1
2   Article type: Research
3
4   **Seasonally migratory songbirds have different historic population size characteristics than**
5   **resident relatives.**
6
7   **Kevin Winker[a,1] and Kira Delmore[b,c]**
8

9   [a] University of Alaska Museum and Department of Biology and Wildlife, Fairbanks, Alaska, USA
10 99775. kevin.winker@alaska.edu
11
12 [b] Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA 77843.
13 kdelmore@bio.tamu.edu
14
15 [c] Ecology, Evolution, and Environmental Biology, Columbia University, New York, New York USA
16 10027.
17
[1]To whom correspondence may be addressed.
Kevin Winker
University of Alaska Museum
907 Yukon Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99775
907-474-7027; fax 907-474-1987
Email: kevin.winker@alaska.edu
25
26 ORCID IDs:
27
28 Kevin Winker: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8985-8104
29 Kira Delmore: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4108-9729

30
31 **Running title:** Migrant vs resident bird population sizes
32
33 **Keywords:** *Catharus* thrushes, effective population size, North and South America, PSMC, taxon
34 cycles.
35
36
37 **Numbers of words:** Abstract (205), main text (4,719).
38 **Number of references:** 76
39 **Numbers of:** figures (3), tables (1). (Plus 3 supplementary figures and 2 supplementary tables.)
40
41

Abstract

Modern genomic methods enable estimation of a lineage's long-term effective population sizes back

to its origins. This ability allows unprecedented opportunities to determine how adoption of a major

life-history trait affects lineages' populations relative to those without the trait. We used this novel

approach to study the population effects of the life-history trait of seasonal migration across

evolutionary time. Seasonal migration is a common life-history strategy, but its effects on long-term

population sizes relative to lineages that don't migrate are largely unknown. Using whole-genome

data, we estimated effective population sizes over millions of years in closely related seasonally

migratory and resident lineages in a group of songbirds. Our main predictions were borne out:

Seasonal migration is associated with larger effective population sizes (N_e), greater long-term

variation in N_e, and a greater degree of initial population growth than among resident lineages. Initial

growth periods were remarkably long (0.63-4.29 Myr), paralleling the expansion and adaptation

phases of taxon cycles, a framework of lineage expansion and eventual contraction over time

encompassing biogeography and evolutionary ecology. Heterogeneity among lineages is noteworthy,

despite geographic proximity (including overlap) and close relatedness. Seasonal migration imbues

these lineages with fundamentally different population size attributes through evolutionary time

compared to closely related resident lineages.

KEYWORDS *Catharus*, effective population size, North America, PSMC, South America, taxon

cycles, thrushes, Turdidae.

INTRODUCTION

Modern genomic methods enable estimation of a lineage's long-term effective population sizes back to its origins (Li & Durbin, 2011; Mazet et al., 2015; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2015). This ability allows unprecedented opportunities to study the populational effects of past evolutionary and climatic phenomena on organismal lineages, and this new area of paleodemographics is likely to grow rapidly (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2021, Germain et al. 2023a,b). We use this approach in a novel way to test hypotheses about how adoption of a major life-history trait affected lineages' populations relative to those without the trait.

Seasonal migration is a common life-history strategy among the world's birds, but its effects on long-term population sizes relative to lineages that don't have this trait are largely unknown (Newton, 2008; Rappole, 2013). Demographic differences between seasonally migratory and resident lineages have been studied for decades, but, thus far, ecological, behavioral, and genetic approaches have necessarily been focused on recent and microevolutionary phenomena (e.g., Greenberg, 1980; Pérez-Tris et al., 2004; Sandercock & Jaramillo, 2002). Here we look much deeper, contrasting changes in effective population sizes through millions of years between closely related migratory and resident lineages.

Thrushes in the genus *Catharus* and this group's sister *Hylocichla mustelina* (Aves: Turdidae) are a model songbird system for studying the evolutionary effects of seasonal migration. This group has both migratory and resident lineages (Fig. 1), and it has been important in the study of seasonal migration, divergence, and speciation (e.g., Blain et al., 2024; Delmore et al., 2015, 2016; Everson et al., 2019; Justen et al., 2024; Outlaw et al., 2003; Ruegg et al., 2014; Termignoni-Garcia et al., 2022; Voelker et al., 2013; Winker, 2000, 2010; Winker and Pruett, 2006). These animals are relatively small, omnivorous or insectivorous, forest-related birds with lineages that are long-distance seasonal

migrants in North America and others that are resident in tropical North and South America (Collar,

2005). The current breeding grounds of the migratory lineages span the Russian Far East, Alaska,

northern Canada, and the United States, and their wintering ranges include Mexico, the Caribbean,

Central America, and tropical South America. In contrast, the resident lineages occupy Mexico,

Central America, and northwestern South America (Collar, 2005; Fig. S1).

We made three predictions about effective population sizes (N_e) in seasonally migratory

versus resident lineages of these thrushes. First, we can think of North America roughly as an

inverted triangle, with substantially more geographic space in the north than in the south. Among

these thrushes, the migratory lineages breed in that northern, on average, larger space. The resident

lineages instead currently occupy, on average, smaller geographic ranges, even in South America,

where they are largely montane (Fig. S1). Thus, larger breeding ranges among migrants, on average,

should yield higher population sizes (N_e).

Second, climatic variability is also higher with increased latitude, often temporarily rendering

vast swaths of northern North America unoccupiable to many long-distance migrant species (e.g.,

Colinvaux et al., 2000; Pielou, 1991). Thus, higher-latitude breeding occupancy should cause larger

fluctuations in population size, meaning that variation in N_e will on average be higher among

seasonal migrants. Finally, seasonal migration is likely to cause enhanced ecological (and in this case

also geographic) release (i.e., in the occupancy of new niche space) in relation to resident relatives.

Thus, as migratory lineages' mobility enabled them to take advantage of seasonal resource blooms in

ecosystems at higher latitudes and expand their breeding ranges (geographic and ecological

expansion), their populations should, on average, have grown proportionally more and at a faster

rate than sedentary lineages, thus achieving the first prediction.

Here we test these predictions by reconstructing effective population sizes of these thrush

lineages through time using whole-genome data and the pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent

(PSMC; Li & Durbin, 2011).

RESULTS

The focal variables of our hypotheses all lacked phylogenetic signal. Mean effective

population sizes and their variation were both higher among migratory lineages, as predicted (Table

1; $U = 7$ and 10, $p < 0.05$ for both; one-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test). The degree of early population

growth was also proportionally higher among migrants, as predicted (Table 1; $U = 9$, $p < 0.05$; one-

tailed Mann-Whitney U-test), but the rate of this early growth was not different between the two

groups (Table 1; $U = 21.5$, $p > 0.05$).

To examine why the degree of initial migrant population growth was higher but the rate of

growth was not, we considered that this might be because that growth extended over a longer period

of time. To our surprise, we found that these growth periods were remarkably long, ranging from

0.63-4.29 My and averaging 2.51 My (Table 1, Fig. 2). Migratory lineages did have a longer initial

period of growth (migrants mean *deltaT* = 3.10 My vs. resident mean *deltaT* = 1.72 My; Table 1; $U =$

9, $p < 0.05$).

In addition to testing our hypotheses, our results reveal other important attributes of these

songbirds. First, they appear to show few of the general population declines at the beginning of the

last glacial period (LGP, ~115 Kya) that Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. (2016) found among a global

sampling of birds (Figs. 3, S3). Secondly, and more importantly, there is a general lack of temporal

synchrony in historic population size changes (Figs. 3, S3), despite considerable geographic

proximity and overlap of ranges (especially during the nonbreeding season). Finally, a pattern widely

shared in the group is that most of the recent populations are below each lineage's historic peak, and

this trend predates the arrival of humans in the New World (Figs. 3, S3). A striking exception is *C.*

ustulatus swainsoni, which has had remarkable long-term growth (Fig. S3).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to contrast long-term effective population size

changes among closely related species to test *a priori* hypotheses about the population effects of a

major life-history trait; here we focused on seasonal migration. This perspective offers several new

insights into migrant demographics and evolutionary ecology. Migratory thrush lineages showed

higher effective population sizes, greater population size variation, and proportionally larger initial

population growth than resident relatives. A migratory life-history strategy thus imbues these

lineages with population size characteristics that are fundamentally different, on average, from those

of resident relatives.

Although migratory thrush lineages showed higher proportional initial growth than resident

relatives, this was not achieved through rapid adaptation to new geographic and ecological

opportunities as we predicted. Population growth in migrants and residents was positive early in the

lineages' histories (*deltaT*) in all cases but one (*C. aurantiirostris*), and it occurred over fairly long

periods of time (Table 1, Fig. 2). That this process initially (on average) involves millions of years of

population growth in this group, apparently extended by a migratory life-history strategy that

provided access to higher latitudes (Tables 1, S2), is an insight from our study that should be

examined in future work from a broader taxonomic perspective. While actual, census population

sizes would likely have shown considerable variation across this time, especially after the glacial

cycles of the Pleistocene began ~2.6 Mya, the long-term effective population sizes of migrants

tended to show steady increases, achieving peaks on average almost 3 My after the lineages' PSMC

inception (Figs. 1, S3; Table 1).

With *deltaT* being generally long but highly variable (Table 1), one can envision in

this group a sort of ur-thrush trajectory in which unique, lineage-specific processes occur as each

evolves, influenced by geography, ecological factors, and life-history strategy. These long periods of

early growth are concordant with the initial expansion or adaptation phases of taxon cycles, a

conceptual framework of lineage expansion and contraction over time through interactions between

biogeography and evolutionary ecology (Pepke et al., 2019; Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2002; Ricklefs

& Cox, 1972; Wilson, 1961).

Another noteworthy pattern widely shared in this group is that most recent populations are

below each lineage's historic peak (Figs. 3, S3). This, too, is reminiscent of taxon cycles (smaller

populations in later stages), and this trend both predates the arrival of humans in the New World

(Fig. S3) and reflects a broader pattern of historic avian declines (Germain et al. 2023b). Yet another

pattern is a general lack of temporal synchrony in historic population size changes, despite

considerable geographic proximity and overlap of ranges (Figs. 3, S3). In their review of taxon

cycles, Ricklefs & Bermingham (2002) inferred from such a lack of similarity among lineages that

each is in a unique population-environment relationship, responding idiosyncratically in a

coevolutionary relationship with factors such as parasites, predators, pathogens, and competitors.

We attribute the higher variation in N_e among migrants to be the result of the relative

instability of northern biomes compared with tropical ones through glacial-interglacial cycles (e.g.,

Colinvaux et al., 2000; Pielou, 1991).

Population structure

PSMC estimates of population size can be affected by population structure: a change in

structure can produce the signal of a change in size (Mazet et al., 2015, 2016; Wakeley, 1999). Some

of the population size changes we observed might therefore reflect changes only in levels of

population connectivity. There are well-understood differences between seasonal migrants and

residents in population structure. Migrants generally have lower levels of geographic partitioning of

both phenotypic (subspecies) and genetic variation (Belliure et al., 2000; Delmore et al., 2020; Mayr,

1963; Montgomery, 1896). The resident lineages we studied are more prone to this structure than

the migrant lineages, with migrants having an average of 2.5 subspecies per lineage and residents

having an average of 5.9 (Collar, 2005). Migratory lineages therefore come closer to meeting the

assumption of PSMC analyses that populations are panmictic. Thus, in addition to our geographic

and ecological scenario differing between the migrant and resident lineages we studied, we also have

a pervasive effect of population structure affecting our results, likely increasingly complementing

geographic expansion among seasonal migrants as lineage ages approach the recent. However, the

greatest effects of inflated N_e over evolutionary time would likely occur among nonmigrants, with

more isolation and less mixing (Li & Durbin, 2011).

Accounting for population structure in these models to distinguish between the two

phenomena is a difficult computational problem; the correlation is not simple, and it might not be

tractable with data from a single individual (Mazet et al., 2016; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2016,

2022). However, each individual has a genome amalgamated from the entire lineage metapopulation,

including individuals and populations that have not been sampled (Mazet et al., 2015). When not

focusing on relatively recent or rapid changes (e.g., in human or other bottlenecked systems, where

this has been most studied), these issues are probably not as important (Mazet et al., 2016). For

example, sister lineages usually show identical effective population size attributes at their origins,

reflecting their shared histories (Delmore et al., 2020; Li & Durbin, 2011; Nadachowska-Brzyska et

al., 2016). Inasmuch as many of our most interesting results are in deep time, where we expect

current individuals of a lineage to have the most thorough mixing or amalgamation of lineage-

specific metapolulation history, the effects of population structure are likely to become less

important and the results reflect (as we have necessarily interpreted) more of a lineage-wide

phenomenon than a variably structured, demically biased one. However, it is clear that the latter bias

becomes stronger as lineages progress toward the present, and for more recent times population

structure will be an important aspect of comparing effective population size estimates and their

changes between migrant and resident lineages. In this respect we were conservative in ignoring the

most recent 50 Kyr.

It also important to consider the time scales involved and the sampling regime. Glacial-

interglacial cycles averaged ~100 Kyr back to 0.74 Mya and then averaged ~41 Kyr from then back

to 2.47 Mya; about 50-60 of these cycles occurred (Lisiecki & Raymo 2005: fig. 4). This probably

caused considerable levels of structuring and mixing in these lineages. In addition, in the PSMC

output from one of our lineages, *C. ustulatus swainsonii*, we find that there are 54 time segments

sampled for the Pleistocene, indicating the inadequacy of this method to reflect fine-scale changes

and suggesting that each estimate is generally capturing a lot of both structuring and mixing.

At present, there is no way to fully disentangle the effects of population structure and

geographic space on our results, but we make two observations leading us to infer that general

differences in population structure are not the main factor driving our results. First, structure will

have smaller effects on the PSMC signal in the deep past than in more recent history. Second,

climatic changes are known to cause coordinated shifts among codistributed taxa, e.g., in promoting

the partitioning of genetic variation among glacial refugia (Hewitt, 2000). A lack of coordinated

shifts in our PSMC results suggests that climate-driven shifts in population structure are not a major

feature (Fig. S3).

Geography and evolutionary ecology

229   Early population growth among migrant lineages is likely affected by some degree of

ecological release as these birds engage with the novel environments their increased movements

expose them to and they occupy new niche space. However, in this system we cannot readily

decouple the expanded geographic and ecological opportunities that seasonal migration provides.

The relationship between avian range size and migration is complex, but correlation with latitude is

notably strong in North America (Pegan & Winger, 2020). Two aspects of our results suggest that

geography operating alone is unlikely to explain the larger migrant population sizes observed (Table

1, Fig. S3). The first is that early population growth is positive in all but one of the lineages we

studied; despite a probable lack of marked geographic expansion among residents, they, too,

experienced long-term growth. The second is that these initial growth periods are quite long,

extending over much longer periods than we should expect for populations responding to

opportunities for geographic expansion.

241   PSMC analyses excel at long time frames, but initial growth in residents and migrants

suggests a strong role for ecological factors. Global climate reconstruction data do not suggest that

there were similar long, steady trends during these time periods to match these thrush population

size changes (Lisiecki & Raymo, 2005; Fig. S3). Perhaps geographic space does make a difference, on

average, between the two life-history strategies. That these growth periods are long also suggests

the importance of ecological factors, though. For example, the boreal and subarctic woodland

breeding habitats of most *C. ustulatus swainsoni* and *C. minimus* expanded dramatically across North

America as glaciers receded since the last glacial maximum (LGM), a period of < 20 Kyr (Pielou,

1991). A spatial response of such rapidity, less than 1% of the time of the initial historic growth

phases of these two lineages (> 3 Myr; Table 1), leads us to infer that breeding range size alone is

not a satisfactory explanation for our results. This post-LGM expansion reflects census size, and not

effective population size (N_e), but it implies that a migratory *Catharus* lineage's matching (or

mapping) of N_e to average long-term available geographic space is not likely to take millions of years.

In other words, their comparatively recent rapid postglacial expansion into new space suggests a sort

of preadaptation that is not apparent early in the lineages' histories. Together, these aspects of our

results suggest that while geographic factors are involved, ecological factors are also important.

Teasing these factors apart might also explain the finding of Germain et al. (2023a) that migrants in

general have had larger populations than residents during the last 0.67 my.

Identifying key **ecological mechanisms** affecting population sizes among migrants is

difficult, and they will likely vary among lineages. There are numerous factors potentially involved.

Biotic interactions in general show greater effects on range limits at the warmer than the cooler

edges (Paquette & Hargreaves, 2021). Long-distance migrants especially, in their extensive latitudinal

movements, would receive a range expansion advantage in this respect regardless of continental

landmass shape—and also through higher diel food harvest rates due to longer days and shorter

nights. Many additional ecological factors affect the population sizes of migrants versus residents,

but the relationships between seasonal migration and predators, parasites, diseases, and competitors

are extremely complex and key aspects remain poorly understood (Altizer et al., 2011; Newton,

2008).

Seasonal migration can be integrally related to predator avoidance, but among birds its

effects are not understood in the full, circannual context relative to resident relatives, and they are

not generalizable (Brönmark et al., 2008; McKinnon et al., 2010; Newton, 2008; Rappole, 2013).

Exposure to parasites and pathogens varies both spatially and seasonally. Although breeding range

diminishment of these exposures can be important in some migrants (probably not among all

lineages), full annual exposure to both disease and parasite diversity is likely higher, resulting in at

least some lineages showing larger immune defense organs (Bennett & Fallis, 1960; Figuerola &

Green, 2000; Jenkins et al., 2011; Møller & Erritzoe, 1998; Piersma, 1997; Ricklefs et al., 2017).

Exposure to higher circannual parasite and pathogen diversity might not be correlated with

susceptibility, however; migration creates a geographic break that can lower transmission, causing

migrants overall to be less vulnerable to population declines (Hall et al., 2014).

Presently, *C. minimus*, for example, shows an increased breeding and migratory prevalence

and diversity of avian malaria, a blood parasite, but aspects of this relationship probably changed

over the time scales involved (Pulgarín-R et al., 2019; Ricklefs et al., 2014). Avian migrants are more

likely to be affected by blood parasites in ecological rather than evolutionary time, although the latter

are also operating (Hellgren et al., 2007; Ricklefs et al., 2014). At the deeper times of avian families,

seasonal migration is an important factor affecting blood parasite-host coevolution by breaking

down the congruence of phylogenetic relationships between hosts and parasites, and there are also

important differences among biting-fly vectors and their transmission of avian blood parasite hosts

(Jenkins et al., 2011; Ricklefs et al., 2014). These issues suggest that reconstructing associations and

their effects in deep time will be difficult.

Interspecific competition has long been studied between migrants and residents, but its

potential role in affecting relative population sizes between the two groups is largely unknown

(Rappole, 2013). As close relatives, these thrushes are likely to be each others' closest competitors,

so it is conceivable that the increased relative abundance of migrants might depress realized

population sizes among residents. In this group, geographic ranges overlap broadly during the

nonbreeding season, but the tropical residents tend to occupy higher elevations than nonbreeding

migrants (Collar, 2005). Both interspecific competition and inland, montane, and mature-forest

retreats among older taxa are major factors in taxon cycles (Ricklefs & Bermingham, 2002; Ricklefs

& Cox, 1972; Wilson, 1961) and might also be operating in this system.

Conclusions

Paleodemographic analyses in a hypothesis-testing framework offer important new insights

into the effects of the major life-history trait of seasonal migration on long-term effective population

sizes. Our results indicate larger and more variable migrant populations relative to residents, and

these populations grow in a way suggesting an important role not only for seasonal migration and

geography, but also for evolutionary ecology. Although there are several plausible ecological

mechanisms, their relative importance is unknown. The differences we found are between group

averages, and variation among lineages includes residents that are migrant-like (*C. occidentalis*; Table 1,

Figs. 1, 2, S3) and vice-versa (e.g., *C. u. ustulatus*; Table 1, Fig. S3). Understanding why residents like

C. occidentalis show migrant-like historic population characteristics will help us understand the

mechanisms affecting these lineages. Such reasons could be as simple as realized range expansion

(i.e., geographic and ecological opportunity), and this hypothesis appears to fit the deeply split

eastern-western sister lineages within species in which smaller western ranges have smaller effective

population sizes (*C. guttatus* and *C. ustulatus*; Fig. S3). Or the reasons might be as complex as a lineage

dropping out of migration to become sedentary (*C. occidentalis* is sister to the migrant *C. guttatus*; Fig.

1).

It is clear that seasonally migratory lineages in this system have, on average, fundamentally

different effective population size attributes through evolutionary time than closely related resident

lineages. Population structure is probably not a main driver of these results. What remains unclear

are the relative roles of geographic and ecological expansions in causing these differences. Both are

likely important. More studies in other systems will be needed to tease these roles apart and to

determine which ecological mechanisms are involved.

What other patterns will emerge across geographic, temporal, and phylogenetic space?

Further sampling among other closely related groups containing mixed lineages of migrants and

residents are needed, as are complementary approaches to reconstructing demographic history, using

more individuals per lineage and other population genomic methods applicable to that type of

sampling (e.g., Beichman et al., 2017; Delmore et al., 2020; Marchi et al., 2021). Such studies will also

help determine the relative contributions of seasonal migration and its geographic and ecological

expansion components in the context of what appears to be a normal tendency for early lineage

growth (Figs. 1, S3, Table 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The thrush lineages in our study are from the genus *Catharus* and its sister, the single species

in the genus *Hylocichla*. These comprise Nearctic-Neotropic seasonal migrants and Neotropical

residents. Among the latter, some lineages (*C. aurantiirostris*, *occidentalis*, *frantzii*, and *mexicanus*) have

some populations that exhibit some short-distance migration—likely partial migration or hard-

weather movements, either in elevation or with extreme northern populations moving south (Collar,

2005). These lineages thus comprise two groups, which for simplicity we will refer to as 'seasonal

migrants' and 'residents': seasonal migrants with extensive latitudinal movements, and residents that

are either wholly sedentary or with some individuals moving rather limited distances within the

Neotropics. The eight migrant lineages are: *Hylocichla mustelina*, *Catharus fuscescens*, *C. guttatus* E

(eastern lineage), *C. guttatus* W (western lineage), *C. minimus*, *C. ustulatus swainsoni*, *C. u. ustulatus*, and

C. bicknelli. (Note that four of these lineages represent two deeply divergent lineages each within

what are currently considered two biological species, *C. guttatus* and *C. ustulatus*; Topp et al., 2013).

The six resident lineages are: *Catharus aurantiirostris*, *C. fuscater*, *C. frantzii*, *C. gracilirostris*, *C. mexicanus*,

and *C. occidentalis*. Over the full evolutionary history of this *Hylocichla-Catharus* clade, changes among

lineages occurred in the trait of long-distance migration (Outlaw et al., 2003; Voelker et al., 2013;

Winker and Pruett, 2006; Fig. 1). Our study does not assume that this trait was constant within each

lineage after the speciation events when these switches occurred. Instead, we consider that for a

major trait like long-distance migration, losses and gains at the full lineage level are likely to be

infrequent, and that current evidence of trait distribution on a phylogeny provides a powerful

comparative framework in which to elucidate the effects of that trait on other lineage attributes.

DNA was extracted from high-quality voucher specimens or blood samples for 14 thrush

lineages (Table S1). Whole-genome sequencing libraries were constructed using Nextera (Illumina)

DNA Flex Library Prep kits, and libraries were sequenced on a NovaSeq S4 (Illumina, San Diego,

CA) using paired-end 150 bp reads. Reads were aligned to the *C. ustulatus* genome (inland subspecies,

Reference bCatUst1, NCBI PRJNA613294) using bwa (mem algorithm with default settings, Li and

Durbin 2009). We did not use a maskfile. Identical treatment of all lineages in these respects should

provide a strong foundation for a comparative study like this among close relatives. Resulting .sam

files were converted to .bam format with samtools (Li et al., 2009); picardtools was used to clean,

sort and add read groups to .bam files (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Between 97 and

98% of reads mapped to the reference for an average read depth of 23 × (range 15.9-29.8 ×).

We analyzed whole-genomic data from the .bam files using the pairwise sequentially

Markovian coalescent (PSMC) approach in the software package psmc (Li & Durbin, 2011). This

analysis uses pairwise (allelic) estimates of coalescent times for each locus across the genome in a

nonoverlapping manner, developing a distribution of times to most recent common ancestor

(TMRCAs), and the frequency of these coalescent events is inversely proportional to effective

population size (Li & Durbin, 2011; Mather et al., 2020).

We used a generation time of 2.5 yr, taken as an average among many of these species

(Dellinger et al., 2020; Evans et al., 2020; Mack & Yong, 2020; Saether et al., 2005; Townsend et al.,

2020; Whitaker et al., 2020), and a mutation rate of 2.3×10^{-9} mutations per site per year (Smeds et

al., 2016). Single individuals represent lineage characteristics using this approach (Li & Durbin, 2011;

Mazet et al., 2015; Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2015). Importantly for our focal questions,

variations in these parameters do not affect the shape of the curve of population sizes (N_e) through

time, but rather the values of the time and N_e estimates (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2015). Being

closely related and of similar size, we expect these taxa to have very similar generation times and

mutation rates (e.g., Bird et al., 2020; Healy et al., 2014). However, seasonal migration can have an

effect on survival and thus generation time, and Bird et al. (2020) produced modeled estimates for

this group, providing an alternate approach. The basis for these modeled values is tiny, and the

accuracy of the modeled results uncertain, so we use these estimates with caution. But as a check on

our results, we ran all of our analyses again with the variable generation times given by Bird et al.

(2020). As expected, most of the differences are time-related, and, importantly, the overall results are

not different (Table S2).

Hilgers et al. (2024) recently showed that artifactual peaks can occur due to recent time

parameter settings in the PSMC program (Li & Durbin 2011) that are often used as a default setting.

They recommended that such recent peaks can be eliminated by breaking the first atomic time

interval (typically 4) into either two (2+2) or four (1+1+1+1) smaller windows. We had four lineages

that appeared to have such peaks: *H. mustelina*, *C. fuscescens*, *C. guttatus* E, and *C. gracilirostris*. For the

latter three, breaking the recent period parameter into four windows (1+1+1+1) eliminated these

peaks. For *H. mustelina*, smaller windows (2+2 and 1+1+1+1) made the recent peak even higher, so

we have used the default setting (the most conservative result), as with the remaining taxa that did

not show such peaks.

The PSMC method is not foolproof; for example, aspects of selection, inbreeding, and

isolation can affect population size estimates (Mather et al., 2020). No method of genomic

population demographic reconstruction is known to be consistently and reliably accurate (Marchi et

al., 2021), but uniform application of a powerful method like PSMC to genome-scale data in a

closely related group of lineages can provide new insights into the evolutionary effects of major life-

history strategies.

For all variables, PSMC output for times more recent than 50 Kya was ignored, because

recent estimates of effective population size using this method are less reliable (Li & Durbin, 2011;

Nadachowska-Brzyska et al., 2016). We consider this to be a conservative cutoff date. Our two focal

variables, mean effective population size (N_e) and the coefficient of variation (SD/mean), were

obtained from standard PSMC output. Our examination of early population growth involved

deriving two additional variables from the PSMC output: degree of initial growth (1 - (N_{trough}/N_{peak})),

and rate of growth (degree/time period over which initial growth occurred; the latter we term *deltaT*;

Fig. S2).

We note that estimates of the dates of divergence events in *Catharus* evolution vary by study,

genetic marker, methodology, and parameter estimates such as generation time and mutation or

substitution rates (Outlaw et al., 2003; Topp et al., 2013; Voelker et al., 2013; Winker and Pruett,

2006). Date estimates in our study that differ from previous work reflect these methodological

issues, and here it is the relative values among lineages within our study that are most important.

We tested the assumption of phylogenetic independence of continuous characters obtained

from the PSMC analyses using a phylogeny reconstructed using maximum likelihood from 1.5 Mb

of autosomal sequence from chromosome 22 in MEGA X (Kumar et al. 2018; Fig. 1), which

produced the expected topology given prior work (e.g., Everson et al., 2019), and, for phylogenetic

independence, the Abouheif-Moran approach (Abouheif, 1999; Münkenmüller et al., 2012) as

implemented in the R package adephylo (Jombart et al., 2010). For those variables not showing

phylogenetic signal, we tested our hypotheses using a one-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test. This

nonparametric test has the advantage of not requiring that particular assumptions are met (e.g.,

homogeneity of variance), nor precise measurements (thus accommodating uncertainty in our N_e

estimates; Sokal & Rohlf, 1995; Whitlock & Schluter, 2015).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Field Museum of Natural History, the Museum of Southwestern Biology, the

Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science, and the University of Alaska Museum for

tissue loans. Fern Spaulding, Symcha Gillette, and Andrew Hillhouse kindly assisted with lab work.

John Rappole provided helpful correspondence, and comments from three anonymous reviewers

helped us improve the manuscript. We also thank Mark Balman and BirdLife International for the

data used in creating the distribution maps in Figure S1. The Kessel Fund (University of Alaska

Fairbanks), Texas A&M University, and the U.S. National Science Foundation (IOS-2143004)

provided support for this work.

REFERENCES

432
433   Abouheif E. 1999. A method for testing the assumption of phylogenetic independence in
434   comparative data. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **1**:895-909.

435
436 Altizer S, Bartel R, Han BA. 2011. Animal migration and infectious disease risk. *Science* **331**:296-302.
437
438 Beichman AC, Phung TN, Lohmueller KE. 2017. Comparison of single genome and allele
439 frequency data reveals discordant demographic histories. *Genes Genomes Genetics* **7**:3605-3620.
440
441 Belliure J, Sorci G, Møller AP, Clobert J. 2000. Dispersal distances predict subspecies richness in
442 birds. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **13**:480–487.
443
444 Bennett GM, Fallis AM. 1960. Blood parasites of birds in Algonquin Park, Canada, and a discussion
445 of their transmission. *Can. J. Zool.* **38**:261-273.
446
447 Bird JP, Martin R, Akçakaya HR, Gilroy J, Burfield IJ, Garnett ST, Symes A, Taylor J, Şekercioğlu
448 ÇH, Butchart SHM. 2020. Generation lengths of the world's birds and their implications for
449 extinction risk. *Conservation Biology* **34**:1252-1261.
450
451 BirdLife International and the Handbook of the Birds of the World. 2021. Bird species distribution
452 maps of the world. Version 2021.1. Available at http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis.
453
454 Blain SA, Justen H, Easton W, Delmore KE. 2024. Reduced hybrid survival in a migratory divide
455 between songbirds. *Ecology Letters* **27**:e14420.
456
457 Brönmark C, Skov C, Brodersen J, Nilsson PA, Hansson L-A. 2008. Seasonal migration determined
458 by a trade-off between predator avoidance and growth. *PLoS ONE* **3**:e1957.
459 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001957
460
461 Colinvaux PA, De Oliveira PE, & Bush MB. 2000. Amazonian and neotropical plant communities
462 on glacial time-scales: The failure of the aridity and refuge hypotheses. *Quaternary Science Reviews*
463 **19**:141-169.
464
465 Collar NJ. 2005. Family Turdidae (thrushes). Pp. 514-807 *in Handbook of the Birds of the World*, Volume
466 10 (J. del Hoyo et al., eds.). Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.
467
468 Dellinger R, Wood PB, Jones PW, Donovan TM. 2020. Hermit Thrush (*Catharus guttatus*), version
469 1.0. In Birds of the World (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
470 https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.herthr.01
471
472 Delmore K, Winker K. 2022. *Catharus* genomes project; NCBI-SRA; Version (pending); doi:
473 pending.
474
475 Delmore K, Illera JC, Pérez-Tris J, Segelbacher G, Ramos JSL, Durieux G, Ishigohoka J, Liedvogel
476 M. 2020. The evolutionary history and genomics of European blackcap migration. *eLife* **9**:e54462.
477
Evans M, Gow E, Roth RR, Johnson MS, Underwood TJ. 2020.. Wood Thrush (*Hylocichla mustelina*),
version 1.0. In Birds of the World (A. F. Poole, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY,
USA. https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.woothr.01

Everson KM, McLaughlin JF, Cato IA, Evans MM, Gastaldi AR, Mills KK, Shink KG, Wilbur SM,
Winker K. 2019. Speciation, gene flow, and seasonal migration in *Catharus* thrushes (Aves:
Turdidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **139**: 106564.

Figuerola J, Green AJ. 2000. Hematozoan parasites and migratory behavior in waterfowl. *Evolutionary*
Ecology **14**:143-153.

Germain RR, Shaohong F, Buffan L, Carmona CP, Chen G, Graves GR, Tobias JA, Rahbek C, et al.
2023a. Changes in the functional diversity of modern bird species over the last million years.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA **120**:e2201945119.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201945119

Germain RR, Shaohong F, Buffan L, Carmona CP, Chen G, Graves GR, Tobias JA, Rahbek C, et al.
2023b. Species-specific traits mediate avian demographic responses under past climate change.
Nature Ecology and Evolution **7**:862-872.

Greenberg R. 1980. Demographic aspects of long-distance migration. Pp. 493-503 *in* A. Keast and
E. S. Morton, eds. *Migrant Birds in the Neotropics*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C.

Hall RJ, Altizer S, Bartel RA. 2014. Greater migratory propensity in hosts lowers pathogen
transmission and impacts. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **83**:1068-1077. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12204

Healy K, Guillerme T, Finlay S, Kane A, Kelly SBA, McClean D, Kelly DJ, Donohue I, Jackson A
L, Cooper N. 2014. Ecology and mode-of-life explain lifespan variation in birds and mammals.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B **281**:20140298.

Hellgren O, Waldenström J, Peréz-Tris J, Ösi ES, Hasselquist D, Krizanauskiene A, Ottosson U,
Bensch S. 2007. Detecting shifts of transmission areas in avian blood parasites—a phylogenetic
approach. *Molecular Ecology* **16**:1281-1290.

Hewitt GM. 2000. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary. *Nature* **405**:907-913.

Hilgers L, Liu S, Jensen A, Brown T, Cousins T, Schweiger R, Guschanski K, Hiller M. 2024.
Avoidable false PSMC population size peaks occur across numerous studies. *bioRxiv*
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.17.599025

Jenkins T, Thomas GH, Hellgren O, Owens IPF. 2011. Migratory behavior of birds affects their
coevolutionary relationship with blood parasites. *Evolution* **66**:740-751.

Jombart T, Balloux F, Dray S. 2010. *adephylo*: new tools for investigating the phylogenetic signal in
biological traits. *Bioinformatics* **26**:1907-1909.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq292

Justen H, Easton W, Delmore KE. 2024. Mapping seasonal migration in a songbird hybrid zone;
heritability, genetic correlations and genomic patterns linked to speciation. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences **121**:e2313442121.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**:1547-1549.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.
Bioinformatics **25**:1754–1760.

Li H, Durbin R. 2011. Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome
sequences. *Nature* **475**:493-496.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and
SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078-2079.

Lisiecki LE, Raymo ME. 2005. A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic $\delta^{18}O$
records. *Paleoceanography* **20**: PA1003. doi:10.1029/2004PA001071.

Marchi N, Schlichta F, Excoffier L. 2021. Demographic inference. *Current Biology* **31**:R267-R281.

Mack DE, Yong W. 2020. Swainson's Thrush (*Catharus ustulatus*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World
(A. F. Poole and F. B. Gill, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.swathr.01

Mather N, Traves SM, Ho SYW. 2020. A practical introduction to sequentially Markovian coalescent
methods for estimating demographic history from genomic data. *Ecology and Evolution* **10**:579-589.

Mayr E. 1963. *Animal Species and Evolution.* Belknap Press, Harvard University, Cambridge,
Massachusetts.

Mazet O, Rodriguez W, Chikhi L. 2015. Demographic inference using genetic data from a single
individual: Separating population size variation from population structure. *Theoretical Population Biology*
104:46–58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2015.06.003

Mazet O, Rodriguez W, Grusea S, Boitard S, Chikhi L. 2016. On the importance of being structured:
instantaneous coalescence rates and human evolution—lessons for ancestral population size
inference. *Heredity* **116**:362-371. doi:10.1038/hdy.2015.104

McKinnon L, Smith PA, Nol E, Martin JL, Doyle FI, Abraham KF, Gilchrist HG, Morrison RIG,
Bêty J. 2010. Lower predation risk for migratory birds at high latitudes. *Science* **327**:326-327.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1183010

Møller AP, Erritzoe J. 1998. Host immune defense and migration in birds. *Evolutionary Ecology* **12**:
945-953.

Montgomery TH Jr. 1896. Extensive migration in birds as a check upon the production of

geographical varieties. *American Naturalist* **30**:458–464.

Münkenmüller T, Lavergne S, Bzeznik B, Dray S, Jombart T, Schiffers K, Thuiller W. 2012. How to measure and test phylogenetic signal. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**:743-756.

Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Li C, Smeds L, Zhang G, Ellegren H. 2015. Temporal dynamics of avian populations during Pleistocene revealed by whole-genome sequences. *Current Biology* **25**:1375-1380.

Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Burri R, Smeds L, Ellegren H. 2016. PSMC analysis of effective population sizes in molecular ecology and its application to black-and-white *Ficedula* flycatchers. *Molecular Ecology* **25**:1058-1072.

Nadachowska-Brzyska K, Konczal M, Babik W. 2022. Navigating the temporal continuum of effective population size. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **13**:22-41.

Newton I. 2008. *The Migration Ecology of Birds*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Outlaw DC, Voelker G, Mila B, Girman DJ. 2003. Evolution of long-distance migration in and historical biogeography of Catharus thrushes: A molecular phylogenetic approach. *Auk* **120**:299-310.

Paquette A, Hargreaves AL. 2021. Biotic interactions are more often important at species' warm versus cool range edges. *Ecology Letters* **24**:2427-2438.

Pegan TM, Winger BM. 2020. The influence of seasonal migration on range size in temperate North American passerines. *Ecography* **43**:1191-1202.

Pepke ML, Irstedt M, Fjeldså J, Rahbek C, Jønsson KA. 2019. Reconciling supertramps, great speciators and relict species within the taxon cycle stages of a large island radiation (Aves: Campephagidae). *Journal of Biogeography* **46**:1214-1225.

Pérez-Tris J, Bensch S, Carbonell R, Helbig AJ, Tellería JL. 2004. Historical diversification of migration patterns in a passerine bird. *Evolution* **58**:1819-1832.

Pielou EC. 1991. *After the Ice Age: The return of Life to Glaciated North America*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Piersma T. 1997. Do global patterns of habitat use and migration strategies co-evolve with relative investments in immunocompetence due to spatial variation in parasite pressure? *Oikos* **80**:623–631. https://doi.org/10.2307/3546640

Pulgarín-R PC, Gómez C, Bayly NJ, Bensch S, FitzGerald AM, Starkloff N, Kirchman JJ, González-Prieto AM, Hobson KA, Ungvari-Martin J, Skeen H, Castaño MI, Cadena CD. 2019. Migratory birds as vehicles for parasite dispersal? Infection by avian haemosporidians over the year and throughout the range of a long-distance migrant. *Journal of Biogeography* 46:83–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13453

Rappole JH. 2013. *The Avian Migrant: The Biology of Bird Migration*. Columbia University Press, New
York.

Ricklefs RE, Cox GW. 1972. Taxon cycles in the West Indian avifauna. *American Naturalist* **106**:195-
219.

Ricklefs RE, Bermingham E. 2002. The concept of the taxon cycle in biogeography. *Global Ecology*
and Biogeography **11**:353-361.

Ricklefs RE, Outlaw DC, Svensson-Coelho M, Medeiros MC, Ellis VA, Latta S. 2014. Species
formation by host shifting in avian malaria parasites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*
111:14816-14821.

Ricklefs RE, Medeiros M, Ellis VA, Svensson-Coelho M, Blake JG, Loiselle BA, Soares L, Fecchio
A, Outlaw D, Marra PP, Latta SC, Valkiūnas G, Hellgren O, Bensch S. 2017. Avian migration and
the distribution of malaria parasites in New World passerine birds. *Journal of Biogeography* **44**:1113-
1123.

Ruegg K, Anderson EC, Boone J, Pouls J, Smith TB. 2014. A role for migration linked genes and
genomic islands in divergence of a songbird. *Molecular Ecology* **23**:4757–4769.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12842.

Saether B-E, Lande R, Engen S, Weimerskirsch H, Lillegård M, Altwegg R, Becker PH, Bregnballe J,
et al. 2005. Generation time and temporal scaling of bird population dynamics. *Nature* **436**:99-102.

Sandercock BK, Jaramillo A. 2002. Annual survival rates of wintering sparrows: Assessing
demographic consequences of migration. *Auk* **119**:149-165.

Smeds L, Qvarnström A, Ellegren H. 2016. Direct estimate of the rate of germline mutation in a
bird. *Genome Research* **26**:1211-1218.

Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1995. *Biometry: The Principles and Practice of Statistics in Biological Research*, 3rd ed. W.
H. Freeman and Company, New York.

Termignoni-Garcia F, Kirchman JJ, Clark J, Edwards SV. 2022. Comparative population genomics
of cryptic speciation and adaptive divergence in Bicknell's and Gray-cheeked thrushes (Aves:
Catharus bicknelli and *Catharus minimus*). *Genome Biol Evol*. **14**:evab255. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evab255.

Topp CM, Pruett CL, McCracken KG, Winker K. 2013. How thrushes conquered North America:
A comparative phylogeography approach. *PeerJ* 1:e206. http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.206

Townsend JM, McFarland KP, Rimmer CC, Ellison WG, Goetz JE. 2020. Bicknell's Thrush
(*Catharus bicknelli*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (P. G. Rodewald, Editor). Cornell Lab of
Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA. https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.bicthr.01

Voelker G, Bowie RC, Klicka J. 2013. Gene trees, species trees and Earth history combine to shed

light on the evolution of migration in a model avian system. *Molecular Ecology* **22**:3333–3344.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12305.
667
668   Wakeley J. 1999. Nonequilibrium migration in human history. *Genetics* **153**:1863-1871.
669
670   Whitaker DM, Warkentin IG, McDermott JPB, Lowther PE, Rimmer CC, Kessel B, Johnson SL,
671   Ellison WG. 2020. Gray-cheeked Thrush (*Catharus minimus*), version 1.0. In Birds of the World (P.
672   G. Rodewald, Editor). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
673   https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.gycthr.01
674
675   Whitlock MC, Schluter D. 2015. *The Analysis of Biological Data*, 2nd ed. Roberts and Company
676   Publishers, Greenwood Village, Colorado.
677
678   Wilson EO. 1961. The nature of the taxon cycle in the Melanesian any fauna. *American Naturalist*
679   **95**:169-193.
680
681   Winker K. 2000. Migration and speciation. *Nature* **404**:36.
682
683   Winker K. 2010. On the origin of species through heteropatric differentiation: A review and a model
684   of speciation in migratory animals. *Ornithological Monographs* **69**:1-30.
685
686   Winker K, Pruett CL. 2006. Seasonal migration, speciation, and morphological convergence in the
687   *Catharus* thrushes (Aves: Turdidae). *Auk* **123**:1052-1068.
688

Tables and Figures

Table 1. Data from pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses reflecting effective population sizes ($N_e \times 10^4$) through history at depths > 50 Kyr and the five variables derived and analyzed from that output. Taxa shaded in gray are Neotropical residents.

Figure 1. The phylogenetic tree of the songbird lineages in this study, from the genera *Catharus* and *Hylocichla*. Neotropical residents are shaded in gray.

Figure 2. Partial historic effective population size curves from all lineages in this study, based on pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses. Each peak of initial growth is set to zero years to set a common framework in which to visualize the periods and magnitudes of initial growth among migrant (red) and resident (blue) lineages. See specific lineages with their bootstrapped results in Fig. S2.

Figure 3. Historic effective population size curves from all lineages, based on pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses. Migrant lineages are in red and resident lineages in blue. See specific lineages with their bootstrapped results in Fig. S2.

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Specimen data and NCBI-SRA numbers. Vouchered specimens are housed in the following institutions: UAM (University of Alaska Museum), MSB (Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico), LSUMNS (Louisiana State University Museum of Natural Science), and FMNH (Field Museum of Natural History).

Table S2. Data from pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses reflecting effective population sizes ($N_e \times 10^4$) through history at depths > 50 Kyr and the five variables derived and analyzed from that output, using variable generation times from Bird et al. (2020) for the analyses. Taxa shaded in gray are Neotropical residents.

Figure S1. Distribution maps of the thrush taxa in this study. Among seasonal migrants, green indicates breeding range and yellow is wintering range. Among sedentary lineages (those shaded in gray), purple indicates year-round range. Data are from BirdLife International and the Handbook of the Birds of the World (2021), Bird species distribution maps of the world. Version 2021.1. Available at http://datazone.birdlife.org/species/requestdis.

Figure S2. Graphic presentation of the *Catharus minimus* PSMC dataset, showing effective population size ($N_e \times 10^4$) from 50 kyr back in time to the lineages' origins as estimated from genomic data. The variables in our analyses are the mean and SD of the effective population size (N_e) values, *deltaT* of initial growth (time$_{trough}$ – time$_{peak}$), the degree of that growth (1 – [N$_{trough}$/N$_{peak}$]), and the rate of that growth (degree/*deltaT*).

Figure S3. Montage of the historic effective population size curves of all lineages analyzed in this study, with each lineage in a separate panel (based on pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent, or

735 PSMC, analyses). Sedentary lineages are highlighted in gray. Note that scales on both axes vary
736 among panels, and that the X axis is on a log scale. Bold red lines are the main curves from the
737 original data, and pink lines reflect 100 replicates from bootstrapped sequences. Bold red curves are
738 all overlaid on common axes in the single panel of Fig. 2.
739

740 Table 1. Data from pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses reflecting effective
741 population sizes ($N_e \times 10^4$) through history at depths > 50 Kyr and the five variables derived and
742 analyzed from that output. Taxa shaded in gray are Neotropical residents.
743

Taxon	Mean N_e (± SD)	SD/mean	Degree of early growth 1 - (N_{trough}/N_{peak})	Rate of early growth degree/deltaT	deltaT
Hylocichla mustelina	35.63 (± 20.11)	0.56	0.86	2.92E-07	2,946,862
Catharus fuscescens	95.59 (± 50.99)	0.53	0.83	1.93E-07	4,284,020
C. guttatus E	75.34 (± 65.69)	0.87	0.90	4.48E-07	2,021,768
C. guttatus W	39.72 (± 24.20)	0.61	0.81	3.28E-07	2,477,684
C. minimus	63.71 (± 28.83)	0.45	0.78	2.17E-07	3,594,914
C. ustulatus swainsoni	76.61 (± 66.61)	0.87	0.79	2.12E-07	3,740,764
C. ustulatus ustulatus	39.56 (± 12.15)	0.31	0.63	2.14E-07	2,943,763
C. bicknelli	46.90 (± 24.12)	0.51	0.78	2.79E-07	2,781,554
C. aurantiirostris	12.38 (± 2.83)	0.23	-0.75	-1.00E-06	747,451
C. fuscater	11.38 (± 1.66)	0.15	0.39	6.18E-07	627,449
C. frantzii	24.71 (± 8.41)	0.34	0.17	2.20E-07	774,024
C. gracilirostris	29.98 (± 9.28)	0.31	0.58	3.52E-07	1,637,663
C. mexicanus	37.10 (± 16.18)	0.44	0.75	3.34E-07	2,250,295
C. occidentalis	76.40 (± 53.14)	0.70	0.91	2.11E-07	4,292,405
Means (± SD)					
migrants	59.34 (± 35.70)*	0.57 (± 0.20)*	0.798 (± 0.08)*	2.73E-7 (± 0.85E-7)	3,098,906 (± 732,563)*
residents	31.99 (± 15.25)	0.36 (± 0.19)	0.341 (± 0.59)	1.23E-7 (± 5.69E-7)	1,721,547 (+ 1,409,939)

* $p < 0.05$.

744
745
746
747

748   Figure 1. The phylogenetic tree of the songbird lineages in this study, from the genera *Catharus* and
749   *Hylocichla*. Neotropical residents are shaded in gray.
750

751
752

753
754

755
756
757 Figure 2. Partial historic effective population size curves from all lineages in this study, based on
758 pairwise sequentially Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses. Each peak of initial growth is set to
759 zero years to set a common framework in which to visualize the periods and magnitudes of initial
760 growth among migrant (red) and resident (blue) lineages. See specific lineages with their
761 bootstrapped results in Fig. S2.
762

764
765
766 Figure 3. Historic effective population size curves from all lineages, based on pairwise sequentially
767 Markovian coalescent (PSMC) analyses. Migrant lineages are in red and resident lineages in blue. See
768 specific lineages with their bootstrapped results in Fig. S2.