RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of predominant human norovirus forms liquid-liquid phase condensates as platforms for viral replication

Soni Kaundal[1], Ramakrishnan Anish [1], B. Vijayalakshmi Ayyar[2], Sreejesh Shanker[1], Gundeep Kaur[3], Sue E. Crawford[2], Jeroen Pollet[4], Fabio Stossi[5], Mary K. Estes[2,6], B.V. Venkataram Prasad[1,2]

[1]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Pharmacology and [2]Department of Molecular Virology and Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, U.S.A. [3]Department of Epigenetics and Molecular Carcinogenesis, The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas U.S.A., [4]Department of Pediatrics-Tropical Medicine, [5]Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, and [6]Department of Medicine, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, U.S.A.

Corresponding author: B. V. Venkataram Prasad, E-mail: vprasad@bcm.edu

Abstract

Biomolecular condensates are membrane-less cellular foci formed via liquid-liquid-phase separation (LLPS) of specific biological macromolecules to provide specialized compartments for regulating cellular functions. Many viral proteins undergo LLPS to form such condensates to support viral replication and evade host antiviral responses, and thus these condensates are potential targets for designing antivirals. Human noroviruses (HuNoV) cause epidemic and sporadic gastroenteritis worldwide and are of significant health and economic burden. Here, we show that the RNA-dependent-RNA polymerase (RdRp) of the pandemic GII.4 HuNoV, which is essential for viral replication, forms distinct condensates capable of recruiting other viral replication components. Confocal microscopy and light scattering experiments show that RdRp phase separates into dynamic liquid-like condensates at physiological conditions. These condensates exhibit all the signature features of LLPS, including fluorescence recovery after photo-bleaching, droplet-fusion, surface wetting, and dripping. More importantly, within these condensates, the RdRp exhibits a significant time-dependent increase in its enzymatic activity and recruits other components, such as RNA and the viral genome-linked protein (VPg), which are essential for viral replication. Such condensates, recognized by anti-RdRp antibodies, are observed in HuNoV-infected human intestinal enteroid cultures. Together, our studies demonstrate a hitherto unsuspected activity of HuNoV RdRp to form LLPS, which we suggest provides distinct cellular sites for efficient viral replication and its regulation.

Introduction

The compartmentalization of biomolecules is essential for efficiently regulating numerous biological processes in a densely packed cellular environment. A wealth of new research has shown that, apart from the traditional membrane-bound organelles, eukaryotic cells also contain membrane-less organelles such as P granules, nucleoli, Cajal bodies, etc. [1-7]. These membrane-less organelles, also known as biomolecular condensates, are formed by the process of liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS), where a single, uniform liquid phase separates into a dense phase that coexists with the surrounding dilute phase [8-13]. These condensates, often initiated by a single protein, selectively allow the incorporation of proteins and nucleic acids to provide exclusive compartments for performing specialized cellular functions, including gene regulation, protein synthesis, and signaling [13-15]. Depending upon the sequence and concentration of the protein, the presence of other biomolecules, and the physiochemical properties of the surroundings, the dense phase can have material properties of liquid, gel, or solid [2,3,16,17]. The liquid-like condensates typically have a spherical shape with a dynamic interior, and exhibit the ability to fuse with each other, deform upon physical contact, and revert to the spherical shape [2,3,9,10]. Signature features of the proteins undergoing LLPS include the presence of a nucleic acid binding domain, a disordered region, a low complexity region, and the ability to form oligomers [18-21]. The intrinsically disordered regions drive LLPS by weak and dynamic intermolecular contacts, including hydrophobic, electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, cation-π, and π-π interactions. The dynamic making and breaking of these weak interactions give rise to the liquid-like nature of the phase separated condensates [18,22,23]. The lack of a physical barrier and metastable state of these condensates allows the rapid exchange of their components with surrounding molecules providing a unique spatiotemporal regulation of macromolecular organization and cellular biochemistry [24,25].

Recent studies showed that several viral proteins can induce the formation of biomolecular

condensates via LLPS. These include, for example, NSP5 protein of rotavirus [26], N protein of

SARS-CoV2 [27], N protein of HIV-1 [28], and NS2 protein of bluetongue virus [29]. These condensates

are suggested to provide facile compartments also called viral factories or viroplasms for

concentrating the necessary components for efficient viral replication and assembly [30-32]. The

formation of condensates by LLPS is also proposed as a mechanism for evading the host antiviral

response [33,34].

Currently, it is unknown whether any of the proteins encoded by human norovirus

(HuNoV) undergoes LLPS to form biomolecular condensates. HuNoVs are the leading cause of

viral gastroenteritis and pose a significant global health and economic burden without any

approved vaccines or antivirals [35,36]. These icosahedral, single-stranded RNA viruses, belonging

to the *Norovirus* genus in the *Caliciviridae* family account for an estimated ~685 million cases and

~212,000 deaths globally per year with an economic impact of $60 billion [35-39]. The NoVs are

classified into at least 10 genogroups (GI-GX) and 49 genotypes [40]. NoVs in genogroups GI, GII,

GIV, GVIII, and GIX infect humans [40,41], and among these, HuNoVs belonging to genogroup II

and genotype 4 (GII.4) are responsible for ~80 % of the outbreaks worldwide [42]. The NoV genome

is covalently linked to VPg and consists of three open reading frames (ORFs). ORF1 encodes a

polyprotein that is cleaved into six nonstructural proteins by the viral protease to produce p48

(NS1-2), p41 (NS3), p22 (NS4), VPg (NS5), protease (NS6), and RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase (RdRp) (NS7) [43]. The ORF2 and ORF3 encode the major capsid protein VP1 and a

minor structural protein VP2, respectively [44]. Our understanding of the HuNoV replication

processes in infected cells has been hampered by lack of a robust cell culture system. Much of our

current understanding of the entry and replication processes of HuNoVs have come from using the

human intestinal stem cell-derived, nontransformed enteroid (HIE) monolayer cultures for virus

cultivation [45-47].

90   Using bioinformatics analysis, we found that among the nonstructural proteins

encoded by the pandemic GII.4 Sydney strain, RdRp has the highest propensity to undergo LLPS

to form biomolecular condensates. In addition to the biophysical proprieties of GII.4 RdRp, such

as its modular architecture, the presence of an N-terminal disordered region, the ability to homo-

oligomerize and bind RNA, and the critical role it plays during viral replication prompted us to

experimentally test the hypothesis that GII.4 RdRp drives the formation of biomolecular

condensates that are conducive for viral replication through LLPS. Our studies show that RdRp,

consistent with its biophysical properties, indeed forms highly dynamic liquid-like condensates

via LLPS at physiologically relevant conditions and that the disordered N-terminal region of GII.4

RdRp is critical for LLPS. HuNoV infected HIEs cells show distinct condensates recognized by

RdRp antibodies. We also demonstrated a time-dependent increase of the GII.4 RdRp enzymatic

activity in the condensates and the ability of these condensates to sequester the components of

viral replication factories. Together these findings establish that HuNoV RdRp undergoes LLPS

to form biomolecular condensates, which can serve as platforms for viral replication.

Results

GII.4 RdRp exhibits the obligatory properties to undergo LLPS

106   We used the DeePhase server [48] to analyze the primary amino acid sequences of all the

107   nonstructural proteins encoded by HuNoV GII.4 (Sydney) for their ability to undergo LLPS (**Fig.**

108   **1a**). This analysis predicted that RdRp, with an overall score of 0.67, has the highest propensity

109   to undergo LLPS. The known biochemical and enzymatic properties of RdRp are consistent with

110   this prediction. As a protein critical for the transcription and replication of genomic RNA, the

RNA binding property of RdRp satisfies one of the essential criteria for undergoing LLPS. Another requirement is the presence of disordered regions. Analysis by PONDR (**Fig. 1b**) [49] and IUPred2 (**Fig. 1c**) [50] software shows the presence of disordered N- terminal ~50 residues in RdRp which is consistent with the currently available crystal structures of NoV RdRps [51-54].

To experimentally confirm the LLPS driving properties of RdRp, such as the presence of a disordered region, and the ability to form oligomers, we purified recombinantly expressed GII.4 RdRp and determined its X-ray crystal structure at 2.0 Å resolution using molecular replacement (PDB ID: 8TUF). The crystal structure shows, as expected, all the features observed in the previous crystallographic structures of the NoV RdRps [51-54], including the disordered N-terminal tail of 50 residues. The polypeptide fold resembles a partially closed right hand, with fingers, thumb, and palm as subdomains (**Fig. 1d, Supplementary Table 1**). Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (**Fig. 1e**) and sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (SV-AUC) (**Fig. 1f**) of the recombinant RdRp show that while most of the RdRp (75 %) exists as a monomer in physiologically relevant conditions, a fraction of which also tends to form dimers (15 %) and higher-order oligomers. These experimental data, consistent with the bioinformatic predictions, suggest that RdRp is a suitable candidate to investigate further its phase separation behavior.

GII.4 RdRp undergoes phase separation to form dynamic liquid-like condensates

To experimentally validate that RdRp undergoes LLPS, we studied its phase separation behavior in vitro using light scattering experiments and confocal microscopy. Under physiological conditions, homogeneously mixed RdRp (10 μM) phase separates to form a demixed turbid solution when incubated at 37 °C for 45 min (**Fig. 2a**). The presence of condensates in the phase separated solution was examined by confocal microscopy using a mixture of Atto 488-labeled (1 %) and unlabeled (99 %) RdRp. The micrographs showed the formation of spherical condensates of micron diameter (**Fig. 2b**). We examined the liquid-like nature of these condensates by

assessing fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of the RdRp molecules. In this

experiment, the condensate was photo-bleached by intense laser light in a confocal microscope,

and then the fluorescence recovery of the photo-bleached area was monitored over time. The rapid

fluorescence recovery indicates diffusion of RdRp molecules. The FRAP data revealed a complete

fluorescence recovery (98 %) with a half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of 53.3 ± 2.1 s (**Fig. 2c, d**). Using time-lapse

confocal microscopy, we observed smaller GII.4 RdRp condensates fuse to form a larger

condensate (**Fig. 2e**). These condensates also undergo surface wetting and dripping (**Fig. 2f**). These

are also signature properties of liquid condensates. Our results clearly show that GII.4 RdRp

undergoes phase separation to form dynamic, liquid-like condensates, which are dense and high in

RdRp concentration yet allow the RdRp molecules to move freely within the condensates and

exchange with the surrounding environment.

Molecular crowding, pH and salt concentration modulate phase separation properties of GII.4 RdRp

To examine whether there is a critical concentration of RdRp necessary to undergo LLPS,

and how this concentration is affected by molecular crowding agents such as polyethylene glycol

(PEG), typically used to simulate intracellular molecular crowding, we used two different

techniques: light scattering experiments to measure turbidity (**Fig. 3a**) and confocal microscopy to

assess LLPS formation (**Fig. 3d**). Different concentrations (0.5-25 μM) of GII.4 RdRp were

screened with and without PEG3350 (5-20 %). Confocal microscopy results suggest the critical

concentration for phase separation of GII.4 RdRp decreases from 5 μM to 0.5 μM by adding 10 %

PEG3350. The results show that increasing the molecular crowding, as expected inside the cells

during virus replication, decreases the critical concentration of the protein to phase separate. Our

light scattering experiments with PEG3350 also supported this result.

Considering the possibility, as observed in several recent studies [55,56,57,58], that changes in

intracellular pH and salt concentrations profoundly influence viral replication, we examined if

these factors affect the phase separation behavior of GII.4 RdRp. We observed increased turbidity

at an acidic pH of 5.5 compared to neutral pH, indicating that acidic pH promotes phase separation

more effectively than neutral pH. In contrast, the turbidity was significantly less at an alkaline pH

of 9.0 **(Fig. 3b)**. Since turbidity measurement is affected by the condensates size, shape, and

density, we further performed confocal microscopy at different pH conditions (**Fig. 3e**). RdRp

forms larger condensates at pH 5.5 than at pH 7.5 and, at pH 9, the condensates are few and have

an irregular shape. In addition, at pH 5.5, the critical concentration of RdRp required to form

LLPS decreased to 0.5 μM from 5 μM at pH 7.5. Since the predicted pI of GII.4 RdRp is 6.16,

these observations suggest that positive charges from basic residues in RdRp are critical for

promoting phase separation.

We also tested the effect of ionic strength on the phase separation of GII.4 RdRp by

measuring the turbidity of the solutions containing varying concentrations of NaCl using turbidity

measurements at 350 nm **(Fig. 3c),** and by imaging the condensates using confocal microscopy

(Fig. 3f). These studies showed GII.4 RdRp undergoes phase separation at a NaCl concentration

as low as 10 mM, but not at concentrations >500 mM. The low ionic strength enhances protein-

protein electrostatic or π-π interactions, whereas high salt abolishes these interactions. Recent

studies have shown a similar behavior of the N protein of SARS-CoV-2 concerning pH and ionic

strength [28].

The disordered N-terminal region is critical for GII.4 RdRp LLPS

To validate the predicted role of the disordered N-terminal region of RdRp in forming the

condensates, we expressed and purified two N-terminal deletion constructs, GII.4 RdRp-NΔ13 and

GII.4 RdRp-NΔ51. Size exclusion chromatography of both deletion constructs shows a reduction

in their ability to form oligomers **(Fig. 4a)**. The CD spectra of both these constructs, showed two

distinct negative maxima at $\lambda = 208$ nm and 220 nm and a strong positive peak around 190 nm

indicative of an α-helical conformation and thus of proper folding of the deletion constructs **(Fig.**

4b). Confocal microscopy images show that while GII.4 RdRp-NΔ13 can form liquid condensates

(Fig. 4c), with a distorted and not spherical shape as compared with the full-length RdRp. The

distorted shape may be due to the weakened interactions resulting from the deletion of 13 residues,

which contain one aromatic residue. In contrast, deletion of N-terminal 51 residues (GII.4 RdRp-

NΔ51), which includes 5 aromatic residues, showed few and very small condensates that were

difficult to image. Our studies thus clearly demonstrate the flexible N-terminal region of GII.4

RdRp plays a critical role in its phase separation behavior.

GII.4 infected HIE cells show phase separated RdRp condensates

To investigate if RdRp condensate formation occurs in the context of viral replication, we

infected HIEs with GII.4 HuNoV and imaged the cells using confocal microscopy at different time

points post-infection. The confocal images show the presence of discrete and spherical condensates

of RdRp (detected by anti-RdRp antibody) **(Fig. 5a)**, which gradually increase in size over time.

We could not perform the FRAP/fusion experiments, in these virus-infected cells as these sample

needed to be fixed with paraformaldehyde and permeabilized for immunolabeling. In lieu of this,

we investigated if a recombinant GII.4 RdRp-eGFP forms condensates with liquid-like properties

upon transfection in HEK293T cells. Confocal microscopy imaging of the cells 24h post-

transfection showed round condensates formed by RdRp **(Fig. 5b)**. In contrast, cells expressing

eGFP alone, as a negative control, exhibit a diffuse distribution of eGFP **(Fig. 5b)**. The condensates

formed by RdRp-eGFP show recovery after photo-bleaching indicating their liquid-like nature

(Fig. 5b and c). Together, these findings provide supporting evidence that the observed

condensates in the virus-infected HIEs cells are formed via LLPS driven by RdRp.

GII.4 RdRp is enzymatically active within liquid condensates

Before investigating whether RdRp is active within the condensates, we used the centrifugation method to estimate the concentration of phase separated RdRp, which was ~2.2 mM, ~200 times higher than the surrounding dilute phase, measured at A_{280}. To examine if the highly concentrated protein in the condensate is enzymatically active, we monitored the formation of dsRNA from a ssRNA template using a well-established fluorescence-based assay in which the fluorescent dye SYTO9 binds specifically to dsRNA and fluoresces when excited at 485 nm **(Fig. 6a)**. We started the RNA polymerization reaction by adding rGTP (substrate) to a mixture which includes RdRp (enzyme), polyC (ssRNA template), SYTO9 dye and other reaction components. The reaction was performed in a 96 well glass bottom plate at 37 °C and imaged every 20 min using a Yokogawa CV8000 high throughput spinning disk confocal microscope. Analysis of time-lapse images shows an increase in fluorescence intensity within the condensates over time, suggesting the formation of dsRNA **(Fig. 6b)**. An increase in the fluorescence intensity was observed on the analysis of the total fluorescence intensity using CellPathfinder software **(Fig. 6c)**. Together these results show concentration- and time-dependent increase in the enzymatic activity of RdRp within the condensates.

GII.4 RdRp LLPS recruits the critical components of viral replication machinery

After demonstrating that RdRp can undergo LLPS to form enzymatically active biomolecular condensates, we investigated whether these condensates could sequester the critical components of the viral replication machinery, such as RNA and VPg, which functions as a primer to initiate replication [59,60]. To examine the incorporation of RNA into the condensates, we mixed equimolar amounts of Atto-488 RdRp andCy5 labeled RNA and imaged the samples using confocal microscopy. Confocal microscopy revealed colocalization of Cy5-RNA and Atto 488-RdRp condensates **(Fig. 7a)**. Next, we tested if RdRp condensates can recruit GII.4 VPg. We

generated a reaction mixture by mixing equimolar amounts of Atto-488 RdRp and Atto-647N VPg

that were analyzed by confocal microscopy. We observed co-localization of VPg in the GII.4

RdRp condensates (**Fig. 7b**). The same results were obtained in HEK293T cells upon the

transfection of RdRp-eGFP and mCherry-VPg (**Fig. 7c**), where we could also observe the fusion

of these condensates (**Fig. 7d**). These results suggest that GII.4 RdRp phase separation offers a

mechanism for bringing together components of the viral replication machinery.

Liquid to solid transition of GII.4 RdRp triggers the formation of amyloid-like fibrils.

To study the dynamics of protein molecules during the maturation of the GII.4 RdRp

condensates, we monitored the material properties of the condensates for 24h using FRAP. In

initial experiments, the FRAP data revealed a complete fluorescence recovery (98 %) with a half-

life ($t_{1/2}$) of 53.3 ± 2.1 s, which decreased significantly with time (**Fig. 8a and b**). These

condensates retained liquid-like properties for about 4 h and then transformed into gel-like or solid-

like condensates. The increased rigidity and reduced dynamicity of protein molecules within the

condensates suggest that, over time, these condensates change from liquid-like to solid-like, likely

driven by the aggregation of proteins. Negative staining transmission electron microscopy of the

phase separated samples after 12 h of incubation at 37 °C showed fibrillar structures of varying

lengths **(Fig. 8c)**. Surprisingly, these fibrils have amyloid-like characteristics, such as binding to

amyloid-specific dyes thioflavin T (ThT) **(Fig. 8d)** and Congo red (CR) **(Fig. 8e)**. Such a

transformation from liquid-like to solid-like amyloid fibrils might provide a mechanism to regulate

viral replication.

Discussion

In recent years, in addition to their demonstrated role in regulating various cellular

functions, biomolecular condensates formed via LLPS are strongly implicated in forming viral

replication factories/inclusion bodies [26,30,32]. For example, the viroplasms formed during rotavirus

infection, the sites for genome replication and sub-particle assembly, are considered biomolecular

condensates formed by the nonstructural proteins NSP5 and NSP2 [26]. In the case of SARS-CoV-

2, the N protein undergoes LLPS and sequesters components of the viral replication machinery [32].

Although replication factories (RFs) for HuNoVs are not yet characterized, murine NoVs form

distinct RFs [61]; however, the involvement of LLPS in these RFs is yet to be explored.   In this

study, we show that RdRp of GII.4 HuNoV undergoes phase separation under physiologically

relevant conditions to create liquid-like, dynamic condensates that contain a protein-rich, dense,

and highly mobile environment. Our studies further suggest that distinct puncta observed in GII.4-

infected HIEs, which are recognized by anti-RdRp antibodies, are similar to the liquid-like

condensates formed by RdRp in HEK293T cells. We posit that these puncta are RdRp-initiated

liquid-like condensates representing RFs. Bioinformatics analysis shows that RdRps of almost all

the norovirus genogroups have a high propensity to form LLPS (**Supplementary Fig. 1**),

suggesting that LLPS formation by RdRp may be a common phenomenon in the Norovirus genera.

Although LLPS formed by cellular [62] and bacterial polymerases [63] is known, this is the first report

of a viral RdRp undergoing LLPS under physiological conditions both in vitro and in vivo.

Unique aspects of HuNoV GII.4 RdRp induced LLPS – initiating a hub for viral replication

Typically, cellular or viral proteins require additional client proteins, crowding agents, or

RNA to phase separate under physiological conditions [35, 40]. For example, up to 50 μM of the

SARS-CoV-2 N protein does not phase separate but adding 1 μM poly U significantly promotes

the phase separation [40]. Similarly, 35 μM NSP5 of rotavirus does not phase separate by itself; but

requires the presence of NSP2 or poly-arginine for phase separation [35]. In contrast, GII.4 RdRp

does not require any other viral protein or RNA to phase separate. It can phase separate at 5 μM

by itself and at 500 nM by adding a molecular crowding agent. Another observation, which may

have implications for viral replication, is that RdRp undergoes LLPS at pHs ranging from 5 to 9.

Interestingly, at pH 5, the critical concentration of RdRp to form LLPS is reduced from 5 μM to

500 nM. This is consistent with the previous observations that GII.4 and GII.3 HuNoVs infection

induces endosomal acidification [45,64]. The ability of GII.4 RdRp to readily form LLPS on its own

under various concentrations and conditions suggests that it likely plays a role in initiating the

formation of a sustainable platform for efficient viral replication during infection.

284 RdRp condensates as viral replication factories

A critical aspect of biomolecular condensates formed via LLPS, which is relevant for

forming viral RFs, is that they concentrate protein, nucleic acid, and other biomolecules to increase

and regulate reaction rates. In our studies, we observed not only that the concentration of phase

separated RdRp is ~200 times greater than that in the dilute phase but also that RdRp is

enzymatically active in such a crowded environment, supporting the hypothesis that these

condensates could be sites for viral replication. The observation that these RdRp condensates

promote the cooperative association/sequestering of other important viral components of the viral

replication machinery, such as RNA and VPg, further supports these condensates as platforms for

viral replication. VPg is a critical protein involved in viral replication and translation of viral

proteins. A recent study on murine NoV suggested that apart from its priming function, VPg can

physically interact with RdRp and enhance its polymerization activity [52]. Our results on HuNoV

RdRp also suggest that VPg increases the polymerization activity of RdRp (unpublished data).

Similarly, it is reported that the condensates formed by the N protein of SARS-CoV-2 can

sequester RNA and RdRp to provide a platform for viral replication [65].

Another protein that possibly is a component of the viral replication factory is p41 (NS3),

a membrane-anchored protein with RNA helicase activity [66]. p41 may be recruited into the RdRp

condensates containing VPg and RNA either by passive diffusion or by interacting with viral RNA.

Although not unequivocally demonstrated, earlier studies on picornaviruses, murine noroviruses,

and other positive sense RNA viruses have suggested that replication factories are associated with

membranes [61,67,68,69]. The formation of LLPS as a potential hub or a driving force for forming the

replication factories does not exclude the incorporation or association of membrane-anchored

proteins and lipids. Recent studies have implied such an association could reduce the critical

concentration for inducing LLPS and further for forming microdomains within the membrane-

associated condensates [70,71].

Liquid to solid transition as a regulatory mechanism

Another common phenomenon of biomolecular condensates is the transition from a liquid

to a solid state over time. The FRAP analysis of the GII.4 RdRp condensates over time shows a

lower diffusion rate of the RdRp molecules in the condensates. Consistent with this observation,

TEM imaging of the RdRp incubated at 37 °C overnight demonstrated the formation of fibrils.

Interestingly, these fibrils bind to amyloid-specific dyes such as ThT and CR, indicating the

amyloid-like nature of these fibrils. RdRps of several picornaviruses also form similar fibrillar

structures [72-74], although whether they exhibit amyloid-like properties is still unknown. The

transition from liquid to solid state leading to the formation of amyloid-like fibrils is plausibly a

mechanism for regulating replication.   Another possibility is that these amyloid-like fibrils may

have a role in inducing cell toxicity, as shown in the case of amyloid-like fibrils formed by the

NS11 protein of SARS-CoV-2 [75].

In summary, our study reveals a previously unknown property of HuNoV RdRp in forming

biomolecular condensates. In addition to exhibiting all the properties for inducing the formation

of LLPS in vitro, we have shown that RdRp also readily undergoes LLPS in a cellular environment

that resembles the condensates observed in virus infected HIEs strongly implicating RdRp in

initiating and sustaining the viral RFs during HuNoV infection. Our bioinformatics analysis

suggests that this indeed may be a common phenomenon in most noroviruses. The implication of

RdRp in forming RFs also opens new targets for designing antivirals for HuNoV infections, which

remains a serious threat in children and immunocompromised patients.

Material and methods

Cloning, expression, and purification of proteins

Codon optimized RdRp and VPg genes of HuNoV GII.4 Sydney strain (GenBank: AFV08794.1)

were synthesized and cloned in the pET28a vector for bacterial expression by Genscript U.S.A.

Inc with an N-terminal 6x His-tag to aid in the purification of both proteins. For mammalian

expression GII.4 RdRp was cloned in pcDNA3.1(+) vector with eGFP on C-terminal and GII.4

VPg was cloned in pcDNA3.1(+) vector with mCherry on N-terminal by Genscript U.S.A. Inc.

Two N-terminal truncations, i.e., GII.4 RdRp-NΔ13 and GII.4 RdRp-NΔ51 were also generated

by Genscript U.S.A. Inc. For the over-expression of proteins, the plasmids containing the desired

genes were transformed into *E coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. Protein expression was induced by addition

of 0.3 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside at OD_{600} ~0.6. Cells were allowed to express

the protein at 18 °C for 16h with constant shaking at 200 rpm. Cells were harvested by

centrifugation at 4000xg for 30 min at 4 °C and the pellet was re-suspended in lysis buffer (20 mM

Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole and a cocktail of protease inhibitors) followed

by cell disruption using a microfluidizer. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation at

18,000xg for 45 min at 4 °C. The protein was purified by affinity chromatography using Ni-NTA

agarose (Qiagen) resin followed by gel filtration using Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column

(G.E. Healthcare) in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM DTT. All the target

proteins GII.4 RdRp, GII.4 RdRp-NΔ13, GII.4 RdRp-NΔ51, and GII.4 VPg were purified using

the above-mentioned protocol to a purity of >95% as judged by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue

stain.

Analytical Ultracentrifugation

To study the oligomeric state of GII.4 RdRp, sedimentation velocity experiments of 10 μM GII.4

RdRp were carried out using a Beckman-Coulter XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge with a TiAn60

four hole rotor and two-channel epon centerpieces (12 mm) at 40,000 rpm at 22 °C. Absorbance

scans were recorded at 280 nm at 1 min intervals. A continuous distribution c(s) model was used

to fit multiple scans at regular intervals with SEDFIT [76]. The solvent density (ρ) and viscosity (η)

were calculated from the chemical composition of different proteins by SEDNTERP [77].

Protein crystallization

Crystallization screenings for GII.4 RdRp (10 mg/ml)was carried out by hanging-drop vapor

diffusion using the Mosquito crystallization robot (TTP LabTech) and imaged using Rock Imager

(Formulatrix) at 20 °C. The protein was crystallized under the condition 0.3 M Sodium Iodide, 0.1

M Sodium Acetate: HCl, pH 4.5 22.5 % (v/v) PurePEGs Cocktail. Crystals were flash-frozen

directly in liquid nitrogen.

Structure determination and refinement

Diffraction data for GII.4 RdRp was collected on APS BEAMLINE 17-ID. Diffraction data were

processed with IMOSFLM as implemented in the CCP4 suite [78]. The structure of NV RdRP (PDB

ID: 4LQ3) was used as a search model for molecular replacement (MR) using PHASER. The

atomic model including the side chain atoms was then subjected to iterative cycles of refinement

using PHENIX and further model building using COOT based on the difference maps [79,80]. Data

collection and refinement statistics following final refinement cycle are given in Table 1.

Light scattering measurements

Light scattering measurements of GII.4 RdRp were carried out on a FlexStation3 plate reader

(Molecular devices) using a 96-well flat bottom plate (Fisher Scientific) by measuring absorbance

at 350 nm at 37 °C at a regular interval of 2 min. Different concentrations of GII.4 RdRp (0.5, 1,

5, 10, 25 µM) were screened at varying concentrations of PEG3350 (0, 5, 10, 20%), pH (5.0, 6.0,

7.5, and 9.0) and salt (NaCl) (0, 150, 300, 500, and 750 mM).

Fluorescence labeling of proteins

For microscopic visualization of condensates, GII.4 RdRp, GII.4 RdRp-NΔ13 and GII.4 RdRp-

NΔ51were labeled with Atto-488 and GII.4 VPg was labeled with Atto-647N dye. For labeling an

Atto 488 protein labeling kit (Sigma-Aldrich, 38371) and an Atto 647N protein labeling kit

(Sigma-Aldrich, 76508) were used. The manufacture's provided protocol was used. Briefly, 8

mg/ml RdRp, RdRp-NΔ13, RdRp-NΔ51, and 6 mg/ml VPg were dialyzed in sodium bicarbonate

buffer solution, pH 9.5 (provided with kit). Then the protein solution was transferred to a vial

containing the reactive dye dissolved in 20 µl sodium bicarbonate buffer and incubated the reaction

mixture for 2 h at room temperature while gently stirring and protecting the vial from light. For

separation of protein-dye-conjugates from free dye, manufacturer's provided gel filtration columns

were used to achieve good separation of the protein-dye-conjugates from excess free dye (size

exclusion limit: 5 kDa). The protein-dye-conjugates were eluted in phosphate buffer, pH 7.5

provided with the kit.

Confocal Microscopy

Protein mixtures of 1% labeled and 99% unlabeled proteins were made at a concentration of 10

µM. An aliquot (15 µl) of the protein mixture was spotted onto 15 mm depression slides and

sandwiched with an 18 mm coverslip followed by sealing of the edges of the coverslip with

commercially available nail polish and allowed to phase separate at 37 °C for 45 min. The

condensates were visualized by Nikon A1R-s confocal microscope with 40X- air objective and 1

Airy unit aperture.

Different concentration of GII.4 RdRp (0.5, 1, 5, 10, 25 μM) were screened at varying

concentration of PEG3350 (0, 5, 10, 20 %), pH (5.0, 6.0, 7.5, and 9.0) and salt (NaCl) concentration

(0, 150, 300, 500, and 750 mM using Yokogawa CV8000 confocal imaging platform.

Far-UV circular dichroism (CD) measurements

401 Far-UV CD measurements of RdRp, RdRp-NΔ13, and RdRp-NΔ51 were carried out using

402 Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy (J-1500, Jasco), using a 1-mm path-length quartz cuvette. Data

403 were recorded using a final protein concentration of 8 μM proteins in 20 mM sodium phosphate

404 buffer pH 7.5. The spectra were averaged over three scans and were blank subtracted. The spectra

405 were then processed using Spectra Manager™ CDPro (Jasco) and plotted using Origin 2018.

Negative stain transmission electron microscopy (EM)

GII.4 RdRp (10 μM, 50 ul) was incubated at 37 °C at a constant shaking at 200 rpm for 20 s after

every 2 min for a period of 12 h. For preparing negatively stained grids, 3 μl of the GII.4 RdRp

(diluted 10 times) was adsorbed onto a glow discharged grid carbon-coated grid (CF200-CU;

EMS) for 60 s. The grid was blotted with Whatman's filter paper 541 and washed twice with

deionized water with intermittent blotting. The grid was further stained with three drops of 2 %

uranyl acetate with intermittent blotting to remove the residual stain. The grids were air-dried and

imaged at room temperature using a JEM 2100 transmission electron microscope operated at 200

keV (JEOL) equipped with a LaB6 filament, 3kx4k Direct Electron Detector (DE12) and a Gatan

4kx4k CCD at the cryo-EM core facility, Baylor college of Medicine. The images were recorded

at 15k using a low-dose procedure and a defocus range of −1 to −4 μm.

GII.4 HuNoV infection of HIE monolayers

Five day differentiated monolayers were infected with 1×10^7 GE of GII.4 stool filtrate in

CMGF(-) for 1 h at 37 °C. After washing two times with CMGF(-), the HIEs were incubated at 37

°C for different time points (8-20 h). The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for

20 min at room temperature followed by permeabilization with 0.1% Triton X-100 (for

permeabilization) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. The cells were incubated with 1:150

dilution of rabbit anti-RdRp [81] in 5 % BSA PBS at 4 °C overnight. The cells were washed three

times with PBS containing 0.1 % Triton X-100 and incubated with goat anti-rabbit 488 (#A-11008,

ThermoFisher Scientific) overnight at 4 °C to visualize the viral proteins. The cells were washed

three times, and the nuclei were stained with 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (300 nM)

followed by subsequent Z-stack images captured using a Nikon A1 confocal microscope.

Mammalian cell line and expression

HEK293T (ATCC, CRL-3216) (2×10^5 cells per well) were seeded in μ-Slide 8-well glass-bottom

plates (Ibidi) in DMEM media and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Cells were then transfected with

respective plasmids and incubated 37 °C. After 24 h, the cells were stained with Hoechst 33342

diluted in FluoroBRITE DMEM at a concentration of 1 mg/mL, incubated for 30 min at 37 °C.

These cells were further used for confocal microscopy and FRAP experiments.

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

FRAP studies were performed using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Nicon-A1R-s) with a

40x air objective. A circular area encompassing an entire condensate, was bleached with 404 nm

laser (100 %) for 20 iterations and recovery was recorded for 300 s at 10 s interval. For in vitro

FRAP study, 15 μl RdRp (10 μM) was spotted onto 15 mm depression slides and sandwiched with

18 mm coverslip followed by sealing of the edges of the coverslip with commercially available na

il polish and allowed to phase separate at 37 °C. After droplet formation was confirmed, the slides

containing the condensates were subjected to FRAP experiments at various time points as indicated

in the respective sections. For in cell FRAP, the bleaching of ROI was performed at 70 % laser

power for 20 iterations and recovery was recorded for 500 s at 15 s interval. The images were

corrected for laser bleaching by selecting a fluorescent region outside the ROI.

Estimation of light phase and dense phase concentration

The concentrations of dense phase and light phase were estimated using centrifugation by

following a previously described protocol [82]. For dense phase concentration estimation, 100 μl

RdRp (10 μM) was incubated at 37 °C for 45 min and centrifuged at ~ 25,000 x g at 37 °C for 15

min. After carefully removing the supernatant in several steps, the pure dense phase (~ 2 μL) was

re-suspended in 100 μl of the denaturation buffer (6M guanidine hydrochloride, 20 mM sodium

phosphate). The absorbance at 280 nm was recorded using nanodrop. The light phase concentration

was estimated from the absorbance of the supernatant at 280 nm.

Fluorescence-based activity assay for GII.4 RdRp

GII.4 RdRp activity was measured using a real-time fluorescence-based assay, which uses SYTO9,

a fluorescence dye that specifically binds dsRNA but not ssRNA template molecules. Reactions

were performed in individual wells of black 96-well flat-bottom plates (costar). The standard

reaction contained GII.4 RdRp (5 μM), 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl2, 2.5 mM MnCl2,

40 μg/mL polyC, 5 U RNAseOUT (invitrogen) and 0.25 μM SYTO9 (Sigma-Aldrich). The

reaction was initiated by the addition of 300 μM GTP and the fluorescence was recorded after

every 2 min over 60 min at 30 °C using a plate reader FlexStation3 (Molecular devices). For

measuring the activity of RdRp in the condensates the same reaction was setup in 96 Well glass

bottom plates (Cellvis) and the fluorescence was recorded after every 4 min over 60 min at 30 °C

using the Yokogawa CV8000 confocal imaging platform.

Thioflavin T fluorescence assays

10 µM GII.4 RdRp was mixed with 10-fold molar excess of ThT and fluorescence intensity was measured using excitation wavelength of 440 nm and emission wavelength of 482 nm using a plate reader FlexStation3 (Molecular devices) at constant temperature of 37 °C and readings were acquired every 2 min with continuous orbital shaking between reads over a period of 24 h. The top of the each well was sealed using adhesive tape to minimize the rate of evaporation. Three independent experiments were performed in triplicates and the bars represent the standard deviation.

Congo Red Binding Assay

CR dye solution was prepared by mixing 7 mg/mL dye in and passed through 0.22 µm filter prior to use. The spectrum of CR (5 µL in 1 mL 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 5 mM DTT) was recorded between 400 and 700 nm at room temperature (negative control). 10 µM RdRp was incubated with C.R. for 12 h at 37 °C and the spectrum were recorded between 400 and 700 nm a plate reader FlexStation3 (Molecular devices)

Acknowledgments

This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science user facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. This work was supported by the NIH grant P01 AI057788 and a grant (Q1279) from the Robert Welch Foundation (to BVVP). We also acknowledge Integrated Microscopy Core and the CryoEM Core at Baylor College of Medicine for all the microscopic experiments. We acknowledge the Protein Characterization Unit, Protein and Monoclonal Antibody Production Core, BCM. GK was supported by the Cancer Prevention

and Research Institute of Texas grant RR160029 (to Xiaodong Cheng, MD Anderson Cancer Center).

References

Alberti, S. The wisdom of crowds: regulating cell function through condensed states of living matter. *J Cell Sci* **130**, 2789-2796 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1242/jcs.200295

Banani, S. F., Lee, H. O., Hyman, A. A. & Rosen, M. K. Biomolecular condensates: organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 285-298 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/nrm.2017.7

Boeynaems, S. *et al.* Protein Phase Separation: A New Phase in Cell Biology. *Trends Cell Biol* **28**, 420-435 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.tcb.2018.02.004

Fare, C. M., Villani, A., Drake, L. E. & Shorter, J. Higher-order organization of biomolecular condensates. *Open Biol* **11**, 210137 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1098/rsob.210137

Brangwynne, C. P. *et al.* Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science* **324**, 1729-1732 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1172046

Feric, M. *et al.* Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments. *Cell* **165**, 1686-1697 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.047

Kaiser, T. E., Intine, R. V. & Dundr, M. De novo formation of a subnuclear body. *Science* **322**, 1713-1717 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1165216

Alberti, S. & Dormann, D. Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Disease. *Annu Rev Genet* **53**, 171-194 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043527

Alberti, S., Gladfelter, A. & Mittag, T. Considerations and Challenges in Studying Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation and Biomolecular Condensates. *Cell* **176**, 419-434 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.035

Alberti, S. & Hyman, A. A. Biomolecular condensates at the nexus of cellular stress, protein aggregation disease and ageing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 196-213 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41580-020-00326-6

Fuxreiter, M. & Vendruscolo, M. Generic nature of the condensed states of proteins. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**, 587-594 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41556-021-00697-8

Hardenberg, M., Horvath, A., Ambrus, V., Fuxreiter, M. & Vendruscolo, M. Widespread occurrence of the droplet state of proteins in the human proteome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 33254-33262 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2007670117

Shin, Y. & Brangwynne, C. P. Liquid phase condensation in cell physiology and disease. *Science* **357** (2017). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aaf4382

Peng, P. H., Hsu, K. W. & Wu, K. J. Liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) in cellular physiology and tumor biology. *Am J Cancer Res* **11**, 3766-3776 (2021).

Zhang, H. *et al.* Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology: mechanisms, physiological functions and human diseases. *Sci China Life Sci* **63**, 953-985 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1007/s11427-020-1702-x

Kroschwald, S. *et al.* Promiscuous interactions and protein disaggregases determine the material state of stress-inducible RNP granules. *Elife* **4**, e06807 (2015). https://doi.org:10.7554/eLife.06807

Jain, S. *et al.* ATPase-Modulated Stress Granules Contain a Diverse Proteome and Substructure. *Cell* **164**, 487-498 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2015.12.038

Martin, E. W. & Mittag, T. Relationship of Sequence and Phase Separation in Protein Low-Complexity Regions. *Biochemistry* **57**, 2478-2487 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00008

Hughes, M. P. *et al.* Atomic structures of low-complexity protein segments reveal kinked beta sheets that assemble networks. *Science* **359**, 698-701 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aan6398

Maharana, S. *et al.* RNA buffers the phase separation behavior of prion-like RNA binding proteins. *Science* **360**, 918-921 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aar7366

Pak, C. W. *et al.* Sequence Determinants of Intracellular Phase Separation by Complex Coacervation of a Disordered Protein. *Mol Cell* **63**, 72-85 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.05.042

Choi, J. M., Holehouse, A. S. & Pappu, R. V. Physical Principles Underlying the Complex Biology of Intracellular Phase Transitions. *Annu Rev Biophys* **49**, 107-133 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-biophys-121219-081629

Uversky, V. N. Intrinsically disordered proteins in overcrowded milieu: Membrane-less organelles, phase separation, and intrinsic disorder. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **44**, 18-30 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.sbi.2016.10.015

Hyman, A. A., Weber, C. A. & Julicher, F. Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **30**, 39-58 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013325

Wei, M. T. *et al.* Phase behaviour of disordered proteins underlying low density and high permeability of liquid organelles. *Nat Chem* **9**, 1118-1125 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/nchem.2803

Geiger, F. *et al.* Liquid-liquid phase separation underpins the formation of replication factories in rotaviruses. *EMBO J* **40**, e107711 (2021). https://doi.org:10.15252/embj.2021107711

Wang, J., Shi, C., Xu, Q. & Yin, H. SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein undergoes liquid-liquid phase separation into stress granules through its N-terminal intrinsically disordered region. *Cell Discov* **7**, 5 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41421-020-00240-3

Savastano, A., Ibanez de Opakua, A., Rankovic, M. & Zweckstetter, M. Nucleocapsid protein of SARS-CoV-2 phase separates into RNA-rich polymerase-containing condensates. *Nat Commun* **11**, 6041 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-020-19843-1

Rahman, S. K., Ampah, K. K. & Roy, P. Role of NS2 specific RNA binding and phosphorylation in liquid-liquid phase separation and virus assembly. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, 11273-11284 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkac904

Brocca, S., Grandori, R., Longhi, S. & Uversky, V. Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation by Intrinsically Disordered Protein Regions of Viruses: Roles in Viral Life Cycle and Control of Virus-Host Interactions. *Int J Mol Sci* **21** (2020). https://doi.org:10.3390/ijms21239045

31 Reddy, V. *et al.* Birnaviridae Virus Factories Show Features of Liquid-Liquid Phase
Separation and Are Distinct from Paracrystalline Arrays of Virions Observed by Electron
Microscopy. *J Virol* **96**, e0202421 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1128/jvi.02024-21

32 Chau, B. A., Chen, V., Cochrane, A. W., Parent, L. J. & Mouland, A. J. Liquid-liquid phase
separation of nucleocapsid proteins during SARS-CoV-2 and HIV-1 replication. *Cell Rep* **42**,
111968 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111968

33 Wang, S. *et al.* Targeting liquid-liquid phase separation of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid
protein promotes innate antiviral immunity by elevating MAVS activity. *Nat Cell Biol* **23**,
718-732 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41556-021-00710-0

34 Wei, W. *et al.* When liquid-liquid phase separation meets viral infections. *Front Immunol*
13, 985622 (2022). https://doi.org:10.3389/fimmu.2022.985622

35 Kirk, M. D. *et al.* World Health Organization Estimates of the Global and Regional Disease
Burden of 22 Foodborne Bacterial, Protozoal, and Viral Diseases, 2010: A Data Synthesis.
PLoS Med **12**, e1001921 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001921

36 Mortality, G. B. D. & Causes of Death, C. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific all-
cause and cause-specific mortality for 240 causes of death, 1990-2013: a systematic
analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* **385**, 117-171 (2015).
https://doi.org:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61682-2

37 Bartsch, S. M., Lopman, B. A., Ozawa, S., Hall, A. J. & Lee, B. Y. Global Economic Burden of
Norovirus Gastroenteritis. *PLoS One* **11**, e0151219 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pone.0151219

38 Mattison, C. P., Cardemil, C. V. & Hall, A. J. Progress on norovirus vaccine research: public
health considerations and future directions. *Expert Rev Vaccines* **17**, 773-784 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1080/14760584.2018.1510327

39 Lopman, B. A., Steele, D., Kirkwood, C. D. & Parashar, U. D. The Vast and Varied Global
Burden of Norovirus: Prospects for Prevention and Control. *PLoS Med* **13**, e1001999
(2016). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001999

40 Chhabra, P. *et al.* Updated classification of norovirus genogroups and genotypes. *J Gen*
Virol **100**, 1393-1406 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1099/jgv.0.001318

41 van Beek, J. *et al.* Molecular surveillance of norovirus, 2005-16: an epidemiological
analysis of data collected from the NoroNet network. *Lancet Infect Dis* **18**, 545-553 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30059-8

42 Yang, Y. *et al.* Genetic and phenotypic characterization of GII-4 noroviruses that circulated
during 1987 to 2008. *J Virol* **84**, 9595-9607 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.02614-09

43 Campillay-Veliz, C. P. *et al.* Human Norovirus Proteins: Implications in the Replicative
Cycle, Pathogenesis, and the Host Immune Response. *Front Immunol* **11**, 961 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00961

44 Vongpunsawad, S., Venkataram Prasad, B. V. & Estes, M. K. Norwalk Virus Minor Capsid
Protein VP2 Associates within the VP1 Shell Domain. *J Virol* **87**, 4818-4825 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.03508-12

45 Ayyar, B. V. *et al.* CLIC and membrane wound repair pathways enable pandemic norovirus
entry and infection. *Nat Commun* **14**, 1148 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-023-
36398-z

46 Ettayebi, K. *et al.* Replication of human noroviruses in stem cell-derived human enteroids.
Science **353**, 1387-1393 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1126/science.aaf5211

47 Alvarado, G. *et al.* Broadly cross-reactive human antibodies that inhibit genogroup I and
II noroviruses. *Nat Commun* **12**, 4320 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-24649-
w

48 Saar, K. L. *et al.* Learning the molecular grammar of protein condensates from sequence
determinants and embeddings. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118** (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2019053118

49 Xue, B., Dunbrack, R. L., Williams, R. W., Dunker, A. K. & Uversky, V. N. PONDR-FIT: a meta-
predictor of intrinsically disordered amino acids. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1804**, 996-1010
(2010). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bbapap.2010.01.011

50 Dosztanyi, Z. Prediction of protein disorder based on IUPred. *Protein Sci* **27**, 331-340
(2018). https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3334

51 Deval, J., Jin, Z., Chuang, Y. C. & Kao, C. C. Structure(s), function(s), and inhibition of the
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of noroviruses. *Virus Res* **234**, 21-33 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.virusres.2016.12.018

52 Lee, J. H. *et al.* Insight Into the Interaction Between RNA Polymerase and VPg for Murine
Norovirus Replication. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 1466 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01466

53 Shaik, M. M., Bhattacharjee, N., Feliks, M., Ng, K. K. & Field, M. J. Norovirus RNA-
dependent RNA polymerase: A computational study of metal-binding preferences.
Proteins **85**, 1435-1445 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1002/prot.25304

54 Smertina, E., Urakova, N., Strive, T. & Frese, M. Calicivirus RNA-Dependent RNA
Polymerases: Evolution, Structure, Protein Dynamics, and Function. *Front Microbiol* **10**,
1280 (2019). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01280

55 Batishchev, O. V. *et al.* pH-Dependent Formation and Disintegration of the Influenza A
Virus Protein Scaffold To Provide Tension for Membrane Fusion. *J Virol* **90**, 575-585
(2016). https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.01539-15

56 Liu, H., Maruyama, H., Masuda, T., Honda, A. & Arai, F. The Influence of Virus Infection on
the Extracellular pH of the Host Cell Detected on Cell Membrane. *Front Microbiol* **7**, 1127
(2016). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01127

57 Jimenez, L. *et al.* Acid pH Increases SARS-CoV-2 Infection and the Risk of Death by COVID-
19. *Front Med (Lausanne)* **8**, 637885 (2021). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmed.2021.637885

58 Kreutzberger, A. J. B. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 requires acidic pH to infect cells. *Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A* **119**, e2209514119 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2209514119

59 Rohayem, J., Robel, I., Jager, K., Scheffler, U. & Rudolph, W. Protein-primed and de novo
initiation of RNA synthesis by norovirus 3Dpol. *J Virol* **80**, 7060-7069 (2006).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.02195-05

60 Belliot, G., Sosnovtsev, S. V., Chang, K. O., McPhie, P. & Green, K. Y. Nucleotidylylation of
the VPg protein of a human norovirus by its proteinase-polymerase precursor protein.
Virology **374**, 33-49 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.virol.2007.12.028

61 Hyde, J. L. *et al.* Mouse norovirus replication is associated with virus-induced vesicle
clusters originating from membranes derived from the secretory pathway. *J Virol* **83**,
9709-9719 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.00600-09

62 Palacio, M. & Taatjes, D. J. Merging Established Mechanisms with New Insights:
Condensates, Hubs, and the Regulation of RNA Polymerase II Transcription. *J Mol Biol* **434**,
167216 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jmb.2021.167216

63 Ladouceur, A. M. *et al.* Clusters of bacterial RNA polymerase are biomolecular
condensates that assemble through liquid-liquid phase separation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
A **117**, 18540-18549 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2005019117

64 Murakami, K. *et al.* Bile acids and ceramide overcome the entry restriction for GII.3 human
norovirus replication in human intestinal enteroids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 1700-
1710 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1910138117

65 Perdikari, T. M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein undergoes liquid-liquid phase
separation stimulated by RNA and partitions into phases of human ribonucleoproteins.
bioRxiv (2020). https://doi.org:10.1101/2020.06.09.141101

66 Li, T. F. *et al.* Human Norovirus NS3 Has RNA Helicase and Chaperoning Activities. *J Virol*
92 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.01606-17

67 Harak, C. & Lohmann, V. Ultrastructure of the replication sites of positive-strand RNA
viruses. *Virology* **479-480**, 418-433 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.029

68 Zhang, Y., Cao, X. & Li, D. Architecture of viral replication factories. *Oncotarget* **6**, 30439-
30440 (2015). https://doi.org:10.18632/oncotarget.5900

69 Zhang, Z. *et al.* Host Lipids in Positive-Strand RNA Virus Genome Replication. *Front*
Microbiol **10**, 286 (2019). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00286

70 Ditlev, J. A. Membrane-associated phase separation: organization and function emerge
from a two-dimensional milieu. *J Mol Cell Biol* **13**, 319-324 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1093/jmcb/mjab010

71 Miller, E. J. *et al.* Divide and conquer: How phase separation contributes to lateral
transport and organization of membrane proteins and lipids. *Chem Phys Lipids* **233**,
104985 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.chemphyslip.2020.104985

72 Lyle, J. M., Bullitt, E., Bienz, K. & Kirkegaard, K. Visualization and functional analysis of
RNA-dependent RNA polymerase lattices. *Science* **296**, 2218-2222 (2002).
https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1070585

73 Bentham, M., Holmes, K., Forrest, S., Rowlands, D. J. & Stonehouse, N. J. Formation of
higher-order foot-and-mouth disease virus 3D(pol) complexes is dependent on elongation
activity. *J Virol* **86**, 2371-2374 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1128/JVI.05696-11

74 Loundras, E. A. *et al.* Higher-order structures of the foot-and-mouth disease virus RNA-
dependent RNA polymerase required for genome replication. *Commun Biol* **5**, 61 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s42003-021-02989-z

75 Bhardwaj, T. *et al.* Amyloidogenic proteins in the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteomes.
Nat Commun **14**, 945 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-023-36234-4

76 Schuck, P., Perugini, M. A., Gonzales, N. R., Howlett, G. J. & Schubert, D. Size-distribution
analysis of proteins by analytical ultracentrifugation: strategies and application to model
systems. *Biophys J* **82**, 1096-1111 (2002). https://doi.org:10.1016/S0006-3495(02)75469-
6

77 Laue TM, S. B., Ridgeway TM., Pelletier SL. Computer-aided Interpretation of
Sedimentation Data for Proteins. *Analytical ultracentrifugation in biochemistry and*
polymer science, pp. 90–25 (1992).

78   Winn, M. D. *et al.* Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr*
D Biol Crystallogr **67**, 235-242 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1107/S0907444910045749
79   Adams, P. D. *et al.* PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular
structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 213-221 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1107/S0907444909052925
80   Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot.
Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr **66**, 486-501 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1107/S0907444910007493
81   Karandikar, U. C. *et al.* Detection of human norovirus in intestinal biopsies from
immunocompromised transplant patients. *J Gen Virol* **97**, 2291-2300 (2016).
https://doi.org:10.1099/jgv.0.000545
82   Milkovic, N. M. & Mittag, T. Determination of Protein Phase Diagrams by Centrifugation.
Methods Mol Biol **2141**, 685-702 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-0716-0524-0_35
716
717

719
720

721
722

Figure 1. GII.4 RdRp has the required properties to undergo LLPS. **(a)** Bioinformatics
analysis of the primary amino acid sequences of all nonstructural proteins of HuNoV GII.4 to
predict LLPS propensity using DeePhase. The dotted black line indicates the threshold LLPS score
of proteins to undergo LLPS. **(b-c)** The disorder prediction of GII.4 RdRp primary amino acid
sequence using bioinformatics tools **(b)** PONDR **(c)** IUPred2. The dotted black line in both the
panels indicates the threshold disorder score. The dotted pink circle in both the panels shows the
predicted disordered N-terminal region. (**d**) The cartoon representation of the crystal structure of
GII.4 RdRp showing the disordered N-terminal region colored in pink. **(e-f)** The oligomeric state
of GII.4 RdRp analyzed using **(e)** size exclusion chromatography and **(f)** sedimentation velocity
analytical ultracentrifugation.

Figure 2. GII.4 RdRp undergoes LLPS in vitro. (a) Phase separation of RdRp from a homogeneous mixed-phase into a demixed phase upon incubation at 37 °C for 45 min. **(b)** Differential interference contrast (DIC) and confocal images of phase separated RdRp (1 % Atto 488 labeled and 99 % unlabeled). Phase separated GII.4 RdRp possesses liquid-like properties. **(c)** FRAP of RdRp in condensate photobleached at the position indicated by the red circle. **(d)** The graph shows the recovery curve where normalized fluorescence intensity was plotted against time. **(e)** Time-lapse images of RdRp condensates exhibits formation of larger condensate by fusion of smaller condensates over time. The red arrows indicate the site of fusion. **(f)** Surface wetting and dripping using confocal microscopy. The red arrows indicate the position of surface wetting and dripping.

Figure 3. Phase separation of GII.4 RdRp is modulated by PEG3350 concentration, pH, and

salt concentration. Effect of **(a)** protein and PEG3350 concentrations, **(b)** protein concentrations

and pH, **(c)** protein and salt concentrations on the phase separation of RdRp was assessed by

measuring the turbidity of the samples at OD 350 nm. **(d-f)** A phase diagram of RdRp at **(d)** protein

and PEG3350 concentrations, **(e)** at protein concentrations and pH, at **(f)** protein and salt

concentrations constructed from the confocal microscopy images. The green boxes indicate LLPS

(condensate state) and white boxes indicate no LLPS (soluble state).

Figure 4. Effect of N-terminal deletion on phase separation of GII.4 RdRp. RdRp WT, RdRp-NΔ13, and RdRp-NΔ51 were analyzed by **(a)** The size exclusion chromatography profile **(b)** CD spectroscopy profile and **(c)** confocal microscopy.

Figure 5. RdRp forms condensates in GII.4 infected HIEs. (a) Confocal microscopy images of GII.4 HuNoV-infected HIEs at different time points post infection showing condensate formation detected by anti-RdRp antibody (green). Uninfected HIEs were used as negative control. Red arrows indicate the position of representative condensates. **(b)** Transfection of RdRp-eGFP in HEK293T cells showing condensate formation (red arrows). FRAP experiment was performed on one of the condensates (marked by red circle) which shows recovery of the RdRp molecules. The eGFP transfected HEK293T cells were used as a negative control where GFP is diffuse. **(c)** Recovery curve of normalized fluorescence intensity was plotted against time for FRAP experiment.

Figure 6. GII.4 RdRp is enzymatically active in the condensates. (a) A schematic
representation of the assay to detect the formation of dsRNA from ssRNA by RdRp using SYTO9
dye. **(b)** Microscopic images of time-dependent activity of RdRp measured by fluorescence
intensity of SYTO9: the upper panel contains all the components of reaction mixture. A time-
dependent increase in the fluorescence intensity of SYTO9 which is an indicative of dsRNA
formation within the condensates was observed. The red arrows indicate representative
condensates showing an increase in fluorescence intensity over time. The lower panel without
rGTP in reaction mixture serves as a negative control. **(c)** The graph of normalized fluorescence
intensity plotted against time shows an overall increase in fluorescence intensity.

Figure 7. GII.4 RdRp condensates sequester components of viral replication machinery Confocal images showing the recruitment of **(a)** Cy5-RNA and **(b)** Atto 647N-VPg into the spherical condensates formed by Atto 488-RdRp. **(c)** Colocalization (yellow) of mCherry-VPg (red) and GII.4 RdRp-eGFP (green) was seen on overexpression of these protein in HEK293T cells. In the above panels, the white arrows indicate the position of representative condensates and their co-localization with the components of replication machinery. **(d)** Time lapse images of site indicated by red box in panel C showing the fusion of condensates. The white arrow indicates the position of fusion.

Figure 8. The dynamics of GII.4 RdRp slow down with time in the droplet and leads to the

formation of amyloid fibrils (a) FRAP of condensates incubated at 37 °C for indicated time

points to measure the dynamics of RdRp molecules in the condensates. **(b)** The recovery graph

of the FRAP experiments performed in panel A. The results indicate a slowdown in the dynamics

of RdRp molecules over time. **(c)** Negative staining image of RdRp incubated at 37 °C for 12 h.

The red arrows indicate the positions of fibrils. **(d)** The kinetics of amyloid fibril formation of

RdRp was monitored at 37 °C using ThT fluorescence assay. **(e)** CR absorbance spectra in the

absence and presence of RdRp suggests a red shift in the absorbance maxima of CR in the

presence of RdRp.

841 **Supplementary Information**

843 **Supplementary Table 1**: **Data collection and refinement statistics**

	GII.4 RdRp
Data collection	
Space group	$P2_12_12_1$
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	83.07, 83.70, 90.72
α, β, γ (°)	90. 90, 90
Resolution (Å)	37.21-2.03(2.10-2.03) *
Wavelength (Å)	1.0
R_{sym} or R_{merge}	0.27(7.5)
$I / \sigma I$	8.4(0.8l)
Completeness (%)	99.86(99.27)
Redundancy	11.4(10.5)
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	2.03
No. reflections	41484
R_{work} / R_{free}	0.21/0.24
No. atoms	
Protein	3991
Ligand/ion	33
Water	158
B-factors (Å^2)	
Protein	44.09
Ligand/ion	56.32
Water	47.07
R.M.S. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.005
Bond angles (°)	0.67

844
845 *Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.
846

848 **Supplementary Figure 1. RdRp of norovirus genogroups has the propensity to form LLPS.**

849 Bioinformatics analysis of the primary amino acid sequences of RdRp of different norovirus

850 genogroups using DeePhase to predict LLPS propensity. The dotted black line indicates the

851 threshold LLPS score of proteins to undergo LLPS.