Spider mites collectively avoid plants with cadmium irrespective of their

frequency or the presence of competitors

Diogo Prino Godinho*[+], Inês Fragata*, Maud Charlery de la Masselière & Sara
Magalhães

cE3c, Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental changes & CHANGE, - Global Change

and Sustainability Institute, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C2,

Campo Grande 1749- 016 Lisbon, Portugal.

+ Corresponding author: dagodinho@fc.ul.pt

*co-first authors

<u>**Abstract**</u>

Accumulation of heavy metals by plants can serve as a defence against herbivory. Herbivores, in

turn, may avoid feeding on contaminated tissues. Such avoidance, however, may hinge upon the

specific conditions faced by herbivores. Here, we tested whether the spider-mite *Tetranychus*

urticae avoids tomato plants contaminated with cadmium in presence of conspecifics or

heterospecifics and depending on the frequency of contaminated plants. We show that individual

spider mite females do not preferentially move to leaf tissues with or without cadmium, despite

clear costs on their performance. However, in a set-up where 200 mites were simultaneously given

the choice between four plants with or without cadmium, they collectively avoided plants with

cadmium, irrespective of the proportion of plants with cadmium. In addition, *T. urticae* did not

discriminate between plants infested with its competitor *T. evansi* and other uncontaminated plants

but they preferred plants with competitors when the other plants contained cadmium. Our results

show that aggregation may facilitate avoidance of contaminated plants. They also indicate that

cadmium accumulation in plants is a stronger selective pressure than interspecific competition with

T. evansi. Therefore, collective avoidance of metal-accumulating plants by herbivores is robust to

environmental conditions and may have important consequences for species distribution and

interactions in metal contaminated sites.

Keywords: metal hyperaccumulation; elemental defences; herbivore performance; host choice;
interspecific competition.

Introduction

Soils often contain heavy metals, either due to geochemical properties or to human activity (Alloway, 2013; Hou et al., 2017). Some plants, known as hyperaccumulators, can store metals translocated from the soil in their upper parts, up to levels that are toxic to most organisms (Baker, 1987). Such hyperaccumulation often results in a significant reduction in the performance of herbivores that feed on those plants (Boyd & Moar, 1999; Freeman et al., 2007; Kazemi-Dinan et al., 2014; Mohiley et al., 2020; Quinn et al., 2010). This observation is at the basis of the elemental defence hypothesis, which posits that plants use such hyperaccumulated metals as a defence against herbivores (Martens & Boyd, 1994).

Because metal accumulation is detrimental to most herbivores, one would expect the latter to evolve means to overcome this effect. Indeed, some herbivores cope with elemental defences by evolving tolerance mechanisms. Evidence for such adaptation is, however, still scarce (Freeman et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2020), and not universal (Godinho, Branquinho, et al., 2023). Alternatively (or in addition), a common strategy used by herbivores is the avoidance of plants that have accumulated metals (Kazemi-Dinan et al., 2014; Mogren and Trumble, 2010; Vickerman et al., 2003). For example, when given the choice between *Thlaspi caerulascens* plants with high or low zinc concentrations, the desert locust, *Schistocerca gregaria* preferred the latter, a preference pattern that was recapitulated on artificial food supplemented with zinc (Behmer et al., 2005). Another study showed that cadmium modifies the volatiles of *Populus yunnanensis*, and herbivores (the sawfly *Stauronematus compressicornis* and the leaf beetle *Plagiodera versicolora*) use these cues to avoid those plants (Lin et al., 2022).

Herbivores that have been exposed to contaminated food may use this information to ameliorate their choices. Indeed, grass miners (*Chromatomyia milii*) that have fed on grasses (*Holcus lanatus*) with cadmium avoid these plants more than naïve individuals (Pollard & Baker, 1997). However, feeding on metal-contaminated plants may also impair the behavioural decisions of herbivores (Mogren & Trumble, 2010). This, in turn, may affect their ability to avoid contaminated

food. Indeed, the ability of flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*) to avoid substrates with lead vanishes

when they develop on lead-contaminated food (Peterson et al., 2020). In line with this, another

discrimination between plants with or without metals may also be affected by their frequency in the

environment. However, to your knowledge, this has not been tested. Apart from affecting the choice

between contaminated and non-contaminated food, exposure to heavy metals may also affect other

relevant behavioural decisions in herbivores (Oliveira et al., 2022). For example, in presence of

competitors, pyramid ants that had ingested selenium had impaired foraging behaviour (De La Riva

& Trumble, 2016). Similarly, exposure to zinc compromised the foraging behaviour and predator

avoidance in damselfly larvae (Janssens et al., 2014). Because herbivores are often simultaneously

exposed to abiotic and biotic pressures, it is key to understand how their interactive effect on

herbivore decisions affect their distribution (Tran et al., 2019).

The presence of conspecifics may affect the distribution of herbivores by means of aggregative

behaviour (Hunter, 2000; McLellan & Montgomery, 2023). Herbivores may benefit from being with

conspecifics, for example to better overcome plant defences (Wertheim et al., 2005). Moreover,

behavioural decisions may be affected by the presence of others (Prokopy & Roitberg, 2001). For

example, fruit flies (*Bactrocera tryoni*) avoid low-quality food patches more efficiently when in

groups (Morimoto et al., 2018). Knowledge that metals affect collective behaviour has only been

gathered in zebrafish (Shelton et al., 2023). Whether organisms collectively avoid metal-

contaminated food is expected to hinge upon the relative role of acquisition of information and

behavioural impairment that such environments represent.

In this study, we test whether herbivorous spider mites avoid plants with cadmium and

whether such avoidance hinges on the presence of conspecifics or heterospecifics, or on the frequency

of hyperaccumulating hosts. Cadmium is a toxic, non-essential metal widespread in the environment

naturally and as an anthropogenic pollutant emerging from industrial and agricultural practices

(Suhani et al., 2021). The system composed of the spider mites *Tetranychus urticae* and *T. evansi*

feeding on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*), which hyperaccumulate cadmium in their tissues,

is well characterized. Previous work showed that high concentrations of cadmium accumulation

resulted in decreased performance of the two spider mite species (Godinho et al., 2018, 2022). *T.*

urticae relies on several environmental cues to avoid predators (Pallini et al., 1999), pathogens (Zélé

et al. 2019, but see Rodrigues et al. 2022), conspecific (Pallini et al., 1997) and heterospecific

competitors (Godinho, et al., 2020a). However, whether they avoid metal-accumulating tomato plants

and, if so, whether this hinges upon the environmental context, remains an open question.

Material and Methods

Plant and mite rearing conditions

Tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*, *var* MoneyMaker) were sown in a climatic room (25 ºC, 61% of

humidity, light:dark = 16:8), in pots with soil (pH 5.0-6.0; SIRO, Portugal) and watered twice a week

with 100 mL of tap water for the two first weeks. Subsequently, plants were transplanted to a mixture

of 1:4 vermiculite, gardening soil (SIRO, Portugal), where they grew for three more weeks and were

watered twice a week with 100 mL of either distilled water or a cadmium solution (3 mM or 2 mM

according to the experiment, see below). All plants were also watered once a week with tap water to

avoid the lack of nutrients. Just before the installation of the setup, each plant was watered with 70

mL of tap water. This concentration of cadmium added to the soil ensures that the amount

accumulated by tomato plants in their leaves is higher than the hyperaccumulating threshold

(Godinho et al., 2018) and falls within values encountered in natural populations of hyperaccumulating

plants (Meyer et al., 2015).

The populations of spider mites used in this study were created in 2018 by performing

controlled crosses between three populations of *T. urticae* and four populations of *T. evansi* collected

in the field of Portugal in 2017 from tomato plants (Godinho et al., 2020b). The resulting outbred

populations have been maintained in high numbers (> 1000 adult females) in cages (28 x 39 x 28 cm)

each containing two entire cadmium-free tomato plants, under controlled conditions (25 ºC ± 1, 68%

of humidity, light: dark = 16:8). For the experiment on individual choice and performance, populations

were maintained in 5 replicates during 14 discrete generations with 220 adult mites being transferred

every 14 days, as part of a larger experiment. To ensure that females used in the experiments were

approximately of the same age, adult females where isolated on separate tomato leaves in plastic

boxes (14 x 20 x 14 cm) and allowed to lay eggs for 48 hours. In all experiments, we used adult females

resulting from this cohort, 14 days after egg laying.

The animals used in this research are not vertebrates or invertebrates with ethical and care

limitations.

Experimental set-up for individual choice and performance

To test whether spider mites individually choose between leaf discs with or without cadmium, mated

females were placed at the centre of plastic strips (1x3 cm) connecting two leaf discs ($\emptyset$ 16 mm) placed

on soaked cotton wool, one made from a tomato plant supplied with cadmium (2 mM) and the other

from a plant without cadmium (Figure 1A). For each replicate, leaf discs were made from leaves of the

same age (either the 3rd or 4th counting from the cotyledons) and the age and position of the disc with

cadmium was randomized across trials. Females (N = 17 to 24 per replicate population; total N = 101)

were allowed to choose between leaves with or without cadmium for 24 hours, after which the

position of the female was registered. To measure the effects of each environment on spider mite

performance, females (N = 94 for plants with no cadmium and N= 97 for plants with cadmium) were

isolated on leaf discs ($\emptyset$ 18 mm) made from leaves 3 to 5 (from the cotyledons), from plants supplied

with 2 mM cadmium chloride or not. These leaf discs were kept on top of soaked cotton wool in petri

dishes. 48 hours later, females were sacrificed, and the number of eggs was counted. Assays were

divided in blocks consisting of four consecutive days.

Figure 1. Experimental set-ups to measure individual (A) and collective host choice (B) and performance (C). The white and black shapes represent plants without and with cadmium, respectively. A) Experimental set-up for individual host choice (left panel) and individual performance (right panel). B) Experimental set-up for collective host choice and performance and schematic representation of the 5 treatments. The leaf marked in red streaks (treatments 4 and 5) identifies the presence of competitors. C) Experimental set-up for the collective performance. Depicted are treatments 1 (left panel) and 5 (right panel), which respectively represent the controls for the

cadmium vs no-cadmium test and the competitors vs no competitors test, respectively. In the former,

performance was assessed by directly counting the number of eggs laid on each plant. For the

competitors vs no competitors test, the number of female offspring of each species was scored after

15 days, because eggs from both species are not distinguishable.

Experimental set-up for collective choice and performance

To measure how the presence of competitors and the frequency of plants with cadmium (3 mM)

affected the collective choice of spider mites, four entire tomato plants were placed in a plastic box

and they were connected by a round plastic arena (15 cm of diameter) in which their 4th leaf was

inserted in a hole of 2 cm long at equal distance from each other and from the centre of the arena

(Fig. 1B). We applied a ring of Vaseline around the petiole of the 4th leaf close to the node to prevent

mite dispersal to other leaves. We then released 200 females of *T. urticae* in the centre of the plastic

arena, from where they could move to any plant, and counted the number of mites on each plant 24

hours later. This setup was used to test whether spider mite distribution was random or affected by

the choice of conspecifics (i.e., if there was aggregation), by giving mites the choice between four

uninfested cadmium-free plants (treatment 1; Figure 1B). Additionally, we used this set up to address

how the distribution of spider mites was affected by the frequency of contaminated plants and the

presence of a competitor in contaminated and uncontaminated environments. To address the first

question, we placed mites in set-ups with either two (treatment 2) or three (treatment 3) plants with

cadmium (Figure 1B), with the remaining plants being cadmium-free. To answer the second question,

we created two other treatments, in which the leaf of one plant without cadmium was infested with

100 adult females of the competitor species *T. evansi* (50 females on the first leaflet and 25 on the

two lateral leaflets to avoid overexploitation by the competitor). This plant was surrounded by three

plants either with (treatment 4) or without cadmium (treatment 5; Fig. 1B). The 200 *T. urticae* females

were placed in the centre of the plastic arena 24 hours after the installation of *T. evansi*. The number

of *T. urticae* females on each plant was counted 24 hours later.

To measure the performance of *T. urticae* on plants with or without cadmium, the number of

eggs on each leaf was counted on all plants in treatments 1, 2 and 3 after having counted (and

removed) the females to measure choice. The number of eggs was then divided by the number of

females on the plants, to obtain the per capita oviposition rate. To measure the performance of *T.*

urticae in the presence of competitors (treatments 4 and 5), we quantified the number of adult female

offspring after fifteen days, because the eggs of the two species are not distinguishable. To this aim,

we removed the females from the infested leaf of the plant with competitors (from treatments 4 and

5) or from one plant without cadmium in the respective controls (treatments with the same

proportion of plants with cadmium, 3 and 1, respectively – in the latter case the plant was randomly

selected). Each leaf was detached and placed in a pot with water in an isolated box. Fifteen days later,

the number of adult females was counted. We only report the number of *T. urticae* females. Twenty

replicates per condition were done (except for treatment 5 for which 19 replicates were done).

Statistical analysis

<u>Individual choice and performance</u>

To test if individual females choose between cadmium or cadmium-free leaf discs, a general linear

mixed model with a Binomial error distribution was used. The dependent variable was the presence

or absence (coded as 1 or 0, respectively) of the female in each environment (using the cbind function

in R). To test the effect of cadmium on female performance, the number of eggs laid on each patch

was analysed using a general linear model with a Poisson error distribution. The dependent variable

was the number of eggs per patch and the test environment (cadmium-free vs. cadmium leaf discs)

was coded as a fixed factor. Leaf age and replicate were used as random factors.

<u>Collective choice and performance</u>

We first tested whether spider mites distribute themselves evenly across cadmium-free plants. To this

aim, we performed a G-test goodness of fit per replicate, assuming an even distribution of mites across

plants (*i.e.*, 25% per plant). To control for multiple tests, a Bonferroni correction was applied.

Moreover, we performed a general linear model comparing the control (treatment 1) to a mock

treatment where each plant 'received' 50 individuals of the 200 released.

All statistical analyses to test the collective spider mite behaviour were done using a

generalized linear model with number of individuals on a plant as the dependent variable. For each

replicate, the distribution of mites among plants was estimated in relation to the total number of

mites recovered on all plants in that replicate. All models included block and the interaction between

plant identity and replicate as random factors. Models where initially fit with a Poisson distribution,

but due to overdispersion, a negative binomial error distribution was used instead.

To test whether spider mites collectively avoided plants with cadmium, we compared the

distribution of females among plants with and without cadmium, in treatment 2, 3 and 4, using a

model with cadmium and treatment and their interaction as fixed factors. Post hoc contrasts were

performed to test whether spider mites avoided plants with cadmium in each treatment, and to test

whether treatments differed concerning this avoidance. To test if the frequency of plants with

cadmium affected spider mite choice, we compared treatments 2 and 3, and to test if presence of

competitors affected the choice of plants with cadmium we compared treatments 3 and 4. Finally, we

tested whether the presence of cadmium in the environment affected the avoidance of competitors

by comparing treatments 4 and 5 with a model with competitors and treatment as fixed factors and

their interaction. Post hoc contrasts were performed to test whether spider mites avoided plants with

competitors in each treatment, and whether treatments differed concerning this avoidance.

All statistical analyses to test collective spider mite performance were done using general

linear models with a gamma error distribution, and the number of per capita eggs or female offspring

(for the treatments with competitors) as dependent variables. All models included block and replicate

as random factors.

We first assessed spider mite performance in the control treatment (i.e., treatment 1) to test

whether mite oviposition rate was even across plants, using a generalized mixed model with plant as

fixed factor. The effect of cadmium on spider mite performance was assessed by comparing the per

capita oviposition rate across all plants among treatments containing plants with and without

cadmium (i.e., treatments 2, 3 and 4). For that we applied a model with cadmium and treatment and

their interaction as fixed factors. Next, we performed pairwise comparisons to test whether spider

mite performance differed on plants with or without cadmium within each treatment. We also

performed pairwise comparisons to test whether the performance on plants with cadmium differed

across treatments, only using data from plants with cadmium in each treatment.

To estimate if the effect of cadmium was similar for the individual and collective tests, we

calculated the standardized effect size for each treatment and experiment using the effectsize package

(Ben-Shachar et al., 2020).

To test the effect of the competitor on the number of per capita offspring we used a model

with presence (or absence) of competitors as fixed factor. We used pairwise posthoc tests with an fdr

correction, to compare the treatments with competitors and their respective control (i.e. treatments

1 vs 5 and 3 vs 4).

All statistical analyses were performed using the software R v3.6 (R Core Team, 2022), using

packages glmmTMB and lme4 to perform the general linear models (Bates et al., 2015; Brooks et al.,

2017), car for the ANOVA tests (Fox & Weisberg, 2019), emmeans for post-hoc contrasts (Lenth, 2023)

and ggplot2 for visualization (Wickham, 2009).

Results

<u>Individual choice and performance</u>

Individual spider mite females did not occupy differently leaf discs with and without cadmium

(estimated overall probability = 0.0554, z ratio= 1.064, P = 0.2875, Fig. 2A). They laid significantly fewer

eggs on leaf discs with cadmium than on leaf discs without cadmium (ratio between water and

cadmium= 2.1, z ratio= 10.432, p<0.001, Fig. 2B, Table S1).

Figure 2. Individual host choice and performance

(A) Number of females on leaf discs from plants with cadmium (dark grey) or without cadmium (light

grey) after 24 hours. (B) Number of eggs laid on leaf discs from plants with or without cadmium. Error

bars represent standard error among populations (N= 17 to 24 per population; 5 populations per test).

*** indicate significant differences and n.s. non-significant differences.

<u>Collective choice and performance</u>

Spider mites distributed themselves unevenly across plants. In 18 out of the 19 replicates, they

showed a distribution significantly different from the expected 25%, after Bonferroni correction (Table

S2). Indeed, the difference between the treatment with only cadmium-free plants and no competitors

and a mock variable with an even distribution across plants was significant (effect of treatment:

X^2_2=7410,5; P < 0.0001, Fig. 3, treatment 1).

The presence of cadmium significantly affected the number of females on each plant, but this

did not differ among treatment (ANOVA results cadmium: X^2_1 =8.70, p-value =0.0031; treatment: X^2_1

= 1.678, p-value= 0.432; cadmium*treatment: X^2_1 = 1.674, p-value = 0.432; Fig. 3). Spider mites

avoided plants with cadmium in all treatments (treatment 2 plants: z ratio=-55.987, p-value <0.0001,

treatment 3: z ratio=-49.560, p-value <0.0001; treatment 4: z ratio=- 49.343, p-value <0.0001). This

avoidance was not different between treatments with two or with three plants (contrast: z ratio=-

0.390, p value = 0.9196), i.e. mites avoided plants with cadmium even when cadmium-free plants were

rare in the environment.

The presence of a competitor, and its interaction with treatment significantly affected the

number of females on each plant (competitor: X^2_1 = 4.556, p-value= 0.033; treatment: X^2_1 = 1.666, p-

value=0.197; cadmium*treatment: X^2_1 = 5.556, p-value =0.0184; Fig. 3). The number of mites on plants

with or without competitors when all plants were cadmium-free did not differ significantly (contrast:

z ratio=1.203, p-value = 0.229). However, in the treatment where plants without competitors had

cadmium, females chose more often the plant with the competitor (i.e. they avoided cadmium),

resulting in a significant difference among these treatments (contrast: z ratio= -2.134, p-value = 0.033).

This choice was not different from that when the uncontaminated plant did not have competitors

(contrast between treatment 3 and 4: z ratio=-0.457, p value= 0.891).

Figure 3. Collective host choice. Number of females after 24h on each plant for all treatments.

Numbers indicate the treatments: 1) control, 2) two plants with cadmium, 3) three plants with

cadmium, 4) one plant infested with competitors and three plants with cadmium and 5) one plant

infested with competitors and all plants without cadmium. In treatment 1, for each replicate, plants

were ranked according to the number of mites present. Depicted is the average number of mites on

plants from ranks 1 to 4 (from left to right). Dark grey bars represent plants with cadmium, light grey

colour plants without cadmium, white stripes represent presence of competitors on the plants. Error

bars represent standard error between replicates (N= 19). *** indicate significant differences and n.s.

non-significant differences.

In the control treatment, spider mite oviposition rate was not significantly different among

plants (X^2_3 = 3.473, p-value = 0.324; Figure 4). The presence of cadmium in plants, in treatments 2, 3

and 4 significantly reduced the oviposition rate of females (X^2_2 = 89.745, p-value <0.0001; Figure 4).

However, this reduction in performance on cadmium-free plants was similar independently of the

number of plants with cadmium in the environment (X^2_2 = 0.413, p-value = 0.813; Figure 4). In fact,

the standardized effect size associated with the presence of cadmium was remarkably similar for

treatments 2 and 3 (Table S1). The effect size of cadmium was larger in the individual than in the

collective performance experiments but showed a clear overlap of the confidence intervals (Table S1).

Figure 4. Collective performance. A) Number of eggs per female after 24h on each plant for

treatments 1-3. Dark grey bars represent plants with cadmium, light grey colour plants without

cadmium. Error bars represent replicate standard error between replicates (N= 19). *** indicate

significant differences and n.s. non-significant differences.

In environments with cadmium-free plants only, the presence of the competitor significantly

affected the number of offspring per female after one generation (X^2_1 = 15.877, p-value = 0.0004,

Figure 5). Contrasts between plants with or without competitors revealed that the number of female

offspring on uninfested plants (*i.e.*, in the absence of competitors or cadmium) was higher than on

cadmium-free plants with competitors (contrasts between treatment 1 and 5: t ratio= 3.771, p-

value=0.002). However, this difference was not observed when competitor-free plants contained

cadmium (contrasts between treatment 3 and 4: t ratio= -1.713, p-value=0.325).

Figure 5. Collective performance in the presence of competitors. Given are the number of daughters per female on the plant with competitors (treatments 4 and 5) and on a plant with no cadmium of the treatments with no competitors but with the same proportion of plants with cadmium as in these treatments (i.e., no plant with cadmium: treatment 1 vs 5 – in this case the plant was randomly selected; 50% of plants with cadmium: treatment 3 vs 4). Light grey bars represent plants without cadmium and white stripes represent the presence of competitors on the plants. Error bars represent standard error among replicates (N= 19). *** indicate significant differences and n.s. non-significant differences.

Discussion

Our study shows that *T. urticae* females do not significantly avoid tomato leaves with cadmium when they are given the choice individually, although feeding on these leaves entails a reduction in their performance. In contrast, when in group, facing the choice between cadmium and cadmium-free plants, females moved more often to plants without cadmium. They also showed a non-even distribution, even when all plants were uninfested and uncontaminated, suggesting aggregation behaviour. Moreover, the frequency of cadmium-free plants had no effect on spider-mite avoidance. Finally, when all plants were cadmium-free, spider mites did not discriminate between plants with or without competitors, but they avoided plants with cadmium when the alternative was moving to a uncontaminated plant with competitors.

We found here that spider mites feeding on tomato plants that have hyperaccumulated cadmium have a lower oviposition rate than mites feeding on non-contaminated plants. This recapitulates previous findings (Godinho et al., 2018, 2022, 2024), and implies that they are robust to the set-up used. Curiously, however, *T. urticae* females did not choose between leaf discs with or without cadmium, a result also previously obtained for *T. evansi* (Godinho et al., 2024). This is surprising, not only because of the abovementioned consequences of cadmium for performance, but also because this choice set-up had been validated previously (Rodrigues et al., 2017; Zélé et al., 2019). Possibly,

the presence of cadmium on the leaves does not alter their taste or volatile composition, which would

inform spider mites of their content. Alternatively, such cadmium induced cues may be produced in

whole plants but not in the leaf discs cut from those plants.

In contrast to the individual choice assays, spider mites systematically avoided plants with

cadmium in a set-up that simultaneously tested 200 mites. Our results from the control treatment

unequivocally show that spider mites aggregate on particular plants, a result also found in the field

(Rijal et al., 2016). Aggregation behaviour has been shown to be beneficial to *T. urticae*, by increasing

fecundity and decreasing the death rate of females living in groups (Le Goff et al., 2010). In the

treatments containing plants with and without cadmium, such aggregation occurred on plants without

cadmium. It is thus tempting to hypothesize that spider mites use the information of their conspecifics

to avoid plants with cadmium. Spider mites often communicate by means of odour cues, such as

pheromones when searching for mates (Regev & Cone, 1976; Rodrigues et al., 2017), avoiding

predators (Choh & Takabayashi 2010; Pallini et al. 1999), or selecting hosts for oviposition

(Weerawansha et al., 2024). Possibly, spider mites that have been on plants with cadmium produce

aversive chemical cues, which are used by spider mites to avoid such plants. Alternatively, whole

plants that have hyper-accumulated cadmium produce volatiles that repel spider mites, or cadmium

disrupts the production of plant volatiles induced by spider mites upon their feeding, which are used

by conspecifics to find infested plants (Lin et al., 2022; Pallini et al., 1997). Aggregation on plants

without cadmium occurs even when these are rare in the environment, suggesting that the cues are

easily detectable by spider mites.

In environments without cadmium, *T. urticae* spider mites did not avoid plants with

competitors, which is at odds with competitors negatively affecting the number of offspring produced,

as found here and in other studies (Fragata et al., 2022; Sarmento, et al., 2011). Possibly, avoidance

of *T. evansi* is only observed in later stages of plant infestation, when resources become scarcer.

Indeed, *T. evansi* is known to downregulate plant defences, which is beneficial to *T. urticae* in the short

term (Godinho et al., 2016; Sarmento, et al., 2011). Moreover, *T. urticae* is able to avoid *T. evansi*

within a single plant (Godinho, et al., 2020a), which may release the selection pressure to avoid whole

plants.

In contrast, spider mites avoided plants with cadmium in detriment of avoiding competitors.

An earlier study had shown that spider mites prefer young over old leaves, as the former result in

higher oviposition rate for them, but that this preference vanishes when this leaf is infested with

competitors (Godinho, et al., 2020a). Here, instead, we show that an abiotic selection pressure seems

to be more relevant to spider mite host choice than the presence of competitors. The complex

interaction between responses to abiotic and biotic stressors has been documented in other systems

(Murphy & Loewy, 2015; Tran et al., 2019). One possible explanation for our results is that selecting

hosts with *T. evansi* might be less risky than selecting plants with cadmium because *T. urticae* could

still avoid *T. evansi* once on the plant by finding a leaf free of competitors (e.g.,(Godinho et al., 2020a),

while cadmium is accumulated in all leaves and there is no way to avoid it within a plant.

The avoidance of cadmium even in the presence of competitors implies stronger competition

on cadmium-free plants. This is in line with studies showing increased intra or interspecific

competition in resource patches with higher quality in heterogeneous environments (Shen et al.,

2020; Wang et al., 2012). This suggests that spider mites will be exposed to different selection

pressures in heterogeneous environments: on plants with cadmium, selection will favour mites that

are able to physiologically cope with the toxic effects of metal, whereas on plants with no cadmium

mites will face stronger intra- and inter-specific competition. If these two selection pressures persist

for long enough, they may lead to high population differentiation within herbivores. Corroborating

this hypothesis would necessitate studying populations of the two competitors occurring on sites with

both types of plants (Freeman et al., 2007).

Alternatively, spider mites may not adapt to plants with cadmium, for example due to lack of

genetic diversity, in which case the observed cadmium avoidance would lead to plants with such metal

suffering few attacks by herbivores in the long run, as seen in other systems (Galeas et al., 2008; Noret

et al., 2007). This should select for the maintenance of metal accumulation in plants, especially

because spider mites do not individually avoid tomato plants, and not all mites avoid plants, even

when collectively choosing. Thus, plants with cadmium will still suffer some herbivore damage. Hence,

as long as the fitness cost of up-taking metal does not surpass the fitness gain of herbivore avoidance,

selection to uptake metals as a defence against herbivores will be maintained.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that spider-mites do not significantly avoid tomato plants contaminated with

cadmium when alone, despite having reduced oviposition on those plants. However, when choosing

collectively, they avoid cadmium contaminated plants, even when clean plants are colonized by a

superior competitor. These results suggest that collective choice in herbivores contributes to the

maintenance of plant elemental defences, as it entails less herbivory on those plants. Moreover, they

imply that hyperaccumulation of metals by plants may lead to stronger herbivore competition on

nearby non-accumulating plants, thus having broad effects on metal-polluted ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

402 We thank Cátia Eira, Inês Santos and Lucie de Sousa for maintaining plant and mite populations and
403 all the mite squad for fruitful discussions. This work was financed by an ERC (European Research
404 Council) consolidator grant COMPCON, GA 725419 attributed to SM and by FCT (Fundação para
405 Ciência e Tecnologia) with a Junior researcher contract (CEECIND/02616/2018) attributed to IF.

Author contribution

408 SM conceived the ideas and designed the methodology, with help from MCM; DG and MCM collected
409 the data; DG, IF and SM analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed critically
410 to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Conflict of interest

413 Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability

Data is deposited in Figshare, DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24511093.

References

Alloway, B. J. (2013). Heavy metals in soils: trace metals and metalloids in soils and their bioavailability. In *Choice Reviews Online* (Vol. 50, Issue 07). Springer Science & Business Media. https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.50-3862

Baker, A. J. M. (1987). Metal Tolerance. *New Phytologist*, *106(1)*, 93–111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04685.x

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., & Walker, S. C. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1), 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Behmer, S. T., Lloyd, C. M., Raubenheimer, D., Stewart-Clark, J., Knight, J., Leighton, R. S., Harper, F. A., & Smith, J. A. C. (2005). Metal hyperaccumulation in plants: mechanisms of defence against insect herbivores. *Functional Ecology*, *19*(1), 55–66. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2005.00943.x

Ben-Shachar, M. S., Lüdecke, D., & Makowski, D. (2020). {e}ffectsize: Estimation of Effect Size Indices and Standardized Parameters. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *5*(56), 2815. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02815

Boyd, R. S., & Moar, W. J. (1999). The defensive function of Ni in plants: Response of the polyphagous herbivore *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to hyperaccumulator and accumulator species of *Streptanthus* (Brassicaceae). *Oecologia*, *118*(2), 218–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050721

Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H. J., Maechler, M., & Bolker, B. M. (2017). {glmmTMB} Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. *The R Journal*, *9*(2), 378–400. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-066

Choh, Y., & Takabayashi, J. (2010). Predator avoidance by phytophagous mites is affected by the presence of herbivores in a neighboring patch. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *36*(6), 614–619. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9792-4

De La Riva, D. G., & Trumble, J. T. (2016). Selenium exposure results in reduced reproduction in an invasive ant species and altered competitive behavior for a native ant species. *Environmental Pollution*, *213*, 888–894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.045

Fox, J., & Weisberg, S. (2019). *An {R} Companion to Applied Regression* (Third). Sage.

Fragata, I., Costa-Pereira, R., Kozak, M., Majer, A., Godoy, O., & Magalhães, S. (2022). Specific sequence of arrival promotes coexistence via spatial niche pre-emption by the weak competitor. *Ecology Letters*, *25*(7), 1629–1639. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14021

Freeman, J. L., Lindblom, S. D., Quinn, C. F., Fakra, S., Marcus, M. A., & Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. (2007). Selenium accumulation protects plants from herbivory by Orthoptera via toxicity and deterrence. *New Phytologist*, *175*(3), 490–500. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02119.x

Freeman, J. L., Quinn, C. F., Marcus, M. A., Fakra, S., & Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. (2006). Selenium-Tolerant Diamondback Moth Disarms Hyperaccumulator Plant Defense. *Current Biology*, *16*(22), 2181–2192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.015

Galeas, M. L., Klamper, E. M., Bennett, L. E., Freeman, J. L., Kondratieff, B. C., Quinn, C. F., & Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. (2008). Selenium hyperaccumulation reduces plant arthropod loads in the field. *New Phytologist*, *177*(3), 715–724. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02285.x

Godinho, D. P., Branquinho, C., & Magalhães, S. (2023). Intraspecific variability in herbivore response to elemental defences is caused by the metal itself. *Journal of Pest Science*, *96(2)*, 797–806. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01525-0

Godinho, D. P., Cruz, M. A., Charlery de la Masselière, M., Teodoro-Paulo, J., Eira, C., Fragata, I., Rodrigues, L. R., Zélé, F., & Magalhães, S. (2020b). Creating outbred and inbred populations in haplodiploids to measure adaptive responses in the laboratory. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(14), 7291–7305. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6454

Godinho, D. P., Fragata, I., Majer, A., Rodrigues, L. R., & Magalhães, S. (2024). Limits to the adaptation of herbivorous spider mites to metal accumulation in homogeneous and heterogeneous environments. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/jeb/voae003

Godinho, D. P., Janssen, A., Dias, T., Cruz, C., & Magalhães, S. (2016). Down - regulation of plant defence in a resident spider mite species and its effect upon con - and heterospecifics. *Oecologia*, *180*(1), 161–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3434-z

Godinho, D. P., Janssen, A., Li, D., Cruz, C., & Magalhães, S. (2020a). The distribution of herbivores between leaves matches their performance only in the absence of competitors. *Ecology and Evolution*, *10*(15), 8405–8415. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6547

Godinho, D. P., Serrano, H. C., Da Silva, A. B., Branquinho, C., & Magalhães, S. (2018). Effect of cadmium accumulation on the performance of plants and of herbivores that cope differently with organic defenses. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *871*(11), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01723

Godinho, D. P., Serrano, H. C., Magalhães, S., & Branquinho, C. (2022). Concurrent herbivory and metal accumulation: the outcome for plants and herbivores. *Plant-Environment Interactions*, *3(4)*, 170–178.

Hou, D., O'Connor, D., Nathanail, P., Tian, L., & Ma, Y. (2017). Integrated GIS and multivariate statistical analysis for regional scale assessment of heavy metal soil contamination: A critical review. *Environmental Pollution*, *231 (1)*, 1188–1200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.021

Hunter, A. F. (2000). Gregariousness and repellent defences in the survival of phytophagous insects. *Oikos*, *91*(2), 213–224. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.910202.x

Janssens, L., Dinh Van, K., Debecker, S., Bervoets, L., & Stoks, R. (2014). Local adaptation and the potential effects of a contaminant on predator avoidance and antipredator responses under global warming: A space-for-time substitution approach. *Evolutionary Applications*, *7*(3), 421–430. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12141

Kazemi-Dinan, A., Thomaschky, S., Stein, R. J., Krämer, U., & Müller, C. (2014). Zinc and cadmium hyperaccumulation act as deterrents towards specialist herbivores and impede the performance of a generalist herbivore. *New Phytologist*, *202*(2), 628–639. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12663

Le Goff, G. J., Mailleux, A. C., Detrain, C., Deneubourg, J. L., Clotuche, G., & Hance, T. (2010). Group effect on fertility, survival and silk production in the web spinner *Tetranychus urticae* (acari: Tetranychidae) during colony foundation. *Behaviour*, *147*(9), 1169–1184. https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X510980

Lenth, R. V. (2023). *emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means*.

Lin, T., Zhu, G., He, W., Xie, J., Li, S., Han, S., Li, S., Yang, C., Liu, Y., & Zhu, T. (2022). Soil cadmium stress reduced host plant odor selection and oviposition preference of leaf herbivores through the changes in leaf volatile emissions. *Science of the Total Environment*, *814*, 152728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152728

Martens, S. N., & Boyd, R. S. (1994). The ecological significance of nickel hyperaccumulation: a plant chemical defense. *Oecologia*, *98*(3–4), 379–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00324227

McLellan, C. F., & Montgomery, S. H. (2023). Towards an integrative approach to understanding collective behaviour in caterpillars. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *378*(1874). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0072

Meyer, C.-L., Juraniec, M., Huguet, S., Chaves-Rodriguez, E., Salis, P., Isaure, M.-P., Goormaghtigh, E., & Verbruggen, N. (2015). Intraspecific variability of cadmium tolerance and accumulation, and cadmium-induced cell wall modifications in the metal hyperaccumulator *Arabidopsis halleri*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *66*(11), 3215–3227. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv144

Mogren, C. L., & Trumble, J. T. (2010). The impacts of metals and metalloids on insect behavior. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, *135*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00967.x

Mohiley, A., Tielbörger, K., Seifan, M., & Gruntman, M. (2020). The role of biotic interactions in determining metal hyperaccumulation in plants. *Functional Ecology*, *34*(3), 658–668. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13502

Murphy, S. M., & Loewy, K. J. (2015). Trade-offs in host choice of an herbivorous insect based on parasitism and larval performance. *Oecologia*, *179*(3), 741–751. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3373-8

Noret, N., Meerts, P., Vanhaelen, M., Dos Santos, A., & Escarré, J. (2007). Do metal-rich plants deter herbivores? A field test of the defence hypothesis. *Oecologia*, *152*(1), 92–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0635-5

Oliveira, C. S., Nogara, P. A., Lima, L. S., Galiciolli, M. E. A., Souza, J. V, Aschner, M., & Rocha, J. B. T. (2022). Toxic metals that interact with thiol groups and alteration in insect behavior. *Current*

Opinion in Insect Science, *52*, 100923.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2022.100923

Pallini, A., Janssen, A., & Sabelis, M. W. (1997). Odour-mediated responses of phytophagous mites to conspecific and heterospecific competitors. *Oecologia*, *110*(2), 179–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050147

Pallini, A., Janssen, A., & Sabelis, M. W. (1999). Spider mites avoid plants with predators. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, *23*, 803–815. https://doi.org/10.1023/A

Peterson, E. K., Stark, A., Varian-Ramos, C. W., Hollocher, K. T., & Possidente, B. (2020). Exposure to Lead (Pb2+) Eliminates Avoidance of Pb-Treated Oviposition Substrates in a Dose-Dependent Manner in Female Vinegar Flies. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *104*(5), 588–594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-020-02825-w

Pollard, A. J., & Baker, A. J. M. (1997). Deterrence of herbivory by zinc hyperaccumulation in *Thlaspi caerulescens* (Brassicaceae). *New Phytologist*, *135*(4), 655–658. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00689.x

Prokopy, R. J., & Roitberg, B. D. (2001). Joining and avoidance behavior in nonsocial insects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *46*(1), 631–665. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.631

Quinn, C. F., Freeman, J. L., Reynolds, R. J. B., Cappa, J. J., Fakra, S. C., Marcus, M. A., Lindblom, S. D., Quinn, E. K., Bennett, L. E., & Pilon-Smits, E. A. H. (2010). Selenium hyperaccumulation offers protection from cell disruptor herbivores. *BMC Ecology*, *10, 19*. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-10-19

R Core Team. (2022). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.* R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Regev, S., & Cone, W. W. (1976). Analyses of pharate female twospotted spider mites for Nerolidol and Geraniol: Evaluation for Sex Attraction of Males. *Environmental Entomology*, *5*(6), 133–138. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/5.1.133

Rijal, J. P., Wilson, R., & Godfrey, L. D. (2016). Characterization of spatial distribution of *Tetranychus urticae* in peppermint in California and implication for improving sampling plan. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, *68*(2), 155–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-015-0006-1

Rodrigues, L. R., Figueiredo, A. R. T., Varela, S. A. M., Olivieri, I., & Magalhães, S. (2017). Male spider mites use chemical cues, but not the female mating interval, to choose between mates. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, *71*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-016-0103-9

Rodrigues, L. R., Zélé, F., Santos, I., & Magalhães, S. (2022). No evidence for the evolution of mating behavior in spider mites due to Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility. *Evolution*, *76*(3), 623–635. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14429

Sarmento, R. A., Lemos, F., Bleeker, P. M., Schuurink, R. C., Pallini, A., Oliveira, M. G. A., Lima, E. R., Kant, M., Sabelis, M. W., & Janssen, A. (2011). A herbivore that manipulates plant defence. *Ecology Letters*, *14*(3), 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01575.x

Sarmento, R. A., Lemos, F., Dias, C. R., Kikuchi, W. T., Rodrigues, J. C. P., Pallini, A., Sabelis, M. W., & Janssen, A. (2011). A herbivorous mite down-regulates plant defence and produces web to exclude competitors. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(8), 8–14. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023757

Shelton, D. S., Dinges, Z. M., Khemka, A., Sykes, D. J., Suriyampola, P. S., Shelton, D. E. P., Boyd, P., Kelly, J. R., Bower, M., Amro, H., Glaholt, S. P., Latta, M. B., Perkins, H. L., Shaw, J. R., & Martins, E. P. (2023). A pair of cadmium-exposed zebrafish affect social behavior of the un-exposed majority. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, *100*(April), 104119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2023.104119

Shen, N., Liu, C., Yu, H., & Qu, J. (2020). Effects of resource heterogeneity and environmental disturbance on the growth performance and interspecific competition of wetland clonal plants. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *22*, e00914. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00914

Suhani, I., Sahab, S., Srivastava, V., & Singh, R. P. (2021). Impact of cadmium pollution on food safety and human health. *Current Opinion in Toxicology*, 27(9), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cotox.2021.04.004

Tran, T. T., Janssens, L., Dinh, K. V., & Stoks, R. (2019). An adaptive transgenerational effect of warming but not of pesticide exposure determines how a pesticide and warming interact for antipredator behaviour. *Environmental Pollution*, *245(2)*, 307–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.022

Vickerman, D. B., Young, J. K., & Trumble, J. T. (2003). Effect of selenium-treated alfalfa on development, survival, feeding, and oviposition preferences of *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Environmental Entomology*, *32*(1), 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1603/0046-225X-31.6.953

Wang, P., Lei, J.-P., Li, M.-H., & Yu, F.-H. (2012). Spatial heterogeneity in light supply affects intraspecific competition of a stoloniferous clonal plant. *PloS One*, *7*(6), e39105. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039105

Weerawansha, N., Wang, Q., & He, X. Z. (2024). Conspecific cues mediate habitat selection and reproductive performance in a haplodiploid spider mite. *Current Zoology*. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoae013

Wertheim, B., Van Baalen, E. J. A., Dicke, M., & Vet, L. E. M. (2005). Pheromone-mediated aggregation in nonsocial arthropods: An evolutionary ecological perspective. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *50*(94), 321–346. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123329

Wickham, H. (2009). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag.

Xu, L., Van Doorn, S., Hildenbrandt, H., & Etienne, R. S. (2020). Inferring the effect of species interactions on trait evolution. *Systematic Biology*, *7*0(3), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syaa072

Zélé, F., Santos-Matos, G., Figueiredo, A. R. T., Eira, C., Pinto, C., Laurentino, T. G., Sucena, É., & Magalhães, S. (2019). Spider mites escape bacterial infection by avoiding contaminated food. *Oecologia*, *189*(1), 111–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4316-y