Sex-dependent, lateralized engagement of anterior insular cortex inputs to the dorsolateral striatum in binge alcohol drinking

David L. Haggerty[1], PhD, Brady K. Atwood, PhD[1,2]

[1]Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis,
IN, 46202, USA
[2]Stark Neurosciences Research Institute, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis,
IN, 46202, USA

Corresponding Author:
Brady K. Atwood, PhD
Indiana University School of Medicine
Neuroscience Research Building - Room 400C
320 West 15th St
Indianapolis, IN 46202
Phone: 317-274-8917
Email: bkatwood@iu.edu

Abstract

How does alcohol consumption alter synaptic transmission across time, and do these alcohol-induced neuroadaptations occur similarly in both male and female mice? Previous work shows that anterior insular cortex (AIC) projections to the dorsolateral striatum (DLS) are uniquely sensitive to alcohol-induced neuroadaptations in male, but not female mice, and play a role in governing binge alcohol consumption in male mice. Here, by using high-resolution behavior data paired with *in-vivo* fiber photometry, we show how similar levels of alcohol intake are achieved via different behavioral strategies across sex, and how inter-drinking session thirst states predict future alcohol intakes in females, but not males. Further, we show how presynaptic calcium activity recorded from AIC synaptic inputs in the DLS across 3 weeks of water consumption followed by 3 weeks of binge alcohol consumption change across, fluid, time, sex, and brain circuit lateralization. By time-locking presynaptic calcium activity from AIC inputs to the DLS to peri-initiation of drinking events we also show that AIC inputs into the left DLS robustly encode binge alcohol intake behaviors relative to water consumption and AIC inputs into the right DLS in males, but not females. These findings suggest a fluid-, sex- and lateralization-dependent role for the engagement of AIC inputs into the DLS that encode binge alcohol consumption behaviors and further contextualize alcohol-induced neuroadaptations at AIC inputs to the DLS.

Introduction

Binge alcohol consumption, defined as consuming 4 to 5 or more drinks in about two hours for females and males, respectively, represents a large proportion of the deaths associated with problematic alcohol use and is one of the strongest risk factors for developing alcohol use disorder (AUD) (Mokdad, Marks et al. 2004, Addolorato, Vassallo et al. 2018, Kanny, Naimi et al. 2018). Binge drinking is particularly prevalent amongst young adults, especially among females who have been increasing their alcohol use rates year on year for the past half-decade (Keyes, Jager et al. 2019, White 2020).

Modeling binge drinking in rodent animal models is largely accomplished through the behavioral paradigm, Drinking in the Dark (DID), in which mice have *ad libitum* access to alcohol (20% v/v) in their home cage for two-hour sessions, 3 hours into their dark-light cycle for 3 to 4 days a week, and for one 4-hour "binge" session for a single day, followed by 2 or 3 days of abstinence from alcohol (Thiele and Navarro 2014). This procedure has great construct and predictive validity for human binge drinking. Mice display similar drinking patterns to humans like front-loading behavior wherein they consume larger amounts of alcohol early in the task compared to later in the task (Ardinger, Lapish et al. 2022). DID also successfully induces pharmacologically relevant levels of blood alcohol content (BAC) in mice that is equivalent to the legal intoxication limit in humans (Barkley-Levenson and Crabbe 2014, Huynh, Arabian et al. 2019).

It is also commonly observed that female mice tend to drink more than males across a wide range of alcohol consumption models, including DID (Blednov, Metten et al. 2005, Hwa, Chu et al. 2011, Satta, Hilderbrand and Lasek 2018, Sneddon, White and Radke 2019). Possible reasons are that females tend to drink more than males is due to an increased sensitivity to the rewarding and decreased sensitivity to the aversive properties of alcohol, different pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of alcohol, and differences in sex hormone expression levels, such as estrogen which fluctuates across the menstrual cycle (Czerniak 2001, Overstreet, Knapp and Breese 2004, Schramm-Sapyta, Walker et al. 2009, Finn, Helms et al. 2018, Sneddon, White and Radke 2019, Vandegrift, Hilderbrand et al. 2020, Elvig, McGinn et al. 2021). Yet,

there are also reported instances in which male and female mice consume identical

amounts of alcohol in these drinking models, such as for mice genetically selected to

consume high binge levels of alcohol (Savarese, Ozburn et al. 2021). And, while it is

known that estrogen plays a role in increasing alcohol intakes in some models, there is

evidence to suggest there is no effect of estrous cycle on alcohol intake specifically

during DID (Satta, Hilderbrand and Lasek 2018).

Nonetheless, when female sex-dependent differences in alcohol intake are present

during DID, they are often solely reported in comparison to males and/or other

experimental controls (*e.g.*, ovariectomized mice). In some cases, these measures of

quantitative intake are also reported in combination with how BACs differ by sex, but

ultimately these two metrics are often the only two reported measures of how animals

consume alcohol differently by sex. Thus, there remains very little knowledge on the

behavioral strategies used to achieve alcohol intakes across sexes.

Additionally, the neural circuitry that underlies binge drinking remains poorly understood,

and descriptions of sex differences for neural circuits currently implicated in binge

alcohol consumption remain even more elusive. Of the neural circuits implicated in

binge drinking, only a few of these studies to date have included sex as a factor in their

analyses (Rinker, Marshall et al. 2017, Ferguson, Zhang et al. 2019, Siciliano, Noamany

et al. 2019, Burnham, Chaimowitz et al. 2021, Dornellas, Burnham et al. 2021, Levine,

Skelly et al. 2021, Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022).

Previous work from our laboratory that investigates such circuitry shows that alcohol

uniquely alters anterior insular cortex (AIC) inputs into the dorsolateral striatum (DLS)

via synaptic alterations in mu opioid and ionotropic glutamate receptors in male mice

(Munoz, Fritz et al. 2018, Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022). Female mice displayed no

alcohol-induced changes in ionotropic glutamate receptor function. Optogenetically

activating these AIC inputs to DLS inputs during DID also reduces how much alcohol

male mice binge consume.

To further detail the sex differences displayed between male and female mice in DID

and build on our understanding of how AIC inputs into the DLS are altered by binge

alcohol exposure across time, fluid, and sex, we measured detailed drinking behaviors

and presynaptic calcium activity at AIC inputs into the DLS for 3 weeks of water drinking

followed by 3 weeks of binge alcohol drinking. Here, we show that male and females

consume water identically, both in amount and behavioral strategy. Next, we described

how the drinking mechanics and patterns differ between females and males that binge

similar levels of alcohol, such that female mice consume alcohol more efficiently. Also,

by measuring inter-DID session water intakes, we show how female, but not male, thirst

states predict future alcohol intake. Finally, using intersectional genetic manipulations,

we expressed a genetically encoded calcium indicator (GCaMP), bilaterally and

exclusively in AIC inputs to the DLS to measure how presynaptic AIC input activity

changes across time, sex, fluid, and brain circuit lateralization. By recording GCaMP

activity from AIC inputs bilaterally in the DLS, we show that the AIC inputs into the left

DLS strongly encode binge alcohol drinking in males. Further, increasing history of

alcohol binge drinking alters calcium dynamics in male left AIC inputs into the DLS,

suggesting decreased left AIC input engagement as a function of increased drinking

history. Together, we establish detailed sex-differences in the behavioral strategies

females use to binge consume similar amounts of alcohol compared to males. And, we

describe how AIC inputs to the left DLS encode binge alcohol drinking and how the

engagement of these inputs changes over the course of binge drinking history across

sex, time, and circuit lateralization. Together these findings represent targets for future

pharmacotherapies and therapeutic modalities that seek to leverage the unique alcohol-

induced changes to AIC inputs into the DLS to create more targeted, efficacious

treatment approaches for those experiencing AUD.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Animal care and experimental protocols for this study were approved by the Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee at the Indiana University School of Medicine and all

guidelines for ethical protocols and care of experimental animals established by the

National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD) were followed. Male and Female C57BL/6J

mice were ordered from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals arrived at 6

weeks of age and were allowed to acclimate to the animal facility for at least 1 week

before any surgery was performed. All animals were single housed in a standard 12 hr

light/dark cycle (lights on at 08:00 hr). Humidity in the vivarium was held constant at

50% and food and water were available *ad libitum*.

Stereotaxic surgeries

All surgeries were conducted under aseptic conditions using an ultra-precise small

animal stereotaxic instrument (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). Animals were

anesthetized using isoflurane (3% for induction, 1.0–1.5% for maintenance at a flow rate

of 25–35 ml/min of oxygen depending on body weight at the time of surgery). Viral

injections were performed using a 33-gauge microinjection needle (Hamilton Company,

Reno, NV). Animals were treated post-operatively for 72 hr with daily injections of

carprofen (5 mg/kg) and topical lidocaine on the surgical incision. Animals were allowed

to recover for at least 1 week before behavioral assays began. Animals were assigned

to groups randomly and after surgery animal IDs were re-coded to blind experimenters

to viral expression status.

All mice were injected bilaterally with AAV9-syn-FLEX-jGCaMP7s-WPRE (Addgene,

104491-AAV9) in the AIC at coordinates A/P: +2.25, M/L: ±2.25, D/V: −3.10 (100

nl/injection, 25 nl/min infusion rate) and AAVrg-Ef1a-mCherry-IRES-Cre (Addgene,

55632-AAVrg) in the DLS at coordinates A/P: +0.7, M/L: ±2.4, D/V: −3.5 to express

GCaMP in AIC inputs to the DLS in a cre-dependent fashion. Bilateral 200 μm fiber optic

cannulas were also inserted into DLS at coordinates A/P: +0.7, M/L: ±2.4, D/V: −3.5.

The fiber optic cannulas were secured to the skull using OptiBond Universal etchant

(Henry Schein, Melville, NY) followed by Tetric EvoFlow light-cured, nano-optimized

flowable composite (Henry Schein, Melville, NY) and the skin was closed over the top of

the probe using Vetbond tissue adhesive (3M, Saint Paul, MN).

Drinking in the Dark

The DID paradigm was based on the original DID procedure with two modifications

(Thiele and Navarro 2014). First, there were four 2 hr DID sessions (Monday–Thursday)

and one 4 hr DID session (Friday) and second, all DID sessions were performed out of

a single bottle of water or alcohol (20% v/v in water) via lickometers (described below)

inserted into the cage at the beginning of the DID session, which were removed at the

end of the DID session. Mice had *ab libitum* access to their standard water bottles at all
other times.

To summarize the performed procedures, after animals recovered from surgery, they
were singly housed and allowed to acclimate in a reverse 12 hr dark/light cycle (lights
off at 06:00 hr) for 1 week. Wire feeding racks were removed from the cage and animals
were floor fed to reduce damage to fiber optic probes protruding from their skulls. Three
hours into the dark cycle (09:00 hr) animals were transferred to a behavior room,
covered with blankets to avoid light exposure, and the homecage water bottle was
removed from the cage. The lickometer was inserted into the cage after the homecage
water bottle was weighed to calculate the inter-session water intake. Animals stayed in
their own home cages for DID, but the lids were replaced with a clear cage top to allow
for two down video recording of DID sessions.

Following the completion of 2- or 4-hr access, the lickometers were removed and the
fluid bottles were weighed immediately after the session. Grams per kg (g/kg) of water
and alcohol were computed from the difference in bottle weight and the density of water
or 20% alcohol in water. The original cage tops were replaced, and animals were
covered and transported back to their animal facility housing room. Mice were weighed
post-DID session on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday (Tuesday and Thursday weights
were equivalent to Monday and Wednesday, respectively). For Saturday and Sunday,
the mice had no access to the lickometers as no procedures were performed. These 1-
week repeating DID cycles are referred to as 'Drinking Weeks'.

In-Vivo Fiber Photometry

Fiber photometry acquisition was performed with a Neurophotometrics fiber photometry
system (FP3002, Neurophotometrics LTD, San Diego, CA). Briefly, this system utilized a
pulsating 415-nm purple light LED as an isosbestic control and a 470-nm blue-light LED
to excite GCaMP, each pulsating at 30 hertz with a power of 50 µW as measured out of
the tip of each fiber optic cable. The fluorescence light path includes a dichroic mirror to
pass emitted fluorescence to a complementary metal-oxide semiconductor camera
(FLIR BlackFly, Goleta, CA) traveling through a dual branching 200 µm fiber optic patch
cable (Doric Lenses, Québec, QC, Canada) allowing for the recording of isosbestic and

GCaMP fluorescence signals from both the left and right AIC inputs to the DLS,
simultaneously. Animals were plugged into patch cabling and then placed back in their
home cage for 5 minutes before lickometers were inserted and DID sessions started.
Fluorescence signals from the camera were captured with Bonsai (https://bonsai-
rx.org/docs), which also integrated and captured lickometer data via an Arduino Uno.

The fiber photometry signal was processed using a custom Python pipeline (code
available at: https://github.com/dlhagger). In summary, we removed the first 300 frames
from each recording and fit a biexponential decay function to the 415-nm isosbestic
channel for each recording, in each brain region. That fit was then robustly linearly
scaled using a RANdom Sample Consensus algorithm (RANSAC) to the 470-nm
GCaMP emission data. Finally, the 470-nm GCaMP emission data was lowpass filtered
at 6 Hz. Together these preprocessing steps control for photobleaching and motion
artifacts in the GCaMP recording. The acquired 470-nm GCaMP data was then divided
by the scaled 415-nm isosbestic control fit to compute a corrected observed
fluorescence value, or ΔF/F. For each recording, and each brain region, the process
was repeated, removing recordings with poor biexponential decay fits, excessive motion
artifacts, and/or for recordings in which cabling disconnects or tangles occurred. In total,
820 recordings were acquired and 36 were excluded from the dataset for further
analysis. Left and right AIC input ΔF/Fs were then z-scored by session for comparison
of signals across fluids, sex, brain side lateralization, and time.

For peri-event analysis, the initiation of each drinking bout was defined by a 10 second
sliding recording window including the 5 seconds before the bottle contact and 5
seconds post bottle contact. All z-scored ΔF/F values from both left and right sides were
then aligned to the drink start in this window.

Histology

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and trans-cardially perfused with 15 ml of
ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) followed by 25 ml of ice-cold 4%
paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Animals were decapitated and the brain was extracted
and placed in 4% PFA for 24 hr. Brains were then transferred to 30% sucrose solution
until they sank after which they were sectioned on a vibratome at a section thickness of

50 µm. Brain sections were mounted in serial order on glass microscope slides and

stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole to visualize nuclei. Fluorescent images were

captured on a BZ-X810 fluorescent microscope (Keyence, Itasca, IL) using ×4 and ×20

air objectives. Injection site, viral expression, and the location of optogenetic probe

implantations were determined from matching images to the Reference Allen Mouse

Brain Atlas. Animals that did not have viral expression in the brain regions of interest

and/or did not have fiber optic cannula probe placements within the brain region of

interest as confirmed by histology were excluded from the study.

Lickometers

All alcohol and water drinking experiments were performed using custom-built

homecage fluid monitors (i.e. 'lickometers') that were constructed with the following

modifications (Godynyuk, Bluitt et al. 2019). Liquid monitoring was constantly sampled

as a function of the state (open vs. closed) of an infrared beam directly in front of the

fluid bottle valve, and data was written to device memory every 3 seconds. This 3

second window ensured drinking activity data reliably saved to the hardware. Therefore,

any tube interaction within a 3 second window (defined as a drink) was recorded as the

total number of beam breaks (defined as events) for the total duration that the beam

was broken (defined as event duration) within that time window.

The lickometers can hold two bottles, although we only used one for each device during

these experiments. We randomized the bottle side location across animals and found

no effect on drinking, thus data are presented collapsed on bottle side.

Lickometer data was cleaned by fitting a linear model comparing the number of events

by the event duration within each bout for every DID session performed by each animal.

Events that had a residual value of greater than or less than 3 from model fit were

removed. This cleaning procedure removed events that were due to slow leaks (a new

tube was used if a leak was detected for the next DID session) or chews on the bottles

(Grecco, Haggerty et al. 2022, Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022). Once raw lickometer data

were cleaned, no additional outliers were removed when computing events, event

durations, drinks, and/or any other microstructure elements.

For *in-vivo* fiber photometry experiments that utilized lickometers for time-resolving

drinking behaviors with calcium imaging data, the lickometer's state changes triggered

TTL outputs. 5V TTL signals were read using digital I/O pins on an Arduino Uno board

flashed with the firmata firmware. The Arduino Uno's clock was synchronized to the fiber

photometry acquisition software, so timestamps could be aligned to photometry data

during data analysis with precise time resolution.

Microstructure feature analyses

Using events, event duration, drinks, and the timestamps when these events occurred

during the DID session, we calculated other drinking features such as latency to drink

(time to first bream break after session initiation) and mean inter-drink interval time per

session (the mean time between drinks within each DID session). We also calculated

features for events in the first 30 min of each DID session as a measure of front-loading

behavior.

Modeling and statistics

Sample sizes for all experiments were determined based on our previously published

experimental findings for electrophysiology and *in-vivo* behavioral assays such as DID

(Munoz, Fritz et al. 2018, Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022).

Data preprocessing and machine learning modeling utilized SciPy (Virtanen, Gommers

et al. 2020), Statsmodels (Seabold and Perktold 2010), Scikit-learn (Pedregosa,

Varoquaux et al. 2011), and TensorFlow (Abadi, Barham et al. 2016). Statistical

analyses were performed using pingouin (V0.5.4, (Vallat 2018)). Data visualization used

matplotlib (Hunter 2007) and seaborn (Waskom 2021) libraries. For time series and

repeated measures, we used two-, three, or four-way mixed or repeated measures

ANOVAs where appropriate, which represented time (session or week) as the repeated,

within-subject variable, and the factor (fluid type, viral expression, bottle side, sex, etc.)

as the between-subjects factor. All mixed ANOVA data were tested to see if variances

between factors were equal and normal using the Levene test. For one-way non-normal

ANOVAs, we used Welch ANOVAs. If there was a main effect for factor, we used

pairwise t-tests to determine post hoc significance and p-values were Sidak corrected.

For correlations between two variables, we tested multivariate normality using the

Henze-Zirkler test. If samples were normal, we used a Pearson's correlation to report r

and p-values. If samples failed normality testing, we used a Shepherd pi correlation that

returned the Spearman correlation after removing bi-variate outliers. To test for group

differences in correlations that were statistically significant, we used an analysis of

covariance (ANCOVA) where the covariate factor was sex. For tests of two factors, we

tested for sample normality across factors using the Shapiro-Wilk omnibus test. For

normal samples, we used Welch two-tailed t-tests to correct for unequal sample sizes.

For samples that failed normality testing, we used the Mann-Whitney U test. All

significance thresholds were placed at $p < 0.05$ and all data and model fits are shown as

mean ± standard error (68% confidence interval) of the mean (SEM) and/or by scatter

plot with means and error bars indicating the SEM.

Results

Sex-dependent differences in water and alcohol Drinking in the Dark

We isolated AIC→DLS circuitry by injecting an anterograde adeno-associated virus

(AAV) into the AIC encoding a cre-dependent genetically encoded calcium indicator

(GCaMP) and a retrograde AAV to express cre, a recombinase enzyme, in the DLS and

implanted bilateral optic cannulas in the DLS to visualize and record calcium activity in

synaptic inputs that arise from AIC projections to the DLS in both male and female mice

(Figure 1a). For all viral and fiber placements please see **Figure 1 – Figure**

Supplement 1.

Animals then underwent 6 weeks of the Drinking in the Dark (DID) paradigm where they

had 0, 2, or 4 hours of access to water each day for 3 weeks, and then were

transitioned to alcohol access for an additional 3 weeks **(Figure 1b)**. For DID sessions

in the first 3 weeks, animals achieved stable levels of water intake across 15 water DID

sessions, and there were no sex differences between male and female water drinking

(Figure 1c-d). By averaging water intakes by week, a clearer understanding of weekly

water intakes can be computed with no noted water intake differences across week or

by sex **(Figure 1e-f)**. During drinking weeks 4 to 6, when animals were transitioned from

water to alcohol intake, mice reliably consumed binge-like levels of alcohol during the 4-

hour sessions, which correlated with pharmacologically relevant levels of intake as

based on previous experiments in our laboratory using identical drinking measures

(Figure 1g) (Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022). We failed to find differences in alcohol intake

between males and females across all DID sessions in this paradigm **(Figure 1h)**.

Nonetheless, when analyzing alcohol consumption by week, for both sexes, there was a

greater amount of alcohol drank during week 4 in comparison to week 5 **(Figure 1i)**.

This could be due to the transition from water to alcohol, in which the total fluid volume

consumed during water sessions is larger than alcohol sessions and may be supported

by the decreasing levels of alcohol intake seen in early alcohol DID sessions 16-19 as

animals adapt to consuming a new fluid type **(Figure 1h)**. By week, both male and

female animals experienced this decrease in alcohol intake in week 5 and 6 as

compared to week 4 of DID, but there were no sex differences or interactions between

sex and drinking week **(Figure 1j)**. Ultimately, both sexes consume binge-like levels of

alcohol, and in relation to the measured calcium activity at AIC inputs into the DLS

presented below, one can be confident that differences in these signals are not a

function of the total alcohol intake by sex.

Figure 1: Sex-dependent differences in water and alcohol Drinking in the Dark (DID) (a)
Representation of viral strategy and expression to record presynaptic calcium activity of AIC inputs
within the DLS. **(b)** Schematic of Drinking in the Dark (DID) protocol. **(c)** Group and individual animals'
water consumption by DID session (n = 14 animals). **(d)** Group and individual animals' water
consumption by DID session and by sex (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 0.1032, p = 0.7535; male n = 9,
female n = 5). **(e)** Group and individual animals' water consumption by DID week (rmANOVA, Week
$F_{(2,26)}$ = 2.4712, p = 0.1041). **(f)** Group and individual animals' water consumption by DID week and by
sex (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,7)}$ = 0.1032, p = 0.7535; male n = 9, female n = 5). **(g)** Group and individual
animals' alcohol consumption by DID session (n = 14 animals). **(h)** Group and individual animals'
alcohol consumption by DID session and by sex (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,7)}$ = 0.4714, p = 0.5144; male
n = 9, female n = 5). **(i)** Group and individual animals' alcohol consumption by DID week (rmANOVA,
Week $F_{(2,26)}$ = 7.8861, p = 0.0021; Week 4 to Week 5, p = 0.0012; male n = 9, female n = 5). **(j)** Group
and individual animals' alcohol consumption by DID week and by sex (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,7)}$ =
0.4714, p = 0.5144; male n = 9, female n = 5). **(k)** Group and individual animals' inter-session water
consumption by DID week and by sex (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 13.5883, p = 0.0031; male n = 9,
female n = 5). **(l)** Previous inter-session water intakes negatively correlate with next DID session water
intake (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = -0.2383, p = 0.0002). **(m)** Previous inter-session water intakes
do not correlate with next DID session water intake by sex (ANCOVA, Sex $F(1,257)$ = 0.3048, p =
0.5814; male n = 9, female n = 5). **(n)** Previous inter-session water intakes do not correlate with next
DID session alcohol intake (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = -0.0547, p = 0.4537). **(o)** Previous inter-

session water intakes do not correlate with next DID session alcohol intake by sex (ANCOVA, Sex
$F_{(1,315)}$ = 0.0101, p = 0.9201; male n = 9, female n = 5), but when a priori splitting by sex females, but
not males, future alcohol intakes are negatively correlated with increasing amounts of inter-session
water intakes (males only r = 0.1554, p = 0.0916; females only r = -0.4441, p = 0.0002).

The amount of water intake between DID sessions was also measured daily using the

home cage water bottle. Interestingly, females consumed more water between both

water and alcohol DID sessions across all 6 weeks compared to males **(Figure 1k)**.

Previous inter-session DID water intakes were also correlated to the next DID session

intake to see if the amount of water consumed before any water DID session had any

influence on the amount of water consumed during the upcoming DID session. We

found a modest, yet statistically significant negative correlation **(Figure 1l)**. This

suggests that increased water intake, a proxy measurement for a quenched thirst state,

being achieved before a water DID sessions can predict lower levels of water consumed

during the DID session itself. We found no sex differences in this correlation between

males and females **(Figure 1m)**. Similarly, we questioned if inter-session water intakes

influenced future alcohol DID intakes, which we did not find to be true when looking at

the data blind to sex **(Figure 1n)**. Yet, the patterning between males and females for

thirst states looks strikingly different. While we did not find a statistically significant

difference using sex as a grouping variable in a co-variate analysis of variance

(ANCOVA) to determine if the slopes of the regression fits were statistically different, if

one *a priori* separates sex and then runs a regression, the correlation for male

intersession water intakes predicting alcohol DID intakes is not significant (p = 0.0916),

whereas increasing female intersession water intake is negatively and significantly

correlated (p = 0.0002, r = -0.4441) with lower alcohol DID intakes **(Figure 1o)**.

Together, this may suggest that the elevated inter-session DID water intakes correlate

with decreased levels of alcohol intake during DID sessions for females and may offer

another explanation for why females and males binge drank similar levels of alcohol in

this behavioral paradigm.

Figure 1 - Figure Supplement 1: Locations of anterior insular cortex (AIC) injections and dorsolateral striatum (DLS) injections and fiber optic cannula placements. Bilateral injection centers of AAV-FLEX-GCaMP in the AIC and bilateral injection centers of AAVrg-cre-mCherry and fiber optic cannula placements as verified by histology (male: n = 9 animals; female n = 5 animals).

Sex-dependent differences in water and alcohol drinking mechanics

We also aimed to understand how well animals' intakes correlated with both water and

alcohol drinking microstructure measurements made directly from the lickometer in a

sex-dependent manner. First, we plotted drinking events, drinking event duration, and

number of drinks (i.e. cumulative drinking events that occurred in a 3 second window)

and correlated them to water intake across all DID water sessions.

Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1: Microstructure features measured from lickometers correlate with alcohol and water intakes in a sex-dependent manner. **(a)** Water events (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.3352, p = 1.1e-07; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 252)}$ = 0.3203, p = 0.5719; male n = 9, female n = 5), **(b)** total event duration (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.2726, p = 2.001e-05; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 252)}$ = 0.0757, p = 0.7834; male n = 9, female n = 5), and **(c)** drinks (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.4622, p = 9.884e-14; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 252)}$ = 0.9928, p = 0.32; male n = 9, female n = 5) correlate with water intakes in a non sex-dependent manner. **(d)** Alcohol events (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.274, p = 0.0001902; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 191)}$ = 2.13, p = 0.1461; male n = 9, female n = 5) correlate with alcohol intakes in a non-sex dependent manner. Yet, alcohol **(e)** event duration (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.434, p = 1.16e-09; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 191)}$ = 5.689, p = 0.01805; male n = 9, female n = 5) and **(f)** drinks (Shepherd's pi correlation, r = 0.5869, p = 4.799e-18; ANCOVA, Sex $F_{(1, 191)}$ = 9.7152, p = 0.00211; male n = 9, female n = 5) correlate with alcohol intakes and females display a sex-dependent increase in intake for these metrics. Individual datapoints represent a microstructure feature and intake taken from a single DID session. Data were fit to a linear model represented by a line of best fit with shading indicating ± SEM. Axes histograms display distribution of microstructure features and intakes across all DID sessions.

For water events, event duration, and number of drinks, were all significantly correlated with intake and there were no differences in how male and female mice consumed water out of the lickometers across all DID water sessions **(Figure 2 – Figure**

Supplement 1a-c). Similarly, alcohol events, event duration, and number of drinks were

all highly correlated to alcohol intake **(Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1d-f)**. For

drinking events, there were no sex differences, yet for the alcohol event duration and

number of alcohol drinks, female mice showed an increased correlation with alcohol

intake at lower event numbers and drinks compared to males, suggesting they might be

more efficient drinkers, such that efficiency measures the ability to consume more

alcohol in a single drinking interaction compared to males **(Figure 2 – Figure**

Supplement 1f).

Figure 2: Alterations in drinking microstructure represent different strategies used to
consume similar levels of alcohol, but not water intake in a sex-dependent manner. Water **(a)**
events (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.6164, p = 0.4490; male n = 9, female n = 5), **(b)** total event
duration (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 1.422, p = 0.2582; male n = 9, female n = 5), **(c)** drinks (mixed
ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.3957, p = 0.5422; male n = 9, female n = 5), **(d)** latency to drink (mixed
ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.3911, p = 0.5746; male n = 9, female n = 5) **(e)**, mean inter-drink interval
(mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.0339, p = 0.8572; male n = 9, female n = 5), and **(f)** events in the first
30 minutes of a DID session (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.538, p = 0.4786; male n = 9, female n =
5) do not differ by sex across drinking week. Alcohol **(g)** events do not differ by sex across week
(mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 3.183, p = 0.0997; male n = 9, female n = 5), but alcohol **(h)** event
duration (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 7.286, p = 0.0193; male n = 9, female n = 5), and **(i)** drinks are
decreased in female compared to male binge alcohol drinkers (mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 6.681, p
= 0.0239; male n = 9, female n = 5). There were no sex differences in alcohol **(j)** latency to drink
(mixed ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 1.914, p = 0.1917; male n = 9, female n = 5) **(k)**, but alcohol mean inter-
drink interval is increased in females compared to males (mixed ANOVA, Sex x Week $F_{(2,24)}$ = 3.623,
p = 0.0422; Week 6 $t_{(6.81)}$ = 3.623, p = 0.01882; male n = 9, female n = 5). There are no sex-

dependent changes in **(I)** events in the first 30 minutes of an alcohol DID session by week (mixed
ANOVA, Sex $F_{(1,12)}$ = 1.972, *p* = 0.1856; male n = 9, female n = 5).

We also wanted to see how these microstructure analyses were altered across time

between sex. For water intake, across DID weeks 1-3, there were no sex differences in

the number of events, event durations, water events, the latency to drink water, the

mean inter-drink interval between water events, nor differences in front loading behavior

for water intake **(Figure 2a-f)**. For alcohol intake, across DID weeks 4-6 there were no

sex differences for the number of alcohol events, but females did have a decreased

time for alcohol event durations and number of alcohol drinks compared to males

(Figure 2g-i). These findings across time further support that females may be more

efficient in consuming alcohol, such that it requires less time and drinks to reach similar

levels of alcohol intake that males achieve. Females and males displayed the same

latency to drink alcohol across time, but as DID weeks progressed, females displayed

an increased inter-drink interval **(Figure 2j-k)**. Finally, both males and females displayed

similar levels of front-loading behavior for alcohol **(Figure 2l)**. Together, these data

suggest that when alcohol intake levels are similar between males and females, the way

in which they achieve those intakes may be dissimilar in both the manner in how

females consume alcohol and how those differences in consumption evolve across

time.

Calcium dynamics of AIC inputs into the DLS during drinking bouts

To visualize changes in calcium dynamics at the AIC presynaptic inputs to both the left

and right DLS, we aligned the changes in calcium fluorescence to the beginning of

water and alcohol drinking events, creating a 10 second window with 5 seconds before

the initiation of the drinking event and 5 seconds after.

Figure 3: Sex-dependent calcium dynamics of AIC inputs into the left and right DLS during water and drinking bouts. (a) AIC inputs to the DLS are most strongly engaged by alcohol at AIC inputs into the left DLS (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid x Time x Input Side $F_{(149,200.7)}$ = 1.383, p = 0.001383; * = Time from Drink Start x AIC Input Side, -0.0369128 (s) – 5 (s), p < 0.05; ^ = Time from Drink Start x Sex-Fluid, -1.308725 (s) – 4.95733 (s), p < 0.05) and **(b)** peak amplitudes ΔF/F changes are strongly associated with changes in AIC inputs to the left DLS than with the right DLS (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid x Input Side $F_{(3,122.6)}$ = 31.15, p = 1.298e-19). **(c)** For left AIC inputs into the DLS, there were both sex and fluid interactions by time for changes in ΔF/F (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid x Time $F_{(149,221.9)}$ = 1.404, p = 8.419e-4; * = Time from Drink Start x Sex, -1.107383 (s) – 5 (s), p < 0.05; ^ = Time from Drink Start x Fluid, -2.181208 (s) – 5 (s), p < 0.05) and **(d)** increases in peak amplitude for ΔF/F for alcohol

compared to water were observed, but no interaction was present between sex and fluid (ANOVA, Fluid $F_{(1,32.45)}$ = 19.46, p = 1.152e-5; Sex $F_{(1,132.2)}$ = 79.27, p = 2.919e-18). **(e)** Time to peak values for males increased due to alcohol exposure, yet the opposite occurred for females (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid $F_{(1,14.25)}$ = 19.44, p = 0.000012; paired t-test, males $t_{(375.5)}$ = 4.0864, p = 0.000107; paired t-test, females, $t_{(280.5)}$ = -2.532 p = 0.02361). **(f)** Left AUC were increased in males in comparison to females, but no interaction was present between sex and fluid (ANOVA, Fluid $F_{(1,332.3)}$ = 56.58, p = 1.652e-13; Sex $F_{(1,149.8)}$ = 25.51, p = 5.613e-7). **(g)** For right AIC inputs into the DLS, there were both sex by time and fluid by time for changes in ΔF/F, but no three-way interaction (ANOVA, Sex x Time $F_{(149,\ 772.6)}$ = 5.881, p = 6.559e-103; ^ = Time from Drink Start x Sex, -1.778523 (s) – 5 (s), p < 0.05; Fluid x Time $F_{(149,340.7)}$ = 2.594, p = 5.977e-23). **(h)** Decreases in peak amplitude ΔF/F for alcohol compared to water were observed for males, but not females (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid $F_{(1,3.652)}$ = 3.925, p = 0.04787; paired t-test, males $t_{(407.1)}$ = 4.490, p = 0.000009; paired t-test, females, $t_{(271.9)}$ = -2.248 p = 0.05015). **(i)** For females, time to peak decreased when transitioning from water to alcohol exposure, but there was no effect in males (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid $F_{(1,15.02)}$ = 20.99, p = 0.000005; paired t-test, males $t_{(410)}$ = 1.288, p = 0.1985; paired t-test, females, $t_{(289.6)}$ = - 4.558 p = 0.000008). **(j)** For AUC, there was only a main effect of sex, with females displaying larger AUCs across both water and alcohol compared to males (ANOVA, Sex x Fluid $F_{(1,15.02)}$ = 20.99, p = 0.000005). GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means, and shading or error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

First, to summarize the differences between calcium activity at left and right inputs to the DLS, we averaged all z-scored water and alcohol drinking sessions' calcium activity and compared them for sex, fluid, lateralization, and time differences. Altogether, it showed that drinking behaviors are largely encoded by male AIC inputs into the left DLS, with alcohol displaying the largest change in magnitude for ΔF/F both across time (**Figure 3a**). We also show robust differences in AIC signals that are sex, fluid, and lateralization dependent across time during the drink window (**Figure 3a**). When analyzing peak amplitude of the calcium signals, we also find that alcohol left male AIC inputs show the largest magnitude, and water left AIC inputs the second largest when time locked to drinking events **(Figure 3b)**. Since AIC inputs into the DLS display strong lateralized effects, we split the data by left and right AIC inputs into the DLS to zoom in on the sex and fluid comparisons occurring at each input. By looking at all AIC inputs into the left DLS by sex and fluid, calcium activity is increased for alcohol compared to water across time for both sexes, but especially in males **(Figure 3c)**. More detailed analyses of the dynamics of the calcium signals also displayed both sex and fluid differences for the peak amplitude of the calcium signal where males show increased peak amplitudes for both water and alcohol compared to females (**Figure 3d**). We also measured the time to the maximum calcium signal, time to peak, during the drinking event to determine if alcohol or sex displayed a time-dependent shift in the on-kinetics of the calcium signal.

Interestingly, a sex by fluid interaction shows that for males, binge alcohol consumption, compared to water, increases the amount of time that it takes for the peak calcium signal to occur (**Figure 3e**). Whereas in females, binge alcohol consumption, compared to water, decreases the time to peak **(Figure 3e)**. Finally, we measured the area under the curve using ΔF/F values from the time when the peak ΔF/F value occurred to the time when the end of the drink occurred to assess the decay kinetics of the calcium signal. For left AIC inputs, the AUC measurements also showed both sex and fluid differences, such that the AUC for both water and alcohol measures were increased compared to females, suggesting that the calcium signals were larger and remained elevated for longer after the peak occurred **(Figure 3f).**

For AIC inputs into the right DLS by sex and fluid across time, compared to the left AIC inputs, these findings are reversed, such that a decrease calcium for alcohol compared to water is present, especially in males, and that the sex differences, regardless of the fluid consumed, are mainly driven by differences in the off-kinetics of the calcium dynamics. **(Figure 3g)**. In more detail, an interaction between sex and fluid for peak amplitude shows that males decrease their peak amplitude calcium signals as they transition to binge alcohol consumption **(Figure 3h)**. For time to peak, like left AIC inputs, females see a decrease in time to peak as they switch from water to binge alcohol drinking, yet there is no change in males **(Figure 3i)**. Finally, there was only a sex dependent decrease in males compared to females for AUC, regardless of fluid **(Figure 3j)**. In summary, two main findings are apparent, such that 1) for left AIC inputs, regardless of sex, alcohol increases calcium activity compared to water during drinking events and for right AIC inputs, alcohol decreases calcium activity compared to water drinking events, and 2) that male calcium dynamics at both left and right AIC inputs are more strongly altered by alcohol exposure than female calcium dynamics. Together, we also observed a net shift towards increased engagement of AIC inputs into the left DLS during transition from water to binge alcohol drinking in males, but not females, is achieved via both increasing AIC engagement to the left DLS and decreasing AIC engagement to the right DLS during drinking events.

514
Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1: Calcium dynamics of AIC inputs into the left and right DLS
during water drinking. (a) Left AIC inputs to the DLS do not display a sex by time dependent change
in ΔF/F (mixed ANOVA, Sex x Time $F_{(149,1341)}$ = 0.6601, p = 0.9993) **(b)** but for peak amplitude, males
show larger maximum ΔF/F after water drinking events (MWU, U = 4465, p = 1.339e-8). **(c)** There
were no differences in time to peak (MWU, U = 16410, p = 0.7269), **(d)** but the AUC for males was
larger than females for left AIC inputs (MWU, U = 20100, p = 0.001031). **(e)** Right AIC inputs to the
DLS also do not display a sex by time dependent change in ΔF/F (mixed ANOVA, Sex x Time $F_{(149,1192)}$
= 0.5882, p = 0.9996. **(f)** but like left AIC inputs, peak amplitudes in males were increased in males
(MWU, U = 27902, p = 0.02137). **(g)** Female time to peak values also increased in comparison to
males (MWU, U = 989, p = 5.238e-8), **(h)** but no changes in AUC were observed by sex (MWU, U =
13242, p = 0.06568) GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking bout with 5 seconds
before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means and shading or error bars
represent the standard error of the mean.

Since we uncovered fluid effects for both left and right AIC inputs across the time from

drink start, we wanted to further examine the sex effects observed and to understand

how AIC inputs evolved across the drinking task within each fluid. To do this, we filtered

the dataset presented in Figure 3 to include only water drinking events and then

analyzed for sex differences. Looking at all water events, we failed to detect a sex

difference for both left and right AIC inputs into the DLS across the time from drink start

(Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1a & e). For left AIC inputs during water drinking, we

did see an increase in peak amplitude, no change in time to peak amplitude, and an

increase in AUC for males compared to females **(Figure 3 – Figure Supplement1b-d)**.

For right AIC inputs, similarly, an increase in peak amplitude was observed **(Figure 3-**

Figure Supplement 1f). Females also displayed an increased time to peak, but there

was no sex effect for AUC **(Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1g-h)**.

Figure 4: Calcium dynamics of AIC inputs into the left and right DLS across water drinking

weeks. (a) For males, left AIC inputs to the DLS do not display a by week dependent change in ΔF/F

(rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,298)}$ = 0.6953 , p = 0.5575), but **(b)** peak amplitudes

increased in the final week of water drinking compared to the first two (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,163.8)}$ =

7.235 , p = 0.000975; Week 3 – Week 1, p = 0.02478; Week 3 – Week 2, p = 0.000557). Yet, no

difference in **(c)** time to peak (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,127.4)}$ = 1.556, p = 0.215) or **(d)** AUC were

observed by week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,129.9)}$ = 1.405 , p = 0.2491) for male, left AIC inputs. **I** Right

AIC inputs to the DLS did also not display a by week dependent change in ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week

x Time from Drink $F_{(298,596)}$ = 2.166 , p = 0.2743), but **(f)** a decrease in peak amplitudes in the final

water drinking week was observed in comparison to the first week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,192)}$ = 3.5774,

p = 0.02983; Week 3 – Week 1, p = 0.02206). **(g)** No change in time to peak was observed by week

(ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,104.6)}$ = 2.139, p = 0.1229), but **(h)** a decrease in AUC was observed with the

final week of water drinking displaying a deceased off-kinetic of the calcium signal in comparison to

the initial drinking week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,113.9)}$ = 5.301, p = 0.006291; Week 3 – Week 1, p =

0.004061). **(i)** For females, left AIC inputs to the DLS do not display a by week dependent change in

ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,298)}$ = 1.308, p = 0.5817), but **(j)** like males, peak

amplitudes increased in the final week of water drinking (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,78.83)}$ = 7.529, p =

0.001017; Week 3 – Week 1, p = 0.00108). No difference in **(k)** time to peak (ANOVA, DID Week

$F_{(2,30.37)}$ = 1.591, p = 0.2203) was observed, but **(l)** AUC increased in the final week of water drinking

compared to the initial week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,34.22)}$ = 7.0389, p = 0.002751; Week 3 – Week 1,

p = 0.000801). **(m)** Right AIC inputs to the DLS did also not display a by week dependent change in

ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,596)}$ = 1.022 , p = 0.4966), but **(n)** an increase in

peak amplitudes in the final water drinking week was observed in comparison to the initial week

(ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,93.65)}$ = 4.533, p = 0.01321; Week 3 – Week 1, p = 0.01778). **(o)** A decline in

time to peak was observed in the final week of water drinking (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,58.75)}$ = 10.26, p

= 0.000151; Week 3 – Week 1, p = 0.00066), but **(p)** no change in AUC was observed by week

(ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,64.13)}$ = 0.7386, p = 0.4818). GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the

water drinking bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent

means, and shading or error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Additionally, observing how the development of water drinking, across weeks, altered

calcium dynamics we found that for water drinking in males, there were no differences

by DID week in either the left or right AIC inputs across time from drink starts **(Figure 4**

1a & e). For left inputs in water-drinking males, we observed an increase in the peak

amplitude in week 3 compared to weeks 1 and 2, but no change in time to peak or AUC

measurements **(Figure 4b-d)**. For the right inputs in water-drinking males, we saw the

opposite for peak amplitudes, a decrease in week 3 compared to week 1, no change in

time to peak, and a decrease in the AUC in week 3 compared to week 1 **(Figure 4f-h)**.

For females, there were no differences by DID week in either the left or right AIC inputs

across time from drink starts **(Figure 4j & m).** We see similar changes, such that left

AIC inputs for water-drinking females also increase peak amplitude, with no change in

time to peak by week, like left AIC inputs in water-drinking males **(Figure 4j-k)**.

Although, increases in AUC are seen in week 3 compared to week 1 **(Figure 4l)**. For

right AIC inputs we see an increase in peak amplitude in week 3 compared to week 1,

with a decrease in time to peak, and no changes in AUC **(Figure 4n-p)**. Together, we

see no effect across water drinking weeks when looking at the calcium signal in the

entire water drinking window, and only small alterations in calcium signal when looking

at specific parts (peak amplitude, time to peak, and AUC) of the calcium dynamics

across sex. Generally, as the animals experience more water DID sessions, AIC inputs

become more engaged during water drinking events as measured by increases in peak

amplitude, with corresponding increases in AUC in some cases.

Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2: Left AIC inputs into the DLS encode binge alcohol drinking in
males, but not females. (a) Left AIC inputs to the DLS display an increase in sex by time dependent

change in ΔF/F, with males displaying an increased calcium signal after alcohol drinking initiation
compared to (mixed ANOVA, Sex x Time $F_{(149,1788)}$ = 3.125, p = 4.006e-29; Time from Drink Start,
0.0369128 (s) – 1.989866 (s), p <= 0.05) and **(b)** male peak amplitudes are increased compared to
females (MWU, U = 22385, p = 2.379e-9). **(c)** There were no differences in time to peak (MWU, U =
18174.5, p = 0.2148), **(d)** but the AUC for males was larger than females for left AIC inputs during
binge alcohol drinking (MWU, U = 19025, p = 1.281e-11). **(e)** Right AIC inputs to the DLS also display
a sex by time dependent change in ΔF/F, but no post hoc significance for any individual timepoint was
discovered (mixed ANOVA, Sex x Time $F_{(149,1788)}$ = 1.2821, p = 0.00151) **(f)** nor were right AIC peak
amplitudes different by sex (MWU, U = 17217, p = 0.5484). **(g)** No change in time to peak values were
observed (MWU, U = 16045, p = 0.8015), **(h)** but female AUC measurements were greater than males
(MWU, U = 12561.5, p = 0.001092). GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking
bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means, and
shading or error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

When performing the same analysis, i.e. filtering the dataset presented in Figure 3, but

for only binge alcohol drinking sessions, we see that for left AIC inputs, we show a

strong sex dependent engagement from approximately the time to peak for about two

seconds **(Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2a)**. As a result, an increase in peak

amplitude and AUC is also observed, with no change in time to peak across sex **(Figure**

3 – Figure Supplement 2b-d). For right AIC inputs, we observed no change in calcium

signal across time from drink start, no change in peak amplitude, nor any change in time

to peak during binge alcohol consumption (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2e-g**), but

we did observe a slight decrease in AUC that represents the decreased signal seen in

the off-kinetics in males compared to females (**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 2h**).

These data further detail how left AIC inputs strongly encode binge alcohol consumption

in male, but not female mice.

Figure 5: Calcium dynamics of AIC inputs into the left and right DLS across binge alcohol
620
drinking. (a) For males, left AIC inputs to the DLS do not display a week dependent change in ΔF/F
621

(rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,298)}$ = 2.271 , p = 0.3729), nor **(b)** did peak amplitudes change by binge week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,246)}$ = 0.05842, p = 0.9433). No difference in **(c)** time to peak were seen (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,113.7)}$ = 1. 359, p = 0.2609) but **(d)** decreases in AUC were observed in the final binge week compared to the first two (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,91.76)}$ = 3.481, p = 0.03491: Week 4 – Week 6, p = 0.04111; Week 5 – Week 6, p = 0.03777) for male, left AIC inputs. **(e)** Right AIC inputs to the DLS did also not display a by week dependent change in ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,596)}$ = 2.166 , p = 0.2743), but **(f)** an increase in peak amplitudes in the second week of binge drinking week was observed in comparison to the final week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,256)}$ = 4.8388, p = 0.008655; Week 5 – Week 6, p = 0.007429). **(g)** No change in time to peak was observed by week (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,109.8)}$ = 2.933, p = 0.05744) **(h)** and no change in AUC was observed across binge drinking weeks for male, right AIC inputs into the DLS (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,87.91)}$ = 0.5946, p = 0.5539). **(i)** For females, left AIC inputs to the DLS do not display a by week dependent change in ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,596)}$ = 1.544, p = 0.3716). **(j)** No change in peak amplitudes, (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,128)}$ = 2.961, p = 0.05534) **(k)** time to peak, (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,70.21)}$ = 1.238, p = 0.2962) or **(l)** AUC were observed (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,69.62)}$ = 2.089, p = 0.1315). **(m)** Right AIC inputs to the DLS did also not display a by week dependent change in ΔF/F (rmANOVA, DID Week x Time from Drink $F_{(298,596)}$ = 0.8997, p = 0.4538). Similar to female, left AIC inputs, there were no changes in **(n)** peak amplitudes, (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,135)}$ = 1.606, p = 0.2045) **(o)** time to peak, (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,70.11)}$ = 1.067, p = 0.3495), or **(p)** AUC by binge drinking week either (ANOVA, DID Week $F_{(2,73.17)}$ = 0. 1216, p = 0.8857). GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means, and shading or error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

Again, we also split the alcohol data by sex and looked how the development of binge drinking, by week, altered calcium signal. For males, we did not find changes in calcium signal across time from drink start between weeks for either left or right AIC inputs **(Figure 5a & e)**. For left AIC inputs in males, we did not find differences in peak amplitude, time to peak, but we did find a decrease in the off-kinetics in week 6 compared to week 5 and week 4 **(Figure 5b-d)**. This suggests that while alcohol strongly encodes drinking activity during binge alcohol consumption, that the calcium signal begins to decay quicker as drinking history increases. For right AIC inputs, we observed an increase in week 5 compared to week 6 for peak amplitude, but no changes in time to peak or AUC **(Figure 5f-h)**. For females, interestingly, we observed no changes in calcium signal by time for any of the metrics we analyzed **(Figure 5i-p)**. By sex, males show strong engagement of left AIC signals and decreased right AIC signals across increasing binge alcohol history. Further, the left AIC signal's off-kinetics as measured by AUC, decay in the final week of DID, suggesting that long-term exposure to binge alcohol consumption can alter the dynamics of left AIC calcium signals. Yet, for females, across the board, a lack of alcohol-induced changes by time

661   are observed, which can be interpreted as a resistance or insensitivity to binge alcohol

662   consumption across time.

Figure 6: Intra DID session calcium signals and global quantiles of drink length and total number of drinks per session. Example male **(a)** left and **(b)** right AIC input to DLS calcium recordings from a single 2-hour binge alcohol DID recording (animal "P7", session 17), with dashed vertical lines indicating the start of an alcohol drinking event overlayed on the time-resolved GCaMP signal. A histogram of all measured **(c)** drink lengths and **(d)** number of drinks observed across all water and alcohol drinking sessions. On the x-axis, the quartile ranges are printed and colored to visualize the quartile ranges of drink length and total number of drinks that occurred across all water and alcohol DID sessions.

Finally, we wanted to determine if acute water or binge alcohol consumption altered

calcium dynamics within a single drinking session. For each DID session, we mapped

the start times of drinks onto the full recordings of the left and right AIC input calcium

signals. For an example DID session, see **Figure 6a-b**. Then, across all DID sessions,

we plotted a histogram of all the drink lengths associated with each drinking event as

well as the number of drinks that occurred within all sessions **(Figure 6c-d)**. To compare

short versus long drinks and early versus late drinks within DID session, we calculated

the quartile ranges of each measure and assigned those events to their respective

range with pseudo colors for easy comparison.

Intra-Session Drink Lengths (s)

Water

681
Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1: Intra DID session calcium signals do not differ by the duration
682
of each drinking event. For water drinking, neither **(a)** left (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from
Drink $F_{(596,1192)}$ = 0.4524, p = 0.9999) or **(b)** right (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(596,596)}$

= 0.06445, p = 0.9999) male AIC inputs calcium signals are altered by the length of the drinking event.
Nor, do females display any difference in **(c)** left (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(596,1788)}$
= 0.1412, p = 0.9999) or **(d)** right (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(596,1788)}$ = 0.05649, p
= 0.9493) AIC calcium signals by the length of the drinking event. For alcohol drinking events, neither
(e) left (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(447,2682)}$ = 1.594, p = 0.2461) or **(f)** right
(rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(596,596)}$ = 0.8884, p = 0.9557) male AIC inputs calcium
signals are altered by the length of the drinking event. Nor, do females display any difference in **(g)**
left (rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(447,1788)}$ = 0.4118, p = 0.9999) or **(h)** right
(rmANOVA, Drink Duration x Time from Drink $F_{(447,1788)}$ = 0.5659, p = 0.9999) AIC calcium signals by
the length of the alcohol drinking event. GCaMP activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking
bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means, and
shading or error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

We found no differences in calcium signals between sex or fluid as a function of

different drink lengths **(Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1a-h)**. For number of drinks, we

also found no differences in calcium signals between sex or fluid as a function of the

number of drinks within a single session (**Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 2a-h**). The

pattern of calcium activity in male, left AIC inputs into the DLS during binge alcohol

drinking is striking, such that it may be the case that increasing acute intoxication

decreases the calcium signal seen at these inputs within single DID sessions. However,

we did not find statistical evidence of this in our dataset, but this may be because of

how we un-biasedly chose to quartile the number of drinks observed and or increasing

alcohol tolerance as the number of binge sessions increases for any individual animals'

alcohol history. For the purpose and scope of this work, we thought it was important to

quantify some basic drinking metrics within DID session to ensure our weekly and

between sex and fluid analyses of calcium dynamics were in large part not driven by

acute, intra-session effects. Ultimately, we found this to be true, but future work must be

done to look at the acute effects of alcohol on AIC inputs within single DID sessions to

follow up on these observations.

Intra-Session Drink Numbers

Water

Alcohol

Figure–6 - Figure Supplement 2: Intra DID session calcium signals do not differ by the number
of drinking events within a single session. For water drinking, neither **(a)** left (rmANOVA, Drink
number x Time from Drink $F_{(596,2980)} = 0.8228$, $p = 0.4185$) or **(b)** right (rmANOVA, Drink number x Time

from Drink $F_{(447,1788)}$ = 1.016, p = 0.3921) male AIC inputs calcium signals are altered by the number
of water drinking events within a DID session. Females do not display any difference in **(c)** left
(rmANOVA, Drink number x Time from Drink $F_{(447,1341)}$ = 3.346, p = 0.1347) or **(d)** right (rmANOVA,
Drink number x Time from Drink $F_{(447,1341)}$ = 2.598, p = 0.1741) AIC calcium signals by the increasing
number of the drinking events. For alcohol drinking events, neither **(e)** left (rmANOVA, Drink number
x Time from Drink $F_{(447,1788)}$ = 1.608, p = 0.2557) or **(f)** right (rmANOVA, Drink number x Time from
Drink $F_{(447,2235)}$ = 1.664, p = 0.2435) male AIC inputs calcium signals are altered by increasing number
of drinking events. Nor, do females display any difference in **(g)** left (rmANOVA, Drink number x Time
from Drink $F_{(447,447)}$ = 0.8444, p = 0.5269) or **(h)** right (rmANOVA, Drink number x Time from Drink
$F_{(447,894)}$ = 0.7267, p = 0.5078) AIC calcium signals by the number of alcohol drinking events. GCaMP
activity is aligned to the initiation of the water drinking bout with 5 seconds before and 5 seconds after
the bout plotted. Solid lines represent means, and shading or error bars represent the standard error
of the mean.

Discussion

In summary, here we show how male and female mice can binge consume both water

and alcohol in similar amounts, but via different behavioral strategies. Based on these

data, we show how female mice may be more efficient drinkers, more susceptible to

inter-session water drinking that modulates future alcohol intake, and time-resolved

differences in how their binge drinking behaviors evolve compared to male mice. These

robust measurements and resultant sex differences of binge-associated behavioral

strategies must continue to be explored, but we hope these data serve as proof for the

diversity between sexes that exist in alcohol consumption models even when animals

consume similar amounts of alcohol.

The calcium imaging at pre-synaptic AIC inputs to the DLS data presented broadly

suggest: 1) there is a time-dependent activation of AIC inputs into the DLS that occurs

across drinking weeks where calcium activity, depending on the sex and lateralization,

becomes more or less engaged to alcohol drinking and 2) lateralization affects insula

projections into the DLS, such that insula signals received in the right and left DLS are

remarkably different.

First, we saw strong evidence that AIC inputs into the DLS become engaged around

water drinking behaviors, but ultimately most strongly engaged at AIC inputs into the left

DLS early in binge alcohol consumption in male mice (**Figure 3c**). Interestingly, these

robust calcium signals encoding binge consumption also decayed more rapidly as binge

alcohol exposure history increased, suggesting that insular signals into the DLS can

dynamically respond to alcohol across time (**Figure 5d**). Together, these data suggest

that while AIC inputs into the left DLS are most strongly engaged during alcohol

drinking, that the change in their kinetics and thus what they might be encoding in a

behavioral and/or interoceptive context may be altered across time due to increasing,

repetitive alcohol consumption. And, while these generalized dynamic changes in

calcium activity are interesting observations, we did not find strong statistical evidence

for changes in calcium signals by week within both water and alcohol drinking across

the entire drinking window alone. Thus, while we did find changes in isolated measures

such as peak amplitude, time to peak, and AUC by drinking week within water and

alcohol drinking, future studies employing faster and higher resolution imaging

techniques at cellular resolution, in combination with behavioral paradigms that provide

more power at smaller time scales will be needed to understand these changes in

calcium dynamics fully. Further, we only measured calcium activity during water and

alcohol drinking at AIC inputs into the DLS, but additional work will be required to tease

apart changes to glutamate, GABA, and other specific *in-vivo* presynaptic

neurotransmitter release in this circuitry as alcohol-induced neuroadaptations form, but

nonetheless these data represent intriguing initial findings.

Secondly, lateralization of insular activity is well documented in human and rat studies,

yet few, if any, mouse studies show clear lateralization effects within the insular cortex

(Centanni, Janes et al. 2021). To our knowledge this is the first demonstration, in a

water or alcohol drinking paradigm, to show lateralized effects of AIC inputs in the

mouse. Also, in our previous work we did not see lateralization effects when stimulating

AIC inputs into the left DLS compared to right DLS, but it is important to note that the

time-resolution in which we were photoactivating AIC inputs in the DLS was around 10

order of magnitudes longer than the time-resolution of the measured calcium activity,

suggesting we could have been occluding lateralization effects by stimulating for too

long, at a non-biologically relevant frequency, and/or with too much light power

(Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022).

Together, these findings suggest there exist both sex-dependent, fluid-dependent, and

brain lateralization effects for AIC inputs into the DLS in how they encode water and

alcohol drinking activity. Here, we mainly focused on describing increases in calcium

activity and its associated dynamics to demonstrate how male, left AIC inputs into the

DLS are more robustly engaged during binge drinking behaviors. But, we also reported

many instances where calcium signals were decreased, where shifts in time to peaks

occurred, and where sustained decreases in calcium signals occurred for many

seconds post drinking initiation, especially on right AIC inputs in both males and

females. It is known that these shifts, pauses, and decreases in firing can also have

profound behavioral effects, and likely are interacting with the increases in calcium

activity described to direct a concert of action selection behaviors relevant for both

alcohol and water drinking behaviors. Again, work utilizing higher resolution *in-vivo*

imaging and behavioral assays and analyses will be required to resolve these changes,

but nonetheless these observations provide initial evidence for a powerful, complex

cortico-striatal circuit that has vast implications in addiction-related behaviors.

Further, the findings presented here help contextualize our previous work on AIC input

control over binge alcohol drinking behaviors such that alcohol exposure in males

decreases robust calcium activity from AIC inputs that when restored with

photoactivation of AIC inputs into the DLS leads to decreases in binge alcohol

consumption **(Figure 5d)**. Yet, in females the lack of perturbed calcium dynamics may

correlate with the lack of alcohol-induced changes in synaptic plasticity that were

observed in slice electrophysiology at AIC inputs to the DLS after 3 weeks of binge

alcohol exposure (Haggerty, Munoz et al. 2022). In conclusion, leveraging these sex-

dependent differences in AIC circuitry as binge drinking behaviors develop may

represent novel biological levers to target in the quest for developing new

pharmacotherapies and therapeutic modalities to treat those experiencing AUD.

Author Contributions

DLH: Conceptualization, Resources, Data Curation, Software, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Project Administration, Writing – Review and Editing

BKA: Conceptualization, Supervision, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Review and Editing

Funding

National Institutes of Health (R01AA027214) - BKA; National Institutes of Health (F31AA029297) – DLH; National Institutes of Health (T32AA07462) - DLH

Competing Interests

No competing interests declared

References

Abadi, M., P. Barham, J. Chen, Z. Chen, A. Davis, J. Dean, M. Devin, S. Ghemawat, G. Irving, M. Isard, M. Kudlur, J. Levenberg, R. Monga, S. Moore, D. G. Murray, B. Steiner, P. Tucker, V. Vasudevan, P. Warden, M. Wicke, Y. Yu and X. Zheng (2016). TensorFlow: a system for large-scale machine learning. Proceedings of the 12th USENIX conference on Operating Systems Design and Implementation. Savannah, GA, USA, USENIX Association: 265–283.

Addolorato, G., G. A. Vassallo, G. Antonelli, M. Antonelli, C. Tarli, A. Mirijello, A. Agyei-Nkansah, M. C. Mentella, D. Ferrarese, V. Mora, M. Barbara, M. Maida, C. Camma, A. Gasbarrini and C. Alcohol Related Disease (2018). "Binge Drinking among adolescents is related to the development of Alcohol Use Disorders: results from a Cross-Sectional Study." Sci Rep **8**(1): 12624.

Ardinger, C. E., C. C. Lapish, C. L. Czachowski and N. J. Grahame (2022). "A critical review of front-loading: A maladaptive drinking pattern driven by alcohol's rewarding effects." Alcohol: Clinical and Experimental Research **46**(10): 1772-1782.

Barkley-Levenson, A. M. and J. C. Crabbe (2014). "High drinking in the dark mice: a genetic model of drinking to intoxication." Alcohol **48**(3): 217-223.

Blednov, Y. A., P. Metten, D. A. Finn, J. S. Rhodes, S. E. Bergeson, R. A. Harris and J. C. Crabbe (2005). "Hybrid C57BL/6J x FVB/NJ mice drink more alcohol than do C57BL/6J mice." Alcoholism, clinical and experimental research **29**(11): 1949-1958.

Burnham, N. W., C. N. Chaimowitz, C. C. Vis, A. P. Segantine Dornellas, M. Navarro and T. E. Thiele (2021). "Lateral hypothalamus-projecting noradrenergic locus coeruleus pathway modulates binge-like ethanol drinking in male and female TH-ires-cre mice." Neuropharmacology **196**: 108702.

Centanni, S. W., A. C. Janes, D. L. Haggerty, B. Atwood and F. W. Hopf (2021). "Better living through understanding the insula: Why subregions can make all the difference." Neuropharmacology **198**: 108765.

Czerniak, R. (2001). "Gender-based differences in pharmacokinetics in laboratory animal models." Int J Toxicol **20**(3): 161-163.

Dornellas, A. P. S., N. W. Burnham, K. L. Luhn, M. V. Petruzzi, T. E. Thiele and M. Navarro (2021). "Activation of locus coeruleus to rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg) noradrenergic pathway blunts binge-like ethanol drinking and induces aversive responses in mice." Neuropharmacology **199**: 108797.

Elvig, S. K., M. A. McGinn, C. Smith, M. A. Arends, G. F. Koob and L. F. Vendruscolo (2021). "Tolerance to alcohol: A critical yet understudied factor in alcohol addiction." Pharmacol Biochem Behav **204**: 173155.

Ferguson, L. B., L. Zhang, D. Kircher, S. Wang, R. D. Mayfield, J. C. Crabbe, R. A. Morrisett, R. A. Harris and I. Ponomarev (2019). "Dissecting Brain Networks Underlying Alcohol Binge Drinking Using a Systems Genomics Approach." Mol Neurobiol **56**(4): 2791-2810.

Finn, D. A., M. L. Helms, M. A. Nipper, A. Cohen, J. P. Jensen and L. L. Devaud (2018). "Sex differences in the synergistic effect of prior binge drinking and traumatic stress on subsequent ethanol intake and neurochemical responses in adult C57BL/6J mice." Alcohol **71**: 33-45.

Godynyuk, E., M. N. Bluitt, J. R. Tooley, A. V. Kravitz and M. C. Creed (2019). "An Open-Source,
Automated Home-Cage Sipper Device for Monitoring Liquid Ingestive Behavior in Rodents."
eNeuro **6**(5): ENEURO.0292-0219.2019.
Grecco, G. G., D. L. Haggerty, K. C. Reeves, Y. Gao, D. Maulucci and B. K. Atwood (2022).
"Prenatal opioid exposure reprograms the behavioural response to future alcohol reward."
Addiction Biology **27**(2): e13136.
Haggerty, D. L., B. Munoz, T. Pennington, G. Viana Di Prisco, G. G. Grecco and B. K. Atwood
(2022). "The role of anterior insular cortex inputs to dorsolateral striatum in binge alcohol
drinking." Elife **11**: e77411.
Hunter, J. D. (2007). "Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment." Computing in Science &
Engineering **9**(3): 90-95.
Huynh, N., N. M. Arabian, L. Asatryan and D. L. Davies (2019). "Murine drinking models in the
development of pharmacotherapies for alcoholism: drinking in the dark and two-bottle choice."
JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)(143): e57027.
Hwa, L. S., A. Chu, S. A. Levinson, T. M. Kayyali, J. F. DeBold and K. A. Miczek (2011). "Persistent
escalation of alcohol drinking in C57BL/6J mice with intermittent access to 20% ethanol."
Alcohol Clin Exp Res **35**(11): 1938-1947.
Kanny, D., T. S. Naimi, Y. Liu, H. Lu and R. D. Brewer (2018). "Annual Total Binge Drinks
Consumed by U.S. Adults, 2015." Am J Prev Med **54**(4): 486-496.
Keyes, K. M., J. Jager, T. Mal-Sarkar, M. E. Patrick, C. Rutherford and D. Hasin (2019). "Is There a
Recent Epidemic of Women's Drinking? A Critical Review of National Studies." Alcohol Clin Exp
Res **43**(7): 1344-1359.
Levine, O. B., M. J. Skelly, J. D. Miller, J. K. Rivera-Irizarry, S. A. Rowson, J. F. DiBerto, J. A. Rinker,
T. E. Thiele, T. L. Kash and K. E. Pleil (2021). "The paraventricular thalamus provides a
polysynaptic brake on limbic CRF neurons to sex-dependently blunt binge alcohol drinking and
avoidance behavior in mice." Nat Commun **12**(1): 5080.
Mokdad, A. H., J. S. Marks, D. F. Stroup and J. L. Gerberding (2004). "Actual causes of death in
the United States, 2000." JAMA **291**(10): 1238-1245.
Munoz, B., B. M. Fritz, F. Yin and B. K. Atwood (2018). "Alcohol exposure disrupts mu opioid
receptor-mediated long-term depression at insular cortex inputs to dorsolateral striatum." Nat
Commun **9**(1): 1318.
Overstreet, D. H., D. J. Knapp and G. R. Breese (2004). "Modulation of multiple ethanol
withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior by CRF and CRF1 receptors." Pharmacol Biochem
Behav **77**(2): 405-413.
Pedregosa, F., G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P.
Prettenhofer, R. Weiss and V. Dubourg (2011). "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python." the
Journal of machine Learning research **12**: 2825-2830.
Rinker, J. A., S. A. Marshall, C. M. Mazzone, E. G. Lowery-Gionta, V. Gulati, K. E. Pleil, T. L. Kash,
M. Navarro and T. E. Thiele (2017). "Extended Amygdala to Ventral Tegmental Area
Corticotropin-Releasing Factor Circuit Controls Binge Ethanol Intake." Biol Psychiatry **81**(11):
930-940.
Satta, R., E. R. Hilderbrand and A. W. Lasek (2018). "Ovarian hormones contribute to high levels
of binge-like drinking by female mice." Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research **42**(2):
286-294.

Savarese, A. M., A. R. Ozburn, A. M. Barkley-Levenson, P. Metten and J. C. Crabbe (2021). "The
impact of Drinking in the Dark (DID) procedural manipulations on ethanol intake in High
Drinking in the Dark (HDID) mice." Alcohol **93**: 45-56.

Schramm-Sapyta, N. L., Q. D. Walker, J. M. Caster, E. D. Levin and C. M. Kuhn (2009). "Are
adolescents more vulnerable to drug addiction than adults? Evidence from animal models."
Psychopharmacology (Berl) **206**(1): 1-21.

Seabold, S. and J. Perktold (2010). Statsmodels: Econometric and statistical modeling with
python. Proceedings of the 9th Python in Science Conference, Austin, TX.

Siciliano, C. A., H. Noamany, C. J. Chang, A. R. Brown, X. Chen, D. Leible, J. J. Lee, J. Wang, A. N.
Vernon, C. M. Vander Weele, E. Y. Kimchi, M. Heiman and K. M. Tye (2019). "A cortical-
brainstem circuit predicts and governs compulsive alcohol drinking." Science **366**(6468): 1008-
1012.

Sneddon, E. A., R. D. White and A. K. Radke (2019). "Sex Differences in Binge-Like and Aversion-
Resistant Alcohol Drinking in C57BL/6J Mice." Alcohol Clin Exp Res **43**(2): 243-249.

Thiele, T. E. and M. Navarro (2014). ""Drinking in the dark" (DID) procedures: a model of binge-
like ethanol drinking in non-dependent mice." Alcohol **48**(3): 235-241.

Vallat, R. (2018). "Pingouin: statistics in Python." Journal of Open Source Software **3**(31): 1026.

Vandegrift, B. J., E. R. Hilderbrand, R. Satta, R. Tai, D. He, C. You, H. Chen, P. Xu, C. Coles, M. S.
Brodie and A. W. Lasek (2020). "Estrogen Receptor α Regulates Ethanol Excitation of Ventral
Tegmental Area Neurons and Binge Drinking in Female Mice." The Journal of Neuroscience
40(27): 5196-5207.

Virtanen, P., R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P.
Peterson, W. Weckesser, J. Bright, S. J. van der Walt, M. Brett, J. Wilson, K. J. Millman, N.
Mayorov, A. R. J. Nelson, E. Jones, R. Kern, E. Larson, C. J. Carey, I. Polat, Y. Feng, E. W. Moore, J.
VanderPlas, D. Laxalde, J. Perktold, R. Cimrman, I. Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, C. R. Harris, A. M.
Archibald, A. H. Ribeiro, F. Pedregosa, P. van Mulbregt and C. SciPy (2020). "SciPy 1.0:
fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python." Nat Methods **17**(3): 261-272.

Waskom, M. L. (2021). "Seaborn: statistical data visualization." Journal of Open Source Software
6(60): 3021.

White, A. M. (2020). "Gender Differences in the Epidemiology of Alcohol Use and Related Harms
in the United States." Alcohol Res **40**(2): 01.

933