A single domain intrabody targeting the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) impacts FSH-induced G protein-dependent signalling

Pauline Raynaud[1], Vinesh Jugnarain[1], Océane Vaugrente[1], Amandine Vallet[1], Thomas Boulo[1], Camille Gauthier[1], Christophe Gauthier[1], Frédéric Jean-Alphonse[1,2], Eric Reiter[1,2], Pascale Crépieux[1,2]*, Gilles Bruneau[1]*

[1]Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements (PRC), Institut National de Recherche pour l'Agriculture, l'Alimentation et l'Environnement (INRAE), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Institut Français du Cheval et de l'Equitation (IFCE), Université de Tours, 37380 Nouzilly, France

[2]Inria, Inria Saclay-Ile-de-France, 91120 Palaiseau, France

*Corresponding authors:

Dr. Gilles Bruneau, UMR Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, Centre de Recherches INRAE Val de Loire, F-37380 Nouzilly, France. E-Mail: Gilles.Bruneau@inrae.fr

Dr. Pascale Crépieux, UMR Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, Centre de Recherches INRAE Val de Loire, F-37380 Nouzilly, France. E-Mail: Pascale.Crepieux@inrae.fr

Abstract

Intracellular variable fragments from camelid heavy-chain only antibody (intra-VHH) have been successfully used as chaperones to solve the 3D structure of active G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) bound to their transducers. However, their effect on signalling has been poorly explored, although they may provide a better understanding on the relationships between receptor conformation and activity. Here, we isolated and characterized iPRC1, the first intra-VHH recognizing a member of the large glycoprotein hormone receptor family, the follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR). This intra-VHH recognizes the FSHR 3rd intracellular loop and decreases cAMP production in response to FSH, without altering Gαs recruitment. Hence, iPRC1 behaves as an allosteric modulator and provides a new tool to complete structure/activity studies performed so far on this receptor.

Keywords: G protein-coupled receptors, FSH receptor, intracellular VHH, cell signalling

Abbreviations

GPCR: G protein-coupled receptor

FSH: Follicle-stimulating hormone

FSHR: FSH receptor

LHCGR: Luteinizing hormone/choriogonadotropin receptor

TSHR: Thyroid-stimulating hormone

BSA: Bovine serum albumin

BRET: Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer

HTRF: Homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence

ICL: intracellular loop

AVP: Arginine-vasopressin

AVPR2: Arginine-vasopressin 2 receptor

ISO: Isoproterenol hydrochloride

ADRB2: β2 adrenergic receptor

Fsk: Forskolin

PBS: phosphate-buffered saline

APC: Allophycocyanin

RLuc8: luciferase from the sea pansy *Renilla reniformis*

mini Gs protein: minimal engineered GTPase domain of the Gα subunit

Introduction

G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) represent a large family of membrane receptors characterized by an N-terminal extracellular domain, seven transmembrane domains connected by three extracellular and three intracellular loops, and an intracellular C-terminal domain. Ligand binding to a GPCR leads to conformational changes within the transmembrane and intracellular domains, mediating the transduction of an extracellular signal to the interior of the cell. GPCRs are highly dynamic proteins, adopting a plethora of active and inactive conformations (1). This is in accordance with the fact that, upon ligand binding, they are able to interact with a diversity of downstream signalling proteins with variable efficacy. The signal is transduced by heterotrimeric G proteins (Gs, Gi/o, Gq/11, G12/13), G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRK) and β-arrestins among others. Since GPCRs are involved in the regulation of numerous physiological and pathological processes, regulating their biological activity has been the object of considerable efforts, involving the use of a variety of molecular tools. Ligands recognizing the orthosteric binding site of a GPCR act as agonists or antagonists. The use of allosteric modulators that favour either active (positive allosteric modulator) or inactive (negative allosteric modulator) conformations may fine-tune GPCR signalling. Biased ligands, whether orthosteric or allosteric, exhibit altered efficacy or potency to regulate a specific signalling pathway, when compared to a reference ligand, providing valuable tools to study and control GPCR signalling regulation.

Small molecules have been extensively explored to modulate GPCR signalling and pharmacology, including the FSHR's (2). In the last decade, there has been a growing interest in the development of antibodies targeting GPCRs, especially in the VHH (VH of heavy chain only antibodies) format. These VHHs of low molecular weight (~13 kDa), display the smallest paratope capable of specifically recognizing an epitope. A long and flexible CDR3 that compensates for the absence of light chain enables them to access and recognize cryptic epitopes, such as binding pockets (3). Furthermore, their conformational stability is an advantageous property. VHHs have disulphide bonds not essential for epitope recognition (4), hence they are especially well-suited for intracellular expression despite reducing conditions in the cytosol.

Ligand-bound GPCRs are structurally instable, and the recruitment of transduction proteins (G proteins, β-arrestins) each stabilize a discrete subset of receptor

conformations (5). Similarly, intracellular VHHs (intra-VHHs) have the ability to stabilize selective GPCR conformations. Therefore, intra-VHHs targeting GPCRs have been a tremendous help to solve crystal structures of numerous active GPCRs. For example, Nb80 was used as a chaperone to solve crystal structure of the active beta 2 adrenergic receptor (ADRB2) (6), Nb9-8 for the structure of active muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M2 (7), or Nb39 for the active structure of mu-opioid receptor (8). All of these intra-VHHs exhibit G protein mimetic properties. Intra-VHHs stabilising partially active conformations of GPCRs have also been identified, such as Nb71 targeting ADRB2 (9). Others were able to stabilize inactive conformations, as Nb60, which acts as a negative allosteric modulator at the ADRB2 (10). In addition, some intra-VHHs have been used as biosensors to track GPCR intracellular trafficking. For instance, Nb80 fused to GFP as a biosensor for ADRB2 activation, revealed that active ADRB2 is present at the endosomes (11). Overall, by providing important stabilizing tools, intra-VHHs allowed valuable structural insights on GPCR activation. However, their modulatory impact on GPCR functioning has been poorly explored. So far, few studies reported a functional allosteric effect of intra-VHHs on GPCR signalling, and they are all directed against five GPCRs only (OPRK1, OPRD1, OPEM1, ADRB2 and US28), amongst the 800 members of the family (for review see Raynaud et al., 2022 (12)). For example, eighteen intra-VHHs selected on ADRB2 have been functionally characterized and they display variable inhibitory effects on cAMP production and β-arrestin recruitment (13). Four others inhibited the cAMP-responsive element (14). Intra-VHHs used as regulators of GPCR function can provide insight on GPCR signalling and on the receptor regions that are involved.

The follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) is a class A (rhodopsin-like) GPCR playing a key role in reproduction, by regulating gametogenesis in male and female (15). The FSHR, together with the luteinizing hormone/choriogonadotropin (LHCGR) and thyroid stimulating hormone receptors (TSHR), constitute the subfamily of glycoprotein hormone receptors. Unlike other class A GPCRs, these three receptors are characterized by a large horseshoe-shaped extra-cellular domain, comprising the hormone binding domain (16). The FSH-stimulated FSHR primarily signals through Gs, the Gαs subunit leading to the production of the cAMP second messenger, thus activating protein kinase A (PKA). The Gβγ subunits activate GRKs, which subsequently phosphorylate the receptor on specific motifs, leading notably to the

recruitment of β-arrestins (17–19). The latter play a key role in receptor desensitization,
internalization and recycling, but also in G protein-independent signalling. FSH-
activated FSHR is also engaged with other interaction partners (20), and induces a
complex signalling network (21).

Through its biological role, the FSHR is a potential target for non-hormonal alternatives
to fertility treatments or contraceptives, with the aim of optimizing efficacy while
reducing side effects. However, it is not yet clearly understood how a specific signalling
pathway correlates with the induced physiological effect. Therefore, to be able to fine-
tune FSHR signalling, there is a need for tools allowing to decipher the complexity of
the FSHR signalling network. In this study, we isolated a VHH targeting the 3rd
intracellular loop of the FSHR, expressed it as an intra-VHH in mammalian cells,
showed its binding to the FSHR, and explored its potential modulatory effect on the
FSH-induced Gs signalling pathway.

Materials and methods

References of the materials are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

- *Phage library*

The phage library was obtained after intramuscular immunisation of llama with a cDNA encoding the human FSHR (In-Cell-Art, Nantes, France). Total RNAs were extracted from llama circulating leukocytes using LeukoLOCK™ Fractionation & Stabilization Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and reverse-transcribed as cDNA using SuperScript™ III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). VHHs cDNAs were amplified by nested PCR using Platinum™ Taq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific), as described in Supplementary Figure 1. PCR products were inserted between the SfiI and NotI sites of the pCANTAB 6 phagemid vector (kindly provided by Pierre Martineau). The resulting recombinant phagemids were transformed in *E. coli* TG1 bacterial cells (Lucigen, Teddington, UK). Addition of the KM13 helper phage (kindly provided by Pierre Martineau) allowed the production of the phages. The primary library contains 3.10^8 independent phages.

- *Phage display*

Intra-VHH selection was performed by phage display on each of the three peptides corresponding to the intracellular loops of the human FSHR (ICL1, ICL2 and ICL3). ICL1 (TTSQYKLTVPR), ICL2 (ERWHTITHAMQLDCKVQLRH) and ICL3 (HIYLTVRNPNIVSSSSDTRIAKR) peptides were synthetized by Genecust (Boynes, France). Three selection rounds were carried out. For each round of selection, 1 µg of peptide in PBS pH 7.4 was coated into the selection well of Nunc MAXISORP strips (Dutscher, Bernolsheim, France). The first round started with a sample of 10^{11} to 10^{12} phages from the amplified phage library. For rounds 1 and 3, depletion and blocking of nonspecific sites were performed in PBS 2 % bovine serum albumin (BSA) and for round 2, in PBS 2% skimmed milk. Phages were depleted on two wells for 1h, and incubated on the well coated with the peptides for 2 h. Phages were washed 40 times with PBS 0.1 % Tween 20, with one last wash in 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM $CaCl_2$. For rounds 1 and 2, phage elution was performed in the latter buffer containing 125 µg/mL bovine trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), for 15 min at room temperature. For round 3, phages were eluted using 1.5 mM peptide in PBS pH 7.4. TG1 bacterial cells were used for phage amplification. Ninety-six randomly chosen clones among the

selected clones from round 3 were sequenced (Azenta, Cambridge, MA, USA).

Sequences were aligned, clustered and compared from one selection condition to the

others. Sequences common to at least 2 selection conditions were considered as non-

specific.

- *Cell culture and plasmid transfections*

HEK293A cells and HEK293/ΔGαs (22) were cultured in DMEM (Eurobio, Les Ulis,

France) supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal bovine serum, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 0.1

mg/mL streptomycin (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France) and kept at 37°C in a humidified 5%

CO_2 incubator. Cells were transiently transfected in suspension using the Metafectene

Pro transfection reagent (Biontex Laboratories, München, Germany) following the

manufacturer's protocol. For interaction Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer

(BRET) experiments, cells were transiently co-transfected with plasmids encoding the

human FSHR-Rluc8 (0.1 μg plasmid DNA/cm^2) as BRET donor, and increasing

quantities of plasmids encoding the intra-VHH C-terminally fused to the Venus GFP-

derivative with a G4S linker as BRET acceptor (from 0 to 0.5 μg plasmid/cm^2). To have

an equivalent level of plasmid DNA transfected in all conditions, a pcDNA3.1 vector

encoding Venus alone was co-transfected. Further experiments are conducted with an

excess of VHH plasmid DNA in comparison to FSHR plasmid DNA transfected. For

Mini Gs protein recruitment BRET experiments, cells were transiently co-transfected

with plasmids encoding the human FSHR-Rluc8 (0.1 μg plasmid DNA/cm^2), the NES-

Venus-mGs (65 ng plasmid DNA/cm^2, kindly provided by Pr. Nevin A. Lambert,

Augusta University, Augusta, GA, USA) (23,24), and VHH-6xHis (0.2 μg plasmid

DNA/cm^2). For HTRF and flow cytometry experiments, 0.5 ng Flag-tagged human

FSHR (25) or 0.25 ng Flag-tagged human AVPR2 (26) plasmid DNA/cm^2 and 80 ng of

VHH-6xHis plasmid DNA/cm^2 were used for transfection. For the condition without

transfected VHH, an empty pcDNA3.1 plasmid was used. For confocal microscopy,

two quantities of intra-VHH-Venus were tested for transfection (0.3 or 0.45 μg plasmid

DNA/cm^2).

- *Cell imaging*

HEK293A cells were seeded on glass coverslips coated with Poly-L-Lysine (Sigma-

Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in 24-well plates. Cells were fixed in a solution of 4%

formaldehyde diluted in PBS for 10 min at room temperature, and washed six times in

PBS to remove formaldehyde. Hoechst stain was used to label cell nuclei (Sigma-
Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Following three PBS washes, coverslips were mounted
in Epredia™ Shandon™ Immu-Mount (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA)
and left at room temperature overnight. Images were acquired on a ZEISS Axio
Observer Z1 using a 40X oil immersion objective and analysed with the ZEN software
(Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

- *Flow Cytometry*

HEK293A cells transiently transfected with pcDNA3.1-VHH-6xHis plasmids were
detached following 1 hour-incubation in HBSS w/o Ca^{2+} (Eurobio, Les Ulis, France),
and re-suspended in working buffer (PBS w/o Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+}, 0.5% BSA) 48 h after
transfection. Cells were then incubated with FcR Blocking Reagent human (1:20,
Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Violet Dead
Cell Stain (1:1000, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) for 10 min at 4°C. Cells were
washed twice in working buffer before permeabilization using BD Cytofix/Cytoperm™
Fixation/Permeabilization kit (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) following
manufacturer's protocol. APC-conjugated anti-6xHis antibody (His antibody APC,
1:1000, Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) was added for 1 h at 4 °C.
Following two washes in perm/wash buffer, cells were resuspended in PBS for analysis
with the MACSQuant Analyzer 10 Flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch
Gladbach, Germany). Data were analyzed and plotted with the FlowJo software
(FlowJo, Ashland, OR, USA).

- *BRET assays*

Forty-eight hours after transfection, BRET measurements were performed upon
addition of 5 μM of coelenterazine H (Interchim, Montluçon, France) diluted in PBS for
non-stimulated conditions and upon addition of either 3.3 nM FSH or 100 nM AVP in
the presence of coelenterazine H for stimulated conditions to assay interaction.
Stimulation was performed upon addition of 33 nM FSH in the presence of
coelenterazine H for assaying Mini Gs protein recruitment. Signals were recorded for
20 min in a Mithras LB 943 plate reader (Berthold Technologies GmbH & Co., Wildbad,
Germany).

- *cAMP measurement by HTRF*

Forty-eight hours following transfection, cells were starved for 4 h in non-supplemented

DMEM. Cells were detached after a 1 hour-incubation in HBSS w/o Ca^{2+} at 37°C in a

humidified 5% CO_2 incubator. Cells were then dispatched in a 384 well-plate (Greiner

Bio-one, Courtaboeuf, France), stimulated for 15 min using either 2 µM forskolin (Fsk)

(MedChemExpress, South Brunswick, NJ, USA), 0.3 nM recombinant FSH (kindly

donated by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), 0.25 nM arginine-vasopressin (AVP) (Tocris

Bioscience, Noyal Châtillon sur Seiche, France) or Isoproterenol hydrochloride (ISO)

(Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence

(HTRF) experiments were conducted using the cAMP Gs dynamic kit from Cisbio

(Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). After successive addition of the HTRF sensors, the

plate was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Fluorescence measurement was then

performed with a TriStar² LB 942 Multimode Microplate Reader (Berthold Technologies

GmbH & Co., Wildbad, Germany).

- *Data analysis*

Data were representative of at least 4 independent experiments and were expressed

as mean values ± SEM. Data were analyzed and plotted using Graphpad Prism 9

(Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). For interaction BRET, nonspecific

signal (when no VHH-Venus was expressed) was subtracted of the signal obtained

with T31 or iPRC1, and data were then normalized to T31 and fitted to a specific

binding curve with Hill slope (Graphpad Prism 9). For Mini Gs protein recruitment,

BRET data were expressed as a percentage of the maximal FSH-induced response in

the absence of VHH, and fitted to a one-phase association curve (Graphpad Prism 9).

For HTRF cAMP accumulation assay, data were normalized to forskolin-induced cAMP

accumulation and expressed as a percentage of ligand-induced response in the

absence of intra-VHH. Statistical significance was estimated by the Mann-Whitney test

to compare 2 different samples. Differences among means were considered significant

at $P < 0.05$. Image processing was performed with Fiji,[27].

- *In silico docking*

The model of the iPRC1 VHH was generated by homology modelling using SWISS-

MODEL [28–31]. Docking of the iPRC1 CDR3 on the ICL3 of either inactive FSHR

(PDB: 8I2H) or activated FSH-FSHR-Gs complex (PDB: 8I2G) was performed using

the standard 'EASY' access settings on HADDOCK 2.4 [32,33]. The generated

HADDOCK models were visualised, superimposed onto each other, and analysed

using the PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC.

Results

Selection of the iPRC1 intra-VHH

To select intra-VHH targeting the FSHR intra-cellular side, we performed phage display on peptides corresponding to intracellular loops 1, 2 and 3 (ICL1, ICL2 and ICL3) of the receptor (Figure 1). Following three rounds of selection, the common clones identified in several conditions were considered as non-specific. Among the clones not common to ICL1 and ICL2 selections, a VHH directed against the FSHR ICL3, iPRC1, was selected through further analysis. An irrelevant intra-VHH T31, randomly picked from a llama phage library and consistently observed as devoid of FSHR binding, has been used as a negative control in all following experiments.

Expression of iPRC1 in mammalian cells

In order to be functionally characterized, iPRC1 and T31 were transiently expressed in HEK293A cells. We first assessed potential aggregation of the T31-Venus and iPRC1-Venus intra-VHHs (Figure 2A). Using confocal microscopy, we detected no sign of aggregation but rather homogeneous expression of both intra-VHHs, even with high quantities of transfected plasmid DNA (450 ng of DNA per cm^2). Then the expression level of the two intra-VHH C-terminally linked to a 6xHis-tag was assessed by flow cytometry (Figure 2B), in all conditions of the following functional assays. Control and iPRC1 intra-VHHs both exhibited comparable levels of expression.

iPRC1 binding to the FSHR

Even though iPRC1 was selected on the FSHR ICL3, it was crucial to confirm its binding to the full-length receptor in native conditions. Here, the interaction between iPRC1 and the human FSHR was assayed by a BRET method using the VHH C-terminally fused to the Venus BRET acceptor, and the FSHR or the arginine-vasopressin 2 receptor (AVPR2) fused to the RLuc8 BRET donor. With increasing amounts of transfected VHH-Venus plasmids (Figure 3A), a dose-dependent and much higher binding of iPRC1 to FSHR than to AVPR2 was observed, even though a weak binding signal was detected with AVPR2. There was no impact of the presence of the ligand on iPRC1 binding, which indicates that iPRC1 has no preference for inactive or ligand-induced active conformations of the FSHR. The maximum binding

estimated from fitting the data to specific binding curves with Hill slope showed a

statistically significant difference for FSHR, when compared to AVPR2 (Figure 3B).

Functional effect of iPRC1 on ligand-induced G protein-dependent signalling

Knowing that ICL2 and ICL3 are important interfaces between Gα proteins and class

A GPCRs (6), we focused on G protein-dependent signalling. In order to predict

whether iPRC1 would interfere with the Gs protein/ FSHR interaction, we first

performed docking studies of a modelled iPRC1 CDR3 on the ICL3 of human FSHR

active or inactive structures. Docking of the iPRC1 CDR3 on the inactive FSHR led to

9 clusters, the best one consisting of 83 models, having HADDOCK score of -89.1 +/-

5.9 (Figure 4A). The HADDOCK score is the weighted sum of energies, with the most

negative values representing the most energetically favourable models. Although only

the CDR3 of iPRC1 was defined as the active residues in the interaction during the

docking process, the models generated also suggested the involvement of CDR1 and

CDR2. Visual inspection of models indicated several poses of iPRC1 with varying

vertical-horizontal orientations located on the external side of ICL3 (Figure 4A, left, for

a focused view, see Supplementary Figure 2). The superimposition of the best models

from the different clusters onto the FSH-FSHR-Gs complex indicated that the binding

of iPRC1 to ICL3 would cause steric clash with Gαs (Figure 4A, right, for a focused

view, see Supplementary Figure 2). The degree of steric clash with Gαs varied

according to the orientation of iPRC1, being minimized with the horizontal pose.

However, such horizontal poses could incur steric clashes with the cell membrane.

Steric hindrance between iPRC1 and Gs, and the cell membrane, was also confirmed

with the active FSH-FSHR-Gs complex, as the models generated did not provide good

HADDOCK scores: the best cluster consisted of only 17 models with HADDOCK score

of -1.6 +/- 25.1. Overall, it is clear from these models that iPRC1 was either targeting

intra-membrane portions of the FSHR or was in steric clash with the cell membrane.

Since these docking data suggest a competition between G proteins and iPRC1 upon

FSH binding, we next investigated whether iPRC1 would impact ligand-induced G

protein-dependant second messenger production. The outcome of iPRC1 or T31

intracellular expression was assayed on cAMP production that results from G protein

recruitment upon receptor activation, using HTRF (Figure 4). When compared to the

mock-transfected condition (no VHH transfected), iPRC1 induced a decrease of 33%

of cAMP accumulation in HEK293A cells transiently co-expressing the FSHR and the

intra-VHH, and stimulated for 15 min with 0.3 nM FSH. No effect of the T31 control

was observed in the same conditions (Figure 4B). The selectivity of iPRC1 was tested

on two other Gs-coupled class A GPCRs, structurally unrelated to the FSHR, the

AVPR2 and the beta 2 adrenergic receptor (ADRB2). The AVP-induced cAMP

production in HEK293A cells transiently expressing AVPR2, and stimulated for 15 min

with 0.25 nM AVP (Figure 4C), or the ISO-induced cAMP production in HEK293A cells

endogenously expressing ADRB2 (Figure 4D) were not altered by the co-expression

of T31 as expected, nor of iPRC1. These data show that iPRC1 negatively regulates

the FSH-induced Gs protein-dependent signalling, and this effect is specific of the anti-

FSHR intra-VHH selected in this study.

As suggested by the docking data, the reduced cAMP production in response to FSH

might be due to iPRC1 partially preventing Gs protein interaction with the receptor. To

verify this hypothesis, we assessed the recruitment of Mini Gs to the receptor in

absence or in presence of T31 or iPRC1 by BRET (Figure 4E). This experiment was

performed in HEK293/ΔGαs to avoid competition with the endogenous untagged Gs

proteins. The intracellular expression of iPRC1 slightly decreased Mini Gs/FSH-

activated FSHR BRET signal in comparison to T31 or mock conditions, but not

significantly, suggesting that iPRC1 does not compete with Gs for interaction with

FSHR.

Discussion

In this study, we have selected the first intra-VHH targeting a member of the glycoprotein hormone receptor family, the FSHR, while only few VHHs targeting the intracellular side of GPCRs have been functionally characterized to date. This intra-VHH is directed against the FSHR ICL3 and impairs the cAMP production of the ligand-bound receptor, despite the recruitment of Gαs. Thus, iPRC1 behaves as an allosteric modulator of FSHR.

We first showed that iPRC1 was correctly expressed in mammalian cells and did not aggregate, which makes it well suited for experiments in a cellular context. iPRC1 specifically recognized the FSHR, when compared to two other Gs-coupled GPCR, AVPR2 and ADRB2. However, iPRC1 specificity towards the two other glycoprotein hormone receptors, LHCGR and TSHR, which share high sequence similarity with the FSHR, still remains to be assayed. Importantly, iPRC1 recognised the receptor whether it is FSH-stimulated or not. Hence, iPRC1 is not specific of an inactive or an FSH-activated conformation of the FSHR. G proteins are recruited to the FSHR notably through the ERW motif present on ICL2, but also through a basic motif on ICL3 (21) (Supplementary Figure 3). Therefore, iPRC1 might interfere with G protein interaction with the FSHR through steric hindrance, a hypothesis supported by our docking results that overall suggest direct steric clashes with Gαs. Consistently, iPRC1 specifically reduced the FSH-induced cAMP production in HEK293 cells transiently expressing the FSHR, but not the AVPR2 or the ADRB2 in the presence of their respective ligands.

From our results we anticipated a competition between iPRC1 and G proteins for interaction with the receptor. Surprisingly, when the recruitment of mini Gs to the FSHR was assayed in HEK293 cells depleted of Gαs protein, there was no significant impact of the expression of iPRC1. The affinity of iPRC1 for the FSHR probably being weaker than the affinity of G proteins for the receptor, the binding equilibrium might be in favour of the G proteins. However, it does not explain the inhibitory effect of iPRC1 on cAMP production. An alternative but not mutually exclusive hypothesis relies on the observation that ICL3 is an allosteric site of major interest to modulate GPCR activity and downstream signalling (34). This view probably applies to the FSHR since the recently solved FSHR cryo-EM structure reveals a 14,9 Å movement of the N-term portion of TM6 helix, suggesting the major importance of ICL3 in FSHR activation (16).

Therefore, by targeting ICL3, iPRC1 might affect FSHR helix conformational
transitions, hence activation. According to the docking studies, an interesting model for
the action of iPRC1 would be its binding to the external side of ICL3 in a horizontal
pose. Whilst steric clashes with Gαs is minimized, the presence of the iPRC1 however
limits the shift of the TM6 to allow for the full recruitment of Gαs since it would be
blocked by the cell membrane. This hypothesis is consistent with the binding data
suggesting that iPRC1 is able to interact with both active and inactive conformation of
FSHR. The Gs protein could also be recruited to the FSHR, but iPRC1 would imply a
reorientation that might not be optimal for its activation, thus explaining the reduction
of cAMP production.

The ICL3 length exhibits striking diversity among GPCRs, ranging from 10 to 240
amino-acids, and it has been recently proposed that this length might explain G protein
selectivity (34), the shorter the loop, the lower the selectivity. In this view, when bound
to the FSHR, whose ICL3 is only 23 amino acids long (against 47 and 55 amino acids
for AVPR2 and ADRB2 respectively), iPRC1 might promote coupling to other G
proteins (34).

ICL3 being also involved in the interaction of the receptor with β-arrestins, it would be
of major interest to study the impact of iPRC1 on β-arrestin-dependent signalling, for
example through direct β-arrestin recruitment.

Since it does not preferentially interact with an inactive or active conformation of the
FSHR, this intra-VHH is also a valuable tool to track FSHR trafficking in gonadal cells,
which has never been done before. In addition, an intra-VHH stabilizing a conformation
of specific interest could be used in reverse pharmacology. By stabilizing selective
conformations of a GPCR with an intra-VHH, it would be possible to screen and identify
extracellular binders that stabilize that same conformation and would be suited for
therapeutics purposes (35).

Ligand binding to its cognate GPCR results in a series of conformational changes that
propagate through the transmembrane domains, allowing the transmission of an
extracellular signal to the intracellular compartments of the cell. Although intra-VHH
have been instrumental to provide precious structural data on GPCRs, the extreme
complexity of GPCR signalling in correlation with conformational changes has not been
unravelled. Even though many published structures of GPCRs are available, they do
not provide a sufficient structural resolution of unstructured domains, such as the ICLs,

thus preventing any prediction of structure/ activity relationships. Therefore, intra-

VHHs that bind to ICLs and interfere with GPCR signalling pathways constitute

valuable tools to help complete structure/ activity studies performed so far.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the Merck company for kindly providing purified recombinant human FSH, Pierre Martineau (Institut de Recherche en Cancérologie de Montpellier, France) for precious technical indications and for kindly providing the pCANTAB 6 phagemid vector and the KM13 helper phage.

Funding sources and disclosure of conflicts of interest

This work was funded with the support of Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique et de l'Environnement (INRAE), of the MAbImprove Labex (ANR-10-LABX-53) and of Région Centre Val de Loire ARD2020 Biomédicaments SELMAT grant and APR-IR INTACT grant.

PR was funded by a joint fellowship from Région Centre Val de Loire and INRAE PHASE Department. OV was funded by the APR-IR INTACT grant. AV, CaG and VJ was funded by the SELMAT grant of Région Centre Val de Loire ARD2020 Biomédicaments Program. CaG is co-funded by the INTACT grant from Région Centre Val de Loire. TB, ChG, GB and ER are funded by INRAE, FJ-A and PC are funded by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS).

References

1. Latorraca NR, Venkatakrishnan AJ, Dror RO. GPCR Dynamics: Structures in Motion. Chem
Rev. 11 Jan 2017;117(1):139-55. doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00177

2. De Pascali F, Ayoub MA, Benevelli R, Sposini S, Lehoux J, Gallay N, et al. Pharmacological
Characterization of Low Molecular Weight Biased Agonists at the Follicle Stimulating
Hormone Receptor. Int J Mol Sci. 12 Sept 2021;22(18):9850. doi: 10.3390/ijms22189850

3. Stijlemans B, Conrath K, Cortez-Retamozo V, Van Xong H, Wyns L, Senter P, et al.
Efficient Targeting of Conserved Cryptic Epitopes of Infectious Agents by Single domain
Antibodies. J Biol Chem. Jan 2004;279(2):1256-61. doi:10.1074/jbc.M307341200

4. Liu H, Schittny V, Nash MA. Removal of a Conserved Disulfide Bond Does Not
Compromise Mechanical Stability of a VHH Antibody Complex. Nano Lett. 14 Aug
2019;19(8):5524-9. doi: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b02062

5. Manglik A, Kobilka B. The role of protein dynamics in GPCR function: insights from the
β2AR and rhodopsin. Curr Opin Cell Biol. Apr 2014;27:136-43. doi:
10.1016/j.ceb.2014.01.008.

6. Rasmussen SGF, Choi HJ, Fung JJ, Pardon E, Casarosa P, Chae PS, et al. Structure of a
nanobody-stabilized active state of the β2 adrenoceptor. Nature. Jan
2011;469(7329):175-80. doi:10.1038/nature09648

7. Kruse AC, Ring AM, Manglik A, Hu J, Hu K, Eitel K, et al. Activation and allosteric
modulation of a muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. Nature. 5 Dec 2013;504(7478):101-6.
doi: 10.1038/nature12735

8. Huang W, Manglik A, Venkatakrishnan AJ, Laeremans T, Feinberg EN, Sanborn AL, et al.
Structural insights into μ-opioid receptor activation. Nature. Aug
2015;524(7565):315-21. doi: 10.1038/nature14886

9. Masureel M, Zou Y, Picard LP, van der Westhuizen E, Mahoney JP, Rodrigues JPGLM, et
al. Structural insights into binding specificity, efficacy and bias of a β2AR partial agonist.
Nat Chem Biol. Nov 2018;14(11):1059-66. doi: 10.1038/s41589-018-0145-x

10. Staus DP, Strachan RT, Manglik A, Pani B, Kahsai AW, Kim TH, et al. Allosteric nanobodies
reveal the dynamic range and diverse mechanisms of G protein-coupled receptor
activation. Nature. July 2016;535(7612):448-52. doi: 10.1038/nature18636

11. Irannejad R, Tomshine JC, Tomshine JR, Chevalier M, Mahoney JP, Steyaert J, et al.
Conformational biosensors reveal GPCR signalling from endosomes. Nature. March
2013;495(7442):534-8. doi: 10.1038/nature12000

12. Raynaud P, Gauthier C, Jugnarain V, Jean-Alphonse F, Reiter E, Bruneau G, et al.
Intracellular VHHs to monitor and modulate GPCR signaling. Front Endocrinol. 16 Nov
2022;13:1048601. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1048601

13. Staus DP, Wingler LM, Strachan RT, Rasmussen SGF, Pardon E, Ahn S, et al. Regulation of
β_2-Adrenergic Receptor Function by Conformationally Selective Single-domain
Intrabodies. Mol Pharmacol. March 2014;85(3):472-81. doi: 10.1124/mol.113.089516

14. McMahon C, Baier AS, Pascolutti R, Wegrecki M, Zheng S, Ong JX, et al. Yeast surface
display platform for rapid discovery of conformationally selective nanobodies. Nat Struct
Mol Biol. March 2018;25(3):289-96. doi: 10.1038/s41594-018-0028-6

15. Gromoll J, Simoni M, Nordhoff V, Behre HM, De Geyter C, Nieschlag E. Functional and
clinical consequences of mutations in the FSH receptor. Mol Cell Endocrinol. Dec
1996;125(1-2):177-82. doi: 10.1016/s0303-7207(96)03949-4

16. Duan J, Xu P, Zhang H, Luan X, Yang J, He X, et al. Mechanism of hormone and allosteric
agonist mediated activation of follicle stimulating hormone receptor. Nat Commun. 31
Jan 2023;14(1):519. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36170-3

17. Marion S, Robert F, Crepieux P, Martinat N, Troispoux C, Guillou F, et al. G Protein-
Coupled Receptor Kinases and Beta Arrestins Are Relocalized and Attenuate Cyclic 3',5'-
Adenosine Monophosphate Response to Follicle-Stimulating Hormone in Rat Primary
Sertoli Cells. Biol Reprod. 1 Jan 2002;66(1):70-6. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod66.1.70

18. Marion S, Kara E, Crepieux P, Piketty V, Martinat N, Guillou F, et al. G protein-coupled
receptor kinase 2 and β-arrestins are recruited to FSH receptor in stimulated rat primary
Sertoli cells. J Endocrinol. Aug 2006;190(2):341-50. doi: 10.1677/joe.1.06857

19. Reiter E, Lefkowitz RJ. GRKs and beta-arrestins: roles in receptor silencing, trafficking and
signaling. Trends Endocrinol Metab. June 2006;17(4):159-65. doi:
10.1016/j.tem.2006.03.008

20. Sposini S, Jean-Alphonse FG, Ayoub MA, Oqua A, West C, Lavery S, et al. Integration of
GPCR Signaling and Sorting from Very Early Endosomes via Opposing APPL1 Mechanisms.
Cell Rep. Dec 2017;21(10):2855-67. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.11.023

21. Ulloa-Aguirre A, Zariñán T, Jardón-Valadez E, Gutiérrez-Sagal R, Dias JA. Structure-
Function Relationships of the Follicle-Stimulating Hormone Receptor. Front Endocrinol
29 Nov 2018. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00707

22. Stallaert W, Van Der Westhuizen ET, Schönegge AM, Plouffe B, Hogue M, Lukashova V, et
al. Purinergic Receptor Transactivation by the β_2-Adrenergic Receptor Increases
Intracellular Ca^{2+} in Nonexcitable Cells. Mol Pharmacol. May 2017;91(5):533-44. doi:
10.1124/mol.116.106419

23. Ayoub MA, Landomiel F, Gallay N, Jégot G, Poupon A, Crépieux P, et al. Assessing
Gonadotropin Receptor Function by Resonance Energy Transfer-Based Assays. Front
Endocrinol. 27 Aug 2015;6. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2015.00130

24. Wan Q, Okashah N, Inoue A, Nehmé R, Carpenter B, Tate CG, et al. Mini G protein probes
for active G protein–coupled receptors (GPCRs) in live cells. J Biol Chem. May
2018;293(19):7466-73. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.001975

25. Tranchant T, Durand G, Gauthier C, Crépieux P, Ulloa-Aguirre A, Royère D, et al.
Preferential β-arrestin signalling at low receptor density revealed by functional
characterization of the human FSH receptor A189 V mutation. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 1 Jan
2011;331(1):109-18. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2010.08.016

26. Heitzler D, Durand G, Gallay N, Rizk A, Ahn S, Kim J, et al. Competing G protein-coupled
receptor kinases balance G protein and β-arrestin signaling. Mol Syst Biol. Jan
2012;8(1):590. doi: 10.1038/msb.2012.22

27. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an
open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. July 2012;9(7):676-82.
doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2019

28. Bertoni M, Kiefer F, Biasini M, Bordoli L, Schwede T. Modeling protein quaternary
structure of homo- and hetero-oligomers beyond binary interactions by homology. Sci
Rep. 5 Sept 2017;7(1):10480. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09654-8

29. Bienert S, Waterhouse A, de Beer TAP, Tauriello G, Studer G, Bordoli L, et al. The SWISS-
MODEL Repository—new features and functionality. Nucleic Acids Res. 4 Jan
2017;45(D1):D313-9. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1132

30. Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, et al. SWISS-
MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2
July 2018;46(W1):W296-303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427

31. Studer G, Rempfer C, Waterhouse AM, Gumienny R, Haas J, Schwede T. QMEANDisCo—
distance constraints applied on model quality estimation. Bioinformatics. 1 March
2020;36(6):1765-71. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa058

32. Van Zundert GCP, Rodrigues JPGLM, Trellet M, Schmitz C, Kastritis PL, Karaca E, et al. The
HADDOCK2.2 Web Server: User-Friendly Integrative Modeling of Biomolecular
Complexes. J Mol Biol. Feb 2016;428(4):720-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2015.09.014

33. Honorato RV, Koukos PI, Jiménez-García B, Tsaregorodtsev A, Verlato M, Giachetti A, et
al. Structural Biology in the Clouds: The WeNMR-EOSC Ecosystem. Front Mol Biosci. 28
July 2021;8:729513. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.729513

34. Sadler F, Ma N, Ritt M, Sharma Y, Vaidehi N, Sivaramakrishnan S. Autoregulation of GPCR
signalling through the third intracellular loop. Nature. 23 March 2023;615(7953):734-41.
doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-05789-z

35. Pardon E, Betti C, Laeremans T, Chevillard F, Guillemyn K, Kolb P, et al. Nanobody-
Enabled Reverse Pharmacology on G-Protein-Coupled Receptors. Angew Chem Int Ed. 4
May 2018;57(19):5292-5. doi: 10.1002/anie.201712581

 Figure legends

 Figure 1: *iPRC1 selection workflow.* A phage library was constructed following llama

 immunization by injection of a cDNA encoding the hFSHR. Selection was done by

 phage display on the peptides corresponding to the FSHR intracellular loops, ICL1,

 ICL2 and ICL3. Ninety-six randomly selected clones were sequenced for each

 condition, and after sequence comparison of the selected clones in each conditions,

 VHHs were selected.

 Figure 2*: iPRC1 and irrelevant T31 intra-VHH expression in HEK293A cells*. **(A)**

 Confocal microscopy representative images of T31-Venus (a and b) and iPRC1-Venus

 (c and d) transiently expressed in HEK293A cells, using two quantities of plasmid DNA

 (b and d). The intra-VHH-Venus is shown in green and the DAPI-stained nuclei**(B)** Flow

 cytometry histograms showing the population of cells expressing either the irrelevant

 intra-VHH T31-6xHis or iPRC1-6xHis (in red) in comparison to "mock"-cells transfected

 with empty pcDNA3.1 vector (in blue).

 Figure 3: *iPRC1 associates to the FSHR, whether FSH is present or not.* **(A)**

 Bioluminescence resonance energy transfer (BRET) binding assay between FSHR-

 RLuc8 and increasing amounts of transfected iPRC1-Venus plasmid. Experiments

 were done in the absence of ligand or in the presence of 3.3 nM FSH or 100 nM AVP,

 as indicated. BRET ratios (at 2 min following FSH addition) were normalized to the

 BRET ratios obtained in the presence of the irrelevant T31-Venus intra-VHH. Data are

 represented as mean ± SEM, and the curves correspond to fitted data to specific

 binding curves with Hill slope, N=4. **(B)** Comparison of the estimated maximum binding

 obtained for iPRC1 to the FSHR to the estimated maximum binding value obtained for

 iPRC1 association to AVPR2. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical

 significance was assessed by unpaired Mann-Whitney test, N = 4, * $p < 0.05$.

 Figure 4: *iPRC1 expression affects G protein signalling pathway.* **(A)** iPRC1 CDR3

 molecular docking to hFSHR ICL3. *Left:* Models of inactive FSHR (PDB: 8I2H) with 2

 examples of iPRC1 poses. *Right*: Models of inactive FSHR (PDB: 8I2H)-iPRC1

 superimposed onto FSHR-FSH-Gαs (PDB: 8I2G) (for simplicity, FSH, Gβ, Gγ and

 Nb35 are not shown). Homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence (HTRF) cAMP

 accumulation assay in HEK293A cells transiently expressing the FSHR **(B)** or AVPR2

 (C), or endogenously expressing ADRB2 **(D)**, and transiently expressing either T31 or

iPRC1, stimulated with 0.25 nM AVP (N=4), 0.3 nM FSH (N=6) or 0.2 µM ISO (N=4)

for 15 min. For each receptor, data were normalized to the cAMP response to Fsk and

represented as the percentage of the cAMP response to FSH in the absence of

transfected VHH. Statistical significance between the mean ± SEM was assessed by

unpaired Mann-Whitney test, N = 6, ** p < 0.01. **(E)** Bioluminescence resonance

energy transfer (BRET) assay measuring the recruitment of NES-Venus-mGs to

FSHR-RLuc8, in presence of either T31 or iPRC1, in response to cells stimulation with

33 nM FSH. BRET ratios were expressed as percentage of the maximal FSH-response

in the absence of VHH. Data are represented as mean ± SEM, and curves correspond

to fitted data to one-phase association curves, N = 4.

590
Figure 1

Figure 2

A

T31-Venus

iPRC1-Venus

300 ng intra-VHH
DNA transfected/cm^2

450 ng intra-VHH
DNA transfected/cm^2

B

Figure 3

Figure 4