1

A cortico-subcortical loop for motor control via the pontine reticular formation

Emília Bősz[1, 2]*, Viktor M. Plattner[1, 3]*, László Biró[1]*, Kata Kóta[1, 2], Marco A. Diana[4]

and László Acsády*[1]

Affiliations:

[1] Laboratory of Thalamus Research, Institute of Experimental Medicine; Budapest, Hungary

[2] János Szentágothai Doctoral School of Neurosciences, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

[3] Sainsbury Wellcome Ctr., London, United Kingdom

[4] Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Saint-Pères Paris Institute for the Neurosciences, F-75006 Paris, France

*Corresponding author: acsady@koki.hu

Laboratory of Thalamus Research, Institute of Experimental Medicine; Budapest, Szigony u. 43. 1083. Hungary

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Summary

Movement and locomotion are controlled by large neuronal circuits like the cortex-basal ganglia (BG)-thalamus loop. Inhibitory output of the BG loop can directly control movement via specialized connections with the brainstem. Whether other parallel loops with similar logic exist is presently unclear. Here we demonstrate that glycine transporter 2-positive (GlyT2+) cells of the pontine reticular formation (PRF) receive cortical inputs and in turn innervate the thalamus. Thalamus-projecting GlyT2+ cells innervate subcortical regions distinct from BG targets. Cortical cells co-innervate PRF/GlyT2+ cells and thalamus as in the BG loops. Cortex exerts strong excitatory control on PRF/GlyT2+ cells and these neurons powerfully inhibit their thalamic targets. Activation of thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells leads to contralateral turning. These results demonstrate that the PRF is part of a cortico-subcortical loop that regulates motor activity parallel to the BG circuits. The cortico-PRF-thalamus loop can synergistically control turning with the BG loops via distinct descending pathways.

Keywords

Motor system, basal ganglia, brainstem, rotation, turning, thalamus, motor cortex, cingulate cortex, pons, locomotion, parafascicular thalamus, intralaminar thalamus

Introduction

Voluntary movements are controlled via diverse cortical and subcortical centers[1,2]. Among these, the basal ganglia (BG) are one of the most intensively studied and are thought to be responsible for the planning, execution, and learning of complex motor sequences[2–5]. Two key features characterize the BG circuits. First, cortex, BG, and thalamus are engaged in the formation of complex loops [6–8]; second, the output of these loops is communicated as a strong inhibitory signal to brainstem locomotor centers via the BG output nuclei [9,10].

The cortex-BG-thalamus loop can be regarded as a multiple-nested loop[11–13] since the cortical signals return to the cortex via both the direct, thalamo-cortical projections and via an indirect loop that passes through the BG and the thalamus [6–8]. Since the entire system is strongly topographical the indirect BG signals and the direct cortico-thalamic pathway are integrated in the same thalamic territory[6,8,14]. This pattern of connectivity is especially useful to generate multiple embedded rhythms, the hallmark of cortical and brainstem activity governing locomotion[1]. Individual cortical neurons can provide axon collaterals to both the direct thalamo-cortical pathway[15–17] and the indirect cortex-BG loop ensuring that an identical cortical message is transferred via these two nested pathways.

How the cortex-BG-thalamus loop communicates with the brainstem locomotor centers has been poorly investigated until recently. By now it appears that the BG innervates multiple brainstem locomotor centers and can have a strong impact on their activity[9]. A recent study[10] has demonstrated a specific role of the BG in regulating turning behavior via the pontine reticular formation (PRF, its rostral part also referred to as nucleus pontis oralis, PnO). PRF has already been implicated earlier in motor

control[18,19]. Cregg et al.,[10] showed that the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNR), a

major output nucleus of the BG, can effectively inhibit in the excitatory vesicular

glutamate transporter 2 (vGLUT2)-containing neurons in the PRF. These

PRF/vGLUT2+ neurons, in turn, innervate the contralateral gigantocellular nucleus

(Gi) in the lower brainstem. Gi cells can mediate ipsiversive turning and motor arrest

via their direct reticulo-spinal connections [20,21]. The new study shows that decreased

inhibitory SNR output results in increased PRF/vGLUT2+ output which consequently

elevates contralateral Gi activity leading to a contralateral turning, relative to the side

of SNR-PRF interaction. Increased BG output has the opposite effect[10]. Modulating

the PRF/vGlut2+- Gi pathway restores turning ability after striatal damage, implying

that impairment in this pathway could contribute to the disabling turning deficits

associated with Parkinson's disease[10].These data demonstrate how the output of the

cortex-thalamus-BG loop can have direct behavioral consequences via modulating the

activity of the brainstem motor centers.

Curiously, the BG output avoided the other major cell type of the PRF, the equally

numerous inhibitory, glycine transporter 2-expressing cells (PRF/GlyT2+ cells)[10,22]. At

the same time, however, Gi neurons, ipsilateral to the turning, receive a strong

inhibition[10], the sources of which are unknown. PRF/GlyT2+ neurons have dual

GABAergic/glycinergic phenotype and can innervate the same thalamic regions (the

intralaminar/parafascicular nuclei, IL/Pf) as the BG-thalamic pathway[23]. IL/Pf have

already been also implicated in movement coordination, turning and orienting

behavior[24–26]. The thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells establish large, highly

effective multisynaptic inhibitory terminals, very similar to those of the BG terminals in

the thalamus[23,27]. PRF is known to receive input from higher-order motor cortical

areas[28,29] and photoactivation of thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells results in

motor arrest[23]. These data indicate that, even though PRF/GlyT2+ cells are not

innervated directly by the BG output, they may participate in an independent cortico-

thalamic loop and can contribute to motor control.

Based on these data, in this study, we tested whether thalamic projecting

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons can represent a brainstem movement control system involved in

a nested loop organization with the cortex and thalamus that can contribute to turning

behavior. Our data demonstrate that thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells receive

strong cortical excitatory inputs, via cortical L5 neurons. L5 neurons, in addition to

innervating the PRF, also arborize in the IL/Pf, the target of PRF/GlyT2+cells. We also

show that thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells can evoke pronounced inhibitory

action on their thalamic targets and affect turning behavior synergistically with the

PRF/vGLUT2+ cells via a selective ipsilateral projection to Gi.

Results

M2/cingulate cortical neurons innervate PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

In order to visualize cortical inputs to PRF/GlyT2+ neurons, we used anterograde

virus-mediated tracing in RBP4-Cre//GlyT2-eGFP double transgenic mice. RBP4 is

known to be expressed in deep L5 pyramidal cells the project to subcortical targets[30].

We injected AAV5-DIO-ChR2-mCherry virus into the secondary motor/cingulate

(M2/Cg) cortical area since these regions is known to contain the highest

concentration of PRF projecting cells[23] (Figure 1A-C). GlyT2+ cells were detected by

the eGFP expression[22]. Anterogradely labeled M2/Cg fibers formed a profuse axon

arbor within the entire rostral PRF (Figure 1D-F). The distribution of cortical afferents

displayed significant overlap with that of the PRF/GlyT2+ neurons (Figure 1D-F) but

was not homogeneous within the PRF. A density map of cortical afferents showed a

dorsomedial to ventrolateral decrease in fiber density within the PRF/GlyT2+ zone

(Figure 1G, S1A-C). This gradient was present at all coronal levels examined on

averaged maximum intensity projection of the fiber density maps in 3 animals (Figures

1H1-3, S1A-C). Caudal injections into the M2/Cg cortex (n=3) resulted in weaker PRF

innervation (Figure 1I). The quantitative analysis of intensity levels showed that the

whole PRF was innervated by M2/Cg (Figure 1J). The posterior M2/Cg afferents

displayed low-intensity levels (2-4) compared to the anterior M2/Cg innervation where

we observed higher fiber density (intensity levels 1-7, Figure 1K).

Next, we visualized anterior M2/Cg fibers and PRF/GlyT2+ neurons using high-

power confocal imaging and high-power light microscopy using DAB/Ni (black) and

DAB (brown) chromogens. With both methods, cortical afferents were in close

proximity to PRF/GlyT2+ elements (Figure 1L). We found putative synaptic contacts

on the dendrites and occasionally on the somata of GlyT2+ neurons (Figure 1M, N).

In one case the spine of a PRF/GlyT2+ neuron, filled using the juxtacellular labeling

method (see below), was found to be contacted by an M2/Cg cortical terminal (Figure

1O).

In order to identify the synaptic contacts formed by M2/Cg terminals in the PRF,

we analyzed the ultrastructure of the M2/Cg synapses at the electron microscopic level

(Figure 2A-D). M2/Cg terminals were small to medium-sized (mean=0.47 μm^2

SD=0.31, Figure 2B-D, S1D), contained 1-3 mitochondria, and established

asymmetrical synapses with 1-2 active zones mainly with dendrites (range: 0.197-

1.437 μm, mean=0.62 μm, SD=0.31, Figure 2B-D). The postsynaptic elements have

variable diameters. Using double immunocytochemistry we identified synaptic

contacts between virus-labeled cortical afferents and PRF/GlyT2+ neurons in 10

cases (Figure 2D1-4). In 9 cases the postsynaptic structure was mid-caliber dendrite

in 1 case it was a PRF/GlyT2+ spine. These data show that the anterior M2/Cg

provides a dense innervation to the PRF, which contains GlyT2+ neurons and forms

conventional synaptic contacts mainly with the dendrites of GlyT2+ neurons in the

PRF.

Synaptic properties of the cortical inputs in PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

Next, we investigated the physiology of synapses formed by the cortico-PRF

terminals in GlyT2+ cells. In vitro, PRF slice preparations were made from RBP4-

Cre//GlyT2-eGFP animals that received identical AAV5-DIO-ChR2-mCherry M2/Cg

injections as in the case of the anterograde tracing experiments. Optogenetic

activation with brief flashes (2-3 ms) of blue light evoked synaptic. At a recording

potential of -60 mV, these triggered rapidly rising and decaying inward synaptic

currents with an averaged amplitude amounting to 48.6 ± 20.2 pA; (Figure 2E, bottom

panel). The amplitude of these responses was not modified by bath application of the

GABAergic/glycinergic synaptic blockers SR95531 (2 µM) and strychnine (10 µM),

thus demonstrating their excitatory nature. When recorded at a holding potential of

+50 mV (see methods), the EPSCs showed clearly slower decaying kinetics than at -

60 mV consistently with the appearance of an NMDA receptor-mediated component

at the depolarized potential (Figure 2E, top panel, blue traces). Bath co-application of

NBQX (10 µM) and DL-APV (50 µM), led to almost complete inhibition of the synaptic

responses to 5.6 ± 1.4 % of the control at -60 mV, and to 10.1 ± 3.0 % at +50 mV

(Figure 2E, top red traces), thus demonstrating the glutamatergic nature of the cortico-

PRF/GlyT2+ inputs. The amplitude of the NMDA component, as measured at +50 mV,

amounted to 68.7 ± 15.3 % of the AMPA component at -60 mV (Figure 2E). Moreover,

the AMPA rectification index (measured as the ratio between the AMPA component

amplitudes at -60 mV and at +50 mV) amounted to 1.9 ± 0.3 (n = 17; Figure 2E bottom),

not distant from the theoretical value of 1.75 for a linear I-V curve (see Otsu et al.,

2018). This strongly suggests that the AMPA receptors present at these synapses are

calcium-impermeable in PRF/GlyT2+ neurons.

In control pharmacological conditions, we examined the dynamic behavior of the

cortico-PRF glutamatergic responses with trains of 10 optogenetic stimulations at

different frequencies (1 Hz, 5 Hz, 10 Hz and 20 Hz). Along the stimulation trains the

synaptic responses in the PRF/GlyT2+ cells did not show a significantly depressing

behavior, the amplitude of the responses to the 10th stimulation being significantly

smaller than the one to the first light pulse only at 5 Hz (see top traces in Figure 2F).

With respect to the first optogenetic stimulation, the amplitudes of the responses to

the 10th light pulse were indeed 89.1 ± 11.5 %, 87.3 ± 8.2 %, 102.7 ± 5.7 %, 90.5 ±

14.0 % at 1 Hz, 5 Hz, 10 Hz, and 20 Hz, respectively (see bottom graph in Figure 2F).

These data demonstrate that the cortical inputs to the PRF/GlyT2+ neurons identified

morphologically exert a pronounced, conventional, purely glutamatergic synaptic

action with little short-term depression.

The impact of cortical activation on PRF/GlyT2+ neuronal activity

In order to assess the impact of cortical inputs on the spiking activity of

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons *in vivo,* we recorded the evoked responses of the PRF cells in

ketamine-xylazine anesthetized animals (n=10 animals, Figure 3). In 7 cases we

injected the AAV5-DIO-ChR2-mCherry virus into M2/Cg in the RBP4-Cre//GlyT2-

eGFP double transgenic mice and photostimulated the L5 neurons (Figure 3A-B). In

3 cases we applied bipolar electrical stimulation of the cortex in GlyT2/GFP transgenic

mice (5 ms pulse width, 1-2 mA). In both stimulating conditions, we used trains of 1,

10, and 20 Hz stimulations (n=50 stimulus in 5 trains, Figure S2). To unequivocally

identify and localize PRF/GlyT2+ neurons we used the juxtacellular recording and

labeling methods[31]. Nine out of 10 neurons were recovered post hoc within the PRF

and their GlyT2+ identity was determined by double fluorescent imaging (Figures 3C,

and S2A).

Cortical stimulation at 1 Hz generated reliable spike responses with short latency

peaks, in all PRF/GlyT2+ cells (Figure 3D-E) using both stimulation methods.

Increasing the stimulation frequency to 10 and 20 Hz resulted in similar high-fidelity,

fast responses (Figure 3D-E). We found no significant difference between stimulation

frequencies in response probability and latency (Figure 3F) indicating reliable signal

transmission even at high presynaptic activity. To assess the alteration of firing output

of the PRF/GlyT2+ cells within the stimulation train at various input frequencies, we

calculated the normalized evoked AP within a 50 ms time window after every stimulus

(Figure S2B). The data demonstrated that the spiking activity of individual PRF/GlyT2+

cells did not depress within the stimulus train irrespective of the stimulation

frequencies (Figure S2B). These in vivo data confirm the in vitro physiological data

and show that frontal cortical cells can evoke fast, reliable spike responses in

PRF/GlyT2+ cells even at high presynaptic stimulation frequencies.

Impact of spontaneous cortical activity on PRF/GlyT2+ cells

Earlier data indicated that the activity of PRF/GlyT2+ cells can be linked to

different phases of slow cortical oscillations[23]. Here we asked to what extent the firing

activity of PRF/GlyT2+ cells is sensitive to the alterations of cortical states

(synchronized vs, desynchronized, Figures 4, S3A-C). We recorded PRF/GlyT2+

neurons (n=12) in GlyT2-eGFP transgenic animals and monitored the state changes

in LFP activity (Figure 4A-B). In the case of 7 cells, we recorded spontaneous state

changes between synchronized and desynchronized cortical states which can be

observed in light ketamine-xylazine anesthesia (Figure 4B) whereas in 5 cases we

evoked cortical inactivity by applying 2M potassium chloride (KCl) to the cortical

surface to induce cortical spreading depression (CSD, Figure S3D-F)[32].

In the first experiments, we observed that 5 out of 7 PRF/GlyT2+ cells fired

rhythmic AP clusters during the slow oscillatory periods (Figures 4B-D, S3A). When

the slow oscillation was replaced by a desynchronized period in the LFP, the firing

pattern of the PRF/GlyT2+ cells immediately followed this transition and became

irregular (Figures 4B-D, S3A). When the rhythmic cortical activity was restored, the AP

clusters reappeared (Figures 4B-D, S3C). The transition from rhythmic AP cluster to

tonic activity could be confirmed by autocorrelograms (Figures 4C, S3A). The mean

rate of PRF/GlyT2+ action potential clusters was significantly higher in synchronized

vs. desynchronized states (Figure 4D). The firing rate of PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

significantly increased during the desynchronized states (Figure S3B). In the CSD

experiments, cells decreased the rate of spike clusters upon cortical cell inactivation

(Figure S3F). These data demonstrate a tight connection between the cortical states

and firing activity of PRF/GlyT2 neurons and confirm the strong impact of the cortex

on these cells during spontaneous activity.

Co-innervation of PRF and the thalamic targets of PRF by L5 neurons

L5 neurons that innervate the striatum have been shown to arborize in the

thalamus[15,16] and since the basal ganglia also innervate the thalamus and thalamus

project back to the cortex the three structures form multiple nested loops[6,8,11].

To investigate if the same logic applies to individual L5 neurons targeting the

PRF i.e. whether L5 neurons simultaneously innervate PRF and the target region of

PRF/GlyT2+ cells in the thalamus (the intralaminar and parafascicular nuclei, IL/Pf)

we analyzed data from the Mouse Light Neuron Browser database[33]

(https://www.janelia.org/open-science/mouselight-neuronbrowser). In the database

we found 23 M2/Cg L5 neurons that had at least one axonal end point in the PRF

(Figure 5A-C; Table S1.). Seventy-four percent of these neurons (n=17) projected to

the IL/Pf as well. Ten out of the M2/Cg L5 17 neurons (59%) neurons co-innervating

PRF and IL/Pf displayed multiple axonal end points (here defined as more than 4 end

points) both in PRF and in the IL/PF. Four out of the 23 PRF projecting L5 neurons

(17.4%) displayed very dense axonal arbors in PRF (more than 10 axonal end points)

(Figure 5C). Three of these L5 cells innervated IL/Pf also with more than 10 axonal

end points. The fourth of them formed 6 end points in IL/Pf. Confirming our

anterograde tracing experiments, these neurons arborized more in the dorsomedial

part of the PRF (Figure 5C). These data suggest that co-innervation of PRF and IL/Pf

by individual cortical L5 neurons is common. Sixteen out of the 17 L5 neurons

(94.12%) co-innervating PRF and IL/Pf also had a collateral to the striatum indicating

that the cortico-PRF-thalamic message is projected to the basal ganglia as well (Table

S1).

The Mouse Light Neuron Browser data does not permit to establish whether the

cortico-PRF-thalamic neurons target PRF/GlyT2+ cells or other cell types in the PRF.

In order to demonstrate that thalamic-projecting cortical cells can innervate

PRF/GlyT2+ cells, we employed two experimental designs (Figures 5D and S4A). In

the first configuration, we injected AAVrg-EF1a-DIO-FlpO into the IL/Pf and AAV2/1-

EF1a-fDIO-mCherry into M2 in RPB4-Cre//GlyT2-eGFP mice (Figure 5D). In this case,

the Flp is Cre dependent, travels retrogradely from the thalamus to the cortex, and

expresses in RBP4+ L5 neurons (Figure 5E-F). The second virus is FLP-dependent

and travels anterogradely from those L5 neurons which project to the IL/Pf as well

(Figure 5G-K). In the second approach, we injected Cre-expressing retrograde virus

(AAVrg-EF1a-Cre) into the IL/Pf and AAV5-EF1a-DIO-hChR2-mCherry virus into the

M2 in GlyT2-EGFP mice (see more details in the Methods; Figure S4A).

In both experimental approaches, we observed retrogradely labeled deep layer

M2/Cg pyramidal cells (Figures 5F, S4B-C) and dense axonal arbor in the dorsolateral

Pf/IL verifying the injection sites and the thalamic projections (Figures 5G; S4D-H and

S4M-P). Dense cortical innervation was also observed in the PRF in every case (n=4

animals), in the same PRF/GlyT2+ area (Figures 5H-K, S4I-L), which we identified

after our original M2/Cg AAV5-DIO-ChR2-mCherry injections (as in Figure 1). The

cortical projection was largely ipsilateral in the PRF. Using high-resolution confocal

microscopy, we identified close appositions between axons of IL/Pf projecting cortical

neurons and the dendrites of PRF/GlyT2+ cells (Figure 5L-N). In the first experimental

configuration, 72.5% of PRF/GlyT2+ dendrites (n=40) were contacted by the axon

terminals of thalamic projecting M2/Cg axons, compared to 65% in the second

experiment (n=40). The mean number of close appositions per dendrite was 2.125 ±

0.308 in the first case and 1.45 ± 1.339 in the second. The pooled data show that 69%

of the PRF/GlyT2+ dendritic segments (n=80) were juxtaposed to M2/Cg boutons, with

an average of 1.45 ± 1.339 putative contacts per 50 µm segment (Figure 5O).

Together these data show that thalamus-projecting M2/Cg pyramidal cells can also

innervate PRF/GlyT2+ cells.

Activation of PRF/GlyT2+ neurons inhibit thalamocortical neuronal activity in vivo

As the next step in the loop, we aimed to examine the impact of PRF/GlyT2+ activity on thalamic cells. PRF/GlyT2+ neurons have been shown to selectively innervate IL/Pf and evoke non-depressing, mixed, GABA/glycine receptor-mediated IPSCs on their targets[23]. However, the effect of this inhibitory input on the spike output of IL/Pf has not been investigated. To address this, we performed in vivo juxtacellular recording and labeling experiments in GlyT2-Cre mice injected with an AAV5-DIO-ChR2-eYFP virus in the PRF (Figures 6 and S5). PRF/GlyT2+ fibers were then photoactivated in the IL/Pf (Figure 6A-B). To ensure that the recorded IL/Pf neurons were located within the PRF/GlyT2+ fibers, the position of the IL/Pf cells was determined post hoc (Figure S5A). Given that IL/Pf neurons are known to be sensitive to pain[34] we utilized urethane anesthesia to assess the impact of PRF/GlyT2+ photoactivation on spontaneous (n= 13 cells) as well as on tail-pinch-induced IL/Pf activity (n= 10 cells, (Figures 6B-C, S5B-C). The stimulation frequency used (33 Hz) was compatible with the spontaneous activity of PRF/GlyT2+ neurons measured under identical conditions[23].

The baseline mean firing rate (MFR) of the recorded thalamic neurons (n=23) varied between 1 and 30 Hz (Figure S5B). Tail pinch evoked a significantly higher MFR in the IL/Pf cells (Figure S5B). Optogenetic activation of PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (33 Hz) in the IL/Pf resulted in a significant decrease of IL/Pf spiking activity in both spontaneous and tail-pinch conditions at the population level (Figures 6B-C; S5C-D). The firing rate immediately returned to baseline values upon the cessation of stimulus trains (Figures 6B-C; S5C-D).

Nineteen out of the 23 IL/Pf neurons (82.6%) were considered strongly inhibited

(see Methods; Figure S5E). In these neurons, inhibition of the firing output increased

gradually and following a transient period of 1-2 seconds PRF/GlyT2+ inputs could

almost entirely block the firing output of IL/Pf cells (Figure S5D-E). In 9 neurons we

increased the duration of stimulation from 5 to 16 or 30 sec but the tonic cessation of

firing was maintained for the entire duration (n=9 cell, Figure S5D). Firing activity

returned to baseline levels after these long stimulations as well (Figure S5D). In the

case of 4 out of the 23 IL/Pf neurons (16.7%), the PRF/GlyT2+ activation resulted in a

brief gap (5-10 ms) in the PSTH immediately after the onset of the stimulus (Figure

S5F-G). The time course of this precisely timed inhibition corresponded well with the

kinetics of the fast GABAergic/glycinergic IPSCs recorded during the earlier in vitro

observations[23] indicating a fast, phasic inhibition in this subset of neurons. The activity

of control neurons in the thalamus, located outside the PRF/GlyT2+ projection zone

(n=5), was not altered by the activation of PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (Figure S5D, H).

The precise localization of IL/Pf neurons inhibited by PRF/GlyT2+ activation was

verified post hoc. IL/Pf cells were localized within the PRF/GlyT2+ fibers in all cases

(Figure S5A), mainly in the dorsolateral sectors. The recorded cells were distributed

both in the anterior (centrolateral and paracentral) and the posterior (parafascicular)

IL nuclei (Figure S5A). All weakly inhibited cells were localized in anterior IL. We

conclude that PRF/GlyT2+ neurons can exert a powerful effect on the spontaneous as

well as on tail pinch evoked firing activity of IL/Pf neurons and can participate in both

tonic (divisive) and phasic inhibition of IL/Pf outputs.

So far, we have shown that the cortico-PRF pathway can effectively control

PRF/GlyT2+ cells (Figures 3-4, S2-3) and that, in turn, PRF/GlyT2+ cells can strongly

inhibit their postsynaptic partner in the thalamus (Figures 6 and S5). However, it still

remains to directly demonstrate whether cortical L5 axons can actually target those

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons, which innervate the thalamus and inhibit IL/Pf neurons. To

investigate this question, we injected conditional retrograde AAVrg-hSyn-DIO-

mCherry virus into the IL/Pf and anterograde AAV5-CAMKIIa-hChR2-eYFP into the

M2/Cg of GlyT2-Cre mice (Figure 6D). We then examined the GlyT2+ cells

retrogradely labeled from the thalamus in the PRF and the M2/Cg terminals contacting

them. We confirmed the cortical injection sites in M2/Cg (Figure 6E) and their thalamic

projection in IL/Pf (Figure 6F-G). In the brainstem, we could frequently observe

retrogradely labeled PRF/GlyT2+ neurons among the cortical fibers (Figure 6H-K).

Using confocal microscopy, we confirmed M2/Cg terminals formed putative contacts

with 82% of all thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ dendrites (n=50, from 3 mice, Figure

6L-O). We calculated the mean number of close appositions in 50 µm long dendritic

segment, which was 2.4 ± 0.22 (Figure 6O).

These data identified cortical inputs on thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells.

Together with the data showing that the same layer 5 cell can innervate PRF/GlyT2+

cells and IL/PF (Figure 5) and PRF/GlyT2+ cell contact IL/PF (Figure 6)[23] we

demonstrated that in this system cortex, PRF/GlyT2+ cells and thalamus can form

multiple nested loops.

Activation of PRF/GlyT2+ neurons promotes rotational movements

Next, we aimed to examine the behavioral effects of activating the thalamus

projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells, a key node in the cortex-PRF-thalamus communication.

To address this, we injected AAV5-DIO-ChR2-eYFP into the PRF of GlyT2-Cre

animals and implanted fiber optics into the thalamus (IL/Pf) (n=7 stimulus site, n=2

animals). We then photoactivated PRF/GlyT2+ fibers unilaterally and bilaterally at

various laser intensities (Figure 7A) in IL/Pf. Bilateral stimulation (n=20 stimulation) or

unilateral stimulation with higher (15 mW) laser intensities (n=24 stim) resulted in

behavior arrest confirming earlier data[23]. Unilateral stimulation of PRF/GlyT2+ axons

in the IL/Pf at lower laser intensity (1, 5 and 10 mW), however, induced rotation always

in the contralateral direction (Figure 7B). Rotational movements significantly increased

during stimulation and decreased after stimulus termination (Figure 7B; Video S1).

Positions of the optic fibers were determined post hoc (Figure 7C).

These findings show that activation of thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells via

their axons in IL/Pf consistently leads to contralateral rotation. However, not all

PRF/GlyT2+ cells may project to the thalamus. In order to identify, whether the

behavioral effect of activating thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells differ from that of

the whole PRF/GlyT2+ population we injected AAV5-DIO-ChR2-eYFP into the PRF in

GlyT2-Cre animals and implanted fiber optics, now, into the PRF and photoactivated

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons unilaterally via their somata (Figure 7D) at various laser

intensities (1; 5; 10; 15 mW; 5 ms pulse with, 40 Hz for 10 sec, n=7 animals).

Since the effect of PRF/GlyT2+ soma activation on movement initiation and

maintenance has not been characterized first we measured the traveled distance in

the first second of the PRF/GlyT2+ stimulation and the subsequent 9 seconds (Figure

S6A). After the stimulus onset, we found significantly increased movement in the first

second of the stimulus relative to the baseline period (before stimulus) with 3

stimulation intensities (1, 10 mW) Figure S6A). Increased locomotion persisted in the

remaining 9 sec of the stimulation (Figure S6A). There was no significant dose-

response effect with increasing laser light intensity (Figure S6B).

Next, we examined rotational movements during the stimulation of PRF/GlyT2+

somata (10 sec) in the same animals (Figure 7E; Video S2-3). Calculated for the whole

population (n=7 optic fibers) rotational movements significantly increased compared

to the baseline periods upon optogenetic activation at all stimulation intensities (1, 5,

10 and 15 mW, Figure 7F). We found no significant dose-response effect with

increasing laser light intensity (Figure 7G). Interestingly, somatic stimulation of

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons induced contralateral rotational movements, similar to thalamic

stimulation of PRF/GlyT2+ fibers, in only 4 out of the 7 animals. In the remaining 3

animals ipsilateral rotation was observed (Figure 7H). We found no significant

differences in rotational angles between the ipsi- and contralaterally turning animals

(ipsi vs. contra stim for all intensity U=2 p=0.229). Post hoc histological identification

of optic fiber positions within the PRF revealed no discernible anteroposterior,

dorsoventral, or mediolateral differences between the animals exhibiting ipsi- or

contralateral rotational movements (Figure 7I, Figure S6C-D).

In the eYFP control animals, the mean traveled distance, and the rotational angle

was not significantly different between the baseline and stimulation periods (Figure

S6E-K, Video S4). Next, we conducted loss-of-function experiments by injecting

AAV5-DIO-eNpHR 3.0-eYFP into the PRF (n=4 animals) and implanting optic fibers

into the PRF (n=8 optic fibers, Figure S7A). Similar to the gain of function experiments

(Figures 7 and S6) we found that inhibition of PRF/GlyT2+ somata unilaterally could

result in both contra- and ipsiversive rotations (Figure S7C, Video S5). However, for

the whole group significant difference in rotational angles was not found (Figure S7C-

D). Movement initiation was significantly affected only at 15mW (Figure S7E-F).

These findings demonstrate that activation of PRF/GlyT2+ neurons can elicit

rotational movements. Activation of thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells via their

axons elicits contralateral turning whereas activation of the entire PRF/GlyT2+ cells

population can evoke both ipsi- and contralateral turning.

Distinct projection patterns of thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells

Next, we examined whether the observed differences in turning behavior

between PRF/GlyT2+ neurons innervating the thalamus and the entire PRF/GlyT2+

population can be explained by distinct projection patterns (Figure 8). We primarily

focused on the gigantocellular nucleus in the medulla (Gi) that has recently been

shown to be responsible for the motor commands of turning movements and

compared ipsi- and contralateral projections[1,10,21].

We investigated the output of thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells by injecting

a retrograde Cre-dependent FlpO-containing virus (AAVrg-EF1a-DIO-FlpO) into the

IL/Pf thalamus and AAV2/1-EF1a-fDIO-mCherry (n=3) into the PRF in GlyT2-Cre mice

(Figure 8A1). This approach selectively labels the axon arbor of thalamus-projecting

PRF/GlyT2+ cells (Figures 8A, S8A-H). In order to label the axons from the entire

PRF/GlyT2+ population we injected AAV5-Ef1-DIO-eYFP into PRF of GlyT2-Cre

animals (n=4) (Figure 8B).

We found a stark difference in the innervation pattern of Gi between the two

approaches. Thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells provided significantly more axons

to the ipsilateral Gi (Figure 8A5) whereas labeling the entire PRF/GlyT2+ population

resulted in ipsi- and contralateral axons in the Gi of similar density (Figure 8B5). In

addition, we found that thalamic-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells collateralized primarily

in the ipsilateral PRF whereas we observed substantial contralateral projection after

labeling the entire PRF/GlyT2+ population (Figure 8A2, B2). The thalamic projections

were mainly ipsilateral in both experimental approaches as described before[23] and

targeted the dorsolateral sector of Pf (Figure S8H-I) In addition, thalamus projecting

PRF/GlyT2+ cells innervated several other regions ipsilaterally including the basal

forebrain, hypothalamic regions, and the PAG which are not known to be involved in

turning behavior (Figure S8A-G) and are not contacted by thalamus projecting BG

cells[9]. These data indicate that thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells form a specific

subpopulation of the PRF/GlyT2+ cells with a primarily ipsilateral projection pattern

that is distinct from the BG outputs.

Recent data have indicated that excitatory, vGLUT2-positive PRF cells project to

the contralateral Gi and are responsible for contraversive turning[10]. Our data, here,

however, demonstrate that a subpopulation of inhibitory PRF cells mainly project

ipsilaterally but still induce contraversive turning. In order to directly demonstrate the

difference between the projection pattern of excitatory and inhibitory PRF population

we utilized the fact that glycinergic cells are GABAergic as well[23,35] and used a double

transgenic vGAT-Flp//vGlut2-Cre mice to label both the excitatory and the inhibitory

projections in the same animals (Figures 8C, S8J). We co-injected AAVDj-EF1a-fDIO-

eYFP (cyan) and AAV5-hSyn-DIO-mCherry (magenta) unilaterally into the PRF

(Figure 8C-E) and examined the Gi (n=3). We could confirm that vGlut2+ neurons

provide significantly more axons to the contralateral Gi side as described before

(Figure 8C), while vGAT+ neurons project bilaterally (Figure 8D), with a significantly

higher fiber density on the ipsilateral side.

These experiments together confirm the presence of an inhibitory PRF cell

population that projects primarily to the ipsilateral Gi and activation of these cells can

have synergistic behavioral effects with the contraterally projecting excitatory PRF
neurons.

Discussion

454   Our data show that PRF/GlyT2+ cells are under strong cortical excitatory
455 influence and, in turn, are able to control the spiking activity of thalamic cells in the
456 IL/Pf. We demonstrate a loop-like organization between the cortex, PRF, and the
457 thalamus and show how the output from this loop can influence turning behavior via
458 the thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells.

Multiple nested loops

If two brain centers are connected via a reciprocal loop and in addition there is a
third pathway that receives input from one of them and projects to the other, they form
nested loops[11]. Here, we have shown that besides the known reciprocal connectivity
between M2/Cg and IL/Pf[28,29], i; IL/Pf-projecting M2/Cg cells target PRF/GlyT2+ cells
(Figures 5 and S4), and ii; IL/Pf projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells are among the targets of
M2/Cg neurons (Figure 6). We also show that M2/Cg cells target the same sector in
IL/Pf as the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells. These anatomical data together
demonstrate a nested loop organization in the cortex-PRF-thalamus system.

In our functional assays, M2/Cg afferents evoked strong glutamatergic synaptic
responses in PRF/GlyT2+ cells both in vitro and in vivo (Figures 2-4). A particular
aspect of these experiments was that high-frequency activations of the afferents were
followed by faithful synaptic or spiking responses with little depression under both in
vitro and in vivo conditions. In addition, alterations of spontaneous cortical activity were
faithfully followed by a change in PRF/GlyT2+ firing patterns. In turn, the activation of

the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells via their axons in the IL/Pf exerted

significant inhibitory action on their thalamic targets *in vivo* (Figure 6). Two types of

responses were distinguished, a gradual, tonic reduction in firing rate (divisive

inhibition) upon repetitive stimulation and the introduction of a brief gap, in the firing

activity following each stimulus (phasic inhibition). The inhibited thalamic neurons were

consistently found among the PRF/GlyT2+ fibers, providing morphological evidence

for a direct inhibitory action. The activity of thalamic cells outside the PRF/GlyT2+

axonal arborization areas remained unaffected by the stimulation. Earlier in vitro data

demonstrated non-depressing PRF/GlyT2+ IPSCs in IL/Pf cells upon repetitive

PRF/GlyT2+ stimulation[23]. This synaptic action may underlie the in vivo inhibitory

influence observed in this study. In summary, these data indicate that the indirect

pathway between M2/Cg and IL/Pf via the PRF/GlyT2+ cells is highly effective and

can modify the direct reverberating activity between the cortex and thalamus.

The cortex-PRF-thalamus loop is organized according to a similar basic logic to

the cortex-BG-thalamus loop. Both systems i; link interconnected cortical and thalamic

stations via an indirect inhibitory loop and ii; receive strong cortical excitation from L5

neurons which also project to the thalamus. Both BG and PRF provide powerful

inhibition to their thalamic targets[23,36–39] via large axon terminals that establish multiple

synapses on a single proximal dendritic target in the thalamus[23,40]. The major

difference between the two lies in the complexity of how the cortical input is processed

between the input and output stations.

Previous data suggested that linking interconnected thalamic and cortical regions

via an extrathalamic inhibitory transfer station which itself is under strong cortical

control, maybe a common organizing principle in sensory and motor systems[27]. Both

the anterior pretectal nucleus and the zona incerta have been shown to be under

strong cortical influence and to exert strong inhibition on the somatosensory thalamus

which itself receives powerful excitation from L5 of the primary somatosensory

cortex[41–43].

Our study demonstrated that most of the M2/Cg L5 pyramidal cells that

innervated IL/Pf and PRF/GlyT2+ neurons also provided collaterals to the striatum,

the input station of BG. IL/Pf is the target of both BG and PRF/GlyT2+ cells[23,29]. More

specifically, a recent study found that PRF-projecting SNR cells innervate the dorso-

lateral Pf/IL[9], the same region targeted by PRF/GlyT2+ cells (this study and[23]). This

indicates that despite the fact that BG and PRF/GlyT2+ cells do not interact directly[10]

they share the same cortical input pattern, and their output is integrated at the same

thalamic station, IL/Pf.

Both thalamic projecting SNR and PRF neurons distribute this message to many

other brain centers, but this projection pattern differs significantly. Target-specific

intersectional retrograde tracings demonstrated that SNR-thalamic neurons mainly

target the brainstem center involved in locomotion, orienting responses, orofacial and

muscle tone control. In contrast, our study shows that the PRF/GlyT2+ cells target

brain regions involved in emotional and motivational behavior, arousal, and fear

(Figure S8), with the exception of ipsilateral Gi (see below). This suggests that the two

loops are likely involved in different behavioral aspects of turning/orienting behavior.

Behavioral effects

Inhibition of IL/Pf cells via the activation of thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+

neurons induced contralateral turning (Figure 7). This effect is consistent with the role

of IL/Pf in orienting behavior[25,26,44] particularly with its role in primarily ipsiversive

orientation[24]. However, the robust contralateral turning we observed here by activating

thalamus projecting axons in the IL/Pf suggests a direct motor effect via inhibition of

another brain center. Optogenetic activation of axons are known to propagate

antidromically and invade axon collaterals reaching other brain regions[45]. Examination

of the axonal arbor of thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells revealed only one output

station known to be involved directly in turning behavior, the Gi (Figures 8 and S8).

The presence of these descending collaterals confirmed earlier observations on a

PRF-Gi pattway[46,47]. Our study specifically demonstrated that while the entire

PRF/GlyT2+ cell population projects both to the ipsi- and contralateral Gi equally, the

thalamic projecting subpopulation exhibits a very strong bias toward the ipsilateral Gi.

Importantly, it is known that the inhibition of the reticulospinal, Chx10-expressing Gi

neurons results in contralateral turning whereas their activation has opposite

effect[20,21]. Thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ neurons have a dual, GABAergic,

glycinergic phenotype and, as we show here, they can potently inhibit their targets

(Figures 6 and S5). Based on these we propose here that the contralateral turning

after the activation of thalamic projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells arises primarily via the

inhibition of ipsilateral Gi through their descending collaterals. This is confirmed by the

fact that during contralateral turning, ipsilateral Gi cells are inhibited and display a

strong transient reduction in their activity[10]. Thus, based on our results, the

contralateral turning induced by activating thalamus-projecting inhibitory PRF/GlyT2+

cells is synergistic with the activation of excitatory PRF/vGLUT2+ cells[10]. In contrast

to thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells, PRF/vGLUT2+ cells project to the

contralateral Gi. Still their activation induce contralateral turning via the activation of

contralateral Chx10 expressing neurons (Fig 8 and [10]). Therefore, activation of PRF

can lead to contralateral turning both via a descending, ipsilateral, inhibitory pathway

and a descending, contralateral excitatory pathway to Gi.

As our data show, the activation of PRF may arise from the cortex and indeed

M2/Cg cortex has already been implicated in orchestrating orienting behavior [48–50].

In contrast to photoactivation of thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2 cells

contralateral turning could be elicited in only 4 out of the 7 animals following the

stimulation of PRF/GlyT2+ cell bodies. In 3 animals with PRF/GlyT2+ cell body

stimulation, ipsilateral, not contralateral rotation occurred suggesting a different

neuronal mechanism. Importantly, we did not find systematic differences in the

localization of the optic fibers inducing either ipsi- or contralateral rotation. In parallel

with these behavioral data, when we labeled the descending axons of the entire

PRF/GlyT2+ cell population to Gi we found no difference between the innervation of

ipsi- and contralateral sides. In contrast, there was a very strong ipsilateral bias when

we were labeling the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells (see above). This

suggests the existence of a sizable non-thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cell

population that innervates the contralateral side rather than the ipsilateral side.

Activating these cells would inhibit contralateral Gi, obviously leading to ipsilateral

turning. Thus, our data suggest that circuit elements responsible for ipsi- or

contralateral rotation in the PRF are intermingled. These results align well with recent

discoveries demonstrating the modularity or granularity of basal ganglia and brainstem

motor networks[2]. According to these findings, neuronal populations with specialized

functions in the brainstem can be located in close proximity and still coordinate

different types of motor program. Along these lines, it has been previously shown that

in the PRF, stimulation sites including unilateral or bilateral atonia via the spinal cord

are mixed in the PRF[19], indicating that granularity[2] may also apply to this region.

Open questions

Our study leaves several questions open for future investigations. First, many

cortical inputs were not in close apposition to PRF/GlyT2+ cells suggesting the

PRF/vGLUT2+ neurons might also be contacted. However, the direct synaptic input

and action of cortex on vGLUT2+ neurons remain to be established. Second, in

parallel with inhibitory PRF cells, vGLUT2+ PRF cells also provided input to the same

sector of IL/Pf (Figure S8). The role of this "push-pull" projection from PRF to the

thalamus requires further investigation. Finally, in contrast to the PRF/GlyT2+ labeling

that highlighted similar innervation of both sides of Gi equally, tracing PRF/vGAT+

cells revealed a strong ipsilateral bias in Gi (Figure 8D). Since all GlyT2+ cells are

vGAT+ as well[23] this finding suggests the presence of a sizable vGAT+/GlyT2- cell

population in the PRF that provides strong ipsilateral inhibition and thus can participate

in contralateral turning.

Conclusions

585   Our data show that cortical message arising from higher order motor cortices can be

586   processed parallelly by two distinct cortico-subcortical loops: the cortex-BG- thalamus

587   and the cortex-PRF-thalamus loops. The two systems have distinct output to the

588   brainstem still can have synergistic effects on turning behavior by targeting distinct

589   neuron populations in the PRF.

STAR Methods

Animal housing

All experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Ethical Codex, Hungarian Act of Animal Care and Experimentation (1998, XXVIII, section 243/1998) and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Research Network, Budapest and by the regulations of the European Union guidelines (directive 2010/63/EU). The experiments were performed by the National Animal Research Authorities of Hungary (PE/EA/877-7/2020). We used healthy, adult male mice (40-150 days) for the experiments. We used the following mice strains: GlyT2-Cre (Tg) - B6129F1 and Bl6Fx, Rbp4/cre//BAC_glyt2/GFP (TgTg) - C57Bl/6J, vGAT/t2A-Flpo//BAC-vglut2/icre (TmTg)-C57Bl/6J, BAC_glyt2/GFP -C57Bl/6J[29]. Mice were entrained in a 12-hour light/dark cycle with food and water available as *ad libitum*. We performed animal research according to the 3R principles.

Surgeries

Anesthesia

The surgeries were done for all experiments (anatomy, electrophysiology, optogenetics) based on the following protocol. As anesthetics, mice received an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine (111 mg/kg, Produlab Pharma, #07/01/2302) and xylazine (4.3 mg/kg, Produlab Pharma, #07/03/2303). For the maintenance of the anesthesia, intramuscular injection of ketamine/xylazine was given every 30-50 min during the experiments. The head of the animal was fixed in a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, California 91042, Model 900 Small Animal

Stereotaxic Instrument). In one experimental condition, we used urethane anesthesia

during IL/Pf in vivo juxtacellular recordings (0.12–0.15 g/100 g).

Virus injections and fiber optics implantations

For the anatomical investigations and *in vitro* and *in vivo* electrophysiological

experiments, all stereotaxic tracer injections were performed using a glass pipette

(intraMARK, 20-30-µm tip diameter, BLAUBRAND, injection flow: 25nl/min) connected

to a syringe and a stereotaxic micromanipulator (Kopf Instruments). After injection, the

capillary was left at the injection site for 5-10 min before slow withdrawal to allow

diffusion and minimize backflow. The mice were perfused or were used for

experiments following a 3–6-week survival time.

For the investigation of the cortico L5-PRF pathway, we used

Rbp4/cre//BAC_glyt2/GFP (TgTg) mouse line (altogether n=25 mice)(n=11 for the

anatomical investigation, n=7 for *in vitro* electrophysiology and n=7 for *in vivo*

electrophysiology)

For all (in vivo and in vitro) electrophysiology and nine of the anatomical experiments

the   following   virus   injection   design   was   used.   We   injected

AAV5.EF1.dflox.hChR2(H134R)-mCherry.WPRE.hGH (based on Addgene plasmid

#20297, UNC Vector Core) cre-dependent virus into the frontal cortex, M2/Cg (AP:

Br.1 mm and 2 mm; ML: Br.0.5 mm; DV: 0.7 mm; bilaterally into 2-2 AP position, 80 nl

each, 4 injection/mouse). For *in vivo* electrophysiology L5 photoactivation, the optic

fibers (100 µm, 0.22 NA) were positioned above the virus injection site (M2/Cg).

For labeling the axon collaterals of PF targeting L5 neurons we used two types of virus

injection design (n=4), where in two caseswe injected AAVrg-EF1a-DIO-FlpO-WPRE-

HGHpA (Addgene #87306-AAVrg) virus into the Pf unilaterally (AP: -2, ML: 0,5, DV:

3; 30 nl) . After three weeks we injected AAV2/1-EF1a-fDIO-mCherry (Addgene

#114471-AAV2) virus into the M2/Cg (AP: Br.1 mm and 2 mm; ML: Br.0.5 mm; DV:

0.7 mm; unilaterally into 2 AP position, 80 nl each, 2 injection/mouse).

The other two cases we used BAC_glyt2/gfp mouse line (n=2) and we injected AAVrg-

EF1a-Cre (Addgene # 55636-AAVrg) into the Pf unilaterally (AP: -2, ML: 0,5, DV: 3;

30 nl). We waited three weeks and injected AAV5.EF1.dflox.hChR2(H134R)-

mCherry.WPRE.hGH (based on Addgene plasmid #20297, UNC Vector Core) into the

M2 (AP: Br.1 mm and 2 mm; ML: Br.0.5 mm; DV: 0.7 mm; unilaterally into 2 AP

position, 80 nl each, 2 injection/mouse).

The last experimental design of the cortico L5-PRF pathway's investigation was

labeling M2 axons on Pf-projecting PRF/GlyT+ neurons in GlyT2-Cre mice (n=4). We

injected AAV5-CAMKIIa-CHR2(H134R)-EYFP (Addgene #26969-AAV5) into the M2

(AP: Br.1 mm and 2 mm; ML: Br.0.5 mm; DV: 0.7 mm; unilaterally into 2 AP position,

80 nl each, 2 injection/mouse) and AAVrg-hSyn-DIO-mCherry (Addgene #50459-

AAVrg) into Pf unilaterally (AP: -2, ML: 0,5, DV: 3; 30 nl).

For the investigation of the PRF/GlyT2+-thalamic pathways altogether n=32 adult

GlyT2-Cre male mice were used including the in vivo optogenetic behavioral

(n=17),electrophysiology (juxtacellular recording, n=13) experiments and anatomical

investigation (n=3). For optogenetic activation of PRF/GlyT2+ cells, we injected

AAV5.EF1a.DIO.hChR2(H134R)-eYFP.WPRE.hGH (based on Addgene plasmid

#20298, UNC Vector Core).For optogenetic activation of PRF/GlyT2+ fibers in the

IL/Pf we did the same injections but bilaterally. For optogenetic inhibiton of the

PRF/GlyT2+ somata, we injected AAV5-Ef1a-DIO eNpHR 3.0-EYFP (Addgene

#26966-AAV5) into PRF bilaterally (AP:-4.4, ML:0.8, DV: 4.2, 100-100 nl). In the

control experiment we injected AAV5.EF1a.DIO.eYFP.WPRE.hGH (based on

Addgene plasmid #27056, Penn Core) virus into the PRF unilaterally (AP:-4.4, ML:0.8,

DV: 4.2, 100 nl).

After virus injection the optic fibers (Thorlabs, FG105UCA, Ø105 µm core, 0.22 NA)

were implanted into the PRF (n=15 optic fiber in n=11 mice, AP:-4.4, ML:0.8, DV: 4.2)

or IL/PF (n=7 optic fiber in n=2 mice, AP:-1.9 or 2.3 mm; ML: -0.8 mm; DV: -2.5 or -

2.8 mm). Mice recovered from surgery and the viruses could transfect the PRF/GlyT2+

cells for 3 weeks before behavioral testing or juxtacellular recording. The optic fibers

were labeled with DiI stain (1,1'-Dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-Tetramethylindocarbocyanine

Perchlorate ('DiI'; DiIC18(3); ThermoFisher Scientific, #D282).

For further investigation of the PRF/GlyT2+-thalamic pathways, we labeled the axon

arbors of PF targeting PRF/GlyT2+ cells (n=3 in GlyT2-Cre mice). We injected AAVrg-

EF1a-DIO-FlpO-WPRE-HGHpA (Addgene #87306-AAVrg) virus into the Pf

unilaterally (AP: -2, ML: 0,5, DV: 3; 30 nl). After three weeks we injected AAV1-EF1a-

fDIO-EYFP (Addgene #55641-AAV1) or AAV1-Ef1a-fDIO mCherry (Addgene

#114471-AAV1) into PRF unilaterally (AP:-4.4, ML:0.8, DV: 4.2, 100 nl).

For examining PRF/vGluT2+ and PRF/vGAT+ projections in the Gi we used

vGAT/t2A-Flpo//BAC-vglut2/icre mice (n=3). We injected mixed AAV5-hSyn-DIO-

mCherry (Addgene #50459-AAV5) and AAVDJ-EF1a-fDIO-EYFP-WPRE viruses

(based on Addgene plasmid #55641, UNC Vector Core) into the PRF unilaterally (AP:-

4.4, ML:0.8, DV: 4.2, 100 nl; 1:1 ratio of the viruses).

Histology

Perfusion and tissue fixation

Perfusions were performed under deep anesthesia (sodium pentobarbital 60 mg/kg). Using saline and a fixative solution (0.1% glutaraldehyde (wt/vol) (Electron Microscopy Sciences, #16210) and 4% paraformaldehyde (wt/vol) (TAAB Laboratory, #P001) in 0.1 PB. A peristaltic pump perfused the solutions through the ascending aorta. After the fixation, the brain was sectioned using a vibratome (50 μm coronal slices).

Immunohistochemistry

For all immunostaining methods, we used TBS buffer. The sections were incubated in sucrose solutions for cryoprotection and were frozen above liquid nitrogen to help the penetration of the antibodies, followed by BSA treatment (4% 40 min). To visualize the L5 fibers (ChR2-mCherry viral tracer) we used a rabbit anti-mCherry primary antibody (1:4000 or 1:1000, BioVision, Inc., 23 California 95035, #5993-100, overnight). To label GlyT2+ cells and fibers in the PRF and in the IL/Pf (in the case of eYFP containing viral tracers or in the Rbp4/cre//BAC_glyt2/GFP mouse line), the sections were treated with chicken anti-eGFP primary antibody (1:15000 or 1:1000, ThermoFisher, #A10262).

For light and electron microscopic analysis when we visualized the L5 fibers in the brainstem after the anti-mCherry primary antibody we used anti-rabbit ImmPRESS (1:2, Vector Laboratories Burlingame, Ca 94010) and DAB-Ni/DAB (DAB-Ni: Nickel-intensified 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (bluish-black reaction product), DAB: 3,3′-diaminobenzidine, Sigma-Aldrich, #D5637, brown reaction product) as a chromogen. In the other case, after the anti-eGFP primary antibody (1:15000, ThermoFisher,

#A10262) we used ABC (avidin biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex, 1:300,

Vector Laboratories, #PK-4000) and DAB as a chromogen.

For fluorescent and confocal microscopic investigations of L5 fibers, after the anti-

mCherry primary antibody, we used Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary

antibody (1:500, Jackson Immunoresearch, RRID: AB_2313593; Code: 111-167-003)

or Cy3-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (1:500, Jackson Immunoresearch, RRID:

AB_2307443; Code: 711-165-152). In the case of the anti-eGFP primary antibody, we

used the anti-chicken-Alexa488 secondary antibody (1:500, ThermoFisher, #A-11039)

or Alexa 488 donkey anti-chicken secondary antibody (1:500, Jackson

Immunoresearch, RRID: AB_2340375; Code: 703-545-155) to investigate

GlyT2//eGFP components.

After juxtacellular recordings neurobiotin was visualized with Cy3-conjugated

streptavidin (1:2000, Jackson Immunoresearch RRID: AB_2337244; Code: 016-160-

084) in the PRF or in the IL/Pf. The GlyT2+ neurons/fibers and the cortical axons were

visualized using the same histology mentioned above so we developed DAB-Ni/DAB

or fluorescent immunostaining respectively.

To localize the fiber optics and juxtacellularly labeled cells, check virus injections, and

analyze the fibers in the PRF, all micrographs were taken with OLYMPUS BX61,

FLUOVIEW FV1000 confocal microscopy (software: Olympus Fluoview 1.6) or with

ZEISS AxioPlan2 fluorescent microscopy with OLYMPUS DP70 camera (software:

Olympus DPController 1.2.1.108) or Zeiss Axio Imager M1 microscope coupled to an

AxioCam HrC digital camera.

Fluorescent microscopy

To verify virus expression and optic fiber placement, fluorescent images were captured

using a Panoramic Digital Slide Scanner (Zeiss, Plan-Apochromat 10X/NA 0.45, xy:

0.65 µm/pixel, Panoramic MIDI II; 3DHISTECH, Budapest, Hungary). Every sixth

section was stained and analyzed for each animal. Axonal projections in the Gi

originating from different cell populations in the PRF were quantified by delineating

regions of interest (ROIs) in the Gi (ipsilateral and contralateral, each 0.25 mm²) based

on neuroanatomical landmarks identified using Hoechst counterstaining on

fluorescent images, which were delineated with SlideViewer software (3DHISTECH).

Axonal density analysis

For intensity density analysis, FIJI was used to split the color channels of each image

into red, green, and blue. The relevant channel (red for RFP, green for eGFP) was

converted to grayscale. Background noise was removed, and the 8-bit images were

then converted to binary with a consistent threshold for the reporter protein (RFP or

eGFP). This allowed for precise calculation of axonal density within the selected

regions. Axonal density in each image was calculated and expressed as the mean

area percentage. To compare the ipsilateral and contralateral axonal densities

statistically, the data were normalized to the ipsilateral side.

Density mapping analysis

Following fluorescent immunostaining, we investigated the density of L5 fibers in the

PRF. A map based on fiber density was created by FIJI software16[62]. A high-

magnification confocal micrograph was taken about PRF/GlyT2+ cells and M2/Cg L5

fibers (20x, NA: 0.75). The pictures were converted to 8-bit in depth then the brightest

pixels of single optical slices of the original image stacks were projected into one plane

to depict all of the labeled bright structures. These maximum intensity projections were

smoothed by a Gauss filter with a radius of 40 pixels then the pixels were ordered

according to their intensity values in 8 categories. The result is a pseudocolored, semi-

quantitative map of the fiber density that enables the localization of the highest fiber

density areas in a given image.

Confocal microscopy

Confocal images of the M2/Cg1, IL/Pf, and PRF regions were captured using a Nikon

C2 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope. The imaging was performed with a 4x Plan

Fluor objective (NA 0.13, xy resolution 2 µm/pixel), a 10x Plan Fluor objective (NA

0.13, xy resolution 0.63 µm/pixel, z-step size 2 µm), and a 20x CFI Plan Apo VC

objective (NA 0.75, xy resolution 0.32 µm/pixel, z-step size 1 µm, Nikon Europe).

Confocal microscopy was used to analyze the spatial relationship between M2/Cg1

axonal boutons and labelled dendritic segments in the PRF. eYFP fluorescence was

amplified using anti-GFP labelling, and for close apposition analysis, RFP

fluorescence was amplified with anti-RFP labelling. To assess close appositions

between axonal boutons and dendritic segments in the PRF, high-resolution z-stack

images (Nikon CFI Plan Apo VC60X/NA 1.40 Oil objective, 0.09 µm/pixel, z-step size

0.3 µm) were taken from 5 µm of the slice. Confocal images were acquired with

consistent settings for pinhole size, gain level, axial section depth, and laser intensity

across all PRF slices. Close appositions, defined as potential synaptic contacts

between labeled axonal boutons and dendritic segments, were manually quantified

using NIS Elements Software. The analysis focused on identifying and counting

instances where eYFP- or RFP-labeled boutons were in close proximity to EGFP- or

RFP-labeled dendritic segments, indicating possible synaptic connections.

Electron microscopy

To analyze the M2/Cg terminals' ultrastructure, we performed immunostaining for the

ChR2-mCherry viral tracer (DAB, as described above). To confirm the innervation of

PRF/GlyT2+ neurons from M2/Cg, we performed double immunostaining for the

ChR2-mCherry viral tracer (DAB-Ni) and GlyT2+//eGFP (DAB) and processed the

material for EM. In our hands, the modified DAB-Ni precipitate in the axon terminals

could be unambiguously differentiated from the end product of marble-like DAB.

After double immunohistochemistry of L5 terminals and PRF/GlyT2+ cells the sections

were treated with OsO_4 (0.5%, vol/vol, with 7% sucrose for 40 min in 0.1 M PBS),

followed by dehydration, sequential incubation in ethanol, uranile acetate, and

acetonitrile for ultrastructural analysis. The dehydrated sections were embedded in

Durcupan (Sigma Aldricht, #44610Aldrich). Blocks containing PRF were re-embedded

and sectioned to ultrathin sections (60 nm thick) with an Ultramicrotome (EM UC6,

Leica Biosystems). The dendrites and terminals (area, diameter) were measured in

three non-consecutive sections in FIJI software[62].

The sections were examined using a HITACHI 7100 electron microscope; the electron

micrographs were taken with a Megaview digital camera.

In vitro electrophysiology

The *in vitro* electrophysiological experiments of Figure2 were performed on coronal

slices from the brains of PRF of adult mice (more than 3 months old), see the virus

injection method in the previous paragraph.

Slices were prepared for a minimum of 4 weeks following surgery. Briefly, mice were

anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital, then perfused through

the ascending aorta with cold (2°-5°C) bicarbonate-buffered saline (BBS) containing

(in mM): 115 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.6 $CaCl_2$, 1.5 $MgCl_2$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 26 $NaHCO_3$ and 30

glucose. Mice were then decapitated, and the portion of the brain containing the PRF

was extracted and placed in BBS at 2°-5°C for a few minutes. Slices (300 μm) were

then cut with a ceramic blade on a 7000smz-2 vibratome (Campden). The slicing

procedure was performed in an ice-cold solution containing (in mM): 130 potassium

gluconate, 15 KCl, 0.05 EGTA, 20 Hepes, 25 glucose, 1 $CaCl_2$ and 6 $MgCl_2$

supplemented with D-APV (50 μM). Slices were then transferred for a few minutes to

a solution containing (in mM): 225 d-mannitol, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 25 $NaHCO_3$,

25 glucose, 1 $CaCl_2$ and 6 $MgCl_2$, and finally stored for the rest of the experimental

day at 32°-34° C in oxygenated BBS (pH 7.4 after equilibration with 95 % O_2 and 5 %

CO_2). For all recordings, slices were continuously superfused at 32°–34° C with

oxygenated BBS.

We recorded GFP-positive neurons from the pontine reticular formation (PRF; [29]). PRF

identification was facilitated by the presence of the red fluorescent plexus originating

from the cortical viral injections, observed via a 530 nm green LED (Thorlabs). Cells

were visualized with a combination of infrared Dodt contrast and an on-line video

contrast enhancement, using a CoolSnap HQ2 CCD camera (Photometrics) run by

MetaMorph (Universal Imaging). Fluorescent glycinergic neurons were identified using

a 470 nm-wavelength blue LED (Thorlabs). Both 470 nm and 530 nm LEDs were

coupled to the slice chamber via the epifluorescence pathway of the microscope.

Whole-cell, voltage clamp recordings were performed with an EPC-10 double amplifier

(Heka Elektronik) run by PatchMaster software (Heka). Patch pipettes (resistance 2-3

MΩ) were filled with an intracellular solution containing (mM): 110 $CsMeSO_3$ (Sigma),

4.6 MgCl$_2$, 10 HEPES, 10 K$_2$-creatine phosphate (Calbiochem), 10 TEA-Cl (Sigma),

0.05 4-AP (Sigma), 4 Na$_2$-ATP, 0.4 Na$_2$-GTP, 1 QX-314 (Tocris), pH 7.35 with CsOH

(~300 mOsm). Series resistance was partially compensated (max 65%), whereas

liquid junction potentials were not corrected. Stimulation train recordings were

performed at a holding potential of -60 mV. The AMPA and NMDA components of the

EPSCs were examined at both -60 mV, and +50 mV. The brief (2–3 ms long) flashes

of blue light used to evoke synaptic responses were provided by triggering the 470 nm

LED. TEA-Cl (500 µM) and 4-AP (50 µM) were added to the perfusing BBS when the

AMPA and NMDA components were investigated. This procedure was necessary both

for increasing synaptic release probability, and for reducing the sizeable current leaks

developing at +50 mV in control recording conditions, thus decisively improving EPSC

detection.

In vivo electrophysiology

Cortical activation and LFP recording

For in vivo investigation of the L5-PRF pathway we activated the M2/Cg L5 cells

optogenetically (see above the surgery details in Surgeriess n=7) and electrically (in

BAC_Glyt2/GFP mice, n=3). The laser beam was generated by a 473 nm laser

(Thorlabs lasers). The laser pulses were 5 ms long, 10 mW intensity, and were

delivered at 1,10 and 20 Hz frequencies (n=50 stimulus in 5 trains). When we applied

electrical stimulation (1-2 µA) we used a bipolar stimulating electrode on the surface

of the cortex (M2/Cg, same position described above).

To record cortical field potentials, bipolar LFP electrodes (FHC, resistance ~1 MΩ)

were inserted into the frontal cortex of mice (Bregma 1.7 mm; lateral -0.8 mm). The

recorded signal was amplified, band-pass filtered from 0.16 Hz to 5 kHz for LFP

recordings and from 100 Hz to 5 kHz to record the multiunit activity (Supertech

BioAmp, Supertech, Pécs, Hungary) and digitized at 20 kHz (micro 1401 MkII, CED,

Cambridge, UK).

Juxtacellular recording

PRF and IL/Pf single unit activity was recorded via glass microelectrodes (in vivo

impedance of 20-40 MΩ) made from borosilicate glass capillaries (1.5 mm outer

diameter, 0.75 or 0.86 inner diameters, Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA, USA or

WPI Inc. Sarasota, Fl, USA). Electrodes were positioned into the PRF (Bregma -4.4

mm; lateral -0.8 to -1 mm; ventral from cortical surface -3.8 to -4.8 mm) or IL/Pf

(Bregma -1.9 to 2.3 mm; lateral -0.8 mm; ventral from cortical surface -2.5 to -2.8 mm)

(using a piezoelectric microdrive (Burleigh 6000 ULN or ISS 8200, EXFO, Quebec

City, Quebec, Canada). Neuronal signals were amplified by a DC amplifier (Axoclamp

2B, Axon Instruments/Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), further amplified, and

filtered between 0.16 Hz and 5 kHz by a signal conditioner (LinearAmp, Supertech)

and recorded by Spike2 5.0 (CED). The electrodes were filled with 0.5 M K+- acetate

and 2% neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA, #SP-1120).

Juxtacellular labeling of the recorded neurons was done by filling the neurons with

neurobiotin, as described in[37] . After the experiments, the animal was perfused, and

coronal sections were cut from the whole brain (3.1. Perfusion and tissue fixation). To

visualize neurons filled with neurobiotin and to determine their GlyT2 content (in the

PRF) or whether it is surrounded by PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (in IL/Pf), we treated the

sections as described in 3.2. Immunohistochemistry. The GlyT2::eGFP positivity was

determined by confocal microscopy.

Cortical spreading depression

The local application of 1M potassium chloride (KCl) or tetrodotoxin (sodium channel

inhibitor) induced CSD. The onset of cortical activity suppression happened after a few

minutes and lasted for 15-20 minutes. To facilitate the normalization of cortical activity

the cortical surface was rinsed with saline several times.

Janelia Mouse Light Neuron Browser

We analyzed single-cell reconstruction data of the Mouse Light Neuron Browser[40]

(https://www.janelia.org/open-science/mouselight-neuronbrowser) by identifying PRF

projecting M2/Cg L5 neurons, we selected neurons that have their soma in M2 or Cg

area and projected to the PRF. In the browser, we could set the threshold to the axonal

projection, called the in the browser "axonal endpoint". We used 4 thresholds: >=10,

5-10, 2-5, or 1. We always precisely checked the anatomical region to uniform

terminology that we used during our research (Paxinos atlas vs Neuron Browser).

Behavior

Experimental protocol

After virus injection and optic fiber implantation (see above) the experimental protocol

included handling (2 weeks), habituation (3 days), and the optogenetic experiment.

The handling period started 1 week after the surgery. During the habituation, we

placed the mouse in the experimental box and connected their optic fiber to a fiber

optic patchcord (Thorlabs) and the patchcord cable (Thorlabs). The mice moved freely

in the experimental box and got used to the new environment and the weight of the

cable and the mouse was allowed to move passively. During the experiment phase,

we photoactivated or inhibited the transfected PRF/GlyT2+ cells or their fibers in IL/Pf.

The photoactivation was generated by a 473 nm DPSS laser (LRS-0473-PFM-00050-

03, Laserglow Technologies, Toronto, Canada). The photoinhibition was generated by

589 nm DPSS laser (LRS-0589-GFF-00100-05, Laserglow Technologies, Toronto,

Canada) The photostimulation trains were 10 s long, 5 ms light pulses at 40 Hz in PRF

every 1 minute in 4 laser intensities (1, 5, 10, 15 mW). The photoinhibiton trains were

10s long, and continuous stimulation. We stimulated every condition (every optic fiber)

20 times (5-5-5-5 stimulation by intensities), and the experiment took about 30 min.

During IL/Pf stimulation we used 30 Hz After the experiments, we perfused the animals

and identified the location of the virus injection and the optic fibers post hoc (see

Histology).

Analysis

In vitro analysis

Sampling frequency was 40 kHz. Data was filtered at 3 kHz. All data were analyzed

using routines developed in-house with Igor (Wavemetrics). Statistical comparisons

were performed using either the Mann-Whitney test for unpaired values, or the

Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test for paired sets of data, as indicated in the text. Statistical

significance was set at 0.05. Results are given as mean ± s.e.m.

Analysis for juxtacellular recordings

All statistical analysis was performed in R Statistical Computing Software (R Core

Team (2021). (R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/).

PFC photoactivation

To evaluate the effect of photoactivation the first APs following each stimulus were

detected in a 40 ms window. They were distributed around ~10 ms peak with variable

spread. Only APs in the [25th percentile - 1.5*IQR, 75th percentile + 1.5*IQR] & AP

time > 0 ms range were considered evoked response. APs occurring outside of this

range were considered baseline firing activity and were excluded from further analysis.

Response probabilities were calculated as the number of evoked APs/number of

stimuli*100 and plotted alongside the median latency values.

Spontaneous frontal cortical activity changes

To determine synchronous and desynchronous periods the raw LFP signal was

filtered, down-sampled, and standardized. The power spectral density of each LFP

signal was calculated, and the dominant frequency was determined. To find the

synchronous and desynchronous periods of the cortical LFP signal, the standard

deviation of the signal amplitude was calculated in overlapping sliding windows. The

size of the sliding window was equal to the length of one cycle, and the overlap was

half a cycle. The threshold for synchronous and desynchronous periods was placed

halfway between the median and the 25th percentile.

The number of clusters was calculated in the synchronous and desynchronous periods

and was statistically compared using the Wilcoxon test. Action potential clusters were

determined by separating the two peaks at the minimum bimodal inter-spike interval

histograms (ISI). The number of bins of the ISI was determined by the Freedman-

Diaconis rule for optimal bin width; the window size was equal to the length of one

cycle of the slow cortical oscillation. The mean cluster rate per LFP state was

calculated by dividing the number of clusters by the length of the corresponding LFP

states in every recording.

The mean firing rate (MFR) was calculated by dividing the sum number of action

potentials divided by the sum lengths of the corresponding LFP states in every

recording.

Thalamocortical neuronal activity

MFR before, during, and after stimuli were calculated as the sum number of APs

divided by the sum length of each epoch. Based on the efficacy of the photo-

stimulation the cells were divided into *weak* and *strong inhibition* categories. If the ratio

of the number of APs +1s after and -1s before the stimulus was larger than 0.75

(number of spikes only dropped a little shortly after stimulus onset) the cell was

categorized as *weak*ly inhibited, if it was smaller than 0.75 (big drop of spike numbers

shortly after stimulus onset) the cell was categorized as *strong*ly inhibited.

Video analysis for behavior

To analyze the movements during the experiment we used Simple Mouse Tracker

(SMT) downloaded from GitHub. SMT is a video tracker software based on Open CV

that runs on any platform that supports Python. It is designed to track the body, head,

and tail coordinates (X, Y) of a single-colour mouse over a homogeneous color

background. https://github.com/joseaccruz/SimpleMouseTracker

After cleaning the tracking data (removing noise, outliers, and missing data) the

before-stimulus speed and rotation of the animals were compared to the during-

stimulus and -in the rotation experiments- to the after-stimulus periods.

To get the speed of the animals the Euclidean distance of the center (body) points

across every 5th frame was calculated and converted to mm (scaling factor: 1.6

mm/pixel). To get the rotation of the animal the signed angle of the body-head vector

was calculated across every frame and the mean rotation angles across frames were

plotted.

For traveled distance plots every 5th frame (data point) was used (25 fps, scale: 1.6

pixel/mm). We calculated distances and average speed (mm/sec) across every 5th

frame. Travelled distance (mm/sec) is averaged through the last second of the "before

stimulus" category and the first second of the stimulus and the last 9 seconds of the

stimulus. Travelled distance "after stimulus" is not included.

For rotation, we plotted every frame (data point). To show the animal's movement

trajectory during stimuli the head-center-tail body points were plotted, separately in

non-stimulated and stimulated periods. We calculated vectors (based on head-body

X-Y coordination) and measured the mean angle changes of every vector. After that,

we multiplied that number with fps values and divided that number by 360 to get which

is the mean rotation angle during 1 sec relative to one whole circle. That value was

multiplied by 100 to obtain the percentage of rotation within 1 sec in relation to a

complete circle. The global angle threshold used in this file is 90. Grater rotation angles

between consecutive frames are replaced by zero.

Statistics

In all behavioral and electrophysiological experiments, mice were randomly assigned

to groups. Data points from animals with misplaced viral infections were excluded from

analysis. The number of animals used in each experiment is specified in the respective

figure legends. All data were checked for normality, and outliers were defined as

values outside the range of Q1 - 3*IQR and Q3* + 3IQR. All statistical analyses were

performed using R Statistical Environment, Jamovi v 2.328, and Prism 8 software

(GraphPad Software). For the juxtacellular analysis, the following statistical methods

were applied: Mood's median test (two-sided), Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test (one-sided

and two-sided, depending on the measure), and the Mann-Whitney test (two-sided).

In vitro analysis utilized the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test. Behavioral data were

analyzed using Friedman ANOVA with the Durbin-Conover post-hoc test, Wilcoxon

Signed-Rank Test (one-sided), and the Mann-Whitney test (two-sided). Ipsilateral and

contralateral axonal projection density was analyzed using a two-sided paired t-test.

Data Availability

All relevant data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article

and its supplementary materials. Additionally, source data and codes will be deposited

in an appropriate public structured data repositories to ensure accessibility and future

use.

Full access to the data will be granted upon publication to enable comprehensive

assessment and validation of our results. In compliance with our commitment to open

science, we are prepared to provide full access to the data, should it be required for

the peer review.

1003

Acknowledgement

We thank the Light Microscopy Center, the Electron Microscopy Center and the Virus

Technology Unit at the Institute of Experimental Medicine for providing support for the

experiments. Authors would like to thank Krisztina Faddi for their excellent technical

assistance and to Csaba Dávid for his help in quantifying the cortical input density in

PRF.

1010

Funding

ERC Advanced Grant FRONTHAL, 742595 (LA)

European Union project within the framework of the Artificial Intelligence National

Laboratory RRF-2.3.1-21-2022-00004 (LA)

Hungarian Academy of Sciences – "Lendület" Program LP2023-2/2023 (LA)

Author contributions

Conceptualization: EB, VP, LB, LA

Methodology: EB, VP, MAD, LB, KK, LA

Investigation: EB, VP, MAD, LB, KK

Visualization: EB, VP, LB

Funding acquisition: MAD, LA

Project administration: LA

Supervision: LA

Writing – original draft: EB, MAD, VP, LB, LA

1025

References

1. Leiras, R., Cregg, J.M., and Kiehn, O. (2022). Brainstem Circuits for Locomotion. Annu Rev Neurosci *45*, 63–85. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-NEURO-082321-025137.

2. Arber, S., and Costa, R.M. (2022). Networking brainstem and basal ganglia circuits for movement. Nature Reviews Neuroscience 2022 23:6 *23*, 342–360. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-022-00581-w.

3. Smith, Y., Raju, D. V., Pare, J.F., and Sidibe, M. (2004). The thalamostriatal system: A highly specific network of the basal ganglia circuitry. Preprint at Trends Neurosci, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.07.004.

4. Cui, G., Jun, S.B., Jin, X., Pham, M.D., Vogel, S.S., Lovinger, D.M., and Costa, R.M. (2013). Concurrent activation of striatal direct and indirect pathways during action initiation. Nature *494*, 238–242. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11846.

5. Díaz-Hernández, E., Contreras-López, R., Sánchez-Fuentes, A., Rodríguez-Sibrían, L., Ramírez-Jarquín, J.O., and Tecuapetla, F. (2018). The Thalamostriatal Projections Contribute to the Initiation and Execution of a Sequence of Movements. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.09.052.

6. Foster, N.N., Barry, J., Korobkova, L., Garcia, L., Gao, L., Becerra, M., Sherafat, Y., Peng, B., Li, X., Choi, J.H., et al. (2021). The mouse cortico–basal ganglia–thalamic network. Nature *598*, 188. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41586-021-03993-3.

7.   Hintiryan, H., Foster, N.N., Bowman, I., Bay, M., Song, M.Y., Gou, L.,
Yamashita, S., Bienkowski, M.S., Zingg, B., Zhu, M., et al. (2016). The mouse
cortico-striatal projectome. Nature Neuroscience 2016 19:8 *19*, 1100–1114.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4332.

8.   Alexander, G.E., DeLong, M.R., and Strick, P.L. (2003). Parallel Organization of
Functionally Segregated Circuits Linking Basal Ganglia and Cortex.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.09.030186.002041 *VOL. 9*, 357–381.
https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.NE.09.030186.002041.

9.   McElvain, L.E., Chen, Y., Moore, J.D., Brigidi, G.S., Bloodgood, B.L., Lim, B.K.,
Costa, R.M., and Kleinfeld, D. (2021). Specific populations of basal ganglia
output neurons target distinct brain stem areas while collateralizing throughout
the diencephalon. Neuron *109*, 1721-1738.e4.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2021.03.017.

10.   Cregg, J.M., Sidhu, S.K., Leiras, R., and Kiehn, O. (2024). Basal ganglia–spinal
cord pathway that commands locomotor gait asymmetries in mice. Nature
Neuroscience 2024 27:4 *27*, 716–727. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-024-
01569-8.

11.   Acsády, L. (2023). Heterogeneity of Thalamic Input Landscapes. The Cerebral
Cortex and Thalamus, 32–44.
https://doi.org/10.1093/MED/9780197676158.003.0004.

12.   Jaramillo, J., and Guo, Z. V. (2023). Thalamocortical Contributions to Neural
Dynamics and Behavior. The Cerebral Cortex and Thalamus, 367–380.
https://doi.org/10.1093/MED/9780197676158.003.0035.

13.   McNaughton, N., and Vann, S.D. (2022). Construction of complex memories via

1072   parallel distributed cortical-subcortical iterative integration. Trends Neurosci *45*,

1073   550. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TINS.2022.04.006.

1074   14.   Berendse, H.W., and Groenewegen, H.J. (1990). Organization of the

1075   thalamostriatal projections in the rat, with special emphasis on the ventral

1076   striatum.   J   Comp   Neurol   *299*,   187–228.

1077   https://doi.org/10.1002/CNE.902990206.

1078   15.   Pare, D., and Smith, Y. (1996). Thalamic Collaterals of Corticostriatal Axons:

1079   Their Termination Field and Synaptic Targets in Cats. J Comp Neurol, 372–551.

1080   https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960902)372:4.

1081   16.   Levesque, M., Gagnon, S., Parent, A., and Deschenes (1996). Axonal

1082   arborizations of corticostriatal and corticothalamic fibers arising from the second

1083   somatosensory area in the rat. Cereb Cortex *6*, 759-70.

1084   17.   Economo, M.N., Viswanathan, S., Tasic, B., Bas, E., Winnubst, J., Menon, V.,

1085   Graybuck, L.T., Nguyen, T.N., Smith, K.A., Yao, Z., et al. (2018). Distinct

1086   descending motor cortex pathways and their roles in movement. Nature *563*,

1087   79–84. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0642-9.

1088   18.   Mileykovskiy, B.Y., Kiyashchenko, L.I., Kodama, T., Lai, Y.Y., and Siegel, J.M.

1089   (2000). Activation of Pontine and Medullary Motor Inhibitory Regions Reduces

1090   Discharge in Neurons Located in the Locus Coeruleus and the Anatomical

1091   Equivalent of the Midbrain Locomotor Region. Journal of Neuroscience *20*,

1092   8551–8558. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-22-08551.2000.

19. Hajnik, T., Lai, Y.Y., and Siegel, J.M. (2000). Atonia-Related Regions in the
Rodent   Pons   and   Medulla.   J   Neurophysiol   *84*,   1942.
https://doi.org/10.1152/JN.2000.84.4.1942.

20. Goñi-Erro, H., Selvan, R., Caggiano, V., Leiras, R., and Kiehn, O. (2023).
Pedunculopontine Chx10+ neurons control global motor arrest in mice. Nature
Neuroscience 2023, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01396-3.

21. Cregg, J.M., Leiras, R., Montalant, A., Wanken, P., Wickersham, I.R., and Kiehn,
O. (2020). Brainstem   neurons   that   command   mammalian   locomotor
asymmetries. Nat Neurosci *23*, 730–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41593-020-
0633-7.

22. Zeilhofer, H.U., Studler, B., Arabadzisz, D., Schweizer, C., Ahmadi, S., Layh, B.,
Bösl, M.R., and Fritschy, J.M. (2005). Glycinergic neurons expressing enhanced
green fluorescent protein in bacterial artificial chromosome transgenic mice. J
Comp Neurol *482*, 123–141. https://doi.org/10.1002/CNE.20349.

23. Giber, K., Diana, M.A., M Plattner, V., Dugué, G.P., Bokor, H., Rousseau, C. V,
Maglóczky, Z., Havas, L., Hangya, B., Wildner, H., et al. (2015). A subcortical
inhibitory signal for behavioral arrest in the thalamus. Nat Neurosci *18*, 562–568.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3951.

24. Fallon, I.P., Hughes, R.N., Severino, F.P.U., Kim, N., Lawry, C.M., Watson,
G.D.R., Roshchina, M., and Yin, H.H. (2023). The role of the parafascicular
thalamic nucleus in action initiation and steering. Current Biology *33*, 2941-
2951.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.06.025.

25.   Matsumoto, N., Minamimoto, T., Graybiel, A.M., and Kimura, M. (2001).
Neurons in the thalamic CM-Pf complex supply striatal neurons with information
about behaviorally significant sensory events. J Neurophysiol *85*, 960–976.
https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.2001.85.2.960.

26.   Minamimoto, T., and Kimura, M. (2002). Participation of the thalamic CM-Pf
complex in attentional orienting. J Neurophysiol *87*, 3090–3101.
https://doi.org/10.1152/JN.2002.87.6.3090.

27.   Halassa, M.M., and Acsády, L. (2016). Thalamic Inhibition: Diverse Sources,
Diverse Scales. Trends Neurosci *39*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2016.08.001.

28.   Gonzalo-Martín, E., Alonso-Martínez, C., Sepúlveda, L.P., and Clasca, F.
(2023). Micropopulation mapping of the mouse parafascicular nucleus
connections reveals diverse input–output motifs. Front Neuroanat *17*, 1305500.
https://doi.org/10.3389/FNANA.2023.1305500/BIBTEX.

29.   Van der Werf, Y.D., Witter, M.P., and Groenewegen, H.J. (2002). The
intralaminar and midline nuclei of the thalamus. Anatomical and functional
evidence for participation in processes of arousal and awareness. Brain Res
Brain Res Rev *39*, 107–140.

30.   Harris, J.A., Mihalas, S., Hirokawa, K.E., Whitesell, J.D., Choi, H., Bernard, A.,
Bohn, P., Caldejon, S., Casal, L., Cho, A., et al. (2019). Hierarchical organization
of cortical and thalamic connectivity. Nature *575*, 195–202.
https://doi.org/10.1038/S41586-019-1716-Z.

31.   Pinault, D. (1996). A novel single-cell staining procedure performed in vivo under
electrophysiological control: Morpho-functional features of juxtacellularly labeled

thalamic cells and other central neurons with biocytin or Neurobiotin. J Neurosci

Methods *65*, 113–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0270(95)00144-1.

32.   Groh, A., Bokor, H., Mease, R.A., Plattner, V.M., Hangya, B., Stroh, A.,

Deschenes, M., and Acsády, L. (2014). Convergence of cortical and sensory

driver inputs on single thalamocortical cells. Cereb Cortex *24*, 3167–3179.

https://doi.org/10.1093/CERCOR/BHT173.

33.   Economo, M.N., Clack, N.G., Lavis, L.D., Gerfen, C.R., Svoboda, K., Myers,

E.W., and Chandrashekar, J. (2016). A platform for brain-wide imaging and

reconstruction of individual neurons. Elife *5*.

https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.10566.

34.   Arnts, H., Coolen, S.E., Fernandes, F.W., Schuurman, R., Krauss, J.K.,

Groenewegen, H.J., Van Den Munckhof, P., and Arnts, H. (2023). The

intralaminar thalamus: a review of its role as a target in functional neurosurgery.

Brain Commun. https://doi.org/10.1093/BRAINCOMMS/FCAD003.

35.   Jonas, P., Bischofberger, J., and Sandkühler, J. (1998). Corelease of two fast

neurotransmitters at a central synapse. Science (1979) *281*, 419–424.

https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.281.5375.419/ASSET/0862CACE-9829-

4AD4-B325-DD558F0D29F9/ASSETS/GRAPHIC/SE2986687005.JPEG.

36.   Ueki, A. (1983). The mode of nigro-thalamic transmission investigated with

intracellular recording in the cat. exp brain res *49*, 116–124.

37.   Chevalier, G., and Deniau, J.M. (1982). Inhibitory nigral influence on cerebellar

evoked responses in the rat ventromedial thalamic nucleus. Exp Brain Res *48*,

369–376. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00238613.

38.   Goldberg, J.H., Farries, M.A., and Fee, M.S. (2013). Basal ganglia output to the

thalamus: still   a   paradox.   Trends   Neurosci   *36*,   695–705.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TINS.2013.09.001.

39.   Anderson, M.E., Postupna, N., and Ruffo, M. (2003). Effects of high-frequency

stimulation in the internal globus pallidus on the activity of thalamic neurons in

the   awake   monkey.   J   Neurophysiol   *89*,   1150–1160.

https://doi.org/10.1152/JN.00475.2002/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/9K023285011

0.JPEG.

40.   Bodor, Á.L., Giber, K., Rovó, Z., Ulbert, I., and Acsády, L. (2008). Structural

correlates of efficient GABAergic transmission in the basal ganglia-thalamus

pathway.   Journal   of   Neuroscience   *28*.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5266-07.2008.

41.   Barthó, P., Freund, T.F., and Acsády, L. (2002). Selective GABAergic

innervation of thalamic nuclei from zona incerta. Eur J Neurosci *16*, 999–1014.

42.   Barthó, P., Slézia, A., Varga, V., Bokor, H., Pinault, D., Buzsáki, G., and Acsády,

L. (2007). Cortical Control of Zona Incerta. The Journal of Neuroscience *27*,

1670. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3768-06.2007.

43.   Bokor, H., Frere, S.G., Eyre, M.D., Slezia, A., Ulbert, I., Luthi, A., and Acsady,

L. (2005). Selective GABAergic control of higher-order thalamic relays. Neuron

45, 929–940.

44.   Kinomura, S., Larsson, J., Gulyás, B., and Roland, P.E. (1996). Activation by

Attention of the Human Reticular Formation and Thalamic Intralaminar Nuclei.

Science (1979) *271*, 512–515. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.271.5248.512.

45. Mátyás, F., Komlósi, G., Babiczky, Á., Kocsis, K., Barthó, P., Barsy, B., Dávid,

C., Kanti, V., Porrero, C., Magyar, A., et al. (2018). A highly collateralized

thalamic cell type with arousal-predicting activity serves as a key hub for graded

state transitions in the forebrain. Nat Neurosci *21*, 1551–1562.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0251-9.

46. Gallager, D.W., and Pert, A. (1978). Afferents to brain stem nuclei (brain stem

raphe, nucleus reticularis pontis caudalis and nucleus gigantocellularis) in the

rat as demonstrated by microiontophoretically applied horseradish peroxidase.

Brain Res *144*, 257–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(78)90153-1.

47. Hermann, D.M., Luppi, P.H., Peyron, C., Hinckel, P., and Jouvet, M. (1997).

Afferent projections to the rat nuclei raphe magnus, raphe pallidus and reticularis

gigantocellularis pars α demonstrated by iontophoretic application of

choleratoxin (subunit b). J Chem Neuroanat *13*, 1–21.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-0618(97)00019-7.

48. Erlich, J.C., Bialek, M., and Brody, C.D. (2011). A cortical substrate for memory-

guided orienting in the rat. Neuron *72*, 330–343.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.07.010.

49. Hanks, T.D., Kopec, C.D., Brunton, B.W., Duan, C.A., Erlich, J.C., and Brody,

C.D. (2015). Distinct relationships of parietal and prefrontal cortices to evidence

accumulation. Nature 2015 520:7546 *520*, 220–223.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14066.

50. Brecht, M. (2011). Movement, Confusion, and Orienting in Frontal Cortices.

Neuron *72*, 193–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURON.2011.10.002.

1207

1208   Graphical abstract

ipsilateral   contralateral

1209

1210

Main figures

Figure 1: Cortical inputs to PRF/GlyT2+ cells.

A) Scheme of anterograde tracing from the frontal cortical motor-related areas (M2/Cg) in the RBP4-Cre//GlyT2-eGFP mouse line (n=9 mice).

B) Schematic view of the injection sites.

C) Low-power fluorescent micrographs of the cortical injection sites at three anteroposterior levels.

D) Schematic view of the M2/Cg fibers (magenta shade) and PRF/GlyT2+ cells (green dots). The black rectangle, the position of the micrographs and heatmaps in e-g.

E-F) Confocal micrographs (n=5 mice) of the glycinergic cells (E) and anterogradely labeled M2/Cg cortical fibers (F) in the PRF glycinergic zone.

G) Fiber density heat map showing the distribution of cortical fibers (grey shading) and PRF/GlyT2+ cells (green dots). Higher fiber density is indicated with light grey colors.

H1-3) Maximum intensity Z projections of average cortical fiber heat maps (n=3 animals) showing anterior M2/Cg inputs at three anteroposterior PRF levels extending from Br. -4.6 to Br. -4.84 (from the Paxinos atlas; 250µm)

I) Maximum intensity Z projections of cortical fiber heat maps (n=3 animals) showing posterior M2/Cg inputs.

J) Quantitative analysis of the anterior M2/Cg fibers in the PRF at three coronal levels. Intensity levels represent fiber density values between 0 and 7. Where 0 indicates no innervation and 7 presents the strongest innervation.

K) Proportion of innervation densities in the PRF after anterior (left) and posterior (right) M2/Cg injections at three coronal levels.

L) High power confocal fluorescent image of anterogradely labeled M2/Cg fibers (magenta) and PRF/GlyT2+ neurons (60x, n=5 mice)

1237   M-O) High power light microscopic image (n=9 mice) of close apposition between

1238   M2/Cg fibers (black) and the somata (k), dendrites (l), or a spine (m) of PRF/GlyT2+

1239   neurons. Inset in m displays the juxtacellularly labeled PRF/GlyT2+ cell; arrowheads,

1240   cortical inputs; asterisk, and spine.

1241   Scale bars: c) 1 mm; e-i) 100 µm; j) 20 µm; k-l) 5 µm; m) 5µm, 20µm in the inset.

1242

Figure 2. Cortical afferents target PRF/GlyT2+ cells and evoke a glutamatergic

synaptic response.

A) Scheme of anterograde tracing from the M2/Cg in the RBP4-Cre//GlyT2-eGFP

mouse line.

B-C) Electron micrographs of M2/Cg terminals (n=44, dark precipitates) in the PRF

contacting mid-caliber dendrites.

C1-C3) Serial EM images of the same axon terminal. Black arrowheads, synapses.

D) Electron micrographs of M2/Cg terminals (DAB-Ni, dark, dense precipitate)

establishing synapses on PRF/GlyT2+ dendrites (n=9, D1, D3, D4, DAB, light

precipitate) and spines (n=1, D2).

E) Optogenetically evoked (blue squares) AMPA and NMDA receptor-mediated

components of the EPSCs elicited by M2/Cg terminals in the PRF. Traces from one

exemplary experiment are shown above. Top: AMPA and NMDA components (n=16),

control at -60 mV (n = 12; p = 0.002; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test) and +50 mV (n =

12; p = 0.003; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test). Below, the graphs represent the results

from all the experiments.

F) Top: Light-evoked EPSC-s displayed no significant depression during stimulation

trains, at all tested frequencies ranging from 5 to 20 Hz. Bottom: 89.1 ± 11.5 % (n = 6;

p > 0.05; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test), 87.3 ± 8.2 % (n = 14; p = 0.03); Wilcoxon

Signed-Rank Test), 102.7 ± 5.7 % (n = 10; p = 0.7; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test), 90.5

± 14.0 % (n = 12; p = 0.1; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test at 1 Hz, 5 Hz, 10 Hz and 20 Hz

respectively.

Source data are provided as a Source Data file. Data are represented as mean +/- SE.

Scale bars: b) 1000 nm; c) 500 nm; d) 1000 nm.

Figure 3. The effect of evoked cortical activity on PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

A) Scheme of the experiments (n=10 mice: 7 mice with optogenetic 5-ms-long pulses

at 1-20 mW (RBP4-Cre//GlyT2-eGFP), 3 mice with electrical 5 ms pulses at 1-2 mA

(GlyT2-eGPF)).

B) Representative stimulus-evoked cortical field activity and evoked firing of a

glycinergic PRF neuron. Small ticks on the top indicate laser stimulation.

C) Representative fluorescent micrograph of a recorded and labeled PRF/GlyT2+

neuron. Green: GlyT2- eGFP; red: neurobiotin; magenta: M2/Cg fibers.

D) Peristimulus time histograms (PSTH) of cortical stimulus-evoked firing in a

representative PRF/GlyT2 neuron at 1, 10, and 20 Hz stimulation frequencies.

Response probability and median latency are visualized by box plots.1 Hz: probability

92.86%, 12.5 ms peak +/- SEM; 10 Hz: probability: 92.86%, 12.6 ms peak +/- SEM,

20 Hz: probability 84.06%, 14.5 ms peak +/-SEM.

E) Population PSTHs of juxtacellularly recorded and labeled PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

(n=10) at 1, 10, and 20 Hz. 1 Hz median: 0.0136 s,10 Hz median: 0.0127 s, 20 Hz

median: 0.0132 s.

F) Median latency (left) 1 vs. 10 Hz, n.s. $p=0.22$; 10 Hz vs. 20 Hz n.s. $p=0.3$, Mood's

median test and response probability (right) 1 vs. 10 Hz, n.s $p=0.59$; 10 Hz vs. 20 Hz

n.s. $p=0.65$ Mood's median test of the PRF/GlyT2+ neurons.

* $0.05<p$; ** $0.01<p$; *** $p<0.001$; n.s. - no significant difference. Source data are

provided as a Source Data file

Scale bars: c) 20 μm.

1290

Figure 4. The effect of spontaneous cortical activity on PRF/GlyT2+ neurons

1291

A) Scheme of the experiments (n= 7 mice) and a posthoc identified PRF/GlyT2+ (n=7,

1292

green) neuron filled with neurobiotin (red) and the overlapping (yellow) (bottom)

1293

B) A representative example of a spontaneous state change under light ketamine-

1294

xylazine anesthesia together with the firing activity of a PRF/GlyT2+ cell.

1295

Top: Wavelet transformation of the cortical LFP activity. Middle: Action potentials of a

1296

PRF/GlyT2+ cell, thick black lines correspond to the first action potential of the

1297

detected clusters. Blue line: synchronized and desynchronized states. Bottom: The

1298

raw LFP signal is shown in grey, and the amplitude variance of the LFP signal (moving

1299

SD in a sliding window) is in red. The black dashed line represents the variance

1300

threshold for synchronized and desynchronized periods. Periods labeled with X (gray

shading) are transient states, excluded from the analysis (see Methods).

C) Autocorrelogram of a representative neuron during synchronized and

desynchronized periods.

D) Mean cluster rate (number of clusters/LFP state length) during synchronized

(n=348, mean: 1.32, SD: 0.57, median: 1.59) and desynchronized periods (n=270).

The two neurons shown in gray did not fire rhythmic AP clusters. (n=7, Wilcoxon

Signed-Rank Test, p=0.032) * 0.05<p; ** 0.01<p; *** p<0.001; n.s. - no significant

difference.

Source data are provided as a Source Data file. Scale bars: A) 20 μm.

Figure 5. Co-innervation of PRF and thalamus by M2/Cg L5 neurons

A) Reconstruction of a representative M2 L5 (AA0245) neuron from the Mouse Light

Neuron Browser database which innervates both PRF and IL/Pf (top). Axon arbor of

the representative M2 L5 neuron (AA0245) at the sagittal level in the PRF (bottom).

B) Axon arbor of the representative M2 L5 neuron (AA0245) in the IL (top) and Pf

(bottom)

C) Axon arbor of the representative M2 L5 neuron (AA0245) (left in red) and of 4 L5

neurons in the PRF (right, red, yellow, blue and green) that have 10 or more axonal

end points in the PRF and also innervate IL/Pf with multiple endpoints.

D) Scheme of the viral tracing to label PRF collaterals of thalamus-projecting M2/Cg

cells.

E) Low-power confocal micrograph of the cortical M2/Cg injection site.

F) High-power confocal fluorescent image of the thalamus-projecting M2 L5 neurons.

G) Merged confocal image of the labeled thalamus-projecting M2/Cg cortical fibers

(magenta) and PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (green) in the thalamus.

H) Merged, low power confocal image of the labeled thalamus-projecting M2/Cg

cortical fibers (magenta) and PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (green) in the brainstem.

I-K) Confocal micrographs of the PRF/GlyT2+ cells (green, I), anterogradely labeled

thalamus-projecting M2/Cg cortical fibers (magenta, J), and their merged image (K).

L-N) High power confocal microscopic image of close apposition between the

PRF/GlyT2+ dendrites (green, L) and thalamus-projecting M2/Cg fibers (magenta, M).

Arrows, putative contacts in (N).

O) Percentage of innervated PRF/GlyT2+ dendrite (left) and the mean number of the

close apposition per dendritic segment (right).

Source data are provided as a Source Data file. Scale bars: E) 1 mm, F-G) 500 μm H)

1mm I-K) 100 μm L-N) 5 μm.

1338

1339

Figure 6. Inhibitory action and cortical innervation of thalamus-projecting
PRF/GlyT2+ Cells

A) Top: Scheme of the juxtacellular recordings (n=13 mice, n=23 neurons). Bottom:
Post hoc identified IL/Pf neuron surrounded by GlyT2+ fibers.

B) Response of two representative IL/Pf thalamic neurons to optogenetic activation of PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (top, 5 sec stimulation, without a tail pinch, bottom, 30 sec stimulation with a tail pinch). Top: discriminated action potentials (AP), 5 sec stimulation, without tail pinch. Bottom: instantaneous firing rate (blue line = laser ON), 30 sec stimulation with a tail pinch.

C) Mean firing rate (MFR) of the recorded IL/Pf thalamic neurons before, during, and after the photoactivation PRF/GlyT2+ fibers. (33 Hz, 5 ms pulse width, laser power 10 mW, 5-30 sec duration). Strongly inhibited, (red circles, n=19 cell), weakly inhibited (light blue circles, n=4, see Methods) and neurons responding with a post-stimulus gap (dark blue circle, n=4) are indicated. No pinch (left) and pinch (right) conditions are shown separately. No pinch MFR before vs. stim p=0.002; no pinch MFR stim vs after p=0.002 n=10 cells; pinch before vs. stim p=0.00012; pinch MFR stim vs. after p= 0.00085, n=14 cells; Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test.

D) Scheme of the double conditional viral tracing to label cortical inputs to thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells.

E) Low-power confocal micrograph of the cortical injection site.

F-G) Merged confocal image of the M2/Cg cortical fibers (green) and PRF/GlyT2+ fibers (magenta) in the thalamus. The red rectangle in F indicates the position of the G micrograph.

H) Coronal, stereotactic image of the brainstem. The red rectangle indicates the position of the I-K micrographs.

I-K) Confocal micrographs of the anterogradely labeled M2/Cg cortical fibers (green, I), retrogradely labeled thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells (magenta, J), and their merged image (K).

L-N) High power confocal microscopic image of the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+

dendrites (magenta, L) and M2/Cg fibers (magenta, M) and their putative contacts (N,

arrowheads).

O) Percentage of innervated thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ dendrite (left) and

mean number of the close apposition per dendritic segment (right)

* $0.05 < p$; ** $0.01 < p$; *** $p < 0.001$; n.s. - no significant difference. Source data are

provided as a Source Data file. Scale bars: A) 20 μm E-G) 1 mm I-K) 50 μm L-N) 5

μm.

1377

1378

Figure 7. Rotational movements evoked by axonal vs somatic photoactivation
of PRF/GlyT2+neurons

A) Experimental design of the photoactivation of thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+
cells via their fibers in the thalamus (n=7 fiber optic fibers).

B) Contralateral rotations (n=7 fiber optics) displayed as mean rotation angle before,
during, and after the stimulus periods following PRF/GlyT2+ fiber stimulation in Pf. The
figure represents the average percentage of a full 360° circle per second.

(χ^2=10.6, p=0.005, Friedman test following Durbin-Conover post-hoc, Before vs stim

p<0.001; stim vs after p=<0.001)

C) Schematic view of post hoc identified 7 optic fiber positions for experimental

animals in Pf.

D) Experimental design of the photoactivation of PRF/GlyT2+ somata in the PRF (n=7

fiber optics).

E) Movement trajectory in one representative mouse displaying contralateral turning

(mouse ID: 1). Non-stimulated period (left), stimulated period (right) at 5 mW in the

PRF.

F) Comparison of the absolute values of the mean rotation angle before, during, and

after the PRF stimulus periods in the 7 experimental mice (Friedman test following

Durbin-Conover post-hoc; 1 mW χ^2=6, p=0.050; before vs. stim p=0.073; stim vs. after

p=0,012; 5 mW χ^2=8.33, p=0.016; before vs. stim p=0.038; stim vs. after p<0.001; 10

mW χ^2=10.3, p=0.006; before vs. stim p<0.001; stim vs. after p=0.014; 15 mW χ^2=12.3,

p=0.002; before vs. stim p=<0.001; stim vs. after p=0.004 5 mW, χ^2=8.333, p=0.012;

G) Lack of significant dose-response effect on rotation (Friedman test χ^2=7, p=0.072).

H) Contralateral (n=4 animals, blueish shades) and ipsilateral (n=3 animals, reddish

shades) rotations following PRF/GlyT2 somatic stimulations. The color code of the

animals is the same as in F.

I) Schematic view of post hoc identified optic fiber position (n=7) in PRF for the 7

experimental mice. Right: fiber optic positions inducing contralateral rotation; left: fiber

optic positions inducing ipsilateral rotation. Same notation as in G).

* 0.05<p; ** 0.01<p; *** p<0.001; n.s. - no significant difference. Source data are

provided as a Source Data file.

Figure 8. Selective ipsilateral innervation of the gigantocellular nucleus by thalamus projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells

A1) Experimental design to label thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2 cells+ and their axons (n=3 mice).

A2-3) Fluorescent micrograph about virus injection site in the PRF. The red rectangle on A2 indicates the position of the A3 micrograph.

A4) Ipsilateral projection of the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells in the Gi (yellow)

A5) Quantification of ipsilateral and contralateral axonal projections of the thalamus-projecting PRF/GlyT2+ cells in the Gi in GlyT2-Cre Mice (paired t-test, $t_{(2)}=22.07$, p=0.002, n=3 mice).

B1) Experimental design to label all PRF/GlyT2 cells+ PRF (n=4 mice).

B2-3) Fluorescent micrograph about virus injection site in PRF. The red rectangle on B2 indicates the position of the B3 micrograph.

B4) Bilateral projection of the PRF/GlyT2+ cells in the Gi (green).

B5) Quantification of the ipsilateral vs. contralateral axonal projections from PRF/GlyT2+ cells within the Gi Region in GlyT2-Cre mice (paired t- test, $t_{(3)}=1.462$, p=0.24, n=4 mice).

C1) Scheme of mixed viral injection into PRF in vGAT-Flp/vGlut2-Cre (n=3 mice).

C2-3) Fluorescent micrograph about virus injection site in PRF. The red rectangle on C2 indicates the position of the C3 micrograph.

C4) Bilateral projection with contralateral dominance of PRF/vGlut2+ cells in the Gi (magenta).

C5) Quantification of ipsilateral and contralateral axonal projections from PRF/vGluT2+ cells within the Gi Region in vGluT2-Cre/vGAT-FLP mice (paired t- test, $t_{(2)}=19.04$, p=0.0027, n=3 mice).

D1-2) Fluorescent micrograph about virus injection site in PRF. The red rectangle on D1 indicates the position of the D2 micrograph

D3) Bilateral projection with ipsilateral dominance pf PRF/vGAT cells in the Gi (cyan)

D4) Quantification of ipsilateral and contralateral axonal projections from PRF/vGAT2+

cells within the Gi Region in vGluT2-Cre/vGAT-FLP mice (paired t- test, t(2)=5.61,

p=0.0303, n=3 mice).

E1-2) Fluorescent micrograph about virus injection site in PRF. The red rectangle on

E1 indicates the position of the E2 micrograph.

E3) Merged image of PRF/vGAT (cyan) and PRF/vGlut2+ (magenta) fibers in the Gi

* 0.05<p; ** 0.01<p; *** p<0.001; n.s. - no significant difference. Source data are

provided as a Source Data file.

Scale bars: A2/B2/C2/D1/E1) 500 μm; A3/B3/C3/D2/E2) 100 μm A4/B4/C4/D3/E3)

500 μm

1450

1451