1

Evidence that metastases arise *de novo* as new cancers from cells of target organs

Gorantla V. Raghuram[1,2], Kavita Pal[1,2], Soniya Shende[1,2], Naveen Kumar Khare[1,2], Vishalkumar Jadhav[1,2], Sushma Shinde[1,2], Ratnam Prasad[1,2], Harshada Wani[1,2], Indraneel Mittra[1,2,*]

12
13
[1]Translational Research Laboratory, Tata Memorial Centre,
Advanced Centre for Treatment Research and Education in Cancer,
Kharghar, Navi Mumbai – 410210, India
[2]Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai - 400 094, India

18
19

* Corresponding author: imittra@actrec.gov.in

Running title: An alternative model of metastasis.

Key words: circulating tumour cells, cell death, cell-free chromatin particles, hallmarks of cancer, immune check-points, blood-brain barrier.

Abstract

Introduction

Based on our earlier finding that cell-free chromatin particles (cfChPs) released from dying cancer cells are potentially oncogenic, we hypothesised that metastases arise as new cancers from the cells of target organs transformed by cfChPs released from dying cancer cells.

Methods

We fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells and A-375 human melanoma cells in their DNA with BrdU and in their histones with CellLight® Histone 2BGFP. One hundred thousand dually-labelled cells were intravenously injected into SCID mice. In other experiments unlabelled cells were injected for detection of lung metastasis. Also intravenously injected were 700 ng of purified cfChPs isolated from radiation-killed MDA-MB-231 cells.

Results

We observed that the fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells died upon reaching the lungs and released dually-labelled fluorescent chromatin particles that accumulated in the nuclei of lung cells at 48 h. Injection of unlabelled cfChPs led to the activation of 10 hallmarks of cancer and immune checkpoints in lung cells at 72 h, suggesting that the lung cells had rapidly transformed into incipient cancer cells. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation analysis of lung metastases that subsequently developed using mouse and human specific DNA probes revealed that the tumour cells contained both mouse and human DNA in almost equal proportions. Similarly, immune-fluorescence analysis using species specific mAbs revealed that the tumour cells co-

expressed mouse and human specific proteins. Metaphase preparations and single-cell

clones developed from cell cultures of lung metastases were found to contain chimeric

chromosomes containing both mouse and human DNA, and the cells to co-express both

human- and mouse-specific proteins. The Intravenously injected purified cfChPs

isolated from radiation-killed MDA-MB-231 cells also induced lung metastasis which

predominantly contained mouse DNA strongly suggesting that the metastatic tumours

had arisen from the mouse lung cells.

Conclusion

57   These results provide strong evidence that cfChPs released from dying cancer cells

58   integrate into genomes of target lung cells to transform them into new cancers that

59   masquerade as metastasis. They support our hypothesis that metastases arise from the

60   cells of target organs and not from those of the primary tumour. These findings have

61   implications for the principles of cancer therapy.

Introduction

Metastasis is a complex process and the leading cause of cancer-related deaths. Despite extensive research over many decades, the mechanisms underlying the metastatic cascade are not well understood. The multiple processes involved in the metastatic cascade have been extensively reviewed **(1,2)** and comprise of the following steps: 1) detachment of cells from the primary tumour and their intravasation into the circulatory / lymphatic system; 2) the intrvasated cells surviving immune attack by macrophages, natural killer cells and T cells; 3) surviving the shearing force of circulation; 4) navigating the vascular capillaries that are often narrower than the diameter of the tumour cells themselves; 5) exiting the vascular system to enter into the neighbouring normal tissues; 6) in case of the brain, having to cross the blood-brain barrier to extravasate into the brain parenchyma; 7) survive in an inhospitable environment, proliferate and colonize to form macroscopic metastases. Many researchers have investigated the complex molecular mechanisms underlying each of the above steps of the metastatic cascade **(see refs. 3 and 4 for review).** Yet metastasis continues to remain a poorly understood phenomenon and a major cause of death from cancer. Herein we present results that support a radically different model and suggest novel therapeutic possibilities.

The symbiotic influence of two deeply entrenched theories has dominated metastasis research for decades. The first theory suggests that metastatic tumours are histologically similar to the underlying primary tumours. Although this theory has not been scientifically tested, it continues to form the conceptual foundation of metastatic research. A recent study that evaluated this theory in a blinded experiment raised

doubts about its veracity **(5)**. Nonetheless, this entrenched theory prompted the

formulation of a second theory, which posits that cells leaving the primary tumour enter

the circulation and travel to distant organs where they colonise to form metastases that

are histologically similar to the primary tumour. The striking finding of Fidler **(6)** that 99%

of cancer cells injected intravenously into mice die by the time they reach distant target

organs was construed to suggest that the remaining 1% surviving cells are responsible

for inducing metastasis. This conjecture led to the emergence of the theory of

"metastatic inefficiency" **(7,8)**, which ensured that the two entrenched theories remained

unchallenged. The possibility that the 99% of the cells that die may have consequences

for the development of metastasis remains unaddressed.

In accordance with Fidler's report, we had earlier observed that intravenously injected

cancer cells die upon reaching vital organs **(9)**. The fragmented chromosomes in the

form of cell-free chromatin particles (cfChPs) that emerge from the dying cells

accumulate in the nuclei of vital organs (lung, liver, brain and heart). Nuclear

accumulation of cfChPs leads to induction of two critical hallmarks of cancer viz. DNA

damage (genomic instability) and inflammation **(9)**. As simultaneous DNA damage and

inflammation is a potent stimulus for oncogenic transformation, we had hypothesized

that cfChPs from dying cancer cells can potentially transform cells of distant organs

providing an alternative mechanism of cancer metastasis **(9)**. A similar model has also

been proposed by several other investigators who have implicated horizontal transfer of

nucleic acids released from dying cancer cells in the metastatic process **(10-14)**, leading

to the hypothesis of "geno-metastasis" **(11,12)**.

In the present study, we show that intravenously injected human cancer cells into

severe combined immune-deficient (SCID) mice release cfChPs that accumulate in the

nuclei of lung cells. This leads to the activation of 10 hallmarks of cancer and the

immune checkpoint PD-L1 as early as 72 h following injection, suggesting that the lung

cells are rapidly transformed into incipient cancer cells. The metastasis that

subsequently developed contained both mouse and human specific DNA and the

tumour cells were comprised of chimeric chromosomes containing DNA of both species.

These findings indicated that the cfChPs of human origin had integrated into the

genomes of mouse lung cells and transform them into new cancers that appeared as

metastases. Evidence that cfChPs were indeed the agents responsible for transforming

lung cells was strongly suggested by the demonstration that the intravenous injection of

purified cfChPs isolated from radiation-killed human cancer cells also generated lung

metastases which contained both mouse and human DNA.

Methods

Ethics approval

123   The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee

124   (IAEC) of the Advanced Centre for Treatment, Research, and Education in Cancer

125   (ACTREC), Tata Memorial Centre (TMC), Navi Mumbai, India. The experiments were

126   conducted in compliance with the ethical regulations and humane endpoint criteria of

127   the IAEC and ARRIVE guidelines.

Mice

Inbred female non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice (NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid/J) with severe

combined immunodeficiency (SCID) were used in this study. They were obtained from

the Institutional Animal Facility, maintained according to IAEC standards, and housed in

pathogen-free cages containing husk bedding under a 12-h light/dark cycle with free

access to water and food. A HVAC system was used to control room temperature,

humidity, and air pressure. The ACTREC-IAEC maintains respectful treatment, care,

and use of animals in scientific research and aims to contribute to the advancement of

knowledge following ethical and scientific necessity. All scientists and technicians

involved in this study underwent training in the ethical handling and management of

animals under the supervision of Federation of European Laboratory Animal Science

Associations (FELASA)-certified attending veterinarians. The animals were killed at

appropriate time points by cervical dislocation under CO_2 anaesthesia under the

supervision of FELASA-trained animal facility personnel.

Cell culture

We used two human cancer cell lines: MDA-MB-231 (human breast cancer) and A-375

(human malignant melanoma). Cells were obtained from the American Type Culture

Collection (ATCC) and grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with 10%

fetal bovine serum (FBS) + 1% antibiotic cocktail in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 and

100% humidity.

Fluorescent dual-labelling of cells

The MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells were fluorescently dually-labelled according to a

protocol previously described by us **(15)**. Cells were plated at a density of 6×10^4 cells

in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium, and after overnight culture (cell count 1×10^5),

their DNA was labelled using BrdU (10 µM / 1.5 ml for 24 h). BrdU was obtained from Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA; Catalogue No. B5002; Histones were labelled using CellLight® Histone 2BGFP (60 µL / 1.5 ml for 36 h). CellLight® Histone 2BGFP was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA, USA; Catalogue No. C10594. Representative images of the fluorescently double-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells are shown in Figure 1a.

Intravenous injection and detection of fluorescently dual-labelled particles in lung cells

Dually labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells (1×10^5) were intravenously injected into SCID mice, and the animals were sacrificed after 48 h. The lungs were harvested and cryosections were prepared for immunofluorescence (IF) staining with an anti-BrdU antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; Catalogue no. ab70328). Images were acquired and analysed using an Applied Spectral Bioimaging System (ASI, Israel).

Detection of hallmarks of cancer in lung cells

One hundred thousand unlabelled MDA-MB-231 cells were intravenously injected into SCID mice, while control SCID mice were injected with saline. Mice in both groups were sacrificed at 72 h, the lungs were harvested, and cryosections were prepared for IF analysis to detect the hallmarks of cancer, as defined by Hanahan and Weinberg **(16)**. Antibodies against 13 biomarkers representing 10 hallmarks were used in the analysis. Details of the antibodies used are provided in Supplementary Table 1 B and 1C. IF analysis was performed as previously described by us **(17)**. Images were acquired and analysed using an Applied Spectral Bioimaging System (ASI, Israel).

Detection of lung metastasis

The MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells induce lung metastasis when injected intravenously into SCID mice (18,19). Ten SCID mice were intravenously injected with 1×10^5 MDA-MB-231 cells, and five mice were injected with an equal number of A-375 cells. Two mice from the MDA-MB-231 group and one mouse from the A-375 group were euthanised on days 37, 51, 76, 112, and 125. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) sections of the lungs were prepared, stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and examined for the histological detection of metastases. In the MDA-MB-231 group, grade III metastases were detected on day 112 in two mice and on day 125 in two mice (total number of metastases 4/10). One of the tumours detected on day 112 was used for fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) and immuno-fluorescence (IF) analysis, and the other was used for preparing primary cultures. In the A-375 group, grade III metastases were detected in one mouse each on days 76, 112, and 125 (number of metastases 3/5). The tumour detected on day 76 was used for FISH and IF analysis and that detected on day 112 was used to prepare primary cultures. Representative H&E images of MDA-MB-231 and A-375 lung metastases are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Development of primary cultures and single-cell clones

Lung metastases which were visible as white patches on the lung surface were carefully excised under aseptic conditions, minced with scalpel followed by collagenase I treatment (1mg/ml) for 30 min at 37^0C with gentle shaking. The dissociated cells were centrifuged, washed X 2 with PBS and plated in 65mm^3 petri dishes containing DMEM with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) + 1% antibiotic cocktail. The medium was changed

after 24hr and cells were allowed to grow to confluency and passaged several times. After the 3-4 passages foci of the transformed cells became visible. Cells at the foci were carefully picked, trypsinised, and subcultured in the above medium. Single-cell clones were prepared from primary cultures using the serial dilution method and were used for further analysis. The epithelial nature of the primary cultures and single-cell clones were analysed using a recombinant anti-EpCAM antibody (EPR20533-63; Catalogue number: ab221552, Abcam; Supplementary Figure 2).

Detection of species-specific DNA in lung metastasis by FISH

Unstained FFPE sections of metastatic tumours were processed for FISH analysis using mouse (green) or human (red)-specific whole genomic DNA probes (custom synthesised by ASI, Israel) as described by us previously **(17)** (Supplementary Table 1A). Images were acquired and analysed using an Applied Spectral Bioimaging System (ASI, Israel). At the outset, we performed extensive control experiments to ensure that the human and mouse FISH probes did not cross-react (Supplementary Figure 3).

Detection of species-specific proteins in lung metastasis by IF

Unstained FFPE sections of metastatic tumours were processed for IF analysis using mouse- and human-specific antibodies (green and red, respectively) and appropriate secondary antibodies. We used species-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), namely, HLA class 1-ABC, which is unique to humans, and MHC class II, which is unique to mice. Initially, we performed elaborate control experiments to ensure that the human and mouse mAbs did not cross-react (Supplementary Figure 3). The details of the antibodies used are provided in Supplementary Table 1B and 1C.

Isolation of cfChPs from irradiated dying cancer cells

The MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells grown in DMEM containing 10% foetal bovine serum were plated at a density of 1.5×10^6 in 10 cm culture dishes. The following day (cell density $\sim 3 \times 10^6$), cells were irradiated with γ rays (10 Gy) and incubated for 72 h. The floating dead cells in the supernatant were collected by centrifugation and used for cfChP isolation as described in detail by us previously **(17)**. An electron microscopy image of cfChPs isolated from the MDA-MB-231 cells is shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

Intravenous injection of purified cfChPs into SCID mice

Five SCID mice were intravenously injected with 700 ng cfChPs isolated from dying MDA-MB-231 cells, and four mice were intravenously injected with cfChPs isolated from dying A-375 cells (2/4 mice in the A-375 group subsequently died). We chose to inject 700 ng of isolated cfChPs as this is the amount of DNA present in 100,000 injected cells. The animals were sacrificed after 150 days, and H&E sections of their lungs were examined for the development of metastases. Two out of five mice from the MDA-MB-231 group and 0 / 2 from the A-375 group harboured lung metastases. A representative H&E image of lung metastasis that developed following the intravenous injection of cfChPs isolated from MDA-MB-231 cells is shown in Figure 6a. FFPE sections were prepared and processed for FISH and IF as described above.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis pertains to the 13 cancer hallmark biomarkers mentioned above. The mean ($\pm$ SEM) values of biomarkers in the control and MDA-MB-231-injected groups were individually compared using two tailed Student's t test. A combined analysis of the

242 median values of all 13 biomarkers in control and MDA-MB-231 injected groups was

243 performed using the Mann–Whitney U test (see the legend to Figure 2). Analyses were

244 performed using GraphPad Prism Version 6.0. The threshold for statistical significance

245 was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Detection of fluorescently dually labelled cfChPs in the nuclei of lung cells

Fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells were injected intravenously

into SCID mice and cryosections of their lungs were examined under fluorescent

microscopy at 48 h. Representative images of fluorescently dual-labelled MDA-MB-231

and A-375 cells that were injected intravenously are given in Fig. 1a. Low power (10X)

IF images of cryosections of lungs of the injected mice are given in Fig. 1b. The latter

reveal patchy accumulation of co-localising red and green fluorescent signals

representing cfChPs in the nuclei of the lung cells. The patchy nature of the signals is a

reflection of the anatomy of the lung vasculature. The corresponding high power images

(60X) are given in Supplementary Fig. 5 which again reveal the presence of dually-

labelled cfChPs within the nuclei of lung cells. The presence of co-localising red and

green fluorescent particles, rather than fluorescent intact cells, suggested that injected

dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells had died upon reaching the lungs and had

released cfChPs which accumulated in the nuclei of lung cells.

Intravenously injected cancer cells upregulate the hallmarks of cancer in lung

cells

Since we had earlier observed that intravenous injection of B16F10 mouse melanoma

cells leads to activation of two critical hallmarks of cancer viz. genome instability and

inflammation in vital organs of mice when examined at 72 h **(9)**, we were curious to find

out whether i.v. injection of MDA-MB-231 cells would activate cancer hallmarks in lung

cells. IF analysis of cryosections of lungs of mice injected with (unlabelled) MDA-MB-

231 cells at 72 h showed marked activation of all 13 biomarkers representing 10

hallmarks of cancer defined by Hanahan and Weinberg **(16)** (Figure 2a and

Supplementary Figure 6). When compared statistically, 11 out of 13 biomarkers were

found to be statistically significant, the exceptions being PDL-1 and TNF-α. A combined

statistical analysis of all 13 hallmark biomarkers in the two groups revealed that the

median value in the MDA-MB-231 injected groups was nearly eight-fold higher than that

in the saline-injected controls (p < 0.000, Figure 2b). This finding indicated that the

nuclear accumulation of cfChPs rapidly transformed mouse lung cells into incipient

cancer cells by 72 h.

Lung metastases contain both mouse- and human-specific DNA

Metastases detected on day 112 in case of MDA-MB-231 injected mice and on day 78

in case of A-375 injected mice were used for FISH analysis. FFPE sections were

analysed by dual FISH by simultaneously using both mouse- and human-specific whole-

genomic probes. Low power (10X) fluorescence images are given in Figure 3a in which

almost the entire tumour area is clearly visible. The tumours are seen to contain both

mouse DNA (green fluorescent signals) and human DNA (red fluorescent signals) in

nearly equal quantities.

The corresponding high power (60X) FISH images given in Supplementary Figure 7a

confirm that the lung cell nuclei contain both mouse and human DNA. In order to

quantify the FISH positive nuclei, one thousand DAPI stained nuclei were analysed for

both MDA-MB-231 and A-375 induced tumours, and the percentage of FISH signals

corresponding to mouse alone (green), human alone (red) and, mouse and human

double-positive (yellow) signals were determined. The results obtained are as follows.

For MDA-MB-231 induced tumours: mouse alone (3.075%), human alone (2.282%) and

mouse and human double positives (94.643%). For A-375 induced tumours: mouse

alone (1.101%), human alone (1.835%) and mouse and human double positives

(96.881%).

Lung metastases express both mouse- and human-specific proteins

The FFPE tumour sections were simultaneously immune-stained with monoclonal

antibodies against species-specific mouse and human proteins (MHC-II and HLA-ABC,

respectively). Low power (10X) IF images are given in Figure 3b in which almost the

entire tumour area is clearly visible. The tumours are seen to express both mouse

MHC-II (green fluorescent signals) and human HLA-ABC (red fluorescent signals) in

nearly equal quantities.

The corresponding high power (60X) IF images are given in Supplementary Figure 7b

which confirms that the lung cells were co-expressing mouse and human proteins. In

order to quantify the mouse MHC-II, and human HLA-ABC expressing cells, one

thousand DAPI stained cells were analysed for both MDA-MB-231 and A-375 induced

tumours, and the percentage of protein expressing cells corresponding to mouse alone

(MHC-II, green), human alone (HLA-ABC, red) and, mouse and human co-expressing

(yellow) cells were determined. The results obtained are as follows. For MDA-MB-231

induced tumours: mouse alone (3.120%), human alone (2.340%) and mouse and

human co-expressing cells (94.540%). For A-375 induced tumours: mouse alone

(4.854%), human alone (4.660%) and mouse and human co-expressing cells

(90.485%).

Metastatic tumour cells in culture contain chimeric chromosomes

Metaphase spreads prepared from primary cultures of MDA-MB-231- and A-375-

induced lung metastases, and their respective single-cell clones (MG6 and AG5), were

examined by dual FISH i.e. by the simultaneous use of mouse and human-specific

whole genomic probes. Both the primary cultures and the MG6 and AG5 clones

revealed chimeric chromosomes with the chromosomal arms containing both human

and mouse DNA (Figure 4a and 4b). The presence of human DNA in mouse

chromosomes indicated that human cfChPs derived from dying MDA-MB-231 and A-

375 cells had integrated and fused with mouse lung cell chromosomes.

Metastatic tumour cells in culture express both human and mouse proteins

Primary cultures of MDA-MB-231 and A-375 induced metastatic tumours, and MG6 and

AG5 clones, were simultaneously immune-stained with monoclonal antibodies against

MHC-II and HLA-ABC. Fluorescence microscopy revealed that the same cultured

tumour cells expressed both human- and mouse-specific proteins (Figure 5a and 5b).

This indicated that the human HLA-ABC gene that was carried via cfChPs to integrate

into the mouse lung cell genomes was aberrantly expressing the human-specific HLA-

ABC protein in the metastatic tumour cells that had developed in the mouse lung.

cfChPs isolated from radiation-killed MDA-MB-231 cells induce lung metastasis

As mentioned in the Methods section, two of five mice injected with cfChPs purified from

radiation-killed MDA-MB-231 cells developed lung metastases on day 150. A H&E

image of FFPE section of such a tumour is given in Figure 6a. The tumour sections

were analysed with the simultaneous use of mouse and human specific whole genomic

probes. A low power (10X) image given in Figure 6b shows DNA signals specific to both

species to be present in the tumour with a preponderance of mouse DNA.

The corresponding high power (60X) fluorescent image shows vast preponderance of

mouse DNA suggesting that human DNA contained in the purified cfChPs had

integrated in the mouse lung cells and were involved in transforming them to generate a

metastatic tumour (Supplementary Figure 8a). In order to quantify the FISH positive

nuclei, one thousand DAPI stained nuclei were analysed, and the percentage of FISH

signals corresponding to mouse alone (green), human alone (red) and, mouse and

human double-positive (yellow) signals were determined. The results obtained are as

follows: mouse alone (1.576%), human alone (0%) and mouse and human double

positives (98.424%).

The cfChPs induced tumours were next analysed by IF with the simultaneous use of

MHC-II and HLA-ABC mAbs. A low power (10X) image is provided in Figure 6c wherein

the expression of both mouse- and human-specific signals are clearly seen with a

preponderance of mouse proteins. A high power (60X) image is provided in

Supplementary Figure 8b. In order to quantify the mouse MHC-II, and human HLA-ABC

expressing cells, one thousand DAPI stained cells were analysed, and the percentage

of protein expressing cells corresponding to mouse alone (MHC-II, green), human alone

(HLA-ABC, red) and, mouse and human co-expressing (yellow) cells were determined.

The results obtained are as follows: mouse alone (1.186%), human alone (0.791%) and

mouse and human co-expressing cells (98.024%).

An unexpected and incidental finding was that of a tumourous outgrowths in the thymus

region of three purified cfChP-injected mice (one out of five mice from the MDA-MB-231

group and both mice from the A-375 group; Supplementary Figure 9a). On H&E-stained

sections, these tumours exhibited a highly undifferentiated pathology which precluded

identification of their tissue origin (Supplementary Figure 9b). Nonetheless, FISH

detected both human- and mouse-specific DNA in the same tumour cells, indicating that

they had apparently been transformed by the genomic integration of cfChPs isolated

from radiation-killed human cells (Supplementary Figure 9c). IF analysis also showed

that the tumour cells co-expressed both HLA-ABC and MHC-II proteins (Supplementary

Figure 9d).

Discussion:

Consistent with the findings of Fidler and others that the vast majority of tumour cells die

following intravenous injection (6,20,21), we observed that the injected MDA-MB-231

and A357 cells had also died upon reaching the lungs of the injected mice. We

extensively analysed the cryosections of the lungs for presence of intact fluorescent

cells in experiments in which fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells

had been injected. An intact fluorescently dually-labelled cell should occupy an entire

DAPI stained blue nucleus. Instead, what we detected were dually-labelled fluorescent

particles representing cfChPs within the DAPI stained nuclei. This finding is consistent with our recent report wherein we had similarly injected fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 cells into SCID mice and had looked for intact fluorescently dually-labelled cells in their brains. Here too, we had detected dually-labelled cfChPs within the nuclei of brain cells when examined at 72 h and not intact cells **(22)**. Nonetheless, even if we had missed detecting the presence of a few intact cells, the extensive presence of mouse DNA in the metastatic tumours strongly argues against the possibility that a few surviving intact human cancer cells that we had missed were responsible for metastasis formation. If the latter were to be true, the entire tumour should have comprised of human DNA. Our finding of chimeric chromosomes containing both mouse and human DNA suggests that cfChPs from dying human cancer cells had amalgamated with the genomes of mouse lung cells and were involved in the metastatic process. The detection of co-expression of both mouse MHC-II and human HLA-ABC by the same cultured cells further suggested that the genes contained within the chimeric chromosomes were being actively expressed. The most direct evidence that cfChPs were responsible for tumour formation comes from our demonstration that i.v. injection of purified cfChPs isolated from radiation-killed MDA-MB-231 cells also led to the formation of metastasis which predominantly contained mouse DNA. Taken together the above findings lead us to conclude that cfChPs released from dying cancer cells integrate into genomes of target cells to transform them to form new tumours which masquerade as metastasis. Such a conclusion is consistent with our hypothesis that metastasis arise from cells of target organs and not from those of the primary tumours **(9)**.

Does injection of large amounts of normal DNA promote carcinogenesis? To answer

this question, it would have been desirable to undertake experiments wherein large

amounts normal DNA, such as from normal mammary epithelial cells, were injected into

SCID mice. This would have confirmed whether dying normal cells can also release

cfChPs to integrate into genomes of mouse cells, and would have further substantiated

the role of cfChPs in tumour metastasis. However, since our study was designed to

investigate the mechanism by which the well-established models of MDA-MB-231 and

A375 induced lung metastasis develop, experiments using normal cells were not

conducted.

We detected marked activation of 13 biomarkers representing 10 hallmarks of cancer

that have been defined by Hanahan and Weinberg **(16)** in lung cells of mice at 72 h

following i.v. injection of MDA-MB-231 cells. This indicated that cfChPs had rapidly

transformed the mouse lung cells into incipient cancer cells which ultimately went on to

form detectable tumours after 2-3 months. These findings are consistent with our recent

report that cfChPs are responsible for activation of cancer hallmarks and immune

check-points in patients with advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity **(23)**.

We have further reported that cfChPs are directly responsible for activation of multiple

immune check-points in isolated human lymphocytes **(24)**.

The new model of cancer metastasis suggested by our findings circumvents many of

the problems associated with the conventional model in explaining the various steps of

the metastatic cascade. For example, cfChPs are not susceptible to immune-

surveillance or to the shearing force of circulation; they can freely negotiate the fine

vascular capillaries, cross the blood-brain barrier and integrate into target cell nuclei.

The latter capabilities of cfChPs have been confirmed in our recent report cited above which clearly showed that cfChPs can readily cross the blood-brain-barrier and accumulate in the nuclei of brain cells **(22)**. The ability of the new model to circumvent the above mentioned obstacles makes it a preferred model of cancer metastasis than the conventional one.

Numerous studies have examined the relationship between primary and metastatic tumours at the genomic level. Overall, the results have been conflicting, with multiple studies reporting concordance **(25-28)** and others reporting discordance **(29-32)** between the profiles of primary and metastatic tumours. Significant similarities as well as differences in gene expression patterns have also been reported **(33-38)**. Studies investigating clonal evolution of metastases have also reported conflicting results. A wide repertoire of somatic changes in driver genes were reported which were either unique to the primary tumour or to the metastases or to be present in both **(39-46)**. Our finding that metastatic tumours contained DNA of both mouse and human origins and expressed both mouse and human proteins may help reconcile the above conflicting reports by suggesting that genetic signatures and gene expression patterns of both primary tumour cells and those of the target organs are to be expected in metastatic tumours. Conflicting reports in the literature may reflect the inherent bias of investigators while interpreting their results.

Our study could be criticized on the ground that we have depended largely on FISH and IF data, and that it would have benefited from genomic analyses to corroborate our findings. For example, DNA sequencing of the lung tumour cells and their respective single cell clones would have helped to illuminate what were the driver genes in the

mouse lung metastases when MDA-MB-231 or A375 cells were injected intravenously?

Comparison of DNA sequences of clones MG6 and AG5 with the injected MDA-MB-231

and A375 cells would have indicated the extent to which human DNA had integrated

into the mouse lung tumour cells. Such investigations would have also elucidated on the

conflicting reports in the literature alluded to above with respect to genomic and

transcriptomic profiles of primary and metastatic tumours.

Nonetheless, the results of this study, in combination with our previous work in the field

(9,17,22-24), provide an alternative model to explain the fundamental pathophysiology

of cancer metastasis, in which metastases arise *de novo* from the cells of the target

organs and implicate cfChPs released from dying circulating tumour cells. The

alternative model of metastasis is graphically illustrated in Figure 7.

There is increasing recognition that therapeutic interventions can mobilise tumour cells

into the circulation which could potentially contribute to metastatic dissemination **(47)**.

This concern has been raised with respect to all three therapeutic modalities viz.

chemotherapy **(48,49)**, radiotherapy **(50,51)** and surgery **(52,53)**. We have recently

reported that therapeutic interventions on human breast cancer xenografts promote

systemic dissemination of oncogenes **(22)**. Xenografts of MDA-MB-231 cells generated

in SCID mice were analyzed by IF and FISH to detect the presence of cfChPs in their

brain cells. Multiple human DNA signals representing cfChPs were detected in nuclei of

brain cells which co-localized with eight human onco-proteins. The number of co-

localizing human DNA and human c-Myc fluorescent signals increased markedly after

treatment with chemotherapy, localized radiotherapy or surgery. However, the signals

could be dramatically minimized following concurrent treatment with three different

cfChPs deactivating agents. Therefore, to prevent iatrogenic morbidity and mortality, it
is imperative to re-examine the currently accepted mechanisms of cancer metastasis so
that appropriate therapeutic approaches can be developed to prevent cancer
dissemination. Before such a fundamental change occurs, however, further
corroborative evidence in clinical settings is required to confirm our findings reported in
the current study.

Data availability
All data generated during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics Statement
The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee
(IAEC) of the Advanced Centre for Treatment, Research, and Education in Cancer
(ACTREC), Tata Memorial Centre (TMC), Navi Mumbai, India. The experiments were
conducted in compliance with the ethical regulations and humane endpoint criteria of
the IAEC and ARRIVE guidelines.

Author contributions

GVR. designed and performed the experiments, analysed and interpreted the data, and
wrote the manuscript. KP. supervised the experiments and analysed the data. SS, NKK,
VJ, SS, RP, and HW. performed the experiments. IM. conceptualized and designed the
project, was responsible for overall supervision and acquisition of funding, interpreted
the data, wrote the manuscript, and approved the final draft.

Funding

This study was supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India,

through its grant CTCTMC to Tata Memorial Centre awarded to IM. The funding agency

had no role in research design, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and

manuscript writing.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Ashish Pawar for his help in preparing this manuscript.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Lambert AW, Pattabiraman DR, Weinberg RA. Emerging Biological Principles of Metastasis. *Cell* (2017) 168: doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.037

2. Chambers AF, Groom AC, MacDonald IC. Dissemination and growth of cancer cells in metastatic sites. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2002) 2:563–572.

3. Ring A, Nguyen-Sträuli BD, Wicki A, Aceto N. Biology, vulnerabilities and clinical applications of circulating tumour cells. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2023) 23:95–111. doi: 10.1038/s41568-022-00536-4

4. Gerstberger S, Jiang Q, Ganesh K. Metastasis. *Cell* (2023) 186:1564–1579. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2023.03.003

5. Singh A, Jambhekar N, Shet T, Ramadwar M, Dikshit R, Mittra I. Are metastatic tumours histologically similar to the primary? Result of a blinded experiment. *medRxiv* (2023)2022–2023.

6. Fidler IJ. Metastasis: Quantitative analysis of distribution and fate of tumor emboli labeled with 125I-5-Iodo-2'-deoxyuridine. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1970) 45: doi: 10.1093/jnci/45.4.773

7. Weiss L. Metastatic inefficiency. *Adv Cancer Res* (1990) 54:159–211.

8. Wong CW, Lee A, Shientag L, Yu J, Dong Y, Kao G, Al-Mehdi AB, Bernhard EJ, Muschel RJ. Apoptosis: An early event in metastatic inefficiency. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61:

9. Mittra I, Samant U, Sharma S, Raghuram G V., Saha T, Tidke P, Pancholi N, Gupta D, Prasannan P, Gaikwad A, et al. Cell-free chromatin from dying cancer cells integrate into genomes of bystander healthy cells to induce DNA damage and inflammation. *Cell Death Discov* (2017) 3:1–14. doi: 10.1038/cddiscovery.2017.15

10. Bendich A, Wilczok T, Borenfreund E. Circulating DNA as a Possible Factor in Oncogenesis. *Science (80-)* (1965) 148:374–376. doi: 10.1126/science.148.3668.374

11. García-Olmo D, García-Olmo DC, Ontañón J, Martinez E, Vallejo M. Tumor DNA circulating in the plasma might play a role in metastasis. The hypothesis of the genometastasis. *Histol Histopathol* (1999) 14:1159–64. doi: 10.14670/HH-14.1159

12. García-Olmo D, García-Olmo DC, Ontañón J, Martinez E. Horizontal transfer of DNA and the "genometastasis hypothesis." *Blood* (2000) 95:724–725. doi: 10.1182/blood.V95.2.724

13. García-Olmo DC, Domínguez C, García-Arranz M, Anker P, Stroun M, García-Verdugo JM, García-Olmo D. Cell-Free Nucleic Acids Circulating in the Plasma of Colorectal Cancer Patients Induce the Oncogenic Transformation of Susceptible Cultured CellsCirculating DNA Induces Oncogenic Transformation. *Cancer Res* (2010) 70:560–567.

14. Trejo-Becerril C, Pérez-Cárdenas E, Taja-Chayeb L, Anker P, Herrera-Goepfert R, Medina-Velázquez LA, Hidalgo-Miranda A, Pérez-Montiel D, Chávez-Blanco A, Cruz-Velázquez J, et al. Cancer Progression Mediated by Horizontal Gene Transfer in an In Vivo Model. *PLoS One* (2012) 7:e52754. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052754

15. Kirolikar S, Prasannan P, Raghuram G V., Pancholi N, Saha T, Tidke P, Chaudhari P, Shaikh A, Rane B, Pandey R, et al. Prevention of radiation-induced bystander effects by agents that inactivate cell-free chromatin released from irradiated dying cells. *Cell Death Dis* (2018) 9: doi: 10.1038/s41419-018-1181-x

16. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* (2011) 144:646–674.

17. Mittra I, Naveen Kumar K, Gorantla Venkata R, Rohan C, Fatema K, Deepika G, Ashwini G. Circulating nucleic acids damage DNA of healthy cells by integrating into their genomes. *J Biosci* (2015) 40:91–111. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12038-015-9508-6 [Accessed February 7, 2023]

18. Minn AJ, Kang Y, Serganova I, Gupta GP, Giri DD, Doubrovin M, Ponomarev V, Gerald WL, Blasberg R, Massagué J. Distinct organ-specific metastatic potential of individual breast cancer cells and primary tumors. *J Clin Invest* (2005) 115:44–55.

19. Kozlowski JM, Hart IR, Fidler IJ, Hanna N. A human melanoma line heterogeneous with respect to metastatic capacity in athymic nude mice. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1984) 72:913–917.

20. Hofer KG, Prensky W, Hughes WL. Death and metastatic distribution of tumor cells in mice monitored with 125I-iododeoxy-uridine. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (1969) 43:763–73.
    http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5344176

21. Liotta LA, Vembu D, Saini RK, Boone C. In vivo monitoring of the death rate of artificial murine pulmonary micrometastases. *Cancer Res* (1978) 38:1231–6. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/639056

22. Raghuram G V., Pal K, Sriram G, Khan A, Joshi R, Jadhav V, Shinde S, Shaikh A, Rane B, Kangne H, et al. Therapeutic interventions on human breast cancer xenografts promote systemic dissemination of oncogenes. *PLoS One* (2024) 19:e0298042. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0298042

23. Pilankar A, Singhavi H, Raghuram GV, Siddiqui S, Khare NK, Jadhav V, Tandel H, Pal K, Bhattacharjee A, Chaturvedi P, et al. A pro-oxidant combination of resveratrol and copper down-regulates hallmarks of cancer and immune checkpoints in patients with advanced oral cancer: Results of an exploratory study (RESCU 004). *Front Oncol* (2022)5061.

24. Shabrish S, Pal K, Khare NK, Satsangi D, Pilankar A, Jadhav V, Shinde S, Raphael N, Sriram G, Lopes R, et al. Cell-free chromatin particles released from dying cancer cells activate immune checkpoints in human lymphocytes: implications for cancer therapy. *Front Immunol* (2024) 14: doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1331491

25. Kim KP, Kim JE, Hong YS, Ahn SM, Chun SM, Hong SM, Jang SJ, Yu

CS, Kim JC, Kim TW. Paired primary and metastatic tumor analysis of
somatic mutations in synchronous and metachronous colorectal
cancer. *Cancer Res Treat* (2017) 49: doi: 10.4143/crt.2015.490

26.   Vignot S, Lefebvre C, Frampton GM, Meurice G, Yelensky R, Palmer
G, Capron F, Lazar V, Hannoun L, Miller VA, et al. Comparative
analysis of primary tumour and matched metastases in colorectal
cancer patients: Evaluation of concordance between genomic and
transcriptional profiles. *Eur J Cancer* (2015) 51:791–799. doi:
10.1016/j.ejca.2015.02.012

27.   Lee SE, Park HY, Hwang D-Y, Han HS. High Concordance of
Genomic Profiles between Primary and Metastatic Colorectal Cancer.
Int J Mol Sci (2021) 22:5561. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115561

28.   Roessler S, Lin G, Forgues M, Budhu A, Hoover S, Simpson RM, Wu
X, He P, Qin L-X, Tang Z-Y, et al. Integrative Genomic and
Transcriptomic Characterization of Matched Primary and Metastatic
Liver and Colorectal Carcinoma. *Int J Biol Sci* (2015) 11:88–98. doi:
10.7150/ijbs.10583

29.   Fang Q, Yang H, Ou W, Ye X, Zhang B bin, Sun H bo, Zhang L, Cao
X, Wang S yu. Discordance of epidermal growth factor receptor
mutations between primary tumors and corresponding mediastinal
nodal metastases in patients operated on for stage N2 non-small cell
lung cancer. *Thorac Cancer* (2012) 3: doi: 10.1111/j.1759-
7714.2012.00163.x

30.   Kim EY, Cho EN, Park HS, Kim A, Hong JY, Lim S, Youn JP, Hwang
SY, Chang YS. Genetic heterogeneity of actionable genes between
primary and metastatic tumor in lung adenocarcinoma. *BMC Cancer*
(2016) 16: doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2049-z

31.   Masoodi T, Siraj AK, Siraj S, Azam S, Qadri Z, Albalawy WN,
Parvathareddy SK, Al-Sobhi SS, Al-Dayel F, Alkuraya FS. Whole-
exome sequencing of matched primary and metastatic papillary
thyroid cancer. *Thyroid* (2020) 30:42–56.

619 32. Martínez-Jiménez F, Movasati A, Brunner SR, Nguyen L, Priestley P, Cuppen E, Van Hoeck A. Pan-cancer whole-genome comparison of primary and metastatic solid tumours. *Nature* (2023) 618:333–341. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06054-z

623 33. Weigelt B, Glas AM, Wessels LFA, Witteveen AT, Peterse JL, van't Veer LJ. Gene expression profiles of primary breast tumors maintained in distant metastases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (2003) 100:15901–15905. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2634067100

627 34. Ramaswamy S, Ross KN, Lander ES, Golub TR. A molecular signature of metastasis in primary solid tumors. *Nat Genet* (2003) 33:49–54. doi: 10.1038/ng1060

630 35. Lee JY, Park K, Lee E, Ahn T, Jung HH, Lim SH, Hong M, Do I-G, Cho EY, Kim D-H, et al. Gene Expression Profiling of Breast Cancer Brain Metastasis. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:28623. doi: 10.1038/srep28623

633 36. Chen R, Goodison S, Sun Y. Molecular Profiles of Matched Primary and Metastatic Tumor Samples Support a Linear Evolutionary Model of Breast Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2020) 80:170–174. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-2296

637 37. Kaur J, Chandrashekar DS, Varga Z, Sobottka B, Janssen E, Kowalski J, Kiraz U, Varambally S, Aneja R. Distinct Gene Expression Profiles of Matched Primary and Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancers. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14:2447. doi: 10.3390/cancers14102447

642 38. Brodsky AS, Fischer A, Miller DH, Vang S, MacLaughlan S, Wu H-T, Yu J, Steinhoff M, Collins C, Smith PJS, et al. Expression Profiling of Primary and Metastatic Ovarian Tumors Reveals Differences Indicative of Aggressive Disease. *PLoS One* (2014) 9:e94476. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094476

647 39. Tong Z, Wang L, Shi W, Zeng Y, Zhang H, Liu L, Zheng Y, Chen C, Xia W, Fang W, et al. Clonal Evolution Dynamics in Primary and Metastatic Lesions of Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms. *Front*

650   *Med* (2021) 8: doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.620988

40. Dang HX, Krasnick BA, White BS, Grossman JG, Strand MS, Zhang
      J, Cabanski CR, Miller CA, Fulton RS, Goedegebuure SP. The clonal
      evolution of metastatic colorectal cancer. *Sci Adv* (2020) 6:eaay9691.

41. Wang Y, Waters J, Leung ML, Unruh A, Roh W, Shi X, Chen K,
      Scheet P, Vattathil S, Liang H, et al. Clonal evolution in breast cancer
      revealed by single nucleus genome sequencing. *Nature* (2014)
      512:155–160. doi: 10.1038/nature13600

42. Yates LR, Knappskog S, Wedge D, Farmery JHR, Gonzalez S,
      Martincorena I, Alexandrov LB, Van Loo P, Haugland HK, Lilleng PK,
      et al. Genomic Evolution of Breast Cancer Metastasis and Relapse.
      Cancer Cell (2017) 32:169-184.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.005

43. Shah SP, Roth A, Goya R, Oloumi A, Ha G, Zhao Y, Turashvili G,
      Ding J, Tse K, Haffari G, et al. The clonal and mutational evolution
      spectrum of primary triple-negative breast cancers. *Nature* (2012)
      486:395–399. doi: 10.1038/nature10933

44. Zhang AW, McPherson A, Milne K, Kroeger DR, Hamilton PT,
      Miranda A, Funnell T, Little N, de Souza CPE, Laan S, et al.
      Interfaces of Malignant and Immunologic Clonal Dynamics in Ovarian
      Cancer. *Cell* (2018) 173:1755-1769.e22. doi:
      10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.073

45. Funnell T, O'Flanagan CH, Williams MJ, McPherson A, McKinney S,
      Kabeer F, Lee H, Salehi S, Vázquez-García I, Shi H, et al. Single-cell
      genomic variation induced by mutational processes in cancer. *Nature*
      (2022) 612:106–115. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05249-0

46. Vázquez-García I, Uhlitz F, Ceglia N, Lim JLP, Wu M, Mohibullah N,
      Niyazov J, Ruiz AEB, Boehm KM, Bojilova V, et al. Ovarian cancer
      mutational processes drive site-specific immune evasion. *Nature*
      (2022) 612:778–786. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05496-1

47. Martin OA, Anderson RL, Narayan K, MacManus MP. Does the

mobilization of circulating tumour cells during cancer therapy cause metastasis? *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2017) 14:32–44. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.128

48. Karagiannis GS, Pastoriza JM, Wang Y, Harney AS, Entenberg D, Pignatelli J, Sharma VP, Xue EA, Cheng E, D'Alfonso TM, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy induces breast cancer metastasis through a TMEM-mediated mechanism. *Sci Transl Med* (2017) 9: doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aan0026

49. Monteran L, Ershaid N, Doron H, Zait Y, Scharff Y, Ben-Yosef S, Avivi C, Barshack I, Sonnenblick A, Erez N. Chemotherapy-induced complement signaling modulates immunosuppression and metastatic relapse in breast cancer. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13:5797. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-33598-x

50. von Essen CF. Radiation enhancement of metastasis: a review. *Clin Exp Metastasis* (1991) 9:77–104. doi: 10.1007/BF01756381

51. Vilalta M, Rafat M, Graves EE. Effects of radiation on metastasis and tumor cell migration. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2016) 73:2999–3007. doi: 10.1007/s00018-016-2210-5

52. Demicheli R, Retsky MW, Hrushesky WJM, Baum M, Gukas ID. The effects of surgery on tumor growth: a century of investigations. *Ann Oncol Off J Eur Soc Med Oncol* (2008) 19:1821–8. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdn386

53. Tohme S, Simmons RL, Tsung A. Surgery for cancer: A trigger for metastases. *Cancer Res* (2017) 77: doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-1536

Legends to Figures

Figure 1: Representative images of fluorescently dual-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells, and the accumulation of dual-labelled cfChPs in the lung cells of mice following intravenous injection; **a.** Representative confocal images of fluorescently dual-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells, in which DNA was labelled with BrdU (red) and histones were labelled with H2B-GFP (green). The extra-nuclear DAPI and BrdU signals represent mitochondrial DNA. **b.** Fluorescence microscopy images showing patchy accumulation of dual-labelled particles representing cfChPs in lung cells following intravenous injections of fluorescently dually-labelled MDA-MB-231 and A-375 cells at 48 h. One hundred thousand cells were injected intravenously into SCID mice in both the cases.

Figure 2: Activation of hallmarks of cancer in lung cells of SCID mice following intravenous injection of unlabelled MDA-MB-231 cells at 72 h. One hundred thousand unlabelled MDA-MB-231 cells were injected intravenously into SCID mice in the experimental group and saline was injected in the control group. Animals were sacrificed at 72 h and cryosections of the lungs were analysed by IF for 13 biomarkers representing 10 hallmarks of cancer; **a.** Histograms representing mean values (± SEM) of the percentage of cells positive for cancer biomarkers in MDA-MB-231-injected and control mice (n=2 in each group). For each biomarker, one thousand cells were analysed and the percentage of positive cells was calculated. The mean (± SEM) values in the control and MDA-MB-231-injected groups were compared using two tailed Student's t test. **b.** Boxplots depicting comparative results of all biomarkers combined together. The median values were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test.

Figure 3: Detection of mouse and human specific DNA and of mouse and human

specific proteins on FFPE sections of lung metastases in MDA-MB-231- and A-375-

injected mice. **a.** FISH images following simultaneous use of mouse- and human-

specific whole genomic probes. Presence of both mouse and human specific DNA are

seen in the tumour cells. **b.** IF analysis using mouse and human specific mAbs (MHC-II

and HLA-ABC, respectively). Presence of both mouse and human specific proteins are

seen in the tumour cells.

Figure 4: Detection of chimeric chromosomes in metaphase spreads prepared from

cultured cells of MDA-MB-231 and A-375 lung metastases. **a.** Metaphase spreads

prepared from cells of primary cultures analysed by FISH using human- and mouse-

specific DNA probes. **b.** Metaphase spreads prepared from single-cell clones analysed

by FISH using human- and mouse-specific DNA probes.

Figure 5: Co-expression of mouse- and human-specific proteins by the same cells of

cultured MDA-MB-231 and A-375 lung metastases. **a.** Cells of primary cultures immune-

stained with mouse- and human-specific mAbs (MHC-II and HLA-ABC, respectively). **b.**

Clones MG6 and AG5 immune-stained with mouse- and human-specific mAbs (MHC-II

and HLA-ABC, respectively).

Figure 6: Development of lung metastases following i.v. injection of purified cfChPs

isolated from radiation killed MDA-MB-231 cells and detection of mouse- and human-

specific DNA and proteins in FFPE sections of the tumour. **a.** H&E sections showing

lung metastases following i.v. injection of 700 ng of purified cfChPs. **b.** Detection of

mouse- and human-specific DNA in lung metastasis. **c.** Detection of mouse- and

human-specific proteins in lung metastasis.

Figure 7: Graphical illustration of the alternative model of cancer metastasis. CTC =

circulating tumour cells. The black dots represent cfChPs.

Figure 1

a

Dually labeled MDA-MB-231 CELLS

| DAPI | H2B-GFP | BrdU | Merged |

Dually labeled A375 CELLS

| DAPI | H2B-GFP | BrdU | Merged |

b

	DAPI	H2B-GFP	BrdU	Merged
CONTROL				
MDA-MB-231				
A-375				

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

a

b

Figure 6

a

Normal mouse lung   Metastasis

b

DAPI   Mouse DNA   Human DNA   Merged

c

DAPI   Mouse MHC II   Human HLA-ABC   Merged

Figure 7

Transformed target cell (Metastasis)

Dead CTCs

Stuck CTCs