A distinct class of hematopoietic stem cells develop from the human yellow bone marrow

Tammy T. Nguyen[1,2,5], Zinger Yang Loureiro[3,4], Anand Desai[4], Tiffany DeSouza[4], Shannon

Joyce[4], Lyne Khair[1,2], Amruta Samant[1,2], Haley Cirka[2], Javier Solivan-Rivera[3,4], Rachel Ziegler[4],

Michael Brehm[2,3,4], Louis M. Messina[1,2], Silvia Corvera[2,3,4,5]

[1]Department of Surgery, Division of Vascular Surgery, UMass Memorial Medical Center

[2]Diabetes Center of Excellence, UMass Chan Medical School

[3]Morningside Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, UMass Chan Medical School

[4]Program in Molecular Medicine, UMass Chan Medical School

[5]Co-senior, co-corresponding authors at: silvia.corvera@umassmed.edu

14   Program in Molecular Medicine

15   373 Plantation Street

16   Worcester, MA 01605

ABSTRACT

Aging and metabolic diseases are accompanied by systemic inflammation, but the mechanisms that induce this state are not known. We developed a human bone-marrow organoid system to explore mechanisms underlying metabolic-disease associated systemic inflammation. We find that a distinct type of hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) develops in the adipose-rich, yellow bone marrow, which is known to gradually replace the hematopoietic red marrow as we age and during metabolic disease. Unlike HSCs derived from the red bone marrow, HSCs derived from the yellow bone marrow have higher proliferation rates, increase myeloid differentiation, skew towards pro-inflammatory M1 macrophage differentiation, and express a distinct transcriptomic profile associated with responsiveness to wounding. Yellow marrow-derived HSCs express higher levels of the leptin receptor, which we find to be further increased in patients with type 2 diabetes. Our work demonstrates that the human long bone yellow marrow is a niche for a distinct class of HSCs which could underlie hematopoietic dysfunction during aging and metabolic disease processes suggesting a shared inflammaging mechanism.

MAIN

The human bone marrow is a dynamic organ that is divided into two anatomic compartments; the red bone marrow provides the major hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) niche necessary for blood cell production, whereas the yellow bone marrow is a niche enriched in adipose tissue. The yellow marrow is first detected in the distal region of long bones by the age of seven, and progressively occupies more space within the long bone [1]. Conversely, the red marrow, an adipocyte poor tissue, occupies less space within the adult long bone and is gradually constrained

to the more proximal bone epiphyses during ageing[1,2]. The size of the yellow marrow is also

associated with several cancers, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic disease[3-9].

Aging is associated with increased HSC proliferation and myeloid-bias differentiation, which can

lead to hematopoietic dysfunction characterized by declining immune function [10-14]. A similar

pattern of increased HSC proliferation and myeloid-bias differentiation is observed during

systemic chronic inflammation[15-18]. Given that aging and chronic inflammatory diseases are

associated with expansion of the yellow bone marrow, we hypothesize that the yellow bone

marrow niche might promote inflammaging by promoting a hematopoietic process distinct from

that occurring in the red bone marrow niche.

Previously our laboratory developed a 3-dimensional (3D) *in vitro* organoid culture system to

study mechanisms of human adipose tissue development and function[19,20]. In this system, small

fragments of adipose tissue are embedded in a 3D hydrogel and cultured under conditions that

support angiogenesis from existing microvessels[19-21]. The development of new angiogenic

sprouts is accompanied by proliferation of mesenchymal progenitor cells, which remain in tight

association with developing vessels. Expanded progenitor cells maintain multipotency and the

capacity to differentiate into mesenchymal cell fates, including diverse adipocyte subtypes[22]. To

gain insight into the function of human red and yellow bone marrow niche on hematopoiesis, we

adapted this method to generate human bone marrow organoids from a diverse set of donors,

with the aim of understanding the complex interactions between HSC and mesenchymal

progenitor cells from two different bone marrow compartments that may differentially impact

human hematopoiesis[3,23,24].

We found that red marrow organoids generated from the trabecular epiphyseal region of the bone

and yellow bone marrow generated from the adipose rich diaphyseal region of the bone gave rise

to both mesenchymal progenitor cells and HSCs. HSCs did not develop from subcutaneous

adipose tissue outside the bone, demonstrating their specific location to the bone marrow niche.

HSCs from both red and yellow marrow could differentiate down the erythroid and myeloid

lineages and give rise to M1 and M2 macrophages. Unexpectedly HSCs from the yellow

marrow demonstrate a higher proliferation and differentiation rate when compared to HSCs

derived from the red marrow. Bulk and single-cell RNASeq reveal differences between both

mesenchymal progenitor cells and HSCs derived from red and yellow marrow organoids, that

point to distinct functional roles of hematopoiesis within each niche. These differences are

confirmed by direct analysis of excised bone marrow tissues. Moreover, these niche-specific

functions vary in subjects with or without type 2 diabetes. The technologies described provide a

new approach to advance the study of hematopoiesis and identify the human BMAT distinct

bone marrow niche for stress hematopoiesis with potential clinical impact.

RESULTS

Expansion of Human Bone Marrow Hematopoietic Stem Cell Types from Anatomically

Distinct Niches.

To explore the functional role of human bone marrow compartments, the red marrow (BMR), an

adipocyte poor tissue located at the proximal epiphysis of the adult long bone, and yellow

marrow (BMY), an adipocyte rich tissue located at the diaphysis of the adult long bone, were

collected alongside subcutaneous lower limb adipose tissue (BMS) from a diverse cohort of adult

donors (Fig. 1a-b, Extended Data Fig. 1a). Adipose tissue harvested from BMS and BMY have

similar unilocular adipocyte structure characteristic of white adipose tissue. Regions containing

small, dense nucleated cells were observed between adipocytes in BMY, but not in BMS, and

less frequently in BMR (Fig. 1c).

In order to expand these bone marrow niches, we embedded small fragments of BMS, BMY, and

BMR in MatriGel and cultured them under pro-angiogenic conditions[19,21]. *De novo* cell sprouting

was observed from all three tissue depots collected from seven different donors (Fig. 1d,

Extended Data Fig. 1b). After 14 days in culture, sprouting cells were recovered using Dispase

and Collagenase Type I. The cell number recovered was significantly higher from BMS

organoids in comparison to those derived from either bone marrow compartment, and similar

numbers of cells were recovered from BMY and BMR (Fig. 1e).

After plating in plastic, cells from all three depots had primarily a fibroblastic phenotype

characteristic of mesenchymal progenitor cells. Interestingly, BMY and BMR also gave rise to

small circular cells that resemble hematopoietic stem cells (HSC). These cells were not generated

by BMS-derived organoids (Fig. 1f). To test whether these small circular cells derived from

BMY and BMR truly represent HSC populations, we tested whether their expansion would be

stimulated by adding stem cell factor (SCF), interleukin-6 (IL-6), thyroperoxidase (TPO), Fms-

like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (Flt3), pyrimido-indole derivative (UM171 or UM729), and

StemRegenin (SR-1)[25-27]. The addition of these cytokines and small molecule stimulators for

HSC expansion increased the frequency of small round cells with morphological features of

HSCs, whiles not significantly affecting total cell growth (Fig. 1 g-h, Extended Data Fig. 1c-d).

Cells harvested from BMS, BMY, and BMR organoids were probed for HSC cell surface

markers (CD34$^+$, CD38$^-$, CD90$^+$, CD45^{low}) (Fig. 1i), using human umbilical cord blood (HUCB)

as a control. Cells with HSC surface markers expanded from BMR and BMY organoids and

from HUCB, but not from BMS organoids, and the relative number of HSCs expanded from

BMY was higher compared to BMR (Fig. 1j, Extended Data Fig. 1e-f). These unexpected

results demonstrate that HSCs are present in the two anatomically distinct bone marrow

compartments: BMR and BMY. Moreover, the expansion of HSCs from BMY was more robust

than from the BMR niche, suggesting that bone marrow adipose tissue may differentially

enhance human hematopoiesis.

Functional Differences Between Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells Derived from the Red

and Yellow Bone Marrow Niches

To directly compare the functional properties of cells derived from BMS, BMY, and BMR

organoids, we isolated CD34$^+$ cells using an enrichment bead purification method (Fig. 2a). The

CD34$^+$ enriched cells from BMY and BMR were small and circular, similar in size and shape as

HSCs derived from HUCB controls, suggesting that both are functional HSC niches (Fig. 2b-c,

Extended Data Fig. 2a-b). We then tested whether CD34$^+$ cells were indeed functional HSCs

through colony forming unit assays. CD34$^+$ cells from BMY, BMR, or HUCB formed both

erythroid and myeloid colonies, whereas CD34$^+$ cells from BMS formed neither (Fig. 2d).

Significantly, BMY yielded a higher number of erythroid and myeloid colonies per number of

CD34$^+$ cells compared to BMR (Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 2c-d).

To further determine the myeloid differentiation capacity of CD34$^+$ progenitor cells expanded

from BMY and BMR, we induced differentiation into downstream monocytes and macrophages.

Isolated CD34$^+$ progenitor cells from BMY, BMR, and HUCB cultures were induced into

monocyte differentiation with Monocyte Colony Stimulating Factor (M-CSF) and subsequently

induced into either pro-inflammatory M1 macrophage or anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage

with exposure to interferon gamma (IFNγ) or interleukin 4 (IL-4) and interleukin 13 (IL-13),

respectively (Fig. 2f). We observed that CD34$^+$ cells from both human red and yellow bone

marrow tissue can be induced towards monocyte and macrophage differentiation *in vitro*

similarly to CD34$^+$ cells from HUCB (Fig. 2g-h). Interestingly, we observed a reduction in anti-

inflammatory M2 macrophage differentiation among monocytes derived from BMR or BMY in

comparison to HUCB, and further reduction in BMY compared to BMR. The overall decrease in

anti-inflammatory M2 macrophage differentiation observed in bone marrow derived monocytes

may be donor source related, such as age (Extended Data Fig. 2e). M1 pro-inflammatory

macrophage differentiation from CD34$^+$ cells were similar when comparing cells derived from

either BMY, BMR or HUCB. Taken together, CD34$^+$ cells derived from BMY can form

erythroid and myeloid cells, and differentiate into monocytes and macrophages, similarly to

CD34$^+$ cells derived from BMR. However, CD34$^+$ cells derived from BMY have an increased

frequency of erythroid and myeloid colony formation when compared to BMR and trend towards

decreased anti-inflammatory M2 differentiation. These differences observed between CD34$^+$

cells derived from BMY and BMR may reflect specialized functional differences between these

two distinct bone marrow niches.

Differential Features of the Human Yellow and Red Bone Marrow Niches

To better understand the characteristics of the BMR and BMY niches, and how they may impact

hematopoiesis, we conducted bulk RNASeq of cells derived from BMS, BMY, and BMR

organoids cultured in the absence or presence of HSC cytokine stimulating media. Despite the

diversity of the patient cohort, varying in sex, age, race, and co-morbidities, the major difference

between differentially expressed genes among samples seen in principal component analysis

(PCA) was attributable to the depot of origin, with greatest differentially expression gene

variance between BMS and BMY+BMR (Fig. 3a). Similar clustering was observed for cells

grown in the presence of HSC cytokine stimulating media (Extended Data Fig. 3a). Moreover,

the addition of HSC stimulating cytokines and small molecules enhanced the expression of

hematopoietic genes in both BMR and BMY (Extended Data Fig. 3b-c). Samples from BMY and

BMR clustered more closely together than to BMS, and samples derived from BMY were more

dispersed in comparison to BMR and BMS, suggesting that BMY may be more sensitive to

donor-specific variables. Strikingly, pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed

genes between BMY and BMS cells revealed enrichment of immune and hematopoietic

regulatory pathways in the yellow bone marrow (Fig. 3b-c, Extended Data Fig. 3d). In contrast,

differentially expressed genes between BMR and BMS, cultured in either mesenchymal or HSC

cytokine stimulating media, were enriched in bone developmental pathways (Fig. 3d-e Extended

Data Fig. 3f).

Specific transcripts enriched in cells derived from BMY and associated with immune and

hematopoietic regulatory pathways included *DYNC1I1* (cytoplasmic subunit of dynein associated

with B-cell antigen presentation[28]); *PLA2R1* (phospholipase A2 receptor that regulates cytokine

production[29]); *LFNG* (lunatic fringe is a signaling regulator for T-cell differentiation[30]); *CD4* (

cluster of differentiation 4, marker for lymphocyte); *PGF* (placental growth factor, stimulator for

angiogenesis and bone marrow derived myeloid cell mobilization[31]); *CYBB* (component for

NADPH oxidase, loss of function in CD34$^+$ HSCs results in X-linked chronic granulomatosis[32]);

and *LEPR* (leptin receptor, associated with hematopoiesis and long-term engraftment[33-36]) (Fig.

3b-c). Specific transcripts associated with bone developmental pathways enriched in cells

derived from BMR included *BGLAP* (osteocalcin hormone, secreted by osteoblasts); *DLX5* and

DLX6 (distal-less homeobox 5 and 6, regulators of osteogenesis[37]); *SP7* (transcription factor

required for osteocyte maturation[38]); *BMP3* (bone morphogenic protein 3, a negative regulator of

bone density[39]); and *WISP3* (Wnt1-inducible signaling protein 3, associated with a human

autosomal recessive skeletal disease, progressive pseudorheumatoid dysplasia[40]) (Fig. 3d-e).

More pronounced differences and enrichment in genes associated with immune and

hematopoietic regulatory pathways were seen upon direct comparison between BMY and BMR

(Fig. 3f). This comparison revealed increased expression in BMY of *EMCN* (endomucin, a

marker for endothelial cells and HSCs); *CD93* (cluster of differentiation 93, a marker for B cell

differentiation and quiescent and immature HSCs[41-44]); *LBP* (inflammatory modulatory

lipopolysaccharide binding protein [45]); *CD36* (cluster of differentiation 36, facilitates fatty-acid

uptake and oxidation and linked to HSC stress-induced expansion[46,47]); *SYK* (spleen tyrosine

kinase, critical in immune signaling [48]); *ITGAM* (integrin alpha-1, required for HSC

expansion[49]); *ITGAX* (also known as CD11c/CD18, a leukocyte adhesion molecule that regulates

stress-induced HSC expansion[50]); *SIGLEC9* (sialic acid binding Ig-like lectin 9, expressed on

immune cells and facilitate cell-cell interaction[51]); *NFAM1* (nuclear factor of activated T cells

activating molecule 1, an immunoreceptor that regulates T and B cell development[52]); and

CXCL12 (CXC motif chemokine ligand 12, associated with quiescent HSC population

maintenance[53]) (Fig. 3f). These findings of immune cell development and hematopoiesis in

yellow bone marrow-derived organoids were unexpected since previous reports have ascribed

these functions primarily to the red marrow, whereas the yellow marrow is described primarily

as comprised of adipocytes and mesenchymal progenitors[3,24,54].

A key question raised by these findings is the extent to which BMY and BMR organoids reflect

the *in vivo* structural and functional features of the bone marrow. To address this question, we

performed bulk RNASeq on freshly excised BMS, BMY and BMR tissues, and compared their

transcriptomic profiles to determine whether differences between BMY and BMR organoids

would reflect *in vivo* features. Pathway enrichment analysis of genes differentially expressed

between excised tissues demonstrated enhanced immune and hematopoietic regulatory pathways

in BMY, and enhanced bone developmental pathways in BMR, similar to that found when

comparing cells from BMS, BMY, and BMR organoids (Fig. 3g, h and Extended Data Fig. 3h).

The similarities found between the transcriptomic profiles of BMS, BMY, and BMR tissues and

their respective organoids indicates that this system reflects *in vivo* physiology and comprises a

valid translational research model.

Distinct Single-cell Transcriptomes from Red and Yellow Bone Marrow Niches

To identify the cell types driving the distinguishing features of the BMY and BMR

hematopoietic niches, we performed single cell RNA sequencing. 9,376 cells derived from BMS,

9,691 cells derived from BMY, and 16,505 cells derived from BMR were collected. After

filtering out cells with low total sequencing count, high mitochondrial gene expression, and poor

nuclear cell lysis, 8,688 cells derived from BMS, 3,974 cells derived from BMY, and 12,307

cells derived from BMR remained and were used for subsequent data analysis (Extended Data

Fig. 4a-d). Based on Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), progenitor

cells from BMS organoids have distinct expression profiles compared to those from BMR and

BMY. We observed some overlap in expression signatures between cells derived from BMY and

BMR , but, consistent with our bulk RNA sequencing data, the majority of cells derived are

distinct (Fig. 4a). The majority of cells derived from BMS, BMY, and BMR organoids expressed

mesenchymal progenitor cell markers, and few cells expressed CD34, the canonical marker for

HSCs (Fig. 4b-c). These results are concordant with FACS and functional data shown above

indicating the expansion of both mesenchymal progenitor cells and HSCs expanding from both

anatomically distinct human bone marrow niches.

Consistent with our findings from cell enrichment experiments (Fig. 4c, Fig. 2c, Extended Data

Fig. 4e), analysis of single-cell transcritomes indicates that CD34$^+$ cells represent 1.7% of the

BMS, 0.94% of the BMY, and 0.48% of the BMR organoid cell population. However, functional

HSCs, capable of differentiating into blood lineages, developed only from BMY and BMR, but

not from BMS. Morever, monocytes developing from BMY differentiate into higher proportion

of M1 macrophages. To identify genes associated with the observed functional properties of each

CD34$^+$ cell population, we performed pseudobulk analyses. When comparing CD34$^+$ cell

trancriptomes with those of all CD34$^-$ cells in the population, CD34$^+$ cells from BMY were the

most different, with 363 genes differentially expressed (log2 fold change >0.5) (Fig. 4d-e,

Extended Data Fig. 4f-g). These genes were enriched in pathways corresponding to wound

responsiveness and hypoxia (Fig. 4f-g), and included genes associated with hematopoiesis, such

as, *SPARCL1 (SPARC like 1,* an extracellular protein involved in cell migration)*; PECAM1*

*(*platelet endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1, a marker for HSC[55,56]); and *CXCL12*, (a ligand

associated with quiescent HSC population maintenance[53]) (Fig. 4d-e). In contrast, CD34$^+$ cells

from BMR are enriched for immune and skeletal development pathways (Extended Data Fig.

4g). These results verify the HSC features of CD34$^+$ cells derived from BMY and reinforces the

concept of the yellow bone marrow as a hematopoietic niche distinct from BMR.

To examine the features of the CD34$^-$, mesenchymal progenitor cell populations in each bone

marrow niche, we compared CD34$^-$ cells derived from BMY and BMR (Extended Data Fig. 4h-

i). This analysis revealed 334 genes with higher expression in cells from BMY and 113 genes

with higher expression in cells from BMR (log 2 fold change > 0.5). Pathway enrichment

analysis revealed that cells from BMY organoids were enriched in immune pathways, aging, and

bone development, with specific genes including *IGFBP5* and *IGFBP7* (insulin growth-like

factors binding proteins); *MGP* (matrix Gla protein, an inhibitor of vascular mineralization[57]);

HGF (hepatocyte growth factor, involved in HSC mobilization[58]); and *LEPR (*a tyrosine kinase

receptor associated with support of the HSC niche[34-36,57]) (Extended Fig. 4h). BMR CD34^{-}

progenitor cells are enriched in glycolytic and telomere regulatory pathways (Fig. 4f-g), with

specific genes including *SPPI or OPN (*osteopontin, a secreted phosphoprotein that functions in

bone homeostasis, regulation of primitive HSC at the endoseal niche, and age-related changes in

HSC function[59-61]); *SULF1* (sulfatase 1, fibroblast growth factor co-receptor involved in HSC

differentiation[62]); and *ID3* (inhibitor of DNA binding, a transcription factor binding protein

associated with maintaining the HSC sinusoidal niche homeostasis[63]) (Extended Fig. 4i).   The

transcriptomic distinction between the human yellow and red bone marrow derived CD34^{-}

mesenchymal progenitor cells suggests that they may provide specialized niches for two

anatomically distinct HSC populations.

To obtain a holistic view of the potential function of each bone marrow niche, we searched for

pathways shared by both mesenchymal progenitors (CD34^{-} cells) and HSCs (CD34^{+} cells)

originating from the two bone marrow niches. Pathway analyses of genes differentially

expressed by CD34^{+} cells between BMR and BMY, and of genes differentially expressed by

CD34^{-} cells between BMR and BMY revealed a subset of biological pathways common to both

CD34^{+} and CD34^{-} cells within each bone marrow niche (Fig. 4f-g, Extended Data Fig. 4h-i). The

BMY niche is enriched in wound responsiveness and hypoxia pathways, with representative

genes including *LEPR*, *SOD2*, *ENG*, and *CXCL12* (Fig. 4h). In contrast, the BMR niche is

enriched in phosphorous metabolism, protein regulation, and vascular development pathways.

These data are consistent with a specific function for the BMY and BMR hematopoietic niches,

where the BMY niche is more sensitive to hypoxia and inflammatory processes.

Differences in the Yellow Bone Marrow from Subjects with Chronic Diabetic Wounds

To test the hypothesis suggested by pathway analyses that the BMY hematopoietic niche is

particularly responsive to hypoxia and inflammatory processes, we sought to determine whether

genes enriched in BMY differ between clinically different subjects. *LEPR* expression is

consistently higher in BMY when compared to BMR organoids (Fig. 5a) and is differentially

expressed in between the CD34$^+$ and CD34$^-$ cell populations (Fig. 5b). In mice LEPR has been

shown to be expressed on mesenchymal progenitor cells within the bone marrow and

hypothesized to maintain HSC quiescence in perivascular regions[17,34-36,64-68]. We first examined

whether differential expression of *LEPR* in BMY occurs *in vivo*, using BMS, BMY, and BMR

excised tissue. We detected *LEPR* expression in all donor samples (Fig. 5c, Extended Data Fig.

5a), with variability potentially attributable to donor specific variables including age, sex, race,

and diabetes status (Extended Data Fig.5b). We observed that *LEPR* transcript expression is

higher in BMY tissue compared to BMR tissue in donors with Type 2 diabetes. Moreover, we

also found a significant higher expression of *LEPR* in cells derived from BMY relative to BMR

organoids from donors with diabetes (Fig. 5d-e). These results are consistent with a distinct

functional role for the yellow marrow in hematopoiesis and in response to chronic inflammatory

disease.

DISCUSSION

The mechanisms for systemic inflammation associated with aging and metabolic diseases are

unknown. Both aging and metabolic diseases are associated with the phenotypic changes in the

bone marrow that may provide insight into the driving mechanisms for inflammaging. Several

studies have demonstrated a gradual expansion of the adipose-rich yellow bone marrow at the

expense of the trabecular bone red bone marrow niche during aging and metabolic diseases.[2,5,9,69]

However, the consequences of this dynamic change in the bone marrow on hematopoiesis and

stress response has not been systematically examined in humans. A major barrier to performing

comparative analysis between the red and yellow bone marrow niche is due to the fact that

obtaining cells from the adipocyte-rich bone marrow niche is technically fraught due to the

volume and fragility of adipocytes. In this study, we utilized a 3D organoid bone marrow culture

system to tested whether the yellow bone marrow niche might promote inflammaging by

promoting a hematopoietic process distinct from that occurring in the red bone marrow niche.

We developed a 3D organoid culture system to explore the respective properties of the red and

yellow human bone marrow niches. Using bulk RNA Seq and pathway enrichment analysis, we

find that cells expanded from organoids *in vitro* maintain distinct transcriptomic features found

in vivo, confirming the translational research potential of this approach. We found that expand

CD34$^+$ cells from BMY, despite vast differences among our donors' demographic phenotypes

(Extended Data Figure 2b), routinely resulted in a higher proportion of cells with canonical HSC

markers compared to BMR organoids (Fig. 1i-j). CD34$^+$ HSCs derived from the yellow marrow

could form erythroid and myeloid colonies more efficiently than those from the red marrow

under similar *in vitro* conditions (Fig. 2e). Intriguingly, the discovery of a relative increase in

CD34$^+$ HSC number with increased myeloid lineage differentiation from BMY mirrors the

342 hallmark phenotypes for aging HSCs and response to chronic low-grade infections.[11,14-16,70]

343 Given that aging is linked with expansion of the BMY niche and aging HSCs are accompanied

344 by reduced self-renewal ability, impaired homing, myeloid-biased differentiation, and clonal

345 expansion, it is possible that the BMY niche, unlike the BMR niche, is driving the age-related

346 dysfunctions in hematopoiesis.

348 The identification of functional HSCs within both anatomically distinct bone marrow tissues

349 suggest that there are two hematopoietic niches within the human long bone with potentially

350 distinct functions in human hematopoiesis. The transcriptomes of HSCs derived from the two

351 different niches reflect the BMY and BMR HSC functional differences. Enrichment analysis of

352 differentially expressed genes suggest distinct functions. For example, BMY-derived CD34$^+$

353 cells have an increased expression of *CD93*, a lectin-type transmembrane receptor important for

354 cell-to-cell adhesion and a marker for quiescent and immature HSCs [41-44], , as well as increased

355 expression of several extracellular adhesion proteins, such as SPARCL1, PECAM1, CLDN5,

356 and CXCL12 (Extended Data Fig. 4f). These features resemble those of quiescent and immature

357 HSC populations. Quiescent long-term HSCs can reconstitute the hematopoietic system

358 continuously, whereas short-term HSCs can sustain hematopoiesis for only a few weeks[54]. Aging

359 and chronic inflammation can lead to LT-HSCs increased myeloid-biased output, replicative

360 exhaustion, and poor response to infection.[13,70,71] It is intriguing to speculate whether HSCs in

361 BMY, which display enhanced expansion and erythroid and myeloid colony formation *in vitro*

362 may be enriched in a long-term HSC population that may be particularly sensitive to aging and

363 stress-response inflammation, such as in response to wounding (Fig. 4g-h).

Among the top differentially expressed genes in HSCs from the yellow marrow is the leptin

receptor (*LEPR*). LEPR[+] bone marrow cells have been reported to represent perivascular

mesenchymal progenitor cells important for HSC maintenance [34]. Moreover, we have previously

shown that *Lepr* knockout mice (Db/Db, mice) have delayed wound healing, and bone marrow

from *Lepr* knockout mice transplanted into wild-type mice is sufficient to impair wound healing

[72]. Notably, we find that *LEPR* expression is higher in both HSC and mesenchymal progenitor

cells derived from yellow marrow organoids. We verified that this enhanced expression seen *in*

vitro also occurs *in vivo*, as the relative expression of *LEPR* is higher in yellow compared to red

marrow tissue (Fig 5b). This difference is unlikely to be due to the presence of adipocytes in the

yellow marrow, as the expression of *LEPR* in vicinal subcutaneous adipose tissue was lower than

either of the two marrow niches. These data suggest that LEPR^{+} cells in the yellow marrow, both

MSCs and HSCs, may enhance active hematopoiesis during a stress response, such as wounding.

To further explore this hypothesis, we leveraged the diversity of our human cohort, which

includes diabetic donors with non-healing diabetic foot ulcers, to determine whether *LEPR*

expression in BMY is affected in diabetes. Not surprisingly, we found a wide variance in *LEPR*

expression between donors; nevertheless, when we normalized the expression of *LEPR* in the

BMY to that in the BMR niche within each donor we found a higher ratio in patients with

diabetes. These data are consistent with the hypothesis that the hematopoietic response within the

yellow bone marrow niche may specifically respond to stresses such as wounding and is

impacted by human metabolic disorders. Further experiments to directly examine the effects of

leptin on proliferation and function of HSCs and MSCs from human bone marrow niches will

help address this hypothesis.

In addition to HSCs, hematopoiesis requires support from multipotent mesenchymal progenitor

cells within the bone marrow. These cells act through secreted signaling factors and intercellular

communication within the niche. We find significant differences between mesenchymal

progenitor cell populations from the yellow and red bone marrow niches (Fig 3 and 4), where

cells from the yellow marrow are enriched in hematopoietic and immune pathways (Fig. 3c and

Extended Data Fig. 3d), while red marrow progenitor cells are enriched in bone developmental

pathways. These data suggest that yellow marrow mesenchymal cells may support active

hematopoiesis whereas red marrow mesenchymal cells may support maintenance of the

trabecular bone and less active hematopoiesis.

More granular differences between mesenchymal progenitor populations were seen through

scRNA Seq analysis of CD34$^-$ cells. Cells from BMY were enriched in genes implicated in

wound response pathways and responsiveness to low oxygen, while cells from BMR were

enriched in genes implicated in glycolytic pathways, presupposing a high oxygen environment

(Fig. 4f-g). Differences in oxygen tension are associated with distinct HSC subtypes, where early

hematopoietic progenitors with enhanced capacity for self-renewal reside in areas with low

oxygen tension, while areas of high oxygen tension are associated with increased mitochondrial

reactive oxygen species and depletion of the HSCs[73]. The transcriptomic features of MSCs from

the yellow marrow, suggestive of a low oxygen tension environment, are consistent with the

ability of this niche to maintain a quiescent HSC population with high capacity for self-renewal.

This enhanced capacity may explain our findings of increased proliferation and differentiation

exhibited by isolated CD34$^+$ cells expanding from the yellow marrow. In contrast, the red

marrow may be a high oxygen microenvironment that results in HSC depletion.

Our study is limited by several factors, the principal being the low number of amputees with or

without diabetes that can be reasonably compared given the large variation in factors such as age,

sex and other morbidities. We also recognize that we have not assessed the capacity of HSCs

from red and yellow marrow to reconstitute the immune system *in vivo*. This limitation will be

overcome with a better understanding of the variables that limit engraftment of HSCs after their

proliferation in culture, which is a known barrier in the field. The use of organoid cultures to

expand HSCs from bone marrow niches as well as from human umbilical cord provides a new

platform with which to address these outstanding questions. Despite these limitations, our results

strongly support that each bone marrow niche, BMR and BMY, play a distinct functional role in

hematopoiesis. Our technology helps overcome the paucity of information on the role of the

yellow marrow in humans, attributable to the general difficulties of studying adipocyte-rich

tissue, and to the difficulties in sourcing human bone marrow. Our results may help understand

the driving mechanisms for HSC dysfunction during inflammaging. Moreover, the identification

of the BMAT as a source of HSCs during stress conditions and metabolic disease may provide

potential clinical benefits for developing strategies to enhance effective hematopoiesis.

METHODS

Human Subjects: Lower extremity subcutaneous adipose tissue, yellow bone marrow adipose

tissue, and red marrow adipose tissue was collected from discarded tissue of patients undergoing

lower extremity amputation, with approval from the University of Massachusetts Institutional

Review Board (IRB H00020377).

Histology: All samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C and thoroughly washed with

PBS and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (10 μm) were mounted on Superfrost Plus

microscope slides (Fisher Scientific) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Tissue sections

were imaged using ZEISS Axio Scan.Z1.

Generation of 3D tissue explant co-culture system: Methods for the harvesting and the culture

adipose tissue explants were previously published (Min, et al., 2016). Human tissues were

donated from consented adult patients undergoing lower extremity amputation surgery at

University of Massachusetts Medical Center and were subjected to harvesting within one to two

hours. Explants of approximately 1cm^3 in size were embedded in Matrigel Matrix (Cat# 356231,

Corning) per 10 cm dish with EGM-2MV (Cat# CC-3156,CC-4147, Lonza) media

supplementation. The excised tissue was embedded in Matrigel (180 explants/10 cm dish) or (30

explants/6-well dish) and cultured for 14-17 days as described before. Addition of 100ng/mL

stem cell factor (SCF), 100ng/mL interleukin-6 (IL-6), 100ng/mL thyroperoxidase (TPO),

100ng/mL Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (Flt3), 35mM pyrimido-indole derivative (UM171

or UM729), and 0.75 uM StemRegenin (SR-1) was added to culture to promote HSC expansion.

After 12-17 days in Matrigel, the progenitors in explants were recovered using Dispase (Cat#

354235, Corning) for one hour followed by additional 14 minutes of Trypsin-EDTA (Cat#

15400-054, Gibco) and Collagenase I (Cat# LS004197, Worthington).

RNA extraction and quantitative PCR: Cells were placed on TRIzol (Invitrogen) and

homogenized with Tissuelyser (Qiagen). Total RNA was reverse transcribed using the iScript™

cDNA Synthesis Kit (Cat# 1708891, Bio-Rad) per manufacturer's protocol. Quantitative reverse-

transcription PCRs were prepared with iQTM SYBR Green Supermix (Cat# 1708882, Bio-Rad)

and were performed on a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad).

Amplification of human-specific genes using the following primers:

Primers	Forward	Reverse
Human RPL4	GCC TGC TGT ATT CAA GGC TC	GGT TGG TGC AAA CAT TCG GC
Human LEPR	GCT ATT TTG GGA AGA TGT	TGC CTG GGC CTC TAT CTC

Bulk RNA-Seq: RNA was extracted from dispase cells using the TRIzol method. The cell-

TRIzol mixtures were transferred to collection tubes and homogenized with Tissuelyser II

(Qiagen). Chloroform was added in a 1:5 ratio by volume and phase separation was performed.

The RNA-containing layer was mixed with an equal volume of 100% isopropanol and incubated

overnight at -20 °C for precipitation. RNA was pelleted and washed with 80% ethanol and

resuspended in nuclease-free water. RNA concentration and purity were determined using a

NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific). RNA for sequencing were sent to University of

Massachusetts Medical School Molecular Biology Core Lab for fragment analysis.

Single-cell library preparation was performed using Chromium™ Single Cell 3' GEM Library &

Gel Bead Kit v3 (Cat# 1000092, 10X Genomics) according to manufacturer's instruction. The

libraries were sequenced on the NovaSeq system (Illumina) using the NovaSeq® 6000 S4 Kits

v1.5 (35 cycles; Cat# 20044417, Illumina) performed by Azenta Life Science. The generated

.fastq files were loaded into the DolphinNext platform (https://dolphinnext.umassmed.edu/) and

the Bulk RNA sequencing pipeline was used[74]. The .fastq files were aligned to the human (hg19)

genome and normalized by RSEM (v1.3.1)[75]. Differential expression analysis was performed

using the DEBrowser platform (https://debrowser.umassmed.edu/)[76]. Pathway analysis was

performed by combining the Gene ontology analysis (https://geneontology.org/) and TopFunn

(https://toppgene.cchmc.org/) results. Sequencing results were submitted to GEO (accession

number: GSE231377). Sequencing results and analysis scripts will be made publicly available

upon publication of the manuscript.

Single Cell RNA-Seq: Single-cell library preparation was performed using Chromium™ Single

Cell 3' GEM Library & Gel Bead Kit v3 (Cat# 1000092, 10X Genomics) according to

manufacturer's instruction. The libraries were sequenced on the NovaSeq system (Illumina)

using the NovaSeq® 6000 S1 Kits v1.5 (300 cycles; Cat# 20028317, Illumina) performed by

Azenta Life Science. The sequencing outputs were processed using the CellRanger software

v3.1.0 on the Massachusetts Green High Performance Computer Cluster (GHPCC). Reads were

mapped to human reference genome GRCh19 (Ensembl 19). Data analysis was performed using

Seurat v4.1.0 within R version 4.0.2 environment[77]. Sequencing results and analysis scripts will

be made publicly available upon publication of the manuscript.

CD34 Positive Cell Enrichment: CD34$^+$ cells were enriched from *de novo* progenitor cells

collected from each respective 3D hydrogel tissue explant culture using the EasySep Human

CD34 Selection (StemCell Technologies, #17856). HUCB CD34$^+$ cells were isolated from whole

blood using Lymphoprep (StemCell Technologies, #07801) to collect mononuclear cells then

purified using EasySep Human Cord Blood CD34 Selection (StemCell Technologies, #17896).

HUCB CD34$^+$ cells were then seeded in Matrigel Matrix (Cat# 356231, Corning) for 14-17 days

for HSC expansion. After HSC culture expansion, HUCB CD34$^+$ cells in Matrigel Matrix were

then harvested using Dispase (Cat# 354235, Corning) for 40 minutes followed by additional 14

minutes of Trypsin-EDTA (Cat# 15400-054, Gibco) and Collagenase I (Cat# LS004197,

Worthington). HUCB CD34$^+$ cells harvested from Matrigel Matrix were subsequently enriched

using EasySep Human Cord Blood CD34 Selection (StemCell Technologies, #17896). Enriched

CD34$^+$ were then either used for FACS, colony forming unit assay, or *in vitro* differentiation.

Colony Forming Unit Assay and Monocyte/Macrophage In Vitro Differentiation: Enriched

CD34$^+$ cells were resuspended to a final volume of 1.5 mL with semisolid Methocult (StemCell

Technologies, #04404) and then plated in 6-cm dishes and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2.

Between 6 and 14 days, the number of colony-forming unit-erythrocyte (CFU-E) and CFU-

granulocyte-erythrocyte-monocytes-macrophage (CFU-GEMM), were scored under a

microscope.

HSC expansion using isolated CD34$^+$ cells were cultured in StemSpan (StemCell Technologies,

#09645), 1% Pen/Strep, 50ng/ml SCF (PreproTech, # 300-07-10UG), 50ng/ml Flt3

(PrepreoTech, # 300-19-10UG), 20ng/ml TPO (PreproTech, # 300-18-10UG), 20ng/ml IL-6

(PreproTech, # 200-06-20UG), 0.75 uM StemRegenin 1 (SR1, StemCell Techologies, # 72342),

and 35nM UM729 (StemCell Technologies, # 72332). Monocyte differentiation was induced

from HSC for 5-7 days in RPMI (Gibco, #11875093), 10% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, 50ng/mL SCF

(PreproTech, # 300-07-10UG), 50ng/ml Flt3 (PrepreoTech, # 300-19-10UG), 20ng/ml TPO

(PreproTech, # 300-18-10UG), 25ng/ml M-CSF (PreproTech, # 300-25-10UG). Inflammatory

M1 Macrophage differentiation was induced from monocytes for 24-36 hours in in RPMI

(Gibco, #11875093), 5% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, and 100ng/mL IFNγ (PreproTech, # 300-02-

20UG). Anti-inflammatory M2 Macrophage differentiation was induced from monocytes for 24-

36 hours in in RPMI (Gibco, #11875093), 5% FBS, 1% Pen/Strep, and 10ng/mL IL-4

(PreproTech, # 200-04-5UG) and 10ng/mL IL-13 ((PreproTech, # 200-13-2UG).

Flow Cytometry: Flow cytometry assays were performed on BD LSR II A-5. Viable cells were

determined with live/dead cell marker (Invitrogen™ eBioscience™ Fixable Viability Dye

eFluor™ 455UV, ThermoFisher Scientific #65-0868-14). The following fluorescent conjugated

antibodies at a 1:50 dilution were used:

BioLegend Cat#	
348803	Human lineage APC
304023	Alexa Fluor 700™ anti-human CD45 Antibody
343605	PE anti-human CD34
356607	PE/Cy7 anti-human CD38
328107	FITC anti-human CD90 (Thy1)
305013	APC anti-human CD64
333611	Brilliant Violet 421 anti-human CD163
321120	APC-Cy7 anti-human CD206

367115	FITC anti-human CD14

Flow cytometry data analysis was performed with FACS Diva 6.1 software and analysis done on

FloJo software (10.8.1).

HSC-induced Differentiation Towards Monocytes and Macrophages: CD34 positive cells

enriched using magnet beads (StemCell) were cultured in complete RPMI 1640 medium (10%

FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 U/ml streptomycin, with 50 ng/ml SCF, 20 ng/ml TPO, and 50

ng/ml Flt3 with 25 ng/ml M-CSF (Peprotech). After 5-7 days, cells were induced to differentiate

towards M1 macrophage by changing the medium to the M1 induction medium (complete RPMI

1640 medium, 5% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 U/ml streptomycin, and 5 ng/mL IFN-gamma

for 24-36 hours. Cells were then collected and stained FACS analysis. Stained cells were run

through a BD FACSCalibur flow cytometer and data were analyzed using the FlowJo software

(VERSION). All the cytokines were purchased from Peprotech and all the antibodies were

purchased from BD Biosciences.

Statistical analysis: Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.2. In analyses

where multiple groups were compared, a one-way or two-way parametric ANOVA was used

with subsequent adjustments for multiple comparisons. Two-tailed statistical tests were always

used. All exact P values <0.05 are shown.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by NIH grants DK089101 and DK123028 to SC, Vascular and

Endovascular Society Early Career Award, Wylie Scholar Award, and 1K08DK134k52 to TTN.

We acknowledge the use of services from the following UMASS cores: Flow Cytometry Core,

Molecular Biology Core, and Morphology Core.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TTN: hypothesis generation, conceptual design, experiment design and performance, data

analysis, manuscript preparation. ZYL: conceptual design, experiment design and performance,

data analysis, manuscript preparation. AD: experiment design and performance, data analysis,

manuscript preparation. TD: experiment design and performance, data analysis, manuscript

preparation. SJ: experiment design and performance, data analysis, manuscript preparation. SF:

experiment design and performance, data analysis, manuscript preparation. LK: experiment

design and performance, manuscript preparation. HC: experiment design and performance, data

analysis, manuscript preparation. AS: experiment design and performance, manuscript

preparation. JSR: conceptual design, manuscript preparation. MB: conceptual design, manuscript

preparation. LMM: conceptual design. SC: supervision of work, hypothesis generation,

conceptual design, manuscript preparation.

FIGURES and LEGENDS

FIGURE 1

 Fig. 1. Hematopoietic stem cell expansion from human bone organoid cultures. a)

 Schematic of lower extremity bone with anatomic location of red marrow (BMR), yellow

 marrow (BMY), and subcutaneous adipose tissue (BMS). **b**) Representative gross images of

harvested tissue from each depot. **c)** Representative hematoxylin and eosin image of harvested

tissue from each depot. Scale bar 200 μm. **d)** Representative images of BMS, BMY, and BMR

tissue after 3 days and 14 days in 3D organoid culture. Scare bar 500 μm. **e)** Average number of

cells per explant per tissue depot. Values are number of cells recovered divided by number of

explants seeded, for each of n=7 donors. Error bars = SD. Statistical significance was calculated

using ordinary 2-way ANOVA as implemented in GraphPad Prism v9.2, and exact P values are

shown. **f)** Representative images of cells harvested from organoid cultures after plating on

plastic. Arrows indicate circular round cells. Scale bar 100 μm. **g)** Representative images of

organoid cultures after 14 days under HSC medium. Scale bar 500 μm. **h)** Number of cells per

explant after 14 days of culture in mesenchymal (MSC) or hematopoietic (HSC) proliferative

media conditions. Values are number of cells recovered divided by number of explants seeded,

for each of n=7 and n=17 donors for MSC and HSC conditions. Error bars = SD, Statistical

significance was calculated using ordinary 2-way ANOVA. **i)** Representative FACS analysis of

cells generated from BMY and BMR organoids in comparison to HUCB cultured under identical

conditions. Cell populations circled express canonical HSC cell surface markers ($CD34^+CD38^-$,

$CD34^+CD90^+$, and $CD34^+CD45^{low}$). **j)** Quantification of cells positive for canonical HSC FACS

markers developing from BMS, BMY and BMR from n=3 donors. To correct for the variation in

the number of cells used for FACS for each donor, the number of cells from BMR and BMS is

expressed as a percent of the number of cells from BMY. Error bars = SD. Statistical

significance was calculated using ordinary 2-way ANOVA, and exact P values are shown.

FIGURE 2

Fig. 2. Similar functional properties of HSCs derived from red or yellow marrow. a)

Schematic of process for collection of CD34+ cells, showing collection sites for BMS, BMY,

and BMR explants. After 14-16 days in 3D organoid culture, a single cell suspension is obtained

by enzymatic digestion and CD34$^+$ cells purified using antibody coated magnetic beads. **b**)

Representative images of CD34$^+$ cells purified from BMS, BMY, BMR, and HUCB. Scale bar =

100μm. **c**) Number of CD34$^+$ cells obtained from BMS, BMY, BMR, expressed as percent of

total single cells. **d**) Representative images of colony forming units formed by CD34$^+$ cells

derived from BMS, BMY and BMR tissue explants or HUCB. Black arrows indicate erythroid

colonies and white arrows indicate myeloid colonies. Scale bar =10μm. **e**) Comparison of

colony forming units per thousand CD34$^+$ cells, where BMY is set to 100% for each biologically

independent sample. Darker colored bars represent erythroid colonies (CFU-E) and lighter

colored bars represent granulocyte, erythrocyte, monocyte, macrophage (CFU-GEMM) colonies.

Plotted are means and SD of samples from n = 4 donors. Statistical significance was calculated

using 2-way ANOVA, and exact P-values are shown. **f**) Schematic of differentiation protocol

from HSCs to monocytes and subsequently to macrophages. **g**) Representative FACS analysis of

HSCs from BMR, BMY and HUCB at different stages of differentiation into the indicated cell

type, delineated by ovals. HSC are defined as CD34$^+$CD38$^-$, monocytes as CD45$^+$CD14$^+$, M1

macrophages as CD45$^+$CD64$^+$ and M2 macrophages as CD45$^+$CD163$^+$. **h**) Quantification of

FACS results from n=3 donors, where bars represent mean and SD of the percentage of the

indicated cell type.

FIGURE 3

Fig. 3. Transcriptomic features of the human yellow and red bone marrow niches. Panels **a-h** are results from cells derived from BMS, BMY and BMR organoids cultured in mesenchymal media from n=4 subjects. Panels **i** and **j** are results from BMS, BMY and BMR tissue collected from n=2 subjects. **a**) Principal component analysis of differentially expressed genes between BMS vs (BMY + BMR) (n=4 human donors). **b**) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes

between cells derived from BMY vs BMS organoids. **c**) Pathway enrichment analysis of genes

differentially expressed between cells derived from BMY compared to BMS. q-Bonferroni value

cut off <0.05 and fold change >2. **d**) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes between cells

derived from BMR vs BMS organoids. **e**) Pathway enrichment analysis of genes differentially

expressed between cells derived from BMR compared to BMS. q-Bonferroni value cut off <0.05

and fold change >2. **f**) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes between cells derived from

BMY vs BMR. **g**) Pathway enrichment analysis (GO: Biological Process) of genes more highly

expressed in BMY vs BMR. Immune system pathways are indicated in blue. **h**) Pathway

enrichment analysis (GO: Biological Process) of genes more highly expressed in BMR vs BMY.

Bone development pathways are indicated in green. **i-j**) Pathway enrichment analysis (GO:

Biological Process) of genes differentially expressed between BMY vs BMS (i) or BMR vs BMS

(j) tissues, selected from top 30 pathways.

FIGURE 4

Fig. 4. Distinct human HSC and mesenchymal progenitor cell populations derived from

BMY and BMR niches. a) Dimensional reduction plot of single cell sequencing data, using

Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), (n=1 human donor). **b**) Cells

expressing *THY1 (CD90), ENG (CD105),* and *NT5E (CD73)* mapped onto the UMAP

representation shown in (A) with cells expressing the indicated genes highlighted in purple. **c)**

Cells expressing *CD34* mapped onto the UMAP representation shown in (a), with table below

indicating number of CD34$^+$ cells in BMS, BMY, and BMR tissue depot with corresponding cell

population percentages. **d)** Differential gene expresssion distribution between CD34$^+$ and CD34$^-$

cells derived from either BMR or BMY bone marrow niches. **e)** Violin plots of the top 18 genes

differentially expressed between CD34$^+$ and CD34$^-$ cells in BMS, BMY, and BMR. **f)** Venn

diagram identifying pathways characterizing the BMR niche, consisting in those pathways

enriched by genes differentially expressed between BMY vs BMR using CD34+ (left) or CD34-

cells (right). Pathways with q-Bonferroni value cut off <0.05 and fold change >2 are shown. **g)**

Venn diagram identifying pathways characterizing the BMY niche, consisting in those pathways

enriched by genes differentially expressed between BMY vs BMR using CD34+ (left) or CD34-

cells (right). Pathways with q-Bonferroni value cut off <0.05 and fold change >2 are shown. **h)**

Dot plot of genes expressed in CD34$^+$cells and CD34$^-$ cells derived from BMY and BMR that

enrich the wounding (GO:0009611) or response to hypoxia (GO:0001666) pathways.

 FIGURE 5

Fig. 5. Leptin receptor in BMS, BMY and BMR tissue and tissue-derived cells. a) Leptin

receptor (*LEPR*) expression derived from bulk RNASeq of cells from BMS, BMY and BMR.

Plotted are means and SD of values from n=4 donors. Statistical significance was calculated

using one-way ANOVA, and exact P values are indicated. **b)** Violin plot of *LEPR* expression in

single cells from BMR, BMY, and BMS from a single donor. **c)** *LEPR* expression determined by

RT-PCR from BMS, BMY, and BMR tissue excised from n=6 donors. Bars represent the mean

and SD relative to lowest value in the dataset. **d)** Leptin receptor (*LEPR*) expression values

derived from bulk RNASeq of cells expanded from BMS, BMY and BMR from donors with no

diabetes (Non-DM) or diabetes (DM). Bars are means and SD from n=2 Non-DM and 2 DM.

Statistical significance was calculated using two-way ANOVA. **e)** *LEPR* expression determined

by RT-PCR from BMY and BMR tissue excised from donors with or without diabetes. Data

points are the ratio of values in BMY/BMR for each subject. Bars are means and SD of n=3

donors for Non-DM and n=3 donors for DM groups. Exact P values are shown.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1   Kricun, M. E. Red-yellow marrow conversion: its effect on the location of some solitary

bone lesions. *Skeletal Radiol* **14**, 10-19, doi:10.1007/BF00361188 (1985).

2   Moore, S. G. & Dawson, K. L. Red and yellow marrow in the femur: age-related changes

in appearance at MR imaging. *Radiology* **175**, 219-223,

doi:10.1148/radiology.175.1.2315484 (1990).

3   Ambrosi, T. H. & Schulz, T. J. The emerging role of bone marrow adipose tissue in bone

health and dysfunction. *J Mol Med (Berl)* **95**, 1291-1301, doi:10.1007/s00109-017-1604-

7 (2017).

4   Ferraro, F. *et al.* Diabetes impairs hematopoietic stem cell mobilization by altering niche

function. *Sci Transl Med* **3**, 104ra101, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3002191 (2011).

5   Krings, A. *et al.* Bone marrow fat has brown adipose tissue characteristics, which are

attenuated with aging and diabetes. *Bone* **50**, 546-552, doi:10.1016/j.bone.2011.06.016

(2012).

6   Lecka-Czernik, B. Marrow fat metabolism is linked to the systemic energy metabolism.

Bone **50**, 534-539, doi:10.1016/j.bone.2011.06.032 (2012).

7   Luo, L. G., Xiong, F., Ravassard, P. & Luo, J. Z. Human Bone Marrow Subpopulations

Sustain Human Islet Function and Viability In vitro. *Br J Med Med Res* **8**, 576-587,

doi:10.9734/BJMMR/2015/17536 (2015).

8   van den Berg, S. M. *et al.* Diet-induced obesity in mice diminishes hematopoietic stem

and progenitor cells in the bone marrow. *FASEB J* **30**, 1779-1788,

doi:10.1096/fj.201500175 (2016).

9   Sebo, Z. L. *et al.* Bone Marrow Adiposity: Basic and Clinical Implications. *Endocr Rev*

40, 1187-1206, doi:10.1210/er.2018-00138 (2019).

10   Beerman, I. *et al.* Functionally distinct hematopoietic stem cells modulate hematopoietic

lineage potential during aging by a mechanism of clonal expansion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*

S A **107**, 5465-5470, doi:10.1073/pnas.1000834107 (2010).

11   Kuranda, K. *et al.* Age-related changes in human hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells.

Aging Cell **10**, 542-546, doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00675.x (2011).

12   Li, X. *et al.* Mechanisms and rejuvenation strategies for aged hematopoietic stem cells. *J*

Hematol Oncol **13**, 31, doi:10.1186/s13045-020-00864-8 (2020).

13   Morrison, S. J., Wandycz, A. M., Akashi, K., Globerson, A. & Weissman, I. L. The aging

of hematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Med* **2**, 1011-1016, doi:10.1038/nm0996-1011 (1996).

14   Pang, W. W., Schrier, S. L. & Weissman, I. L. Age-associated changes in human

hematopoietic stem cells. *Semin Hematol* **54**, 39-42,

doi:10.1053/j.seminhematol.2016.10.004 (2017).

15   Kovtonyuk, L. V., Fritsch, K., Feng, X., Manz, M. G. & Takizawa, H. Inflamm-Aging of

Hematopoiesis, Hematopoietic Stem Cells, and the Bone Marrow Microenvironment.

Front Immunol **7**, 502, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00502 (2016).

16   Sioud, M., Floisand, Y., Forfang, L. & Lund-Johansen, F. Signaling through toll-like

receptor 7/8 induces the differentiation of human bone marrow CD34+ progenitor cells

along the myeloid lineage. *J Mol Biol* **364**, 945-954, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2006.09.054

(2006).

17   Laharrague, P. *et al.* High concentration of leptin stimulates myeloid differentiation from

human bone marrow CD34+ progenitors: potential involvement in leukocytosis of obese

subjects. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **24**, 1212-1216, doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801377

(2000).

18   Singer, K. *et al.* Diet-induced obesity promotes myelopoiesis in hematopoietic stem cells.

Mol Metab **3**, 664-675, doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2014.06.005 (2014).

19   Rojas-Rodriguez, R. *et al.* Generation of Functional Human Adipose Tissue in Mice from

Primed Progenitor Cells. *Tissue Eng Part A* **25**, 842-854,

doi:10.1089/ten.TEA.2018.0067 (2019).

20   Min, S. Y. *et al.* Human 'brite/beige' adipocytes develop from capillary networks, and

their implantation improves metabolic homeostasis in mice. *Nat Med* **22**, 312-318,

doi:10.1038/nm.4031 (2016).

21   Rojas-Rodriguez, R. *et al.* Adipose tissue angiogenesis assay. *Methods Enzymol* **537**, 75-

91, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-411619-1.00005-7 (2014).

22   Min, S. Y. *et al.* Diverse repertoire of human adipocyte subtypes develops from

transcriptionally distinct mesenchymal progenitor cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**,

17970-17979, doi:10.1073/pnas.1906512116 (2019).

23   Tratwal, J., Rojas-Sutterlin, S., Bataclan, C., Blum, S. & Naveiras, O. Bone marrow

adiposity and the hematopoietic niche: A historical perspective of reciprocity,

heterogeneity, and lineage commitment. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* **35**,

101564, doi:10.1016/j.beem.2021.101564 (2021).

24   Naveiras, O. *et al.* Bone-marrow adipocytes as negative regulators of the haematopoietic

microenvironment. *Nature* **460**, 259-263, doi:10.1038/nature08099 (2009).

25   Tao, L., Togarrati, P. P., Choi, K. D. & Suknuntha, K. StemRegenin 1 selectively

promotes expansion of Multipotent Hematopoietic Progenitors derived from Human

Embryonic Stem Cells. *J Stem Cells Regen Med* **13**, 75-79 (2017).

26   Fares, I. *et al.* Cord blood expansion. Pyrimidoindole derivatives are agonists of human

hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal. *Science* **345**, 1509-1512,

doi:10.1126/science.1256337 (2014).

27   Tajer, P., Pike-Overzet, K., Arias, S., Havenga, M. & Staal, F. J. T. Ex Vivo Expansion

of Hematopoietic Stem Cells for Therapeutic Purposes: Lessons from Development and

the Niche. *Cells* **8**, doi:10.3390/cells8020169 (2019).

28   Schnyder, T. *et al.* B cell receptor-mediated antigen gathering requires ubiquitin ligase

Cbl and adaptors Grb2 and Dok-3 to recruit dynein to the signaling microcluster.

Immunity **34**, 905-918, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2011.06.001 (2011).

29   Nolin, J. D. *et al.* Identification of Epithelial Phospholipase A(2) Receptor 1 as a

800   Potential Target in Asthma. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* **55**, 825-836,

801   doi:10.1165/rcmb.2015-0150OC (2016).

802   30   Tsukumo, S., Hirose, K., Maekawa, Y., Kishihara, K. & Yasutomo, K. Lunatic fringe

803   controls T cell differentiation through modulating notch signaling. *J Immunol* **177**, 8365-

804   8371, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.12.8365 (2006).

805   31   Luttun, A., Tjwa, M. & Carmeliet, P. Placental growth factor (PlGF) and its receptor Flt-

806   1 (VEGFR-1): novel therapeutic targets for angiogenic disorders. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **979**,

807   80-93, doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb04870.x (2002).

808   32   De Ravin, S. S. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas9 gene repair of hematopoietic stem cells from patients

809   with X-linked chronic granulomatous disease. *Sci Transl Med* **9**,

810   doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aah3480 (2017).

811   33   Trinh, T. *et al.* Leptin receptor, a surface marker for a subset of highly engrafting long-

812   term functional hematopoietic stem cells. *Leukemia* **35**, 2064-2075, doi:10.1038/s41375-

813   020-01079-z (2021).

814   34   Comazzetto, S. *et al.* Restricted Hematopoietic Progenitors and Erythropoiesis Require

815   SCF from Leptin Receptor+ Niche Cells in the Bone Marrow. *Cell Stem Cell* **24**, 477-486

816   e476, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2018.11.022 (2019).

817   35   Sugiyama, T., Omatsu, Y. & Nagasawa, T. Niches for hematopoietic stem cells and

818   immune cell progenitors. *Int Immunol* **31**, 5-11, doi:10.1093/intimm/dxy058 (2019).

819   36   Zhou, B. O. *et al.* Bone marrow adipocytes promote the regeneration of stem cells and

820   haematopoiesis by secreting SCF. *Nat Cell Biol* **19**, 891-903, doi:10.1038/ncb3570

821   (2017).

37   Samee, N. *et al.* Dlx5, a positive regulator of osteoblastogenesis, is essential for

osteoblast-osteoclast coupling. *Am J Pathol* **173**, 773-780,

doi:10.2353/ajpath.2008.080243 (2008).

38   Wang, J. S. *et al.* Control of osteocyte dendrite formation by Sp7 and its target gene

osteocrin. *Nat Commun* **12**, 6271, doi:10.1038/s41467-021-26571-7 (2021).

39   Daluiski, A. *et al.* Bone morphogenetic protein-3 is a negative regulator of bone density.

Nat Genet **27**, 84-88, doi:10.1038/83810 (2001).

40   Kutz, W. E., Gong, Y. & Warman, M. L. WISP3, the gene responsible for the human

skeletal disease progressive pseudorheumatoid dysplasia, is not essential for skeletal

function in mice. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**, 414-421, doi:10.1128/MCB.25.1.414-421.2005

(2005).

41   Gao, S. *et al.* Identification of HSC/MPP expansion units in fetal liver by single-cell

spatiotemporal transcriptomics. *Cell Res* **32**, 38-53, doi:10.1038/s41422-021-00540-7

(2022).

42   Iwasaki, M., Liedtke, M., Gentles, A. J. & Cleary, M. L. CD93 Marks a Non-Quiescent

Human Leukemia Stem Cell Population and Is Required for Development of MLL-

Rearranged Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cell Stem Cell* **17**, 412-421,

doi:10.1016/j.stem.2015.08.008 (2015).

43   Kinstrie, R. *et al.* CD93 is expressed on chronic myeloid leukemia stem cells and

identifies a quiescent population which persists after tyrosine kinase inhibitor therapy.

Leukemia **34**, 1613-1625, doi:10.1038/s41375-019-0684-5 (2020).

44   Pessoa Rodrigues, C. & Akhtar, A. Differential H4K16ac levels ensure a balance

between quiescence and activation in hematopoietic stem cells. *Sci Adv* **7**,

doi:10.1126/sciadv.abi5987 (2021).

45   Knapp, S., de Vos, A. F., Florquin, S., Golenbock, D. T. & van der Poll, T.

Lipopolysaccharide binding protein is an essential component of the innate immune

response to Escherichia coli peritonitis in mice. *Infect Immun* **71**, 6747-6753,

doi:10.1128/IAI.71.12.6747-6753.2003 (2003).

46   Maryanovich, M. & Ito, K. CD36-Mediated Fatty Acid Oxidation in Hematopoietic Stem

Cells Is a Novel Mechanism of Emergency Hematopoiesis in Response to Infection.

Immunometabolism **4**, doi:10.20900/immunometab20220008 (2022).

47   Mistry, J. J. *et al.* Free fatty-acid transport via CD36 drives beta-oxidation-mediated

hematopoietic stem cell response to infection. *Nat Commun* **12**, 7130,

doi:10.1038/s41467-021-27460-9 (2021).

48   Wang, L. *et al.* Gain-of-function variants in SYK cause immune dysregulation and

systemic inflammation in humans and mice. *Nat Genet* **53**, 500-510, doi:10.1038/s41588-

021-00803-4 (2021).

49   Prashad, S. L. *et al.* GPI-80 defines self-renewal ability in hematopoietic stem cells

during human development. *Cell Stem Cell* **16**, 80-87, doi:10.1016/j.stem.2014.10.020

(2015).

50   Hou, L., Voit, R. A., Sankaran, V. G., Springer, T. A. & Yuki, K. CD11c regulates

hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells under stress. *Blood Adv* **4**, 6086-6097,

doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2020002504 (2020).

51   Biedermann, B., Gil, D., Bowen, D. T. & Crocker, P. R. Analysis of the CD33-related
siglec family reveals that Siglec-9 is an endocytic receptor expressed on subsets of acute
myeloid leukemia cells and absent from normal hematopoietic progenitors. *Leuk Res* **31**,
211-220, doi:10.1016/j.leukres.2006.05.026 (2007).

52   Ohtsuka, M. *et al.* NFAM1, an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif-bearing
molecule that regulates B cell development and signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**,
8126-8131, doi:10.1073/pnas.0401119101 (2004).

53   Sugiyama, T., Kohara, H., Noda, M. & Nagasawa, T. Maintenance of the hematopoietic
stem cell pool by CXCL12-CXCR4 chemokine signaling in bone marrow stromal cell
niches. *Immunity* **25**, 977-988, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2006.10.016 (2006).

54   Zhang, J. *et al.* Identification of the haematopoietic stem cell niche and control of the
niche size. *Nature* **425**, 836-841, doi:10.1038/nature02041 (2003).

55   Baumann, C. I. *et al.* PECAM-1 is expressed on hematopoietic stem cells throughout
ontogeny and identifies a population of erythroid progenitors. *Blood* **104**, 1010-1016,
doi:10.1182/blood-2004-03-0989 (2004).

56   Ross, E. A. *et al.* A novel role for PECAM-1 (CD31) in regulating haematopoietic
progenitor cell compartmentalization between the peripheral blood and bone marrow.
PLoS One **3**, e2338, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002338 (2008).

57   Baryawno, N. *et al.* A Cellular Taxonomy of the Bone Marrow Stroma in Homeostasis
and Leukemia. *Cell* **177**, 1915-1932 e1916, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.04.040 (2019).

58   Jalili, A., Shirvaikar, N., Marquez-Curtis, L. A., Turner, A. R. & Janowska-Wieczorek,
A. The HGF/c-Met axis synergizes with G-CSF in the mobilization of hematopoietic
stem/progenitor cells. *Stem Cells Dev* **19**, 1143-1151, doi:10.1089/scd.2009.0376 (2010).

59   Cao, H. *et al.* Osteopontin is An Important Regulative Component of the Fetal Bone

Marrow Hematopoietic Stem Cell Niche. *Cells* **8**, doi:10.3390/cells8090985 (2019).

60   Guidi, N. *et al.* Osteopontin attenuates aging-associated phenotypes of hematopoietic

stem cells. *EMBO J* **36**, 840-853, doi:10.15252/embj.201694969 (2017).

61   Li, J. *et al.* Murine hematopoietic stem cell reconstitution potential is maintained by

osteopontin during aging. *Sci Rep* **8**, 2833, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-21324-x (2018).

62   Buono, M., Visigalli, I., Bergamasco, R., Biffi, A. & Cosma, M. P. Sulfatase modifying

factor 1-mediated fibroblast growth factor signaling primes hematopoietic multilineage

development. *J Exp Med* **207**, 1647-1660, doi:10.1084/jem.20091022 (2010).

63   Gadomski, S. *et al.* Id1 and Id3 Maintain Steady-State Hematopoiesis by Promoting

Sinusoidal Endothelial Cell Survival and Regeneration. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107572,

doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107572 (2020).

64   Bennett, B. D. *et al.* A role for leptin and its cognate receptor in hematopoiesis. *Curr Biol*

6, 1170-1180, doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(02)70684-2 (1996).

65   Claycombe, K., King, L. E. & Fraker, P. J. A role for leptin in sustaining lymphopoiesis

and myelopoiesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 2017-2021,

doi:10.1073/pnas.0712053105 (2008).

66   Fernandez-Riejos, P. *et al.* Role of leptin in the activation of immune cells. *Mediators*

Inflamm **2010**, 568343, doi:10.1155/2010/568343 (2010).

67   Laharrague, P. *et al.* High expression of leptin by human bone marrow adipocytes in

primary culture. *FASEB J* **12**, 747-752, doi:10.1096/fasebj.12.9.747 (1998).

68   Umemoto, Y. *et al.* Leptin stimulates the proliferation of murine myelocytic and

primitive hematopoietic progenitor cells. *Blood* **90**, 3438-3443 (1997).

69   Botolin, S. & McCabe, L. R. Bone loss and increased bone adiposity in spontaneous and

pharmacologically induced diabetic mice. *Endocrinology* **148**, 198-205,

doi:10.1210/en.2006-1006 (2007).

70   Esplin, B. L. *et al.* Chronic exposure to a TLR ligand injures hematopoietic stem cells. *J*

Immunol **186**, 5367-5375, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1003438 (2011).

71   Mann, M. *et al.* Heterogeneous Responses of Hematopoietic Stem Cells to Inflammatory

Stimuli Are Altered with Age. *Cell Rep* **25**, 2992-3005 e2995,

doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.11.056 (2018).

72   Yan, J. *et al.* Diabetes impairs wound healing by Dnmt1-dependent dysregulation of

hematopoietic stem cells differentiation towards macrophages. *Nat Commun* **9**, 33,

doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02425-z (2018).

73   Mantel, C. R. *et al.* Enhancing Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation Efficacy by

Mitigating Oxygen Shock. *Cell* **161**, 1553-1565, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.054 (2015).

74   Yukselen, O., Turkyilmaz, O., Ozturk, A. R., Garber, M. & Kucukural, A. DolphinNext:

a distributed data processing platform for high throughput genomics. *BMC Genomics* **21**,

310, doi:10.1186/s12864-020-6714-x (2020).

75   Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data

with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 323, doi:10.1186/1471-

2105-12-323 (2011).

76   Kucukural, A., Yukselen, O., Ozata, D. M., Moore, M. J. & Garber, M. DEBrowser:

interactive differential expression analysis and visualization tool for count data. *BMC*

Genomics **20**, 6, doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5362-x (2019).

77   Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587

934   e3529, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048 (2021).