1

Identification of Key Yeast Species and Microbe-Microbe Interactions Impacting Larval Growth of *Drosophila* in the Wild

Authors

Ayumi Mure[1], Yuki Sugiura[2], Rae Maeda[2], Kohei Honda[1], Nozomu Sakurai[3], Yuuki Takahashi[1], Masayoshi Watada[4], Toshihiko Katoh[1], Aina Gotoh[1], Yasuhiro Gotoh[5], Itsuki Taniguchi[5], Keiji Nakamura[5], Tetsuya Hayashi[5], Takane Katayama[1], Tadashi Uemura[1,6,7], and Yukako Hattori[1,8*]

Affiliations

[1] Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[2] Center for Cancer Immunotherapy and Immunobiology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[3] National Institute of Genetics, Mishima, Japan

[4] Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Japan.

[5] Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan

[6] Center for Living Systems Information Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

[7] AMED-CREST, Tokyo, Japan.

[8] JST FOREST, Tokyo, Japan.

[*] Correspondence: yhattori@lif.kyoto-u.ac.jp (Y.H.)

ABSTRACT

Microbiota consisting of various fungi and bacteria have a significant impact on the physiological
functions of the host. However, it is unclear which species are essential to this impact and how
they affect the host. This study analyzed and isolated microbes from natural food sources of
Drosophila larvae, and investigated their functions. *Hanseniaspora uvarum* is the predominant
yeast responsible for larval growth in the earlier stage of fermentation. As fermentation progresses,
Acetobacter orientalis emerges as the key bacterium responsible for larval growth, although yeasts
and lactic acid bacteria must coexist along with the bacterium to stabilize this host-bacterial
association. By providing nutrients to the larvae in an accessible form, the microbiota contributes
to the upregulation of various genes that function in larval cell growth and metabolism. Thus, this
study elucidates the key microbial species that support animal growth under microbial transition.

INTRODUCTION

In nature, animals live in association with a diverse community of microorganisms. These associated microbes, especially fungi and bacteria, perform a range of beneficial functions for their host, such as nutrient provision and immune modulation (Ikeda-Ohtsubo et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2020). Some of these host-microbe associations are facultative and dispensable, while others are more important or even essential for host growth or survival under specific circumstances. Compared to symbioses between a limited number of specific species (Shigenobu & Wilson, 2011; Su et al., 2022), however, those that encompass a larger number of species are intricate and analytically challenging. Additionally, despite a growing number of reports documenting the presence of fungi in the mammalian intestine, including humans, our comprehension of their roles is still limited, with the exception of a handful of pathogenic fungi (Hallen-Adams & Suhr, 2017; Pérez, 2021). Moreover, the composition of these complex microbiota tends to change over time (Moya & Ferrer, 2016; Qiu et al., 2021). Therefore, we focused on how the host sustains its life processes in such unstable environments.

Drosophila melanogaster has made remarkable contributions to our comprehension of the regulatory mechanisms governing development, growth, and metabolism, which are highly conserved among animals. More recently, the fly has emerged as a valuable model for investigating animal-associated microbes. The microbiota associated with *Drosophila* comprise relatively few species, most of which can be cultured aerobically (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012; Grenier & Leulier, 2020; Lee & Brey, 2013). Furthermore, germ-free or gnotobiotic animals can be easily prepared (Ludington & Ja, 2020). The aforementioned advantages of analysis enabled a thorough exploration of the role of *Drosophila*-associated microbes. Notably, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and acetic acid bacteria (AAB) demonstrate the ability to enhance larval growth under nutrient scarcity (Shin et al., 2011; Storelli et al., 2011). However, most researchers have examined only a single species or a limited number of bacteria. Additionally, most previous studies did not investigate the association with yeasts. Possibly, this is because live yeasts are either absent or present in very small quantities, due to antifungal agents added to the *Drosophila* laboratory foods. Instead of live yeasts, the foods typically contain heat-killed budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a major nutrient source, but this yeast species rarely coexists with *Drosophila* in the wild (Hoang et al., 2015). Consequently, the relationship between *Drosophila* and its associated microorganisms has often been classified as facultative (Gallo et al., 2022; Martino et al., 2018), and the role played by associated fungi has been largely overlooked.

In the wild, the presence of microbes is crucial for the developmental growth of *Drosophila* larvae that feed on fruit-based food sources. *D. melanogaster* in its natural habitat feeds on fruits fermented by its associated microbes (Watanabe et al., 2019). Germ-free larvae cannot grow on fresh fruits alone, while inoculation with certain species of yeasts or bacteria promotes pupariation (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Pais et al., 2018). These findings suggest that, in the wild, microbes provide vital nutrients for larval growth. While the bacterial roles have been extensively investigated, few reports have focused on yeasts; some studies described interspecies variation of yeasts with regard to their effects on larval growth (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Solomon et al., 2019), but the underlying mechanisms have not been thoroughly explored. We therefore set out to address the following questions: (1) which species play a central role in food microbiota? (2) what are the essential traits that these species possess? (3) what microbe-derived nutrients are necessary for host growth?

To address these questions, we sampled fermented bananas that had been fed upon by wild *Drosophila* species. We collected the foods at two different timepoints, referred to as "early-stage" and "late-stage" foods, and demonstrated a dramatic shift in fungal and bacterial taxonomic compositions during fermentation. Regarding fungi, we observed that yeasts predominated in both stages, but the dominant species changed between the stages. Among bacterial species, Enterobacterales accounted for a large proportion in the early stage, whereas LAB and AAB dominated in the late stage. We then isolated yeast and bacterial strains from the food samples and tested their ability to support larval development on a banana agar. *Hanseniaspora uvarum*, the predominant yeast species in the early stage, was able to support larval growth by itself. In contrast, most of the late-stage microbes tested did not efficiently promote larval growth when inoculated individually. However, we found that when the acetic acid bacteria *Acetobacter orientalis* coexisted with either LAB or late-stage yeast species, it effectively promoted larval growth. Our analyses of larvae under different microbial environments, including transcriptomic analyses of first-instars, strongly suggest that *A. orientalis* is potentially able to promote larval growth, although it requires interactions with other late-stage microbes. Finally, we investigated the molecular basis underlying the distinct larval growth-promoting effects among yeast species, including the supportive *H. uvarum* from the early-stage foods and non-supportive *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris* from the late-stage foods. Surprisingly, all the yeast species strongly promoted larval growth upon heat killing. This and additional results indicate that all species produce sufficient nutrients for larval development, but larvae cannot utilize those produced by the live non-supportive species. Our metabolomic analysis and metabolite supplementation assay suggest that only the supportive yeast cells can release critical metabolites for larval growth, including branched-chain amino acids, leucine and/or isoleucine. Collectively, our findings detail the key microbial species, their interactions, and the yeast species-dependent supply of nutrients that contribute to the development of *Drosophila* larvae in nature.

RESULTS

The composition of both yeast and bacteria in the food microbiota shifts as fermentation
progresses, independently of the presence of larvae

To examine the community structure of the microbiota associated with *Drosophila* larvae in nature,
we collected and analyzed larval foods, fermented bananas, that had been fed on by wild larvae
(Figure 1A, Tables S1A and S1B). Because *D. melanogaster* and its related species are often found
near human settlements, we set traps containing freshly peeled and sliced bananas outside of our
residences so that wild flies would lay eggs on the foods. A portion of each food sample was
collected as "early-stage" food, while the remaining food was incubated in the laboratory. When
the larvae reached the late third-instar stage, we collected further fermented "late-stage" food
samples (refer to MATERIALS AND METHODS for experimental definitions of early- or late-
stage foods). We performed sequencing of the fungal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region and
the bacterial 16S rRNA region to analyze the composition of fungi and bacteria in individual food
samples, respectively (Figures 1B and S1; Tables S2A and S2B).

In most food samples, yeasts consistently accounted for a major proportion of the fungal
communities (top of Figure 1B; Figure S1A; Table S2A), as previously reported (Chandler et al.,
2012). At the family level, the compositions showed dramatic differences between the early and
late stages; while Saccharomycodaceae dominated in most of the early-stage foods, Pichiaceae,
Starmerella, and Saccharomycetaceae became dominant in the late-stage foods. The dominant
bacteria also differed between the two stages, with Enterobacterales predominating at the early
stage and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) and acetic acid bacteria (AAB) predominating at the late stage
(bottom of Figure 1B; Figure S1B; Table S2B). Henceforth, we refer to the transition from early-
to-late stages in microbial composition as the microbial composition shift or simply the
composition shift. In this sampling, we obtained, by chance, a "no-fly" trap; no adult flies were
caught in the trap, and no eggs, larvae, or pupae were found in the food at either stage ("No-fly"
in Figure 1B; "No-fly trap" in Tables S2A and S2B; refer to "Collection of fermented bananas and
wild *Drosophila*" section in MATERIALS AND METHODS for further details). We analyzed
food samples from this trap for comparison. The microbiota in the foods significantly differed
from that of other foods, with a lower percentage of yeast in the fungal community and a
consistently high abundance of Enterobacterales in the bacterial community.

A previous study showed that the presence of larvae influences the community structures of
food microbiota (Stamps et al., 2012). Therefore, we sought to determine whether the larvae
contributed to the composition shift (Figure 1C; Tables S1A and S1C). We prepared microbe-
containing suspensions using newly collected early-stage foods and introduced them to fresh
bananas, with or without germ-free embryos. We then incubated the bananas and examined
whether the microbial composition shifts occurred in them. Remarkably, the composition shifts
occurred similarly, irrespective of the presence of larvae, as indicated by the decreased proportions
of Saccharomycodaceae yeast and Enterobacterales bacteria, along with the increased proportions
of Pichiaceae yeasts as well as LAB and AAB (compare "Susp" with "w/o L" or "w/L" in Figure
1D; see also Tables S2C and S2D). These findings suggest that the composition shift observed in
the food microbiota is unlikely to be solely attributed to the presence of larvae but more plausibly
influenced by factors such as interspecies interactions among microbes.

Furthermore, we analyzed the microbial composition of adult flies captured in traps, as well
as the food and larval samples (Figure 1E; Tables S1A and S1D). This analysis revealed a similar

composition of the yeast species in adult flies and early-stage foods, and a similar composition of
yeast species in larvae and late-stage foods (top of Figure 1F; Table S2C). However, notable
dissimilarities were observed in the bacterial compositions between the *Drosophila* samples and
the early-stage foods, primarily attributed to the conspicuous presence of *Wolbachia* sp.
(Anaplasmataceae) and *Gilliamella apicola* (Orbaceae) in the *Drosophila* samples (bottom of
Figure 1F; Table S2E). Anaplasmataceae and Orbaceae are intracellular and gastrointestinal
symbionts of insects, respectively (Kwong & Moran, 2013; Werren et al., 1995), which plausibly
accounts for their relatively lower abundance in the food samples.

In addition to the community structures, we investigated whether there were alterations in the
overall abundance of yeasts and bacteria between the early- and the late-stage foods. For this
purpose, we performed quantitative PCR to quantify the copy numbers of fungal and bacterial
rDNA in each food sample presented in Figures 1B, 1D, and 1F (Figure S2). The analysis indicated
that there were no dramatic increases or decreases in copy numbers in most food samples. Note
that measuring rDNA copy numbers in the microbiome does not necessarily reflect actual cell
numbers due to variations in the genomic rDNA copy numbers among species (Lofgren et al.,
2019; Stoddard et al., 2015). Nonetheless, these results suggest that the quantities of microbes did
not undergo substantial changes between the two stages.

Prominent acceleration of larval development is observed with early-stage-dominant yeast
alone, as well as in combination with late-stage-dominant acetic acid bacteria and other
microbes

As described in the previous section, we documented the presence of various yeast and bacterial
species in fermented bananas, the populations of which underwent notable compositional shifts
over time. Previous studies suggest that different yeast or bacterial species contribute to larval
development to varying degrees (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Consuegra, Grenier, Baa-Puyoulet, et
al., 2020; Pais et al., 2018; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Solomon et al., 2019). Continuing this line of
investigation, we undertook to identify which of the dominating microbial species in the early- or
late-stage foods, either individually or as mixtures, promote larval development. To this end, we
isolated fungal and bacterial strains from the food samples (refer to Table S3 and the "Isolation
and species identification of microbes" section in MATERIALS AND METHODS for further
details). We subsequently inoculated the isolated strains, either individually or in combinations,
into a sterile banana-based food (banana agar), and introduced germ-free larvae. Thereafter, we
evaluated the effects of the microbial species on the percentage and the timing of pupariation (see
details in "Quantification of larval development" in MATERIALS AND METHODS). Note that
on this food, larval development critically depends on associated microbes, as the larvae failed to
pupariate on banana agar without microbes ("germ-free (GF)" in Figure 2A and subsequent
figures).

To assess the impact of microbes found in the early-stage foods on larval development, we
focused on yeast and bacterial strains belonging to microbial species dominated in the food
samples shown in Figure 1B (see also Table 1 and Figure S1). The two characteristic microbial
species in the early-stage foods were the yeast *Hanseniaspora uvarum* and the bacteria *Pantoea*
agglomerans (Table 1; Figure S1). These two species along with *Pichia kluyveri*, the second
dominant yeast in most of the early-stage foods, were selected for this experiment (Table 1; Figure
S1A). We investigated whether each strain or a combination of the strains promotes larval

development (Figures 2A, 2B, S3A, and S3B). When inoculated individually, *H. uvarum* and *Pantoea agglomerans* effectively promoted larval growth ("Y2" and "E" in Figures 2A and 2B, respectively; "Y1" and "E" in Figures S3A and S3B, respectively), whereas *Pichia kluyveri*, another yeast species, had almost no promoting effect ("Y1" in Figures 2A and 2B; "Y2" in Figures S3A and S3B). When the strains were combined, we observed a significantly accelerated larval development in the presence of *H. uvarum* ("1: Y1Y2" and "3: Y1Y2E" in Figures 2A and 2B) than in its absence ("2: Y1E" in Figures 2A and 2B). Hence, in the early-stage foods, *H. uvarum* played a critical role in promoting larval development.

A similar feeding experiment was conducted using strains of the late-stage microbes (Figures 2C, 2D, S3C, and S3D; Table 1): two dominating late-stage yeast species, the most predominant LAB and AAB species, and *Pantoea agglomerans*, which persisted from the early stage, albeit in a smaller proportion (Table 1; Figure S1). When fed individually, none of the yeast or bacterial species efficiently supported larval growth, except for *H. uvarum* and *Pantoea agglomerans* (lines and symbols with different brightness of gray in Figure 2C and S3C). Conversely, when larvae were fed mixtures, their development was almost equally efficient as long as LAB and AAB coexisted (color-coded in blues in Figure 2C and S3C). In this experiment, the coexistence effects of yeast and acetic acid bacteria were not tested. Therefore, we repeated the experiment using only one strain from each of the most dominant yeast, LAB, and AAB species (see the tables in Figures 2F and S3F). Larval development, in terms of both the pupariation rate and timing, was promoted when the AAB *A. orientalis* was inoculated together with either a yeast (*Starmerella bacillaris* or *Pichia kluyveri*) or a LAB (*Leuconostoc mesenteroides* or *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*) (Figures 2E, 2F, S3E and S3F). This result suggests that, in the late-stage foods, the coexistence of AAB and other microbial species, yeasts or LAB, was critical for larval development. Additionally, we investigated the eclosion of pupae under different microbial conditions and confirmed that a majority of the pupae successfully eclosed, albeit with some variation observed across experiments (Figure S4).

To summarize these results, strong promotion of larval development was observed when the larvae were associated with an early-stage yeast *H. uvarum*, or a combination of *A. orientalis* and other late-stage microbes.

During the late stage, acetic acid bacteria play a crucial role in supporting larval development through interspecies interactions among the microbes

To investigate how larvae respond to the different microbial conditions, we conducted whole-body RNA-seq of gnotobiotic first-instar larvae that were subjected to a 15-hour feeding period on banana agar (Table S4). The agar was either kept sterile or inoculated with yeast *H. uvarum*, LAB, or AAB individually, or a combination of LAB and AAB (Figures 3A-D). Remarkably distinct gene expression profiles were observed between the "supportive" conditions, where larvae efficiently pupariated in the previous experiments ("Yeast" and "LAB+AAB" in Figure 3A), and the "non-supportive" conditions, where larval development was markedly impaired ("LAB" and "GF" in Figure 3A). Notably, a multitude of genes involved in metabolism and cell growth displayed significant upregulation in response to the AAB and LAB mixtures when compared to LAB alone (Figures 3B, 3C, S5A, and S5B). Moreover, differentially expressed genes under the supportive and the non-supportive conditions exhibited strikingly similar profiles to those reported in a previous investigation of fed and starved conditions (Zinke et al., 2002; Figure 3D).

Interestingly, although larvae fed on AAB alone largely failed to pupariate ("A" in Figures
2C-2F), their gene expression profile after the 15-hr feeding period closely resembled that of the
supportive conditions (compare "AAB" with "Yeast" and "LAB+AAB" in Figure 3A). This result
implies that AAB possessed the ability to induce a growth-promoting response, but the effect likely
did not persist until pupariation. We observed that the growth rate of AAB on banana agar was
noticeably lower compared to those of other microbes. This led us to speculate that this lower
growth rate might result in a shortage of AAB, leading to undernutrition during the later stage of
larval development. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether larval development could
recover when AAB was constantly available. Daily supplementation of AAB enabled larvae to
pupariate as effectively as the initial co-inoculation of AAB and LAB did (Figures 3E and 3F),
demonstrating that AAB can promote larval growth if it is available throughout the course of
development.

The aforementioned observations have prompted us to assume that yeast and LAB contribute
to the stable coexistence of AAB and larvae. To investigate this hypothesis, we raised larvae on a
diet containing AAB either alone or in conjunction with the other species. After a 4-day incubation,
we quantified the abundance of AAB in each tube, including those in the food and those inside the
larval body. Co-inoculation with other species led to an average 5-19 fold increase in the number
of AAB colonies compared to the monoculture conditions (Figures 3G and 3H). These findings
suggest that AAB plays a crucial role in providing nutrients during the late stages of larval
development, while other microbial species support a stable association between AAB and larvae.

As described in the previous section, none of AAB, LAB, or the yeast in the late-stage foods
strongly promoted larval growth individually, while mixing AAB with LAB or the yeasts did
(Figures 2C-2F and S3C-S3F). All of our results so far strongly suggest that these interspecies
interactions among the microbes underlie the promotion of larval growth by late-stage microbiota.

The isolated yeast species promote varying degrees of larval development, but all support larval development upon heat killing

We found that the early-stage yeast *H. uvarum* facilitated larval development, whereas the
dominant yeasts in the late stage, *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris*, did not (Figures 2A-
2D and S3A-S3D). To elucidate the underlying mechanisms behind these diverse outcomes, we
conducted comprehensive comparative analyses with the respective yeasts. We used six yeast
species that originated from the fermented bananas (*Kazachstania humilis*, *Martiniozyma asiatica*,
and *Saccharomycopsis crataegensis* in addition to the aforementioned three species) and a
laboratory strain of the model species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, which was rarely detected in our
food samples (Figure 4).

When we provided each species to germ-free larvae, the larvae fed on *H. uvarum* showed the
highest percentage of pupariation, while larvae fed on *K. humilis* or *M. asiatica* also pupariated at
relatively high rates, albeit with a slower timing for *M. asiatica* (Figures 4A and 4B). We classified
these three species as the "supportive" yeast species. In contrast, larvae fed on *Pichia kluyveri* or
Starmerella bacillaris, which are the dominant species during the late stage, showed notably low
percentage of pupariation, leading to their classification as "non-supportive" (Figures 4A and 4B).
Inoculation with *Saccharomycopsis crataegensis* or a laboratory strain of *Saccharomyces*
cerevisiae BY4741 resulted in reduced percentages and delayed timing of pupariation compared

to the inoculation with the supportive species, thus earning the designation of "mild." These results
underscore the variable abilities of individual yeast species to promote larval development.

To investigate the response of larvae to different yeast species, we conducted whole-body
RNA-seq analysis of larvae (Table S5). The overall findings closely resembled those obtained
from various bacterial species (Figures 4C-4F, S5C, and S5D; cf. Figures 3A-3D, S5A, and S5B).
Gene expression profiles exhibited marked differences between larvae fed on the supportive yeasts
and those fed on the non-supportive yeasts, with intermediate responses observed in larvae fed on
the mild yeasts (Figure 4C). Feeding on the supportive yeasts upregulated genes involved in
metabolism and cell growth (Figures 4D and 4E), and the expression profiles under the supportive
and the non-supportive yeast diets resembled those observed in fed and starved conditions,
respectively (Figure 4F).

One potential factor determining the ability of larvae to develop on specific yeast species
might be the production or secretion of adequate nutrients for larval growth. To assess whether
each yeast species produced sufficient essential nutrients internally, we administered heat-killed
yeasts to the larvae. Somewhat surprisingly, strong growth enhancement was observed in all seven
yeast species upon heat killing, with *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris* promoting larval
development nearly as effectively as the supportive yeasts (Figures 4G and 4H). We further
explored the effect of killing the yeasts using banana agar supplemented with antifungal agents (p-
hydroxy-benzoic acid and propionic acid), and observed similar growth promotion by *Pichia*
kluyveri and *Starmerella bacillaris* (data not shown). This finding suggests that all of these yeasts
do indeed produce the requisite nutrients for larval development; however, it is likely that the
nutrients produced by the live non-supportive yeasts are inaccessible to larvae (further analyzed in
the subsequent section).

We also considered the possibility that the non-supportive yeasts somehow inhibited the host
growth. To test this possibility, we cultured the yeast species on a nutrient-rich sterile medium and
fed them to the larvae (Figures 4I and 4J). This medium contains dry yeast and enables larvae to
pupariate even without live yeasts ("GF" in Figures 4I and 4J). Under these conditions, larvae fed
with *Starmerella bacillaris* pupariated as efficiently and nearly as rapidly as those without yeasts
or with other yeast species, suggesting that *Starmerella bacillaris* did not impede larval growth
(Figures 4I and 4J). In contrast, larvae fed with *Pichia kluyveri* exhibited a significantly reduced
percentage of pupariation compared to larvae grown with the other yeast species or without yeasts.
This could be related to the extensive growth of *Pichia kluyveri* on this food (Figure S5E).
Nevertheless, none of these yeast species reproduced the low percentage of pupariation of larvae
observed on banana agar. Therefore, the inhibitory effects of *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella*
bacillaris are less likely.

310 Supportive yeasts facilitate larval growth by producing nutrients, including branched-chain
311 amino acids, and releasing them from their cells

Given that the non-supportive yeast species supported larval growth upon heat-killing, we
hypothesized that the key distinction between the supportive and the non-supportive species lies
in their ability to release nutrients contained within the cells. To test this hypothesis, we conducted
a metabolomic analysis of the two supportive species (*H. uvarum* and *K. humilis*) and the two non-
supportive species (*Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris*) and analyzed not only the yeast

cells but also two additional samples anticipated to contain metabolites released from the
cells: yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates and cell suspension supernatants (Figures 5A-5F and S6,
Table S6).

The yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates had been expected to contain yeast-derived nutrients.
On the contrary, the result revealed a depletion of various metabolites originally present in the
sterile banana agar (Figure 5A). This result prompted us to focus on the metabolites in the
chemically defined (holidic) medium for *D. melanogaster* (Piper et al., 2014, 2017). This medium
contains ~40 known nutrients, and supports the larval development to pupariation, albeit at the
half rate compared to that on a yeast-containing standard laboratory food (Piper et al., 2014, 2017).
Therefore, the holidic medium could be considered to contain the minimal essential nutrients
required for larval growth. Our analysis indicated a substantial reduction of these known nutrients
in the yeast-conditioned plates compared to their original quantities (Figure 5B).

The quantities of metabolites within the cells varied markedly among the species (Figures 5C
and 5D); while the supportive *H. uvarum* contained numerous known metabolites, it was intriguing
that *K. humilis*, another supportive species, did not possess as much essential nutrients as other
species, including the non-supportive *Pichia kluyveri* and *Starmerella bacillaris* (Figure 5D). This
result, in conjunction with the observations from feeding heat-killed yeasts (Figures 4G and 4H),
raises the possibility that the interspecies disparities in metabolites within living yeast cells do not
directly influence larval growth. On the other hand, our analysis of the cell suspension supernatant
revealed distinct variations in the known nutrients in the holidic medium between the supportive
and non-supportive species (Figures 5E and 5F). Among these, we focused on branched-chain
amino acids (BCAAs), leucine and isoleucine (Figure 5F). Previous studies have demonstrated
that bacteria associated with *Drosophila* provide these essential amino acids to the hosts feeding
on artificial diets lacking them (Henriques et al., 2020; B. Kim et al., 2021). In our analysis,
suspension supernatants of supportive yeasts had concentrations of both leucine and isoleucine
that were at least four-fold, on average, higher than those of non-supportive yeasts (Figures 5F-
5H; see also Table S6B).

The above finding prompted us to explore whether leucine and isoleucine are supplied by the
associated supportive yeasts, similar to bacterial symbiosis. To investigate this, we supplemented
banana agar with these BCAAs and inoculated it with the non-supportive yeasts, subsequently
evaluating the restoration of larval development (Figures 6A and 6B). Remarkably, the
supplementation of BCAAs elicited a significant improvement in larval development in the
presence of the non-supportive yeasts, while it had no effect on larvae fed with the supportive
yeasts (Figures 6A and 6B). At 12 days after egg laying, a significant increase was observed in the
proportion of individuals that had progressed to the second instar or later stages (Figure 6B). These
results suggest that the lack of BCAAs in bananas inoculated with the non-supportive yeast species
is one of the causes of larval growth deficiency. Additionally, we explored the conservation of the
biosynthetic pathways responsible for leucine and isoleucine among the isolated yeast strains. We
performed *de novo* genome assembly and annotation for the six yeast species and examined the
presence of orthologs (Table S7). This analysis, along with a subsequent RNA-seq analysis of the
yeast species, revealed the conservation of the biosynthetic pathways of these amino acids across
all the isolated yeasts (Figure 6C; Table S8). The result of this genomic analysis also strengthens
the possibility that differences in the ability of yeasts to support larvae do not stem from variances
in their ability to biosynthesize BCAAs, but rather in their ability to provide BCAAs in an available
form to the larvae.

We noted, however, that the supplementation of BCAAs alone did not completely restore the
growth of larvae that fed on the non-supportive yeasts. Despite attempts to enhance growth by
increasing the BCAA concentration five- or ten-fold, no improvement was observed (data not
shown). We also supplemented the banana agar with other metabolites, including nicotinic acid
and/or lysine and asparagine, which were detected in higher amounts within the suspension
supernatants of the supportive yeasts, individually or in combination with the BCAAs. None of
these additions had any effect on larval growth (data not shown). These results suggest that other
crucial nutrients are provided by the supportive yeasts.

Regarding the mechanism of nutrient release, one possibility is their secretion from live cells,
while another possibility is their leakage from dead cells. To address the latter hypothesis, we
collected cells of the four yeast species from banana-agar plates and stained dead cells using
Phloxine B (Figures 6D-6G). Noticeably more dead cells were found in the supportive *K. humilis*
compared to other species (Figure 6E), implying that nutrients leak from dead *K. humilis* cells into
the food, which is subsequently utilized by the larvae.
376

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have shown that the microbial composition of larval foods of domestic *Drosophila* species shifts dramatically as fermentation progresses. Individual microbial species play a crucial and indispensable role in promoting host growth, either through the provision of essential nutrients or by establishing a stable association between the host and the nutrient-providing species (Figure 6H). The capacity of yeasts to facilitate larval growth seems to rely on their ability to extracellularly release essential nutrients, such as leucine and/or isoleucine, thereby making these nutrients accessible to the host. These microbial functions have effectively empowered the host to grow on a nutritionally inadequate fruit.

Drosophila has been known to co-exist with yeast and bacteria in nature (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012; Corby-Harris et al., 2007; Cox & Gilmore, 2007; Quan & Eisen, 2018; Shihata & Mrak, 1952). Our investigation reveals substantial shifts in both fungal and bacterial compositions during fermentation, from the initial predominance of *H. uvarum* to the compositional shifts leading to the dominance of AAB, LAB, and the late-stage yeasts. This shift coincides with alterations in the species serving as nutrient sources. Importantly, most of the microbes we isolated and investigated in this study are generally recognized as associates of *Drosophila*. For instance, yeasts such as *H. uvarum*, *Pichia kluyveri*, and *Starmerella bacillaris*, or bacteria belonging to the genera *Pantoea*, *Lactiplantibacillus* (formerly *Lactobacillus*), *Leuconostoc*, and *Acetobacter* have also been detected in or isolated from *Drosophila* spp. collected in North America, Europe, or Oceania (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012; Pais et al., 2018; Solomon et al., 2019). These findings suggest the universality of the *Drosophila*-microbe relationships revealed in our study. A previous study reported that *Drosophila* larvae have profound effects on the community structure of yeasts in fermented bananas (Stamps et al., 2012). Our experiment, however, suggests that the microbial composition shift observed in our food samples is plausibly influenced by factors such as interspecies interactions among microbes. These discrepancies may be due in part to differences in experimental conditions possibly including larval densities, food sample volumes, or culture vessels.

Another implication from our microbial composition analysis is a possible composition shift occurring in the adult intestine. The microbiota of late-third instar larvae resemble those of the late-stage foods they fed on, whereas those of trap-caught adults are similar to those of the corresponding early-stage foods. This result suggests a potential change in microbial composition during pupal stages or after adult eclosion. Unlike developing larvae, which continually consume fermented foods throughout the feeding stages, adults move among a number of food sources, which could lead to the acquisition of new microbes. Additionally, some bacteria have recently been demonstrated to colonize the adult intestine (Dodge et al., 2023; Pais et al., 2018), possibly contributing to the formation of adult microbiota through the dominance of microbes with a propensity to colonize the intestine.

As for the origin of food microbiota, the initial microbial inoculation to foods is assumed to be mediated by adult flies (Broderick & Lemaitre, 2012). Our study revealed the presence of common microbes between our early-stage foods and adult fly samples (Figure 1F; Tables S2C and S2E). Furthermore, the foods from the "no-fly" trap harbored distinct microbiota compared to those from other traps. The distinction was marked by the presence of *Colletotrichum musae*, which is the causal agent of banana anthracnose and might have been present in fresh bananas, and filamentous fungi, which could have originated from airborne spores (Figures 1B and S1A; Table S2A). These results support the previously proposed microbial inoculation to the foods by adult

flies. However, alternative sources of microbes should also be considered. For example, microbes
may have been infiltrated in unpeeled bananas through peel injuries, as microbial rDNA was
detected in bananas that had not undergone microbial inoculation ("Blank" samples in Figures
S2C and S2D). In addition, they could be introduced by other insects such as rove beetles and sap
beetles, which were found in some of the traps, sharing the same habitat with *Drosophila* spp.
Further investigation is required to determine the inoculation routes of the microbes in larval foods.

In the early stage of fermentation, both the predominant yeast and bacterial species were
capable of supporting larval growth on their own. However, in stark contrast, at the late stage of
fermentation, microbial interactions assumed a crucial role. While AAB provide sufficient
nutritional resources to support larval growth, their growth rate on bananas is suboptimal. On the
other hand, other associated microbes may lack essential nutrients for larvae but contribute to
establishing a stable association between AAB and the larvae. Previous studies have reported
interactions between AAB and LAB in artificial foods, where AAB act as a source of nutrients,
including amino acids, while LAB provide lactic acid as a substrate for amino acid biosynthesis
(Consuegra, Grenier, Akherraz, et al., 2020; Henriques et al., 2020). Our findings have
demonstrated that LAB also play a supportive role in the symbiotic relationship between larvae
and AAB on a fruit, a natural food source of *Drosophila* larvae. It is worth noting that interactions
may also occur between the AAB and the late-stage yeasts. We observed the presence of various
metabolites not only in the suspension supernatant of the supportive yeast species but also in that
of the non-supportive late-stage yeast species. Therefore, the growth of AAB on fruits could be
enhanced through the utilization of such metabolites by the bacterium.

In laboratory settings, yeasts are recognized and employed as important nutritional sources for
Drosophila, providing essential nutrients such as amino acids, vitamins, and fatty acids
(Baumberger, 1917; Broderick & Lemaitre, 2012). In our study, we have demonstrated that during
larval growth on their natural food sources, the associated yeasts contribute to the provision of
branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs), leucine and/or isoleucine. Of these two amino acids, the
availability of leucine acts as an effective regulatory signal for the mTORC1 pathway, as
established in both mammals and *Drosophila* (Fox et al., 1998; Gu et al., 2022; J. Kim & Guan,
2019; Zhang et al., 2021). The mTORC1 pathway governs metabolism and cell growth in response
to nutritional conditions. Indeed, the expression levels of numerous genes involved in these
processes exhibited significant up- or down-regulation in larvae fed on supportive microbes
compared to those fed on non-supportive microbes or germ-free individuals. Nonetheless,
supplementing these BCAAs alone did not fully restore larval growth, suggesting that there should
be other key nutrients. Our metabolomic analysis did not encompass some known metabolites,
including lipids. Among these, sterols, substrates for ecdysone biosynthesis, are indispensable for
larval growth. However, sterols are unlikely to be lacking in the fermented bananas. In our
experiments, *Pantoea agglomerans* and *A. orientalis* did promote larval growth, but most bacteria,
including the two aforementioned species, lack the steroid biosynthesis pathway (Hoshino &
Gaucher, 2021). Therefore, larvae can grow on bananas without bacterial-derived sterols.
Deficiency in other lipids is also unlikely, because larvae can grow on the holidic medium for *D.*
melanogaster that contains cholesterol as a sole lipid component, and the developmental delay on
the medium is rescued by a "critical element" which is "not a lipid" (Piper et al., 2014). Therefore,
microbial nutrients that promote larval growth, whose functions have not yet been identified in
this study, may be components other than lipids.

Despite the well-recognized importance of yeast as a source of nutrients, limited studies have
reported the detailed process of how these nutrients are utilized by larvae. Our results suggest that
for yeasts to serve as nutritional sources, they should not only produce the nutrients, but also
release them outside the cells. The amount of nutrients released extracellularly varied among yeast
species. This could be explained by varying abilities to secrete metabolites, although yeasts are
unlikely to release the nutrients that are also beneficial to themselves. Another possibility
suggested for *K. humilis* was that this species is more prone to death on the culture medium, thus
releasing more nutrients. Indeed, yeast autolysates are reported to contain various known nutrients,
such as proteins, lipids, and B-group vitamins (Rakowska et al., 2017). More thorough
investigations should be conducted on another supportive yeast, *H. uvarum*, to assess its mortality
on banana-agar plates.

Our results indicate that yeast cells are not necessarily digested in the larval gut, which might
contradict previous reports. For instance, vegetative cells of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* have been
reported to be digested in the gut of adult flies (Coluccio et al., 2008). However, the digestibility
of yeast may vary depending on the species of yeast, diet composition, or developmental stage of
the host. Indeed, a study has discussed the possibility that *Starmerella bacillaris* is not digested in
the larval gut (Solomon et al., 2019). In our study, by focusing on the non-model yeast species
associated with wild flies, we revealed part of the mechanism by which wild *Drosophila* larvae
utilize yeast as a nutrient source.

To date, the majority of studies on animal-associated yeasts have focused on a limited number
of specific species that are either pathogenic or employed for fermenting foods. In this study, we
have examined the species composition of yeasts that make up the symbiotic mycobiota of
Drosophila and analyzed the roles of each predominant species by investigating their interactions
with bacteria as well as with the host. To obtain a comprehensive and detailed understanding of
the function of animal microbiota, future studies should further explore the contribution of
associated yeasts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila melanogaster strains and culture

D. melanogaster Canton-Special (E-10002) strain was obtained from EHIME-Fly *Drosophila* Stocks of Ehime University. This strain was used for all the experiments unless otherwise noted. *Cg-GAL4* was a gift from H. Asha (Asha et al., 2003). The stocks were reared on a laboratory standard diet as previously described (Watanabe et al., 2017).

Yeast-based nutrient-rich diet was prepared following the instructions at Bloomington Stock Center (https://bdsc.indiana.edu/information/recipes/germanfood.html). Reagents used are described in Kanaoka et al., 2023. The preservatives (propionic acid and 10% p-hydroxy-benzoic acid methyl ester) were omitted.

Generation of germ-free larvae

Germ-free animals were prepared as previously described (Watanabe et al., 2019) with minor alterations. Briefly, embryos were collected on apple agar plates topped with yeast paste, and were incubated at 25°C for 12-15 or 14.5-17.5 h. They were subsequently dechorionated in 50% bleach, followed by washes with sterile water, 70% ethanol, and sterile water once more. The embryos were placed on sterile agar plates and incubated at 25°C until newly hatched larvae were obtained.

Collection of fermented bananas and wild *Drosophila*

Larval foods, fermented bananas, were collected using traps placed outdoors in human residential areas to collect domestic *Drosophila* species such as *D. melanogaster* or *D. simulans*. The sampling places, near laboratory members' apartments or houses in Kyoto and Osaka prefectures, Japan, or outside the laboratory at Kyoto University, are listed in Table S1A. Bananas were selected as bait considering their use in previous *Drosophila* studies (Anagnostou et al., 2010; Consuegra, Grenier, Akherraz, et al., 2020; Stamps et al., 2012). They are also affordable and available year-round, which would be advantageous for subsequent analyses requiring large amounts of fruit-based culture media. Peels of ripening bananas were treated with 70% ethanol and then removed carefully so as not to touch the fruit inside to avoid contamination. The bananas were subsequently cut into pieces with an autoclaved sterile spatula, and placed in a sterile 100 mL centrifuge tube (Iwaki). Each trap was made of an empty milk carton, and contained one tube of banana bait.

Traps were set up for 2.5 days, which duration was determined from pilot experiments; a shorter collection time resulted in a lower likelihood of obtaining traps visited by adult flies, whereas a longer collection time caused overcrowding of larvae as well as deaths of adults from drowning in the liquid seeping out of the fruits. When the traps were collected, wild flies or their eggs/larvae were found in all traps or foods, except for one (the "no-fly" trap). In the sampling shown in Figures 1C-F, rove beetles (Staphylinidae) and sap beetles (Nitidulidae) were found in several foods. These insects appeared to share a niche with wild *Drosophila* in nature, suggesting that the presence of these insects did not interfere with our goal of obtaining larval food samples. The non-drosophilid insects were removed from the foods immediately after the trap collection. 3-5 mL of the foods (early-stage foods) were sampled, and the remaining foods were incubated at

25°C. 4-5 days later, when late 3rd instar larvae were seen in all foods except for the one from the
no-fly trap, the foods were collected (late-stage foods). At the first sampling, we added germ-free
embryos of GFP-expressing *D. melanogaster* laboratory strain (*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*) to
obtain *D. melanogaster* larvae that were reared in the fermented food, but we could not sample
them because most animals apparently drowned in the juice that seeped out of the fermented
bananas.

To obtain early-stage-food suspensions, newly obtained early-stage foods were crushed in
autoclaved sterile PBS, and after vigorous mixing, the liquid was collected carefully without taking
bananas, embryos, or larvae. 200 µL of this microbial suspension (or sterile PBS for Blank) was
added to 100 mL tubes containing fresh ripening banana with or without ~200 germ-free embryos
(*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*). The bananas were incubated at 25°C for 4 days. Late-stage foods
were collected as described above. Larvae collected from the food were examined under a
fluorescent microscope to make sure they were derived from the embryos we added and not from
contaminating wild embryos. When sterile PBS was added to bananas instead of microbial
suspensions in Figure 1C, the food showed no apparent change in appearance or odor during the
4-day incubation, and larvae in the food remained at the first instar stage. The amounts of microbes
detected in such foods were less than those obtained for the food inoculated with the microbial
suspension by 2-4 orders of magnitude ("Blank" in Figures S2C and S2D; see details in the
legend)."

All the food samples were collected after removing embryos and larvae, and snap-frozen.
Larvae were surface-sterilized using the same procedure as embryo samples before snap-freezing.
Adult flies in the traps were collected following different procedures depending on whether their
microbiota were analyzed. When the adult samples were solely utilized for species identification,
the whole bodies were stored in 100% or 70% ethanol. When we also required samples for
microbial analysis, after washing the whole bodies in 70% ethanol, the head, external genitalia,
and wings of each fly were removed and stored in 70% ethanol and subsequently used to identify
the species. The rest of the body was snap-frozen and later used for the microbial composition
analysis. Adult flies collected in each sampling are listed in Tables S1B-D. For the traps where
adult flies were caught, the species of the drosophilids in them were identified, confirming the
presence of either or both *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans*.

All tools were sterilized prior to use. Frozen samples were stored at -80°C. Adult or larval
samples collected from a single trap or food, respectively, were stored as a pool.

ITS or 16S rDNA sequencing analysis

Food, larval, or adult samples were freeze-dried for 2-3 days, followed by homogenization with 5
mm stainless beads using Shake Master Neo (Biomedical Science). Microbial DNA was extracted
using a QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN). 3 mm and 0.1 mm zirconium beads were used
for the initial lysis step.

Library preparation, sequencing, and data analyses were performed by Macrogen Japan
(Tokyo, Japan). For fungal analysis, the ITS region was amplified with primers ITS3 (5'-
TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3')
and ITS4 (5'-
GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGTCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3').

For bacterial analysis, the V3–V4 region of 16S rRNA was amplified with primers 341F (5'-
TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3') and
805R (5'-
GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-
3'). The amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (300 bp paired-end).

The paired-end reads were assembled using FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011), followed by
pre-processing and clustering using CD-HIT-OTU (Fu et al., 2012; Li & Godzik, 2006) with a
clustering cutoff of 99%. Taxonomy was assigned using QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010) using the
UNITE database (Nilsson et al., 2019) for fungal ITS, and the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP)
database (Cole et al., 2014) for bacterial 16S rDNA (outputs can be found in Tables S2F-S2J),
followed by manual correction and reassignment, in which reads originating from *Drosophila* spp.
or banana (including chloroplast) were removed, and fungal and bacterial taxonomy was
reassigned by NCBI BLAST search against the Nucleotide collection (nr/nt) database or using the
RDP sequence match tool, respectively (Cole et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2008). Sequences with
the highest query cover and identity in blastn, or the highest S_ab score in RDP sequence match
were considered as top hits. OTUs that had no top hits with an assigned species name or with top
hits that belonged to more than one genus were marked as "Unassigned."

qPCR of ITS or 16S rDNA

Quantification of fungal ITS or bacterial 16S rDNA was performed by quantitative real-time PCR
with THUNDERBIRD SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO). DNA extracted from fermented bananas
was diluted 10-100 fold before use. After an initial denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, 45 cycles of
PCR were carried out: 95°C for 15 sec, 55°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 50 sec. ITS or 16S rDNA
amplicons derived from *Hanseniaspora uvarum* or *Pantoea agglomerans*, respectively, were used
for the generation of standard curves. The following primers were used:

For fungal ITS (White et al., 1990)

ITS3: 5'-GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3'

ITS4: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'

For bacterial 16S (Hugerth et al., 2014)

341F: 5'-CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3'

805R: 5'-GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'

Banana and *Drosophila* rDNAs were expected to be amplified in this qPCR, as they were in
the PCR for the ITS and 16S sequencing analysis. The proportion of microbial rDNA within the
total amplification products was assumed to remain consistent between the qPCR and the
corresponding sequencing analysis, because the template DNA samples and amplified regions
were shared between the analyses. Based on this, the copy number of microbial rDNA was
estimated by multiplying the qPCR results with the microbial rDNA ratio observed in the ITS or
16S sequencing analysis of each sample.

Microbial culture

Microbes were cultured on MRS, YPD, PDA, or banana agar. MRS, YPD, and PDA were prepared
using MRS Broth (Merck Millipore), YPD medium (Clontech), and Potato Dextrose Broth
(Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, following the manufacturers' protocol. Banana agar was prepared
as previously described (Anagnostou et al., 2010), with the addition of autoclaving after making
the banana-agar mixture. Cavendish bananas (*Musa acuminata*) were used. 10% p-hydroxy-
benzoic acid in 70% ethanol (prepared as described in Kanaoka et al., 2023), propionic acid
(Nacalai Tesque), cycloheximide (Wako), or ampicillin (Nacalai Tesque) were added after
autoclaving. Food-derived microbes were cultured at 25°C for 2 days, while *Saccharomyces*
cerevisiae was cultured at 30°C for 2 days before use, unless otherwise noted. Images of single
colonies were acquired with a digital camera (Visualix STD2) attached to a stereo microscope
(Olympus SZX10).
628

The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741 strain was obtained from NBRP-Yeast, Japan. To
investigate underlying mechanisms of differential growth-promoting ability between AAB and
LAB, we attempted to use *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*^{WJL} strain and a genetically engineered
BCAA-producing *Lacto*^{BCAA} strain (B. Kim et al., 2021), both of which were kind gifts from W.-
J. Lee. However, growth of *Lacto*^{BCAA} strain on our banana agar was too slow, which made it
impossible to obtain a sufficient quantity of cells for the feeding experiments.

Isolation and species identification of microbes

Microbial strains were isolated on MRS, PDA, or banana-agar plates. The fermented bananas were
spread on each of these plates. Single colonies were picked and re-streaked on a plate, and after
repeating the process once more, uniform colonies were collected, suspended in MRS liquid
medium containing 40% glycerol, and stored at -80°C.

To identify the species of each strain, PCR and sequencing were performed. Microbial
colonies were directly suspended in water in PCR tubes and heated (96°C for 5 min) before the
addition of other reagents. In the cases when DNA failed to amplify, DNA was extracted using
InstaGene Matrix (BIO-RAD) according to the manufacturer's protocol. NL1/NL4 primers were
used for fungi, while 27F/1492R primers were used for bacteria (Chandler et al., 2011, 2012), and
KOD FX (TOYOBO) was used for the amplification. The amplicon was purified prior to
sequencing using a Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega).

Sequencing was performed using an Applied Biosystems 3130xl Genetic Analyzer, and
primers were NL1 for fungi and 518F (5'-CCAGCAGCCGCGGTAATACG-3') for bacteria
(https://www.macrogen-japan.co.jp/cap_seq_0104.php). See Table S3A for the sequencing results.

Species identification of isolated yeast strains was performed by NCBI BLAST searches
against the Nucleotide collection (nr/nt) database (Johnson et al., 2008). Sequences with Percent
Identity = 100%, E-value = 0 were considered as top hits, and the species name that appeared in
more than two top hits was used to refer to the strain. See also Table S3B for the number of the
top hits for each strain.

For bacteria, representative sequences of OTUs from the microbial composition analysis were
compared with the sequences of isolated strains, and the strain with the closest 16S rDNA sequence
was used as the strain corresponding to that OTU. See also Table S3C.

Quantification of larval development

The microbes were individually grown on banana agar twice before being suspended in PBS
(OD600 = 60). To mix multiple species, equal amounts of the suspensions prepared as described
were mixed together. 10 µL of each suspension was added to ~1.1 mL of autoclaved banana agar
in 1.5 mL tubes. Tubes were incubated at 25°C for 2 days before larvae were added. The following
procedure was performed as described in Watanabe et al., 2019, with a few modifications. Briefly,
20 germ-free larvae were added to each tube, and the tubes were kept in a moisturized incubator
(80-90% humidity) at 25°C. Pupariated individuals were removed from the tubes prior to eclosion,
and either discarded or transferred to vials for further incubation until eclosion occurred. The
number of pupae in each tube was counted until all larvae either pupariated or died. In experiments
that require daily addition of AAB, the bacterial cells (a lump of ~3 µL by volume per tube) were
added daily. As more individuals pupariated, less bacteria were consumed each day. Therefore, to
avoid the excessive accumulation of the bacteria, no AAB was added in a tube where the
previously added bacterial lump was visible to the naked eye.

The effect on larval development was quantified as the final percentage of the pupariated
individuals and timing of the pupariation. The developmental timing was quantified from the date
on which the percentage of pupariation exceeded 50% of the final percentage of pupariation. Molds
were occasionally seen on foods during incubation, in which case the tubes were removed from
the experiment. On a rare occasion when the number of samples for a single condition fell below
3, all the tubes for the condition were excluded from the results.

When feeding heat-killed yeasts to larvae, yeasts were added to the banana-agar tubes and
subsequently heated as following procedures. The yeasts were revived from frozen stocks on
banana-agar plates, incubated at 25°C, and then streaked on fresh agar plates. After 2-day
incubation, yeast cells were scraped from the plates and suspended in PBS at the concentration of
400 mg of yeast cells in 500 µL of PBS. 125 µL of the suspensions were added to banana-agar
tubes prepared as described, and after centrifugation at 3,000 x g for 5 min, the supernatants were
removed. The amount of cells in each tube is ~50x compared to that when feeding live yeasts,
which compensates for the reduced amount due to their inability to proliferate. The tubes were
subsequently heated at 80°C for 30 min before adding germ-free larvae.

When feeding yeasts on banana agar supplemented with antifungal agents, the yeasts were
individually grown on normal banana agar twice before being suspended in PBS at the
concentration of 400 mg of yeast cells in 500 µL of PBS. 125 µL of the suspensions was introduced
onto the anti-fungal agents (10 mL/L 10% p-hydroxy-benzoic acid in 70% ethanol and 6 mL/L
propionic acid, following the concentration described in Kanaoka et al., 2023)-containing banana
agar in 1.5 mL tubes. After centrifugation, the supernatants were removed. The amount of cells in
each tube is ~50x compared to that when feeding live yeasts.

Sequencing and annotation of yeast genomes

To extract genomic DNA from yeast cells for genome sequencing, yeasts were cultured on MRS plates. Collected cells were treated with Zymolyase-20T (Nacalai Tesque) to remove cell walls, and DNA was extracted using QIAGEN Genomic-tip 20G kit (QIAGEN).

The genomic DNA prepared from six strains was sequenced by both Illumina and Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) technologies. For Illumina sequencing, libraries were prepared with QIAseq FX DNA Library Kit (QIAGEN) and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, CA, USA) to generate 2 x 301 bp paired-end sequence reads. MiSeq reads were trimmed using Platanus_trim (http://platanus.bio.titech.ac.jp/pltanus_trim). The thresholds for quality and read length were set at 15 and 25, respectively. After trimming, a total of 1.863 - 2.396 Gb sequence was obtained for each genome (average; 2.113 Gb). Sequence coverages estimated by GenomeScope (Vurture et al., 2017) were over 100x for all genomes (103.42x - 210.48x). For ONT sequencing, libraries were prepared with Rapid Barcoding Kit (ONT) and sequenced using the R9.4.1 flow cell with the MinION platform, followed by base-calling using Albacore ver. 2.3.3. (ONT). Adapter sequences of MinION reads were trimmed with NanoFilt (De Coster et al., 2018) or Porechop (https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop), followed by filtering with a quality threshold of 10 and a length threshold of 2000. After filtering, 84,598 - 197,215 reads (765 - 4,402 Mb in total sequence length) were obtained for each genome (average; 121,913 reads and 1,035 Mb). Estimated sequence coverages by MinION reads were 63.1x - 107.3x (average; 87.9x).

For RNA-seq analysis, equal amounts of yeast cells cultured under 6 conditions (2 temperatures: 25°C and 30°C, and 3 culture plates: MRS, YPD, or banana-agar plates) were mixed and used for RNA extraction. RNA was extracted as previously described (Iida & Kobayashi, 2019) and purified using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN). Libraries for RNA-seq were prepared with NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB) and sequenced on the NextSeq 500 platform (Illumina) to generate 300-base paired end sequences which were used for annotation described below. A total of 23.48-26.75M reads were obtained for each yeast (average; 25.63M reads).

Genome assembly was performed by MaSuRCA v3.2.6 (Zimin et al., 2013) using MiSeq and MinION reads. In the heterozygosity rate estimation by GenomeScope based on MiSeq reads, the *Kazachstania humilis* and *Pichia kluyveri* genomes showed higher heterozygosity rates (*K. humilis*; 2.98%, *Pichia kluyveri*; 2.08%) while those of other genomes were 0.02% - 1.00%. In the assessment of autoannotated genome assemblies using BUSCO (Simão et al., 2015), completeness was over 86% in the four genomes other than the *H. uvarum* (64.58%) and *Starmerella bacillaris* (75.21%) genomes. However, among the four genomes, those of *Pichia kluyveri* and *K. humilis* showed a high proportion of "Duplicated complete" genes (*K. humilis*; 27.74%, *Pichia kluyveri*; 5.17%, respectively), which was apparently due to the high heterozygosity rate of these genomes. Therefore, these two genomes were assembled using Platanus-allee v2.2.2 (Kajitani et al., 2019), and by comparing it with the MaSuRCA assemblies using GenomeMatcher (Ohtsubo et al., 2008), redundant sequences in the MaSuRCA assemblies were identified and removed from the assemblies. As the proportions of "Duplicated complete" genes estimated by BUSCO were 5.51% for the *K. humilis* genome and 0.74% for the *Pichia kluyveri* genome after removing redundant sequences, these redundant sequence-removed genome assemblies were used as the final genome assemblies of *Pichia kluyveri* and *K. humilis*.

Genome annotation was performed using FunGAP (Min et al., 2017) with the above-mentioned
RNA-seq data obtained from each yeast. Over 91% of RNA-seq reads obtained was mapped to the
final assemblies of each genome using Hisat2 (D. Kim et al., 2015). In the assessment of annotated
genomes using BUSCO, completeness was >86% for the four genomes other than those of *H.*
uvarum (65.95%) and *Starmerella bacillaris* (76.92%). It appears that the low completeness of
these genomes is attributable to genome reduction. The final statuses of genome assembly and
annotation of each genome are summarized in Supplementary Table S7.

Mitochondrial sequences in each genome assembly were identified by tblastx homology
search with fungal mitochondrial sequences obtained from the RefSeq database (O'Leary et al.,
2016). When multimerized mitochondrial sequences were generated in genome assemblies, they
were trimmed to the smallest unit.

To determine gene orthology between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and each of the isolated
strains, blastp was performed. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* protein sequence data
(GCF_000146045.2_R64_protein.faa) was downloaded from NCBI genome database
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome). Reciprocal best hits with an e-value $< 10^{-10}$ were defined
as orthologs.

Quantification of AAB

Microbes were inoculated onto 200 mL of banana agar in a tube included in a Biomasher II
homogenizer kit (NIP). After adding 5 germ-free larvae, the tubes were incubated at 25°C for 4
days. 150 µL of PBS was added to the tube, and food was crushed along with the larvae in it. More
PBS was subsequently added to bring the total volume of the suspension to 700 µL. 1/5 serial
dilutions were made, 50 µL of which were spread onto MRS plates supplemented with antibiotics
(10 µg/mL cycloheximide and 10 µg/mL ampicillin to inhibit the growth of yeasts and LAB,
respectively). The plates were incubated at 25°C, and 3 days later, colonies were counted to
calculate CFU.

RNA sequencing for gene expression analyses

For larval RNA-seq analysis, microbes were inoculated on banana agar as previously described
and freshly hatched germ-free larvae were added. After 15 h feeding, 20 larvae were collected and
snap-frozen. RNA extraction, sequencing, and data analysis were performed as previously
described (Kanaoka et al., 2023).

Yeast RNA was extracted as described above, and sequenced as previously described in
Kanaoka et al., 2023. Data obtained from the FunGAP analysis were used for mapping of reads
obtained for the isolated strains, and the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C genome R64-1-1,
retrieved from Illumina iGenomes (https://jp.support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing
_software/igenome.html) for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* BY4741.

For the heatmaps in Figures 3A and 4C, heatmap.2 in the gplots (Warnes et al., 2022) package
of R (R Core Team, 2020) was used. Database for annotation, visualization and integrated
discovery (DAVID) Functional Annotation Chart (Huang et al., 2009; Sherman et al., 2022) was
used to find significantly enriched GO terms or KEGG Pathways in each analysis. For comparisons

with the microarray analysis result of Zinke et al., 2002, their gene expression data (fold change
value) of the individuals at 12 h after feeding or starvation was used. For our data, $\log_2$(fold
change) of gene expression was calculated for each supportive and non-supportive condition pair.
Genes that were represented by more than one probes were omitted. The FlyBase IDs in the data
of Zinke et al., 2002 were converted to current IDs using FlyBase ID Validator
(https://flybase.org/convert/id). Only the genes that had one-to-one correspondence with current
FlyBase IDs were included in the heat maps. The genes that showed significantly different
expression between fed ("normal" in the cited paper) and starved conditions, i.e., those with fold-
change values of ≥ 1 or ≤ -1, are shown.

Preparing samples for LC-MS analysis

The yeasts were individually grown on banana agar three times. 100 mg of cells were scraped from
the surface of the plates and snap-frozen. To prepare yeast-conditioned plates, yeasts were grown
as described and thoroughly removed by scraping. 22 mm^2 chunks of agar from the plates (~100-
160 mg) were collected and snap-frozen. 15 g/L agar plates or sterile banana-agar plates were
prepared as controls and collected using the same procedure. To prepare cell suspension
supernatants, ~250 mg of yeast cells were collected as described, and sterile PBS was added at a
ratio of 5 µL PBS per 1 mg of yeast cells. After suspending the cells, the suspensions were
centrifuged at 2,260 x g for 5 min. The supernatants were further filtered using a 0.45 µm Millex-
HA filter (Merck) to completely remove any remaining yeast cells. 700 µL of each supernatant
was collected and snap-frozen.

Each sample was suspended or diluted to 500 µL of methanol containing internal standard;
100 mM MES and 100 mM L-Met. After mixing with 250 µL of water and 400 µL of chloroform,
the samples were centrifuged and the upper layer was collected and filtered using an UltrafreeMC-
PLHCC for Metabolome Analysis column (Human Metabolome Technologies, #UFC3LCCNB-
HMT). The samples were dried completely using nitrogen gas and resuspended in water before
injection into the LC-MS system.

LC-MS/MS measurement

Cationic metabolites including amino acids and nucleosides are quantified using a triple-
quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) ion source
(LCMS-8060, Shimadzu Corporation) in the positive and negative-ESI and multiple reaction
monitoring (MRM) modes. The samples were resolved on the Discovery HS F5-3 column (2.1
mm ID × 150 mm, 3-µm particle, Sigma-Aldrich), using a step gradient with mobile phase A
(0.1% formate / water) and mobile phase B (0.1% formate / acetonitrile) at ratios of 100:0 (0–5
min), 75:25 (5–11 min), 65:35 (11–15 min), 5:95 (15–20 min) and 100:0 (20–25 min), at a flow
rate of 0.25 ml min−1 and a column temperature of 40°C. Chromatogram peaks obtained with
compound-specific MRM channels were integrated and manually confirmed. If more than one
confirmatory MRM channel was available for the target compound, it was set to confirm the
identification of the peak compound. Structural isomers were separated either by retention time
on the column or by compound-specific MRM signals. Detailed MRM conditions are identical to
the previously published study (Oka et al., 2017). To further confirm the signal specificity,

sample-derived chromatographic peaks were compared with the corresponding standards to
ensure that retention times were consistent. Peak quantification values obtained for each
compound were corrected for recovery due to L-Met in the IS. Data are presented as peak area
values for each metabolite normalized by the internal standard. Those for the yeast-conditioned
plates or cells were further normalized with sample wet weight. Heat maps were generated by
MetaboAnalystR 3.3.0. (Pang et al., 2020), using data for all of the detected metabolites or a
subset of metabolites that are included in the holidic medium of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Piper
et al., 2014).

Developmental progression with BCAA supplementation

Yeasts were cultured as described on autoclaved banana agar supplemented with 2.03 g/L of
leucine (Nacalai Tesque) and 1.12 g/L of isoleucine (Peptide Institute), both at the concentrations
included in the holidic medium with exome-matched FLYAA (Piper et al., 2017). 20 larvae were
added to each tube, and after 11 days of feeding, live or dead individuals in each developmental
stage were counted. Larval developmental stages were determined based on tracheal morphology,
as previously described (Niwa et al., 2010). The percentage of the larvae that could not be found
are indicated as "Not found."

Technically, it was difficult to match the amounts of leucine and isoleucine to be added to the
foods to the difference in amounts released by the supportive and non-supportive species. This was
because under our experimental condition, quantification of the microbe-derived BCAAs
accumulating in the culture medium, which was performed in previous studies (Consuegra,
Grenier, Akherraz, et al., 2020; Henriques et al., 2020), was impractical due to constant absorption
of the nutrients by the yeasts themselves. Given this constraint, we opted for the amino acid
concentrations in the holidic medium, which concentrations are sufficient to support larval growth
under axenic conditions and not detrimental to larval growth (Piper et al., 2014).

Imaging of dead yeast cells

Microbes were grown on banana-agar plates for 3 days, then collected and suspended in PBS at a
concentration of ~1x 10^8 cells/mL. The cells were subsequently incubated in 5 µg/mL Phloxine B
(Wako) for 10 min at room temperature. The cells were subsequently washed twice with PBS and
observed using a Nikon C1 laser scanning confocal microscope coupled to a Nikon Eclipse E-800
microscope. Note that the brightness of the images was adjusted with a gradation to achieve mostly
uniform brightness, because the bottom side of the original images was darker due to microscope
malfunction.

Statistical analysis

859 R (R Core Team, 2020) was used for all statistical analyses. P-values less than 0.05 were
860 considered statistically significant. For statistical analyses of RNA-seq data, see Tables S4, S5,
861 and S8. See Table S9, MATERIALS AND METHODS, and figure legends for analysis of other
862 experiments.

Data availability

All sequence data obtained in this study have been deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive.
The accession numbers for the sequence data are DRR212820-DRR212838 (BioProject accession
number: PRJDB9099) and DRR471830-DRR471964 (BioProject accession number:
PRJDB15711). Yeast genome annotation data have been deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank
under BioProject accession number PRJDB9099. The accession numbers are BTGD01000001-
BTGD01000029 (*Kazachstania humilis*), BTGA01000001-BTGA01000014 (*Hanseniaspora*
uvarum), BTFZ01000001-BTFZ01000021 (*Saccharomycopsis crataegensis*), BTGB01000001-
BTGB01000010 (*Pichia kluyveri*), BTFY01000001-BTFY01000014 (*Martiniozyma asiatica*),
and BTGC01000001-BTGC01000009 (*Starmerella bacillaris*).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Kondo and Y. Sando for performing RNA sequencing; M. Umeda and T. Suito for
technical advice on microbial isolation and identification; K. Furuya for technical advice on yeast
genomic DNA extraction and Phloxine B staining; T. Iida and T. Kobayashi for technical advice
on yeast RNA extraction; J. Hejna for polishing the manuscript; H. Imai, K. Oki, and M. Futamata
for technical and secretarial assistance; T. Kuraishi, A. Hori, Y. Degawa, Y. Yoshihashi, R. Niwa,
T. Ito, Y. Sakai, H. Yurimoto, and K. Shiraishi for technical advice and discussion; W.-J. Lee for
providing bacterial strains; and members of the Uemura laboratory for technical advice, discussion,
and kind cooperation in obtaining fermented food samples. This work was supported by the Japan
Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS; 21K06186, 17K15039 and 16H06279 [PAGS] to
Y.H., 17KT0018 to T.U., and 20J23332 to A.M.); AMED-CREST (JP18gm1110001 to T.U.); and
JST FOREST Program (JPMJFR2051 to Y.H.).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.
889

REFERENCES

Anagnostou, C., Dorsch, M., & Rohlfs, M. (2010). Influence of dietary yeasts on Drosophila melanogaster life-history traits. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, *136*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00997.x

Asha, H., Nagy, I., Kovacs, G., Stetson, D., Ando, I., & Dearolf, C. R. (2003). Analysis of Ras-induced overproliferation in Drosophila hemocytes. *Genetics*, *163*(1), 203–215.

Baumberger, J. P. (1917). The Food of Drosophila Melanogaster Meigen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *3*(2), 122–126. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.3.2.122

Broderick, N. A., & Lemaitre, B. (2012). Gut-associated microbes of Drosophila melanogaster. *Gut Microbes*, *3*(4), 307–321. https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.19896

Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Peña, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., Huttley, G. A., Kelley, S. T., Knights, D., Koenig, J. E., Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B. D., Pirrung, M., … Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nature Methods*, *7*(5), 335–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.f.303

Chandler, J. A., Eisen, J. A., & Kopp, A. (2012). Yeast communities of diverse Drosophila species: Comparison of two symbiont groups in the same hosts. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *78*(20), 7327–7336. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01741-12

Chandler, J. A., Lang, J., Bhatnagar, S., Eisen, J. A., & Kopp, A. (2011). Bacterial communities of diverse Drosophila species: Ecological context of a host-microbe model system. *PLoS Genetics*, *7*(9), e1002272. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002272

Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., Brown, C. T., Porras-Alfaro, A., Kuske, C. R., & Tiedje, J. M. (2014). Ribosomal Database Project: Data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *42*(Database issue), D633–D642. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244

Coluccio, A. E., Rodriguez, R. K., Kernan, M. J., & Neiman, A. M. (2008). The Yeast Spore Wall Enables Spores to Survive Passage through the Digestive Tract of Drosophila. *PLoS ONE*, *3*(8), e2873. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0002873

Consuegra, J., Grenier, T., Akherraz, H., Rahioui, I., Gervais, H., da Silva, P., & Leulier, F. (2020). Metabolic Cooperation among Commensal Bacteria Supports Drosophila Juvenile Growth under Nutritional Stress. *iScience*, *23*(6), 101232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101232

Consuegra, J., Grenier, T., Baa-Puyoulet, P., Rahioui, I., Akherraz, H., Gervais, H., Parisot, N., da Silva, P., Charles, H., Calevro, F., & Leulier, F. (2020). Drosophila-associated bacteria differentially shape the nutritional requirements of their host during juvenile growth. *PLOS Biology*, *18*(3), e3000681. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000681

Corby-Harris, V., Pontaroli, A. C., Shimkets, L. J., Bennetzen, J. L., Habel, K. E., & Promislow, D. E. L. (2007). Geographical Distribution and Diversity of Bacteria Associated with Natural Populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *73*(11), 3470–3479. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02120-06

Cox, C. R., & Gilmore, M. S. (2007). Native Microbial Colonization of Drosophila melanogaster and Its Use as a Model of Enterococcus faecalis Pathogenesis. *Infection and Immunity*, *75*(4), 1565–1576. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01496-06

De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D. T., Cruts, M., & Van Broeckhoven, C. (2018). NanoPack:
Visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, *34*(15), 2666–2669.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty149
Dodge, R., Jones, E. W., Zhu, H., Obadia, B., Martinez, D. J., Wang, C., Aranda-Díaz, A.,
Aumiller, K., Liu, Z., Voltolini, M., Brodie, E. L., Huang, K. C., Carlson, J. M., Sivak, D. A.,
Spradling, A. C., & Ludington, W. B. (2023). A symbiotic physical niche in Drosophila
melanogaster regulates stable association of a multi-species gut microbiota. *Nature*
Communications, *14*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36942-x
Fox, H. L., Pham, P. T., Kimball, S. R., Jefferson, L. S., & Lynch, C. J. (1998). Amino acid
effects on translational repressor 4E-BP1 are mediated primarily by l-leucine in isolated
adipocytes. *American Journal of Physiology*, *275*(5), C1232–C1238.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.1998.275.5.C1232
Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., & Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-
generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *28*(23), 3150–3152.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565
Gallo, M., Vento, J. M., Joncour, P., Quagliariello, A., Maritan, E., Silva-Soares, N. F.,
Battistolli, M., Beisel, C. L., & Martino, M. E. (2022). Beneficial commensal bacteria promote
Drosophila growth by downregulating the expression of peptidoglycan recognition proteins.
iScience, *25*(6), 104357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104357
Grenier, T., & Leulier, F. (2020). How commensal microbes shape the physiology of Drosophila
melanogaster. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, *41*, 92–99.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2020.08.002
Gu, X., Jouandin, P., Lalgudi, P. V., Binari, R., Valenstein, M. L., Reid, M. A., Allen, A. E.,
Kamitaki, N., Locasale, J. W., Perrimon, N., & Sabatini, D. M. (2022). Sestrin mediates
detection of and adaptation to low-leucine diets in Drosophila. *Nature*, *608*(7921), Article 7921.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04960-2
Hallen-Adams, H. E., & Suhr, M. J. (2017). Fungi in the healthy human gastrointestinal tract.
Virulence, *8*(3), 352–358. https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2016.1247140
Henriques, S. F., Dhakan, D. B., Serra, L., Francisco, A. P., Carvalho-Santos, Z., Baltazar, C.,
Elias, A. P., Anjos, M., Zhang, T., Maddocks, O. D. K., & Ribeiro, C. (2020). Metabolic cross-
feeding in imbalanced diets allows gut microbes to improve reproduction and alter host
behaviour. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 4236. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18049-9
Hoang, D., Kopp, A., & Chandler, J. A. (2015). Interactions between Drosophila and its natural
yeast symbionts-Is Saccharomyces cerevisiae a good model for studying the fly-yeast
relationship? *PeerJ*, *3*, e1116. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1116
Hoshino, Y., & Gaucher, E. A. (2021). Evolution of bacterial steroid biosynthesis and its impact
on eukaryogenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(25), e2101276118.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2101276118
Huang, D. W., Sherman, B. T., & Lempicki, R. A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of
large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols*, *4*(1), Article 1.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2008.211
Hugerth, L. W., Wefer, H. A., Lundin, S., Jakobsson, H. E., Lindberg, M., Rodin, S., Engstrand,
L., & Andersson, A. F. (2014). DegePrime, a program for degenerate primer design for broad-
taxonomic-range PCR in microbial ecology studies. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,
80(16), 5116–5123. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01403-14

Iida, T., & Kobayashi, T. (2019). RNA Polymerase I Activators Count and Adjust Ribosomal RNA Gene Copy Number. *Molecular Cell*, *73*(4), 645–654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.029

Ikeda-Ohtsubo, W., Brugman, S., Warden, C. H., Rebel, J. M. J., Folkerts, G., & Pieterse, C. M. J. (2018). How Can We Define "Optimal Microbiota?": A Comparative Review of Structure and Functions of Microbiota of Animals, Fish, and Plants in Agriculture. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, *5*, 90.

Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., & Madden, T. L. (2008). NCBI BLAST: A better web interface. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *36*(Web Server issue), W5-9. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201

Kajitani, R., Yoshimura, D., Okuno, M., Minakuchi, Y., Kagoshima, H., Fujiyama, A., Kubokawa, K., Kohara, Y., Toyoda, A., & Itoh, T. (2019). Platanus-allee is a de novo haplotype assembler enabling a comprehensive access to divergent heterozygous regions. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1702. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09575-2

Kanaoka, Y., Onodera, K., Watanabe, K., Hayashi, Y., Usui, T., Uemura, T., & Hattori, Y. (2023). Inter-organ Wingless/Ror/Akt signaling regulates nutrient-dependent hyperarborization of somatosensory neurons. *eLife*, *12*, e79461. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.79461

Kim, B., Kanai, M. I., Oh, Y., Kyung, M., Kim, E.-K., Jang, I.-H., Lee, J.-H., Kim, S.-G., Suh, G. S. B., & Lee, W.-J. (2021). Response of the microbiome–gut–brain axis in Drosophila to amino acid deficit. *Nature*, *593*(7860), Article 7860. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03522-2

Kim, D., Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods*, *12*(4), 357–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317

Kim, J., & Guan, K.-L. (2019). mTOR as a central hub of nutrient signalling and cell growth. *Nature Cell Biology*, *21*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0205-1

Kwong, W. K., & Moran, N. A. (2013). Cultivation and characterization of the gut symbionts of honey bees and bumble bees: Description of Snodgrassella alvi gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the family Neisseriaceae of the Betaproteobacteria, and Gilliamella apicola gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of Orbaceae fam. nov., Orbales ord. nov., a sister taxon to the order 'Enterobacteriales' of the Gammaproteobacteria. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, *63*(Pt_6), 2008–2018. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.044875-0

Lee, W.-J., & Brey, P. T. (2013). How microbiomes influence metazoan development: Insights from history and Drosophila modeling of gut-microbe interactions. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*, *29*(1), 571–592. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122333

Li, W., & Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: A fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *22*(13), 1658–1659. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158

Lofgren, L. A., Uehling, J. K., Branco, S., Bruns, T. D., Martin, F., & Kennedy, P. G. (2019). Genome-based estimates of fungal rDNA copy number variation across phylogenetic scales and ecological lifestyles. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*(4), 721–730. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14995

Ludington, W. B., & Ja, W. W. (2020). Drosophila as a model for the gut microbiome. *PLOS Pathogens*, *16*(4), e1008398. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1008398

Magoč, T., & Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(21), 2957–2963. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507

Martino, M. E., Joncour, P., Leenay, R., Gervais, H., Shah, M., Hughes, S., Gillet, B., Beisel, C., & Leulier, F. (2018). Bacterial Adaptation to the Host's Diet Is a Key Evolutionary Force Shaping Drosophila-Lactobacillus Symbiosis. *Cell Host & Microbe*, *24*(1), 109–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.06.001

Min, B., Grigoriev, I. V., & Choi, I.-G. (2017). FunGAP: Fungal Genome Annotation Pipeline using evidence-based gene model evaluation. *Bioinformatics*, *33*(18), 2936–2937. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx353

Moya, A., & Ferrer, M. (2016). Functional Redundancy-Induced Stability of Gut Microbiota Subjected to Disturbance. *Trends in Microbiology*, *24*(5), 402–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.02.002

Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K.-H., Taylor, A. F. S., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T. S., Schigel, D., Kennedy, P., Picard, K., Glöckner, F. O., Tedersoo, L., Saar, I., Kõljalg, U., & Abarenkov, K. (2019). The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: Handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(D1), D259–D264. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1022

Niwa, R., Namiki, T., Ito, K., Shimada-Niwa, Y., Kiuchi, M., Kawaoka, S., Kayukawa, T., Banno, Y., Fujimoto, Y., Shigenobu, S., Kobayashi, S., Shimada, T., Katsuma, S., & Shinoda, T. (2010). *Non-molting glossy / shroud* encodes a short-chain dehydrogenase/reductase that functions in the 'Black Box' of the ecdysteroid biosynthesis pathway. *Development*, *137*(12), 1991–1999. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.045641

Ohtsubo, Y., Ikeda-Ohtsubo, W., Nagata, Y., & Tsuda, M. (2008). GenomeMatcher: A graphical user interface for DNA sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *9*(376). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-376

Oka, M., Hashimoto, K., Yamaguchi, Y., Saitoh, S., Sugiura, Y., Motoi, Y., Honda, K., Kikko, Y., Ohata, S., Suematsu, M., Miura, M., Miyake, K., Katada, T., & Kontani, K. (2017). Arl8b is required for lysosomal degradation of maternal proteins in the visceral yolk sac endoderm of mouse embryos. *Journal of Cell Science*, jcs.200519. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.200519

O'Leary, N. A., Wright, M. W., Brister, J. R., Ciufo, S., Haddad, D., McVeigh, R., Rajput, B., Robbertse, B., Smith-White, B., Ako-Adjei, D., Astashyn, A., Badretdin, A., Bao, Y., Blinkova, O., Brover, V., Chetvernin, V., Choi, J., Cox, E., Ermolaeva, O., … Pruitt, K. D. (2016). Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: Current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *44*(D1), D733–D745. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189

Oyewole, O. A. (2012). Microorganisms associated with deterioration of stored banana fruits. *Frontiers in Science*, *2*(5), 86–91. https://doi.org/10.5923/j.fs.20120205.01

Pais, I. S., Valente, R. S., Sporniak, M., & Teixeira, L. (2018). Drosophila melanogaster establishes a species-specific mutualistic interaction with stable gut-colonizing bacteria. *PLOS Biology*, *16*(7), e2005710. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005710

Pang, Z., Chong, J., Li, S., & Xia, J. (2020). MetaboAnalystR 3.0: Toward an Optimized Workflow for Global Metabolomics. *Metabolites*, *10*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo10050186

Pérez, J. C. (2021). Fungi of the human gut microbiota: Roles and significance. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*, *311*(3), 151490. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2021.151490

Piper, M. D. W., Blanc, E., Leitão-Gonçalves, R., Yang, M., He, X., Linford, N. J., Hoddinott, M. P., Hopfen, C., Soultoukis, G. A., Niemeyer, C., Kerr, F., Pletcher, S. D., Ribeiro, C., &

Partridge, L. (2014). A holidic medium for Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature Methods*, *11*(1), 100–105. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2731

Piper, M. D. W., Soultoukis, G. A., Blanc, E., Mesaros, A., Herbert, S. L., Juricic, P., He, X., Atanassov, I., Salmonowicz, H., Yang, M., Simpson, S. J., Ribeiro, C., & Partridge, L. (2017). Matching Dietary Amino Acid Balance to the In Silico-Translated Exome Optimizes Growth and Reproduction without Cost to Lifespan. *Cell Metabolism*, *25*(3), 610–621. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2017.02.005

Qiu, X., Yu, L., Wang, W., Yan, R., Zhang, Z., Yang, H., Zhu, D., & Zhu, B. (2021). Comparative Evaluation of Microbiota Dynamics and Metabolites Correlation Between Spontaneous and Inoculated Fermentations of Nanfeng Tangerine Wine. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *12*, 649978.

Quan, A. S., & Eisen, M. B. (2018). The ecology of the drosophila-yeast mutualism in wineries. *PLOS ONE*, *13*(5), e0196440. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196440

R Core Team. (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Rakowska, R., Sadowska, A., Dybkowska, E., & Swiderski, F. (2017). Spent yeast as natural source of functional food additives. *Roczniki Panstwowego Zakladu Higieny*, *68*, 115–121.

Sherman, B. T., Hao, M., Qiu, J., Jiao, X., Baseler, M. W., Lane, H. C., Imamichi, T., & Chang, W. (2022). DAVID: A web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). *Nucleic Acids Research*, *50*(W1), W216–W221. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac194

Shigenobu, S., & Wilson, A. C. C. (2011). Genomic revelations of a mutualism: The pea aphid and its obligate bacterial symbiont. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, *68*(8), 1297–1309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-011-0645-2

Shihata, A. M. E.-T. A., & Mrak, E. M. (1952). Intestinal Yeast Floras of Successive Populations of Drosophila. *Evolution*, *6*, 325–332. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1952.tb01428.x

Shin, S. C., Kim, S. H., You, H., Kim, B., Kim, A. C., Lee, K. A., Yoon, J. H., Ryu, J. H., & Lee, W. J. (2011). Drosophila microbiome modulates host developmental and metabolic homeostasis via insulin signaling. *Science*, *334*(6056), 670–674. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1212782

Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015). BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(19), 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Solomon, G. M., Dodangoda, H., McCarthy-Walker, T. T., Ntim-Gyakari, R. R., & Newell, P. D. (2019). The microbiota of Drosophila suzukii influences the larval development of Drosophila melanogaster. *PeerJ*, *7*, e8097. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.8097

Stamps, J. A., Yang, L. H., Morales, V. M., & Boundy-Mills, K. L. (2012). Drosophila regulate yeast density and increase yeast community similarity in a natural substrate. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(7), e42238. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042238

Stoddard, S. F., Smith, B. J., Hein, R., Roller, B. R. K., & Schmidt, T. M. (2015). rrnDB: Improved tools for interpreting rRNA gene abundance in bacteria and archaea and a new foundation for future development. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *43*(D1), D593–D598. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1201

Storelli, G., Defaye, A., Erkosar, B., Hols, P., Royet, J., & Leulier, F. (2011). Lactobacillus plantarum Promotes Drosophila Systemic Growth by Modulating Hormonal Signals through

TOR-Dependent Nutrient Sensing. *Cell Metabolism*, *14*(3), 403–414. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.07.012

Su, Y., Lin, H.-C., Teh, L. S., Chevance, F., James, I., Mayfield, C., Golic, K. G., Gagnon, J. A., Rog, O., & Dale, C. (2022). Rational engineering of a synthetic insect-bacterial mutualism. *Current Biology*, *32*(18), 3925–3938. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.07.036

Vurture, G. W., Sedlazeck, F. J., Nattestad, M., Underwood, C. J., Fang, H., Gurtowski, J., & Schatz, M. C. (2017). GenomeScope: Fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads. *Bioinformatics*, *33*(14), 2202–2204. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153

Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., Liaw, A., Lumley, T., Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz, M., & Venables, B. (2022). *gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data*. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gplots

Watanabe, K., Furumizo, Y., Usui, T., Hattori, Y., & Uemura, T. (2017). Nutrient-dependent increased dendritic arborization of somatosensory neurons. *Genes to Cells*, *22*(1), 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1111/gtc.12451

Watanabe, K., Kanaoka, Y., Mizutani, S., Uchiyama, H., Yajima, S., Watada, M., Uemura, T., & Hattori, Y. (2019). Interspecies comparative analyses reveal distinct carbohydrate-responsive systems among Drosophila species. *Cell Reports*, *28*(10), 2594–2607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.030

Werren, J. H., Windsor, D., & Guo, L. (1995). Distribution of Wolbachia among Neotropical Arthropods. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences*, *262*(1364), 197–204.

White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., & Taylor, J. (1990). Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In *PCR protocols: A guide to methods and applications* (Vol. 18, pp. 315–322). Academic Press.

Zhang, S., Lin, X., Hou, Q., Hu, Z., Wang, Y., & Wang, Z. (2021). Regulation of mTORC1 by amino acids in mammalian cells: A general picture of recent advances. *Animal Nutrition*, *7*(4), 1009–1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2021.05.003

Zheng, D., Liwinski, T., & Elinav, E. (2020). Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. *Cell Research*, *30*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7

Zimin, A. V., Marçais, G., Puiu, D., Roberts, M., Salzberg, S. L., & Yorke, J. A. (2013). The MaSuRCA genome assembler. *Bioinformatics*, *29*(21), 2669–2677. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt476

Zinke, I., Schütz, C. S., Katzenberger, J. D., Bauer, M., & Pankratz, M. J. (2002). Nutrient control of gene expression in Drosophila: Microarray analysis of starvation and sugar-dependent response. *The EMBO Journal*, *21*(22), 6162–6173. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/cdf600

 Figures

 Figure 1. The composition of both yeast and bacteria in the food microbiota shifts as
 fermentation progresses, independently of the presence of larvae

 (A-F) Sampling designs and results for the analyses of microbial compositions in natural food
 sources of *Drosophila* (A and B), effects of larval presence on the microbial composition shift (C
 and D), or microbial compositions in adult flies, larvae, and their foods (E and F). (A, C, and E)

Designs of the samplings for microbial analyses. Traps with bananas were set up outdoors near human habitations in order to collect food samples on which wild *Drosophila* spp. lay eggs. Fermented food samples were collected from the traps at two time points: the early stage (just after trap collection), and the late stage (when larvae in the foods developed into late 3rd instars). Collected samples are indicated in bold letters. (C) In this sampling, the collected early-stage food was crushed in PBS, and the liquid portion (microbial suspension) was added to fresh bananas with or without germ-free embryos (*Cg-Gal4, UAS-mCD8:GFP*). After incubation, late-stage food and surface-sterilized larvae were collected. (E) In addition to the food samples, adult flies in the traps and larvae from the late-stage foods were collected. This sampling was conducted independently from that shown in (C) and (D), although the sampling places were in common (see Table S1A). Both adults and larvae were surface-sterilized. Note that all of the adults in the traps at Place 7 and Place 8 were collected for the microbial analysis, while 20 out of 37 were collected at Place 9. (B, D, and F) The relative abundances of fungi or bacteria in the fermented banana or fly samples. The compositions were analyzed using primer sets amplifying the fungal ITS region or bacterial 16S rDNA region. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) accounting for >1% in any of the samples were grouped by families, as shown. The ratio of those accounting for <1% was summed and is indicated as "Others." The genera belonging to uncertain families (incertae sedis) are indicated by their genus name in parentheses. (B) The result corresponding to the sampling depicted in (A). The numbers on the horizontal axis indicate each numbered sampling location. "No-fly" indicates the food samples from sampling place 6, where no fly or larva was found in the trap or the foods, respectively. (D and F) The result corresponding to the sampling depicted in (C) and (E), respectively. E, early-stage food; L, late-stage food; Susp, microbial suspension; w/o L, late-stage food without larvae; w/ L, late-stage food with larvae; Lar, larvae.

Figure 2. Prominent acceleration of larval development occurred with early-stage-dominant yeast alone, as well as in combination with late-stage-dominant acetic acid bacteria and other microbes

(A-F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on microbes detected in the early-stage food (A and B), or five (C and D) or three (E and F) microbes detected in the late-stage food from Sampling Place 1 in Figure 1B. (A, C, and E) Each data point represents the average percentage of individuals per tube that pupariated by each day. n=3-4. Colored lines are for mixed

conditions, while gray lines are for mono-associated or germ-free conditions. The codes for
individual species are provided in the chart at the bottom of (B), (D), and (E), respectively. Error
bars represent SEM. (C) The color coding for the respective foods is as follows: includes yeasts
only (orange); includes yeast(s) and LAB but no AAB (red); includes LAB and AAB (blue). (B,
D, and F) Percentage of the larvae pupariated (pupariation ratio; upper) and the timing at which
50% of the pupariation ratio was achieved (lower). Boxes represent upper and lower quartiles,
while the central lines indicate the median. Whiskers extend to the most extreme data points, which
are no more than 1.5 times the interquartile range. Unique letters indicate significant differences
between groups (Tukey-Kramer test, p < 0.05). *Pi. kluyveri*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *Pa. agglomerans*,
Pantoea agglomerans; *St. bacillaris*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; *Le. mesenteroides*, *Leuconostoc*
mesenteroides; Entero., Enterobacterales; GF, germ-free; NA, not applicable; days AEL, days after
egg laying.

Figure 3. During the late stage, acetic acid bacteria play a crucial role in supporting larval development through interspecies interactions among the microbes

(A) Heat map of gene expression values for first instar larvae fed *H. uvarum* or bacteria. Freshly hatched germ-free larvae were placed on banana agar inoculated with each microbe and collected after 15 h feeding to examine gene expression of the whole body. The data of the genes that were differentially expressed between "LAB"-fed conditions and the respective "LAB + AAB" conditions are shown. (B and C) Plots showing the result of GO term (B) or KEGG Pathway (C) enrichment analysis of the RNA-seq data. 10 terms/pathways that showed the smallest FDR in each analysis are shown. (D) Heat maps showing the similarity between our RNA-seq data and microarray data in a previous study (Zinke et al., 2002). Zinke et al. used larvae at 47-49 h AEL and fed them yeast paste or starved them for 12h before comparing the gene expression profiles. The data from Zinke et al., 2002. are labeled as "Fed/Starved" in the righthand column of each heat map, which show the fold change value of each gene. Only the genes exhibiting significant differences in their analysis are shown. For our data, $\log_2$(fold change) were calculated using TPM of each gene in the larvae on the supportive conditions versus those on the non-supportive conditions. (E and F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of the larvae feeding on AAB on banana agar. Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n=3-4. (G and H) AAB load of foods inoculated with AAB, with or without other microbial species. Boxplots are depicted as in Figure 2 (Dunnett's test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). *La. pla, Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*; *Le. mes, Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; *A. ori, Acetobacter orientalis*; *H. uva, Hanseniaspora uvarum*; *St. bac, Starmerella bacillaris*; *Pi. klu, Pichia kluyveri*; GF, germ-free; NA, not applicable; days AEL, days after egg laying.

Figure 4. Isolated yeast species promote larval development to varying degrees, but all support larval development upon heat killing

(A and B) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on live yeasts on banana
agar. Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n= 3-4. (C) Heat map of gene expression values for first
instar larvae fed on each yeast species. Freshly hatched germ-free larvae were placed on banana
agar inoculated with each microbe and collected after 15 h feeding to examine gene expression of
the whole body. The data of the genes that were differentially expressed between the *H. uvarum*-
fed larvae and the *Starmerella bacillaris*-fed larvae are shown. (D and E) Plots showing the result
of GO term (D) or KEGG Pathway (E) enrichment analysis of the RNA-seq data. Genes that
showed significantly higher expression on each of the supportive species than on both the non-
supportive species were analyzed. 10 terms/pathways that showed the smallest FDR in each
analysis are shown. (F) Heat maps showing the similarity between our RNA-seq data and
microarray data in Zinke et al., 2002, shown as described in Figure 3. (G-J) The percentage and
timing of pupariation of the larvae feeding on heat-killed yeasts on banana agar (G and H) or live
yeasts on a nutritionally rich medium (I and J). Graphs are depicted as in Figure 2. n=3-4. *H. uva*,
Hanseniaspora uvarum; *K. hum*, *Kazachstania humilis*; *M. asi*, *Martiniozyma asiatica*; *Sa. cra*,
Saccharomycopsis crataegensis; *Pi. klu*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *St. bac*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; BY4741,
Saccharomyces cerevisiae BY4741 strain; GF, germ-free; NA, not applicable; days AEL, days
after egg laying.

1247

1248

Figure 5. Metabolomic analysis of yeast cells, yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates, or cell
suspension supernatants

(A-F) Heat maps displaying all detected metabolites (A, C, and E) or only the metabolites included
in a chemically defined synthetic (holidic) medium for *Drosophila melanogaster* (Piper et al.,
2014) (B, D, and F) detected from the metabolomic analysis of banana-agar plates (A and B), yeast
cells (C and D), or yeast-cell suspension supernatants (E and F). Row Z-scores of normalized peak
areas are shown. (G and H) Normalized peak areas of leucine (G) and isoleucine (H) in yeast-cell
suspension supernatants. Boxplots are presented as in Figure 2. Unique letters indicate significant
differences between groups (Steel-Dwass test, $p < 0.05$).

Figure 6. Supportive yeasts facilitate larval growth by providing nutrients, including branched-chain amino acids, by releasing them from their cells

(A and B) Growth of larvae feeding on yeasts on banana agar supplemented with leucine and isoleucine. (A) The mean percentage of the live/dead individuals in each developmental stage. n=4. (B) The percentage of larvae that developed into second instar or later stages. The "Not found" population in Figure 6A was omitted from the calculation. Each data point represents data from a single tube. Unique letters indicate significant differences between groups (Tukey-Kramer test, p < 0.05). (C) The biosynthetic pathways for leucine and isoleucine with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

gene names are shown. The colored dots indicate enzymes that are conserved in the six isolated
species, while the white dots indicate those that are not conserved. Abbreviations of genera are
given in the key in the upper right corner. LEU2 is deleted in BY4741. (D-G) Representative image
of Phloxine B-stained yeasts. The right-side images are expanded images of the boxed areas. The
scale bar represents 50 µm. (H) Summary of this study. *H. uvarum* is predominant in the early-
stage food and provides Leu, Ile, and other nutrients that are required for larval growth. In the late-
stage food, AAB directly provides nutrients, while LAB and yeasts indirectly contribute to larval
growth by enabling the stable larva-AAB association. The host larva responds to the nutritional
environment by dramatically altering gene expression profiles, which leads to growth and
pupariation. *H. uva*, *Hanseniaspora uvarum*; *K. hum*, *Kazachstania humilis*; *Pi. klu*, *Pichia*
kluyveri; *St. bac*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; GF, germ-free.

1279

1280

Figure S1. Fungal and bacterial species compositions in natural food sources of *Drosophila*.

(A and B) Results of microbial composition analysis corresponding to Figure 1B. The relative abundances of fungal (A) or bacterial (B) species in the fermented banana are shown. The compositions were analyzed using primer sets amplifying the fungal ITS region or bacterial 16S rDNA region. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) accounting for >1% in any of the samples were grouped by species, as shown. The ratios of those accounting for <1% or those of unidentified species were summed and indicated as "Others." The genera belonging to uncertain families (incertae sedis) are indicated by their genus name in parentheses. The numbers on the horizontal axis represent each numbered sampling location, corresponding to those indicated in Figure 1B. "No-fly" highlights the food samples from sampling place 6, where no fly or larva was found in the trap or the foods, respectively. E, early-stage food; L, late-stage food.

Figure S2. Copy numbers of microbial rDNA in fermented banana samples.

(A-F) Graphs showing the copy numbers of the fungal ITS region (A, C, and E) or bacterial 16S rRNA region (B, D, and F) in the fermented banana samples shown in Figures 1A and 1B (A and B), Figures 1C and 1D (C and D), and Figures 1E and 1F (E and F). Numbers below each pair of foods indicate the sampling places, which correspond to those indicated in Figure 1. (C and D) Yeast and bacteria were also detected in Blank banana samples, in which sterile PBS instead of microbial suspensions was added. They might have invaded the fruit by passing through wounds on the peel (Oyewole, 2012). E: early-stage food; L: late-stage food.

Figure S3. Larvae feeding on mixtures of isolated microbial species detected in the food samples from Sampling Place 2 in Figure 1B.

(A-F) The percentage and timing of pupariation of larvae feeding on (A and B) microbes derived from the early-stage food, or (C and D) five, or (E and F) three microbes derived from the late-stage food. (A, C, and E) The codes for individual species are provided in the chart at the bottom of (B), (D), and (F), respectively. (B, D, and F) Graphs are presented as in Figure 2. n=3-4. *Pi. kluyveri*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *Pa. agglomerans*, *Pantoea agglomerans*; *La. plantarum*,

1311   *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*; Entero., Enterobacterales; GF, germ-free; NA, not applicable; days
1312   AEL, days after egg laying.

1313

1314

Figure S4. The percentage of pupariation and eclosion of the larvae feeding on microbes.

(A-D) Graphs showing the percentage of larvae that pupariated in the experiments shown in Figures 2A and 2B (A), Figures 2C and 2D (B), Figures S3A and S3B (C), or Figures S3C and S3D (D). The mean percentages of the pupariated and eclosed (dark gray) or pupariated and not eclosed (light gray) individuals are shown. n=3-4. *Pi. kluyveri*, *Pichia kluyveri*; *Pa. agglomerans*, *Pantoea agglomerans*; *St. bacillaris*, *Starmerella bacillaris*; *Le. mesenteroides*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; *La. plantarum*, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*; Entero., Enterobacterales; GF, germ-free; NA, not applicable.

1323

1324

Figure S5. Enrichment analyses of the genes upregulated in non-supportive conditions and
morphology of yeast colonies grown on a nutrient-rich diet.

(A-D) Plots showing the result of GO term (A and C) or KEGG Pathway (B and D) enrichment
analysis of the RNA-seq data shown in Figure 3A (A and B) and Figure 4C (C and D). Plots were
generated as described in Figure 3. (E) Images of single yeast colonies grown on banana agar or
the nutrient-rich diet. The images were taken after a 2-day incubation at 25°C. *La. pla*,
Lactiplantibacillus plantarum; *Le. mes*, *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*; *A. ori*, *Acetobacter orientalis*.

1332

1333

Figure S6. Sample preparations for the metabolomic analysis.

Sample preparation process for the metabolomic analysis. We suspected that the supportive live
yeast cells may release critical nutrients for larval growth, whereas the non-supportive yeasts may
not. To test this possibility, we made three distinct sample preparations of individual yeast strains
(yeast cells, yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates, and cell suspension supernatants). Yeast cells
were for the analysis of intracellular metabolites, whereas yeast-conditioned banana-agar plates
and cell suspension supernatants were for that of extracellular metabolites. The samples were
prepared as the following procedures. Yeasts were grown on banana-agar plates for 2 days at 25°C,
and then scraped from the plates to obtain "yeast cells." Next, the remaining yeasts on the resultant
plates were thoroughly removed, and a portion from each plate was cut out ("yeast-conditioned
banana agar"). In addition, we suspended yeast cells from the agar plates into sterile PBS, followed
by centrifugation and filtration to eliminate the yeast cells, to prepare "cell suspension
supernatants."

Table

Table 1. List of microbial species used in the feeding experiments.

Percentages indicate the relative abundance of each species in the foods shown in Figure 1B.

Yeast or bacterium	Family	Species	Sampling place 1 (early stage)	Sampling place 1 (late stage)	Sampling place 2 (early stage)	Sampling place 2 (late stage)
Yeast	Saccharomycodaceae	*Hanseniaspora uvarum*	20.93%		79.28%	2.11%
Yeast	Pichiaceae	*Pichia kluyveri*	40.09%	26.26%	19.07%	97.14%
Yeast	incertae sedis	*Starmerella bacillaris*		72.97%		
Bacterium	Erwiniaceae	*Pantoea agglomerans*	45.31%	12.81%	90.56%	6.17%
Bacterium	Lactobacillaceae	*Leuconostoc mesenteroides*		54.17%		
Bacterium	Lactobacillaceae	*Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*				60.84%
Bacterium	Acetobacteraceae	*Acetobacter orientalis*		8.25%		15.48%

1350

Supplementary Files

Table S1. Details of the samplings of fermented bananas and wild *Drosophila*.

Table S2. Microbial composition analysis results.

Table S3. Microbial isolation and species identification.

Table S4. RNA-seq data of whole bodies of 1st instar larvae fed on *H. uvarum* or bacteria.

Table S5. RNA-seq data of whole bodies of 1st instar larvae fed on yeasts

Table S6. Results of metabolomic analysis of yeast samples.

Table S7. Final genome assembly and annotation statuses of six yeast strains.

Table S8. RNA-seq data of isolated yeasts.

Table S9. Statistical details of experiments.