The small G-protein Rac1 in the dorsomedial striatum promotes alcohol-dependent

structural plasticity and goal-directed learning in mice

Zachary W. Hoisington[1], Alexandra Salvi[1], Sophie Laguesse[2], Yann Ehinger[1], Chhavi Shukla[1],

Khanhky Phamluong[1], and Dorit Ron[1]

[1]Alcohol and Addiction Research Group, Department of Neurology, University of California San

Francisco, USA, 94107; [2]GIGA-Stem Cells and GIGA-Neurosciences, Interdisciplinary Cluster for

Applied Genoproteomics (GIGA-R), University of Liège, Belgium

*Correspondence: D. Ron, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, 675

Nelson Rising Lane, BOX 0663, San Francisco, CA 94143-0663, USA. dorit.ron@ucsf.edu

51 pages

10 Figures, 3 Extended Figures, 1 table

Abstract: 243 words

Introduction: 570 words

Discussion: 1492 words

Abbreviated title: Rac1 and alcohol

Acknowledgements: This study was funded by National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and

Alcoholism R01 AA027682 (DR). BioRender.com. was used to create some of the figures. ZWH

was partially funded by NIH GM007175.

The authors have no conflict of interest.

Abstract

The small G-protein Rac1 promotes the formation of filamentous actin (F-Actin). Actin is a major component of dendritic spines, and we previously found that alcohol alters actin composition and dendritic spine structure in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and the dorsomedial striatum (DMS). To examine if Rac1 contributes to these alcohol-mediated adaptations, we measured the level of GTP-bound active Rac1 in the striatum of male and female mice following 7 weeks of intermittent access to 20% alcohol. We found that chronic alcohol intake activates Rac1 in the DMS, but not in the NAc and DLS of male mice. Chronic excessive alcohol intake does not activate Rac1 in the DMS of female mice. Similarly, closely related small G-proteins are not activated by alcohol in the DMS, and Rac1 activity is not increased in the DMS by moderate alcohol or natural reward. To determine the consequences of alcohol-dependent Rac1 activation in the DMS of male mice, we inhibited endogenous Rac1. We infected the DMS of mice with an AAV expressing a dominant negative form of the small G-protein (Rac1-DN). We found that overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN in the DMS inhibits alcohol-mediated Rac1 signaling and attenuates alcohol-mediated F-Actin polymerization, which corresponded with a decrease in dendritic arborization and spine maturation. Finally, we provide evidence to suggest that Rac1 in the DMS plays a role in alcohol-associated goal-directed learning. Together, our data suggest that Rac1 in the DMS plays an important role in alcohol-dependent structural plasticity and aberrant learning.

Significance Statement

Addiction, including alcohol use disorder, is characterized by molecular and cellular

adaptations that promote maladaptive behaviors. We found that Rac1 was activated by alcohol in

the dorsomedial striatum (DMS) of male mice. We show that alcohol-mediated Rac1 signaling is

responsible for alterations in actin dynamics and neuronal morphology. We also present data to

suggest that Rac1 is important for alcohol-associated learning process. These results suggest

that Rac1 in the DMS is an important contributor to adaptations that promote alcohol use disorder.

Introduction

Rac1 (Ras-related C3 botulinum toxin substrate 1) is a small G-protein belonging to the Rho family of GTPases (Van Aelst and D'Souza-Schorey, 1997; Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001; Bosco et al., 2009). Rac1 is expressed ubiquitously and plays a role in processes such as actin polymerization, endocytosis, transcription, and cell growth (Nakayama et al., 2000; Ridley, 2006; Bosco et al., 2009). Rac1 is highly expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) (Corbetta et al., 2009). Rac1 cycles between a GDP-bound inactive state and a GTP-bound active state (Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001). In the CNS, the transition between GDP-bound to GTP-bound Rac1 is catalyzed by the guanine nucleotide-exchange factors (GEFs) Tiam1 and Karilin-7 (Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001; Tolias et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2007). The Rac1 GTPase activating proteins (GAPs), such as RacGAP1 or FilGAP (Toure et al., 1998; Nguyen et al., 2018), initiate the intrinsic GTPase activity of Rac1 resulting in the conversion of GTP to GDP (Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001). Upon activation, Rac1 binds to p21-activated kinase (PAK1) leading to PAK1 autophosphorylation and activation (Bokoch, 2003). PAK1 phosphorylates and activates LIM kinase (LIMK) (Edwards et al., 1999). LIMK then phosphorylates cofilin (Yang et al., 1998; Bernard, 2007; Scott and Olson, 2007). Cofilin's function is to sever actin filaments (F-actin) into globular actin (G-actin) (Yang et al., 1998; Bamburg, 1999; Maciver and Hussey, 2002; Andrianantoandro and Pollard, 2006; Chin et al., 2016; Kanellos and Frame, 2016). LIMK phosphorylation of cofilin prevents cofilin's ability to cleave actin, therefore enabling the formation and maintenance of F-actin (Yang et al., 1998; Pavlov et al., 2007; Scott and Olson, 2007). This mechanism promotes spine enlargement and stabilizes and strengthens synapses (Honkura et al., 2008; Chazeau and Giannone, 2016; Costa et al., 2020). As such, Rac1 is involved in long-term potentiation, the cellular mechanism of learning and memory (Haditsch et al., 2009; Haditsch et al., 2013; Lv et al., 2019).

Malfunction of Rac1 has been associated with multiple neurological and psychiatric disorders. For example, abnormal expression of Rac1 has been observed in humans suffering

from schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorders, and fragile X syndrome (Tejada-Simon, 2015;

Reijnders et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020). A reduction of Rac1 expression is also associated with

stress, depression, and anhedonia in mice (Golden et al., 2013), symptoms that often coincide

with addiction (Koob and Kreek, 2007). Abnormal Rac1 function has been linked to drugs of

abuse. Specifically, Rac1 activity is inhibited after repeated, but not acute, cocaine administration

in the NAc of mice (Dietz et al., 2012), and Rac1-dependent mechanisms affect the extinction of

aversive opiate withdrawal memories (Wang et al., 2017). Finally, Rac1 orthologs have been

shown to regulate ethanol acute sensitivity in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Peru et al., 2012).

However, Rac1's function in alcohol use disorder (AUD) has not been investigated in a

mammalian system.

Previously, we found that excessive chronic alcohol consumption promotes the formation

of F-actin in the NAc (Laguesse et al., 2017) and in the dorsomedial striatum (DMS) of mice

(Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018). We further found that heavy alcohol consumption in

mice results in a structural remodeling in both brain regions, leading to the maturation of dendritic

spines in the NAc and in the branching of dendrites and remodeling of dendritic spines in DMS

(Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018). Here, we examined the possibility that Rac1 controls

these alcohol-dependent structural adaptations in the NAc and/or the DMS.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies

Rabbit anti-pLIMK (#ab38508) antibodies were purchased from Abcam (Waltham, MA). Chicken anti-GFP (#A10262) antibodies were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA). Rabbit anti-LIMK1 (#3842S), Cofilin (#3312S), pCofilin Ser3 (#3311S), RhoA (#6789S), Cdc42 (#2246S) antibodies were purchased from Cell signaling technology (Danvers, MA). Mouse anti-GAPDH (#G8795) antibodies, anti-actin (#A2228) antibodies, phosphatase inhibitor Cocktails 2 and 3 were from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Mouse anti-Rac1 antibodies (#ARC03), the G-Actin/F-Actin assay kit (BK037), the Rac1 pull-down activation assay kit (#BK035), the RhoA pull-down activation assay kit (#BK036), and the Cdc42 pull-down activation assay kit (#BK034) were purchased from Cytoskeleton, Inc. (Denver, CO). Nitrocellulose membranes and Enhance Chemiluminescence (ECL) were purchased from Millipore (Burlington, MA). EDTA-free complete mini–Protease Inhibitor Cocktails were from Roche (Indianapolis, IN). Pierce bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit was purchased from Thermo Scientific (Rockford, IL). Ethyl alcohol (190 proof) was purchased from VWR (Radnor, PA). NuPAGE Bis-Tris precast gels were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA). Donkey anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and donkey anti-mouse HRP conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch (West Grove, PA). The donkey anti-mouse IgG AlexaFluor 564 and donkey anti-chicken AlexaFluor 488 antibodies were purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA).

Animals

Male and female C57BL/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) arrived at 6-8 weeks old and were allowed one week of habituation before experiments began. Mice were housed individually in a reversed 12-hour light-dark cycle room (lights on at 22:00/off at 10:00) that was temperature- and humidity-controlled. Unrestricted amounts of food and water were provided.

Animal procedures were approved by the UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

(IACUC).

Preparation of solutions

Alcohol solution was prepared from an absolute anhydrous alcohol solution (190 proof) diluted to

20% (v/v) in tap water for alcohol-drinking experiments. Sucrose solution (1%) was dissolved in

tap water (w/v).

Collection of brain samples for biochemical analyses

Mice were euthanized 4 hours after the beginning of the last drinking session ("binge" timepoint)

or 24 hours after the last drinking session ("withdrawal" timepoint). Brains were removed and

dissected on an ice-cold platform into 1mm sections, and specific subregions were dissected

based on Allen Brain Atlas anatomy.

Western blot analysis

Tissue was homogenized in ice-cold radio immunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer (in mM: 50

Tris-HCL, 5 EDTA, 120 NaCl, and 1%NP-40, 0.1% deoxycholate, 0.5% SDS, proteases and

phosphatases inhibitors). Samples were homogenized using a sonic dismembrator. Protein

content was determined using BCA™ protein assay kit. Thirty µg of each tissue lysate was loaded

for separation by SDS-PAGE then transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane at 300mA for 2

hours. Membranes were blocked with 5% milk-PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 at room

temperature (RT) for 30 minutes and then probed with the appropriated primary antibodies

overnight at 4ºC. The membranes were washed and probed with HRP-conjugated secondary

antibodies for one hour at room temperature. Membranes were developed using the enhanced

chemiluminescent reagent (ECL) and band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software

(NIH).

Small G-protein activation assay

Small G-protein activity was determined using the Rac1, RhoA, or Cdc42 activation *in vivo* assay

biochemical kits for the respective protein (Cytoskeleton Inc., Denver, CO). The tissues were

lysed in lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH7.4, 450mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) containing protease

and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. Thirty µg of each lysate was incubated with 10 µL PAK

Rac1/Cdc42 binding domain (PAK-PBD)-agarose beads for Rac1 and Cdc42, or 15 µL Rhotekin

Rho binding domain (Rhotekin-RBD)-agarose beads for RhoA, for 16 hours. For the control, the

same amount of lysate was incubated with GDP or GTP for 15 minutes followed by incubation

with PAK-PBD or Rhotekin-RBD-agarose beads for 16 hours. The beads were washed twice with

washing buffer followed by boiling in 30 µL 2X sample loading buffer. The samples were analyzed

by western blot.

F-actin/G-actin assay

F-actin and G-actin content was measured using the G-actin/F-actin in vivo assay biochemical kit

(Cytoskeleton Inc., Denver, CO) as previously described in (Laguesse et al., 2018) with slight

modification. Tissue punches were homogenized in 250 µL cold LAS02 buffer containing protease

and phosphatases inhibitors and centrifuged at 350g for 5 minutes at 4ºC to remove cellular

debris. Protein concentrations were determined in the supernatant using BCA™ protein assay kit.

Supernatant was centrifuged at 15,000g for 30 minutes at 4ºC and the new supernatant contained

soluble globular actin (G-actin). The insoluble filamentous actin (F-actin) in the pellet was then

resuspended and incubated on ice for 1 hour in 250 µL F-actin depolymerization buffer, with gently

mixing every 15 minutes. Samples were centrifuged at 15,000g for 30 minutes at 4ºC and this

supernatant was used to measure F-actin content by western blot. Twenty µL of the G-actin

fraction and 40 µL of the F-actin fractions were loaded and analyzed by western blot.

Adeno-associated viruses

AAV2-Rac1-DN-GFP (AAV-Rac1-DN; 3.5×10^{12} vg/ml) was produced by the Duke Viral Vector Core (Durham, North Carolina). The plasmid containing the T17N Rac1 mutation (plasmid #22784, pCyPet-Rac1(T17N)) and AAV-GFP (pAAV.CMV.PI.EGFP.WPRE.bGH; 1.6×10^{13} vg/ml) were purchased from Addgene. AAV-GFP was diluted to match the concentration of AAV-Rac1-DN.

Confirmation of AAV-Rac1-DN in cells

HEK293 cells were plated at 120,000 cells per well on a 12-well-plate. The media was changed to 1% FBS-DMEM 24 hours prior to the infection. The cells were then infected with 2 µL of AAV-Rac1-DN virus (3.5×10^{12} vg/ml). Seventy-two hours after the infection, cells were incubated with 10% FBS for 30 minutes. The cells were lysed and analyzed by western blot.

Stereotaxic surgery

Mice were anesthetized using vaporized isoflurane and were fixed in a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments). To overexpress the virus in the entirety of the DMS, bilateral microinfusions were accomplished using stainless steel injectors (33 gauge; Small Parts Incorporated) connected to Hamilton syringes (10 µL, 1701) at two sites in the DMS (anteroposterior (AP) +1.1 mm, mediolateral (ML) ± 1.2 mm, dorsoventral (DV) -3 mm and AP +0.66 mm, ML ± 1.2 mm, DV -2.8 mm measured from bregma). Animals received a 1µL bilateral infusion of AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN-GFP (3.5×10^{12} vg/ml) at an infusion rate of 0.1µL/min controlled by an automatic pump (Harvard Apparatus). The injectors were left at the infusion site for 10 minutes after the conclusion of the infusion to allow the virus to diffuse.

To image single neurons, a low-titer (7×10^{9} - 3.5×10^{10} vg/ml) of AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN-GFP was infused into the DMS (AP +1.1 mm, ML ± 1.2 mm, DV -2.8 mm). 0.5µL of each virus was

infused bilaterally at a rate of 0.1µL/min. Injectors were left in place for 10 minutes for viral

diffusion.

In vivo **confirmation of viral expression**

After the conclusion of an experiment, animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation and the

brains were removed. The brain was dissected on ice into 1-mm-thick coronal sections and green

fluorescent protein (GFP) was visualized and imaged using an EVOS FL tabletop fluorescent

microscope (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Mice with surgeries that failed to elicit viral

overexpression were excluded from the study.

Immunostaining

Mice were euthanized by transcardial perfusion with 0.01M PBS followed by 4%

paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffer, for 5 minutes each step. Brains were removed and

postfixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours before being transferred to a 30% sucrose solution for 3 days.

Brains were then frozen rapidly on dry ice before being coronally sectioned into 100 µm sections

using a Leica CM3050 cryostat (Leica Biosystems, Richmond, IL). Slices were placed in 0.01M

PBS and the ones containing the site of infection were selected to be stained. Sections were

blocked with 5% normal donkey serum for 4 hours. Slices were incubated in the primary antibody

over 48 hours at 4°C on an orbital shaker. After primary antibody incubation, slices were washed

3 times for 45 minutes each in PBS before secondary antibody incubation overnight at 4°C on an

orbital shaker. There was another cycle of washing before placing the slices onto Superfrost Plus

microscope slides (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) and mounting slides using Prolong Gold

mounting medium (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Slides were allowed 24 hours to dry

before the edges were sealed to prevent dehydration.

Morphological analysis

Low titer of AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN (7×10^9 - 3.5×10^{10} vg/ml) was infused bilaterally into the DMS. After 1 week of recovery, mice underwent 7 weeks of IA20%2BC. Twenty-four hours after the last drinking session, mice were euthanized, perfused, processed, and 100 µm coronal sections were collected. Images of GFP-stained DMS neurons were acquired with a spinning disk confocal microscope with a 40x objective and a Z-interval of 1µm (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Images were deconvoluted using AutoQuantX (v3.1.3, Media cybernetics, Inc, Rockville, MD), and GFP neurons were traced using Neurolucida software (MBF Biosciences, Williston, VT).

Scholl analysis: Dendritic branches were quantified using Sholl analysis (Sholl, 1953). Starting radius of dendritic branches was 10µm and end radius was 160µm from the center of the soma with an interval of 10µm between radii. Cell body area was measured using Fiji software (NIH).

Spine Analysis: Images of GFP-stained DMS neurons were acquired with a spinning disk confocal microscope with a 100x objective and a Z-interval of 0.1µm (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Only distal dendrites (3rd or 4th order) were analyzed. Morphological properties were analyzed using Imaris software (Oxford Instruments, Concord, MA). Dendritic spines were classified based on a combination of length and head and neck morphology. Spines were classified into four subtypes as in (Laguesse et al., 2018): filipodia (>2µm length, indiscernible head), stubby (<1µm length, no visible neck), mushroom (>0.4µm head width, short neck), thin (head width <0.4µm, long neck).

Behavioral paradigms

2-bottle choice drinking paradigm

Intermittent access to 20% alcohol (IA20%2BC): The paradigm was conducted as described in (Laguesse et al., 2017). Mice were given 24-hour access to one bottle of 20% alcohol (v/v) in tap water or one bottle of water. Drinking sessions started at 11:30AM Monday, Wednesday, and Friday with 24- or 48-hour (weekend) withdrawal periods in between during which only water was available. The bottles were alternated each session to prevent a side preference from developing.

The bottles were weighed at the end of each session and adjusted for spill. Mice were weighed

once a week. Animals that drank over 7g/kg/24hr were included in the study. See **Table 1** for

average values in each of the experiments. Percentile of alcohol preference (preference index)

was calculated by dividing the amount of alcohol drank to the amount of water+alcohol X100.

Intermittent access to 1% sucrose: Mice had access to a 2-bottle choice between water and 1%

sucrose three days a week for 24-hour periods for two weeks (Laguesse et al., 2017). Bottles

were weighed at the end of each session and switched in between. Between sessions, only water

was available. The bottle weights were spill-adjusted after each session. Mice were weighed

weekly, and 1% sucrose consumption was measured in milliliters per kilogram of bodyweight

(ml/kg). Food was available *ad libitum*.

Continuous access to 10% alcohol: Mice had access to a bottle of 10% alcohol (v/v) in tap water

and a bottle of water for 24 hours a day for 3 weeks (21 drinking sessions) (Laguesse et al., 2017)

Bottles were removed and weighed daily. Bottle positions were alternated to reduce side

preference development. The bottle weights were spill-adjusted after each session. Mice were

weighed weekly and alcohol consumption was calculated for each in grams per kilogram.

Operant self-administration (OSA): OSA was conducted as described in (Laguesse et al., 2017).

Mice underwent stereotaxic surgery and IA20%2BC for 7-8 weeks as described above. Prior to

training, animals were handled for 1-2 minutes per day for 3 consecutive days. OSA was

conducted during the dark phase of the reverse light/dark cycle in operant chambers (length: 22

cm, width: 20 cm, height: 14 cm). OSA boxes were connected to a computer running MED-PC to

control and record session activity. The operant chambers (Med-Associates; Georgia, VT) had

two levers (length: 1.5 cm, distance between: 11 cm, height above floor: 2.5 cm) on one wall. The

operant chambers were also equipped with a reward port between the levers (height above floor:

0.5 cm) that included a photo-beam to track port entries. Upon reward delivery, a 3-second tone

(2900Hz) and a cue-light above the reward port was activated. To receive a reward, the mouse

must press the active lever in a fixed-ratio 1 (FR1) schedule, where one active-lever press leads

to one reward. A successful completion of this condition resulted in a delivery of 10 μL of 20%

alcohol to the reward port. The mouse must enter the reward port twice to reactivate the active

lever, ensuring consumption of the reward. Animals received a total of 20 hours of training time

in the paradigm in the first week (two 6-hour and two 4-hour sessions) before transitioning to 2-

hour afternoon sessions that began consistently at 13:00. After 8 2-hour FR1 sessions, the

complexity of the task was increased to FR2, where two active lever presses were required for

one reward. Active lever presses, inactive lever presses, port entries, and reward deliveries were

measured. Consumption was normalized based on mouse body weight and rewards

administered. Discrimination index was calculated as the percentage of active lever presses

divided by total presses. The proportion of reward lever presses statistic was calculated by

comparing lever presses that led to a reward to total lever presses, including inactive lever

presses. Mice with low viral expression were excluded from the study.

Sucrose operant self-administration: OSA of 1% sucrose was slightly modified from conditions

described in (Laguesse et al., 2017). Specifically, mice underwent stereotaxic surgery to

overexpress AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN-GFP in the DMS. After allowing for viral overexpression,

mice began 1% sucrose self-administration training in the chambers previously described with

two 6-hour and two 4-hour training sessions in the first week. Animals were handled for 1-2

minutes daily for 3 consecutive days before training began. They then transitioned to 2-hour

afternoon sessions that began consistently at 13:00. After 8 2-hour FR1 sessions, the mice

transitioned to FR2. Mice with low viral expression were excluded from the study.

Open-field test

Mice infected with either AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN were placed in an open field (43cm x 43cm)

in low-light conditions and allowed to explore for 20 minutes (Warnault et al., 2016). Locomotor

activity was tracked using EthoVision XT software (Noldus, Leesburg, VA), and total movement

(cm) was reported.

Data analysis

GraphPad Prism 9 and MATLAB were used for statistical analysis.

Biochemical analysis: Data were analyzed using the appropriate statistical test, including one-way ANOVA, two-way ANOVA, or two-tailed t-test for normal populations, or Kruskal-Wallis for non-normal populations. *Post hoc* testing was done using Šidák's multiple comparisons test.

Biochemical analysis: Data were analyzed using the appropriate statistical test, including one-way ANOVA, two-way ANOVA, or two-tailed t-test for normal populations, or Kruskal-Wallis for non-normal populations. *Post hoc* testing was done using Šidák's multiple comparisons test.

IA20%2BC numbers are expressed as the mean ± SEM consumption over the final two weeks (**Table 1**). Data was first tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk normality test with accompanying QQ plot. Parametric tests were performed on data samples deemed to be derived from normal populations. The results were determined to be statistically significant if the resulting p-value was less than 0.05.

Behavioral analysis: IA-2BC and OSA data were analyzed using a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA, followed by *post hoc* Šidák's multiple comparisons test. A two-tailed t-test was performed on the open-field locomotion. The results were determined to be statistically significant if the resulting p-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Alcohol activates Rac1 in the DMS of male mice

We first tested if repeated cycles of alcohol binge drinking and withdrawal activates Rac1

in the striatum of male mice. Specifically, animals were subjected to 7 weeks of 20% alcohol or

water choice for 24 hours a day, 3 days a week, with 24- or 48- (weekend) hour withdrawal periods

in between during which mice had access to water only. Mice consuming water for the same

duration were used as controls (**Figure 1A**). Striatal regions were dissected and harvested 4

hours after the beginning of a drinking session ("binge") or 24 hours after the end of a drinking

session ("withdrawal") (**Figure 1A**). Rac1-GTP pulldown assay was utilized to analyze the level

of active GTP-bound vs. inactive GDP-bound Rac1. We found that the activity of Rac1 was

unaltered by alcohol in the NAc (**Figure 1B, Table 1**) and the DLS (**Figure 1C, Table 1**) (NAc

Kruskal-Wallis test H = 0.5731, p = 0.7761; DLS One-way ANOVA: $F_{(2,15)}$ = 0.0139, p = 0.9862).

In contrast, we discovered that 7 weeks IA20%2BC produced a robust activation of Rac1 in the

DMS during both binge and withdrawal (**Figure 1D, Table 1**) (One-way ANOVA: $F_{(2, 15)}$ = 8.233,

p = 0.0039; *Post hoc*: Water vs. Binge p = 0.0058, W vs. WD p = 0.0068). The same pattern of

Rac1 activation was also observed during withdrawal after 4 weeks of IA20%2BC (**Extended**

Figure 1A, Table 1) (Unpaired t-test: $t_{(6)}$ = 2,938, p = 0.0260). In contrast, Rac1 activity was

unaltered in the DMS of female mice undergoing 7 weeks of IA20%2BC (**Figure 1E, Table 1**)

(Kruskal-Wallis test H = 0.2456, p = 0.8968), suggesting that alcohol-dependent Rac1 activation

is sexually dimorphic. Together, these data suggest that chronic voluntary drinking of alcohol

produces a long-lasting activation of Rac1 in the DMS of male mice.

Rac1 activation by alcohol in the DMS is specific

Next, we set to determine the specificity of alcohol-dependent activation of Rac1 in the

DMS. The closely related small G-proteins RhoA and Cdc42 have also been linked with synaptic

and structural plasticity (Francis et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). We found that levels of RhoA

and Cdc42 bound to GTP (**Figure 2A-B, Table 1**) were unchanged in the DMS at both binge and

withdrawal from IA20%2BC as compared to water-only drinking mice (RhoA One-way ANOVA:

$F_{(2, 15)}$ = 0.472, p = 0.06323; Cdc42 Kruskal-Wallis test H = 3.310, p = 0.1962). To measure if

Rac1 is activated in response to moderate consumption of alcohol, we exposed mice to a 10%

continuous access (10%CA) 2-bottle-choice alcohol drinking paradigm in which mice were

allowed to choose between 10% alcohol and water continuously for 21 days, matching the number

IA20%2BC sessions. We detected no change in the activation of Rac1 in the DMS after 10%CA

(**Figure 2C, Table 1**) (Unpaired t-test: $t_{(10)}$ = 0.3960, p = 0.7004), which implies that higher

concentration of alcohol and/or repeated cycles of binge and withdrawal are necessary for the

alcohol-dependent activation of Rac1 in the DMS.

To examine if Rac1 is activated in the DMS by a naturally rewarding substance, mice

underwent intermittent access to a 1% sucrose 2-bottle-choice paradigm for 2 weeks. We found

that Rac1 is not activated in the DMS of sucrose drinking mice (**Figure 2D, Table 1**) (Unpaired t-

test: $t_{(12)}$ = 0.5345, p = 0.6028), suggesting that activation of Rac1 signaling in the DMS is specific

for alcohol and is not shared with natural reward. Together, these data suggest that the activation

of Rac1 in the DMS observed after chronic alcohol consumption is not generalized to other closely

related small G-proteins in the Rho family, is specific to repeated cycles of binge and withdrawal

of a 20% alcohol and is not shared with natural reward.

Alcohol promotes LIM kinase activation and cofilin phosphorylation

Rac1 activation leads to the downstream phosphorylation of LIMK which in turn

phosphorylates cofilin (Edwards et al., 1999). Therefore, we examined whether alcohol-mediated

Rac1 stimulation promotes the activation of LIMK and cofilin phosphorylation in the DMS. To test

this question, we measured the level of LIMK phosphorylation, and thus activation, as well as the

phosphorylation of cofilin in the DMS after 7 weeks of IA20%2BC. We found that the

phosphorylation of both LIMK and cofilin were significantly increased after alcohol binge and

withdrawal in comparison to animals that drank water only (**Figure 3A-B, Table 1**) (One-way

ANOVA: LIMK $F_{(2, 12)}$ = 96.40, p < 0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water vs. Binge p < 0.0001, Water vs.

Withdrawal p < 0.0001; Cofilin $F_{(2, 12)}$ = 44.14, p < 0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water vs. Binge p < 0.0001,

Water vs. Withdrawal p < 0.0001). Together, these data suggest that the long-term alcohol

consumption activates Rac1-dependent signaling.

Alcohol activation of the LIMK/cofilin signaling pathway in the DMS depends on Rac1

The LIMK/cofilin signaling pathway can also be activated by other small G-proteins such

as RhoA/B, Cdc42, and Rac3 (Edwards et al., 1999; Mira et al., 2000; Swanson et al., 2017).

Thus, to confirm that the upregulation of LIMK and cofilin phosphorylation after excessive alcohol

use is indeed due to the increase in Rac1 activity, we used a dominant negative Rac1 mutant to

inhibit the activity of the endogenous protein. Specifically, the dominant negative mutant of Rac1

(Rac1-DN) contains a threonine to asparagine substitution at residue 17 (Worthylake et al., 2000).

The Rac1 mutant forms a tight complex with Rac1-specific GEFs, but does not allow the exchange

of GDP to GTP, keeping the G-protein constantly inactive (Ridley et al., 1992; Wong et al., 2006;

Zhang et al., 2016) (**Figure 4A**). Rac1-DN was packaged into an adeno-associated virus (AAV).

First, to confirm the inhibitory action of Rac1-DN, HEK293 cells were infected with AAV-Rac1-DN

in media containing 1% serum (**Extended Figure 2A**). Next, cells were incubated for 30 minutes

with media containing 10% FBS. As shown in **Extended Figure 2C**, incubation of cells with 10%

FBS increased LIMK phosphorylation in non-infected cells, which was not observed in cells

infected with AAV-Rac1-DN. In contrast, ERK1/2 whose phosphorylation does not depend on

Rac1 was increased by 10% serum in both uninfected cells and AAV-Rac1-DN infected cells.

These data suggest that AAV-Rac1-DN selectively inhibits Rac1 signaling in cultured cells.

Next, mice were bilaterally infected with AAV-Rac1-DN (3.5×10^{12} vg/ml) or an AAV-GFP

(3.5×10^{12} vg/ml) control in the DMS. As shown in **Figure 4B** and **Extended Figure 2E-F,** intense

and localized infection was detected in mice infected with AAV-Rac1-DN. Global Rac1 protein

level was significantly increased in the DMS of mice infected with AAV-Rac1-DN compared to mice infected with AAV-GFP in mice drinking water or alcohol (**Extended Figure 2G**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,20)}$ = 69.58, p<0.0001; Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 6.774, p = 0.0170; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 0.2164, p = 0.6468; *Post hoc* W+AAV-GFP vs. W+AAV-Rac1-DN p<0.0001; A+AAV-GFP vs. A+AAV-Rac1-DN p = 0.0001).

We then tested if Rac1 is required for alcohol-mediated LIMK and cofilin phosphorylation in the DMS. Three weeks after surgery, mice underwent 7 weeks of IA20%2BC before dissection (**Figure 4C**). As described below, infection of the DMS of mice with AAV-GFP and AAV-Rac1-DN did not alter alcohol consumption (**Figure 8B**), thus the DMS of mice infected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN were exposed to the same concentration of alcohol (**Table 1**). The phosphorylation of LIMK and cofilin were measured in the DMS of water- or alcohol-drinking mice infected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN. We observed a significant increase in the phosphorylation of LIMK and cofilin in AAV-GFP-infected animals during alcohol withdrawal (**Figure 4D-E, Table 1**) (Two-way ANOVA: pLIMK Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 35.12, p<0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-GFP p<0.0001; pCofilin Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 380.5, p<0.0001; *Post hoc:* Water+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-GFP p<0.0001), which aligns with our prior results (**Figure 3A-B**). AAV-Rac1-DN overexpression had no effect on the phosphorylation of LIMK or cofilin in water-drinking animals (Two-way ANOVA: pLIMK Effect of virus $F_{(1,20)}$ = 58.01, p<0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water+AAV-GFP vs. Water+AAV-Rac1-DN p = 0.3184; pCofilin Effect of virus $F_{(1,20)}$ = 378.8, p<0.0001; *Post hoc:* Water+AAV-GFP vs. Water+AAV-Rac1-DN p = 0.9679). In contrast, overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN significantly reduced alcohol-mediated phosphorylation of LIMK and cofilin (Two-way ANOVA: pLIMK Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 105.1, p<0.0001; *Post hoc*: Alcohol+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-Rac1-DN p<0.0001; pCofilin Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 423.8, p<0.0001; *Post hoc:* Alcohol+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-Rac1-DN p<0.0001). These results suggest that the molecular consequences of Rac1 stimulation by alcohol in the DMS is

424   the phosphorylation, and therefore activation, of LIMK and the phosphorylation of its substrate

425   cofilin.

Alcohol promotes F-actin formation in the DMS via Rac1

We previously showed that chronic excessive alcohol intake increases F-actin assembly

and decreases G-actin in the DMS (Laguesse et al., 2018). Phosphorylated cofilin is unable to

cleave F-actin into G-actin (Bamburg, 1999). Therefore, we hypothesized that the consequence

of the alcohol-mediated activation of the Rac1/LIMK/cofilin signaling is the formation of F-actin.

To determine if actin remodeling in the DMS depends on Rac1 signaling, we examined the level

of F-actin and G-actin in the DMS of mice that underwent 7 weeks of IA20%2BC or water and

that were infected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN (**Figure 5A, Table 1**). Similar to previous

findings (Laguesse et al., 2018), control mice infected with AAV-GFP in the DMS had a

significantly higher level of F-actin after excessive chronic alcohol consumption in comparison to

AAV-GFP-infected mice that drank water only (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 101.6,

p<0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-GFP p<0.0001). Conversely, mice

infected with AAV-Rac1-DN had a significantly lower F-actin content in comparison to AAV-GFP

mice both in the water- and alcohol-consuming groups (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,20)}$ =

105.4, p<0.0001; *Post hoc*: Water+AAV-GFP vs. Water+AAV-Rac1-DN p = 0.0199; Alcohol+AAV-

GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-Rac1-DN p<0.0001). In addition, the magnitude of the difference in F-actin

levels is greater between alcohol-drinking AAV-GFP and AAV-Rac1-DN mice compared to water-

only animals (**Figure 5B, Table 1**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 30.92,

p<0.0001). Overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN had no effect on the level of G-actin in the water-

only group. However, G-actin levels were reduced by alcohol in mice infected with AAV-GFP,

which was rescued by overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN (**Figure 5B, Table 1**) (Two-way ANOVA:

Effect of virus $F_{(1,20)}$ = 7.585, p = 0.0122; Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 12.30, p = 0.0022; Effect of virus

x alcohol $F_{(1,20)}$ = 11.73, p = 0.0027; *Post hoc*: Water+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-GFP p = 0.0005;

Water+AAV-Rac1-DN vs. Alcohol+AAV-GFP p = 0.0016; Alcohol+AAV-GFP vs. Alcohol+AAV-

Rac1-DN p = 0.0018). Therefore, these data suggest that the alcohol-mediated increase in F-

actin assembly in the DMS depends on Rac1.

Rac1 promotes the remodeling of dendritic arbors in the DMS

F-actin is responsible for morphological remodeling in neurons (Honkura et al., 2008;

Chazeau and Giannone, 2016; Costa et al., 2020). Previously, we showed that alcohol

consumption increases dendritic branch complexity in DMS medium spiny neurons (MSNs)

(Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018). Since alcohol reduces F-actin content via Rac1, we

hypothesized that the increase in dendritic branching after alcohol use is mediated via Rac1

signaling. To test this possibility, the DMS of mice that were subjected to IA20%2BC or water only

was infected with a low titer ($7x10^9$ - $3.5x10^{10}$ vg/ml) of AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP. The goal was

to infect a sparse population of neurons to allow for imaging of individual arbors (**Figure 6A**-**C**).

Low-titer infection of Rac1-DN did not alter alcohol consumption (**Table 1**). Sholl analysis (Sholl,

1953) was then performed on the DMS of water- or alcohol-drinking mice infected with AAV-GFP

or AAV-Rac1-DN.

Similar to our prior findings (Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018), we found that

alcohol significantly increased the complexity of dendritic trees of DMS MSNs (Three-way

ANOVA: Effect of alcohol x distance $F_{(1,1008)}$ = 7.132, p < 0.0001). Specifically, DMS dendrites 30-

90μm from the soma exhibited significantly more intersections in alcohol-drinking vs. water-only-

drinking mice (**Figure 6D**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol $F_{(1, 65)}$ = 17.24, p < 0.0001, *Post*

hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test). The increase in dendritic complexity was further quantified

by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) (**Figure 6E**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol

$F_{(1, 63)}$ = 15.24, p = 0.0002). In addition, we observed that dendritic length (**Figure 6F**), the number

of branching points (**Figure 6G**), and the number of ending points (**Figure 6H**), were also

significantly increased by alcohol (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol Dendritic Length $F_{(1, 63)}$ =

16.92, p < 0.0001; Branching points $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 9.086, p = 0.0037; Ending points $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 11.48, p =

0.0016).

In contrast, infection of the DMS with AAV-Rac1-DN significantly reduced dendritic

arborization (Three-way ANOVA: Effect of virus x distance $F_{(1,1008)}$ = 6.462, p < 0.0001).

Specifically, the number of dendritic intersections 20-80µm from the soma was significantly

decreased when DMS neurons were infected with AAV-Rac1-DN as compared to neurons

infected with AAV-GFP (**Figure 6D**) (Two-way ANOVA of consolidated data: Effect of virus $F_{(1, 65)}$

= 15.59, p = 0.0002, *Post hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test of each Sholl diameter,*

represented graphically). Furthermore, AAV-Rac1-DN infection decreased AUC (**Figure 6E**),

along with dendritic length, branching points, and ending points (**Figure 6F-H**) (Two-way ANOVA:

Effect of virus AUC $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 13.17, p = 0.0006, Dendritic length $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 14.88, p = 0.0002,

Branching points $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 11.94, p = 0.0010, Ending points $F_{(1, 63)}$ = 16.35, p = 0.0002). However,

there was no interaction between the variables (Three-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol x virus x

distance $F_{(1,1008)}$ = 0.4689, p = 0.9617; Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol x virus AUC $F_{(1,63)}$ =

0.04500, p = 0.8327, Dendritic length $F_{(1,63)}$ = 0.01739, p = 0.8955, Branching points $F_{(1,63)}$ =

0.03059, p = 0.8617, Ending points $F_{(1,63)}$ = 0.2592, p = 0.6125).

Next, we examined the number of branches per dendritic order (**Figure 6I**). Three-way

ANOVA showed a significant main effect of virus ($F_{(1,63)}$ = 13.21, p = 0.0006) and a significant main

effect of alcohol ($F_{(1,63)}$ = 11.31, p = 0.0013) but no interaction between virus and alcohol ($F_{(1,63)}$ =

0.5889, p = 0.4457). The number of branches was then analyzed for each order and two-way

ANOVA showed a significant main effect of virus at 2nd, 3rd, and 5th order (represented

graphically), a significant main effect of alcohol at 3rd, 4th and 5th order.

Together, these data confirmed that chronic excessive alcohol use significantly increases

the complexity of dendritic branching in DMS MSNs (Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018).

Importantly, we show that Rac1 contributes to dendritic branching in DMS neurons.

Rac1 in the DMS is required for the alcohol-mediated alteration in dendritic spine morphology

Actin is the major structural protein in the post-synaptic density (PSD) (Ratner and Mahler, 1983). Actin cytoskeleton organization directly controls dendritic spine morphology (Schubert and Dotti, 2007; Hotulainen and Hoogenraad, 2010; Basu and Lamprecht, 2018). Rac1 signaling controls actin dynamics and is involved in dendritic spine morphogenesis (Bosco et al., 2009; Haditsch et al., 2009; Haditsch et al., 2013; Costa et al., 2020). Since Rac1 is activated by alcohol which in turn promotes F-actin assembly we hypothesized that the dendritic spines in the DMS are altered by alcohol in a Rac1-dependent manner.

Next, we examined the shape of individual dendritic spines on distal dendritic branches (3rd or 4th order) in mice infected with AAV-GFP and AAV-Rac1DN in DMS and that consumed 20% alcohol or water only for 7 weeks (**Figure 7A**). Spine density (spine number per 10μm) was unaffected by alcohol drinking or AAV-Rac1-DN infection (**Figure 7B**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 0.7175, p = 0.4012; Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 2.541, p = 0.1175; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 0.2495, p = 0.6197).

We found that in control mice infected with AAV-GFP, alcohol drinking significantly increased dendritic spine area, aligning with prior findings (Laguesse et al., 2018) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 36.24, p < 0.0001) (**Figure 7C**). Alcohol drinking also significantly increased dendritic spine volume (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 32.76, p < 0.0001) (**Figure 7D**). While spine length was unaffected by alcohol drinking (**Figure 7E**), average spine head width was increased (**Figure 7F**) (Two-way ANOVA: Spine Length Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 0.9881, p = 0.5408; Head Width Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 18.81, p < 0.0001), which led to a reduction in length-to-width ratio by alcohol (**Figure 7G**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 5.490, p = 0.0233).

In contrast, the alcohol-mediated alterations in dendritic spine morphology were attenuated by Rac1 inhibition. Specifically, Rac1 inhibition significantly decreased dendritic spine

area in alcohol-drinking animals, without affecting water-only controls. (**Figure 7C)** (Two-way

ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 12.98, p = 0.0007; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 12.52, p =

0.0021; *Post hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test*). The alcohol-mediated increase in dendritic

spine volume was also prevented by Rac1-DN, without affecting water-only controls (**Figure 7D)**

(Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 8.552, p = 0.0053; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 9.659,

p = 0.0032; *Post hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test*). In addition, we found that AAV-Rac1-

DN slightly reduced spine length independent of drinking (**Figure 7E**), and prevented the alcohol-

mediated increase in spine head width (**Figure 7F**) (Two-way ANOVA: Spine Length Effect of

virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 4.610, p = 0.0369; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 0.4081, p = 0.5260; Head Width

Effect of virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 3.408, p = 0.0710; Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 6.734, p = 0.0125; *Post*

hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test). Finally, length-to-width ratio was unaffected by AAV-

Rac1-DN infection (**Figure 7G**) (Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,48)}$ = 0.5552, p = 0.4598;

Effect of virus x alcohol $F_{(1,48)}$ = 1.939, p = 0.1701; *Post hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test)*.

Together, these findings suggest that Rac1 contributes to the alcohol-mediated increases in

dendritic spine area, volume, and spine head width.

We then examined whether alcohol-mediated activation of Rac1 signaling and the

increase in F-actin content was responsible for the maturation of DMS MSN dendritic spines.

Dendritic spines are classed into four subtypes: filipodia, thin, stubby, and mushroom, with

filopodia being the least mature form of spines and mushrooms being the most mature form (Kasai

et al., 2003). Spines are characterized by different shape, with primary variables being spine

length, neck, and head width (Kasai et al., 2003). Like our previous study (Laguesse et al., 2018),

we found that alcohol drinking increased the maturity of dendritic spines in the DMS. Specifically,

we found that in AAV-GFP infected mice, alcohol significantly increased the proportion of

mushroom-shaped spines at the expense of thin spines, while not affecting filopodia or stubby

spines (**Figure 7H**). Rac1 inhibition blocked the alcohol-mediated increase in mushroom spines

and decrease in thin spines but had no effect on animals drinking water only (**Figure 7H**) (Three-

way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1, 48)}$ = 0.9904, p = 0.3246; Effect of alcohol $F_{(1, 48)}$ = 0.9904, p = 0.3246; Spine type $F_{(3, 144)}$ = 358.0, p < 0.0001; Effect of virus x spine type $F_{(3, 144)}$ = 14.80, p < 0.0001; Effect of alcohol x spine type $F_{(3, 144)}$ = 11.12, p < 0.0001, Effect of virus x alcohol x spine type $F_{(3, 144)}$ = 14.23, p < 0.0001; *Post hoc Šídák's multiple comparisons test*). Together, our data suggest that Rac1 signaling is responsible for the alcohol-mediated morphological changes in dendritic spine structure and maturation in DMS MSNs.

Rac1 does not play a role in the development and maintenance of voluntary alcohol intake

Next, we set to determine if the alcohol-dependent, Rac1-mediated molecular and cellular changes have consequences on alcohol-related behaviors. First, we tested the effect of Rac1 inhibition on the development of excessive alcohol drinking. To do so, the DMS of mice was bilaterally infused with AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP (3.5×10^{12} vg/ml). After allowing for three weeks of recovery, animals underwent IA20%2BC for 7 weeks and drinking and preference were measured (**Figure 8A**). We found that there was no difference in alcohol consumption in the group with overexpressed AAV-Rac1-DN in the DMS compared to AAV-GFP controls (**Figure 8B**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,17)}$ = 0.08351, p = 0.7761; Effect of session $F_{(6, 102)}$ = 5.420, p < 0.0001; Effect of virus x session $F_{(6, 102)}$ = 0.1339, p = 0.9916), and alcohol preference was also unaltered (**Figure 8C**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,17)}$ = 0.1798, p = 0.6768; Effect of session $F_{(6, 102)}$ = 3.196, p = 0.0065; Effect of virus x session $F_{(6, 102)}$ = 0.4250, p = 0.8608).

Next, we determined the potential contribution of Rac1 to the maintenance of alcohol-drinking behavior. Mice were first subjected to the IA20%2BC paradigm for 7 weeks. Experimental and control groups were balanced based on drinking average. IA20%2BC was then resumed for 4 weeks (**Figure 8D**). Overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN did not alter the maintenance of alcohol drinking (**Figure 8E**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,14)}$ = 2.454, p = 0.1396; Effect of session $F_{(3,42)}$ = 1.262, p = 0.2998; Effect of virus x session $F_{(3,42)}$ = 0.5923, p = 0.6235) or alcohol

preference (**Figure 8F**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1, 14)}$ = 2.520, p = 0.1347; Effect

of session $F_{(3,42)}$ = 0.7839, p = 0.5096; Effect of virus x session $F_{(3,42)}$ = 0.7518, p = 0.5275).

Overexpression of AAV-Rac1-DN did not alter water consumption in the development

(**Supp. Figure 3A**) or maintenance (**Supp. Figure 3B**) drinking experiments (RM Two-way

ANOVA: Development Effect of virus $F_{(1,17)}$ = 0.4571, p = 0.5081; Effect of session $F_{(6,102)}$ = 3.855,

p = 0.0017; Effect of virus x session $F_{(6,102)}$ = 0.8631, p = 0.5248; Maintenance Effect of virus $F_{(1,13)}$

= 0.8287, p = 0.3792; Effect of session $F_{(3, 39)}$ = 3.606, p = 0.0216; Effect of virus x session $F_{(3,39)}$

= 0.1149, p = 0.9508) Together, these results suggest that Rac1 in the DMS does not contribute

to the development or maintenance of voluntary alcohol drinking in the IA20%2BC paradigm.

Rac1 in the DMS is required for alcohol-associated goal-directed learning

We observed that the activation of Rac1 signaling after chronic excessive alcohol use was

specific to the DMS, a brain region that is associated with goal-directed learning (Dolan and

Dayan, 2013; Shan et al., 2014). As goal-directed behavior is particularly important for drug

seeking (Singer et al., 2018), we hypothesized that Rac1 in the DMS may play a role in alcohol-

associated goal-directed learning.

To examine this hypothesis, the DMS of mice was bilaterally infused with AAV-Rac1-DN

or AAV-GFP ($3.5x10^{12}$ vg/ml). Mice were allowed 3 weeks to recover before undergoing

IA20%2BC for 7 weeks. Mice were then trained to self-administer 20% alcohol on a fixed ratio of

reinforcement 1 (FR1) schedule for 20 hours over four sessions during the first week before

transitioning to 2-hour sessions. After eight 2-hour sessions of FR1, mice progressed to FR2, and

alcohol-associated active lever presses and inactive lever presses were examined (**Figure 9A**).

We found that there was no significant change in active lever presses between mice infected with

AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP (**Figure 9B, Table 1**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(13, 143)}$

= 1.522, p = 0.1163; Effect of session $F_{(3.043, 33.47)}$ = 1.232, p = 0.3137; Effect of virus x session

$F_{(13, 143)}$ = 1.522, p = 0.1163). However, mice infected with AAV-Rac1-DN pressed the inactive

lever significantly more than the AAV-GFP-infected mice (**Figure 9C**) (RM Two-way ANOVA:

Effect of virus $F_{(1, 11)}$ = 5.102, p = 0.0452; Effect of session $F_{(13, 143)}$ = 0.9024, p = 0.5522; Effect of

virus x session $F_{(13, 143)}$ = 0.8569, p = 0.5996). This phenotype is exemplified in the discrimination

index, showing that the AAV-Rac1-DN mice exhibited significantly worse discrimination for the

active lever throughout the OSA experiment in comparison to AAV-GFP controls (**Figure 9D**) (RM

Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1, 11)}$ = 5.320, p = 0.0415; Effect of session $F_{(13, 143)}$ = 2.237, p

= 0.0107; Effect of virus x session $F_{(13,143)}$ = 0.8674, p = 0.5886). A similar phenotype was

observed in the proportion of rewarded lever presses, which accounts for active lever presses

that do not lead to a reward. Specifically, while over 50% of presses of the AAV-GFP control

animals led to a reward, the AAV-Rac1-DN group failed to reach this threshold throughout the

testing period (**Figure 9E**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 16.66, p = 0.0018, Effect

of session $F_{(13,143)}$ = 6.400, p < 0.0001; Effect of virus x session $F_{(13,143)}$ = 0.5518, p = 0.8880).

There was no difference in alcohol consumption between groups (**Figure 9F**) (RM Two-way

ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 1.099, p = 0.3169; Effect of session $F_{(13, 143)}$ = 15.18, p < 0.0001;

Effect of virus x session $F_{(13, 143)}$ = 1.156, p = 0.3178), which aligns with the IA20%2BC data

(**Figure 7B, 7E**). Examination of the inter-response interval between lever presses of the final

session shows that AAV-Rac1-DN mice exhibited a significantly increased proportion of lever

presses in short intervals, and significantly less lever presses in the longer intervals, compared to

AAV-GFP controls (**Figure 9G**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.5360, p = 0.4794;

Effect of interval $F_{(4,44)}$ = 64.48, p < 0.0001; Effect of virus x interval $F_{(4, 44)}$ = 6.145, p = 0.0005;

Post hoc 0-5 p = 0.0034, >20 p < 0.001). These phenotypes can be visualized in the behavioral

trace of individual mouse profiles from the OSA session with the greatest discrimination difference

(**Figure 9H**). These data suggest that Rac1 in the DMS plays a role in alcohol-associated, goal-

directed learning. As the DMS plays an important role in movement (Kravitz and Kreitzer, 2012),

we examined the consequence of Rac1 inhibition in the DMS on locomotion using an open field

paradigm. As shown in **Figure 9I**, attenuation of Rac1 activity in the DMS had no effect on

locomotion (Unpaired t-test: $t_{(16)}$ = 0.06783, p = 0.9468).

Rac1 in the DMS is not required for sucrose goal-directed learning

Alcohol is often distinct from natural reward (Alhadeff et al., 2019; Nall et al., 2021; Martins

et al., 2022), and Rac1 in the DMS is not activated in response to sucrose consumption (**Figure**

2D). We hypothesized that Rac1 in the DMS does not play a role in sucrose-dependent goal

directed learning. To test this hypothesis, the DMS of mice was first bilaterally infused with AAV-

Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP (3.5x10^{12} vg/ml) and age-matched to the alcohol OSA group. The mice

were then trained to operant self-administer 1% sucrose, initially starting with an FR1

reinforcement schedule for 20 hours of training over four sessions before transitioning to 2-hour

sessions. After 8 2-hour sessions of FR1, mice were advanced to an FR2 schedule (**Figure 10A**).

We observed no difference between mice with AAV-Rac1-DN and AAV-GFP overexpression in

active lever pressing (**Figure 10B**), inactive lever pressing (**Figure 10C**), discrimination (**Figure**

10D), or proportion of rewarded lever presses (**Figure 10E**) (RM Two-way ANOVA: **10B** Effect of

virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 4.651, p = 0.0540; Effect of session $F_{(3.905, 42.36)}$ = 5.335, p = 0.0015; Effect of virus x

session $F_{(13,141)}$ = 0.9458, p = 0.5079; **10C** Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.2087, p = 0.6566; Effect of

session $F_{(2.224, 24.12)}$ = 0.8833, p = 0.4364; Effect of virus x session $F_{(13,141)}$ = 0.6457, p = 0.8119;

10D Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.007081, p = 0.9344; Effect of session $F_{(3.161, 34.29)}$ = 1.888, p = 0.1475;

Effect of virus x session $F_{(13,141)}$ = 0.7552, p = 0.7057; **10E** Effect of virus $F_{(1,11)}$ = 0.0001974, p =

0.9890; Effect of session $F_{(3.512, 38.10)}$ = 6.534, p = 0.0007; Effect of virus x session $F_{(13,141)}$ = 0.7314,

p = 0.7298). These data suggest that Rac1 in the DMS does not affect learning of natural-reward-

associated goal-directed behavior and that the learning deficiency exhibited by AAV-Rac1-DN

overexpression in the DMS is specific to alcohol-associated goal-directed learning.

Discussion

We show herein that Rac1 signaling is activated in the DMS in response to long term excessive alcohol drinking of male mice. We further show that the consequences of alcohol-mediated Rac1/LIMK signaling in the DMS are the formation of F-actin and the alteration of dendritic spine morphology. Finally, we present data to suggest that Rac1 in the DMS plays a role in alcohol-associated goal-directed learning. Together, our results suggest that Rac1 in the DMS plays an important role in molecular and morphological adaptations that promote alcohol-dependent learning behavior.

Rac1 is activated in the DMS after alcohol consumption

We found that Rac1 signaling is activated specifically in the DMS of male mice in response to long-term drinking of alcohol which was observed during the 4-hour binge drinking session and was still detected after 24 hours of withdrawal. The mechanism by which alcohol activates Rac1 in the DMS is unknown, and the sequence of events is unclear, e.g. is the binge or withdrawal session that initiates the activation of Rac1? Rac1 is activated by NMDA receptor stimulation in rat cortical and hippocampal neurons (Tolias et al., 2005; Xiao et al., 2013). Previously, we found that *ex vivo* and *in vivo* exposure to, and withdrawal from, alcohol induces long-term facilitation of GluN2B-containing NMDA receptor activity specifically in the DMS (Wang et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010). Glutamatergic tone is increased in cortical regions that project to the striatum of humans and rats during acute alcohol withdrawal (Hermann et al., 2012; Hwa et al., 2017). Therefore, it is very plausible that Rac1 is first activated during withdrawal through the activation of GluN2B receptors in the DMS, and that its activity is maintained during the alcohol drinking session. The long-lasting activation of Rac1 in the DMS in response to alcohol could be due to increased level and/or activity of one or both of its specific GEFs, Tiam1 and/or Karilin-7 (Tolias et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2007) or because of a reduction of the level and/or activity of its specific GAP (Toure et al., 1998; Garcia-Mata et al., 2006; Peru et al., 2012; Nakamura, 2013). Another

plausible explanation for alcohol-mediated Rac1 activation in the DMS is that withdrawal from

IA20%2BC might trigger activation of a DMS-specific circuit by activating only neurons projecting

to the DMS. For example, Ma et al. found an increase in glutamatergic transmission from mPFC

neurons projecting to the DMS in alcohol but not water drinking rats (Ma et al., 2017). In addition,

chronic IA20%2BC drinking was shown to alter instrumental learning by affecting the thalamus-

to-DMS circuit (Ma et al., 2022). Further work is required to unravel the specificity of inputs and

its interaction with alcohol.

Furthermore, ~95% of the DMS MSNs express either dopamine D1 receptor- (D1) or

dopamine D2 receptor (Gerfen and Surmeier, 2011; Calabresi et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2017).

Alcohol increases the complexity of dendritic branching and maturation of dendritic spines

selectively in D1 but not D2 DMS MSNs (Wang et al., 2015). Since the inhibition of endogenous

Rac1 attenuates alcohol-dependent re-structuring of DMS MSNs, we speculate that alcohol-

mediated Rac1 signaling is specifically localized to D1 neurons. This possibility will be determined

in future studies.

Interestingly, we did not detect Rac1 activation in response to alcohol drinking in the DMS

of female mice. While Rac1 is not activated in the DMS of female mice in response to alcohol

drinking, this does not exclude Rac1 from being activated by alcohol in other brain regions, such

as the amygdala or hippocampus, where Rac1 is involved in learning and memory (Martinez et

al., 2007; Haditsch et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2015). Further investigation is required

to unravel the mechanisms controlling sex-specificity in Rac1 signaling.

Rac1 in the DMS promotes alcohol-dependent morphological adaptations

We previously showed that the complexity of dendritic branching is increased by alcohol

(Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018). Here, we replicated the data of both studies and

showed that Rac1 is responsible for DMS MSNs dendritic complexity. However, because Rac1-

DN significantly decreased dendritic arborization in both water and alcohol drinking animals, we

cannot definitely conclude that Rac1 contributes to alcohol-dependent alteration of dendritic tree

complexity, but based on the finding that Rac1 inhibition significantly alters F-actin content, this

possibility is highly likely.

Rac1 is involved in the maturation and morphogenesis of dendritic spines (Nakayama et

al., 2000; Tashiro et al., 2000; Pennucci et al., 2019; Costa et al., 2020). In addition to the DMS,

(Wang et al., 2015; Laguesse et al., 2018) and data herein, alcohol exposure affects dendritic

spine morphology in cortical regions such as the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) (Cannady et

al., 2021), and the OFC (McGuier et al., 2015). Therefore, it is plausible that the activation of Rac1

may also be the molecular mediator of alcohol-dependent structural plasticity in cortical regions.

Interestingly, Rac1 was reported to play a role in cocaine-dependent neuroadaptations in

other striatal regions. Specifically, Dietz et al. reported that cocaine-dependent increase in NAc

thin spine density was mediated by Rac1 (Dietz et al., 2012), whereas Li et al. showed that the

cocaine-dependent increase of Rac1 signaling in the dorsal striatum leads to dendritic spine

maturation (Li et al., 2015). Cocaine also increases actin cycling through LIMK/cofilin signaling in

the NAc (Toda et al., 2006). Tu et al. observed that decreased Rac1 signaling is required for

METH-mediated spine density and maturation in the NAc (Tu et al., 2019). Here, the increase in

dendritic maturation after alcohol drinking in the DMS is shown to be Rac1-dependent. These

findings suggest that drugs of abuse are altering Rac1 signaling in a drug- and subregion-

dependent manner.

Rac1 and alcohol-associated behaviors

We found that Rac1 in the DMS does not play a role in voluntary drinking behavior. It is

not unusual that alcohol-mediated signaling controls a specific behavior without affecting

voluntary drinking. For example, inhibition of mTORC1 activity in the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC)

attenuates habitual alcohol seeking without affecting IA20%2BC consumption (Morisot et al.,

2019). Furthermore, lack of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) does not alter ethanol consumption or

preference (Blednov et al., 2017a), but does significantly reduce the duration of loss of righting

reflex and recovery from alcohol-induced motor incoordination (Blednov et al., 2017b). Although

Rac1 signaling in the DMS does not mediate voluntary drinking behavior, its activation may be

involved in other alcohol-related behaviors.

The DMS primarily mediates goal-directed behaviors (Yin et al., 2005; Balleine and

O'Doherty, 2010; Everitt and Robbins, 2013; Gremel and Costa, 2013), which play a major role

in the addiction cycle (Hogarth, 2020). Here, Rac1 inhibition in the DMS impaired active lever

discrimination and led to a reduction in the proportion of lever presses leading to a reward,

implying a failure to learn the association between an active lever press and alcohol reward. The

increase in inactive lever presses is unlikely to have resulted from increased locomotor activity,

as Rac1 inhibition did not affect locomotion. Interestingly, this learning deficit is specific for

alcohol, as Rac1 inhibition in the DMS did not affect the learning of active lever association with

sucrose reward. This phenotype could be the result of disrupting the Rac1 signaling reinforcing

active lever preference ("GO") or the avoidance of undesired action ("No-GO") (Cheng et al.,

2017). The normal physiological role of Rac1 in the DMS is unknown. Rac1 has been linked with

learning and memory in other regions such as the hippocampus, where it is required for spatial

learning (Haditsch et al., 2009). Rac1 in the hippocampus also mediates reversible forgetting (Lv

et al., 2019), contributing to the long-term maintenance of memory behaviors like contextual-fear

conditioning and object recognition (Lv et al., 2019). Further work is required to investigate Rac1's

role in goal-directed behavior.

To our knowledge, this is the first study unveiling a molecular mechanism involved in

alcohol-specific goal-directed learning. Prior studies have investigated the mechanisms of goal-

directed learning in the context of food and sucrose seeking in the rodent striatum (Hart et al.,

2018; Matamales et al., 2020; Peak et al., 2020). For example, using chemogenetics, Peak et al.

found that D1R MSNs in the posterior DMS were critical for sucrose goal-directed learning (Peak

et al., 2020), and Matamales et al. have shown that striatal-dependent goal-directed learning for

food involves ensembles of D1R MSNs, controlled and modified by D2R MSNs (Matamales et al.,

2020). It is tempting to speculate that specific sucrose or alcohol ensembles exist within the DMS.

With Rac1 signaling contributing to alcohol but not sucrose self-administration, our results provide

support to the notion that natural reward and alcohol drug reward mechanisms are different

(Alhadeff et al., 2019).

In summary, in this study we unraveled that alcohol drinking increases Rac1 signaling in

the DMS, leading to the alcohol-mediated maturation of dendritic spines, which is important for

the goal-directed behavior learning process. As goal-directed behavior is a major contributor to

the cycle of addiction (Hogarth, 2020), it is likely that the maladaptive activation of Rac1

contributes to the progression of AUD.

Author Contributions: DR conceived the project. ZWH, YE, and DR designed the

experiments. ZWH, KP, AS, SL and YE conducted the experiments and analyzed the data. CS

provided technical support. ZWH, YE, and DR wrote the manuscript.

References

Alhadeff AL, Goldstein N, Park O, Klima ML, Vargas A, Betley JN (2019) Natural and Drug

Rewards Engage Distinct Pathways that Converge on Coordinated Hypothalamic and Reward

Circuits. Neuron 103:891-908 e896.

Andrianantoandro E, Pollard TD (2006) Mechanism of actin filament turnover by severing and

nucleation at different concentrations of ADF/cofilin. Mol Cell 24:13-23.

Balleine BW, O'Doherty JP (2010) Human and rodent homologies in action control: corticostriatal

determinants of goal-directed and habitual action. Neuropsychopharmacology 35:48-69.

Bamburg JR (1999) Proteins of the ADF/cofilin family: essential regulators of actin dynamics.

Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 15:185-230.

Basu S, Lamprecht R (2018) The Role of Actin Cytoskeleton in Dendritic Spines in the

Maintenance of Long-Term Memory. Front Mol Neurosci 11:143.

Bernard O (2007) Lim kinases, regulators of actin dynamics. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 39:1071-

1076.

Blednov YA, Black M, Chernis J, Da Costa A, Mayfield J, Harris RA (2017a) Ethanol Consumption

in Mice Lacking CD14, TLR2, TLR4, or MyD88. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 41:516-530.

Blednov YA, Black M, Benavidez JM, Da Costa A, Mayfield J, Harris RA (2017b) Sedative and

Motor Incoordination Effects of Ethanol in Mice Lacking CD14, TLR2, TLR4, or MyD88. Alcohol

Clin Exp Res 41:531-540.

Bokoch GM (2003) Biology of the p21-activated kinases. Annu Rev Biochem 72:743-781.

Bosco EE, Mulloy JC, Zheng Y (2009) Rac1 GTPase: a "Rac" of all trades. Cell Mol Life Sci

66:370-374.

Calabresi P, Picconi B, Tozzi A, Ghiglieri V, Di Filippo M (2014) Direct and indirect pathways of

basal ganglia: a critical reappraisal. Nat Neurosci 17:1022-1030.

Cannady R, Nguyen T, Padula AE, Rinker JA, Lopez MF, Becker HC, Woodward JJ, Mulholland

PJ (2021) Interaction of chronic intermittent ethanol and repeated stress on structural and

functional plasticity in the mouse medial prefrontal cortex. Neuropharmacology 182:108396.

Chazeau A, Giannone G (2016) Organization and dynamics of the actin cytoskeleton during

dendritic spine morphological remodeling. Cell Mol Life Sci 73:3053-3073.

Cheng Y, Huang CCY, Ma T, Wei X, Wang X, Lu J, Wang J (2017) Distinct Synaptic Strengthening

of the Striatal Direct and Indirect Pathways Drives Alcohol Consumption. Biol Psychiatry 81:918-

929.

Chin SM, Jansen S, Goode BL (2016) TIRF microscopy analysis of human Cof1, Cof2, and ADF

effects on actin filament severing and turnover. J Mol Biol 428:1604-1616.

Corbetta S, Gualdoni S, Ciceri G, Monari M, Zuccaro E, Tybulewicz VL, de Curtis I (2009)

Essential role of Rac1 and Rac3 GTPases in neuronal development. FASEB J 23:1347-1357.

Costa JF, Dines M, Lamprecht R (2020) The Role of Rac GTPase in Dendritic Spine

Morphogenesis and Memory. Front Synaptic Neurosci 12:12.

Dietz DM et al. (2012) Rac1 is essential in cocaine-induced structural plasticity of nucleus

accumbens neurons. Nat Neurosci 15:891-896.

Dolan RJ, Dayan P (2013) Goals and habits in the brain. Neuron 80:312-325.

Edwards DC, Sanders LC, Bokoch GM, Gill GN (1999) Activation of LIM-kinase by Pak1 couples

Rac/Cdc42 GTPase signalling to actin cytoskeletal dynamics. Nat Cell Biol 1:253-259.

Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (2013) From the ventral to the dorsal striatum: devolving views of their

roles in drug addiction. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 37:1946-1954.

Francis TC, Gaynor A, Chandra R, Fox ME, Lobo MK (2019) The Selective RhoA Inhibitor Rhosin

Promotes Stress Resiliency Through Enhancing D1-Medium Spiny Neuron Plasticity and

Reducing Hyperexcitability. Biol Psychiatry 85:1001-1010.

Gao Q, Yao W, Wang J, Yang T, Liu C, Tao Y, Chen Y, Liu X, Ma L (2015) Post-training activation

of Rac1 in the basolateral amygdala is required for the formation of both short-term and long-term

auditory fear memory. Front Mol Neurosci 8:65.

Garcia-Mata R, Wennerberg K, Arthur WT, Noren NK, Ellerbroek SM, Burridge K (2006) Analysis

of activated GAPs and GEFs in cell lysates. Methods Enzymol 406:425-437.

Gerfen CR, Surmeier DJ (2011) Modulation of striatal projection systems by dopamine. Annu Rev

Neurosci 34:441-466.

Golden SA, Christoffel DJ, Heshmati M, Hodes GE, Magida J, Davis K, Cahill ME, Dias C, Ribeiro

E, Ables JL, Kennedy PJ, Robison AJ, Gonzalez-Maeso J, Neve RL, Turecki G, Ghose S,

Tamminga CA, Russo SJ (2013) Epigenetic regulation of RAC1 induces synaptic remodeling in

stress disorders and depression. Nat Med 19:337-344.

Gremel CM, Costa RM (2013) Orbitofrontal and striatal circuits dynamically encode the shift

between goal-directed and habitual actions. Nat Commun 4:2264.

Haditsch U, Anderson MP, Freewoman J, Cord B, Babu H, Brakebusch C, Palmer TD (2013)

Neuronal Rac1 is required for learning-evoked neurogenesis. J Neurosci 33:12229-12241.

Haditsch U, Leone DP, Farinelli M, Chrostek-Grashoff A, Brakebusch C, Mansuy IM, McConnell

SK, Palmer TD (2009) A central role for the small GTPase Rac1 in hippocampal plasticity and

spatial learning and memory. Mol Cell Neurosci 41:409-419.

Hart G, Bradfield LA, Balleine BW (2018) Prefrontal Corticostriatal Disconnection Blocks the

Acquisition of Goal-Directed Action. J Neurosci 38:1311-1322.

Hermann D, Weber-Fahr W, Sartorius A, Hoerst M, Frischknecht U, Tunc-Skarka N, Perreau-

Lenz S, Hansson AC, Krumm B, Kiefer F, Spanagel R, Mann K, Ende G, Sommer WH (2012)

Translational magnetic resonance spectroscopy reveals excessive central glutamate levels

during alcohol withdrawal in humans and rats. Biol Psychiatry 71:1015-1021.

Hogarth L (2020) Addiction is driven by excessive goal-directed drug choice under negative affect:

translational critique of habit and compulsion theory. Neuropsychopharmacology 45:720-735.

Honkura N, Matsuzaki M, Noguchi J, Ellis-Davies GC, Kasai H (2008) The subspine organization

of actin fibers regulates the structure and plasticity of dendritic spines. Neuron 57:719-729.

Hotulainen P, Hoogenraad CC (2010) Actin in dendritic spines: connecting dynamics to function.

J Cell Biol 189:619-629.

Hwa L, Besheer J, Kash T (2017) Glutamate plasticity woven through the progression to alcohol

use disorder: a multi-circuit perspective. F1000Res 6:298.

Kanellos G, Frame MC (2016) Cellular functions of the ADF/cofilin family at a glance. Journal of

Cell Science 129:3211-3218.

Kasai H, Matsuzaki M, Noguchi J, Yasumatsu N, Nakahara H (2003) Structure-stability-function

relationships of dendritic spines. Trends Neurosci 26:360-368.

Koob G, Kreek MJ (2007) Stress, dysregulation of drug reward pathways, and the transition to

drug dependence. Am J Psychiatry 164:1149-1159.

Kravitz AV, Kreitzer AC (2012) Striatal mechanisms underlying movement, reinforcement, and

punishment. Physiology (Bethesda) 27:167-177.

Laguesse S, Morisot N, Phamluong K, Sakhai SA, Ron D (2018) mTORC2 in the dorsomedial

striatum of mice contributes to alcohol-dependent F-Actin polymerization, structural modifications,

and consumption. Neuropsychopharmacology 43:1539-1547.

Laguesse S, Morisot N, Shin JH, Liu F, Adrover MF, Sakhai SA, Lopez MF, Phamluong K, Griffin

WC, 3rd, Becker HC, Bender KJ, Alvarez VA, Ron D (2017) Prosapip1-Dependent Synaptic

Adaptations in the Nucleus Accumbens Drive Alcohol Intake, Seeking, and Reward. Neuron

96:145-159 e148.

Li J, Zhang L, Chen Z, Xie M, Huang L, Xue J, Liu Y, Liu N, Guo F, Zheng Y, Kong J, Zhang L,

Zhang L (2015) Cocaine activates Rac1 to control structural and behavioral plasticity in caudate

putamen. Neurobiol Dis 75:159-176.

Lv L, Liu Y, Xie J, Wu Y, Zhao J, Li Q, Zhong Y (2019) Interplay between alpha2-chimaerin and

Rac1 activity determines dynamic maintenance of long-term memory. Nat Commun 10(1):5313.

Ma T, Barbee B, Wang X, Wang J (2017) Alcohol induces input-specific aberrant synaptic

plasticity in the rat dorsomedial striatum. Neuropharmacology 123:46-54.

Ma T, Huang Z, Xie X, Cheng Y, Zhuang X, Childs MJ, Gangal H, Wang X, Smith LN, Smith RJ,

Zhou Y, Wang J (2022) Chronic alcohol drinking persistently suppresses thalamostriatal excitation

of cholinergic neurons to impair cognitive flexibility. J Clin Invest 132(4):e154969.

Maciver SK, Hussey PJ (2002) The ADF/cofilin family: actin-remodeling proteins. Genome Biol

3(5):reviews3007.

Martinez LA, Klann E, Tejada-Simon MV (2007) Translocation and activation of Rac in the

hippocampus during associative contextual fear learning. Neurobiol Learn Mem 88:104-113.

Martins JS, Joyner KJ, McCarthy DM, Morris DH, Patrick CJ, Bartholow BD (2022) Differential

brain responses to alcohol-related and natural rewards are associated with alcohol use and

problems: Evidence for reward dysregulation. Addict Biol 27:e13118.

Matamales M, McGovern AE, Mi JD, Mazzone SB, Balleine BW, Bertran-Gonzalez J (2020) Local

D2- to D1-neuron transmodulation updates goal-directed learning in the striatum. Science

367:549-555.

McGuier NS, Padula AE, Lopez MF, Woodward JJ, Mulholland PJ (2015) Withdrawal from chronic

intermittent alcohol exposure increases dendritic spine density in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex of

mice. Alcohol 49:21-27.

Mira JP, Benard V, Groffen J, Sanders LC, Knaus UG (2000) Endogenous, hyperactive Rac3

controls proliferation of breast cancer cells by a p21-activated kinase-dependent pathway. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A 97:185-189.

Morisot N, Phamluong K, Ehinger Y, Berger AL, Moffat JJ, Ron D (2019) mTORC1 in the

orbitofrontal cortex promotes habitual alcohol seeking. Elife 8:e51333.

Nakamura F (2013) FilGAP and its close relatives: a mediator of Rho-Rac antagonism that

regulates cell morphology and migration. Biochem J 453:17-25.

Nakayama AY, Harms MB, Luo L (2000) Small GTPases Rac and Rho in the maintenance of

dendritic spines and branches in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. J Neurosci 20:5329-5338.

Nall RW, Heinsbroek JA, Nentwig TB, Kalivas PW, Bobadilla AC (2021) Circuit selectivity in drug

versus natural reward seeking behaviors. J Neurochem 157:1450-1472.

Nguyen LK, Kholodenko BN, von Kriegsheim A (2018) Rac1 and RhoA: Networks, loops and

bistability. Small GTPases 9:316-321.

Pavlov D, Muhlrad A, Cooper J, Wear M, Reisler E (2007) Actin filament severing by cofilin. J Mol

Biol 365:1350-1358.

Peak J, Chieng B, Hart G, Balleine BW (2020) Striatal direct and indirect pathway neurons

differentially control the encoding and updating of goal-directed learning. Elife 9:e58544.

Pennucci R, Gucciardi I, de Curtis I (2019) Rac1 and Rac3 GTPases differently influence the

morphological maturation of dendritic spines in hippocampal neurons. PLoS One 14:e0220496.

Peru YCdPRL, Acevedo SF, Rodan AR, Chang LY, Eaton BA, Rothenfluh A (2012) Adult neuronal

Arf6 controls ethanol-induced behavior with Arfaptin downstream of Rac1 and RhoGAP18B. J

Neurosci 32:17706-17713.

Ratner N, Mahler HR (1983) Structural organization of filamentous proteins in postsynaptic

density. Biochemistry 22:2446-2453.

Reijnders MRF et al. (2017) RAC1 Missense Mutations in Developmental Disorders with Diverse

Phenotypes. Am J Hum Genet 101:466-477.

Ridley AJ (2006) Rho GTPases and actin dynamics in membrane protrusions and vesicle

trafficking. Trends Cell Biol 16:522-529.

Ridley AJ, Paterson HF, Johnston CL, Diekmann D, Hall A (1992) The small GTP-binding protein

rac regulates growth factor-induced membrane ruffling. Cell 70:401-410.

Schubert V, Dotti CG (2007) Transmitting on actin: synaptic control of dendritic architecture. J

Cell Sci 120:205-212.

Scott RW, Olson MF (2007) LIM kinases: function, regulation and association with human

disease. J Mol Med (Berl) 85:555-568.

Shan Q, Ge M, Christie MJ, Balleine BW (2014) The acquisition of goal-directed actions generates

opposing plasticity in direct and indirect pathways in dorsomedial striatum. J Neurosci 34:9196-

9201.

Sholl DA (1953) Dendritic organization in the neurons of the visual and motor cortices of the cat.

J Anat 87:387-406.

Singer BF, Fadanelli M, Kawa AB, Robinson TE (2018) Are Cocaine-Seeking "Habits" Necessary

for the Development of Addiction-Like Behavior in Rats? J Neurosci 38:60-73.

Swanson AM, DePoy LM, Gourley SL (2017) Inhibiting Rho kinase promotes goal-directed

decision making and blocks habitual responding for cocaine. Nat Commun 8:1861.

Tashiro A, Minden A, Yuste R (2000) Regulation of dendritic spine morphology by the rho family

of small GTPases: antagonistic roles of Rac and Rho. Cereb Cortex 10:927-938.

Tejada-Simon MV (2015) Modulation of actin dynamics by Rac1 to target cognitive function. J

Neurochem 133:767-779.

Toda S, Shen HW, Peters J, Cagle S, Kalivas PW (2006) Cocaine increases actin cycling: effects

in the reinstatement model of drug seeking. J Neurosci 26:1579-1587.

Tolias KF, Bikoff JB, Burette A, Paradis S, Harrar D, Tavazoie S, Weinberg RJ, Greenberg ME

(2005) The Rac1-GEF Tiam1 couples the NMDA receptor to the activity-dependent development

of dendritic arbors and spines. Neuron 45:525-538.

Toure A, Dorseuil O, Morin L, Timmons P, Jegou B, Reibel L, Gacon G (1998) MgcRacGAP, a

new human GTPase-activating protein for Rac and Cdc42 similar to Drosophila rotundRacGAP

gene product, is expressed in male germ cells. J Biol Chem 273:6019-6023.

Tu G, Ying L, Ye L, Zhao J, Liu N, Li J, Liu Y, Zhu M, Wu Y, Xiao B, Guo H, Guo F, Wang H,

Zhang L, Zhang L (2019) Dopamine D(1) and D(2) Receptors Differentially Regulate Rac1 and

Cdc42 Signaling in the Nucleus Accumbens to Modulate Behavioral and Structural Plasticity After

Repeated Methamphetamine Treatment. Biol Psychiatry 86:820-835.

Van Aelst L, D'Souza-Schorey C (1997) Rho GTPases and signaling networks. Genes Dev

11:2295-2322.

Vetter IR, Wittinghofer A (2001) The guanine nucleotide-binding switch in three dimensions.

Science 294:1299-1304.

Wang J, Lanfranco MF, Gibb SL, Yowell QV, Carnicella S, Ron D (2010) Long-lasting adaptations

of the NR2B-containing NMDA receptors in the dorsomedial striatum play a crucial role in alcohol

consumption and relapse. J Neurosci 30:10187-10198.

Wang J, Cheng Y, Wang X, Roltsch Hellard E, Ma T, Gil H, Ben Hamida S, Ron D (2015) Alcohol

Elicits Functional and Structural Plasticity Selectively in Dopamine D1 Receptor-Expressing

Neurons of the Dorsomedial Striatum. J Neurosci 35:11634-11643.

Wang J, Carnicella S, Phamluong K, Jeanblanc J, Ronesi JA, Chaudhri N, Janak PH, Lovinger

DM, Ron D (2007) Ethanol induces long-term facilitation of NR2B-NMDA receptor activity in the

dorsal striatum: implications for alcohol drinking behavior. J Neurosci 27:3593-3602.

Wang W, Ju YY, Zhou QX, Tang JX, Li M, Zhang L, Kang S, Chen ZG, Wang YJ, Ji H, Ding YQ,

Xu L, Liu JG (2017) The Small GTPase Rac1 Contributes to Extinction of Aversive Memories of

Drug Withdrawal by Facilitating GABA(A) Receptor Endocytosis in the vmPFC. J Neurosci

37:7096-7110.

Wang X, Liu D, Wei F, Li Y, Wang X, Li L, Wang G, Zhang S, Zhang L (2020) Stress-Sensitive

Protein Rac1 and Its Involvement in Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Neural Plast 2020:8894372.

Warnault V, Darcq E, Morisot N, Phamluong K, Wilbrecht L, Massa SM, Longo FM, Ron D (2016)

The BDNF Valine 68 to Methionine Polymorphism Increases Compulsive Alcohol Drinking in Mice

That Is Reversed by Tropomyosin Receptor Kinase B Activation. Biol Psychiatry 79:463-473.

Wong KW, Mohammadi S, Isberg RR (2006) Disruption of RhoGDI and RhoA regulation by a

Rac1 specificity switch mutant. J Biol Chem 281:40379-40388.

Worthylake DK, Rossman KL, Sondek J (2000) Crystal structure of Rac1 in complex with the

guanine nucleotide exchange region of Tiam1. Nature 408:682-688.

Wu P, Ding ZB, Meng SQ, Shen HW, Sun SC, Luo YX, Liu JF, Lu L, Zhu WL, Shi J (2014)

Differential role of Rac in the basolateral amygdala and cornu ammonis 1 in the reconsolidation

of auditory and contextual Pavlovian fear memory in rats. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 231:2909-

2919.

Xiao L, Hu C, Yang W, Guo D, Li C, Shen W, Liu X, Aijun H, Dan W, He C (2013) NMDA receptor

couples Rac1-GEF Tiam1 to direct oligodendrocyte precursor cell migration. Glia 61:2078-2099.

Xie Z, Srivastava DP, Photowala H, Kai L, Cahill ME, Woolfrey KM, Shum CY, Surmeier DJ,

Penzes P (2007) Kalirin-7 controls activity-dependent structural and functional plasticity of

dendritic spines. Neuron 56:640-656.

Yang N, Higuchi O, Ohashi K, Nagata K, Wada A, Kangawa K, Nishida E, Mizuno K (1998) Cofilin

phosphorylation by LIM-kinase 1 and its role in Rac-mediated actin reorganization. Nature

393:809-812.

Yin HH, Ostlund SB, Knowlton BJ, Balleine BW (2005) The role of the dorsomedial striatum in

instrumental conditioning. Eur J Neurosci 22:513-523.

Zhang C, Liu J, Zhao Y, Yue X, Zhu Y, Wang X, Wu H, Blanco F, Li S, Bhanot G, Haffty BG, Hu

W, Feng Z (2016) Glutaminase 2 is a novel negative regulator of small GTPase Rac1 and

mediates p53 function in suppressing metastasis. Elife 5:e10727.

Zhang H, Ben Zablah Y, Zhang H, Jia Z (2021) Rho Signaling in Synaptic Plasticity, Memory, and

Brain Disorders. Front Cell Dev Biol 9:729076.

Table 1. Average Alcohol Consumption for biochemical experiments

Figure	Average Consumption (g/kg/24hr)	SEM (±g/kg/24hr)
1B NAc	13.38	1.025
1C DLS	13.38	1.060
1D DMS	14.53	0.982
1E Female DMS	19.59	0.979
2A RhoA	12.31	0.4461
2B Cdc42	13.67	0.7354
2C 10%CA	8.787	0.2611
3A-B pLIMK pCofilin	15.34	0.5169
4D-E;5B AAV-GFP	14.00	0.7264
4D-E;5B AAV-Rac1-DN	13.68	0.4589
6, 7 AAV-GFP	10.63	0.5058
6, 7 AAV-Rac1-DN	10.88	1.223
9 AAV-GFP	12.10	0.7463
9 AAV-Rac1-DN	12.36	1.046
Supp. 1A 4wk DMS	12.560	1.678
2D Sucrose	174.81 (ml/kg)	17.87 (ml/kg)

Figure 1. Alcohol activates Rac1 in the DMS of male mice

(A) Intermittent access to 20% alcohol in 2-bottle-choice (IA20%2BC) experimental timeline. **(B-**

D) The NAc **(B)**, the DLS **(C)**, and the DMS **(D)** were harvested 4 hours into last drinking session

(binge, B) or after 24 hours of abstinence (withdrawal, WD). Rac1-GTP pull-down assay was

conducted on cell lysates. Levels of GTP-bound Rac1 were normalized to total Rac1 and

presented as percentage of the average of the water values. **(E)** Female mice underwent

IA20%2BC before the DMS was harvested and the percentage of Rac1-GTP was calculated.

Data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using one-way ANOVA (C, D) with *post hoc*

Šidák's multiple comparisons test, or Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric distributions (B, E).

*p < 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001; ns, non-significant. n = 6 per group.

1007

Figure 2. Rac1 activation by alcohol in the DMS is specific

(A-B) Mice underwent IA20%2BC as described in Figure 1A, and the DMS was harvested 4 hours

into the last drinking session (binge, B) or after 24 hours of abstinence (withdrawal, WD). RhoA-

GTP **(A)** or Cdc42-GTP **(B)** pull-down assay was conducted on cell lysates and quantified using

western blot. Levels of GTP-bound RhoA or Cdc42 were normalized to total respective protein

and presented as percentage of average of the water values. **(C)** Mice underwent 21 sessions of

10%CA and the DMS was harvested. Rac1-GTP pull-down assay was conducted on cell lysates

and quantified using western blot. Rac1-GTP was normalized to total Rac1 and presented as

percentage of average of water values. **(D)** Mice underwent intermittent access to 1% sucrose for

2 weeks and the DMS was harvested. Rac1-GTP pull-down assay was performed on cell lysates,

and Rac1-GTP was normalized to total Rac1 and presented as average of water values. Data are

represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using one-way ANOVA (A), Kruskal-Wallis test (B), or

unpaired two-tailed t-test (C, D). ns, non-significant. n = 6-7 per group.

1021

1022

Figure 3. Alcohol promotes LIM Kinase activation and cofilin phosphorylation

(A-B) Mice underwent 7 weeks of IA20%2BC as in Figure 1A, and LIMK and cofilin phosphorylation in the DMS were examined using western blot analysis. The levels of phospho-LIMK (pLIMK) and phospho-Cofilin (pCofilin) were normalized to total respective protein and quantified as a percentage of the average of the water values. All data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Šidák's multiple comparisons test. ***$p < 0.001$. n = 5 per group.

Figure 4. Alcohol activation of the LIMK/cofilin signaling pathway in the DMS depends on Rac1

(A) Rac1-DN mechanism of action. Rac1-DN has a mutation in its P-loop and does not bind GTP. GEFs bind to Rac1-DN but are unable to exchange GDP for GTP. The GEFs remain bound to Rac1-DN and ignore endogenous Rac1. **(B)** Confirmation of viral overexpression during dissection on the DMS of a mouse infected with AAV-Rac1-DN. 2X image from EVOS FL tabletop fluorescent microscope. **(C)** Experimental timeline. Mice received bilateral infusion of AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP in the DMS and were allowed 3 weeks for overexpression. After IA20%2BC or water only for 7 weeks the DMS was harvested. **(C-D)** Phosphorylation of LIMK and cofilin were examined using western blot analysis. Levels of pLIMK and pCofilin were normalized to total respective protein and quantified as a percentage of AAV-GFP-infected, water-only animals. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by two-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Šidák's multiple comparisons test. *$p<0.05$, ****$p<0.0001$; ns, non-significant. n = 6 per group.

Figure 5. Alcohol promotes F-actin formation in the DMS via Rac1

(A) Experimental timeline. Mice received a bilateral infusion of AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP in the DMS and were allowed 3 weeks for overexpression. Mice underwent IA20%2BC or water-only drinking for 7 weeks before the DMS was harvested. **(B)** G-actin/F-actin assay was conducted on

the DMS of mice after overexpression surgery and IA20%2BC or water-only drinking. The filamentous (F) or globular (G) actin contents were examined using western blot analysis and normalized to total actin and quantified as a percentage of AAV-GFP-infected, water-only animals. Data represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using two-way ANOVA with Šidák's multiple comparison. *p < 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p<0.0001; ns, non-significant. n = 6 per group.

Figure 6. Rac1 promotes remodeling of dendritic arbors in the DMS

Low titer of AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN ($7x10^9$ - $3.5x10^{10}$ vg/ml) was infused bilaterally into the DMS. After 1 week of recovery, mice underwent 7 weeks of IA20%2BC. Mice consuming water only were used as control. Twenty-four hours after the last drinking session, mice were perfused and brains were sliced into 100μm sections before MSN morphology was analyzed. **(A)** Sample image (40X magnification) of a GFP-positive DMS MSN. Scale bar, 50 μm. **(B)** Example of the reconstruction of the GFP-positive neuron. **(C)** Scheme of the morphological parameters measured in each neuron. Dendritic order increased after each branching point, defined as a dendritic intersection. **(D, E)** Analysis of neuronal dendritic arborization. Sholl analysis was performed on reconstructed neurons. The number of intersections was analyzed for each point **(D)** and the area under the curve (AUC) **(E)** was calculated. **(F)** Measurement of total dendritic length. **(G)** Number of branching points. **(H)** Number of ending points. **(I)** Number of branches per dendritic order. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Main effect of virus is represented graphically. *p < 0.05, **p<0.01, ***p < 0.001. Water+AAV-GFP: 19 neurons from 6 mice; Alcohol+AAV-GFP: 23 neurons from 7 mice; Water+AAV-Rac1-DN: 15 neurons from 6 mice, Alcohol+AAV-Rac1-DN: 10 neurons from 3 mice.

Figure 7. Rac1 in the DMS is required for the alcohol-mediated morphological changes in

dendritic spines

Low titer of AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN ($7x10^9$ - $3.5x10^{10}$ vg/ml) was infused bilaterally into the

DMS. After 1 week of recovery, mice underwent 7 weeks of IA20%-2BC. Mice consuming water

only were used as control. Twenty-four hours after the last drinking session, mice were perfused,

and MSN dendritic spine morphology was analyzed. **(A)** Sample image of a GFP-positive DMS

MSN (100X magnification) from each group. Scale bar, 10 μm. **(B)** Average dendritic spine density

(number of spines/10 μm). **(C)** Average dendritic spine area ($μm^2$). **(D)** Average dendritic spine

volume ($μm^3$). **(E)** Average dendritic spine length (μm). **(F)** Average diameter of dendritic spine

heads (μm). **(G)** Dendritic spine length-to-width ratio. Data is represented as mean ± SEM and

analyzed using two-way ANOVA and Šidák's *post hoc* multiple comparisons test. *$p<0.05$,

$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$. ****$p<0.0001$. **(H) Percentage of filopodia, thin, stubby, and mushroom

dendritic spines for water- and alcohol-drinking mice infected with either AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-

DN. Three-way ANOVA and Šidák's *post hoc* multiple comparisons test. ****$p<0.0001$.

Water+AAV-GFP: 14 neurons from 5 mice; Alcohol+AAV-GFP: 13 neurons from 5 mice;

Water+AAV-Rac1-DN: 14 neurons from 5 mice; Alcohol+AAV-Rac1-DN: 11 neurons from 4 mice.

1090

Figure 8. Rac1 does not play a role in the development and maintenance of voluntary

alcohol intake

(A) Development: Surgery and IA20%2BC timeline. Mice received a bilateral, dual-infusion of

AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP as a control. After 3 weeks of recovery, IA20%2BC began and

continued for 7 weeks. **(B)** Weekly average alcohol consumption (g/kg/24hrs.) of development

drinking mice. **(C)** Preference for alcohol during the development IA20%2BC drinking, with an

index above 50 indicating a preference for alcohol. **(D)** Maintenance: Surgery and IA20%2BC

timeline. Mice first underwent IA20%2BC for 7 weeks. Groups were balanced based on average

daily alcohol consumption in last 6 drinking sessions. Mice received a bilateral, dual-infusion of

AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP as a control and were allowed one full week for recovery. IA20%2BC

resumed for 4 weeks. **(E)** Weekly average consumption (g/kg/24hrs.) for maintenance drinking

mice. **(F)** Preference for alcohol for maintenance-drinking mice. All data represented as

mean ± SEM and analyzed with two-way ANOVA with repeated measures. n = 9-10.

1104

Figure 9. Rac1 in the DMS is required for alcohol-associated goal-directed learning

(A) Operant self-administration of 20% alcohol paradigm. Mice underwent surgery to overexpress

AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP in the DMS. After 3 weeks of recovery, mice underwent 7 weeks of

IA20%2BC to develop a preference for alcohol before transitioning to 20% alcohol OSA for 1

week of training and 4 experimental weeks. **(B-C)** The group average of active **(B)** and inactive

(C) lever presses during the 2-hour sessions. **(D)** Discrimination index for the active lever.

Calculated as proportion of active lever presses compared to total lever presses. **(E)** Proportion

of rewarded lever presses. Calculated as rewarded lever presses compared to total lever presses.

(F) Alcohol consumption during each session. **(G)** Proportion of inter-response interval between

lever presses during the final session. Data are presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using

RM Two-way ANOVA and Šidák's *post hoc* multiple comparisons test. *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$,

***$p<0.001$. n = 6, 7. **(H)** Individual mouse behavioral profiles from OSA session 9. Each line

corresponds with an active (green) or inactive (red) lever press, and triangles represent reward

(purple). **(I)** Total distance moved in an open field. Mice were placed in an open field and

locomotion was recorded for 20 minutes. Data represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using

an unpaired t-test. n = 9 per group.

1121

Figure 10. Rac1 in the DMS is not required for sucrose goal-directed learning

(A) Operant self-administration of 1% sucrose paradigm. Mice underwent surgery to overexpress

AAV-Rac1-DN or AAV-GFP in the DMS. After 3 weeks of recovery, mice were age matched to

20% alcohol OSA mice by waiting 7 weeks. 1% sucrose OSA began with 1 week of training and

4 weeks of experimental tracking. Variables tracked during the session include **(B)** active lever

presses and **(C)** inactive lever presses. **(D)** Discrimination and **(E)** proportion of rewarded lever

presses were calculated. Data are represented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using RM Two-Way

ANOVA. n = 7, 6.

1130

Extended Figure 1. Rac1 is activated after 4 weeks of IA20%2BC in male mice

(A) Mice underwent IA20%2BC in the home cage for 4 weeks before dissection during withdrawal.

(B) After 4 weeks of IA20%2BC, the DMS was harvested and Rac1-GTP pull-down assay was

conducted on cell lysates. Levels of GTP-bound Rac1 were normalized to total Rac1 and

presented as percentage of the average of the water values. Data are represented as

mean ± SEM and analyzed with an unpaired two-tailed t-test. *p < 0.05. n = 4 per group.

1137

Extended Figure 2. Characterization of AAV-2 expressing dominant negative Rac1

(A) HEK293 cells infected with AAV-Rac1-DN imaged in both brightfield and GFP to detect viral

infection (2X magnification). **(B)** Western blot analysis of Rac1 protein in non-infected cells and

cells infected with AAV-Rac1-DN. **(C)** Western blot analysis of phospho-LIMK in non-infected cells

and cells infected with AAV-Rac1-DN after stimulation with 10% FBS. **(D)** Western blot of the

phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in non-infected cells and cells infected with AAV-Rac1-DN after

stimulation with 10% FBS. **(E-F)** Left images (4X magnification) depict the specificity of the

infection site for AAV-GFP **(E)** and AAV-Rac1-DN **(F)**, scale bar 500µm. Right images (20X

magnification) depict solely neurons infected in the DMS by both AAV-GFP **(E)** and AAV-Rac1-

DN **(F)**, scale bar 100µm. Each slice is stained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-NeuN (red)

antibodies, along with DAPI (magenta). **(G)** Mice were infected with AAV-GFP or AAV-Rac1-DN

before undergoing IA20%2BC as described in Figure 5A. The DMS was harvested, and total Rac1

protein level was examined using western blot and normalized to GAPDH. Data are presented as

mean ± SEM and analyzed using two-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Šidák's multiple comparisons

test. ***p<0.001 ****p<0.0001; ns, non-significant. n = 6 per group.

1153

1154   **Extended Figure 3. Inhibition of Rac1 in the DMS does not alter water consumption (A-B)**

1155   Water consumption averages for the IA20%2BC development **(A)** and maintenance **(B)** drinking

1156   groups. Data presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed using RM Two-way ANOVA. n = 9-10.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

	Water	Binge	Withdrawal

pLIMK

LIMK

pCofilin

Cofilin

GAPDH

B

Figure 4

A

B

C

D

	Water		Alcohol	
	GFP	Rac1-DN	GFP	Rac1-DN

pLIMK
LIMK
pCofilin
Cofilin
GAPDH

E

pLIMK

pCofilin

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

A

Development

B

C

D

Maintenance

E

F

Figure 9

Figure 10

A

Extended Figure 1

Extended Figure 2

Extended Figure 3

A Development

B Maintenance