Article Type: Letter

Article Title: Lineage maintenance due to assortative mating but not competitive

exclusion in a sympatric sibling species pair

Mitchell Irvine[1]*, Zachary Stewart[1], Nagalingam Kumaran[2], Chapa G. Manawaduge[1],

Solomon Balagawi[3], Brendan Missenden[4], Melissa Starkie[4], Anthony R. Clarke[1], David

Hurwood[1], and Peter Prentis[1]

Author Information

* Correspondence: mitchell.irvine@hdr.qut.edu.au

Mitchell Irvine (ORCID ID – 0009-0004-2338-8463)

[1]School of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Queensland University of Technology,

GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, Qld, Australia

[2]Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), Ecosciences

Precinct, Dutton Park, Queensland, Australia.

[3]Horticulture and Forestry Science, Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, P.O. Box 652,

Cairns, Queensland, Australia.

[4]Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Ecosciences Precinct, Dutton Park, Queensland,

Australia

Running Title: Ecological differentiation absent in sympatric species pair

Abstract Word Count: 223

Word Count: 5,176 (excl. abstract, lay summary, acknowledgements, author contributions,

data accessibility and references)

Abstract

Lineage theory suggests that the development of traits associated with reproductive isolation coupled with traits associated with ecological differentiation are essential for the maintenance of sympatric lineages. In the absence of one of these elements, lineage boundaries are expected to breakdown. The tephritid fruit fly species pair, *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* have significant overlap in geographic range and host use, with time of day of male mating the only known difference in their mating systems. Using this system, we tested the relative importance of ecological differentiation versus reproductive isolation in sympatric lineage maintenance.

Genome-wide SNP analyses found strong genetic differentiation between the species with no evidence for hybridization in the field. Most outlier SNPs were restricted to narrow regions towards the centromeres and telomeres of chromosomes. Enrichment of annotation terms indicated an overabundance of genes with the 'abnormal neuroanatomy' term. Terms of interest associated with sleep and circadian rhythm, potentially important to the allochronic reproductive barrier, were non-enriched. Ecological data found no evidence for ecological differentiation or competitive displacement between the two species based on significant positive correlations between species numbers trapped at different times of the year, trapped in different habitats within a region, or when reared from fruit.

Our study highlights the significance of assortative mating over ecological differentiation for sympatric lineage maintenance of the *B. tryoni/B. neohumeralis* sibling pair.

Keywords

Bactrocera tryoni, *Bactrocera neohumeralis*, lineage maintenance, coexistence, Tephritidae, competitive displacement, recombination

Lay Summary

The combination of differential adaptation to ecological niches and the development of

reproductive barriers are considered necessary for maintaining co-existing lineages. Without

these two attributes, traditional lineage theory predicts that co-existence will not be

sustainable and will end in the extinction of one lineage through competitive exclusion or the

breakdown of lineages boundaries leading to a single hybrid lineage. When both attributes are

present, ecological differentiation acts to minimize competitive pressures between lineages

while reproductive barriers prevent hybridization that often leads to the dissolution of lineage

boundaries. The closely related Tephritid fruit fly species pair, *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis, demonstrate significant overlap in distribution and host utilization. A

difference in male mating times is the only known reproductive barrier preventing

hybridization between the pair. Using a combination of ecological (seasonal abundance, host

use and habitat use) and genomic data, we tested the differing roles of competition and

assortative mating on sympatric lineage maintenance in this species pair.

Ecological data demonstrated strong correlation in seasonal abundance, habitat use and host

use between the species and provided no evidence for ecological differentiation or

competitive pressure between the species. In contrast, genome-wide SNPs showed strong

genetic differentiation between species. Additionally, nineteen genes associated with

abnormal neurology and circadian rhythm were identified, some of which may be involved in

maintaining reproductive isolation between the species.

Despite the lack of ecological differentiation, genomic data shows little evidence of

hybridization occurring between these species. Instead, the chromosomal positioning of genes

associated with reproductive barriers appears to be sufficient in maintaining lineage

boundaries.

Introduction

Species are increasingly defined as separately evolving lineages in order to overcome

incompatibilities between alternative species concepts (herein referred to as lineage theory)

(de Queiroz, 1999, 2005; Fišer et al., 2018; Mayden, 1997; Shirley et al., 2014). If lineages

remain separate, then attributes used to define a species, such as unique mate recognition,

distinct phenotypes and adaptation to specific habitats, may (or may not) emerge through

mutation, selection, genetic drift, or pleiotropic change (de Queiroz, 2005, 2007). However,

while the utility of lineage theory may be increasing, the questions faced by evolutionary

biologists of what drives lineage divergence and then keeps lineages separate in sympatry,

remain (Martin & Richards, 2019; Saxenhofer et al., 2019).

Central to our understanding of lineage maintenance, particularly between sympatric or

parapatric lineages, are the opposing roles of natural selection and recombination on the

formation or dissolution of lineage boundaries (Felsenstein, 1981). Natural selection promotes

the development of reproductive isolation through the formation of locally adapted gene

complexes; while free recombination acts to dissolve them through breaking down the

associations of such complexes (Felsenstein, 1981; Hey, 2001; Schluter & Rieseberg, 2022).

A reduction in recombination rates is considered helpful for lineage boundaries to persist and

strengthen over time (Butlin, 2005). Traits associated with reproductive isolation, such as

those involved in assortative mating, are considered effective mechanisms for constraining

gene flow and are essential for sympatric lineage divergence when coupled with the

development of traits associated with local adaptation (Ortiz-Barrientos et al., 2016; Schluter

& Rieseberg, 2022).

On an ecological scale, the persistence of two lineages in sympatry depends on two criteria;

their ability to overcome the effects of hybridization through the development of reproductive

isolation, and the development of niche partitioning to avoid inter-lineage competition

asymmetries (Coyne & Orr, 2004; Felsenstein, 1981; Weber & Strauss, 2016). Without

meeting these criteria, theory suggests that lineages will likely dissolve through hybridization

or cease to exist in sympatry through competitive displacement (Germain et al., 2021; Weber

& Strauss, 2016).

The Australian frugivorous tephritids (Diptera: Tephritidae), *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) and

Bactrocera neohumeralis (Hardy) [earlier taxonomic names *Dacus tryoni* and *D.*

neohumeralis], are a fruit fly sibling pair which has been studied in terms of their speciation

histories and genetic stability for over 60 years (Birch, 1961; Gilchrist et al., 2005; Gilchrist

& Ling, 2006; Gilchrist et al., 2014; Lewontin & Birch, 1966; Meats et al., 2003; Pike, 2004;

Raphael et al., 2019; Smith, 1979; Yeap et al., 2020). Genetically, *B. tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis are very similar (Gilchrist et al., 2014; Morrow et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2003),

with the sharing of polymorphisms in coding and non-coding regions indicating continued

genetic exchange or recent separation (Gilchrist et al., 2005; Gilchrist & Ling, 2006; Wang et

al., 2003). The species show only minor variation in their morphology; the humeral calli,

located on the 'shoulders' of the fly, are bright yellow in *B. tryoni* and brown in *B.*

neohumeralis (Drew, 1989). While intermediate colour variants are well documented, genetic

analysis using microsatellites suggest that intermediates represent intraspecific phenotypic

variation, rather than hybrid individuals (Gilchrist & Ling, 2006; Pike, 2004; Wolda, 1967).

While *B. tryoni* is typically more abundant than *B. neohumeralis*, the geographic ranges of the

two species extensively overlap along the east coast of Australia;, with the endemic range of

B. neohumeralis being entirely encompassed by the range of *B. tryoni* except for a few islands

in the Torres Strait, north of Queensland (Fig. 1a,b) (Osborne et al., 1997). Both *B. tryoni* and

B. neohumeralis are highly polyphagous, using fruit from multiple plant families for breeding,

with host utilization data [presented as 'simple' lists of host fruit that either species have been

reared from at least once with little or no further information] showing significant overlap

between the pair (Fig. 1c) (Hancock et al., 2000; Leblanc et al., 2012; QDPC, 2021).

Figure 1. A) Distribution of *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* along the east coast of Australia. B) Distribution of both species in the Cape York Peninsula and Torres Strait Islands. C) Host usage overlap between species. Numbers in circles represent the known number of host species utilized. Range and host data gathered from Hancock et al. (2000); Leblanc et al. (2012); QDPC (2021). Note: Recent expansion of *B. tryoni* into central and western Victoria is not shown because it is still under regulatory control in many places and is not associated with the species' evolutionary history.

So closely related are *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* that only one known trait unambiguously

defines them as separate biological species: their time of mating (Birch & Vogt, 1970;

Lewontin & Birch, 1966; Vogt, 1977). *Bactrocera neohumeralis* mates during the middle of

the day at high light intensity over a mating window of three to seven hours, while *B. tryoni*

initiates mating during dusk at low light intensity in a mating window of approximately one

hour (Ekanayake et al., 2017). This difference in mating time is the only known mechanism

maintaining reproductive isolation between the species (Birch & Vogt, 1970; Lewontin &

Birch, 1966; Meats et al., 2003; Raphael et al., 2019; Smith, 1979), with other components of

their mating system not known to be different (Bellas & Fletcher, 1979; Ekanayake et al.,

2017; Mankin et al., 2008), including an absence of post-zygotic barriers (Yeap et al., 2020).

Female *B. neohumeralis* will, like *B. tryoni*, also mate at dusk, at which time *B. tryoni* males

do not discriminate between females of either species(Yeap et al., 2020). This caused Yeap et

al (2020) to conclude "*the mating time difference may be a weaker reproductive isolating*

barrier than once assumed".

If mating time is a weak reproductive barrier between the two species, then other factors must

be playing a role in maintaining separate lineages; for example, genomic incompatibility

(Dobzhansky, 1936; Turelli et al., 2001). Additionally, for herbivorous insects such as fruit

flies, lineage divergence is largely considered to be driven by host switching and host

specialization that reduces the effects of competition and natural enemies (Gavrilets & Losos,

2009; Janz & Nylin, 2008; Jeffries & Lawton, 1984; Schluter, 2000; Yoder et al., 2010) and

so ecological factors also need to be considered when investigating lineage maintenance.

Conversely the lineages may not be evolutionarily stable, in which case evidence of gene flow

should be expected from sympatric field collections of the two taxa.

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive investigation of how *B. tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis lineages have been maintained in sympatry through dense geographic sampling

of nuclear genomes and structured field sampling of changes in temporal population

abundance and habitat- and host-usage. We assessed the extent of genetic differentiation and

identified putative candidate genes associated with reproductive isolation between the two

species. Using the field data, we conducted correlation analyses on seasonal abundance, host

use and habitat utilization for evidence of competitive displacement between the two species.

Shifts in any or all of these three ecological attributes have been previously associated with

competition between fruit fly species (Clarke & Measham, 2022).

Methods

Molecular data sample collection

Individuals of *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* were collected in December 2020 and December

2021, using cue lure baited Steiner (Steiner, 1957), Lynfield (Cowley et al., 1990) or Paton

traps (Plant Health Australia, 2023). These traps were deployed by Queensland Department of

Agriculture and Fisheries (QDAF) as part of ongoing Biosecurity Queensland (BQ)

surveillance. A subsample of the trapping sites were selected from the existing network to

represent the geographic range of both species (Fig. 1). Up to 10 individuals of each species

were collected per site with a total of 81 *B. tryoni* and 105 *B. neohumeralis* individuals

collected from 19 sites. Detailed trapping records are included in Table S1. Identity of the

samples were confirmed following the descriptions in fruit fly identification handbooks

(Drew, 1989; Plant Health Australia, 2018), and the samples were stored at -20°C in 100%

ethanol.

Extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy® Blood & Tissue Kit following the

manufacturer's protocol. Samples were screened for quality and quantity, using both gel

electrophoresis and Qubit assay, and then sent to Diversity Arrays Technology Pty Ltd,

Canberra (DArT P/L), for DArTseq high-density genotyping (Kilian et al., 2012). A

PstI/SphI, restriction enzyme combination was used by DArT P/L, and the fragments (up to

90bp) were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq2500 as single end reads.

Data sourcing

All relevant analyses made use of the *B. tryoni* genome assembly (Genbank accession =

GCF_016617805.1).

Read alignment and SNP calling

Reads were demultiplexed using process_radtags in Stacks v2.60 (Rochette et al., 2019). Read

mapping used BWA-MEM (Li, 2013) to produce a SAM alignment file with appropriate read

group specification for each sample. Subsequently, SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) produced

sorted and indexed BAM alignment files. Freebayes v1.3.6 (Garrison & Marth, 2012) was

used in an iterative process to predict single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in all samples.

Firstly, each sample had SNP calling performed individually using freebayes; output Variant

Call Format (VCF) files were left-aligned using BCFtools (Danecek et al., 2021) and block

substitutions were decomposed using vt (Tan et al., 2015). Resulting VCF files were merged

using BCFtools, and this VCF file was used as input for a second round of SNP calling using

freebayes (*-@ file.vcf --only-use-input-alleles*) to ensure all samples were genotyped at the

same locations. The variant calls were filtered using a method based upon James et al. (2021)

wherein SNPs were removed if they had missing data in $> 50\%$ of the population, had a

quality score < 30, a depth < 3 read alignments, or a minor allele count < 1; we also filtered

SNPs with a minor allele frequency $< 5\%$.

 Population structure

 To investigate whether admixture was occurring between the two species, we used

fastSTRUCTURE v1.0 (Raj et al., 2014). A simple prior of K=1-10 was used, after which the

chooseK.py utility program provided with fastSTRUCTURE was used to assess the optimal

number of genetic clusters to explain the structure among species and populations. Plots were

generated using pophelper v2.3.1 (Francis, 2017) in R.

Additionally, we ran a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to provide further visualization

of the variations within and between the two species, using the SNPRelate v1.24.0 (Zheng et

al., 2012) package in R. The linkage disequilibrium-based SNP pruning function provided

with this software was run with default parameters to mitigate any bias associated with linked

SNP clusters upon the downstream PCA. We similarly performed this SNP pruning prior to

calculation of IBS proportions for samples using SNPRelate.

Mean F_{ST} values (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) were calculated both within and between the two

species using VCFtools (Danecek et al., 2011). Within species comparisons were conducted

for each species separately and samples from each collection site were considered as separate

populations; for between species comparison, the samples were grouped by species and

entered as two separate populations.

Outlier SNPs and gene candidates

Variant predictions were converted from VCF to GESTE format using PGDSpider v2.1.1.5

(Lischer & Excoffier, 2012), then outlier SNPs were predicted using Bayescan v2.1 (Foll &

Gaggiotti, 2008) with default parameters. Genes containing outlier SNPs within their gene

model (exons and/or introns), as well as genes that were the nearest to an intergenic outlier

SNP were identified using a custom script.

Candidate genes were queried against the *Drosophila melanogaster* gene models (Larkin et

al., 2021)(FlyBase release FB2023_01) using MMseqs2, and the FlyBase automated gene

summaries of the best hit were parsed for functional and phenotypic annotations which we

attributed to our sequences if a minimum E-value of $1e^{-10}$ was achieved.

Seasonal abundance

A historical dataset (May, 1961) was used for the comparison of temporal abundance of *B.*

neohumeralis with *B. tryoni*. This dataset has been previously used to report *B. tryoni* [but not

B. neohumeralis] seasonal phenology (Muthuthantri et al., 2010), and so the phenology

patterns *per se,* are not explored here. Rather, we focus on evidence for temporal niche

segregation in *B. neohumeralis* and *B. tryoni* through paired t-tests and Pearson correlation

analysis using the R package ggpubr (Kassambara, 2023). In Africa, a shift in seasonal

abundance to minimize an overlap in host usage (i.e. temporal niche displacement) has been

reported as the outcome of competition between the native mango fruit fly (*Ceratitis cosyra*

(Walker)) and invasive Oriental fruit fly (*Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel))(Vayssières et al.,

2015). Therefore, we predict that if there is competition-driven temporal niche displacement

between the two species, then there should be no, or even negative correlation between their

seasonal abundances. We interpret significant positive correlation between their populations

as a lack of evidence of temporal niche displacement.

Detailed methodology on the fly trapping dataset is described elsewhere (May, 1961;

Muthuthantri et al., 2010). In summary, 15 trapping sites across Queensland, Australia, were

used, ranging from temperate Stanthorpe in the south to tropical Cairns, ~1500km to the north

(See Table S5). Fly trapping was carried out for up to six years per location using a fruit-

based liquid lure trap (May & Caldwell, 1944). At each location ten traps were maintained,

with traps cleared weekly. The data in May (1961) vary between sites in how they are

presented, and modifications were needed in order to be able to directly compare *B. tryoni* and

B. neohumeralis populations. Six sites were excluded as seasonal data were not available (see

Table S5 for details). The t-tests and correlation analyses between *B. tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis populations was conducted on a site-by-site basis (i.e., data were never collated

across sites), so the different manipulations performed on the site-specific data sets did not

affect results.

Habitat use

This study compared abundance of *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* across different human-

defined habitat types to determine habitat use patterns. Six habitat types, grassland, suburbia,

horticultural farming, mixed sugarcane and other crops(mixed farming hereafter), dry forest,

and wet forest were chosen for the study in the Bundaberg region of Queensland (~350 km

north of Brisbane). Specific descriptions of each habitat type are outlined in Table S7. For

each habitat type there were nine replicate fruit fly traps. Trapping commenced in September

2010 (spring) and was completed in March 2011 using cuelure baited modified Steiner traps

(Drew et al., 1982). The trapping period covers the period of peak yearly activity for *B. tryoni*

(Clarke et al., 2022). The trapping program involved setting up the traps on a monthly basis at

each trap site, removing the traps at each site three days after setting up and replacing the

traps with fresh wicks and attractants in the following month. Flies collected over the three

days in each month were counted and identified to species level using a fruit fly identification

guide (Drew, 1989). Paired t-tests and Pearson correlation analyses on the total trapping

dataset for a habitat type were performed to compare the relationship in abundance of the two

different species at that habitat type. Examples of spatial displacement of competing fruit flies

are presented in Duyck et al. (2004).

Host fruit use

Fruits were collected from the QDAF Maroochy Research Facility, Nambour, Queensland

(~100 km north of Brisbane) from separate orchard blocks that consisted of either mixed

tropical fruit varieties, stone fruit or mangoes, as well as some isolated trees. Small numbers

of ripe or mature green fruit were sampled on a weekly or fortnightly basis between

December 2012 and October 2015. Fruit were selected from a number of trees within the

orchard block and picked from various heights and aspects to obtain a random sample. Fruits

collected were: white sapote (*Casimiroa edulis*), mulberry (*Morus nigra*), peach (*Prunus*

persica), plum (*Prunus domestica*), carambola (*Averrhoa carambola*), nectarine (*Prunus*

persica), guava (*Psidium guajava*), sapodilla (*Manilkara zapota*), *Syzigium* spp, grumichama

(*Eugenia brasiliensis*), hog plum (*Spondias mombin*), jabotica (*Plinia cauliflora*), white

mulberry (*Morus alba*), avocado (*Persea americana*), black sapote (*Diospyros nigra*), longan

(*Dimocarpus longan*), mango (*Mangifera indica*) and cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*).

Fruit were placed in paper bags and transported to the QDAF Brisbane laboratories. Fruit

were counted and weighed and then placed on gauzed plastic containers over vermiculite, in

plastic boxes with gauzed lids to allow ventilation. Boxes were held in a Controlled

Environment Room (26°C and 70%RH) to allow insects to develop through to the pupal

stage. The vermiculite was sieved weekly until all insects had exited fruit and pupated, and

fruit was inspected before being discarded. Fruit fly pupae that were reared out of fruit

samples were placed into small plastic boxes with gauzed lids containing vermiculite. Once

adult fruit flies had emerged from pupation, they were identified to species level using

morphological taxonomic characters. The abundance of the two fly species from a host was

compared using a paired t-test and Pearson correlation analysis. Changes in fruit fly host

usage patterns due to competition are well documented in the fruit fly literature (Charlery de

la Masselière et al., 2017; Hassani et al., 2022).

Results

Molecular Data

Read processing, alignment and SNP calling

After demultiplexing samples, we obtained between 2,596,430 and 5,228,647 high-quality

sequence reads for each sample. Alignment to the *B. tryoni* genome assembly using BWA-

MEM indicated that most samples had high mapping rates (median alignment percentage =

74.98%), with a small number of samples having low sequence alignment (minimum

alignment percentage = 0.38%); see Table S2 and Table S3 for full details of read counts and

alignment percentages. 28,334 SNPs were identified using Freebayes from the two species

that met our threshold for inclusion.

Populations cluster by species and not geography

We found that populations clustered based on species and not geography in all analyses. PCA

(Fig. 2) and fastSTRUCTURE (Fig. 3) both resolved species-specific groups, demonstrating

clear genetic delineation of the two species and an absence of intermediate genotypes between

the species. Several *B. neohumeralis* samples (genotype codes 526, 528, 529, 530, and 531

from the NS location) appear to be outliers based on PCA (See Fig. S1), but one sample from

the same location (527) grouped with the majority of *B. neohumeralis* samples in ordination

space. Additionally, the chooseK.py function of fastSTRUCTURE predicted three population

clusters, with the outlier samples from the PCA representing the third cluster (See Fig. S2).

These outlier samples had poor genotype calling rates (min = 27.9%, max = 32.3%) compared

to sample 527 (91.3%) and most other samples (median = 89.1%). As such, we believe these

outliers to result from sequencing issues and were excluded from further analysis.

317 Patterns of differentiation among populations (F_{ST}) were also lower between populations

318 within a species (*B. neohumeralis* = 0.0025796 when ignoring outlier samples, *B. tryoni* =

319 0.0036269) and two orders of magnitude higher between species (0.10843). Identity-By-State

320 (IBS) analysis also provided insight into the genetic homogeneity of the two species, with *B.*

321 *tryoni* having a mean value of 0.797 and *B. neohumeralis* having a mean value of 0.790

322 (species with a value closer to 1 are more identical) suggesting that both species are

323 approximately equally homogenous.

325 Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

326 *Bactrocera neohumeralis* and *B. tryoni*, with the values from the first two eigenvectors

plotted for each individual. Eigenvectors one (horizontal) and two (vertical) explain 10.204%

and 1.68% of the variance in the data, respectively.

Figure 3. fastSTRUCTURE results at cluster size (K) equals two for single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs) for *Bactrocera neohumeralis* and *B. tryoni* grouped by collection site.

Red and blue colouration of the bars indicates the inferred population admixture proportions

as a percentage (numbers not shown). Three-digit numbers along the bottoms of each row of

bars are genotype ID codes. The intermediate grouping (I) includes samples with an

intermediate phenotype. All three intermediate samples (genotypes 803, 805 and 807)

presented as *B. neohumeralis* genotypes.

Outlier loci are clustered in specific chromosome segments between the species

Using outlier detection in BayeScan, we found 357 of the 28,334 SNPs (1.26%) displayed a

significantly elevated level of differentiation between the species. The distribution of outlier

SNPs along autosomal chromosomes (Fig. 4, NC_ prefix) was largely restricted to ~ 16

narrow genomic regions. These narrow genomic regions with outlier loci were not uniformly

distributed across the five autosomal chromosomes with 10 occurring on chromosome ends

and two near the centre of chromosomes. A relatively small number of outlier SNPs were

mapped to 'unplaced contigs' (See Fig. S3).

Figure 4. Outlier plot representation of SNPs for *B. neohumeralis* and *B. tryoni* identified on

the five autosomal chromosomes. F_{ST} values for each SNP were computed and are plotted as

the Y-axis value (left) for each chromosome, with the X-axis representing the position in base

pairs along each chromosome (scaled proportionately); these chromosomes and contigs are

shown as separate rows in the figure, with their NCBI sequence identifier shown to the right.

Outlier SNPs are highlighted in blue.

We investigated genes that contained outlier SNPs or were in close proximity to outlier SNPs,

resulting in the identification of 266 genes. Enrichment of annotation terms indicates an

overabundance of genes with the 'abnormal neuroanatomy' term (n=11 genes, p= 0.0164)

within these candidate genes. Several neurology-related terms that were not enriched but still

of interest included 'nervous system development', 'central nervous system development',

'sensory system', and 'sensory organ development'. Additional non-enriched terms of interest

included sleep and circadian rhythm-related terms, including: 'abnormal sleep', 'circadian

rhythm', 'abnormal circadian rhythm', and 'abnormal circadian behavior'. Inositol-related

terms were statistically enriched, that included 'inositol biosynthetic process' (n=1 gene, p=

0.0115) and 'inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate 3-kinase activity' (n=1 gene, p= 0.0467). The 19

genes annotated with these terms are shown in Table S4.

Ecological Data

No differences in seasonal activity between the species

Trap data collected over multiple years and sites showed no difference in seasonal activity

between *B. neohumeralis* and *B. tryoni* (Fig. 5 & Fig. S3). For any given sampling event there

were often significantly more *B. tryoni* than *B. neohumeralis* trapped (See Table S5), but the

abundance of both species over time were highly linearly correlated across nine sites with

correlation values ranging from: r = 0.776 (Ayr, p < 0.001) to r = 0.993 (Maryborough, p <

0.001) (See Table S6). South Johnstone was the only site with a non-significant result (r =

0.926; p = 0.074) however, limited collections were recorded at this site (n = 4), providing

insufficient statistical power to detect an association.

Figure 5. Correlation of seasonal abundance of *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* at

multiple locations in Queensland, Australia. Scatterplots were developed using monthly mean

of trap catches over 2 - 7 years. Shaded areas represent the 95% confidence intervals. Data

from May (1961).

No differences in habitat use between the species

There were significant positive correlations between *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis*

abundances in their habitat use (Fig. 6). Within a habitat type, there was a significant

correlation in the two species monthly abundances for all habitat types, with the correlation

ranging from r = 0.54 (dry forest, p <0.001) to r = 0.90 (mixed farming, p < 0.001) (See Table

S8).

Figure 6. Correlation in habitat use of *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* in different

human-defined habitat types within the Bundaberg district of Queensland. Each data point is

the adult trap catch of both species for a trap run for three consecutive days. For each habitat

type, nine traps were run per month for seven months. Shaded areas represent the 95%

confidence intervals.

Limited differences in host use between species

A total of 1,607 fruits were sampled from the field and the emergence of *B. tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis adults counted. For white sapote, mulberry, peach, plum, carambola and

nectarine, sufficient samples were collected to carry out formal analysis. Highly significant

correlations between the number of *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* adults reared from each of

these fruit types were found (correlation ranging from r = 0.904, p <0.001 for white sapote to

r = 0.952, p < 0.001 for peach) (Fig. 7 and Table S9). For guava, two collections occurred: a

single *B. tryoni* and no *B. neohumeralis* emerged from the September 2013 collection while

1609 *B. tryoni* and 1353 *B. neohumeralis* emerged from the October 2013 collection; for

feijoa a single collection occurred with equal numbers [71 flies each] of *B. tryoni* and *B.*

neohumeralis emerging. For sapodilla, *Syzigium* spp., grumichama, hog plum, jabotica, white

mulberry, avocado, black sapote, longan, mango and cashew, *B. tryoni* but no *B.*

neohumeralis emerged.

Figure 7. Correlation in host use of *Bactrocera tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis*. Each data point

is the number of adult flies reared from an individual collection of multiple fruit pieces from a

research orchard at Nambour, Queensland. Shaded areas represent the 95% confidence

intervals.

Discussion

Assortative mating and reduced recombination in the absence of local niche adaptation

Genetic comparisons of *B. tryoni* and *B. neohumeralis* individuals collected throughout their

overlapping range showed no evidence of hybridization between the species. This was despite

samples of each species being collected from the same traps, i.e., in immediate sympatry.

Several intermediate phenotypes were collected; however, these samples presented strongly

as *B. neohumeralis* genotypes with no indication of hybridization. This is consistent with the

findings of prior studies of the species pair and supports the theory that strong reproductive

barriers exist in non-laboratory conditions (Gilchrist & Ling, 2006; Pike, 2004; Yeap et al.,

2020). The lack of evidence of hybridization identified from natural populations in this study,

along with asymmetric hybridization observed in a prior laboratory-based study (Yeap et al.,

2020), suggest that assortative mating is the most prevalent form of reproductive isolation

responsible for maintaining species boundaries between the pair, however, we cannot

confidently exclude the existence of hybrid selection in natural environments.

The linkage of genes involved in assortative mating with those associated with habitat

preference and performance is considered necessary for sympatric speciation (Ortíz-barrientos

et al., 2002; Yeaman, 2013) and has been revealed to have involvement in host switching and

specialization of phytophagous insects such as apple maggot flies and pea aphids (Feder et al.,

2003; Hawthorne & Via, 2001). In these studies, the genes involved appear to map tightly

together along narrow regions of the chromosome that is consistent with the patterns observed

in our data. These tightly mapped genes have been theorized as a mechanism for speciation in

the presence of gene flow and are generally considered to occur through chromosomal

rearrangements, such as inversions, where the genes are brought into physical linkage (Ortíz-

barrientos et al., 2002; Yeaman, 2013). A small region of modifier alleles can also act to

reduce recombination between populations in the absence of chromosomal rearrangements

(Butlin, 2005; Ortiz-Barrientos et al., 2016). Regardless of the mechanism causing genetic

variation, the regions of differentiation are expected to involve locally adapted alleles that are

linked strongly with modifier alleles which reduce recombination between populations

depending on the strength of the linkage between the two loci (Felsenstein, 1981; Ortiz-

Barrientos et al., 2016). In our data, while genes related to mating behavior and circadian

rhythm were not enriched, several have been identified in outlier regions that we propose are

responsible for maintaining separation between the two species and forming the time-of-day

premating reproductive barrier through assortative mating.

Further, the positioning of these genes in narrow regions along the chromosome may have

had the greatest influence on reducing recombination. Most outlier SNPs observed in our data

were largely restricted to narrow regions located towards the telomeres or centromeres of

chromosomes (Fig. 4). Reduced recombination has been observed in these regions in several

taxa, including *Drosophila*, mice, rabbits, and humans (Carneiro et al., 2009; Carpenter, 1979;

Lindsley et al., 1977; Nachman & Churchill, 1996; Ortíz-barrientos et al., 2002; Payseur &

Nachman, 2000). The combination of genes associated with assortative mating, and their

chromosomal positioning appear to have suppressed recombination, preventing gene flow

between species. However, there is uncertainty as to whether these genes associated with

assortative mating are linked to locally adapted genes, given an apparent lack of ecological

niche differentiation between the species.

A lack of competitive displacement still allows co-existence

Competitive displacement has long been suggested as an important mechanism facilitating

divergence and speciation (Rice & Salt, 1988; Thoday & Gibson, 1962; Via, 2001). The

ability of two nascent lineages to coexist by minimizing competition, or by utilizing non-

competitive ecological space, should be considered central to early lineage divergence and

persistence (Germain et al., 2021). Where two herbivore populations share resources, then

displacement can occur in time (i.e. seasonal activity), location or host usage (Clarke &

Measham, 2022; Facon et al., 2021). However, our data show no evidence for this in the *B.*

tryoni/*B. neohumeralis* sibling pair. Both species were active at the same time of the year and

in the same habitats within a landscape. *Bactrocera tryoni* was recovered from a greater range

of host fruits than *B. neohumeralis* and that may be seen as evidence for competitive

displacement (i.e. competitive advantage by *B. neohumeralis* causing *B. tryoni* to use novel

hosts), but we consider it more likely that this pattern simply reflects *B. tryoni*'s greater

abundance in the environment and so greater likelihood of being sampled, rather than a

competition avoidance mechanism. This is because when both species were reared from a

fruit, their numbers were closely correlated. In cases where competition between fruit flies

has been known to cause changes in field host use patterns, one species will dominate in a

given host, pushing a second species to host fruits that the first only irregularly uses (Charlery

de la Masselière et al., 2017; Hassani et al., 2022): we did not observe this pattern. The

positive correlation in the number of adults of both species reared from fruit also offers field

data supporting the work of Kay et al. (2024), who in laboratory studies found no interspecific

larval competition between the species.

Ecological traits typically associated with competition driven divergence between fruit fly

species (i.e. differential host use, habitat usage and seasonal abundance (Clarke & Measham,

2022; Duyck et al., 2004; Inskeep et al., 2021)) do not appear to differ between our study

taxa. Thus, in terms of lineage maintenance, difference in mating time remains the only

known distinction between the species pair. It is very difficult to conclusively state whether

this reproductive barrier was initially driven by selection or drift, versus some competitive

avoidance mechanism(s) which occurred in the past but is not currently observable because

the competitive problem has been resolved over evolutionary time (i.e. the problem of the

ghost of competition past (Connell, 1980)). Irrespective of the factors underlying the origin of

the two lineages, our data suggests that currently, selection against hybridisation is acting to

maintain the time-of-day mating differences that allows these to lineages to persist in

sympatry.

Our study provides a comprehensive comparison of both molecular and ecological data,

between two sympatric sister taxa. High levels of niche conservatism and a lack of

competitive displacement suggests that the formation and persistence of these taxa occurred in

the absence of traditionally observed ecological selection pressures. Further, the positioning

of genes associated with assortative mating in tightly linked sites within chromosomal

telomeres and centromeres appears to have sufficiently reduced geneflow between the species

to maintain the lineage boundaries in sympatry, suggesting that reproductive isolation had a

significant influence on lineage maintenance.

Author contributions

A.R.C. and P.P. conceived the study. N.K., C.G.M., S.B. and, B.M. conducted field work and

collected samples for molecular and ecological analysis. M.I., N.K., A.R.C. and, P.P.

designed, collated, and analyzed ecological data. Z.S. and P.P. designed and performed

bioinformatics and SNP analysis. M.I., Z.S., P.P. collated analyzed molecular data. M.I., Z.S.,

N.K., C.G.M., M.S., A.R.C., D.H. and P.P. contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All

authors have read and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements

We thank J. Ryan for assisting with generating the distribution map, E. Vueti who carried out

much of the Bundaberg sampling as part of an uncompleted postgraduate study, and L. Senior

(QDAF) for her assistance with rearing flies from fruit that provided data for the host

utilization study. We also thank The Southern Downs Regional Council, The Granite Belt

Growers Association, B. Doohan, The Greenhoose, Lockhart River, D. Masters, J. Kerr and J.

Whistler for their assistance in collecting samples for the molecular analysis.

This research was partially funded by the ARC ITTC project IC150100026, Centre for Fruit

Fly Biosecurity Innovation awarded to A.R.C. and P.P.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Information on Data Deposition

BioProject PRJNA1008389, Zachary Stewart, 2023, DArTseq of *Bactrocera neohumeralis*

and *tryoni* (Queensland fruit fly / Qfly), NCBI SRA, BioProject PRJNA1008389.

References

Bellas, T., & Fletcher, B. S. (1979). Identification of the major components in the secretion

from the rectal pheromone glands of the Queensland fruit flies, *Dacus tryoni* and

Dacus neohumeralis (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Journal of Chemical Ecology, 5*(5), 795-

803.

Birch, L. C. (1961). Natural selection between two species of tephritid fruit flies of the genus

Dacus. *Evolution, 15*, 360-374.

Birch, L. C., & Vogt, W. G. (1970). Plasticity of taxonomic characters of the Queensland fruit

flies *Dacus tryoni* and *Dacus neohumeralis* (Tephritidae). *Evolution, 24*, 320-343.

Butlin, R. K. (2005). Recombination and speciation. *Molecular Ecology, 14*(9), 2621-2635.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02617.x

Carneiro, M., Ferrand, N., & Nachman, M. W. (2009). Recombination and speciation: loci

near centromeres are more differentiated than loci near telomeres between subspecies

of the European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *Genetics, 181*(2), 593-606.

Carpenter, A. T. (1979, Jun). Synaptonemal complex and recombination nodules in wild-type

Drosophila melanogaster females. *Genetics, 92*(2), 511-541.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/92.2.511

Charlery de la Masselière, M., Ravigné, V., Facon, B., Lefeuvre, P., Massol, F., Quilici, S., &

Duyck, P.-F. (2017). Changes in phytophagous insect host ranges following the

invasion of their community: Long-term data for fruit flies. *Ecology and Evolution,*

7(14), 5181-5190. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2968

Clarke, A. R., Leach, P., & Measham, P. F. (2022). The Fallacy of Year-Round Breeding in

Polyphagous Tropical Fruit Flies (Diptera: Tephritidae): Evidence for a Seasonal

Reproductive Arrestment in *Bactrocera* Species. *Insects, 13*, NA.

Clarke, A. R., & Measham, P. F. (2022). Competition: A Missing Component of Fruit Fly

(Diptera: Tephritidae) Risk Assessment and Planning. *Insects, 13*.

Connell, J. H. (1980). Diversity and the Coevolution of Competitors, or the Ghost of

Competition Past. *Oikos, 35*(2), 131-138. https://doi.org/10.2307/3544421

Cowley, J. M., Page, F. D., Nimmo, P. R., & Cowley, D. R. (1990). Comparison of the

effectiveness of two traps for *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt)(Diptera:Tephritidae) and

implications for quarantine surveillance systems. *Australian Journal of Entomology,*

29(3), 171-176. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.1990.tb00342.x

Coyne, J. A., & Orr, H. A. (2004). *Speciation* (Vol. 37). Sinauer Associates Sunderland, MA.

Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, M. A., Handsaker,

R. E., Lunter, G., Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, G., & Durbin, R. (2011). The

variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics, 27*(15), 2156-2158.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A.,

Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of

SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience, 10*(2).

https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008

de Queiroz, K. (1999). The general lineage concept of species and the defining properties of

the species category. In R. A. Wilson (Ed.), *Species: New interdisciplinary essays* (pp.

48-89). MIT Press.

de Queiroz, K. (2005). Different species problems and their resolutions. *BioEssays, 27*(1263-

1269).

de Queiroz, K. (2007). Species concepts and species delimitation. *Systematic Biology, 56*,

879-886.

Dobzhansky, T. (1936). Studies on hybrid sterility. II. Localization of sterility factors in

Drosophila pseudoobscura hybrids. *Genetics, 21* 113-135.

Drew, R., Hooper, G., & Bateman, M. (1982). *Economic fruit flies of the South Pacific*

Region.

Drew, R. A. I. (1989). The tropical fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacinae) of the

Australasian and Oceanian regions. In *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum* (Vol. 26,

pp. 1-521).

Duyck, P.-F., David, P., & Quilici, S. (2004). A review of relationships between interspecific

competition and invasions in fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Ecological Entomology,*

29(5), 511-520. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0307-6946.2004.00638.x

Ekanayake, W. M. T. D., Jayasundara, M. S. H., Peek, T., Clarke, A. R., & Schutze, M. K.

(2017, Jun). The mating system of the true fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* and its sister

species, *Bactrocera neohumeralis*. *Insect Science, 24*(3), 478-490.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12337

Facon, B., Hafsi, A., Charlery de la Masselière, M., Robin, S., Massol, F., Dubart, M.,

Chiquet, J., Frago, E., Chiroleu, F., Duyck, P.-F., & Ravigné, V. (2021). Joint species

distributions reveal the combined effects of host plants, abiotic factors and species

competition as drivers of species abundances in fruit flies. *Ecology Letters, 24*(9),

1905-1916. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13825

Feder, J. L., Berlocher, S. H., Roethele, J. B., Dambroski, H., Smith, J. J., Perry, W. L.,

Gavrilovic, V., Filchak, K. E., Rull, J., & Aluja, M. (2003). Allopatric genetic origins

for sympatric host-plant shifts and race formation in *Rhagoletis*. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, 100(18), 10314-10319.

https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1730757100

Felsenstein, J. (1981). Skepticism towards Santa Rosalia, or why are there so few kinds of
animals? *Evolution, 35*(1), 124-138. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-
5646.1981.tb04864.x

Fišer, C., Robinson, C. T., & Malard, F. (2018). Cryptic species as a window into the
paradigm shift of the species concept. *Molecular Ecology, 27*, 613-635.

Foll, M., & Gaggiotti, O. (2008). A genome-scan method to identify selected loci appropriate
for both bominant and codominant markers: a bayesian perspective. *Genetics (Austin),*
180(2), 977-993. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.092221

Francis, R. M. (2017). pophelper: an R package and web app to analyse and
visualize population structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources, 17*(1), 27-32.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12509

Garrison, E., & Marth, G. (2012). Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read
sequencing. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1207.3907

Gavrilets, S., & Losos, J. B. (2009). Adaptive radiation: contrasting theory with data. *Science,*
323(5915), 732-737. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1157966

Germain, R. M., Hart, S. P., Turcotte, M. M., Otto, S. P., Sakarchi, J., Rolland, J., Usui, T.,
Angert, A. L., Schluter, D., Bassar, D. D., Waters, M. T., Henao-Diaz, F., &
Siepielski, A. M. (2021). On the origin of coexisting species. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution, 36, 284-293.

Gilchrist, A. S., Cameron, E. C., An, X., Meats, A., Frommer, M., & Raphael, K. A. (2005).
The tryoni complex of tephritid flies in Australia. In *Proceedings of 2nd FAO/IAEA*
International Conference on Area-Wide Control of Insect Pests: Integrating the Sterile
Insect and Related Nuclear and Other Techniques, . IAEA.

Gilchrist, A. S., & Ling, A. E. (2006). DNA microsatellite analysis of naturally occurring

642  colour intermediates between *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) and *Bactrocera*

643  *neohumeralis* (Hardy) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Australian Journal of Entomology,*

644  *45*(2), 157-162. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2006.00522.x

646 Gilchrist, A. S., Shearman, D. C. A., Frommer, M., Raphael, K. A., Deshpande, N. P.,

647  Wilkins, M. R., Sherwin, W. B., & Sved, J. A. (2014, Dec). The draft genome of the

648  pest tephritid fruit fly Bactrocera tryoni: resources for the genomic analysis of

649  hybridising species. *BMC Genomics, 15*, Article 1153. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-

650  2164-15-1153

652 Hancock, D. L., E.L., H., Lloyd, A. C., & Elson-Harris, M. M. (2000). *The distribution and*

653  *host plants of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Australia*. Queensland Department

654  of Primary Industries.

656 Hassani, I. M., Delatte, H., Ravaomanarivo, L. H. R., Nouhou, S., & Duyck, P.-F. (2022).

657  Niche partitioning via host plants and altitude among fruit flies following the invasion

658  of *Bactrocera dorsalis*. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology, 24*(4), 575-585.

659  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12522

661 Hawthorne, D. J., & Via, S. (2001). Genetic linkage of ecological specialization and

662  reproductive isolation in pea aphids. *Nature, 412*(6850), 904-907.

663  https://doi.org/10.1038/35091062

665 Hey, J. (2001). *Genes, Categories, and Species : The Evolutionary and Cognitive Cause of*

666  *the Species Problem*. Oxford University Press, Incorporated.

668 Inskeep, K. A., Doellman, M. M., Powell, T. H. Q., Berlocher, S. H., Seifert, N. R., Hood, G.

669  R., Ragland, G. J., Meyers, P. J., & Feder, J. L. (2021). Divergent diapause life history

timing drives both allochronic speciation and reticulate hybridization in an adaptive radiation of Rhagoletis flies. *Molecular Ecology, n/a*(n/a). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15908

James, M. E., Arenas-Castro, H., Groh, J. S., Allen, S. L., Engelstädter, J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2021). Highly Replicated Evolution of Parapatric Ecotypes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution, 38*(11), 4805-4821. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab207

Janz, N., & Nylin, S. (2008). The oscillation hypothesis of host-plant range and speciation. In K. Tilmon (Ed.), *Specialization, speciation, and radiation: the evolutionary biology of herbivorous insects*. University of California Press Berkeley.

Jeffries, M. J., & Lawton, J. H. (1984). Enemy free space and the structure of ecological communities. *Biological journal of the Linnean Society, 23*(4), 269-286. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1984.tb00145.x

Kassambara, A. (2023). *ggpubr: 'ggplot2' based publication ready plots*. Retrieved 0 from https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/

Kay, B., Harris, C., & Clarke, A. R. (2024). Larval competition between three endemic fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) of differing phylogenetic relatedness. *Austral Entomology: in press*.

Kilian, A., Wenzl, P., Huttner, E., Carling, J., Xia, L., Blois, H., Caig, V., Heller-Uszynska, K., Jaccoud, D., Hopper, C., Aschenbrenner-Kilian, M., Evers, M., Peng, K., Cayla, C., Hok, P., & Uszynski, G. (2012). Diversity Arrays Technology: A Generic Genome Profiling Technology on Open Platforms. In F. Pompanon & A. Bonin (Eds.), *Data Production and Analysis in Population Genomics: Methods and Protocols* (pp. 67-89). Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-870-2_5

Larkin, A., Marygold, S. J., Antonazzo, G., Attrill, H., dos Santos, G., Garapati, P. V.,
Goodman, Joshua L., Gramates, L S., Millburn, G., Strelets, V. B., Tabone, C. J., &
Thurmond, J. (2021). FlyBase: updates to the *Drosophila melanogaster* knowledge
base. *Nucleic acids research, 49*(D1), D899-D907.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1026

Leblanc, L., Vueti, E. T., Drew, R. A., & Allwood, A. J. (2012). Host plant records for fruit
flies (Diptera: Tephritidae: Dacini) in the Pacific Islands. *Proceedings of the Hawaiian
Entomological Society, 44*, 11-53.

Lewontin, R. C., & Birch, L. C. (1966). Hybridization as a source of variation for adaptation
to new environments. *Evolution, 20*(3), 315-336.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1966.tb03369.x

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1303.3997

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
& Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics, 25(16), 2078-2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Lindsley, D. L., Sandler, L., Counce, S. J., Chandley, C., Lewis, K. R., Riley, R., Bennett, M.
D., & Flavell, R. B. (1977). The genetic analysis of meiosis in female *Drosophila
melanogaster*. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. B,
Biological Sciences, 277*(955), 295-312. https://doi.org/doi:10.1098/rstb.1977.0019

Lischer, H. E. L., & Excoffier, L. (2012). PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for
connecting population genetics and genomics programs. *Bioinformatics, 28*(2), 298-
299. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr642

Mankin, R. W., Lemon, M., Harmer, A. M. T., Evans, C. S., & Taylor, P. W. (2008). Time-
pattern and frequency analyses of sounds produced by irradiated and untreated male
Bactrocera tryoni (Diptera : Tephritidae) during mating behavior. *Annals of the*
Entomological Society of America, 101(3), 664-674.

Martin, C. H., & Richards, E. J. (2019). The paradox behind the pattern of rapid adaptive
radiation: How can the speciation process sustain itself through an early burst? *Annual*
Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 50, 569-593.

May, A. W. S. (1961). *A taxonomic and ecological study of the Dacinae (fam. Trypetidae) in*
Queensland. [Thesis (Ph.D.), University of Queensland].

May, A. W. S., & Caldwell, N. E. H. (1944). Fruit fly control. *Queensland Agricultural*
Journal, 58, 224-229.

Mayden, R. L. (1997). A hierarchy of species concepts: the denouement in the saga of the
species problem. In M. F. Claridge, H. A. Dawah, & M. R. Wilson (Eds.), *Species: the*
Units of Biodiversity (pp. 381-424). Chapman & Hall Ltd.

Meats, A., Pike, N., An, X., Raphael, K., & Wang, W. Y. S. (2003). The effects of selection
for early (day) and late (dusk) mating lines of hybrids of *Bactrocera tryoni* and
Bactrocera neohumeralis. *Genetica, 119*(3), 283-293.
https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GENE.0000003683.42395.51

Morrow, J. L., Scott, L., Congdon, B., Yeates, D., Frommer, M., & Sved, J. (2000). Close
genetic similarity between two sympatric species of tephritid fruit fly reproductively
isolated by mating time. *Evolution, 54*(3), 899-910.

Muthuthantri, S., Maelzer, D., Zalucki, M. P., & Clarke, A. R. (2010). Seasonal phenology of
Bactrocera tryoni (Froggatt) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Queensland. *Australian Journal*
of Entomology, 49(3), 221-233. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2010.00759.x

Nachman, M. W., & Churchill, G. A. (1996). Heterogeneity in rates of recombination across
the mouse genome. *Genetics, 142*(2), 537-548.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/142.2.537

Ortiz-Barrientos, D., Engelstädter, J., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2016). Recombination rate
evolution and the origin of species. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 31*(3), 226-236.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.12.016

Ortíz-barrientos, D., Reiland, J., Hey, J., & Noor, M. A. (2002). Recombination and the
divergence of hybridizing species. *Genetica, 116*(2-3), 167-178.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021296829109

Osborne, R., Meats, A., Frommer, M., Sved, J. A., Drew, R. A. I., & Robson, M. K. (1997).
Australian distribution of 17 species of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) caught in cue
lure traps in February 1994. *Australian Journal of Entomology, 36*(1), 45-50.

Payseur, B. A., & Nachman, M. W. (2000). Microsatellite variation and recombination rate in
the human genome. *Genetics, 156*(3), 1285-1298.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/156.3.1285

Pike, N. (2004). Natural incidence of fruit flies with character states intermediate to those of
the sibling species *Bactrocera tryoni* (Froggatt) and *B. neohumeralis* (Hardy)
(Diptera: Tephritidae). *Australian Journal of Entomology, 43*(1), 23-27.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-6055.2003.00377.x

Plant Health Australia. (2018). *The Australian handbook for the identification of Fruit Flies*
(3.0 ed.). Plant Health Australia.

Plant Health Australia. (2023). *Fruit Fly ID Australia: Methods for detection*.
https://www.fruitflyidentification.org.au/surveillance-and-detection/methods-for-
detection/

QDPC. (2021). *Queensland Primary Industries Insect Collection Database.*

Raj, A., Stephens, M., & Pritchard, J. K. (2014). FastSTRUCTURE: Variational inference of
population structure in large SNP data sets. *Genetics (Austin), 197*(2), 573-589.
https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.164350

Raphael, K. A., Sved, J. A., Pearce, S., Oakeshott, J. G., Gilchrist, A. S., Sherwin, W. B., &
Fromme, M. (2019). Differences in gene regulation in a tephritid model of prezygotic
reproductive isolation. *Insect Molecular Biology, 28*, 689-702.

Rice, W. R., & Salt, G. W. (1988). Speciation via disruptive selection on habitat preference:
experimental evidence. *The American naturalist, 131*, 911-917.

Rochette, N. C., Rivera☐Colón, A. G., & Catchen, J. M. (2019). Stacks 2: Analytical methods
for paired☐end sequencing improve RADseq☐based population genomics. *Molecular*
Ecology, 28(21), 4737-4754.

Saxenhofer, M., Schmidt, S., Ulrich, R. G., & Heckel, G. (2019). Secondary contact between
diverged host lineages entails ecological speciation in a European hantavirus. *PLoS*
Biology, 17.

Schluter, D. (2000). *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. OUP Oxford.

Schluter, D., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2022). Three problems in the genetics of speciation by

selection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences - PNAS, 119*(30), 1-

e2122153119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2122153119

Shirley, M. H., Vliet, K. A., Carr, A. N., & Austin, J. D. (2014). Rigorous approaches to

species delimitation have significant implications for African crocodilian systematics

and conservation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 281*.

Smith, P. H. (1979). Genetic manipulation of the circadian clock's timing of sexual behaviour

in the Queensland fruit flies, *Dacus tryoni* and *Dacus neohumeralis*. *Physiological*

Entomology, 4, 71-78.

Steiner, L. F. (1957). Low-cost plastic fruit fly trap. *Journal of Economic Entomology, 50*(4),

508-509.

Tan, A., Abecasis, G. R., & Kang, H. M. (2015). Unified representation of genetic variants.

Bioinformatics, 31(13), 2202-2204. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv112

Thoday, J. M., & Gibson, J. B. (1962). Isolation by disruptive selection. *Nature, 193*, 1164.

Turelli, M., Barton, N. H., & Coyne, J. A. (2001). Theory and speciation. *Trends in Ecology*

& Evolution, 16, 330-343.

Vayssières, J. F., De Meyer, M., Ouagoussounon, I., Sinzogan, A., Adandonon, A., Korie, S.,

Wargui, R., Anato, F., Houngbo, H., Didier, C., De Bon, H., & Goergen, G. (2015).

Seasonal Abundance of Mango Fruit Flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) and Ecological

Implications for Their Management in Mango and Cashew Orchards in Benin (Centre

& North). *Journal of Economic Entomology, 108*(5), 2213-2230.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tov143

Via, S. (2001). Sympatric speciation in animals: the ugly duckling grows up. *Trends in*
848     *Ecology & Evolution, 16*, 381-390.

850 Vogt, W. (1977). A Re-Evaluation of Introgression Between *Dacus Tryoni* and *Dacus*
851     *Neohumeralis* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Australian Journal of Zoology, 25*(1), 59-69.
852     https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO9770059

854 Wang, Y., Yu, H., Raphael, K., & Gilchrist, A. S. (2003). Genetic delineation of sibling
855     species of the pest fruit fly *Bactocera* (Diptera : Tephritidae) using microsatellites.
856     *Bulletin of Entomological Research, 93*(4), 351-360.

858 Weber, M. G., & Strauss, S. Y. (2016). Coexistence in Close Relatives: Beyond Competition
859     and Reproductive Isolation in Sister Taxa. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and*
860     *Systematics, 47*(1), 359-381. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-112414-054048

862 Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-Statistics for the Analysis of
863     Population Structure. *Evolution, 38*(6), 1358-1370. https://doi.org/10.2307/2408641

865 Wolda, H. (1967). Reproductive isolation between two closely related species of the
866     Queensland Fruit Fly, *Dacus tryoni* (Frogg) and *D. neohumeralis* Hardy (Diptera :
867     Tephritidae) : II Genetic variation in humeral callus pattern in each species as
868     compared with laboratory-bred hybrids. *Australian Journal of Zoology, 15*, 515-539.

870 Yeaman, S. (2013). Genomic rearrangements and the evolution of clusters of locally adaptive
871     loci. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110*(19), E1743-E1751.
872     https://doi.org/doi:10.1073/pnas.1219381110

874 Yeap, H. L., Lee, S. F., Robinson, F., Mourant, R. G., Sved, J. A., Frommer, M.,
875     Papanicolaou, A., Edwards, O. R., & Oakeshott, J. G. (2020). Separating two tightly

876   linked species-defining phenotypes in Bactrocera with hybrid recombinant analysis.

877   *BMC Genetics, 21*, 132. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-020-00936-1

879   Yoder, J. B., Clancey, E., Des Roches, S., Eastman, J. M., Gentry, L., Godsoe, W., Hagey, T.

880   J., Jochimsen, D., Oswald, B. P., Robertson, J., Sarver, B. A. J., Schenk, J. J., Spear,

881   S. F., & Harmon, L. J. (2010). Ecological opportunity and the origin of adaptive

882   radiations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 23*(8), 1581-1596.

883   https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02029.x

885   Zheng, X., Levine, D., Shen, J., Gogarten, S. M., Laurie, C., & Weir, B. S. (2012). A high-

886   performance computing toolset for relatedness and principal component analysis of

887   SNP data. *Bioinformatics, 28*(24), 3326-3328.

888   https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts606