Leuconostoc
mesenteroides* or *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*) (Figures 2E, 2F, S2E and S2F). This result
suggests that, in the late-stage foods, the coexistence of AAB and other microbial species, yeasts
or LAB, was critical for larval development. Additionally, we investigated the eclosion of pupae
under different microbial conditions and confirmed that a majority of the pupae successfully
eclosed, albeit with some variation observed across experiments (Figure S3).

To summarize these results, strong promotion of larval development was observed when the
larvae were associated with an early-stage yeast *H. uvarum*, or a combination of *A. orientalis* and
other late-stage microbes.

**During the late stage, acetic acid bacteria play a crucial role in supporting larval
development through interspecies interactions among the microbes**

To investigate how larvae respond to the different microbial conditions, we conducted whole-body
RNA-seq of gnotobiotic first-instar larvae that were subjected to a 15-hour feeding period on
banana agar (Table S4). The agar was either kept sterile or inoculated with yeast *H. uvarum*, LAB,
or AAB individually, or a combination of LAB and AAB (Figure 3A-D). Remarkably distinct gene
expression profiles were observed between the "supportive" conditions, where larvae efficiently
pupariated in the previous experiments ("Yeast" and "LAB+AAB" in Figure 3A), and the "non-
supportive" conditions, where larval development was markedly impaired ("LAB" and "GF" in
Figure 3A). Notably, a multitude of genes involved in metabolism and cell growth displayed
significant upregulation in response to the AAB and LAB mixtures when compared to LAB alone
(Figures 3B, 3C, S4A, and S4B). Moreover, differentially expressed genes under the supportive
and the non-supportive conditions exhibited strikingly similar profiles to those reported in a
previous investigation of fed and starved conditions (Zinke et al., 2002; Figure 3D).

Interestingly, although larvae fed on AAB alone largely failed to pupariate ("A" in Figures
2C-2F), their gene expression profile after the 15-hr feeding period closely resembled that of the
supportive conditions (compare "AAB" with "Yeast" and "LAB+AAB" in Figure 3A). This result
implies that AAB possessed the ability to induce a growth-promoting response, but the effect likely
did not persist until pupariation. We observed that the growth rate of AAB on banana agar was
notably lower compared to those of other microbes. This led us to speculate that this lower growth
rate might result in a shortage of AAB, leading to undernutrition during the later stage of larval
development. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether larval development could recover
when AAB was constantly available. Daily supplementation of AAB enabled larvae to pupariate
as effectively as the initial co-inoculation of AAB and LAB did (Figures 3E and 3F), demonstrating
that AAB can promote larval growth if it is available throughout the course of development.

The aforementioned observations have prompted us to assume that yeast and LAB contribute
to the stable coexistence of AAB and larvae. To investigate this hypothesis, we raised larvae on a
diet containing AAB either alone or in conjunction with the other species. After a 4-day incubation,
we quantified the abundance of AAB in each tube, including those in the food and those inside the
larval body. In most of the combinations, co-inoculation with other species led to a 5-19 fold
increase in the number of AAB colonies compared to the monoculture conditions (Figures 3G and
3H). These findings suggest that AAB plays a crucial role in providing nutrients during the late
stages of larval development, while other microbial species support a stable association between
AAB and larvae.

Thus, none of AAB, LAB, or the yeast in the late-stage foods strongly promoted larval growth
individually, while mixing AAB with LAB or the yeasts did (Figures 2C-2F and S2C-S2F). All of
our results so far strongly suggest that these interspecies interactions among the microbes underlie
the promotion of larval growth by late-stage microbiota.

The isolated yeast species promote varying degrees of larval development, but all support
larval development upon heat killing

We found that the early-stage yeast *H. uvarum* facilitated larval development, whereas the
dominant yeasts in the late stage, *Pi. kluyveri* and *St. bacillaris*, did not (Figure 2A-2D and S2A-
S2D). To elucidate the underlying mechanisms behind these diverse outcomes, we conducted
comprehensive comparative analyses with the respective yeasts. We used six yeast species that
originated from the fermented foods (*Kazachstania humilis*, *Martiniozyma asiatica*, and
Saccharomycopsis crataegensis in addition to the aforementioned three species) and a laboratory
strain of the model species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which was not detected in our food samples
(Figure 4).

When we provided each species to germ-free larvae, the larvae fed on *H. uvarum* showed the
highest percentage of pupariation, while larvae fed on *K. humilis* or *M. asiatica* also pupariated at
relatively high rates, albeit with a slower timing for *M. asiatica* (Figures 4A and 4B). We classified
these three species as the "supportive" yeast species. In contrast, larvae fed on *Pi. kluyveri* or *St.*
bacillaris, which are the dominant species during the late stage, showed notably low percentage
of pupariation, leading to their classification as "non-supportive" (Figures 4A and 4B). Inoculation
with *Saccharomycopsis crataegensis* or an laboratory strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741
resulted in reduced percentages and delayed timing of pupariation compared to the inoculation

with supportive species, thus earning the designation of "mild." These results underscore the
variable abilities of individual yeast species to promote larval development.

To investigate the response of larvae to different yeast species, we conducted whole-body
RNA-seq analysis of larvae (Table S5). The overall findings closely resembled those obtained
from various bacterial species (Figures 4C-4F, S4C, and S4D; cf. Figures 3A-3D, S4A, and S4B).
Gene expression profiles exhibited marked differences between larvae fed on the supportive yeasts
and those fed on the non-supportive yeasts, with intermediate responses observed in larvae fed on
the mild yeasts (Figure 4C). Feeding on the supportive yeasts upregulated genes involved in
metabolism and cell growth (Figures 4D and 4E), and the expression profiles under the supportive
and the non-supportive yeast diets resembled those observed in fed and starved conditions,
respectively (Figure 4F).

One potential factor determining the ability of larvae to develop on specific yeast species
might be the production or secretion of adequate nutrients for larval growth. To assess whether
each yeast species produced sufficient essential nutrients internally, we administered heat-killed
yeasts to the larvae. Somewhat surprisingly, strong growth enhancement was observed in all seven
yeast species upon heat killing, with *Pi. kluyveri* and *St. bacillaris* promoting larval development
nearly as effectively as the supportive yeasts (Figures 4G and 4H). We further explored the effect
of killing the yeasts using banana agar supplemented with antifungal agents (10 mL/L 10% p-
hydroxy-benzoic acid in 70% ethanol and 6 mL/L propionic acid, following the concentration
described in Kanaoka et al., 2023), and observed similar growth promotion by *Pi. kluyveri* and *St.
bacillaris* (data not shown). This finding suggests that all of these yeasts do indeed produce the
requisite nutrients for larval development; however, it is likely that the nutrients produced by the
live non-supportive yeasts are inaccessible to larvae (further analyzed in the subsequent section).

We also considered the possibility that the non-supportive yeasts somehow inhibited the host
growth. To test this possibility, we cultured the yeast species on a nutrient-rich sterile medium and
fed them to the larvae (Figure 4I and 4J). This medium contains dry yeast and enables larvae to
pupariate even without live yeasts ("GF" in Figure 4I and 4J). Under these conditions, larvae fed
with *St. bacillaris* pupariated as efficiently and nearly as rapidly as those without yeasts or with
other yeast species, suggesting that *St. bacillaris* did not impede larval growth (Figures 4I and 4J).
In contrast, larvae fed with *Pi. kluyveri* exhibited a significantly reduced percentage of pupariation
compared to larvae grown with the other yeast species or without yeasts. This could be related to
the extensive growth of *Pi. kluyveri* on this food (Figure S4E). Nevertheless, none of these yeast
species reproduced the low percentage of pupariation of larvae observed on banana agar. Therefore,
the inhibitory effects of *Pi. kluyveri* and *St. bacillaris* are less likely.

**313   Supportive yeasts facilitate larval growth by producing nutrients, including branched-chain
amino acids, and releasing them from their cells**

Given that the non-supportive yeast species supported larval growth upon heat-killing, we
hypothesized that the key distinction between the supportive and the non-supportive species lies
in their ability to release nutrients contained within the cells. To test this hypothesis, we conducted
a metabolomic analysis of the two supportive species (*H. uvarum* and *K. humilis*) and the two non-
supportive species (*Pi. kluyveri* and *St. bacillaris*) and analyzed not only the yeast cells but also

two additional samples anticipated to contain metabolites released from the cells: yeast-
conditioned banana-agar plates and cell suspension supernatants. (Figure 5A-5F, Table S6).

In the yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates, which were anticipated to contain yeast-derived
nutrients, many well-known nutrients included in a chemically defined synthetic (holidic) medium
for *Drosophila melanogaster* (Piper et al., 2014, 2017) were not increased compared to the sterile
banana-agar plates; instead, they exhibited drastic decreases irrespective of the yeast species
(Figures 5A and 5B). The quantities of metabolites within the cells varied markedly among the
species (Figures 5C and 5D); while the supportive *H. uvarum* contained numerous known
metabolites, it was intriguing that *K. humilis*, another supportive species, did not possess as many
essential nutrients as other species, including the non-supportive *Pi. kluyveri* and *St. bacillaris*
(Figure 5D). This result, in conjunction with the observations from feeding heat-killed yeasts
(Figure 4G and 4H), raises the possibility that the interspecies disparities in metabolites within
living yeast cells do not directly influence larval growth. On the other hand, our analysis of the
cell suspension supernatant revealed distinct variations in the known nutrients in the holidic
medium between the supportive and non-supportive species (Figures 5E and 5F). Among these,
we focused on branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs), leucine and isoleucine (Figure 5F). Previous
studies have demonstrated that bacteria associated with *Drosophila* provide these essential amino
acids to the hosts feeding on artificial diets lacking them (Consuegra, Grenier, Akherraz, et al.,
2020; Henriques et al., 2020; B. Kim et al., 2021). In our analysis, suspension supernatants of
supportive yeasts had concentrations of both leucine and isoleucine that were at least four-fold
higher than those of non-supportive yeasts (Figures 5F-5H; see also Table S6B).

The above finding prompted us to explore whether leucine and isoleucine are supplied by the
associated supportive yeasts, similar to bacterial symbiosis. To investigate this, we supplemented
banana agar with these BCAAs and inoculated it with the non-supportive yeasts, subsequently
evaluating the restoration of larval development (Figures 6A and 6B). Remarkably, the
supplementation of BCAAs elicited a significant improvement in larval development in the
presence of the non-supportive yeasts, while it had no effect on larvae fed with the supportive
yeasts (Figures 6A and 6B). At 12 days after egg laying, a significant increase was observed in the
proportion of individuals that had progressed to the second instar or later stages (Figure 6B). These
results suggest that the lack of BCAAs in bananas inoculated with the non-supportive yeast species
is one of the causes of larval growth deficiency. Additionally, we explored the conservation of the
biosynthetic pathways responsible for leucine and isoleucine among the isolated yeast strains. We
performed *de novo* genome assembly and annotation for the six yeast species and examined the
presence of orthologs (Table S7). This analysis, along with a subsequent RNA-seq analysis of the
yeast species, revealed the conservation of the biosynthetic pathways of these amino acids across
all the isolated yeasts (Figure 6C; Table S8). The result of this genomic analysis also strengthens
the possibility that differences in the ability of yeasts to support larvae do not stem from variances
in their ability to biosynthesize BCAAs, but rather in their ability to provide BCAAs in an available
form to the larvae.

We noted, however, that the supplementation of BCAAs alone did not completely restore the
growth of larvae that fed on the non-supportive yeasts. Despite attempts to enhance growth by
increasing the BCAA concentration five- or ten-fold, no improvement was observed (data not
shown). We also supplemented the banana agar with other metabolites, including nicotinic acid
and/or lysine and asparagine, which were detected in higher amounts within the suspension
supernatants of the supportive yeasts, individually or in combination with the BCAAs. None of

these additions had any effect on larval growth (data not shown). These results suggest that other
crucial nutrients are provided by the supportive yeasts.

Regarding the mechanism of nutrient release, one possibility is their secretion from live cells,
while another possibility is their leakage from dead cells. To address the latter hypothesis, we
collected cells of the four yeast species from banana-agar plates and stained dead cells using
Phloxine B (Figures 6D-6G). Noticeably more dead cells were found in the supportive *K. humilis*
compared to other species (Figure 6E), implying that nutrients leak from dead *K. humilis* cells into
the food, which is subsequently utilized by the larvae.
373

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have shown that the composition of the microbiota shifts dramatically as fermentation progresses. Each individual microbial species plays a crucial and indispensable role in promoting host growth, either through the provision of essential nutrients or by establishing a stable association between the host and the nutrient-providing species (Figure 6H). The capacity of yeasts to facilitate larval growth seems to rely on their ability to extracellularly release essential nutrients, such as leucine and/or isoleucine, thereby making these nutrients accessible to the host. These microbial functions have effectively empowered the host to grow on a nutritionally inadequate fruit.

Drosophila has been known to co-exist with yeast and bacteria in nature (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012; Corby-Harris et al., 2007; Cox & Gilmore, 2007; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Shihata & Mrak, 1952). Our investigation reveals substantial shifts in both fungal and bacterial compositions during fermentation, which coincides with alterations in the species serving as nutrient sources. A previous study reported that *Drosophila* larvae have profound effects on the community structure of yeasts in fermented bananas (Stamps et al., 2012). Our experiment, however, suggests that the microbial composition shift observed in our food samples is unlikely to be solely attributed to the presence of larvae but is more plausibly influenced by factors such as interspecies interactions among microbes. These discrepancies may be due in part to differences in experimental conditions such as larval densities, food sample volumes, or culture vessels. The initial microbial inoculation to foods is assumed to be mediated by adult flies (Broderick & Lemaitre, 2012). Our results imply that the inoculation leads to the dominance of *H. uvarum*, which can support larval growth on its own. Consequently, a microbially self-regulated transition occurred, leading to the dominance of microbial communities, including AAB, LAB, and the late-stage yeasts.

In the early stage of fermentation, both the predominant yeast and bacterial species were capable of supporting larval growth on their own. However, in stark contrast, at the late stage of fermentation, microbial interactions assumed a crucial role. While AAB provide sufficient nutritional resources to support larval growth, their growth rate on bananas is suboptimal. On the other hand, other associated microbes may lack essential nutrients for larvae but contribute to establishing a stable association between AAB and the larvae. Previous studies have reported interactions between AAB and LAB in artificial foods, where AAB act as a source of nutrients, including amino acids, while LAB provide lactic acid as a substrate for amino acid biosynthesis (Consuegra, Grenier, Akherraz, et al., 2020; Henriques et al., 2020). Our findings have demonstrated that LAB also play a supportive role in the symbiotic relationship between larvae and AAB on a fruit, a natural food source of *Drosophila* larvae. It is worth noting that interactions may also occur between the AAB and the late-stage yeasts. We observed the presence of various metabolites not only in the suspension supernatant of the supportive yeast species but also in that of the non-supportive late-stage yeast species. Therefore, the growth of AAB on fruits could be enhanced through the utilization of such metabolites by the bacterium.

In laboratory settings, yeasts are recognized and employed as important nutritional sources for *Drosophila*, providing essential nutrients such as amino acids, vitamins, and fatty acids (Baumberger, 1917; Broderick & Lemaitre, 2012). In our study, we have demonstrated that during larval growth on their natural food sources, the associated yeasts contribute to the provision of BCAAs, leucine and/or isoleucine. Of these two amino acids, the availability of leucine acts as an effective regulatory signal for the mTORC1 pathway, as established in both mammals and *Drosophila* (Fox et al., 1998; Gu et al., 2022; J. Kim & Guan, 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). The

mTORC1 pathway governs metabolism and cell growth in response to nutritional conditions.
Indeed, the expression levels of numerous genes involved in these processes exhibited significant
up- or down-regulation in larvae fed on supportive microbes compared to those fed on non-
supportive microbes or germ-free individuals. Nonetheless, supplementing these branched-chain
amino acids (BCAAs) alone did not fully restore larval growth, suggesting that there should be
other key nutrients. Our metabolomic analysis did not encompass some known metabolites,
including lipids. Among these, sterols, substrates for ecdysone biosynthesis, are indispensable for
larval growth. However, sterols are unlikely to be lacking in the fermented bananas. In our
experiments, *Pa. agglomerans* and *A. orientalis* did promote larval growth, but most bacteria,
including the two aforementioned species, lack the steroid biosynthesis pathway (Hoshino &
Gaucher, 2021). Therefore, larvae can grow on bananas without bacterial-derived sterols.
Deficiency in other lipids is also unlikely, because larvae can grow on the holidic medium for *D.*
melanogaster that contains cholesterol as a sole lipid component, and the developmental delay on
the medium is rescued by a "critical element" which is "not a lipid" (Piper et al., 2014). Therefore,
microbial nutrients that promote larval growth, whose functions have not yet been identified in
this study, may be components other than lipids.

Despite the well-recognized importance of yeast as a source of nutrients, limited studies have
reported the detailed process of how these nutrients are utilized by larvae. Our results suggest that
for yeasts to serve as nutritional sources, they should not only produce the nutrients, but also
release them outside the cells. The amount of nutrients released extracellularly varied among yeast
species, which could be explained by varying abilities to secrete metabolites. Another possibility
suggested for *K. humilis* was that this species is more prone to death on the culture medium, thus
releasing more nutrients. Our metabolomic analysis of yeast-conditioned culture medium also
suggested that the released nutrients do not accumulate in the medium but are likely to be
eventually taken up and utilized by the yeast themselves. Further investigation is required to
elucidate the nutritional dependence and competition between yeast and larvae.

Our results indicate that yeast cells are not necessarily digested in the larval gut, which might
contradict previous reports. For instance, vegetative cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have been
reported to be digested in the gut of adult flies (Coluccio et al., 2008). However, the digestibility
of yeast may vary depending on the species of yeast, diet composition, or developmental stage of
the host. Indeed, a study has discussed the possibility that *St. bacillaris* is not digested in the larval
gut (Solomon et al., 2019). In our study, by focusing on the non-model yeast species associated
with wild flies, we revealed part of the mechanism by which wild *Drosophila* larvae utilize yeast
as a nutrient source.

To date, the majority of studies on animal-associated yeasts have focused on a limited number
of specific species that are either pathogenic or employed for fermenting foods. In this study, we
have examined the species composition of yeasts that make up the symbiotic mycobiota of
Drosophila and analyzed the roles of each predominant species by investigating their interactions
with bacteria as well as with the host. To obtain a comprehensive and detailed understanding of
the function of animal microbiota, future studies should further explore the contribution of
associated yeasts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila melanogaster strains and culture

D. melanogaster Canton-Special (E-10002) strain was obtained from EHIME-Fly *Drosophila* Stocks of Ehime University. This strain was used for all the experiments unless otherwise noted. *Cg-GAL4* was a gift from H. Asha (Asha et al., 2003). The stocks were reared on a laboratory standard diet as previously described (Watanabe et al., 2017).

Yeast-based nutrient-rich diet was prepared following the instructions at Bloomington Stock Center (https://bdsc.indiana.edu/information/recipes/germanfood.html). Reagents used are described in Kanaoka et al., 2023. The preservatives (propionic acid and 10% p-hydroxy-benzoic acid methyl ester) were omitted.

Generation of germ-free larvae

Germ-free animals were prepared as previously described (Watanabe et al., 2019) with minor alterations. Briefly, embryos were collected on apple agar plates topped with yeast paste, and were incubated at 25°C for 12-15 or 14.5-17.5 h. They were subsequently dechorionated in 50% bleach, followed by washes with sterile water, 70% ethanol, and sterile water once more. The embryos were placed on sterile agar plates and incubated at 25°C until newly hatched larvae were obtained.

Collection of fermented foods and wild *Drosophila* samples

Fermented food samples were collected using traps placed outdoors, near the authors' apartments or houses in Kyoto and Osaka prefectures, Japan, or outside the laboratory at Kyoto University. Within each trap made from an empty milk carton, ripening bananas, freshly peeled and cut into small pieces, were placed in a sterile 100 mL centrifuge tube (Iwaki). 2.5 days later, wild flies were found in all traps except for one (no-fly trap). The traps were collected, and 3-5 mL of the foods (early-stage foods) were sampled. The remaining foods were incubated at 25°C, and 4-5 days later, when late 3rd instar larvae were seen in all foods except for the one from the no-fly trap, the foods were collected (late-stage). At the first sampling, we added germ-free embryos of GFP-expressing *D. melanogaster* laboratory strain (*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*) to obtain *D. melanogaster* larvae that were reared in the fermented food, but we could not sample them because most animals apparently drowned in the juice that seeped out of the fermented bananas.

To obtain early-stage-food suspensions, newly obtained early-stage foods were crushed in PBS, and after vigorous mixing, the liquid was collected carefully without taking banana, embryos, or larvae. 200 μL of this microbial suspension (or sterile PBS for Blank) was added to 100 mL tubes containing fresh ripening banana with or without ~200 germ-free embryos (*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*). The samples were incubated at 25°C for 4 days. Late-stage foods were collected as described above. Larvae collected from the food were examined under a fluorescent microscope to make sure they were derived from the embryos we added and not from contaminating wild embryos. When sterile PBS was added to bananas instead of microbial suspensions in Figure 1C, the food showed no apparent change in appearance or odor during the 4-day incubation, and larvae in the food remained at the first instar stage. The amounts of microbes detected in such foods were

less than those obtained for the food inoculated with the microbial suspension by 2-4 orders of
magnitude ("Blank" in Figure S1C and S1D; see details in the legend)."

All the food samples were collected after removing embryos and larvae, and snap-frozen.
Larvae were surface-sterilized using the same procedure as embryo samples before snap-freezing.
Adult flies in the traps were collected following different procedures depending on whether their
microbiota were analyzed. When the samples were solely utilized for species identification, the
whole bodies were stored in 100% or 70% ethanol. When we also required samples for microbial
analysis, after washing the whole bodies in 70% ethanol, the head, external genitalia, and wings
of each fly were removed and stored in 70% ethanol and subsequently used to identify the species.
The rest of the body was snap-frozen and later used for the microbial composition analysis. Adult
flies collected in each sampling are listed in Table S1.

All tools were sterilized prior to use. Frozen samples were stored at -80°C. Adult or larval
samples collected from a single trap or food, respectively, were stored as a pool.

ITS or 16S rDNA sequencing analysis

Food, larval, or adult samples were freeze-dried for 2-3 days, followed by homogenization with 5
mm and 3 mm zirconium beads. Microbial DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini
Kit (QIAGEN).

Library preparation, sequencing, and data analyses were performed by Macrogen Japan
(Tokyo, Japan). For fungal analysis, the ITS region was amplified with primers ITS3 (5'-
TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3')
and ITS4 (5'-
GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3').
For bacterial analysis, the V3–V4 region of 16S rRNA was amplified with primers 341F (5'-
TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and
805R (5'-
GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-
3'). The amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (300 bp paired-end).

The paired-end reads were assembled using FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011), followed by
pre-processing and clustering using CD-HIT-OTU (clustering cutoff = 99%). Taxonomy was
assigned using QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010) using the UNITE database (Nilsson et al., 2019) for
fungal ITS, and the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database (Cole et al., 2014) for bacterial
16S rDNA (outputs can be found in Tables S2F-S2J), followed by manual correction and
reassignment, in which reads originating from *Drosophila* spp. or banana (including chloroplast)
were removed, and fungal and bacterial taxonomy was reassigned by NCBI BLAST search against
the Nucleotide collection (nr/nt) database or using the RDP sequence match tool, respectively
(Cole et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2008). Sequences with the highest query cover and identity in
blastn, or the highest S_ab score in RDP sequence match were considered as top hits. OTUs that
had no top hits with an assigned species name or with top hits that belonged to more than one
genus were marked as "Unassigned."

qRT-PCR of ITS or 16S rDNA

Quantification of fungal ITS or bacterial 16S rDNA was performed by quantitative real-time PCR with THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO). DNA extracted from fermented food or wild *Drosophila* spp. samples were diluted 10-100 fold before use. After an initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, 45 cycles of PCR were carried out: 95°C for 15 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec. ITS or 16S rDNA amplicons derived from *Hanseniaspora uvarum* or *Pantoea agglomerans*, respectively, were used for the generation of standard curves. The following primers were used:

For fungal ITS (White et al., 1990)

   ITS3: 5'-GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3'

   ITS4: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'

For bacterial 16S (Hugerth et al., 2014)

   341F: 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3'

   805R: 5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'

   Microbial rDNA copy numbers were calculated by multiplying the RT-PCR results by the respective percentages of microbial reads from ITS or 16S amplicon sequencing.

Microbial culture

Microbes were cultured on MRS, YPD, PDA, or banana agar. MRS, YPD, and PDA were prepared using MRS Broth (Merck Millipore), YPD medium (Clontech), and Potato Dextrose Broth (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, following the manufacturers' protocol. Banana agar was prepared as previously described (Anagnostou et al., 2010), with the addition of autoclaving after making the banana-agar mixture. 10% p-hydroxy-benzoic acid in 70% ethanol (prepared as described in Kanaoka et al., 2023), propionic acid (Nacalai Tesque), cycloheximide (Wako), or ampicillin (Nacalai Tesque) were added after autoclaving. Food-derived microbes were cultured at 25°C for 2 days, while *S. cerevisiae* was cultured at 30°C for 2 days before use, unless otherwise noted.

   The *S. cerevisiae* BY4741 strain was obtained from NBRP-Yeast, Japan. To investigate underlying mechanisms of differential growth-promoting ability between AAB and LAB, we attempted to use *La. plantarum*^{WJL} and a genetically engineered BCAA-producing *Lacto*^{BCAA} strain (B. Kim et al., 2021), both of which were kind gifts from W.-J. Lee. However, growth of *Lacto*^{BCAA} strain on our banana agar was too slow, which made it impossible to obtain a sufficient quantity of cells for the feeding experiments.

Isolation and species identification of microbes

Microbial strains were isolated on MRS, PDA, or banana-agar plates. The fermented foods were spread on each of these plates. Single colonies were picked and re-streaked on a plate, and after

repeating the process once more, uniform colonies were collected, suspended in MRS liquid
medium containing 40% glycerol, and stored at -80°C.

To identify the species of each strain, PCR and sequencing were performed. Microbial
colonies were directly suspended in water in PCR tubes and heated (96°C for 5 min) before the
addition of other reagents. In the cases when DNA failed to amplify, DNA was extracted using
InstaGene Matrix (BIO-RAD) according to the manufacturer's protocol. NL1/NL4 primers were
used for fungi, while 27F/1492R primers were used for bacteria (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012). The
amplicon was purified prior to sequencing using a Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System
(Promega).

Sequencing was performed using an Applied Biosystems 3130xl Genetic Analyzer, and
primers were NL1 for fungi and 518F (5'-CCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATACG-3') for bacteria
(https://www.macrogen-japan.co.jp/cap_seq_0104.php). See Table S3A for the sequencing results.

Species identification of isolated yeast strains was performed by NCBI BLAST searches
against the Nucleotide collection (nr/nt) database (Johnson et al., 2008). Sequences with Percent
Identity = 100%, E-value = 0 were considered as top hits, and the species name that appeared in
more than two top hits was used to refer to the strain. See also Table S3B for the number of the
top hits for each strain.

For bacteria, representative sequences of OTUs from the microbial composition analysis were
compared with the sequences of isolated strains, and the strain with the closest 16S rDNA sequence
was used as the strain corresponding to that OTU. See also Table S3C.

Quantification of larval development

The microbes were individually grown on banana agar twice before being suspended in PBS
(OD600 = 60). To mix multiple species, equal amounts of the suspensions prepared as described
were mixed together. 10 µL of each suspension was added to ~1.1 mL of autoclaved banana agar
in 1.5 mL tubes. Tubes were incubated at 25°C for 2 days before larvae were added. The following
procedure was performed as described in Watanabe et al., 2019, with a few modifications. Briefly,
20 germ-free larvae were added to each tube, and the tubes were kept in a moisturized incubator
(80-90% humidity) at 25°C. Pupariated individuals were removed from the tubes prior to eclosion,
and either discarded or transferred to vials for further incubation until eclosion occurred. The
number of pupae in each tube was counted until all larvae either pupariated or died. In experiments
that require daily addition of AAB, the bacterial cells (~3 mL by volume) were added daily except
for those days when the cells added the previous days were still visibly left.

The effect on larval development was quantified as the final percentage of the pupariated
individuals and timing of the pupariation. The developmental timing was quantified from the date
on which the percentage of pupariation exceeded 50% of the final percentage of pupariation. Molds
were occasionally seen on foods during incubation, in which case the tubes were removed from
the experiment. On a rare occasion when the number of samples for a single condition fell below
3, all the tubes for the condition were excluded from the results.

Sequencing and annotation of yeast genomes

To extract genomic DNA from yeast cells for genome sequencing, yeasts were cultured on MRS plates. Collected cells were treated with Zymolyase-20T (Nacalai Tesque) to remove cell walls, and DNA was extracted using QIAGEN Genomic-tip 20G kit (QIAGEN).

The genomic DNA prepared from six strains was sequenced by both Illumina and Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) technologies. For Illumina sequencing, libraries were prepared with QIAseq FX DNA Library Kit (QIAGEN) and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, CA, USA) to generate 2 x 301 bp paired-end sequence reads. MiSeq reads were trimmed using Platanus_trim (http://platanus.bio.titech.ac.jp/pltanus_trim). The thresholds for quality and read length were set at 15 and 25, respectively. After trimming, a total of 1.863 - 2.396 Gb sequence was obtained for each genome (average; 2.113 Gb). Sequence coverages estimated by GenomeScope (Vurture et al., 2017) were over 100x for all genomes (103.42x - 210.48x). For ONT sequencing, libraries were prepared with Rapid Barcoding Kit (ONT) and sequenced using the R9.4.1 flow cell with the MinION platform, followed by base-calling using Albacore ver. 2.3.3. (ONT). Adapter sequences of MinION reads were trimmed with NanoFilt (De Coster et al., 2018) or Porechop (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop), followed by filtering with a quality threshold of 10 and a length threshold of 2000. After filtering, 84,598 - 197,215 reads (765 - 4,402 Mb in total sequence length) were obtained for each genome (average; 121,913 reads and 1,035 Mb). Estimated sequence coverages by MinION reads were 63.1x - 107.3x (average; 87.9x).

For RNA-seq analysis, equal amounts of yeast cells cultured under 6 conditions (2 temperatures: 25°C and 30°C, and 3 culture plates: MRS, YPD, or banana-agar plates) were mixed and used for RNA extraction. RNA was extracted as previously described (Iida & Kobayashi, 2019) and purified using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN). Libraries for RNA-seq were prepared with NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) and sequenced on the NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina) to generate 300-base paired end sequences which were used for annotation described below. A total of 23.48-26.75M reads were obtained for each yeast (average; 25.63M reads).

Genome assembly was performed by MaSuRCA v3.2.6 (Zimin et al., 2013) using MiSeq and MinION reads. In the heterozygosity rate estimation by GenomeScope based on MiSeq reads, the *Kazachstania humilis* and *Pichia kluyveri* genomes showed higher heterozygosity rates (*K. humilis* ; 2.98% , *Pi. kluyveri*; 2.08%) while those of other genomes were 0.02% - 1,00%. In the assessment of autoannotated genome assemblies using BUSCO (Simão et al., 2015), completeness was over 86% in the four genomes other than the *H. uvarum* (64.58%) and *St. bacillaris* (75.21%) genomes. However, among the four genomes, those of *Pi. kluyveri* and *K. humilis* showed a high proportion of "Duplicated complete" genes (*K. humilis*; 27.74%, *Pi. kluyveri*; 5.17%, respectively), which was apparently due to the high heterozygosity rate of these genomes. Therefore, these two genomes were assembled using Platanus-allee v2.2.2 (Kajitani et al., 2019), and by comparing it with the MaSuRCA assemblies using GenomeMatcher (Ohtsubo et al., 2008), redundant sequences in the MaSuRCA assemblies were identified and removed from the assemblies. As the proportions of "Duplicated complete" genes estimated by BUSCO were 5.51% for the *K. humilis* genome and 0.74% for the *Pi. kluyveri* genome after removing redundant sequences, these redundant sequence-removed genome assemblies were used as the final genome assemblies of *Pi. kluyveri* and *K. humilis*.

Genome annotation was performed using FunGAP (Min et al., 2017) with the above-mentioned
RNA-seq data obtained from each yeast. Over 91% of RNA-seq reads obtained was mapped to the
final assemblies of each genome using Hisat2 (D. Kim et al., 2015). In the assessment of annotated
genomes using BUSCO, completeness was >86% for the four genomes other than those of *H.*
uvarum (65.95%) and *St. bacillaris* (76.92%). It appears thtat he low completeness of these
genomes are attributable to genome reduction. The final statuses of genome assembly and
annotation of each genome are summarized in Supplementary Table S7.

Mitochondrial sequences in each genome assembly were identified by tblastx homology search
with fungal mitochondrial sequences obtained from the RefSeq database (O'Leary et al., 2016).
When multimerized mitochondrial sequences were generated in genome assemblies, they were
trimmed to the smallest unit.

To determine gene orthology between *S. cerevisiae* and each of the isolated strains, blastp was
performed. *S. cerevisiae* protein sequence data (GCF_000146045.2_R64_protein.faa) was
downloaded from NCBI genome database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome). Reciprocal
best hits with an e-value $< 10^{-10}$ were defined as orthologs.

Quantification of AAB

Microbes were inoculated onto 200 mL of banana agar in a tube included in a Biomasher II
homogenizer kit (Funakoshi). After adding 5 germ-free larvae, the tubes were incubated at 25°C
for 4 days. 150 µL of PBS was added to the tube, and food was crushed along with the larvae in it.
More PBS was subsequently added to bring the total volume of the suspension to 700 µL. 1/5
serial dilutions were made, 50 µL of which were spread onto MRS plates supplemented with
antibiotics (10 µg/mL cycloheximide and 10 µg/mL ampicillin to inhibit the growth of yeasts and
LAB, respectively). The plates were incubated at 25°C, and 2 days later, colonies were counted to
calculate CFU.

RNA sequencing for gene expression analyses

For larval RNA-seq analysis, microbes were inoculated on banana agar as previously described
and freshly hatched germ-free larvae were added. After 15 h feeding, 20 larvae were collected and
snap-frozen. RNA extraction, sequencing, and data analysis were performed as previously
described (Kanaoka et al., 2023).

Yeast RNA was extracted as described above, and sequenced as previously described in Kanaoka
et al., 2023. Data obtained from the FunGAP analysis were used for mapping of reads obtained for
the isolated strains, and the *S. cerevisiae* S288C genome R64-1-1, retrieved from Illumina
iGenomes (https://jp.support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html) for *S.*
cerevisiae BY4741.

For the heatmaps in Figures 3A and 4C, heatmap.2 in the gplots (Warnes et al., 2022) package
of R (R Core Team, 2020) was used. Database for annotation, visualization and integrated
discovery (DAVID) Functional Annotation Chart (Huang et al., 2009; Sherman et al., 2022) was
used to find significantly enriched GO terms or KEGG Pathways in each analysis. For comparisons
with the microarray analysis result of Zinke et al., 2002, their gene expression data of the

individuals at 12 h after feeding or starvation was used. Genes that were represented by more than
one probes were omitted. The FlyBase IDs in the data of Zinke et al., 2002 were converted to
current IDs using FlyBase ID Validator (https://flybase.org/convert/id). Only the genes that had
one-to-one correspondence with current FlyBase IDs were included in the heat maps.

Preparing samples for LC-MS analysis

The yeasts were individually grown on banana agar three times. 100 mg of cells were scraped from
the surface of the plates and snap-frozen. To prepare yeast-conditioned plates, yeasts were grown
as described and thoroughly removed by scraping; in addition, 22 mm^2 chunks of agar from the
plates (~100-160 mg) were collected and snap-frozen. 15 g/L agar plates or sterile banana-agar
plates were prepared as controls and collected using the same procedure. To prepare cell
suspension supernatants, ~250 mg of yeast cells were collected as described, and sterile PBS was
added at a ratio of 5 µL PBS per 1 mg of yeast cells. After suspending the cells, the suspensions
were centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatants were further filtered using a 0.45 µm
Millex-HA filter (Merck) to completely remove any remaining yeast cells. 700 µL of each
supernatant was collected and snap-frozen.

Each sample was suspended or diluted to 500 µL of methanol containing internal standard;
100 mM MES and 100 mM L-Met. After mixing with 250 µL of water and 400 µL of chloroform,
the samples were centrifuged and the upper layer was collected and filtered using an UltrafreeMC-
PLHCC for Metabolome Analysis column (Human Metabolome Technologies, #UFC3LCCNB-
HMT). The samples were dried completely using nitrogen gas and resuspended in water before
injection into the LC-MS system.

LC-MS/MS measurement

Cationic metabolites including amino acids and nucleosides are quantified using a triple-
quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) ion source (LCMS-
8060, Shimadzu Corporation) in the positive and negative-ESI and multiple reaction monitoring
(MRM) modes. The samples were resolved on the Discovery HS F5-3 column (2.1 mm ID × 150
mm, 3-µm particle, Sigma-Aldrich), using a step gradient with mobile phase A (0.1% formate /
water) and mobile phase B (0.1% formate /acetonitrile) at ratios of 100:0 (0–5 min), 75:25 (5–11
min), 65:35 (11–15 min), 5:95 (15–20 min) and 100:0 (20–25 min), at a flow rate of 0.25 ml min
−1 and a column temperature of 40°C.

Data are presented as peak area values for each metabolite normalized by the internal standard.
Those for the yeast-conditioned plates or cells were further normalized with sample wet weight.
Heat maps were generated by MetaboAnalystR 3.3.0. (Pang et al., 2020), using data for all of the
detected metabolites or a subset of metabolites that is included in the holidic medium of
Drosophila melanogaster (Piper et al., 2014).

Developmental progression with BCAA supplementation

Yeasts were cultured as described on autoclaved banana agar supplemented with 2.03 g/L of leucine (Nacalai Tesque) and 1.12 g/L of isoleucine (Peptide Institute), both at the concentrations included in the holidic medium with exome-matched FLYAA (Piper et al., 2017). 20 larvae were added to each tube, and after 11 days of feeding, live or dead individuals in each developmental stage were counted. Larval developmental stages were determined based on tracheal morphology, as previously described (Niwa et al., 2010). The percentage of the larvae that could not be found are indicated as "Not found."

Imaging of dead yeast cells

Microbes were collected from banana-agar plates, suspended in PBS at a concentration of ~1x 10^8 cells/mL, and incubated in 5 µg/mL Phloxine B (Wako) for 10 min at room temperature. The cells were subsequently washed twice with PBS and observed using a Nikon C1 laser scanning confocal microscope coupled to a Nikon Eclipse E-800 microscope. Note that the brightness of the images was adjusted with a gradation to achieve mostly uniform brightness, because the bottom side of the original images was darker due to microscope malfunction.

Statistical analysis

R (R Core Team, 2020) was used for all statistical analyses. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. For statistical analyses of RNA-seq data, see Tables S4, S5, and S8. See Table S9, Materials and Methods, and figure legends for analysis of other experiments.

Data availability

All sequence data obtained in this study have been deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive. The accession numbers for the data are DRR212820-DRR212838 (BioProject accession number: PRJDB9099) and DRR471830-DRR471964 (BioProject accession number: PRJDB15711).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Kondo and Y. Sando for performing RNA sequencing; M. Umeda and T. Suito for technical advice on microbial isolation and identification; K. Furuya for technical advice on yeast genomic DNA extraction and Phloxine B staining; T. Iida and T. Kobayashi for technical advice on yeast RNA extraction; J. Hejna for polishing the manuscript; H. Imai, K. Oki, and M. Futamata for technical and secretarial assistance; T. Kuraishi, A. Hori, Y. Degawa, Y. Yoshihashi, R. Niwa, T. Ito for technical advice and discussion; W.-J. Lee for providing bacterial strains; and members of the Uemura laboratory for technical advice, discussion, and kind cooperation in obtaining fermented food samples. This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS; 21K06186, 17K15039 and 16H06279 [PAGS] to Y.H., 17KT0018 to T.U., and 20J23332 to A.M.); AMED-CREST (JP18gm1110001 to T.U.); and JST FOREST Program (JPMJFR2051 to Y.H.).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Anagnostou, C., Dorsch, M., & Rohlfs, M. (2010). Influence of dietary yeasts on Drosophila melanogaster life-history traits. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 136(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00997.x

Asha, H., Nagy, I., Kovacs, G., Stetson, D., Ando, I., & Dearolf, C. R. (2003). Analysis of Ras-induced overproliferation in Drosophila hemocytes. Genetics, 163(1), 203–215.

Baumberger, J. P. (1917). The Food of Drosophila Melanogaster Meigen. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 3(2), 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.3.2.122

Broderick, N. A., & Lemaitre, B. (2012). Gut-associated microbes of Drosophila melanogaster. Gut Microbes, 3(4), 307–321. https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.19896

Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Peña, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., Huttley, G. A., Kelley, S. T., Knights, D., Koenig, J. E., Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B. D., Pirrung, M., … Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature Methods, 7(5), 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303

Chandler, J. A., Eisen, J. A., & Kopp, A. (2012). Yeast communities of diverse Drosophila species: Comparison of two symbiont groups in the same hosts. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 78(20), 7327–7336. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01741-12

Chandler, J. A., Lang, J., Bhatnagar, S., Eisen, J. A., & Kopp, A. (2011). Bacterial communities of diverse Drosophila species: Ecological context of a host-microbe model system. PLoS Genetics, 7(9), e1002272. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002272

Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., Brown, C. T., Porras-Alfaro, A., Kuske, C. R., & Tiedje, J. M. (2014). Ribosomal Database Project: Data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Research, 42(Database issue), D633–D642. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244

Coluccio, A. E., Rodriguez, R. K., Kernan, M. J., & Neiman, A. M. (2008). The Yeast Spore Wall Enables Spores to Survive Passage through the Digestive Tract of Drosophila. PLoS ONE, 3(8), e2873. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002873

Consuegra, J., Grenier, T., Akherraz, H., Rahioui, I., Gervais, H., da Silva, P., & Leulier, F. (2020). Metabolic Cooperation among Commensal Bacteria Supports Drosophila Juvenile Growth under Nutritional Stress. IScience, 23(6), 101232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101232

Consuegra, J., Grenier, T., Baa-Puyoulet, P., Rahioui, I., Akherraz, H., Gervais, H., Parisot, N., da Silva, P., Charles, H., Calevro, F., & Leulier, F. (2020). Drosophila-associated bacteria differentially shape the nutritional requirements of their host during juvenile growth. PLOS Biology, 18(3), e3000681. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000681

Corby-Harris, V., Pontaroli, A. C., Shimkets, L. J., Bennetzen, J. L., Habel, K. E., & Promislow, D. E. L. (2007). Geographical Distribution and Diversity of Bacteria Associated with Natural Populations of Drosophila melanogaster. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 73(11), 3470–3479. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02120-06

Cox, C. R., & Gilmore, M. S. (2007). Native Microbial Colonization of Drosophila melanogaster
and Its Use as a Model of Enterococcus faecalis Pathogenesis. Infection and Immunity, 75(4),
1565–1576. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01496-06

De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D. T., Cruts, M., & Van Broeckhoven, C. (2018). NanoPack:
Visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics, 34(15), 2666–2669.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty149

Fox, H. L., Pham, P. T., Kimball, S. R., Jefferson, L. S., & Lynch, C. J. (1998). Amino acid
effects on translational repressor 4E-BP1 are mediated primarily by l-leucine in isolated
adipocytes. American Journal of Physiology, 275(5), C1232–C1238.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.1998.275.5.C1232

Gallo, M., Vento, J. M., Joncour, P., Quagliariello, A., Maritan, E., Silva-Soares, N. F.,
Battistolli, M., Beisel, C. L., & Martino, M. E. (2022). Beneficial commensal bacteria promote
Drosophila growth by downregulating the expression of peptidoglycan recognition proteins.
IScience, 25(6), 104357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104357

Grenier, T., & Leulier, F. (2020). How commensal microbes shape the physiology of Drosophila
melanogaster. Current Opinion in Insect Science, 41, 92–99.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.08.002

Gu, X., Jouandin, P., Lalgudi, P. V., Binari, R., Valenstein, M. L., Reid, M. A., Allen, A. E.,
Kamitaki, N., Locasale, J. W., Perrimon, N., & Sabatini, D. M. (2022). Sestrin mediates
detection of and adaptation to low-leucine diets in Drosophila. Nature, 608(7921), Article 7921.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04960-2

Hallen-Adams, H. E., & Suhr, M. J. (2017). Fungi in the healthy human gastrointestinal tract.
Virulence, 8(3), 352–358. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1247140

Henriques, S. F., Dhakan, D. B., Serra, L., Francisco, A. P., Carvalho-Santos, Z., Baltazar, C.,
Elias, A. P., Anjos, M., Zhang, T., Maddocks, O. D. K., & Ribeiro, C. (2020). Metabolic cross-
feeding in imbalanced diets allows gut microbes to improve reproduction and alter host
behaviour. Nature Communications, 11(1), 4236. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18049-9

Hoang, D., Kopp, A., & Chandler, J. A. (2015). Interactions between Drosophila and its natural
yeast symbionts-Is Saccharomyces cerevisiae a good model for studying the fly-yeast
relationship? PeerJ, 3, e1116. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1116

Hoshino, Y., & Gaucher, E. A. (2021). Evolution of bacterial steroid biosynthesis and its impact
on eukaryogenesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118(25), e2101276118.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101276118

Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., & Lempicki, R. A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of
large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature Protocols, 4(1), Article 1.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211

Hugerth, L. W., Wefer, H. A., Lundin, S., Jakobsson, H. E., Lindberg, M., Rodin, S., Engstrand,
L., & Andersson, A. F. (2014). DegePrime, a program for degenerate primer design for broad-
taxonomic-range PCR in microbial ecology studies. Applied and Environmental Microbiology,
80(16), 5116–5123. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01403-14

Iida, T., & Kobayashi, T. (2019). RNA Polymerase I Activators Count and Adjust Ribosomal
RNA Gene Copy Number. Molecular Cell, 73(4), 645–654.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.029

Ikeda-Ohtsubo, W., Brugman, S., Warden, C. H., Rebel, J. M. J., Folkerts, G., & Pieterse, C. M.
J. (2018). How Can We Define "Optimal Microbiota?": A Comparative Review of Structure and
Functions of Microbiota of Animals, Fish, and Plants in Agriculture. Frontiers in Nutrition, 5, 90.

Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., & Madden, T. L. (2008).
NCBI BLAST: A better web interface. Nucleic Acids Research, 36(Web Server issue), W5-9.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201

Kajitani, R., Yoshimura, D., Okuno, M., Minakuchi, Y., Kagoshima, H., Fujiyama, A.,
Kubokawa, K., Kohara, Y., Toyoda, A., & Itoh, T. (2019). Platanus-allee is a de novo haplotype
assembler enabling a comprehensive access to divergent heterozygous regions. Nature
Communications, 10(1), 1702. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09575-2

Kanaoka, Y., Onodera, K., Watanabe, K., Hayashi, Y., Usui, T., Uemura, T., & Hattori, Y.
(2023). Inter-organ Wingless/Ror/Akt signaling regulates nutrient-dependent hyperarborization
of somatosensory neurons. ELife, 12, e79461. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.79461

Kim, B., Kanai, M. I., Oh, Y., Kyung, M., Kim, E.-K., Jang, I.-H., Lee, J.-H., Kim, S.-G., Suh,
G. S. B., & Lee, W.-J. (2021). Response of the microbiome–gut–brain axis in Drosophila to
amino acid deficit. Nature, 593(7860), Article 7860. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03522-2

Kim, D., Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. Nature Methods, 12(4), 357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317

Kim, J., & Guan, K.-L. (2019). MTOR as a central hub of nutrient signalling and cell growth.
Nature Cell Biology, 21(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0205-1

Kwong, W. K., & Moran, N. A. (2013). Cultivation and characterization of the gut symbionts of
honey bees and bumble bees: Description of Snodgrassella alvi gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of
the family Neisseriaceae of the Betaproteobacteria, and Gilliamella apicola gen. nov., sp. nov., a
member of Orbaceae fam. nov., Orbales ord. nov., a sister taxon to the order 'Enterobacteriales'
of the Gammaproteobacteria. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary
Microbiology, 63(Pt_6), 2008–2018. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.044875-0

Lee, W.-J., & Brey, P. T. (2013). How microbiomes influence metazoan development: Insights
from history and Drosophila modeling of gut-microbe interactions. Annual Review of Cell and
Developmental Biology, 29(1), 571–592. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-
122333

Lofgren, L. A., Uehling, J. K., Branco, S., Bruns, T. D., Martin, F., & Kennedy, P. G. (2019).
Genome-based estimates of fungal rDNA copy number variation across phylogenetic scales and
ecological lifestyles. Molecular Ecology, 28(4), 721–730. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14995

Ludington, W. B., & Ja, W. W. (2020). Drosophila as a model for the gut microbiome. PLOS
Pathogens, 16(4), e1008398. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008398

Magoč, T., & Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve
genome assemblies. Bioinformatics, 27(21), 2957–2963.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507

Martino, M. E., Joncour, P., Leenay, R., Gervais, H., Shah, M., Hughes, S., Gillet, B., Beisel, C.,
& Leulier, F. (2018). Bacterial Adaptation to the Host's Diet Is a Key Evolutionary Force
Shaping Drosophila-Lactobacillus Symbiosis. Cell Host & Microbe, 24(1), 109–119.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.06.001

Min, B., Grigoriev, I. V., & Choi, I.-G. (2017). FunGAP: Fungal Genome Annotation Pipeline
using evidence-based gene model evaluation. Bioinformatics, 33(18), 2936–2937.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx353

Moya, A., & Ferrer, M. (2016). Functional Redundancy-Induced Stability of Gut Microbiota
Subjected to Disturbance. Trends in Microbiology, 24(5), 402–413.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.02.002

Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K.-H., Taylor, A. F. S., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T. S., Schigel,
D., Kennedy, P., Picard, K., Glöckner, F. O., Tedersoo, L., Saar, I., Kõljalg, U., & Abarenkov,
K. (2019). The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and
parallel taxonomic classifications. Nucleic Acids Research, 47(D1), D259–D264.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1022

Niwa, R., Namiki, T., Ito, K., Shimada-Niwa, Y., Kiuchi, M., Kawaoka, S., Kayukawa, T.,
Banno, Y., Fujimoto, Y., Shigenobu, S., Kobayashi, S., Shimada, T., Katsuma, S., & Shinoda, T.
(2010). Non-molting glossy / shroud encodes a short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase that
functions in the 'Black Box' of the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway. Development, 137(12),
1991–1999. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.045641

Ohtsubo, Y., Ikeda-Ohtsubo, W., Nagata, Y., & Tsuda, M. (2008). GenomeMatcher: A graphical
user interface for DNA sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics, 9(376).
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-376

O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., Rajput, B.,
Robbertse, B., Smith-White, B., Ako-Adjei, D., Astashyn, A., Badretdin, A., Bao, Y., Blinkova,
O., Brover, V., Chetvernin, V., Choi, J., Cox, E., Ermolaeva, O., … Pruitt, K. D. (2016).
Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: Current status, taxonomic expansion, and
functional annotation. Nucleic Acids Research, 44(D1), D733–D745.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189

Oyewole, O. A. (2012). Microorganisms associated with deterioration of stored banana fruits.
Frontiers in Science, 2(5), 86–91. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.fs.20120205.01

Pais, I. S., Valente, R. S., Sporniak, M., & Teixeira, L. (2018). Drosophila melanogaster
establishes a species-specific mutualistic interaction with stable gut-colonizing bacteria. PLOS
Biology, 16(7), e2005710. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005710

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Li, S., & Xia, J. (2020). MetaboAnalystR 3.0: Toward an Optimized
Workflow for Global Metabolomics. Metabolites, 10(5), Article 5.
https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10050186

Pérez, J. C. (2021). Fungi of the human gut microbiota: Roles and significance. International
Journal of Medical Microbiology, 311(3), 151490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2021.151490

Piper, M. D. W., Blanc, E., Leitão-Gonçalves, R., Yang, M., He, X., Linford, N. J., Hoddinott,
M. P., Hopfen, C., Soultoukis, G. A., Niemeyer, C., Kerr, F., Pletcher, S. D., Ribeiro, C., &

Partridge, L. (2014). A holidic medium for Drosophila melanogaster. Nature Methods, 11(1),
100–105. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2731

Piper, M. D. W., Soultoukis, G. A., Blanc, E., Mesaros, A., Herbert, S. L., Juricic, P., He, X.,
Atanassov, I., Salmonowicz, H., Yang, M., Simpson, S. J., Ribeiro, C., & Partridge, L. (2017).
Matching Dietary Amino Acid Balance to the In Silico-Translated Exome Optimizes Growth and
Reproduction without Cost to Lifespan. Cell Metabolism, 25(3), 610–621.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.005

Qiu, X., Yu, L., Wang, W., Yan, R., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., Zhu, D., & Zhu, B. (2021).
Comparative Evaluation of Microbiota Dynamics and Metabolites Correlation Between
Spontaneous and Inoculated Fermentations of Nanfeng Tangerine Wine. Frontiers in
Microbiology, 12, 649978.

Quan, A. S., & Eisen, M. B. (2018). The ecology of the drosophila-yeast mutualism in wineries.
PLOS ONE, 13(5), e0196440. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196440

R Core Team. (2020). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Sherman, B. T., Hao, M., Qiu, J., Jiao, X., Baseler, M. W., Lane, H. C., Imamichi, T., & Chang,
W. (2022). DAVID: A web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation
of gene lists (2021 update). Nucleic Acids Research, 50(W1), W216–W221.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac194

Shigenobu, S., & Wilson, A. C. C. (2011). Genomic revelations of a mutualism: The pea aphid
and its obligate bacterial symbiont. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 68(8), 1297–1309.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-011-0645-2

Shihata, A. M. E.-T. A., & Mrak, E. M. (1952). Intestinal Yeast Floras of Successive Populations
of Drosophila. Evolution, 6, 325–332. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1952.tb01428.x

Shin, S. C., Kim, S. H., You, H., Kim, B., Kim, A. C., Lee, K. A., Yoon, J. H., Ryu, J. H., &
Lee, W. J. (2011). Drosophila microbiome modulates host developmental and metabolic
homeostasis via insulin signaling. Science, 334(6056), 670–674.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212782

Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015).
BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.
Bioinformatics, 31(19), 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Solomon, G. M., Dodangoda, H., McCarthy-Walker, T. T., Ntim-Gyakari, R. R., & Newell, P. D.
(2019). The microbiota of Drosophila suzukii influences the larval development of Drosophila
melanogaster. PeerJ, 7, e8097. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8097

Stamps, J. A., Yang, L. H., Morales, V. M., & Boundy-Mills, K. L. (2012). Drosophila regulate
yeast density and increase yeast community similarity in a natural substrate. PLoS ONE, 7(7),
e42238. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042238

Stoddard, S. F., Smith, B. J., Hein, R., Roller, B. R. K., & Schmidt, T. M. (2015). rrnDB:
Improved tools for interpreting rRNA gene abundance in bacteria and archaea and a new
foundation for future development. Nucleic Acids Research, 43(D1), D593–D598.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1201

Storelli, G., Defaye, A., Erkosar, B., Hols, P., Royet, J., & Leulier, F. (2011). Lactobacillus
plantarum Promotes Drosophila Systemic Growth by Modulating Hormonal Signals through
TOR-Dependent Nutrient Sensing. Cell Metabolism, 14(3), 403–414.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.07.012

Su, Y., Lin, H.-C., Teh, L. S., Chevance, F., James, I., Mayfield, C., Golic, K. G., Gagnon, J. A.,
Rog, O., & Dale, C. (2022). Rational engineering of a synthetic insect-bacterial mutualism.
Current Biology, 32(18), 3925–3938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.07.036

Vurture, G. W., Sedlazeck, F. J., Nattestad, M., Underwood, C. J., Fang, H., Gurtowski, J., &
Schatz, M. C. (2017). GenomeScope: Fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads.
Bioinformatics, 33(14), 2202–2204. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153

Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., Liaw, A., Lumley, T.,
Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz, M., & Venables, B. (2022). gplots:
Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots

Watanabe, K., Furumizo, Y., Usui, T., Hattori, Y., & Uemura, T. (2017). Nutrient-dependent
increased dendritic arborization of somatosensory neurons. Genes to Cells, 22(1), 105–114.
https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12451

Watanabe, K., Kanaoka, Y., Mizutani, S., Uchiyama, H., Yajima, S., Watada, M., Uemura, T., &
Hattori, Y. (2019). Interspecies comparative analyses reveal distinct carbohydrate-responsive
systems among Drosophila species. Cell Reports, 28(10), 2594–2607.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.030

Werren, J. H., Windsor, D., & Guo, L. (1995). Distribution of Wolbachia among Neotropical
Arthropods. Proceedings: Biological Sciences, 262(1364), 197–204.

White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of
fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In PCR protocols: A guide to methods and
applications (Vol. 18, pp. 315–322). Academic Press.

Zhang, S., Lin, X., Hou, Q., Hu, Z., Wang, Y., & Wang, Z. (2021). Regulation of mTORC1 by
amino acids in mammalian cells: A general picture of recent advances. Animal Nutrition, 7(4),
1009–1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2021.05.003

Zheng, D., Liwinski, T., & Elinav, E. (2020). Interaction between microbiota and immunity in
health and disease. Cell Research, 30(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7

Zimin, A. V., Marçais, G., Puiu, D., Roberts, M., Salzberg, S. L., & Yorke, J. A. (2013). The
MaSuRCA genome assembler. Bioinformatics, 29(21), 2669–2677.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt476

Zinke, I., Schütz, C. S., Katzenberger, J. D., Bauer, M., & Pankratz, M. J. (2002). Nutrient
control of gene expression in Drosophila: Microarray analysis of starvation and sugar-dependent
response. The EMBO Journal, 21(22), 6162–6173. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf600

Figure 1. Mure et al.

Figure 1. The composition of both yeast and bacteria in the food microbiota shifts as
fermentation progresses, independently of the presence of larvae

(A-F) Sampling designs and results for the analyses of microbial compositions in natural food
sources of *Drosophila* (A and B), effects of larval presence on the microbial composition shift (C
and D), or microbial compositions in adult flies, larvae, and their foods (E and F). (A, C, and E)
Designs of the samplings for microbial analyses. Traps with bananas were set up outdoors near
human habitations in order to collect samples on which wild *Drosophila* spp. lay eggs. Fermented
food samples were collected from the traps at two time points: the early stage (just after trap
collection), and the late stage (when larvae in the foods developed into late 3rd instars). Collected
samples are indicated in bold letters. (C) In this sampling, the collected early-stage food was
crushed in PBS, and the liquid portion (microbial suspension) was added to fresh bananas with or
without germ-free embryos (*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*). After incubation, late-stage food and
surface-sterilized larvae were collected. (E) In addition to the food samples, adult flies in the traps
and larvae from the late-stage foods were collected. This sampling was conducted independently
from that shown in (C) and (D), although the sampling places were in common. Both adults and
larvae were surface-sterilized. Note that all of the adults in the traps at Place 7 and Place 8 were
collected, while 20 out of 37 were collected at Place 9. (B, D, and F) The relative abundances of
fungi or bacteria in the fermented banana or fly samples. The compositions were analyzed using
primer sets amplifying the fungal ITS region or bacterial 16S rDNA region. Operational taxonomic
units (OTUs) accounting for >1% were grouped by families, as shown. The ratio of those
accounting for <1% was summed and is indicated as "Others." The genera belonging to uncertain
families (incertae sedis) are indicated by their genus name in parentheses. (B) The result
corresponding to the sampling depicted in (A). The numbers on the horizontal axis indicate each
numbered sampling location. (D and F) The result corresponding to the sampling depicted in (C)
and (E), respectively. E, early-stage food; L, late-stage food; Susp, microbial suspension; w/o L,
late-stage food without larvae; w/ L, late-stage food with larvae; Lar, larvae.

Figure 2. Mure et al.

Figure 2. Prominent acceleration of larval development occurred with early-stage-dominant yeast alone, as well as in combination with late-stage-dominant acetic acid bacteria and other microbes

(A-F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on microbes detected in the early-stage food (A and B), or five (C and D) or three (E and F) microbes detected in the late-stage food from Sampling Place 1 in Figure 1B. (A, C, and E) Each data point represents the average percentage of individuals per tube that pupariated by each day. n=3-4. Colored lines are for mixed conditions, while gray lines are for mono-associated or germ-free conditions. The codes for individual species are provided in the chart at the bottom of (B), (D), and (E), respectively. (C) The color coding for the respective foods is as follows: includes yeasts only (orange); includes yeast(s) and LAB but no AAB (red); includes LAB and AAB (blue). (B, D, and F) Percentage of the larvae pupariated (pupariation ratio; upper) and the timing at which 50% of the pupariation ratio was achieved (lower). Boxes represent upper and lower quartiles, while the central lines indicate the median. Whiskers extend to the most extreme data points, which are no more than 1.5 times the interquartile range. Unique letters indicate significant differences between groups (Tukey-Kramer test, $p < 0.05$). GF, germ-free; days AEL, days after egg laying.

Figure 3. Mure et al.

Figure 3. During the late stage, acetic acid bacteria play a crucial role in supporting larval development through interspecies interactions among the microbes

(A) Heat map of gene expression values for first instar larvae fed *H. uvarum* or bacteria. Freshly hatched germ-free larvae were placed on banana agar inoculated with each microbe and collected after 15 h feeding to examine gene expression of the whole body. (B and C) Plots showing the result of GO term (B) or KEGG Pathway (C) enrichment analysis of the RNA-seq data. 10 terms/pathways that showed the smallest FDR in each analysis are shown. (D and E) Heat maps showing the similarity between our RNA-seq data and microarray data in a previous study (Zinke et al., 2002). Zinke et al. used larvae at 47-49 h AEL and fed them yeast paste or starved them for 12h before comparing the gene expression profiles. The data from Zinke et al., 2002. are labeled as "Fed/Starved" in the righthand column of each heat map. Only the genes exhibiting more than 2-fold changes in their analysis are shown. Our data were calculated using TPM of each gene in the larvae on the supportive conditions versus those on the non-supportive conditions. (E and F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of the larvae feeding on AAB on banana agar. Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n=3-4. (G and H) AAB load of foods inoculated with AAB, with or without other microbial species. Boxplots are depicted as in Figure 2 (Dunnett's test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). *La. pla*, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*; *Le. mes*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; *A. ori*, *Acetobacter orientalis*; *H. uva*, *Hanseniaspora uvarum*; *St. bac, Starmerella bacillaris*; *Pi. klu*, *Pichia kluyveri*; GF, germ-free; days AEL, days after egg laying.

Figure 4. Mure et al.

Figure 4. Isolated yeast species promote larval development to varying degrees, but all support larval development upon heat killing

(A and B) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on live yeasts on banana agar. Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n= 3-4. (C) Heat map of gene expression values for first instar larvae fed on each yeast species. Freshly hatched germ-free larvae were placed on banana agar inoculated with each microbe and collected after 15 h feeding to examine gene expression of the whole body. (D and E) Plots showing the result of GO term (D) or KEGG Pathway (E) enrichment analysis of the RNA-seq data. Genes that showed significantly higher expression on each of the supportive species than on both the non-supportive species were analyzed. 10 terms/pathways that showed the smallest FDR in each analysis are shown. (F) Heat maps showing the similarity between our RNA-seq data and microarray data in Zinke et al., 2002, shown as described in Figure 3. (G-J) The percentage and timing of pupariation of the larvae feeding on heat-killed yeasts on banana agar (H and I) or live yeasts on a nutritionally rich medium (J and K). Graphs are depicted as in Figure 2. n=3-4. *H. uva*, *Hanseniaspora uvarum*; *K. hum*, *Kazachstania humilis*; *M. asi*, *Martiniozyma asiatica*; *Sa. cra*, *Saccharomycopsis crataegensis*; *Pi. klu*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *St. bac*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; GF, germ-free; days AEL, days after egg laying.

Figure 5. Mure et al.

Figure 5. Metabolomic analysis of yeast cells, yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates, or cell
suspension supernatants

(A-F) Heat maps showing all detected metabolites (A, C, and E) or only the metabolites included
in a chemically defined synthetic (holidic) medium for *Drosophila melanogaster* (Piper et al.,
2014) (B, D, and F) detected from the metabolomic analysis of banana-agar plates (A and B), yeast
cells (C and D), or yeast-cell suspension supernatants (E and F). (G and H) Normalized peak areas
of leucine (G) and isoleucine (H) in yeast-cell suspension supernatants. Boxplots are presented as
in Figure 2.

Figure 6. Mure et al.

Figure 6. Supportive yeasts facilitate larval growth by providing nutrients, including branched-chain amino acids, by releasing them from their cells

(A and B) Growth of larvae feeding on yeasts on banana agar supplemented with leucine and isoleucine. (A) The mean percentage of the live/dead individuals in each developmental stage. n=4. (B) The percentage of larvae that developed into second instar or later stages. The "Not found" population in Figure 6A was omitted from the calculation. Each data point represents data from a single tube. Unique letters indicate significant differences between groups (Tukey-Kramer test, $p < 0.05$). (C) The biosynthetic pathways for leucine and isoleucine with *S. cerevisiae* gene names are shown. The colored dots indicate enzymes that are conserved in the six isolated species, while the white dots indicate those that are not conserved. Abbreviations of genera are given in the key in the upper right corner. LEU2 is deleted in BY4741. (D-G) Representative image of Phloxine B-stained yeasts. The right-side images are expanded images of the boxed areas. The scale bar represents 50 μm. (H) Summary of this study. *H. uvarum* is predominant in the early-stage food and provides Leu, Ile, and other nutrients that are required for larval growth. In the late-stage food, AAB directly provides nutrients, while LAB and yeasts indirectly contribute to larval growth by enabling the stable larva-AAB association. The host larva responds to the nutritional environment by dramatically altering gene expression profiles, which leads to growth and pupariation. *H. uva*, *Hanseniaspora uvarum*; *K. hum*, *Kazachstania humilis*; *Pi. klu*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *St. bac*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; GF, germ-free.

Figure S1. Mure et al.

Figure S1. Copy numbers of microbial rDNA in fermented banana samples.

(A-F) Graphs showing the copy numbers of the fungal ITS region (A, C, and E) or bacterial 16S rRNA region (B, D, and F) in the fermented food samples shown in Figures 1A and 1B (A and B), Figures 1C and 1D (C and D), and Figures 1E and 1F (E and F). Numbers below each pair of foods indicate the sampling places, which correspond to those indicated in Figure 1. (C and D) Yeast and bacteria were also detected in Blank samples, in which sterile PBS instead of microbial suspensions was added. They might have invaded the fruit by passing through wounds on the peel (Oyewole, 2012). E: early-stage food; L: late-stage food.

Figure S2. Mure et al.

Figure S2. Larvae feeding on mixtures of isolated microbial species detected in the samples
from Sampling Place 2 in Figure 1B.

(A-F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on (A and B) microbes derived
from the early-stage food, or (C and D) five, or (E and F) three microbes derived from the late-
stage food. (A, C, and E) The codes for individual species are provided in the chart at the bottom
of (B), (D), and (F), respectively. (B, D, and F) Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n=3-4. GF,
germ-free; days AEL, days after egg laying.

Figure S3. Mure et al.

1152 **Figure S3. The percentage of pupariation and eclosion of the larvae feeding on microbes.**

1153 (A-D) Graphs showing the percentage of larvae that pupariated in the experiments shown in
1154 Figures 2A and 2B (A), Figures 2C and 2D (B), Figures S2A and S2B (C), or Figures S2C and
1155 S2D (D). The mean percentages of the pupariated and eclosed (dark gray) or pupariated and not
1156 eclosed (light gray) individuals are shown. n=3-4.

Figure S4. Mure et al.

Figure S4. Enrichment analyses of the genes upregulated in non-supportive conditions and
morphology of yeast colonies grown on a nutrient-rich diet.
（A–D）Plots showing the result of GO term（A and C）or KEGG Pathway（B and D）
enrichment analysis of the RNA–seq data shown in Figure 3A（A and B）and Figure 4C（C and
D）. Plots were generated as described in Figure 3.（E）Images of single yeast colonies grown
on banana agar or the nutrient–rich diet. The images were taken after a 2–day incubation at
25°C.

Table 1. List of microbial species used in the feeding experiments.

Percentages indicate the relative abundance of each species in the foods shown in Figure 1B.

Yeast or bacterium	Fermentation stage	Family	Species	Sampling place 1 (early stage)	Sampling place 1 (late stage)	Sampling place 2 (early stage)	Sampling place 2 (late stage)
Yeast	Early	Saccharomycodaceae	Hanseniaspora uvarum	20.93%		79.28%	2.11%
Yeast	Late	Pichiaceae	Pichia kluyveri	40.09%	26.26%	19.07%	97.14%
Yeast	Late	incertae sedis	Starmerella bacillaris		72.97%		
Bacterium	Early	Erwiniaceae	Pantoea agglomerans	45.31%	12.81%	90.56%	6.17%
Bacterium	Late	Lactobacillaceae	Leuconostoc mesenteroides		54.17%		
Bacterium	Late	Lactobacillaceae	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum				60.84%
Bacterium	Late	Acetobacteraceae	Acetobacter orientalis		8.25%		15.48%

1167 **Supplementary Files**

1168 Table S1. List of adult flies caught in the traps.

1169

1170 Table S2. Microbial composition analysis results.

1171

1172 Table S3. Microbial isolation and species identification.

1173

1174 Table S4. Table S3. RNA-seq data of whole bodies of 1st instar larvae fed on *H. uvarum* or bacteria.

1175

1176 Table S5. RNA-seq data of whole bodies of 1st instar larvae fed on yeasts

1177

1178 Table S6. Results of metabolomic analysis of yeast samples.

1179

1180 Table S7. Final genome assembly and annotation statuses of six yeast strains.

1181

1182 Table S8. RNA-seq data of isolated yeasts.

1183

1184 Table S9. Statistical details of experiments.