PTMNavigator: Interactive Visualization of Differentially Regulated Post-Translational Modifications in Cellular Signaling Pathways

Julian Müller[1], Florian P. Bayer[1], Mathias Wilhelm[2], Bernhard Kuster[1,3], and Matthew The[1]*

[1]Proteomics and Bioanalytics, School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich; 85354 Freising, Germany.

[2]Computational Mass Spectrometry, School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich; 85354 Freising, Germany.

[3]German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Partner Site Munich, 80336 Munich, Germany.

*Corresponding author. Email: matthew.the@tum.de

Abstract

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) play a governing role in regulating cellular signaling, fine-tuning protein function, and orchestrating complex biological processes. Despite their importance, the lack of comprehensive tools for studying PTMs from a pathway-centric perspective has limited our ability to understand how PTMs modulate cellular pathways on a molecular level. Here, we present PTMNavigator, a tool integrated into the ProteomicsDB platform, which offers an interactive interface for researchers to overlay experimental PTM data on top of ~3000 well-curated pathway diagrams. This offers a comprehensive view of the intricate relationship between PTMs and signaling pathways. To demonstrate the utility of PTMNavigator, we applied it to two phosphoproteomics perturbation datasets. First, PTMNavigator enhanced pathway enrichment analysis by showing how the regulated peptides are distributed in the pathways with high enrichment scores. Second, it visualized how drug treatments result in a discernable flow of PTM-driven signaling within pathways. Third, PTMNavigator aided in extending existing pathways by uncovering previously unknown relationships between PTMs and pathway components. By facilitating the discovery of novel PTM-pathway interactions and enhancing our understanding of cellular signaling dynamics, PTMNavigator advances our knowledge of PTM biology and its implications in health and disease.

Introduction

Protein post-translational modifications (PTMs) are among the key regulators of cellular pathways. The presence of a certain PTM on a specific residue can, for instance, determine whether or not the protein can interact with other proteins, activate or inactivate an enzyme, alter a proteins' location in the cell, or mark it for degradation. Understanding the specific role of various types of PTMs within intracellular signaling cascades is essential to gain insights into the molecular mechanisms that govern biological processes. Perturbation studies that examine the effects of altered PTM patterns on pathways have been particularly useful in advancing our knowledge[1-7]. While proteome-wide measurements of PTMs have become a routine procedure for proteomics laboratories, its correct interpretation in the context of cellular signaling pathways continues to pose a daily challenge.

Multiple databases are available to the scientific community that are dedicated to the study of pathways[8-11], including the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and WikiPathways. KEGG[9] contains 563 manually curated pathway maps with annotations for almost 9,000 different organisms. WikiPathways[10] is an open-source initiative to collect, maintain, and disseminate data on biological pathways. Its March 2023 release consists of 3,130 pathways across 33 species. Both of these databases are designed to study relationships between genes, gene products, and compounds (such as metabolites or drugs). They offer no (KEGG) or only limited (WikiPathways) direct support for research on the role of PTMs within pathways. For the study of PTM data, several resources exist, the most notable being PhosphoSitePlus[12], which includes data from a large number of research articles not only on phosphorylation, but also acetylation, ubiquitinylation, and other PTMs. Where evidence exists, the sites in PhosphoSitePlus are annotated with their functions and putative upstream or downstream interactors or modifiers.

While PhosphoSitePlus has been a tremendously useful resource, it remains tedious to explore multiple or all sites of a signaling cascade together in order to uncover potential relationships between them and perform higher-level analysis of the data.

To place all regulated peptides into the context of signaling networks, a commonly employed method is pathway enrichment analysis (PEA)[13], for example using online tools such as g:Profiler[14]. Multiple PEA algorithms exist, including Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA)[15], all of which consist of the same two steps: First, the list of perturbed genes is compared to the set of genes contained in each canonical pathway; Second, pathways for which the overlap is larger than expected by random chance are identified by some statistical test, using a background gene list to compare against. If possible, it is advised not to use a whole genome as a background, but only the subset of genes expressed in the studied tissue, which makes the comparison fairer. As a result, all PEAs output a list of pathway names together with their statistical significance[16]. While very useful for the analysis of proteome expression changes, this approach has several shortcomings in the context of PTM analysis. First, in a PEA, a pathway is regarded simply as a set of genes, and it is not considered that some of them might be more important to the activity of the pathway than others. Second, all genes that are part of the pathway are regarded the same no matter if they are negatively or positively correlated with the activity of the pathway. Third, investigators do not see whether the regulations are randomly distributed across the pathway (which might imply that the significance is over-estimated) or whether there is a discernible flow of signal. Finally, PEA requires a set of genes or proteins as input, therefore it cannot be applied to PTM sites directly. A simple workaround is to aggregate PTMs on the protein level. However, sites even on the same protein often do not agree in their response questioning the approach in principle. Currently, the only tool that partly addresses this problem is PTM-signature enrichment

analysis (PTM-SEA), which uses a specifically engineered database of associations between sites

of PTMs and perturbations, pathways, or kinase activities (PTM signatures database;

PTMsigDB)[17]. This constitutes a valuable orthogonal approach to conventional PEA. However,

PTM-SEA so far only covers a fraction of the pathways deposited in KEGG and WikiPathways,

and has the same shortcoming as other PEA tools in that users only obtain the names of the

putatively regulated pathways, rather than how their data maps to the nodes in the pathways.

A number of software tools to visualize PTM datasets and pathways have been developed[18-23]. For

instance, Phosphomatics[23] is a web service that helps researchers to examine kinase-substrate

relationships in their phosphoproteomic datasets, whereas KEGGViewer[19] can integrate gene

expression profiles and KEGG pathways. A functionality which these tools have been lacking so

far is the visualization of regulated PTMs directly within biological networks. The Cytoscape[18]

app PhosphoPath[21] has addressed this issue for time-course PTM data, but the app is no longer

supported by Cytoscape.

To overcome the aforementioned problems of pathway enrichment on the PTM level and to

empower researches to quickly examine pathway engagement via PTM-regulation, we introduce

PTMNavigator, a web application that projects PTM perturbation datasets onto canonical

pathways in the form of interactive graphs. This enables users to trace signaling cascades across a

cell and identify pivotal PTMs within a pathway. PTMNavigator is available as part of the

'Analytics Toolbox' of ProteomicsDB[24, 25] and can visualize data hosted on ProteomicsDB as well

as user-uploaded data. The core visualization component of our software, which we termed *biowc-*

pathwaygraph, can be easily reused in web interfaces outside of ProteomicsDB. We demonstrate

the utility of our application using two phosphoproteomic drug profiling studies[2, 26]. First, we show

how PTMNavigator improves the interpretation of PEA by enabling users to explore why the top-

ranked pathways are reported as significant and whether the enrichment is systematic or random

(i.e., the differentially expressed peptides are not connected within the pathway diagram). Second,

we visualize target and pathway engagement of kinase inhibitors at different steps in a

phosphorylation-dependent signaling cascade. Last, we show how PTMNavigator leverages the

information contained in dose-dependent drug perturbation studies to aid the functionalization of

PTM sites.

Results

A PTM-Centric Visualization Interface for Pathways

PTMNavigator is a web-based graphical interface to visualize PTMs from perturbation experiments within pathway diagrams. The interface is embedded into the ecosystem of ProteomicsDB, a multi-omics resource for life science data and data analysis. The software combines two types of inputs (Fig. 1A). The first input, which needs to be provided by the user, is a list of regulated modified peptides, e.g. the result of a differential or dose-response analysis. Each peptide must be annotated with whether or not it was up- or down-regulated between experimental conditions. The second type of input are the canonical pathways of KEGG and WikiPathways, for which we created internal representations in ProteomicsDB. For *Homo sapiens*, we imported 1,121 pathways (779 from WikiPathways and 342 from KEGG) from the latest releases at the time of retrieval (see Methods). In addition, we imported 1,838 pathways for 9 model organisms, including other mammals, bacteria, and plants (Fig. 1B).

PTMNavigator combines the experimental data and the pathway information into a projection of modified peptides onto their corresponding genes within a pathway diagram. The main interface of PTMNavigator (Fig. 1C) is accessible at www.proteomicsdb.org/analytics/ptmNavigator. Users can visualize datasets already contained in ProteomicsDB or upload their own (templates for input formats are available as Supplementary Table 1). It is possible to access (previously) uploaded datasets by a private, user-specific session ID and to visualize one or multiple datasets at a time. The available pathways are sorted by their relevance derived from the pathway enrichment tool g:Profiler. The pathway diagram is rendered together with additional nodes for each PTM peptide next to its corresponding protein. These additional PTM nodes are colored according to their regulation (up, down, not). The initial coordinates of the nodes are the same as in the reference

databases so that it resembles the original pathway diagram. However, users can rearrange the

layout by dragging nodes around, highlighting interesting subnetworks, and filtering their data by

experiments or regulation categories. Where available, additional information on PTM sites is

displayed as part of a tooltip. To this end, we imported a list of functional annotations and upstream

regulators from PhosphoSitePlus and a list of predicted phosphosite functional scores calculated

by Ochoa et al.[27] into ProteomicsDB.

The final pathway diagrams can be downloaded as scalable vector graphics (SVG). In the

following, we exemplify the capabilities and benefits of PTMNavigator using two recent PTM

perturbation datasets.

Interpreting Pathway Enrichment Analysis Results with PTMNavigator

To demonstrate how PTMNavigator aids in interpreting the results of a pathway enrichment

analysis (PEA), we re-analyzed data from a recent phosphoproteomics study, in which 30 different

kinase inhibitors targeting members of the EGF signaling pathway were profiled for their effect

on the phosphoproteome of a human retinal pigment epithelial cell line (RPE1)[26]. Re-analysis of

all 30 datasets yielded 4,989 regulations (2,563 up and 2,426 down) on 1,757 peptides (out of

7,871 peptides measured in each sample) at 10% FDR. PEA for each compound with g:Profiler

resulted in enrichment scores (negative log-transformed p-values; ES) for each combination of

drug and pathway (Fig. 2A). Some results confirmed prior knowledge on the compounds, e.g. AG-

1478 and Lapatinib, both targeting EGFR, were strongly enriched for 'WP437: EGF/EGFR

signaling pathway' (ES=8.2 and ES=3.8). Yet, for many drug perturbations, the results were not

as easy to interpret. For example, the JNK inhibitor SP-600125 showed a significant enrichment

for 'WP2380 - Brain derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) signaling pathway' (ES=3.1, which

corresponds to a p-value<0.001) and the same was observed for 9 other drugs. Another pathway

involving BDNF, 'WP3676: BDNF-TrkB signaling' also achieved a high enrichment score in the

SP-600125 data (Fig. 2B). However, BDNF signaling is unlikely to be of relevance for RPE1 cells.

To gain more insight into how the regulated peptides were distributed within the enriched

pathways, we used PTMNavigator to investigate the high-scoring pathways of SP-600125 (Fig.

2B). The projection of the experimentally measured phosphopeptides onto 'WP2380 - Brain

derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) signaling pathway' (Supplementary Fig. 6) showed that

there was, in fact, no regulation in signaling that could be attributed to altered activity of BDNF.

Instead, the pathway scored high because the diagram includes many common signaling cascades

that can not only be triggered by BDNF but also by other growth factors such as EGF. Most

proteins with regulations, such as SRC and SHC1, are known to be part of the canonical EGFR

signaling pathway or pathways downstream of it[28, 29]. The analysis also highlighted a group of

regulations downstream of AKT1, notably on TSC2 (down), mTOR, EIF4EBP1, and FOXO3 (all

up). Many other high-scoring pathways for SP-600125 (ranks 3-7 and 9) shared this signaling

cascade or a variation of it (Fig. 2C; Supplementary Fig. 8-12, 14). The other three pathways

(Supplementary Fig. 7, 13, 15) do not include this subnetwork, but two of them contain a shared

set of regulations as well (Supplementary Fig. 13, 15). Other differentially phosphorylated proteins

that were found in multiple of the high-scoring pathways included IRS1, GAB2, and AKT1S1, all

of which are known actors in EGFR and AKT signaling[30-33]. It has been shown before that JNK,

the target of SP-600125, phosphorylates IRS1 (insulin receptor substrate 1), which causes a

negative feedback loop that inhibits AKT signaling[30, 31]. The inhibition of JNK by SP-600125 in

RPE1 cells might thus have resulted in an activation of AKT1 signaling through this mechanism.

The visualizations of PTMNavigator thus align well with prior knowledge on the drug under

consideration. While the set of enriched pathways seemed diverse at first, most regulations could

be attributed to a single altered signaling pattern likely caused by JNK inhibition.

Visualizing Target and Pathway Engagement in PTMNavigator

We next employed PTMNavigator on the same dataset to compare the kinase inhibitors Lapatinib,

Wortmannin, and Temsirolimus, which target the same signaling cascade, but at different steps

(Fig. 3A). Projection of their perturbed PTM peptides on 'hsa04150 - mTOR signaling pathway'

(Fig. 3C), which includes all members of said cascade except for EGFR, confirmed that all three

drugs perturbed the pathway. Lapatinib had the largest number of both up- and downregulated

peptides and also the regulations most upstream in the pathway (Fig. 3C, upper panel). Among the

sites exclusively regulated by Lapatinib were GSK3B_S9, TSC2_S939, and BRAF_S729, whose

importance has previously been pointed out in the literature[34-36]. In contrast, the effects of

Wortmannin in this pathway were limited to sites on PRAS40, LPIN, 4E-BP1 and RPS6, all of

which are downstream of PI3K in the depicted network (Fig. 3C, middle panel). Likewise,

Temsirolimus regulated even fewer sites and all were downstream of mTOR (Fig. 3C, lower

panel). Most of the regulated peptides for Wortmannin and Temsirolimus were shared with

Lapatinib (PRAS40_T246, 4EBP1_T77/S101, LPIN1_S252 for Wortmannin,

RICTOR_Y1174&Y1177 for Temsirolimus), or one another (RPS6_S236&S240&S244), which

confirms that all drugs perturb the same signaling network at different stages.

A more surprising example was presented when comparing the effects of Sorafenib and

Cobimetinib. Both compounds target the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway, another phosphorylation axis

downstream of EGFR (Fig. 3B). Since Sorafenib is perturbing the network at a more upstream

position (RAF), one would expect its impact on the PTM level to be more pronounced. In reality,

Cobimetinib had a wider impact than Sorafenib, which was visible in PTMNavigator's

visualization of 'hsa04010 – MAPK Signaling Pathway' (Fig. 3D). As expected, Cobimetinib

significantly inhibited the phosphorylation of the site MAPK1_Y187, which is essential to

MAPK1 activity, with a Log2 Fold Change (logFC) of -4.39 between conditions (p-value=0.01).

There also were effects upstream in the pathway, e.g. on SOS1_S1134. This is likely the result of

an inhibited feedback loop, since this site is a substrate of RPS6KA1, which is itself regulated by

ERK[37]. In contrast, Sorafenib only inhibited the phosphorylation of one site in this pathway

(BRAF_S729), which was also strongly downregulated by Cobimetinib (logFC=-5.44, p=0.07 for

Sorafenib and logFC=-5.41, p=0.09 for Cobimetinib) and is known to be important for successful

BRAF-dimerization[38]. PTMNavigator's visualization suggests that Sorafenib does engage its

target, but the perturbation in signaling does not progress beyond RAF. Previous research on the

compound pointed out that Sorafenib, while being an effective agent against renal cell carcinoma

and other cancer types, might not exert its efficacy via inhibition of RAF[39, 40]. In both examples

presented here, PTMNavigator provided a visual insight into the target engagement and signaling

cascades that one would not get as easily from other depictions such as heatmaps.

Exploring Dose-Dependent Perturbation Data in PTMNavigator

A very important characteristic of a drug's mode of action is how potently it perturbs different

parts of cellular signaling, which can be captured by applying a series of doses and measuring the

changes on the level of PTMs for each dose. Such a concentration-dependent approach, called

decryptM, was recently published by Zecha et al.[2] (Fig. 4A). decryptM allows determination of

dose-response characteristics for each peptide, including drug potency (negative logEC50 or

pEC50 value) and drug effect size (curve fold change). Output files of the decryptM pipeline or

CurveCurator[41] can directly be imported by PTMNavigator. We implemented additional features

for dose-dependent data into PTMNavigator, which we demonstrate by using the tool to compare

data from Zecha et al. to data from Bekker-Jensen et al. for the EGFR inhibitor Lapatinib

(mechanism of action shown in Fig. 4B).

The decryptM study included an experiment in which Lapatinib was applied to the breast cancer

cell line MDA-MB-175-VII for 2 hours in 10 different concentrations (from 1 nM to 10 µM). The

experiment yielded 180 upgoing and 441 downgoing dose-response curves classified as significant

(see Methods). PTMNavigator's projection of the regulated peptides onto the pathway 'hsa04150

– mTOR signaling pathway' (Fig. 4C) showed that the overall perturbation of the network was

very similar to that observed in the Lapatinib experiment by Bekker-Jensen et al. on RPE1 cells

(Fig. 3C, upper panel). Many proteins had regulated peptides in both experiments (for example,

RAF, MEK, and RICTOR), and some downregulated sites were shared by both biological systems

(TSC2_S939, PRAS40_T246, and GSK3B_S9). However, the decryptM data adds the potency

dimension for every regulation as an additional layer of information (Fig. 4D), represented in

PTMNavigator by PTMs colored according to their pEC50 value. This visualization reveals that

all peptides perturbed by Lapatinib in this pathway were regulated at a pEC50 between 6.4 and

7.4, which corresponds to a concentration range between 40 nM and 400 nM (Fig. 4E). The dose-

response curves of each shown peptide can be displayed directly within PTMNavigator. Lapatinib

is known to be a highly selective EGFR/ErbB2 inhibitor[39], and the fact that all potencies were

within one order of magnitude suggests that this pathway is only regulated by a single initial

perturbation. Here, this is likely the inhibition of ErbB2, as EGFR has been reported to be not

active in MDA-MB-175-VII cells[42]. In other biological systems, the effect might differ. Such cell-

type specific observations can also be highlighted by PTMNavigator, which we exemplified by

comparing the effect of Dasatinib on 3 different cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 4).

PTMNavigator Aids in Refinement and Expansion of Pathway Diagrams

We applied PTMNavigator to two other decryptM datasets to put phosphorylation sites with and

without functional annotation into context. Refametinib and Mirdametinib are two highly selective

kinase inhibitors that both target MAP2K1 (MEK1) and MAP2K2 (MEK2). Both inhibitors were

applied to A549 lung carcinoma epithelial cells in the decryptM study (in ten concentrations

ranging from 1 nM to 10 µM). Both drugs elicited a selective response in the A549

phosphoproteome, with 148 regulated dose-response curves for Refametinib (38 up, 110 down)

and 73 for Mirdametinib (15 up, 58 down). Among the pathways that were significantly enriched

for both datasets, the diagram 'WP51 – Regulation of actin cytoskeleton' depicted the signaling

around the compounds' targets most comprehensively. Projection of the drug-regulated peptides

onto this pathway using the 'potency' color scheme (Fig. 5A) showed that Mirdametinib regulates

MEK signaling more potently than Refametinib. This is in agreement with the reported higher

affinity observed in pulldown experiments[39].

By focusing on dose-dependent phosphorylation signals in common between both drugs, we obtain

a more complete understanding of the MEK signaling in this cellular system. Both drugs potently

downregulated phosphorylation of MAPK1 and MAPK3 at their most important activating sites

(T185 and Y187 for MAPK1, T202 and Y204 for MAPK3), which are all direct substrates of

MEK1 and MEK2 and are part of the MEK1 kinase activity signature in PTMSigDB[17]. Less

straightforward to interpret were several downregulations upstream of MEK at SOS1 sites as well

as RAF1_S43 in both datasets. RAF1_S43 is a PKACA substrate[43], suggesting that the activity of

this kinase (which is not visible in the pathway) was also perturbed by the treatment. This is an

example where the pathway diagram does not depict all relevant interactions for the cellular

system, a frequently observed issue of the canonical pathway definitions (see Discussion). Among

the regulated SOS1 sites are SOS1_S1134 and SOS1_S1161, which are substrates of p90RSK[37].

The activity of this protein is regulated by ERK, therefore this regulation likely is the result of an

inhibited feedback loop. SOS1_S1064 was also observed less phosphorylated in both experiments.

This site has no annotated kinase, but the other regulations suggest it could also be a p90RSK

substrate. Downstream of MAPK, both drugs elicited downregulation of several peptides including

MYPT1_S507, a site that has previously been associated with treatment by Selumetinib (a MEK1

and MEK2 inhibitor) and Vemurafenib (which has MEK5 as a potent off-target[39, 44]). The fact that

it is also affected by the two inhibitors studied here and that its dose-response profiles are similar

to other peptides associated with MEK inhibition (Fig. 5B and Supplementary Fig. 5) suggests that

this site could also be part of the general PTM signature of MEK inhibition.

The visualization also revealed another regulation in the 'WP51 – Regulation of actin

cytoskeleton' pathway that was present in both datasets, namely GRLF_S589, which has no

functional annotation or putative upstream kinase in PhosphoSitePlus. It showed similar dose-

response behavior as the signature MEK inhibition sites on MAPK1, MAPK3, and also

MYPT1_S507 (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Fig. 5). This suggests that the site is also part of the

same phosphoproteomic signature. The pathway diagram shows no perturbation upstream of

GRLF1, and, unlike for SOS1, there is no known feedback loop which could explain this

observation. Therefore, it remains to be investigated which kinases are directly responsible for

phosphorylating this site, how essential these phosphorylations are to the signaling pathway and

whether this finding translates to other biological systems. Nevertheless, this shows that

PTMNavigator can support researchers in the functionalization of uncharacterized PTM sites and

thus in the extension of pathways by combining prior knowledge with experimental data (Fig. 5D).

Discussion

PTMNavigator is a tool for visualizing quantitative PTM data in the context of cellular pathways

which supports user to analyze complex PTM proteomics data. In its current implementation in

the ProteomicsDB platform, it allows exploring pathways of 10 organisms using pathway

annotations from KEGG and WikiPathways and the integration with PhosphoSitePlus adds

another layer of functional annotation. We consciously decided to realize this software as a website

instead of a desktop application. We believe that this makes it more accessible, as users will not

have to install it or be concerned about the computational power of their desktop computers.

We demonstrated how PTMNavigator facilitates the interpretation of pathway enrichment

analyses, makes perturbed signaling traceable, leverages the novel information contained in dose-

dependent PTM data, and generates hypotheses for follow-up experiments to study unannotated

modification sites. To our knowledge, PTMNavigator is the only available software that can

graphically illustrate how regulated PTMs are distributed within pathways.

PTMNavigator gives a bird's-eye view of PTMs within a pathway, while at the same time

integrating multiple sources of information on particular sites. This accelerates PTM perturbation

analysis considerably, especially in large scale data sets. Furthermore, it fosters the identification

of new kinase-substrate relationships and signaling pathway crosstalk, as we exemplified for the

phosphoproteomic response to MEK inhibitors in A549 cells. In the future, we envision to

incorporate more PTM annotations e.g. substrate motifs and predicted kinase-substrate using tools

such as the Kinase Library[45] to further improve and streamline PTM data analysis. A combination

with Kinase Activity Inference tools such as KSTAR[46], INKA[47], or RoKAI[48] also presents an

opportunity for future enhancements.

There are usually multiple pathways that depict a signaling cascade of interest, and choosing a

single one can seem arbitrary. We'd like to note that for the purpose of conciseness, in this

manuscript we always selected a single KEGG or WikiPathways diagram for visualization of a

dataset, while in practice we recommend that the user should look at all diagrams of highly

enriched pathways to get an idea of how interchangeable the visualizations are and see if specific

subnetworks are shared between them. For example, the visualization of MEK inhibition, for

which we chose 'WP51 – Regulation of actin cytoskeleton' (Fig. 5A), would have worked just as

well with the eponymous KEGG diagram 'hsa04810 – Regulation of actin cytoskeleton', as it

contains the same subnetwork of SOS1, RAF1, MAPK1/3, GRLF1, and MYPT1.

Current PEAs are far from ideal for analyzing PTM data sets, and their outcomes must, therefore,

be taken with considerable caution. Nonetheless, PEAs provide currently the best starting point,

which is why PTMNavigator uses PEA to pre-sort pathways by putative relevance. The initial

ranking should help users to prioritize pathway engagement, but users should keep in mind that

some high-ranked pathways are not biologically relevant and a PEA artifact. This is often rooted

in the fact that pathway annotations are highly redundant (i.e., the same well studied proteins show

up in many well-studied pathways). If better pathway ranking procedures are developed in the

future, PTMNavigator can easily be updated to use those. We emphasize that PTMNavigator is a

visualization tool, and that the interpretation of the results remains the responsibility of the user.

While we believe the current work constitutes a substantial advance, we point out several caveats

as follows: i) Differential or dose-response analysis is not part of PTMNavigator and users need

to perform this prior to data upload. PTMNavigator only shows what its users already deemed

significantly regulated. This is also a benefit, as it makes PTMNavigator flexible to many

experimental settings. ii) The currently available canonical pathway diagrams are heterogenous in

structure and, for PTM research, they may sometimes even be misleading. For example, a phosphorylating connection from kinase A to protein B just means that at least one site on B is a substrate of A, not necessarily (actually not likely) all of them. Furthermore, we could only retain those details of the pathway diagram that were also represented in the KGML or GPML files. Some of the pathways resemble drawings rather than formal diagrams and the relationships between pathway members are not encoded as edges in the graph (consider for example the KEGG pathway 'Nucleocytoplasmic transport' (hsa03013) and the WikiPathways *D. melanogaster* pathway 'Homologous recombination' (WP1205)). In PTMNavigator, these pathways contain few or no edges and can only serve as a collection of functionally related proteins. iii) Pathway definitions are typically generalized from a large amount of heterogeneous data. Consequently, they cannot be specific for a certain tissue or cell type, and some proteins depicted in a pathway might not be expressed or active in the cells in which an experiment was performed. iv) A single node may represent a single gene or gene product, but can also summarize a subfamily of proteins (such as MAPK) or even an entire class of proteins (such as all receptor tyrosine kinases). Conversely, a 'group' node can represent a protein complex, but can also represent a set of alternatives for a step in the pathway. Users of the pathway diagram need to be wary of these disparate definitions. v) The current knowledge of pathways is limited and many relationships are not yet discovered. PTMNavigator can only show what is already known and its use for the discovery of novel signaling cascades or nodes is necessarily limited. As a consequence, PTMNavigator will become more powerful as pathway databases become more comprehensive in the future.

As alluded to in our final example, a desirable feature is the visualization of user-defined pathways that are either variations of KEGG/WikiPathways diagrams or entirely new pathways. The *biowc-*

pathwaygraph package already enables users to create their own signaling networks and project

their data onto it but currently requires hosting one's own web server. We plan to make this feature

more convenient in the future by allowing users to create, edit and load pathway diagrams within

PTMNavigator. We expect that features like this will further enhance the versatility of our software

and its use by the scientific community to interpret PTM data in the context of their respective

pathways.

Materials and Methods

Creating a Pathway Database in ProteomicsDB

We assembled a collection of pathways from 10 different species within ProteomicsDB based on the reference pathway databases in KEGG (Release 107.0, July 1, 2023) and WikiPathways (Release 20230610, June 6, 2023). Both projects provide textual pathway definitions in variations of the extensible markup language (XML) format (KEGG: KEGG Markup Language (KGML); WikiPathways: Graphical Pathway Markup Language (GPML)), which we used as a starting point. There are differences in the detailed pathway specifications of KEGG and WikiPathways (see the next two subsections), but essentially, both define a pathway in the form of a mixed graph, consisting of a set of nodes and a set of edges (both directed and undirected) which connect the nodes. There are two classes of nodes: *regular nodes* have a description that includes 2-dimensional cartesian coordinates as well as information on the entity the node represents (for example a gene name), *group nodes* do not contain any additional description and are instead defined solely by a set of regular nodes contained in them. We wrote a Python package termed *pathway-importer* that converts both types of pathways into JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) format. The JSON format is better suited for use with a web application, since it can be parsed at runtime without further conversion (in contrast to XML). We did not import pathways that contained zero gene or gene product nodes, since they are not interesting for the study of cellular signaling (this includes, for example, metabolic pathways that are focused only on metabolites).

Preprocessing of KEGG Pathway Diagrams

We retrieved the list of pathways for each organism and downloaded the KGML files using the KEGG REST API (https://rest.kegg.jp). KGML files distinguish four types of nodes: *gene, compound, ortholog,* and *map*. To reduce the complexity of our internal representation, we

summarized the *gene* and *ortholog* nodes into a common *gene_protein* node type. *map* nodes were

renamed as *pathway* nodes since they describe connections to other pathways, and *compound*

nodes were not modified (Supplementary Fig. 1). In KGML files, nodes are labeled using KEGG

identifiers, which we mapped to Uniprot accession numbers using the 'idmapping' service of the

Uniprot REST API (as described here: https://www.uniprot.org/help/id_mapping). In order to

maximize the number of nodes that had a human-readable name, we subsequently mapped the

Uniprot accession numbers to gene names (also using the Uniprot API).

KEGG pathways have two classes of edges: *relations*, which connect exactly two nodes, and

reactions, which can also represent many-to-many relationships by specifying a list of substrates

and a list of products. We intended to only represent one-to-one relationships in ProteomicsDB,

therefore we replaced each *reaction* by an equivalent set of *relations,* connecting each substrate-

product pair by an individual edge. KEGG *relations* can have 19 different subtypes, which we

retained in our JSON representation with two exceptions (Supplementary Fig. 2):

a) The types 'indirect' and 'indirect effect' were summarized to one type 'indirect'.

b) The types 'inhibition' and 'repression' were summarized to one type 'inhibition'.

Preprocessing of WikiPathways Pathway Diagrams

We downloaded GPML files from http://data.wikipathways.org/current/gpml. These pathways,

which are maintained by a large, open community, are less standardized than in KEGG. The

pathway collection we downloaded distinguishes 13 types of nodes, which we mapped to the same

types as the nodes from the KEGG pathways. In addition to the *gene_protein*, *compound*, and

pathway types, we introduced a fourth type *misc* to account for WikiPathways nodes that could

not be assigned one of the other categories (Supplementary Fig. 1). In GPML files, each node is

annotated with a reference to an external database, such as Entrez or Ensembl. To unify these

diverse annotations, we mapped them to Uniprot Accession Numbers where possible, using the

Uniprot API (Supplementary Fig. 3). Edges in GPML files have 24 different types. 10 of these

were converted into one of the KEGG edge types, the other 14 were retained as additional types

(Supplementary Fig. 3). A specific feature of the GPML specification is that edges may also end

on another edge instead of a node. This is represented by an additional tag in the XML schema,

called *anchor*. To keep our representation simple, we replaced *anchor* tags by edges that had other

edges as their start or end point.

Implementation of biowc-pathwaygraph

We developed a WebComponent (www.webcomponents.org) termed *biowc-pathwaygraph* for the

dynamic rendering of pathway diagrams together with peptide-level experimental data. *biowc-*

pathwaygraph was implemented using the Lit library (https://lit.dev/), Version 2.0.2. Our

WebComponent expects two inputs: A pathway diagram in JSON format (we call this 'pathway

skeleton'), and a list of PTMs, each annotated with a regulation type (possible values are 'up',

'down', and 'not'). Optionally, a list of proteins and their regulation types can be supplied in

addition.

biowc-pathwaygraph maps each entry of the PTM list to nodes of the pathway skeleton by

comparing the gene names and/or Uniprot accession numbers that are associated with the nodes.

For each matched PTM, an additional node is added to the graph definition, as well as an edge

from the new node to its associated node in the skeleton. A PTM can be matched to multiple nodes

of the skeleton, resulting in multiple PTM nodes for the same entry of the PTM list. This can

happen, for example, when a protein appears twice in the same pathway because the creators

wanted to describe two different routes of a signaling cascade.

After the matching process has completed, *biowc-pathwaygraph* renders the graph as an SVG

object using the software library D3.js[49] (Version 6.2.0). The cartesian coordinates of the pathway

skeleton nodes are used for the initial layout. The edges are drawn in such a way that they connect

their two adjacent nodes in a straight line. When a node changes its position (e.g. by a user dragging

it around), the endpoints of the edge are automatically updated. The PTM nodes, which do not

have cartesian coordinates assigned, are positioned using simulated physical forces (using the d3-

force package (https://github.com/d3/d3-force), Version 2.1.1), which cause them to remain close

to their reference skeleton node without colliding with one another. PTM nodes can either be

represented individually, or in the form of a single summary node for each regulation type that

only displays the number of regulations (step 6 in Fig. 1C; users can toggle between the two

representations).

The different node types (*gene_protein*, *compound*, *pathway*) are distinguished by different colors.

Group nodes are represented as a polygon around the convex hull of all members of the group. 4

types of edges are distinguished visually by different dash patterns or arrowheads: *activation*,

inhibition, *binding/association*, and *indirect*. All remaining edge subtypes are rendered with the

same style. Only edges from the skeleton are rendered, the edges between PTM nodes and skeleton

nodes are invisible (but their presence is required for the force simulation).

There are three possible color schemes for the PTM nodes: the default and most simple option is

to color them by their regulation type ('up' nodes are colored red, 'down' nodes blue, and 'not'

nodes grey). Alternatively, nodes can be colored by fold change or (in the case of decryptM data)

by potency (using EC50 values estimated from the dose-response curve fit). In both cases, the full

range of values is determined after mapping the PTM nodes to the skeleton. A continuous color

449 gradient is then calculated between the minimum and the maximum value and applied to each

450 node.

Implementation of PTMNavigator

We implemented PTMNavigator as a Vue.js component (https://vuejs.org). It is essentially a

wrapper around *biowc-pathwaygraph* that facilitates interaction of the WebComponent with both

the user and the backend of ProteomicsDB. PTMNavigator handles user interactions such as the

selection of datasets, organisms, and pathways, or the application of filters on the loaded data, by

calling the necessary endpoints of the ProteomicsDB API, processing the returned data, and

passing it on to *biowc-pathwaygraph*.

PTMNavigator is embedded into ProteomicsDB, which is also Vue.js-based since its latest

release[24]. The tool can be found in the 'Analytics' section of ProteomicsDB:

https://www.proteomicsdb.org/analytics/ptmNavigator.

Processing of User Data Upload

We extended the upload page of ProteomicsDB

(www.proteomicsdb.org/analytics/customDataUpload) to allow the upload of data for

PTMNavigator in csv format. It is possible to upload conventional quantitative proteomics ('Fold

Change') data as well as decryptM data on both PTM and protein level. In the case of

phosphorylation data, users can upload data on both peptide and p-site level; for other PTMs, only

peptide-level upload is possible at this time. Example files for all input types are provided for

download on the website (see also Supplementary Table 1). In the case of decryptM data, the

'curves.txt' file output by the decryptM pipeline or CurveCurator can directly be uploaded together

with the TOML file containing the experimental parameters. During the upload, a pathway

enrichment analysis is performed automatically so that each pathway in our database is associated

with an enrichment score (negative log-transformed p-value) for the uploaded dataset. The

uploaded data is stored temporarily in ProteomicsDB and is deleted if not accessed for 14 days.

To limit the access to the data, the user receives a personalized 32-digit alphanumeric session

identifier during the upload that needs to be entered in PTMNavigator to retrieve the uploaded

data.

Pathway Enrichment Analysis

Throughout this study, pathway enrichment analysis was performed as follows: All regulated

peptides or proteins of a dataset were mapped to Uniprot accession numbers. The set of all unique

Uniprot accession numbers was then sent as a POST request to the API of g:Profiler

(https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/api/gost/profile/), version e109_eg56_p17_773ec798 (accessed June

16, 2023). The 'organism' parameter was set to 'hsapiens' in all datasets discussed in the main text

(in the case of the automatic PEA during data upload, it is set to the organism selected by the user).

The 'sources' parameter was set to '["KEGG","WP"]' to only receive enrichment scores for KEGG

and WikiPathways entries. The 'all_results' parameter was set to 'true' to retrieve also

insignificant enrichment results. All others parameters were set to their default values.

Import of PhosphoSitePlus annotations and Functional Scores

We downloaded the files 'Regulatory_sites.gz' and 'Kinase_Substrate_Dataset.gz' from

PhosphoSitePlus (https://www.phosphosite.org/staticDownloads; accessed October 18, 2023).

Using a custom Python script, we mapped all annotations to the *in silico* digested human reference

proteome present in ProteomicsDB and created API endpoints to make the information accessible

from the PTMNavigator frontend. The phosphosite functional scores were imported analogously,

using Supplementary Table 3 from the paper by Ochoa and colleagues[27].

Preprocessing of data from Bekker-Jensen et al.

For the detailed experimental procedures of the kinase inhibitor screen, please refer to the original publication. Briefly, RPE1 cells were treated with either 0.1 µM or 1 µM of inhibitor for 30 minutes, followed by stimulation with EGF for 10 minutes. All experiments were performed in triplicates, except for an EGF-stimulation-only control, which was performed in duplicates. After extraction of proteins, digestion into peptides, and Ti-IMAC-based phosphopeptide-enrichment, the samples were measured using LC-MS/MS in Data-Independent Acquisition (DIA) mode. The data was then processed using Spectronaut to identify and quantify phosphorylated peptides.

Our analysis of the data differed from that of Bekker-Jensen et al. in that they performed multiple sample significance testing (ANOVA), comparing all conditions against the control together, whereas we intended to compare each condition to the control separately using *t*-tests. We downloaded the Spectronaut output file from ProteomeXChange using the Identifier PXD014525 ('20190416_214744_Kinase-inhibitor-screen-3reps-STY-version13-LocProb-075-Score-1_Report.txt') and imported it into Perseus (version 1.6.2.3). We transformed the normalized intensities into phosphorylation site tables using the Peptide Collapse plugin (https://github.com/AlexHgO/Perseus_Plugin_Peptide_Collapse), specifying EG.PTMAssayProbability as grouping column. We filtered for three valid values in at least one treatment group. We log-transformed and replaced missing values by sampling from a normal distribution (setting the parameters 'width' to 0.3, 'downshift' to 1.8, and 'mode' to 'Total matrix'). We then performed two-sided *t*-tests between the 60 treatment conditions (30 drugs, 2 doses) and the control condition for each quantified peptide. *p*-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (FDR=10%). Finally, we summarized the data into 30 conditions (one per inhibitor) and eliminated duplicated peptides using the following rules:

I.   If the peptide appeared multiple times in the same experiment (which can happen for

multiply phosphorylated peptides), retain only the most significant entry (lowest adjusted

p-value).

II.   If a peptide was neither significantly regulated in the low-dose experiment nor in the high-

dose experiment of a compound, retain only the entry from the high-dose experiment.

III. If a peptide was significantly regulated in the high-dose experiment, retain only this entry.

IV.   If a peptide was significantly regulated *only* in the low-dose experiment, retain only this

entry.

The resulting tables was formatted to fulfil the input criteria of PTMNavigator.

Pathway enrichment was performed as described above.

The code of this analysis (starting from the Perseus output and ending at the creation of

PTMNavigator input files) is available as a Jupyter Notebook (see 'Data Availability').

Preprocessing of data from Zecha et al.

For details on the decryptM experimental protocol, please refer to the supplementary methods in

the original publication. In brief: In the Lapatinib experiment, MDA-MB-175-VII cells (human

breast cancer) were treated with a series of Lapatinib for 2h (concentrations: 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300,

1000, 3000, 10000 nM) or a DMSO-only control. In the Refametinib/Mirdametinib experiments,

human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells were treated with a series of Mirdametinib (PD325901)

or Refametinib concentrations for 60 minutes (concentrations: 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, 3000,

10000 nM), or a DMSO-only control. In the Dasatinib experiments, A431 epidermoid carcinoma

epithelial cells, K562 lymphoblast cells and A549 cells were treated with Dasatinib

(concentrations: 0.03, 0.3, 1, 3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, 10000 nM for A431 cells; 1, 3, 10, 30, 100,

300, 1000, 3000, 10000 nM for A549 cells; 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, 10, 30, 100 nM for K562

cells) or a DMSO-only control for 30 minutes (60 minutes for A549 cells). Proteins were extracted

from the cells and digested using Trypsin. The samples were multiplexed using tandem mass tags

(TMT). Phosphopeptide enrichment was performed using Fe-IMAC, after which the samples were

separated into six fractions using bRP-fractionation to increase phosphoproteomic coverage. The

fractions were then measured separately using LC-MS/MS in data-dependent acquisition (DDA)

mode. TMT phosphopeptides were identified and quantified using MaxQuant (version 1.6.12.0).

We downloaded the following archives containing MaxQuant output from ProteomeXChange

using the identifier:

-    Lapatinib experiment: HER2_Inhibitors_Phosphoproteome_dd.zip

-    Refametinib experiment: 10_Kinase_Inhibitors_Rep_Phosphoproteome.zip

-    Mirdametinib experiment: 10_Kinase_Inhibitors_2KI_Phosphoproteome.zip

-    Dasatinib A431 experiment: EGFR_Inhibitors_Phosphoproteome.zip

-    Dasatinib A431 experiment: 10_Kinase_Inhibitors_6KI_Phosphoproteome.zip

-    Dasatinib A431 experiment: Dasatinib_Triplicates_Phosphoproteome_MS3.zip

We filtered the evidence.txt files for rows containing the experiments of interest. The filtered files,

together with TOML parameter files which we constructed manually, were used as input for

CurveCurator (https://github.com/kusterlab/curve_curator). Using CurveCurator, we fitted 4-

parameter log-logistic dose-response curves for every quantified peptide and obtained significance

estimates for the regulations. Then we imported the curves file and the TOML into PTMNavigator.

For the Refametinib and Mirdametinib datasets, pathway enrichment was performed as described

above.

Data availability

Experimental data was downloaded from ProteomeXChange as described in the Methods, using the identifiers PXD014525 (Bekker-Jensen et al.) and PXD037285 (Zecha et al.). The following files are available on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10185633):

- For the Bekker-Jensen data:
    - Perseus output (.txt)
    - A Jupyter Notebook describing how to convert the Perseus output into PTMNavigator input (.ipynb)
    - PTMNavigator input (.xlsx)
    - Pathway enrichment analysis results (.xlsx)
- For the Zecha data:
    - CurveCurator parameter files (.toml)
    - CurveCurator output (.xlsx)
    - Pathway enrichment analysis results (.xlsx)

All other data are available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

Code availability

The code to import and preprocess the pathway diagrams is available at https://github.com/kusterlab/pathway-importer. *biowc-pathwaygraph* is available as a JavaScript module here: www.npmjs.com/package/biowc-pathwaygraph, and the code can be accessed here: www.github.com/kusterlab/biowc-pathwaygraph. The source code of PTMNavigator can be accessed here:

https://github.com/wilhelm-lab/proteomicsdb-

vue/blob/main/src/views/analytics/PTMNavigator.vue.

Acknowledgements

We thank Chien-Yun Lee and Stefanie Höfer for testing the software and providing valuable feedback. We thank all other members of the Kuster, Lee, and Wilhelm Labs for fruitful discussions. Parts of the figures were created with BioRender.com. This work was partly funded by the European Research Council (ERC Advanced Grant No. 833710), the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (DIAS, FKZ 031L0168), and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Program (Grant Agreement ID: 823839).

Author contributions

Conceptualization: MT, FB, JM

Software: JM

Data Analysis: JM, MT, FB

Writing – original draft: JM

Writing – review & editing: MT, BK, FB, MW, JM

Supervision & Project Administration: MT, MW, BK

Conflict of Interest

BK and MW are founders and shareholders of OmicScouts and MSAID. They have no operational role in either company. All other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Figure 1. PTMNavigator puts PTM perturbation data in the context of signaling networks.

(**A**) PTMNavigator combines two inputs: PTM Perturbation data, which is provided by the user,

and pathway diagrams, which are provided by KEGG and WikiPathways. (**B**) Number of pathways

per organism and reference database imported into ProteomicsDB and available in PTMNavigator.

(**C**) The main interface of PTMNavigator. (1) The datasets can be accessed via a 32-digit Session

ID that users receive during data upload. An arbitrary combination of datasets can be loaded at the

same time. (2) The list of pathways to choose from is sorted by g:Profiler enrichment scores. Users

can also search this list for the name or identifier of a pathway, or filter for pathways that contain

a certain set of genes. (3) A dataset may contain multiple experiments (specified in the uploaded

CSV file). In this panel, they can dynamically be added to and removed from the diagram. (4) In

this panel, users can show and hide regulation groups (up-/down-/unregulated). This feature is

particularly helpful because many data sets contain mostly unregulated entries. (5) The main

canvas. After selecting a pathway, its diagram is rendered here. Additional smaller nodes are added

for the peptides in the user data. (6) Initially, all peptides of a regulation group that belong to the

same protein are summarized in one circular node that is labeled with the number of peptides it

contains. By double-clicking on it, a summary node can be expanded into individual nodes for

each peptide (elliptical shapes). (7) If a user uploaded protein expression data, the proteins will

also be colored according to their regulation group. (8) Many proteins may have multiple labels.

By right-clicking on a node, an alternative name can be selected. (9) When hovering over a node,

all information supplied during the upload is displayed as a tooltip. If a site is annotated in

PhosphoSitePlus, a link to the annotation is retrieved.

A

B

C

Figure 2. PTMNavigator aids in interpreting pathway enrichment analyses results. (**A**)

Results of g:Profiler enrichments showing pathways with p-value<0.05. Pathways are sorted by

the number of datasets in which they were reported as enriched. For illustrative purposes, only

pathways that are significant for at least 3 datasets are shown. Inhibitors are grouped by their

designated targets. (**B**) 10 highest-scoring pathways for the JNK inhibitor SP-600125. Circle size

indicates the fraction of genes in the pathway that were contained in the set of regulations. The

pathways that contain the signaling cascade from panel C are highlighted in bold. (**C**) The AKT-

mTOR signaling axis that appears with slight variations in 7 of the 10 highest scoring pathways of

the SP-600125 data. The small nodes next to the proteins indicate phosphorylation sites that were

regulated up (red) or down (blue).

Figure 3. Analysis of a phosphoproteomic perturbation dataset in PTMNavigator (**A**) Simplified schematic depiction of the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway and its interactions with

Lapatinib, Wortmannin, and Temsirolimus. (**B**) Simplified schematic depiction of the RAF-MEK-

ERK pathway and its interactions with Cobimetinib and Sorafenib. (**C**) Edited screenshot from

PTMNavigator showing an excerpt from the pathway diagram 'hsa04150 – mTOR Signaling

Pathway' and the modified peptides regulated by three of the drugs in Bekker-Jensen et al. Note

that the node for EGFR was added by the authors. It is not part of the original pathway diagram,

but is known to interact with GRB2 and PI3K. *Top:* Lapatinib. *Middle:* Wortmannin. *Bottom:*

Temsirolimus. (**D**) Edited screenshot from PTMNavigator showing an excerpt from the pathway

diagram 'hsa04010 – MAPK signaling pathway' and the modified peptides regulated by two of

the drugs in Bekker-Jensen et al. Regulated PTMs are colored by the size of the effect (Log_2 Fold

Change). *Left:* Cobimetinib. *Right:* Sorafenib.

Figure 4. PTMNavigator supports analysis of drug dose-dependent perturbation data. (**A**) decryptM workflow. P, phosphorylation; Ac, acetylation; Ub, ubiquitinylation; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry; TMT, tandem mass tags. (**B**) Lapatinib inhibits ErbB2 by binding to its ATP-binding pocket, thereby inactivating its downstream signaling cascade. (**C**)

Edited screenshot from PTMNavigator showing an excerpt from the pathway diagram 'hsa04150

– mTOR Signaling Pathway' and the modified peptides regulated in the Lapatinib experiment of

Zecha et al. The node for EGFR was added by the authors, as it is not part of the original pathway

diagram, but is known to interact with GRB2 and PI3K. The corresponding pathway diagram of

the Lapatinib experiment from Bekker-Jensen et al. is shown in Figure 3C, upper panel. (**D**)

decryptM curves from the Lapatinib dataset for those peptides that were also significantly

downregulated in the Bekker-Jensen data. (**E**) decryptM curves with the highest (pEC_{50}=7.41) and

lowest (pEC_{50}=6.37) potency in the mTOR signaling pathway.

Figure 5. PTMNavigator helps to understand the pathway-level effects of MEK inhibition.

(**A**) Edited screenshot from PTMNavigator showing an excerpt from the pathway diagram

'WP51 – Regulation of Actin Cytoskeleton' and the modified peptides regulated in the

Refametinib (*left*) and Mirdametinib (*right*) decryptM experiments. All regulations are

downregulations. (**B**) decryptM curves for selected peptides on SOS1, RAF1, and MYPT1 in the

Refametinib experiment. (**C**) decryptM curves for selected peptides on MAPK1, MAPK3, and

GRLF1 in the Mirdametinib experiment. (**D**) Proposed extension to the MEK inhibition network

based on the diagram 'WP51 – Regulation of Actin Cytoskeleton'. Additional nodes and edges

are shown in green.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table 1. Input examples for PTMNavigator.

Supplementary Figure 1. Mapping of Node Types from KEGG and WikiPathways to

PTMNavigator.

Supplementary Figure 2. Mapping of Edge Types from KEGG and WikiPathways to

PTMNavigator.

Supplementary Figure 3. Gene Identifier Conversion for WikiPathways Diagrams.

Supplementary Figure 4. Comparison of dose-dependent Dactolisib treatment in three different

cell lines.

Supplementary Figure 5. decryptM curves from the Refametinib and Mirdametinib datasets.

Supplementary Figure 6. 'WP2380 - Brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling pathway'

perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 7. 'WP411 - mRNA processing' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 8. 'WP3844 - PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway and therapeutic

opportunities' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 9. 'WP3676 - BDNF-TrkB signaling' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 10. 'WP585 - Interferon type I signaling pathways' perturbed by SP-

600125.

Supplementary Figure 11. 'hsa04152 - AMPK signaling pathway' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 12. 'hsa04211 - Longevity regulating pathway' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 13. 'hsa04520 - Adherens junction' perturbed by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 14. 'WP3915 - Angiopoietin-like protein 8 regulatory pathway' perturbed

by SP-600125.

Supplementary Figure 15. 'hsa04670 - Leukocyte transendothelial migration' perturbed by SP-

600125.

References

1.   Viéitez C, *et al.* High-throughput functional characterization of protein phosphorylation sites in
yeast. *Nature Biotechnology*, 1-9 (2021).

2.   Zecha J, *et al.* Decrypting drug actions and protein modifications by dose- and time-resolved
proteomics. *Science*, eade3925 (2023).

3.   Wiechmann S, *et al.* Chemical Phosphoproteomics Sheds New Light on the Targets and Modes of
Action of AKT Inhibitors. *ACS Chem Biol* **16**, 631-641 (2021).

4.   Ochoa D, *et al.* An atlas of human kinase regulation. *Molecular Systems Biology* **12**, 888 (2016).

5.   Bodenmiller B, *et al.* Phosphoproteomic Analysis Reveals Interconnected System-Wide
Responses to Perturbations of Kinases and Phosphatases in Yeast. *Science signaling* **3**, rs4
(2010).

6.   Sharma K, *et al.* Ultradeep Human Phosphoproteome Reveals a Distinct Regulatory Nature of
Tyr and Ser/Thr-Based Signaling. *Cell Reports* **8**, 1583-1594 (2014).

7.   Humphrey SJ, Azimifar SB, Mann M. High-throughput phosphoproteomics reveals in vivo
insulin signaling dynamics. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 990-995 (2015).

8.   Gillespie M, *et al.* The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**,
D687-D692 (2022).

9.   Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Ishiguro-Watanabe M. KEGG for taxonomy-
based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* **51**, D587-D592 (2023).

10.   Martens M, *et al.* WikiPathways: connecting communities. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**, D613-
D621 (2021).

11.   Rodchenkov I, *et al.* Pathway Commons 2019 Update: integration, analysis and exploration of
pathway data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **48**, D489-D497 (2020).

12.   Hornbeck PV, Zhang B, Murray B, Kornhauser JM, Latham V, Skrzypek E. PhosphoSitePlus,
2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, D512-D520 (2015).

13.   Reimand J, *et al.* Pathway enrichment analysis and visualization of omics data using g:Profiler,
GSEA, Cytoscape and EnrichmentMap. *Nature Protocols* **14**, 482-517 (2019).

14.   Kolberg L, Raudvere U, Kuzmin I, Adler P, Vilo J, Peterson H. g:Profiler-interoperable web
service for functional enrichment analysis and gene identifier mapping (2023 update). *Nucleic*
Acids Res **51**, W207-W212 (2023).

15.   Subramanian A, *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting
genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545-15550 (2005).

16.   Chicco D, Agapito G. Nine quick tips for pathway enrichment analysis. *PLOS Computational*
Biology **18**, e1010348 (2022).

17.   Krug K, *et al.* A Curated Resource for Phosphosite-specific Signature Analysis. *Molecular &*
Cellular Proteomics **18**, 576-593 (2019).
755

756   18.   Shannon P, *et al.* Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
757   interaction networks. *Genome Research* **13**, 2498-2504 (2003).
758

759   19.   Villaveces JM, Jimenez RC, Habermann BH. KEGGViewer, a BioJS component to visualize
760   KEGG Pathways. *F1000Research* **3**, (2014).
761

762   20.   Kutmon M, *et al.* PathVisio 3: An Extendable Pathway Analysis Toolbox. *PLOS Computational*
763   *Biology* **11**, e1004085 (2015).
764

765   21.   Raaijmakers LM, *et al.* PhosphoPath: Visualization of Phosphosite-centric Dynamics in Temporal
766   Molecular Networks. *Journal of Proteome Research* **14**, 4332-4341 (2015).
767

768   22.   Gjerga E, Dugourd A, Tobalina L, Sousa A, Saez-Rodriguez J. PHONEMeS: Efficient Modeling
769   of Signaling Networks Derived from Large-Scale Mass Spectrometry Data. *Journal of Proteome*
770   *Research* **20**, 2138-2144 (2021).
771

772   23.   Leeming MG, *et al.* Phosphomatics: interactive interrogation of substrate–kinase networks in
773   global phosphoproteomics datasets. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 1635-1636 (2021).
774

775   24.   Lautenbacher L, *et al.* ProteomicsDB: toward a FAIR open-source resource for life-science
776   research. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**, D1541-D1552 (2022).
777

778   25.   Wilhelm M, *et al.* Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the human proteome. *Nature* **509**, 582-587
779   (2014).
780

781   26.   Bekker-Jensen DB, *et al.* Rapid and site-specific deep phosphoproteome profiling by data-
782   independent acquisition without the need for spectral libraries. *Nature Communications* **11**, 787
783   (2020).
784

785   27.   Ochoa D, *et al.* The functional landscape of the human phosphoproteome. *Nature Biotechnology*
786   **38**, 365-373 (2020).
787

788   28.   Roberts PJ, Der CJ. Targeting the Raf-MEK-ERK mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade for
789   the treatment of cancer. *Oncogene* **26**, 3291-3310 (2007).
790

791   29.   Zheng Y, *et al.* Temporal regulation of EGF signalling networks by the scaffold protein Shc1.
792   *Nature* **499**, 166-171 (2013).
793

794   30.   Lee YH, Giraud J, Davis RJ, White MF. c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) mediates feedback
795   inhibition of the insulin signaling cascade. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **278**, 2896-2902
796   (2003).
797

798   31.   Cui X, Kim H-J, Kuiatse I, Kim H, Brown PH, Lee AV. Epidermal growth factor induces insulin
799   receptor substrate-2 in breast cancer cells via c-Jun NH(2)-terminal kinase/activator protein-1
800   signaling to regulate cell migration. *Cancer Research* **66**, 5304-5313 (2006).
801

802 32. Adams SJ, Aydin IT, Celebi JT. GAB2 - A Scaffolding Protein in Cancer. *Molecular cancer*
803   *research : MCR* **10**, 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-1112-0352 (2012).
804

805 33. Feng J*, et al.* The Role of JNk Signaling Pathway in Obesity-Driven Insulin Resistance. *Diabetes,*
806   *Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy* **13**, 1399-1406 (2020).
807

808 34. Lohi H*, et al.* Novel glycogen synthase kinase 3 and ubiquitination pathways in progressive
809   myoclonus epilepsy. *Hum Mol Genet* **14**, 2727-2736 (2005).
810

811 35. Zhan J, Chitta RK, Harwood FC, Grosveld GC. Phosphorylation of TSC2 by PKC-delta reveals a
812   novel signaling pathway that couples protein synthesis to mTORC1 activity. *Mol Cell Biochem*
813   **456**, 123-134 (2019).
814

815 36. MacNicol MC, Muslin AJ, MacNicol AM. Disruption of the 14-3-3 binding site within the B-Raf
816   kinase domain uncouples catalytic activity from PC12 cell differentiation. *J Biol Chem* **275**,
817   3803-3809 (2000).
818

819 37. Saha M*, et al.* RSK phosphorylates SOS1 creating 14-3-3 docking sites and negatively regulating
820   MAPK activation. *The Biochemical journal* **447**, 159-166 (2012).
821

822 38. Sun Q, Wang W. Structures of BRAF-MEK1-14-3-3 sheds light on drug discovery. *Signal*
823   *Transduct Target Ther* **4**, 59 (2019).
824

825 39. Klaeger S*, et al.* The target landscape of clinical kinase drugs. *Science*, 44 (2017).
826

827 40. Cohen P, Cross D, Jänne PA. Kinase drug discovery 20 years after imatinib: progress and future
828   directions. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 1-19 (2021).
829

830 41. Bayer FP, Gander M, Kuster B, The M. CurveCurator: A recalibrated F-statistic to assess,
831   classify, and explore significance of dose-response curves. *bioRxiv*
832   *https://doiorg/101101/20230807552260* *[PREPRINT]*, (2023).
833

834 42. Castoldi R*, et al.* TetraMabs: simultaneous targeting of four oncogenic receptor tyrosine kinases
835   for tumor growth inhibition in heterogeneous tumor cell populations. *Protein Eng Des Sel* **29**,
836   467-475 (2016).
837

838 43. Dhillon AS, Pollock C, Steen H, Shaw PE, Mischak H, Kolch W. Cyclic AMP-dependent kinase
839   regulates Raf-1 kinase mainly by phosphorylation of serine 259. *Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 3237-3246
840   (2002).
841

842 44. Reinecke M*, et al.* Chemoproteomic Selectivity Profiling of PIKK and PI3K Kinase Inhibitors.
843   *ACS Chem Biol* **14**, 655-664 (2019).
844

845 45. Johnson JL*, et al.* An atlas of substrate specificities for the human serine/threonine kinome.
846   *Nature* **613**, 759-766 (2023).
847

848 46. Crowl S, Jordan B, Ahmed H, Ma C, Naegle KM. KSTAR: An algorithm to predict patient-
849   specific kinase activities from phosphoproteomic data.). Systems Biology (2021).
850

851 47. Beekhof R*, et al.* INKA, an integrative data analysis pipeline for phosphoproteomic inference of
852   active kinases. *Molecular Systems Biology* **15**, e8250 (2019).

853
854 48. Yılmaz S, Ayati M, Schlatzer D, Çiçek AE, Chance MR, Koyutürk M. Robust inference of kinase
855   activity using functional networks. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1177 (2021).
856
857 49. Bostock M, Ogievetsky V, Heer J. D³ Data-Driven Documents. *IEEE Transactions on
858   Visualization and Computer Graphics* **17**, 2301-2309 (2011).
859
860

A

PTM Perturbation Data

Canonical Pathways
KEGG WikiPathways

PTMNavigator

B

Number of Pathways Imported per Organism

KEGG — Wikipathways

Saccharomyces cerevisiae	
Oryza sativa	
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	
Mus musculus	
Homo sapiens	
Escherichia coli	
Drosophila melanogaster	
Danio rerio	
Caenorhabditis elegans	
Arabidopsis thaliana	

350 300 250 200 150 100 50 0   0 50 100 150 200   800

C

PROTEOMICSDB DATA   USER DATA

Session ID
CC4250556015E2100DBA6ABE3E26C700

32 / 32

Uploaded Datasets
Dataset1_PTM ⊗   Dataset1_FP ⊗   ▼

Load Dataset(s)   **1**

Pathway Selection **2**

Organism:
Homo sapiens ▼

Pathways (n=1123): ▼

▽ Filter by Protein List ▼

Enter gene names or Uniprot accession numbers to show only the pathways that contain them.

Experiment Filter **3**

Experiment 1 ⊗   Experiment 2 ⊗   ▼
Experiment 3 ⊗   Experiment 4 ⊗

Filter Data: **4**

☑ Show Unregulated   ☑ Show Upgoing   ☑ Show Downgoing

5

◯ Gene/Protein ◯ Pathway
◯ Group ◯ Metabolite/Compound
→ Activation ⊣ Inhibition
▷ Other ⊣⊣ Indirect
▪▪▪ Binding/Association
🔴 Up- 🔵 Down- ⚪ Not regulated
+p/-p (De-)Phosphorylation

Protein A1 ▪▪▪▪▪ Protein A2

Compound X

Protein D

Protein C **8**

Alternative Names
| C |
| ProtC |
| Protein C |

🔴 1 **6**

Protein E **7**

Protein F

9

Protein D

Regulation:	down
Modified Sequence:	TES(ph)TPEPT(ph)IDEK
Fold Change:	0.2
p-Value:	0.000012
PhosphoSitePlus®:	T142-p
Experiment Name:	My Experiment

Protein F

A

g:Profiler -log(p value)

B

SP-600125 Pathway Enrichment

C