Testing gentamicin, ciprofloxacin and meropenem against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model

AUTHORS:

<u>K. Okurowska</u>[1], P.Monk[1,3], E. Karunakaran*[1,2]

AFFILIATIONS:

[1] Sheffield Collaboratorium for Antimicrobial Resistance and Biofilms (SCARAB), University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
[2] Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield.
[3] Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular Disease, University of Sheffield.

*Corresponding author: Esther Karunakaran (e.karunakaran@sheffield.ac.uk)

ABSTRACT

Global trends show an increase in microbial infections caused by pathogens resistant to the most common antibiotics. Antibiotics in development are usually tested on rapidly dividing cells in a culture medium and do not reflect complexity of infections *in vivo*, while testing *in vivo* is limited, expensive and ethically concerning. This often results in development and subsequent prescription of antibiotics only targeting infections in which pathogens are undergoing rapid cell division and in case of persistent infections like keratitis leads to poor clinical outcomes such as impaired vision or loss of an eye. In this study, we demonstrate antibiotic tolerance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains PAO1 and PA14 using the *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model in which bacterial physiology more closely mimics infections *in vivo* than in a culture medium. MBEC and MIC was used as a guideline to establish concentration of applied antibiotics on tissue. Infected *ex vivo* porcine corneas were treated with therapeutically relevant concentrations of gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, clindamycin and fusidic acid. Ciprofloxacin was the most potent across all tests demonstrating a positive correlation with MIC but not MBEC. Nonetheless, the results demonstrated that MIC and MBEC concentrations were not sufficient to clear infection even after 18 hours of continuous exposure to the tested antibiotics reflecting the need for novel antibiotics that can target the persistent subpopulation of these pathogens and the ability of the *ex vivo* keratitis model to be a relevant platform to identify novel antibiotics with suitable activities. There was a clear visual distinction between corneas infected with cytotoxic strain PA14 and invasive strain PAO1. In this study both strains PA14 and PAO1 showed a high level of antibiotic

tolerance, which suggests that in clinical settings the treatment approach could be similar

regardless of the causative strain.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial keratitis usually occurs because of infection following the trauma to corneal

epithelium caused by injury. Globally blindness caused by bacterial keratitis affects 1.5 to 2

million people each year (Whitcher et al., 2001, Humphries et al., 2019) however it is widely

acknowledged that keratitis cases globally are underreported (Ung et al., 2019). Amongst the

many pathogens that can cause bacterial keratitis, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is particularly

difficult to treat and is a leading cause of sight loss in the developing world. Widespread use

of antibiotics in livestock, availability of antimicrobial treatments without prescription and

inappropriate prophylactic use contributes to higher antimicrobial resistance amongst these

pathogens (Ting et al., 2021b, Hilliam et al., 2020, Willcox, 2011). Additionally, it is well

known that *P. aeruginosa* forms biofilms. Extreme multi-drug resistance and poor clinical

outcomes are hallmarks of biofilm infections (Maurice et al., 2018, Thi et al., 2020). Clinical

isolates of *P. aeruginosa* resistant to the most used antibiotics are frequently found around the

world (Lopez-Dupla et al., 2009, Garg et al., 1999, Willcox, 2011) and reinforce the global

urgency to develop new antibiotics.

Administration of antibiotics in the early stages of infection is recognised clinically as essential

for therapeutic success (O'Brien, 2003). Therefore, keratitis is considered as an ocular

emergency and treated empirically with broad-spectrum antibiotics. Patients are usually

prescribed fluoroquinolone monotherapy (e.g. ciprofloxacin) or a combination therapy with

fortified antibiotics (Gokhale, 2008, O'Brien, 2003). In few cases, prior to empirical antibiotic

treatment, the corneal scrape is cultured to isolate causative organism and then antibiotic

sensitivity testing is performed to select subsequent more targeted (evidence-based) treatment

options. However, identifying causative organisms is time consuming and growth and

identification of microorganisms occurs in only 40-60% of cases, therefore, evidence-based

prescription of appropriate antibiotics is not routinely undertaken in clinics (Dalmon et al.,

2012, Ibrahim et al., 2009, Norina et al., 2008, Varaprasathan et al., 2004).

Currently, treatments aim to achieve minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the drug at

the site of infection (Gokhale, 2008). If MIC value indicates that a bacterium is susceptible to

an antibiotic, it means that there is a high probability of a positive treatment outcome however

bacteria require higher doses of the antibiotic to achieve a therapeutic success *in vivo*
(Kowalska-Krochmal and Dudek-Wicher, 2021). Effectiveness of the therapy depends on
multiple factors for example: the type and concentration of used antibiotics, exposure time to
antibiotics, drug penetration to the site of infection and the duration of the infection before the
drug treatment was delivered. As MIC is established on bacteria cultures *in vitro*, it does not
consider these tissue specific factors that affect outcome of antibiotic treatment. Additionally,
MIC assays indicate sensitivity of an antibiotic against planktonic (free-living) bacteria.
Consequently, these concentrations of antimicrobials are often found ineffective against
persistent infections such as bacterial keratitis which involve biofilm formation (Costerton et
al., 1987, Lebeaux et al., 2014, Davies, 2003). Treating biofilms often requires much higher
than normal concentration of antibiotics which can pose a risk of cytotoxicity. While some
antibiotics are toxic to corneal epithelium (e.g. gentamicin), others can delay epithelial healing
(ciprofloxacin) which can lead to corneal haze or keratolysis. Preservatives (e.g. benzalkonium
chloride) in topical ophthalmic medications are directly cytotoxic to both host and pathogen
cells, but can improve antimicrobial efficacy by increasing drug penetration through
devitalized epithelium (Eun et al., 1994, Noecker, 2001, Goldstein et al., Goldstein et al.,
2022). Therefore, a high throughput, *in vitro* model that is able to report on both the potency
of the tested antibiotics and any tissue-specific response is needed to identify novel
antimicrobials with suitable activities.

Currently, there is no ideal *in vitro* model for testing efficacy of existing and new antimicrobial
treatments. Overall, it takes more than 13 years from discovery to regulatory approval of any
new drug and 95% of the drugs that enter human trials fail (Scannell et al., 2012, DiMasi et al.,
2020, Seyhan, 2019, Gower et al., 2016). Keratitis models *in vivo* are not suitable for high
throughput screening, are expensive, lead to animal suffering and therefore raise ethical
concerns (Urwin et al., 2020). Cornea infection models *ex vivo* could be a good alternative to
current *in vitro* techniques and have the potential to reduce and refine the use of animals for *in*
vivo testing. However, *ex vivo* models are a relatively new concept and therefore our goal is to
standardise and validate *ex vivo* keratitis model for testing novel treatments.

In this study, we used the previously established *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model (Okurowska
et al., 2020) to test the activity of commonly used antibiotics. Corneas were infected with *P.*
aeruginosa isolates PA14 and PAO1 that were selected because the biofilm formation
(Wozniak et al., 2003, Colvin et al., 2011) and genetic similarities between these two strains

(Lee et al., 2006) are well described in the literature. Each of these clinical isolates belongs to

one of two major phylogenetic group: group 1, which includes strain PAO1, and group 2, which

includes strain PA14 (Freschi et al., 2019). Each phylogenetic group is suspected to have a

different effect on the host cells (Hilliam et al., 2020) and the clinical outcomes (Vallas et al.,

1996, Borkar et al., 2013, Fleiszig et al., 1996, Lee et al., 2003a). Strain PAO1 is considered to

be moderately virulent and forms more structured biofilms on solid surfaces (Goodman et al.,

2004) while PA14 is highly virulent, more cytotoxic and forms a weaker biofilm (Wiehlmann

et al., 2007, Kasetty et al., 2021, Mikkelsen et al., 2011) called a pellicle that are associated

with a stagnant liquid surfaces (Friedman and Kolter, 2004). Kasetty, S. at al. (2021) described

differences in biofilm invasion strategies between these two strains in more detail. Genes

encoding virulence factors in these strains is regulated by quorum-sensing (QS) systems which

are also well described in literature (Girard and Bloemberg, 2008, de Kievit, 2009). In this

study we wanted to see if differences between these two strains will be obvious during different

stages of infection and after treatments with antibiotics on *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model.

We tested a range of common antibiotics with various activity against *Pseudomonas* keratitis.

We demonstrate that our *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model can be used as a tool to test

effectiveness and optimal concentrations of new drugs or preservatives for ocular infections

quickly, at lower expense before these treatments are further validated *in vivo*. Our *ex vivo*

model could help to select therapeutics that have a greater chance of success in investigations

in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain used

Two wild type strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PAO1 and PA14) were a kind gift from

Prof. Urs Jenal, University of Basel, Switzerland. Both strains were used to infect *ex vivo*

porcine corneas and for establishing MIC and MBEC values.

MIC assay

The MIC value for *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and PA14 was determined according to the EUCAST

guidelines (Hasselmann, 2000). The bacterial strains were inoculated in Mueller-Hinton cation

adjusted broth (MHB) for 24 hours at 37 °C with agitation at 110 rpm. Before each experiment

10 µl of 6-fold dilutions of the inoculum was spot plated on blood agar plates, and the plates

were incubated (Infors HT Multitron, UK) overnight at 37 °C in order to enumerate colony

140 forming units in the inoculum. Two hundred microliters of MHB containing an inoculum with

141 3×10^5 CFU per well and different concentrations of the test antibiotics was added to each well

142 in a 96-well plate. A concentration of antibiotics ranging from 0.006 to 32 µg/µL was tested.

143 The MIC value was determined as the lowest concentration of an antibiotic which completely

144 inhibits visible bacterial growth after 24 hours at 37 °C in static conditions. In total six

145 antibiotics were tested: gentamicin, meropenem, ciprofloxacin, clindamycin, fusidic acid and

146 chloramphenicol. Clindamycin, fusidic acid and chloramphenicol are normally not used to treat

147 ocular infections caused by *P. aerginosa* and were used here as a negative control. The optical

148 density at 600 nm was measured using the TECAN Spark plate reader (TECAN, Switzerland)

149 to confirm the growth inhibition. One column of each 96-well plate was designated for growth

150 control and one for sterility control. The procedure was repeated three times across different

151 days for each antibiotic.

153 **MBEC assay**

154 Biofilm susceptibility testing assay was performed using a Calgary device (Innovotech,

155 Canada) where the biofilm was grown on a peg (Harrison et al., 2010). First, growth conditions

156 were verified by an equivalence test for biofilm formation (Figure 1 in Supplementary

157 Materials) as described by Harrison et al. (2010). The bacterial strains were streaked out on LB

158 agar plate from cryogenic stock and incubated overnight at 37 °C. A single colony from the

159 agar subculture was used to inoculate 5 mL MHB and the suspension was incubated in a 50

160 mL Falcon tube while shaking at 110 rpm for 24 hours at 37 °C (Infors HT Multitron, UK).

161 The bacterial suspension was centrifuged at 4000 g in Eppendorf 5710R (Thermo Fisher, UK)

162 for 5 minutes. After discarding the supernatant, the pellet was re-suspended in 5 mL of sterile

163 MHB. The inoculum was prepared in a fresh centrifuge tube by diluting the suspension of

164 bacteria to optical density (OD) of 0.05 at 600 nm. The OD_{600nm} was measured using

165 spectrophotometer Jenway (VWR, UK). The inoculum was pipetted in a 96-well plate with a

166 final concentration 8×10^6 CFU of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 or PA14 per well (150 µl inoculum in

167 each well). One column in a 96-well plate was used as a control and contained media without

168 bacteria added. Pegs from Calgary Device were immersed in the inoculum. The 96-well plate

169 was double sealed with parafilm, placed inside a plastic box to reduce evaporation and

170 incubated (statically) overnight at 37^0C with 70% humidity in the incubator (Infors HT

171 Multitron, UK) to allow biofilm formation on pegs. Before each experiment 10 µl of 6-fold

172 dilutions of the inoculum was spot plated on blood agar plates, and the plates were incubated

173 overnight at 37 °C in order to enumerate colony forming units (CFU) in the inoculum. After

overnight incubation the pegs were rinsed twice for 1 minute in two 96-well plates with 200 µl

of sterile water per well to remove bacteria that did not attach to pegs (planktonic cells).

For equivalence assay, the pegs were then transferred to a 96-well plate with 200 µl of LB with

1% Tween 20 per well, sonicated for 10 minutes at 60 Hz to disrupt bacteria from the biofilm

on pegs into a recovery medium. After sonication, 20 µl of the recovery medium with the

bacteria was diluted in series up to 10^4 in 180 µl of sterile water. All dilutions were plated out

on LB agar plates for CFU count and incubated at 37 °C overnight.

For MBEC assay the pegs were transferred after rinsing steps to a 96-well plate with antibiotics

in MHB. The plate was incubated overnight and then rinsed and sonicated in the same way as

equivalence assay plates. Ciprofloxacin, meropenem and gentamicin were tested with

concentrations starting from 1µg µL^{-1} to 512 µg µL^{-1}. Minimum biofilm eradication

concentration (MBEC) value represents the wells with the lowest concentration of an antibiotic

where the biofilm was completely eradicated i.e. there was no growth from biofilms across all

replicates. One column of each 96-well plate was designated for untreated control and one for

sterility control. The procedure was repeated four times across different days for each antibiotic

with four technical replicates each time.

Testing antibiotics on *ex vivo* porcine cornea model

In this study, porcine eyes were extracted within four hours from slaughter and transported

from the abattoir (R.B. Elliott and Son Abattoir, Calow, England) in a Nalgene container filled

with sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS, Sigma, Germany). The age of pigs varied between

26 to 28 weeks. The corneas were excised in the laboratory within two hours from delivery and

used for experiments within a week from excision. The pigs were sacrificed for human

consumption and not for the purpose of this study.

Porcine eyes were prepared for infection as described previously (Okurowska et al., 2020).

Briefly, the porcine eyes from the abattoir were washed with sterile PBS before and after

disinfection with 3% povidone iodine diluted with PBS for 60 seconds. Corneas with scleral

rings were dissected, rinsed with 1.5% povidone iodine and then soaked in PBS before

transferring to warm Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Fisher Scientific, UK)

supplemented with growth factors and antibiotics. The composition of the culture medium was

as follows: DMEM: Ham's (Sigma, Germany) [1:1] supplemented with 5 µg mL^{-1} insulin (SLS,

UK) and 10 ng mL^{-1} epidermal growth factor (EGF) (SLS, UK), 10 % fetal calf serum (FCS)

(Pan-Biotech, UK), 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin, 100 U mL^{-1}streptomycin (SLS, UK) and 2.5 µg mL^{-1}

amphotericin B (Sigma, UK). The corneas were incubated in medium with antimicrobials for

24 hours at 37 °C and then washed once with 2 mL PBS and incubated in antimicrobial-free

medium for 48 hours to remove residual antibiotics. The medium was replaced every day

during this time. On the infection day porcine corneas were infected with 8x10^6 CFU in 200 µl

of PBS and incubated for 6 hours. After the incubation, the PBS along with suspended bacteria

were removed with a sterile 1 mL pipette tip and replaced either with 200 µL of PBS (control

corneas) or with a PBS with added antibiotic (treated corneas). The corneas were treated with

either 1024 µg mL^{-1} or with MIC concentration of ciprofloxacin, meropenem, gentamicin for

18 hours at 37 °C. All corneas were photographed with Dino-lite Xcope camera (AnMo

Electronics Corporation, Taiwan). Ninety cornea images were independently scored for opacity

by five people using following grading system: 0 – no haze, cornea clear; 1 – faint opacity or

cloudiness visible; 2 – cornea looks swollen, white or hazy patch clearly visible. All graphs

were plotted using GraphPad Prism version 8.4.1.

Statistics

Statistical analysis of viable cell counts for experiment comparing two strains of *Pseudomonas*

was carried out by unpaired t-tests with Holm-Sidak correction while effect of treatment versus

placebo was calculated using Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparisons test, using GraphPad Prism

version 8.4.1. *P*-values lower than 0.05 were considered significant.

Data availability

All supporting data are provided in the Supplementary Materials file.

RESULTS

MIC assay

MIC assays revealed that both strains of *P. aeruginosa* used in this study were sensitive to

gentamicin, meropenem and had intermediate resistance to ciprofloxacin (Table 1) while they

were resistant to clindamycin, fusidic acid and chloramphenicol (MIC > 32 µg mL^{-1}). The MIC

values for gentamicin were identical for both strains (2 - 4 µg mL^{-1}). However, some small

differences were observed between strains treated with meropenem and ciprofloxacin. Strain

PA14 was marginally more susceptible to meropenem (0.25 µg mL^{-1}) while strain PAO1 was

marginally more susceptible to ciprofloxacin (0.125-0.25 µg mL^{-1}).

Table 1. Determination of MIC and MBC of *P. aeruginosa* for PAO1 and PA14 isolates against gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin . Values in the table represent µg mL^{-1}.

Generic name (class) Break points (EUCAST, 2022)	PAO1		PA14		Mechanism of action
	MIC	MBEC	MIC	MBEC	
Gentamicin (aminoglycoside) ≤4 S; ≥16 (R)	2-4	64 (16X - 32X MIC)	2-4	16 (4X - 8X MIC)	Broad spectrum, inhibits synthesis of bacterial proteins by binding to 30S ribosomes
Meropenem (carbapenem) ≤2 (S); ≥8 (R)	0.5-1	>512	0.25	>512	Broad spectrum, inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis
Ciprofloxacin (fluoroquinolone) ≤0.001 (S); ≥0.5 (R)	0.125-0.25	4-8 (16X - 64X MIC)	0.25-0.5	4 (8X - 16X MIC)	Inhibits DNA replication by inhibiting bacterial DNA topoisomerase and DNA-gyrase

MBEC assay

Following MIC results, determination of MBECs for biofilms of both strains using Calgary device was carried only on gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin. MBEC value represents the wells with the lowest concentration of an antibiotic where the biofilm was completely eradicated i.e. there was no growth from biofilms across all replicates (Figure 1).

Despite MIC results showing sensitivity to meropenem (Table 1), biofilms of both *P. aeruginosa* strains demonstrated tolerance to meropenem exceeding the concentrations tested (>512 µg mL^{-1}). For PAO1 strain, MBEC values were 16-64 times higher than MIC for ciprofloxacin and 16-32 times higher than MIC for gentamicin (Fig. 1A and 1B). MBEC values for PA14 strain for ciprofloxacin were 8-16 times higher than MIC and 4-8 times higher than MIC for gentamicin (Fig. 1C and 1D). These results suggest that the biofilm on pegs formed by strain PA14 was more sensitive to gentamicin and ciprofloxacin compared to strain PAO1 (Table 1). MBEC testing made the difference between two strains more noticeable. With reference to the break point system (Table 1) and subsequent clinical relevance, the MBEC results demonstrate that biofilms formed by *P. aeruginosa* could be classified as resistant to gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin.

267
268 Fig.1. MBEC assay results representing colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (A, B)
269 and PA14 (C,D) treated with ciprofloxacin and gentamicin.
270

Investigation of antimicrobial efficacy on the *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model

Effect of inoculum size on final Colony Forming Unit (CFU)

To establish the inoculum size needed to initiate an infection on porcine cornea, various CFUs
of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 were added to wounded corneas. A viable count of bacteria retrieved
from the infected cornea after 24 hours of infection (Fig. 2A) and 48 hours of infection (Fig.
2B) was carried out. Despite the starting inoculum size, an average of 6×10^8 CFUs per cornea
were retrieved after 24 hours and 2×10^9 CFUs per cornea after 48 hours. There was no
significant difference in CFU between groups and two incubation times. These results indicate
that the ultimate bacterial load in the porcine *ex vivo* cornea infection model is independent of
the initial bacterial load. Due to the good reproducibility in the number of CFU retrieved after
infection with a higher starting inoculum size, in further experiments, an inoculum size of
greater than 1×10^6 CFU per cornea was aimed for. We established that the maximum
incubation time for all following experiments was 24 hours because 48 hours of incubation
resulted in a complete lysis of the cornea by the bacteria.

286
Fig 2. Number of viable *P. aeruginosa* PA14 retrieved from porcine cornea after infection with
215, 5×10^4, 6×10^6 and 3×10^7 CFU per cornea. Corneas were infected for 24 hours (A) and 48
hours (B). Each dot represents results from one cornea. Error bars represent standard deviation.
Statistical significance was calculated with Kruskall-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple
comparisons test *p-value <0.05. Raw data is available in Supplementary Materials, Data
Availability Section.
293
294
Effect of incubation time on progress of infection
To investigate the progress of infection over time, porcine corneas were infected with *P.*
aeruginosa PA14 and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 and a viable count was carried out on bacteria
retrieved from the infected cornea after 1, 2, 4, 6, 18 and 24 hours post infection (hpi) (Fig. 3).
With *P. aeruginosa* PA14, an average of 1.9×10^6 CFU per cornea were retrieved after 1 hpi
(n =7), 2.9×10^6 CFU per cornea were retrieved after 2 hpi (n = 6), and 4.9×10^6 CFU per
cornea were retrieved after 4 hpi (n = 6) (Fig. 3A). At all these time points, the number of CFU
retrieved per cornea were lower than the inoculum size (7.7×10^6 CFU per cornea) reflecting
the impact of post-incubation rinsing steps included in the protocol during which the bacterial
population not securely adhered to the corneal tissue are removed. After 6 hpi, the number of
bacteria retrieved from the infected cornea were approximately equal to the inoculum size
despite rinsing (n = 6). Incubation beyond 6 hpi reproducibly resulted in a clear increase of
CFU retrieved per cornea despite rinsing, resulting in 1.0×10^8 CFU per cornea at 18 hpi (n =
6) and 9.0×10^7 at 24 hpi (n = 6) (Fig. 3A). Difference in CFU values for PA14 retrieved at 1
hpi and 2 hpi in comparison to 18 hpi and 24 hpi was significant (p<0.05).
310
311 A similar trend was seen in the progress of infection in the *ex vivo* porcine cornea infected with
312 *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain (Fig. 3B). An average of 3.4×10^6 CFU per cornea were retrieved
313 after 1 hpi (n = 4), 2.2×10^6 CFU per cornea were retrieved after 2 hpi (n = 14) and 4.1×10^6
314 CFU per cornea were retrieved at 4 hpi (n= 6). Like the infection with *P. aeruginosa* PA14, at

all these time points, the number of CFU retrieved per cornea were lower than the inoculum size (7.9×10^6 CFU per cornea). Subsequently the increase in bacteria load in the infected cornea was higher compared to the inoculum size for *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (Fig. 3C): 2.0×10^7 CFU per cornea at 6 hpi (n = 6), 1.6×10^8 CFU per cornea at 18 hpi (n = 4) and 1.7×10^8 CFU per cornea at 24 hpi (n = 25) (Fig. 3B). Difference in CFU values for PAO1 retrieved at 1hpi, 2 hpi and 4hpi in comparison to 18 hpi and 24 hpi was significant ($p<0.05$).

These data demonstrate that both strains of *P. aeruginosa* were able to initiate and maintain infection on porcine corneas within first few hours of incubation. In both strains, despite inclusion of a washing step, there was a net increase in the number of CFU retrieved after incubation compared to the inoculum which suggests that infection was well established in the model. In the subsequent experiments, antibiotic treatments were added to corneas at 6 hpi because there was a clearly visible increase in CFU counts at this time point in comparison to input of bacteria which indicated that the infection was well-established.

Fig. 3. Number of viable CFU of *P. aeruginosa* on porcine corneas infected for 1, 2, 4, 6, 18 and 24 hours with *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (A) and *P. aeruginosa* PA01 (B). Data from both strains are compared on one graph (C). Inoculum CFU are shown both as CFU at t=0 infection time (x-axis) as well as a dotted line labelled as Input CFU. Each dot on charts A and B represents one cornea. Error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical significance for graph A and B is presented according to Kruskall-Wallis test while for graph C is presented according to the unpaired *t*-test with Holm-Sidak correction *p-value <0.05. Raw data is available in Supplementary Materials, Data Availability Section.

Testing antibiotics on the *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model

Next, the effect of MIC concentrations on infected tissue was investigated. *Ex vivo* porcine corneas were infected on average with 1×10^7 CFU *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and 9×10^6 *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 for 6 hours and then MIC concentrations of gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin were applied for 18 hours. While MIC concentrations of antibiotics successfully inhibited growth of bacteria *in vitro*, these concentrations were ineffective (p >0.05) for both tested strains of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and PAO1 in *ex vivo* porcine cornea model (Fig. 4). Raw data are presented in Supplementary Materials Table 2. This demonstrates that application of MIC concentrations on *ex vivo* cornea is insufficient to treat ocular infections with *P. aeruginosa* despite the fact that the infected tissue was continually exposed to the antibiotic for 18 hours.

A

B

Fig. 4. Colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* in the *ex vivo* porcine corneas infected for 6 hours with (A) PA14 or (B) PAO1. Control corneas were immersed in PBS while other corneas were treated with MIC concentrations of antibiotic dissolved in PBS. Following antibiotics were applied on infected corneas: gentamicin (GEN) (n = 4), meropenem (MER) (n = 4) and ciprofloxacin (CIP) (n = 4). Error bars are means ± SD. Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparison

359 test was performed for the pairwise statistical analysis of treated against control colony forming
360 units for each strain.
361

Following previous results, the concentration of antibiotics (gentamicin, meropenem and

ciprofloxacin) that were applied on *ex vivo* porcine corneas was increased to 1025 μg mL^{-1}.

This concentration is 256 times MIC for gentamicin for strains PAO1 and PA14, respectively.

For meropenem, this concentration is 1025 times MIC for strain PAO1 and 4100 times MIC

meropenem for PA14. For ciprofloxacin, this concentration is 4100 times MIC for strain PAO1

and 2050 times MIC for strain PA14. As this concentration is higher than MIC and MBEC

some growth inhibition on *ex vivo* infected tissue was expected. A significant reduction in

bacteria load for strain PAO1 in corneas treated with gentamicin ($p = 0.0051$), meropenem (p

< 0.0001) and ciprofloxacin ($p < 0.0001$) was observed when compared to controls (Figure 5).

There was no significant reduction for corneas infected with strain PA14 and treated with

gentamicin ($p = 0.15$). However, treatment with meropenem ($p = 0.0001$) and ciprofloxacin (p

<0.0001) had a noticeable reduction in bacteria load.

Out of three tested antibiotics at concentration 1025 μg mL^{-1}, gentamicin was the least potent,

possibly because the concentration of this antibiotic added to corneas in the relation to MIC

was much smaller in comparison to meropenem and ciprofloxacin. On average 7×10^7 CFU (1-

log reduction) of strain PAO1 and 2×10^7 CFU (<1-log reduction) of strain PA14 were recovered

from porcine corneas. Meropenem reduced bacteria load approximately by 2-log for both

strains (5×10^6 CFU per cornea for strain PAO1 and 9×10^5 CFU per cornea for strain PA14)

despite MBEC assay suggesting that biofilms of both strains are tolerant to >512 μg mL^{-1} of

this antibiotic. Treatment with ciprofloxacin resulted in an average of 1×10^5 CFU (5-log

reduction) for corneas infected with strain PAO1 and 5×10^4 CFU (4-log reduction) for corneas

infected with strain PA14. Treatment with clindamycin, fusidic acid and chloramphenicol had

no effect on bacteria count in comparison to control corneas with added PBS only, and this was

expected since MIC results showed that these antibiotics are ineffective on investigated *P.*

aeruginosa strains (supplementary materials Figure 2).

A

B

Fig. 5. Colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* in the *ex vivo* porcine corneas infected for 6
hours with (A) PA14 or (B) PAO1. Control corneas (n = 19 & 16) were immersed in PBS
while other corneas were treated with 1025 μg mL⁻¹of antibiotic dissolved in PBS. Following
antibiotics were applied on infected corneas: gentamicin (GEN) (n = 12), meropenem (MER)
(n = 12) and ciprofloxacin (CIP) (n = 12). Error bars are means ± SD. Kruskal-Wallis multiple
comparison test was performed for the pairwise statistical analysis of treated against untreated
colony forming units for each strain; significant difference (*p* value < 0.05) is denoted with *.

All infected and treated corneas were photographed before homogenisation (Fig.6&7). *Ex vivo*

corneas often swell a little while kept in media for a few days which may give them a slightly

hazy look and affect the opacity grading (see not infected corneas Fig. 6.). Clinically, *P.*

aeruginosa keratitis usually manifests with a presence of a large epithelial defect related to

stromal necrosis that appears as a ring-like, milky in colour stromal infiltrate. *P. aeruginosa*

infection on *ex vivo* porcine cornea manifested with similar features compared to clinical

infections *in vivo* (Fig. 6&7 PBS). Visually there was less white discolouration on all corneas

treated with MIC concentration of gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin in comparison to

the untreated infected corneas (PBS). This difference is even more obvious on corneas infected

with PA14 (Fig. 6B). Corneas infected with both *P. aeruginosa* strains and treated with MIC
concentration of gentamicin looked slightly hazier in comparison to meropenem and
ciprofloxacin in spite of no reduction in viable colony count across all antibiotics (Fig. 4).

413
414 Fig. 6. Representative images of not infected and infected *ex vivo* porcine corneas without
415 (PBS) treatment and treated with gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin. Corneas shown
416 here were infected with 6×10^6 CFU of strain PAO1 (A) and strain PA14 (B) and treated with
417 MIC concentrations of antibiotics after infection had progressed for 6 hours. Corneas were
418 imaged and immediately homogenised for viable counting.
419
Treatment with higher antibiotic concentration (1025 µg mL^{-1}) decreased corneal opacity of
infected cornea by preventing development of milky colour (Fig. 7). This effect was especially
evident in cornea infected with PA14. Corneas treated with gentamicin, meropenem and
ciprofloxacin looked clear and visually impossible to distinguish from uninfected showing a
direct effect of treatment on opacity (Fig. 7). Despite high bacteria count, gentamicin treatment
preserved corneal transparency.

426
427 Fig. 7. Representative images of not infected and infected *ex vivo* porcine corneas without
428 (PBS) treatment and treated with 1025 µg mL^{-1} of gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, fusidic acid,

429   clindamycin, and chloramphenicol. Corneas shown here were infected with 6×10^6 CFU of
430   strain PAO1 (A) and strain PA14 (B) 6-hour prior to antibiotic treatment. Corneas were imaged
431   and immediately homogenised for viable counting.
432
The presence and intensity of discolouration and opacity for ninety-nine corneas was
undertaken by assessing the images to verify if infection or the effect of a treatment could be
determined visually. The images of corneas were allocated to the following three grades: 0 –
the corneas looked clear and not infected; 1 - corneas looked infected, slightly hazy and cloudy;
2 – corneas looked infected, swollen and white/milky in colour. Images of corneas were blind
scored by five different people and presented as percentages (Fig. 8).
439
Majority of uninfected corneas (70%) were correctly identified while 30% were graded 1 (Fig.
8A). This is because the scoring of uninfected corneas could have been affected by swelling
that naturally occurs during incubation in media for few days and could make some corneas
appear less transparent than normal. Lack of previous experience in scoring, changes in the
natural light in the room and quality of some images could also have an effect on cornea
grading.
446
447   Some untreated corneas infected with strain PA14 looked clear (11%) whilst majority were
448   correctly identified as infected (54% scored grade 1 and 34% scored grade 2) (Fig. 8A).
449   Majority of untreated corneas (97%) were correctly identified as being infected with strain
450   PAO1 due to the development of an obvious haziness (grade 2) suggesting infection with strain
451   PAO1results in ulceration and severe tissue damage on *ex vivo* porcine cornea (Fig. 8A).
452
Overall, corneas infected with strain PA14 and treated with antibiotics and (Fig. 8B) developed
less haze in comparison to strain PAO1 (Fig. 8C). Smaller percentage of cornea infected with
either strain and treated with 1025 μg mL^{-1} gentamicin and meropenem were scored grade 2 in
comparison to untreated (Fig. 8B & 8C versus Fig. 8A). None of the corneas infected with
either strain and treated with ciprofloxacin were graded 2 and majority were graded 0 which
suggests that ciprofloxacin had a beneficial effect on preserving corneal transparency.
459
Opacity scoring demonstrated visual differences in opacity between infection with *P.*
aeruginosa cytotoxic strain PA14 and infection with invasive strain PAO1. The PAO1 strain
showed the most visually obvious reduction in opacity for both untreated as well as treated
corneas. However, higher transparency of corneas treated with antibiotics should not be solely

used to determine effectiveness of a drug because, for example, in case of gentamicin the
reduction in colony forming units was very minimal even though the transparency was
improved. These data demonstrate that images of *ex vivo* corneas could be used as one of
preliminary indicators showing the immediate response of infection to a treatment.

468
Fig. 8. Graph shows the percentage of scored *ex vivo* porcine corneas (n = 99) that were not
infected (n = 4) versus infected with *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 (n = 42) and PAO1 (n = 44)
for 24 hours (A). Some corneas were treated with 1025 μg mL^{-1} of gentamicin, meropenem or
ciprofloxacin after infection with PA14 (B) and PAO1 (C). Corneas were allocated to following

groups: 0 – corneas clear, no haze or infection visible; 1- corneas looked infected, slightly hazy
and cloudy; 2 – corneas looked infected, swollen and white/milky in colour.
475

476
477   **DISCUSSION**
478

We previously established an *ex vivo* porcine model of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* keratitis
(Okurowska et al., 2020). In this study we demonstrate that our *ex vivo* porcine cornea model
can be used for testing novel treatments against keratitis. We first established MIC and MBEC
values for gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin using cytotoxic (strain PA14) and
invasive (strain PAO1) strains of *P. aeruginosa*. Next, we tested the input of bacteria needed
to develop an infection and then monitored the development of an infection over time. Finally,
we investigated differences in response to antibiotic treatments between cytotoxic (strain
PA14) and invasive (strain PAO1) strains of *P. aeruginosa* on *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model.
487

Comparing MIC and MBEC results to literature was challenging because of the variance in
experimental protocols between research groups (Kowalska-Krochmal and Dudek-Wicher,
2021, Schuurmans et al., 2009) but overall, our findings followed the trend in literature.
Gentamicin, meropenem and ciprofloxacin were the most effective against both studied strains
of *P. aeruginosa*. MBEC values of all tested antibiotics were much higher in comparison to
MIC. Our results tie in well with previous studies showing that higher concentrations of
antibiotics are needed to eradicate biofilms compared to their planktonic counterparts (Bagge
et al., 2004, Brady et al., 2017, Bowler et al., 2012, Ceri et al., 1999).
496

Gentamicin was the first antibiotic that we tested for MIC against *P. aeruginosa* because it
often is used in early stages of keratitis (Dart and Seal, 1988). We found that MIC results in
our study, were in alignment with those found in literature and demonstrated that both strains
of *P. aeruginosa* (PA14 and PAO1) were equally sensitive to gentamicin. Our MIC values
were either identical (Bowler et al., 2012, Ceri et al., 1999) or similar to those reported by other
research groups (1 μg mL^{-1} and 2 μg mL^{-1})(Andrews, 2001, Bahari et al., 2017, Pusic et al.,
2018). While MIC values did not demonstrate any obvious differences between investigated
two strains, MBEC results clearly had shown that PAO1 was more resistant to gentamicin in
comparison to PA14. Similar to other studies, MBEC values showed that biofilms were
resistant to gentamicin in *P. aeruginosa* (Bowler et al., 2012, Ceri et al., 1999, Billings et al.,
2013). These results suggest that whilst gentamicin could be used to eradicate planktonic forms

of *P. aeruginosa*, treating the biofilm formed by this bacterium would require much higher
concentrations. Resistance of *P. aeruginosa* biofilm towards gentamicin could be explained by
the fact that gentamicin belongs to aminoglycosides group of antibiotics, that are known to
bind to various components in the biofilm matrix (Ciofu and Tolker-Nielsen, 2019) such as
exopolysaccharides Psl (Billings et al., 2013) and Pel (Colvin et al., 2011).

We selected meropenem for our studies because of good corneal penetration, low cytotoxicity
(Sueke et al., 2015) and promising results in live rabbit keratitis model. Our MIC values for *P.*
aeruginosa PAO1 showed sensitivity towards meropenem and were identical (0.5 µg mL^{-1})
(Riera et al., 2010, Ocampo-Sosa et al., 2012) or close (1-2 µg mL^{-1}) (Haagensen et al., 2017,
Bowler et al., 2012, Monahan et al., 2014) to those found in literature. MIC value for strain
PA14 in our study are also in accordance with findings reported by others (0.25 µg mL^{-1})
(Hassan et al., 2020, Ocampo-Sosa et al., 2012). MBEC values in literature for *P. aeruginosa*
PAO1 biofilm treated with meropenem were consistently much higher than MICs (Haagensen
et al., 2017, Bowler et al., 2012). Overall, while MIC results show sensitivity, our MBEC
results ties well with previous studies showing resistance in *P. aeruginosa* towards this
antibiotic (Bowler et al., 2012, Haagensen et al., 2017). These findings support the notion that
meropenem is more effective against actively dividing, planktonic bacteria or early-stage
biofilm, while less effective against established biofilms (Bowler et al., 2012).

Finally, we investigated ciprofloxacin in our studies because it is considered as one of the most
effective antibiotics against *P. aeruginosa* and therefore used as a first-line treatment in the UK
(Hilliam et al., 2020). Our study demonstrated that ciprofloxacin was certainly the most potent
antibiotic against planktonic and biofilm of *P. aeruginosa* not only *in vitro* but also on *ex vivo*
cornea model. Our MIC values for ciprofloxacin indicate that both strains were susceptible
according to EUCAST, 2022 with PA14 marginally more resistant than PAO1. Overall, the
results for MIC were similar to those in literature. Research groups reported MIC values in
range from 0.125 µg mL^{-1} (Riera et al., 2010) to 0.25 µg mL^{-1} (Shafiei et al., 2014, Bowler et
al., 2012) and 1 µg mL^{-1} (Fernandez-Olmos et al., 2012) for PAO1. Some studies reported MIC
0.125 µg mL^{-1} for PA14 (Soares et al., 2019, Bruchmann et al., 2013) while ours was
marginally higher (0.25-0.5 µg mL^{-1}). Our MBEC results also match trends in other studies *in*
vitro which demonstrated that there was small but not significant difference in response to
ciprofloxacin between biofilm formed by PA14 and PAO1 (Billings et al., 2013, Benthall et
al., 2015).

In initial studies to establish *ex vivo* keratitis model, we tested various bacterial loads in the

inoculum to initiate infection. It was found that as few as 215 CFU of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 per

cornea was enough to initiate infection in our *ex vivo* model and the CFU retrieved form the

cornea after 24 hours were the same regardless of the input. We speculate that this phenomenon

happens at 24 hours post infection because the nutrients become more limited at this time point

and similarly to batch cultures, the bacteria reached a stationary growth phase (Llorens et al.,

2010, Rolfe et al., 2012). Additionally, changes in pH, accumulation of toxic metabolites and

many other factors force bacteria to enter the stationary phase (Jaishankar and Srivastava, 2017,

Llorens et al., 2010) and it is common in the wild (Gefen et al., 2014). The proliferation of

bacteria on *ex vivo* cornea is not limited by antimicrobial compounds in tears (McDermott,

2013) or host response (Hazlett et al., 2014) as it would normally happen in live scenario.

Lower inoculum was found to decrease the prevalence of ulcerative microbial keratitis in live

animals (Lawinbrussel et al., 1993) therefore researchers often initiate infection using an

inoculum load higher than or equal to 1×10^6 CFU of *Pseudomonas* sp. per eye (Tam et al.,

2011, Lawinbrussel et al., 1993, Preston et al., 1995, Augustin et al., 2011). However, in

Lawinbrussel et al. (1993) study, the corneas were not wounded but lower inoculum was

introduced with contact lens and the infection time was extended to 9 days in rabbits in vivo

(Lawinbrussel et al., 1993), therefore comparing our findings to literature is challenging.

Additionally, as previously discussed (Urwin et al., 2020), we are unable to compare our results

to other studies *ex vivo* due to lack of standardised protocol. As a higher inoculum allows

reliable bacterial quantification and makes bacterial visualization on infected corneas easier

(Ting et al., 2021a) we subsequently used an inoculum containing at least 1×10^6 CFU per

cornea in further experiments.

To identify whether it is possible to distinguish between infections caused by cytotoxic and

invasive strains of *P. aeruginosa*, we compared progress of infection over time between

cytotoxic *P. aeruginosa* PA14 and invasive *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 strain by monitoring the

number of CFU retrieved from the cornea over time. Growth plateau observed after 18 hours

of incubation with both strains suggests stationary phase was reached for both strains at this

point. This suggests that the enhanced cytotoxicity of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 did not seem to

confer a selective advantage during infection of the wounded *ex vivo* porcine cornea. These

observations led us to conclude that enhanced cytotoxicity did not dramatically affect progress

of infection in our porcine keratitis model.

Finally, when the antibiotics were tested on the *ex vivo* keratitis model, we discovered that

gentamicin was not effective at concentration of 1.25 mg mL^{-1}. Studies on rabbits *in vivo* used

various concentrations of gentamicin (1.6, 3, 5 &13 mg mL^{-1}) to treat *P. aeruginos*a keratitis

with mixed therapeutic outcome (Punitan et al., 2019, Rootman and Krajden, 1993, Fruchtpery

et al., 1995, Silbiger and Stern, 1992, Gupta et al., 1995, Kowalski et al., 2013, Ahmad et al.,

1977). One case study showed that the treatment with 14 mg mL^{-1} gentamicin failed even

though the *P. aeruginosa* strains isolated from human cornea were identified as sensitive to

this antibiotic (Chan et al., 2021). Some of the concentrations used in mentioned studies were

cytotoxic because it was found that as little as of 3 mg mL^{-1} of this antibiotic is cytotoxic in

human corneal epithelial cells in vitro (Tsai et al., 2010) and impairs the wound healing in

rabbits in vivo (Stiebel-Kalish et al., 1998). This suggests that potential harm of higher

gentamicin concentrations used to treat infections may outweigh the benefit, especially with

prolonged exposure. Again, it is very difficult to compare our results from infection treatment

outcome to *in vivo* because of differences in wounding techniques (bacteria are often injected

into the stroma), number of CFU in inoculum, exposure time and concentration of an antibiotic

and the host immune response between studies. Although we did not measure if gentamicin

reached MIC in tissue, this antibiotic shows a good corneal tissue penetration; therefore, the

concentration of this antibiotic more likely reached MIC values (Rootman and Krajden, 1993,

Yau et al., 1986). Additionally, wounding corneas in our study created a defect that is expected

to increase penetration of an antibiotic (McDermott et al., 1993). Also gentamicin demonstrates

post antibiotic affect (PAE) where bacteria growth is inhibited following exposure even after

the drug concentration has fallen below MIC (Karlowsky et al., 1994). According to the

literature, cytotoxic strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PA14) remain mostly outside the host

cells, while invasive strains (PAO1) reside and replicate inside corneal cells during infection.

Therefore, it is believed that antibiotics that do not penetrate host cell membranes such as

tobramycin or gentamicin are often less effective against invasive strains of *P. aeruginosa*,

while ofloxacins (e.g. ciprofloxacin) that penetrate host cell membranes can be used to target

these strains (Lee et al., 2003b, Cendra et al., 2017). Our results for gentamicin demonstrated

an opposite effect because the antibiotic significantly reduced viable count only for strain

PAO1 in the *ex vivo* keratitis model.

Meropenem has a low toxicity, good corneal tissue penetration (Sueke et al., 2015) and it was

found to be very effective in *Pseudomonas* keratitis in concentrations of 50 mg mL^{-1} in rabbits

in vivo (Bozkurt et al., 2021) and humans (Pande and Bhailume, 2014) without any side effects.
Some studies found that meropenem concentrations of 5 mg mL^{-1} increased cellular activity in
corneal epithelial cell lines and the cell viability was still high (96%) after meropenem
treatment (Sueke et al., 2014, Sueke et al., 2015). Meropenem reduced the bacterial load in our
ex vivo corneas similarly to other studies on *ex vivo* rabbits or human (Bozkurt et al., 2021).
Low toxicity at high concentrations and reduction of bacteria load in studies *in vivo* suggests
that meropenem could be a good alternative drug against keratitis in the future (Pande and
Bhailume, 2014, Bozkurt et al., 2021). However, the resistance towards this antibiotic in
MBEC data is concerning (Bowler et al., 2012, Haagensen et al., 2017). Haagensen et al. (2017)
demonstrated that meropenem was highly effective in early stages of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1
biofilm formation. We also achieved good reduction of bacteria load after application of
meropenem after 6 hours post infection, during possibly early stages of biofilm formation.
More studies need to be conducted to assess the effectiveness of this drug and possibility of its
use in clinical practice. Some studies report that combining meropenem and ciprofloxacin can
have a synergistic effect against some clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* (Erdem et al., 2002,
Erdem et al., 2003, Siqueira et al., 2014, Pankuch et al., 2008, GarciaRodriguez et al., 1996)
which could be tested on our *ex vivo* porcine keratitis model in the future.

Ciprofloxacin has a very good tissue penetration property. Exposure to this antibiotic for as
short as 10 minutes has been demonstrated to result in concentrations exceeding MIC in human
cornea *ex vivo* (Silva et al., 2017, McDermott et al., 1993, Akkan et al., 1997, Ozturk et al.,
1999) therefore we suspect that the 18 hour continuous exposure to this antibiotic in our study
very likely resulted in MIC concentration in corneal tissue. Ciprofloxacin was very effective
in eradicating *P. aeruginosa* at higher concentrations in our experiments which is in line with
studies *in vivo*. The treatment was equally effective against cytotoxic and invasive strains of *P.*
aeruginosa. Several studies found that treating corneas with ciprofloxacin significantly
reduced or completely ceased infection with *P. aeruginosa* in live rabbits (Obrien et al., 1988,
Aliprandis et al., 2005, Guzek et al., 1994, LaBorwit et al., 2001, Bu et al., 2007, Kowalski et
al., 2001, Lauffenburger and Cohen, 1993, Oguz et al., 2005, Rhee et al., 2004) and humans
(Levey et al., 1998). Although it was found that phenotypic adaptation towards persistence to
this antibiotic happens very early if supra-MIC concentrations are used and as a result
ciprofloxacin may fail to eradicate biofilm (Soares et al., 2019). Using higher concentrations
of ciprofloxacin (0.3%) can cause crystalline corneal precipitation in humans (Wilhelmus and
Abshire, 2003, Wilhelmus et al., 1993, McDonald et al., 2014).

A study on primary human corneal fibroblasts *in vitro* showed that antimicrobial treatment failed to clear bacteria located intracellularly (Cendra et al., 2017) which may explain the presence of remaining bacteria that survived treatment with higher than MIC concentration of ciprofloxacin, meropenem and gentamicin in our *ex vivo* model. Our *ex vivo* data clearly show that topical MIC drug concentrations at the site of infection are not sufficient to rapidly kill *P. aeruginosa*. Similarly to studies on mouse *in vivo*, we found no significant difference in response towards antimicrobials between cytotoxic and invasive strain of *P. aeruginosa* (Lee et al., 2003b) in our *ex vivo* keratitis model.

Visual acuity is clinically one of the parameters showing therapeutic response in patients (Borkar et al., 2013, Hue et al., 2009). We observed that corneal damage caused by *P. aeruginosa* in our *ex vivo* keratitis model looked visibly similar to images found in clinical case reports (Hue et al., 2009). The response to different treatments can be observed and used to foresee the outcome which makes this model even more advantageous in comparison to other *in vitro* models. Genetic differences between cytotoxic and invasive *P. aeruginosa* strains led to different effects on epithelial cells (Fleiszig et al., 1997) which may be observed visually (Cole et al., 1998). However, other researchers found a lack of correlation between the number of viable bacteria remaining after antibiotic treatment and disease severity assessed visually from images (Lee et al., 2003b) in a similar way to ours. This was verified in our study where the corneas looked healthy after gentamicin treatment despite of high colony count. In our experiments, the invasive strain PAO1 had initially the highest observable opacity with and without an antibiotic treatment in comparison to the cytotoxic strain PA14. A similar conclusion was reached by Borkar et al. (2013) where the ulcer size from invasive strains of *P. aeruginosa* in human keratitis was significantly bigger than from cytotoxic although genotypically invasive strains were associated with better visual acuity at enrolment. Some studies on mice showed that the damage in the centre of the cornea is not only due to bacterial damage but also a result of neutrophil infiltration (Fleiszig et al., 1996, Cole et al., 1998, Borkar et al., 2013) however our model *ex vivo* lacks neutrophils therefore ulceration comes from bacterial action.

The limitation of present studies naturally includes absence of fully operating host-defences in *ex vivo* model. Nevertheless, the response to treatment with tested antibiotics was in line with trends found in literature and showed that observations our *ex vivo* keratitis model is very

similar to other animal models *in vivo* and to findings in clinical studies on humans. Therefore,
our *ex vivo* porcine cornea model is a practical tool for rapidly and cost effectively screening
the efficacy of ocular drugs with good sensitivity and reliability. We contend that our *ex vivo*
model could be used to reduce and refine use of live animals in keratitis studies. The
observations from our *ex vivo* keratitis model could advance discovery of new ocular drugs,
facilitate their rapid translation to the market and serve as a guidance for clinical application in
the future.
685

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The authors would like to thank the Medical Research Council (MR/S004688/1) for funding
part of this project. We would like to thank R. B. Elliot and Son Abattoir for providing porcine
corneas for research. The authors also want to thank Mr Jonathan Emery, Dr Mahendra Raut,
Prof. Annette Taylor and Ms Hannah Regan for scoring cornea opacity. The authors would like
to thank Dr Grace Crowther for her technical support.
692

693 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**
694 KO and EK conceived the hypothesis and research design, KO performed all the experiments,
695 analysed the data, and wrote the manuscript. EK and PM provided resources and reviewed the
696 manuscript.
697

698 **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**
699 The authors confirm no conflict of interest.
700

701 **REFERENCES**
702

AHMAD, A., SMOLIN, G., OKUMOTO, M. & OHNO, S. 1977. Ticarcillin in the treatment
of experimental *Pseudomonas* keratitis. *British Journal of Opthalmology,* 61.
AKKAN, A. G., MUTLU, I., OZYAZGAN, S., GOK, A., YIGIT, U., OZUNER, Z.,
SENSES, V. & PEKEL, H. 1997. Penetration of topically applied ciprofloxacin,
norfloxacin and ofloxacin into the aqueous humor of the uninflamed human eye.
Journal of Chemotherapy, 9**,** 257-262.
ALIPRANDIS, E., CIRALSKY, J., LAI, H., HERLING, I. & KATZ, H. R. 2005.
Comparative efficacy of topical moxifloxacin versus ciprofloxacin and vancomycin in
the treatment of P-aeruginoso and ciprofloxacin-resistant MRSA keratitis in rabbits.
Cornea, 24**,** 201-205.
ANDREWS, J. M. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *Journal of*
Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 48**,** 5-16.
AUGUSTIN, D. K., HEIMER, S. R., TAM, C., LI, W. Y., LE DUE, J. M., EVANS, D. J. &
FLEISZIG, S. M. J. 2011. Role of Defensins in Corneal Epithelial Barrier Function
against Pseudomonas aeruginosa Traversal. *Infection and Immunity,* 79**,** 595-605.

BAGGE, N., SCHUSTER, M., HENTZER, M., CIOFU, O., GIVSKOV, M., GREENBERG, E. P. & HOIBY, N. 2004. Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms exposed to imipenem exhibit changes in global gene expression and beta-lactamase and alginate production. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy,* 48**,** 1175-1187.

BAHARI, S., ZEIGHAMI, H., MIRSHAHABI, H., ROUDASHTI, S. & HAGHI, F. 2017. Inhibition of Pseudomonas aeruginosa quorum sensing by subinhibitory concentrations of curcumin with gentamicin and azithromycin. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance,* 10**,** 21-28.

BENTHALL, G., TOUZEL, R. E., HIND, C. K., TITBALL, R. W., SUTTON, J. M., THOMAS, R. J. & WAND, M. E. 2015. Evaluation of antibiotic efficacy against infections caused by planktonic or biofilm cultures of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae in Galleria mellonella. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents,* 46**,** 538-545.

BILLINGS, N., MILLAN, M. R., CALDARA, M., RUSCONI, R., TARASOVA, Y., STOCKER, R. & RIBBECK, K. 2013. The Extracellular Matrix Component Psl Provides Fast-Acting Antibiotic Defense in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. *Plos Pathogens,* 9.

BORKAR, D. S., FLEISZIG, S. M. J., LEONG, C., LALITHA, P., SRINIVASAN, M., GHANEKAR, A. A., TAM, C., LI, W. Y., ZEGANS, M. E., MCLEOD, S. D., LIETMAN, T. M. & ACHARYA, N. R. 2013. Association Between Cytotoxic and Invasive Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Clinical Outcomes in Bacterial Keratitis. *Jama Ophthalmology,* 131**,** 147-153.

BOWLER, L. L., ZHANEL, G. G., BALL, T. B. & SAWARD, L. L. 2012. Mature Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms Prevail Compared to Young Biofilms in the Presence of Ceftazidime. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy,* 56**,** 4976-4979.

BOZKURT, E., MUHAFIZ, E., KEPENEK, H. S., BOZLAK, C. E. B., SALTAN, S. K. & BINGOL, S. A. 2021. A New Treatment Experience in Pseudomonas Keratitis: Topical Meropenem and Cefepime. *Eye & Contact Lens-Science and Clinical Practice,* 47**,** 174-179.

BRADY, A. J., LAVERTY, G., GILPIN, D. F., KEARNEY, P. & TUNNEY, M. 2017. Antibiotic susceptibility of planktonic-and biofilm-grown staphylococci isolated from implant-associated infections: should MBEC and nature of biofilm formation replace MIC? *Journal of Medical Microbiology,* 66**,** 461-469.

BRUCHMANN, S., DOTSCH, A., NOURI, B., CHABERNY, I. F. & HAUSSLER, S. 2013. Quantitative Contributions of Target Alteration and Decreased Drug Accumulation to Pseudomonas aeruginosa Fluoroquinolone Resistance. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy,* 57**,** 1361-1368.

BU, P., RISKE, P. S., ZAYA, N. E., CAREY, R. & BOUCHARD, C. S. 2007. A comparison of topical chlorhexidine, ciprofloxacin, and fortified Tobramycin/Cefazolin in rabbit models of Staphylococcus and Pseudomonas keratitis. *Journal of Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics,* 23**,** 213-220.

CENDRA, M. D., CHRISTODOULIDES, M. & HOSSAIN, P. 2017. Effect of Different Antibiotic Chemotherapies on Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infection In Vitro of Primary Human Corneal Fibroblast Cells. *Frontiers in Microbiology,* 8.

CERI, H., OLSON, M. E., STREMICK, C., READ, R. R., MORCK, D. & BURET, A. 1999. The Calgary Biofilm Device: New technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology,* 37**,** 1771-1776.

CHAN, A. O. H. H., STANLEY, P. & CHANG, B. 2021. Recalcitrant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* keratitis with hyphaema. *Case Rep Ophthalmol,* 12**,** 214-218.

CIOFU, O. & TOLKER-NIELSEN, T. 2019. Tolerance and Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms to Antimicrobial Agents-How P. aeruginosa Can Escape Antibiotics. *Frontiers in Microbiology,* 10.

CLSI. 2020. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

COLE, N., WILLCOX, M. D. P., FLEISZIG, S. M. J., STAPLETON, F., BAO, B., TOUT, S. & HUSBAND, A. 1998. Different strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from ocular infections or inflammation display distinct corneal pathologies in an animal model. *Current Eye Research,* 17**,** 730-735.

COLVIN, K. M., GORDON, V. D., MURAKAMI, K., BORLEE, B. R., WOZNIAK, D. J., WONG, G. C. L. & PARSEK, M. R. 2011. The Pel Polysaccharide Can Serve a Structural and Protective Role in the Biofilm Matrix of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Plos Pathogens,* 7.

COSTERTON, J. W., CHENG, K. J., GEESEY, G. G., LADD, T. I., NICKEL, J. C., DASGUPTA, M. & MARRIE, T. J. 1987. BACTERIAL BIOFILMS IN NATURE AND DISEASE. *Annual Review of Microbiology,* 41**,** 435-464.

DALMON, C., PORCO, T. C., LIETMAN, T. M., PRAJNA, N. V., PRAJNA, L., DAS, M. R., KUMAR, J. A., MASCARENHAS, J., MARGOLIS, T. P., WHITCHER, J. P., JENG, B. H., KEENAN, J. D., CHAN, M. F., MCLEOD, S. D. & ACHARYA, N. R. 2012. The Clinical Differentiation of Bacterial and Fungal Keratitis: A Photographic Survey. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science,* 53**,** 1787-1791.

DART, J. K. G. & SEAL, D. V. 1988. PATHOGENESIS AND THERAPY OF PSEUDOMONAS-AERUGINOSA KERATITIS. *Eye,* 2**,** S46-S55.

DAVIES, D. 2003. Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery,* 2**,** 114-122.

DE KIEVIT, T. R. 2009. Quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *Environmental Microbiology,* 11**,** 279-288.

DIMASI, J. A., FLOREZ, M. I., STERGIOPOULOS, S., PENA, Y., SMITH, Z., WILKINSON, M. & GETZ, K. A. 2020. Development Times and Approval Success Rates for Drugs to Treat Infectious Diseases. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics,* 107**,** 324-332.

ERDEM, I., KAYNAR-TASCIOGLU, J., KAYA, B. & GOKTAS, P. 2002. The comparison of in the vitro effect of imipenem or meropenem combined with ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin against multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents,* 20**,** 384-386.

ERDEM, I., KUCUKERCAN, M. & CERAN, N. 2003. In vitro activity of combination therapy with cefepime, piperacillin-tazobactam, or meropenem with ciprofloxacin against multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains. *Chemotherapy,* 49**,** 294-297.

EUCAST 2022. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. 12.0 ed. http://www.eucast.org.

EUN, H. C., CHUNG, J. H., JUNG, S. Y., CHO, K. H. & KIM, K. H. 1994. A COMPARATIVE-STUDY OF THE CYTOTOXICITY OF SKIN IRRITANTS ON CULTURED HUMAN ORAL AND SKIN KERATINOCYTES. *British Journal of Dermatology,* 130**,** 24-28.

FERNANDEZ-OLMOS, A., GARCIA-CASTILLO, M., MAIZ, L., LAMAS, A., BAQUERO, F. & CANTON, R. 2012. In vitro prevention of Pseudomonas aeruginosa early biofilm formation with antibiotics used in cystic fibrosis patients. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents,* 40**,** 173-176.

FLEISZIG, S. M. J., WIENERKRONISH, J. P., MIYAZAKI, H., VALLAS, V., MOSTOV, K. E., KANADA, D., SAWA, T., YEN, T. S. B. & FRANK, D. W. 1997.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa-mediated cytotoxicity and invasion correlate with distinct genotypes at the loci encoding exoenzyme S. *Infection and Immunity,* 65**,** 579-586.

FLEISZIG, S. M. J., ZAIDI, T. S., PRESTON, M. J., GROUT, M., EVANS, D. J. & PIER, G. B. 1996. Relationship between cytotoxicity and corneal epithelial cell invasion by clinical isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Infection and Immunity,* 64**,** 2288-2294.

FRESCHI, L., VINCENT, A. T., JEUKENS, J., EMOND-RHEAULT, J. G., KUKAVICA-IBRULJ, I., DUPONT, M. J., CHARETTE, S. J., BOYLE, B. & LEVESQUE, R. C. 2019. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pan-Genome Provides New Insights on Its Population Structure, Horizontal Gene Transfer, and Pathogenicity. *Genome Biology and Evolution,* 11**,** 109-120.

FRIEDMAN, L. & KOLTER, R. 2004. Genes involved in matrix formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 biofilms. *Molecular Microbiology,* 51**,** 675-690.

FRUCHTPERY, J., GOLAN, G., HEMO, I., ZAUBERMAN, H. & SHAPIRO, M. 1995. EFFICACY OF TOPICAL GENTAMICIN TREATMENT AFTER 193-NM PHOTOREFRACTIVE KERATECTOMY IN AN EXPERIMENTAL PSEUDOMONAS KERATITIS MODEL. *Graefes Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology,* 233**,** 532-534.

GARCIARODRIGUEZ, J. A., BLAZQUEZ, A. M., FRESNADILLO, M. J., SANCHEZ, E. G., SANCHEZ, J. E. G. & MARTIN, I. T. 1996. In vitro activity of meropenem against ciprofloxacin-resistant enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of Chemotherapy,* 8**,** 358-364.

GARG, P., SHARMA, S. & RAO, G. N. 1999. Ciprofloxacin resistant Pseudomonas keratitis. *Ophthalmology,* 106**,** 1319-1323.

GEFEN, O., FRIDMAN, O., RONIN, I. & BALABAN, N. Q. 2014. Direct observation of single stationary-phase bacteria reveals a surprisingly long period of constant protein production activity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 111**,** 556-561.

GIRARD, G. & BLOEMBERG, G. V. 2008. Central role of quorum sensing in regulating the production of pathogenicity factors in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Future Microbiology,* 3**,** 97-106.

GOKHALE, N. S. 2008. Medical management approach to infectious keratitis. *Indian Journal of Ophthalmology,* 56**,** 215-220.

GOLDSTEIN, M. H., SILVA, F. Q., BLENDER, N., TRAN, T. & VANTIPALLI, S. Ocular benzalkonium chloride exposure: problems and solutions. *Eye.*

GOLDSTEIN, M. H., SILVA, F. Q., BLENDER, N., TRAN, T. & VANTIPALLI, S. 2022. Ocular benzalkonium chloride exposure: problems and solutions. *Eye,* 36**,** 361-368.

GOODMAN, A. L., KULASEKARA, B., RIETSCH, A., BOYD, D., SMITH, R. S. & LORY, S. 2004. A signaling network reciprocally regulates genes associated with acute infection and chronic persistence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Developmental Cell,* 7**,** 745-754.

GOWER, N. J. D., BARRY, R. J., EDMUNDS, M. R., TITCOMB, L. C. & DENNISTON, A. K. 2016. Drug discovery in ophthalmology: past success, present challenges, and future opportunities. *Bmc Ophthalmology,* 16.

GUPTA, S. K., VAJPAYEE, R., VARMA, B., SHARMA, N., SATPATHY, G. & VAJPAYEE, M. 1995. A COMPARATIVE-EVALUATION OF TOPICAL PEFLOXACIN AND GENTAMICIN IN EXPERIMENTALLY-INDUCED PSEUDOMONAS CORNEAL ULCERS. *Annals of Ophthalmology-Glaucoma,* 27**,** 197-202.

GUZEK, J. P., CHACKO, D., KETTERING, J. D., WESSELS, I. F. & APRECIO, R. M. 1994. COMPARISON OF TOPICAL CIPROFLOXACIN TO CONVENTIONAL

ANTIBIOTIC-THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF EXPERIMENTAL
PSEUDOMONAS-AERUGINOSA KERATITIS. *Cornea,* 13**,** 500-504.
HAAGENSEN, J., VEROTTA, D., HUANG, L. S., ENGEL, J., SPORMANN, A. M. &
YANG, K. 2017. Spatiotemporal pharmacodynamics of meropenem- and tobramycin-
treated Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy,*
72**,** 3357-3365.
HARRISON, J. J., STREMICK, C. A., TURNER, R. J., ALLAN, N. D., OLSON, M. E. &
CERI, H. 2010. Microtiter susceptibility testing of microbes growing on peg lids: a
miniaturized biofilm model for high-throughput screening. *Nature Protocols,* 5**,** 1236-
1254.
HASSAN, M. M., HARRINGTON, N. E., SWEENEY, E. & HARRISON, F. 2020.
Predicting Antibiotic-Associated Virulence ofPseudomonas aeruginosaUsing anex
vivoLung Biofilm Model. *Frontiers in Microbiology,* 11.
HASSELMANN, C. 2000. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious
Diseases (ESCMID) - European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing
(EUCAST) - Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) by agar
dilution. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection,* 6**,** 1-7.
HAZLETT, L. D., JIANG, X. Y. & MCCLELLAN, S. A. 2014. IL-10 Function, Regulation,
and in Bacterial Keratitis. *Journal of Ocular Pharmacology and Therapeutics,* 30**,**
373-380.
HILLIAM, Y., KAYE, S. & WINSTANLEY, C. 2020. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and
microbial keratitis. *Journal of Medical Microbiology,* 69**,** 3-13.
HUE, B., DOAT, M., RENARD, G., BRANDELY, M. L. & CHAST, F. 2009. Severe
Keratitis Caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa Successfully Treated with Ceftazidime
Associated with Acetazolamide. *Journal of Ophthalmology,* 2009.
HUMPHRIES, R. M., ABBOTT, A. N. & HINDLER, J. A. 2019. Understanding and
Addressing CLSI Breakpoint Revisions: a Primer for Clinical Laboratories. *Journal of*
Clinical Microbiology, 57.
IBRAHIM, Y. W., BOASE, D. L. & CREE, I. A. 2009. Epidemiological characteristics,
predisposing factors and microbiological profiles of infectious corneal ulcers: the
Portsmouth corneal ulcer study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology,* 93**,** 1319-1324.
JAISHANKAR, J. & SRIVASTAVA, P. 2017. Molecular Basis of Stationary Phase Survival
and Applications. *Frontiers in Microbiology,* 8.
KARLOWSKY, J. A., ZHANEL, G. G., DAVIDSON, R. J. & HOBAN, D. J. 1994.
POSTANTIBIOTIC EFFECT IN PSEUDOMONAS-AERUGINOSA FOLLOWING
SINGLE AND MULTIPLE AMINOGLYCOSIDE EXPOSURES IN-VITRO.
Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 33**,** 937-947.
KASETTY, S., KATHARIOS-LANWERMEYER, S., O'TOOLE, G. A. & NADELL, C. D.
2021. Differential Surface Competition and Biofilm Invasion Strategies of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14 and PAO1. *Journal of Bacteriology,* 203.
KOWALSKA-KROCHMAL, B. & DUDEK-WICHER, R. 2021. The Minimum Inhibitory
Concentration of Antibiotics: Methods, Interpretation, Clinical Relevance. *Pathogens,*
10.
KOWALSKI, R. P., KOWALSKI, T. A., SHANKS, R. M. Q., ROMANOWSKI, E. G.,
KARENCHAK, L. M. & MAH, F. S. 2013. In Vitro Comparison of Combination and
Monotherapy for the Empiric and Optimal Coverage of Bacterial Keratitis Based on
Incidence of Infection. *Cornea,* 32**,** 830-834.
KOWALSKI, R. P., ROMANOWSKI, E. G., YATES, K. A. & GORDON, Y. J. 2001.
Lomefloxacin is an effective treatment of experimental bacterial keratitis. *Cornea,* 20**,**
306-308.

LABORWIT, S. E., KATZ, H. R., HIRSCHBEIN, M. J., OSWALD, M. R., SNYDER, L. S.,
SCHWARTZ, K. S. & HERLING, I. E. 2001. Topical 0.3% ciprofloxacin vs topical
0.3% ofloxacin in early treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa keratitis in a rabbit
model. *Annals of Ophthalmology,* 33**,** 48-52.
LAUFFENBURGER, M. D. & COHEN, K. L. 1993. TOPICAL CIPRROFLOXACIN
VERSUS TOPICAL FORTIFIED ANTIBIOTICS IN RABBIT MODELS OF
STAPHYLOCOCCUS AND PSEUDOMONAS KERATITIS. *Cornea,* 12**,** 517-521.
LAWINBRUSSEL, C. A., REFOJO, M. F., LEONG, F. L., HANNINEN, L. & KENYON,
K. R. 1993. EFFECT OF PSEUDOMONAS-AERUGINOSA CONCENTRATION
IN EXPERIMENTAL CONTACT LENS-RELATED MICROBIAL KERATITIS.
Cornea, 12**,** 10-18.
LEBEAUX, D., GHIGO, J. M. & BELOIN, C. 2014. Biofilm-Related Infections: Bridging
the Gap between Clinical Management and Fundamental Aspects of Recalcitrance
toward Antibiotics. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews,* 78**,** 510-543.
LEE, D. G., URBACH, J. M., WU, G., LIBERATI, N. T., FEINBAUM, R. L., MIYATA, S.,
DIGGINS, L. T., HE, J. X., SAUCIER, M., DEZIEL, E., FRIEDMAN, L., LI, L.,
GRILLS, G., MONTGOMERY, K., KUCHERLAPATI, R., RAHME, L. G. &
AUSUBEL, F. M. 2006. Genomic analysis reveals that Pseudomonas aeruginosa
virulence is combinatorial. *Genome Biology,* 7.
LEE, E. J., COWELL, B. A., EVANS, D. H. & FLEISZIG, S. M. J. 2003a. Contribution of
ExsA-regulated factors to corneal infection by cytotoxic and invasive Pseudomonas
aeruginosa in a murine scarification model. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual
Science,* 44**,** 3892-3898.
LEE, E. J., TRUONG, T. N., MENDOZA, M. N. & FLEISZIG, S. M. J. 2003b. A
comparison of invasive and cytotoxic Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain-induced corneal
disease responses to therapeutics. *Current Eye Research,* 27**,** 289-299.
LEVEY, S. B., KATZ, H. R., LEVINE, E. S., ABRAMS, D. A. & MARSH, M. J. 1998.
Efficacy of topical ciprofloxacin 0.3% in the treatment of ulcerative keratitis in
humans. *Annals of Ophthalmology & Glaucoma,* 30**,** 301-304.
LLORENS, J. M. N., TORMO, A. & MARTINEZ-GARCIA, E. 2010. Stationary phase in
gram-negative bacteria. *Fems Microbiology Reviews,* 34**,** 476-495.
LOPEZ-DUPLA, M., MARTINEZ, J. A., VIDAL, F., ALMELA, M., SORIANO, A.,
MARCO, F., LOPEZ, J., OLONA, M. & MENSA, J. 2009. Previous ciprofloxacin
exposure is associated with resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics in subsequent
Pseudomonas aeruginosa bacteremic isolates. *American Journal of Infection Control,*
37**,** 753-758.
MAURICE, N. M., BEDI, B. & SADIKOT, R. T. 2018. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms:
Host Response and Clinical Implications in Lung Infections. *American Journal of
Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology,* 58**,** 428-439.
MCDERMOTT, A. M. 2013. Antimicrobial compounds in tears. *Experimental Eye Research,*
117**,** 53-61.
MCDERMOTT, M. L., TRAN, T. D., COWDEN, J. W. & BUGGE, C. J. L. 1993.
CORNEAL STROMAL PENETRATION OF TOPICAL CIPROFLOXACIN IN
HUMANS. *Ophthalmology,* 100**,** 197-200.
MCDONALD, E. M., RAM, F. S. F., PATEL, D. V. & MCGHEE, C. N. J. 2014. Topical
antibiotics for the management of bacterial keratitis: an evidence-based review of
high quality randomised controlled trials. *British Journal of Ophthalmology,* 98**,**
1470-1477.

MIKKELSEN, H., MCMULLAN, R. & FILLOUX, A. 2011. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Reference Strain PA14 Displays Increased Virulence Due to a Mutation in ladS. *Plos*
One, 6.
MONAHAN, L. G., TURNBULL, L., OSVATH, S. R., BIRCH, D., CHARLES, I. G. &
WHITCHURCH, C. B. 2014. Rapid Conversion of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to a
Spherical Cell Morphotype Facilitates Tolerance to Carbapenems and Penicillins but
Increases Susceptibility to Antimicrobial Peptides. *Antimicrobial Agents and*
Chemotherapy, 58**,** 1956-1962.
NOECKER, R. 2001. Effects of common ophthalmic preservatives on ocular health.
Advances in Therapy, 18**,** 205-215.
NORINA, T. J., RAIHAN, S., BAKIAH, S., EZANEE, M., LIZA-SHARMINI, A. T. &
WAN, H. W. H. 2008. Microbial keratitis: aetiological diagnosis and clinical features
in patients admitted to Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia. *Singapore Medical*
Journal, 49**,** 67-71.
O'BRIEN, T. P. 2003. Management of bacterial keratitis: beyond exorcism towards
consideration of organism and host factors. *Eye,* 17**,** 957-974.
OBRIEN, T. P., SAWUSCH, M. R., DICK, J. D. & GOTTSCH, J. D. 1988. TOPICAL
CIPROFLOXACIN TREATMENT OF PSEUDOMONAS KERATITIS IN
RABBITS. *Archives of Ophthalmology,* 106**,** 1444-1446.
OCAMPO-SOSA, A. A., CABOT, G., RODRIGUEZ, C., ROMAN, E., TUBAU, F.,
MACIA, M. D., MOYA, B., ZAMORANO, L., SUAREZ, C., PENA, C.,
DOMINGUEZ, M. A., MONCALIAN, G., OLIVER, A., MARTINEZ-MARTINEZ,
L. & SPANISH NETWORK RES INFECT DIS, R. E. I. 2012. Alterations of OprD in
Carbapenem-Intermediate and -Susceptible Strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Isolated from Patients with Bacteremia in a Spanish Multicenter Study. *Antimicrobial*
Agents and Chemotherapy, 56**,** 1703-1713.
OGUZ, H., OZBILGE, H., OGUZ, E. & GURKAN, T. 2005. Effectiveness of topical
taurolidine versus ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin, and fortified cefazolin in a rabbit
Staphylococcus aureus keratitis model. *Current Eye Research,* 30**,** 155-161.
OKUROWSKA, K., ROY, S., THOKALA, P., PARTRIDGE, L., GARG, P., MACNEIL, S.,
MONK, P. N. & KARUNAKARAN, E. 2020. Establishing a Porcine Ex Vivo Cornea
Model for Studying Drug Treatments against Bacterial Keratitis. *Jove-Journal of*
Visualized Experiments.
OZTURK, F., KORTUNAY, S., KURT, E., ILKER, S. S., BASCI, N. E. & BOZKURT, A.
1999. Penetration of topical and oral ciprofloxacin into the aqueous and vitreous
humor in inflamed eyes. *Retina-the Journal of Retinal and Vitreous Diseases,* 19**,**
218-222.
PANDE, R. A. & BHAILUME, P. V. 2014. Use of topical meropenem in management
of hospital acquired Pseudomonas ocular infections. *Journal of Clinical Opthalmology,* 1**,** 23-
25.
PANKUCH, G. A., LIN, G. R., SEIFERT, H. & APPELBAUM, P. C. 2008. Activity of
meropenem with and without ciprofloxacin and colistin against Pseudomonas
aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy,*
52**,** 333-336.
PRESTON, M. J., FLEISZIG, S. M. J., ZAIDI, T. S., GOLDBERG, J. B., SHORTRIDGE, V.
D., VASIL, M. L. & PIER, G. B. 1995. RAPID AND SENSITIVE METHOD FOR
EVALUATING PSEUDOMONAS-AERUGINOSA VIRULENCE FACTORS
DURING CORNEAL INFECTIONS IN MICE. *Infection and Immunity,* 63**,** 3497-
3501.

PUNITAN, R., SULAIMAN, S. A., HASAN, H. B. & SHATRIAH, I. 2019. Clinical and
Antibacterial Effects of Tualang Honey on Pseudomonas-induced Keratitis in Rabbit
Eyes. *Cureus,* 11.
PUSIC, P., SONNLEITNER, E., KRENNMAYR, B., HEITZINGER, D. A., WOLFINGER,
M. T., RESCH, A. & BLASI, U. 2018. Harnessing Metabolic Regulation to Increase
Hfq-Dependent Antibiotic Susceptibility in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Frontiers in*
Microbiology, 9.
RHEE, M. K., KOWALSKI, R. P., ROMANOWSKI, E. G., MAH, F. S., RITTERBAND, D.
C. & GORDON, Y. J. 2004. A laboratory evaluation of antibiotic therapy for
ciprofloxacin-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *American Journal of*
Ophthalmology, 138**,** 226-230.
RIERA, E., MACIA, M. D., MENA, A., MULET, X., PEREZ, J. L., GE, Y. G. & OLIVER,
A. 2010. Anti-biofilm and resistance suppression activities of CXA-101 against
chronic respiratory infection phenotypes of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain PAO1.
Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 65**,** 1399-1404.
ROLFE, M. D., RICE, C. J., LUCCHINI, S., PIN, C., THOMPSON, A., CAMERON, A. D.
S., ALSTON, M., STRINGER, M. F., BETTS, R. P., BARANYI, J., PECK, M. W. &
HINTON, J. C. D. 2012. Lag Phase Is a Distinct Growth Phase That Prepares Bacteria
for Exponential Growth and Involves Transient Metal Accumulation. *Journal of*
Bacteriology, 194**,** 686-701.
ROOTMAN, D. S. & KRAJDEN, M. 1993. CONTINUOUS-FLOW PERFUSION OF
GENTAMICIN WITH A SCLERAL SHELL REDUCES BACTERIAL COLONY
COUNTS IN EXPERIMENTAL PSEUDOMONAS KERATITIS. *Journal of Ocular*
Pharmacology, 9**,** 271-276.
SCANNELL, J. W., BLANCKLEY, A., BOLDON, H. & WARRINGTON, B. 2012.
Diagnosing the decline in pharmaceutical R&D efficiency. *Nature Reviews Drug*
Discovery, 11**,** 191-200.
SCHUURMANS, J. M., HAYALI, A. S. N., KOENDERS, B. B. & TER KUILE, B. H. 2009.
Variations in MIC value caused by differences in experimental protocol. *Journal of*
Microbiological Methods, 79**,** 44-47.
SEYHAN, A. A. 2019. Lost in translation: the valley of death across preclinical and clinical
divide – identification of problems and overcoming obstacles. *Translational Medicine*
*Communications***,** 18.
SHAFIEI, M., ALI, A. A., SHAHCHERAGHI, F., SABOORA, A. & NOGHABI, K. A.
2014. Eradication of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms Using the Combination of n-
butanolic Cyclamen coum Extract and Ciprofloxacin. *Jundishapur Journal of*
Microbiology, 7.
SILBIGER, J. & STERN, G. A. 1992. EVALUATION OF CORNEAL COLLAGEN
SHIELDS AS A DRUG DELIVERY DEVICE FOR THE TREATMENT OF
EXPERIMENTAL PSEUDOMONAS KERATITIS. *Ophthalmology,* 99**,** 889-892.
SILVA, G. C. M., JABOR, V. A. P., BONATO, P. S., MARTINEZ, E. Z. & FARIA-E-
SOUSA, S. J. 2017. Penetration of 0.3% ciprofloxacin, 0.3% ofloxacin, and 0.5%
moxifloxacin into the cornea and aqueous humor of enucleated human eyes. *Brazilian*
Journal of Medical and Biological Research, 50.
SIQUEIRA, V. L. D., CARDOSO, R. F., CALEFFI-FERRACIOLI, K. R., SCODRO, R. B.
D., FERNANDEZ, M. A., FIORINI, A., UEDA-NAKAMURA, T., DIAS-FILHO, B.
P. & NAKAMURAA, C. V. 2014. Structural Changes and Differentially Expressed
Genes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exposed to Meropenem-Ciprofloxacin
Combination. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy,* 58**,** 3957-3967.

SOARES, A., ROUSSEL, V., PESTEL-CARON, M., BARREAU, M., CARON, F., BOUFFARTIGUES, E., CHEVALIER, S. & ETIENNE, M. 2019. Understanding Ciprofloxacin Failure in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilm: Persister Cells Survive Matrix Disruption. *Frontiers in Microbiology,* 10.

STIEBEL-KALISH, H., GATON, D. D., WEINBERGER, D., LOYA, N., SCHWARTZ-VENTIK, M. & SOLOMON, A. 1998. A comparison of the effect of hyaluronic acid versus gentamicin on corneal epithelial healing. *Eye,* 12**,** 829-833.

SUEKE, H., KAYE, S., WILKINSON, M. C., KENNEDY, S., KEARNS, V., ZHENG, Y., ROBERTS, P., TUFT, S. & NEAL, T. 2015. Pharmacokinetics of Meropenem for Use in Bacterial Keratitis. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science,* 56**,** 5731-5738.

SUEKE, H., NEAL, T., TUFT, S. J., WILKINSON, M., ZHENG, Y., WINSTANLEY, C. & KAYE, S. 2014. Corneal Pharmacokinetics of Meropenem. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science,* 55.

TAM, C., LEDUE, J., MUN, J. J., HERZMARK, P., ROBEY, E. A., EVANS, D. J. & FLEISZIG, S. M. J. 2011. 3D Quantitative Imaging of Unprocessed Live Tissue Reveals Epithelial Defense against Bacterial Adhesion and Subsequent Traversal Requires MyD88. *Plos One,* 6.

THI, M. T. T., WIBOWO, D. & REHM, B. H. A. 2020. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Biofilms. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences,* 21.

TING, D. S. J., HO, C. S., CAIRNS, J., ELSAHN, A., AL-AQABA, M., BOSWELL, T., SAID, D. G. & DUA, H. S. 2021a. 12-year analysis of incidence, microbiological profiles and in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of infectious keratitis: the Nottingham Infectious Keratitis Study. *British Journal of Ophthalmology,* 105**,** 328-333.

TING, D. S. J., HO, C. S., DESHMUKH, R., SAID, D. G. & DUA, H. S. 2021b. Infectious keratitis: an update on epidemiology, causative microorganisms, risk factors, and antimicrobial resistance. *Eye,* 35**,** 1084-1101.

TSAI, T. H., CHEN, W. L. & HU, F. R. 2010. Comparison of fluoroquinolones: cytotoxicity on human corneal epithelial cells. *Eye,* 24**,** 909-917.

UNG, L., BISPO, P. J. M., SHANBHAG, S. S., GILMORE, M. S. & CHODOSH, J. 2019. The persistent dilemma of microbial keratitis: Global burden, diagnosis, and antimicrobial resistance. *Survey of Ophthalmology,* 64**,** 255-271.

URWIN, L., OKUROWSKA, K., CROWTHER, G., ROY, S., GARG, P., KARUNAKARAN, E., MACNEIL, S., PARTRIDGE, L. J., GREEN, L. R. & MONK, P. N. 2020. Corneal Infection Models: Tools to Investigate the Role of Biofilms in Bacterial Keratitis. *Cells,* 9.

VALLAS, V., WIENERKRONISH, J. P., MOSTOV, K. E. & FLEISZIG, S. M. J. 1996. Cytotoxic strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa can damage the intact corneal surface. *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science,* 37**,** 4026-4026.

VARAPRASATHAN, G., MILLER, K., LIETMAN, T., WHITCHER, J. P., CEVALLOS, V., OKUMOTO, M., MARGOLIS, T. P., MIAO, Y. H. & CUNNINGHAM, E. T. 2004. Trends in the etiology of infectious corneal ulcers at the F. I. Proctor Foundation. *Cornea,* 23**,** 360-364.

WHITCHER, J. P., SRINIVASAN, M. & UPADHYAY, M. P. 2001. Corneal blindness: a global perspective. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization,* 79**,** 214-221.

WIEHLMANN, L., WAGNER, G., CRAMER, N., SIEBERT, B., GUDOWIUS, P., MORALES, G., KOHLER, T., VAN DELDEN, C., WEINEL, C., SLICKERS, P. & TUMMLER, B. 2007. Population structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,* 104**,** 8101-8106.

WILHELMUS, K. R. & ABSHIRE, R. L. 2003. Corneal ciprofloxacin precipitation during
bacterial keratitis. *American Journal of Ophthalmology,* 136**,** 1032-1037.
WILHELMUS, K. R., HYNDIUK, R. A., CALDWELL, D. R., ABSHIRE, R. L.,
FOLKENS, A. T. & GODIO, L. B. 1993. 0.3-PERCENT CIPROFLOXACIN
OPHTHALMIC OINTMENT IN THE TREATMENT OF BACTERIAL
KERATITIS. *Archives of Ophthalmology,* 111**,** 1210-1218.
WILLCOX, M. D. P. 2011. Review of resistance of ocular isolates of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa and staphylococci from keratitis to ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and
cephalosporins. *Clinical and Experimental Optometry,* 94**,** 161-168.
WOZNIAK, D. J., WYCKOFF, T. J. O., STARKEY, M., KEYSER, R., AZADI, P.,
O'TOOLE, G. A. & PARSEK, M. R. 2003. Alginate is not a significant component of
the extracellular polysaccharide matrix of PA14 and PAO1 Pseudomonas aeruginosa
biofilms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America, 100**,** 7907-7912.
YAU, C. W., BUSIN, M. & KAUFMAN, H. E. 1986. OCULAR CONCENTRATION OF
GENTAMICIN AFTER PENETRATING KERATOPLASTY. *American Journal of*
Ophthalmology, 101**,** 44-48.
1130

1131 **Supplementary materials**

1132 Figure 1. Equivalence assay results representing colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1
1133 (A) and PA14 (C) retrieved from pegs across all columns in a 96-well plate.

1134
1135

Figure 2. Colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* in the *ex vivo* porcine corneas infected for 6
hours with (A) PAO1 or (B) PA14. Control corneas were immersed in PBS while other corneas
were treated with 1025µg/mL of antibiotic dissolved in PBS. Following antibiotics were
applied on infected corneas: clindamycin (CLD) (n = 4), fusidic acid (FAS) (n = 4) and
chloramphenicol (CHM) (n = 4). Error bars are means ± SD. Unpaired *t*-tests were performed
for the pairwise statistical analysis of treated against untreated colony forming units for each
strain; significant difference (*p* value < 0.05) is denoted with *.

A

1144

1145
1146
1147 **B**
1148

1149
1150

1151 Table 2. Data summary of average colony forming units of *P. aeruginosa* in the ex vivo porcine
1152 corneas infected for 6 hours with PAO1 or PA14 and treated with MIC concentrations of
1153 gentamicin, ciprofloxacin and meropenem.
1154

PAO1

Treatment	Concentration µg mL^{-1}	Average	N	SD	% Reduction
PBS	0	3.E+09	4	8.E+08	
Gentamicin	4	2.E+09	4	8.E+08	26.005
Ciprofloxacin	0.25	2.E+09	4	1.E+09	43.625
Meropenem	1	1.E+09	4	9.E+08	53.651

PA14

Treatment	Concentration µg mL^{-1}	Average	N	SD
PBS	0	4.E+07	4	1.E+07
Gentamicin	4	2.E+08	4	2.E+08
Ciprofloxacin	0.5	3.E+07	4	2.E+07
Meropenem	0.25	5.E+07	4	5.E+07

1163
1164 Table 3. Data summary of average colony forming units of *P.aeruginosa* in the *ex vivo* porcine
1165 corneas infected for 6 hours with PAO1 or PA14 and treated with gentamicin, ciprofloxacin,
1166 meropenem, fusidic acid, clindamycin and chloramphenicol.
1167

PAO1

Treatment	Concentration mg/mL	Average CFU	SD	N	% Reduction	LOG Reduction
PBS	0	8.E+08	2.E+09	19		
Gentamicin	1.025	7.E+07	1.E+08	12	91.260	1 log
Ciprofloxacin	1.025	1.E+05	1.E+05	12	99.985	5 log
Meropenem	1.025	5.E+06	4.E+06	12	99.340	2 log
Fusidic acid	1.025	2.E+08	8.E+07	4	76.452	<1 log
Clindamycin	1.025	2.E+08	9.E+07	4	78.411	<1 log
Chloramphenicol	1.025	8.E+07	1.E+08	4	89.972	1 log

1168

PA14

Treatment	Concentration mg/mL	Average CFU	SD	N	% Reduction	LOG Reduction
PBS	0	1.E+08	6.E+07	16		
Gentamicin	1.025	2.E+07	2.E+07	12	79.266	< 1 log
Ciprofloxacin	1.025	5.E+04	2.E+04	12	99.955	4 log
Meropenem	1.025	9.E+05	1.E+06	12	99.125	2 log
Fusidic acid	1.025	9.E+07	2.E+07	4	13.586	<1 log
Clindamycin	1.025	5.E+07	3.E+07	4	45.897	<1 log
Chloramphenicol	1.025	7.E+07	4.E+07	4	33.724	<1 log

1169
1170 Table 4. Data summary of opacity scoring for *ex vivo* porcine corneas. The table shows a
1171 percent of corneas graded 0, 1 and 2, depending on degree of opacity. Images showed ninety

1172   corneas infected with either cytotoxic strain PA14, or invasive strain PAO1 of *P. aeruginosa*
1173   and with or without a treatment with antibiotics.

Infecting strain of *P. aeruginosa*	Treatment	Average % of corneas graded		
		0	1	2
uninfected	PBS	70	30	0
PA14	PBS	11	54	34
	CHM	45	55	0
	CLD	80	20	0
	FAS	16	56	28
	GEN*	10	55	35
	MER*	70	25	5
	CIP*	40	40	20
	GEN	53	40	7
	MER	63	33	3
	CIP	80	20	0
PAO1	PBS	0	3	97
	CHM	50	50	0
	CLD	25	45	30
	FAS	0	0	100
	GEN*	0	13	87
	MER*	30	45	25
	CIP*	15	45	40
	GEN	29	63	9
	MER	38	38	25
	CIP	65	35	0

1174   • - corneas treated with MIC concentration of an antibiotic