Cefiderocol is an effective topical monotherapy for experimental extensively-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* keratitis

Eric G. Romanowski[1], Sonya M. Mumper[1], Hazel Q. Shanks[1], Kathleen A. Yates[1], Jonathan B. Mandell[1], Michael E. Zegans[2,3], Robert M. Q. Shanks[1*]

Affiliations:

[1] Charles T. Campbell Laboratory of Ophthalmic Microbiology, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

[2] Department of Surgery, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, USA

[3] Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth, Hanover, NH, USA.

Corresponding Author and Address:

Robert M. Q. Shanks, Charles T. Campbell Laboratory of Ophthalmic Microbiology, Department of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Ph: 412-647-3537 Email: shanksrm@upmc.edu

Key words

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, keratitis, antibiotic resistance, cefiderocol, ocular infection

Abbreviations and Acronyms

CDC – Center for Disease Control and Prevention

MIC – Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

NZW – New Zealand White

NIH – National Institutes of Health

PBS – Phosphate Buffered Saline

XDR – Extensively Drug Resistant

CLSI – Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To test cefiderocol, a siderophore-cephalosporin antibiotic for topical monotherapy treatment of experimental extensively drug resistant (XDR) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* keratitis.

Design: Preclinical study.

Subjects and Controls: Deidentified *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates, XDR *P. aeruginosa* from eye drop outbreak, rabbits, saline, cefiderocol 50 mg/ml, ciprofloxacin 0.3%, and tobramycin 14 mg/ml.

Methods, Intervention, or Testing: Cefiderocol antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates (n=135) was evaluated by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) testing. Ocular toxicity/tolerability and antibacterial efficacy were tested *in vivo* with experimental rabbit models. Corneal concentrations and stability were assessed using a bioassay.

Main Outcome Measures: MIC analysis for susceptibility, graded tests for ocular toxicity/tolerability, CFU analysis for bacterial burden, corneal cefiderocol concentrations.

Results: 100% of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates were susceptible to cefiderocol (n=135), the MIC_{90} was 0.125 µg/ml including the XDR isolate (MIC = 0.125 µg/ml). Topical cefiderocol 50 mg/ml was minimally toxic to the ocular surface and was well tolerated. For the XDR *P. aeruginosa* isolate, topical cefiderocol 50 mg/ml, significantly decreased corneal CFU compared to ciprofloxacin 0.3%, tobramycin 14 mg/ml, and saline. In addition, tobramycin 14 mg/ml was more effective than the saline control. Mean cefiderocol corneal concentrations were 191x greater than the MIC_{90} of the *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates. Refrigerated cefiderocol maintained antimicrobial activity over a one-month period.

Conclusions: These results demonstrate that cefiderocol is well tolerated on rabbit corneas and is effective against *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates *in vitro* and was effective *in vivo* against an XDR isolate in a rabbit keratitis model. Given the recent outbreak of keratitis caused by this XDR *P. aeruginosa*, cefiderocol is a promising additional antibiotic that should be further evaluated for topical treatment of keratitis caused by antibiotic resistant *P. aeruginosa*.

INTRODUCTION

The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and numerous news organizations

recently reported a dangerous outbreak of eye infections linked to the use of artificial tears

purchased online [1-4]. Patients identified in this outbreak have experienced serious corneal

infections which have led to blindness, loss of eyes, and even death in several patients.

Notably, the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteria causing the infections was extensively drug

resistant (XDR) including to all antibiotics currently used to topically treat eye infections [2, 3]. This

strain has the Verona integron-mediated metallo-β-lactamase and Guiana extended-spectrum β

-lactamase genes [1, 4, 5].

The World Health Organization and CDC consider antibiotic resistant bacteria to be a

global threat [6]. Keratitis patients infected with multidrug resistant bacteria have worse clinical

outcomes and longer and more costly treatment [7-9]. While XDR keratitis isolates are unusual,

the antibiotic resistance in ophthalmology monitoring study, ARMOR, has documented a

concerning frequency of antibiotic resistant keratitis isolates [10, 11].

Because of the existing threat of XDR pathogens, there is a need to identify new

antimicrobials for the topical treatment of keratitis. The latest antibiotic FDA approved for

treatment of eye infections, besifloxacin, was developed in the 1990s. The major antibiotics

(aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones) used for treatment of bacterial keratitis were approved

in the 1970s through the early 2000s. Fluoroquinolone and aminoglycoside class antibiotics

have concerning side effects and increasing rates of bacterial resistance leading to reduced use

for systemic infections [12-14]. The more recent ocular antibiotics, such as moxifloxacin and

besifloxacin, were designed for better efficacy against Gram-positive bacteria. As such, there is

a clear need for newer approaches to treat keratitis caused by Gram-negative bacteria.

Cefiderocol is a rationally designed antibiotic that combines a cephalosporin antibiotic

and siderophore-like iron binding moiety into one molecule (Figure 1 Chemical Structure) [15, 16]. It

effectively tricks bacteria into taking up the antibiotic due to the siderophore component, so it

has been called a Trojan horse strategy antibiotic [17]. The commercial formulation of cefiderocol,

FETROJA, was US FDA approved in 2019 for the treatment of complicated urinary tract

infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria [18]. Specifically, urinary tract infections caused

Enterobacter cloacae complex, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and nosocomial pneumonia caused *by Acinetobacter baumannii*,

Enterobacter cloacae complex, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, and *Serratia marcescens*. Cefiderocol was the only antibiotic to which artificial tear

associated outbreak XDR *P. aeruginosa* bacteria was susceptible *in vitro* [1]; however, it has not

been tested for topical use in the eye.

The goal of this study is to determine the suitability of cefiderocol as a topical antibiotic

for the treatment of experimental *P. aeruginosa* keratitis. Toward this objective, the susceptibility

of a panel of keratitis isolates to cefiderocol was evaluated *in vitro*. The tolerability of several

concentrations of cefiderocol was determined after topical application to New Zealand White

(NZW) rabbit eyes. Finally, the ability of highest tolerable concentration of topical cefiderocol to

treat *P. aeruginosa* keratitis caused by an antibiotic susceptible keratitis isolate and the XDR

strain from the artificial tear outbreak (obtained from the CDC) were evaluated in an

experimental NZW rabbit keratitis model. The efficacy of cefiderocol was compared to standard

of care antibiotics ciprofloxacin 0.3% and fortified tobramycin 14 mg/ml. The stability of the

topical cefiderocol solutions was evaluated over the course of a month. Lastly, the cefiderocol

concentrations in rabbit corneas was evaluated using a bioassay. Together the data from this

study support the idea that cefiderocol should be further evaluated for use in human patients for

P. aeruginosa keratitis caused by an XDR strain.

METHODS

Bacterial Strains

For *in vitro* Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determinations, deidentified *P. aeruginosa*

strains were isolated from patients with keratitis presenting to the Charles T. Campbell

Ophthalmic Microbiology Laboratory at the Department of Ophthalmology at the University of

Pittsburgh School of Medicine. For the *in vivo* studies, two strains of *P. aeruginosa* were used.

Strain K900 is a keratitis isolate previously used in experimental keratitis studies [19-21], and is a

cytotoxic ExoU+/ExoS- strain based on its genome sequence (Genbank

NZ_JAODAA000000000). Strain CDC1270 is an XDR isolate that was obtained from the CDC.

Strain CDC1270 was isolated from the cornea of a patient from the recent artificial tears eye

infection outbreak [1, 4, 5]. Isolates of the outbreak strain have been sequenced revealing multiple

resistance determinants [2, 5].

Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

MIC testing of the deidentified *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates for cefiderocol and other standard

of care antibiotics used to treat *P. aeruginosa* keratitis (tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, and

ceftazidime) was performed using MIC strips (LIOFILCHEM, Waltham, MA) as previously

described [22]. Resistance was determined using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

(CLSI) systemic breakpoints [23]. The MIC values for the strains used in the *in vivo* studies, K900

and CDC1270, are presented in Table 1.

Experimental Drugs

All drugs were purchased from the UPMC Presbyterian inpatient pharmacy. The saline used in

this study was 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection USP (saline) (Baxter Healthcare Corp. Deerfield,

IL). To prepare cefiderocol, one gram vials of the parenteral version of cefiderocol, FETROJA

(Cefiderocol for Injection, Shionogi Inc. Florham Park, NJ) were used. The vials were stored at

4°C as directed until reconstituted. A fresh preparation of cefiderocol was made for each *in vivo*

experiment. On the morning of each experiment, a 1 g vial of FETROJA was reconstituted with

10 ml of saline, and the vial was gently shaken to dissolve as per the manufacturer's

instructions. The vial was allowed to stand until the foaming generated on the surface had

disappeared (typically within 2 minutes). The reconstituted solution had a final volume of

approximately 11.2 ml and a concentration of 89 mg/ml. 8.43 ml of the 89 mg/ml cefiderocol

stock was added to 6.57 ml of sterile saline to yield 15 ml of 50 mg/ml cefiderocol. The pH of

this concentration was measured at 5.36 (Corning pH/ion analyzer 350, Corning, Corning NY).

For the tolerability study, subsequent dilutions of the 50 mg/ml cefiderocol formulation were

made in 0.9% sodium chloride injection, USP to produce concentrations of 25 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml,

and 5 mg/ml. For all *in vivo* experiments, the cefiderocol solutions were kept on ice and out of

light in a foil covered tube at all times. Following the experiments, the remaining cefiderocol

solutions and any aliquots were refrigerated and were used in subsequent stability testing

experiments.

For each keratitis trial, tobramycin 14 mg/ml was prepared from a 40 mg/ml stock of

TOBRAMYCIN INJECTION USP (Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, Lake Zurich IL). 3.5 ml of 40

mg/ml tobramycin was added to 6.5 ml of saline to yield 10 ml of 14 mg/ml of tobramycin. The

solution was kept on ice during the experiment. 5 ml bottles of Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride

Ophthalmic Solution, 0.3% (Sandoz Inc. Princeton, NJ) were used. The ciprofloxacin solution

contains 0.006% benzalkonium chloride as a preservative. The ciprofloxacin bottles were kept

at room temperature as directed. Saline served as the negative control and was kept on ice

during dosing.

The cefiderocol, tobramycin, and saline solutions were instilled using a Rainin E4 XLS

electronic pipet set in the multi-dispense mode. 37 µl drops were instilled. Ciprofloxacin 0.3%

was instilled using its commercial dropper bottle.

Animals

NZW female SPF rabbits weighing 1.1-1.4 kg were obtained from Charles River Laboratories

Canadian rabbitry. These studies conformed to the ARVO Statement on the Use of Animals in

Ophthalmic and Vision Research and were approved by the University of Pittsburgh's

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC Protocol 23053154).

Ocular Toxicity/Tolerability Study

An ocular toxicity/tolerability study was performed to determine whether several concentrations

of topical cefiderocol were toxic to the ocular surface and whether the topical drops were

tolerable. Fifteen NZW rabbits underwent a slit-lamp examination on the day before the ocular

toxicity/tolerability study to determine whether there were any preexisting abnormalities. On the

day of the study, the 15 rabbits were divided into 5 groups of 3 rabbits each: 1) Cefiderocol 50

mg/ml; 2) Cefiderocol 25 mg/ml; 3) Cefiderocol 10 mg/ml; 4) Cefiderocol 5 mg/ml; 5) Saline.

Topical 37 µl drops were instilled into both eyes every 30 minutes for 6 hours (13 total doses).

During each instillation, the behavior of the rabbits was documented and given a numerical

score based on their behavior. The scoring system used was the following: no reaction after

instillation (0), closed eyes after instillation (1); demonstrated delayed eye wiping (10 – 30

seconds) after instillation (2); demonstrated immediate eye wiping after instillation (3); flinching

after instillation (4); vocalizing after instillation (5). Following the final dose, the eyes were

examined using the slit-lamp and graded using the Modified MacDonald-Shaddock Scoring

System [24]. The eyes were again examined and graded 3 days later to determine whether any

delayed toxicity was produced.

P. aeruginosa **NZW Rabbit Keratitis Model**

A bacterial keratitis model optimized for evaluation of antimicrobials was used as previously

described [25, 26]. A total of 30 NZW rabbits were used in duplicate trials of 15 rabbits for

experiments involving each of the two *P. aeruginosa* strains, K900 and the CDC1270. The 30

total rabbits for each *P. aeruginosa* strain were divided into 5 groups of 6 rabbits.

The rabbits were anesthetized with 40 mg/kg of ketamine and 4 mg/kg of xylazine

administered intramuscularly. The corneas of the left eyes were anesthetized with topical 0.5%

proparacaine. The eyes were proptosed and 6 mm areas of the central corneal epithelium of the

left eyes were removed using an Amoils epithelial scrubber (Innovative Excimer Solutions,

Toronto, ON, Canada). The creation of the epithelial defect was to mimic the large epithelial

defects demonstrated in patients with XDR *P. aeruginosa* keratitis [2]. Immediately afterward, the

corneas were injected intrastromally with the 25 µl of phosphate buffered saline (PBS)

containing *P. aeruginosa* (~2000 colony forming units [CFU]) below the area from which the

epithelium was removed. Rabbits were treated with intramuscular doses of 1.5 mg/kg of

ketoprofen for analgesia.

Actual inocula for each trial was determined using the EddyJet 2 spiral plating system

(Neutec Group Inc., Farmingdale, NY) on 5% trypticase soy agar with 5% sheep's blood plates.

Plates were incubated for ~18-20 h at 37°C and the colonies were enumerated using the Flash

and Grow colony counting system (Neutec Group, Inc).

Sixteen hours after inoculation, the rabbits were divided into 5 groups (n=6): 1)

Cefiderocol 50 mg/ml; 2) Ciprofloxacin 0.3% (Standard of Care Control); 3) Tobramycin 14

mg/ml (Standard of Care Control); 4) Saline (Negative Control); and 5) No Treatment (Onset of

Therapy Control). Topical therapy for all groups was initiated at this time. The treatment regimen

consisted of 1 drop in both eyes every 15 minutes for 1 hour, then every 30 minutes for 7 hours

(19 total doses over 8 hours).

The final group (Group 5) was euthanized at 16 hours post-inoculation and the corneas

were harvested prior to drug treatment to establish baseline colony count determinations at the

onset of therapy. The rabbits were systemically anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine as

described above and euthanized with an intravenous overdose of Euthasol solution (390 mg/ml

pentobarbital sodium, 50 mg/ml phenytoin sodium) following the 2020 AVMA Euthanasia

Guidelines. Corneal buttons were harvested from the area encompassing visible corneal

opacities using a 10 mm trephine and placed into Lysing Matrix A tubes (MP Biomedicals)

containing 1 ml of PBS. The corneas were then homogenized with an MP Fast Prep-24

homogenizer (MP Biomedicals), and the numbers of corneal bacteria were enumerated as

described above.

Prior to the final topical treatments, the rabbits' eyes were examined using a slit-lamp

and photographed using the camera on the slit-lamp. The Onset of Therapy groups were also

similarly examined and photographed prior to euthanasia. Following the final topical treatment,

the rabbits were euthanized, the corneas harvested and homogenized, and colony count

determinations performed as described above. The areas of the corneal infiltrates produced for

each treatment group and *P. aeruginosa* strain were determined using ImageJ software (NIH)

from photographs taken of the eyes at a consistent distance and magnification.

Semi-quantitative Bioassay for Cefiderocol Stability and Corneal Concentrations

The stability of the topical 50 mg/ml concentrations of cefiderocol was determined using a

bioassay. After each rabbit experiment (ocular toxicity/tolerability and 4 bacterial keratitis

treatment trials), aliquots of the 50 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions were refrigerated. On the day of

the final bacterial keratitis study, a bioassay was performed in duplicate comparing the sizes of

zones of inhibition produced from previously prepared solutions to the freshly prepared solution.

On the day of the assay, 50 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions prepared 33, 27, 21, and 7 days

earlier and a freshly prepared 50 mg/ml solution were serially diluted in sterile saline to produce

cefiderocol concentrations of 5, 0.5, 0.05, and 0.005 mg/ml. *P. aeruginosa* strain K900 was

grown overnight at $37^{o}C$ on 5% trypticase soy agar with 5% sheep's blood plates. Several

colonies of *P. aeruginosa* strain were picked up with a sterile Dacron swab and 10 ml of sterile

saline was inoculated with the bacteria until it was turbid. A new sterile Dacron swab was used

to inoculate Mueller-Hinton agar plates to create lawns of bacteria over the entire surface of the

plates. Four blank 6 mm filter paper disks were placed onto the surface of each plate. The 4

disks per plate were then inoculated with 20 µl of each of the test cefiderocol concentrations of

5, 0.5, 0.05, and 0.005 mg/ml and the disks were allowed to dry. The plates were incubated

overnight at 37°C. The following day, the zones of inhibition for each concentration and

preparation were measured in mm and compared. The assay was performed in duplicate for

each preparation.

To determine approximate cefiderocol corneal concentrations, corneas from both the

K900 and CDC1270 keratitis studies (n=12) were used in this analysis as they were all treated

with the same regimen of 50 mg/ml cefiderocol. Following homogenizing and processing of the

corneal buttons for colony count determinations, the supernatants of the homogenates of the

cefiderocol treated corneas were processed to determine approximate cefiderocol

concentrations in the homogenates. The homogenates were centrifuged and filtered through

Costar Sin-X, 0.22µm cellulose acetate filters, to remove the live bacteria. Samples were either

assayed at that time or were refrigerated at 4°C prior to testing for antibiotic activity. For this

assay, a 50 mg/ml (50,000 µg/ml) cefiderocol solution was diluted in sterile saline to final

concentrations of 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, 3.125, and 1.56 µg/ml. These concentrations served as the

standard concentrations for the assay. Mueller-Hinton agar plates were prepared as in the

Cefiderocol Stability Bioassay above. Blank disks were inoculated with 20 µl of each of the

standard cefiderocol concentrations and the undiluted corneal homogenate filtrates. The plates

were incubated, and the zones of inhibition measured as described above. The zone sizes were

modified to subtract the 6 mm disk size. The modified zone sizes of the corneal homogenate

solutions were inserted into concentration equations produced using a Linear Fitted Line Plot

Regression Analysis of cefiderocol concentration vs. zone size for the cefiderocol standards

(Minitab Version 19, State College, PA) to determine the approximate active cefiderocol

concentrations contained in the corneal homogenates.

Statistical Analysis

The MIC data was placed into a GraphPad Prism worksheet and the median, mode, range,

MIC_{50} and MIC_{90} determinations were calculated. The modified MacDonald-Shaddock scores

and the behavioral scores from the Ocular Toxicity/Tolerability study were analyzed non-

parametrically using Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA with Dunn's multiple comparisons test (GraphPad

Prism). The corneal colony counts + 1 were log_{10} transformed and analyzed using ANOVA with

Tukey's multiple comparisons test (GraphPad Prism) for test agent analysis.

RESULTS

Antibiotic Susceptibility Analysis of *P. aeruginosa* Keratitis Isolates to Cefiderocol and

Standard of Care Antibiotics

The MIC values of keratitis isolates to cefiderocol were evaluated and all were found to be

susceptible (Table 2 and Figure 2). There was a significantly higher frequency of isolates

susceptible to cefiderocol than the other tested antibiotics including *P. aeruginosa* keratitis

standard of care antibiotics ciprofloxacin (93.3% susceptible) and tobramycin (28%). The

cephalosporin ceftazidime that has been suggested as an alternative to ciprofloxacin and

tobramycin for treating keratitis caused by resistant isolates also had a significantly lower

frequency of susceptibility compared to cefiderocol (94.9%).

Ocular Toxicity and Tolerability of Topical Cefiderocol

The effect of four different concentrations of cefiderocol for ocular toxicity was measured using

the Modified MacDonald Shadduck scoring system. The results are divided into conjunctival

scores with a 10 point total possible score and corneal scores with a 16 point possible score.

Following instillation into both eyes every 30 minutes for 6 hours (13 total doses). There was a

dose dependent increase in scores within the cefiderocol treatment groups for the conjunctival

scores (congestion, chemosis, and discharge) but the differences were not significant (Figure

3A). As seen in Figure 3B, there were no clinical signs of corneal pathology seen and there was

no fluorescein staining demonstrated in any of the corneas. Photographs of all eyes on the day

of treatment are presented in Figure S1.

Instillation of the 50 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions produced more behavioral

signs from the rabbits than the 10 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions as well as the saline

control over the course of the dosing. Based on the scoring system, both the 50 mg/ml and 25

mg/ml cefiderocol solutions produced a median score of 1.0 which equates to eye closing after

instillation (Figure 3C). This is the minimal score after no reaction. Infrequently, there were other

behavioral signs such as eye wiping and flinching demonstrated in the 50 mg/ml group as well

as eye wiping in the 25 mg/ml group.

There was resolution of all conjunctival findings from day 0 to day 3 suggesting there

was no delayed ocular toxicity associated with topical cefiderocol treatment. Since the maximum

tested cefiderocol concentration was well tolerated and was same concentration as other cell

wall targeting antibiotics (cefazolin, ceftazidime, and vancomycin), the highest concentration

tested, 50 mg/ml, was selected for use in the keratitis studies.

Efficacy of Cefiderocol against an Antibiotic-Susceptible *P. aeruginosa* Isolate in the

NZW Rabbit Keratitis Model

The majority of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates are not multidrug resistant [10, 27]. Therefore, we

first employed an antibiotic susceptible strain, K900 (Table 1) in our NZW rabbit keratitis model.

These corneas were injected with a mean of 2350 ± 1414 CFU of *P. aeruginosa* K900 for the

two trials. At 16 h post-infection, CFU from one group was measured prior to treatment (Onset).

After 8 hours of topical treatment CFU were evaluated (Figure 4A). There was no increase in

CFU in the saline group compared to the Onset for this strain suggesting that the maximum

bacterial burden had been achieved. All antibiotic treatment groups had significantly reduced

CFU compared to the saline control group. These decreases reached the level of bactericidal

(99.9%) reductions compared to the Onset control. Furthermore, 67% of the corneas were

sterilized with cefiderocol and ciprofloxacin treatments, while 50% of the corneas were sterilized

with tobramycin treatment. There were no significant differences in CFU, or the number of eyes

sterilized between the three antibiotic treatments.

Antibacterial efficacy of Cefiderocol against an Extensively-Drug Resistant *P. aeruginosa*

Keratitis Isolate in the NZW Rabbit Keratitis Model

By contrast to strain K900, the CDC1270 strain from the artificial tears outbreak was an XDR

strain (Table 1). CDC1270 was used in the NZW rabbit keratitis model as was K900 above. A

mean of 2325 ± 955 CFU of CDC1270 were injected for the two trials. The CFU at the Onset of

therapy was nearly identical between strains. Unlike strain K900, the CDC1270 strain continued

to proliferate by approximately 10-fold over the 8 hour treatment period in the saline group. Both

cefiderocol and tobramycin significantly reduced CFU (Figure 4B) compared to the saline

control whereas ciprofloxacin did not significantly reduce CFU. Cefiderocol was also significantly

more effective than both ciprofloxacin and tobramycin in reducing corneal colony counts of XDR

P. aeruginosa. Cefiderocol achieved a bactericidal (>99.9%) reduction compared to the saline

treatment group but not the Onset group (>99%). Tobramycin did not achieve a 99% decrease

in CFU compared to the saline control group. Moreover, cefiderocol sterilized 33% of the

corneas compared to 0% for tobramycin and ciprofloxacin.

Corneal Infiltrate Size

The areas of corneal infiltrates were measured from photographs taken from each eye prior to

euthanasia (Figures 4C and 4D). In both K900 and CDC1270, infected eyes treated with

ciprofloxacin trended toward being larger than the saline control and smaller than saline when

treated with tobramycin and cefiderocol (Figures 4C and 4D). In all cases the cefiderocol treated

eyes had significantly smaller infiltrates compared to the ciprofloxacin treated eyes (p<0.05).

Approximate Corneal Cefiderocol Concentrations

The mean and standard deviation cefiderocol concentration obtained from the corneal

homogenates from eyes infected with K900 and CDC1270 was 23.88 ± 8.69 µg/ml. The

concentrations ranged from 12.53 µg/ml to 38.58 µg/ml per cornea. The mean cefiderocol

corneal concentration was 191x greater than the MIC_{90} (0.125 µg/ml) and 25x greater than the

MIC_{100} (0.94 µg/ml) of the *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates tested. Furthermore, the entire range

of cefiderocol corneal concentrations was well above the MIC_{90} and MIC_{100} values.

Stability of Refrigerated 50 mg/ml Cefiderocol

The results of stability testing of refrigerated 50 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions are

presented in Figure 5. The zone sizes for all cefiderocol concentrations and refrigeration times

are essentially the same suggesting that there is no loss in bioactivity of refrigerated 50 mg/ml

cefiderocol solutions for up to 33 days.

DISCUSSION

The reports of the recent outbreak of XDR *P. aeruginosa* ocular infections demonstrated

a concerning lack of efficacy of conventional topical antibiotics. Several reports describe

utilization of off label medications to attempt to control these aggressive infections. In two

reports topically applied colistin by itself or in combination with fortified tobramycin-soaked

collagen shields was used and resolved the infections after close to two months of therapy [28, 29].

In another paper, a patient was treated topically with polymyxin B/trimethoprim and imipenem-

cilastatin, but the infection was not resolved during the 1 month course of the published study [3].

In another report, Morelli and colleagues [2], used intravenous cefiderocol in combination with

topical application of imipenem-cilastatin and polymyxin B/trimethoprim and oral doxycycline.

The patient's infection appeared to resolve suggesting successful antibiotic treatment. As these

reports demonstrate, there is no current consensus as to the most effective antimicrobial

strategy to deal with XSR keratitis. Our current study was designed to test cefiderocol as a

potential topical therapy for keratitis caused by XDR *P. aeruginosa*.

We observed that strains from our collection of keratitis isolates were more consistently

susceptible to cefiderocol than ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, or tobramycin. Notably the CLSI

susceptibility breakpoints for tobramycin have recently been changed from 4.0 µg/ml to 1.0

µg/ml [30] which accounts for the high level of *in vitro* resistance recorded in this study. The

systemic susceptibility breakpoints are not particularly useful for topical application because

much higher local concentrations can be achieved in ocular tissue [26, 31, 32]. However, strains

such as the artificial tears outbreak XDR isolates have very high MIC values to commonly used

antibiotics that may render them unable to reduce corneal bacteria even with topical drops. This

study employed Mueller-Hinton II agar which is commonly used for MIC testing rather than iron-

depleted media that can be used for testing susceptibility to cefiderocol [33, 34]. This means that

the measured values reported here may overestimate the MIC values.

50 mg/ml and 25 mg/ml cefiderocol were associated with conjunctival congestion,

chemosis, and discharge; however, significant changes in the conjunctival signs were not

observed. Most importantly, no corneal toxicity or fluorescein staining was produced for any

cefiderocol concentration. The most common behavioral sign noted in the 50 mg/ml and 25

mg/ml concentrations was the closing of eyes after instillation. Occasionally, the rabbits wiped

their eyes or even flinched after instillation in those groups. While these signs were not seen

with the lower cefiderocol concentrations or the saline control they are similar to what has been

previously reported with fortified antibiotics such as vancomycin. The pH of 50 mg/ml

cefiderocol solution was acidic at 5.36, but is higher than other topical formulations such as

ciprofloxacin 0.3% ophthalmic solution (pH 4.5) [35] and fortified vancomycin 50 mg/ml (pH ~3.0)

[36]. There was no ocular toxicity or tolerability issues with the 10 mg/ml and 5 mg/ml cefiderocol

concentrations. The data suggest that the tested formulations were well tolerated, but a specific

ocular formulation with a more neutral pH may be advantageous. In this study however, we

followed the manufacturer's instructions for reconstitution and did not attempt to use a buffered

solution for reconstitution to avoid any potential adverse effects on the activity or stability of

cefiderocol. Based on the overall data from the ocular toxicity/tolerability study, we chose to use

the highest cefiderocol concentration (50 mg/ml) for use in the NZW rabbit bacterial keratitis

studies.

We employed a rabbit bacterial keratitis model that has previously been used for pre-

clinical testing of antibiotics such as besifloxacin and gatifloxacin prior to their ophthalmic

adoption. The XDR outbreak strain and a more typical antibiotic-susceptible *P. aeruginosa*

keratitis isolate were used, as were standard of care antibiotics ciprofloxacin 0.3% and fortified

tobramycin 14 mg/ml. Cefiderocol 50 mg/ml, ciprofloxacin 0.3%, and tobramycin 14 mg/ml

showed equivalent efficacy against the antibiotic-susceptible strain K900. This is a similar

outcome to a prior report for strain K900 and ciprofloxacin [20], and served to demonstrate that

the ciprofloxacin and tobramycin antibiotics and treatment protocol used in this study were

effective against a susceptible strain.

While all the antibiotic treatments indicated antibacterial efficacy against the antibiotic-

susceptible *P. aeruginosa* strain K900, that was not the case with the XDR *P. aeruginosa* strain

CDC1270. Cefiderocol produced a significant >3 Log_{10} decrease in colony counts compared to

the saline control, a >2 Log_{10} decrease compared to ciprofloxacin and a > 1.4 Log_{10} compared

to tobramycin. These differences were all significant. In addition, tobramycin 14 mg/ml also

significantly decreased CDC1270 colony counts compared to the saline control.

Using a semi-quantitative method for determining cefiderocol concentrations, we

determined that with aggressive topical treatment, the corneas achieved mean cefiderocol

concentrations 25X higher than the MIC_{100} of the *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates tested in this

study. These results demonstrate that high concentrations of cefiderocol can be achieved in

corneas in which the corneal epithelium has been largely removed, which is the usual case with

patients presenting with *P. aeruginosa* corneal ulcers.

We generally find no differences between the clinical signs produced among the various

treatments in *P. aeruginosa* keratitis studies. This is due to clinical signs of infection being

already present at the Onset of Therapy, and the short treatment duration (8 hours). However,

during a review of the photographs of the bacterial keratitis studies, one notable clinical finding

was observed. Corneal infiltrate areas were smallest in the cefiderocol treated eyes in all

experiments suggesting that it is not antagonistic to healing or pro-inflammatory.

When designing this study, we had a concern about the stability of the cefiderocol

solution. The product insert for FETROJA states that reconstituted solution can be stored at

room temperature for up to 1 hour in the vial. Furthermore, it is recommended that FETROJA

solution in the vial be immediately diluted. Diluted FETROJA was said to be stable for up to 6

hours at room temperature, and up to 24 hours when refrigerated and protected from light.

(FETROJA Product Insert FET-PI-03). Because of these statements, we immediately diluted the

FETROJA from the vial to produce the 50 mg/ml cefiderocol solution. This solution was made

on the days of the experiments, kept on ice and in foil covered tubes during the dosing, and all

excess was stored in foil covered tubes in a refrigerator. We chose to assess the stability of the

50 mg/ml cefiderocol solutions using a bioassay to determine activity. We found that there was

no loss in antibiotic activity up to 33 days when stored refrigerated and out of light. This is

important because patients will be using the drops for several days. Typically, fortified antibiotics

have an expiration of 72 hours after preparation. Additional studies investigating the stability of

the cefiderocol solutions at other temperature and storage conditions are indicated to mimic the

potential storage conditions in the patient setting.

Limitations of the study include only testing *P. aeruginosa*, whereas keratitis can be

caused by a number of bacterial pathogens and our data cannot speak to cefiderocol's activity

against them. One clear limitation of cefiderocol is its lack of documented efficacy against

Gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* which is a frequent cause of keratitis. A

longer-term study showing final outcomes would also be helpful. Nevertheless, this study

demonstrates that topical cefiderocol is effective for treatment of *P. aeruginosa* in an animal

model that is predictive of patient efficacy. This was true for a non-antibiotic resistant strain and

for an XDR *P. aeruginosa* isolate from the recent outbreak. In conclusion, this is the first study

to evaluate the ocular toxicity and antibacterial efficacy of topical ocular cefiderocol. Our results

suggest that further development of cefiderocol for use as a topical ophthalmic drop for

treatment of XDR *P. aeruginosa* keratitis is warranted.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Charles T. Campbell Ophthalmic Microbiology Laboratory,

National Institutes of Health grants R01EY027331 (to R.S.), and CORE Grant P30 EY08098 to

the Department of Ophthalmology. The Eye and Ear Foundation of Pittsburgh and from an

unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, New York, NY provided additional

departmental funding.

466 **Table 1. Susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study**

Antibiotic	K900 (MIC µg/ml)	CDC1270 (MIC µg/ml)
Cefiderocol	0.023 (S)	0.125 (S)
Ceftazidime	ND	>256 (R)
Ciprofloxacin	0.19 (S)	>32 (R)
Tobramycin	0.5 (S)	>256 (R)

467 ND, not determined; (S), Susceptible; (R), Resistant
468

470 **Table 2. Susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis isolates. MIC values are expressed in**

471 **µg/ml.**

Antibiotic	n=	Median	Mode	MIC_{50}	MIC_{90}	Range	% Susceptible
Cefiderocol	135	0.094	0.064	0.094	0.125	<0.016-0.94	100%
Ceftazidime	137	2.0	1.5	2.0	8.0	0.75->256	94.9%
Ciprofloxacin	164	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.5	0.047-1.5	93.3%
Tobramycin	164	1.5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.047-8	28%

472 Susceptibility was determined using CLSI breakpoints [23].
473

References

1.	Prevention CfDCa. Outbreak of Extensively Drug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Associated with Artificial Tears. In: Network DvtCHA, ed. DCHAN-004852023; v. 2023.

2.	Morelli MK, Kloosterboer A, Fulton SA, et al. Investigating and Treating a Corneal Ulcer Due to Extensively Drug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2023;67(7):e0027723.

3.	Shoji MK, Gutkind NE, Meyer BI, et al. Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Keratitis Associated With Artificial Tear Use. JAMA Ophthalmol 2023;141(5):499-500.

4.	Velcani F, Kuo IC, Shanks RMQ, et al. Association of Artificial Tears with Ocular and Systemic Infection: Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (VIM-GES-CRPA) Outbreak. Ophthalmology 2023.

5.	Sundermann AJ, Srinivasa VR, Mills EG, et al. Two artificial tears outbreak-associated cases of XDR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* detected through whole genome sequencing-based surveillance. medRxiv 2023.

6.	Salam MA, Al-Amin MY, Salam MT, et al. Antimicrobial Resistance: A Growing Serious Threat for Global Public Health. Healthcare (Basel) 2023;11(13).

7.	Hilliam Y, Kaye S, Winstanley C. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and microbial keratitis. J Med Microbiol 2020;69(1):3-13.

8.	Pachigolla G, Blomquist P, Cavanagh HD. Microbial keratitis pathogens and antibiotic susceptibilities: a 5-year review of cases at an urban county hospital in north Texas. Eye Contact Lens 2007;33(1):45-9.

9.	Vazirani J, Wurity S, Ali MH. Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Keratitis: Risk Factors, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes. Ophthalmology 2015;122(10):2110-4.

10.	Bispo PJM, Sahm DF, Asbell PA. A Systematic Review of Multi-decade Antibiotic Resistance Data for Ocular Bacterial Pathogens in the United States. Ophthalmol Ther 2022;11(2):503-20.

11.	Thomas RK, Melton R, Vollmer PM, Asbell PA. In Vitro Antibiotic Resistance among Bacteria from the Cornea in the Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring in Ocular MicRoorganisms Surveillance Study. Optom Vis Sci 2021;98(9):1113-21.

12.	Bove C, Baldock RA, Champigneulle O, et al. Fluoroquinolones: old drugs, putative new toxicities. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2022;21(11):1365-78.

13.	Dillard LK, Wu CZ, Saunders JE, McMahon CM. A scoping review of global aminoglycoside antibiotic overuse: A potential opportunity for primary ototoxicity prevention. Res Social Adm Pharm 2022;18(8):3220-9.

14.	Nunzio CDE, Nacchia A, Lombardo R, et al. Is EMA warning on quinolones and fluoroquinolones really assessed? An EudraVigilance database analysis. Minerva Urol Nephrol 2023;75(3):374-80.

15.	Choi JJ, McCarthy MW. Cefiderocol: a novel siderophore cephalosporin. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2018;27(2):193-7.

16.	Domingues S, Lima T, Saavedra MJ, Da Silva GJ. An Overview of Cefiderocol's Therapeutic Potential and Underlying Resistance Mechanisms. Life (Basel) 2023;13(7).

17.	Tonziello G, Caraffa E, Pinchera B, et al. Present and future of siderophore-based therapeutic and diagnostic approaches in infectious diseases. Infect Dis Rep 2019;11(2):8208.

18.   Naseer S, Weinstein EA, Rubin DB, et al. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA):
Benefit-Risk Considerations for Cefiderocol (Fetroja(R)). Clin Infect Dis 2021;72(12):e1103-e11.
19.   Brothers KM, Kowalski RP, Tian S, et al. Bacteria induce autophagy in a human ocular
surface cell line. Exp Eye Res 2018;168:12-8.
20.   Kowalski RP, Romanowski EG, Mah FS, et al. Topical levofloxacin 1.5% overcomes in
vitro resistance in rabbit keratitis models. Acta Ophthalmol 2010;88(4):e120-5.
21.   Brothers KM, Callaghan JD, Stella NA, et al. Blowing epithelial cell bubbles with GumB:
ShlA-family pore-forming toxins induce blebbing and rapid cellular death in corneal epithelial
cells. PLoS Pathog 2019;15(6):e1007825.
22.   Harshaw NS, Stella NA, Lehner KM, et al. Antibiotics Used in Empiric Treatment of
Ocular Infections Trigger the Bacterial Rcs Stress Response System Independent of Antibiotic
Susceptibility. Antibiotics 2021;10(9):1033.
23.   Simner PJ, Patel R. Cefiderocol Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Considerations: the
Achilles' Heel of the Trojan Horse? J Clin Microbiol 2020;59(1).
24.   Altmann S, Emanuel A, Toomey M, et al. A quantitative rabbit model of vaccinia
keratitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2010;51(9):4531-40.
25.   Kowalski RP, Romanowski EG, Yates KA, Gordon YJ. Lomefloxacin is an effective
treatment of experimental bacterial keratitis. Cornea 2001;20(3):306-8.
26.   Mah FS, Romanowski EG, Kowalski RP, et al. Zymar (Gatifloxacin 0.3%) shows excellent
Gram-negative activity against *Serratia marcescens* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a New
Zealand White rabbit keratitis model. Cornea 2007;26(5):585-8.
27.   Shekhawat NS, Hall LN, Sulewski ME, Jr., et al. Corneal Culture and Antibiotic
Susceptibility Results for Microbial Keratitis in the Mid-Atlantic Region of the United States,
2016 to 2020. Eye Contact Lens 2023;49(7):267-74.
28.   Rezaei S, Steen D, Amin S. Successful treatment of an extensively drug-resistant
pseudomonal ulcer associated with contaminated artificial tears. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep
2023;32:101909.
29.   Maticorena-Quevedo J, Patino-Valderrama L, Arellano-Caro K. Use of topical colistin in
bacterial keratitis caused by extensively drug-resistant Pseudomonas Aeruginosa: a case report.
Arq Bras Oftalmol 2023;86(4):384-7.
30.   Humphries RM. AST News Update June 2023: New! CLSI M100-Ed33: Updated
Aminoglycoside Breakpoints for Enterobacterales and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. 2023; v. 2023.
31.   Kowalski RP, Romanowski EG, Yates KA, et al. Is there a role for topical penicillin
treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* keratitis based on elevated corneal concentrations?
Journal of Clinical Ophthalmology and Optometry 2018;2(1):103.
32.   Romanowski EG, Mah FS, Yates KA, et al. The successful treatment of gatifloxacin-
resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* keratitis with Zymar (gatifloxacin 0.3%) in a NZW rabbit model.
Am J Ophthalmol 2005;139(5):867-77.
33.   Ito A, Kohira N, Bouchillon SK, et al. In vitro antimicrobial activity of S-649266, a
catechol-substituted siderophore cephalosporin, when tested against non-fermenting Gram-
negative bacteria. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016;71(3):670-7.
34.   Ito A, Sato T, Ota M, et al. In Vitro Antibacterial Properties of Cefiderocol, a Novel
Siderophore Cephalosporin, against Gram-Negative Bacteria. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
2018;62(1).

35.   Wilhelmus KR, Abshire RL. Corneal ciprofloxacin precipitation during bacterial keratitis.
Am J Ophthalmol 2003;136(6):1032-7.
36.   Lin JM, Tsai YY, Fu YL. The fixed combination of fortified vancomycin and amikacin
ophthalmic solution--VA solution: in vitro study of the potency and stability. Cornea
2005;24(6):717-21.
568

Figure 1. Structure of cefidericol.

Figure 2. Susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to selected antibiotics based on MIC values compared to CLSI break points. Asterisks indicated significant differences from cefiderocol group by chi-square with Yates correction. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.0001.

Figure 3. Tolerability and behavioral impact of cefiderocol treatment. A-B.
Toxicity scores (max 10 for conjunctival, 16 for corneal) of NZWR exposed to
topical drops of cefoderocol. Medians and interquartile ranges are shown. n=6.
No statistical differences were found between groups. **C.** Behavioral signs of
NZW rabbits exposed to cefoderocol topical drops. Medians and interquartile
ranges are shown, n=39. Asterostiks inticate significant differences from saline
group by Kruskal Wallis with Dunn's Post-test, p<0.001.

Figure 4. Efficacy of antibacterial treatment. A-B. The CFU per cornea were determined prior to treatment (Onset) or after 8h of topical treatment. Asterisks indicated significant differences from the saline group by ANOVA with Tukey's Post-test. The pistacio lines represent a 99%, and the red lines a 99.9% reducion in CFU compared to the onset. n=6 except for onset group n≥3. **C-D.** Images of eyes were taken prior to euthanasia from a fixed distance. The onset group was imaged 16 h post infection, and before treatment. The other groups had 16 h of untreated infection, followed by 8 hours of treatment as indicated. Relative infiltrate area was determined using ImageJ software. Mean and SD are shown and were compared by ANOVA and Tukey's post-test. *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ****, p<0.0001.

Figure 5. In vitro antimicrobial efficacy of solubilized cefiderocol stored at 4˚C. Zones of inhibition of *P. aeruginosa* strain K900 are shown. Mean and SD of replicate experiments are shown.