Landscapes associated with Japanese encephalitis virus reflect the functional biogeography of
waterbird species across Australia and the Central Indo-Pacific region

Michael G. Walsh[*,1,2,3,4], Cameron Webb[2,5], Victoria Brookes[6,2]

[1]The University of Sydney, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Sydney School of Public Health,
Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia, [2]The University of Sydney, Faculty of Medicine and
Health, Sydney Infectious Diseases Institute, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia, [3]One Health
Centre, The Prasanna School of Public Health, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal,
Karnataka, India, [4]The Prasanna School of Public Health, Manipal Academy of Higher Education,
Manipal, Karnataka, India, [5]Department of Medical Entomology, NSW Health Pathology, Westmead
Hospital, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia, [6]Sydney School of Veterinary Science, Faculty of
Science, The University of Sydney, New South Wales, Camperdown

*Address correspondence to:
Michael Walsh, PhD, MPH
Senior Lecturer, Infectious Diseases Epidemiology
Sydney School of Public Health
The University of Sydney
Edward Ford Building
Camperdown NSW 2006
michael.walsh1@sydney.edu.au
thegowda@gmail.com

Abstract

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), a zoonotic, mosquito-borne virus, has broad circulation across the
Central Indo-Pacific biogeographical region (CIPBR), which recently expanded dramatically within this
region across southeastern Australia over the summer of 2021-2022. Preliminary investigation of the
landscape epidemiology of the outbreaks of JEV in Australian piggeries found associations with
particular landscape structure as well as ardeid species richness. The ways in which waterbird species
from diverse taxonomic pools with substantial functional variation might couple with JEV-associated
landscape structure was not explored, and therefore, key questions regarding the landscape
epidemiology and infection ecology of JEV remain unanswered. Moreover, given the established
presence of JEV within the CIBPR, the extent to which waterbird species pools in JEV-associated
landscapes in Australia reflect broader regional patterns in functional biogeography presents a
further knowledge gap particularly with respect to potential virus dispersal via maintenance hosts.
The current study investigated waterbird species presence, ecological traits, and functional diversity
distribution at landscape scale, and how these aligned with confirmed JEV detections in eastern
Australia and the wider CIPBR. The results showed that waterbird habitat associated with JEV
detection in Australia in 2022 and more widely across the CIPBR over the last 20 years reflects a
range of species representing 8 families in 4 orders (ardeids, anatids, rallids, phalacrocoracids,
threskiornithids, gruids, and pelecanids). Increasing waterbird functional diversity (trait-based mean
pairwise dissimilarity) was associated with landscapes delineating JEV occurrence, while only one
individual trait, high hand-wing index, was consistently associated with species presence in these
JEV-associated landscapes in both Australia and the broader CIPBR. This suggests that dispersal
capacity among the waterbird species pools that dominate JEV-associated landscapes might be
important. By taking an agnostic approach to JEV maintenance host status, this study indicates a
relatively large, CIPBR-wide pool of waterbird families associated with JEV landscapes, challenging
the narrow view that JEV maintenance is limited to ardeid birds. In addition, these findings highlight
the potential for leveraging functional biogeography in high-risk landscapes across broad geographic
extent to guide landscape-specific selection of species for JEV surveillance.

**Introduction**

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) has circulated in the Central Indo-Pacific biogeographical region (CIPBR) for many decades(Garjito et al., 2018; Hanson et al., 2004; Johansen et al., 2000; Lopez et al., 2015). In early 2022 Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) was detected for the first time in south-eastern regions of Australia, resulting in the declaration of a Communicable Disease Incident of National Significance. A total of 45 cases of human disease were reported, including seven fatalities(Chief Medical Officer, 2023). Outbreaks were also reported in piggeries with unprecedented wide geographic distribution, high numbers of affected piggeries, and high incidence within piggeries (Australian Department of Health and Aged Care, 2022; Mackenzie et al., 2022; Williams et al., 2022). Previous JEV occurrence in Australia had been limited to isolated cases in the Torres Strait Islands(Hanna et al., 1996) and the Cape York Peninsula of northern Queensland(Hanna et al., 1999). The extensive geographic distribution of the recent outbreaks, which included eastern and southern Australia, was of particular concern because it deviated markedly from anticipated emergence events for JEV(van den Hurk et al., 2019) and suggested broad circulation of the virus in maintenance communities prior to emergence in pigs and humans(Purnell, 2022). These outbreaks followed a long period of anomalous weather due to consecutive La Niña phases of the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO), including high levels of precipitation and major flooding events across eastern Australia that generated considerable disturbance to natural communities(Bureau of Meteorology, 2021). It was hypothesised that the anomalous La Niña-associated weather patterns might have contributed to the widespread distribution of JEV outbreaks in 2022 due to modulation of vector or maintenance host population distributions(Purnell, 2022). However, a thorough investigation of landscape composition and configuration and La Niña-associated anomalies showed that landscape structure was substantially more influential to outbreak occurrence than were specific precipitation or temperature anomalies associated with La Niña(Walsh et al., 2023). Aspects of high-risk landscape structure, such as the composition of transient wetlands and the propensity to flooding, would also have been

affected by both proximal and distal precipitation events, and so La Niña-associated weather

anomalies likely influenced outbreak occurrence indirectly.

Japanese encephalitis virus circulates in wild ardeid bird maintenance hosts(Bhattacharya

and Basu, 2014; Buescher et al., 1959; Jamgaonkar et al., 2003; Nemeth et al., 2012; Ogata et al.,

1970; Rodrigues et al., 1981; Scherer, 1959) vectored by mosquitoes (primarily *Culex annulirostris* in

Australia(van den Hurk et al., 2003) and *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* in Southeast Asia(Endy and Nisalak,

2002; Longbottom et al., 2017)) and can spillover to pigs(Baruah et al., 2018; Borah et al., 2013; Chen

and Beaty, 1982; Ghimire et al., 2014; Kakkar et al., 2017; Komada et al., 1968) and humans, which

are competent and non-competent hosts, respectively. Previous work has examined the distribution

of ardeid species richness as a key nidus of the maintenance community, identifying a strong

quadratic relationship between richness and JEV piggery outbreak occurrence, in which intermediate

richness was associated with greatest risk(Walsh et al., 2023). However, only ardeid species richness

was explored, and not the association between outbreaks and individual species from a broader

taxonomic pool, or the influence of the structure and functional distribution of the species pool on

the 2022 piggery outbreaks in Australia. The latter has not been assessed with respect to outbreaks

anywhere in the CIPBR to date, despite evidence of JEV circulation across the Torres Strait

Islands(Johansen et al., 2004; van den Hurk et al., 2001) and far north Queensland(van den Hurk et

al., 2006), as well as in Papua New Guinea(Hanson et al., 2004; Johansen et al., 2000), for 2 decades,

and in Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines for several decades(Garjito et al., 2018; Lopez et al.,

2015). Due to the logistics and resources required to sample birds across the vast landscapes of both

Australia and the broader CIPBR, as well as the potential for extensive dispersal of maintenance hosts

and vectors within the CIPBR, a better understanding of how particular species and species pools

align with landscapes associated with JEV occurrence would be useful to identify target species to

monitor JEV circulation in these landscapes. This could be especially useful to illuminate the full

breadth of potential JEV maintenance hosts, given that a narrower perspective has typically limited

the scope of wild host sampling to ardeids and recent studies have provided evidence that this scope

should be expanded(Levesque et al., 2024; Moore et al., 2024).

126           The study of the functional ecology of viral maintenance hosts has increased in recent years

as a means to help identify potential hosts based on specific biological and ecological traits,

frequently focusing on life history due to the association between fast-living and host competence in

many r-selected species(Brunner et al., 2008; Cynthia J. Downs et al., 2019; Cynthia J Downs et al.,

2019; Han et al., 2016, 2015a, 2015b; Ostfeld et al., 2014; Plourde et al., 2017; Stephens et al., 2016).

These species, which are typically generalist and frequently more resilient to anthropogenic

pressure(Faust et al., 2018; Gibb et al., 2020; Johnson et al., 2020), tend to invest more in earlier and

more fecund reproductive effort, and less in immune function and adult survival(Molles, 2015). As

such, many of these species are also optimal hosts for pathogens(Johnson et al., 2012). Nevertheless,

the association between fast-living species and host competence is not universal, with some systems

demonstrating the opposite or ambiguous associations, such as ebolaviruses(Schmidt et al., 2019),

Rift Valley fever virus (RVFV)(Walsh and Mor, 2018), and Ross River virus (RRV)(Walsh, 2019). In

addition to life history, other host traits, including population density, diet composition, and dispersal

ability, can also be influential to the infection ecology of some pathogens(Gibb et al., 2020; Johnson

et al., 2020). Critically, relationships with species traits, particularly those relating to life history and

population-level transmission dynamics, have been largely neglected in bird-host pathogen systems.

However, a recent example demonstrated strong associations between wild avian influenza hosts

and life history and dispersal capacity, which is another widely distributed, albeit not vector-borne,

host-virus system closely associated with agricultural-wetland mosaics(Yin et al., 2023). Finally,

functional diversity offers a further key representation of ecological diversity, which can help to

inform ecological relationships beyond what is demonstrated by community composition (i.e. species

richness, evenness, abundance) or phylogenetic diversity alone. The extent to which landscape-scale

species pools diverge or converge in their biological and ecological traits can help to inform

environmental filtering, dispersal capacity, and biotic interactions, particularly in the context of JEV

occurrence. Notwithstanding the importance of species traits to species distributions, host functional

ecology has hitherto gone unexplored with respect to JEV hosts and is, therefore, a critical

knowledge gap for this system especially since the functional biogeography of waterbirds in Australia

and the broader CIPBR might be directly relevant to JEV circulation across the region.

The first aim of this study was to identify how species pools mapped to JEV-associated

landscapes in Australia. Specifically, we sought to determine which waterbird species (eight families

of 4 avian orders) were distributed in close association with JEV piggery outbreaks, and how species

pools were structured with the landscape composition and configuration of these outbreaks, to

inform preliminary target host species in specific landscapes for One Health surveillance of JEV.

Secondly, we aimed to determine the extent to which species pool-weighted trait means and

functional diversity were coupled with JEV-associated landscapes in Australia. Finally, we aimed to

determine whether species pools and their functional ecology similarly mapped to landscapes where

JEV has been detected across the broader CIPBR as a whole.

**Material and methods**

<u>Data Sources</u>

*Animal data*

Australian piggery outbreaks (N = 54) reported to the World Organisation for Animal Health

(WOAH) by the Australian government between 19 January, 2022 and 4 April, 2022(World

Organisation For Animal Health, 2022) have been described in a previous epidemiological

investigation(Walsh et al., 2023). Briefly, outbreak occurrence was defined as one or more cases

reported at the level of the piggery. An additional 11 independently-documented JEV occurrence

locations (eight piggery outbreaks reported by a commercial pork producer, and 3 positive mosquito

pools detected through the NSW Arbovirus Surveillance and Mosquito Monitoring Program(New

South Wales Health, 2022)) were used to externally validate model performance. For the extended

CIPBR analyses, 25 additional JEV occurrence detections (9 mosquito pool detections, 14 human

detections, and 2 pig detections) in Papua New Guinea (n = 4)(Hanson et al., 2004; Johansen et al.,

2000), the Torres Strait Islands (n = 2)(Johansen et al., 2004; van den Hurk et al., 2001), the Cape York

Peninsula in Australia (n = 1)(van den Hurk et al., 2006), Indonesia (n = 4)(Faizah et al., 2021; Kardena

et al., 2024; Spicer et al., 1999), Malaysia (n = 12)(Khor et al., 2020), and the Philippines (n = 2)(Aure

et al., 2022) were identified from laboratory confirmed investigations that were published between

1997 and 2019. Geographic locations were published along with these data and latitude and

longitude coordinates were obtained from Google Maps when not otherwise specifically provided.

All reporting of these detections was available at a minimum of 2.5 arc minutes resolution.

The habitat suitability of extant species of waterbirds across the CIPBR was modelled using

5,190,722 observations of these species representing the Ardeidae, Threskiornithidae, Pelecanidae,

Anatidae, Rallidae, Phalacrocoracidae, Ciconiidae, Gruidae avian families that are either extant to

mainland Australia (n = 69 species), or the broader the CIPBR without being extant to Australia (n =

23 species), for a total of 92 species currently described throughout the region. Observations were

recorded between 1 January 2010 and 31 December 2020 and acquired from the Global Biodiversity

Information Facility (GBIF)(Global Biodiversity Information Facility, n.d., n.d.). The phylogenetic tree

of these species was obtained from the VertLife project using the Hackett backbone and constructed

based on the methods described by Jetz et al. (Jetz et al., 2012). Taxonomic binomial discrepancies

between the VertLife tree and the named species obtained from GBIF used for the species

distribution models described below were resolved and alternate binomials appear in parentheses in

Table S1, with one exception. *Porphyrio melanotus* and *Porphyrio indicus* were previously considered

subspecies of *Porphyrio porphyrio*, and so the former 2 species are represented by the latter

binomial, *Porphyrio porphyrio*, in the phylogenetic tree. Thus, all phylogenetic analyses comprise 91

species rather than the current full 92 species. Data obtained from the national pig herd dataset,

which is used in the Australian Animal Disease Spread Model, were used to quantify Australian

domestic pig density for the JEV models described below. This dataset comprises >8000 registered

pig herds of all types (including commercial, boar studs, smallholder and pig keepers)(Bradhurst et

al., 2015). For the rest of the CIPBR, pig density was obtained from the Gridded Livestock of the

World database(Robinson et al., 2014).

Reporting bias may affect JEV occurrence detection, whereby large commercial herds may be

more likely to capture and record cases due to more systematic collection of production data and

increased probability of disease detection in larger herds. Moreover, all JEV detections in this study

were associated with piggeries either directly or indirectly, from the piggery outbreak data in

Australia, to human outbreaks proximate to piggeries and pig sentinel and mosquito vector

surveillance in other parts of the CIPBR. Therefore, background points for JEV models were selected

proportional to pig density throughout the CIPBR to correct for potential JEV detection reporting

bias.

*Environmental data for habitat suitability models*

Land cover classification based on the European Space Agency and Climate Change Initiative

was used to classify wetlands(European Space Agency and Climate Change Initiative, 2017a;

Lamarche et al., 2017) and land cover(European Space Agency and Climate Change Initiative, 2017b)

at 3 arc seconds in 2010, as this time point corresponded to the beginning of the period of recorded

ardeid observations described above. Waterways were represented by a separate high-resolution (3

arc seconds) image produced in collaboration between CIESIN and the WorldPop project (University

of Southampton et al., n.d.; WorldPop, n.d.). Mean annual precipitation, mean annual temperature,

and isothermality were obtained from WorldClim (Fick and Hijmans, 2017). The Priestley-Taylor α

coefficient (P-Tα) is the ratio of actual evapotranspiration to potential evapotranspiration and was

used to describe water stress in the landscape(Khaldi et al., 2014; Priestley and Taylor, 1972). The P-

Tα data were acquired from the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)

Consortium for Spatial Information at a resolution of 30 arc seconds(Trabucco and Zomer, 2010).

*Environmental data for landscape-level metrics (Australia-only)*

All JEV outbreaks in Australia were reported to a scale of at least 5 arc minutes (~ 10 km),

which thus represents the landscape-level scale of the current investigation. Land cover classes were

represented using the previously validated Copernicus land cover data at 100 m resolution(Jung et

al., 2020a, 2020b). The Joint Research Centre's Global Surface Water product (based on Landsat 5, 7,

and 8 imagery) was used to represent high resolution (30 m) surface water seasonality in the year

prior to piggery outbreaks(Joint Research Centre, n.d.; Pekel et al., 2016). Each pixel in the raster tiles

represents the total number of months (0 − 12) with surface water present during 2021. The

heterogeneity of water transience across the landscape was based on five derived rasters: 1)

permanent surface water presence over the 12 months of 2021, 2) surface water absence over the

12 months of 2021, 3) surface water presence for 1 to 3 months of the year, 4) surface water

presence for 4 to 6 months of the year, and 5) surface water presence for 7 to 9 months of the year.

Water movement through and accumulation in the landscape was quantified using hydrological flow

accumulation, which describes the total upland area draining into each 500m by 500m parcel and

was acquired from the Hydrological Data and Maps based on SHuttle Elevation Derivatives at

multiple Scales (HydroSHEDS) information system(Lehner et al., 2006).

*Waterbird trait data*

Bird species' diet composition and body mass were obtained from the Elton Traits

database(Wilman et al., 2014). Diet composition may be an important characteristic of host species

because this can delineate generalist versus specialist species and potential resilience to human

pressure(Swihart et al., 2003). Only the dietary proportions of fish and invertebrates demonstrated

heterogeneity among the ardeid species, so only these dietary elements were investigated. Body

mass is an important life history characteristic as well as being a potential modulator of immune

function(Cynthia J Downs et al., 2019; Cynthia J. Downs et al., 2019). Body mass was log transformed

prior to analysis. The TetraDENSITY database was used to obtain species mean population

density(Santini et al., 2018), the AVONET database was used to obtain the hand-wing index(Tobias et

al., 2022), and the Lislevand bird traits database was used to obtain the reproductive characteristic,

egg mass(Lislevand et al., 2007). These species traits were included since population density may

influence the dynamics of JEV circulation in populations and communities(Adler et al., 2008; Begon

et al., 2002; Rodríguez-Pastor et al., 2019; Thrall et al., 1995), hand-wing index has been shown to be

the best metric to represent bird dispersal(Kennedy et al., 2016; Lockwood et al., 1998) particularly

with respect to dispersal in response to climate and habitat loss(Sheard et al., 2020; Weeks et al.,

2023) and has been associated with other avian viral outbreaks previously(Yin et al., 2023), and egg

mass is an important indicator of reproductive life history. The data for population density, hand-

wing index, and egg mass were missing for 78%, 23%, and 29% of species, respectively, so a random

forest machine learning algorithm, which was previously shown to be a robust approach with low

error to this application(Fountain-Jones et al., 2019; Penone et al., 2014), was used to impute the

missing data. The missForest package was used to implement the algorithm(Stekhoven, 2022).

 Statistical Analysis

*Species distribution modelling*

The habitat suitability of 92 waterbird species present in the CIPBR (69 extant in mainland

Australia, 23 extant in the CIPBR but not in Australia) was modelled using the GBIF data described

above (Table S1). Habitat suitability models were based on ensembles of boosted regression trees

(BRT), random forests (RF), and generalised additive models (GAM), each with spatial block cross-

validation at fine-scale (30 arc seconds). The calibration areas for the models were based on a buffer

of 500 km for the species presence points. Geographically structured spatial partitions were used to

select background points. Observation data were thinned so that only one observation per pixel was

included in the analysis to avoid overfitting (Table S1). Environmental features in each suitability

model comprised mean annual temperature, mean annual precipitation, isothermality, P-Tα, and

proximity to surface water, forest, shrubland, grassland, aquatic vegetation, and cropland. Each

model was evaluated according to the model's omission rate, true skill statistic, and the area under

the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC). Suitability estimates for each species were then

derived from an ensemble of the three models (BRT, RF, and GAM) using their average maximum

sensitivity and specificity.

Subsequently, the species pool available for community assembly was estimated with each

species as follows. Each 1 km$^2$ pixel of each species' habitat suitability estimate that was greater than

or equal to the true skill statistic (TSS)(Allouche et al., 2006) was classified as present and summed

across all pixels within the 5 arc minute landscape parcel. The TSS was used to designate each parcel

as present or absent based on the habitat suitability because it has been shown to be independent of

prevalence(Allouche et al., 2006). This summed metric thus provided an estimate for landscape-level

species presence available to community assembly for each species from within the species pool at

each particular landscape parcel. For each species, this "species pool presence" (SPP) could range

from 0, indicating the species was not expected to be present in any 1 km$^2$ pixels within the 5 arc

minute landscape parcel, to 100 indicating the species was expected to be present in all 1 km$^2$ pixels

within the landscape parcel. The SPP was thus calculated for each of the 92 waterbird species

interrogated and represents the metric used to denote species presence at landscape scale, and was

used for "abundance" weighting of the mean pairwise dissimilarity described below although this is

not intended to represent species abundance. Direct measurement of community composition,

including species abundance and relative abundance, was not possible in the current study since

community structure was not observed directly at the appropriate local scale. Instead, the SPP

provides the degree of available habitat for each species from within the pool at each landscape

parcel. The flexsdm package provided a complete streamlined framework to construct the calibration

areas and spatial block partitions, select background points, fit all species distribution models, and

derive and evaluate the habitat suitability ensembles as described above(Velazco et al., 2022).

*Landscape metric analysis (Australia-only)*

The metrics used to delineate high-risk landscape structure in the Australian context have

been described in detail previously in an analysis of the landscape composition and configuration

associated with Australian JEV outbreaks in piggeries(Walsh et al., 2023). Briefly, increasing cultivated

land and fragmented grassland were both associated with JEV outbreaks and these were computed

as follows. Total cultivated land composition was computed by summing the area of all crop patches

in each landscape parcel (landscape-level scale of 5 arc minutes). Grassland fragmentation was

assessed by measuring mean patch edge relative to patch area for all grassland patches in each

parcel using the related circumscribing circle (RCC). The RCC metric has been shown to be robust to

patch size(Csorba and Szabo, 2012) in contrast to the perimeter-to-area ratio (PAR), wherein similarly

shaped patches can give different values for different sizes and can translate to significant error when

summarising PAR at landscape scale(Csorba and Szabo, 2012). These composition and configuration

metrics were previously computed for all land cover classes as referenced above, but only those

shown to be associated with JEV outbreak occurrence are investigated with respect to ardeid species

here as this was the stated aim of the current study. The landscapemetrics package(Hesselbarth et

al., 2019) was used to calculate RCC in R v. 4.3.1.

*Functional metrics for species traits*

As a crude measure of the species pool-weighted trait means at landscape scale, the

commonly used community-weighted mean, was calculated for each trait among all species

identified as present (as described above under habitat suitability modelling) within each landscape

parcel(Laliberte and Legendre, 2010; Lavorel et al., 2008). These "pool-weighted means (PWMs)" are

not intended to represent local community trait means, but rather to identify whether any species

traits shared among the pool at landscape scale stood out as potentially influential for community

assembly (though assembly itself is not described), and particularly in high-risk JEV landscapes. Mean

pairwise dissimilarity (MPD) was also computed as a robust metric of functional diversity to evaluate

the species pool trait heterogeneity, again quantified at landscape scale and extending across the

CIPBR. The MPD was "abundance"-weighted, though, as described above, this weighting was not

based on actual estimates of community-level species abundances but rather based on the number

of 1 km pixels in which each species was designated present within the 10 km landscape parcel. The

FD package(Laliberté et al., 2014) was used to compute weighted means for species traits, the

picante package(Kembel et al., 2010) was used to compute MPD, and phytools was used to compute

the phylogenetic signal (both Blomberg's K and Pagel's λ) of species traits and map continuous traits

onto the phylogenetic tree(Revell, 2012) in R v. 4.3.1.

*Point process modelling*

Each of the 92 individual waterbird species' landscape-level presence within the pool was

interrogated with respect to JEV detection by fitting inhomogeneous Poisson point process models

(PPMs)(Baddeley et al., 2015; Baddeley and Turner, 2000). To control for potential reporting bias in

outbreak surveillance, the background points used in these models were sampled proportional to

mean pig density, as described above. For the individual waterbird species PPMs, a simple univariate

PPM of JEV outbreaks was created for each of the 92 species. Similarly, for the species trait PPMs, a

simple univariate PPM of JEV detections was created for each species trait's PWM; however, this

univariate trait analysis was followed by a multiple PPM to determine which traits among the species

pool were independently associated with JEV outbreaks. For the CIPBR models, correlation between

the spatial distribution of traits was low (Pearson's r ≤ 0.66) and all variance inflation factors were ≤

4.65, indicating collinearity was not a concern for the trait multiple PPM. However, for the Australia-

specific model the variance inflation factors indicated multicollinearity with hand-wing index and

underwater foraging strategy, so these two variables were fit under separate model structures. All

PPMs were fit at a scale of 5 arc minutes, which was the optimum scale of JEV reporting for all

detections included in Australia and across the CIPBR(Walsh et al., 2023). The Akaike information

criterion (AIC) was used to evaluate model fit. The spatstat R package was used to fit the

PPMs(Baddeley and Turner, 2005).

*Hierarchical modelling of species pools (Australia only)*

A hierarchical modelling of species communities (HMSC) framework(Ovaskainen and Abrego,

2020; Tikhonov et al., 2020) was used to assess species pools in relation to the specific features of

landscape composition and configuration that have been shown to delineate JEV outbreak risk in the

Australian context (Figure S1)(Walsh et al., 2023). In addition, the HMSC framework accounted for

the potential modulation of species presence in high-risk landscape structure by their phylogeny and

functional traits, associations with the latter representing species "responses" to the landscape

features. However these associations do not represent estimates of actual responses within

communities and so this interpretation must be tempered (see below). Models were fit under two

landscape domains: the first comprised each of the individual landscape features shown to be

associated with JEV outbreaks in Australian piggeries (Figure S1) and the second comprised

Australian JEV outbreak occurrence itself as the single landscape feature of interest. The two

separate domains were evaluated since the landscape features associated with JEV outbreaks did not

explain all of the variability in JEV outbreak occurrence, indicating that there are additional

unmeasured landscape features that cannot be captured by the first domain, but which may still be

relevant to species presence in the landscape. The models were fit with the probit link function to

represent species presence, rather than species abundance, as the former is more appropriate given

the focus of investigation at landscape scale as already described above. The probit function is

preferred over the logit function in HMSC models because it provides a more pragmatic fit to the

hierarchical multivariate data(Ovaskainen and Abrego, 2020). These models are fitted using Markov

chain Monte Carlo methods and were run here with four chains and 1000 samples each and a burn-

in set to 20% of the initial samples. The adjusted $r^2$ was used to assess model fit for each of the two

model domains. Model convergence was demonstrated for each species (Gelman diagnostic ≈ 1 for

each species). Although interspecific interaction within communities was not observed in this study,

the HMSC framework does allow for further accounting of important phylogenetic and functional

influence on the sharing of space between sympatric species given environmental filtering, which

cannot be evaluated with the PPMs described above. Nevertheless, it must be noted that since biotic

filtering has not been directly observed, which necessarily occurs at the level of the local community,

true community-level functional responses to environmental conditions are not captured at this

landscape scale. Instead, these multivariate analyses allow correlative associations to be identified

that are anticipated to be useful in characterising how community assembly could potentially

operate in landscapes associated with JEV outbreaks, and thereby help to inform sampling targets

within such landscapes so that community monitoring of JEV hosts can be systematically structured

for One Health surveillance across the large geographic extent of eastern Australia. The Hmsc

package(Tikhonov et al., 2020) was used for the HMSC models.

**Results**

The PPMs identified landscape-level distributions of 42 species that were associated with Australian JEV outbreaks (7 ardeids, 16 anatids, 8 rallids, 5 phalacrocoracids, 5 threskiornithids, 1 gruid, and 1 pelecanid)(Table S2). When considering the CIPBR as a whole with additional JEV detections in the Philippines, Malaysia, Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, and the Cape York Peninsula, 49 species of the total 92 waterbirds species evaluated were associated with JEV-associated landscapes, again representing each of the eight avian families (Table S3). Of all the individual species traits interrogated under the PPMs, only the PWM distributions of hand-wing index (RR = 1.273; 95% C.I. 1.134 − 1.428) and underwater foraging (RR = 1.05; 95% C.I. 1.014 − 1.088) demonstrated independent associations with the distribution of JEV outbreaks in the Australian context (Table S4, Table 1 and Figure 1), whereas hand-wing index alone (RR = 1.17; 95% C.I. 1.100 − 1.246) was independently associated with increasing JEV detections across the CIPBR as a whole (Table S4, Table 1 and Figure 2). In addition, mean pairwise dissimilarity was positively associated with JEV detections in both Australia and the broader CIPBR, indicating that more functionally diverse species pools were associated with JEV detections. Target maps of high suitability for Australian waterbird sampling for JEV One Health surveillance based on the two PPMs (one incorporating MPD and one incorporating foraging strategy) is presented in Figure 3.

The Australian-specific HMSC model was in close agreement with respect to the structure of the species pool, identifying similar species presences associated with JEV occurrence as those identified by the PPMs(Figure 4). The median adjusted $r^2$ for the landscape feature-based model and JEV-based model was 15.8% and 25.0%, respectively. The HMSC model showed that whilst some high-risk landscape features were common to almost all waterbird species (the presence of transient wetlands and proximity to waterways, as expected), other high-risk features reflected much more heterogenous species pools (Figure 4). By and large, species presence within these high-risk landscape features did not appear closely associated with their functional traits after having accounted for phylogenetic structure, with one exception. There was a distinct association between

420      species presence in landscapes of high water flow accumulation and higher hand-wing index (left

421      panel, Figure 5). Furthermore, interrogating landscapes defined solely by their JEV outbreak

422      occurrence, rather than the individual landscape features associated with outbreaks, showed that

423      species presence in landscapes characterised by outbreak occurrence was also associated with

424      greater species hand-wing index (right panel, Figure 5).

425           Interestingly, further insight about the broad associations between hand-wing index and

species presence in JEV-associated landscapes in Australia and across the CIPBR was provided when

this trait was mapped to the waterbird phylogeny (Figure 6), and both Blomberg's K (K = 0.345; p =

0.001) and Pagel's λ (λ = 0.874; p < 0.00001) showed that hand-wing index was phylogenetically

correlated across waterbird species. Although the ardeid family showed a moderate to high hand-

wing index, the anatid, threskiornothid, and phalacrocoracid families showed substantively higher

hand-wing index, as did a couple of individual stork species (Ciconiidae) and pelicans (Pelecanidae).

**Discussion**

This study describes waterbird presence and the functional structure of species pools at

landscape scale to inform JEV surveillance in high-risk landscapes for JEV circulation in Australia, as

well as providing evidence that these associations might reflect the functional biogeography (hand-

wing index) of species presence relative to JEV circulation.

Although several ardeid species were strongly associated with the distribution of JEV

detections in Australia and across the CIPBR, species presence was neither limited to nor dominated

by ardeids, challenging conventional wisdom and suggesting that JEV surveillance should include a

broader range of potential waterbird hosts. Hand-wing index was associated with species presence in

landscapes demarcated by JEV occurrence in both Australia and the broader CIPBR, and

simultaneously demonstrated an association with species presence in areas with transient wetlands

in Australia, which may indicate functional modulation of species with respect to this high-risk JEV-

associated landscape feature. Contrary to expectation, increasing functional divergence among the

species pools was associated with JEV detections. For the purposes of wildlife surveillance

development in Australia, these findings suggest that whilst high-risk JEV landscapes can vary with

respect to the waterbird species present, JEV surveillance across broad regions of eastern and

southern Australia can be informed using a combination of landscape structure and targeting of

individual species in these landscapes (see Table S2 for full list of associated species). Moreover, a

more nuanced consideration of the functional biogeography of waterbird species across Australia

and the CIPBR suggests that broader, regional surveillance development may benefit from

considering target species with trait profiles that favour dispersal.

The extraordinarily broad geographic distribution of JEV outbreaks in Australia makes

developing a representative, systematic sampling strategy to answer key maintenance host ecology

questions exceedingly challenging. This study informs the development of such a sampling strategy,

describing waterbird presence as a function of the available species pool and enabling species

presence to be directly evaluated with respect to the landscape structure associated with JEV

outbreaks, and therefore that landscape structure can inform species selection for surveillance.

Moreover, by further considering species presence across the regional as whole, this study was able

to interrogate functional traits relevant to pathogen transmission within the context of known

regional transmission of JEV. The current study identified several species that were individually

associated with JEV in Australia and across the CIPBR and found that many of these species represent

families other than the Ardeidae, suggesting that conventional understanding JEV maintenance hosts

may be too narrow in scope. Moreover, in the Australian context, species presence is likely to be

heterogeneous across the different landscape features associated with JEV outbreaks, and these

associations can reflect differences in functional traits (e.g. dispersal capacity) that are relevant to

both arbovirus transmission and response to environmental variation at landscape scale (i.e.

flooding).

Associations between the dominant traits of species present in the landscape species pool

may provide insight into potential drivers of species structure in landscapes delineating JEV risk. For

example, landscapes associated with JEV occurrence were also characterised by species

demonstrating a high level of dispersal capacity, as indicated by greater hand-wing index. This may

indicate the importance of dispersal among species in the context of seasonal or transient wetlands,

which were strongly associated with species presence in the current study, and Australian JEV

outbreaks previously(Walsh et al., 2023). Such a scenario is supported by analyses of population

structure among birds in Australia following La Niña precipitation anomalies(Englert Duursma et al.,

2018; Purnell, 2022), and reflects more general evidence for the importance of hand-wing index as

an indicator of dispersal capacity in response to climate gradients and habitat

fragmentation(Kennedy et al., 2016; Sheard et al., 2020; Weeks et al., 2023). Moreover, dispersal

capacity as indicated by hand-wing index has also been shown to be associated with bird host status

and landscape-level outbreak occurrence, albeit for a different viral pathogen but one that,

nevertheless, involves waterbirds in agricultural-wetland mosaics (Yin et al., 2023).  Furthermore, the

strong association between hand-wing index and JEV landscapes in the current study extended to

the whole of the CIPBR, although this investigation was not able to deconstruct the composition and

configuration of these landscapes for the region as a whole as it did for Australia, specifically.

Interestingly, the two broad-scale multiple PPM approaches (Australia and CIPBR) generally agreed

with respect to the best fitting models comprising hand-wing index and MPD, and both also

univariately identified associations with population density and foraging strategy.  The association

between JEV detection and higher population density is not surprising as this may reflect pathogen

transmission dynamics(Adler et al., 2008; Begon et al., 2002; Thrall et al., 1995), but it did not persist

after having accounted for hand-wing index, MPD and foraging strategy in the Australian context and

hand-wing index and MPD in the CIPBR context. It should also be noted that the association between

hand-wing index and species presence in high-risk landscapes was not an artifact of body mass

because these traits were not correlated (Pearson's r = -0.21), which is as expected since hand-wing

index accounts for body size(Lockwood et al., 1998). While these findings are intriguing, it is critical

to emphasise that they are only correlative of possible drivers of community assembly at landscape

scale and do not describe realised community structure or specific modulation of environmental (or

biotic) filtering by species traits. It is not possible to infer local community composition or functional

responses to environmental conditions from the data presented here. Rather, the true utility of this

work is to describe preliminary, evidence-based, configurations of waterbird species pools for the

coupling of high-risk JEV landscape structure with potential maintenance hosts across extraordinarily

broad geographic extent, and thereby inform the development of broad-scale sampling strategies for

One Health JEV surveillance, specifically for Australia but with potential impact for the broader

region.

Beyond the implications of these findings for potential wild bird surveillance programs, there

may be potential utility for the design of arbovirus surveillance programs by local health authorities

in Australia. Monitoring sites is often informed by historic arbovirus activity or according to potential

mosquito and waterbird habitats. Consideration of wildlife hosts, and concomitant traits, in specific

landscapes may provide additional information in identifying appropriate arbovirus surveillance

locations. Nevertheless, a thorough investigation of mosquito communities and vector-host

interactions between mosquito and waterbird communities, particularly across heterogenous

features of high-risk landscapes, will also be essential for maximally beneficial surveillance output.

The current study was not able to interrogate vector communities specifically, and as such this

remains a key knowledge gap in the infection ecology of JEV.

In addition to the specific constraints to interpretation of the results described above,

further description of additional limitations is included below. Firstly, both the recording of bird

observations and the reporting of piggery outbreaks in Australia and other JEV detections across the

CIPRB may be affected by reporting biases. We have corrected for potential biases, respectively, by

using spatial block partitioning in the construct of the SDMs, and sampling background points for JEV

occurrence proportional to mean pig density, as described above. Nevertheless, it is possible that

residual bias may persist. Moreover, it is important to note that the sample of JEV detections across

the CIPBR is small and, despite this sample being both reflective of piggeries and corrected for

potential reporting bias, likely reflects quite different surveillance systems to those that generated

the reporting for piggery outbreaks in Australia. Therefore, we emphasise that this sample is in no

way intended to be representative of JEV presence across the region. Rather, it is intended as a

rudimentary comparison to the mapping of waterbird species in Australia to evaluate whether there

may be a potential shared biogeography of waterbird hosts across the broader region. The primary

practical application of the findings for wildlife monitoring in JEV surveillance are nevertheless

limited to Australia. Secondly, notwithstanding the inclusion of each of the waterbird species found

in the CIPBR in the habitat suitability modelling, it is important to note that suitability can be

interpreted with respect to the fundamental niche only, because it does not include direct

observational evaluation of biotic interactions to more formally define the realised niche or

subsequent community structure. We must acknowledge that neither local biotic interactions nor

dispersal history are represented in this framework and should be considered in future work. Thirdly,

the climate measures used in the waterbird habitat suitability models were derived from decadal

averages from 1970 to 2000, which assumes homogeneity over this period as well as over the period

of bird observations from 2010 to 2020. Although the current study used these features to model the

influence of climate features on habitat suitability rather than specific weather events, which is

appropriate, we do note that, due to the rapid increase in global temperatures over the last 20 years,

climatic means could have already shifted by 2020 from the baseline represented by the period

1970-2000. Finally, previous work showed that the piggery outbreaks were not associated with feral

pigs(Walsh et al., 2023). Nevertheless, JEV has been identified in feral pigs in Australia, albeit only

very sporadically(Australian Veterinary Association, 2023). As such, it is possible that there may be

multiple landscapes of JEV risk, both within Australia and the broader CIPBR: those pertaining to

domestic piggeries and those pertaining to feral pig habitat. If the latter are in fact present and

represent impactful nidi of JEV circulation, then different surveillance programs targeting different

maintenance host communities may be required.

**Conclusions**

This study has identified a breadth of waterbird species in association with particular

configurations of landscape risk that extends well beyond the Ardeidae family, the taxon to which

epidemiological and ecological investigations of JEV maintenance hosts are typically constrained.

Moreover, the findings relate species presence in JEV-associated landscapes to waterbird functional

biogeography across the CIPBR, highlighting dispersal capacity in particular. Although preliminary, it

is anticipated that together these results can help to inform an evidence-based approach to JEV

surveillance development that can provide a more efficient and productive yield than a simple

random or convenience-based approach to maintenance host sampling, particularly given the wide

geographic extent in which JEV outbreaks occurred across the region. As wild bird monitoring

progresses and local community structure becomes better articulated with respect to JEV circulation,

we expect that the scope of JEV maintenance understanding will be updated to reflect currently

unrecognised local heterogeneities in landscape and community composition, as well as novel

maintenance hosts.

Declarations of interest: none.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

Acknowledgements: We thank Australian Pork Limited for supporting (non-financially) this research.
We thank SunPork Group for information about case farms. We thank Richard Bradhurst from the
University of Melbourne who facilitated the sharing of the data products.

Data Availability Statement: All data described in this study are publicly available for download at the
cited sources in the text except the pig density data, which contains sensitive information to the
Australian agricultural industry (e.g. locations of piggeries). Provision of this data for third parties
requires their application to Australian Pork Limited.

Author statement: Conceptualization: Michael Walsh, Cameron Webb, Victoria Brookes; Data
curation: Michael Walsh and Victoria Brookes; Formal analysis: Michael Walsh; Investigation: Michael
Walsh, Cameron Webb, Victoria Brookes; Methodology: Michael Walsh, Victoria Brookes; Validation:
Michael Walsh, Cameron Webb, Victoria Brookes; Visualization: Michael Walsh; Writing - original
draft: Michael Walsh, Writing - review & editing: Michael Walsh, Cameron Webb, Victoria Brookes

Adler, F.R., Pearce-Duvet, J.M.C., Dearing, M.D., 2008. How host population dynamics translate into
time-lagged prevalence: An Investigation of Sin Nombre virus in deer mice. Bull Math Biol 70,
236–252. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11538-007-9251-8

Allouche, O., Tsoar, A., Kadmon, R., 2006. Assessing the accuracy of species distribution models:
prevalence, kappa and the true skill statistic (TSS). Journal of Applied Ecology 43, 1223–1232.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2006.01214.x

Aure, W.E., Sayama, Y., Saito-Obata, M., Salazar, N.P., Malbas, F.F., Galang, H.O., Imamura, T., Zuasula,
C.L., Oshitani, H., 2022. Japanese encephalitis virus genotype III from mosquitoes in Tarlac,
Philippines. IJID regions 4, 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJREGI.2022.05.005

Australian Department of Health and Aged Care, 2022. Japanese encephalitis virus situation declared
a Communicable Disease Incident of National Significance | Australian Government
Department of Health and Aged Care [WWW Document]. URL
https://www.health.gov.au/news/japanese-encephalitis-virus-situation-declared-a-
communicable-disease-incident-of-national-significance (accessed 11.4.22).

Australian Veterinary Association, 2023. Japanese encephalitis [WWW Document]. Emergency
Animal Diseases. URL https://www.ava.com.au/policy-advocacy/disaster-response/emergency-
animal-diseases/japanese-encephalitis/ (accessed 8.14.23).

Baddeley, A., Rubak, E., Turner, R., 2015. Spatial Point Patterns: Methodology and Applications with
R. CRC Press.

Baddeley, A., Turner, R., 2005. spatstat: An R Package for Analyzing Spatial Point Patterns. Journal of
Statistical Software 12(6).

Baddeley, A., Turner, R., 2000. Practical Maximum Pseudolikelihood for Spatial Point Patterns (with
Discussion). Aust N Z J Stat 42, 283–322. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-842X.00128

Baruah, A., Hazarika, R., Barman, N., Islam, S., Gulati, B., 2018. Mosquito abundance and pig
seropositivity as a correlate of Japanese encephalitis in human population in Assam, India. J
Vector Borne Dis 55, 291–296. https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-9062.256564

Begon, M., Bennett, M., Bowers, R.G., French, N.P., Hazel, S.M., Turner, J., 2002. A clarification of
transmission terms in host-microparasite models: numbers, densities and areas. Epidemiol
Infect 129, 147–153. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268802007148

Bhattacharya, S., Basu, P., 2014. Japanese Encephalitis Virus (JEV) infection in different vertebrates
and its epidemiological significance: a Review. International Journal of Fauna and Biological
Studies 1, 32–37.

Borah, J., Dutta, P., Khan, S.A., Mahanta, J., 2013. Epidemiological concordance of Japanese
encephalitis virus infection among mosquito vectors, amplifying hosts and humans in India.
Epidemiol Infect 141, 74–80. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268812000258

Bradhurst, R.A., Roche, S.E., East, I.J., Kwan, P., Graeme Garner, M., 2015. A hybrid modeling
approach to simulating foot-and-mouth disease outbreaks in Australian livestock. Front Environ
Sci 3, 17. https://doi.org/10.3389/FENVS.2015.00017/BIBTEX

Brunner, J.L., LoGiudice, K., Ostfeld, R.S., 2008. Estimating reservoir competence of Borrelia
burgdorferi hosts: prevalence and infectivity, sensitivity, and specificity. J Med Entomol 45, 139–
47.

Buescher, E.L., Scherer, W.F., Rosenberg, M.Z., Kutner, L.J., 1959. Immunologic studies of Japanese
encephalitis virus in Japan. IV. Maternal antibody in birds. Journal of Immunology 83, 614–619.

Bureau of Meteorology, A., 2021. Recent and historical rainfall maps, Australian Bureau of
Meteorology [WWW Document]. Recent and historical rainfall maps. URL
http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/maps/rainfall/?variable=rainfall&map=totals&period=12mont
h®ion=nat&year=2021&month=12&day=31 (accessed 11.9.22).

Chen, B., Beaty, B., 1982. Japanese encephalitis vaccine (2-8 strain) and parent (SA 14 strain) viruses
in Culex tritaeniorhynchus mosquitoes. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 31,
403–407.

Chief Medical Officer, 2023. Statement on the end of Japanese encephalitis virus emergency
response | Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care [WWW Document].
Australian Department of Health and Aged Care. URL
https://www.health.gov.au/news/statement-on-the-end-of-japanese-encephalitis-virus-
emergency-response (accessed 8.14.23).

Csorba, P., Szabo, S., 2012. The Application of Landscape Indices in Landscape Ecology, in:
Tiefenbacher, J.P. (Ed.), Perspectives on Nature Conservation - Patterns, Pressures and
Prospects. InTech, Rijeka. https://doi.org/10.5772/36182

Downs, Cynthia J, Dochtermann, N.A., Ball, R., Klasing, K.C., Martin, L.B., 2019. The effects of body
mass on immune cell concentrations of mammals. Am Nat 706235.
https://doi.org/10.1086/706235

Downs, Cynthia J., Schoenle, L.A., Han, B.A., Harrison, J.F., Martin, L.B., 2019. Scaling of Host
Competence. Trends Parasitol 35, 182–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2018.12.002

Endy, T.P., Nisalak, A., 2002. Japanese Encephalitis Virus: Ecology and Epidemiology, in: Mackenzie,
J.S., Barrett, A., Deubel, V. (Eds.), Japanese Encephalitis and West Nile Viruses. Springer-Verlag,
Berlin, pp. 11–48.

Englert Duursma, D., Gallagher, R. V., Griffith, S.C., 2018. Effects of El Niño Southern Oscillation on
avian breeding phenology. Divers Distrib 24, 1061–1071. https://doi.org/10.1111/DDI.12750

European Space Agency, Climate Change Initiative, 2017a. ESA (European Space Agency) CCI (Climate
Change Initiative) Land Cover project led by UCLouvain, 2017: Water Bodies v4.0 [WWW
Document]. ESA (European Space Agency) CCI (Climate Change Initiative) Land Cover project
led by UCLouvain, 2017: Water Bodies v4.0. URL http://maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/
(accessed 11.4.22).

European Space Agency, Climate Change Initiative, 2017b. Land Cover CCI Product - Annual LC maps
from 2000 to 2015 (v2.0.7). [WWW Document]. ESA (European Space Agency) CCI (Climate
Change Initiative) Land Cover project 2017. URL http://maps.elie.ucl.ac.be/CCI/viewer/
(accessed 11.4.22).

Faizah, A.N., Kobayashi, D., Maekawa, Y., Amoa-Bosompem, M., Fauziyah, S., Mulyatno, K.C., Subekti,
S., Rohmah, E.A., Lusida, M.I., Mori, Y., Miura, K., Hirayama, K., Isawa, H., Sawabe, K., 2021.
Identification and Isolation of Japanese Encephalitis Virus Genotype IV from Culex vishnui
Collected in Bali, Indonesia in 2019. Am J Trop Med Hyg 105, 813–817.
https://doi.org/10.4269/AJTMH.20-1554

Faust, C.L., McCallum, H.I., Bloomfield, L.S.P., Gottdenker, N.L., Gillespie, T.R., Torney, C.J., Dobson,
A.P., Plowright, R.K., 2018. Pathogen spillover during land conversion. Ecol Lett 21, 471–483.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12904

Fick, S.E., Hijmans, R.J., 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global
land areas. International Journal of Climatology. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086

Fountain-Jones, N.M., Machado, G., Carver, S., Packer, C., Recamonde-Mendoza, M., Craft, M.E.,
2019. How to make more from exposure data? An integrated machine learning pipeline to
predict pathogen exposure. Journal of Animal Ecology 88, 1447–1461.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13076

Garjito, T.A., Widiarti, Anggraeni, Y.M., Alfiah, S., Tunggul Satoto, T.B., Farchanny, A., Samaan, G.,
Afelt, A., Manguin, S., Frutos, R., Aditama, T.Y., 2018. Japanese encephalitis in Indonesia: An
update on epidemiology and transmission ecology. Acta Trop.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.08.017

Ghimire, S., Dhakal, S., Ghimire, N.P., Joshi, D.D., 2014. Pig Sero-Survey and Farm Level Risk Factor
Assessment for Japanese Encephalitis in Nepal. Int J Appl Sci Biotechnol 2, 311–314.
https://doi.org/10.3126/ijasbt.v2i3.10639

Gibb, R., Redding, D.W., Chin, K.Q., Donnelly, C.A., Blackburn, T.M., Newbold, T., Jones, K.E., 2020.
Zoonotic host diversity increases in human-dominated ecosystems. Nature 584, 398–402.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2562-8

Global Biodiversity Information Facility, n.d. GBIF Download - Pelecaniformes; Anseriformes;
Gruiformes; Ciconiiformes [WWW Document]. https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.sh46cf. URL
https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.sh46cf (accessed 7.8.24a).

Global Biodiversity Information Facility, n.d. GBIF Download - Phalacrocoracidae [WWW Document].
https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.hcqacw. URL https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.hcqacw (accessed
7.8.24b).

Han, B.A., Park, A.W., Jolles, A.E., Altizer, S., 2015a. Infectious disease transmission and behavioural
allometry in wild mammals. Journal of Animal Ecology 84, 637–646.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12336

Han, B.A., Schmidt, J.P., Alexander, L.W., Bowden, S.E., Hayman, D.T.S., Drake, J.M., 2016.
Undiscovered Bat Hosts of Filoviruses. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10, 1–10.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004815

Han, B.A., Schmidt, J.P., Bowden, S.E., Drake, J.M., 2015b. Rodent reservoirs of future zoonotic
diseases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112, 7039–7044. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501598112

Hanna, J.N., Ritchie, S.A., Phillips, D.A., Lee, J.M., Hills, S.L., van den Hurk, A.F., Pyke, A.T., Johansen,
C.A., Mackenzie, J.S., 1999. Japanese encephalitis in north Queensland, Australia, 1998. Med J
Aust 170, 533–536. https://doi.org/10.5694/J.1326-5377.1999.TB127878.X

Hanna, J.N., Ritchie, S.A., Phillips, D.A., Shield, J., Bailey, M.C., Mackenzie, J.S., Poidinger, M., McCall,
B.J., Mills, P.J., 1996. An outbreak of Japanese encephalitis in the Torres Strait, Australia, 1995.
Med J Aust 165, 256–260. https://doi.org/10.5694/J.1326-5377.1996.TB124960.X

Hanson, J.P., Taylor, C.T., Richards, A.R., Smith, I.L., Boutlis, C.S., 2004. Japanese encephalitis acquired
near Port Moresby: implications for residents and travellers to Papua New Guinea. Med J Aust
181, 282. https://doi.org/10.5694/J.1326-5377.2004.TB06274.X

Hesselbarth, M.H.K., Sciaini, M., With, K.A., Wiegand, K., Nowosad, J., 2019. landscapemetrics: an
open-source R tool to calculate landscape metrics. Ecography 42, 1648–1657.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ECOG.04617

Jamgaonkar, A. V., Yergolkar, P.N., Geevarghese, G., Joshi, G.D., Joshi, M. V., Mishra, A.C., 2003.
Serological evidence for Japanese encephalitis virus and West Nile virus infections in water
frequenting and terrestrial wild birds in Kolar District, Karnataka State, India. A retrospective
study. Acta Virol 47, 185–188.

Johansen, C.A., Nisbet, D.J., Foley, P.N., van den Hurk, A.F., Hall, R.A., Mackenzie, J.S., Ritchie, S.A.,
2004. Flavivirus isolations from mosquitoes collected from Saibai Island in the Torres Strait,
Australia, during an incursion of Japanese encephalitis virus. Med Vet Entomol 18, 281–287.
https://doi.org/10.1111/J.0269-283X.2004.00510.X

Johansen, C.A., van den Hurk, A.F., Ritchie, S.A., Zborowski, P., Nisbet, D.J., Paru, R., Bockarie, M.J.,
Macdonald, J., Drew, A.C., Khromykh, T.I., Mackenzie, J.S., 2000. Isolation of Japanese
encephalitis virus from mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in the Western Province of
Papua New Guinea, 1997-1998. Am J Trop Med Hyg 62, 631–638.
https://doi.org/10.4269/AJTMH.2000.62.631

Johnson, C.K., Hitchens, P.L., Pandit, P.S., Rushmore, J., Evans, T.S., Young, C.C.W., Doyle, M.M., 2020.
Global shifts in mammalian population trends reveal key predictors of virus spillover risk. Proc
Biol Sci 287, 20192736. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2019.2736

Johnson, P.T.J., Rohr, J.R., Hoverman, J.T., Kellermanns, E., Bowerman, J., Lunde, K.B., 2012. Living fast
and dying of infection: host life history drives interspecific variation in infection and disease risk.
Ecol Lett 15, 235–242. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01730.x

Joint Research Centre, E.C., n.d. Global Surface Water Explorer [WWW Document]. URL
https://global-surface-water.appspot.com/ (accessed 4.25.23).

Jung, M., Dahal, P.R., Butchart, S.H.M., Donald, P.F., De Lamo, X., Lesiv, M., Kapos, V., Rondinini, C.,
Visconti, P., 2020a. A global map of terrestrial habitat types. Sci Data 7, 1–8.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00599-8

Jung, M., Dahal, P.R., Butchart, S.H.M., Donald, P.F., Lamo, X. De, Lesiv, M., Kapos, V., Rondinini, C.,
Visconti, P., 2020b. A global map of terrestrial habitat types [WWW Document]. Zenodo.
https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3925749

Kakkar, M., Chaturvedi, S., Saxena, V.K., Dhole, T.N., Kumar, A., Rogawski, E.T., Abbas, S.,
Venkataramanan, V. V., Chatterjee, P., 2017. Identifying sources, pathways and risk drivers in
ecosystems of Japanese Encephalitis in an epidemic-prone north Indian district. PLoS One 12,
1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175745

Kardena, I.M., Adi, A.A.A.M., Astawa, I.N.M., Oka, I.B.M., Sahibzada, S., Bruce, M., O'Dea, M., 2024.
Seroconversion, genotyping, and potential mosquito vector identification of Japanese
encephalitis virus in pig sentinel settings in Bali, Indonesia. Vet World 17, 89–98.
https://doi.org/10.14202/VETWORLD.2024.89-98

Kembel, S.W., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D.D., Blomberg, S.P., Webb, C.O., 2010. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. Bioinformatics 26, 1463–1464. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTQ166

Kennedy, J.D., Borregaard, M.K., Jønsson, K.A., Marki, P.Z., Fjeldså, J., Rahbek, C., 2016. The influence of wing morphology upon the dispersal, geographical distributions and diversification of the Corvides (Aves; Passeriformes). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283. https://doi.org/10.1098/RSPB.2016.1922

Khaldi, a., Khaldi, A., Hamimed, A., 2014. Using the Priestley-Taylor expression for estimating actual evapotranspiration from satellite Landsat ETM + data. Proceedings of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences 364, 398–403. https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-364-398-2014

Khor, C.S., Mohd-Rahim, N.F., Hassan, H., Tan, K.K., Zainal, N., Teoh, B.T., Sam, S.S., Khoo, J.J., Lee, H.Y., Lim, Y.A.L., Abubakar, S., 2020. Serological evidence of DENV, JEV, and ZIKV among the indigenous people (Orang Asli) of Peninsular Malaysia. J Med Virol 92, 956–962. https://doi.org/10.1002/JMV.25649

Komada, K., Sasaki, N., Inoue, Y., 1968. Studies of live attenuated Japanese encephalitis vaccine in swine. Journal of Immunology 100, 194–200.

Laliberte, E., Legendre, P., 2010. A distance-based framework for measuring functional diversity from multiple traits. Ecology 91, 299–305. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2244.1

Laliberté, E., Legendre, P., Shipley, B., 2014. FD: measuring functional diversity from multiple traits, and other tools for functional ecology. R package version 1.0-12.1.

Lamarche, C., Santoro, M., Bontemps, S., d'Andrimont, R., Radoux, J., Giustarini, L., Brockmann, C., Wevers, J., Defourny, P., Arino, O., 2017. Compilation and Validation of SAR and Optical Data Products for a Complete and Global Map of Inland/Ocean Water Tailored to the Climate Modeling Community. Remote Sensing 2017, Vol. 9, Page 36 9, 36. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS9010036

Lavorel, S., Grigulis, K., McIntyre, S., Williams, N.S.G., Garden, D., Dorrough, J., Berman, S., Quétier, F., Thébault, A., Bonis, A., 2008. Assessing functional diversity in the field – methodology matters! Funct Ecol 22, 134–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1365-2435.2007.01339.X

Lehner, B., Verdin, K., Jarvis, A., 2006. HydroSHEDS Technical Documentation.

Levesque, Z.A., Walsh, M., Webb, C., Zadoks, R., Brookes, V.J., 2024. A scoping review of evidence of naturally occurring Japanese encephalitis infection in vertebrate animals other than humans, ardeid birds and pigs. bioRxiv 2024.04.18.589998. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.18.589998

Lislevand, T., Figuerola, J., Székely, T., 2007. AVIAN BODY SIZES IN RELATION TO FECUNDITY, MATING SYSTEM, DISPLAY BEHAVIOR, AND RESOURCE SHARING. Ecology 88, 1605–1605. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-2054

Lockwood, R., Swaddle, J.P., Rayner, J.M. V., 1998. Avian Wingtip Shape Reconsidered: Wingtip Shape Indices and Morphological Adaptations to Migration. J Avian Biol 29, 273. https://doi.org/10.2307/3677110

Longbottom, J., Browne, A.J., Pigott, D.M., Sinka, M.E., Golding, N., Hay, S.I., Moyes, C.L., Shearer, F.M., 2017. Mapping the spatial distribution of the Japanese encephalitis vector, Culex

tritaeniorhynchus Giles, 1901 (Diptera: Culicidae) within areas of Japanese encephalitis risk.
Parasit Vectors 10, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2086-8

Lopez, A.L., Aldaba, J.G., Roque, V.G., Tandoc, A.O., Sy, A.K., Espino, F.E., DeQuiroz-Castro, M., Jee, Y.,
Ducusin, M.J., Fox, K.K., 2015. Epidemiology of Japanese Encephalitis in the Philippines: A
Systematic Review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003630

Mackenzie, J.S., Williams, D.T., van den Hurk, A.F., Smith, D.W., Currie, B.J., 2022. Japanese
Encephalitis Virus: The Emergence of Genotype IV in Australia and Its Potential Endemicity.
Viruses 14, 2480. https://doi.org/10.3390/V14112480

Molles, Manuel., 2015. Ecology : concepts and applications., 7th ed. Mcgraw-Hill Education, New
York.

Moore, K.T., Mangan, M.J., Linnegar, B., Athni, T.S., McCallum, H.I., Trewin, B.J., Skinner, E., 2024.
Australian vertebrate hosts of Japanese encephalitis virus; a review of the evidence. bioRxiv
2024.04.23.590833. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.23.590833

Nemeth, N., Bosco-Lauth, A., Oesterle, P., Kohler, D., Bowen, R., 2012. North American birds as
potential amplifying hosts of Japanese encephalitis virus. American Journal of Tropical Medicine
and Hygiene 87, 760–767. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.12-0141

New South Wales Health, 2022. Surveillance and monitoring weekly reports season 2021-22 - Vector-
borne diseases [WWW Document]. Vector-borne Diseases Surveillance and Monitoring Weekly
Reports. URL https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/environment/pests/vector/Pages/nswasp-weekly-
report-2021-22.aspx (accessed 12.18.22).

Ogata, M., Nagao, Y., Jitsunari, F., Kitamura, N., Okazaki, T., 1970. Infection of herons and domestic
fowls with Japanese encephalitis virus with specific reference to maternal antibody of hen
(epidemiological study on Japanese encephalitis 26). Acta Med Okayama 24, 175–184.

Ostfeld, R.S., Levi, T., Jolles, A.E., Martin, L.B., Hosseini, P.R., Keesing, F., 2014. Life History and
Demographic Drivers of Reservoir Competence for Three Tick-Borne Zoonotic Pathogens. PLoS
One 9, e107387. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0107387

Ovaskainen, O., Abrego, N., 2020. Joint Species Distribution Modelling: With Applications in R, Joint
Species Distribution Modelling. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108591720

Pekel, J.F., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N., Belward, A.S., 2016. High-resolution mapping of global surface
water and its long-term changes. Nature 540, 418–422. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE20584

Penone, C., Davidson, A.D., Shoemaker, K.T., Di Marco, M., Rondinini, C., Brooks, T.M., Young, B.E.,
Graham, C.H., Costa, G.C., 2014. Imputation of missing data in life-history trait datasets: which
approach performs the best? Methods Ecol Evol 5, 961–970. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-
210X.12232

Plourde, B.T., Burgess, T.L., Eskew, E.A., Roth, T.M., Stephenson, N., Foley, J.E., 2017. Are disease
reservoirs special? Taxonomic and life history characteristics. PLoS One 12, e0180716.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180716

Priestley, C.H.B., Taylor, R.J., 1972. On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using
large-scale parameters. Mon Weather Rev 100, 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
0493(1972)100<0081:OTAOSH>2.3.CO;2

Purnell, C., 2022. The role of waterbirds in Australia's 2022 Japanese Encephalitis outbreak – a rapid
synthesis. Carlton.

Revell, L.J., 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things).
Methods Ecol Evol 3, 217–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x

Robinson, T.P., Wint, G.R.W., Conchedda, G., Van Boeckel, T.P., Ercoli, V., Palamara, E., Cinardi, G.,
D'Aietti, L., Hay, S.I., Gilbert, M., 2014. Mapping the global distribution of livestock. PLoS One 9,
e96084. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096084

Rodrigues, F.M., Guttikar, S.N., Pinto, B.D., 1981. Prevalence of antibodies to Japanese encephalitis
and West Nile viruses among wild birds in the Krishna-Godavari Delta, Andhra Pradesh, India.
Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 75, 258–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(81)90330-8

Rodríguez-Pastor, R., Escudero, R., Lambin, X., Vidal, M.D., Gil, H., Jado, I., Rodríguez-Vargas, M.,
Luque-Larena, J.J., Mougeot, F., 2019. Zoonotic pathogens in fluctuating common vole
(Microtus arvalis) populations: Occurrence and dynamics. Parasitology 146, 389–398.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182018001543

Santini, L., Isaac, N.J.B., Ficetola, G.F., 2018. TetraDENSITY: A database of population density
estimates in terrestrial vertebrates. Global Ecology and Biogeography 27, 787–791.
https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12756

Scherer, W., 1959. Ecological studies of Japanese encephalitis in Japan. Parts I-IX. American Journal of
Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 8, 644–722.

Schmidt, J.P., Maher, S., Drake, J.M., Huang, T., Farrell, M.J., Han, B.A., 2019. Ecological indicators of
mammal exposure to Ebolavirus. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences 374. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2018.0337

Sheard, C., Neate-Clegg, M.H.C., Alioravainen, N., Jones, S.E.I., Vincent, C., MacGregor, H.E.A.,
Bregman, T.P., Claramunt, S., Tobias, J.A., 2020. Ecological drivers of global gradients in avian
dispersal inferred from wing morphology. Nature Communications 2020 11:1 11, 1–9.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16313-6

Spicer, P.E., Phillips, D., Pike, A., Johansen, C., Melrose, W., Hall, R.A., 1999. Antibodies to Japanese
encephalitis virus in human sera collected from Irian Jaya. Follow-up of a previously reported
case of Japanese encephalitis in that region. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 93, 511–514.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(99)90353-X

Stekhoven, D., 2022. missForest: Nonparametric Missing Value Imputation using Random Forest. R
package version 1.5.

Stephens, P.R., Altizer, S., Smith, K.F., Alonso Aguirre, A., Brown, J.H., Budischak, S.A., Byers, J.E.,
Dallas, T.A., Jonathan Davies, T., Drake, J.M., Ezenwa, V.O., Farrell, M.J., Gittleman, J.L., Han,
B.A., Huang, S., Hutchinson, R.A., Johnson, P., Nunn, C.L., Onstad, D., Park, A., Vazquez-
Prokopec, G.M., Schmidt, J.P., Poulin, R., 2016. The macroecology of infectious diseases: a new
perspective on global-scale drivers of pathogen distributions and impacts. Ecol Lett 19, 1159–
1171. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12644

Swihart, R.K., Gehring, T.M., Kolozsvary, M.B., Nupp, T.E., 2003. Responses of 'resistant' vertebrates
to habitat loss and fragmentation: the importance of niche breadth and range boundaries.
Divers Distrib 9, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2003.00158.x

Thrall, P.H., Biere, A., Uyenoyama, M.K., 1995. Frequency-Dependent Disease Transmission and the
Dynamics of the Silene-Ustilago Host-Pathogen System. American Naturalist 145, 43–62.

Tikhonov, G., Opedal, Ø.H., Abrego, N., Lehikoinen, A., de Jonge, M.M.J., Oksanen, J., Ovaskainen, O.,
2020. Joint species distribution modelling with the r-package Hmsc. Methods Ecol Evol 11, 442–
447. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13345

Tobias, J.A., Sheard, C., Pigot, A.L., Devenish, A.J.M., Yang, J., Sayol, F., Neate-Clegg, M.H.C.,
Alioravainen, N., Weeks, T.L., Barber, R.A., Walkden, P.A., MacGregor, H.E.A., Jones, S.E.I.,
Vincent, C., Phillips, A.G., Marples, N.M., Montaño-Centellas, F.A., Leandro-Silva, V., Claramunt,
S., Darski, B., Freeman, B.G., Bregman, T.P., Cooney, C.R., Hughes, E.C., Capp, E.J.R., Varley, Z.K.,
Friedman, N.R., Korntheuer, H., Corrales-Vargas, A., Trisos, C.H., Weeks, B.C., Hanz, D.M., Töpfer,
T., Bravo, G.A., Remeš, V., Nowak, L., Carneiro, L.S., Moncada R., A.J., Matysioková, B.,
Baldassarre, D.T., Martínez-Salinas, A., Wolfe, J.D., Chapman, P.M., Daly, B.G., Sorensen, M.C.,
Neu, A., Ford, M.A., Mayhew, R.J., Fabio Silveira, L., Kelly, D.J., Annorbah, N.N.D., Pollock, H.S.,
Grabowska-Zhang, A.M., McEntee, J.P., Carlos T. Gonzalez, J., Meneses, C.G., Muñoz, M.C.,
Powell, L.L., Jamie, G.A., Matthews, T.J., Johnson, O., Brito, G.R.R., Zyskowski, K., Crates, R.,
Harvey, M.G., Jurado Zevallos, M., Hosner, P.A., Bradfer-Lawrence, T., Maley, J.M., Stiles, F.G.,
Lima, H.S., Provost, K.L., Chibesa, M., Mashao, M., Howard, J.T., Mlamba, E., Chua, M.A.H., Li, B.,
Gómez, M.I., García, N.C., Päckert, M., Fuchs, J., Ali, J.R., Derryberry, E.P., Carlson, M.L., Urriza,
R.C., Brzeski, K.E., Prawiradilaga, D.M., Rayner, M.J., Miller, E.T., Bowie, R.C.K., Lafontaine, R.M.,
Scofield, R.P., Lou, Y., Somarathna, L., Lepage, D., Illif, M., Neuschulz, E.L., Templin, M., Dehling,
D.M., Cooper, J.C., Pauwels, O.S.G., Analuddin, K., Fjeldså, J., Seddon, N., Sweet, P.R., DeClerck,
F.A.J., Naka, L.N., Brawn, J.D., Aleixo, A., Böhning-Gaese, K., Rahbek, C., Fritz, S.A., Thomas,
G.H., Schleuning, M., 2022. AVONET: morphological, ecological and geographical data for all
birds. Ecol Lett 25, 581–597. https://doi.org/10.1111/ELE.13898

Trabucco, A., Zomer, R.J., 2010. Global soil water balance geospatial database [WWW Document].
CGIAR Consortium for Spatial Information. URL http://www.cgiar-csi.org

University of Southampton, S. of G. and E.S., University of Louisville, D. of G. and G., Universite de
Namur, D. de G., n.d. WorldPop hub [WWW Document]. URL
https://hub.worldpop.org/doi/10.5258/SOTON/WP00644 (accessed 11.4.22).

van den Hurk, A.F., Montgomery, B.L., Northill, J.A., Smith, I.L., Zborowski, P., Ritchie, S.A., Mackenzie,
J.S., Smith, G.A., 2006. SHORT REPORT: THE FIRST ISOLATION OF JAPANESE ENCEPHALITIS VIRUS
FROM MOSQUITOES COLLECTED FROM MAINLAND AUSTRALIA. Am J Trop Med Hyg 75, 21–25.

van den Hurk, A.F., Nisbet, D.J., Hall, R.A., Kay, B.H., Mackenzie, J.S., Ritchie, S.A., 2003. Vector
competence of Australian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) for Japanese encephalitis virus. J
Med Entomol 40, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585-40.1.82

van den Hurk, A.F., Nisbet, D.J., Johansen, C.A., Foley, P.N., Ritchie, S.A., Mackenzie, J.S., 2001.
Japanese encephalitis on Badu Island, Australia: the first isolation of Japanese encephalitis virus
from Culex gelidus in the Australasian region and the role of mosquito host-feeding patterns in
virus transmission cycles. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 95, 595–600.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(01)90090-2

van den Hurk, A.F., Pyke, A.T., Mackenzie, J.S., Hall-Mendelin, S., Ritchie, S.A., 2019. Japanese
Encephalitis Virus in Australia: From Known Known to Known Unknown. Trop Med Infect Dis 4.
https://doi.org/10.3390/TROPICALMED4010038

Velazco, S.J.E., Rose, M.B., de Andrade, A.F.A., Minoli, I., Franklin, J., 2022. flexsdm: An r package for
supporting a comprehensive and flexible species distribution modelling workflow. Methods Ecol
Evol 13, 1661–1669. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13874

Walsh, M.G., 2019. Ecological and life history traits are associated with Ross River virus infection
among sylvatic mammals in Australia. BMC Ecol 19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-019-0220-
5

Walsh, M.G., Mor, S.M., 2018. Interspecific network centrality, host range and early-life development
are associated with wildlife hosts of Rift Valley fever virus. Transbound Emerg Dis.
https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.12903

Walsh, Michael G., Pattanaik, A., Vyas, N., Saxena, D., Webb, C., Sawleshwarkar, S., Mukhopadhyay,
C., 2022. A biogeographical description of the wild waterbird species associated with high-risk
landscapes of Japanese encephalitis virus in India. Transbound Emerg Dis 69, e3015–e3023.
https://doi.org/10.1111/TBED.14656

Walsh, Michael G, Pattanaik, A., Vyas, N., Saxena, D., Webb, C., Sawleshwarkar, S., Mukhopadhyay, C.,
2022. High-risk landscapes of Japanese encephalitis virus outbreaks in India converge on
wetlands, rain-fed agriculture, wild Ardeidae, and domestic pigs and chickens. Int J Epidemiol.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyac050

Walsh, M.G., Webb, C., Brookes, V., 2023. An evaluation of the landscape structure and La Niña
climatic anomalies associated with Japanese encephalitis virus outbreaks reported in Australian
piggeries in 2022. One Health 16, 100566. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ONEHLT.2023.100566

Weeks, T.L., Betts, M.G., Pfeifer, M., Wolf, C., Banks-Leite, C., Barbaro, L., Barlow, J., Cerezo, A.,
Kennedy, C.M., Kormann, U.G., Marsh, C.J., Olivier, P.I., Phalan, B.T., Possingham, H.P., Wood,
E.M., Tobias, J.A., 2023. Climate-driven variation in dispersal ability predicts responses to forest
fragmentation in birds. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2023 7:7 7, 1079–1091.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02077-x

Williams, C.R., Webb, C.E., Higgs, S., van den Hurk, A.F., 2022. Japanese Encephalitis Virus Emergence
in Australia: Public Health Importance and Implications for Future Surveillance. Vector Borne
Zoonotic Dis 22, 529–534. https://doi.org/10.1089/VBZ.2022.0037

Wilman, H., Belmaker, J., Simpson, J., de la Rosa, C., Rivadeneira, M.M., Jetz, W., 2014. EltonTraits
1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and mammals. Ecology 95, 2027–2027.
https://doi.org/10.1890/13-1917.1

World Organisation For Animal Health, 2022. World Animal Health Information System (WAHIS)
[WWW Document]. WAHIS Inicident Reports. URL https://wahis.woah.org/#/home (accessed
11.4.22).

WorldPop, n.d. WorldPop⯑:: Geospatial covariate data layers [WWW Document]. URL
https://hub.worldpop.org/project/categories?id=14 (accessed 12.2.22).

Yin, S., Li, N., Xu, W., Becker, D.J., de Boer, W.F., Xu, C., Mundkur, T., Fountain-Jones, N.M., Li, C., Han,
G. zhu, Wu, Q., Prosser, D.J., Cui, L., Huang, Z.Y.X., 2023. Functional traits explain waterbirds'
host status, subtype richness, and community-level infection risk for avian influenza. Ecol Lett
26, 1780–1791. https://doi.org/10.1111/ELE.14294

Table 1. Regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for the associations between Japanese
encephalitis virus outbreaks and species traits as derived from multiple inhomogeneous Poisson
models for Australia alone (A) and the Central Indo-Pacific biogeographical region (CIPBR) as a whole
(B).

| Landscape species pool weighted traits | AIC | Estimate | 95% confidence interval |
|---|---|---|---|
| **A. Australia-specific** | | | |
| *Null model* | 236.75 | | |
| *Model 1* | 212.06 | | |
|   Hand-wing index | | 1.297 | 1.158 − 1.453 |
|   Mean pairwise dissimilarity | | 9.312 | 2.205 − 16.419 |
| *Model 2* | 213.91 | | |
|   Hand-wing index | | 1.273 | 1.134 − 1.428 |
|   Forage strategy − underwater | | 1.050 | 1.014 − 1.088 |
| **B. CIPBR** | | | |
| *Null model* | 838.56 | | |
|   Hand-wing index | 479.47 | 1.17 | 1.100 − 1.246 |
|   Mean pairwise dissimilarity | | 2.302 | 1.127 − 4.704 |

Figure legends

Figure 1. The distribution of the pool-weighted means (PWMs) of species traits for those traits that
were associated with Japanese encephalitis outbreaks in the univariate point process models
(proportion underwater foraging strategy, hand-wing index, and population density) and mean
pairwise dissimilarity (MPD) across Australia. The overlaid points represent the locations of the JEV
piggery outbreaks.

Figure 2. The distribution of the pool-weighted means (PWMs) of species traits for those traits that
were associated with Japanese encephalitis outbreaks in the univariate point process models (egg
mass, proportion of the diet comprising plants, proportion underwater foraging strategy, hand-wing
index, and population density) and mean pairwise dissimilarity (MPD) across the Central Indo-Pacific
biogeographical region. The overlaid points represent the locations of the JEV piggery outbreaks.

Figure 3. Suggested landscape sampling for Australia based on JEV outbreak intensity estimates as a
function of waterbird species traits. The distribution of JEV predicted intensity is presented in the
centre panels by decile, whereas the left and right panels represent the lower and upper 95%
confidence limits for the predicted intensities, respectively. Predictions are based on the best fitting
and performing inhomogeneous Poisson point process model (Table 1A).

Figure 4. The associations between each species and the landscape features under two model
structures using hierarchical modelling of species communities (HMSC). The first HMSC model (top
panel) shows associations as positive, negative, or neutral "responses" of each species on the y-axis
to each landscape feature associated with JEV outbreaks on the x-axis. The second HMSC model
(bottom panel) shows these associations for JEV outbreak occurrence only and no other landscape
features.

Figure 5. The association between species traits and the landscape features, representing
modulation of species "responses" to the landscape features, under two model structures using
hierarchical modelling of species communities (HMSC). The first HMSC model (left panel) shows
associations as positive, negative, or neutral "modulators" for each trait on the y-axis of each
landscape feature associated with JEV outbreaks on the x-axis. The second HMSC model (right panel)
shows these associations for JEV outbreak occurrence only and no other landscape features.

Figure 6. Mapping of hand-wing index onto the phylogenetic tree for 91 waterbird species evaluated
across the Central Indo-Pacific biogeographical region.

*Grus antigone*
*Grus rubicunda*
*Rallina tricolor*
*Porphyrio porphyrio*
*Amaurornis phoenicurus*
*Amaurornis isabellina*
*Amaurornis olivacea*
*Gallirallus striatus*
*Gallirallus torquatus*
*Gallirallus philippensis*
*Porzana cinerea*
*Porzana fusca*
*Porzana fluminea*
*Porzana tabuensis*
*Porzana pusilla*
*Gallinula mortierii*
*Gallinula tenebrosa*
*Gallinula chloropus*
*Fulica atra*
*Gallinula ventralis*
*Gallicrex cinerea*
*Eulabeornis castaneoventris*
*Lewinia pectoralis*
*Phalacrocorax niger*
*Phalacrocorax fuscescens*
*Phalacrocorax carbo*
*Phalacrocorax varius*
*Phalacrocorax sulcirostris*
*Phalacrocorax melanoleucos*
*Pelecanus conspicillatus*
*Botaurus poiciloptilus*
*Ixobrychus sinensis*
*Ixobrychus minutus*
*Ixobrychus flavicollis*
*Ixobrychus cinnamomeus*
*Egretta garzetta*
*Egretta eulophotes*
*Egretta novaehollandiae*
*Egretta sacra*
*Mesophoyx intermedia*
*Casmerodius albus*
*Bubulcus ibis*
*Ardea purpurea*
*Ardea sumatrana*
*Ardea cinerea*
*Ardea picata*
*Ardea pacifica*
*Butorides striata*
*Nycticorax nycticorax*
*Nycticorax caledonicus*
*Ardeola bacchus*
*Ardeola speciosa*
*Plegadis falcinellus*
*Threskiornis molucca*
*Threskiornis spinicollis*
*Platalea regia*
*Platalea flavipes*
*Anastomus oscitans*
*Ciconia stormi*
*Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus*
*Mycteria cinerea*
*Mycteria leucocephala*
*Leptoptilos javanicus*
*Dendrocygna guttata*
*Dendrocygna javanica*
*Dendrocygna eytoni*
*Dendrocygna arcuata*
*Oxyura australis*
*Nettapus pulchellus*
*Nettapus coromandelianus*
*Biziura lobata*
*Stictonetta naevosa*
*Anas clypeata*
*Anas rhynchotis*
*Anas querquedula*
*Anas gibberifrons*
*Anas platyrhynchos*
*Anas superciliosa*
*Anas gracilis*
*Anas castanea*
*Chenonetta jubata*
*Aythya australis*
*Aythya fuligula*
*Tadorna tadornoides*
*Tadorna radjah*
*Cairina moschata*
*Malacorhynchus membranaceus*
*Cereopsis novaehollandiae*
*Anser anser*
*Cygnus atratus*
*Anseranas semipalmata*

16.333                    Hand wing index                    50.667

length=50.983