Urban non-point source pollutants increases the biotic homogenization of the structure and function in river microbiome

Haizhou Li[1,*], Xiangyu Fan[2], Zhiwei He[3], Jing Fu[1], Jinchen Yu[1], Yuekai Wang[1], Shanshan Yang[4], Jiawang Wu[5], Li Wu[6], Jin Zhou[1,*].
Email: lihz.bio@gmail.com, zhou_jin@foxmail.com

1. East China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Science, Shanghai, China.
2. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California, Davis, United States.
3. State Key Laboratory of Marine Geology, Tongji University, Shanghai, China.
4. College of Marine Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, Hubei, P.R. China
5. School of Marine Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangdong, China.
6. Laboratory for Coastal Ocean Variation and Disaster Prediction, College of Ocean and Meteorology, Guangdong Ocean University, Guangdong, China.

Keywords: river network, non-point source pollution, urbanization, biotic homogenization.

Abstract

Human activities were believed to affect the assembly and biogeographic pattern of river microorganisms, while the underlying processes are not sufficiently understood yet. Comparing the river microbial communities in highly urbanized rivers and undisturbed natural rivers could expand our understanding of the river ecosystem responses to human activities. Here, 28 urban and 24 nature reserve river surface sediment samples were collected in a river network spanning ~400 km located in the Qinling Mountains, Northwest China, and their geobiochemistry profiles and microbial populations were investigated. The data showed human activities resulted in significant increases in organic/inorganic nutrients, microbial population size and diversity in urbanized rivers. NMDS and db-RDA analysis together indicate that varying types of urban water pollutants were the primary drivers that governed the microbial community structure and function in the river network. The neutral community model and null model further indicated urban water pollutants act as the

dominant selective force to alter the microbial communities and increased the biotic

homogenization in terms of both taxonomic and functional diversities. Further, biotic

homogenization promotes urban river local biodiversity but reducing regional biodiversity.

Overall, human modifications of the environment are reducing the biological differences that

exist among river ecosystems.

1. Introduction

Rivers have been a preferred location for human settlements throughout history [1].

However, in recent decades, human activities such as rapid urbanization, industrialization

and agriculture have discharged multiple anthropogenic contaminants and non-native

(exotic) microorganisms into the river through industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, and

municipal sewage [2, 3]. These contaminants can be either organic (e.g., antibiotics,

polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, fertilizers, pesticides, manure) [4-7] or inorganic

contaminants (e.g., nitrate, phosphorus, heavy metal) [8-10]. At the same time, river

sediments often act as the recipients of reservoirs for these contaminants.

Extensive human activities and accelerated urbanization substantially influence the

community structure and function of aquatic organisms and has become a major threat to

river ecosystems. For examples, centralized wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are one

of the most common systems for the treatment of urban wastewater. Several recent studies

have presented evidence that the WWTPs effluent have detrimental effects on the river

ecosystem functionality resulting in the degradation of habitats, deterioration in water quality,

and reduces the abundance and diversity of benthic and sediment microbial communities

[11-14].

WWTPs effluent are a common source of point-source pollution in the river ecosystem. In

contrast, the immense industrial, domestic and agricultural activities sewage are considered

as the primary contributors to non-point source pollution [2, 15]. Point-source pollution is

easy to identify, but non-point source pollution is harder to identify and harder to address.

United States Environmental Protection Agency reports that non-point source pollution is the

leading remaining cause of water quality problems, yet there is little information available on

the effects of widespread non-point source pollution on benthic microbial communities in

river ecosystems [16, 17]. The potential ecological and health influences of non-point source

pollution in river ecosystems require further research and monitoring.

Here, we focus on a large scale river network, which flows out of the Qinling Mountains,

where it eventually empties into the Yangtze River (Fig. 1). The Qinling Mountains in

Northwest China's Shaanxi Province are a geographically important north-south boundary in

China, and an important natural barrier for the eastward flow of moisture from the Tibetan

Plateau, providing a significant source of freshwater for downstream human communities.

The distinctive feature of the river network is that it passes numerous cities (a current

population of about 11.06 million) [18] and national nature reserves. Establishing nature

reserves is an effective measure to avoid human activity disturbances [19, 20]. This river

network provides a useful model for understanding the impact of non-point source pollution

on the river ecosystem.

River sediments are considered as the major habitat of microbes in the aquatic environment

[21, 22]. Therefore, sediment-associated microorganisms drive essential ecological

processes and contribute significantly to river function [23]. In this study, the collected river

surface sediment samples (0–2 cm deep) were located in eutrophic waterbodies (highly

urbanized rivers) and oligotrophic waterbodies (undisturbed nature reserve rivers), based on

trophic state index (TSI) (Fig. 1, Dataset 1B). Here, these collected surface sediments

represent diverse non-point source pollution gradients, and creating multiple niches in this

river network. We obtained millions of 16S rRNA tags from the river network sediments by

deep sequencing, and attempted to elucidate the relationship between the microbial

community and environmental factors in the river ecosystem.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Study area, sampling procedure, and physicochemical analysis

Sampling was conducted in August-September 2022 within the river network. From each

sampling site on three sampling occasions, water and sediment samples were collected

within approximately 2 square meters at each site. The geographical data (longitude, latitude,

and distance between sampling sites) were recorded by a GPS device (Dataset 1A). The

water quality parameters, including water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), water

clarity of Secchi depth, transparency (SD), turbidity (TUB), total nitrogen (TN),

nitrate-nitrogen (NO_3^-), ammonium-nitrogen (NH_4^+), total phosphors (TP), total dissolved

phosphorus (TDP), phosphate, permanganate index, volatile phenol, *Chlorophyll*-a

concentration were measured according to Environmental Quality Standards for Surface

Water (Ministry of Ecology and Environment of People's Republic of China, GB3838-2002).

Sediment total organic carbon (TOC) was measured by the Vario E1-III Elemental Analyzer

interfaced with a Thermo Finnigan DELTA plus XL stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer.

Each sample was performed in triplicate, and the values were expressed as the mean (n =

3).

2.2 Sediment cell counts

Immediately upon returning to the laboratory, composite sediment samples were prepared

by the pooling and mixing of 3 replicates (10 g of each replicate) taken from each site (3

composite samples in total). Cell densities were investigated using catalyzed reporter

deposition-fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (CARD-FISH) based on our previous studies [24,

25]. Cell counting was performed in triplicate, and the cell abundance were expressed as the

mean (n = 3).

2.3 High-throughput sequencing

The frozen sediment samples (n=3) at each site were mixed for DNA extraction according

our previous study method [26]. The V3–V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was

amplified by PCR using bacterial and archaeal universal primers (515F and 806R). 16S

rDNA amplicon samples were sequenced using Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina Inc.). The

raw data were analyzed according to our previous methods [24, 25].

Here, the "niche breadth" approach [27] was used to quantify habitat specialization. The

formula is described below:

$$B_j = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{ij}^2}$$

where B_j is the habitat niche breadth of OTUj in a metacommunity; and P_{ij} is the proportion

of OTUj in a given site i, and N is the total number of sites. A higher B value of OTUs

indicates a wider habitat niche breadth. In contrast, lower *B* values indicate that the OTUs

occurred in fewer habitats and were unevenly distributed [28]. The average of B values from

all OTUs in one community was regarded as the community-level B-value (*Bcom*) [29]. We

calculated the *B* value based on species abundance and evenness for urban and nature

reserve samples [30].

2.4 Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences

The Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by construction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2) software package was used to infer the metagenomics content of each sample, based on the 16S rRNA-based taxonomy and abundance of each OTU [31, 32]. The OTU table was imported into PICRUSt on the Galaxy web platform for functional gene prediction with reference to the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) database [33]. These metagenomic interpretations were categorized into known functions associated with sequenced communities. Detailed descriptions of the process have been published previously [32]. In order to further identify potential microbial ecological functions at the studied sites, the obtained OTUs were compared with the FAPROTAX database [34] to predict potential metabolic functions of the microbial community.

2.5 Screening for antibiotic resistant bacteria from river sediments

The approach that has been used to evaluate the status of antibiotic resistance in the environment involves the calculation of resistance percentage, which is based on the ratio between the number of bacteria that are able to grow on culture media that are supplemented with antibiotics at doses close to the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and the number of bacteria that grow on antibiotic-free media [35, 36]. The approach of heterotrophic plate count (HPC) method [37] was used to determine the concentration of antibiotic resistant bacteria in the collected river surface sediments. 0.1 g sediment samples were dissolved in 10 mL of 0.85% sterilized normal saline, and diluted 10 × fold for immediate processing. 100 µL diluted samples were cultured onto plate count agar medium. Medium contained four-class plates, 50.4 µg/mL sulfamethoxazole, 16 µg/mL tetracycline, 15 µg/mL azithromycin and no antibiotics. The media was added antifungal agent 200 µg/mL cycloheximide according to Gao el al., (2012) and Brooks et al. (2007) method. Total heterotrophic cultivable bacterial population was determined by plate count agar medium without antibiotics. The selected concentrations of antibiotics on the media were determined according to the criteria of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (2015) and the previous study [37-39]. Plates were incubated for 48–72 h at 37 °C [37, 40]. Duplicate counting was performed, and colony-forming units (CFU) were calculated.

2.6 Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR)

Absolute quantification standard curve method was used to quantify the function genes in the sediment samples. The real-time quantitative PCR (q-PCR) (ABI7500, USA) using SYBR 2×RealUniversal PreMix (Tiangen Biotech). qPCR was performed to assess the abundance of the macrolide, tetracycline and sulfonamide antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs). The sequences of the primers and the reaction conditions used in this study are presented in Table S1 in the Supporting Information (SI) section.

The PCR reactions were performed in a 20-μL reaction mixture that comprised 10 μL of SYBR RealUniversal PreMix (2 ×), 0.4 μL of each type of primer (10/20 μM), and 1 μL of a DNA template (5 ng). The thermocycling protocol consisted of an initial denaturation for 1 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (95 °C, 10 s) and annealing at the temperatures in Tables S1. The specificity of the PCR products was checked by a melting curve analysis. All qPCR assays were performed in triplicate for each gene,

The q-PCR standards were synthesized by cloning target genes into *Escherichia coli* DH5a using a PMD18-T vector Cloning Kit (TaKaRa Biotechnology, China). Vector concentrations were measured using NanoDrop, and the quantities of target genes per μL of solution were obtained basing on the length of plasmid and target gene sequence. Six-point calibration curves were generated by 10-fold serial dilutions of the plasmid carrying ARGs. All PCR reactions were run in parallel with serially diluted q-PCR standards and DNA-free water as the negative controls.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Null model analysis was carried out using the framework described by Stegen et al. (2012, 2013) to classify community pairs into underlying drivers of species sorting (or selection), dispersal limitation, homogeneous dispersal, and drift. The variation of both phylogenetic diversity and taxonomic diversity was measured using null model-based phylogenetic and taxonomic β-diversity metrics, namely β-nearest taxon index (βNTI) and Bray–Curtis-based Raup–Crick (RC$_{Bray}$). A significant deviation (i.e., $|\beta$NTI$| > 2$) indicates the dominance of selection processes. βNTI < -2 indicates significantly less phylogenetic turnover than expected (i.e., homogeneous selection) while βNTI $> +2$ indicates significantly more phylogenetic turnover than expected (i.e., variable selection). Subsequently, RC$_{Bray}$ was used to further partition the pairwise comparisons that were not assigned to selection (i.e., $|\beta$NTI$| < 2$). The relative influence of homogenizing dispersal was quantified as the fraction

196 of pairwise comparisons with $|\beta NTI| < 2$ and $RC_{Bray} < -0.95$. Dispersal limitation was
197 quantified as the fraction of pairwise comparisons with $|\beta NTI| < 2$ and $RC_{Bray} > 0.95$. The
198 fractions of all pairwise comparisons with $|\beta NTI| < 2$ and $|RC_{Bray}| < 0.95$ were used to
199 estimate influence of "undominated" assembly, which mostly consists of weak selection,
200 weak dispersal, diversification, and/or drift.
201
A neutral community model was used to determine the contribution of stochastic processes
to microbial community assembly by predicting the relationship between the frequency with
which taxa occur in a set of local communities and their abundance across the wider
metacommunity [41]. The model predicts that abundant taxa are more likely to be dispersed
by chance and widespread across metacommunity, while rare taxa would be lost in different
local communities due to ecological drift. In the model, the estimated migration rate is a
parameter for evaluating the probability that a random loss of an individual in a local
community would be replaced by dispersal from the metacommunity, and, therefore, is a
measure of dispersal limitation. Higher m values indicate that microbial communities are
less dispersal limited. The formula is as follows:

$$Freq_i = 1 - I(1/N \mid N*m*p_i, N*m*(1 - p_i))$$

where $Freq_i$ is the occurrence frequency of taxon i across communities; N is the number of
individuals per community; m is the estimated migration rate; p_i is the average relative
abundance of taxon i across communities; and $I()$ is the probability density function of beta
distribution. R^2 indicates the fit of the parameter based on nonlinear least-squares fitting.
217

218 **2.8 Definition of trophic site groups**

219 To evaluate the trophic status of each site, we calculated a trophic state index (TSI) based
220 on the concentrations of TN, TP, Chl-a and SD in the water environment [42, 43].
221 Specifically, the calculation of the TSI was as follows:
222

$$TSI=0.326*TSI(Chl\text{-}a)+0.219*TSI(TN)+0.230*TSI(TP)+0.225*TSI(SD) \tag{1}$$
$$TSI(Chl\text{-}a) =10*[2.5+1.086*ln(Chl\text{-}a)] \tag{2}$$
$$TSI(TP)=10*[9.436+1.624*ln(TP)] \tag{3}$$
$$TSI(TN)=10*[5.453+1.694*ln(TN)] \tag{4}$$
$$TSI(SD)=10*[5.118-1.94*ln(SD)] \tag{5}$$

224 where TSI(Chl-a), TSI(TN), TSI(TP), and TSI(SD) are the trophic state index in relation to
225 Chl-a (mg/L), TN (mg/L), TP (mg/L), and SD (m), respectively.
226
Five trophic levels were defined: oligotrophic (TSI < 30), mesotrophic (30 ≤ TSI ≤50), slightly
eutrophic (50 < TSI ≤ 60), moderately eutrophic (60 < TSI ≤70) and highly eutrophic (TSI >70)
[42]. For detailed information on the environmental variables of the trophic groups, see
Dataset 1B.

2.9 Data available

Sequencing data are stored on the National Genomics Data Center (NGDC) Sequence
Read Archive (PRJCA018972).

3. Results

3.1 Biogeochemical properties of river water and sediment samples

A total of 28 urban river and 24 undisturbed pristine nature reserve river surface (0-2 cm)
sediment samples were collected (Fig. 1). Samples from all 52 locations covered a wide
range of horizontal distance (0.72-376 km). The environmental chemical profiles of river
water showed remarkable differences between the two regions (Fig. 2, Dataset 1A). The
differences mainly included significantly higher concentration of total nitrogen (TN),
ammonium, nitrite, nitrate, total phosphorus (TP), total dissolved phosphorus (TDP),
phosphate, volatile phenol, Chlorophyll a in urban river samples (P<0.01). Ammonium and
nitrate were the dominant forms of dissolved nitrogen within the rivers. The total organic
carbon (TOC) content in sediment also showed a significant increasing trend from the
natural river to urban river (P<0.0001) (Fig. 2).
246
The urban river sampling sites had a mean TSI of 53.07, ranging from 41.97 to 69.32, and
most sites were eutrophic according to a TSI threshold larger than 50 (Zhang et al., 2018b).
The nature reserve river sampling sites had a mean TSI of 36.23, ranging from 20.84 to
53.95, and most sites were oligotrophic and mesotrophic (TSI < 50). We found that the
environmental variables related to eutrophication or nutrient levels generally showed
significant relationships with TSI in both water and sediment habitats (P < 0.05). For
instance, chlorophyll a, total nitrogen and dissolved total nitrogen in water tended to increase
with increasing TSI, while the transparency decreased.

3.2 Microbial community abundance, richness and diversity

Microbial abundance ranged from 1.3×10^7 to 1.1×10^9 cells g-1 and 8.0×10^6 to 7.1×10^8 cells g-1 for urban and natural river samples, respectively (Fig. 3A, details in Dataset 2A). The abundance of microorganisms increased with increasing TSI, and the urban sites exhibited a significantly higher abundance of microorganisms than those from the natural river samples ($P<0.01$), indicated that the human activities have a great impact on microbial population.

Using universal primers for bacteria and archaea, 3,309,566 high-quality sequences were generated throughout the river network (Dataset 2A, SI Appendix Fig. S1). These high-quality sequences were clustered into 18,038 operational taxonomic units (OTUs, 97% similarity level) (Dataset 2B). Diversity within each samples was calculated at an equivalent sequencing depth across all samples using OTU-level based on three sediment composite samples per site (Materials and Methods). A range of 1,628–6,104 chao1 OTUs and 1.89–6.68 Shannon index were observed in the urban samples, and a range of 1,321–5,471 chao1 OTUs and 1.88–6.31 Shannon index were observed in nature reserve samples (Fig. 3B-C). The Chao 1 and Shannon in sediments increased with increasing TSI ($P < 0.05$). Both the Chao 1 and Shannon demonstrated that microbial richness and diversity were considerably higher in the urban river compared to the natural river samples ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, the niche breadths (*Bcom*) (Fig. 3D) exhibited the narrowest *Bcom* values in urban areas, rather than those in natural river.

3.3 Microbial community structure and composition

80 microbial phyla and 3,570 species were identified in the urban samples, and 74 phyla and 3,371 species were identified in nature reserve samples (Dataset 2C-F). At the phylum level, the urban and nature reserve samples investigated here shared a high proportion of taxa. The ten most prevalent phyla in all of sites collectively constituted 95.48% of the total sequences (Fig. 4A), matching the classical abundance-range relationship [44, 45]. The dominant microbial phyla included Proteobacteria (28.69%), Firmicutes (28.32%), Actinobacteriota (17.79%), Chloroflexi (4.99%), Bacteroidota (4.51%), Acidobacteriota (3.51%), Planctomycetota (3.51%), Verrucomicrobiota (2.43%), Deinococcota (1.01%), Cyanobacteria (0.72%) (Fig 4A, Dataset 2C).

288   Archaea constituted only a minor fraction of the river sediment communities (0.02~4.96%),
289   and an increase in the diversity and proportion of the archaea was identified in urban areas.
290   In the urban river, proportion of the archaea exhibited 1.58 times higher than those in natural
291   river, and archaeal Chao1 richness and Shannon diversity exhibited 1.47 and 2.36 times
292   higher than those in natural river, respectively (Fig. 4A).
293
Although the microbial community of these sites exhibited similarities at the phylum level, 38
order in samples showed significant differences (P<0.01) between urban and nature reserve
river ecosystems (Fig. 4B, SI Appendix Fig. S1B). For example, Bacillales, Clostridiales,
Steroidobacterales were dominant in urban areas, while Erysipelotrichales, Deinococcales,
Alicyclobacillales, Pyrinomonadales, Burkholderiales were dominant in nature reserve areas
(SI Appendix Fig. S2B, Dataset 2C).
300
Further, a heatmap was generated to represent the 50 most prevalent species (Fig. S3), and
most of these dominant OTUs were classified as unclassified species. Commonly observed
with relatively high abundances in all samples were *Exiguobacterium-sibiricum*,
Exiguobacterium sp., and *Acinetobacter lwoffii*. In urban samples, the dominant taxa
included Unclassified Planococcaceae, Unclassified Steroidobacteraceae, Unclassified
Anaerolineaceae, and Unclassified Rhizobiales. On the other hand, the unclassified
Acinetobacter, unclassified Pseudomonas, unclassified Sphingomonadaceae, unclassified
Deinococcus, *Pseudomonas parafulva* had high abundances in nature reserve samples.
309
The analysis of similarities showed that the urban samples harbored significantly dissimilar
microbial communities at OTU level (Fig. 3E; PERMANOVA pseudo F = 2.1455, p.adj =
0.024, 999 permutations; ANOSIM R sqr = 0.0597, P = 0.03). Along the trophic gradient, we
observed taxonomic homogenization for bacteria and archaea (Fig. 3F). Bacterial and
archaea communities in the river network generally became more clustered in highly
eutrophic urban sites, measured by the distance to group centroid (Fig. 3F).

3.4 Prediction of the functional diversity and metabolic pathways

Microbial community metabolic predictions guided by the FAPROTAX database [34]
revealed distinctions in the metabolic potential related to nitrogen, carbon, and sulfur cycling
between urban and nature reserve areas (Dataset 4A-D). For nitrogen transformation, the
microorganisms involved in ammonia oxidation, nitrite oxidation, nitrogen fixation,
nitrification at urban rivers was higher than that at nature reserve (ANOVA, P < 0.001). For
carbon transformation, urban areas exhibited a higher abundances of microbial groups

associated with anoxygenic photoautotrophy, oxygenic photoautotrophy, chloroplasts, and
photoautotrophy. Furthermore, the urban areas displayed a higher abundance of taxa
involved in degradation of aromatic compound compared to the nature reserve (ANOVA, $P <$
0.05) (Fig. 3I). The sulfur cycling displayed heterogeneity among the samples. As depicted
in Fig. 3I, the urban areas exhibited abundant taxa associated with the dark oxidation of
sulfur compounds (ANOVA, $P < 0.05$). Furthermore, the abundance of taxa involved in
sulfate respiration was higher in urban areas.
330
Additionally, the PICRUSt2 database [31] annotated 414 functional KEGG pathways
(Dataset 4C-F). The metabolism associated with carbon fixation, nitrogen, sulfur, phosphate,
antibiotic biosynthesis and xenobiotic biodegradation were investigated. The presence of
antibiotic resistance proteins was also detected (Dataset 4B, D, F). In all samples,
biodegradation pathways encompassing 21 types of xenobiotic compounds were
determined (Dataset 4F-G). The ecological function of xenobiotic biodegradation exhibited a
noticeable increasing trend from the nature reserve to the human-impacted sites. This trend
suggested an increase in pollutants discharge from urban rivers. Styrene degradation
emerged as the predominant process among polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)
degradation (Dataset 4G). Combined the microbial community structure and functional
diversity indicated that more sensitive species may be replaced by more tolerant species
following environmental change, leading to increase of the similarity in species features, i.e.,
functional homogenization (Fig. 3I).

3.5 Antibiotic resistance rates and genes

Three kinds of antibiotic, macrolides, tetracycline and sulfonamide, were selected for
antibiotic resistance rates (ARRs) test. Macrolides and tetracyclines are among the most
widely used antibiotics globally for both human and agricultural applications [46,
47]. Sulfonamides were the first synthetically produced antibiotic for widespread use and
extensive resistance has since emerged among clinical isolates [48].
350
All of collected samples in this study showed macrolide, tetracycline and sulfonamide
resistance activity. Fig. 5A illustrated the macrolides, tetracycline and sulfamethoxazole
resistance rates on plating count agar. The urban samples antibiotic resistant rate ranged
11.2% - 29.5 % for azithromycin, 13.0-29.0 % for tetracycline, 11.0-26.9 % for sulfonamide.
The natural river samples antibiotic resistant rate ranged 2.4-18.2% for azithromycin,
4.0-16.0 % for tetracycline, 2.4-16.0 % for sulfonamide. The antibiotic resistant rate in urban
samples were higher than those in natural river samples. In the natural river samples, no

significant differences in antibiotic resistance rate were observed, but variability in antibiotic

resistance rates were observed in the urban samples.

Five antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) representing three classes of resistance (two

sulfonamide: *sul*1 and *sul*2; two tetracycline: *tet*O and *tet*W; and one macrolide: *erm*F) (Fig.

5B) were selected for quantification in all samples to characterize how loads of ARGs

change between urban and natural rivers. The five target ARGs were selected due to their

documented prevalence in watersheds impacted by anthropogenic and agricultural runoff

[49]. All five of these genes were among those identified as candidate indicators of the

extent of the impact of antibiotic resistance in the environment by the European Cooperation

in Science and Technology Action [35].

The ARGs were found in all samples, and Fig. 5B shows the occurrences and quantities of

ARGs in the sediments of the urban and natural rivers. The concentrations of ARGs varied

greatly, ranging from 1.04×10^3 copies/g (*sul2*, Natural River) to 4.10×10^8 copies/g (*tetO*,

urban river). The quantity of ARGs in the urban river ranged from 1.36×10^4 to 1.63×10^8

copies/g for *sul1*, 2.27×10^4 to 3.00×10^8 copies/g for *sul2*, 5.36×10^3 to 4.10×10^8 copies/g

for *tetO,* 2.52×10^3 to 2.17×10^8 copies/g for *tetW,* 1.72×10^3 to 2.02×10^8 copies/g for

ermF. The quantity of ARGs in the natural river ranged from 1.42×10^3 to 9.49×10^6

copies/g for *sul1*, 1.04×10^3 to 1.9×10^7 copies/g for *sul2*, 1.49×10^3 to 2.5×106 copies/g

for *tetO,* 2.34×10^3 to 9.45×106 copies/g for *tetW,* 2.12×10^3 to 5.52×10^6 copies/g for

ermF. The quantity of ARG abundances in the sediments of the urban river were higher than

those in the sediments collected from the natural river. A wide concentration range for each

class of resistance genes was observed in the urban river in the tetracycline, macrolide and

sulfonamide resistance genes. In contrast, this trend was not observed in the natural river.

3.6 Key influencing factors and ecological driving processes

Across the large river network spanning a geographic distance of ~400 km, the microbial

community increased in dissimilarity with increasing spatial distance, showed a

distance-decay relationships (DDRs) (Fig. 6A, Dataset 2G). However, we observed

microbial communities in the natural river sites had a steeper DDR slope between sampled

sites than those in urban sites (Fig. 6A), indicated the environmental selection have more

strong effect on the urban river sites. The distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA)

using environmental parameters as constraining variables, highlighted the potential

significance of these chemical parameters in elucidating microbial community compositions

(Fig. 3F). Ammonium (r sqr= 0.3551, *P*=0.001), pH (r sqr= 0.2293, *P*=0.005), Nitrite (r

sqr=0.2211, *P*=0.003), Nitrate (r sqr=0.188 *P*=0.006), TN (r sqr= 0.17, *P*=0.006), TOC (r

sqr= 0.1367, *P*=0.032), phosphate (r sqr= 0.0615, *P*=0.010) were more crucial in shaping

the microbial community (Fig. 3F).

To further assess the underlying factors governed community dynamics in the river network,

the null model [50] and neutral community model (NCM) [41] were used to quantify the

relative influences of deterministic and stochastic processes in our study. The null model

indicated a prevalence of environmental selection processes, particularly in the form of

homogeneous selection (|βNTI| < 2, RCbary >+0.95) (Fig. 6B, Dataset 3), in governing the

urban river community assembly (details in Materials and Methods). Simultaneously, neutral

community model (Fig. 6C) showed a gradual decline in the contribution of stochastic

process with the increase of human activity and eutrophication, elucidating 58.5% and 48.3%

of the stochastic process within the nature reserve and urban samples, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1 Non-pointed source pollutions changed the river community diversity,

compositions and function

Considerable variation exists within the bacterial and archaeal communities in terms of

abundance, richness, diversity (Fig. 3A-C) and composition (Fig. 3E) between urban and

natural rivers, accompanied by significant niche differentiation (Fig. 3D). Nonmetric

multidimensional scaling (NMDS) (Fig. 3F) and network analysis (Fig. 3I), based on OTU,

demonstrated distinct differences between the microbial communities of urban and those of

the natural river ecosystems. The impact of human activities on river microbial communities

was also evident in the predicted metabolic functions of these communities (Fig. 3I). This

differentiation persisted even when considering the exclusion of "rare biosphere" taxa (< 0.1%

total sequence). Here, we further investigated key function taxa, including

nitrogen-transformation microorganisms, pathogens, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

(PAHs)-degrading bacteria, antibiotic-resistant bacteria and the archaea in the urban river

samples.

(i) Urbanized rivers exhibited a notably higher abundance of nitrogen transformation taxa

groups (Dataset 2E-F, *P* < 0.01), including nitrogen-fixing bacteria (*Anaeromyxobacte*) [51],

nitrifying bacteria (*Nitrosomonas, Nitrospina, Nitrosospira*, *Nitrospira*) and denitrifying

bacteria (*Paracoccus, Hyphomicrobium*, and *Gemmobacter*) [52]. The 16S rDNA

sequencing-inferred physiology involvement nitrogen transformation function showed a
similar pattern trend in the urban river (Fig. 3I, P < 0.01). Previous studies showed that high
abundance of nitrogen transformation taxa was correlated with high nutrient availability [53,
54].The pronounced increase of nitrogen transformation taxa in direct proportion with the TP
and inorganic nitrogen content (Fig. 2) in the urban rivers might be fueled by the high
nutrient sources from sewage.
432
The db-RDA analysis further suggested that nitrogen (often in the form of nitrate and
ammonium) and pH contributed significantly to the changes in the urban river sediment
microbial community (Fig. 3G). The high nitrogen loading and lower pH (Fig. 2), are common
consequences of the continuous input of industrial, domestic, and agricultural wastewater,
which was caused by a large population of about 11.06 million in sampled regions [18].
Excessive nitrogen inputs can lead to eutrophication, a process where the nutrient
enrichment promotes the growth of algae (Chlorophyll a content in Fig. 2) [55]. The
proliferation of algae leads to increased organic matter production, which is subsequently
decomposed by bacteria and archaea (TOC content in Fig. 2). The ''productivity/resources
hypothesis'' posits that greater resource availability and/or primary production can support
larger population sizes and limit local extinction, promoting species coexistence [56].
Therefore, the influx of organic compounds and nutrients into the urban river created a more
uniform environment, allowing for the coexistence of a broader range of microbial species.
The increased availability of organic matter and the changed nutrient conditions can
profoundly influence the river microbial population, and enhanced the microbial population
size and diversity in urbanized rivers.
449
(ii) High abundance of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes were observed in the urban river
(Dataset 2C, P <0.01). These taxa, in general, have been frequently found in WWTPs and
wastewater-influenced environments [57], which are recognized as good indicators of fecal
contamination [33, 58]. The elevated abundance of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes in our
collected urban river sediment samples suggested the presence of untreated wastewater
from residential areas in these areas, which may contain fecal pollutants. Additionally,
according to Pathogen–Host Interaction (PHI) database [59], our study also identified a
marked increase in the abundance and diversity of pathogens like *Acinetobacter*, *Arcobacter*,
Exiguobacterium, *Chryseobacterium*, *Escherichia Shigella* and *Staphylococcus*
aureus within urban river (Dataset 2E-F, P < 0.01). These findings highlighted urban
non-point source untreated water pollutants have resulted in fecal contamination in the river
network. Furthermore, such pathogens also have demonstrated a remarkable capacity to

degrade and tolerate a wide array of organic compounds found in sewage water [60, 61].
This dual capability underscored their adaptability to environments influenced by urban
non-point source water pollutants and also suggested their involvement in sewage
degradation processes [62, 63].
466
(iii) High abundances of ecological function linked to xenobiotic biodegradation [64] were
detected in urban sites (Dataset 4F). As we known that the presence of biodegradation
genes could serve as indicators for the existence of xenobiotics and their corresponding
metabolites [65, 66]. Furthermore, high abundance of aminobenzoate, benzoate,
naphthalene were observed in the urban segment of the river (Dataset 3G), which was also
accompanied by increased abundance of potential PAHs degrading bacteria, such as
Sphingobium, *Rhodococcus*, *Novosphingobium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Sphingomonas*,
Brevibacterium, *Arthrobacter*, *Nocardioides,* and *Mycobacterium* were observed in the urban
river (Datset 2E, P < 0.01) [67]. It suggested the accumulation of PAHs in our collected
urban river sediment samples. PAHs primarily originating from long-term anthropogenic
pollution sources linked to rapid industrialization and urbanization [68, 69]. These PAHs
pollutants, characterized by persistence and low solubility, tend to accumulate in river
sediments due to their hydrophobic nature and strong adsorption onto sediment particles [5,
68]. Numerous urban rivers in China have been identified as containing high abundance of
PAHs [70, 71], and significant high biodegradation of PAHs capacity has also been
observed in urban areas [72, 73].
483
(iv) Functions linked to drug and antimicrobial metabolism were identified in urban river and
nature reserve river sediment samples (Dataset 4D). In our study, a higher ARRs and ARGs
were observed in highly urbanized river areas (Fig. 6A-B). The presence of antibiotics in the
river is a widespread concern. Zhang et al. (2015) reported that over 68% of total antibiotic
usage in China in 2013 was excreted by humans and animals, leading to around 53,800
tons of antibiotics entering the environment [74]. Urban water ecosystems have been
identified as potential hotspots for the spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria in China [75, 76].
The continuous selective pressure exerted by antibiotic residues, even at sub-inhibitory
concentrations, in combination with the diverse and dense microbial communities sustained
by nutrient-rich environments, creates favorable conditions for the dissemination and
proliferation of antibiotic resistance [36].
495
Notably, the data showed that significant variation between cities in antibiotic resistance
rates and genes, with no significant differences in natural river samples (Fig. 6). This

correlation was highly significant for antibiotic use and population. This feature emphasized
the importance of the different levels of human activity contribution based on population size,
potentially resulting in varied antibiotic resistance rates among urban regions. Additionally,
pollutants from human activities, such as heavy metals and disinfectants, further exerted
selective pressure on bacteria, contributing significantly to antibiotic resistance development
[77].

(v) An increase in the diversity and proportion of the archaea was also identified under the
impact of human activities pollution effluent. In the urban river areas, proportion of the
archaea exhibited 1.58 times higher than those in natural river, and archaeal Chao1 richness
and Shannon diversity exhibited 1.47 and 2.36 times higher than that in the natural river,
respectively. This finding is similar to previous study revealed that in a high-contamination
zone, archaeal Chao1 richness and Shannon diversity exhibited substantial increases,
approximately 1.81 and 1.56 times higher than those in a low-contamination zone,
respectively [10]. Krzmarzick et al. (2018) proposed that archaea have demonstrated a
notable capacity for enduring harsh environments, leading to the possibility that numerous
archaeal species possessing exceptional adaptability to severe anthropogenic pollution
could flourish in urban river environments [78].

Overall, our research demonstrated that human population alongside contamination level
serve as the predominant influences shaping urban river microbial communities in the river
network ecosystem. Some bacterial and archaeal communities might have adapted to these
altered conditions over time [79, 80]. Therefore, the changed environmental conditions can
potentially favor specific bacterial and archaeal taxa, leading to shifts in community
composition. These changes in nutrients have cascading effects on ecosystem functioning
and overall microbial processes in the river network. Given the human activities that carry a
myriad of exotic microorganisms and organic/inorganic contaminants into the urban river,
urbanization can profoundly change the riverine ecosystems [11, 81].

4.2 Urbanization non-point source pollution increased biotic homogenization

In this study, river microbial communities exhibited high diversity, encompassing thousands
of OTUs across major taxa that inhabit various habitats within the river ecosystem (Fig. 3-5).
The distance-decay relationship (DDR) was observed for both urban and natural river
samples (Fig. 6A). Understanding the mechanisms of interaction of diverse ecological
processes in microbial community assembly is a central question in the field of river

biogeography [82]. Stochastic neutral models postulate that water mass transport must

interact with dispersal limitation to create a distance-decay pattern [83-85]. However,

recently, it has been generally accepted that both niche-based deterministic and

neutral-based stochastic processes occur simultaneously in the assembly of microbial

communities [86-88], and the relative contributions of different processes vary depending on

geographic scales, strength of environmental gradients, and the type of organisms[89].

Previously, the investigation on the impacts of geographic distances and environmental

conditions on microbial community assembly has been a research hotspot in river ecology

[86, 87]. In large scale watersheds such as Yangtze River and Tingjiang River, geographic

distance was proven key factor governing community succession [10, 90, 91]. However, we

observed microbial communities in the natural river sites had a steeper DDR slope between

sampled sites than those in urban sites (Fig. 6A). In other words, the geographic variation in

microbial community composition is lower across cities than across natural river ecosystems.

Furthermore, our analyses also show narrower niche breadths were witnessed for samples

in urban river (Fig. 3D), and a greater homogenization in the community composition along

the urban river than across the corresponding natural river ecosystems (Fig. 3F), suggested

that persistent uniform non-point source pollution such as high nitrogen, PAHs, antibiotic

and so on arises from urbanization human activity exert robust environmental selection

deterministic influences on river system. Therefore, similar urban environmental condition

could promote community fusion, contributing to a more similar community structure (Fig.

3F-H) and function (Fig. 3I), and indicating the taxonomic and functional homogenization

occur simultaneously in the river network.

The application of the neutral community model (Fig. 6C) revealed that deterministic

processes predominantly shaped the urban river microbial communities in the river network.

Null model further uncovered the homogeneous selection dominated governs the urban river

community assembly (Fig. 6B). This finding suggests that the accelerated eutrophication rise

from non-point source pollution created a more uniformity environment in urban river system.

As discussed by Olden and Poff (2003), functional homogenization occurs when the same

kind of ecosystem becomes more uniformly widespread. As indicated by the trends noted

above, intensively urbanized ecosystems are sometimes relatively simple, with

uncomplicated food webs and dominated by abiotic controls and imported food subsidies.

Thus, it seems likely that the spread of similar species and similar physical conditions in the

intensively modified urban river habitats will tend to produce an increase in functional

homogenization.

According to the United Nations, 68% of the global population will live in cities by 2050,

increasing the environmental and social stresses for the billions of humans living in urban

areas. Previous studies showed that human-induced land-use changes result in the biotic

homogenization of soil microbial communities [92]. When measured by extent and intensity,

urbanization non-pointed source pollutions is one of the most homogenizing of all major

human activities [93]. Cities homogenize the physical environment because they are built to

meet the relatively narrow needs of human.

Our results, emphasizing a convergence (reduced geographic variation) in the urban rivers

microbiome and function, are consistent with the effects of urbanization on bird [94], fish [95],

plant [96], invertebrate [97, 98], zooplankton [99], dust samples [100] communities. Together,

our findings provide novel evidence that accelerated urbanization and eutrophication cause

river microbial community and functional homogenization and lead to enhance the local

(alpha) diversity of microbes.

4.3 Urbanization biotic homogenization promotes local biodiversity but

reducing global biodiversity

The non-native species often enrich urban river local biodiversity [101]. Hence, the urban

river microbial species richness and diversity is generally enriched relative to surrounding

natural habitats (Fig. 3A-D), and promote 274 non-native species establishment (Fig. 3E).

Urbanization alters the natural selection regime, often putting native species at a competitive

disadvantage. Previous many studies show that the construction and expansion of towns

and cities promote the loss of native species and their replacement by non-native species

[93]. In our study, as indicated by the discussion 4.1 noted above, the massive non-pointed

source pollutions created by city growth not only destroy the habitat of native species but

they create habitat for species that are adapting to urban river conditions. This process of

replacing localized native species with increasingly widespread non-native species

promotes biotic homogenization on spatial scales.

However, urbanization biotic homogenization has the effect of increasing urban local

biodiversity (Fig. 3B-C) but reducing regional biodiversity (3499 species in the urban river

sites, 3736 species in natural river, Fig. 3E). The non-native biota becomes increasingly

enriched in species that are spreading to many parts of the river network to replace many

natural river locally unique, endemic native species. Hence, urbanization can drive biotic

homogenization by reducing trait and phylogenetic differences in species assemblages

between regions, thus diminishing the regional distinctiveness of biotas and likely have

negative impacts on key ecosystem functions. The global diversity is decreased by the
subsequent extinction of unique regional species that are lost to the global species pool.
606
The problem for biodiversity conservation is that despite this high species richness in
urbanizing areas, including the importation of non-native species, global biodiversity
continues to decline. This pattern of local enrichment but global decline is a crucial one for
conservation biology because it may divert public attention away from the more global
problem of global species decline. Consequently, as cities expand across the planet,
biological homogenization increases because the same "urban-adaptable" species become
increasingly widespread and locally abundant in cities across the planet.
614
This result provided an excellent illustration of the concept of biotic homogenization, which
suggested that human modifications of the environment are reducing the biological
differences that exist among natural ecosystems. This process is predicted to result in a
more homogenized biosphere on regional and global scales [93] and reducing global
biodiversity. Further investigations are needed to explore the universality of biotic
homogenization due to human activity effluents across a range of river ecosystems.
621

5. Conclusions

Despite the rivers' inherent capacity for dilution and self-purification, the river network was
vulnerable to non-point source pollution from human activities. We found that urban river is
important hot spots of local microbial taxonomic and functional diversity but also support a
more homogenization in the structure and function of the river microbiome. As a result, biotic
homogenization promotes urban river local biodiversity but reducing regional biodiversity.
More specifically, we show that urban river harbor more similar microbiomes than adjacent
natural river ecosystems. The urban river is characterized by higher proportions of
fast-growing bacteria, algae, nitrifiers, PAHs degradation bacteria, and important pathogens,
fecal coliform bacteria and antibiotic resistant bacteria, which were particularly dominant in
the nutrient rich, more population, and more intensively human activity urban river. Our
analyses indicate that anthropogenic pollutants stand out as the principal drivers altering
microbial communities, consequently augmenting the role of homogeneous selection in
shaping urban microbial community assembly. Together, our study represents a
comprehensive assessment of the structure and functional differences in the urban and
natural river microbiome.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Youth Program of National Natural Science Foundation of
China (No. 32100081), Central Public-interest Scientific Institution Basal Research Fund,
CAFS (NO.14).

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

Statement: During the preparation of this work the authors used CHATGPT in order to
improve readability and language. After using this tool/service, the authors reviewed and
edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the publication.

Reference

1. Widder, S., et al., *Fluvial network organization imprints on microbial co-occurrence*

networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2014. 111(35): p.

12799-12804.

2. Beattie, R.E., et al., *Freshwater sediment microbial communities are not resilient to*

disturbance from agricultural land runoff. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2020. 11: p.

539921.

3. Wang, Y., et al., *Comparison of the levels of bacterial diversity in freshwater, intertidal*

wetland, and marine sediments by using millions of illumina tags. Appl Environ

Microbiol, 2012. 78(23): p. 8264-71.

4.   Singh, R., et al., *Antibiotic resistance in major rivers in the world: A systematic review*

on occurrence, emergence, and management strategies. Journal of Cleaner

Production, 2019. **234**: p. 1484-1505.

5.   Patel, A.B., et al., *Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Sources, Toxicity, and*

Remediation Approaches. Front Microbiol, 2020. **11**: p. 562813.

6.   Xu, N., et al., *Geographic patterns of microbial traits of river basins in China.* Science

of The Total Environment, 2023. **871**: p. 162070.

7.   Liu, Y., et al., *Effects of point and nonpoint source pollution on urban rivers: From the*

perspective of pollutant composition and toxicity. Journal of Hazardous Materials,

2023. **460**: p. 132441.

8.   Pruden, A., M. Arabi, and H.N. Storteboom, *Correlation Between Upstream Human*

Activities and Riverine Antibiotic Resistance Genes. Environmental Science &

Technology, 2012. **46**(21): p. 11541-11549.

9.   Manyi-Loh, C.E., et al., *An Overview of the Control of Bacterial Pathogens in Cattle*

Manure. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2016.

13(9): p. 843.

10.   Yang, S., et al., *Multiple metal(loid) contamination reshaped the structure and*

function of soil archaeal community. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2022. **436**: p.

129186.

11.   Drury, B., E. Rosi-Marshall, and J.J. Kelly, *Wastewater treatment effluent reduces the*

abundance and diversity of benthic bacterial communities in urban and suburban

rivers. Appl Environ Microbiol, 2013. **79**(6): p. 1897-905.

12.   Pascual-Benito, M., et al., *Impact of treated sewage effluent on the bacterial*

community composition in an intermittent mediterranean stream. Environmental

Pollution, 2020. **266**: p. 115254.

13.   Dai, T., et al., *Wastewater treatment plant effluent discharge decreases bacterial*

community diversity and network complexity in urbanized coastal sediment.

Environmental Pollution, 2023. **322**: p. 121122.

14.   Kiedrzyńska, E., et al., *Point sources of nutrient pollution in the lowland river*

catchment in the context of the Baltic Sea eutrophication. Ecological Engineering,

2014. **70**: p. 337-348.

15.   Ding, X. and L. Liu, *Long-term effects of anthropogenic factors on nonpoint source*

pollution in the upper reaches of the Yangtze river. Sustainability, 2019. **11**(8): p.

2246.

16.   EPA. *Basic Information about Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution.* 2022; Available from:

https://www.epa.gov/nps/basic-information-about-nonpoint-source-nps-pollution.

17.   Wang, H., et al., *Multiple stressors determine the process of the benthic diatom*

community assembly and network stability in urban water bodies in Harbin. Science

of The Total Environment, 2024. **913**: p. 169536.

18.   Cheng, M. and C. Duan, *The changing trends of internal migration and urbanization in*

China: new evidence from the seventh National Population Census. China Population

and Development Studies, 2021. **5**: p. 275-295.

19.   Dudley, N., *Guidelines for applying protected area management categories.* 2008:

Iucn.

20.   Xiao, H., et al., *The Temporal-Based Forest Disturbance Monitoring Analysis: A Case*

Study of Nature Reserves of Hainan Island of China From 1987 to 2020. Frontiers in

Environmental Science, 2022. **10**.

21.   Gibbons, S.M., et al., *Human and Environmental Impacts on River Sediment*

Microbial Communities. PLOS ONE, 2014. **9**(5): p. e97435.

22.   Battin, T.J., et al., *The ecology and biogeochemistry of stream biofilms.* Nature

Reviews Microbiology, 2016. **14**(4): p. 251-263.

23.   Xie, Y., et al., *Using in situ bacterial communities to monitor contaminants in river*

sediments. Environmental Pollution, 2016. **212**: p. 348-357.

24.   Li, H., Q. Yang, and H. Zhou, *Niche differentiation of sulfate-and iron-dependent*

anaerobic methane oxidation and methylotrophic methanogenesis in deep sea

methane seeps. Front Microbiol, 2020. **11**: p. 1409.

25.   Li, H., et al., *Stochastic and Deterministic Assembly Processes in Seamount*

Microbial Communities. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2023. **89**(7).

26.   Li, H., et al., *The impact of temperature on microbial diversity and AOA activity in the*

Tengchong Geothermal Field, China. Sci Rep, 2015. **5**: p. 17056.

27. Levins, R., *Evolution in changing environments: some theoretical explorations*. 1968:

Princeton University Press.

28. Pandit, S.N., J. Kolasa, and K. Cottenie, *Contrasts between habitat generalists and*

specialists: an empirical extension to the basic metacommunity framework. Ecology,

2009. **90**(8): p. 2253-2262.

29. Jiao, S., et al., *Balance between community assembly processes mediates species*

coexistence in agricultural soil microbiomes across eastern China. The ISME Journal,

2020. **14**(1): p. 202-216.

30. Salazar, G., *EcolUtils: Utilities for community ecology analysis*. R package, 2020.

31. Douglas, G.M., et al., *PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions*. Nature

Biotechnology, 2020. **38**(6): p. 685-688.

32. Langille, M.G., et al., *Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using*

16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nature biotechnology, 2013. **31**(9): p. 814-821.

33. Wang, L., et al., *Shift in the microbial community composition of surface water and*

sediment along an urban river. Science of The Total Environment, 2018. **627**: p.

600-612.

34. Louca, S., L.W. Parfrey, and M. Doebeli, *Decoupling function and taxonomy in the*

global ocean microbiome. Science, 2016. **353**(6305): p. 1272-1277.

35. Berendonk, T.U., et al., *Tackling antibiotic resistance: the environmental framework*.

Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2015. **13**(5): p. 310-317.

36.   Rizzo, L., et al., *Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for antibiotic resistant*

739   *bacteria and genes spread into the environment: A review.* Science of The Total

740   Environment, 2013. **447**: p. 345-360.

741   37.   Munir, M., K. Wong, and I. Xagoraraki, *Release of antibiotic resistant bacteria and*

742   *genes in the effluent and biosolids of five wastewater utilities in Michigan.* Water

743   Research, 2011. **45**(2): p. 681-693.

744   38.   Gao, P., et al., *Occurrence of sulfonamide and tetracycline-resistant bacteria and*

745   *resistance genes in aquaculture environment.* Water Research, 2012. **46**(7): p.

746   2355-2364.

747   39.   Milaković, M., et al., *Characterization of macrolide resistance in bacteria isolated from*

748   *macrolide-polluted and unpolluted river sediments and clinical sources in Croatia.*

749   Science of The Total Environment, 2020. **749**: p. 142357.

750   40.   Brooks, J., et al., *Occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and endotoxin associated*

751   *with the land application of biosolids.* Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 2007. **53**(5):

752   p. 616-622.

753   41.   Sloan, W.T., et al., *Quantifying the roles of immigration and chance in shaping*

754   *prokaryote community structure.* Environ Microbiol, 2006. **8**(4): p. 732-40.

755   42.   Geng, M., et al., *Eutrophication causes microbial community homogenization via*

756   *modulating generalist species.* Water Research, 2022. **210**: p. 118003.

757   43.   Carlson, R.E., *A trophic state index for lakes 1.* Limnology and oceanography, 1977.

758   **22**(2): p. 361-369.

44.   Ruff, S.E., et al., *Global dispersion and local diversification of the methane seep*

microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015. **112**(13): p. 4015-4020.

45.   Amend, A.S., et al., *Macroecological patterns of marine bacteria on a global scale.*

Journal of Biogeography, 2013. **40**(4): p. 800-811.

46.   Van Boeckel, T.P., et al., *Global antibiotic consumption 2000 to 2010: an analysis of*

national pharmaceutical sales data. The Lancet infectious diseases, 2014. **14**(8): p.

742-750.

47.   WHO, *Critically important antimicrobials for human medicine.* 6th Revision 2018:

World Health Organization, 2019.

48.   Sköld, O., *Sulfonamide resistance: mechanisms and trends.* Drug resistance updates,

2000. **3**(3): p. 155-160.

49.   Garner, E., et al., *Stormwater loadings of antibiotic resistance genes in an urban*

stream. Water Research, 2017. **123**: p. 144-152.

50.   Stegen, J.C., et al., *Stochastic and deterministic assembly processes in subsurface*

microbial communities. ISME J, 2012. **6**(9): p. 1653-1664.

51.   Masuda, Y., et al., *Diazotrophic anaeromyxobacter isolates from soils.* Applied and

Environmental Microbiology, 2020. **86**(16): p. e00956-20.

52.   Lücker, S., et al., *A Nitrospira metagenome illuminates the physiology and evolution*

of globally important nitrite-oxidizing bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010.

107(30): p. 13479-84.

53.   Daims, H., et al., *Complete nitrification by Nitrospira bacteria.* Nature, 2015.

528(7583): p. 504-9.

54.   Henson, M.W., et al., *Nutrient dynamics and stream order influence microbial*

community patterns along a 2914 kilometer transect of the Mississippi River.

Limnology and Oceanography, 2018. **63**(5): p. 1837-1855.

55.   Kuypers, M.M.M., H.K. Marchant, and B. Kartal, *The microbial nitrogen-cycling*

network. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2018. **16**(5): p. 263-276.

56.   Ibarbalz, F.M., et al., *Global Trends in Marine Plankton Diversity across Kingdoms of*

Life. Cell, 2019. **179**(5): p. 1084-1097 e21.

57.   Saarenheimo, J., et al., *Microbial Community Response on Wastewater Discharge in*

Boreal Lake Sediments. Front Microbiol, 2017. **8**: p. 750.

58.   Wéry, N., et al., *Behaviour of pathogenic and indicator bacteria during urban*

wastewater treatment and sludge composting, as revealed by quantitative PCR.

Water Research, 2008. **42**(1): p. 53-62.

59.   Urban, M., et al., *PHI-base: the pathogen–host interactions database.* Nucleic acids

research, 2020. **48**(D1): p. D613-D620.

60.   Kasana, R.C. and C.B. Pandey, *Exiguobacterium: an overview of a versatile genus*

with potential in industry and agriculture. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology, 2018.

38(1): p. 141-156.

61.   Zhang, L., et al., *River bacterial community structure and co-occurrence patterns under the influence of different domestic sewage types.* Journal of Environmental Management, 2020. **266**: p. 110590.

62.   VandeWalle, J.L., et al., *Acinetobacter, Aeromonas and Trichococcus populations dominate the microbial community within urban sewer infrastructure.* Environmental Microbiology, 2012. **14**(9): p. 2538-2552.

63.   Zhang, Y., et al., *Wastewater treatment contributes to selective increase of antibiotic resistance among Acinetobacter spp.* Science of The Total Environment, 2009. **407**(12): p. 3702-3706.

64.   Bai, Y., et al., *Using high-throughput sequencing to assess the impacts of treated and untreated wastewater discharge on prokaryotic communities in an urban river.* Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 2014. **98**(4): p. 1841-1851.

65.   Pagé, A.P., É. Yergeau, and C.W. Greer, *Salix purpurea Stimulates the Expression of Specific Bacterial Xenobiotic Degradation Genes in a Soil Contaminated with Hydrocarbons.* PLOS ONE, 2015. **10**(7): p. e0132062.

66.   Kansole, M.M.R. and T.-F. Lin, *Microcystin-LR Biodegradation by Bacillus sp.: Reaction Rates and Possible Genes Involved in the Degradation.* Water, 2016. **8**(11): p. 508.

67.   Ghosal, D., et al., *Corrigendum: Current State of Knowledge in Microbial Degradation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs): A Review.* Front Microbiol, 2016. **7**: p. 1837.

68. Kuppusamy, S., et al., *Remediation approaches for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons*

(PAHs) contaminated soils: Technological constraints, emerging trends and future

directions. Chemosphere, 2017. **168**: p. 944-968.

69. Mojiri, A., et al., *Comprehensive review of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water*

sources, their effects and treatments. Science of The Total Environment, 2019. **696**: p.

133971.

70. Cao, Z., et al., *Distribution and ecosystem risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic*

hydrocarbons in the Luan River, China. Ecotoxicology, 2010. **19**(5): p. 827-837.

71. Qian, X., et al., *Distribution, sources, and ecological risk assessment of polycyclic*

aromatic hydrocarbons in surface sediments from the Haihe River, a typical polluted

urban river in Northern China. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int, 2017. **24**(20): p.

17153-17165.

72. Muangchinda, C., et al., *Biodegradation of high concentrations of mixed polycyclic*

aromatic hydrocarbons by indigenous bacteria from a river sediment: a microcosm

study and bacterial community analysis. Environmental Science and Pollution

Research, 2017. **24**(5): p. 4591-4602.

73. Li, X., et al., *Abundance and diversity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degradation*

bacteria in urban roadside soils in Shanghai. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology,

2015. **99**(8): p. 3639-3649.

74. Zhang, Q.-Q., et al., *Comprehensive Evaluation of Antibiotics Emission and Fate in*

the River Basins of China: Source Analysis, Multimedia Modeling, and Linkage to

Bacterial Resistance. Environmental Science & Technology, 2015. **49**(11): p.

6772-6782.

75.   Hou, L., et al., *Urban ponds as hotspots of antibiotic resistome in the urban*

environment. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 2021. **403**: p. 124008.

76.   Reddy, S., et al., *Antimicrobial resistance in urban river ecosystems.* Microbiological

Research, 2022. **263**: p. 127135.

77.   Baker-Austin, C., et al., *Co-selection of antibiotic and metal resistance.* Trends in

Microbiology, 2006. **14**(4): p. 176-182.

78.   Krzmarzick, M.J., et al., *Diversity and Niche of Archaea in Bioremediation.* Archaea,

2018. **2018**: p. 3194108.

79.   Archana, A., et al., *Variations in nitrate isotope composition of wastewater effluents*

by treatment type in Hong Kong. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2016. **111**(1): p. 143-152.

80.   Eckert, E.M., et al., *Microplastics increase impact of treated wastewater on*

freshwater microbial community. Environmental Pollution, 2018. **234**: p. 495-502.

81.   Xuan, Y., et al., *Enhanced microbial nitrification-denitrification processes in a*

subtropical metropolitan river network. Water Research, 2022. **222**: p. 118857.

82.   Martiny, J.B., et al., *Drivers of bacterial beta-diversity depend on spatial scale.* Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. **108**(19): p. 7850-4.

83.   Hutchison, D.W. and A.R. Templeton, *Correlation of pairwise genetic and geographic*

distance measures: inferring the relative influences of gene flow and drift on the

distribution of genetic variability. Evolution, 1999. **53**(6): p. 1898-1914.

84. Hubbell, S.P., *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography.* 2001:

Princeton University Press.

85. Zhang, Y., et al., *Drivers shaping the diversity and biogeography of total and active*

bacterial communities in the South China Sea. Mol Ecol, 2014. **23**(9): p. 2260-74.

86. Dini-Andreote, F., et al., *Disentangling mechanisms that mediate the balance*

between stochastic and deterministic processes in microbial succession. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(11): p. 1326-1332.

87. Jia, X., F. Dini-Andreote, and J. Falcão Salles, *Community assembly processes of the*

microbial rare biosphere. Trends in Microbiology, 2018. **26**(9): p. 738-747.

88. Zhou, J.Z. and D.L. Ning, *Stochastic community assembly: Does it matter in microbial*

ecology? Microbiology and molecular biology reviews, 2017. **81**(4).

89. Hanson, C.A., et al., *Beyond biogeographic patterns: processes shaping the*

microbial landscape. Nat Rev Microbiol, 2012. **10**(7): p. 497-506.

90. Chen, W., et al., *Stochastic processes shape microeukaryotic community assembly in*

a subtropical river across wet and dry seasons. Microbiome, 2019. **7**(1): p. 138.

91. Gao, Y., et al., *Dams shift microbial community assembly and imprint nitrogen*

transformation along the Yangtze River. Water Research, 2021. **189**: p. 116579.

92. Rodrigues, J.L., et al., *Conversion of the Amazon rainforest to agriculture results in*

biotic homogenization of soil bacterial communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2013.

110(3): p. 988-93.

93.   McKinney, M.L., *Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization.* Biological

882   conservation, 2006. **127**(3): p. 247-260.

883   94.   Murthy, A.C., T.S. Fristoe, and J.R. Burger, *Homogenizing effects of cities on North*

884   *American winter bird diversity.* Ecosphere, 2016. **7**(1): p. e01216.

885   95.   Menezes, R.F., et al., *Homogenization of fish assemblages in different lake depth*

886   *strata at local and regional scales.* Freshwater Biology, 2015. **60**(4): p. 745-757.

887   96.   Salgado, J., et al., *Eutrophication homogenizes shallow lake macrophyte*

888   *assemblages over space and time.* Ecosphere, 2018. **9**(9): p. e02406.

889   97.   McKinney, M.L., *Effects of urbanization on species richness: a review of plants and*

890   *animals.* Urban ecosystems, 2008. **11**: p. 161-176.

891   98.   Donohue, I., et al., *Nutrient enrichment homogenizes lake benthic assemblages at*

892   *local and regional scales.* Ecology, 2009. **90**(12): p. 3470-3477.

893   99.   Liu, P., et al., *Urbanization increases biotic homogenization of zooplankton*

894   *communities in tropical reservoirs.* Ecological Indicators, 2020. **110**: p. 105899.

895   100.   Barberán, A., et al., *Continental-scale distributions of dust-associated bacteria and*

896   *fungi.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(18): p. 5756-61.

897   101.   Kowarik, I., *Novel urban ecosystems, biodiversity, and conservation.* Environmental

898   Pollution, 2011. **159**(8): p. 1974-1983.

899
900

901 **Figure**

904 **Figure 1.** Location of the collected river network sediment samples. Orange circles indicate
905 the urban river sites, and blue circles indicate the nature reserve river sites, respectively.
906 The Inset map shows the extent of the study area relative to China.

Figure 2. Comparison of geochemical characterization in human-impacted urban rivers and undisturbed natural rivers in the river network. The orange circles indicate urban rivers and blue circles indicate natural rivers, respectively.

Figure 3. The microbiome in the large river network. (A-D) Microbial abundance, Richness

estimates, Shannon index and Niches breadth were investigated in urban (orange circles)

and natural (blue circles) river samples. (E) Venn analysis. (F) Similarity of microbial

communities visualized by two-dimensional (2D) nonmetric multidi-mensional scaling

(NMDS). (F) A distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) showing microbial community

similarity when environmental factors were used as constraining variables and were fitted

onto the ordination as arrows. The length of each arrow indicates the multiple partial

correlation of the variable to RDA axes and can be interpreted as an indication of that

variable's contribution to the explained community similarity. (I) Prediction of the functional
diversity and metabolic pathways between urban and natural river samples.

Figure 4. Relative sequence abundance of bacterial and archaeal. The graph shows the
relative abundance of the most abundant bacterial and archaeal at phylum (A) and classes
(B) level in urban and natural river according to average relative abundance across all
samples.

Figure 5. Different of antibiotic resistance rates (A) and antibiotic resistance gene abundance (B). The orange circles indicate the urban river and blue circles indicate the natural river, respectively. While no significant differences were observed among the natural river, the antibiotic-resistant rates exhibited variability among the samples of the urban river.

Figure 6. General patterns of microbial diversity in the river network system. (A)

Distance-decay curves showing Bray–Curtis dissimilarity against geographic distances

between sampling sites. Solid lines denote the ordinary least-squares linear regressions. (B)

Scatter plot of βNTI values grouped by spatial scales of the river network. (C) Fit of the

neutral community model (NCM) of community assembly. The solid blue lines indicate the

best fit to the NCM as in Sloan et al. (2006), and the dashed blue lines represent 95%

confidence intervals around the model prediction. OTUs that occur more or less frequently

than predicted by the NCM are shown in different colors. Nm indicates the metacommunity

size times immigration, R^2 indicates the fit to this model.