**Fungal-bacteria interactions provide shelter for bacteria in**

**Caesarean section scar diverticulum**

Peigen Chen, Haicheng Chen, Ziyu Liu, Xinyi Pan, Qianru Liu, Xing Yang

(Peigen Chen and Haicheng Chen contributed equally to this work.)

All authors from: 1. Reproductive Medicine Center, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital,

Sun Yat-sen University; 2. GuangDong Engineering Technology Research Center of

Fertility Preservation; 3. Biomedical Innovation Center, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital,

Sun Yat-sen University.

**\* Correspondence:**

Xing Yang Ph.D., M.D.

Email: yangx52@mail.sysu.edu.cn

**Abstract**

Caesarean section scar diverticulum (CSD) is a significant cause of infertility among

women who have previously had a Caesarean section, primarily due to persistent

inflammatory exudation associated with this condition. Even though abnormal

bacterial composition is identified as a critical factor leading to this chronic

inflammation, clinical data suggests that a long-term cure is often unattainable with

antibiotic treatment alone. In our study, we employed metagenomic analysis and mass

spectrometry techniques to investigate the fungal composition in CSD and its

interaction with bacteria. We discovered that local fungal abnormalities in CSD can

disrupt the stability of the bacterial population and the entire microbial community by

altering bacterial abundance via specific metabolites. For instance, *Lachnellula*

*suecica* reduces the abundance of several *Lactobacillus spp.*, such as *Lactobacillus*

*jensenii*, by diminishing the production of metabolites like *Goyaglycoside A* and

*Janthitrem E.* Concurrently, *Clavispora lusitaniae* and *Ophiocordyceps australis* can

synergistically impact the abundance of *Lactobacillus spp.* by modulating metabolite

abundance. Our findings underscore that abnormal fungal composition and activity are key drivers of local bacterial dysbiosis in CSD.

**Keywords:** Caesarean section scar diverticulum; Bacterial; Fungi; Infertility; Inflammation

## Introduction

Caesarean section (CS) is a prevalent surgery worldwide, and its rate has increased in recent decades [1]. Although CS can significantly reduce dystocia and stillbirths [2], Caesarean section scar diverticulum (CSD) affect about 19.4-88% of women receiving this operation [3]. CSD emerges from poor healing of the local uterine incision, forming a depression or cavity that connects with the uterine cavity [4]. Recently, CSD has drawn widespread attention because of its potential damage to subsequent fertility. For example, Gurol and colleagues found that the possibility of subsequent pregnancy decreases by an average of 10% after CS relative to a previous vaginal delivery [5]. A niche can reduce the chances of embryo implantation and increase the likelihood of spontaneous miscarriages if the implantation occurs close to or in the CSD [6]. Our previous studies have shown that the persistent effusion of CSD is a key cause of failed embryo implantation [7].

The unique microbial community composition in the female reproductive tract is essential in maintaining female reproductive health [8-10]. Our previous studies have demonstrated that the abnormal alterations in the local microbiota of Cesarean scar defects (CSD) cause continuous leakage through local inflammation and immune imbalance [11]. Further investigations of ours revealed the underlying mechanism demonstrating that abnormal bacteria in CSD deplete protective fatty acids and generate N-(3-hydroxy-eicosanoyl)-homoserine lactone, thus leading to promoting apoptosis of vascular endothelial cells and endometrial epithelial cells, ultimately impairing women's reproductive capacity [12]. Nonetheless, the causative factors behind bacterial dysbiosis in complex microbial communities remain poorly understood.

Fungi and bacteria, as integral components of the human microbiome, establish

complex interactions with each other and the host. The collection of genomes and

genes carried by fungal species coexisting within a specific environmental or

biological niche is commonly called as the "mycobiome" [13]. However, in CSD, the

mycobiome remains poorly understood, particularly in comparison to the microbiome.

The interaction between microbial communities in the reproductive tract, particularly

bacteria and fungi, is critical in maintaining female reproductive health [14].

Therefore, this study aims to examine the composition and function of fungi in CSD,

as well as their interactions with bacteria, to provide a more comprehensive

understanding of the role of microbial communities in CSD. This study also aims to

identify potential therapeutic approaches to enhance fertility by building on current

research.

**Results**

**Recruitment of participants and metagenomic sequencing**

Forty-eight participants were included in this study, including 24 in the CSD

group and 24 in the CON group. The clinical characteristics of the participants are

shown in Table 1. To better characterize the composition of the cervical microbiota,

we performed ultra-high-depth metagenomic sequencing. The effective data volume

of each sample ranged from 14.25 to 18.63 G, the N50 statistical distribution of

contigs ranged from 230 to 245 bp, and the number of ORFs in the gene catalogue

(non-redundant gene set) constructed after redundancy removal was 281,107.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the participants included in the study.

| | CON Group (n=24) | CSD Group (n=24) | $p$ value |
|---|---|---|---|
| AMH (ng/ml) (mean (SD)) | 3.69 (2.09) | 3.00 (2.32) | 0.288 |
| BMI (mean (SD)) | 21.04 (2.25) | 22.25 (2.70) | 0.1 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Basal FSH（IU/L) (mean (SD)) | 6.99 (1.42) | 7.70 (1.88) | 0.144 |
| Basal LH（IU/L) (mean (SD)) | 5.51 (2.97) | 5.91 (3.55) | 0.676 |
| Basal E2 (pg/ml) (mean (SD)) | 47.42 (68.52) | 44.49 (29.46) | 0.848 |
| infertile years (mean (SD)) | 3.54 (2.19) | 4.54 (2.90) | 0.184 |

**Composition and characteristics of bacterial communities**

Alpha diversity based on Pielou index (Fig.1 A) and Shannon index (Fig.1 B)

calculations showed that species richness and evenness in the CSD group were

significantly higher than those in the control group (T-test). Beta diversity based on

the Bray-Curtis distance (Fig.1 C) indicated that the distances between samples within

the CSD group were greater than those in the CON group (PERMANOVA test).

The composition of CST community types in the CSD group and CON group

differed. The proportion of CST III decreased in the CSD group, while the more

unstable CST IV-B and CST IV-C increased (Fig.1 D). The CST community types in

the CON group were dominated by *Lactobacillus spp.* (Fig.1 D). CST subtype

analysis revealed more detailed community types. In CST I (dominated by *L.*

*crispatus*) and CST III (dominated by *L. iners*), the CSD group was mainly distributed

in the B subtypes with a lower abundance of *L. crispatus* and *L. iners* (Fig.1 E). The

stacked bar chart of species composition shows the species composition

characteristics of each sample (Fig.1 F). One hundred and sixty-two differential

species were identified between the two groups. The differential species analysis

indicated that *Bifidobacteriaceae bacterium spp.* and *Gardnerella spp.* were

significantly higher in the CSD group than in the CON group (Fig.1 G).

*Fig.1 Bacterial community structure and composition characteristics. Alpha*

*diversity based on Pielou index (A) and Shannon index (B); (C) Beta diversity based*

*on the Bray-Curtis distance; Stacked graph of CST (D) and subCST (E) compositions;*

*(F) Stacked plot of species composition; (G) Scatter plot of differentially abundant*

*species between CSD and CON groups.*

**Composition and characteristics of fungal communities**

A total of 431 fungal species were annotated (Supplementary File 1). There was

no difference in α diversity based on the Pielou index (Fig.2 A) and Shannon index

(Fig.2 B) between the two fungal communities. β diversity suggested similar distances

between samples within each group (Fig.2 C). Regarding species composition, the

composition of the two groups was similar at the phylum (Fig.2 D) and species (Fig.2

E) levels. The tree map revealed the relationships among fungal phylum (Fig.2 F).

Forty-two differential species were identified between the two groups, and the top 10

species are shown in Fig.2 G.

*Fig.2 Fungal community structure and composition characteristics. Alpha*

*diversity based on Pielou index (A) and Shannon index (B); (C) Beta diversity based*

*on the Bray-Curtis distance; Stacked graph of phylum level (D) and species level (E)*

*compositions; (F) The tree map of fungal community; (G) Box plot of differentially*

*abundant species between CSD and CON groups.*

**The co-occurrence network among microbial community**

We constructed co-occurrence networks for fungal species in the CSD and CON

groups (Fig.3 A). The network in the CSD group had fewer connections and weaker

random robustness than the CON group (Fig.3 B), indicating greater fragility of the

CSD fungal co-occurrence network. Subsequently, a cross-domain network between

bacteria and fungi was constructed (Fig.3 C). The connection between bacteria and

fungi in the CSD group was reduced compared with that in the CON group. At the

same time, the CSD group had weaker random robustness than the CON group (Fig.3

D). We selected species with a sample coverage rate greater than or equal to 60% for

Spearman correlation analysis and visualized the data using heatmaps (Fig.3 E) and

networks (Fig.3 F). The results showed that *Lachnellula suecica, Arthrobotrys*

*oligospora*, and *Piptocephalis cylindrospora* have close relationships with bacteria

(Fig.3 F). *Piptocephalis cylindrospora* has a close symbiotic relationship with

*Lactobacillus jensenii* (Fig.3 F).

*Fig.3 Interaction between bacteria and fungi. (A) Co-occurrence network of*

*fungal communities; (B) Comparison of the random robustness of co-occurrence*

*network of fungal communities between CSD and CON groups; (C) Interaction*

*network between bacteria and fungi; (D) Comparison of the random robustness of*

*bacterial-fungal interaction network between CSD and CON groups; (E) Heatmap of*

*the correlation between bacteria and fungi; (F) Network of correlations between*

*bacteria and fungi (R ≥ 0.6, p ≤ 0.05).*

**Functional gene analysis**

Functional gene analysis indicates significant differences in the functional gene

composition of microbial communities between the CSD and CON groups (Figure

2-figure supplement 1 A). The CSD group has two significantly higher KEGG

modules than the CON group, including M00142 (NADH: ubiquinone oxidoreductase,

mitochondrion) and M00151 (cytochrome bc1 complex respiratory unit) (Figure

2-figure supplement 1 B). The CSD group has significantly increased activity in the

inflammatory mediator regulation of TRP channels and platelet activation, while the

activity of the galactose metabolic process has decreased (Figure 2-figure supplement

1 C). The CAZy annotation results indicate that the activity of GH73 (glycoside

hydrolase family 73) in the CSD group has decreased (Figure 2-figure supplement 1

D).

*Figure 2-figure supplement 1 Functional composition of the cervical microbiota. ( A )*

*PCA plot of microbial functional genes; (B) Differences in the composition of KEGG*

*modules between CSD and CON; (C) Differences in the composition of KEGG*

*pathway between CSD and CON; (D) Differences in the composition of*

*carbohydrate-active enzymes between CSD and CON.*

**Untargeted metabolomics revealed unique metabolic characteristics**

LC/MS untargeted metabolomics was performed on 40 of the subjects. The

heatmap shows differences in the distribution of metabolites between the two groups

(Fig.4 A). Enrichment analysis of signaling pathways suggests that the CSD group has

significantly increased activity in the Thermogenesis and Pentose phosphate signaling

pathways, while the activity in Steroid biosynthesis is significantly decreased (Fig.4

B). Spearman correlation analysis suggests a close correlation between changes in the

metabolite contents of *Lachnellula suecica* and *Arthrobotrys oligospora* (Fig.4 C). At

the same time, we also explored the relationship between bacterial and metabolite

content changes (Figure 4-figure supplement 1).

*Figure 4-figure supplement 1 Heatmap of the correlation between bacterial and*

*metabolites.*

Integrating bacterial, fungal, and metabolite data, we found that the fungus

*Lachnellula suecica* has a mutual regulation relationship with *Lactobacillus spp.*

through GoyaglycosideA and Janthitrem E (Fig. 5). At the same time, *Lachnellula*

*suecica* occupies a central position in the entire regulatory network and is closely

related to changes in metabolites and bacterial composition. *Clavispora lusitaniae* and

*Ophiocordyceps australis* are also fungal species that are closely related to changes in

the abundance of *Lactobacillus spp.* (Fig. 5).

*Fig.5 Correlations network of fungi, bacteria, and metabolites. The orange module*

*represents metabolites. The yellow module represents bacteria. The green module*

*represents fungi. The red line represents positive correlations. Blue line represents*

*negative correlations. The thickness of the lines represents the magnitude of the*

*correlation coefficient.*

**Discussion**

Caesarean section scar diverticulum (CSD) significantly affects female fertility,

posing a challenge to women who wish to conceive. Our research team conducted a

preliminary investigation on bacteria-host interactions [12]. Current findings suggest

that fungi play crucial roles in maintaining bacterial community and microbiome

stability [15, 16]. This study explores the intricate fungal-bacterial interactions within

the microbiome of CSD, building on our team's previous research findings.

We achieved more precise bacterial community compositions by implementing

higher-resolution metagenomics than our previous study [12]. *Lactobacillus jensenii*

of the *Lactobacillus* genus exhibited the greatest discrepancy between the two groups.

This species has been linked to integral aspects of women's reproductive health. It has

been demonstrated that a reduction in *L. jensenii* abundance has a close correlation

with early-stage embryo arrest [17]. Furthermore, research suggests that *L. jensenii*

may hold potential in facilitating vertical transmission from mother to infant [18].

Fungi and bacteria, as integral components of the human microbiome, establish

complex interactions with each other and the host. The characteristics of fungal

communities in CSD an CON group are similar, but there are differences in species

composition. *Clabispora lusitaniae* is the species with the largest difference between

the two groups. In addition, the co-occurrence network of fungal communities and the

stability of the bacterial-fungal cross-domain network in the CSD group are poorer

than those in the CON group, indicating that the cervical microbiota stability is

disrupted in CSD, resulting in local microbial-immune imbalance. A previous study

confirmed that persistent exudation in CSD is a crucial factor affecting embryo

implantation [7]. Several studies have shown that microbial dysbiosis can affect local

immune balance and cause inflammation [19, 20].

Fungi play an important role in maintaining the stability of bacteria and the entire

microbiome. Studies have shown that adding specific fungi can promote the growth of

certain bacteria [16], while fungi also play an important role in improving the

adaptability of bacteria [21]. To further understand how abnormal fungal community

status in CSD affects bacteria, leading to the disruption of local stability, we used

LC/MS technique for metabolite detection. The metabolite analysis results showed

differences in the metabolite spectra between the CSD and CON groups, and the

regulatory mode of several different fungal species on metabolites was mainly

negative regulation. By integrating fungal, bacterial, and metabolite information, we

found that *Lachnellula suecica* played an important role in regulating the abundance

of several *Lactobacillus* species. *Lachnellula suecica* reduces the abundance of

several *Lactobacillus* species, including *Lactobacillus jensenii*, by decreasing the

metabolites Goyaglycoside A and Janthitrem E. Meanwhile, *Clavispora lusitaniae*

and *Ophiocordyceps australis* can also synergistically affect the abundance of

Lactobacillus by influencing metabolite abundance.

So far, we have conducted a comprehensive analysis of bacterial and fungal

communities and changes in environmental metabolites in Caesarean section scar

diverticulum (CSD). While our study provides significant insights, it is important to

note that our methodology did not include the use of negative controls. This is a

notable limitation, as negative controls are critical in distinguishing true microbial

signals from potential contamination, particularly for rare species. The absence of

negative controls could potentially affect the discrimination and identification of such

rare species within our samples. Consequently, while our results provide valuable

information on the prevalent microbial interactions within CSD, they should be

interpreted with caution, especially when considering the implications for clinical

treatment plans.

Furthermore, our analysis did not extend to examining the antibiotic sensitivity of

the key fungi and bacteria identified, which limits the direct application of our results

to clinical treatments. Future studies should consider including negative controls and

antibiotic sensitivity analysis to validate our findings and extend the implications for

clinical practice.

Despite these limitations, the study's results highlight the significant role of

fungal composition and activity in driving bacterial dysbiosis in CSD. This insight is

crucial for informing clinical treatment strategies and suggests that addressing fungal

populations could be an important aspect of managing CSD. Future research is needed

to further elucidate the complex interactions within the CSD microbiome and to

<span style="color:red">develop more comprehensive treatment approaches that address both bacterial and</span>

<span style="color:red">fungal components.</span>

**Materials and methods**

**Participant recruitment and sample collection**

The subjects were recruited at the Reproductive Medical Center of the Sixth

Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University. The inclusion and exclusion criteria

were previously described in a previous study [12]. All participants were fully

informed, volunteered to participate in this study, and signed informed consent forms.

All study processes were reviewed and approved by the Sixth Affiliated Hospital

Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University (IRB no. 2019ZSLYEC-005S).

The sample collection procedure is as described above [12]. In short, after

cleaning the external genitalia and vagina, a sterile disposable swab is inserted into

the cervical canal, and the swab is rotated five times to collect the sample fully. The

sample is rapidly transferred to liquid nitrogen for quenching and then stored at

-80°C.

**DNA extraction and metagenomic sequencing**

According to the manufacturer's instructions, the total DNA was isolated from the

sample using QIAamp® Fast DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The DNA

concentration and integrity were evaluated by NanoDrop2000 spectrophotometer

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. The

DNA was fragmented with S220 Focused-ultrasonicators (Covaris, USA) and purified

with Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads (Beckman Coulter Co., USA). Then, the

library was constructed using the TruSeq Nano DNA LT Sample Preparation Kit

(Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The

sequencing was performed using Illumina NovaSeq 6000.

**Raw Data Preprocessing**

Parallel computing in research is implemented with GNU Parallel [22].

The sequencing raw data was stored in FASTQ files. After trimming and filtering

with Trimmomatic (v0.36) [23], the clean paired-end reads were aligned to the host

genome (hg38) with bowtie2 (Presets: --very-sensitive-local) [24], and the matched

reads were discarded. The clean reads were assembled into contigs using MEGAHIT

software (--k-list 21,33,55,77) [25] with a filtering threshold of 500 bp. The ORFs

were predicted using Prodigal software [26] and were translated into amino acid

sequences. CD-HIT software [27] was used to remove redundancy from the ORF

predictions of each sample and mixed assembly with default parameyers, and to

obtain non-redundant initial Unigenes. The clustering was performed with default

parameters of 95% identity and 90% coverage, and the longest sequence was selected

as the representative sequence. Bowtie2 software was used to align the clean reads of

each sample to the non-redundant gene set (95% identity), and the abundance

information of genes in each corresponding sample was calculated from the number

of reads and the length of the genes.

**Taxa and functional annotation**

The representative sequences of the redundant gene set were aligned with NCBI's

NR database using DIAMOND software [28], and annotations with e <1e-5 were

selected to obtain proteins with the highest sequence similarity, thereby obtaining

functional annotations and species annotations. Species present in only <10% of

samples were excluded. The Valencia software [29] was used to perform community

state type (CST) assignment for each sample. The abundance of species is calculated

using the sum of the gene abundance corresponding to the species. LEfSe (Linear

discriminant analysis Effect Size) [30] was used to screen differentially abundant

species by using MicrobiotaProcess R package [31]. The first comparison uses the

Kluskal-Wallis test, the second comparison uses the Wilcoxon test, and finally LDA

is used to determine the difference, and the p value is corrected using fdr. The

calculation and visualization of species diversity and abundance are executed by the

EasyMicroPlot R package [32] and EasyAmplicon pipline [33]. Annotations were

performed using the KO, COG, and CAZy databases to explore changes in enzyme

family related to the microbiome. Differential functional analysis was conducted

using the STAMP software [34].

**Construction of co-occurrence network**

In network construction, we used more stringent species filtering criteria and only

included species detected in 50% of samples in the analysis. The construction and

random robustness comparison of fungal and bacterial-fungal co-occurrence networks

were executed by the ggClusterNet R package [35]. Spearman correlation analysis

was used to calculate correlations, the cutoff value of the correlation coefficient was

set to 0.6, and p values were corrected using FDR. The stability analysis of the

network is implemented by the Robustness.Random.removal function of the

ggClusterNet R package with the default parameters.

**Metabolite detection using liquid chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry**

**(MS)**

A separation buffer (methanol/acetonitrile/water [2:2:1]) was used to extract

metabolites from cervical swabs. Ten ul was taken from each sample and mixed to

form a quality control (QC) sample [36], which was used to evaluate stability during

the experiment. The metabolite signals in each sample were detected using liquid

chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry (MS) (ACQUITY UPLC I-Class plus,

Waters). Progenesis QI v2.3 software was used for qualitative analysis of metabolites,

with parameters of 5ppm precursor tolerance, 10 ppm product tolerance, and 5%

product ion threshold (Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle, UK). All output data were

normalized using internal standards, and the results were displayed as peak values

(test sample peak area/internal standard sample peak area). Compound identification

was performed using human metabolome database (HMDB), Lipidmaps (V2.3),

Metlin, EMDB, PMDB, and a self-built database based on mass-to-charge ratio (M/z),

secondary fragmentation, and isotope distribution.

The screening of differential metabolites was performed using the OPLS-DA

(orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis) method, with a VIP value>1

for the first principal component and a P value<0.05 for T-test as the threshold.

MetPA was used for differential metabolic pathway analysis [37]. We used Spearman

correlation analysis to evaluate the correlation between the microbial community and

metabolites. The network was visualized using Cytoscape software [38].

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

All study procedures were reviewed and approved by the ethics review board of the Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University (IRB no. 2019ZSLYEC-005S).

**Authors' contributions**

Xing Yang and Peigen Chen coordinated the study, participated in the design. Xing Yang carried out the study. Peigen Chen and Haicheng Chen analyzed and interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. Xinyi Pan, Qianru Liu and Ziyu Liu recruit subjects. Xinyi Pan and Ziyu Liu participated in the follow-up work and data collection. Qianru Liu were also responsible for preparing ethical review materials. Xing Yang did the review of the final paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Data Availability**

The metagenome sequencing has been deposited in China National Center for Bioinformation (https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/) under reference number PRJCA016850.

**Funding**

This work was supported by the [National Key R&D Program of China] under Grant [number 2022YFC2703000]; [National Natural Science Foundation of China] under Grant [number 82271651]; [Nationally Funded Postdoctoral Researcher Program] under Grant [number GZC20233216].

**Acknowledgments**

The authors thank to OE Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for assistance with
metagenomic sequencing.

**References**

1.    Verguet, S., et al., *Timing and cost of scaling up surgical services in low-income and*
*middle-income countries from 2012 to 2030: a modelling study.* Lancet Glob Health,
2015. **3 Suppl 2**: p. S28-37.

2.    Keag, O.E., J.E. Norman, and S.J. Stock, *Long-term risks and benefits associated*
*with cesarean delivery for mother, baby, and subsequent pregnancies: Systematic*
*review and meta-analysis.* PLoS Med, 2018. **15**(1): p. e1002494.

3.    Tower, A.M. and G.N. Frishman, *Cesarean scar defects: an underrecognized cause of*
*abnormal uterine bleeding and other gynecologic complications.* J Minim Invasive
Gynecol, 2013. **20**(5): p. 562-72.

4.    Poidevin, L.O., *Caesarean section scar safety.* Br Med J, 1959. **2**(5159): p. 1058-61.

5.    Gurol-Urganci, I., et al., *Impact of Caesarean section on subsequent fertility: a*
*systematic review and meta-analysis.* Hum Reprod, 2013. **28**(7): p. 1943-52.

6.    Naji, O., et al., *Does the presence of a Caesarean section scar affect implantation site*
*and early pregnancy outcome in women attending an early pregnancy assessment*
*unit?* Hum Reprod, 2013. **28**(6): p. 1489-96.

7.    Cai, M., et al., *Intra-cavitary fluid resulted from caesarean section but not isthmocele*
*compromised clinical pregnancy after IVF/ICSI treatment.* Arch Gynecol Obstet, 2022.

8.    Evans, J., et al., *Fertile ground: human endometrial programming and lessons in*
*health and disease.* Nat Rev Endocrinol, 2016. **12**(11): p. 654-667.

9.    Benner, M., et al., *How uterine microbiota might be responsible for a receptive, fertile*

*endometrium.* Hum Reprod Update, 2018. **24**(4): p. 393-415.

10.    Koedooder, R., et al., *Identification and evaluation of the microbiome in the female*

*and male reproductive tracts.* Hum Reprod Update, 2019. **25**(3): p. 298-325.

11.    Yang, X., et al., *Microbial Flora Changes in Cesarean Section Uterus and Its Possible*

*Correlation With Inflammation.* Front Med (Lausanne), 2021. **8**: p. 651938.

12.    Yang, X., et al., *Interaction between Cervical Microbiota and Host Gene Regulation in*

*Caesarean Section Scar Diverticulum.* Microbiol Spectr, 2022. **10**(4): p. e0167622.

13.    Ghannoum, M.A., et al., *Characterization of the oral fungal microbiome (mycobiome)*

*in healthy individuals.* PLoS Pathog, 2010. **6**(1): p. e1000713.

14.    Bradford, L.L. and J. Ravel, *The vaginal mycobiome: A contemporary perspective on*

*fungi in women's health and diseases.* Virulence, 2017. **8**(3): p. 342-351.

15.    Zeise, K.D., R.J. Woods, and G.B. Huffnagle, *Interplay between Candida albicans and*

*Lactic Acid Bacteria in the Gastrointestinal Tract: Impact on Colonization Resistance,*

*Microbial Carriage, Opportunistic Infection, and Host Immunity.* Clin Microbiol Rev,

2021. **34**(4): p. e0032320.

16.    Pareek, S., et al., *Comparison of Japanese and Indian intestinal microbiota shows*

*diet-dependent interaction between bacteria and fungi.* NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes,

2019. **5**(1): p. 37.

17.    Wang, L., et al., *Association between the vaginal and uterine microbiota and the risk of*

*early embryonic arrest.* Front Microbiol, 2023. **14**: p. 1137869.

18.    McCauley, K.E., et al., *Heritable vaginal bacteria influence immune tolerance and*

*relate to early-life markers of allergic sensitization in infancy.* Cell Rep Med, 2022. **3**(8):

p. 100713.

19.    Hooper, L.V., D.R. Littman, and A.J. Macpherson, *Interactions between the microbiota*

*and the immune system.* Science, 2012. **336**(6086): p. 1268-73.

20.    Littman, D.R. and E.G. Pamer, *Role of the commensal microbiota in normal and*

*pathogenic host immune responses.* Cell Host Microbe, 2011. **10**(4): p. 311-23.

21.    Pierce, E.C., et al., *Bacterial-fungal interactions revealed by genome-wide analysis of*

*bacterial mutant fitness.* Nat Microbiol, 2021. **6**(1): p. 87-102.

22.    Tange, O., *GNU Parallel - the command-line power tool.* login: The USENIX Magazine,

2011. **36**(1): p. 42-47.

23.    Bolger, A.M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, *Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina*

*sequence data.* Bioinformatics, 2014. **30**(15): p. 2114-20.

24.    Langmead, B. and S.L. Salzberg, *Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2.* Nat

Methods, 2012. **9**(4): p. 357-9.

25.    Li, D., et al., *MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex*

*metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.* Bioinformatics, 2015. **31**(10): p.

1674-6.

26.    Hyatt, D., et al., *Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site*

*identification.* BMC Bioinformatics, 2010. **11**: p. 119.

27.    Li, W., L. Jaroszewski, and A. Godzik, *Clustering of highly homologous sequences to*

*reduce the size of large protein databases.* Bioinformatics, 2001. **17**(3): p. 282-3.

28.    Buchfink, B., C. Xie, and D.H. Huson, *Fast and sensitive protein alignment using*

*DIAMOND.* Nat Methods, 2015. **12**(1): p. 59-60.

29.     France, M.T., et al., *VALENCIA: a nearest centroid classification method for vaginal*

444            *microbial communities based on composition.* Microbiome, 2020. **8**(1): p. 166.

445     30.     Segata, N., et al., *Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation.* Genome Biol,

446            2011. **12**(6): p. R60.

447     31.     Xu, S., et al., *MicrobiotaProcess: A comprehensive R package for deep mining*

448            *microbiome.* Innovation (Camb), 2023. **4**(2): p. 100388.

449     32.     Liu, B., et al., *EasyMicroPlot: An Efficient and Convenient R Package in Microbiome*

450            *Downstream Analysis and Visualization for Clinical Study.* Front Genet, 2021. **12**: p.

451            803627.

452     33.     Liu, Y.-X., et al., *EasyAmplicon: An easy-to-use, open-source, reproducible, and*

453            *community-based pipeline for amplicon data analysis in microbiome research.* iMeta,

454            2023. **2**(1): p. e83.

455     34.     Parks, D.H., et al., *STAMP: statistical analysis of taxonomic and functional profiles.*

456            Bioinformatics, 2014. **30**(21): p. 3123-4.

457     35.     Wen, T., et al., *ggClusterNet: An R package for microbiome network analysis and*

458            *modularity-based multiple network layouts.* iMeta, 2022. **1**(3): p. e32.

459     36.     Cai, Y., et al., *An integrated targeted metabolomic platform for high-throughput*

460            *metabolite profiling and automated data processing.* Metabolomics, 2015. **11**(6): p.

461            1575-1586.

462     37.     Xia, J. and D.S. Wishart, *MetPA: a web-based metabolomics tool for pathway analysis*

463            *and visualization.* Bioinformatics, 2010. **26**(18): p. 2342-4.

38.     Shannon, P., et al., *Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of*

*biomolecular interaction networks.* Genome Res, 2003. **13**(11): p. 2498-504.

Legend:

Fig.1 Bacterial community structure and composition characteristics. Alpha diversity

based on Pielou index (A) and Shannon index (B); (C) Beta diversity based on the

Bray-Curtis distance; Stacked graph of CST (D) and subCST (E) compositions; (F)

Stacked plot of species composition; (G) Scatter plot of differentially abundant

species between CSD and CON groups.

Fig.2 Fungal community structure and composition characteristics. Alpha diversity

based on Pielou index (A) and Shannon index (B); (C) Beta diversity based on the

Bray-Curtis distance; Stacked graph of phylum level (D) and species level (E)

compositions; (F) The tree map of fungal community; (G) Box plot of differentially

abundant species between CSD and CON groups.

Fig.3 Interaction between bacteria and fungi. (A) Co-occurrence network of fungal

communities; (B) Comparison of the random robustness of co-occurrence network of

fungal communities between CSD and CON groups; (C) Interaction network between

bacteria and fungi; (D) Comparison of the random robustness of bacterial-fungal

interaction network between CSD and CON groups; (E) Heatmap of the correlation

between bacteria and fungi; (F) Network of correlations between bacteria and fungi

($R \geq 0.6$, $p \leq 0.05$).

Fig.4 Metabolite composition and functional characteristics. (A) Heatmap of

differentially abundant metabolites between CSD and CON groups; (B) Dot plot of

KEGG enrichment analysis of metabolites. Red represents upregulation, blue

represents downregulation; (C) Heatmap of the correlation between fungi and

metabolites.

Fig.5 Correlations network of fungi, bacteria, and metabolites. The orange module

represents metabolites. The yellow module represents bacteria. The green module

represents fungi. The red line represents positive correlations. Blue line represents

negative correlations. The thickness of the lines represents the magnitude of the

correlation coefficient.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1 Functional composition of the cervical microbiota.（A）

PCA plot of microbial functional genes; (B) Differences in the composition of KEGG

modules between CSD and CON; (C) Differences in the composition of KEGG

pathway between CSD and CON; (D) Differences in the composition of

carbohydrate-active enzymes between CSD and CON.

Figure 4-figure supplement 1 Heatmap of the correlation between bacterial and

metabolites.

Supplementary File 1. 431 fungal species in all samples