Enhancing visual perceptual learning using transcranial electrical stimulation: transcranial alternating current stimulation outperforms both transcranial direct current and random noise stimulation

Abbreviated title: Comparing multiple types of tES in modulating VPL

Qing He [1, 2, 3, 4#*], Xinyi Zhu [1, 2, 3, 4#], Fang Fang [1, 2, 3, 4*],

[1]School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China;

[2]Key Laboratory of Machine Perception, Ministry of Education, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China;

[3]IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China;

[4]Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China

\# These authors contributed equally

* Corresponding author:

Fang Fang (ffang@pku.edu.cn)

https://www.psy.pku.edu.cn/english/people/faculty/professor/fangfang/index.htm

Declaration of interests:

No.

Acknowledgements:

This work was supported by the National Science and Technology Innovation 2030 Major Program (2022ZD0204802) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (31930053). Qing He was supported in part by the Postdoctoral Fellowship of Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences.

Abstract

Diverse strategies can be employed to enhance visual skills, including visual perceptual learning (VPL) and transcranial electrical stimulation (tES). Combining VPL and tES is a popular method that holds promise for significant improvements in visual acuity within a short time frame. However, there is still a lack of comprehensive evaluation regarding the effects of combining different types of tES and VPL on enhancing visual function, especially with a larger sample size. In the present study, we recruited four groups of subjects (26 subjects each) to learn an orientation discrimination task with five daily training sessions. During training, subjects' occipital region was stimulated by one type of tES (anodal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS), alternating current stimulation (tACS) at 10 Hz, high-frequency random noise stimulation (tRNS), and sham tACS) while performing the training task. We found that compared with the sham stimulation, both the high-frequency tRNS and the 10 Hz tACS facilitated VPL efficiently in terms of learning rate and performance improvement, while there was little modulatory effect in the anodal tDCS condition. Remarkably, the 10 Hz tACS condition exhibited superior modulatory effects to the tRNS condition, demonstrating the strongest modulation among the most commonly used tES types for further enhancing vision when combined with VPL. Our results suggest that alpha oscillations play a vital role in VPL. Our study provides a practical guide for vision rehabilitation.

Keywords: transcranial electrical stimulation, tDCS, tACS, tRNS, visual perceptual learning, visual plasticity, unsupervised learning, implicit learning

Introduction

Our visual system is remarkably malleable (i.e., visual plasticity) throughout the entire lifespan, for both mature and degenerative brains. It has been well documented that various methods can bring about visual plasticity, such as extensive training and non-invasive brain stimulation (NIBS) techniques (He *et al.*, 2022a). Regarding visual plasticity induced by extensive training, i.e., visual perceptual learning (VPL), many advancements have been made in unveiling its characteristics, brain loci, and neural manifestations, over the last three decades (He *et al.*, 2022a). More importantly, VPL has been widely applied in various fields (Lu *et al.*, 2016), such as low-vision rehabilitation (Huang *et al.*, 2022; Levi *et al.*, 1996), remediation for dyslexia (Gori *et al.*, 2014; Meng et al., 2014), X-ray security screening (McCarley *et al.*, 2004), sports and military training (Hadlow *et al.*, 2018), and medical imaging education (Alexander *et al.*, 2020). At the same time, our visual plasticity can also be induced by transcranial electrical stimulation (tES) – a non-invasive neuromodulatory technique, in which weak electrical fields are delivered through electrodes positioned on the scalp surface. There are three most common types of tES techniques categorized based on the current waveform, i.e., transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) (Nitsche *et al.*, 2000; Priori *et al.*, 1998), transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) (Antal *et al.*, 2008), and transcranial random noise stimulation (tRNS) (Terney *et al.*, 2008). All these three types of tES techniques have been found to be able to modify cortical excitability and modulate visual functions in both healthy and clinical populations (Bello *et al.*, 2023), such as contrast sensitivity (Antal *et al.*, 2001; Potok *et al.*, 2023), visual acuity (Bocci et al., 2018; Reinhart *et al.*, 2016), motion direction discrimination (Battaglini *et al.*, 2023; Ghin *et al.*, 2018), and peripheral target identification in a crowded environment (Battaglini et al., 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2021), therefore establishing causal

links between brain activity and cognitive functions (Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

Currently, there is an increasing focus on modulating VPL by tES, which is both

theoretically significant and practically useful. Theoretically, through selective

modulation of the brain during distinct phases of learning, mechanisms that support

successful task acquisition and consolidation may be more fully characterized (He *et*

al., 2021; He *et al.*, 2022a; He *et al.*, 2022b; Wu *et al.*, 2023; Yang *et al.*, 2022). From

the prospective of translational applications, trainees aspire to attain the greatest

training benefits in the briefest timeframe. Whereas, in a typical practical-oriented

application case, thousands of trials across multiple training sessions are required

usually. Boosting VPL by tES is expected to accelerate learning and make subjects

obtain greater benefits (He *et al.*, 2022b; Herpich *et al.*, 2019), which was aligned with

trainees' expectations.

Different types of tES have been adopted to modulate VPL (for a review, see He

et al., 2022a). Concerning the effect of tDCS on modulating VPL, the results are mixed

yet, and that no consistent result has been found regardless of whether tDCS was

administered before task execution (offline mode) or during task execution (online

mode). For instance, when tDCS was applied during task execution, anodal tDCS were

found to be effective in boosting VPL in some studies (Frangou *et al.*, 2018; Wu *et al.*,

2022b), while in other studies no obvious modulatory effect was found (Fertonani *et*

al., 2011; Herpich *et al.*, 2019; Larcombe *et al.*, 2018a; Larcombe *et al.*, 2018b; Wu *et*

al., 2022a) and even a suppressive effect was observed (Jia *et al.*, 2022b). Studies using

offline tDCS in VPL task showed similarly conflicting results (Pirulli et al., 2013;

Pirulli et al., 2014;Wu *et al.*, 2022b). By contrast, the results of modulating VPL by

tRNS is relatively consistent. Specifically, high-frequency (100-640 Hz) tRNS boosts

VPL effectively in both healthy and clinical populations (Camilleri *et al.*, 2014;

Campana *et al.*, 2014; Cappelletti *et al.*, 2015; Conto *et al.*, 2021; Donkor *et al.*, 2021).

With regard to tACS, though the underlying neural mechanisms of action of tACS on the brain are relatively clear among tES techniques (Johnson *et al.*, 2020; Krause *et al.*, 2019; Zaehle *et al.*, 2010), only limited studies have been conducted to modulate VPL. Specifically, we previously stimulated subjects' visual cortical areas with tACS at different frequencies, we found that only occipital 10 Hz tACS was able to boost VPL and no such effect was found in other stimulation frequencies (e.g., 6, 20, and 40 Hz) (He *et al.*, 2022b). Similarly, in another study, occipital 3 Hz tACS was found to show little effect on VPL (Zizlsperger *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, we also found that the modulatory effect of 10 Hz tACS was absent when other cortical areas were stimulated (He *et al.*, 2022b). Altogether, these studies demonstrate that tACS facilitates VPL in a frequency- and location-specific manner.

Despite advancements in VPL were observed following the application of diverse types of tES, there still lacks a systematic evaluation of the modulatory effects of tES on VPL. Notably, studies on modulating VPL by tES usually employ a relatively small sample size design, which might suffer the risk of sampling bias and limit the generalizability of those findings (Minarik *et al.*, 2016). To this end, we recruited four groups of subjects to learn an orientation discrimination task, and each group received a specific form of stimulation, including sham, tDCS, high-frequency tRNS, and 10 Hz tACS during their training phase. Notably, there were approximately 30 participants in each group, which provides sufficient statistical power and representativeness to ensure the reliability and generalizability of our findings.

Methods

Subjects

A total of 104 right-handed healthy adults with normal or correct-to-normal vision took

part in the present study. Subjects were assigned to one of four conditions (26 subjects

in each condition): anodal tDCS (11 females; mean age 21.54 ± 2.42 years), high-

frequency tRNS (20 females; mean age 21.77 ± 2.21 years), 10 Hz tACS (18 females;

mean age 21.15 ± 4.58 years), and sham 10 Hz tACS (17 females; mean age 22.04 ±

2.89 years). The sample sizes were determined based on our previous study (He *et al.*,

2022b), and all data for the 10 Hz tACS condition and the sham 10 Hz tACS condition

in that study (He *et al.*, 2022b) were included in the present study. A screening

questionnaire was administrated for each subject before starting the study. Subjects

were excluded if they met the following criteria: 1) age older than 30 years or younger

than 18 years, 2) a history of neural surgery or epileptic seizures or any psychiatric or

neurological disorders, 3) sleep disorders or a total sleep time less than seven hours per

night over the last two weeks, 4) during ovulation phase of the menstrual cycle or

pregnancy (He *et al.*, 2019; He *et al.*, 2022b). All experimental protocols and

procedures were approved were approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of

Psychological and Cognitive Sciences at Peking University. Before participation, an

informed written consent was obtained from each subject.

Apparatus and Stimulation protocol

MATLAB (R2015a, MathWorks, Natick, MA) and Psychotoolbox-3 extensions

(Brainard, 1997) were used to generate and control visual stimuli, which were presented

on an LCD monitor (Display + +, Cambridge Research Systems, UK) with a grey

background (mean luminance = 30 cd/m^2, width = 70 cm, spatial resolution = 1920 ×

1080 pixels, refresh rate = 120 Hz). The only source of light in the room was the monitor.

Subjects' heads were fixed by a chin-and-head rest at a viewing distance of 70 cm. To

ensure that subjects' eye positions were stable within 1° from the fixation point when visual stimuli were presented, the Eyelink1000 plus eye-tracking system (SR Research Ltd., Ontario, Canada) was used to monitor subjects' eye movement throughout the whole experiment.

The high-frequency (100–640 Hz) tRNS was delivered by a battery-powered current stimulator (DC-Stimulator PLUS; neuroConn GmbH, Ilmenau, Germany), and the current in other forms was delivered using the DC-STIMULATOR MC (neuroConn GmbH, Ilmenau, Germany), through a pair of rubber electrodes of 5×7 cm^2. The electrodes were inserted into two soaked sponges (0.9% saline solution) and attached to the subjects' scalp by two elastic bandages. The current intensity was constant 2.0 mA for anodal tDCS, while the peak-to-peak current intensity was 2.0 mA for tACS and high-frequency tRNS. The current in both active and sham tACS stimulation conditions was in the form of a sine wave. The phase difference between the two stimulation electrodes were set at zero. In each session of all stimulation conditions, the impedance was kept lower than 6 KΩ.

Visual stimuli and task

The task paradigm and the stimulus set used in this study were the same as our previous studies (He *et al.*, 2021; He *et al.*, 2022b). Oriented Gabor patches with noise (diameter = 1.25°; spatial frequency = 3.0 cycle/°; Michelson contrast = 0.5; standard deviation of Gaussian envelope = 0.42°; random spatial phase; 25% of the pixels in these patches were replaced with random noise) were presented in the lower left quadrant of the visual field, 5° from the fixation point. In each trial, a small fixation point was presented first for 500 ms, followed by two Gabor patches with orientations of 26° and 26° + θ appeared 100 ms each in a random order with a 500-ms blank interval (**Fig.1**(A)). A two-alternative forced-choice (2AFC) method was used in the

task, and subjects were instructed to judge the orientation change of the second Gabor

patch relative to the first one (counter-clockwise or clockwise) by pressing keys.

Subjects' orientation discrimination threshold at 75% accuracy was estimated using a

QUEST staircase procedure, such that the θ varied trial by trial (Watson *et al.*, 1983).

Subjects would have a rest after each staircase. No feedback was provided in all test

and training sessions.

Figure 1. Stimuli, experimental design, and electrical stimulation protocol. (A)

Schematic description of a 2AFC trial in a QUEST staircase for measuring orientation

discrimination thresholds. Subjects were instructed to make a judgment of the

orientation in the second interval relative to that in the first interval (clockwise or

counter-clockwise), while gazing at the central fixation point. There was no feedback

after each trial. (B) Experimental protocol. Subjects underwent a pre-training test, five

daily training sessions, and a post-training test. The pre-training test (Pre) and post-training test (Post) took place on the days before and immediately after training, respectively. The tES was concurrently administrated during each training session. (C) Electrical stimulation protocol and montage. TES with different current waveforms (tDCS, tRNS, and tACS) were applied concurrently during each training session. Stimulation electrodes were positioned over the occipital cortex (O2) and the vertex (Cz). The electrode positions were defined by the international 10-20 EEG system. The red square and blue square denoted the anodal electrode and cathodal electrode, respectively. These head models were generated by FaceGen Modeller (version 3.4).

Design

A single-blind, sham-controlled, between-subjects design was adopted to explore the modulatory effect of different types of tES on orientation discrimination learning. Subjects were trained on the orientation discrimination task for five consecutive days. Before and after the five daily training session, a test session was conducted, respectively, i.e., the pre-training test (Pre) and the post-training test (Post) (**Fig**.1 (B)). Each test session and each training session consisted of six and nine QUEST staircases of 50 trials, respectively.

The hemisphere contralateral to the visual field where the visual stimuli presented was stimulated. Two electrodes were placed over subjects' visual cortex and the vertex (i.e., O2 and Cz in the international 10-20 EEG system, respectively; **Fig**.1(C)). Electrical stimulation was delivered concurrently with training with a ramp-up of 30 s at the beginning of each training session.

Statistical analysis

The threshold for each session was estimated by calculating the geometric mean of thresholds from all QUEST staircases in that session. The performance change after training was quantified by calculating the percent improvement as (*pre-training threshold − post-training threshold*)/*pre-training threshold ×100%.* All estimated thresholds were then normalized: the estimated threshold for each session was divided

by the estimated threshold at Pre, then multiplied by 100%. In order to describe the process of threshold change during the learning course, we fitted the learning curves of normalized orientation discrimination threshold across all sessions using a power function:

$$\log_{10}(\text{Th}(t)) = \rho \times log10(t)$$

In this function, Th represents the predicted normalized threshold, t is the number of training sessions, and ρ is the learning rate (Yang *et al.*, 2020). The threshold declines with training, such that the value of ρ should be negative, and a smaller value indicates a faster learning speed. To minimize the sum of squared differences between model predictions and observed values, a nonlinear least-square method was implemented in MATLAB.

A mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA) using *Condition* as a between-subjects factor (tDCS, tRNS, tACS, and sham) was used to analyze the orientation discrimination thresholds. Raw thresholds at Pre, learning rates and percent improvements were analyzed by ANOVA using *Condition* as a between-subjects factor. We used the Benjamini-Hochberg method (BH) to control false discovery rate (FDR) for multiple comparisons. Partial eta squared (η_p^2) and Cohen's *d* were calculated to measure the effect size for ANOVAs and *t*-tests. Statistical analyses were conducted using R (R Core Team, 2023).

Results

Training improved task performance substantially

First, a one-way ANOVA with *Condition* (tDCS, tRNS, tACS, and sham) as a between-subjects factor on the thresholds at Pre was conducted to examine the baseline variance between all enrolled conditions. The statistical results showed that the main effect of *Condition* was not significant ($F_{(3, 100)} = 0.37$, $p = 0.79$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.01$), demonstrating that

subjects had comparable baseline performance across all stimulation conditions. Next,

to assess the learning effect on the trained task, we employed a mixed-design ANOVA

that incorporated *Session* (Pre and Post) as a within-subjects factor, *Condition* as a

between-subjects factor, and subjects' orientation discrimination thresholds as the

dependent variable. The statistical results showed that the main effect of *Session* ($F_{(1,}$

$_{100)}$ = 207.89, $p < 0.001$, η_p^2 = 0.68) and the interaction between *Session* and *Condition*

($F_{(3, 100)}$ = 3.29, $p = 0.02$, η_p^2 = 0.09) were significant, but the main effect of *Condition*

($F_{(3, 100)}$ = 0.95, $p = 0.42$) was not significant. Further analyses showed that the

thresholds at Post were lower than those at Pre for all stimulation conditions (sham:

$t(25) = 4.33$, $p_{adj} < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 0.85$; tDCS: $t(25) = 6.77$, $p_{adj} < 0.001$; *Cohen's*

d = 1.33; tRNS: $t(25) = 10.21$, $p_{adj} < 0.001$, *Cohen's d = 2.00*; tACS: $t(25) = 9.01$, p_{adj}

< 0.001, *Cohen's d =*1.77). Importantly, no feedback was provided during the training

course, suggesting that subjects acquired the improved ability to discriminate the

trained stimuli in an unsupervised learning manner.

Figure 2. Main results. (A) Thresholds at Pre. (B) Normalized learning curves. Dots represent averaged thresholds across subjects at different test and training sessions, and lines represent fitted learning curves using a power function. Note: the y-axis is displayed on a logarithmic scale. (C) Learning rate for each condition, a smaller value indicates a faster learning speed. (D) Percentage of improvement in orientation discrimination performance. $*p \leq 0.05$; $**p \leq 0.01$; $***p \leq 0.001$. Error bars denote 1 SEM (standard error of the mean) across subjects

Learning rate was modulated by tES

The efficiency of performance improvement in learning is typically quantified by learning rate. We examined learning curve differences between different stimulation conditions. A one-way ANOVA revealed that the main effect of *Condition* on learning rate (ρ) was significant ($F_{(3,100)} = 9.20$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.22$), demonstrating that subjects in different stimulation conditions showed different learning rates. Specifically, pairwise *t*-tests showed that there was no significant difference between the sham condition and the tDCS condition in learning rate ($t(50) = 0.90$, $p_{\text{adj}} = 0.38$, *Cohen's d*

= 0.25), while the learning rates in both the tRNS and the tACS conditions were significantly greater than that in the sham condition (tRNS vs. sham: $t(50) = 2.90$, p_{adj} = 0.01, *Cohen's d* = 0.80; tACS vs. sham: $t(50) = 4.44$, $p_{adj} < 0.001$, *Cohen's d* = 1.23). Compared with the tDCS condition, the learning rate in the tACS condition was higher ($t(50) = 3.76$, $p_{adj} = 0.001$, *Cohen's d* = 1.04), but no such effect was found in the tRNS condition ($t(50) = 2.03$, $p_{adj} = 0.06$, *Cohen's d* = 0.56). Moreover, the learning rate in the tACS condition was higher than that in the tRNS condition ($t(50) = 2.27$, $p_{adj} = 0.04$, *Cohen's d* = 0.63). In short, the application of 10 Hz tACS during training yielded the most rapid acceleration of the orientation discrimination learning.

Performance improvement was modulated by tES

The space for improvement in learning is of great concern to both basic and clinical researchers. Here, we examined performance improvement differences between different stimulation conditions. Performance improvement between stimulation conditions was significant, which was revealed by a one-way ANOVA ($F_{(3,100)} = 9.69$, $p < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.23$). Further analyses showed that the percent improvement in the 10 Hz tACS condition was significantly higher than those in all other stimulation conditions (tACS vs. sham: $t(50) = 4.85$, $p_{adj} < 0.001$, *Cohen's d* = 1.35; tACS vs. tDCS: $t(50) = 3.08$, $p_{adj} = 0.008$, *Cohen's d* = 0.85; tACS vs. tRNS: $t(50) = 2.33$, $p_{adj} = 0.04$, *Cohen's d* = 0.65). Notably, the performance improvement in the tRNS condition was significantly higher than that in the sham condition ($t(50) = 3.55$, $p_{adj} = 0.003$, *Cohen's d* = 0.98). All other differences among the stimulation conditions were not significant (tDCS vs. sham: $t(50) = 1.74$, $p_{adj} = 0.11$, *Cohen's d* = 0.48; tDCS vs. tRNS: $t(50) = 1.60$, $p_{adj} = 0.12$, *Cohen's d* = 0.44). In short, after training with concurrent occipital 10 Hz tACS, subjects exhibited the most pronounced improvement in their performance on the trained orientation discrimination task.

In summary, subjects' task performance improved with training from comparable initial performance levels. While the modulatory effects of tES on VPL were strongly dependent on the stimulation type. Specifically, anodal tDCS applied during executing the orientation discrimination task had little effect on modulating the acquisition of learning to discriminate the stimuli. By contrast, both high-frequency tRNS and 10 Hz tACS were capable of facilitating orientation discrimination learning, in terms of both learning rate and overall performance improvement achieved. Finally, occipital 10 Hz tACS showed the best modulatory effects.

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the effect of several most common types of tES techniques (i.e., tDCS, tRNS, and 10 Hz tACS) on the further enhancement of vision by modulating VPL. Our results revealed distinct modulatory effects of tES with different current forms when applied during learning on the orientation discrimination task. Specifically, compared with the sham stimulation, anodal tDCS showed little effect on modulating VPL, while both high-frequency tRNS and 10 Hz tACS were effective in boosting VPL. Additionally, 10 Hz tACS exhibited a superior modulatory effect compared to the other current stimulation forms. To the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first to systematically evaluate the effects of various types of tES techniques on modulating VPL. Importantly, compared to all previous studies investigating the modulatory effects of tES on VPL, the present study has the largest sample size (~30 subjects per condition), and thus ensuring sufficient statistical power for between-condition comparisons. In short, our findings will provide guidance for translational applications of combing VPL and tES, and will also provide insights into neural mechanisms of VPL.

We found that anodal tDCS applied concurrently with training had no effect on
modulating VPL, which is consistent with previous studies in short-term orientation
discrimination learning (Fertonani *et al.*, 2011), or in multi-session learning on motion
direction discrimination (Fertonani *et al.*, 2019; Herpich *et al.*, 2019; Larcombe *et al.*,
2018a; Larcombe *et al.*, 2018b; Wu *et al.*, 2022a). However, our results are not
consistent with some studies in which anodal tDCS was effective in facilitating VPL
(Olma *et al.*, 2013; Sczesny-Kaiser *et al.*, 2016; Van Meel *et al.*, 2016; Wu *et al.*, 2022b),
or even impairing VPL with a short training session (Grasso *et al.*, 2021; Grasso *et al.*,
2020; Jia *et al.*, 2022a; Learmonth *et al.*, 2015). The discrepancy between these studies
mentioned above can be caused by many factors, such as training tasks, training regimes,
and stimulation settings. Of note, a small sample size was adopted in previous studies,
which may bias the results (Minarik *et al.*, 2016). Here, using a relatively large sample
size design, we found that applying anodal tDCS concurrently during training had a
negligible effect on enhancing VPL. The null effect of anodal tDCS on modulating VPL
during training might be caused by the timing of stimulation applied. Previous studies
found that anodal tDCS applied prior to task execution (i.e., the offline mode) was
effective in boosting VPL (Pirulli *et al.*, 2013), while no such modulatory effect was
found when anodal tDCS was administrated during perform the orientation
discrimination task (i.e., the online mode) (Pirulli *et al.*, 2013), just like the finding in
the present study.

We found that high-frequency tRNS was effective in boosting VPL, which is
consistent with previous studies (Camilleri *et al.*, 2016; Camilleri *et al.*, 2014;
Contemori *et al.*, 2019; Conto *et al.*, 2021; Herpich *et al.*, 2019). The consistent
modulatory effects of high-frequency tRNS on VPL might be a result of strengthened
attentional network. Functional connectivity within the attentional network was found

to be increased after high-frequency tRNS, which was positively correlated with the magnitude of improvement in task performance (Conto *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, application of high-frequency tRNS led to a decrease in blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) responses during task execution (Chaieb *et al.*, 2009; Saiote *et al.*, 2013), and reduced hemodynamic response (e.g., the amplitude of HbO2 and HbT responses) (Snowball et al., 2013). Considering that the BOLD responses are negatively correlated with alpha/beta band power typically (Bastos *et al.*, 2015; Pang *et al.*, 2018; Scheeringa *et al.*, 2016), which is highly correlated with spatial attention (Clayton *et al.*, 2015; Kelly *et al.*, 2006), it is reasonable to speculate that high-frequency tRNS applied during training could increase alpha/beta band power and consequently boost learning by strengthening top-down modulation (Clayton *et al.*, 2015; Conto *et al.*, 2023).

We found that occipital 10 Hz tACS boosted VPL efficiently, which was consistent with our previous study (He *et al.*, 2022b). In our previous study, we found that tACS boosts orientation discrimination learning in a frequency and location-specific manner. Specifically, occipital 10 Hz tACS administrated during training made subjects learn to discriminate the orientations of task stimuli faster and achieve a greater improvement, compared with the sham 10 Hz stimulation condition; further, the modulatory effect was absent in other stimulation conditions, such as occipital tACS at other alternating frequencies (6, 20, and 40 Hz) and 10 Hz tACS over other cortical regions (He *et al.*, 2022b). Additionally, in another study, researchers found that tACS at 3 Hz was not effective in modulating VPL (Zizlsperger *et al.*, 2016). Taken together, these results demonstrate that occipital tACS modulates VPL in a frequency-specific manner, providing strong evidence for the vital role of alpha oscillations in gating VPL (Bays *et al.*, 2015; Michael *et al.*, 2023).

Further, we also found that occipital 10 Hz tACS showed a stronger modulatory

effect than occipital tRNS. It should be pointed out that this study is the first

investigation to compare the modulatory effects of tACS and tRNS on VPL.

Differences found in the modulatory effects on VPL between 10 Hz tACS and tRNS

might reflect the inherent dissimilarities between these two types of tES techniques in

modulating attention-related cognitive processing. It has been clearly demonstrated that

10 Hz tACS can directly entrain alpha power by aligned phase coherence or spike-

timing (Huang *et al.*, 2021; Johnson *et al.*, 2020; Krause, *et al.*, 2019), such that

attention-related cognitive processing is strengthened by occipital tACS at 10 Hz

directly. In contrast, high-frequency tRNS may modulate attentional processing in a

relatively indirect manner, such as by attenuating BOLD response. The superior

modulatory effects of occipital 10 Hz tACS on VPL compared to high-frequency tRNS

may be attributed to different efficacies to mediate attentional processes. Here, this

explanation is called the **efficacy of attention hypothesis**. Alternatively, we speculate

that the power produced by these stimulation techniques cause the observed modulatory

effects here. We propose that VPL can only be modulated by external electrical fields

within a certain power range. If the power of a given stimulation falls outside of this

range, it will not be effective in modulating VPL. Conversely, when the power is

optimized, it produces the best modulatory effects. In this context, both 10 Hz tACS

and high-frequency tRNS generate power within the range capable of modulating VPL,

but the power generated by 10 Hz tACS is closer to the optimal range, and thus the

former is more efficient in modulating VPL. This explanation is called the **power of**

stimulation hypothesis. It needs to be emphasized that both the efficacy of attention

hypothesis and the power of stimulation hypothesis need to be examined in the future.

Our findings will provide a practical guide for vision rehabilitation. VPL has been

widely adopted to restore low-vision in neuro-ophthalmology (Lu *et al.*, 2016). In

practice, it is a common desire of patients and their families to achieve the best effect

in a short course of treatment (He *et al.*, 2022a). However, in a typical clinic-oriented

study, weeks to months of training are required, consisting of thousands of trials, which

is a significant economic and psychological burden on both the patients' family and the

wider society (Herpich *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, developing methods that can speed up

the process and improve the treatment effectiveness is currently a hot research topic.

Our results showed that 10 Hz tACS over visual areas during training accelerated the

learning process and maximized learning gains in healthy adults, which is expected to

be significant in patients who need to learn or relearn skills due to injuries or illnesses.

Overall, our findings have the potential to significantly impact the field of vision

habilitation (Wu *et al.*, 2023), and further studies will help to fully understand the

effectiveness of this method for patients.

Reference

Alexander, R. G., Waite, S., Macknik, S. L., & Martinez-Conde, S. (2020). What do radiologists look for? Advances and limitations of perceptual learning in radiologic search. *J Vis, 20*(10), 17, DOI: 10.1167/jov.20.10.17

Antal, A., Nitsche, M. A., & Paulus, W. (2001). External modulation of visual perception in humans. *Neuroreport, 12*(16), 3553-3555, DOI: 10.1097/00001756-200111160-00036

Antal, A., Boros, K., Poreisz, C., Chaieb, L., Terney, D., & Paulus, W. (2008). Comparatively weak after-effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation (tACS) on cortical excitability in humans. *Brain Stimul, 1*(2), 97-105, DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2007.10.001

Bastos, A. M., Vezoli, J., Bosman, C. A., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., Dowdall, J. R., . . . Fries, P. (2015). Visual areas exert feedforward and feedback influences through distinct frequency channels. *Neuron, 85*(2), 390-401, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.018

Battaglini, L., Casco, C., Fertonani, A., Miniussi, C., Di Ponzio, M., & Vicovaro, M. (2023). Noise in the brain: Transcranial random noise stimulation and perceptual noise act on a stochastic resonance-like mechanism. Eur J Neurosci, 57(12), 2097-2111, DOI: 10.1111/ejn.15965

Battaglini, L., Ghiani, A., Casco, C., & Ronconi, L. (2020). Parietal tACS at beta frequency improves vision in a crowding regime. NeuroImage, 208, 116451, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116451

Bays, B. C., Visscher, K. M., Le Dantec, C. C., & Seitz, A. R. (2015). Alpha-band EEG activity in perceptual learning. *J Vis, 15*(10), 7, DOI: 10.1167/15.10.7

Bello, U. M., Wang, J., Park, A. S. Y., Tan, K. W. S., Cheung, B. W. S., Thompson, B., & Cheong, A. M. Y. (2023). Can visual cortex non-invasive brain stimulation improve normal visual function? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Neurosci, 17, 1119200, DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2023.1119200

Bocci, T., Nasini, F., Caleo, M., Restani, L., Barloscio, D., Ardolino, G., . . . Sartucci, F. (2018). Unilateral Application of Cathodal tDCS Reduces Transcallosal Inhibition and Improves Visual Acuity in Amblyopic Patients. Front Behav Neurosci, 12, 109, DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2018.00109

Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolbox. *Spat Vis, 10*(4), 433-436, DOI: 10.1163/156856897X00357

Camilleri, R., Pavan, A., & Campana, G. (2016). The application of online transcranial random noise stimulation and perceptual learning in the improvement of visual functions in mild myopia. *Neuropsychologia, 89*, 225-231, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2016.06.024

Camilleri, R., Pavan, A., Ghin, F., Battaglini, L., & Campana, G. (2014). Improvement of uncorrected visual acuity and contrast sensitivity with perceptual learning and transcranial random noise stimulation in individuals with mild myopia. *Front Psychol, 5*, 1234, DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01234

Campana, G., Camilleri, R., Pavan, A., Veronese, A., & Lo Giudice, G. (2014). Improving visual functions in adult amblyopia with combined perceptual training and transcranial random noise stimulation (tRNS): a pilot study. *Front Psychol, 5*, 1402, DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01402

Cappelletti, M., Pikkat, H., Upstill, E., Speekenbrink, M., & Walsh, V. (2015). Learning to integrate versus inhibiting information is modulated by age. *J Neurosci, 35*(5), 2213-2225, DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1018-14.2015

Chaieb, L., Kovacs, G., Cziraki, C., Greenlee, M., Paulus, W., & Antal, A. (2009). Short-duration

transcranial random noise stimulation induces blood oxygenation level dependent response attenuation in the human motor cortex. *Exp Brain Res, 198*(4), 439-444, DOI: 10.1007/s00221-009-1938-7

Chen, G., Zhu, Z., He, Q., & Fang, F. (2021). Offline transcranial direct current stimulation improves the ability to perceive crowded targets. *J Vis, 21*(2), 1, DOI: 10.1167/jov.21.2.1

Clayton, M. S., Yeung, N., & Cohen Kadosh, R. (2015). The roles of cortical oscillations in sustained attention. *Trends Cogn Sci, 19*(4), 188-195, DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2015.02.004

Contemori, G., Trotter, Y., Cottereau, B. R., & Maniglia, M. (2019). tRNS boosts perceptual learning in peripheral vision. *Neuropsychologia, 125*, 129-136, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2019.02.001

Conto, F., Tyler, S., Paletta, P., & Battelli, L. (2023). The role of the parietal lobe in task-irrelevant suppression during learning. *Brain Stimul, 16*(3), 715-723, DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2023.04.006

Conto, F., Edwards, G., Tyler, S., Parrott, D., Grossman, E., & Battelli, L. (2021). Attention network modulation via tRNS correlates with attention gain. *Elife, 10*, DOI: 10.7554/eLife.63782

Donkor, R., Silva, A. E., Teske, C., Wallis-Duffy, M., Johnson, A. P., & Thompson, B. (2021). Repetitive visual cortex transcranial random noise stimulation in adults with amblyopia. *Sci Rep, 11*(1), 3029, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-80843-8

Fertonani, A., Pirulli, C., & Miniussi, C. (2011). Random noise stimulation improves neuroplasticity in perceptual learning. *J Neurosci, 31*(43), 15416-15423, DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2002-11.2011

Fertonani, A., Pirulli, C., Bollini, A., Miniussi, C., & Bortoletto, M. (2019). Age-related changes in cortical connectivity influence the neuromodulatory effects of transcranial electrical stimulation. *Neurobiol Aging, 82*, 77-87, DOI: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2019.07.009

Frangou, P., Correia, M., & Kourtzi, Z. (2018). GABA, not BOLD, reveals dissociable learning-dependent plasticity mechanisms in the human brain. *Elife, 7*, e35854, DOI: 10.7554/eLife.35854

Ghin, F., Pavan, A., Contillo, A., & Mather, G. (2018). The effects of high-frequency transcranial random noise stimulation (hf-tRNS) on global motion processing: An equivalent noise approach. *Brain Stimul, 11*(6), 1263-1275, DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2018.07.048

Gori, S., & Facoetti, A. (2014). Perceptual learning as a possible new approach for remediation and prevention of developmental dyslexia. *Vision Res, 99*, 78-87, DOI: 10.1016/j.visres.2013.11.011

Grasso, P. A., Tonolli, E., & Miniussi, C. (2020). Effects of different transcranial direct current stimulation protocols on visuo-spatial contextual learning formation: evidence of homeostatic regulatory mechanisms. *Sci Rep, 10*(1), 4622, DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-61626-7

Grasso, P. A., Tonolli, E., Bortoletto, M., & Miniussi, C. (2021). tDCS over posterior parietal cortex increases cortical excitability but decreases learning: An ERPs and TMS-EEG study. *Brain research, 1753*, 147227, DOI: 10.1016/j.brainres.2020.147227

Hadlow, S. M., Panchuk, D., Mann, D. L., Portus, M. R., & Abernethy, B. (2018). Modified perceptual training in sport: A new classification framework. *J Sci Med Sport, 21*(9), 950-958, DOI: 10.1016/j.jsams.2018.01.011

He, Q., Yang, X.-Y., & Fang, F. (2021). The role of anodal transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS) in the consolidation of visual perceptual learning is mediated by the wake/sleep cycle. *J Vis, 21*(9), 2346-2346, DOI: 10.1167/jov.21.9.2346

He, Q., Yang, X.-Y., Zhao, D., & Fang, F. (2022a). Enhancement of visual perception by combining transcranial electrical stimulation and visual perceptual training. *Medical Review, 2*(3), 271-284, DOI: 10.1515/mr-2022-0010

He, Q., Yang, X. Y., Gong, B., Bi, K., & Fang, F. (2022b). Boosting visual perceptual learning by transcranial alternating current stimulation over the visual cortex at alpha frequency. *Brain Stimul, 15*(3), 546-553, DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2022.02.018

He, Q., Lin, B. R., Zhao, J., Shi, Y. Z., Yan, F. F., & Huang, C. B. (2019). No effects of anodal transcranial direct current stimulation on contrast sensitivity function. *Restor Neurol Neurosci, 37*(2), 109-118, DOI: 10.3233/RNN-180881

Herpich, F., Melnick, M. D., Agosta, S., Huxlin, K. R., Tadin, D., & Battelli, L. (2019). Boosting Learning Efficacy with Noninvasive Brain Stimulation in Intact and Brain-Damaged Humans. *J Neurosci, 39*(28), 5551-5561, DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3248-18.2019

Huang, W. A., Stitt, I. M., Negahbani, E., Passey, D. J., Ahn, S., Davey, M., . . . Frohlich, F. (2021). Transcranial alternating current stimulation entrains alpha oscillations by preferential phase synchronization of fast-spiking cortical neurons to stimulation waveform. *Nat Commun*, 12(1), 3151, DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-23021-2

Huang, X., Xia, H., Zhang, Q., Blakemore, C., Nan, Y., Wang, W., . . . Pu, M. (2022). New treatment for amblyopia based on rules of synaptic plasticity: a randomized clinical trial. *Sci China Life Sci*, DOI: 10.1007/s11427-021-2030-6

Jia, K., Frangou, P., Karlaftis, V. M., Ziminski, J. J., Giorgio, J., Rideaux, R., . . . Kourtzi, Z. (2022a). Neurochemical and functional interactions for improved perceptual decisions through training. *J Neurophysiol, 127*(4), 900-912, DOI: 10.1152/jn.00308.2021

Jia, K., Frangou, P., Karlaftis, V. M., Ziminski, J. J., Giorgio, J., Rideaux, R., . . . Kourtzi, Z. (2022b). Neurochemical and functional interactions for improved perceptual decisions through training. *J Neurophysiol, 127*(4), 900-912, DOI: 10.1152/jn.00308.2021

Johnson, L., Alekseichuk, I., Krieg, J., Doyle, A., Yu, Y., Vitek, J., . . . Opitz, A. (2020). Dose-dependent effects of transcranial alternating current stimulation on spike timing in awake nonhuman primates. *Sci Adv, 6*(36), eaaz2747, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aaz2747

Kelly, S. P., Lalor, E. C., Reilly, R. B., & Foxe, J. J. (2006). Increases in alpha oscillatory power reflect an active retinotopic mechanism for distracter suppression during sustained visuospatial attention. *J Neurophysiol, 95*(6), 3844-3851, DOI: 10.1152/jn.01234.2005

Krause, M. R., Vieira, P. G., Csorba, B. A., Pilly, P. K., & Pack, C. C. (2019). Transcranial alternating current stimulation entrains single-neuron activity in the primate brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116*(12), 5747-5755, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1815958116

Larcombe, S. J., Kennard, C., O'Shea, J., & Bridge, H. (2018a). No Effect of Anodal Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation (tDCS) Over hMT+ on Motion Perception Learning. *Front Neurosci, 12*, 1044, DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2018.01044

Larcombe, S. J., Kulyomina, Y., Antonova, N., Ajina, S., Stagg, C. J., Clatworthy, P. L., & Bridge, H. (2018b). Visual training in hemianopia alters neural activity in the absence of behavioural improvement: a pilot study. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt, 38*(5), 538-549, DOI: 10.1111/opo.12584

Learmonth, G., Thut, G., Benwell, C. S., & Harvey, M. (2015). The implications of state-dependent tDCS effects in aging: Behavioural response is determined by baseline performance. *Neuropsychologia, 74*, 108-119, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.01.037

Levi, D. M., & Polat, U. (1996). Neural plasticity in adults with amblyopia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,*
93(13), 6830-6834, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.93.13.6830

Lu, Z. L., Lin, Z., & Dosher, B. A. (2016). Translating Perceptual Learning from the Laboratory to
Applications. *Trends Cogn Sci, 20*(8), 561-563, DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2016.05.007

McCarley, J. S., Kramer, A. F., Wickens, C. D., Vidoni, E. D., & Boot, W. R. (2004). Visual skills in
airport-security screening. *Psychol Sci, 15*(5), 302-306, DOI: 10.1111/j.0956-
7976.2004.00673.x

Meng, X., Lin, O., Wang, F., Jiang, Y., & Song, Y. (2014). Reading performance is enhanced by visual
texture discrimination training in Chinese-speaking children with developmental dyslexia.
PloS one, 9(9), e108274, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108274

Michael, E., Covarrubias, L. S., Leong, V., & Kourtzi, Z. (2023). Learning at your brain's rhythm:
individualized entrainment boosts learning for perceptual decisions. *Cereb Cortex, 33*(9),
5382-5394, DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhac426

Minarik, T., Berger, B., Althaus, L., Bader, V., Biebl, B., Brotzeller, F., . . . Sauseng, P. (2016). The
Importance of Sample Size for Reproducibility of tDCS Effects. *Front Hum Neurosci, 10*, 453,
DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00453

Nitsche, M. A., & Paulus, W. (2000). Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak
transcranial direct current stimulation. *J Physiol, 527 Pt 3*(3), 633-639, DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-
7793.2000.t01-1-00633.x

Olma, M. C., Dargie, R. A., Behrens, J. R., Kraft, A., Irlbacher, K., Fahle, M., & Brandt, S. A. (2013).
Long-Term Effects of Serial Anodal tDCS on Motion Perception in Subjects with Occipital
Stroke Measured in the Unaffected Visual Hemifield. *Front Hum Neurosci, 7*, 314, DOI:
10.3389/fnhum.2013.00314

Pang, J. C., & Robinson, P. A. (2018). Neural mechanisms of the EEG alpha-BOLD anticorrelation.
NeuroImage, 181, 461-470, DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.07.031

Pirulli, C., Fertonani, A., & Miniussi, C. (2013). The role of timing in the induction of neuromodulation
in perceptual learning by transcranial electric stimulation. *Brain Stimul, 6*(4), 683-689, DOI:
10.1016/j.brs.2012.12.005

Pirulli C, Fertonani A, Miniussi C. Is neural hyperpolarization by cathodal stimulation always
detrimental at the behavioral level? Front Behav Neurosci. Jun 27 2014;8:226.
doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00226

Potok, W., Post, A., Beliaeva, V., Bachinger, M., Cassara, A. M., Neufeld, E., . . . Wenderoth, N.
(2023). Modulation of Visual Contrast Sensitivity with tRNS across the Visual System,
Evidence from Stimulation and Simulation. eNeuro, 10(6), ENEURO.0177-0122.2023, DOI:
10.1523/ENEURO.0177-22.2023

Priori, A., Berardelli, A., Rona, S., Accornero, N., & Manfredi, M. (1998). Polarization of the human
motor cortex through the scalp. *Neuroreport, 9*(10), 2257-2260, DOI: Doi 10.1097/00001756-
199807130-00020

R Core Team. (2023). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing (Version 4.2.3).
Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from http://www.R-
project.org/

Reinhart, R. M. G., Xiao, W. X., McClenahan, L. J., & Woodman, G. F. (2016). Electrical Stimulation
of Visual Cortex Can Immediately Improve Spatial Vision. *Curr Biol, 26*(14), 1867-1872,
DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.05.019

Saiote, C., Polania, R., Rosenberger, K., Paulus, W., & Antal, A. (2013). High-Frequency TRNS
Reduces BOLD Activity during Visuomotor Learning. *PloS one, 8*(3), e59669, DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0059669
Scheeringa, R., Koopmans, P. J., van Mourik, T., Jensen, O., & Norris, D. G. (2016). The relationship
between oscillatory EEG activity and the laminar-specific BOLD signal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
S A, 113(24), 6761-6766, DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1522577113
Sczesny-Kaiser, M., Beckhaus, K., Dinse, H. R., Schwenkreis, P., Tegenthoff, M., & Hoffken, O.
(2016). Repetitive Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation Induced Excitability Changes of
Primary Visual Cortex and Visual Learning Effects-A Pilot Study. *Front Behav Neurosci,*
10(116), 116, DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00116
Snowball, A., Tachtsidis, I., Popescu, T., Thompson, J., Delazer, M., Zamarian, L., . . . Cohen Kadosh,
R. (2013). Long-term enhancement of brain function and cognition using cognitive training
and brain stimulation. *Curr Biol*, 23(11), 987-992, DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.045
Terney, D., Chaieb, L., Moliadze, V., Antal, A., & Paulus, W. (2008). Increasing human brain
excitability by transcranial high-frequency random noise stimulation. *J Neurosci, 28*(52),
14147-14155, DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4248-08.2008
Van Meel, C., Daniels, N., de Beeck, H. O., & Baeck, A. (2016). Effect of tDCS on task relevant and
irrelevant perceptual learning of complex objects. *J Vis, 16*(6), 13, DOI: 10.1167/16.6.13
Watson, A. B., & Pelli, D. G. (1983). QUEST: a Bayesian adaptive psychometric method. *Perception &*
psychophysics, 33(2), 113-120, DOI: 10.3758/bf03202828
Wu, D., Wang, Y., Liu, N., Wang, P., Sun, K., & Xiao, W. (2022a). High-definition transcranial direct
current stimulation of the left middle temporal complex does not affect visual motion
perception learning. *Front Neurosci-Switz, 16*, DOI: DOI 10.3389/fnins.2022.988590
Wu, D., Wang, Y., Liu, N., Wang, P., Sun, K., & Zhang, P. (2023). Posttraining anodal tDCS improves
early consolidation of visual perceptual learning. *Clin Neurophysiol, 146*, 89-96, DOI:
10.1016/j.clinph.2022.11.016
Wu, D., Zhang, P., Wang, Y., Liu, N., Sun, K., Wang, P., & Xiao, W. (2022b). Anodal online
transcranial direct current stimulation facilitates visual motion perceptual learning. *Eur J*
Neurosci, n/a(n/a), DOI: 10.1111/ejn.15895
Wu, S., Xin, C., Zhu, W., Wan, Y., Sang, Q., Du, R., . . . Wang, N. L. (2023). Transformation of
cognition from mechanical to biological aqueous outflow pump may be a breakthrough in
solving the problem of intraocular pressure regulation in glaucoma. *Science China-Life*
Sciences, DOI: 10.1007/s11427-023-2342-8
Yang, J., Yan, F. F., Chen, L., Xi, J., Fan, S., Zhang, P., . . . Huang, C. B. (2020). General learning
ability in perceptual learning. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 117*(32), 19092-19100, DOI:
10.1073/pnas.2002903117
Yang, X. Y., He, Q., & Fang, F. (2022). Transcranial direct current stimulation over the visual cortex
facilitates awake consolidation of visual perceptual learning. *Brain Stimul, 15*(2), 380-382,
DOI: 10.1016/j.brs.2022.01.019
Zaehle, T., Rach, S., & Herrmann, C. S. (2010). Transcranial alternating current stimulation enhances
individual alpha activity in human EEG. *PloS one, 5*(11), e13766, DOI:
10.1371/journal.pone.0013766
Zizlsperger, L., Kummel, F., & Haarmeier, T. (2016). Metacognitive Confidence Increases with, but
Does Not Determine, Visual Perceptual Learning. *PloS one, 11*(3), e0151218, DOI:

631   10.1371/journal.pone.0151218

632 Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Cai, P., Luo, H., & Fang, F. (2019). The causal role of alpha-oscillations in

633   feature binding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 116(34), 17023-17028, DOI:

634   10.1073/pnas.1904160116