Exploring Quorum-Sensing-Based Bacterial GMOs for Addressing Bovine Mastitis: A Developmental Approach.

Adrija Adhikary [1+], **Abeg Dutta** [1*+], **Udit Ghosh** [1+], **Sourin Chatterjee** [2+], **Shreyash Borkar** [1+], **Shubhamay Das** [1+]

[1]*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Department of Biological Sciences, Kolkata, India*

[2]*Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kolkata, India*

[+]*Authors contributed equally*

Correspondence*:
Abeg Dutta
ad19ms155@iiserkol.ac.in

2 ABSTRACT

Bovine mastitis, a prevalent and costly disease affecting dairy cows, severely impacts milk production, quality, and cow health and poses significant challenges to the dairy industry worldwide. Traditional treatment strategies relying on antibiotics face concerns of antibiotic resistance and residual antibiotic residues in dairy products. Therefore, there is an urgent need for innovative and sustainable approaches. Our study undertakes a preliminary investigation into the formulation and efficacy of a GMO-based antibiotic-free treatment in fighting Bovine mastitis. We propose to use the bioengineered defensin Nisin PV as the antimicrobial to specifically target the causative pathogen *Staphylococcus aureus*. To disrupt the biofilm formation, we have leveraged the potency of DNaseI. By hacking the quorum sensing technique of *Staphylococcus aureus*, our proposed genetically modified bacteria would sense the presence of pathogens and initiate their eradication by producing Nisin PV and DNaseI. Results show the efficacy of DNase I against bacterial biofilm formation, in addition to the efficacy of our proposed sensor, which is based on the agr quorum sensing system of *Staphylococcus aureus*, a major cause of bovine mastitis. Molecular dynamics and simulations show that our choice of the bacterial defensin, Nisin PV, is less susceptible to cleavage by bacterial strains resistant to the native bacteriocin Nisin A. Additionally, we theoretically propose a lysis-based kill switch to ensure the release of the therapeutic components. The solution proposed in this study has the potential to offer a sustainable and effective alternative to antibiotic-based treatments. Implementation of bioengineered defensins could reduce disease incidence, minimize economic losses, and promote responsible antimicrobial stewardship in the dairy industry.

Keywords: Autoinducing Peptide I (AIP-I), Genetically Modified Organism (GMO), Bovine Mastitis (BM), Nisin, DNase I, Quorum sensing (QS), Biofilm

1   INTRODUCTION

Bovine mastitis, an inflammatory disease of the mammary glands, continues to pose significant challenges
to the dairy industry worldwide. It is one of the most prevalent and costly diseases affecting dairy cows,
leading to substantial economic losses and compromised milk quality (Halasa et al., 2007). As per a study
conducted in the central region of India associated with dairy animals suffering from subclinical bovine
mastitis, it was analyzed that 48.53% and 36.57% of the overall loss per lactation were due to the reduction
in milk quantity due to disease progression and costs incurred by veterinary treatment, respectively. Based
on population statistics and the incidence of mastitis seen in the study, the researchers projected the annual
economic losses to be more than thousands of dollars for Maharashtra and Pune alone (Sinha et al., 2014).
In another study constituting 86% of the dairy cow population of the United States, it was noted that
more than 350 kg of milk loss was suffered by each cow in a year due to mastitis (Blosser, 1979). A
comprehensive understanding of the economic implications of mastitis based on a model assessed on an
average dairy farm in the Netherlands calculated the average losses as €17–198 per cow in a year (Huijps
et al., 2008). In biological terms, mastitis is defined as inflammation of the parenchyma of the mammary
glands. Initially, the pathogens infect the udder tissue, multiply, and finally attack the host immune system,
leading to such inflammation (Thompson-Crispi et al., 2014). Often referred to as the silent killer of cows,
bovine mastitis can occur in four stages, namely, infection, subclinical bovine mastitis, clinical bovine
mastitis, and recurrent clinical mastitis. The progression of the disease to the clinical and further stages
makes the treatment of bovines extremely difficult (Girma and Tamir, 2022). A better approach would be to
treat the disease at an early stage. In this study, we frame a potential novel approach to harness an inherent
property of the causative organism for treating the disease at the early subclinical stage.

Due to its lack of visible symptoms, subclinical bovine mastitis renders no apparent physical signs of
inflammation or abnormality in the affected udder. Despite being conventionally undetectable, it has a major
impact on the ability of the affected cows to produce milk, the quality of their milk, and their general health.
The detrimental effects on milk production, milk quality, and human nutrition can be lessened with early
detection and effective therapeutic strategies for subclinical mastitis (Paramasivam et al., 2022). Despite
the presence of several causative pathogens, bacterial pathogens plays the largest role. *Staphylococcus*
aureus and *Streptococcus agalactiae* are contagious pathogens, characterized by subclinical infections
and adaptive to the udder environment. On the other hand, *Streptococcus uberis* and *Escherichia coli* are
considered environmental pathogens (Kibebew, 2017). A study conducted in Ethiopia also confirmed that
the majority of the isolates, i.e., 44.9%, were positive for *Staphylococcus aureus*. Other species, such as,
Streptococcus spp. and gram-negative *Escherichia coli* followed the list with an abundance of 25.3% and
8.8% respectively (Birhanu et al., 2017)

However, traditional treatment strategies, relying heavily on antibiotics, have encountered growing
concerns due to the emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the potential for residual antibiotic
residues in dairy products. *Staphylococcus aureus* has also become increasingly antibiotic-resistant to
different spectrums of antibiotics, which has further aggravated the situation (for Disease Control et al.,
2002; Guo et al., 2020). The acquisition of resistance genes by horizontal gene transfer is one of the primary
methods for antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. In addition to it, *Staphylococcus aureus* can
create biofilms, which are complex bacterial populations encased in a protective matrix (Lister and Horswill,
2014). Antibiotic penetration and efficacy can be hampered by biofilms, which act as a physical barrier.
Antibiotic usage also puts *Staphylococcus aureus* populations under selection pressure. Antibiotic use
over an extended period of time may encourage the survival and spread of resistant populations that
have developed resistance mechanisms. This can happen by selectively eliminating the bacteria that are

susceptible, allowing the resistant ones to flourish and proliferate (Águila-Arcos et al., 2017; Lowy et al.,
2003). Consequently, there is an urgent need for innovative and sustainable approaches to combat bovine
mastitis.

In recent years, bioengineering has emerged as a promising field for the development of novel therapeutic
strategies (Field et al., 2015; Cruz et al., 2022). Defensins have garnered substantial attention among the
various bioengineered molecules due to their potent antimicrobial properties and significant roles in innate
immunity. Naturally occurring defensins, small cationic peptides, exhibit broad-spectrum antimicrobial
activity against a wide range of pathogens, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Their ability to rapidly
kill invading microorganisms while modulating the host immune response makes them ideal candidates for
combating infectious diseases (Ben´ıtez-Chao et al., 2021).

In our approach, we propose to exploit the inherent quorum-sensing technique of *Staphylococcus*
aureus for sensing the presence of pathogens. The introduction of the two-component agrC-agrA signal
transduction system into our proposed chassis, *Lactococcus lactis* LMG 7930, would enable it to sense
the auto-inducing peptide I(AIP-I) molecules produced by the pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus*. This
strain was previously used as a potential probiotic and has been shown to reduce inflammation due to
mastitis when injected into the udder (Armas et al., 2017). Following sensing, the killing of pathogens can
be achieved using a combination of DNase I and Nisin PV, a bioengineered form of the bacteriocin Nisin A
that is resistant to Nisin Resistance Protein (NSR) produced by *Streptococcus uberis* (Pérez-Ibarreche
et al., 2021). These genes are then incorporated along with the quorum sensing unit to build the proposed
genetic circuit. Expression of these proteins is regulated by the P2 promoter which is activated in the
presence of AIP-I by phosphorylated agrA. The incorporation of a Lysis E7-based kill switch ensures the
rupturing of the chassis organism and allows the involved gene products to be released out of the cell. Lysis
E7 gene would be placed under a phosphorylated agrA sensitive weak promoter P3, such that the rate of
production of Lysis E7 is lesser compared to DNase I and Nisin PV, allowing their accumulation prior to
release by lysis. The agrC-agrA component is designed to be oriented opposite to the P2 and P3 promoters
to prevent leaky gene expression. The gene circuit can be seen in Figure 1. The proposed strategy in this
research holds great potential for revolutionizing the management of bovine mastitis.

By harnessing the power of bioengineering, we aim to develop a sustainable and effective alternative to
conventional antibiotic-based treatment. The successful implementation of a bioengineered defensin as a
therapeutic agent against bovine mastitis could significantly reduce disease incidence, minimize economic
losses, and promote responsible antimicrobial stewardship in the dairy industry.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

100 All the resource material can be accessed from Supplementary Material.

2.2 Construction of the gene circuit

102 Cloning of all biological parts was done using a standardized cloning protocol with various steps
103 elaborated as follows.

2.2.1 Preparation of Competent Cells:

A single colony was picked and inoculated in 10ml of Lysogeny Broth (LB). This was grown at 37°C
overnight on a shaker. 1ml of this overnight culture was added to 100ml of prewarmed LB in a 500ml conical

flask and grown on a shaker at 37°C till the OD reached 0.5. The culture was then cooled for 5 minutes
on ice and transferred to clean falcon tubes. The cells were collected by centrifugation. The supernatant
was discarded and the cells were placed on ice. TFB-I buffer (composition available in supplementary
material) was used to resuspend the cells and they were inoculated on ice for 90 minutes. The cells were
then collected by centrifugation (5 minutes,4000 g, 4°C). The supernatant was discarded and the cells
were again incubated on ice. The cells were then resuspended in a TFB-2 buffer(composition available in
supplementary material) (ice cold). 100μl aliquots were prepared in 1.5ml centrifuge tubes and the cells
were stored at -80°C.

2.2.2 Plasmid Purification:

116 Plasmids were extracted using Wizard® *Plus* SV Minipreps DNA Purification System Kit from Promega
117 as per the manufacturer's instructions.

2.2.3 PCR Amplification:

119 The PCR mix with the PCR tubes are placed on ice. Before starting the PCR program, all the PCR tubes
120 are flicked and spun on a minispin centrifuge. The PCR program to be followed is as described in Figure 1
121 in the Supplementary material. The PCR mix used for amplification is described in Table 1.

2.2.4 PCR clean up:

123 DNA purification from PCR mixture was done using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System Kit
124 from Promega as per manufacturer's instructions.

2.2.5 Gel Extraction:

126 DNA purification from agarose gel was done using Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System Kit from
127 Promega as per manufacturer's instructions.

2.2.6 Restriction digestion:

129 The digestion mixture was prepared by combining the required components as per Table 2 and mixed
130 gently by light flicking. After mixing, a short spin was performed to collect any liquid that may have
131 collected on the sides. The digestion mixture was placed in a thermocycler and incubated for 5.5 hours at
132 37°C. Restriction enzymes from Promega were used.

2.2.7 Gel Electrophoresis:

Agarose (1g) is mixed with 100ml of 1X TAE(composition available in supplementary material) to
prepare a 1% Agarose gel. The mixture is then microwaved for 2-3 minutes until it completely dissolves,
with occasional swirling. It is important to avoid over-boiling to prevent evaporation and spillage. The
mixture is allowed to cool down to 50°C. EtBr is added to achieve a final concentration of 0.2-0.5 μg/ml.
The gel is cast in the gel tray and the comb is placed carefully. The gel is left at room temperature for 20-25
minutes until it solidifies properly. Loading dye is added to each sample. The gel box is filled with 1X TAE
buffer, and the solidified agarose gel is placed in the gel box, ensuring it is fully covered with the buffer. If
needed, an additional buffer is added. The ladder is loaded in the first lane, followed by careful loading of
the samples. The gel is run at 80-120 Volts until the dye line reaches approximately 75-80% of the way
down the gel (approximately 1-1.5 hours). The gel is then carefully removed and visualized using UV.

2.2.8 Ligation:

The components listed in the table 3 were assembled into a microcentrifuge tube placed on ice. It
is crucial to add T4 DNA Ligase at the end. The molar ratio of insert to the plasmid, as determined
using NEBioCalculator, is 3:1. The reaction is gently mixed by pipetting up and down, and then a brief
microcentrifuge spin is performed. If the DNA fragments have cohesive (sticky) ends, the mixture is
incubated at 16°C overnight. For blunt ends or single base overhangs as well, it is incubated at 16°C
overnight. After the incubation, the mixture is heat-inactivated at 65°C for 10 minutes. The mixture is
chilled on ice, and then 1-5 μl of the reaction is transformed into 50 μl of competent cells. The table 3 is
for a 20ul Reaction.

2.2.9 Transformation:

The competent cells (100μl) are taken out from -80°C storage and placed on ice. The ligation mixture
(10μl) or miniprep Plasmids (1 or 2 μl) are added to the 100μl competent cells by pipetting, ensuring
that the tip of the micropipette does not come in contact with the competent cells. The tube is closed and
the contents are mixed by gently tapping on the side of the tube. The tube is kept on ice for 30 minutes.
Meanwhile, the hot water bath is switched on and set to a temperature of 42°C, and the SOC media is
placed in the incubator at 37°C. The tube is placed in a floating rack and heat shocked for 45 seconds at
42°C, using a timer for accurate time measurement. The tube is immediately transferred to ice and kept for
5 minutes. Afterward, SOC media is added to bring the volume up to 1000μl, and the mixture is incubated
in shaking conditions at 37°C for 1.5 hours. Meanwhile, the agar plates are kept at room temperature. After
incubation, 100μl is taken from the tube and spread with a cell spreader. The remaining contents of the
tube are centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 3 minutes, the maximum supernatant is poured out, and the cell
pellets are mixed with the leftover supernatant using a pipette and spread on another agar plate. The plate
is then stored in an incubator at 37°C for 12-16 hours.

2.2.10 Colony PCR:

The PCR tubes were filled with 10μl of Nuclease-Free Water (NFW) in each tube, as required. The
culture plates with colonies were appropriately marked. A sterile tip was used to pick one colony and
streak it at the designated position on a new plate. Subsequently, the same tip was placed in the PCR tube
containing 10μl of NFW. An equal number of autoclave PCR tubes were taken as the number of picked
colonies and labeled accordingly. The reagents that were added to the PCR tubes to create a reaction
mixture of 25μl are in Table 4. The PCR tubes were spun on a minispin centrifuge before initiating the PCR
reaction. The PCR program that was followed is as per Figure 1 available in the supplementary material.

2.3 DNase I biofilm assay

2.3.1 Protocol for studying biofilm formation:

The microtiter plate assay was used to observe bacterial adherence to a surface. Bacteria were incubated
in 96-well plates, and after rinsing away the planktonic bacteria, the remaining adherent bacteria were
stained with crystal violet dye. Henceforth, visualization or quantification of the stained biofilms was
possible. To prepare the cultures, bacteria were inoculated into growth medium and grown overnight
at 37°C. Pseudomonas cultures were diluted to 0.1 OD and added to the wells of a 96-well dish. After
a 72-hour incubation period at 37°C, the cells were discarded, and the plate was washed with PBS to
remove unattached cells and media components. 0.1% Crystal violet dye was then added, followed by
incubation for 15 minutes and subsequent rinsing with PBS. The plate was dried, and the stained biofilms

were solubilized using 33% acetic acid and incubated for 15 minutes. The solubilized dye was transferred
to a new microtiter dish for measurement of absorbance at 595 nm using a plate reader, with 33% acetic
acid as the blank.

2.3.2   Standardisation of Biofilm assay :

A standard Biofilm test in a 96-well plate was used to characterize DNase I. We chose to utilize
Pseudomonas aeruginosa because of it being gram-negative and easily available. Before beginning the
DNase I treatment, we had to standardize the technique by changing the experimental conditions to find
the parameters that would produce the most biofilm. In our trials, we altered the media type, dilution,
and incubation days. Following a review of the literature, we identified Luria broth (LB), Nutrient broth
(NB), and Tryptone Soytone Broth (TSB), being suitable for the formation of biofilms. To find the optimal
incubation period for biofilm growth in 96 well plates at 37°C, we first cultivated bacterial biofilm without
DNase I in various media for various duration of incubation (24 hours and 72 hours). We also looked
at how the yield of biofilm varied for two distinct secondary cultures prepared in 96-well plates. Before
adding the primary culture to the 96-well plate, the optical density was diluted to between 0.1 and 0.05 OD
with fresh medium. This was then incubated for the optimized 72 hours, according to the results of the
previous experiment for various duration of incubation. Here, a variety of media were utilized (LB, NB, and
TSB) to see whether the choice of media and dilution had any combined effects on biofilm yield. Biofilm
quantification was carried out by measuring the absorbance at 595 nm. In conclusion, we standardized the
96-well plate biofilm assay by selecting NB media for bacterial growth, 0.1 OD, and 72-hour incubation
for maximum biofilm output.

2.3.3   DNase I-biofilm assay :

206   To optimize the concentration of DNase I required for treatment, the effect of a gradient of DNase I in the
207 range of 0–50 μg/mL was studied. As a negative control for the experiment, uninoculated wells containing
208 media and diluent were treated similarly and the readings obtained were subtracted from the test readings.
209 Positive control wells containing inoculated media without DNase I were considered as "Control A595
210 nm". The biofilm percentage reduction was then calculated as:

$$\frac{ControlA_{595nm} - testA_{595nm}}{ControlA_{595nm}} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

In order to determine the optimum concentration and time for DNase I treatment, we did an assay at two
levels:

1. Pretreatment: It was done to determine the optimum concentration of DNase I which gives maximum
degradation. A serial dilution of DNase I (0-25 μg/ml) was prepared in NB media. Primary cultures were
directly diluted to this media and added to 96 well plates as per the procedure mentioned in the above
protocol. The layout used can be seen in Figure 2 available in supplementary material.

Biofilm was allowed to develop in the presence of DNase1 for 72 hours. Plates were washed and processed
as per the procedure mentioned in the above protocol. Absorbance was measured at 595 nm to quantify
biofilm. Biofilm percentage reduction at different concentrations was compared and analyzed.

2. Posttreatment: This was done to determine the optimum time required for maximum biofilm degradation
for the optimal concentration determined by pretreatment. Pseudomonas biofilm was allowed to develop in
96 well plates for 72 hours as per the protocol mentioned before. Well constituents, particularly bacteria,
were then washed off in dH20. Media containing optimum concentration determined by pretreatment

was then added into each well and incubated for different times ranging from 0-50 minutes. Plates were
further processed by adding Crystal violet followed by Acetic acid. Biofilm quantification was carried out
at 595nm. Biofilm percentage reduction was calculated and further analyzed.

3. Cloning and expression of DNase I: Initially, the gene fragment of the DNase I coding sequence with
biobrick prefix and suffix which was synthetically obtained from Twistbioscience was amplified using
Routine PCR and biobrick-specific primers. This was then ligated into the linearized plasmid backbone
of PSB1C3 after restriction digestion by EcoRI and PstI following normal bio brick assembly. *E. Coli*
DH5α was transformed and further verified using colony PCR using sequencing primers. The size of gene
fragment after amplifying with sequencing primer was simulated as 1161 bp using Snapgene in-silico
PCR simulator. For expressing the protein in *E. Coli* BL21, we cloned a composite part of DNase I, which
consists of IPTG inducible Plac promoter(R0010) along with strong Ribosome Binding Site(B0034) and
double terminator(B0015). This part was obtained synthetically from Twistbioscience. The gene fragment
was amplified by Routine PCR using biobrick-specific primers and then ligated to the linearized plasmid
backbone of PSB1C3 following normal biobrick assembly. Double digestion by EcoRI and PstI was done
using optimized protocols for double digestion.*E. Coli* DH5α was initially transformed and transformants
were screened and verified using colony PCR using sequencing primers. Snapgene in silico PCR simulator
was used to determine the size of gene fragments after colony PCR. Further, the plasmids were extracted
from transformed colonies and transformed *E. Coli* BL21 for expressing the protein. We did not get enough
time to achieve protein purification due to COVID-19 restrictions and hence used industrially prepared
bovine pancreatic DNase I.

244   2.4   Fluorescence assay to assess the improved AIP-I sensor

The assembly of 4 fragments (including the plasmid backbone) was performed using the NEB HiFi DNA
Assembly kit. An LB agar plate containing bacteria with a unidirectional circuit and another LB agar plate
with a bidirectional circuit containing bacteria were obtained. Separate primary cultures of both bacteria
were provided in 10ml of LB agar supplemented with Chloramphenicol. The cultures were incubated
overnight at 37°C. Autoclaved conical flasks (250 ml) were filled with 25 ml of fresh LB media (Containing
chloramphenicol). Half of the flasks were inoculated with 1ml of the primary culture of unidirectional
circuit bacteria, while the other half were inoculated with 1ml of the primary culture of bidirectional
circuit bacteria. The flasks were incubated at 37°C until reaching an optical density (OD) of 0.6 at 600nm.
Different concentrations of AIP-I molecules were added to induce the flasks. To assess fluorescence, a
Polystyrene Nunc black 96-well fluorescence plate was acquired. Triplicates of 100μl samples from each
flask were added to the 96-well plate. LB media (100μl) was added to each well for sample dilution. As a
negative control, 200μl of LB media was added to three wells. Fluorescence measurements were taken
using a plate reader with an excitation wavelength of 395nm and a fluorescence wavelength measured of
509nm. The flasks were incubated at 30°C to allow for protein expression, and fluorescence was measured
at various time points. Fluorescence from negative control(LB medium) was subtracted from the readings
to obtain net fluorescence.

261   2.5   Molecular modeling

262   2.5.1   Molecular docking and MM-PBSA/GBSA based free energy calculations

In order to characterize the efficacy of engineered nisin (Nisin PV) we first performed molecular docking
of Nisin A and Nisin PV respectively with the Nisin Resistance Protein (NSR). Nisin A structure was
mutated using PyMol and a PRO-VAL mutation was added to the 29th and 30th residues respectively

to produce Nisin PV. These studies were inspired by the bioengineering nisin study(Field et al., 2019).
Residues 22 to 34 from the N-terminus of the PDB structure with ID 1WCO were used as a representative
of the segment of Nisin that enters the tunnel region of NSR for cleavage.

For the NSR (Nisin Resistance protein) molecule, the PDB ID 4Y68 was used. Waters associated with
proteins were removed and polar hydrogens were added during pre-processing. Kollman charges were
added to the protein. To determine a starting configuration for the NSR-nisin complex a docking program
Autodock for ligand and protein binding was used where the Nisin (A/PV) was set as ligand and NSR was
set as the receptor. We first performed the docking of Nisin A with the tunnel region of NSR and selected
the lowest energy stage as the starting configuration. The docking location was found to be consistent with
the ligand-binding site of Nisin as discussed in the literature (Field et al., 2019). The ligand-binding site for
Nisin A was noted and Nisin PV was docked using the same coordinates.

The ensemble of poses generated from protein-protein molecular docking was fed into a Molecular
mechanics Poisson–Boltzmann surface area (MM/PBSA) and molecular mechanics generalized Born
surface area (MM/GBSA) implementation to perform binding free energy estimations and rescore the
binding poses. We also performed residue-wise calculations for each Nisin subtype. We used the HawkDock
server (Wang et al., 2019) for this purpose.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Detecting the presence of *Staphylococcus aureus* through AIP-I - sensor:

In *Staphylococcus aureus*, which is the causative pathogen of Bovine Mastitis, agrA, and agrC are integral
components of the agr quorum sensing system, which allows the bacteria to sense and respond to changes in
population density(Thoendel and Horswill, 2013). agrC functions as the sensor histidine kinase, detecting
and binding to specific autoinducing peptides produced by neighboring bacteria. Upon AIP-I binding,
agrC undergoes autophosphorylation, activating agrA, the response regulator(Ji et al., 1995). agrA then
acts as a transcription factor, modulating the expression of various genes involved in the quorum-sensing
pathway. This includes the upregulation or downregulation of virulence factors, as well as the modulation of
regulatory molecules like RNAIII and Rot. Through this regulatory network, agrA and agrC help coordinate
the expression of virulence factors and other molecules crucial for the pathogenicity of *Staphylococcus*
aureus, allowing the bacteria to respond to changes in population density and environmental conditions
(Boisset et al., 2007).

Our strategy puts forward the idea of harnessing the intrinsic quorum-sensing mechanism of
Staphylococcus aureus to detect the presence of pathogens. To achieve this, we propose to introduce the
agrC-agrA two-component signal transduction system into our prospective engineered host, *Lactococcus*
lactis LMG 7930. By incorporating this system, our chassis would gain the ability to sense and respond
to auto-inducing peptide I(AIP-I) molecules produced by the pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus*. This
approach is a preliminary investigation into the development of Quorum-sensing based GMOs for
targeted treatment of Bovine Mastitis and holds promise for the development of a reliable and efficient
pathogen-sensing platform.

We designed a genetic circuit so that our GMO can sense the presence of AIP-I molecules in its
surroundings and then regulate the downstream signaling. We designed a regulatory module that consists
of agrC and agrA under a constitutive expression using pBAD promoter with a strong ribosomal binding
site [iGEM registry: B0034] and a double terminator [iGEM registry: B0015]. This ensures the production

of agrA and agrC protein and the detection of AIP-I molecules whenever present. In the presence of AIP-I,
activated agrA binds to P2 promoter and increases the expression of downstream genes. Thus, we added
a sensing module downstream of the regulatory module consisting of promoter P2. To test this sensing
module, we incorporated *gfp* as a reporter gene downstream of the P2 promoter such that in the presence of
AIP-I molecules P2 promoter can express GFP which can be observed in Figure2. So, we externally added
AIP-I molecules to observe if the module is sensing its presence or not. To evaluate the functionality of our
system, we conducted an exogenous supplementation of AIP-I molecules to ascertain the responsiveness of
the engineered module. By externally introducing AIP-I, we aimed to investigate the system's capability to
detect and activate downstream genes to the AIP-I.

315 3.1.1 AIP-I production:

To activate the sensing module, we need AIP-I molecules, which will activate the agrC-agrA system thus
increasing the promoter activity of P2. In *Staphylococcus aureus*, the genes agrB and agrD are responsible
for the production of AIP-I molecules. Thus we cloned these two genes (AIP-I produce, iGEM registry:
I746001) under Isopropyl ß-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) inducible pLac promoter(iGEM registry:
R0010) in *E. coli* BL21. Protein expression was induced with IPTG. Protein expression was allowed for 10
hours at 30°C. The bacterial cells were pellet down and the supernatant containing the AIP-I molecule was
filter-sterilized. The supernatant was lyophilized to increase the concentration of the content.

323 3.1.2 Verifying the presence and activity of AIP-I:

The presence of AIP-I in the transformed cells was confirmed through the assessment of GFP intensity
generated by the P2 promoter within the AIP-Inducible GFP reporter gene circuit. The activation of the P2
promoter is initially mediated by agrA, which requires phosphorylation by agrC. agrC, in turn, becomes
activated in the presence of AIP-I. To verify the presence of the protein, an *E. coli* BL21 expression vector
containing a plasmid with the AIP-I receiver (agrA) and agrC system downstream of the pBAD promoter,
along with GFP under the AIP-Inducible P2 promoter, was obtained. These bacterial cells were cultivated
in two separate conical flasks containing LB media supplemented with Chloramphenicol. The cultures
were incubated at 37°C until the optical density (OD) reached 0.6 at a wavelength of 600 nm. The AIP-I
molecules were reconstituted by adding nuclease-free water. AIP-I was introduced into one flask, while the
other flask remained without AIP-I. The expression of GFP was quantified by measuring the fluorescence
using a fluorometer at the initial time point. Subsequently, the samples were incubated at 30°C for an
additional 5 hours, with fluorescence measurements taken hourly from 5 to 10 hours. Figure3 clearly
demonstrates a significant disparity in fluorescence intensity between the AIP-Inducible sample and the
sample without AIP-I. This indicates successful expression of the AIP-I protein in our genetic circuit and
confirms its activity.

339 3.1.3 Assessing efficacy of AIP-I-sensor by Concentration-Dependent Activity

Furthermore, we investigated the concentration-dependent activity of the system by evaluating the
expression of the GFP reporter construct in response to varying concentrations of AIP-I as shown in
Figure4. For this experiment, *E. coli* BL21 cells expressing the AIP-I sensor with the reporter construct
were incubated with AIP-I molecules reconstituted using nuclease-free water. Different volumes of the
reconstituted AIP-I molecules were added to separate conical flasks to induce protein expression. The
flasks were then incubated at 30°C for 5 hours. The GFP expression was quantified by measuring the
fluorescence using a fluorometer. The obtained data were analyzed to establish the relationship between
AIP-I concentration and GFP expression. The resulting analysis of the fluorescence data revealed that

GFP expression increased with higher volumes of reconstituted AIP-I molecules. In other words, the
concentration of AIP-I positively correlated with GFP expression in the media. This further substantiates
the proper functioning of our sensing and regulatory module(agrC, agrA, P2, and GFP). Thus using our
genetic module, we can express any set of genes in the presence of AIP-I.

It is important to note that at very high concentrations of AIP-I, the fluorescence intensity decreased,
suggesting the occurrence of toxicity resulting from the elevated concentration of AIP-I.

3.2 DNaseI deters biofilm formation

355 After proving the efficacy of our sensing module(agrC-agrA-P2 system), we proceed to prove the potency
356 of DNaseI, which is placed downstream of our P2 promoter. To identify the dosage concentration and
357 optimal time for biofilm degradation, the activity of Bovine pancreatic DNase I (Sigma Aldrich) on biofilm
358 production was assayed. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was used as a model biofilm-producing organism owing
359 to its similarities to biofilm-forming pathogens, availability and it being a BSL-2 organism.

3.2.1 Concentration dependant DNaseI assay:

Biofilm assay was performed by varying DNaseI concentration and incubation time to identify optimal
DNaseI dosage and time for biofilm degradation. To identify growth conditions to obtain maximal biofilm
formation *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was cultivated in different media, with varying starting inoculation
and incubated for different duration at 37C. From Figure 5 biofilm formation in Nutrient broth(NB) media
with incubation for 72 hours was found to be highest among the screened conditions and the biofilm yield
with starting OD of 0.1 was observed to be always higher than 0.05 starting OD as seen in Figure 6.

The activity of DNaseI on biofilm was quantified in terms of biofilm percentage reduction (BPR)
calculated by the following equation1.

Initially, the effect of DNaseI on biofilm formation was assayed by supplying different concentrations of
DNaseI (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 μg/ml) in NB as seen in Figure 7. The biofilm was quantified after incubation
at 37°C for 72 hours. 10 μg/ml was able to reduce biofilm formation by 43%; subsequent increase in
DNaseI concentration did not reduce biofilm formation. Subsequently, the ability of 10µg/ml DNaseI to
degrade pre-formed biofilm was tested with varying treatment duration. The biofilm was allowed to form
in NB for 72 hours at 37°C. The preformed biofilm was treated with 10 µg/ml DNaseI and incubated at
37°C for a different duration before quantifying the residual biofilm. Incubation for 40 minutes degraded
82% of bio-film. These can be seen in Figure 8. Hence, this experiment proves that DNaseI can degrade
biofilm and supports the working hypothesis of DNaseI's ability to degrade biofilm produced by *S. aureus*.

3.3 Molecular modeling

3.3.1 Molecular Docking of Nisin with NSR

380 The docking results are summarized in Table 5. The highest magnitude pose corresponds to the binding
381 of NisinA/PV to the tunnel region of NSR as shown in the figure 9.

3.3.2 MM-PB/GB-SA based free energy calculations

383 MMPBSA calculations (10) reveal that at residue 29, the residue at which NSR is supposed to cleave
384 Nisin, NisinPV shows significantly higher total free energy than the native Nisin indicating that cleavage of
385 NisinPV is potentially more energetically expensive than cleavage of NisinA,

4 DISCUSSION

We have proposed a GMO-based therapy that targets the quorum-sensing system of *Staphylococcus aureus*,
a common cause of bovine mastitis. In our approach, we target *Staphylococcus aureus* by exploiting its
quorum sensing route and induce DNase I production in response to bacterial presence. Our novel strategy
ensures that the GMO is only turned on when *Staphylococcus aureus* cells are present and the AIP-I
concentration is greater than a certain threshold. Future improvements on our study can be undertaken
to measure the exact AIP-1 concentration and standardize to check when the GMO works. The choice
of promoter helps us to ensure that the GMO will not lyse until there has been a considerable buildup
of DNase I and Nisin PV, which can break down the biofilm and make the bacteria more vulnerable to
antimicrobial treatments. Due to the larger affinity of phosphorylated agrA towards the P2 promoter than
the P3 promoter, the production rate of Nisin PV and DNase I is higher than the production rate of Lysis
E7.

Instead of broad-spectrum antibiotics, this bacteriocin (Nisin PV) is more pathogen-specific. Our genetic
circuit uses Lysis E7 to lyse our GMO for the effective release of the Nisin PV to target the pathogen
S. aureus. This helps in the delivery of the bacteriocin as well as the killing of the GMO. Its crucial to
note that Nisin is a crucial component of the quorum sensing system in *Lactococcus lactis*, where the
presence of Nisin-A in the extracellular space triggers the transcription of the nisin gene cluster. This
cluster includes genes involved in the production of premature Nisin-A and other peptides necessary for
post-translational modification, nisin immunity, and signaling. As reported by Kuipers et al. (Kuipers et al.,
1995), mutants of Nisin-A can still participate in the quorum sensing system, albeit to varying degrees.
Given this background, it is plausible that NisinPV might interact with the quorum sensing mechanism in
Lactococcus lactis. Such an interaction could potentially lead to an upregulation of gene expression within
the nisin gene cluster, resulting in increased production of Nisin-A and related peptides. This interaction
could be advantageous for the proposed therapeutic system, enhancing nisin production and potentially
improving GMO's antimicrobial properties. However, it's essential to note that our study did not specifically
investigate the interaction of NisinPV with the native quorum-sensing system of *Lactococcus lactis*. This
aspect remains a potential avenue for future research to fully understand the implications of introducing
NisinPV into this system.

A kill switch can be introduced that causes the GMO to lyse itself and prevents unintended gene transfer
in the unlikely event that it does not come into contact with the particular pathogen. Using CRISPR Cas9
(Ran et al., 2013), the thyA gene in the chassis organism can be modified, making the mutant unviable
in conditions with low levels of thymidine or thymine. A recessive deadly mutation called thyA renders
the bacteria incapable of manufacturing any thymidine and forces them to rely on the environment for
an external source of thymidine (Ross et al., 1990). The bacteria would die when thymidine is absent
since they can no longer synthesize it. Thymidine can be added to the mutant bacteria while it is being
cultivated in the bioreactor. After that, the vial can be kept at 4°C with thymidine added so that bacterial
growth is minimal and the thymidine is not consumed. The bacteria could begin to proliferate after being
introduced into the udder and using the thymidine. The lysis E7 lyses the chassis GMO and releases the
bacteriocin in the event that it comes in contact with the target pathogen. The lack of thymidine in the
udder's environment will cause the chassis to perish even if it is not in contact with the pathogen. Hence,
the GMO equipped with the kill switch would not be able to transfer its genes to any other bacteria residing
in the microbiota of the udder and cause unprecedented problems and contamination.

Compared to traditional antibiotic treatments for cow mastitis, our method has a number of benefits. First
off, it targets *Staphylococcus aureus* directly while expecting minimal damage to other microbes. The

activity of NisinPV on the cattle's udder microbiome needs to be experimentally validated(Derakhshani et al., 2018, Dean et al., 2021). *Staphylococcus aureus* is a key pathogen linked to bovine mastitis (Porcellato et al., 2020). Second, it prevents the over-use of antibiotics, thus reducing the development of antibiotic resistance. Third, the biofilm, which is a significant impediment to the penetration of antimicrobial drugs, may be more easily destroyed by DNaseI released by the GMO, than by antibiotics alone. Furthermore, because quorum sensing is a typical method utilized by many bacterial pathogens to coordinate pathogenicity and biofilm development, our strategy might be applicable to conditions other than bovine mastitis.

A probiotic strain called *Lactococcus lactis* LMG7930 is our choice of chassis organism to incorporate the proposed therapeutic system. The medication can be provided as a single dose, and it could be administered into the cow's diseased udder via the teat canal via intramammary injection (Merriman et al., 2017). To thoroughly assess the safety interaction with native microbiome and effectiveness of this GMO-based treatment in vivo as well as the potential hazards connected with the discharge of genetically modified bacteria into the environment, additional research is required. However, this research offers a potential proof-of-concept for the creation of GMO-based antibiotics for bacterial infections, which could have broad ramifications for the antimicrobial therapy industry.

In our approach, we target *Staphylococcus aureus*, because it is responsible for almost half of the cases of Bovine mastitis (Birhanu et al., 2017). Mycobacteria as the primary causes of bovine mastitis are considered of low occurrence (Schiller et al., 2011). Commonly, it is the lipophilic species of the Corynebacterium genus that cause mastitis and they usually have prolonged incubation time and more meticulous or finicky growth requirements (Taylor et al., 2003).

The authors of this study acknowledge that all concentration measurements were conducted in *Escherichia coli*, and while our experiments specifically focused on the impact of DNaseI on biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the study was not extended to or involved experiments with *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm. It is well-documented that extracellular DNA (eDNA) is a significant component of biofilms formed by both *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Thi et al., 2020 and *Staphylococcus aureus* Archer et al., 2011. Given that DNaseI is an enzyme known to degrade eDNA, our study is based on the working hypothesis of expecting similar outcomes with *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm degradation as observed in our experiments with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. This working hypothesis is supported by the shared reliance of these bacterial species on eDNA as a structural component of their biofilms.

In conclusion, this paper presents a preliminary inquiry into a potential novel approach to utilize bioengineered defensins as a potent weapon against bovine mastitis. By unraveling the potential of this innovative strategy, we strive to contribute to the development of sustainable solutions for the dairy industry, ultimately safeguarding animal health, enhancing milk quality, and preserving human health.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

All materials were sourced from organizations mentioned in the Funding section of the manuscript. Data generated from the work is available on the iGEM website. Data from Mathematical Modelling has been uploaded to GitHub.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

466 This study received funding from IISER Kolkata, Promega corporation, NEB, Genscript and Fredrick
467 Gardner Corttell Foundation. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis,
468 interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication. All authors
469 declare no other competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

470 All the authors have contributed equally to this work.

FUNDING

471 The project is supported by IISER Kolkata, Promega corporation, NEB, Genescript, and iGEM Impact
472 grant supported by Fredrick Gardner Cottrell Foundation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

473 The authors would like to thank Mr. Anshuman Jaysingh, Ms. Lakshmi Prakash, Ms. Soumi Bhattacharyya,
474 Ms. Debshruti Biswas and Mr. Debdeep Chatterjee for their continuous support, guidance, and help during
475 the work. Additionally, we would like to thank Prof. Supratim Dutta for his valuable insights and guidance.
476 Finally, we would like to thank the Department of Biological Sciences, IISER Kolkata for helping us with
477 lab equipment to carry out this project.

REFERENCES

Águila-Arcos, S., Álvarez-Rodríguez, I., Garaiyurrebaso, O., Garbisu, C., Grohmann, E., and Alkorta,
I. (2017). Biofilm-forming clinical staphylococcus isolates harbor horizontal transfer and antibiotic
resistance genes. *Frontiers in microbiology* 8, 2018
Archer, N. K., Mazaitis, M. J., Costerton, J. W., Leid, J. G., Powers, M. E., and Shirtliff, M. E. (2011).
Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: properties, regulation, and roles in human disease. *Virulence* 2, 445–459
Armas, F., Camperio, C., and Marianelli, C. (2017). In vitro assessment of the probiotic potential of
lactococcus lactis lmg 7930 against ruminant mastitis-causing pathogens. *PLoS One* 12, e0169543
Benítez-Chao, D. F., León-Buitimea, A., Lerma-Escalera, J. A., and Morones-Ramírez, J. R. (2021).
Bacteriocins: An overview of antimicrobial, toxicity, and biosafety assessment by in vivo models.
Frontiers in Microbiology 12, 630695
Birhanu, M., Leta, S., Mamo, G., and Tesfaye, S. (2017). Prevalence of bovine subclinical mastitis and
isolation of its major causes in bishoftu town, ethiopia. *BMC research notes* 10, 1–6
Blosser, T. (1979). Economic losses from and the national research program on mastitis in the united states.
Journal of Dairy Science 62, 119–127
Boisset, S., Geissmann, T., Huntzinger, E., Fechter, P., Bendridi, N., Possedko, M., et al. (2007).
Staphylococcus aureus rnaiii coordinately represses the synthesis of virulence factors and the
transcription regulator rot by an antisense mechanism. *Genes & development* 21, 1353–1366
Cruz, K. C. P., Enekegho, L. O., and Stuart, D. T. (2022). Bioengineered probiotics: synthetic biology can
provide live cell therapeutics for the treatment of foodborne diseases. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and*
Biotechnology 10, 824
Dean, C., Slizovskiy, I., Crone, K., Pfennig, A., Heins, B., Caixeta, L., et al. (2021). Investigating the cow
skin and teat canal microbiomes of the bovine udder using different sampling and sequencing approaches.
Journal of Dairy Science 104, 644–661

Derakhshani, H., Fehr, K. B., Sepehri, S., Francoz, D., De Buck, J., Barkema, H. W., et al. (2018). Invited
review: Microbiota of the bovine udder: Contributing factors and potential implications for udder health
and mastitis susceptibility. *Journal of dairy science* 101, 10605–10625

Field, D., Blake, T., Mathur, H., O'Connor, P. M., Cotter, P. D., Paul Ross, R., et al. (2019). Bioengineering
nisin to overcome the nisin resistance protein. *Molecular Microbiology* 111, 717–731

Field, D., Cotter, P. D., Hill, C., and Ross, R. P. (2015). Bioengineering lantibiotics for therapeutic success.
Frontiers in microbiology 6, 1363

[Dataset] for Disease Control, C., (CDC, P., et al. (2002). Vancomycin-resistant staphylococcus aureus–
pennsylvania, 2002

Girma, A. and Tamir, D. (2022). Prevalence of bovine mastitis and its associated risk factors among
dairy cows in ethiopia during 2005–2022: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Veterinary Medicine*
International 2022

Guo, Y., Song, G., Sun, M., Wang, J., and Wang, Y. (2020). Prevalence and therapies of antibiotic-resistance
in staphylococcus aureus. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* 10, 107

Halasa, T., Huijps, K., Østerås, O., and Hogeveen, H. (2007). Economic effects of bovine mastitis and
mastitis management: A review. *Veterinary quarterly* 29, 18–31

Huijps, K., Lam, T. J., and Hogeveen, H. (2008). Costs of mastitis: facts and perception. *Journal of Dairy*
Research 75, 113–120

Ji, G., Beavis, R. C., and Novick, R. P. (1995). Cell density control of staphylococcal virulence mediated
by an octapeptide pheromone. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 92, 12055–12059

Kibebew, K. (2017). Bovine mastitis: A review of causes and epidemiological point of view. *J Biol Agric*
Healthc 7, 1–14

Kuipers, O. P., Beerthuyzen, M. M., de Ruyter, P. G., Luesink, E. J., and de Vos, W. M. (1995).
Autoregulation of nisin biosynthesis in lactococcus lactis by signal transduction. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry 270, 27299–27304

Lister, J. L. and Horswill, A. R. (2014). Staphylococcus aureus biofilms: recent developments in biofilm
dispersal. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* 4, 178

Lowy, F. D. et al. (2003). Antimicrobial resistance: the example of staphylococcus aureus. *The Journal of*
clinical investigation 111, 1265–1273

Merriman, K. E., Poindexter, M. B., Kweh, M. F., Santos, J. E., and Nelson, C. D. (2017). Intramammary
1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin d3 treatment increases expression of host-defense genes in mammary immune
cells of lactating dairy cattle. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology* 173, 33–41

Paramasivam, R., Gopal, D. R., Dhandapani, R., Subbarayalu, R., Elangovan, M. P., Prabhu, B., et al.
(2022). Is amr in dairy products a threat to human health? an updated review on the origin, prevention,
treatment, and economic impacts of subclinical mastitis. *Infection and Drug Resistance* , 155–178

Pérez-Ibarreche, M., Field, D., Ross, R. P., and Hill, C. (2021). A bioengineered nisin derivative to control
streptococcus uberis biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 87, e00391–21

Porcellato, D., Meisal, R., Bombelli, A., and Narvhus, J. A. (2020). A core microbiota dominates a rich
microbial diversity in the bovine udder and may indicate presence of dysbiosis. *Scientific Reports* 10,
21608

Ran, F. A., Hsu, P. D., Wright, J., Agarwala, V., Scott, D. A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Genome engineering
using the crispr-cas9 system. *Nature protocols* 8, 2281–2308

Ross, P., O'Gara, F., and Condon, S. (1990). Thymidylate synthase gene from lactococcus lactis as a
genetic marker: an alternative to antibiotic resistance genes. *Applied and environmental microbiology*
56, 2164–2169

Schiller, I., RayWaters, W., Vordermeier, H. M., Jemmi, T., Welsh, M., Keck, N., et al. (2011). Bovine tuberculosis in europe from the perspective of an officially tuberculosis free country: trade, surveillance and diagnostics. *Veterinary microbiology* 151, 153–159

Sinha, M. K., Thombare, N., and Mondal, B. (2014). Subclinical mastitis in dairy animals: incidence, economics, and predisposing factors. *The Scientific World Journal* 2014

Taylor, G. B., Paviour, S. D., Musaad, S., Jones, W. O., and Holland, D. J. (2003). A clinicopathological review of 34 cases of inflammatory breast disease showing an association between corynebacteria infection and granulomatous mastitis. *Pathology* 35, 109–119

Thi, M. T. T., Wibowo, D., and Rehm, B. H. (2020). Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *International journal of molecular sciences* 21, 8671

Thoendel, M. and Horswill, A. R. (2013). Random mutagenesis and topology analysis of the autoinducing peptide biosynthesis proteins in staphylococcus aureus. *Molecular microbiology* 87, 318–337

Thompson-Crispi, K., Atalla, H., Miglior, F., and Mallard, B. A. (2014). Bovine mastitis: frontiers in immunogenetics. *Frontiers in Immunology* 5, 493

Wang, E., Sun, H., Wang, J., Wang, Z., Liu, H., Zhang, J. Z. H., et al. (2019). End-point binding free energy calculation with mm/pbsa and mm/gbsa: Strategies and applications in drug design. *Chemical Reviews* 119, 9478–9508. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00055. PMID: 31244000

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The working principle of the GMO. When the concentration of AIP-I exceeds a specific threshold as a result of the presence of Staphylococcus aureus cells, AIP-I molecules attach to agrC. Subsequently, the agrC-AIP-I complex functions as a kinase, leading to the phosphorylation of agrA. The phosphorylated agrA then binds to both P2 and P3, triggering the activation of Nisin PV and DNase I production downstream of P2, while Lysis E7 production occurs downstream of P3. However, the production rate of Nisin PV and DNase I surpasses that of Lysis E7 due to the heightened affinity of phosphorylated agrA for the P2 promoter compared to the P3 promoter. Therefore, our genetically modified organism will only undergo lysis after a substantial accumulation of Nisin PV and DNase I. If the AIP-I concentration is not above a certain threshold, then the GMO will not be switched on. RBS : Ribosome Binding Site.

Figure 2. The regulatory circuit comprises of agrC and agrA genes, which are constitutively expressed via the pBAD promoter featuring a strong ribosomal binding site and a double terminator. P2 promoter downstream is activated in the presence of AgrA. Later to test if the AIP-I sensing module was working properly or not, a GFP reporter gene was incorporated downstream of the P2 promotor. The reasoning was that in the presence of AIP-I molecules, the P2 promoter could sense and activate the GFP gene which would then give an effective readout, hence confirming that the model senses AIP-I in its presence. RBS : Ribosome Binding Site.

Figure 3. GFP expression with AIP-I alongside without AIP-I across varying time. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 4. GFP expression with varying concentration of AIP-I. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 5. Biofilm yield in 96-well plate comparison in different growth media broths with incubation at 37°C for 72 and 24 hours. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 6. Biofilm yield comparison in different growth media with starting OD of 0.05 and 0.1 on incubation at 37°C for 72 hours. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 7. Biofilm formation in NB in the presence of different DNaseI concentrations after 72 hours incubation at 37°C.The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 8. Quantification of biofilm degradation with time by treating pre-formed biofilm with 10µg/ml DNaseI and incubating at 37°C for different durations. The error bar represents the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 9. Docking of residues 22-34 N-terminus end of NisinA (cyan) and Nisin PV (magenta) to the tunnel region of NSR. Only the lowest free energy poses are shown.

Figure 10. Residue-wise free energy for Nisin-NSR interaction.

TABLES

NEB Q5® High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix	25 μl
Forward Primer10uM	2.5μl
Reverse Primer10uM	2.5μl
Template DNA10mg/μl	3 μl
NFW	17μl

Table 1. PCR mix for amplification

Restriction enzyme	1μl each
Buffer(B)	2 μl
BSA	0.2μl
Template DNA	1μg
MilliQ water	upto 20μl

Table 2. Mixture for restriction digestion

Component	Required amount
T4 DNA Ligase Buffer (10 X) (Should be thawed and resuspended in room temperature)	2μl
Vector DNA 4 *kb*	50ng(0.020*pmol*)
Insert DNA (1 kb)	37.5ng(0.060*pmol*)
Nuclease-free water	to 20μl
T4 DNA Ligase	1ul

Table 3. Mixture for ligation

Go Taq Green DNA master mix	12.5μl
Template DNA	5μl
VF2	1μl
VR	1μl
NFW	5.5μl

Table 4. Mixture for colony PCR

Pose	Affinity (kcal/mol)	
	Nisin A	**Nisin PV**
1	-9.3	-9.5
2	-8.9	-9.1
3	-8.7	-9
4	-8.7	-8.9
5	-8.7	-8.8
6	-8.6	-8.8
7	-8.6	-8.7
8	-8.5	-8.4
9	-8.5	-8.4

Table 5. Affinity(Autodock score) of Nisin A vs Nisin PV for top 9 docking poses. The lowest free energy pose corresponds to the docking of Nisin in the tunnel region of NSR