TITLE:

Redox regulation of autophagy in Arabidopsis: differential effects of reactive oxygen species.

RUNNING TITLE:

Redox regulation of autophagy

AUTHORS:

Germán Robert [1,2,3 † *], Alejandro Enet [1†], Laura Saavedra [1], Ramiro Lascano [1,2 *]

† Both authors contributed equally

* Corresponding authors:

ramiro.lascano@unc.edu.ar; robert.german@inta.gob.ar

AFFILIATIONS

[1] Plant Stress Biology Group, Unidad de Doble Dependencia INTA-CONICET (UDEA), Av. 11 de Septiembre, Córdoba 4755-X5020ICA, Argentina.

[2] Cátedra de Fisiología Vegetal, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Av. Velez Sarsfield 299, Córdoba, Argentina;

[3] Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Instituto de Fisiología y Recursos Genéticos Vegetales (IFRGV), Av. 11 de Septiembre, Córdoba 4755-X5020ICA, Argentina.

Alejandro Enet: ale.403@hotmail.com

Germán Robert: robert.german@inta.gob.ar

Laura Saavedra: saavedra.laura@inta.gob.ar

Ramiro Lascano: ramiro.lascano@unc.edu.ar

KEYWORDS

Autophagy, stress, reactive oxygen species, singlet oxygen, superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide, redox state, Arabidopsis thaliana.

HIGHLIGHTS

•This research shows the differential effects of reactive oxygen species on autophagic modulation, highlighting their impact on the cytoplasmic redox state. The relationship between ROS and autophagy regulation remains unclear, and in some aspect's contradictory. Here, we present a comprehensive investigation characterizing the effects of different ROS, such as hydrogen peroxide and singlet oxygen, on the modulation of autophagy in Arabidopsis. In brief, our findings reveal differential impacts on cytoplasmic redox states and autophagic flux, providing insight into the dynamic nature of autophagy, especially in stress and post-stress conditions.

•To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to evaluate autophagic flux both during and after oxidative stress in plants. Our results indicate that this differentiation is crucial when analyzing the effects of oxidative stress on autophagy.

ABSTRACT

Autophagy plays a key role in the responses to different stress condition in plants. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are common modulators of stress responses, having both toxic and signaling functions. In this context, the relationship between ROS and autophagy regulation remains unclear, and in some aspects, contradictory. In this study, we employed pharmacological and genetic approaches to investigate the effects of different ROS on the cytoplastic redox state and autophagic flux in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Ours results demonstrated that oxidative treatments with H_2O_2 and MV, which drastically increased the oxidized state of the cytoplasm, reduced the autophagic flux. Conversely, singlet oxygen, which did not have significant effects on the cytoplasmic redox state, increased the autophagic flux. Additionally, our findings indicated that after H_2O_2 and high light treatments and during the recovery period, the cytoplasm returned to its reduced state, while autophagy was markedly induced. In summary, our study unveils the differential effects of ROS on the autophagic flux, establishing a correlation with the redox state of the cytoplasm. Moreover, it emphasizes the dynamic nature of autophagy in response to oxidative stress and the subsequent recovery period.

KEYWORDS

Autophagy, stress, reactive oxygen species, singlet oxygen, superoxide radical, hydrogen peroxide, redox state, Arabidopsis thaliana.

ABBREVIATIONS

ATG: autophagy genes

Conc A: Concanamicina A

GFP: green fluorescent protein

GSH: reduced glutathione

GSSG: oxidized glutathione

MV: methyl viologen

roGFP: redox-sensitive green fluorescent proteins

ROS: reactive oxygen species

INTRODUCTION

Plants as sessile organisms are constantly exposed to diverse stress conditions, triggering mechanisms that integrate defense and acclimation responses (Fichman and Mittler, 2020; Peláez-vico et al., 2022). A common effect of stress is the increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide (O_2^-), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$), and the hydroxyl radical (-OH), at different subcellular compartments, such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, cytosol and the apoplast (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Foyer and Noctor, 2009, 2011a). Depending on their site, intensity, and duration of production, ROS can modify biomolecules, influence redox signaling, and drive processes like growth, senescence, and cell death. Their levels are tightly regulated by antioxidant systems, as uncontrolled ROS can lead to oxidative stress (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Foyer and Noctor, 2009, 2011b; Trippi et al., 2009). Consequently, ROS are recognized as critical signaling molecules, and the cellular redox state is considered an intensive property of the living system that integrates information from metabolic, energetic and environmental cues controlling growth, development and acclimation responses, as well as cell suicide events (Breusegem and Dat, 2006; Robert et al., 2009, 2018; Trippi et al., 2009; Fernandez-göbel et al., 2019; Fichman and Mittler, 2020; Peláez-vico et al., 2022). In plants, chloroplast, mitochondria and peroxisome, which are redox active organelles where carbon and energetic metabolisms take place, are major sources of ROS production (Apel and Hirt, 2004). In chloroplasts, the photosynthetic process involves physical, biophysical, and biochemical redox reactions with different reaction rates. In consequence, oxygen became a potent univalent electron or energy acceptor from highly activated intermediates under conditions of excess excitation energy (EEE) induced by the different stress conditions (Karpinski et al., 1999; Foyer and Hanke, 2022). ROS produced in the chloroplasts are particularly important in the retrograde signaling of EEE conditions, affecting nuclear gene expression, acclimation responses as well as programmed cell death (Karpinski et al., 1999; Breusegem et al., 2001; Breusegem and Dat, 2006; Bechtold et al., 2008; Fichman and Mittler, 2020; Foyer and Hanke, 2022).

Likewise, several stress conditions in plants have been also shown to trigger or increase autophagy (Signorelli et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021). Autophagy is an ancient and conserved process in eukaryotes by which different cellular components are delivered to vacuole/lysosome to be degraded, and is essential for plant energetic metabolism, growth, development and responses to different stress conditions (Su et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Different types of autophagy have been identified in plants (Yagyu and Yoshimoto, 2023), being macroautophagy (hereafter termed autophagy) the most thoroughly studied. The autophagic process is initiated, and mainly modulated in the cytosol, by the formation of a double membrane cup, the phagophore, that expands to engulf macromolecules and cellular components to finally form a double-membrane vesicle called autophagosome. Autophagosomes are then transported and fused with the vacuole, facilitating the delivery of their cargo for subsequent degradation (Yagyu and Yoshimoto, 2023). The sequential steps of the autophagic process are coordinated by ATG (AuTophaGy) proteins as well as by some components of the endosome pathway, as recently reported (Zhuang et al., 2015; Robert et al., 2021). While early evidence pointed to a strong transcriptionally regulation of autophagy in response to nutritional starvation conditions and foliar senescence (Yoshimoto et al., 2004; Breeze et al., 2011), recent studies have shed light on the widespread modulation of autophagy initiation and progression by post-translational modifications of core autophagy proteins. These modifications primarily occur at the

cytoplasmic level in response to environmental cues (Feng *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2019; Qi *et*
al., 2021; Agbemafle *et al.*, 2023).

It has been indicated a positive correlation between ROS generation and the autophagic
process, where ROS can serve as both sources of damage and signals (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*a,b*;
Signorelli *et al.*, 2019). Autophagy promotes the degradation of ROS-overproducing damaged
organelles including chloroplasts, mitochondria, and peroxisomes, thus fulfilling antioxidant
roles (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*b*; Shibata *et al.*, 2013; Nakamura *et al.*, 2018; Oikawa *et al.*, 2022).
Supporting this notion, observations in different autophagy-mutant plants demonstrate the
accumulation of oxidized and ubiquitinated proteins (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*a*; Munch *et al.*, 2014),
high levels of H_2O_2 (Yoshimoto *et al.*, 2009), increased amounts of total glutathione (Masclaux-
Daubresse *et al.*, 2014), accelerated senescence (Doelling *et al.*, 2002; Hanaoka *et al.*, 2002;
Yoshimoto *et al.*, 2004), and hypersensitivity to different stress conditions including oxidative
stresses (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*b*; Liu *et al.*, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2013), indicating a significant redox
imbalance. On the other hand, oxidative treatments with H_2O_2 and methyl viologen (MV) have
been shown to induce autophagy (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*b*; Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2012*b*). More recently,
it has been hypothesized that H_2O_2 accumulation and membrane damage may mediate
chlorophagy induced after UV-B and high light treatments in Arabidopsis leaves (Izumi *et al.*,
2017; Woodson, 2019). Consequently, it is plausible that autophagy induction occurs as a
response to the accumulation of cellular components that have been damaged. Notably, recent
studies conducted in yeast, animals and Chlamydomonas have revealed that certain proteins
involved in the autophagic process can be inhibited by ROS in *in vitro* experiments or artificial
oxidative stress *in vivo*, indicating that ROS might suppress the activity of some autophagy-
related proteins (Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Frudd *et al.*, 2018; Mallén-ponce and Pérez-
pérez, 2023). These findings raise apparently opposite evidence regarding the autophagy
regulation by ROS. In this sense, a key aspect to be considered is the differential effects of ROS
on autophagy, which varies both during oxidative stress and after the imposition of oxidative
stress. Hence, the picture about the relationship among different ROS, subcellular redox
changes, and autophagy regulation in plants is still unclear. Under this scenario, our study aimed
to address the effects of different ROS on autophagy in plants, focusing on both the stress period
and the post-stress recovery phase.

Herein, we investigated the effects of different ROS on autophagy in Arabidopsis
seedlings. We demonstrate that oxidative treatments with H_2O_2 and MV, which drastically
increase cytoplasmic oxidation, suppress autophagic flux, while restoration to a reduced
cytoplasmic state -such as after stress subsides- correlates with the activation of autophagy. In
contrast, chloroplast-generated $^1O_2$ enhances autophagy without inducing statistically
significant changes in cytoplasmic redox state. These findings provide novel insights into the
complex interplay between ROS and autophagy, emphasizing the distinct roles of specific ROS
in regulating this critical stress response. In summary, our findings unveil the distinct effects of
ROS on autophagic flux, highlighting a strong correlation between autophagy and the
physicochemical properties of the cytoplasm. Autophagy is inhibited under highly oxidized
cytoplasmic conditions but is induced during recovery, likely playing a crucial role in removing
damaged components.

184
185
186

MATERIALS AND METODS
Plant materials and growth conditions.
Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used in this study. 35S:GFP-ATG8a transgenic
seeds (CS39996) (Thompson et al., 2005) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological
Resource Center. The cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 or GRX-roGFP2
were provided by Dr. Markus Schwarzländer. Fluorescent (*flu*) and ferrochelatase 2 (*fc2*)
mutants were provided by Dr. Jesse Woodson. We introgressed the GFP-ATG8a, GFP2-Orp1 and
GRX-roGFP2 transgenes into the *flu* and *fc2* mutant lines by genetic crosses. Homozygous
offspring were then selected from the F3 backcross generations.
Seeds were surface-sterilized and sown on culture plates containing nutrient-rich Murashige and
Skoog (MS) solid medium lacking sucrose and containing 1 % agar. After sowing, plates were
kept in darkness at 4°C for 2 to 3 days, and then transferred to a growth chamber under a 16 h
light/8 h dark photoperiod. Photosynthetic photon flux density was 110 µmol m^{-2} sec^{-1}. Average
temperature was 22°C. Plants were grown for 7 days under these conditions and then passed to
MS liquid medium to carry out the different treatments. For salt and osmotic stresses, NaCl (50
mM) and mannitol (100 mM) were used, respectively. Oxidative treatments were performed by
the supplementation of the MS liquid medium with 1 µM methyl viologen (MV), 10 mM H$_2$O$_2$,
20 µM 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU), 20 µM methylene blue (MB). To
scavenge superoxide and peroxide, 10 mM tiron and 10 mM benzoic acid (BA) were co-
administrated. 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) was used as a reducing agent for protein disulfide
bonds.
208
Confocal fluorescence microscopy and image analysis.
Confocal microscopy was performed on an Inverted microscope Nikon Eclipse CZ1. 35S:GFP-
ATG8a expressing plants were observed with a 488-nm argon laser (BP 495–530 nm). To analyze
autophagic bodies accumulation, 1 µM Concanamycin A was added to the different treatments.
The number of autophagic bodies in epidermal cells was quantified after 16 h of treatments
using ImageJ by counting their numbers in the central vacuole of multiple cells in sections of
50000 µm^2. A macro for automating autophagic bodies counting was developed in ImageJ.
Briefly, the input images were converted into an 8-bit format, followed by background
subtraction using a rolling ball algorithm with a 50-pixel radius. Subsequently, particle analysis
was performed on the binary image, considering particle size, circularity, and displaying the
resulting masks.
Cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 or GRX-roGFP2 were excited at 488 nm
and 405 nm and fluorescent emissions were collected at 505-535 nm. These transgenic plants
express a modified GFP sensitive to redox state (roGFP) genetically fused with different redox-
active proteins, the peroxidase Orp1 and Glutaredoxin GRX1, which facilitate the sensing of H$_2$O$_2$
and the GSH ratio, respectively (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2009, 2015; Nietzel *et al.*, 2019). The
relative intensity of the two fluorescence excitation maxima depends on the redox state of
roGFP, allowing ratiometric measurements (ratio 405/488) independent of probe concentration
(Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2015; Nietzel *et al.*, 2019). roGFP predominantly equilibrates with the
GSSG/2GSH couple, dependent on glutaredoxins (GRX). The transcriptional fusion of roGFP with
GRX1 (GRX1-roGFP2) allows comparisons between different cell types and subcellular locations,
as it is independent of endogenous GRX availability and insensitive to a wide pH range (pH 5.5-
8.5) (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2015). Thiol peroxidases (Orp1) react extremely sensitively with H$_2$O$_2$,
forming a sulfenic acid group and subsequently a disulfide bond. The oxidation of either of these
groups can then be transferred, via a thiol-disulfide exchange reaction, to reductive systems
such as thioredoxins or GRX, or to specific target proteins. The fusion of roGFP2 with Orp1
ensures that oxidation is efficiently passed from Orp1 to roGFP2. Dynamic measurements can

be made because, once oxidized, roGFP2-Orp1 can be reduced again by redox couples (GRX and/or Thioredoxins (TRX) systems) (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2015; Nietzel *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, the redox state of roGFP2-Orp1 is influenced not only by H_2O_2 but also by reducing agents (GSH and TRX). While the integration of oxidizing (H_2O_2) and reducing (GSH/TRX) influences might be considered a disadvantage of the roGFP2-Orp1 probe, they reflect how the redox state of endogenous thiols reactive with H_2O_2 is regulated, including the reducing capacity of the system. All imaging parameters were kept constant throughout all measurements. Subsequently, a single ratiometric image was generated using the ImageJ software, where the value of each pixel is the product of the ratio between the intensity at 405 nm with respect to that obtained at 488 nm according to (Schwarzlander *et al.*, 2008). Quantitative measurements were calculated as the ratio of the mean intensity from each channel. The dynamic range of these reporter lines was established using 10 mM DTT and a curve of increasing concentrations of H_2O_2. For pseudocolor display, the ratio was coded on a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully reduced) to red (fully oxidized).

Immunoblot analyses.

For GFP-ATG8 cleavage assay, seven-day old 35S:GFP-ATG8a transgenic plants were transferred to MS liquid medium to carry out the different treatments for 6 to 8 h. Then, total proteins were extracted. The extracts were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min, and equal amounts of proteins from the supernatants were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-GFP antibodies (Roche). Comparisons of absolute integrated density values for each line (GFP-ATG8 and free GFP) were made. Corresponding background values were subtracted from integrated density values, then integrated density values for bands corresponding to free GFP were expressed as relative values of GFP-ATG8 present in the same sample, and relativized to a control condition.

Physiological parameters.

Seven-day old Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to the different treatments for 8 h and then the production of H_2O_2 and O_2^-, quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry (ΦPSII), as well as the content of chlorophyll, soluble sugars and malondialdehyde (MDA) were analyzed. To assess H_2O_2 and O_2^- accumulation, leaves were stained with DAB and NBT, respectively. The staining was quantified by measuring the intensity per area using ImageJ. Quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry (ΦPSII) was measured from the upper surface using a pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer (FMS2, Hansatech Instruments, Pentney King's Lynn, UK). Measurements were performed 6 h after the growth chamber lights were turned on. For chlorophyll, soluble sugars, and MDA analysis an alcoholic extract (1:2 w/v) was obtained from the samples. After centrifugation for 15 minutes at 12,000 *g*, the supernatant was diluted in ethanol, and the absorbance was measured at 654 nm using a spectrophotometer. Total chlorophylls were calculated following the method reported by (Wintermans M. and De Mots A., 1965). For soluble sugars determination, an aliquot of the sample was dried, and resuspended in a same volume of water. Then, the concentration of sugars was measured with anthrone (Fales, 1951) evaluating the absorbance at 620 nm using a glucose standard curve. For MDA determination, a volume of the supernatant was mixed with a same volume of a mixture of 0,5 % TBA and 20 % TCA, and incubated 20 minutes at 90 °C. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 *g* for 5 minutes, and the absorbance was measured at 532 and 600 nm (Heath and Packer, 1968).

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Samples were homogenized in a cold mortar with TRIzol Reagent, mixed for 1 min and incubated
at room temperature for 5 min. Then, 0.2 ml chloroform per ml of TRIzol Reagent was added and
incubated at room temperature for 3 min. After incubation, the samples were centrifuged at 12
000 g at 4 °C for 15 min and the aqueous phases were transferred to clean tubes. RNA was
precipitated by adding 1 vol of isopropanol, incubated at room temperature for 10 min and
centrifuged at 12 000 g at 4 °C for 15 min. The precipitate was washed with 70 % ethanol and
the samples were centrifuged again at 12 000 g at 4 °C for 15 min. The precipitates were dried
and resuspended in diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water and its concentration was quantified
using a NanoDrop 3300 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Purified RNA was treated with DNase
I (Invitrogen) to remove genomic DNA, according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA-free
RNA (1 to 2.5 μg) was used with oligo(dT) for first strand cDNA synthesis using the Moloney
murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (M-MLV RT, Promega) according to the
manufacturer's instructions. For each primer pair, the presence of a unique product of the
expected size was checked on ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels after PCR reactions.
Absence of contaminant genomic DNA was confirmed in reactions with DNase-treated RNA as
template. The qPCR reaction was performed using iQ Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad).
Amplification of actin with the forward primer 5'-TTCAGATGCCCAGAAGTCTTG-3' and the
reverse primer 5-GCGATACCTGAGAACATAGTGG-3 was used to normalize the amount of
template cDNA. The specific primers pair employed for the detection of *Atg6* was forward primer
5'-AAAACTGGGAGAGCGATGAG-3' and reverse primer 5'-GATAGATGAGCCCTGCGTAG-3', for
Atg4a was 5'-CCTTTGGAATCACTCGACCCATCT-3' and 5'-ATCCGCAAACCCGTAGTTGCTT-3', for
Atg7 was 5'-GCGGCTTTAGGTTTTGACAG-3' and 5'-GTTGGTCTAGAGTTCGATCAGTC -3', and for
Atg5 was 5'-TCCTGTTCGGTTGTATGTTCG-3' and 5'-CGCTCTGTCTCCCATAAACTC-3'. qPCR was
performed in thermocycler iQ5 (Bio-Rad) at 59 °C with iQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad),
according to the manufacturer's instruction. Relative transcript abundance was calculated (Livak
and Schmittgen, 2001).
310
Microarray analysis.
Publicly available microarray data were utilized to compare the expression of *Atg* genes under
various conditions, including nitrogen deficiency (Rubin *et al.*, 2009), treatment with 10 mM
H_2O_2 for 24 h (Willems *et al.*, 2016), treatment with 10 μM MV for 2 h (Scarpeci *et al.*, 2008),
and the examination of *flu* mutant plants subjected to an 8-hour cycle of darkness followed by
2 h of light (Op Den Camp *et al.*, 2003). Expression values were normalized to the corresponding
control conditions employed in each study and were expressed as $\log_2$ values.
318
319 **Statistical analyses.**
320 Data were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Di Rienzo–Guzman–
321 Casanoves (DGC) test model, a cluster-based method for identifying groups of non-
322 homogeneous means, using InfoStat software (Di Rienzo *et al.*, 2002).
323
324
RESULTS
H_2O_2 and methyl viologen treatments induced cytoplasmic oxidation and inhibited
autophagy in Arabidopsis.
Initially, to gain insights into the interplay between ROS, redox state changes and
autophagy, *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings were subjected to well-established autophagy-
inducing conditions, namely nutritional starvation and different abiotic stress treatments (Wang
et al., 2021), and both autophagy and the cytoplasmic redox state were analyzed (Fig. 1). To
monitor autophagy, 35S:GFP-ATG8a (GFP-ATG8) transgenic plants were grown 7 days in MS solid

medium, and then transferred to the different treatments in MS liquid medium supplemented with 1 μM Concanamycin A (Conc A) for a 16 hour-period. Conc A is a V-ATPase inhibitor widely used to prevent vacuole acidification, thereby effectively inhibiting the lytic activity of vacuoles, thus allowing the detection of autophagic bodies accumulation into the vacuoles through confocal microscopy (Fig. 1A and B). Analysis of autophagic flux using Conc A better defines autophagic activity since the increase of autophagosome number in the cytoplasm does not necessarily corresponds to an enhanced autophagic activity (Robert *et al.*, 2021). When subjected to carbon and nitrogen starvation treatments, epidermal cells showed a high accumulation of autophagic bodies in the vacuole compared to control conditions, indicating an activated autophagic flux (Fig. 1A and B). Similarly, saline and osmotic stress treatments resulted in comparable outcomes, with a significant increase in autophagic bodies accumulating within the vacuoles (Fig. 1A and B). Besides, to evaluate the cytoplasmic redox state *in vivo*, 7 days old transgenic plants expressing the cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 or GRX-roGFP2 were subjected to the different treatments (Fig. 1). These transgenic plants express a redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein (roGFPs) genetically fused to different redox-active proteins, responsive to H_2O_2 production and GSH:GSSG, respectively (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2009; Aller *et al.*, 2013; Schwarzla *et al.*, 2015; Nietzel *et al.*, 2019). The relative intensity of the two fluorescence excitation maxima depends on the redox state of the modified GFP, enabling ratiometric measurements, independent of the probe concentration (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2015) (more details in *Materials and Methods*). The dynamic range of these reporter lines was previously monitored using DTT and H_2O_2 (Fig. S1A). Notably, in epidermal cells of seedlings expressing either GFP2-Orp1 or GRX-roGFP2, no changes in the cytoplasmic redox state were detected after 16 h of nutritional starvation, neither under saline or osmotic stress treatments compared to control conditions (Fig. 1A and C). These findings align with earlier and recent assessments of the redox state in cells of GRX-roGFP2 and roGFP2-ORP1 transgenic plants under water deficit and saline stress conditions (Schwarzländer *et al.*, 2009; Bohle *et al.*, 2024).

To further investigate the interplay between ROS, redox state changes and autophagy, oxidative stress treatments using H_2O_2 and methyl viologen (MV) were conducted. We evaluated different concentrations of H_2O_2 and observed a dose-dependent response for both the cytoplasmic redox state and autophagy inhibition. A similar approach was taken with MV, an herbicide widely used for the induction of photooxidative stress as it takes electrons from photosystem I, generating O_2^- and H_2O_2 inside chloroplasts in the presence of light (Mehler, 1951; Farrington *et al.*, 1973; Lascano *et al.*, 2012; Nazish *et al.*, 2022) (Fig. S1B-D). Based on these results and with the intention of employing moderate oxidative stress conditions that do not induce cell death, we used 10 mM H_2O_2 and 1 μM MV for subsequent experiments. Although oxidative treatments have been reported as autophagy-inducing conditions in Arabidopsis and Chlamydomonas (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*b*; Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2012*b*), no significant differences were observed in the accumulation of autophagic bodies in the epidermal cells of seedlings treated with MV or H_2O_2 for 16 h compared to the control condition (Fig. 1A and B). In contrast to observations under autophagy-inducing conditions such as nutritional starvation, saline, or osmotic stresses, these oxidative treatments significantly oxidized the cytoplasmic redox state, as revealed by analyzing both GRX-roGFP2 and roGFP2-ORP1 plants, as well as DAB staining, a histochemical reagent for H_2O_2 (Fig. 1A and C; Fig. S1; Fig. S2) (Fernandez-göbel *et al.*, 2019).

To further characterize the effects of oxidative stress on autophagy, treatments with MV and H_2O_2 were performed on 35S:GFP-ATG8a plants under autophagic inducing conditions, specifically nitrogen and carbon starvation (Fig. 2, Fig. S2). Interestingly, the addition of 1 μM MV or 10 mM H_2O_2 during the 16-hour starvation treatments significantly reduced the accumulation of autophagic bodies (Fig. 2A and B). Furthermore, the inhibitory effects of the

oxidative treatments on the autophagic flux were also confirmed by analyzing the degradation
of the GFP-ATG8a fusion protein by western blot. This analysis provides insight beyond the
cellular level, extending to the organ or plant level (Fig. 2D, Fig. S2). Furthermore, the evaluation
of autophagic flux through the analysis of the degradation of the GFP-ATG8 fusion protein by
immunoblotting allowed us to examine the effects of oxidative stress on autophagy in shorter
time periods (6-8 h, Fig. 2D). Next, tiron and benzoic acid were co-administered with the MV
treatment to scavenge superoxide and hydrogen peroxide, respectively (Navabpour *et al.*, 2003;
Mansouri *et al.*, 2005; Velika and Kron, 2012; Liubimovskii *et al.*, 2021). The effects of MV on
autophagic flux, H_2O_2 accumulation, and cytoplasmic redox state were blocked in the presence
of ROS scavengers (Fig. 2, Fig. S2A and B). Similarly, photooxidative stress induced by high light
treatments showed an inhibitory effect on the autophagy flux, which was reversed in the
presence of the superoxide radical scavenger, tiron (Fig. 2D). Moreover, both tiron and benzoic
acid prevented photosynthetic damage caused by MV treatments, as measured by the quantum
yield of photosystem II (ΦPSII), and prevented the decrease in soluble sugar levels (Fig. S2C).
Furthermore, the inhibitory effects of MV on autophagic flux and the oxidation of the GSH:GSSG
sensor probe (GRX-roGFP2) were reversed when 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), a reducing agent
for protein disulfide bonds, was added (Fig. S2A and B). These results emphasize that the effects
of MV in plants are associated with its capacity to induce ROS generation, contributing to a more
oxidized cellular redox state and oxidative damage (Lascano *et al.*, 2012). Notably, the
restoration of autophagic flux in MV-treated plants by tiron, benzoic acid, and DTT suggests that
H_2O_2 may inhibit autophagy, potentially by oxidizing disulfide bonds in crucial ATG proteins (Fig.
S3 and S4) as demonstrated in recent studies in yeast, Chlamydomonas, and animals (Pérez-
Pérez *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Frudd *et al.*, 2018; Zheng *et al.*, 2020; Mallén-ponce and Pérez-pérez,
2023). H_2O_2 treatments inhibited autophagy in plant seedlings, roots, shoots, and detached
mature leaves under carbon or nitrogen starvation conditions (Fig. S2D). Although the intensity
of this effect may vary depending on the organ and developmental stage, probably due to
differences in baseline redox states and cellular contexts for stress responses, these
observations suggest a robust inhibitory role of H_2O_2 across various plant tissues.
409
Autophagy is induced in the recovery phase after oxidative stress in Arabidopsis.
Previous reports indicated that autophagy is involved in degrading oxidized proteins and
organelles in response to oxidative stress (Xiong *et al.*, 2007*b*; Shibata *et al.*, 2013; Izumi *et al.*,
2017; Oikawa *et al.*, 2022). However, our experimental results demonstrate that oxidative stress
treatments inhibit autophagy. Considering these findings, we aimed to investigate autophagic
activity following oxidative stress treatments by assessing the autophagic flux in Arabidopsis
plants during the recovery phase. With this purpose, 7-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings were
subjected to a brief exposure of 10 mM H_2O_2 or high light intensity (≈1000 µmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}) for 4
hours, followed by transfer to H_2O_2-free MS liquid medium under control conditions (≈100
µmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}) for a 20-hour recovery period. Additionally, a set of plants were incubated in 10
mM H_2O_2 or to control conditions (H_2O_2-free MS liquid medium) for 24 h. This experimental
design aimed to elucidate the dynamic changes in autophagy following oxidative stress and
determine whether autophagic activity is modulated during the recovery phase. The results
showed that autophagic flux was inhibited in plants incubated 24 h with H_2O_2 compared to
control conditions (Fig. 3A, B and D). Interestingly, autophagic flux was not only recovered but
also induced during the recovery period after the oxidative stress treatments surpassing the
levels observed in plants subjected to control conditions (Fig. 3A, B and D). Likewise, after the
20-hour recovery period, the redox biosensors returned to their reduced state, indicating

cytoplasmic redox recovery, and a well-defined correlation between the reduced cytoplasmic
redox state and autophagic flux (Fig. 3A and C).

Singlet oxygen induced autophagic flux without effect on the cytoplasmic redox state.

Singlet oxygen ($^1O_2$) is mainly produced in the chloroplast, and its targets are
predominantly confined inside this organelle due to the specific physicochemical characteristic
of this ROS (Dmitrieva *et al.*, 2020). To investigate the effects of $^1O_2$ on the autophagic activity,
GFP-ATG8a seedlings were treated with 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) (Fig.
4), an herbicide that specifically induces $^1O_2$ generation by blocking the electron transport chain
in photosystem II and inhibiting the plastoquinone reduction. It has been reported that DCMU
promotes $^1O_2$ generation without affecting superoxide anion and hydroxyl radicals at PSII
(Fufezan *et al.*, 2002; Flors *et al.*, 2006; Dmitrieva *et al.*, 2020). The analysis of autophagic body
accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state was conducted after a 16-hour treatment (Fig. 4A-C).
Additionally, treatments with methylene blue (MB), a type-II photosensitizer able to generate
$^1O_2$ in leaves under visible light (Shao *et al.*, 2007, 2013), with minor effects on photosynthesis
(Fig. 4E), were also performed. Treatments with these chemicals increased the accumulation of
autophagic bodies but did not show statistically significant effects on the redox state of the
cytoplasm in Arabidopsis cells compared to control treatment (Fig. 4A and B). The autophagic
flux-inducing effect of DCMU and MB was also evidenced after 6-8 hours of treatments through
the analysis of GFP-ATG8 degradation by immunoblotting (Fig. 4D).

To further investigate the effects of $^1O_2$ on the autophagic process, we performed
experiments with widely used endogenously chloroplast $^1O_2$-hyperproducing *Arabidopsis*
mutant plants: fluorescent (*flu*) and two different lines of ferrochelatase 2 (*fc2*), *fc2.1* which
presents reduced expression levels of *Fc2*, and the null mutant *fc2.2*. These mutant plants
accumulate different photosensitizing intermediates of chlorophyll biosynthesis in the dark,
which, upon light exposure lead to an exacerbated production of $^1O_2$ (Op Den Camp *et al.*, 2003).
The *flu* mutant plants accumulate protochlorophyllide (Meskauskiene *et al.*, 2001), while
mutations in plastid ferrochelatase 2 lead to the accumulation of protoporphyrin IX
(Scharfenberg *et al.*, 2015). Likewise, these genotypes were crossed with autophagy reporter-
and redox sensor-transgenic plants. Initially, wild type, *flu* and *fc2* mutant plants were grown 7
days under continuous light conditions (control conditions), and several growth and
physiological parameters were evaluated (Fig. S6). Interestingly, the *fc2* mutants showed
arrested root growth, reduced chlorophyll levels, increased levels of malondialdehyde (MDA),
an intermediary metabolite of lipid peroxidation used as oxidative stress marker (Robert *et al.*,
2014), and reduced levels of soluble sugars compared to wild type, while no significant
differences were observed between wild type and *flu* mutant (Fig. S6). Likewise, the cytoplasmic
redox state in *fc2* plants was significantly more oxidized than that in the wild type and *flu*
backgrounds (Fig. S6, Fig. 5). Furthermore, and consistent with a previous study (Lemke *et al.*,
2021), the autophagic flux was induced in *fc2* mutants plants compared to wild type and *flu*
mutant under continuous light (control) conditions (Fig. S6). Hence, considering the altered
phenotypes observed in *fc2* mutants even under control conditions, we used the *flu* mutants for
subsequent analysis.

flu/GFP-ATG8a plants were grown under continuous light conditions for 7 days,
transferred to darkness for 8 h, and then re-exposed to light in the presence of Conc A for
another 6-8 h. After the light/dark/light cycling conditions, a significantly increased autophagic
flux was observed in *flu*/GFP-ATG8a compared to Col-0/GFP-ATG8 plants. However, no
statistically significant differences were observed among these genotypes under continuous
light conditions (Fig. 5A, B and D). Besides, the cytoplasmic redox state was monitored under
control conditions as well as after a period of darkness by analyzing the fluorescent redox sensor

roGFP2-ORP1 expressed in the wild type and *flu* backgrounds. Although a slight tendency
towards a more oxidized state was observed in the cytoplasm of *flu* mutant plants once re-
exposed to light, the differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 5C). Thus, in contrast to
H_2O_2 and MV treatments, $^1O_2$ increased the autophagic flux and did not oxidize the cytoplasmic
redox state, indicating differential effects on the autophagic activity depending on the ROS
nature, subcellular site of action, and their effects on the cytoplasmic redox state. Additionally,
the transcript levels of *Atg4*, *Atg5*, *Atg6* and *Atg7* were analyzed by RTq-PCR in *flu* mutant after
8 h of light re-exposure. No statistically significant differences were observed in the expression
level of the analyzed genes between wild type and *flu* mutant neither under control conditions
nor after cycling conditions (Fig. S7).
486
DISCUSSION
Plants, as photosynthetic, aerobic and sessile organisms, naturally produce reactive
oxygen species (ROS) as byproducts of various metabolic processes, especially when exposed to
environmental stresses. Due to their high reactivity, ROS play dual roles, acting as agents of
damage and as signaling molecules. Consequently, ROS are universally implicated in plant stress
symptomatology, and also play a pivotal role in modulating plant growth, development, and cell
death (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Trippi *et al.*, 2009; Baxter *et al.*, 2014; Choudhury *et al.*, 2017;
Fichman and Mittler, 2020). Similarly, a variety of stress conditions in plants have been
demonstrated to initiate or enhance autophagy (Bassham *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2009; Signorelli
et al., 2019; Su *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, defects in antioxidant proteins in Arabidopsis and
Chlamydomonas contribute to uncontrolled ROS bursts and autophagy induction (Pérez-Pérez
et al., 2012*a*; Shibata *et al.*, 2013). While the concurrent induction of ROS production and
autophagy activation suggests a positive correlation between these cellular processes, certain
molecular mechanisms reported in animal cells, yeast, and Chlamydomonas, indicate that ROS
may also negatively influence on autophagy (Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Frudd *et al.*, 2018;
Mallén-ponce and Pérez-pérez, 2023). However, direct evidence of ROS-mediated inhibition of
autophagy in plants remains scarce. Additionally, it is important to consider whether autophagy
is activated directly by ROS signaling or as a response to cellular damage induced by ROS,
potentially creating a feedback loop between ROS production and autophagic activity.
Furthermore, existing literature often treats ROS as a homogeneous group, overlooking the
potential differences in how distinct types of ROS impact autophagy, and not consistently
distinguishing their effects during stress imposition versus recovery phases in plants. Our
findings point in that direction, highlighting the differential effects of ROS on autophagy, and
suggesting that under specific stress conditions leading to a highly oxidized cytoplasmic state,
the autophagic process may be suppressed. Additionally, the impact of ROS on autophagy is
likely influenced by the developmental stage and the specific organ, as these factors determine
both the baseline redox state and the cellular context for stress responses. Once the oxidative
stress subsides and the cytoplasmic redox status is restored, autophagy is activated, potentially
facilitating the removal of damaged cellular components.
The relationship between autophagy and ROS is intricate, and our findings revealed that
different types of ROS, even when generated in the same organelle, can have different effects
on the autophagic process. We observed that under conditions of nutritional starvation, which
are canonical inducers of autophagy, oxidative treatments with MV and H_2O_2, that led to
significant oxidation of the cytoplasmic redox state, had an inhibitory effect on the autophagic
flux (Fig. 2). This implies that the comparatively limited autophagosome biogenesis rate under
optimal growth conditions could potentially account for the absence of observable inhibitory
effects of ROS on autophagy in prior *in vivo* plant studies (Fig. 1). Opposite to the inhibitory

effects of H_2O_2 on the autophagic flux, the specific generation of $^1O_2$ in the *flu* mutant plants, as
well as treatments with DCMU and MB (Fufezan *et al.*, 2002; Op Den Camp *et al.*, 2003; Flors *et*
al., 2006; Shao *et al.*, 2007, 2013; Dmitrieva *et al.*, 2020), increased the autophagic activity (Fig.
4 and Fig. 5). These findings are consistent with previous research demonstrating that
treatments with norflurazon, an inhibitor of carotenoid biosynthesis, triggers autophagy in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2012*a*, 2016). Similarly to what is observed in *flu*
mutants (Op Den Camp *et al.*, 2003), norflurazon treatments lead to perturbations in plastid
homeostasis that increase the photosensitizing activity of tetrapyrroles, which can transfer the
excitation energy to the oxygen molecule, thereby generating $^1O_2$ (Kim and Apel, 2013). Singlet
oxygen, carotenoid derivatives, and tetrapyrroles are principal components of the retrograde
signaling pathway, a key process that regulates not only chloroplastic processes but also
intersects with other cellular signaling events playing broader roles in plant function (Chan *et*
al., 2016).
The distinct effects of $^1O_2$ and H_2O_2 on autophagy are likely driven by their unique
chemical properties, reactivities, and molecular targets. $^1O_2$, being highly reactive and short-live,
primarily acts near its generation site. Consequently, no significant redox changes were
observed in the cytoplasm following DCMU and MB treatments or in *flu* mutants (Fig. 4 and 5).
This ROS primarily predominantly reacts with unsaturated fatty acids, generating
hydroperoxides that disrupt lipid membranes and potentially signal damage, being the major
ROS involved in photooxidative damage (Triantaphylidès *et al.*, 2008). Chloroplastic membrane
damage, in particular, may trigger specific autophagy-activating signals (Izumi *et al.*, 2017;
Nakamura *et al.*, 2018). Conversely, treatments with MV and H_2O_2, which reduced autophagic
flux, significantly oxidized the cytoplasmic redox state (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). These findings establish
a clear correlation between the cytoplasmic redox status and autophagic flux. H_2O_2 is less
reactive but more diffusible than $^1O_2$, allowing it to oxidize thiol groups in cysteine residues of
proteins throughout the cell, leading to structural and functional alterations. Supporting this,
MV and H_2O_2 -induced oxidation of the cytoplasmic redox state, which could be reversed by ROS
scavengers such as tiron or benzoic acid, as well as by the disulfide bond reductant DTT. These
observations suggest that H_2O_2 not only inhibits autophagy but also implicates protein oxidation
in this response. At the molecular level, the activity of key ATG proteins has been demonstrated
to be subjected to redox regulation, being the proteins active when reduced and inactive when
oxidized. Different cysteine residues have been identified as targets for redox regulation (Figs.
S3 and S4). For example, the protease ATG4 which cleaves ATG8 for lipidation and delipidation
with PE, is inhibited by H_2O_2 in *Saccharomyces cereivisiae* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. This
inhibition involves Cys400 and Cys338 in Chlamydomonas and yeast, respectively (Pérez-Pérez
et al., 2014, 2016). Reducing agents like DTT can restore ATG4 activity (Pérez-Pérez et al., 2014,
2016). Similarly, oxidation of ATG3 and ATG7, leads to the formation of an intermolecular
disulfide-bound complex between Cys264 in ATG3 and Cys572 in ATG7, inhibiting ATG8
lipidation in animal cells and recently observed in Chlamydomonas (Frudd *et al.*, 2018; Mallén-
ponce and Pérez-pérez, 2023). The presence of these amino acid residues among ATG protein
isoforms in yeast, human cells, Chlamydomonas and Arabidopsis (Figs. S3 and S4) suggests that
similar redox regulation may also occur in plants. These conserved cysteines and others
identified as potential redox candidates (Figure S4) are targets to analyze in future studies.
The complexity of the relationship between autophagy and ROS increases when
considering that autophagy plays a critical role in degrading oxidized proteins and damaged
organelles, which, in turn, are both products of oxidative stress and primary sources of ROS
production. This highlights a feedback loop where the degradation of ROS-damaged organelles
via autophagy helps mitigate further ROS generation, yet the oxidative damage to these

organelles also drives the need for autophagic degradation. A crucial question in this context is
to what extent autophagy activation under oxidative stress is directly regulated by ROS or arises
as a secondary response to ROS-induced damage. ROS can directly influence autophagic process
through redox modifications of key proteins involved in the autophagy machinery. Paradoxically,
it has been shown that oxidation of ATG components from yeast, animals and Chlamydomonas
exerts a negative regulatory effect on their activity (Pérez-Pérez *et al.*, 2014, 2016; Frudd *et al.*,
2018; Mallén-ponce and Pérez-pérez, 2023). In parallel, oxidative stress causes cellular damage,
such as the oxidation of proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, or the disruption of organellar
integrity. These byproducts of oxidative damage may act as signals that trigger autophagy as
part of a cellular clearance and repair mechanism (Izumi *et al.*, 2017; Nakamura *et al.*, 2018,
2021). Understanding the balance between these direct and indirect pathways is critical to
dissect the precise role of ROS in regulating autophagy. Our assessment of autophagic flux aimed
to dissect this relationship, shedding light on the role of autophagy in cellular adaptation to
oxidative stress and its recovery. Previous research has shown that photodamage-inducing
treatments, such as high-intensity or UV-B light irradiation, stimulate chloroplast degradation
via autophagy, while antioxidants treatments inhibited autophagy induction, suggesting that
ROS accumulation or the resulting damage plays a role in triggering this process (Izumi *et al.*,
2017). In our experiments, we observed increased autophagic flux during the recovery period
after oxidative stress exposure, concurrent with the restoration of a reduced redox state in the
cytoplasm, indicating that the autophagy inhibition caused by O_2^-/H_2O_2 is transient (Fig. 3). Our
findings also suggest that the induction of autophagy after oxidative stress subsides is associated
with the clearance of damaged cytoplasmic components, as evidenced by treatments with the
antioxidants tiron and benzoic acid (Fig. S2C). These treatments decreased ROS production and
mitigated cell injury, supporting the idea that autophagy plays a critical role in resolving
oxidative damage. Additionally, the transcript analysis of *ATG* genes supports the notion that
the observed inhibitory redox regulation primarily operates at a post-transcriptional and/or
post-translational level. This aligns with existing literature suggesting oxidative modifications
negatively impact the ATG machinery's functionality, although further studies are required to
confirm and explore these dynamics. Overall, these findings underscore the dynamic nature of
autophagy in response to oxidative stress and recovery, suggesting a complex regulatory
mechanism in plants.
In summary, our work provides a novel perspective on the relationship between ROS
and autophagy. The distinct effects of H_2O_2 and $^1O_2$ on autophagy underscore that the term
"ROS" encompasses a diverse group of molecules impacting on this process in fundamentally
different ways. While the relationship between ROS and autophagy in plants has been the focus
of numerous studies, many investigations have treated ROS as a homogeneous category. This
approach, while valuable in establishing foundational insights, may overlook the distinct effects
that specific ROS types exert on autophagic processes. Our findings align with previous studies
suggesting that H_2O_2 and $^1O_2$ have antagonistic effects on plant stress responses (Karpinska *et*
al., 2000; Laloi *et al.*, 2007; Triantaphylidès *et al.*, 2008; Melchiorre *et al.*, 2009; Sabater and
Martín, 2013). The differential effects of these ROS on autophagic flux observed in this study are
consistent with earlier reports demonstrating distinct impacts of H_2O_2 and $^1O_2$ on the activity of
ATG4, reflected in the levels of lipidated ATG8 after treatments with H_2O_2 or norflurazon (Pérez-
Pérez *et al.*, 2016). These results highlight the potential for specific ROS to exert unique
regulatory roles in the modulation of autophagy. Recognizing these nuances provides a deeper
understanding of the intricate interplay between ROS signaling and autophagic processes.
Further research should employ more advanced methodologies, such as quantitative global
analysis through mass spectrometry or electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), to precisely

measure ROS dynamics in plants subjected to abiotic stress. These approaches are essential to
correlate the relative abundance of distinct ROS with autophagy modulation, ultimately
shedding light on their roles in modulating autophagy in response to fluctuating environmental
conditions.
624
625
SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
Supplementary Figure 1: Optimization of methyl viologen (MV) and H_2O_2 concentrations, and
calibration of the redox sensors.
Supplementary Figure 2: DTT, tiron and benzoic acid reversed the effects of MV treatments.
Supplementary Figure 3: Sequence alignment of ATG4 proteases from different organisms.
Supplementary Figure 4: Sequence alignment of ATG3 and ATG7 proteins from different
organisms.
Supplementary Figure 5: Characterization of *fc2* and *flu* mutant plants under continuous light
conditions.
Supplementary Figure 6: Transcript level of *Atg* genes in Arabidopsis plants subjected to
different oxidative treatments.
637

638 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**
639 We thank Dr. Leandro Ortega, Dra. Virginia Lobatto and Dra. Anahí Yañez (Unidad de Doble
640 Dependencia INTA-CONICET (UDEA)) for helpful support with the confocal microscopy and for
641 technical support. We also thank Sistema Nacional de Microscopia of the Ministerio de Ciencia,
642 tecnología e innovación Productiva (MINCyT), Argentina.
643

644 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION:**
645 GR and RL: conceptualization; GR and AE: investigation; GR, AE, LS and RL: formal analysis; GR,
646 LS, and RL: resources; GR and AE: writing original draft and incorporated feedback from all
647 authors; GR and AE: visualization; GR and RL: supervision; GR, LS and RL: funding acquisition.
648

649 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**
650 No conflict of interest declared.
651

FUNDING
This work was supported by grants from Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y
Tecnológica, Argentina: FONCYT-PICT-2019-0533 (RL), FONCyT PICT-2017-2863 (GR), and
FONCyT PICT-2020-2482 (LS); Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas
CONICET-PIP2015-PIP2019 (RL), Proyecto INTA 2019-PD-E6-I116-001 (RL and GR), Proyecto
INTA 2023-PD-L03-I084 and Proyectos Consolidar, Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica de la
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC) (RL).
659

REFERENCES
Agbemafle W, Wong MM, Bassham DC. 2023. Transcriptional and post-translational
regulation of plant autophagy. Journal of Experimental Botany **74**, 6006–6022.
Aller I, Rouhier N, Meyer AJ. 2013. Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for
measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-
deficient rml1 seedlings. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 1–12.
Apel K, Hirt H. 2004. Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal
transduction. Annual review of plant biology **55**, 373–99.
Bassham DC, Laporte M, Marty F, Moriyasu Y, Ohsumi Y, Olsen LJ, Yoshimoto K. 2006.

Autophagy in Development and Stress Responses of Plants. Autophagy **2**, 2–11.

Baxter A, Mittler R, Suzuki N. 2014. ROS as key players in plant stress signalling. Journal of
experimental botany **65**, 1229–1240.

Bechtold U, Richard O, Zamboni A, Gapper C, Geisler M, Pogson B, Karpinski S, Mullineaux
PM. 2008. Impact of chloroplastic- and extracellular-sourced ROS on high light-responsive gene
expression in Arabidopsis. Journal of experimental botany **59**, 121–33.

Bohle F, Klaus A, Tegethof H, Schwarzländer M, Hochholdinger F, Meyer AJ, Acosta IF,
Müller-Schüssele SJ. 2024. Contrasting cytosolic glutathione redox dynamics under abiotic and
biotic stress in barley as revealed by the biosensor Grx1-roGFP2. Journal of experimental
botany **75**, 2299–2312.

Breeze E, Harrison E, McHattie S, *et al.* 2011. High-resolution temporal profiling of transcripts
during Arabidopsis leaf senescence reveals a distinct chronology of processes and regulation.
Plant Cell **23**, 873–894.

Breusegem F Van, Dat JF. 2006. Reactive Oxygen Species in Plant Cell Death. Plant physiology
141, 384–390.

Breusegem F Van, Vranova E, Dat JF, Inze D. 2001. The role of active oxygen species in plant
signal transduction. Plant Science **161**, 405–414.

Chan KX, Phua SY, Crisp P, McQuinn R, Pogson BJ. 2016. Learning the Languages of the
Chloroplast: Retrograde Signaling and beyond. Annual Review of Plant Biology **67**, 25–53.

Choudhury FK, Rivero RM, Blumwald E, Mittler R. 2017. Reactive oxygen species, abiotic
stress and stress combination. Plant Journal **90**, 856–867.

Dmitrieva VA, Tyutereva E V., Voitsekhovskaja O V. 2020. Singlet oxygen in plants:
Generation, detection, and signaling roles. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **21**.

Doelling JH, Walker JM, Friedman EM, Thompson AR, Vierstra RD. 2002. The APG8/12-
activating enzyme APG7 is required for proper nutrient recycling and senescence in
Arabidopsis thaliana. Journal of Biological Chemistry **277**, 33105–33114.

Fales FW. 1951. The assimilation and degradation of carbohydrates by yeast cells. The Journal
of biological chemistry **193**, 113–124.

Farrington JA, Ebert M, Land EJ, Fletcher K. 1973. Bipyridylium quaternary salts and related
compounds. V. Pulse radiolysis studies of the reaction of paraquat radical with oxygen.
Implications for the mode of action of bipyridyl herbicides. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta **314**,
372–381.

Feng Y, Yao Z, Klionsky DJ. 2015. How to control self-digestion: transcriptional, post-
transcriptional, and post-translational regulation of autophagy. Trends in cell biology **25**, 354–
363.

Fernandez-göbel TF, Deanna R, Muñoz NB, Robert G, Asurmendi S, Lascano R. 2019. Redox
Systemic Signaling and Induced Tolerance Responses During Soybean – Bradyrhizobium
japonicum Interaction : Involvement of Nod Factor Receptor and Autoregulation of
Nodulation. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 1–15.

Fichman Y, Mittler R. 2020. Rapid systemic signaling during abiotic and biotic stresses: is the
ROS wave master of all trades? Plant Journal **102**, 887–896.

Flors C, Fryer MJ, Waring J, Reeder B, Bechtold U, Mullineaux PM, Nonell S, Wilson MT,
Baker NR. 2006. Imaging the production of singlet oxygen in vivo using a new fluorescent
sensor, Singlet Oxygen Sensor Green. Journal of experimental botany **57**, 1725–34.

Foyer CH, Hanke G. 2022. ROS production and signalling in chloroplasts: cornerstones and
evolving concepts. Plant Journal **111**, 642–661.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2009. Redox Regulation in Photosynthetic Organisms: signaling,
acclimation, and practical implications. Antioxidants & Redox Signaling **11**, 861–905.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2011*a*. Ascorbate and glutathione: the heart of the redox hub. Plant
physiology **155**, 2–18.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2011*b*. Ascorbate and glutathione: the heart of the redox hub. Plant
physiology **155**, 2–18.

Frudd K, Burgoyne T, Burgoyne JR. 2018. Oxidation of Atg3 and Atg7 mediates inhibition of
autophagy. Nature Communications **9**, 1–15.
Fufezan C, Rutherford AW, Krieger-liszkay A. 2002. Singlet oxygen production in herbicide-
treated photosystem II. FEBS **532**, 407–410.
Hanaoka H, Noda T, Shirano Y, Kato T, Hayashi H, Shibata D, Tabata S, Ohsumi Y. 2002. Leaf
senescence and starvation-induced chlorosis are accelerated by the disruption of an
Arabidopsis autophagy gene. Plant Physiol **129**, 1181–1193.
Heath RL, Packer L. 1968. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts. Archives of Biochemistry
and Biophysics **125**, 189–198.
Izumi M, Ishida H, Nakamura S, Hidema J. 2017. Entire photodamaged chloroplasts are
transported to the central vacuole by autophagy. Plant Cell **29**, 377–394.
Karpinska B, Wingsle G, Karpinski S. 2000. Antagonistic effects of hydrogen peroxide and
glutathione on acclimation to excess excitation energy in Arabidopsis. IUBMB Life **50**, 21–26.
Karpinski S, Reynolds H, Karpinska B, Wingsle G, Creissen G, Mullineaux P. 1999. Systemic
signaling and acclimation in response to excess excitation energy in Arabidopsis. Science **284**,
654–7.
Kim C, Apel K. 2013. 1O2-mediated and EXECUTER-dependent retrograde plastid-to-nucleus
signaling in norflurazon-treated seedlings of arabidopsis thaliana. Molecular Plant **6**, 1580–
1591.
Laloi C, Stachowiak M, Pers-Kamczyc E, Warzych E, Murgia I, Apel K. 2007. Cross-talk between
singlet oxygen- and hydrogen peroxide-dependent signaling of stress responses in Arabidopsis
thaliana. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
104, 672–677.
Lascano R, Munoz N, Robert G, Rodriguez M, Melchiorre M, Trippi V, Quero G. 2012.
Paraquat: An Oxidative Stress Inducer. Herbicides - Properties, Synthesis and Control of
Weeds. London: IntechOpen, 135–148.
Lemke MD, Fisher KE, Kozlowska MA, Tano DW, Woodson JD. 2021. The core autophagy
machinery is not required for chloroplast singlet oxygen - mediated cell death in the
Arabidopsis thaliana plastid ferrochelatase two mutant. BMC plant biology **21**, 1–20.
Liu Y, Xiong Y, Bassham DC. 2009. Autophagy is required for tolerance of drought and salt
stress in plants. Autophagy **5**, 954–63.
Liubimovskii SO, Ustynyuk LY, Tikhonov AN. 2021. Superoxide radical scavenging by sodium
4,5-dihydroxybenzene-1,3-disulfonate dissolved in water: Experimental and quantum chemical
studies. Journal of Molecular Liquids **333**, 20–23.
Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta CT) Method. Methods **25**, 402–408.
Mallén-ponce MJ, Pérez-pérez ME. 2023. Redox-mediated activation of ATG3 promotes ATG8
lipidation and autophagy progression in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant physiology **00**, 1–
17.
Mansouri A, Makris DP, Kefalas P. 2005. Determination of hydrogen peroxide scavenging
activity of cinnamic and benzoic acids employing a highly sensitive peroxyoxalate
chemiluminescence-based assay: Structure-activity relationships. Journal of Pharmaceutical
and Biomedical Analysis **39**, 22–26.
Masclaux-Daubresse C, Clement G, Anne P, Routaboul J-M, Guiboileau A, Soulay F, Shirasu K,
Yoshimoto K. 2014. Stitching together the Multiple Dimensions of Autophagy Using
Metabolomics and Transcriptomics Reveals Impacts on Metabolism, Development, and Plant
Responses to the Environment in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **26**, 1857–1877.
Mehler AH. 1951. Studies on reactions of illuminated chloroplasts. II. Stimulation and
inhibition of the reaction with molecular oxygen. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics **34**,
339–351.
Melchiorre M, Robert G, Trippi V, Racca R, Lascano HR. 2009. Superoxide dismutase and
glutathione reductase overexpression in wheat protoplast: photooxidative stress tolerance and

changes in cellular redox state. Plant Growth Regulation **57**, 57–68.

Meskauskiene R, Nater M, Goslings D, Kessler F, Op den Camp R, Apel K. 2001. FLU: A
negative regulator of chlorophyll biosynthesis in arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **98**, 12826–12831.

Munch D, Rodriguez E, Bressendorff S, Park OK, Hofius D, Petersen M. 2014. Autophagy
deficiency leads to accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins, ER stress, and cell death in
Arabidopsis. Autophagy **10**, 1579–1587.

Nakamura S, Hagihara S, Otomo K, Ishida H, Hidema J, Nemoto T, Izumi M. 2021. Autophagy
Contributes to the Quality Control of Leaf Mitochondria. Plant & cell physiology **62**, 229–247.

Nakamura S, Hidema J, Sakamoto W, Ishida H, Izumi M. 2018. Selective Elimination of
Membrane-Damaged Chloroplasts via microautophagy. Plant Physiology **177**, 1007–1026.

Navabpour S, Morris K, Allen R, Harrison E, A-H-Mackerness S, Buchanan-Wollaston V. 2003.
Expression of senescence-enhanced genes in response to oxidative stress. Journal of
experimental botany **54**, 2285–92.

Nazish T, Huang YJ, Zhang J, Xia JQ, Alfatih A, Luo C, Cai XT, Xi J, Xu P, Xiang C Bin. 2022.
Understanding paraquat resistance mechanisms in Arabidopsis thaliana to facilitate the
development of paraquat-resistant crops. Plant Communications **3**, 1–11.

Nietzel T, Elsässer M, Ruberti C, *et al.* 2019. The fluorescent protein sensor roGFP2-Orp1
monitors in vivo H_2O_2 and thiol redox integration and elucidates intracellular H_2O_2 dynamics
during elicitor-induced oxidative burst in Arabidopsis. New Phytologist **221**, 1649–1664.

Oikawa K, Goto-yamada S, Hayashi Y, *et al.* 2022. Pexophagy suppresses ROS-induced damage
in leaf cells under high-intensity light. Nature Communications **13**.

Op Den Camp RGL, Przybyla D, Ochsenbein C, *et al.* 2003. Rapid Induction of Distinct Stress
Responses after the Release of Singlet Oxygen in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **15**, 2320–2332.

Peláez-vico MÁ, Fichman Y, Zandalinas SI, Van F, Karpiński SM, Mittler R. 2022. ROS and
redox regulation of cell-to-cell and systemic signaling in plants during stress. Free Radical
Biology and Medicine.

Pérez-Pérez ME, Couso I, Crespo JL. 2012*a*. Carotenoid deficiency triggers autophagy in the
model green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Autophagy **8**, 376–388.

Pérez-Pérez ME, Lemaire SD, Crespo JL. 2012*b*. Reactive oxygen species and autophagy in
plants and algae. Plant physiology **160**, 156–64.

Pérez-Pérez ME, Lemaire SD, Crespo JL. 2016. Control of Autophagy in *Chlamydomonas* Is
Mediated through Redox-Dependent Inactivation of the ATG4 Protease. Plant Physiology **172**,
2219–2234.

Pérez-Pérez ME, Zaffagnini M, Marchand CH, Crespo JL, Lemaire SD. 2014. The yeast
autophagy protease Atg4 is regulated by thioredoxin. Autophagy **10**, 1–12.

Qi H, Xia FN, Xiao S. 2021. Autophagy in plants: Physiological roles and post-translational
regulation. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **63**, 161–179.

Di Rienzo JA, Guzmán AW, Casanoves F. 2002. A multiple-comparisons method based on the
distribution of the root node distance of a binary tree. Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and
Environmental Statistics **7**, 129–142.

Robert G, Melchiorre M, Racca R, Trippi V, Lascano HR. 2009. Apoplastic superoxide level in
wheat protoplast under photooxidative stress is regulated by chloroplast redox signals : Effects
on the antioxidant system. Plant Science **177**, 168–174.

**Robert G, Muñoz N, Alvarado-Affantranger X, Saavedra L, Davidenco V, Rodríguez-Kessler M,
Estrada-Navarrete G, Sánchez F, Lascano R**. 2018. Phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase function at
very early symbiont perception: A local nodulation control under stress conditions? Journal of
Experimental Botany **69**, 2037–2048.

Robert G, Muñoz N, Melchiorre M, Sánchez F, Lascano R. 2014. Expression of animal anti-
apoptotic gene Ced-9 enhances tolerance during Glycine max L.-Bradyrhizobium japonicum
interaction under saline stress but reduces nodule formation. PLoS One **9**, e101747.

Robert G, Yagyu M, Koizumi T, Naya L, Masclaux-Daubresse C, Yoshimoto K. 2021.

Ammonium stress increases microautophagic activity while impairing macroautophagic flux in
Arabidopsis roots. Plant Journal **105**, 1083–1097.

Rubin G, Tohge T, Matsuda F, Saito K, Scheible W-R. 2009. Members of the LBD Family of
Transcription Factors Repress Anthocyanin Synthesis and Affect Additional Nitrogen Responses
in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell **21**, 3567–3584.

Sabater B, Martín M. 2013. Hypothesis: Increase of the ratio singlet oxygen plus superoxide
radical to hydrogen peroxide changes stress defense response to programmed leaf death.
Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 1–8.

Scarpeci TE, Zanor MI, Carrillo N, Mueller-Roeber B, Valle EM. 2008. Generation of superoxide
anion in chloroplasts of Arabidopsis thaliana during active photosynthesis : a focus on rapidly
induced genes. Plant Mol Biol **66**, 361–378.

Scharfenberg M, Mittermayr L, Von Roepenack-Lahaye E, Schlicke H, Grimm B, Leister D,
Kleine T. 2015. Functional characterization of the two ferrochelatases in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Plant Cell and Environment **38**, 280–298.

Schwarzla M, Dick TP, Meyer AJ, Morgan B. 2015. Dissecting Redox Biology Using Fluorescent
Protein Sensors 1. **00**.

Schwarzländer M, Dick TP, Meyer AJ, Morgan B. 2015. Dissecting redox biology using
fluorescent protein sensors. Antioxidants and Redox Signaling **24**, 680–712.

Schwarzlander M, Fricker MD, Muller C, Marty L, Brach T, Novak J, Sweetlove LJ, Hell R,
Meyer AJ. 2008. Confocal imaging of glutathione redox potential in living plant cells. Journal of
Microscopy **231**, 299–316.

Schwarzländer M, Fricker MD, Sweetlove LJ. 2009. Monitoring the in vivo redox state of plant
mitochondria: effect of respiratory inhibitors, abiotic stress and assessment of recovery from
oxidative challenge. Biochimica et biophysica acta **1787**, 468–75.

Shao N, Duan GY, Bock R, Molekulare M. 2013. A Mediator of Singlet Oxygen Responses in
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and Arabidopsis Identi fi ed by a Luciferase-Based Genetic Screen
in Algal Cells. The Plant Cell **25**, 4209–4226.

Shao N, Krieger-liszkay A, Schroda M, Beck CF. 2007. A reporter system for the individual
detection of hydrogen peroxide and singlet oxygen : its use for the assay of reactive oxygen
species produced in vivo. The Plant journal **50**, 475–487.

Shibata M, Oikawa K, Yoshimoto K, Kondo M, Mano S, Yamada K, Hayashi M, Sakamoto W,
Ohsumi Y, Nishimura M. 2013. Highly Oxidized Peroxisomes Are Selectively Degraded via
Autophagy in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell **25**, 4967–83.

Signorelli S, Tarkowski ŁP, Van den Ende W, Bassham DC. 2019. Linking Autophagy to Abiotic
and Biotic Stress Responses. Trends in Plant Science **24**, 413–430.

Su T, Li X, Yang M, Shao Q, Zhao Y, Ma C, Wang P. 2020. Autophagy: An Intracellular
Degradation Pathway Regulating Plant Survival and Stress Response. Frontiers in Plant Science
11, 1–16.

Triantaphylidès C, Krischke M, Hoeberichts FA, Ksas B, Gresser G, Havaux M, Van Breusegem
F, Mueller MJ. 2008. Singlet oxygen is the major reactive oxygen species involved in
photooxidative damage to plants. Plant Physiology **148**, 960–968.

Trippi VS, Lascano HR, Melchiorre M. 2009. Plant senescence: A self-induced process. Current
topics in plant biology **10**, 1–15.

Velika B, Kron I. 2012. Antioxidant properties of benzoic acid derivatives against Superoxide
radical. Free Radicals and Antioxidants **2**, 62–67.

Wang P, Wang T, Han J, Li M, Zhao Y, Su T, Ma C. 2021. Plant Autophagy : An Intricate Process
Controlled by Various Signaling Pathways. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**, 754982.

Willems P, Mhamdi A, Stael S, Storme V, Kerchev P, Noctor G, Gevaert K, Van Breusegem F.
2016. The ROS wheel: Refining ROS transcriptional footprints. Plant Physiology **171**, 1720–
1733.

Wintermans M. j. FG, De Mots A. 1965. SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF
CHLOROPHYLLS a AND b AND THEIR PHEOPHYTINS IN ETHANOL. Biochimica et biophysica acta

109, 448–453.

Woodson JD. 2019. Chloroplast stress signals: regulation of cellular degradation and
chloroplast turnover. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **52**, 30–37.

Xiong Y, Contento AL, Bassham DC. 2007*a*. Disruption of Autophagy Results in Constitutive
Oxidative Stress in Arabidopsis. Autophagy **3**, 257–258.

Xiong Y, Contento AL, Nguyen PQ, Bassham DC. 2007*b*. Degradation of oxidized proteins by
autophagy during oxidative stress in Arabidopsis. Plant physiology **143**, 291–9.

Yagyu M, Yoshimoto K. 2023. New Insights into Plant Autophagy: Molecular Mechanisms and
Roles in Development and Stress Responses. Journal of experimental botany, 1–28.

Yang M, Bu F, Huang W, Chen L. 2019. Multiple regulatory levels shape autophagy activity in
plants. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 1–7.

Yoshimoto K, Hanaoka H, Sato S, Kato T, Tabata S, Noda T, Ohsumi Y. 2004. Processing of
ATG8s , Ubiquitin-Like Proteins , and Their Deconjugation by ATG4s Are Essential for Plant
Autophagy. The Plant Cell **16**, 2967–2983.

**Yoshimoto K, Jikumaru Y, Kamiya Y, Kusano M, Consonni C, Panstruga R, Ohsumi Y, Shirasu
K**. 2009. Autophagy negatively regulates cell death by controlling NPR1-dependent salicylic
acid signaling during senescence and the innate immune response in Arabidopsis. The Plant
cell **21**, 2914–27.

Zheng X, Yang Z, Gu Q, Xia F, Fu Y, Liu P, Yin XM, Li M. 2020. The protease activity of human
ATG4B is regulated by reversible oxidative modification. Autophagy **16**, 1838–1850.

Zhou J, Wang J, Cheng Y, Chi YJ, Fan B, Yu JQ, Chen Z. 2013. NBR1-Mediated Selective
Autophagy Targets Insoluble Ubiquitinated Protein Aggregates in Plant Stress Responses. PLoS
Genetics **9**.

Zhuang X, Cui Y, Gao C, Jiang L. 2015. Endocytic and autophagic pathways crosstalk in plants.
Current Opinion in Plant Biology **28**, 39–47.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Autophagic flux and cytoplasmic redox state in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants exposed to
different abiotic stress conditions. (A-C) Seven-day old 35S:GFP-ATG8a transgenic plants and
cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 and GRX-roGFP2 expressing plants were
exposed to different stress conditions in MS liquid medium. To induce autophagic bodies
accumulation, MS liquid medium was supplemented with 1 µM Concanamycin A. (A)
Representative images showing autophagic bodies accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state.
The stress treatments were performed for 16 h, followed by the evaluation of autophagic bodies
accumulation (GFP-ATG8 columns) and the cytoplasmic redox state (GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1
columns) by confocal microscopy. Arrowheads indicate some of the autophagic bodies
observed. Scale bars: 30 µm. GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1 columns are ratiometric images. For
pseudocolor display, the ratio was coded on a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully
reduced) to red (fully oxidized). The treatments included: MS with nitrogen (control), MS with
nitrogen in darkness (-C), MS without nitrogen (-N), MS with nitrogen supplemented with 100
mM mannitol (Manitol), 50 mM NaCl (NaCl), 1 µM methyl viologen (MV) and 10 mM H_2O_2. (B)
Quantification of autophagic body accumulation was performed using single-plane confocal
images. Two sections from at least 6 plants were analyzed. (C) Quantification of cytoplasmic
redox state expressed as the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 488 nm and 405
nm. At least 4 plants were analyzed. Statistically significant differences are indicated by asterisks
(*$P < 0.05$; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test).

Figure 2: Treatments with MV and H_2O_2 highly oxidized the cytoplasm and prevented autophagy
induction in Arabidopsis. (A-C) Seven-day old 35S:GFP-ATG8a transgenic plants and cytosol-
targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 and GRX-roGFP2 expressing plants were exposed
to autophagy-inducing conditions and the effect of methyl viologen (MV) and H_2O_2 on

autophagic flux and cytoplasmic redox state were analyzed. (A) Representative images showing
autophagic bodies accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state. The stress treatments were
performed for 16 h, followed by the evaluation of autophagic bodies accumulation (GFP-ATG8
columns) and the cytoplasmic redox state (GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1 columns) by confocal
microscopy. To induced autophagic bodies accumulation, MS liquid medium was supplemented
with 1 μM Concanamycin A. Arrowheads indicate some of the autophagic bodies observed. Scale
bars: 30 μm. GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1 columns are ratiometric images. The treatments
included: MS with nitrogen (control), MS with nitrogen in darkness (-C) supplemented with 10
mM H_2O_2 (-C + H_2O_2), MS without nitrogen (-N) supplemented with 1 μM methyl viologen (-N +
MV) or 10 mM H_2O_2 (-N + H_2O_2). 10 mM Tiron and 10 mM Benzoic acid (BA) were used for ROS
scavenging (-N + MV + Tiron and -N + MV + BA). (B) Quantification of autophagic body
accumulation was performed using single-plane confocal images. Two sections from at least 6
plants were analyzed. Two sections from at least 6 plants were analyzed. (C) Quantification of
cytoplasmic redox state expressed as the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 488
nm and 405 nm. At least 4 plants were analyzed. (D) Effects of oxidative treatments on the
autophagic flux evaluated through the degradation of the GFP-ATG8a fusion protein by western
blot. Seven-day old 35S:GFP-ATG8a transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to the
different treatments for 6-8 h. The GFP-ATG8a fusion has a predicted molecular weight of
approximately 40 kDa (closed arrowheads); free GFP has a predicted molecular weight of 27 kDa
(open arrowheads). Representative images are shown. Ratio: the numbers in brackets denote
the GFP/GFP-ATG8 ratio normalized to the control condition (well 1 in each box). Three
replicates were performed (more than fifteen plants per replicate). HL: high light treatment
(≈1000 μmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05; error
bars: means ± SEM, DGC test).
952
953
Figure 3: Autophagy is induced after oxidative stress treatments in Arabidopsis. (A-D) Seven-day
old transgenic plants expressing 35S:GFP-ATG8a and cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors
GFP2-Orp1 and GRX-roGFP2 were subjected to a brief exposure of oxidative stress, either 10
mM H_2O_2 or high light intensity (≈1000 μmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}), for 4 h. Subsequently they were
transferred to MS liquid medium for a 20-hour recovery period under continuous light (≈120
μmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}) (recovery). Control plants were incubated under continuous light (≈120 μmoles
m^{-2} s^{-1}) in H_2O_2-free MS liquid medium for 24 h. Stressed plants were incubated in MS
supplemented with 10 mM H_2O_2 or high light intensity (≈1000 μmoles m^{-2} s^{-1}) for 24 h. (A)
Representative images showing autophagic bodies accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state.
To induce accumulation of autophagic bodies, MS liquid medium was supplemented with 1 μM
Concanamycin A. Arrowheads indicate some of the autophagic bodies observed. Scale bars: 30
μm. GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1 columns represent ratiometric images, with pseudocolor display
indicating the ratio on a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully reduced) to red (fully
oxidized). (B) Quantification of autophagic body accumulation was performed using single-plane
confocal images. Two sections from at least 6 plants were analyzed. (C) Quantification of
cytoplasmic redox state expressed as the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 488
nm and 405 nm. At least 4 plants were analyzed. Asterisks indicate statistically significant
differences (*P < 0.05; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test). (D) Autophagic flux evaluated
through the degradation of the GFP-ATG8a fusion protein by western blot. Total protein (40 μg)
was subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antibodies. The GFP-ATG8a fusion has a
predicted molecular weight of approximately 40 kDa (closed arrowheads), while free GFP has a
predicted molecular weight of 27 kDa (open arrowheads). Representative images are shown.
The numbers in brackets denote the GFP/GFP-ATG8 ratio normalized to the control condition.
Three replicates were performed (more than fifteen plants per replicate). Asterisks indicate
statistically significant differences (*P < 0.05; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test).
979

Figure 4: DCMU and methylene blue treatments induced autophagic flux in Arabidopsis. (A-D) Seven-day old transgenic plants expressing 35S:GFP-ATG8a and cytosol-targeted fluorescent redox sensors GFP2-Orp1 and GRX-roGFP2 were incubated in MS medium supplemented with either 20 μM 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU) or 20 μM methylene blue (MB), and the autophagic flux and cytoplasmic redox state were evaluated. (A) Representative images showing autophagic bodies accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state after 16 h of treatment. To induce autophagic bodies accumulation, MS liquid medium was supplemented with 1 μM Concanamycin A. Some of the autophagic bodies are indicated by arrowheads. Scale bars: 30 μm. The GRX-roGFP and GFP2-Orp1 columns represent ratiometric images. For pseudo color display, the ratio was coded using a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully reduced) to red (fully oxidized). (B) Quantification of autophagic body accumulation was performed using single-plane confocal images. Two sections from at least 6 plants were analyzed. (C) Quantification of cytoplasmic redox state expressed as the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 488 nm and 405 nm. Analysis was performed on at least 4 plants. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*$P < 0.05$; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test). (D) Evaluation of the effects of DCMU and MB on autophagic flux through degradation of the GFP-ATG8a fusion protein by western blot. Seven-day old transgenic Arabidopsis seedlings expressing 35S:GFP-ATG8a were exposed to the different treatments for 6-8 h. Total protein (40 μg) was subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antibodies. The GFP-ATG8a fusion has a predicted molecular weight of approximately 40 kDa (closed arrowheads), while free GFP has a predicted molecular weight of 27 kDa (open arrowheads). Representative images are shown. The numbers in brackets denote the GFP/GFP-ATG8 ratio normalized to control condition. Four replicates were performed with more than fifteen plants per replicate. (E) Seven-day old Arabidopsis seedlings were exposed to the different treatments and soluble sugar content was analyzed. FW: fresh weight. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*$P < 0.05$; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test).

Figure 5: Autophagic flux is induced in conditionally chloroplast $^1O_2$-hyperproducing *flu* mutant Arabidopsis plants. (A-C) Seven-day old wildtype (Col-0) and *flu* mutant plants expressing 35S:GFP-ATG8a or GFP2-Orp1 were transferred to darkness for 8 h, followed by re-exposure to light. Control plants were maintained under continuous light conditions. After 8 h, the autophagic flux and cytoplasmic redox state were analyzed. (A) Representative images showing autophagic bodies accumulation and cytoplasmic redox state. To induce autophagic bodies accumulation, the MS liquid medium was supplemented with 1 μM Concanamycin A. The GFP2-Orp1 columns represent ratiometric images. For pseudocolor display, the ratio was coded on a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully reduced) to red (fully oxidized). Arrowheads indicate some of the autophagic bodies observed. Scale bars: 30 μm. (B) Quantification of autophagic body accumulation was performed using single-plane confocal images. Two sections from at least 6 plants were analyzed. (C) Quantification of cytoplasmic redox state expressed as the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 488 nm and 405 nm. At least 4 plants were analyzed. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*$P < 0.05$; error bars: means ± SEM, DGC test). (D) Evaluation of autophagic flux through the degradation of the GFP-ATG8a fusion protein by western blot. Seven-day old wildtype (Col-0) and *flu* mutant plants expressing 35S:GFP-ATG8a were transferred to darkness for 8 h and then re-exposed to light for 6 h (after darkness). Continuous light was used as a control (light). Total protein (40 μg) was subjected to immunoblot analysis with anti-GFP antibodies. The GFP-ATG8a fusion has a predicted molecular weight of approximately 40 kDa (closed arrowheads); while free GFP has a predicted molecular weight of

1028   27 kDa (open arrowheads). Representative images are shown. The numbers in brackets denote
1029   the GFP/GFP-ATG8 ratio normalized to *flu* mutans under control condition. Three replicates
1030   were performed (more than fifteen plants per replicate). Asterisks indicate statistically
1031   significant differences.

1032

1033

1034   **FIGURE 1**

1035

1036

FIGURE 2

FIGURE 3

1045

 FIGURE 4

 FIGURE 5