Spider mites collectively avoid plants with cadmium irrespective of their

frequency or the presence of competitors

Diogo Prino Godinho*, Inês Fragata* Maud Charlery de la Masselière & Sara
Magalhães

cE3c, Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental changes, Faculdade de Ciências da

Universidade de Lisboa, Edifício C2, Campo Grande 1749- 016 Lisbon, Portugal.

*co-first authors

<u>Highlights</u>

• Alone, spider mites do not choose between tomato plants with or without cadmium.
• In groups, mites aggregate on plants without cadmium, avoiding contaminated plants.
• Collective avoidance occurs irrespective of the frequency of contaminated plants.
• I The presence of competitors does not affect distribution among cadmium-free
plants.
• Spider mites avoid plants with cadmium even when non-contaminated plants have
competitors.

<u>Abstract</u>
Plants can accumulate heavy metals from polluted soils on their shoots and use this to

defend against herbivory. One possible strategy for herbivores to cope with the reduction in

performance imposed by heavy metal accumulation in plants is avoidance of contaminated

tissues. Such avoidance, however, may hinge upon the specific conditions faced by

herbivores. Here, we tested whether the spider-mite *Tetranychus urticae* avoids tomato

plants contaminated with cadmium in presence of conspecifics or heterospecifics and

depending on the frequency of contaminated plants. We show that individual spider mite

females do not discriminate between discs with or without cadmium. However, in a set-up

where 200 mites were simultaneously given the choice between four plants with or without

cadmium, they collectively avoided plants with cadmium. This happened when 50% of the

plants were uncontaminated, but also when only a single plant contained no cadmium. In

addition, *T. urticae* did not discriminate between plants infested with its competitor *T.*

evansi and other non-contaminated plants but they preferred plants with competitors when

the other plants contained cadmium. Our results show that aggregation may be an

important mechanism through which spider mites avoid contaminated plants. They also

indicate that cadmium accumulation in plants is a stronger selective pressure than

interspecific competition with *T. evansi.* This suggests that non-accumulating plants will

suffer more from herbivory than non-accumulating plants in metal polluted environments.

Overall, we show that collective avoidance of contaminated plants by herbivores is robust to

environmental conditions and may have important consequences for species distribution

and interactions in metal contaminated sites.

Keywords: metal hyperaccumulation; elemental defences; herbivore performance; host
choice; interspecific competition.

Introduction

Soils often contain heavy metals such as arsenic, lead, mercurium, chronium and cadmium, either due to geochemical properties or to human activity (Alloway, 2013; Hou et al., 2017). These metals can be taken up by plants, with important consequences for soil quality but also for ecosystem and human health (Cunningham et al., 1995; Gall et al., 2015; Zwolak et al., 2019). Some plants, known as hyperaccumulators, can store metals translocated from the soil in their upper parts, up to levels that are toxic to most organisms (Baker, 1987). Such hyperaccumulation often results in a significant reduction in the performance of herbivores that feed on those plants (Boyd and Moar, 1999; Freeman et al., 2007; Kazemi-Dinan et al., 2014; Mohiley et al., 2020; Quinn et al., 2010). This observation is at the basis of the elemental defence hypothesis, which posits that plants use such hyperaccumulated metals as a defence against herbivores (Martens and Boyd, 1994).

Because metal accumulation is detrimental to most herbivores, one would expect the latter to have evolved means to overcome this effect. Indeed, although elemental defences generally result in a reduction of herbivory, some herbivores may cope with such defences by evolving tolerance mechanisms. Evidence for such adaptation is, however, still scarce. Only a few studies show that herbivore populations have developed mechanisms to metabolize the accumulated toxic compounds (Freeman et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2020), whereas another study shows no adaptation to such selection pressure (Godinho et al., 2023a).

Alternatively (or in addition), herbivores may avoid plants that have accumulated metals. This seems to be a common strategy across species (Kazemi-Dinan et al., 2014; Mogren and Trumble, 2010 but see Vickerman et al., 2003). For example, when given the choice between *Thlaspi caerulascens* plants with high or low zinc concentrations, the desert

locust, *Schistocerca gregaria* preferred the latter, a preference pattern that was

recapitulated on artificial food supplemented with zinc (Behmer et al., 2005). Another study

showed that cadmium modifies the volatiles of *Populus yunnanensis*, and herbivores (the

sawfly *Stauronematus compressicornis* and the leaf beetle *Plagiodera versicolora*) use these

cues to avoid those plants (Lin et al., 2022).

Herbivores that have been exposed to contaminated food may use this information

to ameliorate their choices. Indeed, grass miners (*Chromatomyia milii*) that have fed on

grasses (*Holcus lanatus*) with cadmium avoid these plants more than naïve individuals

(Pollard and Baker, 1997). However, feeding on metal-contaminated plants may also impair

the behavioural decisions of herbivores (Mogren and Trumble, 2010). This, in turn, may

affect their ability to avoid contaminated food. Indeed, the ability of flies (*Drosophila*

melanogaster) to avoid substrates with lead vanishes when they have spent their

developmental period feeding on lead-contaminated food (Peterson et al., 2020).

Apart from affecting the choice between contaminated and non-contaminated food,

exposure to heavy metals may also affect other relevant behavioural decisions in herbivores

(Oliveira et al., 2022). For example, in presence of competitors, pyramid ants that had

ingested selenium had impaired foraging behaviour (De La Riva and Trumble, 2016).

Similarly, exposure to zinc compromised the foraging behaviour and predator avoidance in

damselfly larvae (Janssens et al., 2014). Because herbivores are often simultaneously

exposed to abiotic and biotic pressures, it is key to understand how their interactive effect

on herbivore decisions affect their distribution (e.g. Tran et al., 2019).

Herbivores are rarely alone. The presence of conspecifics may affect their

distribution by means of aggregative behaviour (Hunter, 2000; McLellan and Montgomery,

2023). For example, spider mites collectively produce silk balls that they use for dispersal

(Clotuche et al., 2011). Herbivores may benefit from being with conspecifics, for example to

better overcome plant defences (Wertheim et al., 2005). Moreover, behavioural decisions

may be affected by the presence of others (Prokopy and Roitberg, 2001). For example, fruit

flies (*Bactrocera tryoni*) avoid low-quality food patches more efficiently when in groups.

Knowledge that metals affect collective behaviour has thus far only been gathered in

zebrafish (Shelton et al., 2023). Whether organisms collectively avoid metal-contaminated

food is expected to hinge upon the relative role of acquisition of information and behavioural

impairment that such environments represent.

In this study we test whether herbivores avoid plants with cadmium, and whether

such avoidance hinges on the presence of conspecifics or heterospecifics, or on the frequency

of hyperaccumulating hosts. To this aim, we use the system composed of the spider mites

Tetranychus urticae and *T. evansi* feeding on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*). Tomato

plants are known to hyperaccumulate cadmium in their upper parts, resulting in decreased

performance of the spider mites at high concentrations (Godinho et al., 2022, 2018). *T. urticae*

relies on several environmental cues to avoid predators (Pallini et al., 1999), pathogens (Zélé

et al., 2019 but see Rodrigues et al., 2022), conspecific (Pallini et al., 1997) and heterospecific

competitors (Godinho et al., 2020a). However, whether they avoid metal-accumulating

tomato plants and, if so, whether this hinges upon the environmental context, remains an

open question.

<u>Material and Methods</u>

Plant and mite rearing conditions

Tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*, *var* MoneyMaker) were sown in a climatic room (25ºC,

61% of humidity, light:dark = 16:8), in pots with soil (pH 5.0-6.0; SIRO, Portugal) and watered

twice a week with 100 mL of tap water for the two first weeks. Subsequently, plants were

transplanted to a mixture of 1:4 vermiculite, gardening soil (SIRO, Portugal), where they grew

for three more weeks before they were used in the experiments. During those weeks, plants

were watered twice a week with 100 mL of either distilled water or a cadmium solution

(3mM). All plants were also watered once a week with tap water to avoid the lack of nutrients.

Just before the installation of the setup, each plant was watered with 70 mL of tap water. This

concentration of cadmium ensures an amount accumulated by tomato plants higher than the

hyperaccumulating threshold (Godinho et al., 2018) and falls within values encountered in

natural populations of hyperaccumulating plants (Meyer et al., 2015).

The populations of spider mites used in this study were created in 2018 by performing

controlled crosses between three populations of *T. urticae* and four populations of *T. evansi*

collected in the field of Portugal in 2017 from tomato plants (Godinho et al., 2020b). The

resulting outbred populations have been maintained in high numbers (> 1000 adult females)

in cages (28 x 39 x 28 cm) each containing two entire cadmium-free tomato plants, under

controlled conditions (25 ºC ± 1, 68% of humidity, light: dark = 16:8) in a climatic room at the

University of Lisbon. In the case of individual choice and performance, populations were

maintained in 5 replicates during 14 discrete generations with 220 adult mites being

transferred every 14 days, as part of a larger experiment. To ensure that females used in the

experiments were approximately of the same age, adult females where isolated on separate

tomato leaves in plastic boxes (14 x 20 x 14 cm) and allowed to lay eggs for 48h. In all

experiments, we used adult females resulting from this cohort, 15 days after egg laying.

Experimental set-up for individual choice and performance

To test whether spider mites individually choose between leaf discs with or without cadmium,

mated females were placed at the centre of plastic strips (1x3 cm) connecting two leaf discs

(Ø 16 mm) placed on soaked cotton wool, one made from a tomato plant supplied with

cadmium (2 mM) and the other from a plant without cadmium (Figure 1A). For each replicate,

leaf discs were made from leaves of the same age (either the 3rd or 4th counting from the

cotyledons) and the age and position of the disc with cadmium was randomized across trials.

Females (N = 17 to 24 per replicate population; total N = 101) were allowed to choose

between leaves with or without cadmium for 24h, after which the position of the female was

registered. To measure the effects of each environment on the performance of spider mites,

females (N = 13 to 20 per replicate population for each environment; N = 94 for plants with

no cadmium and N= 97 for plants with cadmium) were isolated on leaf discs (Ø 18 mm) made

from leaves 3 to 5 (from the cotyledons), from plants supplied with 2mM cadmium chloride

or not. These leaf discs were kept on top of soaked cotton wool in petri dishes. 48 hours later,

females were killed, and the number of eggs was counted. Assays were divided in blocks

consisting of four consecutive days.

Experimental set-up for collective choice and performance

To measure how the presence of competitors and the frequency of plants with cadmium

affected the collective choice of spider mites, four entire tomato plants were placed in a

plastic box and connected by a round plastic arena (15 cm of diameter) in which their 4th leaf

was inserted in a hole of 2 cm long at equal distance from each other and from the centre of

the arena (Fig. 1B). We applied a ring of Vaseline around the petiole of the 4th leaf close to

the node to prevent mite dispersal to other leaves of the plant. We then released 200 females

of *T. urticae* in the centre of the plastic arena, from where they could move to any plant, and

counted the number of mites on each plant 24 hours later. This setup was used to test

whether spider mite distribution was random or affected by the choice of conspecifics (i.e., if

there was aggregation). This was done by giving spider mites the choice between four

uninfested plants non-contaminated with cadmium (treatment 1; Figure 1B). Additionally, we

used this set up to address how the distribution of spider mites among plants was affected by

the frequency of contaminated hosts and the presence of a competitor in stressful vs non-

stressful environments. To address the first question, we placed mites in set-ups with either

two (treatment 2) or three (treatment 3) plants with cadmium (Figure 1B), with the remaining

plants being cadmium-free. To answer the second question, we created two other

treatments, in which the leaf of one plant without cadmium was infested with 100 adult

females of the competitor species *T. evansi* (50 females on the first leaflet and 25 on the two

lateral leaflets to avoid overexploitation by the competitor). This plant was surrounded by

three plants either with (treatment 4) or without cadmium (treatment 5; Fig. 1B). The 200 *T.*

urticae females were placed in the centre of the plastic arena 24 hours after the installation

of *T. evansi*. The number of *T. urticae* females on each plant was counted 24 hours later.

To measure the performance of *T. urticae* on plants with or without cadmium, the

number of eggs on each leaf was counted on all plants in treatments 1, 2 and 3 after having

counted (and removed) the females to measure choice. The number of eggs was then divided

by the number of females on the same plant, such as to obtain the per capita oviposition rate.

To measure the performance of *T. urticae* in presence of the competitor (treatments 4 and

5), we quantified the number of adult female offspring after fifteen days, because the eggs of

the two species are not distinguishable. To this aim, we removed the adult females from the

infested leaf of the plant with competitors (from treatments 4 and 5) and the infested leaf of

one random plant without cadmium in the respective controls (treatments 1 and 3). Each leaf

was detached from the plant and placed in a pot with water in an isolated box and fifteen

days later, the number of adult females was counted. Twenty replicates per treatment were

done (except for treatment 5 for which 19 replicates were done).

Statistical analysis

<u>Individual choice and performance</u>

To test if individual females choose between cadmium or cadmium-free leaf discs, a general

linear mixed model with a Binomial error distribution was used. The dependent variable was

the presence or absence (coded as 1 or 0, respectively) of the female in each environment

(using the cbind function in R), and leaf age and replicate were used as random factors. To

test the effect of cadmium on the female performance, the number of eggs laid on each patch

was analysed using a general linear model with a Poisson error distribution. The dependent

variable was the number of eggs per patch, the test environment (cadmium-free vs. cadmium

leaf discs) was coded as a fixed factor and leaf age and replicate were used as random factors.

<u>Collective choice and performance</u>

We first tested whether spider mites distribute themselves evenly across cadmium-free

plants. To this aim, we performed a G-test goodness of fit per replicate, assuming that the

mites would distribute equally across plants (*i.e.*, 25% per plant). To control for multiple tests,

a Bonferroni correction was applied. In addition, we performed a general linear model

comparing the control treatment to a mock treatment where each plant 'received' 50

individuals of the 200 released.

All statistical analyses to test the collective spider mite behaviour were done using a

general linear model with number of individuals on a plant as the dependent variable. All

models included block and interaction between identity of the plant and replicate as random factors. Models where initially fit with a Poisson distribution, but due to overdispersion, a negative binomial error distribution was used instead.

To test whether spider mites collectively avoided plants with cadmium, we compared the distribution of females in plants with and without cadmium (treatment 2, 3 and 4) using a model with cadmium and treatment as fixed factors and their interaction. Post hoc contrasts were performed to test whether spider mites avoided plants with cadmium in each treatment, and to test whether treatments differed concerning this avoidance. To test if cadmium concentration affected spider mite choice we compared treatments 2 and 3, and to test if presence of competitors affected choice of plants with cadmium we compared treatments 3 and 4. Finally, we tested whether the presence of cadmium in the environment affected the avoidance of competitors by comparing the treatments containing a plant with competitors (treatments 4 and 5) with a model with competitors and treatment as fixed factors and their interaction. Post hoc contrasts were performed to test whether spider mites avoided plants with competitors in each treatment, and whether treatments differed concerning this avoidance.

All statistical analyses to test collective spider mite performance were done using general linear models with a gamma error distribution, and the number of per capita eggs or the number of per capita female offspring (for the treatments with competitors) as dependent variables. All models included block and replicate as random factors.

We first assessed spider mite performance in the control treatment (i.e., treatment 1) to test whether mite oviposition rate was even across plants. This was done using a generalized mixed model with plant as fixed factor. The effect of cadmium on spider mite

performance was assessed by comparing the per capita oviposition rate across all plants

among treatments containing plants with and without cadmium (i.e., treatments 2, 3 and 4).

For that we applied a model with cadmium and treatment and their interaction as fixed

factors. Next, we performed pairwise comparisons to test whether the performance of spider

mites differed on plants with or without cadmium within each treatment. We also performed

pairwise comparisons to test whether the performance on plants with cadmium differed

across treatments, only using data from plants with cadmium in each treatment.

To estimate if the effect of cadmium was similar for the individual and collective tests,

we calculated the standardized effect size for each treatment and experiment using the

effectsize package (Ben-Shachar et al., 2020).

To test the effect of the competitor on the number of per capita offspring we used a

model with presence (or absence) of competitors as fixed factor. We used posthoc tests to

compare the treatments with competitors and their respective control (i.e. treatment 1 vs

treatment 5 and treatment 3 vs treatment 4).

All statistical analyses were performed using the software R v3.6 (R Core Team, 2022),

using packages glmmTMB and lme4 to perform the general linear models (Bates et al., 2015;

Brooks et al., 2017), car for the ANOVA tests (Fox and Weisberg, 2019), emmeans for post-

hoc contrasts (Lenth, 2023) and ggplot2 for visualization (Wickham, 2009).

Results

Individual choice and performance

Individual spider mite females did not occupy differently leaf discs with and without cadmium

(estimated overall probability = 0.0554, z ratio= 1.064, P = 0.2875, Fig. 2A). They laid

significantly fewer eggs on leaf discs with cadmium than   on leaf discs without cadmium (ratio

between water and cadmium= 2.1, z ratio= 10.432, p<0.001, Fig. 2B, Table S1).

<u>Collective choice and performance</u>

Spider mites distributed themselves unevenly across plants in our set-up. In 18 out of the 19

replicates, they showed a distribution significantly different from the expected 25%, even

after Bonferroni correction (Table S2). Indeed, the difference between the treatment with

only plants with no cadmium and no competitors and a mock variable with an even

distribution across plants was significant (effect of treatment: X^2_2=7410,5; P < 0.0001, Fig 3,

treatment 1).

There was a significant effect of cadmium on the number of females on each plant,

but not of treatment or their interaction (ANOVA results cadmium: X^2_1 =8.70, p-value

=0.0031; treatment: X^2_1 = 1.678, p-value= 0.432; cadmium*treatment: X^2_1 = 1.674, p-value =

0.432 ; Fig. 3). Spider mites avoided plants with cadmium in all treatments (treatment 2

plants: z ratio=-55.987, p-value <0.0001, treatment 3: z ratio=-49.560, p-value <0.0001;

treatment 4: z ratio=- 49.343, p-value <0.0001). This avoidance was not different between

treatments with two or with three plants (contrast: z ratio=-0.390, p value = 0.9196).

There was a significant effect of the presence of a competitor, and the interaction with

treatment on the number of females on each plant (competitor: X^2_1 = 4.556, p-value= 0.033;

treatment: X^2_1 = 1.666, p-value=0.197; cadmium*treatment: X^2_1 = 5.556, p-value =0.0184; Fig.

3). There was no difference in the number of mites present in plants with or without

competitors when all plants were cadmium-free (contrast: z ratio=1.203, p-value = 0.229).

However, in the treatment where plants that were not infested with the competitor had

cadmium, females chose more often the plant with the competitor (i.e. avoided cadmium),

resulting in a significant difference among these treatments (contrast: z ratio= -2.134, p-value

= 0.033). This choice was not different from that documented when the non-contaminated

plant did not have competitors (contrast between treatment 3 and 4: z ratio=-0.457, p value=

0.891).

In the control treatment, plant identity did not significantly affect spider mite

oviposition rate (X^2_3 = 3.473, p-value = 0.324; Figure 4).

The presence of cadmium in plants, in treatments 2, 3 and 4 significantly reduced the

oviposition rate of females (X^2_2 = 89.745, p-value <0.0001; Figure 4). However, having two or

three plants with cadmium in the setup did not affect spider-mite performance (X^2_2 = 0.413,

p-value = 0.813; Figure 4). In fact, the standardized effect size associated with the presence

of cadmium was remarkably similar for treatments 2 and 3 (Table S1). The effect size of

cadmium is larger in individual than in collective performance experiments, but showing a

clear overlap of the confidence intervals (Table S1).

In environments with cadmium-free plants only, there was a significant effect of the

presence of the competitor on the number of offspring per female after one generation (X^2_1

= 15.877, p-value = 0.0004, Figure 5). Contrasts between plants with or without competitors

revealed that the number of female offspring on uninfested plants (*i.e.*, in the absence of

competitors or cadmium) was higher than on cadmium-free plants with competitors

(contrasts between treatment 1 and 5: t ratio= 3.771, p-value=0.002). However, this

difference was not observed when competitor-free plants contained cadmium (contrasts

between treatment 3 and 4: t ratio= -1.713, p-value=0.325).

Discussion

Our study shows that *T. urticae* females do not avoid tomato leaves with cadmium when they

are given the choice individually, although feeding on these leaves entails a reduction in their

performance. In contrast, when in group, facing the choice between cadmium and cadmium-

free plants, females clearly moved more often to plants without cadmium. They also showed

a non-even distribution, even when all plants were uninfested and uncontaminated,

suggesting aggregation behaviour. Moreover, the frequency of cadmium-free plants had no

effect on spider-mite avoidance. Finally, when all plants were cadmium-free spider mites did

not discriminate between plants with or without competitors, but they avoided plants with

cadmium when the alternative was moving to a non-contaminated plant with competitors.

We found here that spider mites feeding tomato plants that have hyperaccumulated

cadmium have a lower oviposition rate than mite feeding on non-contaminated plants. This

result recapitulates previous findings (Godinho et al., 2023b, 2022, 2018), and implies that

they are robust to the set-up used, be it on single individuals on leaf discs or on populations

on detached leaves. Curiously, however, when given the choice between leaf discs with or

without cadmium, *T. urticae* females did not avoid the former. Although this recapitulates a

result obtained for *T. evansi* (Godinho et al., 2023a), it is surprising, not only because of the

abovementioned consequences of cadmium for performance, but also because this choice

set-up had been validated previously (Rodrigues et al., 2017; Zélé et al., 2019). The

abovementioned set-ups included cues from conspecifics or from bacteria, whereas the leaf

discs used here differed solely in cues stemming from the plant itself. Hence, possibly,

cadmium does not affect plant cues that inform spider mites of its content. Alternatively, such

cues may be produced in whole plants but not in cut leaf discs.

In contrast to the individual choice assays, spider mites systematically avoided plants with

cadmium in a set-up that simultaneously tested 200 mites. Our results from the control

treatment unequivocally show that spider mites do not distribute themselves evenly across

plants. Instead, they tend to aggregate on particular plants, a result also found in the field

(Rijal et al., 2016). Aggregation behaviour has been shown to be beneficial to *T. urticae*, by

increasing fecundity and net production and decreasing the death rate of females living in

groups (Le Goff et al., 2010). In the treatments containing plants with and without cadmium,

such aggregation occurred on plants without cadmium. It is thus tempting to hypothesize that

spider mites use the information of their conspecifics to avoid plants with cadmium. Spider

mites often communicate by means of odour cues, for example when searching for mates

(Regev and Cone, 1976; Rodrigues et al., 2017) or when avoiding predators (Choh and

Takabayashi, 2010; Janssen et al., 1999). Possibly, aversive chemical information of spider

mites that have been on plants with cadmium is available in our set-up and used by spider

mites to make choices that are beneficial for their performance. Alternatively, cadmium

disrupts the production of plant volatiles induced by spider mites, which are also used by

conspecifics to find infested plants (Lin et al., 2022; Pallini et al., 1997). In this case, cadmium-

free plants are expected to become more attractive than plants with cadmium.

In environments without cadmium, *T. urticae* spider mites did not avoid plants with

competitors when given the choice between such plants and plants without competitors,

which is at odds with the number of offspring they produce after one generation being lower

on plants with competitors as compared to uninfested plants, as found here and in other

studies . (Fragata et al., 2022; Sarmento et al., 2011a). Possibly, avoidance of *T. evansi* to other

plants is only observed in later stages of plant infestation, when resources become scarcer.

Indeed, *T. evansi* is known to downregulate plant defences, which is beneficial to *T. urticae* in

the short term (Godinho et al., 2016; Sarmento et al., 2011b). Moreover, *T. urticae* is able to

avoid *T. evansi* within a single plant (Godinho et al., 2020a), which may release the selection pressure to avoid whole plants.

In contrast, the presence of cadmium on plants uninfested with the competitor changes the behaviour of *T. urticae* towards this competitor. Our results suggest that spider mites avoid plants with cadmium in detriment of avoiding competitors. An earlier study had shown that spider mites prefer young over old leaves, as the former result in higher oviposition rate for them, but that this preference vanishes when this leaf is infested with competitors (Godinho et al., 2020a). Here, instead, we show that an abiotic selection pressure seems to be more relevant to spider mite host choice than the presence of competitors. The complex interaction between responses to abiotic and biotic stressors has been documented in other systems (Murphy and Loewy, 2015; Tran et al., 2019). One possible explanation for our results is that selecting hosts with *T. evansi* might be less risky than selecting plants with cadmium because *T. urticae* could still avoid *T. evansi* once on the plant by finding a leaf free of competitors (e.g.,Godinho et al., 2020a), while cadmium is accumulated in all leaves and there is no way to avoid it within a plant.

The avoidance of cadmium even in the presence of competitors implies stronger competition on cadmium-free plants. This is in line with studies showing increased intra or interspecific competition in resource patches with higher quality in heterogeneous environments (Shen et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2012). This suggests that spider mites will be exposed to different selection pressures in heterogeneous environments: on plants with cadmium, selection will favour mites that are able to physiologically cope with the toxic effects of metal, whereas on plants with no cadmium mites will face stronger intra- and inter-specific competition. If these two selection pressures persist for long enough, they may lead to high population differentiation within herbivores. Corroborating this hypothesis would

necessitate studying populations occurring on sites with both types of plants (e.g. Freeman

et al., 2007).

Alternatively, spider mites will not be able to adapt to plants with cadmium, for

example due to lack of genetic diversity, in which case the observed cadmium avoidance

would lead to plants with such metal suffering few attacks by herbivores in the long run, as

seen in other systems (Galeas et al., 2008; Noret et al., 2007). This should select for the

maintenance of metal accumulation in plants, especially because spider mites do not

individually avoid tomato plants, and not all mites avoid plants, even when collectively

choosing. Thus, plants with cadmium will still suffer some herbivore damage. Hence, as long

as the fitness cost of uptaking metal does not surpass the fitness gain of herbivore avoidance,

selection to uptake metals as a defence against herbivores will be maintained.

In sum, our results support that collective choice may help the maintenance of plant

elemental defence against herbivory, by increasing avoidance of contaminated plants, while

also revealing that hyperaccumulation by plants may indirectly affect competition among

herbivores, thus, having broad effects on metal polluted ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Cátia Eira and Inês Santos for the maintenance of mite populations,

Lucie de Sousa for growing the plants and all the mite squad for fruitful discussions. This

work was financed by an ERC (European Research Council) consolidator grant COMPCON,

GA 725419 attributed to SM and by FCT (Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia) with a Junior

researcher contract (CEECIND/02616/2018) attributed to IF.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

<u>Data availability</u>

Data will be deposited in Figshare upon acceptance of the manuscript.

References

References

Alloway, B.J., 2013. Heavy metals in soils: trace metals and metalloids in soils and their

bioavailability, Choice Reviews Online. Springer Science \& Business Media.

https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.50-3862

Baker, A.J.M., 1987. Metal Tolerance. New Phytol. 106, 93–111.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04685.x

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B.M., Walker, S.C., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models

using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 67, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Behmer, S.T., Lloyd, C.M., Raubenheimer, D., Stewart-Clark, J., Knight, J., Leighton, R.S.,

Harper, F.A., Smith, J.A.C., 2005. Metal hyperaccumulation in plants: mechanisms of

defence against insect herbivores. Funct. Ecol. 19, 55–66.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0269-8463.2005.00943.x

Ben-Shachar, M.S., Lüdecke, D., Makowski, D., 2020. {e}ffectsize: Estimation of Effect Size

Indices and Standardized Parameters. J. Open Source Softw. 5, 2815.

https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02815

Boyd, R.S., Moar, W.J., 1999. The defensive function of Ni in plants: Response of the

polyphagous herbivore Spodoptera exigua (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to

hyperaccumulator and accumulator species of Streptanthus (Brassicaceae). Oecologia

118, 218–224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050721

Brooks, M.E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K.J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C.W., Nielsen, A.,

Skaug, H.J., Maechler, M., Bolker, B.M., 2017. {glmmTMB} Balances Speed and

Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. R J. 9,

378–400. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-066

Choh, Y., Takabayashi, J., 2010. Predator avoidance by phytophagous mites is affected by

the presence of herbivores in a neighboring patch. J. Chem. Ecol. 36, 614–619.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9792-4

Clotuche, G., Mailleux, A.C., Fernández Astudillo, A., Deneubourg, J.L., Detrain, C., Hance, T.,

2011. The formation of collective silk balls in the spider mite tetranychus urticae Koch.

PLoS One 6, e18854. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018854

Cunningham, S.D., Berti, W.R., Huang, J.W., 1995. Phytoremediation of contaminated soils.

Trends Biotechnol. 13, 393–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7799(00)88987-8

De La Riva, D.G., Trumble, J.T., 2016. Selenium exposure results in reduced reproduction in

an invasive ant species and altered competitive behavior for a native ant species.

Environ. Pollut. 213, 888–894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.03.045

Fox, J., Weisberg, S., 2019. An {R} Companion to Applied Regression, Third. ed. Sage,

Thousand Oaks {CA}.

Fragata, I., Costa-Pereira, R., Kozak, M., Majer, A., Godoy, O., Magalhães, S., 2022. Specific

sequence of arrival promotes coexistence via spatial niche pre-emption by the weak

competitor. Ecol. Lett. 25, 1629–1639. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14021

Freeman, J.L., Lindblom, S.D., Quinn, C.F., Fakra, S., Marcus, M.A., Pilon-Smits, E.A.H., 2007.

Selenium accumulation protects plants from herbivory by Orthoptera via toxicity and

deterrence. New Phytol. 175, 490–500. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

8137.2007.02119.x

Freeman, J.L., Quinn, C.F., Marcus, M.A., Fakra, S., Pilon-Smits, E.A.H., 2006. Selenium-

Tolerant Diamondback Moth Disarms Hyperaccumulator Plant Defense. Curr. Biol. 16,

2181–2192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.09.015

Galeas, M.L., Klamper, E.M., Bennett, L.E., Freeman, J.L., Kondratieff, B.C., Quinn, C.F., Pilon-

Smits, E.A.H., 2008. Selenium hyperaccumulation reduces plant arthropod loads in the

field. New Phytol. 177, 715–724. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

8137.2007.02285.x

Gall, J.E., Boyd, R.S., Rajakaruna, N., 2015. Transfer of heavy metals through terrestrial food

webs: a review. Environ. Monit. Assess. 187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-

4436-3

Godinho, D.P., Branquinho, C., Magalhães, S., 2023b. Intraspecific variability in herbivore

response to elemental defences is caused by the metal itself. J. Pest Sci. 96, 797–806.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-022-01525-0

Godinho, D.P., Cruz, M.A., Charlery de la Masselière, M., Teodoro-Paulo, J., Eira, C., Fragata,

I., Rodrigues, L.R., Zélé, F., Magalhães, S., 2020b. Creating outbred and inbred

populations in haplodiploids to measure adaptive responses in the laboratory. Ecol.

Evol. 10, 7291–7305. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6454

Godinho, D.P., Fragata, I., Majer, A., Rodrigues, L.R., Magalhães, S., 2023a. Limits to the

adaptation of herbivorous spider mites to metal accumulation in homogeneous and

heterogeneous environments. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.15.532545

Godinho, D.P., Janssen, A., Dias, T., Cruz, C., Magalhães, S., 2016. Down - regulation of plant

defence in a resident spider mite species and its effect upon con - and heterospecifics.

Oecologia 180, 161–167. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3434-z

Godinho, D.P., Janssen, A., Li, D., Cruz, C., Magalhães, S., 2020a. The distribution of

herbivores between leaves matches their performance only in the absence of

competitors. Ecol. Evol. 10, 8405–8415. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6547

Godinho, D.P., Serrano, H.C., Da Silva, A.B., Branquinho, C., Magalhães, S., 2018. Effect of

cadmium accumulation on the performance of plants and of herbivores that cope

differently with organic defenses. Front. Plant Sci. 871, 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01723

Godinho, D.P., Serrano, H.C., Magalhães, S., Branquinho, C., 2022. Concurrent herbivory and

metal accumulation: The outcome for plants and herbivores. Plant-Environment

Interact. 3, 170–178. https://doi.org/10.1002/pei3.10088

Hou, D., O'Connor, D., Nathanail, P., Tian, L., Ma, Y., 2017. Integrated GIS and multivariate

statistical analysis for regional scale assessment of heavy metal soil contamination: A

critical review. Environ. Pollut. 231, 1188–1200.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.07.021

Hunter, A.F., 2000. Gregariousness and repellent defences in the survival of phytophagous

insects. Oikos 91, 213–224. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.910202.x

Janssen, A., Pallini, A., Venzon, M., Sabelis, M.W., 1999. Absence of odour-mediated

avoidance of heterospecific competitors by the predatory mite Phytoseiulus persimilis.

Entomol. Exp. Appl. 92, 73–82. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-

7458.1999.00526.x

Janssens, L., Dinh Van, K., Debecker, S., Bervoets, L., Stoks, R., 2014. Local adaptation and

the potential effects of a contaminant on predator avoidance and antipredator

responses under global warming: A space-for-time substitution approach. Evol. Appl. 7,

421–430. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12141

Kazemi-Dinan, A., Thomaschky, S., Stein, R.J., Krämer, U., Müller, C., 2014. Zinc and

cadmium hyperaccumulation act as deterrents towards specialist herbivores and

impede the performance of a generalist herbivore. New Phytol. 202, 628–639.

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12663

Le Goff, G.J., Mailleux, A.C., Detrain, C., Deneubourg, J.L., Clotuche, G., Hance, T., 2010.

Group effect on fertility, survival and silk production in the web spinner tetranychus

urticae (acari: Tetranychidae) during colony foundation. Behaviour 147, 1169–1184.

https://doi.org/10.1163/000579510X510980

Lenth, R. V, 2023. emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means.

Lin, T., Zhu, G., He, W., Xie, J., Li, Shujiang, Han, S., Li, Shuying, Yang, C., Liu, Y., Zhu, T., 2022.

Soil cadmium stress reduced host plant odor selection and oviposition preference of

leaf herbivores through the changes in leaf volatile emissions. Sci. Total Environ. 814,

152728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152728

Martens, S.N., Boyd, R.S., 1994. The ecological significance of nickel hyperaccumulation: a

plant chemical defense. Oecologia 98, 379–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00324227

McLellan, C.F., Montgomery, S.H., 2023. Towards an integrative approach to understanding

collective behaviour in caterpillars. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 378.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0072

Meyer, C.-L., Juraniec, M., Huguet, S., Chaves-Rodriguez, E., Salis, P., Isaure, M.-P.,

Goormaghtigh, E., Verbruggen, N., 2015. Intraspecific variability of cadmium tolerance

and accumulation, and cadmium-induced cell wall modifications in the metal

hyperaccumulator Arabidopsis halleri. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 3215–3227.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv144

Mogren, C.L., Trumble, J.T., 2010. The impacts of metals and metalloids on insect behavior.

Entomol. Exp. Appl. 135, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.2010.00967.x

Mohiley, A., Tielbörger, K., Seifan, M., Gruntman, M., 2020. The role of biotic interactions in

determining metal hyperaccumulation in plants. Funct. Ecol. 34, 658–668.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13502

Murphy, S.M., Loewy, K.J., 2015. Trade-offs in host choice of an herbivorous insect based on

parasitism and larval performance. Oecologia 179, 741–751.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3373-8

Noret, N., Meerts, P., Vanhaelen, M., Dos Santos, A., Escarré, J., 2007. Do metal-rich plants

deter herbivores? A field test of the defence hypothesis. Oecologia 152, 92–100.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0635-5

Oliveira, C.S., Nogara, P.A., Lima, L.S., Galiciolli, M.E.A., Souza, J. V, Aschner, M., Rocha,

J.B.T., 2022. Toxic metals that interact with thiol groups and alteration in insect

behavior. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 52, 100923.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2022.100923

Pallini, A., Janssen, A., Sabelis, M.W., 1999. Spider mites avoid plants with predators. Exp.

Appl. Acarol. 23, 803–815. https://doi.org/10.1023/A

Pallini, A., Janssen, A., Sabelis, M.W., 1997. Odour-mediated responses of phytophagous

mites to conspecific and heterospecific competitors. Oecologia 110, 179–185.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050147

Peterson, E.K., Stark, A., Varian-Ramos, C.W., Hollocher, K.T., Possidente, B., 2020. Exposure

to Lead (Pb2+) Eliminates Avoidance of Pb-Treated Oviposition Substrates in a Dose-

Dependent Manner in Female Vinegar Flies. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 104, 588–

594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-020-02825-w

Pollard, A.J., Baker, A.J.M., 1997. Deterrence of herbivory by zinc hyperaccumulation in

Thlaspi caerulescens (Brassicaceae). New Phytol. 135, 655–658.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.1997.00689.x

Prokopy, R.J., Roitberg, B.D., 2001. JOINING AND AVOIDANCE BEHAVIOR IN NONSOCIAL

INSECTS. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 46, 631–665.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.46.1.631

Quinn, C.F., Freeman, J.L., Reynolds, R.J.B., Cappa, J.J., Fakra, S.C., Marcus, M.A., Lindblom,

S.D., Quinn, E.K., Bennett, L.E., Pilon-Smits, E.A.H., 2010. Selenium hyperaccumulation

offers protection from cell disruptor herbivores. BMC Ecol. 10.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-10-19

R Core Team, 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Regev, S., Cone, W.W., 1976. Analyses of pharate female twospotted spider mites for

Nerolidol and Geraniol: Evaluation for Sex Attraction of Males. Environ. Entomol. 5,

133–138. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/5.1.133

Rijal, J.P., Wilson, R., Godfrey, L.D., 2016. Characterization of spatial distribution of

Tetranychus urticae in peppermint in California and implication for improving sampling

plan. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 68, 155–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-015-0006-1

Rodrigues, L.R., Figueiredo, A.R.T., Varela, S.A.M., Olivieri, I., Magalhães, S., 2017. Male

spider mites use chemical cues, but not the female mating interval, to choose between

mates. Exp. Appl. Acarol. 71, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-016-0103-9

Rodrigues, L.R., Zélé, F., Santos, I., Magalhães, S., 2022. No evidence for the evolution of

mating behavior in spider mites due to Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility.

Evolution (N. Y). 76, 623–635. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14429

Sarmento, Renato A., Lemos, F., Bleeker, P.M., Schuurink, R.C., Pallini, A., Oliveira, M.G.A.,

Lima, E.R., Kant, M., Sabelis, M.W., Janssen, A., 2011b. A herbivore that manipulates

plant defence. Ecol. Lett. 14, 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-

0248.2010.01575.x

Sarmento, Renato A., Lemos, F., Dias, C.R., Kikuchi, W.T., Rodrigues, J.C.P., Pallini, A., Sabelis,

M.W., Janssen, A., 2011a. A herbivorous mite down-regulates plant defence and

produces web to exclude competitors. PLoS One 6, 8–14.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023757

Shelton, D.S., Dinges, Z.M., Khemka, A., Sykes, D.J., Suriyampola, P.S., Shelton, D.E.P., Boyd,

P., Kelly, J.R., Bower, M., Amro, H., Glaholt, S.P., Latta, M.B., Perkins, H.L., Shaw, J.R.,

Martins, E.P., 2023. A pair of cadmium-exposed zebrafish affect social behavior of the

un-exposed majority. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 100, 104119.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2023.104119

Shen, N., Liu, C., Yu, H., Qu, J., 2020. Effects of resource heterogeneity and environmental

disturbance on the growth performance and interspecific competition of wetland

clonal plants. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 22, e00914.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00914

Tran, T.T., Janssens, L., Dinh, K. V., Stoks, R., 2019. An adaptive transgenerational effect of

warming but not of pesticide exposure determines how a pesticide and warming

interact for antipredator behaviour. Environ. Pollut. 245, 307–315.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.11.022

Vickerman, D.B., Young, J.K., Trumble, J.T., 2003. Erratum: Effect of selenium-treated alfalfa

on development, survival, feeding, and oviposition preferences of Spodoptera exigua

(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Environ. Entomol. 32, 953–959.

Wang, P., Lei, J.-P., Li, M.-H., Yu, F.-H., 2012. Spatial heterogeneity in light supply affects

intraspecific competition of a stoloniferous clonal plant. PLoS One 7, e39105.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039105

Wertheim, B., Van Baalen, E.J.A., Dicke, M., Vet, L.E.M., 2005. Pheromone-mediated

aggregation in nonsocial arthropods: An evolutionary ecological perspective. Annu.

Rev. Entomol. 50, 321–346. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.49.061802.123329

Wickham, H., 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Xu, L., Van Doorn, S., Hildenbrandt, H., Etienne, R.S., 2020. Inferring the Effect of Species

Interactions on Trait Evolution. Syst. Biol. 0, 1–17.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syaa072

Zélé, F., Santos-Matos, G., Figueiredo, A.R.T., Eira, C., Pinto, C., Laurentino, T.G., Sucena, É.,

Magalhães, S., 2019. Spider mites escape bacterial infection by avoiding contaminated

food. Oecologia 189, 111–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-018-4316-y

Zwolak, A., Sarzyńska, M., Szpyrka, E., Stawarczyk, K., 2019. Sources of Soil Pollution by

Heavy Metals and Their Accumulation in Vegetables: a Review. Water. Air. Soil Pollut.

230. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-019-4221-y

Figures:

Figure 1. Experimental set-ups to measure individual (A) and collective host choice (B) and

performance (C). The white and black shapes represent plants without and with cadmium,

respectively. A) Experimental set-up for individual host choice (left panel) and individual

performance (right panel). B) Experimental set-up for collective host choice and performance

and schematic representation of the 5 treatments. The leaf marked in red streaks (treatments

4 and 5) identifies the presence of competitors.

Figure 2. Individual host choice and performance

(A) Number of females on leaf discs from plants with cadmium (dark grey) or without

cadmium (light grey) after 24 hours. (B) Number of eggs laid on leaf discs from plants with or

without cadmium. Error bars represent replicate standard error between replicates.

Figure 3. Collective host choice. Number of females after 24h on each plant for all treatments.

Numbers indicate the treatments: 1) control, 2) two plants with cadmium, 3) three plants with

cadmium, 4) one plant infested with competitors, 5) one plant infested with competitors and

three plants with cadmium. Dark grey bars represent plants with cadmium, light grey colour

plants without cadmium or competitor, white bars represent presence of competitors on the

plants. Error bars represent replicate standard error between replicates.

Figure 4. Collective performance. A) Number of eggs per female after 24h on each plant for

treatments 1-3. Dark grey bars represent plants with cadmium, light grey colour plants

without cadmium or competitor, white bars represent presence of competitors on the plants.

Error bars represent replicate standard error between replicates.

Figure 5. Collective performance in presence of competitors. Light grey bars represent plants

without cadmium or competitor, white bars represent presence of competitors on the plants.

Error bars represent replicate standard error between replicates. Both types of plants

contained no cadmium.

Figure 1

643 **<u>Figure 2</u>**

A

B

647 **<u>Figure 3</u>**

<u>Figure 4</u>

654 **Figure 5**

655