1 **Diversity of Bathyarchaeia viruses in metagenomes and virus-encoded CRISPR**

2 **system components**

4 Changhai Duan[1,2,3,4], Yang Liu[2,3], Ying Liu[5], Lirui Liu[2,3], Mingwei Cai[2,3], Rui Zhang[2,3],
5 Qinglu Zeng[4], Eugene V. Koonin[6], Mart Krupovic[5], Meng Li[1,2,3*]
6

[1]SZU-HKUST Joint PhD Program in Marine Environmental Science, Shenzhen
University, 518060 Shenzhen, China
[2]Archaeal Biology Center, Institute for Advanced Study, Shenzhen University, 518060
Shenzhen, China
[3]Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Marine Microbiome Engineering, Institute for Advanced
Study, Shenzhen University, 518060 Shenzhen, China
[4]Department of Ocean Science, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology,
Clear Water Bay, Hong Kong, China
[5]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR6047, Archaeal Virology Unit,
75015 Paris, France
[6]National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine,
Bethesda, MD 20894, USA

19
20
21 **Running Head:** Virus of Bathyarchaeia

22 **Keywords:** Bathyarchaeia, virus, type IV-B CRISPR-Cas system, Cas4 protein

Abstract

Bathyarchaeia represent a class of archaea common and abundant in sedimentary

ecosystems. The virome of Bathyarchaeia so far has not been characterized. Here we

report 56 metagenome-assembled genomes of Bathyarchaeia viruses identified in

metagenomes from different environments. Gene sharing network and phylogenomic

analyses led to the proposal of four virus families, including viruses of the realms

Duplodnaviria and *Adnaviria*, and archaea-specific spindle-shaped viruses. Genomic

analyses uncovered diverse CRISPR elements in these viruses. Viruses of the proposed

family '*Fuxiviridae*' harbor an atypical type IV-B CRISPR-Cas system and a Cas4

protein that might interfere with host immunity. Viruses of the family '*Chiyouviridae*'

encode a Cas2-like endonuclease and two mini-CRISPR arrays, one with a repeat

identical to that in the host CRISPR array, potentially allowing the virus to recruit the

host CRISPR adaptation machinery to acquire spacers that could contribute to

competition with other mobile genetic elements or to inhibition of host defenses. These

findings present an outline of the Bathyarchaeia virome and offer a glimpse into their

counter-defense mechanisms.

Main

Bathyarchaeia, formerly the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group (MCG), is an archaeal class widespread in marine and freshwater sediments[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The estimated global abundance of Bathyarchaeia reaches up to $2.0\text{-}3.9 \times 10^{28}$ cells, representing one of the most abundant groups of archaea on Earth[6]. Genomic analyses suggest that Bathyarchaeia lead an acetyl-CoA-centered heterotrophic lifestyle with the potential for acetogenesis[6], methane metabolism[7], and sulfur reduction[8]. Bathyarchaeia also encompass a variety of genes encoding carbohydrate-active enzymes[9] and thus likely can unitize various carbohydrates and lignin[10]. The diverse metabolic potential of Bathyarchaeia contributes to their predominance in sedimentary environments, rendering them essential players in the global carbon cycle[5, 8, 11].

Viruses, as the most abundant biological agents on the planet[12, 13], reshape the microbial communities through cell lysis[14, 15, 16, 17] and alter host metabolism via virus-encoded auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) [18, 19, 20]. Bacteria and archaea evolved enormously versatile repertoires of antivirus immune systems. In particular, nearly all archaea and many bacteria encode CRISPR-Cas, the prokaryotic adaptive immunity systems[21]. The CRISPR-Cas system selectively acquires foreign DNA fragments (protospacers) and stores them as spacers in the CRISPR array which is expressed to produce CRISPR (cr) RNAs that serve as guides recognizing the DNA or RNA target and recruiting CRISPR effector nucleases[22]. The CRISPR-Cas systems provide the most reliable basis for viral host prediction by linking host spacers to the cognate virus protospacers[23].

In response to the host defenses, viruses infecting bacteria and archaea evolved a

broad repertoire of counter-defense mechanisms to evade immunity[24, 25, 26], engaging in

the perennial arms race. In particular, many viruses encode diverse anti-CRISPR (Acr)

proteins that specifically target different CRISPR-Cas subtypes[27, 28].

To date, only a limited number of archaeal viruses have been isolated by traditional

cultivation-dependent methods. In recent years, an increasing diversity of archaeal

viruses has been uncovered through metagenomic data mining[29], including viruses

associated with Asgard archaea[30, 31, 32], methanotrophic ANME-1 archaea[33], ammonia-

oxidizing archaea[34, 35, 36, 37] and marine group II Euryarchaeota (Poseidoniales)[38].

However, no viruses linked to Bathyarchaeia, the most prominent group of archaea in

the sedimentary ecosystems, have been reported. Here we describe the results of

metagenomic analysis revealing a substantial diversity of viruses associated with

Bathyarchaeia, some of which encode CRISPR-Cas system components and predicted

Acr.

Discovery of viruses associated with Bathyarchaeia

In total, 367 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) of Bathyarchaeia,

including 68 high-quality MAGs (Extended Data Table S1), were obtained from our

previous results[8, 39] and public databases. Phylogenetic analysis based on a

concatenated alignment of an optimized set of 51 marker proteins (see Methods)

yielded 8 major clades of Bathyarchaeia that correspond to the orders in the latest

taxonomy[40] (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. S1).

We then searched for CRISPR-Cas systems in Bathyarchaeia MAGs and identified

type I and type III CRISPR-*cas* loci in over one-fifth of the MAGs. Typically, a

bathyarchaeal genome carries a single CRISPR-Cas system of, either type I (53 high

quality MAGs) or type III (15 high quality MAGs). Notably, however, 11 MAGs were

found to encompass both types of CRISPR-Cas systems (Fig. 1b). To identify putative

viruses of the Bathyarchaeia, a dataset of CRISPR spacers was compiled from all

collected MAGs. After automatic screening followed by manual inspection (see

Methods), 49 high-confidence CRISPR array from different Bathyarchaeia orders were

identified, containing 1,602 spacers (Extended Data Fig. S2). The spacers were used to

search for protospacers in the viral sequences of IMG/VR v3 database[41]. Additionally,

a search for potential proviruses in the host genomes was conducted (see Methods). In

total, 56 contigs were assigned to Bathyarchaeia viruses based on CRISPR spacer

matches or unequivocal integration into the host genome. Among these, 54 contigs were

found to encode major capsid proteins (MCPs), attesting to their viral nature[42]. Six viral

contigs corresponded to complete genomes, as indicated by the presence of terminal

repeats (Extended Data Table S3).

Four putative families of Bathyarchaeia viruses

Protein sharing network analysis identified four distinct groups of Bathyarchaeia

viruses, including a large group that consisted of 6 viral clusters (VCs) (Fig. 2).

Additionally, we carried out a genome-wide sequence similarity comparison and

examined the phylogenies of hallmark genes in Bathyarchaeia viruses, comparing them

with known archaeal viruses (Extended Data Fig. S3). Based on the results of these

analyses, we identified four putative family-level groups of Bathyarchaeia viruses. The

families '*Fuxiviridae*' and '*Kunpengviridae*' include head and tail viruses of the class

Caudoviricetes in the realm *Duplodnaviria*. The family '*Chiyouviridae*' consists of

filamentous viruses of the archaea-specific realm *Adnaviria*[43]. The fourth putative

family, '*Huangdiviridae*', with only one representative genome, includes an archaea-

specific spindle-shaped virus; the spindle-shaped viruses have not yet been classified

at higher taxonomy ranks (Fig. 2).

The proposed family '*Fuxiviridae*' is represented by three nearly identical complete

genomes (Fuxivirus) that encompass protospacers targeted by type I-A CRISPR spacers

from the Bathyarchaeia order Bifangarchaeales (Fig. 1 and Fig. 3). Fuxivirus has a

smaller genome compared to the typical size of archaeal viruses of the class

Caudoviricetes (median size of 54.3 kb, n=44), with a length of 31,982 bp (Fig. 3a).

Fuxivirus encodes all the hallmark proteins of *Caudoviricetes*, namely, a HK97-like

MCP (Extended Data Fig. S3b and Fuxivirus_34 in Extended Data Table S4), a portal

protein (Fuxivirus_28), a terminase large subunit (Fuxivirus_27), a tail tube protein

(Fuxivirus_38) and several other tail components (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Table S4)

which are similar to previously characterized archaeal tailed viruses[44]. In addition to

the viral hallmark genes, Fuxivirus encodes several putative DNA-binding proteins,

such as predicted transcription factors containing Zn-finger and winged-helix-like

domains (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Table S4) that likely regulate viral gene

expression[45]. Notably, Fuxivirus also encodes CRISPR-Cas system components,

namely, a type IV *cas* gene cluster (Fuxivirus_1 to Fuxivirus_5 in Extended Data Table

S4) with a mini CRISPR array, and a Cas4 protein (Fuxivirus_12) (Fig. 3a and see

below).

The proposed family '*Kunpengviridae*' includes one complete viral genome

(Kunpengvirus), that is targeted by a single spacer (100% match) from *Bathyarchaeia*

sp. QMXD of the order Jinwuousiales (Fig. 1). (Fig. 3b). Kunpengvirus encodes the

hallmark proteins of *Caudoviricetes*, as well as a suite of tail proteins including the

baseplate protein (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Table S4). Kunpengvirus also encodes an

integrase (Kenpengvirus_28), suggesting that it can integrate into the host genome as a

provirus. In addition, this virus encompasses genes for a deoxynucleoside

monophosphate kinase (dNMPK) (Kenpengvirus_41), an MCM-like helicase

(Kenpengvirus_46) and a family B DNA polymerase (Kenpengvirus_42) (Fig. 3b and

Extended Data Table S4) which are indicative of (at least, partially) autonomous

genome replication[46]. Notably, unlike in other tailed viruses, the proofreading DEDDy

3'-5' exonuclease (Kenpengvirus_45) and the family B DNA polymerase are encoded

by two distinct genes. Additionally, Kunpengvirus encodes a homolog of ribosomal

protein bL19 (Kunpengvirus_2 in Extended Data Table S4), which is typically present

in bacteria and eukaryotes (chloroplasts and mitochondria) but not in archaea, except

for *Candidatus* Aenigmarchaeota. The bL19 is located at the 30S-50S ribosomal

subunit interface and is thought to contribute to the structure and function of the

aminoacyl-tRNA binding site[47]. A number of ribosomal proteins, including bL19, were

previously identified in bacterial viruses[48], but the only ribosomal protein so far

detected in archaeal viruses had been L21e[44]. The presence of bL19 in Kunpengvirus

suggests that modification of the host translation apparatus by archaeal viruses is more

common than currently recognized. Similar to the Fuxivirus, Kunpengvirus also

encodes a Cas4 endonuclease (Fig. 3b and Kenpengvirus_47 in Extended Data Table

S4).

A unique viral genome (Huangdivirus) representing the proposed family

'*Huangdiviridae*' was identified as an apparent provirus in *Bathyarchaeia* sp. QMYA

of the order Baizomonadales from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent (Fig. 1). Sensitive

sequence comparison using HHsearch identified three virus-encoded structural proteins

(VPs) VP1-3 (Fig. 3c and Extended Data Table S4), homologous to the structural

proteins of archaeal spindle-shaped viruses of the family *Fuselloviridae*, as well as an

AAA+ ATPase (Huangdivirus_17, HHblits best hit to ATV ATPase, with 99.8%

probability). Structural predictions for VP1 (Huangdivirus_21) and VP3

(Huangdivirus_28) indicated that both proteins contain two hydrophobic α-helices

connected by a short turn (Extended Data Fig. S5a) resembling the typical structure of

the MCPs of spindle-shaped viruses[49]. Huangdivirus VP2 (Huangdivirus_19) is most

closely related to the viral DNA-binding protein VP2 of Sulfolobus spindle-shaped

virus (SSV1)[50] (HHblits probability of 99.49%). As in the case of SSV1[50], we detected

the consensus glycosylation motifs (N-X-S/T) in Huangdivirus VP1 and VP3 which

may be glycosylated by the virus-encoded glycosyltransferase (Huangdivirus_40 and

Extended Data Fig. S4b). Capsid protein glycosylation is thought to contribute to the

virion stability, particularly for viruses infecting hyperthermophilic hosts[50]. Similar to

fuselloviruses infecting hyperthermophilic archaea of the order Sulfolobales[51],

Huangdivirus encodes a putative integrase of the tyrosine recombinase superfamily (Fig.

3c and Huangdivirus_16 in Extended Data Table S4) which is likely to be responsible

for viral DNA integration into the host chromosome. Notably, Huangdivirus encodes a

predicted polysaccharide lyase (Fig.3c and Huangdivirus_33 in Extended Data Table

S4) that might be involved in the break-down of the S-layer polysaccharides[52, 53] of host

cell wall during infection.

'Chiyouviridae' is a potential new family of filamentous viruses in the order

Ligamenvirale (class Tokiviricetes, realm Adnaviria). 'Chiyouviridae' is represented by

one complete viral genome (Chiyouvirus) that is targeted by two spacers of

Bathyarchaeia sp. JAGTQC in the order Bifangarchaeales (Fig. 1 and Fig. 3d).

Phylogenomic analysis of all available Tokiviricetes[54] genomes recapitulated the

previously established relationships and showed that Chiyouvirus forms a separate

clade within the order Ligamenvirales, most closely related to the families Rudiviridae

and Ungulaviridae (Extended Data Fig. S6a). Whole proteome comparison showed less

than 50% average amino acid identity (AAI) between protein homologs from

Chiyouvirus and members of other viral families, with the highest AAI (46%) with the

genus Icerudivirus of the family Rudiviridae (Extended Data Fig. S6b). Similar to all

members of the families Ungulaviridae and Lipothrixviridae but only some members

of the family Rudiviridae[54], Chiyouvirus encodes two MCPs (Chiyouvirus_14 and

Chiyouvirus_23), each comprising an alpha-helix bundle (Fig. 3d and Extended Data

Fig. S6c). In rudiviruses, unlike other members of the realm Adnaviria, the second MCP

paralog, when present, is not incorporated into virions[55]. Thus, it remains unclear

whether both Chiyouvirus MCPs are involved in virion formation. In addition, Chiyouvirus encodes a large minor structural protein (Fig. 3d and Chiyouvirus_18 in Extended Data Table S4), a homolog of SIRV2 P1070, which is thought to be involved in the formation of the virion terminal filaments that are responsible for host recognition[56, 57]. Predictions for transmembrane proteins indicated that Chiyouvirus encodes 8 potential transmembrane proteins (Fig. 3d) suggesting that it is a membrane-enveloped filamentous virus, similar to the adnaviruses in the families *Lipothrixviridae*, *Ungulaviridae* and *Tristromaviridae*[58].

CRISPR-Cas systems and potential counter defense mechanisms in Bathyarchaeia viruses

We explored the type IV CRISPR-Cas system encoded by '*Fuxiviridae*' in greater detail, including phylogenetic analysis and gene locus comparison. The viral CRISPR-*cas* locus encodes all typical components of the type IV CRISPR-Cas effector module (Fig. 3a and Extended Data Table S4), in particular, the signature protein Csf1 (Fuxivirus_5 in Extended Data Table S4), the apparent large subunit of the effector complex, but no adaptation module. Phylogenetic analysis of Cas proteins, including Csf2 (Cas7) (Fuxivirus_3 in Extended Data Table S4), the most conserved protein in the type IV systems[59], showed that CRISPR-Cas system of '*Fuxiviridae*' belongs to the IV-B subtype (Extended Data Fig. S7). Additionally, a CysH-like protein (Fuxivirus_1 in Extended Data Table S4), which is tightly associated with the type IV-B systems, is encoded in the virus genome adjacent to Csf3 (Cas5) (Fuxivirus_2; Fig. 3a).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that Fuxivirus CysH-like protein does not belong to the

viral CysH branch but is rather associated with CysH-like proteins from other type IV-

B systems (Extended Data Fig. S7c). Previously, type IV CRISPR-Cas systems have

been observed in plasmids and prophages[60, 61] as well as several lytic phages[62], but not

in archaeal viruses.

Most type IV-B systems lack both the adaptation module and a CRISPR array, but

the type IV-B CRISPR-*cas* locus in the Fuxivirus genomes contains a CRISPR mini-

array that consists of two repeats and a spacer (Fig. 3a). A type IV-B system has been

reported to form a filamentous RNP complex which predominantly assembles on non-

CRISPR RNAs, without apparent sequence specificity, suggesting a function distinct

from adaptive immunity[63]. However, given the presence of the mini-array, we

hypothesize the Fuxivirus type IV-B complex could incorporate spacers, conceivably,

by recruiting the host adaptation machinery, and utilize a virus-encoded crRNA to target

host DNA or other coinfecting mobile genetic elements (MGEs), thus, being potentially

involved in evading host immunity and/or inter-MGE conflicts[64]. However, no full-

length protospacer matches for the Fuxivirus mini-array spacer sequence were found in

either the host or other MGEs, so that further validation of the counter-defense function

of the Fuxivirus type IV-B CRISPR-Cas system is needed.

The inferred Fuxivirus host genome, *Bathyarchaeia* sp. DRVA, contains two

CRISPR arrays and two *cas3* gene but lacks a complete CRISPR-Cas system. Another

genome of *Bathyarchaeia* sp. JAGTQM, from the same order Bifangarchaeales, was

found to share identical CRISPR repeat and a closely similar Cas3 protein (84.03%

identity, 100% coverage) with *Bathyarchaeia* sp. DRVA. However, *Bathyarchaeia* sp.

JAGTQM contains complete type I-A and III-D CRISPR-Cas system, suggesting the

presence of a CRISPR-Cas system(s) in the DRVA genome as well. Notably, we found

that the *cas7* gene of Fuxivirus was targeted by a host spacer (Fig. 3a), suggesting that

the virus-encoded type IV-B system, and with it, possibly, the virus reproduction, can

be inhibited by the host CRISPR-Cas systems.

The Cas4 homolog encoded by Fuxivirus could be an additional counter-defense

factor (Fig. 3a and Fuxivirus_12 in Extended Data Table S4). Cas4 is a P-D/ExK family

nuclease that is a common component of CRISPR-Cas systems that assists Cas1-Cas2

integration complexes in the acquisition of CRISPR spacers[65], but many Cas4

homologs are encoded outside CRISPR-*cas* loci[66]. The Cas4 homologs are encoded by

both '*Fuxiviridae*' (Fuxivirus_12) and '*Kunpengviridae*' (Kenpengvirus_47).

Phylogenetic analysis showed that these Bathyarchaeia viral Cas4 proteins are most

closely related to Cas4 homologs encoded by Sulfolobus-infecting rudiviruses

(Extended Data Fig. S7b), suggesting the possibility of horizontal gene transfer

between unrelated archaeal viruses. Multiple sequence alignment confirmed that two

Cas4 homologs encoded by '*Fuxiviridae*' and '*Kunpengviridae*' share nearly all

conserved amino acids with the rudivirus SIRV2-encoded Cas4 homolog and are thus

predicted to be active nucleases (Extended Data Fig. S8). Previous studies have

demonstrated that overexpression of the SIRV2-encoded Cas4 in the archaeal host

substantially reduced the efficiency of exogenous spacers acquisition by the host

CRISPR-Cas system[67]. Thus, the Fuxivirus and Kunpengvirus Cas4 might inhibit

spacer acquisition by the CRISPR systems of Bathyarchaeia hosts. However,

involvement of this nuclease in the virus genome replication cannot be ruled out either[68].

We identified two mini-arrays in Chiyouvirus genome, each containing 4 repeats

and 3 spacers (Fig. 3d), and the repeats in Chiyouvirus mini-array 1 are identical to the

repeats in the host CRISPR array. Thus, the pre-crRNA transcribed from this viral mini-

array is predicted to be processed by the host CRISPR-Cas system and the mature viral

crRNA would remain bound by the host effector complex[60]. Furthermore, the virus

could potentially recruit the host CRISPR adaptation machinery to incorporate spacers

into the mini-array and employ the respective crRNAs in inter-MGE competition, as

demonstrated for some bacterial and archaeal micro-array carrying viruses[60, 64], or for

abrogation of host defenses. However, intriguingly, in the Chiyouvirus mini-array 1, we

identified two self-targeting spacers, both with a 100% match to the corresponding

protospacers (Fig. 3d). The role of these self-targeting spacers remains unclear. For the

spacers in the Chiyouvirus mini-array 2, no potential targets were identified.

In addition to the mini-arrays, Chiyouvirus encodes a homolog of Cas2 nuclease

(Chiyouvirus_39 in Extended Data Table S4), with a conserved Mg^{2+} binding site

(Extended Data Fig. S9), which is an essential structural subunit of the adaptation

complex in CRISPR-Cas systems. The virus-encoded Cas2 homolog might be a

dominant negative inhibitor of spacer acquisition by the host CRISPR-Cas system.

Additionally, we attempted to predict Acrs among the Bathyarchaeia viral proteins

by using a recently developed deep learning method[69]. We found that the structural

model of a predicted Chiyouvirus Acr protein (Chiyouvirus_17 in Extended Data Table

S4) was significantly similar to the N-terminal domain of AcrIF24 (7DTR, chain A)

(Extended Data Fig. S10) which inhibits the activity of a type I-F CRISPR-Cas system[70]

and thus could function as an Acr as well.

Finally, in addition to the components of CRISPR-Cas systems, Fuxivirus and

Kunpengvirus encode stand-alone DNA methyltransferases (Fuxivirus_47 and

Kenpengvirus_43 in Extended Data Table S4) that could provide protection against the

host restriction-modification systems.

To conclude, in this work, we identify four distinct groups of Bathyarchaeia viruses

that can be expected to become new viral families. Notably, these viruses encode

various components of CRISPR-Cas systems that could interfere with the host

CRISPR-Cas immunity and/or mediate inter-virus conflicts. Thus, this study provides

a glimpse into the virome of a widespread, comparatively abundant but poorly

characterized class of archaea and the virus-host interactions in these organisms.

Methods

Bathyarchaeia genome collection and classification. Bathyarchaeia MAGs

(metagenome-assembled genomes) were obtained from our previous dataset[8, 39] and the

NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and IMG/M (https://jgi.doe.gov) public database

(up until June 30th, 2021). Bathyarchaeia genome classification was based on 51 high-

coverage marker gene optimized from GTDB[71] v207 (TIGR01171 and TIGR02389

were excluded due to the low coverage). Marker genes were initially identified with

GTDB-Tk v2.1.1[72] using 'identify' method. Only MAGs contain more than 50% of the

marker genes were kept. Sequences were then aligned using MAFFT v7.457[73] and

trimmed with TrimAl v1.4[74] (-gappyout). The maximum likelihood phylogenomic tree

for concatenated 51 proteins was constructed with IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (best-fit model

LG+F+R13, -B 1000, -alrt 1000). The quality, contamination, GC content, and other

sequence information of Bathyarchaeia MAGs were assessed by checkM v1.2.2[75].

Biome information was extracted from the GenBank file or relevant literatures.

To delineate Bathyarchaeia orders, the maximum likelihood tree based on 51 proteins

was   converted to an ultra-metric tree and the relative evolutionary divergence

(RED)[76] was calculated. An order was called when its branch length in the ultrametric

tree corresponded to a RED value within the range of 0.5 to 0.7.

CRISPR-Cas system detection and CRISPR array validation. CRISPR-Cas

systems in Bathyarchaeia MAGs were detected using DefenseFinder[77] and further

classified using CRISPRCasTyper[78]. CRISPR array in Bathyarchaeia MAGs were

searched with MinCED[79] (Default setting). To remove ambiguous CRISPR array that

might not belong to Bathyarchaeia, all CRISPR array-containing contigs encoding

proteins were searched against the nr database (2022-09) using Diamond (2.1.6)

BLASTP[80] (-evalue 1e-5, --more-sensitive), and only contigs with at least 3 proteins

assigned to Bathyarchaeia were retained. The validated CRISPR repeats were clustered

with 90% identity using an all-against-all BLASTN[81] search (E-value cutoff 1e-5, word

size 7).

Bathyarchaeia virus identification and annotation. We first carried out the CRISPR-

based virus-host assignments. Spacers from validated CRISPR array were used as a

nucleotide database to compare to viral contigs in the IMG/VR v3[41] database using

BLASTN[81] with parameters (-task blastn-short -evalue 1e-5). Only viral contigs

harboring protospacers with 100% coverage and a maximum of one mismatch were

considered as Bathyarchaeia viruses.

In addition, some viruses might form proviruses that cannot be detected by CRISPR-

protospacer search. Therefore, we used VirSorter2 v.2.2.3[82] to identified potential viral

sequences in Bathyarchaeia MAGs. Specifically, all the Bathyarchaeia contigs were

filtered using VirSorter2 (--include-groups dsDNAphage, ssDNA --min-length 5000 --

min-score 0.5) and only contigs with identifiable viral structural proteins and at least

one ORF identified through a BLASTP search against the nr database as Bathyarchaeia

were retained. Viral genome completeness estimation and the potential host regions

trimming were conducted by CheckV 0.8.1[83]. Coding sequences were identified using

Prokka[84] with parameters (--kingdom viruses --gcode 1).

All the Bathyarchaeia viral proteins identified as describe above were annotated with

DRAM[85] (viral mode) and HHblits[86] (MSA generated with UniRef30_2020_06 with 3

interactions, Evalue 1e-6, MSA was compared against the PDB_mmCIF70_14_Apr,

SCOPe70_2.07, Pfam-A_v35 and UniProt-SwissProt-viral70_3_Nov_2021 databases

with parameters -Z 250 -loc -z 1 -b 1 -B 250 -ssm 2 -sc 1 -seq 1 -dbstrlen 10000 -

norealign -maxres 32000). All the viral genome maps were visualized using Proksee[87].

Bathyarchaeia viral taxonomy assignment. To determine the taxonomic status of Bathyarchaeia viruses, the whole genome network analysis was carried out with vConTACT2[88] (default parameters) against the Viral RefSeq v207 database as well as archaeal viruses proposed in the latest International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses Report (VMR_MSL38_v1). The resulting networks were visualized using Cytoscape v3.9.1[89] with an edge-weighted spring embedded model.

For viruses in the realm *Duplodnaviria*, proteome-scale phylogeny was constructed using the VipTree version 3.4[90]. The analysis was carried out with archaea viral families in the realm *Duplodnaviria*, according to the latest ICTV taxonomy classification (04/25/2023). For filamentous viruses, proteome-scale phylogeny was constructed by using VICTOR[91] with all known members of the *Tokiviricetes* class. Structural modeling of viral proteins was performed with AlphaFold2[92] via ColabFold v1.5.1[93] with pdb70 template mode.

Bathyarchaeia viral CRISPR-Cas systems classification. All the Cas proteins were manually search with HHblits (see above). To determine the CRISPR-Cas system subtype of '*Fuxiviridae*', phylogenetic tree of all the Cas proteins were constructed with reference sequences. Specifically, Cas4 proteins were aligned with 29 reference[67] sequences by MUSCLE 5.1[94] and trimmed by TrimAl v1.4 (-gappyout). The maximum likelihood tree was inferred by IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m LG+I+G4, -B 1000, -alrt 1000). Cas7 proteins were aligned with 296 reference sequences[95] by MUSCLE 5.1. The alignment was trimmed by TrimAl v1.4 (-gt 0.7) and sequence with more than 50%

gaps were dropped. The maximum likelihood tree was inferred by IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m

VT+R10, -B 1000 -alrt 1000). Cas5 proteins were aligned with 722 reference[95]

sequences by MUSCLE 5.1. The alignment was trimmed by TrimAl v1.4 (-gappyout)

and sequence with more than 50% gaps were dropped. The maximum likelihood tree

was inferred by IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m VT+R10, -B 1000 -alrt 1000). Cas11 proteins were

aligned with 45 reference sequences[59, 95] by MUSCLE 5.1. The alignment was trimmed

by TrimAl v1.4 (-gappyout) and sequence with more than 50% gaps were dropped. The

maximum likelihood tree was inferred by IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m VT+R3, -B 1000 -alrt

1000). Csf1 proteins were aligned with 49 reference sequences[59, 95] sequences by

MUSCLE 5.1. The alignment was trimmed by TrimAl v1.4 (-gappyout) and sequence

with more than 50% gaps were dropped. The maximum likelihood tree was inferred by

IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m WAG+I+G4, -B 1000 -alrt 1000). Phosphoadenosine

phosphosulfate (PAPS) reductase (CysH) domain containing protein has been observed

in prokaryotes and virus[61]. We first recalled all the CysH-like proteins from 18988

public reference complete viral genomes[96]. Together with *bona fide* CysH and Cas-

associated CysH-like proteins, 322 sequences were aligned by MUSCLE 5.1 and

trimmed by TrimAl v1.4 (-gappyout). The maximum likelihood tree was inferred by

IQ-TREE 2.0.6 (-m WAG+F+R7, -B 1000 -alrt 1000).

All final phylogenetic trees were visualized by tvBOT[97].

Bathyarchaeia viral CRISPR spacer-protospacer match analysis

CRISPR array in Bathyarchaeia viral genome were detected with MinCED (-minNR 1).

All the spacer obtained from Bathyarchaeia virus were BLASTN search (-task blastn-

short) with viral database IMG/VR v3 and plasmid sequences database PLSDB

database[98] (v. 2021_06_23_v2) as well as Bathyarchaeia MAGs in this study. Direct

repeats were searched against CRISPR-CAS++ databases[99] and Bathyarchaeia CRISPR

repeat dataset with BLASTN (-evalue 0.01).

Bathyarchaeia viral anti-CRISPRs proteins (Acrs) identification

The potential anti-CRISPR proteins (Acrs) of Bathyarchaeia viruses were first

predicted using DeepAcr[69]. The protein structures of predicted Acrs, along with Acrs

from reference[100], were modeled using AlphaFold2 by ColabFold v1.5.2 in pdb70

template mode. These potential Bathyarchaeia virus Acrs were then used as query

models for alignment to the reference Acr models using TM-align[101], and only models

with a TM score greater than 0.3 were retained. This initial computational analysis was

further supplemented with comprehensive meticulous manual inspection of the

alignments and structural models.

Etymology

'*Fuxiviridae*':   Derived from Fuxi, a legendary figure in Chinese mythology known

for his diverse talents and abilities. This alludes to the possibility that the virus has a

multifaceted counter-defense systems, capable of employing various strategies to evade

the host's immune response.

'*Kunpengviridae*': Named after Kunpeng, a mythical creature in Chinese mythology

known for its transformative abilities. The name alludes to the virus's ability to integrate into the host genome to form proviruses.

'*Huangdiviridae*': Named after Huangdi, the legendary Chinese sovereign often associated with important inventions. Given Huangdi's connections (the host MAG of Huangdivirus in the Baizomonadales order) in Chinese mythology.

'*Chiyouviridae*': Inspired by Chiyou, a symbol of war and invention in Chinese mythology.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our deepest gratitude to the following professors for their generous support and data sharing, which has been invaluable to our research. Special thanks go to Professors Natasha Ivanova, Robert Kelly, Tanja Woyke, William P. Inskeep, Jim Fredrickson, Roland Hatzenpichler, Brian P. Hedlund, and Ramunas Stepanauskas for their enthusiastic assistance and valuable suggestions. We sincerely appreciate their contributions. Also, thanks to Dr. Jie Pan, Mr. Chengxiang Gu and Mr. Jinquan Li for their invaluable guidance and assistance.

This work was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFA0912200), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32225003, 92251306,31970105), the Innovation Team Project of Universities in Guangdong Province (No. 2020KCXTD023), the Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (JCYJ20200109105010363), and Shenzhen University 2035 Program for Excellent Research (2022B002). E.V.K. is supported by the Intramural Research

436 Program of the National Insitutes of Health of the USA (National Library of Medicine).

Author contributions

439 M.L. and C.D. designed the experiments; C.D., Yang L., Ying L. and M.K. provided

440 support on bioinformatic analysis. C.D. performed the data analyses and wrote the

441 paper with contributions from all the authors.

Competing interests

444 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Fig. 1 Distribution of identified viruses across the evolutionary tree of the Bathyarchaeia. a) Maximum likelihood tree of Bathyarchaeia was reconstructed based on the modified set of 51 marker genes. The outgroup was taken from reference[102] and includes representatives of the phyla Euryarchaeota, Crenarchaeota, Aigarchaeota and Thaumarchaeota.. Bathyarchaeia orders are highlighted in different background colors. Viruses identified in this study are indicated with colored symbols denoting the respective virion architectures, and their corresponding hosts are highlighted with the same color. Red icosahedron represents viruses in the realm *Duplodnaviria*, green rod represents viruses in the realm *Adnaviria*, and blue spindle represents the spindle-shaped virus. **b**) Subtypes of CRISPR-Cas systems distributed in different Bathyarchaeia orders. Bifang, Bifangarchaeales; Xuanwu, Xuanwuarculales; Jinwu, Jinwuousiales; Mazu, Mazuousiales; Houtu, Houtuarculales; Wuzhiqi, Wuzhiqiibiales; Zhuque, Zhuquarculales; Baize, Baizomonadales.

Fig. 2 Classification of Bathyarchaeia viruses based on the whole-genome protein-sharing network with other prokaryotic viruses. The whole-genome protein-sharing network analysis was constructed using vConTACT2 v.0.11.3 for the taxonomic assignment of 56 Bathyarchaeia viral genomes. Bathyarchaeia viruses clusters are highlighted in red in the complete network. Bathyarchaeia viruses are assigned to 4 distinct groups, including one large cluster. Viral clusters (VCs) are indicated with differently color circles. The proposed virus families including complete genomes are separated by dashed lines and appended with the corresponding names in red. Archaeal viruses are in pink, and bacterial viruses are in blue. The networks were visualized with Cytoscape v.3.9.1.

Fig. 3 Maps of complete genomes of Bathyarchaeia viruses. a) Genome map of

Fuxivirus. **b**) Genome map of Kunpengvirus. **c**) Genome map of Huangdivirus. **d**)

Genome map of Chiyouvirus. Genes annotated by HHblits with a probability greater

than 95% are shown as different colors. Genes related to type IV B CRISPR-Cas system,

Cas4 and Cas2 are indicated in rose pink, mini-CRISPR array in vibrant orange, genes

specific to viruses in dark blue, predicted Acr in black, other annotated genes in light

blue. The positions of targeted protospacers are indicated with red circle. The

organization of CRISPR mini-arrays is shown above the genome maps. In Chiyouvirus

CRISPR array 1, the self-targeting spacers are highlighted in light blue and purple.

Their corresponding target sites on the genome are marked with circles in the same

color. Transmembrane proteins of Chiyouvirus predicted using CCTOP[103] server are

indicated with red asterisks. Detailed gene annotations are in Supplementary table S4.

Extended Data

Extended Data Fig. S1: The calculated relative evolutionary divergence (RED) value

of each node at order level. Eight order-level units of class Bathyarchaeia are marked

in different colors.

Extended Data Fig. S2: Bathyarchaeia CRISPR Array Overview. a) CRISPR repeats

found in Bathyarchaeia MAGs, clustered at 90% sequence identity. Verified repeats are

highlight in green, while repeats associated with detected viruses in this study are

indicated in red. b) Seq-logo for repeat clusters linked to viruses identified in this

research. c) Bathyarchaeia CRISPR spacer length distribution.

Extended Data Fig. S3: The genome-wide sequence similarity comparison,

phylogenetic and modeling of major capsid of Bathyarchaeia viruses in realm

Duplodnaviria. a) The proteomic tree displays the relationship between Bathyarchaeia

viruses and archaea viruses in the realm *Duplodnaviria*, based on genome-wide

sequence similarities. Bathyarchaeia virus families are labeled in green, and viruses

with complete genomes are marked with a red circle. b) The maximum likelihood tree

of major capsid proteins (MCPs) shows the relationship between Bathyarchaeia virus

and archaeal virus. Bathyarchaeia virus families are labeled in green, and the 3D

structure representing the MCP of each family is displayed next to it.

Extended Data Fig. S4: Reads coverage of Fuxivirus genome. Raw reads from data

(SRR5248875) are mapped to the virus genome with 100% identity using Bowtie 2 and

Samtools v1.1.7.

Extended Data Fig. S5: Huangdivirus structural proteins. a) Structural modeling of Huangdivirus capsid proteins VP1 and VP3, colored using a rainbow gradient from N-terminus (blue) to C-terminus (red). b) Sequence analysis of Huangdivirus structural proteins VP1-3, with predicted transmembrane domains highlighted in yellow and theoretical glycosylation consensus motifs (N-X-S/T) shown on a green background.

Extended Data Fig. S6: Genomic analysis of Chiyouvirus. a) Phylogenomic tree of Chiyouvirus (red arrow) alongside known members of the *Tokiviricetes* class, based on whole-genome amino acid analysis using VICTOR. Tree is rooted with *Primavirales*, and branch length represents GBDP distance formula D6. Branch support values are indicated with numbers. b) Whole-genome amino acid identity comparison of filamentous viruses in the *Tokiviricetes* class, conducted by EzAAI[104]. Chiyouvirus is highlighted in red. Only AAI values greater than 40% are displayed in the heatmap. c) Predicted structural model comparison of Bathyarchaeial Chiyouvirus major capsid proteins MCP1 and MCP2 with Icerudivirus SIRV (3J9X, chain A) and Captovirus AFV1 (5W7G, chain A) structures. Models are colored using a rainbow gradient from N-terminus (blue) to C-terminus (red).

Extended Data Fig. S7: Phylogenetic analysis of proteins in Fuxivirus type IV-B CRISPR-Cas system and Kunpengvirus Cas4 protein. Maximum likelihood trees for a) Csf2 (Cas7), b) Cas4 c) CysH-like protein, d) Csf3 (Cas5), e) Csf1, and f) Csf4 (Cas11).

Fuxivirus proteins are marked with red pentagrams. Kunpengvirus Cas4 protein is

highlighted with blue in figure b).

Extended Data Fig. S8: Sequences alignment of Cas4 protein. Conserved amino acid

residues involved in the functions of CRISPR spacer acquisition are highlight in red

dot.

Extended Data Fig. S9: Sequences alignment of Cas2 protein. Conserved amino acid

residues involved in Mg^{2+} binding site is highlight in red dot.

Extended Data Fig. S10: Structure alignment of predicted anti-CRISPR protein (Acr)

of Chiyouvirus with reference AcrIF24. Models of Bathyarchaeia virus encoding Acr

is colored in green, AcrIF24 NTD is colored in wheat. AcrIF24 CTD is colored in

palegcyan.

Table S1. Detailed statistics of Bathyarchaeia MAGs.

Table S2. Viral contigs targeted by Bathyarchaeia spacers.

Table S3. Classification of Bathyarchaeia viruses.

Table S4. Annotations of proteins encoded by Bathyarchaeia viruses by DRAM-v

and HHblits.

Table S5. Comparison of Bathyarchaeia virus encoding protein with other archaea

viruses.

References

1.   Inagaki F*, et al.* Biogeographical distribution and diversity of microbes in methane
hydrate-bearing deep marine sediments on the Pacific Ocean Margin. *Proc. Natl Acad.*
Sci. USA **103**, 2815-2820 (2006).
564

565 2.   Kubo K, Lloyd KG, F Biddle J, Amann R, Teske A, Knittel K. Archaea of the
566   Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group are abundant, diverse and widespread in marine
567   sediments. *ISME J.* **6**, 1949-1965 (2012).
568

569 3.   Lloyd KG*, et al.* Predominant archaea in marine sediments degrade detrital proteins.
570   *Nature* **496**, 215-218 (2013).
571

572 4.   Lazar CS, Biddle JF, Meador TB, Blair N, Hinrichs KU, Teske AP. Environmental
573   controls on intragroup diversity of the uncultured benthic archaea of the miscellaneous
574   C renarchaeotal group lineage naturally enriched in anoxic sediments of the W hite O
575   ak R iver estuary (N orth C arolina, USA). *Environ. Microbiol.* **17**, 2228-2238 (2015).
576

577 5.   Zhou Z, Pan J, Wang F, Gu JD, Li M. Bathyarchaeota: globally distributed metabolic
578   generalists in anoxic environments. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **42**, 639-655 (2018).
579

580 6.   He Y*, et al.* Genomic and enzymatic evidence for acetogenesis among multiple
581   lineages of the archaeal phylum Bathyarchaeota widespread in marine sediments. *Nat.*
582   *Microbiol.* **1**, 16035 (2016).
583

584 7.   Evans PN*, et al.* Methane metabolism in the archaeal phylum Bathyarchaeota revealed
585   by genome-centric metagenomics. *Science* **350**, 434-438 (2015).
586

587 8.   Pan J*, et al.* Genomic and transcriptomic evidence of light-sensing, porphyrin
588   biosynthesis, Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, and urea production in Bathyarchaeota.
589   *Microbiome* **8**, 43 (2020).
590

591   9.   Qi Y-L, *et al.* Comparative Genomics Reveals Thermal Adaptation and a High Metabolic
592   Diversity in "Candidatus Bathyarchaeia". *mSystems* **6**, e00252-00221 (2021).
593

594   10.   Yu T, Wu W, Liang W, Lever MA, Hinrichs K-U, Wang F. Growth of sedimentary
595   Bathyarchaeota on lignin as an energy source. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **115**, 6022
596   (2018).
597

598   11.   Zhou Z, *et al.* Genomic and transcriptomic insights into the ecology and metabolism of
599   benthic archaeal cosmopolitan, Thermoprofundales (MBG-D archaea). *ISME J.* **13**,
600   885-901 (2019).
601

602   12.   Chevallereau A, Pons BJ, van Houte S, Westra ER. Interactions between bacterial and
603   phage communities in natural environments. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **20**, 49-62 (2022).
604

605   13.   López-García P, *et al.* Metagenome-derived virus-microbe ratios across ecosystems.
606   *ISME J.*, (2023).
607

608   14.   Jiao N, *et al.* Microbial production of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter: long-term
609   carbon storage in the global ocean. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 593-599 (2010).
610

611   15.   Brussaard CPD, *et al.* Global-scale processes with a nanoscale drive: the role of
612   marine viruses. *ISME J.* **2**, 575-578 (2008).
613

614   16.   Fang X, *et al.* Transcriptomic responses of the marine cyanobacterium
615   Prochlorococcus to viral lysis products. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21**, 2015-2028 (2019).
616

617   17.   Xiao X, *et al.* Viral Lysis Alters the Optical Properties and Biological Availability of
618   Dissolved Organic Matter Derived from Prochlorococcus Picocyanobacteria. *Appl.*
619   *Environ. Microbiol.* **87**, (2021).
620

621   18.   Mann NH, Cook A, Millard A, Bailey S, Clokie M. Bacterial photosynthesis genes in a
622   virus. *Nature* **424**, 741-741 (2003).
623

624   19.   Millard A, Clokie MRJ, Shub DA, Mann NH. Genetic organization of the psbAD region
625   in phages infecting marine Synechococcus strains. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**,
626   11007-11012 (2004).
627

628   20.   Anantharaman K, Duhaime MB, Breier JA, Wendt KA, Toner BM, Dick GJ. Sulfur
629   oxidation genes in diverse deep-sea viruses. *Science* **344**, 757-760 (2014).
630

631   21.   Koonin EV, Makarova KS. CRISPR-Cas: an adaptive immunity system in prokaryotes.
632   *F1000 Biol Rep* **1**, 95 (2009).
633

634   22.   Barrangou R, *et al.* CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in

635   prokaryotes. *Science* **315**, 1709-1712 (2007).

637   23.   Dion MB, Plante P-L, Zufferey E, Shah SA, Corbeil J, Moineau S. Streamlining CRISPR

638   spacer-based bacterial host predictions to decipher the viral dark matter. *Nucleic Acids*

639   *Res.* **49**, 3127-3138 (2021).

641   24.   Pawluk A, Davidson AR, Maxwell KL. Anti-CRISPR: discovery, mechanism and function.

642   *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **16**, 12-17 (2018).

644   25.   Mendoza SD*, et al.* A bacteriophage nucleus-like compartment shields DNA from

645   CRISPR nucleases. *Nature* **577**, 244-248 (2020).

647   26.   Malone LM*, et al.* A jumbo phage that forms a nucleus-like structure evades CRISPR–

648   Cas DNA targeting but is vulnerable to type III RNA-based immunity. *Nat. Microbiol.* **5**,

649   48-55 (2020).

651   27.   Borges AL, Davidson AR, Bondy-Denomy J. The Discovery, Mechanisms, and

652   Evolutionary Impact of Anti-CRISPRs. *Annu Rev Virol* **4**, 37-59 (2017).

654   28.   Marino ND, Pinilla-Redondo R, Csörgő B, Bondy-Denomy J. Anti-CRISPR protein

655   applications: natural brakes for CRISPR-Cas technologies. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 471-479

656   (2020).

658   29.   Krupovic M, Cvirkaite-Krupovic V, Iranzo J, Prangishvili D, Koonin EV. Viruses of

659   archaea: Structural, functional, environmental and evolutionary genomics. *Virus Res*

660   **244**, 181-193 (2018).

662   30.   Medvedeva S*, et al.* Three families of Asgard archaeal viruses identified in

663   metagenome-assembled genomes. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 962-973 (2022).

665   31.   Rambo IM, Langwig MV, Leão P, De Anda V, Baker BJ. Genomes of six viruses that

666   infect Asgard archaea from deep-sea sediments. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 953-961 (2022).

668   32.   Tamarit D*, et al.* A closed Candidatus Odinarchaeum chromosome exposes Asgard

669   archaeal viruses. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 948-952 (2022).

671   33.   Laso-Pérez R*, et al.* Evolutionary diversification of methanotrophic ANME-1 archaea

672   and their expansive virome. *Nat Microbiol* **8**, 231-245 (2023).

674   34.   Zhou Y, Zhou L, Yan S, Chen L, Krupovic M, Wang Y. Diverse viruses of marine archaea

675   discovered using metagenomics. *Environ. Microbiol.* **25**, 367-382 (2023).

677   35.   López-Pérez M, Haro-Moreno JM, de la Torre JR, Rodriguez-Valera F. Novel

678   Caudovirales associated with Marine Group I Thaumarchaeota assembled from

metagenomes. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21**, 1980-1988 (2019).

36.   Kim J-G*, et al.* Spindle-shaped viruses infect marine ammonia-oxidizing thaumarchaea.
Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA **116**, 15645-15650 (2019).

37.   Ahlgren NA, Fuchsman CA, Rocap G, Fuhrman JA. Discovery of several novel,
widespread, and ecologically distinct marine Thaumarchaeota viruses that encode
amoC nitrification genes. *ISME J.* **13**, 618-631 (2019).

38.   Philosof A, Yutin N, Flores-Uribe J, Sharon I, Koonin EV, Béjà O. Novel Abundant
Oceanic Viruses of Uncultured Marine Group II Euryarchaeota. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 1362-
1368 (2017).

39.   Yin X*, et al.* Subgroup level differences of physiological activities in marine
Lokiarchaeota. *ISME J.* **15**, 848-861 (2021).

40.   Hou J*, et al.* Taxonomic and carbon metabolic diversification of Bathyarchaeia during
its coevolution history with early Earth surface environment. *Sci. Adv.* **9**, eadf5069
(2023).

41.   Roux S*, et al.* IMG/VR v3: an integrated ecological and evolutionary framework for
interrogating genomes of uncultivated viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D764-D775
(2021).

42.   Koonin EV, Dolja VV, Krupovic M, Kuhn JH. Viruses Defined by the Position of the
Virosphere within the Replicator Space. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **85**, e0019320 (2021).

43.   Krupovic M*, et al.* Adnaviria: a New Realm for Archaeal Filamentous Viruses with Linear
A-Form Double-Stranded DNA Genomes. *J. Virol.* **95**, e0067321 (2021).

44.   Liu Y*, et al.* Diversity, taxonomy, and evolution of archaeal viruses of the class
Caudoviricetes. *PLoS Biol.* **19**, e3001442 (2021).

45.   Sheppard C, Werner F. Structure and mechanisms of viral transcription factors in
archaea. *Extremophiles* **21**, 829-838 (2017).

46.   Kazlauskas D, Krupovic M, Venclovas Č. The logic of DNA replication in double-
stranded DNA viruses: insights from global analysis of viral genomes. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **44**, 4551-4564 (2016).

47.   Brosius J, Arfsten U. Primary structure of protein L19 from the large subunit of
Escherichia coli ribosomes. *Biochemistry* **17**, 508-516 (1978).

48.   Mizuno CM*, et al.* Numerous cultivated and uncultivated viruses encode ribosomal

proteins. *Nat Commun* **10**, 752 (2019).

49. Wang F*, et al.* Spindle-shaped archaeal viruses evolved from rod-shaped ancestors to package a larger genome. *Cell* **185**, 1297-1307.e1211 (2022).

50. Quemin Emmanuelle RJ*, et al.* Sulfolobus Spindle-Shaped Virus 1 Contains Glycosylated Capsid Proteins, a Cellular Chromatin Protein, and Host-Derived Lipids. *J. Virol.* **89**, 11681-11691 (2015).

51. Zhan Z, Zhou J, Huang L. Site-Specific Recombination by SSV2 Integrase: Substrate Requirement and Domain Functions. *J. Virol.* **89**, 10934-10944 (2015).

52. Albers S-V, Meyer BH. The archaeal cell envelope. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **9**, 414-426 (2011).

53. Khomyakova MA, Merkel AY, Mamiy DD, Klyukina AA, Slobodkin AI. Phenotypic and genomic characterization of Bathyarchaeum tardum gen. nov., sp. nov., a cultivated representative of the archaeal class Bathyarchaeia. *Front. Microbiol.* **14**,　(2023).

54. Baquero DP*, et al.* New virus isolates from Italian hydrothermal environments underscore the biogeographic pattern in archaeal virus communities. *ISME J.* **14**, 1821-1833 (2020).

55. Wang F*, et al.* Structures of filamentous viruses infecting hyperthermophilic archaea explain DNA stabilization in extreme environments. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **117**, 19643-19652 (2020).

56. Quemin ER*, et al.* First insights into the entry process of hyperthermophilic archaeal viruses. *J. Virol.* **87**, 13379-13385 (2013).

57. Prangishvili D, Koonin EV, Krupovic M. Genomics and biology of Rudiviruses, a model for the study of virus-host interactions in Archaea. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **41**, 443-450 (2013).

58. Baquero DP, Liu Y, Wang F, Egelman EH, Prangishvili D, Krupovic M. Structure and assembly of archaeal viruses. *Adv Virus Res* **108**, 127-164 (2020).

59. Pinilla-Redondo R*, et al.* Type IV CRISPR–Cas systems are highly diverse and involved in competition between plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 2000-2012 (2020).

60. Faure G*, et al.* CRISPR–Cas in mobile genetic elements: counter-defence and beyond. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 513-525 (2019).

61. Moya-Beltrán A*, et al.* Evolution of Type IV CRISPR-Cas Systems: Insights from

767   CRISPR Loci in Integrative Conjugative Elements of Acidithiobacillia. *Crispr J.* **4**, 656-
768   672 (2021).
769

770 62.   Al-Shayeb B*, et al.* Diverse virus-encoded CRISPR-Cas systems include streamlined
771   genome editors. *Cell* **185**, 4574-4586.e4516 (2022).
772

773 63.   Zhou Y*, et al.* Structure of a type IV CRISPR-Cas ribonucleoprotein complex. *iScience*
774   **24**, 102201 (2021).
775

776 64.   Medvedeva S, Liu Y, Koonin EV, Severinov K, Prangishvili D, Krupovic M. Virus-borne
777   mini-CRISPR arrays are involved in interviral conflicts. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5204 (2019).
778

779 65.   Shiimori M, Garrett SC, Graveley BR, Terns MP. Cas4 Nucleases Define the PAM,
780   Length, and Orientation of DNA Fragments Integrated at CRISPR Loci. *Mol. Cell* **70**,
781   814-824.e816 (2018).
782

783 66.   Hudaiberdiev S, Shmakov S, Wolf YI, Terns MP, Makarova KS, Koonin EV.
784   Phylogenomics of Cas4 family nucleases. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **17**, 232 (2017).
785

786 67.   Zhang Z, Pan S, Liu T, Li Y, Peng N. Cas4 Nucleases Can Effect Specific Integration
787   of CRISPR Spacers. *J. Bacteriol.* **201**,   (2019).
788

789 68.   Guo Y, Kragelund BB, White MF, Peng X. Functional characterization of a conserved
790   archaeal viral operon revealing single-stranded DNA binding, annealing and nuclease
791   activities. *J Mol Biol* **427**, 2179-2191 (2015).
792

793 69.   Wandera KG*, et al.* Anti-CRISPR prediction using deep learning reveals an inhibitor of
794   Cas13b nucleases. *Molecular cell* **82**, 2714-2726. e2714 (2022).
795

796 70.   Yang L*, et al.* Insights into the inhibition of type I-F CRISPR-Cas system by a
797   multifunctional anti-CRISPR protein AcrIF24. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1931 (2022).
798

799 71.   Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Chaumeil P-A, Hugenholtz P. GTDB:
800   an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically
801   consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids*
802   *Res.* **50**, D785-D794 (2022).
803

804 72.   Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly
805   classification with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinform.* **38**, 5315-5316 (2022).
806

807 73.   Rozewicki J, Li S, Amada KM, Standley DM, Katoh K. MAFFT-DASH: integrated protein
808   sequence and structural alignment. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, W5-W10 (2019).
809

74.   Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinform.* **25**, 1972-1973
(2009).

75.   Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing
the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. *Genome Res.* **25**, 1043-1055 (2015).

76.   Parks DH*, et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996-1004 (2018).

77.   Tesson F*, et al.* Systematic and quantitative view of the antiviral arsenal of prokaryotes.
Nat. Commun. **13**, 2561 (2022).

78.   Russel J, Pinilla-Redondo R, Mayo-Muñoz D, Shah SA, Sørensen SJ.
CRISPRCasTyper: Automated Identification, Annotation, and Classification of
CRISPR-Cas Loci. *CRISPR J.* **3**, 462-469 (2020).

79.   Bland C*, et al.* CRISPR Recognition Tool (CRT): a tool for automatic detection of
clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeats. *BMC Bioinform.* **8**, 209 (2007).

80.   Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND.
Nat. Methods **12**, 59-60 (2015).

81.   Camacho C*, et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinform.* **10**, 421
(2009).

82.   Guo J*, et al.* VirSorter2: a multi-classifier, expert-guided approach to detect diverse
DNA and RNA viruses. *Microbiome* **9**, 37 (2021).

83.   Nayfach S, Camargo AP, Schulz F, Eloe-Fadrosh E, Roux S, Kyrpides NC. CheckV
assesses the quality and completeness of metagenome-assembled viral genomes. *Nat.*
Biotechnol. **39**, 578-585 (2021).

84.   Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinform.* **30**, 2068-2069
(2014).

85.   Shaffer M*, et al.* DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to automate the curation of
microbiome function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, 8883-8900 (2020).

86.   Steinegger M, Meier M, Mirdita M, Vöhringer H, Haunsberger SJ, Söding J. HH-suite3
for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. *BMC Bioinform.* **20**,
473 (2019).

87.   Grant JR*, et al.* Proksee: in-depth characterization and visualization of bacterial

genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, W484-W492 (2023).

88.   Bin Jang H*, et al.* Taxonomic assignment of uncultivated prokaryotic virus genomes is
enabled by gene-sharing networks. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 632-639 (2019).

89.   Shannon P*, et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498-2504 (2003).

90.   Nishimura Y, Yoshida T, Kuronishi M, Uehara H, Ogata H, Goto S. ViPTree: the viral
proteomic tree server. *Bioinform.* **33**, 2379-2380 (2017).

91.   Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. VICTOR: genome-based phylogeny and classification of
prokaryotic viruses. *Bioinform.* **33**, 3396-3404 (2017).

92.   Jumper J*, et al.* Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**,
583-589 (2021).

93.   Mirdita M, Schütze K, Moriwaki Y, Heo L, Ovchinnikov S, Steinegger M. ColabFold:
making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 679-682 (2022).

94.   Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 1792-1797 (2004).

95.   Makarova KS*, et al.* Evolutionary classification of CRISPR–Cas systems: a burst of
class 2 and derived variants. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **18**, 67-83 (2020).

96.   Hatcher EL*, et al.* Virus Variation Resource - improved response to emergent viral
outbreaks. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, D482-d490 (2017).

97.   Xie J, Chen Y, Cai G, Cai R, Hu Z, Wang H. Tree Visualization By One Table (tvBOT):
a web application for visualizing, modifying and annotating phylogenetic trees. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. **51**, W587-W592 (2023).

98.   Schmartz GP*, et al.* PLSDB: advancing a comprehensive database of bacterial
plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D273-d278 (2022).

99.   Pourcel C*, et al.* CRISPRCasdb a successor of CRISPRdb containing CRISPR arrays
and cas genes from complete genome sequences, and tools to download and query
lists of repeats and spacers. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D535-D544 (2020).

100.   Bondy-Denomy J*, et al.* A Unified Resource for Tracking Anti-CRISPR Names. *Crispr*
J. **1**, 304-305 (2018).

101.   Zhang Y, Skolnick J. TM-align: a protein structure alignment algorithm based on the

TM-score. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **33**, 2302-2309 (2005).

102.   Ren M*, et al.* Phylogenomics suggests oxygen availability as a driving force in
Thaumarchaeota evolution. *ISME J.* **13**, 2150-2161 (2019).

103.   Dobson L, Reményi I, Tusnády GE. CCTOP: a Consensus Constrained TOPology
prediction web server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, W408-W412 (2015).

104.   Kim D, Park S, Chun J. Introducing EzAAI: a pipeline for high throughput calculations
of prokaryotic average amino acid identity. *Journal of Microbiology* **59**, 476-480 (2021).

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3