Epithelial Ca\(^{2+}\) waves triggered by enteric neurons heal the gut
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Summary
A fundamental and unresolved question in regenerative biology is how tissues return to homeostasis after injury. Answering this question is essential for understanding the etiology of chronic disorders such as inflammatory bowel diseases and cancer. We used the Drosophila midgut to investigate this question and discovered that during regeneration a subpopulation of cholinergic enteric neurons triggers Ca\(^{2+}\) currents among enterocytes to promote return of the epithelium to homeostasis. Specifically, we found that down-regulation of the cholinergic enzyme Acetylcholinesterase in the epithelium enables acetylcholine from defined enteric neurons, referred as ARCENs, to activate nicotinic receptors in enterocytes found near ARCEN-innervations. This activation triggers high Ca\(^{2+}\) influx that spreads in the epithelium through Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions promoting enterocyte maturation followed by reduction of proliferation and inflammation. Disrupting this process causes chronic injury consisting of ion imbalance, Yki activation and increase of inflammatory cytokines together with hyperplasia, reminiscent of inflammatory bowel diseases. Altogether, we found that during gut regeneration the conserved cholinergic pathway facilitates epithelial Ca\(^{2+}\) waves that heal the intestinal epithelium. Our findings demonstrate nerve- and bioelectric-dependent intestinal regeneration which advance the current understanding of how a tissue returns to its homeostatic state after injury and could ultimately help existing therapeutics.
Introduction

How a tissue returns to homeostasis after injury without undergoing inadequate or excessive repair is a fundamental question in regenerative biology. As long as this question remains unresolved the etiology and even cure of various disorders remains elusive. Several diverse and complex mechanisms have been proposed to influence repair (inflammation and regeneration), ranging from neuroimmune responses and activity of conserved signaling pathways to endogenous ion currents (bioelectric signaling) 1-7. However, it is unclear how these mechanisms return a previously injured tissue to its homeostatic state. Especially, for an organ like the intestine that regularly needs repair due to ingested irritants answering this question is pressing. Excessive repair in the intestine results in chronic intestinal pathologies such as inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs, such as colitis) which are rising in the population remain without a cure and can lead to cancer 3,8-13.

The cholinergic pathway is an ancient conserved pathway 14 used extensively by peripheral neurons to communicate with internal organs 15. The two types of cholinergic receptors, nicotinic and muscarinic, and enzymes that modulate acetylcholine (ACh) metabolism, e.g. Acetylcholinesterase (AChE/Ace) are widely expressed in non-neuronal tissues 15. Cholinergic receptors regulate ion transport in the intestinal epithelium and this is vital for water and nutrient absorption 16. Recently, attention has been given to the anti-inflammatory properties of the cholinergic pathway, with reduced ACh responsiveness associated with intestinal diseases 6,16-18. Furthermore, the nicotinic receptor (nAChR) agonist nicotine promotes growth and differentiation of mammalian intestinal organoids 19. However, the regenerative properties of the cholinergic pathway in the gut are not well understood 20.

The Drosophila midgut is equivalent to the mammalian small intestine and has been used extensively to identify the conserved molecular pathways that trigger inflammation and regeneration in the injured epithelium 21-23. The midgut epithelium is single-layered and comprised of enterocytes (ECs), large polypliod epithelial cells specialized in absorption, secretory enteroendocrine cells (EEs) and progenitor cells (PCs) 21,24,25. The visceral muscle and trachea surround the midgut epithelium whereas anterior and posterior midgut regions are innervated by enteric neurons 21. When the epithelium is injured intestinal stem cells (ISCs) divide rapidly, giving rise to daughter cells (EBs) which differentiate into ECs and EEs 21-23. Depending on the type of injury or infection, a multifaceted interplay of conserved inflammatory and regenerative pathways (e.g., EGFR, JAK-STAT, Wnt, BMP, Yki/Yap) activate ISC proliferation so that a sufficient PC (ISC/EB) pool is generated to replenish the damaged epithelium 23,26-38. Despite the in-depth understanding of how repair is triggered in the midgut, it is
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unclear how the activities of these interlinked pathways are later dampened once the epithelium is ready to transition to homeostasis. Following specific damage such as infection by Ecc15 or treatment with Bleomycin, the BMP signaling pathway has been reported to have dual roles first promoting proliferation and later promoting ISC-quiescence4,7. However, increase of BMP signals is injury-dependent39, suggesting that other mechanisms are also involved in ISC-quiescence.

Here, we provide evidence for the fundamental role of an epithelial bioelectric mechanism under the control of cholinergic enteric neurons that occurs as the midgut transitions from colitis-like injury to homeostasis, we refer to this transition as recovery. We show that ECs downregulate Ace and upregulate nAChR subunit β3 (nAChRβ3) during recovery. Together, this makes ECs more sensitive and receptive to ACh that they receive from a specific population of cholinergic enteric neurons, which we named ARCENs for Anti-inflammatory Recovery-regulating Cholinergic Enteric Neurons. During recovery cholinergic signaling from ARCEN-innervations triggers elevated nAChRβ3-mediated Ca2+ influx in nearby ECs, that is then propagated across the epithelium via Innexin2/Innexin7 gap junctions. This advances EC maturation, reduces ISC proliferation and returns the gut to homeostasis. Inhibition of nAChRβ3-mediated Ca2+ currents during recovery cause ion imbalance and EC deficiency followed by increase of Egr/TNF and Yki/Yap, leading to excessive inflammatory and proliferative responses, including hyperplasia, that prevent return to homeostasis.

Altogether, we demonstrate that transition to homeostasis after injury relies on the healing functions of nAChR-mediated Ca2+ currents in the intestinal epithelium which are initiated locally by specific cholinergic enteric neurons and spread by gap junctions. Our study broadens the current understanding of how regeneration ends by showing in vivo how cooperation between peripheral neurons and epithelial bioelectric signaling directs a tissue towards homeostasis.

Results

**Sensitivity of ECs to ACh is required for recovery**

To study the *Drosophila* intestinal epithelium while it transitions to homeostasis after injury, we damaged the gut with dextran sodium sulfate (DSS). This induces colitis in mammals40-42 and has been used previously in *Drosophila* to identify conserved proliferative pathways22,32. We fed flies DSS for 4 days (injury period) followed by two or four days of standard food (recovery period, Fig. 1A). Damaging the gut with DSS elevated expression of the effector *Drosophila* caspase 1 (Dcp1), indicative of cell death (Fig. 1B), and of conserved
inflammatory cytokines such as the IL6-like Unpaired 3 (Upd3)\textsuperscript{28,43} and the TNF homolog eiger (egr)\textsuperscript{44} (Ext. Fig. 1A), resembling DSS-induced colitis in mammals\textsuperscript{40-42}. Once flies were transferred to standard food, the epithelium required 4 days to return to homeostasis and be indistinguishable from an unchallenged gut as determined by the levels of i) inflammatory cytokines (Ext. Fig. 1A), ii) cell death (Fig. 1B), iii) proliferation (ISC mitotic divisions using the mitotic marker anti-pH3, Fig. 1C), and iv) the expression levels of PC marker escargot (esg; Ext. Fig. 1B) and of two markers of mature epithelial cells, pdm1 a marker of ECs, and prospero (pros), a marker of EEs (Ext. Fig. 1B).

To search for recovery-specific differentially expressed genes, we performed snRNAseq on the 2\textsuperscript{nd} day of recovery (Fig. 1D). We identified 14 distinct clusters from the 8073 nuclei recovered (Fig. 1D), which we assigned to different epithelial and progenitor cell populations, as well as cells residing in the cardia and in the LFC/Cu/Fe gut region (Fig. 1D, Ext. Fig. 1C, Ext. Fig. 1D), as determined by the expression of marker genes from a previous single-cell profiling study\textsuperscript{45}. We next analyzed differential gene expression between homeostasis and recovery among epithelial and progenitor clusters. We observed that Ace, which encodes the cholinergic esterase Ace/AChE, is highly enriched in EC clusters and was significantly downregulated during recovery (Fig. 1E, Ext. Fig. 1E-1F, S. Table 1-2). We found \textasciitilde75\% reduction of Ace during recovery in the gut (Fig. 1F). Supporting our results, previous intestinal RNA-seq profiling (Flygut-EPFL data)\textsuperscript{46} after different bacterial infections (Ecc15 and Pe) detected Ace downregulation, indicating that this change in Ace levels occurs for different types of epithelial damage. Ace hydrolyzes ACh to choline and acetate, and thus defines a cell’s sensitivity to ACh. Notably, Ace is highly conserved\textsuperscript{47} and Ace/AChE inhibitors are tested as therapeutics for human intestinal pathologies\textsuperscript{18,48-51}.

To test the role of Ace during regeneration, we used CRISPR/Cas9 transcriptional activation\textsuperscript{52} to conditionally overexpress Ace (Ext. Fig. 1G). Strikingly, 4 days of Ace overactivation in ECs (using the Gal4\textsuperscript{53} myo1A-driver together with the repressor Tubulin-Gal80\textsuperscript{TS} \textsuperscript{54}, referred as myo1ATS\textsuperscript{53}) led to excessive ISC proliferation during recovery (Fig. 1G), while the same conditional activation during homeostasis or injury did not affect ISC proliferation (Fig. 1G). Similarly, consecutive DSS challenges, while Ace is conditionally overexpressed in ECs using the myo1ATS\textsuperscript{53} or mex\textsuperscript{78} driver (another EC driver), led to recovery specific ISC over-proliferation (Fig. 1H, Ext. Fig. 1H). We next tested if Ace perturbations in visceral muscle or immune cells could regulate ISC proliferation. Conditionally overexpressing Ace in visceral muscle and immune cells did not alter ISC proliferation when compared to control guts during homeostasis, injury, and recovery (Ext. Fig. 1I). Altogether, these findings reveal that
overexpressing Ace in ECs during recovery prevents ISCs from becoming quiescent, causing an excessive regenerative response.

We also tested if this effect is related to the type of epithelial damage. To do this, we used Ecc15 oral infection, which has been reported to trigger different pathways from DSS. Conditionally overexpressing Ace in ECs for 18hrs after Ecc15 infection also led to significant over-proliferation (Ext. Fig. 1J).

ACh has been proposed to modulate ion transport in the intestinal epithelium in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner. Thus, to test for epithelial changes in ACh sensitivity during homeostasis and recovery, we visualized Ca\(^{2+}\) by conditionally expressing the Ca\(^{2+}\) indicator GCAMP7c in ECs. Strikingly, using ex vivo live gut imaging, we find that Ca\(^{2+}\) levels in ECs are significantly higher during recovery following ACh administration than during homeostasis, and that this increase in ACh sensitivity is attenuated upon overexpression of Ace in ECs (Fig. 1I and Movies S1-3). These results indicate that during recovery ECs become more sensitive to ACh by decreasing Ace and that this change in ACh sensitivity is required for the intestinal epithelium to transition to homeostasis after injury.

**nAChR\(β3\) is required in ECs for recovery**

Cholinergic receptors are highly conserved and are either G-protein-coupled muscarinic receptors (mAChR) or the ligand-gated ion channel nicotinic receptors (nAChR) made of five homomeric or heteromeric subunits (\(α1, α2, α3, α4, α5, α6, α7, β1, β2\) or \(β3\)). To identify which cholinergic receptor in ECs becomes activated once sensitivity to ACh is increased during recovery, we screened all nAChR subunits and different mAChR subtypes by knocking down their expression in ECs. Interestingly, conditional RNAi expression for 4 days targeting nAChR\(β3\) in ECs caused over-proliferation specifically during recovery (Fig. 2A, Fig. 2B, Ext. Fig. 2A, Ext. Fig. 2B) and knockdown combined with repeated DSS injury (Recovery 2x) led to hyperplasia (Fig. 2B, Fig. 2C). Conditional knockdown of nAChR\(β3\) in ECs also significantly reduced the Ca\(^{2+}\) response after ACh administration during recovery (Ext. Fig. 2C). Taken together, these data suggest that reducing nAChR\(β3\) in ECs leads to similar phenotypes as observed following Ace upregulation. Importantly, this effect is specific to ECs, as conditionally knocking down nAChR\(β3\) in PCs, EBs alone, EEs, visceral muscle and hemocytes had no effect on proliferation (Ext. Fig. 2D).

The profiling depth of our single nuclei sequencing was not sufficient to conclude if nAChR\(β3\) expression is altered between homeostasis and recovery, despite being solely found
in ECs (Ext. Fig. 2E). To visualize the expression of nAChRβ3, we used scarless CRISPR gene editing \(^{56}\) to insert a Flag tag into the intracellular loop region between the M3 and M4 transmembrane domains of nAChRβ3 \(^{57}\) (nAChRβ3-flag) (Fig. 2D, Ext. Fig. 2F). Strikingly, we found that endogenous nAChRβ3 was significantly enriched in ECs by day 2 of recovery (Fig. 2D), whereas a decrease in nAChRβ3 levels coincided with the return to homeostasis (Ext. Fig. 2G). Moreover, we found that nAChRβ3 was clearly localized to the basal side of ECs using expansion microscopy \(^{58}\) to uniformly enlarge the epithelium and increase imaging resolution (Fig. 2E). Interestingly, some ECs had more nAChRβ3 clustered on the basal side than others (Fig. 2D, Fig. 2E).

To further verify that nAChRs are enriched in ECs during recovery, we modified the cholinergic sensitivity assay by adding the cholinergic agonist nicotine, which activates only nAChRs and cannot be hydrolyzed by Ace (Fig. 2F). Nicotine administration significantly increased Ca\(^{2+}\) in ECs during recovery compared to homeostasis, reminiscent of ACh-sensitivity, and this increase was diminished when nAChRβ3 was knocked down (Fig. 2F, Fig. 2G and Movies S4-S6). Overall, we conclude that nAChRβ3 in ECs is essential for gut recovery and recovery-specific enrichment of nAChRβ3 provides an additional level of regulation that likely ensures that ECs are more responsive to ACh while the epithelium transitions to homeostasis.

**nAChRβ3-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) increase in ECs promotes recovery**

ISC proliferation is triggered by the release of different cytokines which vary depending on the stimulus \(^{22}\). To identify the signaling pathways responsible for unrestrained proliferation during recovery after nAChRβ3 knockdown in ECs, we tested the expression of known cytokines (Fig. 3A). The IL6-like unpaired 2 (upd2) and upd3 JAK-STAT ligands, together with the EGF-like ligand vein (vn) and TNF-homolog egr, were significantly upregulated in ECs with nAChRβ3 knockdown during recovery (Fig. 3A). Upd2, Upd3 and Vn are released on activation of the Hippo pathway effector Yorkie (Yki/YAP) in damaged ECs \(^{30,32}\), whereas Egr is associated with cell death \(^{59}\). Supporting this, we found that knocking down nAChRβ3 in ECs during recovery significantly increased cell death as well as the expression of the Yki target Diap1 (Ext. Fig. 3A, Ext. Fig. 3B). Importantly, knockdown of nAChRβ3 significantly reduced the transcript and protein levels of pdm1 marker for mature ECs specifically during recovery (Fig. 3B, Ext. Fig. 3C), while the EE marker pros remained unchanged (Ext. Fig. 3D). Together, these data suggest that disruption of nAChRs in ECs during recovery impairs ECs causing Yki-signaling.
activation, cell death and the subsequent release of inflammatory signals that induce unwarranted ISC proliferation.

Cholinergic receptors regulate ion transport in the mammalian epithelium\textsuperscript{16}. We asked whether nAChRs have similar functions in ECs using dyes that detect Na\textsuperscript{+} (SodiumGreen) or Cl\textsuperscript{−} (MQAE), as well as the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transcriptional reporter NFAT-CalexA\textsuperscript{60}. We found that reduction of nACHR\textsubscript{β3} in ECs during recovery caused significant ion imbalance in the epithelium, with reduced Cl\textsuperscript{−} and Na\textsuperscript{+} levels (Ext. Fig. 3E). Strikingly, we observed that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is significantly upregulated for a short period of time early in recovery before returning to levels resembling homeostasis (Fig. 3C). This endogenous Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase disappears when nACHR\textsubscript{β3} expression is knocked down in ECs (Fig. 3D). In addition, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} increase occurs only in ECs during recovery, as ISCs that use Ca\textsuperscript{2+} for proliferation\textsuperscript{61,62} show Ca\textsuperscript{2+} decline during recovery (Ext. Fig. 3F). To examine the importance of nACHR-mediated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} during recovery, we genetically compensated for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in nACHR\textsubscript{β3}-deficient ECs. We found that conditional overexpression of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel Orai combined with knockdown of nACHR\textsubscript{β3} in ECs was sufficient to restore i) ISC proliferation (Fig. 3E), ii) pdm1\textsuperscript{+} ECs (Fig. 3F) and iii) Cl\textsuperscript{−} (Ext. Fig. 3G) to levels identical to controls.

We also conditionally overexpressed nACHR\textsubscript{β3} in ECs (Ext. Fig. 3H), which doubles the amount of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx in ECs after nicotine administration (Ext. Fig. 3I). We found that nACHR\textsubscript{β3} overexpression in ECs significantly expedited recovery of the intestinal epithelium with ISC proliferation and pdm1 expression reaching levels indistinguishable from unchallenged guts in 2 days, half the normal time (Fig. 3G, Fig. 3H). Overexpressing nACHR\textsubscript{β3} in ECs also significantly reduced inflammatory cytokine levels during recovery (Fig. 3I). Notably, nACHR\textsubscript{β3} overexpression in ECs during homeostasis and injury did not change overall proliferation levels (Fig. 3G). Taken together, our data show that nACHR-mediated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx in ECs controls intestinal epithelium recovery by promoting EC maturation and ion balance. Disruption of nACHR-mediated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx causes EC deficiency and ion imbalance which culminates in over-inflammation and over-proliferation reminiscent of lasting unresolved injury. In contrast, overexpression of nACHR\textsubscript{β3} expedites the return of the epithelium to its homeostatic state.

\textbf{Neuro-EC interactions promote nACHR-mediated gut recovery}

ACh is released by neuronal and non-neuronal cells that express ChAT (Choline acetyltransferase), the enzyme that catalyzes the synthesis of ACh\textsuperscript{15}. To identify the source of endogenous ACh responsible for promoting nACHR-mediated gut recovery, we first tested
midgut cells (PCs, ECs, EEs), the visceral muscle and immune cells (hemocytes). ISC proliferation during recovery remained unaffected when ChAT was conditionally knocked down in these cells (Ext. Fig. 4A, Ext. Fig. 4B). Similarly, EE-less guts⁶³ do not over-proliferate during recovery (Ext. Fig. 4C). Altogether, these data point to a neuronal source of ACh. The importance of neurons during regeneration has been reported in various contexts from limb regeneration to heart injury⁶⁴-⁶⁶. For the gut, recent studies have highlighted the anti-inflammatory properties of mammalian enteric (gut-surrounding) neurons¹⁷,⁶⁷-⁶⁹ while limited associations have been made between neurons and ISC proliferation in Drosophila⁷⁰,⁷¹.

Since ACh is a short-distance neurotransmitter/local neurohormone¹⁴, the most likely neuronal source of ACh during recovery is the enteric nervous system. However, an in-depth characterization of cholinergic enteric neurons in Drosophila has been lacking. Drosophila enteric neurons have the unique feature of innervating the gut even though their cell bodies reside in the brain, the hypocerebral ganglion (HCG), or the thoracicoabdominal ganglia (TAG or adult ventral nerve cord)²¹,⁷². Combined with the coiling structure of the gut, this feature makes descending enteric innervations vulnerable to damage during dissection and difficult to visualize, especially in the abdomen, in which most of the midgut resides²¹,⁴⁶. To keep both cholinergic enteric innervations and the midgut intact we fixed whole flies and sectioned them with a vibratome (Ext. Fig. 4D). Cholinergic enteric innervations, which we marked with GFP using a ChAT-driver, are found in anterior (R1, R2) and posterior midgut regions (R4, R5) and originate from brain, TAG and HCG enteric neurons (Ext. Fig. 4D, Ext. Fig. 4E, Ext. Fig. 4F). Cholinergic enteric innervations extensively arborize, although the overall gut coverage is not vast (Ext. Fig. 4D, Ext. Fig. 4E), and remained in place after injury (Ext. Fig. 4E). We found that cholinergic innervations run between the muscle and the epithelium in both the anterior and posterior midgut regions (Ext. Fig. 4G, Ext. Fig. 4H) consistent with a previous report on enteric innervations⁷⁰. Thus, cholinergic enteric innervations are adjacent not only to muscle cells but also to ECs (Ext. Fig. 4H).

Next, we used the Syt1 antibody to detect the synaptic vesicle membrane protein Synaptotagmin1, which is essential for neurotransmitter release⁷³. Our data revealed the presence of several Syt1⁺ swellings along cholinergic enteric arborizations that innervate the muscle and the epithelium during recovery (Ext. Fig. 4I). This indicates that enteric innervations release ACh in the vicinity of both muscle and epithelium, likely interacting with both. We refer to these Syt1⁺ swellings as presynaptic boutons because they resemble en passant varicosities described in the autonomous nervous system, and are where a neurotransmitter diffuses to receptors located in the nearby tissue⁷⁴. Interestingly, during homeostasis we observed that
cholinergic enteric innervations carry less Syt1+ boutons (Ext. Fig 4J), potentially indicating less ACh release during homeostasis. Taken together, our data show that cholinergic enteric innervations are in the right position to release ACh to ECs during recovery.

The majority of cholinergic midgut innervation originate from the TAG region, as determined after co-expressing the TAG Gal80 inhibitor (Tsh-Gal80)75, which repressed GFP in almost all abdominal cholinergic innervations (Ext. Fig 4K). To find a driver specific to cholinergic enteric neurons, we screened through different neuronal drivers76 expressed strongly in TAG. We identified the R49E06-Gal4 driver, which is strongly expressed in the abdominal ganglion of the TAG, has no expression in the gut and very limited expression in the brain (Ext. Fig. 4L&FlyLight). We found that in the abdominal ganglion about 20 of the ~35 neurons are ChAT+ and are therefore cholinergic (Ext. Fig. 4L and Fig. 4A). Notably, descending projections from R49E06-neurons are cholinergic (ChAT+) (Fig. 4A) and innervate the midgut in R2, R4 and R5 (Fig. 4B, Fig. 4C and Ext. Fig. 5A), suggesting that this driver targets a subpopulation of cholinergic enteric neurons. To have more genetic flexibility to study how these neurons interact with ECs we used the LexA binary system77 77,78 to generate an EC-LexA driver (mex-LexA::GAD together with Tubulin-Gal80TS, referred to as mexLexATS) (Ext. Fig. 5B). We used this driver to test if R49E06-innervations are in close proximity to ECs. Specifically, we conditionally expressed GFP in ECs with the mex-LexATS driver and used the Gal4/UAS53 system to express the synaptic-vesicle marker Syt1HA in R49E06-neurons. We observed that during recovery Syt1HA-innervations from R49E06-neurons are in close proximity to muscle cells and ECs (Fig. 4D, Ext. Fig. 5C, Ext. Fig. 5D and Movie S7), suggesting that R49E06-innervations could be the source of ACh during gut regeneration.

To test whether enteric R49E06-neurons regulate gut regeneration, we conditionally reduced ChAT in these neurons in conditions of gut homeostasis, injury, and recovery. This caused: i) ISC over-proliferation after 4 days of recovery, which intensified after repetitive injury (Fig. 4E), ii) recovery-specific reduction of ECs (Fig. 4F), iii) no significant change in EEs (Ext. Fig. 5E) and iv) increase of gut inflammatory cytokines during recovery (Ext. Fig. 5F). Therefore, reduction of ACh synthesis from R49E06-neurons during recovery leads to lasting unresolved injury, resembling nAChRβ3 and Ace deregulation in ECs. These data show that intestinal repair after injury is under neuronal control, so we named these neurons Anti-inflammatory Recovery-regulating Cholinergic Enteric Neurons (ARCENs).

To test whether ARCENs are required for nAChR-mediated advance in recovery, we blocked neurotransmitter release with the UAS-shibireTS transgene79 while simultaneously conditionally overexpressing nAChRβ3 in ECs (Fig. 4G, Ext. Fig 5B). We found that 24hr
expression of shibireTS in ARCENs was sufficient to prevent nACHRβ3 overexpression in ECs from fast-reducing ISC proliferation (Fig. 4G). To verify that ARCENs release ACh to the intestinal epithelium, we overexpressed the ion channel TrpA1 and thermo-genetically depolarized ARCENs for 6 hrs (Ext. Fig. 5G). TrpA1-induction of ARCENs during the first 6 hours of recovery significantly reduced ISC proliferation, whereas additionally expressing the cholinergic repressor ChAT-Gal80 restored proliferation to levels identical to controls (Ext. Fig. 5G). In addition, TrpA1-induction of ARCENs significantly reduced the expression of gut inflammatory cytokines during the first 6 hours of recovery (Ext. Fig. 5H). Moreover, we used the QF system to generate a R49E06-QF (ARCEN-QF) driver (Ext. Fig. 5B). We used this QF driver together with the light-gated cation channel CsChrimson to depolarize ARCENs while conditionally expressing in ECs the Ca2+ transcriptional reporter NFAT-CaLexA (Fig. 4H). Opto-genetic activation of ARCENs during the first 6 hours of recovery was sufficient to significantly increase both endogenous Ca2+ and the levels of pdm1+ ECs (Fig. 4H). Strikingly, ARCEN activation led to a broad Ca2+ spread across ECs (Fig. 4H) despite the limited innervation of ECs. Altogether, these data support that ARCENs provide ACh to ECs during gut regeneration.

Several studies have provided evidence for a protective role for peripheral neurons during inflammation, with the cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex proposed to sense inflammatory signals like TNF and reduce them. Drosophila peripheral neurons have been reported to respond to TNF/Egr signaling through wengen (wgn), one of the two known TNF receptors (wgn and Grindelwald). To test whether the protective role of ARCENs during gut regeneration is linked to inflammatory signals like TNF/Egr, we conditionally knocked down wgn and grnd in ARCENs (Ext. Fig. 5I). Reduction of wgn and not grnd led to significant ISC over-proliferation during recovery (Ext. Fig. 5I). Importantly, knocking down wgn in ARCENs did not cause over-proliferation during homeostasis or injury (Ext. Fig. 5I), resembling the phenotypes following reduction of ACh in ARCENs (Fig. 4E) and reduction of nAnchRβ3 in ECs (Fig. 2A-B). These data support that TNF signaling via Wgn regulates the regenerative roles of ARCENs, reminiscent of the anti-inflammatory reflex response.

Taken together, our data support that ARCENs release ACh to ECs during recovery and that ARCEN-EC interactions are required to promote transition to homeostasis after injury by activating nACHR-mediated Ca2+ influx in ECs, increasing mature ECs, reducing proliferation, and decreasing inflammation in the intestinal epithelium. Our findings demonstrate nerve-dependent intestinal regeneration, placing the intestinal epithelium among the many tissues whose ability to regenerate depends on neurons.
**nAChR-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) propagates via inx2/inx7 gap junctions**

Gap junctions propagate signals like ions and small metabolites between cells. We asked whether gap junctions are involved in the spreading of Ca\(^{2+}\) among ECs during recovery by targeting innexins which form gap junctions in invertebrates\(^8\)–\(^10\). Single nuclei profiling indicated that *inx2* and *inx7* are similarly enriched in ECs whereas their expression in PC and EE clusters is lower (Ext. Fig. 6A, Ext. Fig 6B, Ext. Fig. 6C). We found that knocking down of either *inx2* or *inx7* in ECs for 24 hrs during recovery weakened Ca\(^{2+}\) and pdm1+ levels, resembling the effects of *AChR*\(^\beta\)3 knockdown (Fig. 5A). Further, conditionally reducing *inx2* in ECs for 4 days caused recovery-specific over-proliferation, whereas no significant changes occurred during homeostasis or injury (Fig. 5B). Strikingly, *inx7* reduction in ECs disrupted Inx2 gap junction formation (Ext. Fig. 6D, Ext. Fig. 6E), suggesting that Inx2 and Inx7 form heteromeric gap junctions among ECs. Gap junctions are activated by membrane potential changes, including opening of gap junctions after increase in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels\(^8\)–\(^11\),\(^12\). Together, these data support the model that Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions between ECs are activated during recovery.

To test whether gap junction signaling is downstream of nAChRs, we conditionally knocked down *inx2* in ECs while overexpressing *nAChR*\(^\beta\)3 for 2 days. We found that knocking down *inx2* was sufficient to attenuate the rapid decrease in ISC proliferation and block fast increase of pdm1+ ECs, thereby blocking the expedited recovery triggered by *nAChR*\(^\beta\)3 overexpression (Fig. 5C, Fig. 5D). Finally, we tested *in vivo* whether gap junctions regulate Ca\(^{2+}\) propagation by adding the gap junction blocker heptanol together with nicotine and recording intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) changes in ECs (Fig. 5E). During recovery, addition of heptanol and nicotine prompted an uneven Ca\(^{2+}\) response among ECs and dampened Ca\(^{2+}\) increase (Fig. 5E and Movies S8-S9). Altogether, our data support that Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions are required for nAChR-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) to spread among ECs during recovery and disruption of this bioelectric signaling prevents the transition to homeostasis.

**Discussion**

In this work we address a fundamental question in regenerative biology, how does transition to homeostasis occur after injury? We used the *Drosophila* intestinal epithelium to answer this question as the gut is frequently subject to insults during ingestion. We discovered that following injury the conserved cholinergic pathway directs the gut to return to its homeostatic state by coordinating diverse processes like neuro-epithelial interactions and
bioelectric signaling. In detail, our data support that ECs exhibit higher sensitivity and receptivity to ACh during recovery due to changes in *Ace* and *nAChRβ3* expression, respectively. This elevated cholinergic responsiveness of ECs enables them to receive ACh from ARCEN innervations. ACh then opens nicotinic receptors that carry the β3 subunit. Subsequently, Ca²⁺ is elevated in ECs that reside near the vicinity of innervations. This in turn activates Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions spreading Ca²⁺ that advances mature ECs across the epithelium (Fig. 5F).

Disrupting this process causes unresolved chronic injury consisting of EC reduction, ion imbalance, unwarranted Yki activation and release of inflammatory cytokines Upd2/3, Vn and Eiger, reminiscent of IBDs 42,93,94. Conversely, short-term over-activation of this process expedites transition to homeostasis.

**ARCEN/nAChR-induced Ca²⁺ waves among ECs during regeneration**

Our findings reveal that nAChR-mediated Ca²⁺ influx in ECs is an essential checkpoint for recovery. This is consistent with previous reports in the airway epithelium that nAChR-mediated Ca²⁺ protects from hyperplasia after injury and promotes wound healing95,96. We propose that nAChR-mediated Ca²⁺ during gut recovery triggers regulatory mechanisms essential to epithelial maturation and function. For example, high Ca²⁺ influx after opening of nAChRs alters the function of other ion channels such as Cl⁻ channels, which is evident by the reduced Cl⁻ levels in the midgut upon *nAChRβ3* reduction (Ext. Fig. 3E, Ext. Fig. 3G).

Interestingly, cholinergic receptors are reported to regulate Ca²⁺ signaling and to stimulate ion transport in the small intestine potentially by influencing the activation of Cl⁻ channel CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) whose mutations cause the multiorgan disease cystic fibrosis55,97. Therefore, one of the roles of nAChR-mediated Ca²⁺ in ECs is likely to stimulate ion transport and advance physiological gut functions like absorption and secretion.

The use of endogenous ion currents that electrically couple multiple cells through gap junctions and allow them to behave as one unit is linked to normal growth and tissue-patterning during development and regeneration1,6,98,99. We found this to be conserved during midgut regeneration since reduction of Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions in ECs causes irregular Ca²⁺ patterns and attenuates nAChR-mediated transition to homeostasis (Fig. 5A-E). Moreover, we discovered that elevated Ca²⁺ influx in ECs is first triggered locally by ARCEN-EC interactions and then spread by gap junctions. This is supported by the observation that cholinergic signaling from ARCENs to ECs is sufficient to initiate wide Ca²⁺ increase and is required for nAChR-mediated return to homeostasis (Fig. 4E, 4F, 4G, 4H and Ext. Fig. 5F, Ext. Fig. 5G), despite the limited epithelial coverage of ARCEN innervations (Fig. 4B).
**Nerve-dependent gut regeneration**

Despite the increasing knowledge of the protective anti-inflammatory roles of peripheral neurons, many aspects remain unclear, especially relating to intestinal epithelial renewal. We observed that ARCEN innervations carry presynaptic boutons near ECs suggesting that ACh could be released directly to ECs during recovery (Fig. 4D, Movie S7, Ext. Fig. 5C, Ext. Fig. 5D). In addition, we discovered that ACh release from ARCENs is required during gut regeneration to promote nAChR-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, increase mature ECs as well as to reduce proliferation and to decrease gut inflammatory signals (Fig 4A-H, Ext. Fig 5F-H). Thereby, our data expand the current knowledge by finding direct roles for cholinergic enteric neurons in healing the intestinal epithelium, which could help advance our understanding of the link between neurological disorders and intestinal pathologies.

The cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex has been proposed to be a conserved neuro-immune response in mammals and nematodes counteracting inflammatory signals by first sensing them and then releasing ACh to reduce them. We propose that a similar mechanism is conserved in *Drosophila*, consisting of enteric cholinergic neurons that respond to inflammatory signals when the gut is injured. Supporting this, conditionally reducing ACh in ARCENs during recovery increases conserved inflammatory cytokines TNF/Egr and IL-6-like/Upd3 in the gut (Ext. Fig. 5F) and short ARCEN activation decreases them (Ext. Fig 5H). Further, conditionally knocking down the TNF receptor wgn in ARCENs causes gut over-proliferation specifically during recovery (Ext. Fig. 5I), resembling the phenotypes followed by reduction in cholinergic signaling.

Interestingly, we found that EC responses to ACh are subject to change during regeneration. Specifically, we discovered that ECs are more sensitive and responsive to ACh during recovery due to Ace downregulation and nAChR/β3 upregulation (Fig. 1E-I, Fig 2D-G, Ext. Fig 2C). These changes in ECs together with the TNF-linked roles of ARCENs, indicate that during regeneration neuro-epithelial cholinergic signaling is plastic. We propose that these different levels of cholinergic regulation in neurons and epithelium work in coordination to precisely control the strength, initiation, and duration of nAChR-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) currents across the regenerative gut.

**Epithelial cholinergic sensitivity during regeneration**

For the intestinal epithelium to return to its homeostatic state after injury, two parameters need to be met. First, ISCs must return to quiescence once epithelial cells are replenished.
Second, essential functions such as absorption and secretion must be restored to prior-to-damage levels (i.e. homeostatic levels) across the entire tissue. Thus, ISC-quiescent pathways are part of a larger-scale coordinated response, which orchestrates transition to homeostasis independent of the type of injury.

So far, BMP signaling is the only reported ISC-quiescent pathway in *Drosophila*, although it does not always influence ISC proliferation. For example, BMP signals are not triggered during DSS injury but regulate ISC proliferation after *Ecc15* infection or Bleomycin injury. Moreover, *AWD* induction, which is required for the dual functions of BMPs, is not upregulated during DSS-recovery (our data) but is upregulated after bacterial infections (Flygut-EPFL). Also, over-proliferation triggered by knocking down *nAChRβ3* in ECs did not change the expression of BMP ligands Dpp and Gbb during DSS-recovery (Fig. 3A). However, *Ace* is consistently downregulated during recovery after DSS treatment or after different bacterial infections (Fig. 1F, Flygut-EPFL). In addition, our data support that overexpression of *Ace* in ECs prevents return to homeostasis independent of the type of epithelial damage (Fig. 1G, Ext. Fig. 1J).

Therefore, we propose that increase in intestinal epithelial cholinergic sensitivity due to *Ace* downregulation is essential for healing the epithelium and transitioning to homeostasis independent of the type of damage. It would be of great interest to explore if *Ace* downregulation is a conserved intestinal epithelial response after injury, especially since ACE/AChE inhibitors are tested as therapeutics for intestinal pathologies like IBDs.

**Neuro-induced epithelial bioelectric signaling during gut regeneration**

Bioelectric signaling during epithelial healing and regeneration has gained a lot of attention due to the benefits of using exogenous electric fields to enhance recovery. However, dissecting *in vivo* how endogenous bioelectric signaling is initiated during regeneration and which specific regulatory networks are downstream, has been challenging despite having significant therapeutic value. Here, we provide an example *in vivo* of an endogenous neuro-induced epithelial bioelectric mechanism during gut regeneration. We found that ARCEN/nAChR-controlled Ca²⁺ flows exert their regenerative properties in the intestinal epithelium by promoting ion balance (Ext. Fig. 3E, Ext. Fig. 3G) and by reducing inflammatory cytokines (Fig. 3A, Fig. 3I). In addition, short-term ARCEN activation, reminiscent of vagus-nerve stimulation, is sufficient to spread Ca²⁺ among ECs and enhance epithelial healing (Fig. 4H, Ext. Fig. 5G-H). Our findings are also supported by a recent study that detected Ca²⁺ waves in R3 of the midgut. Relevant to our findings cholinergic, nicotinic, Hippo, TNF pathways, as
well as vagus-nerve stimulation, are being tested as therapeutics for intestinal pathologies and cancer\textsuperscript{9,10,13,18,93,109-114}. Therefore, this study introduces a potential link between bioelectric interventions and canonical treatments, which could ultimately advance therapeutics for chronic disorders like IBDs and cancer.

Altogether, our findings demonstrate how the conserved cholinergic pathway facilitates the cooperation of diverse mechanisms such as neuro-epithelial communication and bioelectric signaling to initiate and expand with precision endogenous Ca\textsuperscript{2+} currents that heal the epithelium and direct it towards homeostasis. This work expands our current knowledge of regeneration and could help identify the etiology of various disorders as well as provide new leads to therapeutic treatments.
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Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

Flies were crossed and raised between 19-23°C in standard fly food. All adult flies were tested 3-5 days after hatching. All experiments were done in female flies, except for experiments in Ext.Fig. 4 where both female and male flies were used to characterize cholinergic enteric innervations.

The following stocks used in this study have been described previously. **Drivers:** mex-Gal4\(^{115}\), mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (mex\(^{TS}\)), mex-Gal4 UAS-2x-GFP (mex > GFP), esg-sfGFP (esg-GFP, generated by David Doupé\(^{116}\)), ChAT\(^{MI04508}\)-Gal4 (ChAT-Gal4; BDSC:60317\(^{117}\)), ChAT\(^{MI04508}\)-Gal4; Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (ChAT\(^{TS}\)), ChAT\(^{MI04508}\)-QF (ChAT-QF, BDSC:60320\(^{117}\)), ChAT\(^{MI04508}\)-Gal80 (ChAT-Gal80, BDSC:60321\(^{117}\)), Tsh-Gal80 (gift from Todd R. Laverty), R49E06-Gal4 (ARCENs, BDSC:38689), Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\); R49E06-Gal4 (R49E06\(^{TS}\) and ARCENs\(^{TS}\)), Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (BDSC:7018,7019), Diap1-LacZ (BDSC:12093).

**Perrimon lab stocks:** Myo31DFNP0001-Gal4 (myo1A-Gal4), myo1A-Gal4 UAS-GFP (myo1A > GFP), Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (myo1\(^{TS}\)), esg-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (esg\(^{TS}\)), Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\); esg-Gal4 (esg\(^{TS}\)), esg-Gal4, Su(H)GBE-Gal4 UAS-CD8-GFP; Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (Su(H)GBE\(^{TS}\)), Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\); pros-Gal4 (pros\(^{TS}\), elav-Gal4; Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (elav\(^{TS}\)), +; hml-Gal4\(^{%\Delta}\) UAS-GFP; Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\) (hml\(^{TS}\)), Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\); how\(^{2AB}\)-Gal4 (how\(^{TS}\)). **Reporters:** 20XUAS-IVS-jGCaMP7c (UAS-GCAMP7c, BDSC: 79030), TOE.GS01624 (gRNA-ACE, BDSC: 79471), UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR\(^{49}\) (UAS-dCas9VPR, BDSC: 66562), UAS-nAChR\(^{\beta3RNAi}\) (JF01947, BDSC: 25927)\(^{118}\), UAS-2x-GFP (2xGFP, BDSC: 6874), LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP;UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP (NFAT-CalLexA, BDSC:66542)\(^{60}\), UAS-Orai\(^{119}\), UAS-ChAT\(^{RNAi}\) (JF01877, BDSC:25856)\(^{118}\), UAS-sc\(^{RNAi}\) (JF02104, BDSC: 26206)\(^{59}\), 20XUAS-6XGFP (6xGFP, BDSC: 52262), 10XUAS-mCD8::GFP (mCD8:GFP, BDSC:32184, 32186), UAS-mCD8::GFP QUAS-mtdTomato-3xHA (UAS-GFP QUAS-Tomato-HA, BDSC:30118), QUAS-mtdTomato-3xHA (QUAS-Tomato-HA, BDSC: 30005), 20xUAS-6xmCherry-HA (6xmCherry, BDSC: 52268), 5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA (Syt1-HA, BDSC:62142)\(^{120}\), 10xUAS-IVS-myr::GFP (myrGFP, BDSC: 32198), 13xLexAop2-6xGFP (LexAop6xGFP, BDSC: 52265), UAS-shibire\(^{TS}\) (BDSC: 44222), QUAS-CsChrimson (gift from Chris Potter), 13xLexAop-sfGFP (LexAopGFP, generated by Pedro Saavedra), UAS-TrpA1\(^{121}\), UAS-wgn\(^{RNAi}\) (HMC03962, BDSC: 55275), UAS-grnd\(^{RNAi}\) (GD12580/v43454), UAS-Inx2\(^{RNAi}\) (JF02446, BDSC: 29306), UAS-Inx7\(^{RNAi}\) (JF02066, BDSC:26297), 13xLexAop-IVS-jGCaMP7c (LexAopGCaMP7c, BDSC:80916), UAS-
The following stocks were generated in this study. **Reporters:** 1) To generate the UAS-nAChRβ3RNAi-2 line, we used the Valium20 vector and followed the protocol as described in Ni et al\(^{122}\). Guide strand: CGGCGAGAAGATCATGATCAA, Passenger strand: TTGATCATGATCTTCTCGCCG. 2) To generate the UAS-nAChRβ3 and LexAop-nAChRβ3 line, we recombined nAChRβ3 cDNA from DmCD00481061 (from FlyBi Consortium) into pValium10-roe and LexAop-GW, respectively, using Gateway™ LR Clonase™ II Enzyme Mix (Invitrogen). nAChRβ3RNAi-2, nAChRβ3 and LexAop-nAChRβ3 was integrated into the attp40 site following injection as described in Ni et al\(^{122}\). LexAop-nAChRβ3 was also integrated into the VK27 site by BestGene Inc. **Drivers:** 1) To generate mex-LexA::GAD, we linearized the pDPPattB-LHG\(^{77}\) vector with Acc65I and BssHII enzymes and cloned gBlock1 and gBlock2 (Sup. Txt, generated by IDT) in the vector using Gibson Assembly (NEB). Mex-LexA::GAD was integrated into attp40 and attp2 sites\(^{122}\) as well as VK27 site (BestGene). 2) To generate R49E06-QF (ARCENs-QF), we amplified the R49E06 promoter with primers R49E06QF-F and R49E06QF-R from Ore R gDNA. R49E06QF-primers were based on the primers used to generate R49E06-Gal4\(^{76}\). We next linearized pattB-DSCP-QF#7-hsp70 (Plasmid #46133)\(^{123}\) using BamHI and NsI and with Gibson Assembly (NEB) cloned R49E06 promoter in the plasmid. R49E06QF-F: ACATCCAGTGTTTGTCTCTTCTGCTAGACTGACATTCCGTTGCCAAGAAGCGC R49E06QF-R: TCGATCCCCGGGCGAGCTCGGATCAGCGTGTCCTGTAGTACCAGCATA R49E06-QF was integrated into attp40 and attp2 sites\(^{122}\). nAChRβ3-flag: We generated the nAChRβ3-flag genomic construct using Scarless gene editing as described in Gratz et al\(^{124}\). In brief, to generate ~ 1kb Left and Right homology arms that flank nAChRβ3 cleavage site (2L: 546,835-546,836), we designed primers Left-F, Left-R, Right-F and Right-R (see below). Right-R primer included a silent mutation to disrupt the PAM sequence. We used gDNA from Cas9 flies to amplify the homology arms which we cloned to pScarlessHD-3xFLAG-DsRed plasmid (gift from Kate O’Connor-Giles, Addgene plasmid # 80820) with Gibson Assembly (NEB).

Left-F: AATTGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGGCGTGTGGCAACCGT, Left-R: ACCTCCAGATCCACCACCTCGGTGGTTAGTCATGCCA Right-F: TTCTGGTGGTTCAGGAGGTTCCGGCCGTGGCCAGG Right-R: AATTAACCCTCAAAAGGGATTTCCACCGGTCTGTAGTGGC.
nAChRβ3 gRNA guides were cloned into the pCFD4 vector (Addgene #49411) as described at http://www.crisprflydesign.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/06/Cloning-with-pCFD4.pdf

Guide strand: ATCAACCACACCGAGGTGCC, Passenger strand: GGCACCTCGGTGTGGTTGATC. After injection, once CRISPR alleles were identified with the DsRed marker, we used pBac transposase to generate scarless nAChRβ3-flag genomic flies as described at https://flycrispr.org/scarless-gene-editing/.

Inducing injury and recovery

For DSS-injury, flies were fed daily with 2% DSS (Dextran Sulfate Sodium Salt; MP Biomedicals) mixed in standard fly food for 4 days at either 23°C or 29°C as specified. To induce recovery following DSS-injury, flies were transferred to standard fly food at 29°C as specified. For immunocytochemistry experiments, fly guts were cleared for 2hrs with 5% sucrose when testing for homeostasis and recovery, and with 5% sucrose together with 2% DSS when testing for injury. For bacterial infection flies were starved for 2hrs and then fed with Ecc15 at OD100 in 5% sucrose. To test for homeostasis, flies were processed as the experimental conditions without DSS-feeding.

Immunocytochemistry

Vibratome-sectioned flies: Whole flies were fixed in 4% PFA and 0.4mg/ml Pierce™ DSP (Thermo Scientific) in PBS while rotating for 4-5 hrs at 4°C. Fixed flies were then placed in warm 4% agarose solution inside a 15mmx15mmx5 mold/adaptor (VWR) and left on ice for 30min. Agarose coated flies were sectioned in 150μm slices with a Leica VT1000 vibratome in cold PBS using MX35 Ultra microtome blades (Thermo Scientific). Sectioned flies were removed from agarose and fixed again for 15min at RT. Samples were washed with 0.25% Triton-X in PBS 3 times for 10min at RT and then transferred to Blocking solution (5% Normal Donkey Serum, 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS) overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were added with blocking solution for 48hrs at 4°C. Sectioned flies were then washed with 0.25% Triton-X in PBS, 5 times for 30min at 4°C. Secondary antibodies, Phalloidin and DAPI were added in blocking solution at 4°C overnight in the dark. Samples were then washed with 0.25% Triton-X in PBS, 5 times for 30min at 4°C in the dark and mounted with Vectashield medium using bridge and covered with No.1 thickness cover glass (ThermoScientific).

Dissected guts: Guts were dissected in cold PBS, fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 25min at RT, washed 3 times for 10min in PBS and incubated for 1hr in Blocking solution (5% Normal Donkey Serum, 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS). They were then stained with primary antibodies in
Blocking Solution overnight at 4°C. Afterwards, guts were washed 3 times for 10min in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and stained with secondary antibodies and DAPI in Blocking Solution at 4°C overnight in the dark. Guts were washed with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS 3 times for 10min and mounted in Vectashield medium.

Dissected TAG and Brain: Thoracicoabdominal ganglia (TAG) were dissected in cold PBS and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 30min at RT. They were washed for 10min with 1% Triton X-100 in PBS then 2 times for 10 min in 0.5 % Triton X-100 in PBS, incubated for 1hr in Blocking solution (5% Normal Donkey Serum, 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS) and then stained with primary antibodies in Blocking Solution overnight at 4°C. Afterwards they were washed 3 times for 10min in 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS. Next, they were stained with secondary antibodies and DAPI in Blocking Solution at 4°C overnight in the dark and washed with 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS 3 times for 10min before mounted in Vectashield medium.

The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Flag (Sigma, F7425; 1:100), mouse anti-β-galactosidase (Promega Z378A; 1:500), rabbit anti-pH3 (Millipore #06-570; 1:3000), mouse anti-GFP (Invitrogen A11120; 1:500), mouse anti-ChAT (DSHB; 1:50) rabbit anti-GFP (Invitrogen A6455; 1:3000), rabbit anti-DsRED (Clontech #632496; 1:200), chicken anti-GFP (AVES; 1:2000), rat anti-HA (Sigma 3F10; 1:500), mouse anti-Pros (DSHB MR1A; 1:50), rabbit anti-Syt1 (gift from Hugo Bellen; 1:1500), rabbit cleaved anti-Dcp1(Cell Signaling Asp216; 1:100), rabbit anti-pdm1 (gift from Xiaohang Yang; 1:500), mouse anti-pdm1 (DSHB 2D4; 1:20), guinea pig anti-inx2 (gift from Guy Tanentzapf; 1:1000), Phalloidin Alexa-647 (Invitrogen A2284; 1:50), Phalloidin Alexa-633 (Invitrogen A2287; 1:50), Phalloidin Alexa-405 (Invitrogen A30104; 1:50), DAPI (1:3000), Alexa Fluor-conjugated donkey-anti-mouse, donkey-anti-rabbit, goat-anti-chicken, goat-anti-guinea pig and donkey-anti-rat secondary antibody (ThermoFisher; 1:1000).

**Ion dye assays**

MQAE and SodiumGreen assays were conducted as described in Kim et al.\textsuperscript{126} Specifically, flies were fed 2μM Sodium Green Tetraacetate Indicator (Invitrogen, S6900) or 2.5mM MQAE ((N-(Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-6-Methoxyquinolinium Bromide) (Thermo Fisher, E3101) diluted in 5% sucrose overnight. Next guts were dissected and fixed in 4% PFA in PBS for 30min in the dark. After one rinse and one wash (10min) with PBS, DAPI or PI (1:3000) was added with PBS for 10min. Guts were washed one last time with PBS, mounted in Vectashield and taken immediately for confocal imaging.

**Optogenetic activation**
For CsChrimson experiments flies were raised in the dark at 19°C. To induce recovery flies were first fed with 2%DSS-food for 4 days at 23°C in the dark before transferring to standard food. During recovery flies were at 29°C without light, except for pulsed red light (~630nm) using 1-meter RGB LED Strip (SMD5050, eTopxizu) and fed standard food for 4hrs and then in 5% sucrose for 2hrs.

Fluorescent imaging and data analysis
Confocal imaging was conducted with Zeiss LSM710 and LSM780 confocal microscope using 25x, 40x and 63x oil objective lenses with identical acquisition conditions for all samples of a given experiment. For whole fly imaging, tile scans were stitched while imaging. Fiji (https://imageJ.net/Fiji) was used to assemble all images and measure mean fluorescent. Brightness was adjusted equally across comparable images and background signal was subtracted (Despeckle) for clarity. 3D segmentation of confocal images was performed using Imaris provided by the IDAC facility of Harvard Medical School. The number of pH3+ cells were counted with an epi-fluorescence microscope. Prism (https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/) was used to create all graphs as well as to perform statistical analysis with either unpaired two-tailed t-test or multiple comparisons with one-way Anova or two-way Anova (Tukey’s or Sidak’s multiple comparison test). All illustrations were created with BioRender.com.

GCaMP7c live imaging
For in vivo live Ca²⁺ imaging, guts were dissected in HL3 buffer (1.5mM Ca²⁺, 20mM MgCl₂, 5mM KCl, 70mM NaCl, 10mM NaHCO₃, 5mM HEPES, 115mM Sucrose, 5mM Trehalose) and placed in eight-well clear bottom cell culture chamber slides with HL3. Guts were stabilized with a Nylon mesh (Warner instruments, 64-0198) and paper clips cut in small identical pieces. Imaging was done using LSM710 and LSM780 microscopes with 40x water objective lens. Each frame is the maximum projection of 5-6 z-stacks (2.96μm/z) and was acquired with 488nm excitation for GFP. 5mM Acetylcholine (Acetylcholine Chloride, Sigma A2661) or 1mM Nicotine (Sigma, N3876) and 0.6mg/ml 1-Heptanol (Sigma, H2805) were added at the 10th frame. Identical acquisition conditions were used for all samples and genotypes per experiment. All images were taken from similar areas in the posterior midgut between R4-R5. Fiji was used for assembly and calculation of fluorescence per frame. ΔF/F₀ = F_f-F₀/ F₀. F₀ is the fluorescence per frame and F₀ (baseline fluorescence) is the average fluorescence intensity of the first 9 frames (fr₁-fr₉).
Quantitative Real-Time PCR

RNA was extracted from 15 adult fly guts or heads per biological sample using the Ambion PureLink RNA Mini Kit, including PureLink DNase. 500ng of RNA was amplified and converted to cDNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). cDNAs were analyzed using the SYBR Green kit (Bio-Rad) and Bio-Rad CFX Manager software. Rpl32 or α-tubulin were used as internal controls. Each RT-qPCR was performed with at least three biological replicates.

ACE: F- AGGTGCATGTCTACACCGGG, R- ACGTTGCTGTTGGGGTCC
Upd3: F- ATCCCCCTGAAAGCACCCTACAGA, R- CAGTCCAGATGCAGTACTGCTG
egr: F- AGCTGTATCCTGAAACCTATTTGCTTG, R- GCCAGATCGTTAGTGGAAGA
nAChRβ3: F- ATGAGCAGCACTTCCAAGATA, R- AAGAAGCATTCCCATTAGCATT
Pdm1: F- AGCTGTCCCTAAGGAGTTCG, R- ACATCGCGCATATGGTGTCAA
Esg: F- ATGAGCCCGAGGATTGTG, R- CCTCCTGATGTGTTCACTCATCT
Prospero: F- CTGCCCAAGAGTTTGCAAGA, R- CCTGATGCGAGTGACTGGA
Upd: F- CAGCAGCAGTGAATAGCAT, R- CGAGTCCTGAGGTTAAGGGGA
Upd2: F- CGGACACATCCACGATGAGCGAAT, R- TCAGGGCAAACCTGTACTCG
Vn: F- TCACACATTATGCTGAGGGAAG, R- TTGAGAGGCTGAATTGATCAA
Spi: F- CGCCCAAGATGAAAGAGAGA, R- AGGTATGCTGCTGGAAGA
Krn: F- CGGATTTTGCAAAACAAAG, R- TGTGGCAACGAGTTAAGG
Dpp: F- GCCACACAGTGCGAGTTC, R- ACCAGGGTGAAGTGAGTGC
Gbb: F- CGCTGGAAAGTCGCCAAATAA, R- CCAGGCGATAGGCTTCAGA
Wg: F- GATAGGCGACGATCGGTTC, R- CTATTAGGCTGCTGTTGAG
Hh: F- GGATCGTTGCTGGCTTACT, R- GGGAACTGAGGCTAGCATCT
ChAT: F- CTGACACTCTACACCGGGGG, R- AGTAATAGGCGCCAGTTATCTCC
Inx7: F- CCTACAGGGCGGGAAGTGA, R- CAAAGGCGACCCACCGTGA
α-tubulin: F- CAACCGGATGGTAAGGCGA, R- ACGGCTTGGGCGCAATAC
Rpl32: F- GCTAAGCGTCGCAAAATG, R- GTTGCGATCCGTACCGATG

Expansion Microscopy

Expansion was conducted as described in Asano et al. Expansion was conducted as described in Asano et al.58 In brief, guts were fixed, stained with primary and secondary antibodies (as described above), and after the final wash were placed briefly in PBS. Then PBS was exchanged with 0.1mg/ml AcX in PBS and guts were left overnight without shaking. The next day, gelation chambers were made consisting of a stack of 2 #1.5 coverslips in each side of a slide. Stock X, 4HT, TEMED and APS (47:1:1:1) were
mixed at 4°C to generate the gelling solution. Guts were transferred in the solution and incubated for 30 min in the dark at 4°C. Within 5 min after incubation, guts were placed in the gelation chamber (2 guts per chamber) with 20 μl of gelling solution and covered with a lid without causing air pockets. The gelation chambers were then placed at 37°C for 2 hrs in the dark and without moving. Next, using a razor the lid was removed and excess gel was trimmed off. A wet brush with digestion buffer (with ProK 1:100) was used to remove the gels (with the guts) which were then placed in a 6 well Glass Bottom Plate with Lid (Cellvis, 1-2 guts per well) and immersed in ~2-3 ml of digestion buffer overnight, at RT and in the dark. The next day, the digestion buffer was exchanged with PBS and stored at 4°C until imaging. Prior to imaging, gels were trimmed to the smallest possible size, then washed with UltraPure™ Distilled water (Invitrogen) 3 times for 20 min. During imaging most of the water was removed without drying the guts.

**Single nuclei gut profiling**

Sample preparation: For homeostasis 3-5 days old *Ore R* female flies were fed standard lab food at 29°C for 6 days. For recovery d2 3-5 days old *Ore R* female flies were fed 2% DSS for 4 days at 29°C and then transferred to standard food at 29°C for 2 days. Single-Nucleus suspension and FACS: Single-nucleus suspension was conducted as described by Li et al. Briefly, ~70 guts per condition were dissected in cold Schneider’s medium, flash-frozen and stored at -80°C. Prior to FACs sorting, samples were spined down and Schneider’s medium was exchanged with homogenization buffer [250 mM Sucrose, 10 mM Tris pH 8, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl, 0.1% Triton-X, 0.5% RNasin Plus (Promega, N2615), 50x protease inhibitor (Promega G6521), 0.1 mM DTT]. Using 1 ml dounce (Wheaton 357538), nuclei were released by 20 loose pestle strokes and 40 tight pestle strokes while keeping samples on ice and avoiding foam. Next, nuclei were filtered through 5 ml cell strainer (40 μm), and using 40 μm Flowmi (BelArt, H13680-0040). Nuclei were centrifuged, resuspended in PBS/0.5%BSA with 0.5% RNase inhibitor, filtered again with 40 μm Flowmi and stained with DRAQ7™ Dye (Invitrogen, D15106). Single nuclei were sorted with Sony SH800Z Cell Sorter at PCMM Flow Cytometry Facility at Harvard Medical School and 100k nuclei per sample were collected in PBS/BSA buffer.

10x genomics and sequencing: Single nuclei RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3′ Library and Gel Bead Kit v3.1 according to the 10xGenomics protocol. Approximately 16,500 nuclei were loaded on Chip G with an initial concentration of 700 cells/μl based on the ‘Cell Suspension Volume Calculator Table’.
Sequencing was conducted with Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at Harvard Medical School Biopolymers Facility.

10x data processing: We used cellranger count pipeline 6.1.1 to process Chromium single-cell data and generated the feature-barcode matrices. The reads were aligned using the include-introns option with *Drosophila melanogaster* BDGP6.32 reference. All the matrices in different batches were aggregated into a single feature-barcode matrix by cellranger aggr pipeline and normalized by equalizing the read depth among libraries. Graph-based clustering in cellranger was applied to identify cell clusters (Fig. 1D). Heatmap (Fig. 1E) and Dot plot (Ext. Fig. 1D) were generated using the Seurat DoHeatmap and DotPlot functions. Statistics of the number of nuclei and gene expression per cluster were extracted from the single cell gene expression matrix and visualized by box plot and bar plot.
Fig. 1
**Fig. 1. Sensitivity of ECs to ACh is required for recovery.** (A) Experimental design for epithelial damaged guts in flies, consisting of Injury (4 days of DSS-feeding), Recovery (2 or 4 days after DSS feeding) and Homeostasis (unchallenged flies). We used DSS to damage the epithelium because it stimulates regeneration slower than bacterial infections (DSS: few days, infection: few hours)\(^{32,128}\), thus giving more time to experiment on pathways activated during and after injury. (B) Midgut confocal images stained with anti-Dcp1 (*Drosophila* caspase 1, magenta) and DAPI (nuclei, blue) during Homeostasis, Injury d4 and Recovery d4. Each condition was tested at 29°C and conducted as shown in 1a. (C) Mitotic division counts based on anti-PhoshoHistone-3 (pH3) staining of proliferating ISCs from midgut of control flies during Homeostasis (blue), Injury d4 (light orange), Recovery d2 and Recovery d4 (orange). Conditions are as described in 1B. N=29-32 guts per conditions. (D) Annotated gut cell type clusters visualized with UMAP plot of *Ore R* flies during Homeostasis and Recovery (d2) after DSS-injury. Two clusters that resembled previous unidentified clusters\(^45\) were assigned as unknown. Of the midgut clusters, seven clusters belonged to ECs, one to EEs and two clusters to PCs. The seven EC clusters are the anterior (aEC 1-3), middle (mEC) and posterior ECs (pEC 1-3) all of which highly express EC markers like *pdm1*, *myo1A* and *mex* (Ext. Fig. 1D) based on previous single-cell profiling\(^45\). The two PC clusters (ISC/EBs, proECs) are enriched for mesenchymal markers like *esg* and *Notch* (Ext. Fig. 1D). The ISC/EB cluster contains ISCs and EBs as determined by markers from previous single-cell profiling\(^45\) and the proECs cluster (progenitors of ECs) consist of EBs dedicated to EC differentiation based on markers like the elevated *Sox21a* expression\(^129\) (Ext. Fig. 1D). The EE cluster expresses EE markers *pros*, *piezo*, *AstA* (Ext. Fig. 1D). (E) Heatmap illustrating the most significantly upregulated and downregulated genes between Homeostasis and Recovery d2 in EC clusters (S. Table 1-2). *Acetylcholinesterase* (*Ace*, encodes the enzyme that breaks down ACh, red arrow) is the 14\(^{th}\) most significantly downregulated gene in ECs during Recovery. (F) Expression levels of *Ace* in *Ore R* guts during Homeostasis (blue) and Recovery d2 (orange), normalized to Homeostasis. N=3 biological samples per condition. (G-H) Experimental illustrations and accompanying graphs showing mitotic division counts (based on counts with anti-pH3 mitotic marker) from midgut of control flies (grey, *myo1ATS* > dCas9VPR) and flies with *Ace* conditionally overexpressed in ECs using the EC driver *myo1A*-Gal4 (green, *myo1ATS* > dCas9VPR, gRNA-*Ace*) in different conditions. All conditional perturbations (unless otherwise stated), refer to perturbations with the ubiquitous temperature-sensitive Gal4 inhibitor, *Tubulin-Gal80\(^{TS}\)* (\(^{TS}\)), that allows Gal4 expression only at warm temperature (>27°C). N=14-20 guts per genotype and condition. (I) Illustration of cholinergic sensitivity assay and color-coded sequential frames of
midgut before (fr5) and after (fr50) ACh administration during Homeostasis and Recovery d2 of flies conditionally expressing the Ca²⁺ reporter GCaMP7c with the EC-driver mex-Gal4 (mexTS > GCaMP7c) and flies overexpressing Ace (mexTS > GCaMP7c + dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace) in ECs (Movies S1-S3). For Recovery d2, flies were transferred for 2 days to standard food at 29°C after 4 days of DSS-feeding at 23°C. For Homeostasis, the experimental regime is similar to Recovery without DSS-feeding. The accompanying graph demonstrates the relative fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F₀) per frame and per genotype (Homeostasis: blue line, Recovery d2: orange line, Recovery d2+gRNA-Ace: burgundy line). N=4-7 guts were used for each genotype per condition. All p-values were obtained with one-way ANOVA or two-way ANOVA or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, ***:p<0.001, ns: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
**Fig. 2**

A and B: Graphs showing the expression of pH3 in different conditions.

C: Images of mammalian cell lines showing recovery at 2x.

D: Images of the endogenous protein tag nAChRβ3-flag in various conditions.

E: Images of myo1A > GFP / nAChRβ3-flag under different conditions.

F: Images of nicotine sensitivity assay in ECs.

G: Graph showing the effect of nicotine on AECs under different conditions.

**Note:** This figure is a preprint and available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
**Fig. 2. nAChRβ3 is required in ECs for recovery.** (A) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control flies (*myo1ATS* > +, grey) and flies with *nAChR subtype β3* conditionally reduced in ECs (*myo1ATS* > *nAChRβ3RNAi*, green) during Homeostasis d4, Injury d4 and Recovery d4 (like Fig. 1G). N=12-20 guts per condition and genotype. (B) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control flies (*mexTS* > +, grey) and flies with *nAChR subtype β3* conditionally reduced in ECs (*mexTS* > *nAChRβ3RNAi*, green) during Homeostasis d4, Injury d4, Recovery d4, Homeostasis d16, Injury 2x, Recovery 2x (like Fig. 1G-H). N=11-20 guts per condition and genotype. (C) Confocal gut images of *mexTS* > + and *mexTS* > *nAChRβ3RNAi* flies co-expressing the PC marker esg-GFP (green, anti-GFP) during Recovery 2x (like Fig 2B). (D) Illustration of the endogenous tag for nAChRβ3 (nAChRβ3-flag) that was generated for this study and confocal images of midgut from flies expressing nAChRβ3-flag and GFP-expressing ECs (*mex* >*GFP*) during Homeostasis, Injury and Recovery d2. nAChRβ3-flag was visualized with anti-Flag (yellow) staining and ECs with anti-GFP staining (green). (E) Expansion microscopy schematic followed by confocal images of 4x expanded midguts from flies expressing nAChRβ3-flag (yellow) and GFP-expressing ECs (*myo1A* >*GFP*, green) during Homeostasis and Recovery d2. (F-G) Illustration of nicotinic sensitivity assay and color-coded sequential frames of midgut before (fr4) and after (fr40) nicotine administration during Homeostasis and Recovery d2 of *mexTS* > *GCaMP7c* flies and *mexTS* > *GCaMP7c+nAChRβ3RNAi* flies (Movies S4-S6). Bottom graph shows the relative fluorescence intensity (∆F/F0) per frame per condition and genotype (Homeostasis: blue line, Recovery d2: orange line, Recovery d2+*nAChRβ3RNAi*: green line). Experimental conditions were like Fig. 1l. N=8-13 guts per condition and genotype. DAPI: nuclei. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. Mean ± s.e.m.
Fig. 3. nAChRβ3-mediated Ca^{2+} increase in ECs promotes recovery. (A) Expression levels of proliferating cytokines during recovery in control guts (myo1^{TS} > +, grey) and guts with...
nAChRβ3 knocked down in ECs (myo1ATS > nAChRβ3RNAi, green). Conditions are like in Fig. 1G. JAK-STAT ligands: Upd (Unpaired), Upd2 (Unpaired 2), Upd3. EGFR ligands: vn (vein), spi (spitz), krn (keren). Wnt ligand: wg (wingless). TNF ligand: egr. BMP ligands: dpp (decapentaplegic), gbb (glass bottom boat). Hh ligand: hh (hedgehog). N=3 biological samples per genotype. (B) Expression levels of the EC marker pdm1 in control flies (mexTS>+, black and myo1ATS>+, grey) and flies with nAChRβ3 knock down in ECs (mexTS> nAChRβ3RNAi, light green and myo1ATS> nAChRβ3RNAi dark green). Conditions are like in Fig. 1G. N=3 biological samples per genotype and per condition. (C) Posterior midgut images with the Ca²⁺ activity transcriptional reporter NFAT-CaLexA conditionally expressed in ECs (myo1ATS >NFAT-CaLexA) during Homeostasis, Injury and Recovery d1. The reporter was expressed for 2 days at 29°C in each condition. ECs with elevated endogenous Ca²⁺ (high GFP, bright green) were visualized with anti-GFP. The accompanying graph shows the fold change of GFP fluorescence per image and condition. Homeostasis: blue circle, Injury: light orange square, Recovery d1: orange circle, Recovery d3: diamond. N=25-8 guts per condition. (D) Posterior midgut images with the NFAT-CaLexA reporter in control guts during Recovery d1 (mexTS > NFAT-CaLexA) and when nAChRβ3 is conditionally reduced in ECs (mexTS >NFAT-CaLexA+nAChRβ3RNAi). Flies were fed DSS-food for 4 days at 23°C and then transferred to standard food at 29°C for 24hrs. N=7-9 guts per genotype. (E) Mitotic division counts of midguts from control flies (myo1ATS>), flies conditionally overexpressing the Ca²⁺ channel Orai in ECs (myo1ATS>Orai), flies conditionally reducing nAChRβ3 in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi) and flies conditionally knocking down nAChRβ3 while overexpressing Orai in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi + Orai). Conditions are like in Fig 1G. N=16-22 guts per genotype. (F) Confocal images of posterior midgut from similar flies and condition as in Fig. 3E stained with the EC marker anti-pdm1 (grey). The following boxplot indicates the ratio of pdm1+ nuclei versus all nuclei (DAPI+) per image and genotype. N=7 guts per genotype. (G) Experimental conditions schematic and graph with mitotic division counts of midguts from control flies (mexTS>+, silver) and flies with nAChRβ3 overexpressed in ECs (mexTS> nAChRβ3, pink) during Homeostasis d2, Injury d2 and Recovery d2. N=10-21 guts per genotype and condition. (H-I). Expression levels of the EC marker pdm1 and of inflammatory cytokines upd2, upd3, vn, egr from control flies (myo1ATS>+, silver) and flies with nAChRβ3 overexpressed in ECs (myo1ATS> nAChRβ3, pink). Conditions like Fig.3G. N=3 biological samples per genotype. DAPI: nuclei. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. n.s.: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
**Fig. 4.** Neuro-EC interactions promote nAChR-mediated gut recovery. (A) Confocal image of the ventral (i) and dorsal (ii) side of the abdominal ganglion from *R49E06>6xmCherry* fly stained with anti-DsRed (magenta) and the cholinergic marker anti-ChAT (cyan). The confocal
A stack of one projection image is split in two (ventral, dorsal) for better visualization. Brown arrowheads: ChAT+ R49E06-neurons. Red arrows: ChAT+ R49E06-descending projections. (B) Confocal image after vibratome-sectioning (as in Ext. Fig. 4D) of the abdominal midgut (white dots) in R49E06TS>myrGFP+syt1HA flies. R49E06-enteric innervations were visualized with anti-GFP (green) and the presynaptic marker syt1HA was visualized with anti-HA (magenta). Orange arrow: descending projection. Red arrow: R49E06-innervations expressing syt1HA. Fly was sectioned during Recovery d2 (4 days DSS-food at 23°C and then transferred to standard food for ~48hrs at 29°C). Phalloidin: muscle (blue). (C) Confocal images of R2, R4 and R5 innervated areas by R49E06-neurons after vibratome-sectioning from R49E06TS>myrGFP+syt1HA flies during Recovery d2 (like Fig. 4B). Red arrow indicates R49E06-innervations (anti-GFP, green) expressing syt1HA (anti-HA, magenta). Phalloidin: muscle (yellow). DAPI: nuclei (blue). Following illustration shows midgut regions innervated by R49E06 based on vibratome sections. (D) Sequential confocal images (1-3) from R49E06-innervated R4 region (Movie S7) after vibratome-sectioning of R49E06TS>syt1HA+mexLexA>6xLexAopGFP during Recovery d2 (like Fig 4B). Yellow arrows show R49E06-innervations with the synaptic-vesicle protein syt1HA (anti-HA, magenta) in close proximity to ECs (anti-GFP, green). Phalloidin: muscle (blue). DAPI: nuclei (white). (E) Mitotic counts from control flies (R49E06TS>+, grey) and flies with ChAT conditionally knocked down in R49E06-expressing neurons (R49E06TS>ChATRNAi, orange). Conditions are like in Fig. 1G-H. N=10-20 guts per conditions per genotype. (F) Expression levels of the EC marker pdm1 from guts of R49E06TS> (grey) and R49E06TS>ChATRNAi (orange) flies. Conditions are like in Fig. 1G. N=3 biological samples per genotype and per condition. Levels normalized to control guts during Homeostasis. From now on R49E06-expressing neurons will be referred as ARCENs: Anti-inflammatory Recovery-regulating Cholinergic Enteric Neurons. (G) Midgut mitotic division counts of flies with conditional overexpression of nAcRβ3 in ECs (mexLexA TS > lexAop-nAcRβ3), conditional thermo-silencing (>27°C) of ARCENs with shibire TS (ARCENs>shibire TS) and flies with both (ARCENs TS>shibire TS + mexLexA TS>lexAop-nAcRβ3) during Recovery d1. Control: ARCENs > + / mexLexA TS > +. Flies were fed for 4 days DSS-food at 23°C and then transferred to standard food for ~24hrs at 29°C. N=19-24 guts per genotype. (H) Schematic of experimental conditions describing thermo- and opto-genetic inductions for 6hrs during recovery followed by images of posterior midgut from flies expressing the endogenous Ca²⁺ reporter in ECs (mex TS>NFAT-CalLexA / QUAS-CsChrimson) and flies that concurrently have ARCENs depolarized with the CsChrimson red light-gated cation channel (mex TS> NFAT-CalLexA / R49E06QF > QUAS-CsChrimson). ECs with elevated levels of endogenous Ca²⁺ are visualized.
with anti-GFP (green) and mature ECs are visualized with anti-pdm1 (magenta). DAPI: nuclei (blue). The following graphs show the GFP fold change and the ratio of pdm1+ nuclei. N= 7-8 guts. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. n.s.: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
Fig. 5. nAChR-mediated Ca\(^{2+}\) propagates via Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions. (A) Posterior midgut images with the NFAT-CaLexA Ca\(^{2+}\) reporter in control guts during Recovery d1 (mex\(^{TS}\) > NFAT-
CaLexA) and when inx2 or inx7 or nAChRβ3 is conditionally knock down in ECs (mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA+inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>, mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA+inx7<sup>RNAi</sup>, mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA+nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup>). Endogenous Ca<sup>2+</sup> in ECs is visualized with anti-GFP (green) while mature ECs are marked with anti-pdm1 (grey). Scalebar 20μm. Flies were fed DSS-food for 4 days at 23°C and then transferred to standard food at 29°C for 24hrs. (B) Mitotic division counts from midguts of control (mex<sup>TS</sup> > +, grey) flies and flies with conditional decrease of inx2 in ECs (mex<sup>TS</sup> > inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>, green). Conditions are like in Fig. 1G. N=10-14 guts. (C) Midgut mitotic division counts of flies with conditional overexpression of nAcRβ3 in ECs (mex<sup>TS</sup> > nAcRβ3), conditional knock down of inx2 in ECs (mex<sup>TS</sup> > inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>) and flies with both (mex<sup>TS</sup> > nAcRβ3+inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>) during Recovery d2. Control: mex<sup>TS</sup> > +. Flies were fed for 4 days DSS-food at 23°C and then transferred to standard food for ~48hrs at 29°C. N=10-12 guts per genotype. (D) Posterior midgut images during Recovery d2 from control flies (mex<sup>TS</sup> > +), mex<sup>TS</sup> > nAcRβ3, mex<sup>TS</sup> > inx2<sup>RNAi</sup> and mex<sup>TS</sup> > nAcRβ3+inx2<sup>RNAi</sup> flies. Conditions are like in Fig. 5C. Mature ECs were visualized with anti-pdm1(grey). Accompanying boxplot shows the ratio of pdm1+ nuclei. N=6-7 guts per genotype. DAPI: blue (nuclei). (E) Experimental illustration and color-coded sequential midgut frames from mexLexA > LexAopGCaMP7c flies during Recovery d2 before (fr<sub>3</sub>) and after (fr<sub>49</sub>) compound administration (nicotine alone or nicotine and heptanol) (Movies S8-S9). Following graph shows the relative fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F<sub>0</sub>) per frame per condition (+Nicotine -Heptanol: orange line, +Nicotine+Hepantol: black line). N=4 guts per condition. (F) Model: During recovery, ECs become sensitive (Ace reduction) and receptive (nAChRβ3 increase) to cholinergic signaling. This allows them to receive ACh from ARCENs and to trigger nAChR-mediated Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx that propagates via Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions and advances transition to homeostasis. Reduction of this pathway causes ion imbalance and keeps the epithelium in a state of unresolved injury with propensity to hyperplasia by promoting EC loss, over-proliferation and over-inflammation. Whereas short-term induction increases Ca<sup>2+</sup> among ECs which expedites transition to homeostasis. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova. *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. Mean ± s.e.m.
Ext. Fig. 1. Sensitivity of ECs to ACh is required for recovery. (A) Expression levels of conserved inflammatory cytokines (Unpaired-3/Upd-3 and Eiger/egr) in guts of Ore R flies.
undergoing DSS-induced repair. Expression levels are normalized to Homeostasis. N=3 biological samples per condition: homeostasis, injury, recovery d2, recovery d4. (B) Expression levels of markers for PCs (escargot, esg), ECs (pdm1), EEs (prospero, pros) in guts of Ore R flies undergoing DSS-induced repair. N=3 biological samples per condition. Expression levels are normalized to Homeostasis. (C) Number of nuclei recovered per cluster and per condition after gut single nuclei sequencing. (D) Dot plot illustrating the average expression (blue color range) and percent of expression (dot size) per cluster of marker genes for ECs (pdm1, myo1A, mex), EEs (pros, piezo, AstA) and for PCs (esg, Notch, Sox21a) [PCs: ISC/EB and proEC]. (E) Boxplot illustrating the mean expression level of Ace in EC clusters per condition. (F) Percentage of nuclei expressing Ace per cluster and condition. (G) Validation of ACE overexpression using CRISPR-OE. (H) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control (grey, mexTS > dCas9VPR) flies and flies with conditional Ace overexpression (green, mexTS > dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace) in ECs during Recovery 2x (like Fig. 1H). N=11 guts per genotype. (I) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control (grey) flies and flies with conditional Ace overexpression in the visceral muscle (blue, howTS > dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace) and in hemocytes (immune cells, purple, hmlTS > dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace). Conditions like Fig. 1G. N=11-15 guts per genotype per condition. (J) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control (grey, black) flies and flies with conditional Ace overexpression (green, myo1ATS > dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace and mexTS > dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace) in ECs after infection (18hrs after Ecc15 oral infection). Flies were transferred to 29°C upon Ecc15 infection for ~18hrs. N=19-24 guts per genotype. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01>p>0.001, ***: p<0.001, ns: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
Ext. Fig. 2. nAChRβ3 is required in ECs for recovery. (A) Validation of nAcRβ3 RNAi knockdown with two different lines. (B) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control flies (myo1AT5 > +, white) and flies with nAChRβ3 conditionally reduced in ECs (myo1AT5 > nAChRβ3RNAi-2, blue) 7 days after the end of DSS-feeding (Recovery d7). For Recovery d7, flies were transferred for 7 days to standard food at 29°C after 4 days of DSS-feeding at 23°C. (C) Color-coded sequential frames of midgut before (fr4) and after (fr40) ACh administration during Recovery d2 from mexTS > GCAM7c flies and mexTS > GCAM7c +nAChRβ3RNAi flies. Conditions are like in Fig. 1I. The accompanying graph demonstrates the relative fluorescence intensity.
(ΔF/F₀) per frame and per genotype (Recovery d2: orange line, Recovery d2+nAChRβ3RNAi: green line). N=4 guts per genotype. **(D)** Mitotic division counts during Homeostasis d4, Injury d4 and Recovery d4 (like in Fig. 1G) from midgut of control flies (grey) and flies with conditional nAcRβ3 reduction in PCs (esgTS > nAChRβ3RNAi, green), EBs (su(H)GBETS > nAChRβ3RNAi, yellow), EEs (prosTS > nAChRβ3RNAi, cyan), visceral muscle (howTS > nAChRβ3RNAi, blue) and hemocytes (hmlTS > nAChRβ3RNAi, purple). N=10-20 guts per genotype per condition. **(E)** Percentage of nuclei expressing nACHRβ3 per cluster in the gut during Homeostasis (blue) and Recovery d2 (orange). **(F)** Validation of nACHRβ3-flag construct. Confocal images of midgut during Recovery d2 from flies expressing nAChRβ3-flag (mexTS > +/nAChRβ3-flag) and flies expressing nAChRβ3-flag while knocking down nAChRβ3 in ECs (mexTS > nAChRβ3RNAi/nAChRβ3-flag). nAChRβ3-flag was visualized with anti-Flag (yellow) staining. DAPI: nuclei. **(G)** Expression levels of nACHRβ3 in guts of Ore R flies during Homeostasis (blue circle), Recovery d1 (orange circle) Recovery d8 (homeostasis after injury, orange square). N=3-6 biological samples per condition. All p-values were obtained with one-way or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. Mean ± s.e.m.
Ext. Fig. 3
Ext. Fig. 3. nAChRβ3-mediated Ca²⁺ increase in ECs promotes recovery. (A) Posterior midgut confocal images of myo1ATS>+/+ and myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi flies during Recovery d4 (like Fig.1G) stained for the cell death marker Drosophila caspase-1 (anti-Dcp1, pink). The following graph shows the Dcp1+ cells per image and genotype. N=8-11 guts per genotype. (B) Confocal images of posterior midguts during Recovery d4 (like Fig.1G) in control flies (myo1ATS>+/+Diap1-LacZ) and flies with nAChRβ3 conditionally knocked down in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi/Diap1-LacZ) while expressing the LacZ reporter for the Yki target gene Diap1. LacZ was visualized with anti-β-gal staining (grey). The accompanying graph indicates the levels of β-gal per image and genotype. N=7-8 guts per genotype. (C) Confocal images of posterior midgut during Recovery d4 (like Fig.1G) of mexTS>+/+ and mexTS>nAChRβ3RNAi flies stained with the EC marker anti-pdm1 (yellow). (D) Expression levels of the EE marker prospero (pros) during Recovery d4 from guts of mexTS>+/+ and mexTS>nAChRβ3RNAi flies. N=3 biological samples per genotype. Conditions are like in Fig.1G. (E) Confocal images of posterior midgut assayed with MQAE dye (detects intracellular Cl⁻ via diffusion-limited collisional quenching, yellow) and SodiumGreen dye (fluorescent indicator of intracellular Na⁺, green) from control flies (myo1ATS>+/+) and flies conditionally reducing nAChRβ3 in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi). PI: nuclei (Propidium iodide, red). Flies were fed DSS-food for 4 days at 23°C and then transferred at 29°C to standard food for 1 day and the 2nd day to 5% sucrose and SodiumGreen or MQAE dye. (F) Posterior midgut images with PCs conditionally expressing the Ca²⁺ reporter NFAT-CaLexA (esgTS > NFAT-CaLexA). PCs with high endogenous Ca²⁺ were visualized with anti-GFP (green). The reporter was expressed for 2 days (29°C) per condition. The accompanying graph shows the fold change of fluorescence per image and condition. N=8-10 guts per condition. (G) Confocal images of posterior midgut assayed with MQAE dye (like in Ext. Fig. 3E) from control flies (myo1ATS>+/+), flies conditionally overexpressing Orai in ECs (myo1ATS>Orai), flies conditionally reducing nAChRβ3 in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi) and flies conditionally knocking down nAChRβ3 while overexpressing Orai in ECs (myo1ATS>nAChRβ3RNAi + Orai). (H) Validation of nAcRβ3 transgene. (I) Color-coded sequential frames of midgut live imaging from mexTS > GCAM7c and mexTS > GCAM7c + nAChRβ3 flies before (fr3) and after (fr30) administering nicotine. Following graph shows the relative fluorescence intensity (ΔF/F₀) per frame and genotype (mexTS > GCAM7c: grey line, mexTS > GCAM7c + nAChRβ3: pink line). Flies were kept in standard food and transferred at 29°C for 2 days. N=7 guts per genotype. DAPI: nuclei (blue). PI: nuclei (Propidium iodide, red).
All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, ***: p<0.001, n.s.: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.

Ext. Fig. 4
Ext. Fig. 4. Neuro-EC interactions promote nAChR-mediated gut recovery. (A) Validation of ChAT RNAi knockdown with the neuronal driver elav-Gal4. N=3 biological samples per genotype. (B) Mitotic division counts from midgut of control flies (grey and white) and flies with conditional reduction of ChAT (Choline Acetyltransferase, enzyme responsible for ACh
synthesis) using drivers for gut, visceral muscle, and immune cells: EEs (pros\textsuperscript{TS}>, cyan), PCs (esg\textsuperscript{TS}>, green), ECs (myo1A\textsuperscript{TS}>, orange), hemocytes (hm\textsuperscript{TS}>, purple), visceral muscle (how\textsuperscript{TS}>, blue). Conditions are like in Fig.1G. N=10-15 guts per genotype. (C) Midgut mitotic division counts from control flies (esg>+ ) and flies without EEs in the midgut (esg>sc\textsuperscript{RNAi} ) during Recovery d4. N=10-12 guts per condition. (D) Schematic for imaging intact enteric innervations in abdominal midgut regions (R2, R3, R4, R5) and confocal image from a sectioned fly with the cholinergic driver ChAT-Gal4 expressing GFP (ChAT > 6xGFP). Cholinergic neurons and innervations are stained with anti-GFP (green). Black dotted rectangular: region of the fly shown in confocal image. Orange arrows: arborizing of cholinergic enteric innervations, yellow arrows: cholinergic innervations in abdominal midgut region R2 and R4. (E) Confocal images from the same abdominal region (black dotted rectangular) after vibratome-sectioning of ChAT>mCD8GFP (membrane-targeted GFP) flies during Homeostasis and Recovery d1. pink arrows: cholinergic innervation in abdominal midgut region R2, R4 and R5. (F) Illustration of cholinergic enteric innervations after imaging vibratome-sectioned flies. TAG: Thoracic-abdominal ganglia. HCG: hypocerebral ganglia. (G) Confocal image of R4 midgut region innervated by cholinergic enteric neurons (ChAT>mCD8GFP) during Recovery d1 and stained with anti-GFP (green). Accompanying image is the 3D segmentation (using Imaris) of the innervated region indicated by the red dotted square (scale bar 25\textmu m). DAPI: white (nuclei). Phalloidin: Blue (muscle). (H) Confocal image of innervated R2 in the abdominal midgut from vibratome-sectioned ChAT-QF > QUAS-UAS-mtTomato-HA/ myo1A > GFP (ChATQF>QUAS-HA + myo1A>GFP) fly. Cholinergic enteric innervations (red) are marked with the cholinergic driver ChAT-QF expressing mtTomato-HA and visualized with anti-HA staining. ECs are marked with GFP (myo1A> GFP) and stained with anti-GFP (green). yellow arrows: cholinergic innervations adjacent to ECs. DAPI: white (nuclei). (I-J) Confocal images of innervated R4 midgut regions from vibratome-sectioned ChAT > mCD8GFP flies during Recovery d1 (I) and Homeostasis (J). Cholinergic innervations are visualized with anti-GFP (green) and co-stained with the synaptic-vesicle marker Synaptotagmin1 (anti-Syt1, magenta). White circles: Syt1\textsuperscript{+} cholinergic en passant boutons (pre-synaptic swellings along the cholinergic enteric innervation). DAPI: blue (nuclei). Phalloidin: blue (muscle). (K) Abdominal confocal image (sectioned as in Ext. Fig. 4E) from a fly that is expressing GFP (green) in all cholinergic neurons except the ones residing in TAG (ChAT>mCD8GFP /TshGal80). Pink arrow: cholinergic innervations in the midgut. Phalloidin: muscle (blue). (L) Confocal images of TAG, brain, and gut (scale bar 200\textmu m) from flies expressing the neuronal driver R49E06-Gal4 (R49E06>mCD8GFP
and $R49E06>2xEGFP)$. anti-GFP: green. DAPI: blue. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; **: 0.01<p<0.001, n.s.: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
Ext. Fig. 5. ARCEN-EC interactions promote nAChR-mediated gut recovery. (A) Confocal image from the abdomen of R49E06^{TS}>syt1HA fly during Recovery d2 (sectioned like Ext. Fig. 4E). Yellow arrows point to R5 and R4 innervated by R49E06-innervations expressing Syt1HA.
(anti-HA, magenta). Phalloidin: muscle (blue). (B) Validation of a) \textit{R49E06QF}: confocal images of posterior TAG (scale bar 100\(\mu\)m) and gut, b) \textit{mexLexA (mexLexA::GAD)}: gut confocal image, c) \textit{LexAop-nAChR\beta3} transgene. (C-D) Confocal images showing R5 from \textit{R49E06^{TS}>syt1HA+mexLexA> 6xLexAopGFP} and \textit{R49E06^{TS}>syt1HA+mexLexA> LexAopGFP} flies during Recovery d2 (Conditions like Fig. 4D). Yellow arrows: R49E06-innervations with the synaptic-vesicle protein syt1HA (anti-HA, magenta) in close proximity to ECs (anti-GFP, green). Phalloidin: muscle (blue). DAPI: white (nuclei). (E) Expression levels of the EE marker \textit{pros} during Recovery d4 (like Fig. 1G) from guts of \textit{R49E06^{TS}>+} (grey) and \textit{R49E06^{TS}>ChAT^{RNAi}} (orange) flies. N=3 biological samples. Levels normalized to control. (F) Expression levels of inflammatory cytokines \textit{upd3, vn, egr} during Recovery d4 (like Fig. 1G) from guts of of \textit{R49E06^{TS}>+} (control, grey) and \textit{R49E06^{TS}>ChAT^{RNAi}} (orange) flies. N=4 biological samples per genotype. Levels normalized to control guts. (G) Schematic of experimental conditions accompanied by mitotic division counts from control flies (\textit{ARCENs>+}, black), flies with 6hrs thermo-activation of ARCENs (R49E06-neurons) with the TrpA1 channel (TrpA1-induction, \textit{ARCENs>TrpA1}, yellow) and flies with TrpA1-induction in ARCENs while cholinergic neurons are inhibited by the \textit{ChAT-Gal80} repressor (\textit{ARCENs > TrpA1/ChATGal80}, grey). N=10-29 guts per genotype per condition. (H) Expression levels of inflammatory cytokines \textit{upd3, vn, egr} during the first 6hrs of Recovery (like Ext. Fig. 5G) from guts of \textit{ARCENs^{TS}>+} (control, black) and \textit{ARCENs^{TS}>TrpA1} (yellow) flies. N=3 biological samples per genotype. Levels normalized to control guts. (I) Mitotic division counts from control flies (\textit{ARCENs^{TS}>+}, grey) and flies with TNF receptors \textit{wgn (wengen, ARCENs^{TS}> wgn^{RNAi}}, blue) and \textit{grnd (grindelwald, ARCENs^{TS}> grnd^{RNAi}}, brown) knocked down in ARCENs for 4 days during Homeostasis, Injury and Recovery (like Fig. 1G). N=10-26 guts per genotype per condition. All p-values were obtained with one-way Anova or two-way Anova or t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01, **: 0.01<p<0.001, ***: p<0.001. n.s.: non-significant. Mean ± s.e.m.
Ext. Fig. 6. nAChR-mediated Ca²⁺ propagates via Inx2/Inx7 gap junctions. (A) Boxplot illustrating the mean expression levels of *Drosophila* innexins in EC clusters during Homeostasis (blue) and Recovery d2 (orange). (B) *inx2* and *inx7* mean expression levels in all gut clusters during Homeostasis (blue) and Recovery d2 (orange). (C) Percentage of nuclei expressing *inx7* and *inx2* per cluster and condition in the gut. (D) Confocal images from posterior midgut of control flies (*mex>*) and flies with conditional reduction of *inx2* (*mexTS>*inx2RNAi*) and *inx7* (*mexTS>*inx7RNAi*) for 2 days during Homeostasis. Inx2-gap junctions were visualized with anti-Inx2 (grey). DAPI: blue (nuclei). (E) Validation of *inx7* RNAi knock down. p-value was obtained with t-test; *: 0.05>p>0.01.
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Supplementary Tables

S. Table 1
Average expression, fold change and p-value of the 20 most significantly upregulated genes in EC clusters during Homeostasis as shown in Figure 1E.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature ID</th>
<th>Feature Name</th>
<th>Homeostasis Average</th>
<th>Homeostasis Log2 Fold Change</th>
<th>Homeostasis P-Value</th>
<th>Recovery d2 Average</th>
<th>Recovery d2 Log2 Fold Change</th>
<th>Recovery d2 P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0032889</td>
<td>CG9331</td>
<td>2.226547128</td>
<td>1.289501629</td>
<td>6.07191E-05</td>
<td>0.910650724</td>
<td>-1.289501629</td>
<td>6.07191E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn00259236</td>
<td>comm3</td>
<td>1.228206322</td>
<td>1.228570472</td>
<td>0.000348801</td>
<td>0.523863811</td>
<td>-1.228570472</td>
<td>0.000348801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0038755</td>
<td>Ha6st</td>
<td>2.471985271</td>
<td>1.199742456</td>
<td>0.005077577</td>
<td>1.076005724</td>
<td>-1.199742456</td>
<td>0.005077577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0034808</td>
<td>CG9896</td>
<td>2.06405015</td>
<td>1.169602911</td>
<td>0.000560433</td>
<td>0.917360782</td>
<td>-1.169602911</td>
<td>0.000560433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0026733</td>
<td>Src64B</td>
<td>1.770201449</td>
<td>1.084677475</td>
<td>0.001604504</td>
<td>0.83443637</td>
<td>-1.084677475</td>
<td>0.001604504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0038194</td>
<td>Cyp6d5</td>
<td>1.245471626</td>
<td>1.043748644</td>
<td>0.002846527</td>
<td>0.603905214</td>
<td>-1.043748644</td>
<td>0.002846527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0036767</td>
<td>CG16775</td>
<td>2.705574676</td>
<td>0.940550996</td>
<td>0.009212188</td>
<td>1.409591463</td>
<td>-0.940550996</td>
<td>0.009212188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn003188</td>
<td>Drat</td>
<td>1.270523243</td>
<td>0.936112546</td>
<td>0.011384258</td>
<td>0.663816449</td>
<td>-0.936112546</td>
<td>0.011384258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0026575</td>
<td>hang</td>
<td>2.10501293</td>
<td>0.924281577</td>
<td>0.012369538</td>
<td>1.109076724</td>
<td>-0.924281577</td>
<td>0.012369538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0031580</td>
<td>CG15423</td>
<td>1.664578414</td>
<td>0.933537345</td>
<td>0.01266869</td>
<td>0.871348956</td>
<td>-0.933537345</td>
<td>0.01266869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0030098</td>
<td>CG12057</td>
<td>1.875147414</td>
<td>0.920260944</td>
<td>0.024184345</td>
<td>0.99069213</td>
<td>-0.920260944</td>
<td>0.024184345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0031011</td>
<td>CG8034</td>
<td>2.73231897</td>
<td>0.839338308</td>
<td>0.028253279</td>
<td>1.527017478</td>
<td>-0.839338308</td>
<td>0.028253279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0085358</td>
<td>Diedel3</td>
<td>5.826532251</td>
<td>0.834393808</td>
<td>0.02918147</td>
<td>3.267798231</td>
<td>-0.834393808</td>
<td>0.02918147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0000024</td>
<td>Ace</td>
<td>1.063678132</td>
<td>0.827671636</td>
<td>0.036466398</td>
<td>0.599112319</td>
<td>-0.827671636</td>
<td>0.036466398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0043364</td>
<td>cbt</td>
<td>1.549814923</td>
<td>0.804559619</td>
<td>0.037446637</td>
<td>0.887165522</td>
<td>-0.804559619</td>
<td>0.037446637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0001145</td>
<td>Gs2</td>
<td>1.901214637</td>
<td>0.82620092</td>
<td>0.040936694</td>
<td>1.072171406</td>
<td>-0.82620092</td>
<td>0.040936694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0033853</td>
<td>CG6145</td>
<td>1.379531632</td>
<td>0.790243027</td>
<td>0.042427252</td>
<td>0.797538319</td>
<td>-0.790243027</td>
<td>0.042427252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0051431</td>
<td>CG31431</td>
<td>1.003080301</td>
<td>0.794730924</td>
<td>0.043818809</td>
<td>0.578023565</td>
<td>-0.794730924</td>
<td>0.043818809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0025574</td>
<td>Pli</td>
<td>1.99532747</td>
<td>0.728692295</td>
<td>0.079581142</td>
<td>1.203976116</td>
<td>-0.728692295</td>
<td>0.079581142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn003748</td>
<td>Treh</td>
<td>1.237008242</td>
<td>0.722319902</td>
<td>0.084101708</td>
<td>0.749609333</td>
<td>-0.722319902</td>
<td>0.084101708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### S. Table 2

Average expression, fold change and p-value of the 20 most significantly upregulated genes in EC clusters during Recovery d2 as shown in Figure 1E.

#### S. Table 2: Top 20 upregulated genes in EC clusters during Recovery d2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature ID</th>
<th>Feature Name</th>
<th>Homeostasis Average</th>
<th>Homeostasis Log2 Fold Change</th>
<th>Homeostasis P-Value</th>
<th>Recovery d2 Average</th>
<th>Recovery d2 Log2 Fold Change</th>
<th>Recovery d2 P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0263005</td>
<td>CG43313</td>
<td>0.888655346</td>
<td>-1.780268198</td>
<td>1.53628E-10</td>
<td>3.053556666</td>
<td>1.780268198</td>
<td>1.53628E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0034709</td>
<td>Swim</td>
<td>0.716002307</td>
<td>-1.498659054</td>
<td>5.29143E-06</td>
<td>2.024041058</td>
<td>1.498659054</td>
<td>5.29143E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0265991</td>
<td>Zasp52</td>
<td>1.879209838</td>
<td>-1.361805013</td>
<td>8.56648E-06</td>
<td>4.830762448</td>
<td>1.361805013</td>
<td>8.56648E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0041184</td>
<td>Soc36E</td>
<td>1.573173864</td>
<td>-1.307146951</td>
<td>1.52612E-05</td>
<td>3.893750782</td>
<td>1.307146951</td>
<td>1.52612E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0033448</td>
<td>hebe</td>
<td>1.138155913</td>
<td>-1.205815961</td>
<td>8.82001E-05</td>
<td>2.626029115</td>
<td>1.205815961</td>
<td>8.82001E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0052982</td>
<td>CG32982</td>
<td>1.54270568</td>
<td>-1.193673448</td>
<td>8.000018319</td>
<td>3.529490492</td>
<td>1.93673448</td>
<td>8.000018319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0040687</td>
<td>CG14645</td>
<td>1.477368354</td>
<td>-1.138602792</td>
<td>8.0000277664</td>
<td>3.253419535</td>
<td>1.38602792</td>
<td>8.0000277664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0004456</td>
<td>mew</td>
<td>1.16253046</td>
<td>-1.149757158</td>
<td>8.0000342680</td>
<td>2.580017289</td>
<td>1.49757158</td>
<td>8.0000342680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0034289</td>
<td>CG10910</td>
<td>2.298655161</td>
<td>-1.134609312</td>
<td>8.0000691557</td>
<td>5.047880752</td>
<td>1.34609312</td>
<td>8.0000691557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0085249</td>
<td>CG34220</td>
<td>0.597853463</td>
<td>-1.060262119</td>
<td>8.0001593676</td>
<td>1.247112023</td>
<td>1.060262119</td>
<td>8.0001593676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0085353</td>
<td>CG34324</td>
<td>0.783709381</td>
<td>-1.03348397</td>
<td>8.002067007</td>
<td>1.604662434</td>
<td>1.03348397</td>
<td>8.002067007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0029762</td>
<td>NAAT1</td>
<td>0.733606147</td>
<td>-1.065348447</td>
<td>8.00386101</td>
<td>1.065348447</td>
<td>1.065348447</td>
<td>8.00386101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0027932</td>
<td>Akap200</td>
<td>0.856155955</td>
<td>-0.97084245</td>
<td>8.00386101</td>
<td>0.97084245</td>
<td>0.97084245</td>
<td>8.00386101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0001186</td>
<td>Hex-A</td>
<td>0.951284389</td>
<td>-0.951035954</td>
<td>8.004391881</td>
<td>1.839514463</td>
<td>0.951035954</td>
<td>8.004391881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0001104</td>
<td>Galphai</td>
<td>1.117505256</td>
<td>-0.859047413</td>
<td>8.0012938004</td>
<td>2.027396086</td>
<td>0.859047413</td>
<td>8.0012938004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0011661</td>
<td>Moe</td>
<td>5.50119976</td>
<td>-0.821700318</td>
<td>8.001903966</td>
<td>9.724791157</td>
<td>0.821700318</td>
<td>8.001903966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0031170</td>
<td>ABCA</td>
<td>0.574949523</td>
<td>-0.853251542</td>
<td>8.0023203517</td>
<td>1.038141826</td>
<td>0.853251542</td>
<td>8.0023203517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0267698</td>
<td>Pak</td>
<td>1.599579623</td>
<td>-0.804512541</td>
<td>8.0023988656</td>
<td>2.794259854</td>
<td>0.804512541</td>
<td>8.0023988656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBgn0027556</td>
<td>CG4928</td>
<td>2.73231897</td>
<td>-0.852334597</td>
<td>8.0025952859</td>
<td>4.933809767</td>
<td>0.852334597</td>
<td>8.0025952859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Supplementary Movie Legends**

**Movies S1-S3:** Movies depicting cholinergic sensitivity assay described on Fig. 1I.  
**S1.** mexTS > GCaMP7c midgut during Homeostasis.  
**S2.** mexTS > GCaMP7c midgut during Recovery d2.  
**S3.** mexTS > GCaMP7c + dCas9VPR, gRNA-Ace gut during Recovery d2.

**Movies S4-S6:** Movies depicting nicotinic sensitivity assay described on Fig. 2F-G.  
**S6.** mexTS > GCaMP7c midgut during Homeostasis.  
**S7.** mexTS > GCaMP7c midgut during Recovery d2.  
**S8.** mexTS > GCaMP7c + nAChRβ3RNAi gut during Recovery d2.

**Movie S7:** Movie of R49E06 innervations at R4 in R49E06TS> syt1HA + mexLexA>6xLexAopGFP fly during Recovery d2 as described on Fig. 4D

**Movies S8-S9:** Movies depicting nicotine and heptanol assay during Recovery d2 as described on Fig. 5E.  
**S8** midgut from mexLexA > LexAopGCaMP7c flies administered Nicotine.  
**S9.** midgut from mexLexA > LexAopGCaMP7c flies administered nicotine and heptanol.

**Full Genotypes**

**Fig. 1:**  
*Ore R* corresponds to *Oregon R*  
**Myo1ATS> dCas9VPR** corresponds to *+/ Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR/+*  
**Myo1ATS> dCas9VPR, gRNA-ACE** corresponds to *+/ Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/gRNA-ACE; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9VPR/+*  
**MexTS>GCAMP7c** corresponds to *+/ Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; 20XUAS-IVS-jGCaMP7c/+*  
**MexTS>GCAMP7c + dCas9VPR, gRNA-ACE** corresponds to *+/ Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/gRNA-ACE; 20XUAS-IVS-jGCaMP7c/UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR*  

**Fig. 2:**  
**Myo1ATS> +** corresponds to *+/ Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS- LuciferaseRNAI/+*  
**Myo1ATS > nAChRβ3RNAI** corresponds to *+/ Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-nAChRβ3RNAI/+*  
**MexTS > +** corresponds to *+/ Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/UAS- emptyVK37; +*  
**MexTS > nAChRβ3RNAI** corresponds to *+/ Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-nAChRβ3RNAI/+*
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > + / \text{esg-GFP}\) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{esg-GFP}; + \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}}/ \text{esg-GFP} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{esg-GFP}; \UAS-n\text{AChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}/+} \)
\(\text{Mex} > \text{GFP} / \text{nAChR}\beta_3\text{-flag} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 UAS-2x-GFP/nAChR}\beta_3\text{-flag}; + \)
\(\text{Myo1A} > \text{GFP} / \text{nAChR}\beta_3\text{-flag} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 UAS-GFP/nAChR}\beta_3\text{-flag}; + \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}}>\text{GCAMP7c} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}+; 20\text{XUAS-IVS-jGCAMP7c/+} \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}}>\text{GCAMP7c + nAcR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/+}; 20\text{XUAS-IVS-jGCAMP7c/UAS-nAcR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}}/+} \)

Fig. 3:
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > + \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}; \UAS\text{-Luciferase}^{\text{RNAi}} \) and +; \(\text{Myo1-Agal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-2x-GFP}/+ \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}+/; \UAS-n\text{AChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}/+} \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > + \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-emptyVK37}; + \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/+}; \UAS-n\text{AChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi}/+} \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{NFAT-CaLexA} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP}; \UAS-m\text{LexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP}/+ \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > \text{NFAT-CaLexA} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP}; \UAS-m\text{LexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP}/+ \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi-2}} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-nAcR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi-2}; \UAS-Luciferase/+} \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{Orai} \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-emptyVK37}; \UAS-Orai/+ \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi-2}} \) + Orai corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\UAS-n\text{AChR}\beta_3^{\text{RNAi-2}; \UAS-Orai/+} \)
\(\text{Mex}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3 \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-nAcR}\beta_3/+ \)
\(\text{Myo1A}^{\text{TS}} > \text{nAChR}\beta_3 \) corresponds to +; \(\text{Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80}^{\text{TS/}}\text{UAS-nAcR}\beta_3/+ \)

Fig. 4:
\(\text{R49E06} > 6\text{xmCherry} \) corresponds to +; +; \(\text{R49E06-Gal4/20xUAS-6xmCherry-HA} \)
R49E06<sup>TS</sup> > myrGFP+syt1HA corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/10xUAS-IVS-myr::GFP; R49E06-Gal4/5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA

R49E06<sup>TS</sup> > syt1HA + mexLexA > LexAop6xGFP corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/13xLexAop2-6xGFP; R49E06-Gal4 mex-LexA::GAD/5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA

R49E06<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/+; R49E06-Gal4; UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>

R49E06<sup>TS</sup> > Chat<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/+; R49E06-Gal4; UAS-Chat<sup>RNAi</sup>

ARCEnS + mexLexA<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ UAS-emptyVK37; R49E06-Gal4 mex-LexA::GAD/+ 

ARCEnS> shibire<sup>TS</sup> corresponds to +; +; R49E06-Gal4/UAS-shibire<sup>TS</sup>

mexLexA<sup>TS</sup> > LexAopnAChRβ3 corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/LexAop-nAChRβ3; mex-LexA::GAD/+ 

ARCEnS> shibire<sup>TS</sup> + mexLexA<sup>TS</sup> > LexAopnAChRβ3 corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/LexAop-nAChRβ3; R49E06-Gal4 mex-LexA::GAD/UAS-shibire<sup>TS</sup>

QUASCsChrimson + Mex <sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA corresponds to QUASCsChrimson/+; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/+ 

ARCEnS> QUASCsChrimson + Mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA corresponds to QUASCsChrimson/+; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/R49E06-QF

**Fig. 5:**

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/+ 

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA + nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup>

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA + inx2<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/UAS-inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA + inx7<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/UAS-inx7<sup>RNAi</sup>

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/UAS-emptyVK37; + and +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/ +; UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>/+

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > inx2<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>; UAS-inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+ and +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>TS</sup>/UAS-emptyVK37; UAS-inx2<sup>RNAi</sup>/+
MexTS > inx2RNAi + nAChRβ3 corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-nAChRβ3; UAS-inx2RNAi/+ 
MexTS > nAChRβ3 corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-nAChRβ3; UAS-LuciferaseRNAi/+ 
MexLexA > LexAopGCAMP7c corresponds to +; Mex-LexA::GAD/+; 13xLexAop-IVS-jGCaMP7c/+ 

Ext. Fig. 1:
Ore R corresponds to Oregon R
Myo1ATS > dCas9-VPR corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR/+ 
Myo1ATS > dCas9-VPR, gRNA-ACE corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/gRNA-ACE; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR/+ 
MexTS > dCas9-VPR corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+ ; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR/+ 
MexTS > dCas9-VPR, gRNA-ACE corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/gRNA-ACE; UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR/+ 
HowTS > dCas9-VPR corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; how24B-Gal4/ UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR 
HowTS > dCas9-VPR, gRNA-ACE corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/gRNA-ACE; how24B-Gal4/ UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR 
HmlTS > dCas9-VPR corresponds to +; hml-Gal4Δ UAS-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR 
HmlTS > dCas9-VPR, gRNA-ACE corresponds to +; hml-Gal4Δ UAS-GFP// gRNA-ACE; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-3XFLAG-dCas9-VPR 

Ext. Fig. 2:
Myo1ATS > + corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; + 
Myo1ATS > nAChRβ3RNAi corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-nAChRβ3RNAi/+ 
Myo1ATS > nAChRβ3RNAi-2 corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-nAChRβ3RNAi-2/+ 
MexTS > GCAMP7c corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; 20XUAS-IVS-jGCaMP7c/+
Mex<sup>TS</sup> > GCAMP7c / nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; 20XUAS-IVS-jGCAMP7c/ UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>

Esg<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; esg-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / +; UAS- Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>/+

Esg<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; esg-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>; UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> /+

Su(H)GBE<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Su(H)Gbe-Gal4 UAS-CD8-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>

Su(H)GBE<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Su(H)Gbe-Gal4 UAS-CD8-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>

Pros<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / +; prospero-Gal4/ UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>

Pros<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / +; prospero-Gal4/ UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>

How<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / +; how<sup>24B</sup>-Gal4/ UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>

How<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / +; how<sup>24B</sup>-Gal4/ UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>

Hml<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; hml-Gal4 Δ UAS-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/ UAS-Luciferase<sup>RNAi</sup>

Hml<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; hml-Gal4 Δ UAS-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/ UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > + / nAChRβ3-flag corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / nAChRβ3-flag; +

Mex<sup>TS</sup> > UAS-nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup> / nAChRβ3-flag corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup> / nAChRβ3-flag; UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> /+

Ext. Fig. 3:

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-emptyVK37; +/- and +;

Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-2x-GFP; +

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> /+

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > + / Diap1-LacZ corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; Diap1-LacZ / +

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; Diap1-LacZ /UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup>

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > + corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-emptyVK37; +

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup> /+

Esg<sup>TS</sup> > NFAT-CaLexA corresponds to Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/+; esg-Gal4 / LexAop-CD8-GFP-2A-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT LexAop-rCD2-GFP/ +

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > nAChRβ<sup>3RNAi</sup>2 corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-nAChRβ3<sup>RNAi</sup>-2; UAS-Luciferase/+ 

Myo1A<sup>TS</sup> > Orai corresponds to +; Myo1A-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80<sup>Ts</sup>/UAS-emptyVK37; UAS-Orai/+
Ext. Fig. 4:

ElavTS > + corresponds to Elav-Gal4/+; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-LuciferaseRNAi/+  
ElavTS > ChATRNAi corresponds to Elav-Gal4/+; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; UAS-ChATRNAi/+  
EsgTS > + corresponds to Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; esg-Gal4/+; UAS-LuciferaseRNAi/+  
EsgTS > ChATRNAi corresponds to Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; esg-Gal4/+; UAS-ChATRNAi/+  
ProsTS > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; prospero-Gal4/ UAS-LuciferaseRNAi  
ProsTS > ChATRNAi corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; prospero-Gal4/ UAS-ChATRNAi  
Myo1ATS > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS, myo1A-Gal4/+; UAS-LuciferaseRNAi/+  
Myo1ATS > ChATRNAi corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS, myo1A-Gal4/+; UAS-ChATRNAi/+  
HmlTS > + corresponds to +; hml-Gal4Δ UAS-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-LucifersaRNAi  
HmlTS > ChATRNAi corresponds to +; hml-Gal4Δ UAS-GFP/+; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ UAS-ChATRNAi  
HowTS > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; how24B-Gal4/ UAS-LuciferaseRNAi  
HowTS > ChATRNAi corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; how24B-Gal4/ UAS-ChATRNAi  
Esg > + corresponds to +; esg-Gal4/+ UAS-emptyVK37/+  
Esg > scRNAi corresponds to +; esg-Gal4/+; scRNAi/+  
ChAT > mCD8GFP corresponds to +; 10xUAS-mCD8::GFP/+; ChAT^MO4508-Gal4/+ and +; +; ChAT^MO4508-Gal4/ 10xUAS-mCD8::GFP  
ChAT > 6XGFP corresponds to +; +; ChAT^MO4508-Gal4/ 20XUAS-6XGFP  
ChAT-QF> QUAS-TomatoHA+myo1A > GFP corresponds to UAS-mCD8::GFP, QUAS-mtdTomato-3xHA/+; Myo1A-Gal4, UAS-GFP/+; ChAT-QF/+  
ChAT> mCD8GFP/ Tsh-Gal80 corresponds to +; 10xUAS-mCD8::GFP/ Tsh-Gal80; ChAT^MO4508-Gal4/+  
R49E06> mCD8GFP corresponds to +; 10xUAS-mCD8::GFP/+; R49E06-Gal4/+  
R49E06> 2xGFP corresponds to +; UAS-2x-GFP/+; R49E06-Gal4/+
R49E06TS > syt1HA corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; R49E06-Gal4/5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA
R49E06-QF> QUAS-TomatoHA corresponds to +; +; R49E06-QF/ QUAS-mtdTomato-3xHA
mexLexA> LexAopGFP corresponds to +; 13xLexAop2-sfGFP/+; mex-LexA::GAD/+ 
mexLexA>T5> LexAopnACHRβ3 corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/LexAop-nACHRβ3; mex-LexA::GAD/+ 
R49E06TS > syt1HA + mexLexA > LexAop6xGFP corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ 
13xLexAop2-6xGFP; R49E06-Gal4 mex-LexA::GAD/ 5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA 
R49E06TS > syt1HA + mexLexA > LexAopxGFP corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/ 
13xLexAop2-sfGFP; R49E06-Gal4 mex-LexA::GAD/ 5xUAS-IVS-Syt1::smGdP-HA 
R49E06TS > + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; R49E06-Gal4; UAS-LuciferaseRNAl 
R49E06TS > ChATRNAl corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; R49E06-Gal4; UAS-ChATRNAl 
ARCENs>> corresponds to +; UAS-2xFGFP/+; R49E06-Gal4/+ 
ARCENs> TrpA1 corresponds to +; UAS-TrpA1/+; R49E06-Gal4/+ 
ARCENs> TrpA1/ChATGal80 corresponds to +; UAS-TrpA1/+; R49E06-Gal4/ChAT-Gal80 
ARCENsTS> + corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/+; R49E06-Gal4; UAS-LuciferaseRNAl 
ARCENsTS> wgnRNAl corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/UAS-wgnRNAl; R49E06-Gal4/+ 
ARCENsTS> grndRNAl corresponds to +; Tubulin-Gal80TS/UAS-grndRNAl; R49E06-Gal4/+ 

Ext. Fig. 6:
MexTS > + corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS/UAS-emptyVK37; + 
MexTS > inx2RNAl corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS; UAS-2RNAl/+ 
MexTS > inx7RNAl corresponds to +; Mex-Gal4 Tubulin-Gal80TS; UAS-7RNAl/+ 

Supplementary Txt

gBlock1:
AACGAGGATTATCATCAAAGAGGCAGCAGGATGATAGTAGAGGCGCTCTCGTCTACGGAGC
GACAATTCAATTCAAACAGAAGTCAGCTCACATGAGCTAAGCGCAAGCTAAGCTAATGAAAC
AAGCGCTAGCTGAAAGGCTAACTGCGCTGGTTAAATGCGAATTCATGAAATGCAGAATATCGAA
AAGTAACTGACAGGCAATGCTACGACCTTGGTATATCGGAAGTGCGCTGGTATAAATGTG
AATACAAAGAAGGAACTCTGAATACTTTCAACAAAGTGTCCGAGAAAGGAAAAGAACTCAJCACA
GCCGCGCAATTCTCGTGAGCGCAGCAGCGCTAAGCG
TTCACTTGGGAATTGCCAAAAAGCTAAAGTGAACCCCCGAGGAATCAATTAATGTTGTTTAG
CTTCAGTTTTTAGTACATTCGTATTTTTTTAAAGTATTAATAATTATTTAATCACAACGAATAAAC
ATTAAGAAATATTTTATTCTGGAAAACCTTTCTTCTGGTATAGAGCATTTATCGTTGTAAAAAAAT
AATTTCATTAGTTTACATTACATTTTTGTTTATATGTTTAGATTTTTTCTAATTAAATGATATTG
TATAATTTATTGCAAAAAATTATAATACACTCTCAAATAATCTGAAACATCCACCCACTCC
GAAAAATGTGGAAGCTTTATTTTCTACACCTCTCTCTCAGATTTTATGATTTATAAATCTGGAA
TCTTTATCGGTTTCTCTCTTTTCACTCAGTGGTGAGGCTTTTCATTACCTTTTTCACGCGGA
AATGGGAAATTGGCACGTGCCTAGAGTCAGTTATCATTGCTAGTGGCACAAGATCAGAT
CGAAATACGCTAATAATCATTTCGGGAGCACAATCGAATACCTACATACAACACACTTCAGA
CGAGATTATCATCAAAGAGCGCGG
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