Elimination of subtelomeric repeat sequences exerts little effect on telomere functions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Can Hu[1], Xue-Ting Zhu[1], Ming-Hong He[1], Yangyang Shao[2], Zhongjun Qin[2], Zhi-Jing Wu[1,3*] and Jin-Qiu Zhou[1,3*]

[1] The State Key Laboratory of Molecular Biology, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200031, China;

[2] Key Laboratory of Synthetic Biology, CAS Center for Excellence in Molecular Plant Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences; University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, 200032, China;

[3] Key Laboratory of Systems Health Science of Zhejiang Province, Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hangzhou, 310024, China.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +86-21-54921076; Fax: +86-21-54921075; E-mail: jqzhou@sibcb.ac.cn or wuzhijing6@sibcb.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

Telomeres, which are chromosomal end structures, play a crucial role in maintaining genome stability and integrity in eukaryotes. In the baker's yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the X- and Y'-elements are subtelomeric repetitive sequences found in all thirty-two and seventeen telomeres, respectively. While the Y'-elements serve as a backup for telomere functions in cells lacking telomerase, the function of the X-elements remains unclear. This study utilized the *S. cerevisiae* strain SY12, which has three chromosomes and six telomeres, to investigate the role of X-elements (as well as Y'-elements) in telomere maintenance. Deletion of Y'-elements (SY12$^{Y\Delta}$), X-elements (SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$), or both X- and Y'-elements (SY12$^{XY\Delta}$) did not impact the length of the terminal TG$_{1-3}$ tracks or telomere silencing. However, inactivation of telomerase in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ cells resulted in cellular senescence and the generation of survivors. These survivors either maintained their telomeres through homologous recombination-dependent TG$_{1-3}$ track elongation or underwent microhomology-mediated intra-chromosomal end-to-end joining. Our findings indicate the non-essential role of subtelomeric X- and Y'-elements in telomere regulation in both telomerase-proficient and telomerase-null cells and suggest that these elements may represent remnants of *S. cerevisiae* genome evolution. Furthermore, strains with fewer or no subtelomeric elements exhibit more concise telomere structures and offer potential models for future studies in telomere biology.

INTRODUCTION

Telomeres, specialized nucleoprotein structures located at the end of linear chromosomes in eukaryotic cells, are crucial for maintaining genomic stability and protecting chromosomal ends from being perceived as DNA breaks (Wellinger and Zakian, 2012). In the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, telomeric DNA consists of approximately ~300±75 base pairs of $C_{1-3}A/TG_{1-3}$ repeats with a 3' G-rich single-stranded overhang (Wellinger and Zakian, 2012). Adjacent to the telomeric TG_{1-3} repeats, there are subtelomeric repeat elements known as X- and Y'-elements, which vary between telomeres, as well as strains (Chan and Tye, 1983a, b; Louis, 1995). The Y'-elements, immediately internal to the telomeric repeats, are present as a tandem array of zero to four copies, they fall into two major size classes, 6.7 kb Y'-long (Y'-L) and 5.2 kb Y'-short (Y'-S) (Chan and Tye, 1983a, b). Y'-elements are highly conserved with only ~2% divergence between strains (Louis and Haber, 1992). One entire Y'-element contains two large open reading frames (ORFs), an ARS consensus sequence (ACS) and a STAR element (Subtelomeric anti-silencing regions) consisting of binding sites for Tbf1 and Reb1 (Chan and Tye, 1983a, b; Fourel et al., 1999; Louis and Haber, 1992). The X-element, a much more heterogeneous sequence abutting Y'-elements or telomeric repeats, contains the 473 bp "core X" sequence and the subtelomeric repeats (STRs) A, B, C and D (Louis and Haber, 1991; Louis et al., 1994). The STRs are found in some chromosome ends, while the "core X" sequence is shared by all chromosomes. Recent long-read sequencing shows that subtelomerice regions display high evolutionary plasticity and are rich in a varies of structure

variants such as reciprocal translocations, transpositions, novel insertions, deletions and

duplications (O'Donnell et al., 2023).

Telomeric DNA elongation primarily relies on telomerase, an enzyme comprising a reverse

transcriptase, an RNA component, and accessory factors (Palm and de Lange, 2008; Wellinger

and Zakian, 2012). In *S. cerevisiae*, the telomerase holoenzyme consists of the reverse

transcriptase Est2, the RNA template TLC1, and accessory factors Est1, Est3,

Pop1/Pop6/Pop7 proteins (Lemieux et al., 2016; Lendvay et al., 1996; Lundblad and Szostak,

1989; Singer and Gottschling, 1994). In the absence of telomerase, homologous recombination

can take place to replicate telomeres, resulting in telomerase-deficient "survivors" (Lundblad

and Blackburn, 1993; Teng and Zakian, 1999). These survivors are broadly categorized into

Type I and Type II based on distinct telomere structures (Lundblad and Blackburn, 1993; Teng

and Zakian, 1999). Type I survivors possess tandem amplified Y'-elements (both Y'-L and Y'-

S) and very short TG_{1-3} tracts, indicating that Y'-elements serve as substrates for homologous

recombination. Type II survivors display long heterogeneous TG_{1-3} tracts. On solid medium,

approximately 90% of the survivors are Type I, while 10% are Type II (Teng et al., 2000).

However, in liquid culture, Type II survivors grow faster and eventually dominate the population

(Teng and Zakian, 1999). The proteins required for Type I and Type II survivor formation appear

to be different. Type I survivors depend on Rad51, Rad54, Rad55, Rad57, and Pif1 (Chen et

al., 2001; Hu et al., 2013; Le et al., 1999). while the formation of Type II survivors requires the

Mre11/Rad50/Xrs2 (MRX) complex, KEOPS complex, Rad59, Sgs1, and Rad6, most of which

are critical for DNA resection (Chen et al., 2001; He et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2013; Johnson et al.,

2001; Le et al., 1999; Louis, 2001; Nicolette et al., 2010; Teng et al., 2000; Wellinger and Zakian,

2012; Wu et al., 2017). Although Type I and Type II pathway are working independently, Kockler

et al. found that the proteins involved in each pathway (Rad51, Rad59/Rad52) can work

together via two sequential steps and contribute to a unified ALT (Alternative Lengthening of

Telomeres) processes (Kockler et al., 2021).

The amplification of Y'-elements represents a significant feature of telomere recombination

in telomerase-null Type I survivors (Lundblad and Blackburn, 1993; Teng and Zakian, 1999),

and as a result, extrachromosomal Y' circular DNAs have been observed in Type I survivors

(Larrivee and Wellinger, 2006). Additionally, Y'-element acquisition has been observed in the

initiation step of pre-senescence, suggesting a potential role for Y'-elements in Type II survivor

formation (Churikov et al., 2014). Furthermore, Y'-elements are mobilized through a

transposition-like RNA-mediated process involving Ty1 activity in telomerase-negative

survivors (Maxwell et al., 2004). Y'-elements also express potential DNA helicases, Y'-Help, in

telomerase-null survivors (Yamada et al., 1998). Thus, Y'-elements play a significant role as

donors in homologous recombination-mediated telomere maintenance. The functions of X-

elements, on the other hand, are less clear. The "core X" sequence consists of an ACS element

and, in most cases, an Abf1 binding site (Louis, 1995), and acts as a protosilencer (Lebrun et

al., 2001). In contrast, STRs and Y'-STAR possess anti-silencing properties that limit the

spreading of heterochromatin (Fourel et al., 1999). Interestingly, a previous study demonstrated

that telomeres with X-only ends (containing only X-elements) were more efficiently elongated

compared to those with X-Y' ends (containing both X- and Y'-elements) in *tel1Δ rif1Δ* strains

(Craven and Petes, 1999). Moreover, subtelomeric elements (including X-elements) and

associated factors like Reb1 and Tbf1 antagonize telomere anchoring at the nuclear envelope

(Hediger et al., 2006). However, considering that X-elements are present in all telomeres while

Y'-elements are not, the specific functions of X- and Y'-elements in genome integrity after the

evolution of telomerase have long been a subject of questioning (Jager and Philippsen, 1989;

Zakian and Blanton, 1988).

In wild-type yeast strain BY4742, there are eight Y'-S and eleven Y'-L elements at the thirty-

two telomere loci. Additionally, each telomere locus contains one X-element. The genetic

deletion of all X- and Y'-elements to directly investigate the roles of X- and Y'-elements in

genome integrity is a challenging and complex task. In this study, we utilized recently reported

chromosome-fused budding yeast strains (Shao et al., 2018) to eliminate both X- and Y'-

elements completely. This approach allows us to reinvestigate the roles of X- and Y'-elements

at telomeres.

RESULTS

Telomere recombination in telomerase-null chromosome-fused yeast strains SY1 to

SY12

The functions of Y'-elements have been previously linked to telomere recombination (Churikov

et al., 2014; Larrivee and Wellinger, 2006; Lundblad and Blackburn, 1993; Teng and Zakian,

1999). To further investigate the role of Y'-elements in telomere recombination, we utilized a

series of chromosome-fused budding yeast strains derived from the wild-type BY4742 strain,

including SY1, SY3, SY5, SY7, SY8, SY9, SY10, SY11, SY12 and SY13 (also referred to as

SYn for convenience) (Figure 1A) (Shao et al., 2018). The remaining subtelomeric elements in

SY8 to SY13 strains are listed in supplementary file 2. We excluded SY14 from these

experiments since the presence of circular chromosome was prominent in SY14 *tlc1*Δ cells

(one fused chromosome) (Wu et al., 2020), We generated haploid SYn *tlc1*Δ *TLC1* strains by

deleting the chromosomal copy of the *TLC1* gene and introducing a plasmid-borne wild-type

TLC1 gene (pRS316-*TLC1*). Clones that lost the pRS316-*TLC1* plasmid (containing the *URA3*

marker) were identified upon counter-selection on 5'-fluoroorotic-acid (5'-FOA) plates and were

subsequently re-streaked on YPD plates for at least 9 cycles for survivor formation (referred to

as the "multiple-colony streaking assay" in Methods). The telomere patterns of the survivors

were then determined through Southern blotting assay (Figure 1B-D).

The canonical telomerase-independent survivors can be broadly categorized into two types:

Type I and Type II survivors, based on the restriction fragments generated after XhoI digestion

(Lundblad and Blackburn, 1993; Teng and Zakian, 1999). Type I survivors exhibit tandem

duplication of Y'-elements and very short TG_{1-3} tracts, while Type II survivors contain long

heterogeneous TG_{1-3} sequences. Consistent with previous reports, BY4742 *tlc1*Δ cells

generated both Type I (subtelomeric Y'-element recombination) and Type II (TG_{1-3}

recombination) survivors (Figure 1B) (Hu et al., 2013). Intriguingly, as the number of

chromosomes decreased, the frequency of Type II survivors gradually diminished, while Type

I survivors became the predominant type (Figure 1B-D). Furthermore, non-canonical survivors

with distinct patterns from Type I or Type II emerged in SY9 *tlc1*Δ (six chromosomes), SY10

*tlc1*Δ (five chromosomes), SY11 *tlc1*Δ (four chromosomes), SY12 *tlc1*Δ (three chromosomes)

and SY13 *tlc1Δ* (two chromosomes) (Figure 1C and 1D indicated by triangles at the bottom of

the panels). Notably, the Y'-telomere band of ~1.2 kb was not detected in two clones of SY11

tlc1Δ cells (clones 2 and 5), the majority of clones of SY12 *tlc1Δ* cells (except for clones 9, 14,

and 15), and the majority of clones of SY13 *tlc1Δ* cells (except for clones 1, 4, 8 and10) (Figure

1D). We speculate that either the Y'-elements have eroded or the chromosomal ends containing

Y'-elements have fused with other ends in these non-canonical survivors. These findings

suggest that the ratio of survivor types is influenced by the number of chromosomes.

Figure 1. Telomere structures in SYn *tlc1*Δ survivors. Telomere Southern blotting assay was performed

to examine telomere structure. The genomic DNA extracted from BY4742 (wild type) and SYn strains

(labeled on top) was digested with XhoI and subjected to Southern hybridization with a TG$_{1-3}$ probe. (**A**)

Telomerase-proficient strains (labeled on top), whose chromosome numbers are labeled at the bottom.

Two independent clones of each strain were examined. (**B-D**) SYn *tlc1*Δ survivors generated on plates.

Four (BY4742 *tlc1*Δ) and fifteen (SYn *tlc1*Δ) individual survivor clones (labeled on top of each panel) of

each strain were examined. "+" at the bottom indicates Type I survivors. "Δ" marks the survivors which

are non-canonical Type I or Type II.

Characterizing the survivor pattern in SY12

To determine the chromosomal end structures of the non-canonical survivors shown in Figure

1, we selected SY12 *tlc1*Δ survivors for further analysis. In the SY12 strain, there are six

telomeres corresponding to the native chromosomes I-L, X-R, XIII-L, XI-R, XVI-L, and XIV-R.

We employed Southern blotting after NdeI digestion to validate the telomere and subtelomere

structures (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A). The results revealed that, in the SY12 strain used

in our study, only the XVI-L telomere contained a single copy of the Y'-element, while all

telomeres harbored X-elements (Figure 2—figure supplement 1B). For simplicity, we referred

to the chromosomes containing the original I, XIII, and XVI as chromosome 1, 2, and 3,

respectively (Figure 2A, left panel).

We conducted a re-examination of telomere recombination upon telomerase inactivation in

SY12 cells. Deletion of *TLC1* in SY12 cells resulted in cell senescence, and different clones

recovered at various time points in liquid medium (Figure 2B). Telomere Southern blotting

analysis showed progressive shrinking of the telomeric XhoI fragments over time, and TG_{1-3}

recombination occurred to maintain telomeres (Figure 2C). Since the liquid culture contained a

mixture of different colonies, we employed a multiple-colony streaking assay and Southern

blotting analysis to examine the telomere patterns of fifty independent SY12 *tlc1*Δ survivors

(Figure 2D and Figure 2—figure supplement 2). Among these survivors, eight clones (labeled

in red) exhibited the typical Type I telomere structure characterized by Y'-element amplification

(Figure 2D). This was confirmed by Southern blotting analysis using a Y' probe (Figure 2—

figure supplement 2). The emerging of Type I survivor in SY12 strain which only contain one Y'

element indicates that multiple Y'-elements in tandem are not strictly required for Type I

formation. Clone 1 (labeled in orange) displayed heterogeneous telomeric TG_{1-3} tracts (Figure

2D), indicating it was a Type II survivor. This was further confirmed by restoring the telomere

length to the level observed in SY12 cells through the re-introduction of the *TLC1* gene into one

representative clone (named SY12 *tlc1*Δ-T1) and subsequent passaging on yeast complete

(YC) medium lacking uracil (Ura-) for 20 cycles (Figure 2E).

Notably, ten of the examined clones (labeled in blue) displayed no telomere signals

associated with canonical Type I or Type II survivors (Figure 2D). Their hybridization patterns

were strikingly similar to those of SY14 *tlc1*Δ survivors (Wu et al., 2020), which survived through

intra-chromosomal circularization. To investigate whether the three chromosomes in these

SY12 *tlc1*Δ survivors had undergone intra-chromosomal fusions, we selected a clone, namely

SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1 and performed PCR-mapping assay to determine the erosion points of each

chromosome end, as previously described (Wu et al., 2020). A PCR product of the predicted

length would be obtained only if the corresponding chromosome region was intact. The PCR-

mapping assay precisely identified the borders of telomere erosion for the three chromosomes

in SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1 cells. For chromosome 1 (Figure 2A, left panel), the chromosome regions

approximately 3.3 kb and 1.9 kb proximal to telomere I-L and X-R, respectively, had been lost

(Figure 2—figure supplement 3 and supplementary file 3). Regarding chromosome 2, the

terminal ~3.8 kb of telomere XIII-L and ~2.5 kb of telomere XI-R remained intact (Figure 2—

figure supplement 3 and supplementary file 3). For chromosome 3, the terminal ~0.1 kb of

telomere XVI-L was intact, while the terminal ~3.4 kb of telomere XIV-R was preserved (Figure

2—figure supplement 3 and supplementary file 3). To confirm the chromosome fusion events,

we performed PCR-sequencing analysis. If a given pair of primers, oriented to different

chromosome ends, produced PCR products, it indicated that the corresponding arms had fused.

The results revealed that the three chromosomes in SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1 cells had undergone intra-

chromosomal fusions through microhomology sequences, resulting in the formation of circular

chromosomes (Figure 2F and supplementary file 3). Notably, the fusion junctions of the three

chromosomes in SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1 cells differed in nucleotide sequence and length (22 bp, 8 bp,

and 5 bp in chromosomes 1, 2, and 3, respectively). Moreover, the sequences involved in the

ends-fusion were not perfectly complementary (Figure 2F). For example, the fusion sequence

of chromosome 3 was 5 bp long and contained one mismatch. To further verify the chromosome

structure in the "circular survivors" SY12 $tlc1\Delta$-C1 (Figures 2F), we performed the pulsed-field

gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis. Control strains included SY12 (three linear chromosomes)

and SY15 (one circular chromosome). The PFGE result confirmed that like the single circular

chromosome in SY15 cells, the circular chromosome in the SY12 $tlc1\Delta$-C1 survivors couldn't

enter the gel, while the linear chromosomes in SY12 were separated into distinct bands, as

expected (Figure 2—figure supplement 4). Thus, the survivors shown in Figure 2D, which

displayed an identical hybridization pattern to the SY12 $tlc1\Delta$-C1 clone, were all likely "circular

survivors". Consistently, the telomere signals detected in the SY12 strain were not observed in

the SY12 $tlc1\Delta$-C1 survivor after reintroducing a plasmid-borne wild-type *TLC1* gene (Figure

2G).

Twelve clones of SY12 $tlc1\Delta$ survivors (labeled in green, Figure 2D) exhibited no Y'-telomere

signals compared to SY12 cells but displayed different lengths of TG_{1-3} tracts (Figure 2D). Due

to their non-canonical telomere structures, characterized by the absence of both Y' amplification

and superlong TG_{1-3} sequences, we designated these SY12 $tlc1\Delta$ survivors (labeled in green,

Figure 2D) as Type X. In Type X survivors, the DNA bands with sizes of approximately 2.3 kb,

5.1 kb, 15.3 kb, 18.5 kb, and 21.9 kb were roughly comparable to the telomeres of XI-R, X-R,

I-L, XIII-L, and XIV-R in SY12 cells (indicated on the left in the panel). The newly emerged band

at approximately 4.3 kb likely originated from the XVI-L telomere (indicated by the red arrow on

the right in the panel) (Figure 2D), where the Y'-elements had been eroded, leaving only the

TG_{1-3} tracts at the very ends (Figure 2A, right panel). To verify this notion, we reintroduced the

TLC1 gene into one representative clone (named SY12 $tlc1\Delta$-X1) and examined the telomere

length. As expected, the telomeres of X-R and XI-R were restored to the lengths observed in

wild-type SY12 cells, and accordingly, the newly emerged 4.3 kb band was also elongated

(Figure 2H). Given that the restriction fragments of telomeres I-L (15.3 kb), XIII-L (18.5 kb), and

XIV-R (21.9 kb) were quite long, detecting minor changes in telomere length was challenging

under the assay conditions of Southern blotting. To determine the chromosomal end structure

of the Type X survivor, we randomly selected a typical Type X survivor, and performed

PCR-sequencing analysis. The results revealed the intact chromosome ends for I-L, X-R,

XIII-L, XI-R, and XIV-R, albeit with some mismatches compared with the *S. cerevisiae*

S288C genome (http://www.yeastgenome.org/), which possibly arising from recombination

events that occurred during survivor formation. Notably, the sequence of the Y'-element in

XVI-L could not be detected, while the X-element remained intact (Figure 2—figure

supplement 5). These data indicated that Type X survivors possess linear chromosomes with

telomeres terminating in TG_{1-3} repeats, while the Y'-element has been eroded (Figure 2A, right

panel). Consistently, no Y' signals were detected in these twelve Type X survivors (labeled in

green, Figure 2—figure supplement 2), suggesting that the Y'-element has not been

translocated to other telomeres and is not essential for yeast cell viability. In addition to the

aforementioned Type I, Type II, circular, and Type X survivors, there were some "atypical"

clones (labeled in black, Figure 2D) exhibiting non-uniform telomere patterns. We hypothesized

that combinations of diverse mechanisms were occurring within each survivor. For instance, in

the case of two survivors (clones 9 and 18, Figure 2D) in which only one hybridization signal

could be detected, pointing to the possibility that two chromosomes underwent intra-

chromosomal fusions while one retained its ends through TG_{1-3} recombination. However, the

sizes of the two telomere restriction fragments on the linear chromosome were too close to be

distinguished and separated, resulting in only one hybridization signal. Alternatively, it is also

plausible that three chromosomes experienced intra-chromosomal fusions, with one fusion

point containing TG_{1-3} repeats. Another intriguing observation pertains to SY12 *tlc1Δ* clones 4,

5, 7, 15, and 43, which exhibited significant amplification of TG_{1-3} sequences, and the telomeres

of these survivors did not resolve into distinct bands (Figure 2D). We hypothesize that the

observed telomere patterns in these atypical survivors could be attributed to extensive TG_{1-3}

recombination. However, we cannot exclude the possibility of coexisting diverse mechanisms

within a survivor, such as telomere elongation through TG_{1-3} amplification, as well as intra- and

inter-chromosomal fusions. All of these mechanisms may contribute to the maintenance of

chromosome ends in these clones.

To further determine the genetic requirements for survivors in SY12, we constructed the

SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ* pRS316-*TLC1* strain. The plasmid-borne wild-type *TLC1* gene (pRS316-

TLC1) was counter-selected on 5'-FOA plates. SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ* cells were measured by the

cell viability assay (see Materials and methods). The results showed double deletion of *TLC1*

and *RAD52* in SY12 strain could slightly accelerate senescence, and SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ*

survivors could be generated but took much longer to recover than the SY12 *tlc1Δ* survivors

(Figure 2—figure supplement 6A), suggesting that Rad52 is not strictly required for survivor

generation in the SY12 strain in liquid. We also passaged SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ* cells on solid

medium until survivor emerged. Southern blotting of twenty-five clones revealed that neither

Type I nor Type II survivors were found, and instead circular survivors except clone 20 were

obtained (labeled in blue, Figure 2—figure supplement 6B). We conclude that a combination of

diverse mechanisms contributes to the formation of atypical survivors in the SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ*

strain, and the formation of circular survivors are independent of *RAD52* mediate pathway.

Since no Type X survivor was detected in SY12 *tlc1Δ rad52Δ* strain, we constructed the SY12

tlc1Δ rad51Δ pRS316-*TLC1* and SY12 *tlc1Δ rad50Δ* pRS316-*TLC1* strain to investigate on

which pathway the formation of the Type X survivor relied. After counter-selected on 5'-FOA

plates, cells were passaged on solid medium until survivor arose. Southern blotting assay

indicated the emergence of Type X survivors even in the absence of Rad51 (labeled in green,

clone 2, 5, 11 and 18, Figure 2—figure supplement 7A). In contrast, no Type X survivor

was detected in the SY12 *tlc1Δ rad50Δ* strain (Figure 2—figure supplement 7B). These

data suggest that the formation of the Type X survivor depends on Rad50-mediated Type

II pathway.

Taken together, our results indicate that telomerase inactivation in SY12 cells leads to cell

senescence and the emergence of survivors with diverse telomere patterns, including Y'

amplification (Type I), elongated TG_{1-3} tracts (Type II), intra-chromosomal end-to-end joining

(circular), Y' loss (Type X), and inter-chromosomal fusions (uncharacterized).

Figure 2. Survivor formation in SY12 *tlc1Δ* strain. (**A**) Schematic representation of chromosome (and

telomere) structures (not drawn to scale) in the SY12 strain (left panel) and the Type X survivor (right

panel). The Roman numerals, native chromosomes; the Arabic numerals on the left, chromosome

numbers of SY12; yellow box, X-element; green box, Y'-element; tandem grey triangles, telomeres;

black circles, centromere; vertical arrows and numbers, positions and lengths of the terminal XhoI

digestion fragments detected by the telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ probe. Chromosome numbers are omitted in the

Type X survivor (right panel). (**B**) Cell viability assay in liquid medium. The growth of SY12 (labeled in

black) and SY12 *tlc1Δ* (three clones labeled in blue, purple and green respectively) strains were

monitored every 24 hr for 12 days. (**C**) Telomeric Southern blotting assay of SY12 *tlc1Δ* survivors.

Genomic DNAs prepared from SY12 *tlc1Δ* survivors assayed in (B) were digested with XhoI and

subjected to Southern blotting with a TG$_{1-3}$ probe. (**D**) Telomere Southern blotting assay of SY12 *tlc1Δ*

survivors obtained on solid medium. Genomic DNA from fifty independent SY12 *tlc1Δ* clones (labeled on

top) was digested with XhoI and hybridized to a telomere-specific TG$_{1–3}$ probe. Type II survivors: in

orange; Type I survivors: in red; circular survivors: in blue; Type X survivors: in green; atypical survivors:

in black. Theoretical telomere restriction fragments of the SY12 strain are indicated on the left. The red

arrows indicate the new band of about 4.3 kb emerged in Type X survivors. The asterisks indicate the

non-specific bands. Genomic DNA stained with Gelred was used as a relative loading control (LC). (**E**)

Southern blotting results of an SY12 *tlc1Δ* Type II survivor at the 20th re-streaks after *TLC1*

reintroduction. LC: loading control. (**F**) Schematic of three circular chromosomes and fusion sequences

in the SY12 *tlc1Δ*-C1 survivor. The sequence in blue indicates the sequences of X-R, XI-R or XIV-R, the

sequence in red indicates the sequences of I-L, XIII-L or XVI-L. Bases in green are mis-paired. The

numbers above or below the schematic line (chromosome) indicate the distance to the corresponding

telomeres. (**G**) Telomere Southern blotting analysis of an SY12 *tlc1Δ* circular survivor at the 20th re-

streak after *TLC1* reintroduction. LC: loading control. (**H**) Telomere Southern blotting analysis of an

SY12 *tlc1*Δ Type X survivor at the 20th re-streak after *TLC1* reintroduction. The red arrows indicate the

new band of about 4.3 kb emerged in Type X survivors. LC: loading control.

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Characterization of SY12 strain. (**A**) Schematic representation of

chromosome (and telomere) structures (not drawn to scale) in the SY12 strain. The Roman numerals,

native chromosomes; the Arabic numerals on the left, chromosome numbers of SY12; yellow box, X-

element; green box, Y'-element; tandem grey triangles, telomeres; black circles, centromere; vertical

arrows and numbers, positions and lengths of the terminal NdeI digestion fragments detected by the

telomeric TG_{1-3} probe. (**B**) Southern blotting analysis of telomere length in BY4742 and SY12 (labeled

on top) cells. Genomic DNA prepared from two independent clones of BY4742 and SY12 strains was

digested with NdeI, and then subjected to Southern blotting with a TG_{1-3} probe. The numbers in brackets

indicate the telomere length of the corresponding chromosomes. The blot was then stripped and

reprobed with a Y' probe. The asterisk indicates the non-specific band.

Figure 2—figure supplement 2. Y'-elements have been eroded in SY12 *tlc1*Δ survivors. The blot in

Figure 2D was then stripped and reprobed with a Y' probe.

Figure 2—figure supplement 3. Borders of erosion of the SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1 survivor are defined by PCR

mapping. (**A**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-60 kb proximal to I-L

telomere is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1L to 17L) are aligned and indicated at their corresponding

subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are listed on top; solid

circles mean positive PCR products and open circles mean no PCR products with corresponding primer

pairs. (**B**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-50 kb proximal to X-R

telomeric TG_{1-3} sequence is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1R to 42R) are aligned and indicated at their

corresponding subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are

listed on top. (**C**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-10 kb proximal to

XIII-L telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ sequence is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1^L to 6^L) are aligned and indicated at their

corresponding subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are

listed on top. (**D**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-65 kb proximal to XI-

R telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ sequence is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1^R to 25^R) are aligned and indicated at their

corresponding subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are

listed on top. (**E**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-35 kb proximal to

XVI-L telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ sequence is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1^L to 29^L) are aligned and indicated at their

corresponding subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are

listed on top. (**F**) Upper panel, a schematic diagram of the subtelomeric region of 0-60 kb proximal to

XIV-R telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ sequence is shown. Primer pairs (No. 1^R to 21^R) are aligned and indicated at their

corresponding subtelomeric loci. Lower panel, the genotype is listed on the left, and primer pairs are

listed on top.

Figure 2—figure supplement 4. PFGE result of circular survivors. Chromosomal DNA analysis of

"circular survivors" SY12 *tlc1*Δ-C1, SY12^{YΔ} *tlc1*Δ-C1, SY12^{XYΔ} *tlc1*Δ-C1, SY12$^{XYΔ+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 by PFGE.

The *S. cerevisiae* strain SY15 (with a single circular chromosome) was used as control. As marker, the

size of three chromosomes in wild type *S. pombe* strain is indicated on right.

Figure 2—figure supplement 5. Telomere structure determination of Type X survivor. Schematic

representation of chromosome (and telomere) structures (not drawn to scale) in the Type X survivor.

The Roman numerals, native chromosomes; the Arabic numerals on the left, chromosome numbers of

SY12; yellow box, X-element; green box, Y'-element; tandem grey triangles, telomeres; black circles,

centromere. The sequence in yellow belongs to X-element. Bases in rad are mis-paired. The dotted line

in green represents the sequence of Y'-element is lost.

Figure 2—figure supplement 6. Survivor formation in SY12 *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ strain. (**A**) Cell viability assay

in liquid culture. The growth of SY12 (labeled in black), SY12 *tlc1*Δ (two clones labeled in purple and

blue respectively) and SY12 *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ (three clones labeled in violet, pink and carmine respectively)

were monitored every 24 hr for 12 days. (**B**) Telomere Southern blotting assay of SY12 *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ

survivors obtained on solid medium. Genomic DNA from twenty-five independent clones (labeled on top)

was digested with XhoI and hybridized to a telomere-specific TG$_{1-3}$ probe. Circular survivors: in blue;

atypical survivors: in black. Theoretical telomere restriction fragments of the SY12 strain are indicated

on the left. The red arrows indicate the new band of about 4.3 kb emerged in Type X survivors. The

asterisks indicate the non-specific bands. Genomic DNA stained with Gelred was used as a relative

loading control (LC).

Figure 2—figure supplement 7. Southern blotting result of SY12 *tlc1Δ rad51Δ* and SY12 *tlc1Δ rad50Δ*

survivors. Genomic DNAs were digested with XhoI and subjected to Southern blotting with a TG$_{1-3}$

probe. Type II survivors: in orange; Type I survivors: in red; Circular survivors: in blue; Type X survivors:

in green; atypical survivors: in black. Theoretical telomere restriction fragments of the SY12 strain are

indicated on the left. The red arrows indicate the new band of about 4.3 kb emerged in Type X survivors.

The asterisks indicate the non-specific bands. Genomic DNA stained with Gelred was used as a relative

loading control (LC).

Deletion of all of the X- and Y'-elements in the SY12 strain

We aimed to determine whether the subtelomeric X-elements are dispensable or not. In the

SY12 strain, there are six X-elements distributed among six telomeres (Figure 2A, left panel).

To precisely delete all X- and Y'-elements in SY12 strains, we employed a method that

combines the efficient CRISPR-Cas9 cleavage system with the robust homologous

recombination activity of yeast, as previously described (Shao et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2019).

Briefly, the Cas9 nuclease cleaved the unique DNA sequences adjacent to the subtelomeric

region (site S1) with the guidance of gRNA1. The resulting chromosome break was repaired

through homologous recombination (HR) using the provided chromosome ends which

excluding the X- and Y'-elements. Subsequently, the *URA3* marker and the guide RNA

expression plasmid (pgRNA) were eliminated by inducing gRNA2 expression on pCas9 using

galactose (Figure 3—figure supplement 1). This approach allowed us to initially delete the Y'-

element and X-element in XVI-L, generating the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ strain (Figure 3A and supplementary

file 4). Subsequently, through five successive rounds of deletions, we removed all remaining X-

elements, resulting in the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ strain (Figure 3A and supplementary file 4). To confirm the

series of deletions, we performed PCR analysis using a primer located within the deletion region

and another primer annealing upstream of the region (indicated by purple arrows in Figure 3—

figure supplement 1). This analysis verified the complete deletion of the subtelomeric X- and

Y'-elements (Figure 3B, rows 3 to 7). Additionally, we conducted a separate PCR analysis using

primers specific to either X- or Y'-elements, which confirmed the absence of both X- and Y'-

elements in the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ strain (Figure 3B, rows 8). Subsequently, we inserted a Y'-long

element (cloned from the native XVI-L sequence, which does not contain the centromere-

proximal short telomere sequence) into the left arm of chromosome 3 in the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ strain,

resulting in the SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strain containing a single Y'-element but no X-element (Figure 3A

and supplementary file 4). The successful insertion was confirmed by PCR analysis (Figure 3B,

lane 9).

Figure 3. Characterization of SY12^YΔ, SY12^XYΔ and SY12^XYΔ+Y strains. (**A**) Schematic of chromosome

structures in the SY12, SY12^YΔ, SY12^XYΔ and SY12^XYΔ+Y strains. Yellow box, X-element; green box, Y'-

element; tandem grey triangles, telomeres. Vertical arrows and numbers indicate the positions and sizes

of the sites and length of XhoI and PaeI-digested terminal fragments. (**B**) PCR analyses of the

engineered sites of the individual telomeres (labeled on left) in BY4742, SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{Y\Delta+1X\Delta}$,

SY12$^{Y\Delta+2X\Delta}$, SY12$^{Y\Delta+3X\Delta}$, SY12$^{Y\Delta+4X\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains (labeled on top). Primer

sequences for the PCR analyses are listed in supplementary file 1. *RAP1* was an internal control. (**C**)

Morphology of BY4742, SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells in the exponential growth phase

(30°C in YPD). Shown are DIC images. Scale bar, 2 μm. (**D**) Growth analysis of the SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$,

SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains. Several clones of the SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains

were re-streaked on YPD plates 61 times at intervals of two days. Shown were the 3rd, 31st and 61st re-

streaks. (**E**) Growth analysis of BY4742, SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells in liquid culture.

Error bars represent standard deviation (s.d.), n = 3. (**F**) FACS analysis of DNA content of BY4742,

SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells. (**G**) Dotting assays on YPD plates at low (24°C) and high

(37°C) temperatures, or on YPD plates containing methyl methane sulfonate (MMS), camptothecin

(CPT) or hydroxyurea (HU) at the indicated concentrations. The BY4742 *mre11Δ* haploid strain serves

as a negative control because Mre11 is involved in the repair of double-stranded breaks (Lewis et al.,

2004).

Figure 3—figure supplement 1. Schematics of CRISPR–Cas9-mediated deletion of X- and Y'-elements

on individual chromosomes in SY12 cells. The specific DNA sequences centromere-proximal to the

subtelomeric region (site S1) were cleaved by the Cas9 nuclease with the guidance of gRNA1.

Homologous recombination between the broken ends and the provided donors led to the deletion of X-

and Y'-elements. Galactose induction of gRNA2 on pCas9 caused the cleavage at site S2 and the *URA3*

marker was counter-selected on 5'-FOA plates. Deletion of X- and Y'-elements were determined by PCR

analysis with a primer located within the deletion region and another upstream of the region (indicated by

purple arrows).

____

Subtelomeric X- and Y'-elements are dispensable for cell proliferation, various stress

responses, telomere length control and telomere silencing

The SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells, cultured in YPD medium at 30°C, exhibited the

same cell morphology as the parental strains SY12 and BY4742 (Figure 3C). To assess the

stability of their genomes, we restreaked several clones of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$

strains on YPD plates for a total of 61 times at two-day intervals (Figure 3D). Similar to the

SY12 strain, the progeny colonies of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ grew robustly on solid

medium (Figure 3D). Moreover, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells exhibited growth rates

comparable to those of SY12 and BY4742 cells in liquid medium (Figure 3E). FACS analysis

revealed that SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ had the same 1C and 2C DNA content as wild-

type cells (Figure 3F), indicating that the X- and Y'-elements are not necessary for cell

proliferation under normal conditions. Additionally, the growth of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells at different temperatures (24 and 37°C) (Figure 3G, upper panel) closely

resembled that of SY12 and BY4742 cells. Furthermore, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$, SY12,

and BY4742 cells exhibited similar sensitivities to various genotoxic agents, including

hydroxyurea (HU), camptothecin (CPT), and methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) (Figure 3G,

lower panel). These results indicate that the X- and Y'-elements are dispensable for cellular

responses to cold or heat treatment and DNA damage challenges, consistent with a recent

study of "synthetic yeast genome project", namely SC2.0, showing that thousands of genome-

wide edits, including the deletion of subtelomeric repetitive sequences, deletion of introns,

and relocation of tRNAs genes, yielded a strain that displays comparable growth with wild

type strain (Richardson et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2023).

Next, we examined the effects of X- and Y'-element elimination on telomeres. Southern

blotting assay revealed that SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells maintained stable telomeres

at a length of approximately 300 bp, comparable to that in SY12 cells (Figure 4A), indicating

that the X- and Y'-elements are not required for telomere length regulation. To determine

whether the deletion of X- and Y'-elements abolishes telomere silencing, we constructed

haploid strains of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *sir2Δ*, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *sir2Δ*, SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *sir2Δ*, SY12 *sir2Δ*, and BY4742 *sir2Δ*.

We then performed real-time RT-PCR to quantify the expression of the *MPH3* and *HSP32*

genes, located near the subtelomeric region of X-R (X-only end) and XVI-L (X-Y' end),

respectively (Figure 4B). The results demonstrated a significant increase in the expression of

MPH3 and *HSP32* upon *SIR2* deletion in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains, indicating

that telomere silencing remains effective in the absence of X-and Y'-elements (Figure 4B).

These findings align with previous studies showing that telomeres without an X- or Y'-element

exert a position effect on the transcription of neighboring genes (Aparicio et al., 1991), and that

X- and Y'-elements function as modulators of TPE (Fourel et al., 1999; Lebrun et al., 2001;

Ottaviani et al., 2008).

In conclusion, the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains behave similarly to the wild-type

SY12 strain under all tested conditions (Figures 3 and 4). Their simplified telomere structure

makes them potentially useful tools for telomere studies.

Figure 4

Figure 4. Telomere length and telomere silencing analyses of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains.

(**A**) Southern blotting analysis of telomere length in SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ (labeled on

top) cells. Genomic DNA prepared from three independent clones of SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains were digested with XhoI and PaeI, and then subjected to Southern blotting with a TG$_1$-

$_3$ probe. The numbers in brackets indicate the telomere length of the corresponding chromosomes. (**B**)

Expressions of *MPH3* and *HSP32* in BY4742, SY12, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ cells were

detected by qRT-PCR. The numbers above the schematic line (lower panels) indicate the distance to

the corresponding subtelomeric elements or telomeres. The RNA levels of *MPH3* and *HSP32* were

normalized by *ACT1*. The wild-type value is arbitrarily set to 1. Error bars represent standard deviation

(s.d.), n = 3. 'ns', p> 0.5 (Student's t-test); *, P < 0.05 (Student's t-test); **, P < 0.01 (Student's t-test).;

****, P < 0.0001 (Student's t-test).

Y'-elements are not strictly required for the formation of survivors

The BY4742 strain harbors nineteen Y'-elements distributed among seventeen telomere loci.

Numerous studies have emphasized the significance of Y'-elements in telomere recombination.

For instance, Type I survivors exhibit significant amplification of Y'-elements (Lundblad and

Blackburn, 1993; Teng and Zakian, 1999) and survivors show a marked induction of the

potential DNA helicase Y'-Help1 encoded by Y'-elements (Yamada et al., 1998). Additionally,

the acquisition of Y'-elements by short telomeres delays the onset of senescence (Churikov et

al., 2014).

To investigate the requirement of Y'-elements in survivor formation, we deleted *TLC1* in

SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ cells and conducted a cell viability assay. The results demonstrated that three individual

colonies underwent senescence and subsequently recovered at different passages in liquid

media (Figure 5A). Further analysis through Southern blotting revealed that the telomeres of

SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ cells underwent progressive shortening with each passage until reaching critically

short lengths. Subsequently, TG$_{1-3}$ recombination occurred, leading to abrupt telomere

elongation (Figure 5B).

Next, we examined the telomere patterns of fifty independent SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ* survivors using a

multiple-colony streaking assay and Southern blotting analysis. Out of the fifty clones analyzed,

no Type I survivors were detected due to the deletion of Y'-elements in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ strain (Figure

5C). Two clones (labeled in orange, Figure 5C) displayed heterogeneous telomere tracts.

Reintroduction of *TLC1* into a representative clone (named SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ*-T1) resulted in

telomere length restoration similar to SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ cells (Figure 5D), indicating their classification as

Type II survivors. Twenty-six clones (labeled in blue, Figure 5C) exhibited patterns identical to

that of the SY12 *tlc1Δ*-C1 cell (Figure 2D). Further mapping of erosion borders and sequencing

of fusion junctions (Figure 5E, Figure 5—figure supplement 1, and supplementary file 3)

confirmed that three chromosomes from a randomly selected clone (named SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ*-C1)

underwent intra-chromosomal fusions mediated by microhomology sequences. The erosion

sites and fusion sequences differed from those observed in SY12 *tlc1Δ*-C1 cells (Figure 2D),

suggesting the stochastic nature of chromosomal circularization. As expected, the telomere

Southern blotting pattern (XhoI digestion) of the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ*-C1 survivor remained unchanged

following telomerase reintroduction (Figure 5F). Further PFGE analysis confirmed that the

chromosomes in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ*-C1 were circulated (Figure 2—figure supplement 4). Additionally,

"atypical" survivors similar to those observed in SY12 *tlc1Δ* (Figure 2D) were also seen (labeled

in black, Figure 5C). Further deletion of *RAD52* in the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1Δ* cells affected, but did not

eliminate, survivor generation (Figure 5—figure supplement 2A). Southern blotting assay

confirmed that most of the recovered clones were circular survivors, and two were atypical

survivors (clone 9 and 16, labeled in black, Figure 5—figure supplement 2B). These data

showed that atypical survivors and circular survivors still emerged when Rad52 pathway was

repressed, indicating that the formation of them does not strictly rely on the homologous

recombination. Overall, these findings indicate that Y'-elements are not strictly required for Type

II survivor formation (Churikov et al., 2014).

———

Figure 5. Survivor analysis of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ strain. (**A**) Cell viability assay in liquid medium. The growth

of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ (labeled in black) and SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ (three clones labeled in blue, purple and green

respectively) strains were monitored every 24 hr for 12 days. (**B**) Telomeric Southern blotting assay of

SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ survivors. Genomic DNAs prepared from SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ survivors assayed in (A) were

digested with XhoI and subjected to Southern blotting with a TG$_{1-3}$ probe. (**C**) Telomere Southern

blotting analysis of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ survivors obtained on solid medium. Genomic DNAs of fifty

independent survivors (labeled 1 to 50 on top) were digested with XhoI and hybridized by a TG$_{1-3}$ probe.

Type II survivors: in orange; circular survivors: in blue; atypical survivors: in black. Theoretical telomere

restriction fragments of the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ strain are indicated on left. LC: loading control. (**D, F**) Southern

blotting results of an SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ circular survivor (**D**) or an SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ Type II survivor (**F**) at the 20th

re-streaks after *TLC1* reintroduction. LC: loading control. (**E**) Schematic of three circular chromosomes

and fusion sequences in the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 survivor. The sequence in blue indicates the sequences

of X-R, XI-R or XIV-R, the sequence in red indicates the sequences of I-L, XIII-L or XVI-L. Bases in

green are mis-paired, dashes are deleted. The numbers above or below the schematic line

(chromosome) indicate the distance to the corresponding telomeres.

Figure 5—figure supplement 1. PCR mapping of the borders of erosion in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 cell. Red

lines indicate the regions which have been deleted in the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ strain.

Figure 5—figure supplement 2. Survivor formation in SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ strain. (**A**) Cell viability

assay in liquid culture. The growth of SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ (labeled in black), SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ (two clones labeled in

purple and blue respectively) and SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ (three clones labeled in violet, pink and carmine

respectively) strains were monitored every 24 hr for 12 days. (**B**) Telomere Southern blotting assay of

SY12 ^{YΔ} *tlc1Δ rad52Δ* survivors obtained on solid medium. Genomic DNA from twenty-five independent

clones (labeled on top) was digested with XhoI and hybridized to a telomere-specific TG$_{1-3}$ probe.

Circular survivors: in blue; atypical survivors: in black. Theoretical telomere restriction fragments of the

SY12 ^{YΔ} strain are indicated on the left. The asterisks indicate the non-specific bands. Genomic DNA

stained with Gelred was used as a relative loading control (LC).

____

X-elements are not strictly necessary for survivor generation

To investigate the contribution of X-elements to telomere recombination, we employed the

SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ strain, which contains only one Y'-element in the subtelomeric region, and the

SY12 ^{XYΔ} *tlc1Δ* strain, which lacks both the X- and Y'-elements. Subsequently, we deleted *TLC1*

in the SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ and SY12 ^{XYΔ} strains and conducted a cell viability assay. Consistently, the

deletion of *TLC1* in SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ and SY12 ^{XYΔ} resulted in telomere shortening, senescence, and

the formation of Type II survivors (Figure 6—figure supplement 1). Then, fifty independent

clones of SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ *tlc1Δ* or SY12 ^{XYΔ} *tlc1Δ* survivors were examined using Southern blotting

(Figure 6A and 6B).

Among the SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ survivors analyzed, twenty-two clones underwent chromosomal

circularization (labeled in blue, Figure 6A). We randomly selected a clone named SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$

tlc1Δ-C1, and the results of erosion-border mapping and fusion junction sequencing showed

that it had undergone intra-chromosomal fusions mediated by microhomology sequences

(Figure 6C, Figure 6—figure supplement 2, and supplementary file 3). Subsequently, Southern

blotting revealed that the chromosome structure of SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ *tlc1Δ*-C1 remained unchanged

after *TLC1* reintroduction (Figure 6D), and PFGE analysis confirmed the circular chromosome

structure in SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 (Figure 2—figure supplement 4). Additionally, seven clones

utilized the Type II recombination pathway and exhibited heterogeneous telomeric TG$_{1-3}$ tracts

(labeled in orange, Figure 6A). Reintroduction of *TLC1* into a representative clone (named

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ-T1) restored the telomere length to normal (Figure 6D). These findings indicate

that the majority of cells underwent intra-chromosomal circularization or TG$_{1-3}$ recombination.

While even though there is a Y'-element, no Type I survivors were generated in SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ

survivors (Figure 6A). We speculated that the short TG$_{1-3}$ repeats located centromere-proximal

to the Y'-elements play a crucial role in strand invasion and subsequent Y'-recombination. This

speculation is consistent with a previous report stating that Type I events are virtually absent in

the yeast strain Y55, which lacks TG$_{1-3}$ repeats centromere-proximal to the Y'-element (Louis,

2001). We also observed some clones displayed non-canonical telomere signals like SY12

*tlc1*Δ "atypical" survivors (labeled in black, Figure 6A and Figure 2D). Overall, these data

suggest that X-elements are not strictly necessary for survivor formation.

Among the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ survivors, twenty-four displayed a "circular survivor" pattern (labeled in

blue, Figure 6B). Additional PCR-sequencing assays and PFGE analysis of the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ-

C1 cells confirmed the occurrence of intra-chromosomal fusions mediated by microhomology

sequences (Figure 6E, Figure 6—figure supplement 3, Figure 2—figure supplement 4, and

supplementary file 3). Four of fifty survivors harbored Type II telomere structure (labeled in

orange, Figure 6B). Reintroduction of *TLC1* into a representative clone named SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ-

T1 could restore its telomere length to WT level (Figure 6F). Some of the survivors (labeled in

black, Figure 6B) were likely "atypical" survivor that were difficult to characterize. Like in SY12

*tlc1*Δ cells, Rad52 is not strictly required for the formation of circular survivors and atypical

survivors in SY12 ^{XYΔ} *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ and SY12 $^{XYΔ+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ strains (Figure 6—figure

supplement 4A and B). Collectively, the aforementioned data suggest that X-elements, as well

as Y'-elements, are not essential for the generation of Type II survivors.

Figure 6. Survivor analysis of SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ strains. (**A**) Telomere Southern

blotting analysis of SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ survivors obtained on solid medium. fifty independent survivors

(labeled 1 to 50 on top) were randomly picked, and their genomic DNAs were digested with XhoI and

subjected to the Southern blotting assay with a TG$_{1-3}$ probe. Type II survivors: in orange; circular

survivors: in blue; atypical survivors: in black. The sizes of individual telomere restriction fragments of

the SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strain are indicated on the left. LC: loading control. (**B**) Telomeric Southern blotting assay

of SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$ survivors obtained on solid medium. fifty independent survivors (labeled 1 to 50 on top)

were randomly picked, and their genomic DNAs were digested with XhoI and subjected to the Southern

blotting assay with a TG$_{1-3}$ probe. Type II survivors: in orange; circular survivors: in blue; atypical

survivors: in black. The sizes of individual telomere restriction fragments of the SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strain are

indicated on the left. LC: loading control. (**C**) and (**E**) Schematic of three circular chromosomes and

fusion sequences in the SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$-C1 and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$-C1 survivors, respectively. The

sequence in blue indicates the sequences of X-R, XI-R or XIV-R, the sequence in red indicates the

sequences of I-L, XIII-L or XVI-L. Bases in green are mis-paired, dashes are deleted. The numbers

above or below the schematic line (chromosome) indicate the distance to the corresponding telomeres.

(**D**) Southern blotting results of an SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ circular survivor and an SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ Type II

survivor at the 20th re-streaks after *TLC1* reintroduction. LC: loading control. (**F**) Southern blotting result

of an SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$ Type II survivor at the 20th re-streaks after *TLC1* reintroduction. LC: loading control.

Figure 6—figure supplement 1. SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$ strains form Type II survivors in

liquid culture. (**A**) Cell viability assay in liquid medium: The growth of SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ (labeled in black) and

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ (three clones labeled in blue, purple and green respectively) strains were monitored

every 24 hr for 12 days. (**B**) Telomeric Southern blotting assay of SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$ survivors. Genomic

DNAs prepared from survivors in (A) were digested with XhoI and subjected to Southern blotting with a

TG$_{1\text{-}3}$ probe. (**C**) Cell viability assay in liquid medium: The growth of SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ (labeled in black) and

SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ (three clones labeled in blue, purple and green respectively) strains were monitored every

24 hr for 12 days. (**D**) Telomeric Southern blotting assay of SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ survivors. Genomic DNAs

prepared from survivors in (C) were digested with XhoI and subjected to Southern blotting with a TG$_{1\text{-}3}$

probe.

Figure 6—figure supplement 2. PCR mapping of the borders of erosion in SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 cell.

Red lines indicate the regions which are absent in the SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strain.

Figure 6—figure supplement 3. PCR mapping of the borders of erosion in SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ-C1 cell. Red

lines indicate the regions which have been deleted in the SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ strain.

Figure 6—figure supplement 4. Survivor formation in SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ

*rad52*Δ strains. (**A**) Cell viability assay in liquid culture. The growth of SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ (labeled in black),

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ (two clones labeled in purple and blue respectively) and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ

(three clones labeled in violet, pink and carmine respectively) strains shown in the left panel; the

survivor formation in SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ (labeled in black), SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ (two clones labeled in purple and blue

respectively) and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ (three clones labeled in violet, pink and carmine respectively)

strains shown in the right panel. They were monitored every 24 hr for 12 days. (**B**) Telomere Southern

blotting assay of SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ (upper) and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ *tlc1*Δ *rad52*Δ (under) survivors obtained

on solid medium. Genomic DNA from twenty-five independent clones (labeled on top) was digested with

XhoI and hybridized to a telomere-specific TG$_{1\text{-}3}$ probe. Circular survivors: in blue; atypical survivors: in

black. Theoretical telomere restriction fragments of each strain are indicated on the left. The asterisks

indicate the non-specific bands. Genomic DNA stained with Gelred was used as a relative loading

control (LC).

——

——

Discussion

The wild-type yeast strain BY4742, commonly used in laboratories, possesses nineteen Y'-elements at seventeen telomere loci and thirty-two X-elements at thirty-two telomere loci. This abundance of Y'-elements and X-elements poses challenges for loss-of-function studies, highlighting the need for a strain lacking all Y'-elements and X-elements. Fortunately, we have previously constructed the single-chromosome yeast strain SY14, which contains only one copy of Y'-element and two copies of X-element (Shao et al., 2018), could have been an ideal tool. However, the telomerase-null survivors of SY14 mainly bypassed senescence through chromosomal circularization, providing limited insights into the roles of Y'- and X-elements in telomere maintenance (Wu et al., 2020). Therefore, in this study, we employed the SY12 strain, which has three chromosomes, to investigate the functions of Y'- and X-elements at telomeres (Figure 2A, left panel).

We constructed the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ strains, which lack the Y'-element, X-elements, and both X- and Y'-elements, respectively (Figure 3A). Surprisingly, the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains exhibited minimal defects in cell proliferation, genotoxic sensitivity, and telomere homeostasis (Figures 3 and 4). These results demonstrate, for the first time, that both X- and Y'-elements are dispensable for cellular functions. Thus, the SY12$^{Y\Delta}$, SY12$^{XY\Delta}$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ strains established in this study, with their simplified telomere structures, are valuable resources for telomere biology research.

Subtelomeric regions are known to be highly variable and often contain species-specific homologous DNA sequences. In the case of fission yeast, subtelomeric regions consist of

subtelomeric homologous (SH) and telomere-distal sequences. Previous studies have shown

that subtelomeric homologous sequences in fission yeast do not significantly impact telomere

length, mitotic cell growth, or stress responses. However, they do play a role in buffering against

the spreading of silencing signals from the telomere (Tashiro et al., 2017). Though the "core X"

sequence acts as a protosilencer (Lebrun et al., 2001), the X-STRs and Y'-STAR possess anti-

silencing properties that limit the spreading of heterochromatin in budding yeast (Fourel et al.,

1999), the telomere position effect remains effective in the strains that lack both X- and Y'-

elements (Figure 4B). Given the remarkable differences in both sequence and size between

the subtelomeric regions of budding yeast and fission yeast, it is difficult to compare the extent

to which subtelomeric elements affect telomere silencing.

Amplification of Y'-element(s) is a characteristic feature of canonical Type I survivors. Type I

survivor emerged in SY12 strain indicating that multiple Y'-elements in tandem is not strictly

required for type I recombination (Figure 2D). Interestingly, the telomerase-null SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ and

SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ cells, lacking Y'-elements, failed to generate Type I survivors but could generate Type

II survivors, indicating that the acquisition of Y'-elements is not a prerequisite for Type II survivor

formation (Figure 5C and Figure 6A). These observations support the notion that Type I and

Type II survivors form independently, although both may utilize a common alternative telomere-

lengthening pathway (Kockler et al., 2021). Moreover, a subset of SY12 $tlc1\Delta$, SY12$^{Y\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$,

SY12$^{XY\Delta+Y}$ $tlc1\Delta$, and SY12$^{XY\Delta}$ $tlc1\Delta$ cells could escape senescence and become survivors

through microhomology-mediated intra-chromosomal end-to-end fusion (chromosome

circularization) (Figure 5C, Figure 6A and 6B, labeled in blue). Notably, the survivors with all

circular chromosomes were readily recovered from the telomerase-null SY11 to SY14, but not

SY1 to SY10 cells (Figure 1). Several reasons could account for this. First, a smaller number

of telomeres provides fewer recombination donors and acceptors, resulting in less efficient

inter-chromosomal homologous recombination (e.g., TG_{1-3} tracts recombination or Y'-element

acquisition). Second, the continuously shortened telomeres of linear chromosomes may trigger

another round of senescence, while survivors with circular chromosomes do not encounter end-

replication problems and therefore exhibit greater stability. Third, the presence of homologous

sequences at both chromosome ends appears to be a minimum requirement for

microhomology-mediated intra-chromosomal end-to-end fusion. With fewer homologous

sequences, the probability of chromosome circularization decreases, and with more

chromosomes, the likelihood of circularizing each chromosome within a cell diminishes. Fourth,

in cells with fewer telomeres, intra-chromosomal telomere fusions are more likely to occur, while

lethal inter-chromosomal fusions are competed out. However, we can speculate that in

telomerase-null cells with eroded chromosome ends, stochastic repair mechanisms such as

homologous recombination, microhomology-mediated end joining, and inter- and intra-

chromosomal fusions operate simultaneously. Only those survivors that maintain a relatively

stable genome and robust growth can be experimentally recovered.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (budding yeast) and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (fission yeast)

are the most commonly used laboratory systems, separated by approximately 1 Gya (billion

years ago) according to molecular-clock analyses (Hedges, 2002). Despite both species having

genomes are both over 12 megabases in length, haploid *S. cerevisiae* contains 16

chromosomes, while *S. pombe* has only 3 chromosomes (Forsburg, 2005). The telomerase-

independent mechanisms for maintaining chromosome ends differ between these two yeasts.

In budding yeast, homologous recombination is the primary mode of survival in telomerase-

deficient cells, resulting in the generation of Type I or Type II survivors (McEachern and Haber,

2006). Telomerase- and recombination-deficient cells occasionally escape senescence through

the formation of palindromes at chromosome ends in the absence of *EXO1* (Maringele and

Lydall, 2004). Fission yeast cells lacking telomerase can also maintain their chromosome

termini by recombining persistent telomere sequences, and survivors with all intra-circular

chromosomes (Nakamura et al., 1998) or intermolecular fusions (Tashiro et al., 2017; Wang

and Baumann, 2008) have been observed. In our research, some SY12 *tlc1*Δ cells, which have

three chromosomes, also bypassed senescence by circularizing their chromosomes (Figure

2D), suggesting that a lower chromosome number increases the likelihood of recovering

survivors containing circular chromosomes.

While most eukaryotes employ telomerase for telomere replication, some eukaryotes lack

telomerase and utilize recombination as an alternative means to maintain telomeres

(Biessmann and Mason, 1997). In *Drosophila*, telomeres are replicated through a

retrotransposon mechanism (Levis et al., 1993; Louis, 2002). The structure and distribution of

Y'-elements in *S. cerevisiae* suggest their origin from a mobile element (Jager and Philippsen,

1989; Louis and Haber, 1992), and Y'-elements can be mobilized through a transposition-like

RNA-mediated process (Maxwell et al., 2004). In telomerase-deficient yeast cells, homologous

recombination can acts as a backup mechanism for telomere replication (Lundblad and

Blackburn, 1993), and the reintroduction of telomerase efficiently inhibits telomere

recombination and dominates telomere replication (Chen et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2015; Teng

and Zakian, 1999), These findings suggest that subtelomeric region amplification mediated by

recombination and/or transposition may represent ancient telomere maintenance mechanisms

predating the evolution of telomerase (de Lange, 2004). Therefore, subtelomeric X- and Y'-

elements might be considered as evolutionary "fossils" in the *S. cerevisiae* genome, and their

elimination has little impact on genome stability.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains and plasmids

Yeast strains used in this study are listed in supplementary file 6. The plasmids for gene deletion

and endogenous expression of *TLC1* were constructed based on the pRS series as described

previously (Sikorski and Hieter, 1989). We use PCR to amplify the upstream and downstream

sequence adjacent to the target gene, and then the PCR fragments were digested with different

restriction enzymes and inserted into pRS plasmids. Plasmids were introduced into budding

yeast by standard procedures, and transformants were selected on auxotrophic medium (Orr-

Weaver et al., 1981).

Multiple-colony streaking assay

Single clones of indicated yeast strains were randomly picked and streaked on extract-peptone-

dextrose (YPD) plates. Thereafter, several clones of their descendants were passaged by

successive re-streaks at 30°C. This procedure was repeated dozens of times every two days.

Telomere Southern blotting

Southern blotting was performed as previously described (Hu et al., 2013). Yeast genomic DNA

was extracted by a phenol chloroform method. Restriction fragments were separated by

electrophoresis in 1% agarose gel, transferred to Amersham Hybond-N[+] membrane (GE

Healthcare) and hybridized with α-^{32}P dCTP labeled probe.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability assay was performed as previously described with few modifications (Le et al.,

1999). Three independent single colonies of indicated strains were grown to saturation at 30°C.

Then the cell density was measured every 24 hours by spectrometry (OD_{600}), and the cultures

were diluted to the density at $OD_{600} = 0.01$. This procedure was repeated several times to allow

the appearance of survivors. The genomic DNA samples at indicated time points were

harvested for telomere length analysis.

Molecular analysis of circular chromosomes

Fusion events were determined by PCR amplification and DNA sequencing. Genomic DNA was

extracted by phenol chloroform. Frist, we use primers pairs located at different sites of each

chromosome arm at an interval of 1 kb (listed in Supplementary file 1) to determine the erosion

site of each chromosome; PCR was performed as standard procedures in 10 μl reactions by

TaKaRa Ex Taq. To amplify the sequence of fusion junction we use pairs of primers oriented

to different arm of each chromosome; PCR was performed as standard procedures in 50 μl

reactions by TaKaRa LA Taq. The fragments were purified by kit (QINGEN), then they were

sequenced directly or cloned into the pMD18-T Vector (TaKaRa) for sequencing.

CRISPR-Cas9 mediated X- and Y'-elements deletion

X- and Y'-elements were deleted as described (Shao et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2019). Briefly,

pgRNA and a DNA targeting cassette, containing a selection marker, a homology arm (DR1),

a direct repeat (DR2), and telomeric repeats, were co-introduced into indicated cells harboring

pCas9. pCas9 nuclease was directed to a specific DNA sequence centromere-proximal to the

subtelomeric region with the guidance of gRNA1, where it induces a double-stranded break.

Homologous recombination between the broken chromosome and the provided DNA targeting

cassette caused the deletion of X- and Y'-elements. The positive transformants identified by

PCR were transferred into the galactose-containing liquid medium, which induces the

expression of the gRNA2 on pCas9 to cut at the target site near the *URA3* gene and on the

backbone of pgRNA. Then the culture was plated on the medium containing 5'-FOA to select

for eviction of the *URA3* marker.

Cell growth assay

Three individual colonies of the indicated strains were inoculated into 5 ml liquid medium and

incubated at 30℃. The cell cultures were then diluted in 30 ml of fresh YPD medium to the

density at $OD_{600} = 0.1$. Then the density of cells was measured by spectrometry (OD_{600}) hourly.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) assay

The FACS analysis was performed as previously described (He et al., 2019). Yeast cells were

cultured at 30°C until the log phase, and then 1 ml of the cells was harvested. The cells were

washed with cold sterile ddH$_2$O and fixed with 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. The following day,

the cells were washed with 50 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 7.2) and then digested with 0.25

mg/ml RNase A at 37°C for 2-3 hours, followed by 0.2 mg/ml Protease K at 50°C for 1 hour.

Both RNase A and Protease K were diluted in sodium citrate buffer. The cells were

resuspended in 500 μl sodium citrate buffer and then sonicated for 45 seconds at 100% power.

The DNA of the cells was stained with 20 μg/ml propidium iodide (PI) at 4°C overnight or at

room temperature for 1 hour. FACS analysis was performed on a BD LSRII instrument.

Serial dilution assay

A single colony per strain was inoculated into 3 ml liquid medium and incubated at 30°C. The

cell cultures were then adjusted to a concentration of OD$_{600}$ ~0.5. Five-fold serially diluted cells

were spotted on the indicated plates. The plates were incubated at 30°C for the appropriate

time prior to photography.

RNA extraction and RT-qPCR

Three independent single colonies of indicated strains were grown to log phase at 30°C. Yeast

pellets from a 1 ml cell culture were digested with Zymolyase 20 T (MP Biomedicals, LLC) to

obtain spheroplasts. RNA was extracted with RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) followed by reverse

transcription using the Fastquant RT kit (Tiangen). Real-time PCR was carried out using

SYBR Premix Ex Taq^{TM} II (Takara) on the Applied Biosystems StepOne Real-Time PCR

System. Primer pairs used in RT-qPCR were listed in supplementary file 1. The gene

expression levels were normalized to that of *ACT1* and the wild-type value is arbitrarily set to

1.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis

DNA plugs for pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) were prepared according to the

manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad) and Ishii et al (Ishii et al., 2008). Fresh yeast cells were

inoculated in 50 ml YPD and incubated at 30°C until the OD600 reached approximately 1.0.

The cells were subsequently harvested, washed twice with cold EDTA buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0),

and resuspended in 300 µl of CSB buffer (10 mM pH 7.2 Tris-Cl, 20 mM NaCl, 100 mM pH 8.0

EDTA, 4 mg/ml lyticase) and blended with 300 µl of 2% low-melt agarose (Bio-Rad). Then, 100

µl of resuspended cells were added to each plug and incubated at 4°C for 30 min until the

agarose plugs were solidified. The solidified agarose plugs were incubated in lyticase buffer

(10 mM pH 7.2 Tris-Cl, 100 mM pH 8.0 EDTA, 1 mg/ml lyticase) at 37°C for 3 hours, followed

by incubation in Proteinase K Reaction Buffer (100 mM pH 8.0 EDTA, 0.2% sodium

deoxycholate, 1% sodium lauryl sarcosine) containing 1 mg/ml Proteinase K at 50°C for 12

hours. The plugs were washed four times in 25 ml of wash buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 50 mM

EDTA) for 1 hour each time at room temperature with gentle agitation. The plugs were then

fixed into a pulsed field agarose gel (Bio-Rad), and the CHEF-DR II Pulsed Field

Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad) was used for gel electrophoresis. The electrophoresis

conditions for separation were as follows: 0.8% agarose gel, 1×TBE buffer, 14°C temperature,

first run: initial switch time 1200 s; final switch time 1200 s; run time 24 hours; voltage gradient

2 V/cm; angle 96°; second run: initial switch time 1500 s; final switch time 1500 s; run time 24

hours; voltage gradient 2 V/cm; angle 100°; third run: initial switch time 1800 s; final switch time

1800 s; run time 24 hours; voltage gradient 2 V/cm; angle 106°. The gel was stained with

GelstainRedTM nucleic acid dye (US EVERBRIGHT), and PFGE Gels were imaged by Tanon

2500.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of Zhou lab for discussions and suggestions for this project.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Zhi-Jing Wu, Jin-Qiu Zhou.

Funding acquisition: Jin-Qiu Zhou.

Investigation: Can Hu, Zhi-Jing Wu, Xue-Ting Zhu.

Methodology: Zhi-Jing Wu, Yangyang Shao, Zhongjun Qin.

Supervision: Jin-Qiu Zhou.

Writing-original draft: Zhi-Jing Wu.

Writing-review & editing: Zhi-Jing Wu, Can Hu, Xue-Ting Zhu, Ming-Hong He, Jin-Qiu Zhou.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Aparicio, O.M., Billington, B.L., and Gottschling, D.E. (1991). Modifiers of position effect are shared
between telomeric and silent mating-type loci in S. cerevisiae. Cell *66*, 1279-1287.

Biessmann, H., and Mason, J.M. (1997). Telomere maintenance without telomerase. Chromosoma *106*,
63-69.

Chan, C.S.M., and Tye, B.K. (1983a). A Family of Saccharomyces-Cerevisiae Repetitive Autonomously
Replicating Sequences That Have Very Similar Genomic Environments. Journal of Molecular Biology
168, 505-523.

Chan, C.S.M., and Tye, B.K. (1983b). Organization of DNA-Sequences and Replication Origins at Yeast
Telomeres. Cell *33*, 563-573.

Chen, Q., Ijpma, A., and Greider, C.W. (2001). Two survivor pathways that allow growth in the absence
of telomerase are generated by distinct telomere recombination events. Mol Cell Biol *21*, 1819-1827.

Chen, X.F., Meng, F.L., and Zhou, J.Q. (2009). Telomere recombination accelerates cellular aging in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS Genet *5*, e1000535.

Churikov, D., Charifi, F., Simon, M.N., and Geli, V. (2014). Rad59-facilitated acquisition of Y' elements
by short telomeres delays the onset of senescence. PLoS Genet *10*, e1004736.

Craven, R.J., and Petes, T.D. (1999). Dependence of the regulation of telomere length on the type of
subtelomeric repeat in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *152*, 1531-1541.

de Lange, T. (2004). T-loops and the origin of telomeres. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *5*, 323-329.

Forsburg, S.L. (2005). The yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe:
models for cell biology research. Gravit Space Biol Bull *18*, 3-9.

Fourel, G., Revardel, E., Koering, C.E., and Gilson, E. (1999). Cohabitation of insulators and silencing
elements in yeast subtelomeric regions. Embo Journal *18*, 2522-2537.

He, M.H., Liu, J.C., Lu, Y.S., Wu, Z.J., Liu, Y.Y., Wu, Z., Peng, J., and Zhou, J.Q. (2019). KEOPS
complex promotes homologous recombination via DNA resection. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, 5684-5697.

Hedges, S.B. (2002). The origin and evolution of model organisms. Nat Rev Genet *3*, 838-849.

Hediger, F., Berthiau, A.S., van Houwe, G., Gilson, E., and Gasser, S.M. (2006). Subtelomeric factors
antagonize telomere anchoring and Tel1-independent telomere length regulation. EMBO J *25*, 857-867.

Hu, Y., Tang, H.-B., Liu, N.-N., Tong, X.-J., Dang, W., Duan, Y.-M., Fu, X.-H., Zhang, Y., Peng, J.,
Meng, F.-L.*, et al.* (2013). Telomerase-null survivor screening identifies novel telomere recombination
regulators. PLoS genetics *9*, e1003208.

Ishii, K., Ogiyama, Y., Chikashige, Y., Soejima, S., Masuda, F., Kakuma, T., Hiraoka, Y., and Takahashi,
K. (2008). Heterochromatin integrity affects chromosome reorganization after centromere dysfunction.
Science *321*, 1088-1091.

Jager, D., and Philippsen, P. (1989). Many Yeast Chromosomes Lack the Telomere-Specific Y'
Sequence. Molecular and Cellular Biology *9*, 5754-5757.

Johnson, F.B., Marciniak, R.A., McVey, M., Stewart, S.A., Hahn, W.C., and Guarente, L. (2001). The
Saccharomyces cerevisiae WRN homolog Sgs1p participates in telomere maintenance in cells lacking
telomerase. EMBO J *20*, 905-913.

Kockler, Z.W., Comeron, J.M., and Malkova, A. (2021). A unified alternative telomere-lengthening
pathway in yeast survivor cells. Molecular cell *81*, 1816-1829 e1815.

Larrivee, M., and Wellinger, R.J. (2006). Telomerase- and capping-independent yeast survivors with
alternate telomere states. Nat Cell Biol *8*, 741-747.

Le, S., Moore, J.K., Haber, J.E., and Greider, C.W. (1999). RAD50 and RAD51 define two pathways that
collaborate to maintain telomeres in the absence of telomerase. Genetics *152*, 143-152.

Lebrun, E., Revardel, E., Boscheron, C., Li, R., Gilson, E., and Fourel, G. (2001). Protosilencers in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae subtelomeric regions. Genetics *158*, 167-176.

Lemieux, B., Laterreur, N., Perederina, A., Noel, J.F., Dubois, M.L., Krasilnikov, A.S., and Wellinger,
R.J. (2016). Active Yeast Telomerase Shares Subunits with Ribonucleoproteins RNase P and RNase
MRP. Cell *165*, 1171-1181.

Lendvay, T.S., Morris, D.K., Sah, J., Balasubramanian, B., and Lundblad, V. (1996). Senescence
mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae with a defect in telomere replication identify three additional EST
genes. Genetics *144*, 1399-1412.

Levis, R.W., Ganesan, R., Houtchens, K., Tolar, L.A., and Sheen, F.M. (1993). Transposons in place of
telomeric repeats at a Drosophila telomere. Cell *75*, 1083-1093.

Lewis, L.K., Storici, F., Van Komen, S., Calero, S., Sung, P., and Resnick, M.A. (2004). Role of the
nuclease activity of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Mre11 in repair of DNA double-strand breaks in mitotic
cells. Genetics *166*, 1701-1713.

Louis (2001). SGS1 is required for telomere elongation in the absence of telomerase.

Louis, E.J. (1995). The chromosome ends of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast *11*, 1553-1573.

Louis, E.J. (2002). Are Drosophila telomeres an exception or the rule? Genome biology *3*,
REVIEWS0007.

Louis, E.J., and Haber, J.E. (1991). Evolutionarily recent transfer of a group I mitochondrial intron to
telomere regions in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr Genet *20*, 411-415.

Louis, E.J., and Haber, J.E. (1992). The structure and evolution of subtelomeric Y' repeats in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *131*, 559-574.

Louis, E.J., Naumova, E.S., Lee, A., Naumov, G., and Haber, J.E. (1994). The chromosome end in
yeast: its mosaic nature and influence on recombinational dynamics. Genetics *136*, 789-802.

Lundblad, V., and Blackburn, E.H. (1993). An alternative pathway for yeast telomere maintenance
rescues est1- senescence. Cell *73*, 347-360.

Lundblad, V., and Szostak, J.W. (1989). A mutant with a defect in telomere elongation leads to
senescence in yeast. Cell *57*, 633-643.

Maringele, L., and Lydall, D. (2004). Telomerase- and recombination-independent immortalization of
budding yeast. Genes & development *18*, 2663-2675.

Maxwell, P.H., Coombes, C., Kenny, A.E., Lawler, J.F., Boeke, J.D., and Curcio, M.J. (2004). Ty1
mobilizes subtelomeric Y' elements in telomerase-negative Saccharomyces cerevisiae survivors. Mol
Cell Biol *24*, 9887-9898.

McEachern, M.J., and Haber, J.E. (2006). Break-induced replication and recombinational telomere
elongation in yeast. Annu Rev Biochem *75*, 111-135.

Nakamura, T.M., Cooper, J.P., and Cech, T.R. (1998). Two modes of survival of fission yeast without
telomerase. Science *282*, 493-496.

Nicolette, M.L., Lee, K., Guo, Z., Rani, M., Chow, J.M., Lee, S.E., and Paull, T.T. (2010). Mre11-Rad50-
Xrs2 and Sae2 promote 5' strand resection of DNA double-strand breaks. Nat Struct Mol Biol *17*, 1478-
1485.

O'Donnell, S., Yue, J.-X., Saada, O.A., Agier, N., Caradec, C., Cokelaer, T., De Chiara, M., Delmas, S.,
Dutreux, F., Fournier, T.*, et al.* (2023). Telomere-to-telomere assemblies of 142 strains characterize the
genome structural landscape in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nat Genet *55*, 1390-1399.

Orr-Weaver, T.L., Szostak, J.W., and Rothstein, R.J. (1981). Yeast transformation: a model system for
the study of recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *78*, 6354-6358.

Ottaviani, A., Gilson, E., and Magdinier, F. (2008). Telomeric position effect: from the yeast paradigm to
human pathologies? Biochimie *90*, 93-107.

Palm, W., and de Lange, T. (2008). How shelterin protects mammalian telomeres. Annu Rev Genet *42*,
301-334.

Peng, J., He, M.H., Duan, Y.M., Liu, Y.T., and Zhou, J.Q. (2015). Inhibition of telomere recombination by
inactivation of KEOPS subunit Cgi121 promotes cell longevity. PLoS Genet *11*, e1005071.

Richardson, S.M., Mitchell, L.A., Stracquadanio, G., Yang, K., Dymond, J.S., DiCarlo, J.E., Lee, D.,
Huang, C.L.V., Chandrasegaran, S., Cai, Y.*, et al.* (2017). Design of a synthetic yeast genome. Science
(New York, NY) *355*, 1040-1044.

Shao, Y., Lu, N., Wu, Z., Cai, C., Wang, S., Zhang, L.L., Zhou, F., Xiao, S., Liu, L., Zeng, X.*, et al.*
(2018). Creating a functional single-chromosome yeast. Nature *560*, 331-335.

Shao, Y.Y., Lu, N., Xue, X.L., and Qin, Z.J. (2019). Creating functional chromosome fusions in yeast
with CRISPR-Cas9. Nat Protoc *14*, 2521-2545.

Sikorski, R.S., and Hieter, P. (1989). A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for
efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics *122*, 19-27.

Singer, M.S., and Gottschling, D.E. (1994). TLC1: template RNA component of Saccharomyces
cerevisiae telomerase. Science *266*, 404-409.

Tashiro, S., Nishihara, Y., Kugou, K., Ohta, K., and Kanoh, J. (2017). Subtelomeres constitute a
safeguard for gene expression and chromosome homeostasis. Nucleic Acids Res *45*, 10333-10349.

Teng, S.C., Chang, J., McCowan, B., and Zakian, V.A. (2000). Telomerase-independent lengthening of
yeast telomeres occurs by an abrupt Rad50p-dependent, Rif-inhibited recombinational process.
Molecular cell *6*, 947-952.

Teng, S.C., and Zakian, V.A. (1999). Telomere-telomere recombination is an efficient bypass pathway
for telomere maintenance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Molecular and Cellular Biology *19*, 8083-8093.

Wang, X., and Baumann, P. (2008). Chromosome fusions following telomere loss are mediated by
single-strand annealing. Molecular cell *31*, 463-473.

Wellinger, R.J., and Zakian, V.A. (2012). Everything you ever wanted to know about Saccharomyces
cerevisiae telomeres: beginning to end. Genetics *191*, 1073-1105.

Wu, Z., Liu, J., Zhang, Q.D., Lv, D.K., Wu, N.F., and Zhou, J.Q. (2017). Rad6-Bre1-mediated H2B
ubiquitination regulates telomere replication by promoting telomere-end resection. Nucleic Acids Res *45*,
3308-3322.

Wu, Z.J., Liu, J.C., Man, X., Gu, X., Li, T.Y., Cai, C., He, M.H., Shao, Y., Lu, N., Xue, X.*, et al.* (2020).
Cdc13 is predominant over Stn1 and Ten1 in preventing chromosome end fusions. Elife *9*.

Yamada, M., Hayatsu, N., Matsuura, A., and Ishikawa, F. (1998). Y'-Help1, a DNA helicase encoded by
the yeast subtelomeric Y' element, is induced in survivors defective for telomerase. J Biol Chem *273*,
33360-33366.

Zakian, V.A., and Blanton, H.M. (1988). Distribution of telomere-associated sequences on natural
chromosomes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol *8*, 2257-2260.

Zhao, Y., Coelho, C., Hughes, A.L., Lazar-Stefanita, L., Yang, S., Brooks, A.N., Walker, R.S.K., Zhang,

W., Lauer, S., Hernandez, C.*, et al.* (2023). Debugging and consolidating multiple synthetic

chromosomes reveals combinatorial genetic interactions. Cell *186*, 5220-5236 e5216.

A

B

Telomeric C$_{1-3}$A probe

Y' probe

A

X element
Y' element

A

B

A

B

A

B

C

D

A

B

XIV-R(16.3 kb)
XIII-L(14.7 kb)

XI-R(15.8kb)
I-L(12 kb)

XVI-L(864+300 bp)

X-R(595+300bp)

LC

SY12^XYΔ+Y

SY12^XYΔ+Y tlc1Δ rad52Δ

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25   kb

10
8
6
5   *

1.5

XIV-R(16.3 kb)
XIII-L(14.7 kb)

XI-R(15.8kb)
I-L(12 kb)

XVI-L(1032+300 bp)

X-R(595+300bp)

LC

SY12^XYΔ

SY12^XYΔ tlc1Δ rad52Δ

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25   kb

10
8
6
5   *

1.5

1