Critical factors for precise and efficient RNA cleavage by RNase Y in *Staphylococcus aureus*

Alexandre Le Scornet[1], Ambre Jousselin[1], Kamila Baumas[1], Gergana Kostova[2], Sylvain Durand[2], Leonora Poljak[1], Roland Barriot[1], Eve Coutant[1], Céline Péllisier[1], Gladys Munoz[1], Ciarán Condon[2] and Peter Redder[1]*

1) Laboratoire de Microbiologie et Génétique Moléculaires (LMGM), Centre de Biologie Intégrative (CBI), Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Université Toulouse III – Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.
2) UMR8261 CNRS, Université Paris Cité, Institut de Biologie Physico-Chimique, Paris, France.

*) Corresponding author email: peter.redder@univ-tlse3.fr

Abstract

Cellular processes require precise and specific gene regulation, in which continuous mRNA degradation is a major element. The mRNA degradation mechanisms should be able to degrade a wide range of different RNA substrates with high efficiency, but should at the same time be limited, to avoid killing the cell by elimination of all cellular RNA. RNase Y is a major endoribonuclease found in most Firmicutes, including *Bacillus subtilis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. However, the molecular interactions that direct RNase Y to cleave the correct RNA molecules at the correct position remain unknown. In this work we have identified transcripts that are homologs in *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*, and are RNase Y targets in both bacteria. Two such transcript pairs were used as models to show a functional overlap between the *S. aureus* and the *B. subtilis* RNase Y, which highlighted the importance of the nucleotide sequence of the RNA molecule itself in the RNase Y targeting process. We then truncated one model transcript to identify 103 nucleotides that are sufficient for this targeting, and detailed analyses of this region revealed sequence and structural elements that drive cleavage efficiency and fine-tune the positioning of the cleavage to a specific nucleotide bond.

Key words:

Introduction:

RNA turnover is a central element in the gene regulation of all organisms, but especially so in bacteria where mRNA half-lives are typically 2-5 minutes, but can be as low as 18 seconds (Steglich et al. 2010; Anderson et al. 2010). These short RNA lifespans allow the cells to control gene expression at the transcriptome level, since a simple pause in the synthesis of a given mRNA will rapidly lead to a complete depletion of this mRNA. The RNA decay machinery is continuously active to balance out RNA synthesis, but that does not mean that all RNAs are treated equally by the RNA decay machinery. Ribosomal RNA, tRNAs, several small regulatory RNAs, and even a few mRNAs exhibit half-lives that are longer than the generation time of the cells (Durand et al. 2015; Khemici et al. 2015; Hamouche et al. 2021), strongly suggesting that specific factors protect certain RNAs from degradation or, alternatively, target specific RNAs for degradation. The RNases can either degrade an RNA from the 5' or 3' ends (5' and 3' exoribonucleases, respectively) or cleave the RNA internally (endoribonucleases), in which case the endoribonuclease in theory has as many potential cleavage sites as there are phosphodiester bonds in the RNA molecule. Endoribonuclease cleavage events can lead to three different outcomes: i) Cleavage leads to degradation of the entire RNA molecule, ii) Cleavage leads to two stable RNA molecules, iii) Cleavage leads to rapid degradation of either the upstream or downstream fragment, whereas the remaining fragment is more stable. Indeed, there are multiple examples of mRNAs that are cleaved to produce a mature mRNA or regulatory RNA. For example, the mRNA of the *saePQRS* virulence regulation operon in *Staphylococcus aureus* is cleaved by RNase Y between the *saeP* and *saeQ* coding sequences, leading to rapid degradation of the *saeP* fragment and a stable *saeQRS* portion of the mRNA. Consequently, the *saeQRS* mRNA has a much higher steady state level than *saeP* mRNA, even though they are transcribed (and regulated) simultaneously (Meinken et al. 2003; Marincola et al. 2012). Importantly, if such cleavage events maintain the correct homeostasis between the proteins coded by the operons, then there is an evolutionary pressure to conserve both the elements that position the cleavage at the correct nucleotide and the elements that ensure that it happens with the appropriate efficiency. If the positioning is different, then the resulting cleavage products may have altered stability; if the cleavage efficiency is too high or too low, then the relative abundance of the two cleavage products may be inappropriate, leading to misregulation of the gene products.

RNase Y represents one of the three major families of bacterial RNA decay systems (the two others being RNase E and RNase J). RNase Y is ubiquitous in the Firmicutes phylum of bacteria and is scattered in most other bacterial phyli, with the notable exceptions of Cyanobacteria, Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma-proteobacteria (Laalami et al. 2014). Even prior to the discovery of the ribonuclease activity of RNase Y (Shahbabian et al. 2009), the gene encoding this enzyme (*rny/cvfA*) had been determined to be crucial for *S. aureus* virulence in silkworms (Kaito et al. 2005), a finding that was further confirmed by a mouse study (Marincola et al. 2012). RNase Y from *S. aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis* are highly similar (68% identity and 78% similarity) and have an N-terminal membrane anchoring alpha-helix, followed by a disordered coiled-coil domain, an RNA binding KH domain, a HD domain containing the active site and a C-terminal region of unknown function (Figure S1) (Lehnik-Habrink et al. 2011; Redder 2018a). The membrane anchor is not essential for enzymatic activity, but deleting it does lead to slower cell division in *S. aureus*. This effect is presumably due to RNase Y activity at inappropriate intracellular locations, since mutants with the RNase Y active-site inactivated,

or indeed with the whole RNase Y gene deleted, exhibit growth similar to the wild-type strain,
although the virulence is greatly diminished in both mouse and silkworm infection models
(Kaito et al. 2005; Marincola et al. 2012; Khemici et al. 2015). In contrast to the minor effect in
S. aureus, deletion of RNase Y in *B. subtilis* results in growth defects and severe physiological
changes to the bacteria (Figaro et al. 2013). Deletion of RNase Y in *S. aureus* increases the
half-lives of about 15% of the transcripts (Khemici et al. 2015). This relatively small number
indicates that mechanisms are in place to select the RNase Y target RNAs among the global
population of RNA in the cell. Furthermore, the RNAs are not cleaved at random positions, but
are consistently cleaved at specific sites (Marincola et al. 2012; Khemici et al. 2015; Marincola
and Wolz 2017). Finally, it has been observed for multiple targets that RNase Y does not
cleave all the molecules of a given RNA and that its efficiency is limited by unknown factors.

RNase Y interacts with several other proteins, and different studies sometimes find different
interaction partners, in *B. subtilis* there is for example some evidence for interactions with the
3' exonuclease PNPase, whereas this interaction was not identified in *S. aureus* (Lehnik-
Habrink et al. 2011; Roux et al. 2011). All reports from *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* agree that
both the glycolytic enzyme enolase and the DEAD-box RNA helicase CshA interact with
RNase Y (Lehnik-Habrink et al. 2010, 2011; Roux et al. 2011; Giraud et al. 2015; Redder
2018a). However, these interactions are thought to be transitory, since several attempts to
isolate an RNase Y-based degradosome complex have failed.

In an effort to understand the role of RNase Y within the larger context of RNA decay,
transcriptomic assays have been performed in *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* and *Streptococcus*
*pyogene*s, to identify the exact cleavage sites of RNase Y. Briefly, these assays compare RNA
ends found in wild-type and RNase Y mutant strains; RNA ends that appear in the wild-type
but not in the RNase Y mutant are presumed to be generated by an RNase Y cleavage. This
approach has led to the identification of 99, 27 and 190 precise RNase Y cleavage sites in *S.*
aureus, *B. subtilis* and *S. pyogenes*, respectively (Khemici et al. 2015; DeLoughery et al. 2018;
Broglia et al. 2020). Comparisons of the sequences surrounding the RNase Y cleavage
positions in *S. aureus* and *S. pyogenes* revealed a strong preference for a purine immediately
upstream of the cleaved phosphodiester bond, with a preference for G over A. No additional
consensus sequence elements could be identified at the primary sequence level, but *in silico*
analyses of the putative secondary structures revealed a tendency to find potential hairpin
structures in the vicinity of (but not overlapping with) the cleavage sites (Khemici et al. 2015;
Broglia et al. 2020). These findings were in concordance with data from the *S. aureus sae*
operon, where RNase Y cleaves 7 nucleotides upstream of a 21 bp *in silico*-predicted intra-
molecular duplex, formed by the two complementary regions. Deletions of either
complementary region abolished RNase Y cleavage, as did point mutations in one of the
regions that would prevent duplex formation (Marincola et al. 2012; Marincola and Wolz 2017).
Marincola and Wolz also showed that a 13 bp deletion encompassing the cleavage position
generated a new cleavage site that was 13 nt upstream of the native site, and a similar shift
of 20 nt was observed with a deletion of 20 bp. This suggested a molecular ruler mechanism,
where the cleavage position was chosen based on the distance from a feature downstream,
potentially the base of duplex between the complementary regions (Marincola and Wolz 2017).
Nevertheless, key questions remained unanswered: are the sequence elements that recruit
RNase Y identical to those that direct RNase Y to cleave at a specific position, and are the
downstream complementary regions, such as those found in the *sae* mRNA, sufficient to
cause RNase Y cleavage of other RNA molecules?

In this study we explore the relationship between RNase Y and several different target RNAs,
and we establish that the nucleotide sequence surrounding an RNase Y cleavage site is
sufficient for cleavage and can convert a non-substrate RNA into a substrate. We also use
systematic mutational analyses to determine which nucleotides in the vicinity of the cleavage
site are required for efficient cleavage and which nucleotides determine the exact cleavage
position. These findings are shown to be general within the Firmicutes, since homologous
operons are cleaved by RNase Y in both *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*, and both RNases and RNA
substrates from one bacterium can replace their equivalent in the other. Finally, we show that
the *S. aureus gapR* transcript has evolved to maintain a precise cleavage position, but with
low cleavage efficiency, and that the efficiency can be modulated by individual point mutations
around the cleavage site.

137 Materials and Methods:

138 Growth of strains and media

Unless stated otherwise, *S. aureus* was grown in MHB liquid medium (Mueller Hinton Broth,
Becton Dickinson) or agar plates at 37°C. MH medium was systematically supplemented with
uracil (20 mg/l) as the parental strain PR01 is Δ*pyrFE* (Redder and Linder 2012), and 10 mg/l
chloramphenicol was used to select for transformants and maintain vectors. *B. subtilis* was
grown in 2XTY at 37°C.

Escherichia coli was grown in LB medium with 100 mg/l ampicillin to select for plasmids.

145 Vector design and construction

The *Sa-gap/Bs-cgg* and *Sa-gln/Bs-gln* operon pairs were identified as evolutionary conserved
RNase Y targets by aligning (using protein BLAST) the proteins encoded downstream of the
RNase Y cleavage sites described by DeLoughery and co-workers (DeLoughery et al. 2018)
with the translated *S. aureus* genome. The genome positions of the *S. aureus* hits were then
compared to the list of *S. aureus* RNase Y cleavage sites described by Khemici and co-
workers (Khemici et al. 2015).

All *S. aureus* expression vectors constructed in this study are based on plasmid pEB01, an *E.*
coli-S. aureus shuttle-vector which carries a transcription terminator sequence downstream of
the polylinker (Oun et al. 2013). pSaGap and pBsCgg were constructed by PCR-amplifying
the regions indicated in Figure 1 with primers that added restriction sites for SalI, KpnI and
AscI (See Table S2). After digestion with the relevant restriction enzymes, the inserts were
ligated into the SalI and AscI sites of pEB01 and transformed into *E. coli*. pSaGln and pBsGln
were constructed using In-Fusion cloning assembly (Takara). Specific modifications in the
vector sequences were introduced via divergent PCR with 5' phosphorylated primers, followed
by blunt-end ligation with T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Fischer) and transformation into *E. coli*. All
vectors-inserts were verified by Sanger sequencing before transformation into *S. aureus*
strains WT and ΔY (and BsY where relevant).
Pl799: pHM2-rny (BsY gene under control of its own promoter cloned in pHM2 for integration
in *amyE* locus)

BsY was amplified from *B. subtilis* DNA with oligo pair CC2150 / CC2151 and cloned in pHM2
plasmid (EcoRI/SalI) for integration at the *amyE* locus.
Pl800: pHM2-cvfA (SaY gene cloned under promoter and SD control of BsY. Replacement of
BsY ORF by SaY ORF.
SaY was amplified from *S. aureus* DNA with oligo pair CC2228/CC2155. The 5' and the 3'
UTR of BsY from *B. subtilis* DNA with oligo pairs CC2150/CC2229, and CC2154/CC2151. The
three overlapping PCR fragments were assembled in new PCR reaction with oligo pair
CC2150/CC2151.The fragment was cloned in pHM2 plasmid (EcoRI/SalI) for integration at
the *amyE* locus.
Please see Table S1 for strain-list.

Constructing random library of Sector III

The three base-pairs of pSaGap Sector III were randomised by polymerase chain reaction
(PCR) using the pSaGap construct as template and primers 634 and 636 listed in Table S2.
The resulting plasmid library of 64 (4^3) potential variants was transformed first into *E. coli* DH5α
then into *S. aureus* WT (generating WT+pSaGap[IIIrandom]). More than 6400 CFUs were
recovered from each transformation to ensure that all 64 variants are represented in the
library.

RNA extraction

S. aureus cultures were inoculated from overnight cultures prepared from a single colony and
diluted at 1/100 into fresh medium, 8 ml culture were harvested at $OD_{600}\sim0.4$ and immediately
mixed with 40 mL ice cold ethanol–acetone mix (1:1). After centrifugation (10 min at 3500g),
the cells were washed once in 1ml TE buffer (10 mM Tris pH8, 1mM EDTA) and suspended
in a mix of 90 µL TE buffer + 10 µL lysostaphin 35520 U/ml (EUROMEDEX) + 2,5 µL RNasin
Plus 40 U/µl (Promega). Samples were incubated at 37°C for 10 min before addition of 300 µl
TRI-Reagent (MRC). A Phase Lock Gel tube (5Prime) was used to obtain a pure aqueous
phase, in which 20 µg of glycogen (Roche) and 150 µl isopropanol was added to precipitate
the RNA over-night at -20°C. RNA pellets were washed with 900µL cold 75% ethanol, re-
suspended in 20µL 0.1X TE and stored at -80°C.

B. subtilis cultures were inoculated from overnight cultures prepared from a single colony and
diluted at 1/500 into fresh medium, 1,4 ml culture were harvested at $OD_{600}\sim0.6$ (time point 0
min) and 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 min after rifampicin was added to block transcription.
The harvested cultures were mixed with 100µl of ice cold 10 mM sodium azide (NaN_3) and
centrifuged at 4°C (1 min at 13000 rpm). The pellet was immediately frozen in dry ice. RNA
was isolated by the RNAsnap method described in (Stead et al. 2012).

Analyses of RNase Y cleavage events

200 The cleavage (or lack thereof) was studied on total RNA isolated from *S. aureus* strains
201 carrying vectors expressing the various transcripts of interest (see Table 1).

Northern blotting

For *S. aureus* RNA the Northern blotting was carried out as described in (Khemici et al. 2015):
Briefly, 4µg total RNA were separated by PAGE in a 6% polyacrylamide 8M urea gel,
transferred to a Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham), then UV crosslinked 120 J/cm² (Hoefer,
UVC 500). The size marker (RiboRuler Low range, Thermo Scientific) as well as ribosomal
RNAs were visualised with Methylene blue. Blots were hybridized with ^{32}P labelled synthetic
DNA probes P1, P2 or 5S (Table S2) and revealed using a phosphorimager (Fuji).

For *B. subtilis* RNA: 5µg total RNA were separated on 1% agarose gel transferred by capillarity
to a Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham) in 5X SSC, 0.01M NaOH , then UV crosslinked 120
J/cm² (UVP, HL2000). Hybridization was performed using 5'-labeled oligonucleotides *atpB*
(CC2437) and *glnA* (CC2438) using Ultra-Hyb (Ambion) hybridization buffer at 42°C for a
minimum of 4 hours. Membranes were washed twice in 2XSSC 0.1% SDS (once rapidly at
room temperature (RT) and once for 10 min at 42°C) and then 3 times for 10 min in 0.2XSSC
0.1% SDS at RT. The CC058 probe against 16S rRNA was used as loading control.
Quantification was done with Image J software.

Targeted EMOTE

Targeted EMOTE is a variation of the original EMOTE described in detail previously (Redder
2018b). Specificities of the targeted EMOTE are described here.

Ligation was carried out using 4 ug of total RNA with 1 ul of 100uM BioRp8 in a 10 ul volume
and placed at 85°C for 2 minutes and flash cooled in ice water. A mix of 3µl water, 2 µl of 10X
RNA ligase buffer (NEB), 2 µl 10 mM ATP, 1 µl of RNasinPlus 40 U/µl (Promega), 1µl RNA
ligase 10 000 U/µl (NEB) and 1 µl RppH 5000 U/µl (NEB) was added to the RNA/oligo mix.
Ligation was performed in the presence of RppH in order to detect the primary transcripts for
normalisation purposes. The ligation mix was placed for 3 hours at 37°C. RNA from the sample
was purified by ethanol precipitation.
Reverse transcription was carried out as described in (Redder 2018b) using the plasmid-
specific pEB-TT-R3-illuB primer to specifically reverse transcribe the exogenous transcripts.

Illumina sequencing and analyses of EMOTE data

Illumina sequencing consisted of 50 nucleotide single-end sequencing, except for the results
presented in Figure 9 where 100 nt reads were required. The sequencing was carried out at
the genomics platform of University of Geneva (https://lims.ige3.genomics.unige.ch/). An R
script was used to process the raw sequencing data: Each read was demultiplexed, using
EMOTE barcodes to assign each read to its original sample. Reads were then mapped with
Bowtie onto the sequence of the vector that had provided the examined transcript (for example
pSaGap, pBsCgg, pSaGap[InvStem], etc.). A table was then generated which indicates the
exact 5' nucleotide position of each detected RNA molecule (Prados et al. 2016).

In order to avoid bias generated by preferential PCR amplification of one cDNA over another,
the EMOTE protocol employs a Unique Molecular Identifier (UMI) in the oligo that is ligated to
the 5' ends of the RNA samples. The UMI has 2187 (3^7) possible combinations, and since all
experiments generated > 5000 reads for a given RNA cleavage (and usually much more than
5000 reads), we used a subsampling (also referred to as down-sampling) approach to get
below the saturation level of the UMI. Briefly, for each position analysed in this work, if the

number of UMIs was >150, data was subsampled by a 'dilution factor' until the output was in
the 50-150 interval. Subsampled UMI counts were then multiplied by the "dilution factor" in
order to get a calculated UMI count for the original sample. This UMI count then represents
the number of detected individual RNA molecules with a given 5' end.

For each EMOTE graphical representation presented, we calculated the total number of
detected RNA molecules with a 5' end within the shown bracket. Each column indicates the
percentage of individual RNA molecules with a 5' end at the given position. A tall column for
nucleotide 'X' therefore indicates that 'X' was the 5' nucleotide in a majority of RNA molecules.

Target-specific DMS-MaPseq of in vitro folded *Sa-gap* mRNA

Template for in vitro transcription was amplified by PCR using primers 835 and 463. A 530 nt
gap transcript was produced using reagents from Thermo Scientific following the protocol for
conventional *in vitro* transcription, three RNA samples were independently generated and
treated in parallel in the following steps. RNA was purified by phenol-chloroform after 30
minutes DNase I treatment. Transcript size was verified on 8% denaturing polyacrylamide gel
and RNA was resuspended in 1x TE buffer. 350 ng of *gap* transcript was denatured 3 minutes
at 90°C in 25 uL of DMS buffer (10 mM Na-cacodylate pH 7.4, 0.1 mM EDTA, 100 mM KCl)
and cooled down at room temperature for 15 minutes before 30 minutes folding at 37°C in the
presence of 10 mM MgCl2. 1 uL of a 1:12 DMS dilution in ethanol was added and incubated
for 3 minutes. DMS was quenched by adding 475 uL of quenching solution (4.29 M β-
mercapto-ethanol, 0.3 M sodium acetate) and the modified gap transcript was concentrated
by ethanol precipitation. First strand cDNA was synthetized with TGIRTIII enzyme (Ingex) and
1 µM primer 868 for 2 hours at 57°C. After precipitation, cDNA libraries were amplified by 31
cycles of PCR with primers to introduce Illumina adapters and sample barcodes (primers 233,
255, 259, 260 and 815-818, Table S2). The resulting PCR products were purified and pooled
to be sent for Illumina sequencing with 100 nt read-length.
After demultiplexing, reads quality was checked using FastQC before being mapped to the
reference *gap* mRNA sequence using Bowtie2 with default parameters. Rsamtools was used
to count nucleotides frequency at each position. Data file manipulations and graphics were
generated with R, scripts are available on request.

Analysis of trinucleotide variants in Sector III

Total DNA was isolated from *S. aureus* WT cultures carrying the pSaGap[IIIrandom], and PCR
was used to amplify the trinucleotide locus from the library cultures (in two biological
replicates). The PCR products were Illumina sequenced and the relative abundances of each
of the 64 expression vectors in our libraries were determined by counting the number of reads
with each trinucleotide combination (AAA, AAC, etc.).
Total RNA was also isolated from *S. aureus* WT cultures carrying the pSaGap[IIIrandom], and
used for targeted EMOTE sequencing (in four biological replicates). The EMOTE sequencing
reads were sorted into 64 separate files according to the trinucleotide they contain (AAA, AAC,
etc.). For each set of reads, the calculated number of individual RNA molecules (see above)
at the native RNase Y cleavage position (+259) was normalised with the relative abundance
of each corresponding expressing vector in the library (e.g. number of RNA molecules with
AAA / number of vectors with AAA).

A Bilateral paired Student test (T-Test) was then performed to determine whether RNase Y
cleaved the transcript with a given trinucleotide with a different efficiency than the transcript
with a WT trinucleotide sequence (AGA). The calculated p-values were then adjusted with
Bonferroni correction for multiple testing.

Results:

Identifying model RNase Y cleavage sites

Endoribonucleolytic cleavage events can either be useful to the cell (increase fitness),
detrimental to the cell (decrease fitness), or be neutral (no change in fitness). There is an
evolutionary pressure to avoid RNase Y target sequences that are detrimental to the cell, and
such RNA sequences are therefore not expected to be found in a strain possessing the
enzyme. To distinguish between neutral cleavage sites and beneficial cleavage sites, we
argued that a useful cleavage site/event would be much more likely to be evolutionary
conserved, since a neutral event can be lost by genomic drift without any fitness loss to the
cell.

To identify evolutionary conserved cleavage sites, of RNase Y from *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*,
(SaY and BsY, respectively), we first compared genes with known RNase Y cleavage sites in
both bacteria (Khemici et al. 2015; DeLoughery et al. 2018), and identified the transcript-pairs
Sa-*gapR*/Bs-*cggR* and Sa-*glnR*/Bs-*glnR* that are conserved in both organisms and cleaved by
their respective RNase Ys at similar positions (Figure 1). The Sa-*gapR*/Bs-*cggR* operons
encode a sugar-binding transcriptional regulator, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate
dehydrogenase, enolase and other enzymes of the glycolytic pathway (Meinken et al. 2003;
Commichau et al. 2009), whereas the Sa-*glnR*/Bs-*glnR* operon encodes glutamine synthetase
repressor and glutamine synthetase. To be able to modify these metabolic transcripts without
altering the growth of the cell, we cloned the regions surrounding the RNase Y cleavage sites
upstream of the transcriptional terminator in the *E. coli-S. aureus* shuttle-vector pEB01 (Figure
1B). The pSaGap construct was designed with an 864 bp (288 codons) deletion within the Sa-
gapR open reading frame. This in-frame deletion preserved the start and stop codons, but
shortened the produced ΔGapR peptide to 51 amino acids, thus ensuring that no functional
GapR could be produced from the multi-copy plasmid to interfere with cell physiology, as well
as reducing the transcript to a manageable length for cleavage detection by both Northern
blotting and EMOTE (Exact Mapping Of Transcriptome Ends, see Materials and Methods). In
the same way, the pBsCgg construct was made by deleting 708 bp (236 codons) from the
cggR open reading frame leaving a short (106-codon) ΔCggR peptide. A similar approach was
used to study cleavage of the conserved RNase Y target *glnR*. pSaGln and pBsGln were
constructed with 150 bp (50 codons) and 189 bp (63 codons) deletions respectively in the
glnR open reading frames.

The resulting constructs were introduced into *S. aureus* wild-type (WT) and RNase Y deletion
mutants (ΔY). RNA was prepared from the transformed *S. aureus* strains, and the cleavage
(or lack thereof) was monitored by Northern blotting. We were able to detect the full-length
transcripts and the smaller RNase Y cleavage products for all four constructs (Figure 1C) using

the probe P1, which hybridises to the vector-derived portion of the mRNA upstream of the transcription terminator (Figure 1B). Lack of downstream cleavage product in the ΔY strain confirmed that the cleavage was indeed RNase Y-dependent.

It has previously been shown that the upstream cleavage product of the *B. subtilis cggR* operon mRNA is quickly degraded (Commichau et al. 2009), and this was also the case for the equivalent upstream cleavage product from the pSaGap transcript, which we could only detect when using a strain where the 3' exonuclease PNPase was deleted (Figure S2). The rapid degradation of the upstream cleavage product in a WT strain led us to use the downstream product (and probe P1) as an indicator for cleavage in the rest of this study.

Figure 1. RNase Y cleaves the model transcripts.
A) Sequence alignment of *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* transcripts with the published RNase Y cleavage sites indicated (Meinken et al. 2003; Khemici et al. 2015; DeLoughery et al. 2018).

B) Schematic representation of the *Sa-gapR*, *Sa-glnR*, *Bs-cggR* and *Bs-glnR* operons in *S.*
aureus and *B. subtilis*. TSS indicates the transcription start site, and the native RNase Y
cleavage sites are indicated by blue dotted lines. Coloured lines show the regions cloned in
front of the transcription terminator (TT) of the pEB01 vector (green). The location of the P1
probe is indicated.
C) The four constructs from panel B were transformed into the WT and ΔY strains, and RNase
Y cleavage was detected by Northern blotting using the P1 probe to detect the full-length
(black arrowhead) and the smaller RNase Y cleavage product (grey arrowhead). A probe
against 5S rRNA (5S) is used as loading control. pSaGap was also introduced into the *S.*
aureus strain BsY, which expresses *B. subtilis* RNase Y from the *S. aureus rny* promoter at
the native *S. aureus* chromosomal *rny* locus.
D) EMOTE data for cleavage of the four wild-type vector constructs. Columns indicate the
proportion of detected RNA molecules with 5'-ends at each position within the shown window
(the sum of all columns is 1). WT (black columns): *S. aureus* WT, BsY (white columns): *S.*
aureus with SaRNase Y coding sequence substituted with the BsRNase Y coding sequence.
EMOTE data from the *S. aureus* ΔY strain is shown in Figure S3A.

All necessary information for cleavage selectivity is found within the target RNA nucleotide sequence.

The RNase Y proteins from *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* are highly similar, but not identical (Figure
S1). This difference, or small differences in the RNA substrate sequence, could potentially
explain why the conserved RNase Y cleavage positions in *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* only align
roughly. We therefore expressed the relevant region of the *B. subtilis cggR* transcript from a
plasmid (pBsCgg) in *S. aureus* and observed that the *cggR* RNA is cleaved by SaY (Figure
1C).

We then used targeted EMOTE to examine the exact cleavage position on the pBsCgg
transcript (Redder 2018b). Briefly, targeted EMOTE is similar to standard 5'-RACE protocols
in that an oligo is ligated to the 5'-end of the RNA, followed by reverse transcription with a
transcript-specific primer and subsequent PCR amplification. However, instead of cloning the
amplified cDNA into a vector, the PCR product is directly sequenced with Illumina sequencing,
to determine the exact 5'-nucleotide of more than 5000 individual RNA molecules per RNA
sample (in contrast to the 10-20 molecules typically analysed when cloning is used for 5'
RACE). This allows for a highly detailed view of the pattern of cleavage positions when the
relative frequencies of each 5'-nucleotide are plotted (Figure 1D). In this way we showed that
the *B. subtilis cggR* RNA is cleaved at exactly the same position by SaY in *S. aureus* as it is
by BsY in *B. subtilis*, and thus that the small difference in cleavage sites is intrinsic to substrate
rather than enzyme sequence.

In addition, we replaced the SaY open reading frame on the *S. aureus* chromosome with the
BsY open reading frame, and the resulting mutant (ΔSaY::BsY) cleaved both the pBsCgg and
pSaGap mRNAs at their respective native sites. These results rule out that a hypothetical
organism-specific "RNase Y associated factor" is responsible for guiding the SaY and BsY to
their respective sites, since any organism-specific factor would be absent during exogenous

expression. This further confirms that the cleavage-site difference between the pSaGap and
pBsCgg transcripts is purely due to the differences in nucleotide sequence between the two
RNA molecules. Moreover, to ensure that the *Sa-gapR*/*Bs-cggR* pair was not a unique case,
we verified that the pSaGln and pBsGln mRNAs were also both cleaved at their native sites,
irrespective of the origin of the RNase Y (Figure 1C and D).

S. aureus RNase Y is functional in *B. subtilis*.

We next wanted to examine whether SaY and BsY were interchangeable in *B. subtilis*. We
therefore ectopically expressed SaY and BsY in a *B. subtilis* RNase Y mutant and, after
addition of rifampicin to block transcription, examined two well-characterised target RNAs,
glnRA and *atpIBE,* by Northern blotting. Full-length transcripts were stabilized in the absence
of RNase Y (half-lives of <2.5 min *vs.* 14 min for *glnRA* and <2.5 min *vs.* 9.6 min for *atpIBE*)
and the processed species (*glnA* and *atpBE*) were no longer detected. When either SaY or
BsY was expressed ectopically in Δ*rny* cells, then the full-length mRNA was rapidly degraded
as observed for the wild-type *B. subtilis* (half-life of <2.5 min for both *glnRA* and *atpIBE*) and
the processed forms (*glnA* and *atpBE*) reappeared (Figure 2). Thus, similar to what we
observed in *S. aureus*, the enzymes can complement each other in *B. subtilis*.

Figure 2. *S. aureus* RNase Y complements a *B. subtilis* Δ*rny* strain.

A) Northern blots of total *B. subtilis* RNA, showing the disappearance of the uncleaved
transcripts (*glnRA* and *atpIBE*, black arrows) after rifampicin addition, accompanied by
the appearance of the downstream cleavage products (*glnA* and *atpBE*, grey arrows).
"WT": *B. subtilis* wild-type strain. "Δ*rny*": *B. subtilis* with the RNase Y gene deleted.
"Δ*rny* + SaY": Δ*rny* strain with *S. aureus* RNase Y expressed from the *amyE* locus.

"Δ*rny* + BsY": Δ*rny* strain with *B. subtilis* RNase Y expressed from the *amyE* locus.
16S rRNA was used as loading control.
B) Half-lives of the two full-length transcripts (*glnRA* and *atpIBE*) in the four *B. subtilis*
strains. *B. subtilis* Δ*rny* was the only strain where the half-lives were long enough to
be measured accurately.
C) RNA decay curves of *glnRA* and *atpIBE* transcripts in the *B. subtilis* Δ*rny* strain,
showing the percentage of remaining RNA at the different time-points.

Translation is not required for RNase Y cleavage of the pSaGap transcript.

The *Bs-cggR* and *Sa-glnR* transcripts are cleaved within an ORF shortly before a stop codon
and *gapR* and *Bs-glnR*, are cleaved just after a stop codon but within the ~15 nt region covered
by the terminating ribosome (Figure 1A). It was therefore possible that the translational
machinery influenced RNase Y target site selection. We therefore constructed two variants of
pSaGap that avoid nucleotide changes in close vicinity to the cleavage site but nevertheless
modify ribosome positioning/occupancy: pSaGap[NoStart] with the *gapR* start codon mutated,
and pSaGap[Δ128] with a 128 nt deletion which causes the ribosome to change reading frame
and encounter a stop codon five nucleotides upstream of the original *gapR* stop codon.
Northern blot analyses of transcripts from both vectors revealed a prominent cleaved product
(Figure S4A) and the pSaGap[Δ128] transcript was further analysed by EMOTE, which
confirmed that the cleavage still occurs precisely at the native position (Figure S4B). We
therefore conclude that translation does not influence the RNase Y cleavage site position of
the pSaGap transcript.

The upstream G reduces cleavage position heterogeneity.

We originally detected a preference for a guanosine residue immediately upstream of the
RNase Y cleavage sites in *S. aureus*, and this preference has since been observed for RNase
Y from *Streptococcus pyogenes* as well (Khemici et al. 2015; Broglia et al. 2018, 2020). To
gauge the importance of this nucleotide in RNase Y site selectivity, we generated constructs
pSaGap[G258U], pSaGap[G258C] and pSaGap[G258A], where this nucleotide was mutated
to uridine, cytosine and adenosine, respectively. Northern blots performed on these strains
revealed RNase Y-dependent cleavage for each of the three mutants (Figure 3A). To
determine whether the RNase Y cleavages were at the exact same sites as in the WT pSaGap
transcript, we complemented the Northern blot data with EMOTE targeted to the transcripts
expressed from the vector (Redder 2018b).

The EMOTE data revealed that RNase Y cleavage of the pSaGap[G258C] transcript
preferentially occurred immediately upstream or downstream of the native cleavage site,
whereas in pSaGap[G258A] and pSaGap[G258U], cleavage was still preferentially at the
native site, but frequently occurred a few nucleotides upstream or downstream (Figure 3B). In
the ΔSaY::BsY strain the *B. subtilis* RNase Y appears to cleave slightly less efficiently when
the G is substituted (Figure 3A), but the cleavage position pattern is the same as for the *S.*
aureus RNase Y, with cleavage exactly after the G and somewhat imprecise when the G is
substituted by a U (Figure 3C). These results confirmed that the G is not required for cleavage
per se, but that it plays an important role in avoiding heterogeneity of the RNase Y cleavage
position.

449 **Figure 3, The upstream G promotes precise cleavage.**

450 A) Northern blots using probe P1 on transcripts from wild-type pSaGap, and versions where
451 the G upstream of the cleavage site has been mutated to U, C and A (pSaGap[G258U],
452 pSaGap[G258C] and pSaGap[G258A]), respectively. Each plasmid was introduced into the
453 WT, ΔY and BsY strains. The full-length transcript and the downstream cleavage product are
454 indicated by black and grey arrowheads, respectively. A 5S rRNA probe was used as loading
455 control.

456 B) EMOTE analysis of the exact cleavage position for *S. aureus* RNase Y. The sequence
457 below each graph shows the nucleotides surrounding the natively preferred cleavage site (blue
458 dotted line). The mutated nucleotide for each plasmid is shown in red. Columns indicate the
459 proportion of detected RNA molecules with 5'-ends at each position within the shown window
460 (the sum of all columns is 1). Two biological replicates were analysed, and the error-bars
461 indicate the standard deviation.

462 C) Same as panel B, but where *B. subtilis* RNase Y has replaced *S. aureus* RNase Y at the
463 native *rny* locus.

464

Four sectors are required for efficient RNase Y cleavage of the *gapR* transcript.

467 Previous studies have indicated that RNA secondary structures are important for RNase Y
468 cleavage (Meinken et al. 2003; Marincola and Wolz 2017), and we therefore used the mFold
469 software (Zuker 2003) to predict the secondary structures surrounding the RNase Y cleavage
470 site. We specifically examined the uninterrupted region from the in-frame deletion in *gapR* to

the within *gapA* (light red line in Figure 1B), corresponding to position +117 to +504 in our
model transcript. Based on this prediction, we divided the region around the RNase Y cleavage
site in the pSaGap transcript into six sectors, named I to VI (Figure 4A). We then examined
which sectors of the pSaGap transcript were required for the RNase Y cleavage.

Deletion of the large sector I, ending 14 nt upstream of the cleavage site, did not measurably
alter the cleavage (Figure 4). We therefore went on to generate a construct where both sectors
I and II are deleted (pSaGap[ΔIΔII]), and observed that this abolished cleavage of the resulting
transcript (Figure S5A). Since sector II includes the G immediately upstream of the RNase Y
cleavage site, it was possible that the absence of this G prevented cleavage. We therefore
constructed pSaGap[ΔIΔII+G], which was identical to pSaGap[ΔIΔII], except that the G was
left intact. However, reintroducing the G was not sufficient to re-establish cleavage (Figure
S5A), showing that the 12 first nucleotides of sector II contain elements essential for efficient
RNase Y cleavage. This was confirmed when no cleavage product could be detected by
Northern blotting of pSaGap[ΔII+G] transcript (Figure 4B), although careful analysis of the
corresponding EMOTE data did reveal RNA molecules cleaved at the position of the native
RNase Y cleavage site, albeit at a level close to the background noise (Figure 4C), suggesting
partial redundancy with another sector.

A similar approach was taken at the 3' end of the pSaGap construct, where sector VI was
deleted, either alone (pSaGap[ΔVI], Figure 4B), or in combination with sector I
(pSaGap[ΔIΔVI], Figure S5B). Neither of these deletions prevented RNase Y cleavage
(Figures 4B and S5B). However, in a construct where both sectors V and VI were deleted
(pSaGap[ΔVΔVI]), cleavage was undetected by Northern blotting (Figure 4B) although still
detectable by EMOTE (Figure 4C). This result suggested that sector V might be important for
cleavage, but to our surprise a cleavage product was visible by Northern blot for a transcript
with only sector V deleted (pSaGap[ΔV]) (Figure 4B). Furthermore, EMOTE confirmed that the
cleavage of the pSaGap[ΔV] transcript was at the native position (Figure 4C).

Sector IV is predicted to form a very weak (ΔG of -4.4 kcal/mol) hairpin three nucleotides
downstream of the cleavage site (Figure 4A). A cleaved pSaGap transcript was no longer
detectable by Northern blotting when sector IV was deleted (pSaGap[ΔIV]). However, similar
to our observations for pSaGap[ΔII+G], the corresponding EMOTE data did reveal RNA
molecules cleaved at the position of the native RNase Y cleavage site, albeit at a level close
to the background noise (Figure 4C), suggesting partial redundancy with another sector.

Figure 4, Deleting sectors of the pSaGap transcript in the region surrounding the RNase Y cleavage.

A) Predicted secondary structures of positions +117 to +504 in the pSaGap vector transcript. We divided the region into six sectors (I-VI), shown in different colours and with black and grey lines below the nucleotide sequence. The native RNase Y cleavage site (Y-cut) is located between sector II and sector III, and the positions of the stop codon of $gapR^{\Delta 864nt}$ and the start codon of *gapA* are indicated. Sectors II, III and IV (marked with yellow, green and cyan background, respectively) are highly important for RNase Y cleavage. Sector I (light green) can be deleted without affecting RNase Y cleavage. Only one of sectors V and VI (orange and blue, respectively) can be deleted without abolishing cleavage. The KpnI and AcsI restriction sites used for cloning the +177 to +504 *gap* operon fragment are shown in red. The predicted minimum free energy for each secondary structure is indicated, but it should be noted that translation will continuously disrupt the secondary structures in sectors I, V and VI.

B) Northern blots of the pSaGap transcripts deleted of the various sectors. Black arrowheads denote full-length transcripts and grey arrowheads denote the downstream cleavage products. 5S rRNA was used as loading control.

C) EMOTE data showing the exact location of 5' ends in the strains used for Northern blotting in panel B. Asterisks indicate that EMOTE data was obtained for the vector where both sector I and sector VI were deleted, and that no change in cleavage site was detected (see Figure S5B). The green "-4" indicates the 4 nt upstream shift of the cleavage position in the pSaGap[ΔIII] transcript. EMOTE data is presented as number of detected molecules with a

526 given 5'-end divided by the total number of detected molecules within the chosen window.
527 EMOTE data from the ΔY strain can be found in Figure S3B.

Sectors II to V are sufficient for RNase Y cleavage of an exogenous transcript.

Overall, our deletion analysis suggested that sectors II to IV together with either sector V or VI
would be sufficient to define an RNase Y cleavage site. We next wanted to use this knowledge
to generate an RNase Y cleavage site in a previously uncleaved transcript. We generated a
vector which expressed the heterologous *E. coli fliM* transcript (encoding a flagellar motor
protein) with and without the 103 nts of sector II, III, IV and V (Figure 5A). We could detect the
full-length *fliM* transcript in both *S. aureus* WT and ΔY strains, as a single uncut RNA by
Northern blot (Figure 5B). When the chimeric *fliM*::II-V transcript from the WT strain was
examined we could observe both the full-length transcript and a cleavage product of the
expected size. However, we were equally able to observe the cleaved *fliM*::II-V product in the
ΔY strain, suggesting that the cleavage was not RNase Y dependent (Figure 5C). To
understand this unexpected result, we examined the *fliM*::II-V RNA by EMOTE, and
discovered two different cleavage sites in the WT strain, only 2 nucleotides apart (Figure 5D).
One of these cleavages was unexpectedly detected in both WT and ΔY and is responsible for
the cleaved product observed by Northern blot for *fliM*::II-V in the ΔY strain. This means that
the insertion of sectors II to V into the *fliM* sequence generated a cleavage site for an as yet
unidentified RNase. The other cleavage was located at the exact position where RNase Y
normally cleaves the *Sa-gapR* transcript, and this cleavage was RNase Y dependent, since it
disappeared in the ΔY strain (Figure 5C). Thus, the addition of sectors II-V was sufficient to
generate an RNase Y cleavage site in an exogenous RNA.

Figure 5, Generating an RNase Y cleavage site in an exogenous transcript.

A) Overview of the two vectors p*fliM* and p*fliM*::II-V, where regions from *S. aureus* are in
purple, regions from *E. coli* are in light blue and regions in green are from the vector backbone.

TT indicates the transcription terminator and P1 shows the location where the P1 probe
hybridises. *gap*II-V indicates the 103 bp from sector II to V in the *Sa-gapR* operon.

B) Northern blot of the *fliM* transcript. The full-length uncleaved RNA is indicated by a black
arrowhead. 5S rRNA was used as loading control.

C) Northern blot of the *fliM*::II-V transcript, where the uncleaved RNA and downstream
cleavage products are indicated by black and grey arrowheads, respectively. 5S rRNA was
used as loading control.

D) EMOTE data for WT and ΔY strains carrying the p*fliM*::II-V vector. The data from the WT
strain (black) shows two distinct peaks at the native RNase Y cleavage site (Y) as well as two
nucleotides upstream, whereas the data from the ΔY strain (grey) only shows a single peak
two nucleotides upstream of the native RNase Y site. EMOTE data is presented as number of
detected molecules with a given 5'-end divided by the total number of detected molecules
within the chosen window.

Altering the RNase Y cleavage site selection

Sector III consists of the three nucleotides which separate the RNase Y cleavage site from the
predicted hairpin of sector IV. Deleting sector III (pSaGap[ΔIII]) did not substantially alter the
size or intensity of the cleaved fragment in a Northern blot, but EMOTE revealed that the
cleavage site had shifted four nucleotides upstream from the native site (Figure 4C). This
suggests that downstream of a cleavage site there is a reference point for measuring the
cleavage position. This reference point must be somewhere within sector IV, since removal of
sector V from the pSaGap did not change the cleavage position (Figure 4).

Experimental analysis of secondary structure in sectors II, III and IV.

The predicted free energy of the sector IV hairpin is very low (ΔG = -4.4 kcal/mol) and we
therefore wanted to test whether individual nucleotides were base-paired or not. For this we
used DMS-MaPseq (Ignatov et al. 2020), where dimethyl sulfate (DMS) is first used to
methylate non-duplexed A and C nucleotides within the RNA. cDNA is then generated with a
special reverse transcriptase (TGIRTIII) which has a very high error-rate when encountering
methylated nucleotides. The pool of cDNA is then Illumina-sequenced, and the error-rate of
each sequenced nucleotide in the DMS-treated sample can be compared with the error-rate
in a mock-treated sample. A proportionally low error-rate for a given nucleotide means that it
is protected from methylation by being base-paired.

A and C nucleotides in sectors II and III were reverse-transcribed with high error-rates,
meaning that these sectors are single stranded (Figure 6, panels A and B). However, the
adenosines in the upstream "arm" and the single cytosine in the downstream "arm" of the
predicted hairpin in sector IV were all reverse-transcribed with low error rates, meaning that
these nucleotides are much more likely to be base-paired (Figure 6, panels A and B). In
contrast, the adenosines in the predicted hairpin loop exhibited high error-rates, and the same
was surprisingly the case for the adenosines at the beginning and at the end of the predicted

hairpin stem (A275 and A282) (Figure 6, panels A and B). We interpret this to mean that the
sector IV hairpin forms on a majority of RNA molecules, but that the hairpin stem "breathes",
with base-pairing at both ends continuously disassociating and reforming.

Figure 6, RNase Y cleavage position depends on hairpin composition.

A) Reverse transcription error rates, normalised with error rates from mock-treated RNA
samples, for individual nucleotides around the cleavage site in the *gap* transcript (blue Y). G
and U are not affected by DMS and have an average normalised error rate of 1.29 (white
columns). A and C (blue and orange columns, respectively) have increased error rates when
unprotected by duplex formation. The sectors are indicated below the graph. Standard
deviation bars come from three different RNA preparation. B) The predicted *S. aureus gapR*
hairpin structure immediately downstream of the cleavage site, correlated with the data from
panel A. Protected and unprotected bases are shown in red and green, respectively. Yellow
indicates an intermediate signal for bases that presumably are protected in some RNA
molecules but not in others. C) *B. subtilis Bs-cggR* hairpin structure immediately downstream
of the cleavage site, with the RNase Y cleavage position shown in green. D) The hairpin
structure immediately downstream of the cleavage site in the pSaGap construct was
exchanged with the hairpin from *Bs-cggR* to obtain pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP]. Mutated nucleotides
are shown in red. E) Northern blot to detect the RNase Y-dependent cleavage of the
pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] transcript. F) EMOTE signal from the pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] transcript.
The native cleavage positions of pSaGap and pBsCgg are shown in blue and green,
respectively. Mutated nucleotides are in red. EMOTE data is presented as number of detected
molecules with a given 5'-end divided by the total number of detected molecules within the
chosen window. EMOTE data from the ΔY strain can be found in Figure S3C.

Exchanging the predicted sector IV hairpins from *Bs-cggR* and *Sa-gapR* alters the cleavage pattern.

Similar to what we observe for the *Sa-gapR* transcript, the *Bs-cggR* RNA is also predicted to form a hairpin structure immediately downstream of the cleavage site (Figure 6C), but with the key difference that the *Bs-cggR* cleavage site is only a single nucleotide upstream of the predicted hairpin. We exploited this minor difference in cleavage position, and examined cleavage in a construct where sector IV from pSaGap was exchanged with the corresponding hairpin from *Bs-cggR* (Figure 6D; pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP]). The hypothesis was that if the position of the base of the hairpin was the defining factor for RNase Y cleavage site selection, then the transcript from pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] would be cleaved at the same site as for pSaGap. Alternatively, if the defining factor was not the transition from single-stranded RNA to double stranded RNA, but instead further within the hairpin, then the cleavage site should be identical to that from the pBsCgg transcript. Northern blotting showed that the pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] transcript was cleaved efficiently, demonstrating that an exogenous hairpin (from *B. subtilis*) was able to replace the factors in sector IV that are required for efficient cleavage (Figure 6E). EMOTE data furthermore revealed that the major cleavage position was shifted downstream by two nucleotides to coincide with the native cleavage position for the pBsCgg transcript (Figure 6 panels C and F). Weak residual cleavage was observed at the native pSaGap position and the intermediate position of the pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] transcript (Figure 6F), possibly influenced by the start of the hairpin duplex or the composition of one of the other sectors. However, the hairpin composition appears to be one of the major factors in cleavage positioning.

Role of the putative downstream hairpin

In addition to the two predicted hairpins detected in *Sa-gapR* and *Bs-cggR*, hairpins were also predicted to form in Sa-*glnR* and Bs-*glnR*, two and three nucleotides downstream of the native cleavage site respectively. The hairpins are all AU rich, but with one or a cluster of G-C base-pairs close to the loop (Figures 7Ai, 7Bi and 7Ci). Although not all RNase Y cleavage sites are followed by hairpin structures (Khemici et al. 2015), in light of the shifts in cleavage position observed for pSaGap[ΔIII] and pSaGap[ΔIV::cggHP] (green lines in figures 4C and 6F, respectively), we nevertheless decided to examine whether modification of these predicted hairpins would alter RNase Y cleavage. We first examined whether the sequence of the loop had an impact on cleavage. The five-nucleotide UUAAU loop sequence was inverted to AAUUA (pSaGap[InvLoop], Figure S6B), to alter the sequence while maintaining the minimal potential for additional duplex formation within the transcript. This change in the loop sequence did not prevent RNase Y from cleaving the transcript (Figure S6C), and we therefore focused on the hairpin stem.

Hairpin G-C base-pairing promotes cleavage

For pSaGap, pBsCgg and pSaGln, the G-C pairing(s) in the stem of the potential hairpin were systematically mutated to destabilise the secondary structure, and Northern blotting showed

impaired RNase Y cleavage of these transcripts (Figure 7, panels Aii, Aiv, Bii and Cii). In
contrast, mutations restoring the integrity of the putative hairpin with complementary mutations
all restored cleavage (Figure 7, panels Aiii, Biii and Ciii). Importantly, faint bands
corresponding in size to the cleaved product could be detected in the strains with
pSaGap[G268C], pSaGap[C276G] and pBsCgg[GtoC] constructs (Figure 7, panels Aii, Aiv
and Bii), indicating that while the G-C base-pairings are important for cleavage of the pSaGap
and pBsCgg transcripts, there are other element(s) that can permit RNase Y cleavage.

Figure 7, Hairpin G-C base-pairing promotes cleavage in pSaGap, pBsCgg and pSaGln
transcripts.

A) Putative secondary structures of the pSaGap sector IV hairpin with mutated G-C base-
pair (mutated nucleotides in red). Northern blots revealed efficient cleavage when the

G-C pair was inverted (pSaGap[G268C,C276G]) but only weak cleavage when either
the G or the C were mutated (green arrowheads). EMOTE data for these constructs
can be found in Figure S7.
B) Putative secondary structures of the pBsCgg downstream hairpin with mutated G-C
base-pairs (mutated nucleotides in red). Northern blots revealed efficient cleavage by
S. aureus RNase Y when the G-C pairs were inverted (pBsCgg[GtoC,CtoG]) but only
weak cleavage when the three Gs were mutated to Cs (green arrowhead).
C) Putative secondary structures of the pSaGln downstream hairpin with mutated G-C
base-pairs (mutated nucleotides in red). Northern blots revealed efficient cleavage
when the G-C pairs were inverted (pSaGln[GtoC,CtoG]) but only weak cleavage when
CGUGG was mutated to GCUCC (pSaGln[GtoC]). EMOTE data for these constructs
can be found in Figure S7.

The composition of the hairpin stem affects both cleavage efficiency and site selection.

Since the previous experiment clearly demonstrated that the G-C base-pair in pSaGap is a
key factor in RNase Y cleavage, we generated mutants where the duplex of the stem was
either fully inverted or where all but the G-C pair was inverted (Figure 8A). These transcripts
are still predicted to form hairpin structures with identical base-pair composition, but RNase Y
cleavage was almost undetectable by Northern blotting (Figure 8C). An EMOTE assay
revealed that the cleavage position was frequently shifted two nucleotides downstream (Figure
8B). A similar two-nucleotide shift could also be observed when a single U on the downstream
"arm" of the stem was mutated into an A (pSaGap[U280A]). In contrast, neither the mutation
of the opposing A to a U (pSaGap[A264U]) nor the double mutation to regain base-pairing
(pSaGap[A264U,U280A]), gave a strong shift in cleavage site positioning (Figure 8B).

Figure 8, Modifications of the putative hairpin stem in sector IV.

A) Putative secondary structures of sector IV and derivatives. pSaGap: shown with the upstream and downstream hairpin "arms" in yellow and orange, respectively. pSaGap[InvStem]: the "arms" of the hairpin inverted. pSaGap[InvStemGC]: the "arms" of the hairpin, excluding the G-C base-pair, inverted. pSaGap[A264U]: third nucleotide of the upstream "arm" mutated to U, disrupting the potential base-pairing with U280 of the downstream "arm". pSaGap[U280A]: the third nucleotide in the downstream "arm" mutated to an A, disrupting the potential base-pairing with A264 of the upstream "arm". pSaGap[A264U,U280A]: Both A264 and U280 are mutated as above, resulting in a reconstituted putative base-pairing. The native RNase Y cleavage position is indicated with a blue dotted line.

B) EMOTE cleavage profiles of the mutants illustrated in panel A. EMOTE data is presented as number of detected molecules with a given 5'-end divided by the total number of detected molecules within the chosen window. The native RNase Y cleavage position is indicated with a blue dotted line. EMOTE data from the ΔY strain can be found in Figure S3D.

C) Northern blots of pSaGap, pSaGap[InvStem] and pSaGap[InvStemGC]. Black and grey arrowheads indicate the positions of full-length transcripts and cleavage product, respectively. The cleavage products of pSaGap[InvStem] and pSaGap[InvStemGC] are barely detectable.

Mutations immediately downstream of the *Sa-gapR* cleavage site modulate the cleavage efficiency.

Having previously observed that deleting the three nucleotides immediately downstream of the cleavage site (i.e. sector III) results in an upstream shift (Figure 4), we wondered whether the nucleotide sequence of sector III influences the cleavage efficiency. We therefore generated a library of pSaGap plasmids expressing the 64 possible nucleotide combinations that can be generated within sector III (Figure 9A). The library was transformed into the *S. aureus* wild-type strain, and the relative abundance of cleaved RNA for each of the trinucleotide combinations was measured with EMOTE. The EMOTE signal was normalised by the relative abundance of each of the 64 plasmids in our library (we assumed that mutation of three nucleotides in the middle of the pSaGap transcript would not significantly modify transcription rates). The wild-type trinucleotide sequence (AGA) turned out to be one of the worst sequences for RNase Y cleavage efficiency, and transcripts with other NGA trinucleotides are also poorly cleaved (Figure 9B). The cleavage efficiency of transcripts with GNN was also generally low, although this could be due to biases in the detection method (see discussion). To support the EMOTE data with an alternative method, we generated individual pSaGap variants with low efficiency cleavage (pSaGap[IIIGGA] and pSaGap[IIICGA]), very low cleavage efficiency (pSaGap[IIIAUU]) and high cleavage efficiency (pSaGap[IIICUA]). The cleavage of these transcripts was examined by Northern blotting. No cleavage product was detected for the pSaGap[IIIAUU] transcript, whereas the other three transcripts were cleaved (Figure 9C).

Overall, most trinucleotide combinations gave transcripts that were cleaved more efficiently than the wild-type transcript, with some combinations (AUA, CUA, CUC, CUG and UUG) reaching >9-fold higher efficiency. The AUU and GUU trinucleotides can hypothetically extend the stem of the putative hairpin in sector IV, which would localise the cleavage site to the base of the stem and potentially prevent cleavage (Figure 9D). Both AUU and GUU transcripts are indeed cleaved with poor efficiency, and although AUU was not statistically different from wild-type in our EMOTE data, we confirmed the lack of cleavage by Northern blot (Figure 9C). Neither the CUU nor the UUU transcripts are cleaved with lower efficiency than the AGA transcript (Figure 9B), suggesting that a 2 base-pair extension of the stem is insufficient to block cleavage.

The base of the stem is one of the possible reference-points for the molecular ruler mechanism that help determine the cleavage position (Figure 6) and extending the stem by modifying Sector III will move the base of the stem further upstream, thus potentially shifting the cleavage position (Figures 9D and S8A). However, when we examined the EMOTE data upstream of the native RNase Y cleavage, we observed no shift in cleavage position for trinucleotides that extend the stem by one or two base-pairs (NVU and YUU trinucleotides, respectively) (Figures S8B and C).

Figure 9, The three nucleotides downstream of the cleavage position strongly influence cleavage efficiency.

A) The putative hairpin in the *Sa-gapR* transcript, with the randomly mutagenised trinucleotide marked with red N's. The native RNase Y cleavage site is indicated by a light blue Y.

B) The relative cleavage efficiency, measured with EMOTE, of each of the 64 possible combinations for the three nucleotides downstream of the RNase Y cleavage site. The wild-type trinucleotide (AGA) is shown in green and is set to 1 on the arbitrary scale. All other sequences were compared to the wild-type cleavage efficiency, using T-tests with correction for multiple testing. * = p<0.05, ** = p<0.01 and *** = p<0.001. The experiment was repeated four times.

C) Northern blot of constructs with selected trinucleotides in sector III (pSaGap[IIIGGA], pSaGap[IIIAUU], pSaGap[IIICUA] and pSaGap[IIICGA]) showing the lack of cleavage with AUU.

D) The potential for prolonging the hairpin stem when the trinucleotide is either AUU or GUU. R indicates a purine.

Discussion

Advantages of *in vivo* cleavage assays.

RNase Y operates within the complex environment of the intracellular space and with the physical constraints inherent in its membrane localisation. Furthermore, the cell contains a large diversity of potential RNA substrates that can compete for binding to RNase Y. Of these, only an estimated ~15% are actually cleaved by RNase Y and, importantly, are not cleaved at random phosphodiester bonds, but rather at specific positions (Khemici et al. 2015). *In vitro* assays can generate precise kinetic measurements of the enzymatic cleavage, but such data might not be relevant in context of complex intracellular conditions. In this study we isolated total RNA from *S. aureus* cultures expressing different versions of the same transcript and then used Northern blotting to detect both the full-length and cleaved substrate. This provided preliminary quantification of *in vivo* cleavage efficiency for each transcript version but did not map the exact cleavage position. We therefore complemented the Northern blots with EMOTE assays, which not only identify the exact cleavage position for individual RNA molecules but also allow us to detect RNAs with low concentrations *in vivo* (Figures 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 8). However, it should be noted that due to lack of external normalisation, the targeted EMOTE protocol does not allow comparison of RNA abundance between two different cultures, leading us to present the EMOTE data as proportion of RNAs with a given 5'-end within a given window. The important exception to this limitation is when examining a single culture expressing a library of similar transcripts (Figure 9). Here EMOTE was used to identify each RNA molecule as well as the exact *in vivo* cleavage position, and since a normalisation was carried out on the DNA level of the library, the EMOTE data simultaneously be used to quantitatively compare the efficiencies with which each of the RNA types are cleaved.

We showed *in vivo* that sectors II-V of the *Sa-gapR* transcript are sufficient to promote an RNase Y cleavage in the otherwise non-targeted *fliM* mRNA (Figure 5). However, an unintended complication of using an *in vivo* assay consisted of a novel RNase Y-independent cleavage appearing in close vicinity to the native RNase Y cleavage position (Figure 5). Nevertheless, the RNase Y-dependent cleavage of p*fliM*::II-V RNA means that sectors II-V contain all the elements needed to define a precise RNase Y cleavage position, but also that the exogenous p*fliM*::II-V RNA is presumably able to find RNase Y at the membrane. It is currently unknown whether this is caused by random diffusion of the mRNA or is facilitated by specific proteins.

The *in vivo* RNase Y cleavage site generated by sectors II-V (Figure 5), combined with the possibility of fine-tuning *in vivo* cleavage efficiency by single nucleotide changes in sector III (Figure 9), should make it possible to generate modules that can optimise gene expression profiles for synthetic biology projects in *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus* and possibly other bacteria that carry RNase Y. Further optimisation would be needed to eliminate the serendipitous cleavage site, but once accomplished a precise cleavage of any transcript can be designed to prevent translation, generate a small regulatory RNA or, as is the case in both the *Sa-gapR* and *Sa-glnR* operons, differentially express proteins encoded on the transcript.

 In vivo interchangeability of SaY and BsY.

B. subtilis RNase Y (BsY) is fully functional in *S. aureus*, cleaving the pSaGap transcript at the
same position as SaY, showing that the two RNase Y enzymes are sufficiently similar to exhibit
the same preferences for target RNAs as well as for the cleavage position on these RNAs
(Figure 1D). Likewise, SaY is functional in *B. subtilis*, and cleaves *B. subtilis* RNAs in a similar
manner to BsY (Figure 2).
RNase Y cleavage of the *Sa-gapR* operon and the *Bs-cggR* operon has been shown by
DeLoughery and co-workers (DeLoughery et al. 2018) to be dependent on YlbF (annotated
as RicF in *S. aureus*). YlbF is loaded onto RNase Y by YmcA and YaaT (annotated in *S.*
aureus as RicA and RicT, respectively)(Dubnau et al. 2023). Taken together, this strongly
suggests that whatever requirement BsY has for YlbF, YmcA and YaaT can be fulfilled by
RicF, RicA and RicT in *S. aureus*, and vice versa, since SaY is functional in *B. subtilis* (Figure
2). Yet, this does not explain why RNase Y requires RicF/YlbF for cleaving the majority of
RNAs, while a minority of RNAs can be cleaved by RNase Y in absence of RicF/YlbF
(DeLoughery et al. 2018). However, since neither imprecise nor novel cleavage positions
appeared in *ylbF* and *ricF* mutants (DeLoughery et al. 2018), they may not be involved in
specifying the exact RNase Y cleavage position on the RNA molecules. Further studies will
be needed to determine what transcript elements render RicF/YlbF unnecessary.
834

835 Cleavage efficiency factors.

836 Upstream factors.

Deleting the 12 nucleotides of sector II (leaving the G immediately upstream of the cleavage
site) prevented cleavage (ΔII+G in Figure 4). We considered the possibility that this was
because the sector II deletion brings the putative secondary RNA structure of sector I very
close to the cleavage site, which potentially could prevent RNase Y access. However, when
we removed the secondary structure by deleting both sector I and sector II, we did not restore
cleavage, leaving us to conclude that the sequence immediately upstream of the cleavage site
(i.e. sector II) is a key element for efficient cleavage by RNase Y (Figure 10).

844 Mutations in the sequence immediately downstream of the cleavage site
845 can fine-tune efficiency.

Endoribonucleolytic cleavages can be maturation events, where the only objective of the
cleavage is to obtain a final cleaved and stable RNA, or homeostasis-maintaining events,
where the cleavage event switches the RNA from one function to another (or initiates the
degradation of one or both of the generated fragments). Maturation events are expected to be
highly efficient to avoid wasting energy on non-functional immature RNAs, whereas
homeostasis-maintaining cleavage events are expected to be less efficient, since both
uncleaved and cleaved RNA have a function for the cell. In our various Northern blots from
wild-type cells, we are always able to observe both the uncleaved (full-length) and cleaved
transcripts (Figures 1C and 2), suggesting that these cleavages are maintaining homeostasis.
The ratio between full-length and cleavage product for the *Sa-gapR* transcript appears to have
evolved to be in favour of the full-length (Figure 1C), and the native trinucleotide AGA found

immediately downstream of the pSaGap cleavage site is indeed among the sequences that
give the lowest cleavage efficiency (Figure 9B).

Transcripts with sector III trinucleotides that start with G (i.e. GNN) all appear to be cleaved
with poor efficiency (Figure 9B). We do not believe that this is due to bias in the EMOTE
protocol, since we have never observed an anti-G bias in the many other EMOTE assays
carried out in our lab over the years. It is possible that the GNN trinucleotides shift the cleavage
position one nucleotide downstream, which will prevent the EMOTE assay from attributing the
cleaved molecule to a specific trinucleotide sequence. However, a Northern blot analysis of
pSaGap[IIIGGA] revealed a cleavage product with low intensity, supporting the EMOTE data
(Figure 9C), and we therefore conclude that a guanosine immediately after the cleavage
position lowers the efficiency of cleavage by RNase Y.

The only trinucleotides that result in lower cleavage efficiency than AGA are CGA, GGU, AUU
and GUU. In contrast, there are many trinucleotides that increase cleavage efficiency, with
some combinations (AUA, CUA, CUC, CUG and UUG) reaching >9-fold higher than the wild-
type sequence. A common factor for these efficiently cleaved transcripts is that they contain a
trinucleotide with U at the second position (NUN). However, this pattern is then modified by
other factors, such as G at the first position (GUN trinucleotides are not efficiently cleaved)
and whether the trinucleotide can extend the putative hairpin (e.g. AUU and GUU are the most
inefficiently cleaved transcripts, presumably because they can both extend the putative
hairpin).

Secondary structures downstream of cleavage position.

The four model RNase Y targets examined in this study all have predicted hairpin structures
downstream and in close vicinity to the cleavage position (Figure 10). We show that the least
energetically favoured of the four hairpins, from the Sa-*gap* transcript, has duplexed
nucleotides at the predicted positions *in vitro* (Figure 6), strongly suggesting that this hairpin
forms *in vivo* as well. Furthermore, our mutational analyses of the putative hairpins in the
pSaGap, pBsCgg and pSaGln transcripts revealed that the putative G-C base-pairs are crucial
for cleavage efficiency, but that the orientation of the G-C base-pairs appear to be of little
importance (Figure 7). However, inverting the remaining base-pairs of the hairpin stem almost
completely abolishes cleavage (Figure 8). Taken together, this indicates that RNase Y
cleavage of the examined transcripts requires a distinct primary nucleotide sequence
downstream of the cleavage position, and that this distinct sequence must furthermore be in
the context of a double-stranded RNA. However, our reporter system is *in vivo*, where the
stem duplex might continuously form and then dissociate, and a given RNA molecule might
therefore be cleaved or not depending on the current state of the duplex, and the RNA may
indeed have evolved to have the optimal duplex/single-strand equilibrium to obtain the correct
cleavage efficiency.
In contrast, the predicted loop-sequence of the hairpin does not appear to be of importance,
since inversion of the loop does not detectably affect cleavage efficiency (Figure S6B and C).
Elongation of the stem by two base-pairs has likewise no detectable effect on cleavage
efficiency, but if the stem is extended by three base-pairs, then cleavage efficiency is reduced
drastically (Figures 9B and 9D) (Note that these effects could also be directly due to the
changes in the primary sequence needed to obtain such stem extensions).

Remarkably, although our results agree with previous studies on the importance of putative
duplex formation downstream of the cleavage site (Meinken et al. 2003; Marincola and Wolz
2017), there are multiple examples from *S. aureus*, *S. pyogenes* and *B. subtilis* of RNase Y
cleavage sites that lack predicted downstream duplex formation (Khemici et al. 2015; Broglia
et al. 2018, 2020; DeLoughery et al. 2018), and we suspect the existence of two or more
RNase Y targeting pathways.

Redundancy between sectors V and VI.

907 Deleting either sector V or sector VI individually had no detectable impact on cleavage
908 efficiency. However, when both sectors were deleted, then it became impossible to detect any
909 cleavage products by Northern blotting, and it required the highly sensitive EMOTE assay to
910 detect any cleavage at all. It thus appears that sector V and VI both contain sequence
911 elements that promote RNase Y cleavage, but that these elements are mutually redundant.

Cleavage positioning factors.

The upstream nucleotide fine-tunes the site selectivity.

A guanosine has been observed upstream of most RNase Y cleavage sites in *S. aureus* and
in *S. pyogenes* this appears to be a requirement for cleavage (Broglia et al. 2018, 2020) and
more recently in *B. subtilis* (Taggart et al. 2023). However, our results show that for *S. aureus*
and *B. subtilis* RNase Y (at least for the *Sa-gapR* transcript) this is only a preference and not
a strict requirement, and that the main role of the guanosine is to direct all RNase Y cleavage
events towards the same phosphodiester bond (Figure 3). Such precision is presumably
advantageous for RNA maturation and homeostasis cleavages, where the two resulting RNA
cleavage products should have a sequence that protects them (or not) against
exoribonucleases.

A reference-point for a molecular ruler mechanism is located within sector IV.

Deletion of the three nucleotides in sector III caused the cleavage site to shift upstream by
four nucleotides (Figure 4), indicating that the RNase Y cleavage site is selected by measuring
a defined distance from a specific reference point downstream of the cleavage site. The 1 nt
difference could be due to an imprecise ruler mechanism and/or to the presence of a non-
preferred uridine at three nucleotides upstream of the native site (position +255). Since
deletion of sector V does not shift the cleavage position (Figure 4C) we conclude that the
reference point for the molecular ruler must be within sector IV (Figure 10). This is consistent
with the previously proposed molecular ruler mechanism where RNase Y consistently cleaved
the *saePQRS* transcript 6 nt upstream of a putative hairpin stem (Rrs-duplex), but it was not
examined whether what aspects of the Rrs-duplex determined the cleavage position
(Marincola and Wolz 2017).
Our data from sector III mutants that are predicted to move the stem-bottom one or two
nucleotides upstream (NVU and YUU trinucleotides, respectively) all exhibit clear major
cleavage positions at the native position (Figure S8), and we therefore conclude that the
transition from single stranded to duplexed RNA is not the reference point for the molecular
ruler mechanism.

This conclusion is supported by the exchange of hairpins between SaGap and BsCgg
(pSaGap[cggHP]), where the distance from native *Sa-gap* cleavage position and the beginning
of the stem was maintained at three nucleotides but the cleavage position was shifted two
nucleotides downstream (green line in Figure 6F), strongly suggesting that elements within
the *Sa-gapR* and *Bs-cggR* hairpins serve as reference point for the ruler mechanism.
One of these elements is potentially U280 on the downstream "hairpin-arm", which when
mutated to an A (pSaGap[U280A]) causes RNase Y to cleave two nucleotides further
downstream (Figure 8). This effect appears to be unrelated to duplex-formation, since
mutating the base-pairing A264 on the upstream "hairpin-arm" to a U (pSaGap[A264U]) does
not shift the cleavage position (Figure 8).
951

952
Figure 10, Schematic summary of elements defining an RNase Y cleavage site. A hairpin
structure is often found a few nucleotides after the RNase Y cleavage position. Cleavage
efficiency is defined by the primary sequence of a single-stranded region spanning from 12
nucleotides before to 3 nucleotides after the cleavage position. Cleavage positioning is defined
by a G right before the cleavage position and the distance to sequence elements within the
stem of the sector IV hairpin.
959
Seen in the light of global RNA decay, the precise positioning of an endoribonucleolytic
cleavage can seem unimportant, since almost any cleavage will accomplish the goal of
providing access-points for exoribonucleases to finish the degradation. Such low fidelity was
indeed observed for *E. coli* RNase E, where the cleavage motif is gn↓wUu and each RNA
molecule is cleaved multiple times (Chao et al. 2017). RNase Y appears to have more stringent
cleavage criteria, since transcriptomic studies in *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis* and *S. pyogenes* identify
a limited number of RNase Y cleavage positions (99, 27 and 190 positions, respectively)
(Khemici et al. 2015; DeLoughery et al. 2018; Broglia et al. 2020). Nevertheless, a recent study
showed that some of the phenotypes of a *B. subtilis* RNase Y mutant can be complemented
by expressing RNase E from *E. coli*, and that this complementation was highly dependent on
anchoring RNase E to the membrane (Laalami et al. 2021). Importantly, these complemented
strains were unable to properly degrade the *Bs-cggR* transcript and no version of RNase E
could generate the stable downstream cleavage product observed in this and other studies
(Meinken et al. 2003; Commichau et al. 2009; Laalami et al. 2021). This suggests that RNase
E can only complement the general RNA decay function of RNase Y, but for normal (rapid)
growth, *B. subtilis* appears to require the type of controlled efficiency and precise positioning
of cleavage events that we examine in this study.
977

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Manuel Campos for scientific discussions, Pierre Genevaux and Raffaele Ieva for critical reading of the manuscript, and the iGE3 Genomics Platform at University of Geneva, Switzerland, for help and patience with development of novel sequencing protocols. This work was in part supported by Société Académique de Genève and Axes Thématiques Prioritaires de l'Université Paul Sabatier.

Data availability

The Illumina sequencing data from this study are available in the SRA database, accession number PRJNA1005908.

References

Anderson KL, Roux CM, Olson MW, et al (2010) Characterizing the effects of inorganic acid and alkaline shock on the Staphylococcus aureus transcriptome and messenger RNA turnover. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 60:208–250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-695X.2010.00736.x

Broglia L, Lécrivain A-L, Renault TT, et al (2020) An RNA-seq based comparative approach reveals the transcriptome-wide interplay between 3'-to-5' exoRNases and RNase Y. Nat Commun 11:1587. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15387-6

Broglia L, Materne S, Lécrivain A-L, et al (2018) RNase Y-mediated regulation of the streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin B. RNA Biol 15:1336–1347. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2018.1532253

Chao Y, Li L, Girodat D, et al (2017) In Vivo Cleavage Map Illuminates the Central Role of RNase E in Coding and Non-coding RNA Pathways. Mol Cell 65:39–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.002

Commichau FM, Rothe FM, Herzberg C, et al (2009) Novel activities of glycolytic enzymes in Bacillus subtilis: interactions with essential proteins involved in mRNA processing. Mol Cell Proteomics 8:1350–1360. https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M800546-MCP200

DeLoughery A, Lalanne J-B, Losick R, Li G-W (2018) Maturation of polycistronic mRNAs by the endoribonuclease RNase Y and its associated Y-complex in Bacillus subtilis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E5585–E5594. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803283115

Dubnau E, DeSantis M, Dubnau D (2023) Formation of a stable RNase Y-RicT (YaaT) complex requires RicA (YmcA) and RicF (YlbF). mBio 14:e0126923. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01269-23

Durand S, Braun F, Lioliou E, et al (2015) A nitric oxide regulated small RNA controls expression of genes involved in redox homeostasis in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet 11:e1004957. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004957

Figaro S, Durand S, Gilet L, et al (2013) Bacillus subtilis mutants with knockouts of the
genes encoding ribonucleases RNase Y and RNase J1 are viable, with major defects
in cell morphology, sporulation, and competence. J Bacteriol 195:2340–2348.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00164-13

Giraud C, Hausmann S, Lemeille S, et al (2015) The C-terminal region of the RNA helicase
CshA is required for the interaction with the degradosome and turnover of bulk RNA
in the opportunistic pathogen Staphylococcus aureus. RNA Biol 12:658–674.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2015.1035505

Hamouche L, Poljak L, Carpousis AJ (2021) Ribosomal RNA degradation induced by the
bacterial RNA polymerase inhibitor rifampicin. RNA 27:946–958.
https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.078776.121

Ignatov D, Vaitkevicius K, Durand S, et al (2020) An mRNA-mRNA Interaction Couples
Expression of a Virulence Factor and Its Chaperone in Listeria monocytogenes. Cell
Rep 30:4027-4040.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.03.006

Kaito C, Kurokawa K, Matsumoto Y, et al (2005) Silkworm pathogenic bacteria infection
model for identification of novel virulence genes. Mol Microbiol 56:934–944.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04596.x

Khemici V, Prados J, Linder P, Redder P (2015) Decay-Initiating Endoribonucleolytic
Cleavage by RNase Y Is Kept under Tight Control via Sequence Preference and
Sub-cellular Localisation. PLoS Genet 11:e1005577.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005577

Laalami S, Cavaiuolo M, Roque S, et al (2021) Escherichia coli RNase E can efficiently
replace RNase Y in Bacillus subtilis. Nucleic Acids Res 49:4643–4654.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab216

Laalami S, Zig L, Putzer H (2014) Initiation of mRNA decay in bacteria. Cell Mol Life Sci
71:1799–1828. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-013-1472-4

Lehnik-Habrink M, Newman J, Rothe FM, et al (2011) RNase Y in Bacillus subtilis: a Natively
disordered protein that is the functional equivalent of RNase E from Escherichia coli.
J Bacteriol 193:5431–5441. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05500-11

Lehnik-Habrink M, Pförtner H, Rempeters L, et al (2010) The RNA degradosome in Bacillus
subtilis: identification of CshA as the major RNA helicase in the multiprotein complex.
Mol Microbiol 77:958–971. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07264.x

Marincola G, Schäfer T, Behler J, et al (2012) RNase Y of Staphylococcus aureus and its
role in the activation of virulence genes. Mol Microbiol 85:817–832.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08144.x

Marincola G, Wolz C (2017) Downstream element determines RNase Y cleavage of the
saePQRS operon in Staphylococcus aureus. Nucleic Acids Res.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx296

Meinken C, Blencke H-M, Ludwig H, Stülke J (2003) Expression of the glycolytic gapA
operon in Bacillus subtilis: differential syntheses of proteins encoded by the operon.
Microbiology (Reading) 149:751–761. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.26078-0

Oun S, Redder P, Didier J-P, et al (2013) The CshA DEAD-box RNA helicase is important
for quorum sensing control in Staphylococcus aureus. RNA Biol 10:157–165.
https://doi.org/10.4161/rna.22899

Redder P (2018a) Molecular and genetic interactions of the RNA degradation machineries in
Firmicute bacteria. Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1460

Redder P (2018b) Mapping 5'-Ends and Their Phosphorylation State With EMOTE, TSS-
EMOTE, and nEMOTE. Meth Enzymol 612:361–391.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mie.2018.08.011

Redder P, Linder P (2012) New range of vectors with a stringent 5-fluoroorotic acid-based
counterselection system for generating mutants by allelic replacement in
Staphylococcus aureus. Appl Environ Microbiol 78:3846–3854.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00202-12

Roux CM, DeMuth JP, Dunman PM (2011) Characterization of components of the
Staphylococcus aureus mRNA degradosome holoenzyme-like complex. J Bacteriol
193:5520–5526. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.05485-11

Shahbabian K, Jamalli A, Zig L, Putzer H (2009) RNase Y, a novel endoribonuclease,
initiates riboswitch turnover in Bacillus subtilis. EMBO J 28:3523–3533.
https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2009.283

Stead MB, Agrawal A, Bowden KE, et al (2012) RNAsnap™: a rapid, quantitative and
inexpensive, method for isolating total RNA from bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res
40:e156. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks680

Steglich C, Lindell D, Futschik M, et al (2010) Short RNA half-lives in the slow-growing
marine cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus. Genome Biology 11:R54.
https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-5-r54

Taggart JC, Lalanne J-B, Durand S, et al (2023) A high-resolution view of RNA
endonuclease cleavage in Bacillus subtilis. 2023.03.12.532304

Zuker M (2003) Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction.
Nucleic Acids Res 31:3406–3415. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg595

1084