# ESAT-6 undergoes self-association at phagosomal pH and an ESAT-6 specific nanobody restricts M. tuberculosis growth in macrophages

Timothy A Bates[1], Mila Trank-Greene[1], Xammy Nguyenla[1], Aidan Anastas[1], Ilaria R Merutka[1],

Shandee D Dixon[1], Anthony Shumate[2], Abigail R Groncki[1], Matthew AH Parson[3], Eric Barklis[1],

John E Burke[3,4], Ujwal Shinde[2], Hidde L Ploegh[5], Fikadu G Tafesse[1,6]

[1]Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Oregon Health & Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, United States.

[2]Department of Chemical Physiology and Biochemistry, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, United States.

[3]Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada.

[4]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

[5]Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States.

[6]Correspondence to tafesse@ohsu.edu

## Abstract

*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) is known to survive within macrophages by compromising the integrity of the phagosomal compartment in which it resides. This activity primarily relies on the ESX-1 secretion system, predominantly involving the protein duo ESAT-6 and CFP-10. CFP-10 likely acts as a chaperone, while ESAT-6 likely disrupts phagosomal

membrane stability via a largely unknown mechanism. we employ a series of biochemical

analyses, protein modeling techniques, and a novel ESAT-6-specific nanobody to gain insight into

the ESAT-6's mode of action. First, we measure the binding kinetics of the tight 1:1 complex

formed by ESAT-6 and CFP-10 at neutral pH. Subsequently, we demonstrate a rapid self-

association of ESAT-6 into large complexes under acidic conditions, leading to the identification

of a stable tetrameric ESAT-6 species. Using molecular dynamics simulations, we pinpoint the

most probable interaction interface. Furthermore, we show that cytoplasmic expression of an anti-

ESAT-6 nanobody blocks Mtb replication, thereby underlining the pivotal role of ESAT-6 in

intracellular survival. Together, these data suggest that ESAT-6 acts by a pH dependent

mechanism to establish two-way communication between the cytoplasm and the Mtb-containing

phagosome.

## Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), is a major global health

concern, claiming over 1.6 million lives annually. Mtb is highly adept at evading the immune

system, and it can persist within an infected person for decades. This situation is worsened by

the nearly 1.7 billion people globally who harbor a dormant Mtb infection (Houben and Dodd,

2016), coupled with the rising trend of drug-resistant TB cases (World Health Organization, 2022).

To successfully establish an infection in the host, Mtb utilizes a plethora of virulence factors

delivered via its several ESX-secretion systems. Studies have indicated that Mtb has the ability

to damage the phagosomal membrane, a process that is heavily reliant on the activity of the ESX-

1 secretion system (Conrad et al., 2017; López-Jiménez et al., 2018; Schnettger et al., 2017).

Currently, the most probable candidate driving ESX-1 dependent lytic activity is the well-known T

cell antigen, the 6 kDa early secreted antigenic target (ESAT-6, or EsxA) ) (Andersen et al., 1995;

Sørensen et al., 1995), after which the ESX family of T7SSs was originally named (Gey van Pittius

et al., 2001). Secretion of the various ESX-1 substrates are known to be highly complex and

interdependent, and while the full scope of ESX-1 components and substrates is still being worked

out, many individual ESX-1 component knockouts are known to phenocopy the ESAT-6 deletion,

indicating that ESAT-6 itself is responsible for the lion's share of the activity (Chen et al., 2012;

Clemmensen et al., 2017; Cronin et al., 2022; Lienard et al., 2020; Sanchez et al., 2020).

Over the last few decades, there have been numerous studies focused on uncovering the

possible mechanism of membrane lysis by ESAT-6 (Augenstreich et al., 2020; Conrad et al., 2017;

de Jonge et al., 2007; Kinhikar et al., 2010; Koo et al., 2008; Lienard et al., 2020; Osman et al.,

2022), and how this might lead to escape of Mtb from the phagosome (Houben et al., 2012).

However, the field has been plagued by setbacks such as the discovery that many studies prior

to 2017 showing membrane disruption by ESAT-6 were confounded by the contamination with the

detergent ASB-14, which was originally added to remove endotoxin (Conrad et al., 2017).

Consequently, most recent studies have focused on the use of intact bacteria in assays, frequently

with the closely related *Mycobacterium marinum* (Mm), to avoid using recombinant proteins. The

conclusions of these experiments are complicated by the interdependent nature of ESX-1

structural components, chaperones, and substrates (Augenstreich et al., 2020; Osman et al.,

2022; Santucci et al., 2022). Mm has been a valuable model for working out ESX-1 and ESAT-6

function, and many of the key studies in the field have used Mm exclusively. However, the unique

characteristics of Mm compared to Mtb can sometimes leads to differing results, and conclusions

drawn from one species do not always apply to the other (Bosserman et al., 2019; Carlsson et

al., 2009; Lienard et al., 2020; Osman et al., 2022; Smith et al., 2008). This is important because

the membranolytic activity of the ESX-1 seems to be highly context dependent, and more

biochemical work is needed to work out the specific contributions of ESAT-6 to the membrane

disruption phenotype.

Despite extensive research, the precise mechanism of ESAT-6 action remains elusive.

This study aims to bridge this gap by performing comprehensive biochemical and cellular

investigations of ESAT-6 under neutral and acidic conditions. We use biolayer interferometry (BLI)

to measure the tight association between ESAT-6 and CFP-10, and the pH dependent ESAT-6

self-association. We use fluorescence microscopy to directly observe formation of large ESAT-6

complexes, and we measure the stoichiometry of ESAT-6 under different pH conditions using

multi-angle light scattering. We then use molecular dynamics-based modeling to evaluate the

most likely modes of ESAT-6 interaction. We also generated a novel ESAT-6-binding alpaca-

derived nanobody, E11rv, to further define the significance of ESAT-6 during Mtb infection. We

perform biochemical characterization of E11rv, as well as functional testing, which showed

inhibition of Mtb growth inside macrophages treated with E11rv or expressing cytoplasmic E11rv.

# Results

## Recombinant ESAT-6 and CFP-10 purification

In seeking to perform functional studies of ESAT-6 and CFP-10, we produced recombinant

protein using *E. coli* expression plasmids available from BEI Resources: pMRLB.7 (NR-50170)

containing ESAT-6, and pMRLB.46 (NR-13297) containing CFP-10. Both of these plasmids have

been used extensively in literature, including many of the most influential biochemical

characterization studies (Augenstreich et al., 2020; Behura et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Choi

et al., 2010; Conrad et al., 2017; de Jonge et al., 2007; Eitson et al., 2012; Gallegos et al., 2008;

Harrison et al., 2014; Koyuncu et al., 2021; Lim et al., 2016; Mukherjee et al., 2007; Niazi et al.,

2015; Osman et al., 2022; Pathak et al., 2007; Peng et al., 2011; Refai et al., 2015; Smith et al.,

2008; Stavri et al., 2012; Vesosky et al., 2010; Woolhiser et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2016; Yang et

al., 2008). The specification sheet for pMRLB.7 indicates that it includes a C-terminal FALE

solubility tag and subsequent Histidine affinity tag while pMRLB.46 indicates that it contains only

a C-terminal Histidine tag. We performed sequencing of both plasmids (Figs 1A and S1), and

noticed a stretch of 10 additional amino acids ahead of the reported tags in the pMRLB.7 plasmid.

The precise amino acid sequence of the pMRLB.46 plasmid has not been reported, but it appears

to contain 4 additional amino acids ahead of the expected tag. Importantly, we were able to

confirm that the full and complete sequences of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 are each contained in their

respective plasmids, and the inconsistencies fall within the C-terminal tag regions of each protein

(Figs 1A and S1).

Expression and purification by Nickel-NTA chromatography resulted in bands of the

expected sizes by SDS-PAGE and $His_6$-specific western blot (Fig 1B). Amino acid sequences of

the recombinant proteins were also confirmed by mass spectrometry (MS), where it was observed

that CFP-10 undergoes N-terminal methionine excision in our *E. coli* expression system (Fig S2)

(Giglione et al., 2004; Hirel et al., 1989). Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed

appropriate relative retention volumes and combining equimolar quantities of ESAT-6 with CFP-

10 resulted in a leftward shift, suggesting correct dimerization (Fig 1C).

## Dimerization of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 at neutral pH

To validate that ESAT-6 and CFP-10 are forming a native dimer, we next performed native-

PAGE with different ratios of the two proteins (Fig 2A). We incubated ESAT-6 and CFP-10 for 1

hour at 4°C prior to running the gels, combining them in different ratios. The isoelectric point of

ESAT-6 and CFP-10 are both quite low, for our constructs they are 5.18 (net charge -8.22 at pH

7.4) and 5.72 (net charge -5.27 at pH 7.4), respectively. Thus, we oriented the positive electrode

toward the bottom of the gel. As expected, we observed that ESAT-6 ran faster than CFP-10.

Combinations of each showed a distinct third band of intermediate charge which was greatest in

the 1:1 mixture and absent in each pure protein, indicating formation of a 1:1 complex with no

intermediate species. This aligns with our results from Fig 1C, and aligns with historical research

on the interaction between ESAT-6 and CFP-10 (Poulsen et al., 2014; Renshaw et al., 2005,

2002).

Another commonly used test of ESAT-6 function is hemolysis, or the ability to damage red

blood cell (RBC) membranes and release hemoglobin. Historical studies reported that ESAT-6

was able to cause hemolysis, but Conrad et al. discovered that most of this hemolytic activity was

due to detergent contamination with ASB-14, which is commonly added during the wash steps of

Nickel-NTA purification to remove endotoxin (Conrad et al., 2017). Our recombinant ESAT-6 was

produced without detergent, and we confirmed that it was not able to induce hemolysis at any pH

between 4.5 and 7.5 (Fig S3). However, the hemolysis assay still finds contemporary use when

testing the ability of whole bacteria to induce membrane damage in an ESX-1 and contact-

dependent manner (Augenstreich et al., 2020; Bosserman et al., 2019; Conrad et al., 2017;

Osman et al., 2022). In such experiments, RBCs are mixed with log-phase bacterial cultures at

high MOI and pelleted by centrifugation to force direct contact. Similar to previous reports, we

found that *Mycobacterium marinum* (Mm) is capable of efficiently causing hemolysis within 2

hours (Fig 2B). In contrast, we found that Mtb was incapable of hemolysis over a similar time

frame. It is well established that Mm-induced hemolysis is ESX-1 dependent, but our results

suggest that Mtb must lack one or more factors necessary for efficient hemolysis. One previous

study was able to induce hemolysis using Mtb, but this required 48 hours instead of the typical 2

(Augenstreich et al., 2020).

Binding kinetics of ESAT-6 and CFP-10

To measure the binding strength of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 we developed a biolayer

interferometry (BLI) assay to measure their interactions (Fig 3A). We first measured the ability of

ESAT-6 and CFP-10 to form homo-oligomers or hetero-oligomers (Fig 3B), and found that they

preferentially formed hetero-oligomers. ESAT-6 showed a small, but measurable amount of self-

association, while CFP-10 showed no self-association. The dissociation constant ($K_D$) of the

ESAT-6/CFP-10 heterodimer has not hitherto been directly measured, but Renshaw et al.

estimated an upper bound of 10nM (Renshaw et al., 2002). We performed a detailed

measurement of the ESAT-6/CFP-10 association and measured a $K_D$ of 220 pM, indicating

exceptionally tight binding (Fig 3C). ESAT-6 self-association resulted in reduced overall binding

to the sensor and displayed a rapid on rate, but also a rapid off rate (Fig 3D). The curve shape is

suggestive of something other than traditional 1:1 binding, but for comparison purposes we

calculated an apparent $K_D$ of approximately 1.5 µM, weaker than that of the ESAT-6/CFP-10

association.

Because Mtb often exists in an acidified compartment, we tested whether ESAT-6 binding

changes under low pH conditions, the likes of which may be found in macrophage phagosomes

(Canton et al., 2014). Surprisingly, ESAT-6 displayed robust self-association at pH 4.5 (Fig 3E),

resulting in several-fold more mass attaching to the sensor than even the ESAT-6/CFP-10

association at neutral pH. The apparent $K_D$ for this interaction was slightly weaker than ESAT-6

self-association at neutral pH, but the total amount of binding was 20-30-fold greater, suggesting

that low pH conditions trigger ESAT-6 to assemble into larger complexes. Further, there have

been conflicting reports on whether ESAT-6 and CFP-10 dissociate from each other at low pH (de

Jonge et al., 2007; De Leon et al., 2012; Lightbody et al., 2008). Our results support the stable

interaction of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 at low-pH (Fig 3F), which exhibits an extremely tight apparent

$K_D$ of 0.4 pM at pH 4.5: however, this is likely due to the multivalent ESAT-6 self-association

occurring in this condition (Fig 3G). To determine the precise pH at which ESAT-6 self-association

becomes dominant, we performed BLI experiments at half pH units from 4.5 to 6.5 (Fig 3H). We

found that 5.0 was the most basic pH at which increased self-association occurred.

## Formation of large ESAT-6 complexes at pH 4.5

The response from pH 4.5 ESAT-6 biosensors appeared to increase past the typical

association time of our BLI assay. Because of this, we extended the binding time to 20 minutes

(Fig 4A), but the increasing self-association of ESAT-6 continued at roughly the same rate

throughout. To explore the possibility of complex formation and to determine the timeframe over

which this occurs, we next performed turbidity assays in which 50 µM of either ESAT-6 or CFP-

10 were brought to pH 4.5 or 7.5 and $A_{350}$ was measured by plate reader for 1 hour (Fig 4B). We

observed an increase in turbidity at pH 4.5 but not 7.5 which was greater for ESAT-6 than CFP-

10, in line with previous findings (De Leon et al., 2012).

The BLI experiments we have shown thus far using the streptavidin-coated biosensors

(Fig 3A), have utilized ESAT-6 which had been biotinylated using succinimide chemistry

(ChromaLINK, Vector labs) allowing for precise quantification of incorporated biotin. Using 3 molar

equivalents of labeling reagent, we obtained ESAT-6 with an average of 0.99 biotins/protein.

However, succinimide chemistry can label any primary amine including the N-terminus and lysine

residues. ESAT-6 normally contains 3 lysines, and the pMRLB.7 construct contains 1 additional

lysine for a total of 4 (Fig 4C). Because it is unknown which region of the protein may be involved

in self-association, we generated an additional ESAT-6 construct capable of C-terminal site-

directed biotinylation via sortase-mediated transpeptidation via an LPETG motif (Fig 4C)

(Guimaraes et al., 2013; Popp et al., 2009). We performed additional BLI experiments using the

ESAT-6-LPETG construct and were able to verify similar behavior at neutral and acidic pH (Fig

4D-F).

## Stoichiometry of ESAT-6 complexes

We next visualized the large ESAT-6 complexes by fluorescence microscopy in order to

get an idea of their scale. We mixed unmodified ESAT-6 with ESAT-6-LPETG-Biotin at a 10:1 ratio

and incubated on a poly-lysine coated coverslip, fixed, then stained with streptavidin-AF488 to

visualize ESAT-6 complexes. Fluorescence microscopy shows the development of individual

strands with the appearance of a heavily kinked thread or beads on a string (Fig 5A). These

structures were not present at neutral pH or when 6M guanidine was added to acidified ESAT-6.

To analyze the stoichiometry of these complexes, we next performed SEC coupled with

multi-angle light scattering (MALS), which can measure the molecular weight of complexes as

they elute from the SEC column. At pH 7.5, we observed a single peak with an approximate

molecular weight of 21 kDa, which most likely corresponds to an ESAT-6 homodimer (Fig 5B).

We did not observe the presence of any monomeric ESAT-6, indicating that it likely self-pairs in

the absence of CFP-10. This agrees with our BLI results from (Fig 3C). At pH 4.5, we were

surprised to see a single, well-defined peak at 46 kDa, most likely corresponding to a tetramer

(Fig 5C). No measurable monomer, dimer, or higher molecular weight complexes were observed.

While it is not surprising that the high molecular weight complexes observed (Fig 5A) were unable

to traverse the SEC column intact, it was unexpected that tetramers would be the only species

observed.

To address the question of the likely conformation of the homodimer and tetramer, we

performed modeling experiments using docking followed by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

to estimate the most stable conformations. We started with a homology model based on

previously reported structures of the ESAT-6/CFP-10 heterodimer (PDB: 3FAV, 4J11, 4J7K, 4J10

and 4J7J) (Fan et al., 2015; Poulsen et al., 2014). To estimate the structure of the ESAT-6

monomer, we then performed a molecular dynamics simulation to observe the structural stability

of the protein's conformation. We observed that the energy-minimized structure of monomeric

ESAT-6 strongly resembles its conformation in the heterodimer. The helix-turn-helix structure

exhibits strong amphipathic nature, with both helices aligning to form a hydrophobic face flanked

by charged residues (Fig 5D).

We next modeled an ESAT-6 homodimer, starting again with a homology model based on

the heterodimer followed by a molecular dynamics simulation. The homodimer model showed

head-to-tail alignment of the ESAT-6 monomers associating via their hydrophobic faces and

stabilized on either side by salt bridges (Fig 5E). This homodimer model represents a likely

conformation of the species observed in the pH 7.5 SEC-MALS experiment (Fig 5B).

To model the tetrameric species observed in the acidic SEC-MALS experiment (Fig 5C),

we performed docking of ESAT-6 homodimers and then a molecular dynamics simulation of the

lowest energy conformation observed in docking. We observed the dimers interacting in a head-

to-tail orientation via their hydrophilic faces, supported by salt bridges (Fig 5F). In the tetramer

model, some of the charged residues, such as $Lys_{67}$, which had previously supported the dimer

interface, switched orientations to support the tetramer interface.

For each of these models, the molecular dynamics informs us about the proteins'

conformational stability. The root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) quantifies the amount of

movement along the peptide backbone for each residue, with lower values equating to more

stability. We performed molecular dynamics experiments for ESAT-6 in monomer, dimer, and

tetramer forms in order to evaluate the stability of each species (Fig 5G). In general, the α-helices

of ESAT-6 are stable, while the C- and N-termini are highly flexible. However, in the monomeric

form, the residues between 20 and 50 exhibit increased flexibility at pH 7.5. At pH 4.5, the

monomeric form exhibits increased flexibility along a majority of the backbone. At both pH values,

dimerization (and tetramerization) result in greater overall stability. At pH 7.5, the average RMSF

of the monomer is 2.51, which reduces to 2.33 for the dimer due to the reduced flexibility between

residues 20 and 50. The tetramer is only marginally more stable, at 2.27. At pH 4.5, the difference

between each state is even more pronounced, with an RMSF of 2.74, 2.04, and 1.94 for the

monomer, dimer, and tetramer, respectively.

## Generation and characterization of an ESAT-6 specific nanobody

We generated an ESAT-6-specific alpaca-derived nanobody using our previously reported

phage display method (Alfadhli et al., 2021; Bachran et al., 2017; Weinstein et al., 2022). E11rv

was generated by immunization and panning against pMRLB.7 ESAT-6. Following initial isolation,

we performed biochemical characterization, starting with ELISA experiments to determine the

$EC_{50}$ of E11rv, which was found to be 1.26 μM (Fig 6A). We then determined the binding kinetics

via BLI, which we performed on both pMRLB.7 ESAT6 as well as our ESAT-6-LPETG construct

to ensure that the tag was not essential for binding (Fig 6B-C). E11rv bound similarly to ESAT-6

and ESAT-6-LPETG with $K_D$s of 331 nM and 376 nM, respectively.

To determine the binding site, we performed hydrogen deuterium exchange experiments

with ESAT-6 (Harris et al., 2023; Masson et al., 2019; Rathinaswamy et al., 2021). This involves

incubating either apo or E11rv-bound ESAT-6 in $D_20$ buffer for different lengths of time. The

deuterium will exchange with acidic protons in the protein at different rates depending on the

solvent exposure, giving insights into which areas of the protein are more tightly folded, or

shielded by protein-protein interactions with a nanobody (Fig 6D). Digestion followed by MS

allows quantification of the extent of deuteration along the peptide backbone and concomitant

binding site estimation. For E11rv, we observed decreased deuteration compared to apo around

positions 50-65 (on the C-terminal helix, near the loop), and to a lesser extent positions 98-110

(within the tag). The region between these segments, from residues 66-94, displayed an increase

in solvent exposure, as did residues 14-28, on the opposing helix directly across from 66-94. A

likely explanation for this pattern is that E11rv binds around residues 50-65, and that this somehow

destabilizes the C-terminal portion of the helix or separates the helices enough to allow more

solvent exposure between helices. It is unclear how the small region within the tag might be

involved, but Fig 6B suggests that the positioning of the tag has little impact on binding, and this

region is predicted to be largely unstructured.

## E11rv inhibits Mtb replication in macrophages.

We next performed functional testing of E11rv using two complementary approaches for

measuring the growth and viability of internalized Mtb in macrophages. The first of these

experiments used a live/dead reporter strain of Mtb based on H37Rv which constitutively

expresses mCherry and dox inducible expression of GFP, which has been shown to correlate with

CFU count (Martin et al., 2012). We infected THP-1 cells with live/dead reporter Mtb pre-incubated

with E11rv or an isotype control, then allowed the infection to proceed for 3 days before inducing

GFP with doxycycline for 24 hours. After fixing and staining for actin to reveal the location of cells,

we performed fluorescence microscopy on the infected cells. We then used CellProfiler to identify

all Mtb within images by their red fluorescence and calculated the GFP/RFP ratio for each

identified bacterium (Stirling et al., 2021). In this experiment, we found that E11rv led to a

statistically significant reduction in Mtb viability (Fig 6F,G).

The second functional assay we performed was based on continuous growth

measurement of luminescent LuxABCDE-expressing Mtb (Andreu et al., 2010; Leddy et al.,

2023). We prepared stable THP-1 cell lines with cytoplasmic expression of E11rv or an isotype

nanobody. We then infected the nanobody-expressing THP-1 cells with luminescent Mtb and

monitored their growth continuously via plate reader for 5 days, and we found that E11rv reduced

the growth rate substantially (Fig 6H). Over the full 5 days, the isotype-expressing cells allowed

the Mtb to grow to 6-fold over its starting value while the E11rv-expressing cells reduced this to

just under 3-fold.

# Discussion

This study has several key findings. Firstly, ESAT-6 undergoes rapid self-association into

large complexes at pH levels below 5.0, and this may be preceded by formation of stable

tetramers. Second, in the absence of CFP-10, ESAT-6 exists as a homodimer at neutral pH. Third,

our nanobody, E11rv, binds to ESAT-6 and can inhibit the growth of Mtb inside cells when treated

externally or via cytoplasmic expression.

The issue of incomplete information about the amino acid sequence of one of the most

widely used ESAT-6 constructs is surprising, but none of the data we have generated suggested

a practical difference with differently tagged constructs. Previous studies have found major

differences in function between recombinant ESAT-6 in general when compared with "native"

ESAT-6 purified from Mtb cultures, which are typically purified using much harsher techniques

than recombinant protein due to the lack of affinity tags. One attractive theory for the observed

difference between recombinant and native ESAT-6 which is gaining traction is N-terminal

acetylation being required for ESAT-6 function, but this has not yet been proven in Mtb infection

(Aguilera et al., 2020; Collars et al., 2023; Mba Medie et al., 2014; Okkels et al., 2004). The most

commonly given reason for the importance of N-acetylation is that acetylated ESAT-6 is more

easily released from CFP-10 under acidic conditions. Our results suggest that purified ESAT-6

exists in a dimerized state in the absence of CFP-10, but our Native-PAGE (Fig 2A) and SEC (Fig

1C) demonstrate that purified ESAT-6 has no trouble interacting with CFP-10 to form a 1:1

complex. It is unclear whether the observed 1:1 complex is an ESAT-6/CFP-10 heterodimer

formed by exchange between ESAT-6 and CFP-10 homodimers, or if we are instead observing

formation of a tetramer composed of one each ESAT-6 and CFP-10 homodimers. Further, our low

pH BLI results (Fig 3F) suggest that self-associated ESAT-6 has no difficulty interacting with CFP-

10.

Our modeling and SEC-MALS data suggest that ESAT-6 can interact with itself using both

of its faces, one of which is hydrophobic, and one of which is hydrophilic; and both of which are

supported by salt bridges formed by charged residues at either edge. This implies that ESAT-6

may still be able to self-associate within membranes via its hydrophilic face. This agrees with the

putative requirement for compartment acidification in order to drive membrane damage, as our

data suggests that low pH is required for self-association at this interface, but likely does not affect

the hydrophobic interface, which must then require a different mechanism for dissociation and

membrane insertion. Some have suggested that other mycobacterial factors may help facilitate

the membrane insertion of ESAT-6, such as phthiocerol dimycocerosates (Augenstreich et al.,

2020, 2017).

Modeling approaches have also been used previously to propose an organized ESAT-6

pore structure using similar logic, but these have yet to be supported by physical evidence (Karki

et al., 2020; Refai et al., 2015). However, there is precedent for ESAT-6-like WXG proteins to form

organized membrane channels, with a recent study demonstrating a pentameric EsxE/EsxF

structure capable of membrane insertion and toxin trafficking (Tak et al., 2021). Critically, EsxE

does not dissociate from EsxF in order to insert into the membrane. Such structures have yet to

be observed with ESAT-6/CFP-10, but this may just be a matter of finding the appropriate

conditions and reagents.

Finding molecular tools to interrogate the function of Mtb virulence factors remains a

challenge due to the complexity of accessing Mtb in its native environment within the phagosome.

The nanobody described here presents a novel way of targeting the bacteria *in situ*, which we

demonstrated by modulating its growth using intracellularly expressed E11rv. Because E11rv

showed functional effects both when added in the media and when expressed inside the cell

cytoplasm, it suggests a significant amount of communication between Mtb inside the phagosome

and other compartments of the cell. This is consistent with the findings of many other studies

which show numerous putative activities for ESAT-6 such as induction of apoptosis (Behura et

al., 2019; Choi et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2015), inhibition of interferon-γ production

(Kumar et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2011), inhibition of autophagy (Dong et al., 2016; Romagnoli et

al., 2012; Yabaji et al., 2020), inhibition of antigen presentation (Sreejit et al., 2014), induction of

type I interferon (Jang et al., 2018; Lienard et al., 2020), and a more recent study showing an

ESX-1-dependent mechanism for incorporation of Mtb antigens into MHC-I presentation via

cytosolic antigen processing (Leddy et al., 2023). Whereas most of these functions rely only on

secretion of ESAT-6 into the cytoplasm, the ability of E11rv to access Mtb suggests that this

communication is likely two-way.

Author Contributions

Timothy A Bates: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal Analysis, Investigation,

Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization, Supervision,

Project Administration, Funding acquisition.

Mila Trank-Greene: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Data Curation,

Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization.

Xammy Nguyenla: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing.

Aidan Anastas: Methodology, Investigation.

Ilaria R Merutka: Methodology, Investigation.

Shandee D Dixon: Methodology, Investigation.

Anthony Shumate: Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing.

Abigail R Groncki: Methodology, Investigation.

Matthew AH Parson: Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Data

Curation, Visualization.

Eric Barklis: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Review & Editing.

John E Burke: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Resources, Supervision, Project

Administration.

Ujwal Shinde: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Formal Analysis,

Investigation, Data Curation, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization.

Hidde L Ploegh: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing.

Fikadu G Tafesse: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal Analysis, Resources,
Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project Administration, Funding
acquisition.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates foundation grant OPP1179922 (to FGT),
NIH R01AI141549 (to FGT), Silver Family Innovation Fund (to FGT), NHLBI training grant
5T32HL083808 (to TAB). BLI data were generated on an Octet Red 384, which is made available
and supported by the OHSU Biophysics Shared Resources Core and equipment grant number
S10OD023413. Mass spectrometry experiments were performed with support from Dr. Larry L.
David and the OHSU Proteomics Shared Resource core.

## Competing interests

HLP serves as an advisor to and owns stock in Cerberus Therapeutics. HLP serves as a
consultant to Johnson and Johnson, Immatics Therapeutics, Cue Biopharma, Revela
Therapeutics, and Tiba Bio. JEB reports personal fees from Scorpion Therapeutics, Reactive
therapeutics and Olema Oncology; and research grants from Novartis. All other authors declare
that they have no competing interests.

# Methods

## Plasmids and primers

### Plasmids

| Name | Description | Source |
| --- | --- | --- |
| pMRLB.7 | ESAT-6 expression vector | BEI Resources, NR-50170 |

| pMRLB.46 | CFP-10 expression vector | BEI Resources, NR-13297 |
| pMRLB.7-LPETG | ESAT-6-LPETG expression vector | This study |
| pHEN | Nanobody expression vector | (Hoogenboom et al., 1991) |
| pInducer20 | Lentiviral expression vector | (Meerbrey et al., 2011) |

380 Primers

| Name | Sequence |
| --- | --- |
| T7 (sequencing primer) | TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG |
| ESAT-6-Fw | CCGGAAACCGGCGGCATCAAGCTTATCGATACCGTCGACCTC |
| ESAT-6-Rv | GCCGCCGGTTTCCGGGAGCTCTGCGAACATCCCAGTG |

## Cloning ESAT-6-LPETG

ESAT-6-LPETG was generated by in vivo assembly (IVA) as described previously (García-

Nafría et al., 2016). PCR was performed on pMRLB.7 using ESAT-6-Fw and ESAT-6-Rv primers

using Q5 polymerase with the following recipe and cycle design. Reactions were treated with DpnI

for 15 minutes at 37°C. 2 µL of reaction mixture was added directly to 50 µL of chemically

competent DH5-α cells, incubated on ice for 30 minutes, heat shocked at 42 for 30 seconds, then

immediately added to 200 µL of SOC, after which it was recovered for 30 minutes at 37°C and

plated on Luria Bertani (LB)-ampicillin (amp) agar plates, and incubated overnight at 37°C. Clones

were sequence confirmed before further use.

## Expression and purification of ESAT-6 and CFP-10

pMRLB.7, pMRLB.46, and pMRLB.7-LPETG were transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21

Rosetta rare codon competent cells. 25 mL Luria Bertani (LB) starter cultures were grown

overnight at 37°C with shaking with 100 ug/mL ampicillin to stationary phase. Each starter culture

was used to inoculate 1L of LB, which was then grown at 37°C until reaching an $OD_{600}$ of 0.8, at

which point it was induced with 0.1 mM IPTG for 4 hours at 37°C. Bacteria was pelleted by

centrifugation at 6,000×g for 10 minutes, then frozen at –80°C. Pellets were thawed and

resuspended in 25 mL of 10 mM Tris HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 10 mM Imidazole, 1 ug/mL

DNase and RNase, 0.5 mg/ml Lysozyme, 10 mM DTT, and 1 tablet/100 mL Sigma fast EDTA free

protease inhibitor (Lysis buffer) for 20 minutes. Lysates were sonicated on ice for 30 seconds on

and 30 seconds off for a total of 5 minutes. Crude lysates were then clarified by centrifugated at

20,000×g for 20 minutes. Supernatant was decanted and spun again similarly. Ni-NTA beads were

washed with 10 mM Tris HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol (wash buffer). Lysate was applied to

0.5 mL of Ni-NTA beads per liter of bacteria and rocked for 1 hour at 4°C then poured over a 25

mL chromatography column. The column was then washed with 10 column volumes of wash

buffer plus 10 mM Imidazole, and then eluted in 10 mL wash buffer plus 250 mM Imidazole.

Protein containing fractions (assessed by SDS-PAGE) were pooled and imidazole was then buffer

exchanged and concentrated with 3 kDa MWCO centrifugal concentrator. Protein concentration

was assessed by $A_{280}$, correction for extinction coefficient. Purity was determined by SDS-PAGE,

and α-His$_6$ western blot.

## Western blot

15% SDS-PAGE gels were run with 1 μg of protein, and transferred to a PVDF membrane

(0.2 μm pore size) for 1 hour at 4°C, using a wet transfer tank (Trans-blot, Bio Rad). The

membrane was rinsed with deionized (DI) water and blocked with 2% non-fat dry milk (Lab

Scientific #978-907-4243) in tris buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) for 30 minutes at

room temperature (RT). Blots were stained with 1:10,000 α-His$_6$-HRP (Invitrogen, MA1-80218) in

blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Blots were then washed with PBST three times for 5 minutes

each. Signal was developed using SuperSignal Western Pico PLUS (Thermo Scientific)

chemiluminescent substrate according to the manufacturer's instructions and visualized on an

ImageQuant LAS 4000.

## Size exclusion chromatography (SEC)

SEC was performed on a HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 pg (Cytiva) column on an ÄKTApurifier FPLC (GE) system. Sterile filtered and degassed 10 mM Tris HCl, 300 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol, pH 7.8 was used as a mobile phase and was run at 0.8 mL/min at 4°C. Data was collected with Unicorn 5.31 (GE).

## Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE)

15% polyacrylamide gels were cast with 3.5 mL 30% acrylamide:0.8% bis-acrylamide, 1.7mL of 1.5 M Tris HCl buffer, 70 µL of 10% ammonium persulfate, and 7 µL of TEMED per gel. To analyze the dimerization of CFP10 and ESAT6, 25 µM stocks of each protein were made, mixed in varying ratios, and incubated for 60 minutes at 4 C. Non-denaturing loading buffer was added and reactions were loaded then run at 4°C overnight at 50 V in Tris-Glycine buffer without SDS (National Diagnostics) and then Coomassie stained.

## Hemolysis

### Bacterial hemolysis

Hemolysis experiments were performed as described previously (Conrad et al., 2017). Sheep blood (HemoStat labs, SBC100) was washed with PBS by centrifugation at 3200×g for 5 minutes and resuspended to a final concentration of 1% (v/v) red blood cells (RBC) in PBS. Bacterial cultures, Mm, Mtb, MtbΔRD1 were grown in Middlebrook 7H9 with OADC to an $OD_{600}$ between 0.3 and 1.0. Cultures were washed with PBS by centrifugation at 500×g for 5 minutes and resuspended in PBS. The equivalent of 3 mL of culture at $OD_{600}$ 1.0 was resuspended in 100 µL of PBS and combined with 100 µL of 1% RBCs. Centrifuged samples at 3200×g for 5 minutes, then incubated the pelleted samples at 37°C for Mtb and MtbΔRD1, or 33°C for Mm for 2 hours. Samples were then resuspended by pipette and spun again similarly. The absorbance at 405 nm was measured for each sample using a plate reader, as well as for samples without bacteria and

444    samples with 0.1% Triton-X100. Percent hemolysis was calculated as $(A_{405}Sample - A_{405}PBS) \div$

445    $(A_{405}Triton - A_{405}PBS) \times 100$.

## Protein hemolysis

For measurement of protein hemolysis, RBCs were resuspended to 1% (v/v) in McIlvaine

buffer of pH 4.5, 5.5, 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5 prepared as previously described (McIlvaine, 1921). 100

µL of 1 mg/mL ESAT-6 was combined with 100 µL of 1% RBC solution and incubated at RT for 1

hour. Samples were then spun at 3200×g for 5 minutes and the $A_{405}$ supernatants were measured

by plate reader. PBS and Triton samples were prepared similarly to bacterial hemolysis, and %

hemolysis was calculated using the same formula.

## Biolayer interferometry (BLI)

BLI was performed using an Octet Red384 machine (ForteBio). Octet Streptavidin

Biosensors (Sartorius, #18-5019) were used for all experiments. Biotinylated proteins were

prepared using the succinimide (ESAT-6, CFP-10, RBD) or sortase (ESAT-6-LPETG, E11rv, VHH

52) method. Recombinant SARS-Cov-2 spike RBD was used as a loading control for ESAT-6 and

CFP-10 experiments, while VHH 52 against influenza was used as a loading control for E11rv

experiments. For all experiments, Biosensors were soaked for 20 minutes in DI water, blocked for

30 seconds in 10mM Citrate, 100mM NaCl, 3mM EDTA, 0.1% BSA, 0.005% Tween-20 (running

buffer) which was adjusted to match the pH of the test condition (4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0, or 7.5).

Biotinylated protein was then loaded onto the biosensors until approximately 1 nm of binding

response was observed. Excess protein was washed off with three cycles of 15 seconds in 10

mM glycine pH 1.7 then 15 seconds in running buffer. Washing was followed by a 30 second

baseline step in running buffer, an association step with test analyte diluted in running buffer, then

dissociation step in plain running buffer. When multiple conditions were tested, the lowest

concentration was tested first, and 3 wash cycles were performed between conditions. Loading

control biosensors followed the same protocol with identical sample concentrations. Data was

collected with Octet Data Acquisition 10.0.0.87 (ForteBio), and data was analyzed with Octet Data

Analysis HT 10.0.0.48 (ForteBio). Background subtraction was performed using loading control

biosensors, data was aligned to the average of the baseline step, and smoothed by Savitzky-

Golay filtering. All data were fit to a 1:1 model using the "full (assoc and dissoc) setting" using the

entire step length. Reported kinetic binding constants ($K_D$, $K_{ON}$, and $K_{OFF}$) values were calculated

by taking the geometric mean of binding constants calculated for individual conditions and

replicates. Conditions were excluded from the average when the Data Analysis HT software was

unable to calculate all constants for a given condition due to insufficient signal. The only conditions

excluded using this criterion were ESAT-6 pH 7.5 self-association at 0.316 nM, and E11rv

association with ESAT-6 and ESAT-6-LPETG at 0.33 and 0.1 µM.

## Turbidity assay

ESAT-6 was buffer exchanged into PBS using a Zeba spin column (ThermoFisher) and

diluted to a concentration of 50 µM. 30 µL of ESAT-6 was added to each well in a 384 well plate.

To each well, 2 µL of either PBS or Citrate buffer was added. Citrate buffer contained 50mM citric

acid and 100 mM NaCl, and was adjusted for pH such that mixing 5mL buffer with 45mL PBS

resulted in a solution with pH 4.5. Plates were immediately added to a plate reader following pH

adjustment and $A_{350}$ was read every 2 minutes for a total of 60 minutes with 10 seconds of shaking

between each read. Turbidity was calculated as $A_{350}$Sample – $A_{350}$PBS.

## Biotinylation

## Succinimide biotinylation

Recombinant ESAT-6, CFP-10, and RBD (lacking an LPETG tag) were biotinylated using

the ChromaLINK biotinylation kit (Vector labs). Manufacturer's instructions were used. 3 molar

equivalents of biotinylation reagent yielded a labeling level of 0.99 biotins/protein calculated using

the E1% method in the manufacturer's labeling calculator. Proteins were buffer exchanged into

PBS by passing through a PBS-equilibrated Zeba desalting column (ThermoFisher, 89882).

Protein aliquots were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use.

## Sortase biotinylation

ESAT-6-LPETG and LPETG-tagged nanobodies were C-terminally biotinylated using

sortase as previously described (Guimaraes et al., 2013; Popp et al., 2009). Briefly, 20 μL of 20

mg/mL sortase enzyme, 20 μL of 10 mM GGG-Biotin peptide, and 200 μL of 1mg/mL LPETG-

labeled protein. This mixture was incubated at 4°C overnight, then added to 50 uL of packed,

PBS-washed Ni-NTA beads to remove the His-tagged sortase enzyme and any unreacted protein

for 2 hours at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was buffer exchanged into PBS by passing through

a PBS-equilibrated Zeba desalting column (ThermoFisher, 89882). Protein aliquots were snap

frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use.

## Protein microscopy

Coverslips were coated in poly-L-Lysine at 4°C overnight, washed once with PBS, then

dried before use. A 9:1 molar ratio of ESAT-6:ESAT-6-LPETG-Biotin (final concentration 0.24

mg/mL) was prepared prior to addition of 10× citrate buffer prepared similarly to the turbidity

assay. The guanidine-containing sample used a protein concentration of 0.7 mg/mL and included

a final concentration of 2M guanidine. The treated protein mixtures were incubated at RT for 30

minutes. Five 2μL drops were added to each coverslip and incubated at RT for 30 minutes.

Coverslips were fixed by addition of 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes at RT, washed three

times with PBS, blocked in 2.5% BSA in PBS for 30 minutes at RT, washed 3 times with PBS,

stained with 1:10,000 (in PBS) streptavidin-AF488 (Jacskon Immuno, 016-540-084), and finally

washed 3 times with PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto microscope slides with Prolong Gold

antifade (ThermoFisher, P10144). Images were captured on a Zeiss LSM 980 with Airyscan2

using a 63× oil objective and processed with Zeiss Blue Airyscan joint deconvolution.

## Size exclusion chromatography multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS)

SEC-MALS was performed on an FPLC (GE) with a DAWN MALS detector (Wyatt) (Get description from Ujwal) was used. 100 µg of ESAT-6 was buffer exchanged with a Zeba spin column immediately prior to each experiment and injected onto a Superdex 75 increase 10/300 column at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min at 4°C. The mobile phase was 10 mM Citrate, 300 mM NaCl, pH 4.5 or pH 7.5. Buffers were sterile filtered to 0.1 µm and degassed prior to use. Data analysis was performed using ASTRA software (Wyatt).

## Modeling

## Homology Modeling

The ESAT6 and CFP10 protein sequences were modeled via the Homology Modeling protocol in YASARA version 22.09.24. Sidechain optimization occurred through the FoldX plugin, with an energy minimization using AMBER14 force-field. X-ray structures with specified PDB IDs: 3FAV-A, 4J11-B, 4J7K-B, 4J10-A and 4J7J-B (PDB ID-Chain) served as templates for full-length modeling of both proteins, with alternative models considered if alignments were unclear. For CFP10, about 66% aligned with the template, and specific residues (MAEMKTDAAT and RADEEQQQAL) were predicted using loop modeling. Similarly, around 69% of ESAT6 aligned, with residues (STEGNVTGMF and MTEQQW) modeled as described earlier. After side-chain building and optimization, new model components underwent combined steepest descent and simulated annealing minimization. YASARA then integrated the best model parts to create a high-accuracy hybrid for each protein. Structures, dihedrals, and 1D to 3D packing were inspected for optimal monomer models. Dimer models were based on orientations from the 3FAV template, while tetrameric structures were predicted using dimer models as templates via the M-Zdock server.

## Molecular Dynamic Simulations

The protonation status of amino acid residues and subsequent alteration of side-chain interactions and the domain structure can be influenced by the pH, impacting the stability, dynamics, and/or interactions of CFP10, ESAT6, and their heterodimers. As such, these were examined at both pH 4.5 and 7.4 through molecular dynamics simulations. These simulations, performed under the NPT ensemble with periodic boundary conditions, provided a sampling of the conformational space available to the various oligomeric forms of ESAT6 and CFP10. The domains were subjected to MD simulations for a period of 50 ns and snapshots were taken at 250 ps intervals for later examination. Each simulation process was run twice with distinct random seeds to confirm the reliability of the simulations. The RMSF of each simulation was plotted as displayed in the Figure.

## Nanobody discovery

Nanobody discovery was performed as previously described (Weinstein et al., 2022). Briefly, Alpacas were immunized with recombinant ESAT-6 protein and immune serum was collected. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated and RNA was extracted with an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen 74104), which was then converted to cDNA using Superscript III (Invitrogen 18080051). VHH genes were amplified using gene specific primers for long- and shot-hinge heavy-chain-only antibodies (Maass et al., 2007). Amplified VHH genes were cloned into a phagemid vector based on pCANTAB-5E and transformed into competent TG1 *E. coli*. The bacterial library was infected with helper phage to generate a phage library and purified by PEG precipitation (Frei and Lai, 2016). The phage library which was panned against recombinant ESAT-6 to enrich strong binders. Panning consisted of incubating the purified phage library in antigen-coated tubes and then eluting with pH 2.2 glycine. Eluted phage were neutralized with 1M Tris pH 9.1, and transferred to log-phase ER2738 *E. coli*, to produce the enriched library, which was plated on agar. Individual ER2738 clones were selected and screened by ELISA to

565 quantify affinity. E11rv was selected for further testing based on its performance in the screening

566 ELISA, and was cloned into the pHEN periplasmic expression plasmid.

## Nanobody purification

Nanobodies were purified by periplasmic purification. pHEN plasmid containing each

nanobody was transformed into *E. coli* WK6 competent cells. 20 mL starter cultures were grown

overnight at 37 C with shaking in Terrific Broth (2% tryptone, 1% yeast extract, 90mM phosphate)

with 100 ug/mL ampicillin to stationary phase. Starter cultures were used to begin 1L Terrific Broth

cultures to be grown at 37C. When cultures reached OD600 of approximately 0.6 OD, they were

induced with 1 mM IPTG overnight at 30 C. Bacteria was pelleted at 4,000 xg for 10 minutes and

resuspended in 40 mLs of lysis buffer (200 mM HEPES, 0.65 mM EDTA, 0.5 M sucrose, at cold

pH 8), then incubated at 4C for 1-2 hrs. Add 40 mLs of ice cold water and incubate for 2 hrs,

rotating, to lyse periplasm by osmotic shock. Periplasmic fraction was isolated by centrifuging

lysate at 8,000 xg for 15 minutes, twice. VHH E11 was purified with Ni-NTA chromatography.

Purified E11 was then buffer exchanged and concentrated with 3kDa cutoff centrifuge filters

(Millipore), then aliquoted and frozen for future use.

## Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

ELISA experiments were performed as described previously (Weinstein et al., 2022).

MaxiSorp plates (Invitrogen 442404) were coated with ESAT-6 at 5 µg/mL in PBS overnight at

4°C. Plates were blocked in 2% BSA, 2% Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS

(blocking buffer) for 30 minutes at RT. Dilutions of E11rv or isotype control VHH 52 were made in

blocking buffer and added to plates for 1 hour at RT with shaking. Plates were washed with PBST

3 times for 5 minutes each and biotinylated anti-VHH antibody (Jackson Immuno 128-065-232)

at 1:10,000 in blocking buffer was added for 1 hour at RT with shaking. Plates were washed again

and incubated with 1:10,000 streptavidin-HRP (Jackson Immuno 016-030-084) in blocking buffer

for 1 hour at RT. Plates were washed again and incubated with 50 µL of ODP (ThermoFisher

34006), prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, for 15 minutes before stopping

with 50 µL of 2N $H_2SO_4$. $A_{492}$ was measured using a CLARIOstar PLUS (BMG) plate reader. Raw

data was background subtracted with wells lacking nanobody and normalized by dividing by the

highest binding well, then fit to a 4PL sigmoid curve in Prism 10.0.0 (Graphpad) to determine the

$EC_{50}$.

## Hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX)

### HDX-MS sample preparation

HDX reactions comparing ESAT6 Apo to E11rv-bound were carried out in 30 µl reaction

volumes containing 15 pmol of ESAT6. A protein mastermix was created for both reaction

conditions (500 nM ESAT6, 1 µM E11rv or equivalent volume of E11rv buffer). The exchange

reactions were initiated by the addition of 25 µL of $D_2O$ buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM

NaCl) to 5 µL of protein mastermix (final $D_2O$ concentration of 78.6% [v/v]). Reactions proceeded

for 0.3s (3s on ice), 3s, 30s, 300s, and 3000s at 20°C before being quenched with ice cold acidic

quench buffer, resulting in a final concentration of 0.6M guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid post

quench. All conditions and timepoints were created and run in independent triplicate. Samples

were flash frozen immediately after quenching and stored at -80°C until injected onto the ultra-

performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system for proteolytic cleavage, peptide separation,

and injection onto a QTOF for mass analysis, described below.

### Protein digestion and MS/MS data collection

Protein samples were rapidly thawed and injected onto an integrated fluidics system

containing a HDx-3 PAL liquid handling robot and climate-controlled (2°C) chromatography

system (LEAP Technologies), a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system, as well as an Impact HD

QTOF Mass spectrometer (Bruker). The full details of the automated LC system are described in

(Stariha et al., 2021). The samples were run over one immobilized pepsin column (Waters;

Enzymate Protein Pepsin Column, 300Å, 5µm, 2.1 mm X 30 mm) at 200 µL/min for 3 minutes at

2°C. The resulting peptides were collected and desalted on a C18 trap column (Acquity UPLC

BEH C18 1.7mm column (2.1 x 5 mm); Waters 186003975). The trap was subsequently eluted in

line with an ACQUITY 1.7 μm particle, $100 \times 1$ mm$^2$ C18 UPLC column (Waters), using a gradient

of 3-35% B (Buffer A 0.1% formic acid; Buffer B 100% acetonitrile) over 11 minutes immediately

followed by a gradient of 35-80% over 5 minutes. Mass spectrometry experiments acquired over

a mass range from 150 to 2200 m/z using an electrospray ionization source operated at a

temperature of 200°C and a spray voltage of 4.5 kV.

## Peptide identification

Peptides were identified from a non-deuterated sample of ESAT6 using data-dependent

acquisition following tandem MS/MS experiments (0.5 s precursor scan from 150-2000 m/z;

twelve 0.25 s fragment scans from 150-2000 m/z). MS/MS datasets were analysed using PEAKS7

(PEAKS), and peptide identification was carried out by using a false discovery-based approach,

with a threshold set to 0.1% using a database of purified proteins and known contaminants. The

search parameters were set with a precursor tolerance of 20 ppm, fragment mass error 0.02 Da,

charge states from 1-8, leading to a selection criterion of peptides that had a -10logP score of

16.2.

## Mass Analysis of Peptide Centroids and Measurement of Deuterium Incorporation

HD-Examiner Software (Sierra Analytics) was used to automatically calculate the level of

deuterium incorporation into each peptide. All peptides were manually inspected for correct

charge state, correct retention time, appropriate selection of isotopic distribution, etc. Deuteration

levels were calculated using the centroid of the experimental isotope clusters. Results are

presented as relative levels of deuterium incorporation and the only control for back exchange

was the level of deuterium present in the buffer (78.6%). Differences in exchange in a peptide

were considered significant if they met all three of the following criteria: ≥4.5% change in

exchange, ≥0.45 Da difference in exchange, and a p value <0.01 using a two tailed student t-test.

Samples were only compared within a single experiment and were never compared to
experiments completed at a different time with a different final $D_2O$ level. The data analysis
statistics for all HDX-MS experiments are in **Supplemental Table 1** according to the guidelines
of (Masson et al., 2019). The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the
ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository (Perez-Riverol et al., 2022).

## Imaging-based Mtb viability assay

Live/Dead H37rv Mtb constitutively express mCherry and have Tet[ON] inducible GFP
expression. THP-1 cells were seeded at 40,000 cells/well in glass bottom, black-walled 96 well
tissue culture plates and treated with 100 μM Phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA) for 24 hours
prior to infection. Endotoxin free E11rv and unrelated isotype nanobodies were prepared to 1
mg/mL and sterile filtered prior to use. THP-1 cells were infected at an MOI of 1 with Live/Dead
Mtb was pre-incubated for 30 minutes with 100 μg/mL of nanobody. Infected plates were
incubated for 3 days in tissue culture conditions. Doxycyline was added to a final concentration
of 1 μg/mL and incubated for 24 hours. Plates were fixed with 5% formaldehyde in PBS for 1 hour
at RT in accordance with OHSU biosafety procedures before removing plates from the BSL3.
Plates were then washed with PBS and stained with Phalloidin-AF405 at 1:40 from 6.6 μM stock
for 1 hour. Wells were imaged with a Keyence (BZX-710) using a 20× S Plan Fluor ELWD lens.
49 images were captured for each well using the same exposure settings for each experiment.
Images were analyzed with CellProfiler 4.2.5 (Stirling et al., 2021). Images with obvious defects
such as large dust particles were excluded from the analysis. Mean GFP / Mean RFP was
calculated for each identified TB particle and the average GFP/RFP value was tabulated for each
image. Images were plotted as individual points in Prism (Graphpad) as described in the statistics
section.

## Continuous luminescence viability assay

THP-1 cells were transduced with lentivirus to express nanobody-GFP fusion constructs for E11rv and VHH 52 under a Tet$^{ON}$ promoter (pInducer20 plasmid). The lentiviruses were generated as described previously (Niekamp et al., 2021). THP-1 cells were transduced and selected with 600 µg/mL of G418 for 3 weeks, then maintained with 300 µg/mL G418 in every other passage. For each experiment opaque white 96-well plates were seeded with 30,000 cells/well along with a matched clear plate, both including 100 nM PMA. Cells were incubated with PMA for 24 hours before inducing with 1 µg/mL doxycycline for another 24 hours. Cell health and nanobody expression was verified by microscopy of the clear plate. The opaque plate was infected with bioluminescent pLux Mtb, which expresses the full luxABCDE cassette, at an MOI of 1. The plate was sealed with a Beathe-Easy plate seal (Research Products International 248738) and put into a climate-controlled CLARIOstart plate reader for continuous luminescence reading for 120 hours.

## Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed in Prism 10.0.0 (Graphpad). In Figure 2B, two-tailed one sample t-tests were performed with a significance cutoff of 0.05 against a hypothetical value of 0. In figure 7B, a two-tailed unpaired t test was performed with a significance cutoff of 0.05. For all plots, * = 0.05, ** = 0.01, *** = 0.001, **** = 0.0001.

Figures

Figure 1: Expression of recombinant ESAT-6 and CFP-10. (A) amino acid sequences derived

from plasmid sequencing of pMRLB.7 (ESAT-6) and pMRLB.46 (CFP-10) plasmids available from

BEI Resources. The yellow highlights indicate the expected FALE-Histidine tag amino acid

sequence while the blue highlights indicate unexpected additional amino acids. (B) SDS-PAGE

gel of purified ESAT-6 and CFP-10 with a paired anti-His$_6$ western blot. (C) Size exclusion

chromatography traces showing the retention volumes of purified ESAT-6, CFP-10 and an

equimolar mixture of both (Dimer).

Figure 2: functional testing of ESAT-6 and CFP-10. (A) Native-PAGE analysis of ESAT-6 and

CFP-10 showing 1:1 complex formation at neutral pH. The displayed gel is representative of 3

replicates. (B) Contact-dependent red blood cell (RBC) hemolysis by whole bacteria, either

*Mycobacterium marinum* (Mm), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), or Mtb with the region of

difference 1 deletion, incapable of producing ESAT-6 or CFP-10 (MtbΔRD1). Data in B represents

6 replicates. Statistical significance was determined using a one sample t test against a theoretical

mean of 0. * = 0.05, ** = 0.01, *** = 0.001, **** = 0.0001.

**A**

Light interference

Biosensor

Streptavidin

Attached Biotin-CFP-10

Soluble ESAT-6

**B**

Association    Dissociation

Attached / Soluble / pH

— CFP-10 / ESAT-6 / 7.5

— ESAT-6 / ESAT-6 / 7.5

— CFP-10 / CFP-10 / 7.5

**C** ESAT-6→ESAT-6 pH 7.5

**D** CFP-10→ESAT-6 pH 7.5

**E** ESAT-6→ESAT-6 pH 4.5

**F** CFP-10→ESAT-6 pH 4.5

**G**

Apparent binding constants

| Attached | Soluble | pH | $K_D$ (nM) | $k_{ON}$ ($M^{-1}s^{-1}$) | $k_{OFF}$ ($s^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CFP-10 | ESAT-6 | 7.5 | 0.22 | $2.8 \times 10^6$ | $6.2 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| ESAT-6 | ESAT-6 | 7.5 | 1.5 | $5.8 \times 10^6$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| CFP-10 | ESAT-6 | 4.5 | 0.0004 | $8.0 \times 10^5$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| ESAT-6 | ESAT-6 | 4.5 | 3 | $1.1 \times 10^6$ | $3.3 \times 10^{-3}$ |

**H** ESAT-6→ESAT-6

● pH 4.5   ● pH 5.0   ● pH 5.5   ● pH 6.0

Figure 3: Binding kinetics of ESAT-6 and CFP-10 under neutral and acidic conditions. (A)

Biolayer interferometry (BLI) experimental design. Biotinylated ESAT-6 or CFP-10 are attached

to streptavidin coated biosensors, then dipped into solutions of free ESAT-6 or CFP-10. (B) BLI

experiment depicting ESAT-6 and CFP-10 association, ESAT-6 self-association, and CFP-10 self-

association at pH 7.5 with 50 µM. BLI experiment showing (C) ESAT-6 self-association at pH 7.5,

(D) CFP-10/ESAT-6 association at pH 7.5, (E) ESAT-6 self-association at pH 4.5, and (F) CFP-

10/ESAT-6 association at pH 4.5 Proteins in (C-F) were tested at 1, 3.16, 10, 31.6, and 100 µM.

(G) Table of apparent binding constants calculated with a 1:1 model for each indicated condition.

(H) BLI experiment testing ESAT-6 self-association at pH 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, and 6.0 with 100 µM

protein.

## C

**ESAT-6-Lysine-Biotin (~1 biotin incorporated per protein)**
MTEQQWNFAGIEAAASAIQGNVTSIHSLLDEGK QSLTK LAAAWGGSGSEAYQGVQQK WDA
TATELNNALQNLARTISEAGQAMASTEGNVTGMFAELIK LIDTVDLEHHHHHH

**ESAT-6-LPETG (before sortase reaction)**
MTEQQWNFAGIEAAASAIQGNVTSIHSLLDEGKQSLTKLAAAWGGSGSEAYQGVQQKWDA
TATELNNALQNLARTISEAGQAMASTEGNVTGMFAELPETG GIKLIDTVDLEHHHHHH

## F

### Apparent binding constants

| Attached | Soluble | pH | $K_D$ (nM) | $k_{ON}$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$) | $k_{OFF}$ (s$^{-1}$) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ESAT-6-LPETG-Biotin | ESAT-6 | 7.5 | 12 | $1.3 \times 10^6$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| ESAT-6-Lysine-Biotin | ESAT-6 | 7.5 | 19 | $1.6 \times 10^6$ | $3.1 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| ESAT-6-LPETG-Biotin | ESAT-6 | 4.5 | 0.8 | $2.0 \times 10^5$ | $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| ESAT-6-Lysine-Biotin | ESAT-6 | 4.5 | 2.3 | $2.9 \times 10^5$ | $6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Figure 4: Extensive self-association of ESAT-6. (A) BLI long-association experiment showing

50 µM ESAT-6 at pH 4.5 or 7.5 attaching to biosensors coated with either ESAT-6 or CFP-10. (B)

Turbidity assay showing 50 µM ESAT-6 or 50 µM CFP-10 at pH 4.5 or 7.5 over time. Absorbance

at 350nm read every 2 minutes. (C) Lysine-labeled Biotinylated ESAT-6 has an average of 1 biotin

per protein attached to the N-terminus or one of the orange highlighted lysine residues. The ESAT-

6-LPETG construct amino acid sequence is shown which includes and inserted LPETG motif, in

orange. Reaction with biotinylated poly-glycine via sortase-mediated transpeptidation results in

the teal highlighted residues being removed and replaced with a biotin molecule. BLI experiments

at (D) pH 7.5 and (E) pH 4.5 showing unmodified soluble ESAT-6 interacting with streptavidin

biosensor tips loaded with ESAT-6-Lysine-Biotin (red) or ESAT-6-LPETG-Biotin (blue). Curves

were normalized by dividing response values by the amount of protein added during the loading

step. (F) Summary of apparent kinetic binding constants in (D-E).

Figure 5: Stoichiometry of ESAT-6 self-interaction. (A) Fluorescence microscopy showing

fluorescently labeled ESAT-6 complexes under different conditions: pH 4.5, pH 7.5, and pH 4.5 +

6M guanidine. The images shown are representative of 3 replicates. Size exclusion

chromatography followed by multi-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) traces showing UV

absorbance, refractive index (dRI), and MALS data along with calculated molar mass over the

peak at pH 7.5 (B), and pH 4.5 (C). Data in (B-C) is representative of 3 replicates. (D-F) Modeling

of ESAT-6 in monomeric (D), homodimeric (E), and homotetrameric form (F). Hydrophobic

residues are in yellow, polar residues are in blue, basic residues are in cyan, and acidic residues

are in red. Key side chain residues are shown as spheres. (G) Root mean square fluctuation

(RMSF) values are shown per residue for ESAT-6 monomer, dimer, and trimer at pH 7.5 and 4.5.

Figure 6: An ESAT-6-binding alpaca nanobody. (A) ELISA experiment on ESAT-6-coated

plates showing nanobody E11rv and an irrelevant isotype control. E11rv displays an EC50 of 17.5

(95% CI 16.0-19.4) μg/mL. (B) BLI experiments with E11rv-coated biosensors tested against

pMRLB.7 ESAT-6 (blue) or ESAT-6-LPETG (red) at 10, 3.3, 1, and 0.3 μM. (C) Summary of kinetic

binding constants from (B). (D) schematic diagram of hydrogen-deuterium exchange (HDX)

experiment showing apo ESAT-6 or E11rv-bound ESAT-6 incubated in D20, then subjected to

digestion and mass spectrometry to measure the levels of deuterium incorporation at different

times for different peptides, then mapping this to the protein sequence to identify areas with less

or greater deuterium exchange between the apo and bound conditions. (E) HDX data for ESAT-6

and E11rv showing reduced deuterium uptake around residue 50 and increased uptake around

residue 75. (F) HDX shifts mapped to the ESAT-6 structure (PDB: 3FAV).

Figure 7: E11rv inhibits Mtb growth in cells. (A) representative fluorescence microscopy images for E11rv and isotype treated cultures. Results include data from 3 replicates. (B) Cellular assay testing the effect of infecting THP-1 cells with Live/Dead Mtb in the presence of E11rv for 3 days, treated with doxycycline for 24 hours to induce GFP expression by remaining metabolically active Mtb. The GFP/RFP ratio was calculated for each Mtb spot identified by fluorescence microscopy. Statistical significance was determined with a two-tailed unpaired t test. * = 0.05, ** = 0.01, *** = 0.001, **** = 0.0001. (C) Luminescence growth assay of stably transfected THP-1 cells expressing E11rv or isotype nanobody in their cytoplasm. Cells were infected with

762    luminescent Mtb and monitored by luminescence plate reader for 120 hours. Results are

763    representative of 6 replicates.

## A

pMRLB.7 (ESAT-6)

```
               10        20        30        40        50        60
   1 CNNNNNNNCNNNNNNANANTTTGTTTACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACATATGACAGAGCAGC
  61 AGTGGAATTTCGCGGGTATCGAGGCCGCGGCAAGCGCAATCCAGGGAAATGTCACGTCCA
 121 TTCATTCCCTCCTTGACGAGGGGAAGCAGTCCCTGACCAAGCTCGCAGCGGCCTGGGGCG
 181 GTAGCGGTTCGGAGGCGTACCAGGGTGTCCAGCAAAAATGGGACGCCACGGCTACCGAGC
 241 TGAACAACGCGCTGCAGAACCTGGCGCGGACGATCAGCGAAGCCGGTCAGGCAATGGCTT
 301 CGACCGAAGGCAACGTCACTGGGATGTTCGCAGAGCTCATCAAGCTTATCGATACCGTCG
 361 ACCTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGAGATCCGGCTGCTAACAAAGCCCGAAAGGAAG
 421 CTGAGTTGGCTGCTGCCACCGCTGAGCAATAACTAGCATAACCCCCTTGGGGCCTCTAAAC
 481 GGGTCTTGAGGGGTTTTTTGCTGAAAGGAGGAACTATATCCGGATTGGCGAATGGGACGC
 541 GCCCTGTAGCGGCGCATTAAGCGCGGCGGGTGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCGTGACCGCTAC
 601 ACTTGCCAGCGCCCTAGCGCCCGCTCCTTTCGCTTTCTTCCCTTCCTTTCTCGCCACGTT
 661 CGCCGGCTTTCCCCGTCAAGCTCTAAATCGGGGGCTCCCTTTAGGGTTCCGATTTAGTGC
 721 TTTACGGCACCTCGACCCCAAAAAACTTGATTANGGTGATGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATC
 781 GCCCTGATAGACGGTTTTTCGCCCTTTGACGTTGGAGTCCACGTTCTTTAATAGTGGACT
 841 CTTGTTCCAAACTGGACAACACTCAACCCTATCTCGGTCTATTCTTTTGATTTATNANG
 901 NTTTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTGGNTAAAAATGAGCTGATTTAACAAAATTTAACGCGAA
 961 TTTANNNAATANTAACGCTTACATTTANNNGCACTTTTCGGGGAAATGTGCGNGACCCTN
1021 NTTGTTATTTTTTCNAANACNTCANTATGNANCNCTCATGANANATANCNTGANAANGNTC
1081 ANAATNTNAAANNNNNNNNATNANNATCANATTTCNNNNCNCCTATNCCNTTTNNNNGNNT
1141 TTNNNTNCNNNTTTNNNN
```

## B

pMRLB.46 (CFP-10)

```
               10        20        30        40        50        60
   1 NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNTTTTGTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACATATGGC
  61 AGAGATGAAGACCGATGCCGCTACCCTCGCGCAGGAGGCAGGTAATTTCGAGCGGATCTC
 121 CGGCGACCTGAAAAACCCAGATCGACCAGGTGGAGTCGACGGCAGGTTCGTTGCAGGGCCA
 181 GTGGCGCGGCGCGGCGGGGACGGCCGCCCAGGCCGCGGTGGTGCGCTTCCAAGAAGCAGC
 241 CAATAAGCAGAAGCAGGAACTCGACGAGATCTCGACGAATATTCGTCAGGCCGGCGTCCA
 301 ATACTCGAGGGCCGACGAGGAGCAGCAGCAGGCGCTGTCCTCGCAAATGGGCTTCAAGCT
 361 TGCGGCCGCACTCGAGCACCACCACCACCACCACTGAGATCCGGCTGCTAACAAAGCCCG
 421 AAAGGAAGCTGAGTTGGCTGCTGCCACCGCTGAGCAATAACTAGCATAACCCCCTTGGGGC
 481 CTCTAAACGGGTCTTGAGGGGTTTTTTGCTGAAAGGAGGAACTATATCCGGATTGGCGAA
 541 TGGGACGCGCCCTGTAGCGGCGCATTAAGCGCGGCGGGTGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCGTG
 601 ACCGCTACACTTGCCAGCGCCCTAGCGCCCGCTCCTTTCGCTTTCTTCCCTTCCTTTCTC
 661 GCCACGTTCGCCGGCTTTCCCCGTCAAGCTCTAAATCGGGGGCTCCCTTTAGGGTTCCGA
 721 TTTAGTGCTTTACGGCACCTCGACCCCAAAAAACTTGATTAGGGTGATGGTTCACGTAGT
 781 GGGNCATCGCCCTGATAGACGGNTTTTCGCCCTTTGACGTTGGAGTCCACGTTCTTTAAT
 841 AGTGGACTCTTGTTCCAANCTGGACAACACTCAACCCTATCTCGGTCTATTCTTTTGAT
 901 TTATNGGATTTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTGGTTAAAAAATGAGCTGANTTAACNAAAAT
 961 TTAACGCGAATTTTNACNAAATATTAACGCTTNNAANTTANNNGGCACTTTTCGGGGAAN
1021 NGNNNNCGGAACCCCTATTTGGTNNTTTTTTCTAAATACATNCAANNNGNANCCGCTCNT
1081 NANANATAACCNNGANNNNGCTTCANAANNTTGAAAAGGAANANNNNNAGTATTCNANAN
1141 NTCNNGNNNCCCNNNNNNNN
```

766     Supplemental Figure S1: Sequencing of. (A) Sanger sequencing of pMRLB.7 with the ESAT-

767     6 open reading frame (ORF) highlighted grey. (B) Sanger sequencing of pMRLB.46 with the CFP-

768     10 ORF highlighted grey.

**A**

ESAT-6

**B**

CFP-10

**C**

|  | Computed mass (Da) | Measured mass (Da) | Fractional abundance (%) |
|---|---|---|---|
| ESAT-6 | 12109.2 | 12111.2 | 62 |
| ESAT-6ΔMet1 | 11978.0 | 11979.9 | 38 |
| CFP-10 | 12313.4 | ND | 0 |
| CFP-10ΔMet1 | 12182.2 | 12184.0 | 100 |

Supplemental Figure S2: Whole protein mass spectrometry (MS) of recombinant ESAT-6

and CFP-10. (A) Deconvoluted mass spectrum of recombinant ESAT-6 MS run. (B)

Deconvoluted mass spectrum of recombinant CFP-10 MS run. (C) Computed mass of each

protein compared with the measured masses. Fractional abundance combined the main peak

with the harmonic artifact at twice the mass and gives an approximate relative proportion of each

molecule in the sample. ESAT-6 is approximately 38% demethionylated while CFP-10 is fully

demethionylated.

Supplemental Figure S3: Hemolysis by recombinant ESAT-6. (A) Sheep red blood cell

hemolysis assay carried out with purified ESAT-6 in citrate buffer at various pH values. Percent

hemolysis was calculated by subtracting buffer background and dividing by Triton-X100 detergent

lysed samples.

## References Cited:

Aguilera, J., Karki, C.B., Li, L., Reyes, S.V., Estevao, I., Grajeda, B.I., Zhang, Q., Arico, C.D., Ouellet, H., Sun, J., 2020. Nα-Acetylation of the virulence factor EsxA is required for mycobacterial cytosolic translocation and virulence. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 5785–5794. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.012497

Alfadhli, A., Romanaggi, C., Barklis, R.L., Merutka, I., Bates, T.A., Tafesse, F.G., Barklis, E., 2021. Capsid-specific nanobody effects on HIV-1 assembly and infectivity. Virology 562, 19–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2021.07.001

Andersen, P., Andersen, A.B., Sørensen, A.L., Nagai, S., 1995. Recall of long-lived immunity to Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in mice. J Immunol 154, 3359–3372.

Andreu, N., Zelmer, A., Fletcher, T., Elkington, P.T., Ward, T.H., Ripoll, J., Parish, T., Bancroft, G.J., Schaible, U., Robertson, B.D., Wiles, S., 2010. Optimisation of Bioluminescent Reporters for Use with Mycobacteria. PLoS One 5, e10777. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010777

Augenstreich, J., Arbues, A., Simeone, R., Haanappel, E., Wegener, A., Sayes, F., Chevalier, F.L., Chalut, C., Malaga, W., Guilhot, C., Brosch, R., Astarie-Dequeker, C., 2017. ESX-1 and phthiocerol dimycocerosates of Mycobacterium tuberculosis act in concert to cause phagosomal rupture and host cell apoptosis. Cellular Microbiology 19, e12726. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12726

Augenstreich, J., Haanappel, E., Sayes, F., Simeone, R., Guillet, V., Mazeres, S., Chalut, C., Mourey, L., Brosch, R., Guilhot, C., Astarie-Dequeker, C., 2020. Phthiocerol Dimycocerosates From Mycobacterium tuberculosis Increase the Membrane Activity of Bacterial Effectors and Host Receptors. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 10.

Bachran, C., Schröder, M., Conrad, L., Cragnolini, J.J., Tafesse, F.G., Helming, L., Ploegh, H.L., Swee, L.K., 2017. The activity of myeloid cell-specific VHH immunotoxins is target-, epitope-, subset- and organ dependent. Sci Rep 7, 17916. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17948-0

Behura, A., Mishra, Abtar, Chugh, S., Mawatwal, S., Kumar, A., Manna, D., Mishra, Amit, Singh, R., Dhiman, R., 2019. ESAT-6 modulates Calcimycin-induced autophagy through microRNA-30a in mycobacteria infected macrophages. Journal of Infection 79, 139–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2019.06.001

Bosserman, R.E., Nicholson, K.R., Champion, M.M., Champion, P.A., 2019. A New ESX-1 Substrate in Mycobacterium marinum That Is Required for Hemolysis but Not Host Cell Lysis. J Bacteriol 201. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00760-18

Canton, J., Khezri, R., Glogauer, M., Grinstein, S., 2014. Contrasting phagosome pH regulation and maturation in human M1 and M2 macrophages. Mol Biol Cell 25, 3330–3341. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E14-05-0967

Carlsson, F., Joshi, S.A., Rangell, L., Brown, E.J., 2009. Polar Localization of Virulence-Related Esx-1 Secretion in Mycobacteria. PLOS Pathogens 5, e1000285. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000285

Chen, J.M., Boy-Röttger, S., Dhar, N., Sweeney, N., Buxton, R.S., Pojer, F., Rosenkrands, I., Cole, S.T., 2012. EspD is critical for the virulence-mediating ESX-1 secretion system in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Bacteriol 194, 884–893. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.06417-11

Chen, Y., Xiao, J., Li, Y., Xiao, Y., Xiong, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, S., Ji, P., Zhao, G., Shen, H., Lu, S., Fan, X., Wang, Y., 2019. Mycobacterial Lipoprotein Z Triggers Efficient Innate and Adaptive Immunity for Protection Against Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection. Frontiers in Immunology 9.

Choi, H.-H., Shin, D.-M., Kang, G., Kim, K.-H., Park, J.B., Hur, G.M., Lee, H.-M., Lim, Y.-J., Park,
J.-K., Jo, E.-K., Song, C.-H., 2010. Endoplasmic reticulum stress response is involved in
Mycobacterium tuberculosis protein ESAT-6-mediated apoptosis. FEBS Letters 584,
2445–2454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2010.04.050
Clemmensen, H.S., Knudsen, N.P.H., Rasmussen, E.M., Winkler, J., Rosenkrands, I., Ahmad, A.,
Lillebaek, T., Sherman, D.R., Andersen, P.L., Aagaard, C., 2017. An attenuated
Mycobacterium tuberculosis clinical strain with a defect in ESX-1 secretion induces
minimal host immune responses and pathology. Scientific Reports 7, 46666.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46666
Collars, O.A., Jones, B.S., Hu, D.D., Weaver, S.D., Champion, M.M., Champion, P.A., 2023. An
N-acetyltransferase required for EsxA N-terminal protein acetylation and virulence in
Mycobacterium marinum. bioRxiv 2023.03.14.532585.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.14.532585
Conrad, W.H., Osman, M.M., Shanahan, J.K., Chu, F., Takaki, K.K., Cameron, J., Hopkinson-
Woolley, D., Brosch, R., Ramakrishnan, L., 2017. Mycobacterial ESX-1 secretion system
mediates host cell lysis through bacterium contact-dependent gross membrane
disruptions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 114, 1371–1376.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620133114
Cronin, R.M., Ferrell, M.J., Cahir, C.W., Champion, M.M., Champion, P.A., 2022. Proteo-genetic
analysis reveals clear hierarchy of ESX-1 secretion in Mycobacterium marinum. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 119, e2123100119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2123100119
de Jonge, M.I., Pehau-Arnaudet, G., Fretz, M.M., Romain, F., Bottai, D., Brodin, P., Honoré, N.,
Marchal, G., Jiskoot, W., England, P., Cole, S.T., Brosch, R., 2007. ESAT-6 from
Mycobacterium tuberculosis dissociates from its putative chaperone CFP-10 under acidic
conditions and exhibits membrane-lysing activity. J Bacteriol 189, 6028–6034.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00469-07
De Leon, J., Jiang, G., Ma, Y., Rubin, E., Fortune, S., Sun, J., 2012. Mycobacterium tuberculosis
ESAT-6 exhibits a unique membrane-interacting activity that is not found in its ortholog
from non-pathogenic Mycobacterium smegmatis. J Biol Chem 287, 44184–44191.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.420869
Dong, H., Jing, W., Runpeng, Z., Xuewei, X., Min, M., Ru, C., Yingru, X., Shengfa, N., Rongbo,
Z., 2016. ESAT6 inhibits autophagy flux and promotes BCG proliferation through MTOR.
Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 477, 195–201.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.06.042
Eitson, J.L., Medeiros, J.J., Hoover, A.R., Srivastava, S., Roybal, K.T., Aínsa, J.A., Hansen, E.J.,
Gumbo, T., van Oers, N.S.C., 2012. Mycobacterial Shuttle Vectors Designed for High-
Level Protein Expression in Infected Macrophages. Appl Environ Microbiol 78, 6829–
6837. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01674-12
Fan, Y., Tan, K., Chhor, G., Butler, E.K., Jedrzejczak, R.P., Missiakas, D., Joachimiak, A., 2015.
EsxB, a secreted protein from Bacillus anthracis forms two distinct helical bundles. Protein
Sci 24, 1389–1400. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2715
Frei, J.C., Lai, J.R., 2016. Protein and Antibody Engineering by Phage Display, in: Methods in
Enzymology. Elsevier, pp. 45–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2016.05.005
Gallegos, A.M., Pamer, E.G., Glickman, M.S., 2008. Delayed protection by ESAT-6–specific
effector CD4+ T cells after airborne M. tuberculosis infection. Journal of Experimental
Medicine 205, 2359–2368. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20080353
García-Nafría, J., Watson, J.F., Greger, I.H., 2016. IVA cloning: A single-tube universal cloning
system exploiting bacterial In Vivo Assembly. Sci Rep 6, 27459.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27459

Gey van Pittius, N.C., Gamieldien, J., Hide, W., Brown, G.D., Siezen, R.J., Beyers, A.D., 2001.
The ESAT-6 gene cluster of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and other high G+C Gram-
positive bacteria. Genome Biol 2, research0044.1-research0044.18.

Giglione, C., Boularot, A., Meinnel, T., 2004. Protein N-terminal methionine excision. Cell Mol Life
Sci 61, 1455–1474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-004-3466-8

Guimaraes, C.P., Witte, M.D., Theile, C.S., Bozkurt, G., Kundrat, L., Blom, A.E.M., Ploegh, H.L.,
2013. Site-specific C-terminal and internal loop labeling of proteins using sortase-
mediated reactions. Nat Protoc 8, 1787–1799. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.101

Harris, N.J., Jenkins, M.L., Nam, S.-E., Rathinaswamy, M.K., Parson, M.A.H., Ranga-Prasad, H.,
Dalwadi, U., Moeller, B.E., Sheeky, E., Hansen, S.D., Yip, C.K., Burke, J.E., 2023.
Allosteric activation or inhibition of PI3Kγ mediated through conformational changes in the
p110γ helical domain. Elife 12, RP88058. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.88058

Harrison, D.E., Astle, C.M., Niazi, M.K.K., Major, S., Beamer, G.L., 2014. Genetically diverse mice
are novel and valuable models of age-associated susceptibility to Mycobacterium
tuberculosis. Immunity & Ageing 11, 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12979-014-0024-6

Hirel, P.H., Schmitter, M.J., Dessen, P., Fayat, G., Blanquet, S., 1989. Extent of N-terminal
methionine excision from Escherichia coli proteins is governed by the side-chain length of
the penultimate amino acid. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 86, 8247–
8251. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.86.21.8247

Hoogenboom, H.R., Griffiths, A.D., Johnson, K.S., Chiswell, D.J., Hudson, P., Winter, G., 1991.
Multi-subunit proteins on the surface of filamentous phage: methodologies for displaying
antibody (Fab) heavy and light chains. Nucleic Acids Res 19, 4133–4137.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/19.15.4133

Houben, D., Demangel, C., van Ingen, J., Perez, J., Baldeon, L., Abdallah, A.M., Caleechurn, L.,
Bottai, D., van Zon, M., de Punder, K., van der Laan, T., Kant, A., Bossers-de Vries, R.,
Willemsen, P., Bitter, W., van Soolingen, D., Brosch, R., van der Wel, N., Peters, P.J.,
2012. ESX-1-mediated translocation to the cytosol controls virulence of mycobacteria. Cell
Microbiol 14, 1287–98. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01799.x

Houben, R.M.G.J., Dodd, P.J., 2016. The Global Burden of Latent Tuberculosis Infection: A Re-
estimation Using Mathematical Modelling. PLOS Medicine 13, e1002152.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002152

Jang, A.R., Choi, J.H., Shin, S.J., Park, J.H., 2018. Mycobacterium tuberculosis ESAT6 induces
IFN-beta gene expression in Macrophages via TLRs-mediated signaling. Cytokine 104,
104–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2017.10.006

Karki, C., Xian, Y., Xie, Y., Sun, S., Lopez-Hernandez, A.E., Juarez, B., Wang, J., Sun, J., Li, L.,
2020. A computational model of ESAT-6 complex in membrane. J Theor Comput Chem
19, 2040002. https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219633620400027

Kinhikar, A.G., Verma, I., Chandra, D., Singh, K.K., Weldingh, K., Andersen, P., Hsu, T., Jacobs,
W.R., Laal, S., 2010. Potential role for ESAT6 in dissemination of M. tuberculosis via
human lung epithelial cells. Mol Microbiol 75, 92–106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2009.06959.x

Koo, I.C., Wang, C., Raghavan, S., Morisaki, J.H., Cox, J.S., Brown, E.J., 2008. ESX-1-dependent
cytolysis in lysosome secretion and inflammasome activation during mycobacterial
infection. Cell Microbiol 10, 1866–1878. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01177.x

Koyuncu, D., Niazi, M.K.K., Tavolara, T., Abeijon, C., Ginese, M.L., Liao, Y., Mark, C., Specht, A.,
Gower, A.C., Restrepo, B.I., Gatti, D.M., Kramnik, I., Gurcan, M., Yener, B., Beamer, G.,
2021. CXCL1: A new diagnostic biomarker for human tuberculosis discovered using
Diversity Outbred mice. PLOS Pathogens 17, e1009773.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009773

Kumar, P., Agarwal, R., Siddiqui, I., Vora, H., Das, G., Sharma, P., 2012. ESAT6 differentially
inhibits IFN-γ-inducible class II transactivator isoforms in both a TLR2-dependent and -

independent manner. Immunology & Cell Biology 90, 411–420.
https://doi.org/10.1038/icb.2011.54
Leddy, O., White, F.M., Bryson, B.D., 2023. Immunopeptidomics reveals determinants of
Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigen presentation on MHC class I. eLife 12, e84070.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.84070
Lienard, J., Nobs, E., Lovins, V., Movert, E., Valfridsson, C., Carlsson, F., 2020. The
Mycobacterium marinum ESX-1 system mediates phagosomal permeabilization and type
I interferon production via separable mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117, 1160–
1166. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1911646117
Lightbody, K.L., Ilghari, D., Waters, L.C., Carey, G., Bailey, M.A., Williamson, R.A., Renshaw, P.S.,
Carr, M.D., 2008. Molecular features governing the stability and specificity of functional
complex formation by Mycobacterium tuberculosis CFP-10/ESAT-6 family proteins. J Biol
Chem 283, 17681–17690. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M800123200
Lim, Y.-J., Yi, M.-H., Choi, J.-A., Lee, J., Han, J.-Y., Jo, S.-H., Oh, S.-M., Cho, H.J., Kim, D.W.,
Kang, M.-W., Song, C.-H., 2016. Roles of endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated
apoptosis in M1-polarized macrophages during mycobacterial infections. Sci Rep 6,
37211. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep37211
López-Jiménez, A.T., Cardenal-Muñoz, E., Leuba, F., Gerstenmaier, L., Barisch, C., Hagedorn,
M., King, J.S., Soldati, T., 2018. The ESCRT and autophagy machineries cooperate to
repair ESX-1-dependent damage at the Mycobacterium-containing vacuole but have
opposite impact on containing the infection. PLOS Pathogens 14, e1007501.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007501
Maass, D.R., Sepulveda, J., Pernthaner, A., Shoemaker, C.B., 2007. Alpaca (Lama pacos) as a
convenient source of recombinant camelid heavy chain antibodies (VHHs). J Immunol
Methods 324, 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2007.04.008
Martin, C.J., Booty, M.G., Rosebrock, T.R., Nunes-Alves, C., Desjardins, D.M., Keren, I., Fortune,
S.M., Remold, H.G., Behar, S.M., 2012. Efferocytosis is an innate antibacterial
mechanism. Cell Host Microbe 12, 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.06.010
Masson, G.R., Burke, J.E., Ahn, N.G., Anand, G.S., Borchers, C., Brier, S., Bou-Assaf, G.M.,
Engen, J.R., Englander, S.W., Faber, J., Garlish, R., Griffin, P.R., Gross, M.L., Guttman,
M., Hamuro, Y., Heck, A.J.R., Houde, D., Iacob, R.E., Jørgensen, T.J.D., Kaltashov, I.A.,
Klinman, J.P., Konermann, L., Man, P., Mayne, L., Pascal, B.D., Reichmann, D., Skehel,
M., Snijder, J., Strutzenberg, T.S., Underbakke, E.S., Wagner, C., Wales, T.E., Walters,
B.T., Weis, D.D., Wilson, D.J., Wintrode, P.L., Zhang, Z., Zheng, J., Schriemer, D.C., Rand,
K.D., 2019. Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen
deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. Nat Methods 16, 595–
602. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0459-y
Mba Medie, F., Champion, M.M., Williams, E.A., Champion, P.A.D., 2014. Homeostasis of N-α-
Terminal Acetylation of EsxA Correlates with Virulence in Mycobacterium marinum. Infect
Immun 82, 4572–4586. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.02153-14
McIlvaine, T.C., 1921. A BUFFER SOLUTION FOR COLORIMETRIC COMPARISON. Journal of
Biological Chemistry 49, 183–186. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)86000-8
Meerbrey, K.L., Hu, G., Kessler, J.D., Roarty, K., Li, M.Z., Fang, J.E., Herschkowitz, J.I., Burrows,
A.E., Ciccia, A., Sun, T., Schmitt, E.M., Bernardi, R.J., Fu, X., Bland, C.S., Cooper, T.A.,
Schiff, R., Rosen, J.M., Westbrook, T.F., Elledge, S.J., 2011. The pINDUCER lentiviral
toolkit for inducible RNA interference in vitro and in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108,
3665–3670. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019736108
Mukherjee, P., Dutta, M., Datta, P., Dasgupta, A., Pradhan, R., Pradhan, M., Kundu, M., Basu, J.,
Chakrabarti, P., 2007. The RD1-encoded antigen Rv3872 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
as a potential candidate for serodiagnosis of tuberculosis. Clinical Microbiology and
Infection 13, 146–152. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01660.x

Niazi, M.K.K., Dhulekar, N., Schmidt, D., Major, S., Cooper, R., Abeijon, C., Gatti, D.M., Kramnik, I., Yener, B., Gurcan, M., Beamer, G., 2015. Lung necrosis and neutrophils reflect common pathways of susceptibility to Mycobacterium tuberculosis in genetically diverse, immune-competent mice. Dis Model Mech 8, 1141–1153. https://doi.org/10.1242/dmm.020867

Niekamp, P., Guzman, G., Leier, H.C., Rashidfarrokhi, A., Richina, V., Pott, F., Barisch, C., Holthuis, J.C.M., Tafesse, F.G., 2021. Sphingomyelin Biosynthesis Is Essential for Phagocytic Signaling during Mycobacterium tuberculosis Host Cell Entry. mBio 12, e03141-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.03141-20

Okkels, L.M., Müller, E.-C., Schmid, M., Rosenkrands, I., Kaufmann, S.H.E., Andersen, P., Jungblut, P.R., 2004. CFP10 discriminates between nonacetylated and acetylated ESAT-6 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis by differential interaction. PROTEOMICS 4, 2954–2960. https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.200400906

Osman, M.M., Shanahan, J.K., Chu, F., Takaki, K.K., Pinckert, M.L., Pagán, A.J., Brosch, R., Conrad, W.H., Ramakrishnan, L., 2022. The C terminus of the mycobacterium ESX-1 secretion system substrate ESAT-6 is required for phagosomal membrane damage and virulence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119, e2122161119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122161119

Pathak, S.K., Basu, S., Basu, K.K., Banerjee, A., Pathak, S., Bhattacharyya, A., Kaisho, T., Kundu, M., Basu, J., 2007. Direct extracellular interaction between the early secreted antigen ESAT-6 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis and TLR2 inhibits TLR signaling in macrophages. Nat Immunol 8, 610–618. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1468

Peng, H., Wang, X., Barnes, P.F., Tang, H., Townsend, J.C., Samten, B., 2011. The Mycobacterium tuberculosis Early Secreted Antigenic Target of 6 kDa Inhibits T Cell Interferon-γ Production through the p38 Mitogen-activated Protein Kinase Pathway. J Biol Chem 286, 24508–24518. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.234062

Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., García-Seisdedos, D., Hewapathirana, S., Kamatchinathan, S., Kundu, D.J., Prakash, A., Frericks-Zipper, A., Eisenacher, M., Walzer, M., Wang, S., Brazma, A., Vizcaíno, J.A., 2022. The PRIDE database resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences. Nucleic Acids Res 50, D543–D552. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1038

Popp, M.W., Antos, J.M., Ploegh, H.L., 2009. Site-specific protein labeling via sortase-mediated transpeptidation. Curr Protoc Protein Sci Chapter 15, Unit 15 3. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140864.ps1503s56

Poulsen, C., Panjikar, S., Holton, S.J., Wilmanns, M., Song, Y.-H., 2014. WXG100 Protein Superfamily Consists of Three Subfamilies and Exhibits an α-Helical C-Terminal Conserved Residue Pattern. PLOS ONE 9, e89313. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089313

Rathinaswamy, M.K., Fleming, K.D., Dalwadi, U., Pardon, E., Harris, N.J., Yip, C.K., Steyaert, J., Burke, J.E., 2021. HDX-MS-optimized approach to characterize nanobodies as tools for biochemical and structural studies of class IB phosphoinositide 3-kinases. Structure 29, 1371-1381.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2021.07.002

Refai, A., Haoues, M., Othman, H., Barbouche, M.R., Moua, P., Bondon, A., Mouret, L., Srairi-Abid, N., Essafi, M., 2015. Two distinct conformational states of Mycobacterium tuberculosis virulent factor early secreted antigenic target 6 kDa are behind the discrepancy around its biological functions. The FEBS Journal 282, 4114–4129. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13408

Renshaw, P.S., Lightbody, K.L., Veverka, V., Muskett, F.W., Kelly, G., Frenkiel, T.A., Gordon, S.V., Hewinson, R.G., Burke, B., Norman, J., Williamson, R.A., Carr, M.D., 2005. Structure and function of the complex formed by the tuberculosis virulence factors CFP-10 and ESAT-6. The EMBO Journal 24, 2491–2498. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600732

Renshaw, P.S., Panagiotidou, P., Whelan, A., Gordon, S.V., Hewinson, R.G., Williamson, R.A., Carr, M.D., 2002. Conclusive Evidence That the Major T-cell Antigens of theMycobacterium tuberculosis Complex ESAT-6 and CFP-10 Form a Tight, 1:1 Complex and Characterization of the Structural Properties of ESAT-6, CFP-10, and the ESAT-6·CFP-10 Complex: IMPLICATIONS FOR PATHOGENESIS AND VIRULENCE *. Journal of Biological Chemistry 277, 21598–21603. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M201625200

Romagnoli, A., Etna, M.P., Giacomini, E., Pardini, M., Remoli, M.E., Corazzari, M., Falasca, L., Goletti, D., Gafa, V., Simeone, R., Delogu, G., Piacentini, M., Brosch, R., Fimia, G.M., Coccia, E.M., 2012. ESX-1 dependent impairment of autophagic flux by Mycobacterium tuberculosis in human dendritic cells. Autophagy 8, 1357–1370. https://doi.org/10.4161/auto.20881

Sanchez, K.G., Ferrell, M.J., Chirakos, A.E., Nicholson, K.R., Abramovitch, R.B., Champion, M.M., Champion, P.A., 2020. EspM Is a Conserved Transcription Factor That Regulates Gene Expression in Response to the ESX-1 System. mBio 11. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02807-19

Santucci, P., Aylan, B., Botella, L., Bernard, E.M., Bussi, C., Pellegrino, E., Athanasiadi, N., Gutierrez, M.G., 2022. Visualizing Pyrazinamide Action by Live Single-Cell Imaging of Phagosome Acidification and Mycobacterium tuberculosis pH Homeostasis. mBio 13, e00117-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00117-22

Schnettger, L., Rodgers, A., Repnik, U., Lai, R.P., Pei, G., Verdoes, M., Wilkinson, R.J., Young, D.B., Gutierrez, M.G., 2017. A Rab20-Dependent Membrane Trafficking Pathway Controls M. tuberculosis Replication by Regulating Phagosome Spaciousness and Integrity. Cell Host & Microbe 21, 619-628.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.04.004

Smith, J., Manoranjan, J., Pan, M., Bohsali, A., Xu, J., Liu, J., McDonald, K.L., Szyk, A., LaRonde-LeBlanc, N., Gao, L.-Y., 2008. Evidence for Pore Formation in Host Cell Membranes by ESX-1-Secreted ESAT-6 and Its Role in Mycobacterium marinum Escape from the Vacuole. Infect Immun 76, 5478–5487. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00614-08

Sørensen, A.L., Nagai, S., Houen, G., Andersen, P., Andersen, A.B., 1995. Purification and characterization of a low-molecular-mass T-cell antigen secreted by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Infect Immun 63, 1710–1717. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.63.5.1710-1717.1995

Sreejit, G., Ahmed, A., Parveen, N., Jha, V., Valluri, V.L., Ghosh, S., Mukhopadhyay, S., 2014. The ESAT-6 Protein of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Interacts with Beta-2-Microglobulin (β2M) Affecting Antigen Presentation Function of Macrophage. PLOS Pathogens 10, e1004446. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004446

Stariha, J.T.B., Hoffmann, R.M., Hamelin, D.J., Burke, J.E., 2021. Probing Protein-Membrane Interactions and Dynamics Using Hydrogen-Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS). Methods Mol Biol 2263, 465–485. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1197-5_22

Stavri, H., Bucurenci, N., Ulea, I., Costache, A., Popa, L., Popa, M.I., 2012. Use of recombinant purified protein derivative (PPD) antigens as specific skin test for tuberculosis. Indian Journal of Medical Research 136, 799.

Stirling, D.R., Swain-Bowden, M.J., Lucas, A.M., Carpenter, A.E., Cimini, B.A., Goodman, A., 2021. CellProfiler 4: improvements in speed, utility and usability. BMC Bioinformatics 22, 433. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-021-04344-9

Tak, U., Dokland, T., Niederweis, M., 2021. Pore-forming Esx proteins mediate toxin secretion by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Commun 12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20533-1

Vesosky, B., Rottinghaus, E.K., Stromberg, P., Turner, J., Beamer, G., 2010. CCL5 participates in early protection against Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 87, 1153–1165. https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.1109742

Weinstein, J.B., Bates, T.A., Leier, H.C., McBride, S.K., Barklis, E., Tafesse, F.G., 2022. A potent
alpaca-derived nanobody that neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants. iScience 25, 103960.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.103960
Woolhiser, L., Tamayo, M.H., Wang, B., Gruppo, V., Belisle, J.T., Lenaerts, A.J., Basaraba, R.J.,
Orme, I.M., 2007. In Vivo Adaptation of the Wayne Model of Latent Tuberculosis. Infection
and Immunity 75, 2621–2625. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00918-06
World Health Organization, 2022. Global tuberculosis report 2022. World Health Organization,
Geneva.
Xiao, Y., Sha, W., Tian, Z., Chen, Y., Ji, P., Sun, Q., Wang, H., Wang, S., Fang, Y., Wen, H.-L.,
Zhao, H., Lu, J., Xiao, H., Fan, X., Shen, H., Wang, Y., 2016. Adenylate kinase: a novel
antigen for immunodiagnosis and subunit vaccine against tuberculosis. J Mol Med 94,
823–834. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-016-1392-5
Yabaji, S.M., Dhamija, E., Mishra, A.K., Srivastava, K.K., 2020. ESAT-6 regulates autophagous
response through SOD-2 and as a result induces intracellular survival of Mycobacterium
bovis BCG. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics 1868, 140470.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2020.140470
Yang, C.-S., Lee, J.-S., Lee, H.-M., Sun Shim, T., Woong Son, J., Jung, S.-S., Kim, J.-S., Song,
C.-H., Kim, H.-J., Jo, E.-K., 2008. Differential cytokine levels and immunoreactivities
against Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens between tuberculous and malignant
effusions. Respiratory Medicine 102, 280–286.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2007.08.016
Yang, S., Li, F., Jia, S., Zhang, K., Jiang, W., Shang, Y., Chang, K., Deng, S., Chen, M., 2015.
Early secreted antigen ESAT-6 of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis promotes apoptosis of
macrophages via targeting the microRNA155-SOCS1 interaction. Cell. Physiol. Biochem.
35, 1276–1288. https://doi.org/10.1159/000373950
1113