Neonatal enteroids absorb extracellular vesicles from human milk-fed infant

digestive fluid

Claire Yung[1], Madeline Kuhn[1], Randall J. Armstrong[2,3], Amy Olyaei[4], Molly Aloia[4], Brian

Scottoline[1,4], and Sarah F. Andres[1]*.

[1]Department of Pediatrics, Pediatric GI Division, School of Medicine, [2]Knight Cancer

Institute, [3]Cancer Early Detection Advanced Research (CEDAR), [4]Division of

Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland,

OR, USA 97229

*Corresponding author, andress@ohsu.edu

Running head: Extracellular vesicles are in infant digesta

Keywords (max of 6)

Human milk, digestion, neonatal enteroids, extracellular vesicles, necrotizing

enterocolitis, absorption

Supplemental material available at:

URL: https://figshare.com/s/3a8aae771406d1075378

ABSTRACT

Human milk contains extracellular vesicles (HMEVs). Pre-clinical models suggest that

HMEVs may enhance intestinal function and limit inflammation; however, it is unknown if

HMEVs or their cargo survive neonatal human digestion. This limits the ability to

leverage HMEV cargo as additives to infant nutrition or as therapeutics. This study

aimed to develop an EV isolation pipeline from small volumes of human milk and

neonatal intestinal contents after milk feeding (digesta) to address the hypothesis that

HMEVs survive in vivo neonatal digestion to be taken up intestinal epithelial cells (IECs).

Digesta was collected from nasoduodenal sampling tubes or ostomies. EVs were

isolated from raw and pasteurized human milk and digesta by density-gradient

ultracentrifugation following two-step skimming, acid precipitation of caseins, and multi-

step filtration. EVs were validated by electron microscopy, western blotting, nanoparticle

tracking analysis, resistive pulse sensing, and super-resolution microscopy. EV uptake

was tested in human neonatal enteroids. HMEVs and digesta EVs (dEVs) show typical

EV morphology and are enriched in CD81 and CD9, but depleted of β-casein and

lactalbumin. HMEV and some dEV fractions contain mammary gland-derived protein

BTN1A1. Neonatal human enteroids rapidly take up dEVs in part via clathrin-mediated

endocytosis. Our data suggest that EVs can be isolated from digestive fluid and that

these dEVs can be absorbed by IECs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Human milk (HM) contains numerous bioactive factors with nutritive and nonnutritive benefits to promote the health and long-term well-being of the developing infant (1). For example, feeding human milk enhances intestinal function and reduces the risk of necrotizing enterocolitis (2-10), promotes healthy brain development (11), and reduces the risk of chronic disorders, such as asthma or inflammatory bowel disease (12).

Human milk is replete with extracellular vesicles (EVs), which are nanoparticle-sized structures secreted by most cells within the human body (13). EVs, including human milk EVs (HMEVs), carry biological information between donor and recipient cells, to confer changes in cellular function or biological activity (14-22). HMEVs have putative beneficial effects, as shown by a combination of in vitro and animal studies demonstrating that HMEVs promote intestinal epithelial cell (IEC) proliferation (16, 19, 20), reduce experimental necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC), limit inflammatory (15-17, 21, 22) or non-inflammatory damage (15, 19, 23), promote expression of intestinal barrier proteins (21), or enhance epithelial barrier function (15, 18). Collectively, these data suggest that HMEVs confer functional benefits on IECs and hold immense therapeutic promise for humans. To do so, however, HMEVs must survive infant digestion to reach the intestinal epithelium.

Several in vitro studies indicate that HMEVs are minimally impacted by a pH of 4-4.5 mirroring that of a term or preterm infant stomach, as well as incubation with several gastrointestinal proteases (24-26). More recent work shows that bovine milk EVs are intact after exposure to a pH as low as 1.3 (15). Furthermore, existing animal studies indicate that bovine or murine milk-derived EVs survive murine digestion to reach the placenta and embryo (27) or intestine and brain (28, 29). Studies also demonstrate that HMEVs confer beneficial effects within the murine intestine (15, 17, 21), suggesting that

they too may survive murine digestion. Yet it remains unknown if HMEVs survive

neonatal human digestion to functionally impact the neonatal human intestinal

epithelium. Answering this question is a critical next step for understanding the ability to

orally deliver HMEVs as therapeutics or drug carriers.

The neonatal intestinal epithelium is thought to take up macromolecules through

bulk endocytosis (30, 31), a mechanism unique to the neonate where endocytic cargo is

broken down by the lysosome. Existing data similarly suggest that endocytosis is the

primary mechanism of EV uptake, although precise mechanisms may vary depending on

the cell of EV origin and the acceptor cell type (32, 33). Studies examining bovine milk

EV uptake in mice or human cell lines (Caco2) indicate that the FcRn receptor plays a

role in the uptake of some milk EVs (34, 35).

Studies examining HMEV uptake are limited and use transformed IEC lines, such

as Caco2 (24) or HIEC (25) cells. Although these cell lines are commonly used to model

the small intestinal epithelium (36, 37), Caco2 cells are derived from human colorectal

cancer (38, 39), while HIEC cells are derived from 17-19 week fetal ileum (40). To date,

the uptake of HMEVs by primary, human neonatal IECs remains unexplored.

In this study, we hypothesized that a proportion of HMEVs in human milk survive

in vivo infant digestion to reach the small intestine and be taken up by IECs. To test this

hypothesis, we utilized a rare and valuable set of fed human milk and intestinal contents

(digesta) samples isolated from infants in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). We

optimized a relatively high-purity EV isolation pipeline allowing for the isolation of

HMEVs from 1 mL of raw human milk (RHM), pasteurized donor human milk (PDHM), or

digesta. We also developed and characterized a primary human neonatal enteroid

culture system to examine digesta EV (dEV) uptake ex vivo. Enteroids are 3D organoid

cultures grown from intestinal stem cells (41, 42). In contrast to immortalized cell lines

used in previous studies (24, 25), primary neonatal enteroids are arguably a more

physiologically relevant model for studying normal IEC function (43) as they are derived

from normal infant intestinal stem cells and develop multiple differentiated IEC types.

Our data demonstrate for the first time that EVs can be isolated from human milk-

fed infant digesta. These dEVs are readily and robustly endocytosed by neonatal

enteroids containing differentiated IECs, primarily via dynamin-dependent processes.

This study addresses a critical gap in understanding the potential role(s) of HMEVs in

the infant intestine and the pipeline for HMEV therapeutic development.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

All human studies were approved by the Oregon Health & Science University (OHSU)

Institutional Review Board (IRB) IRB #17968 and #21952. Milk and digesta samples

were collected after obtaining parental informed consent; tissue was obtained via IRB-

approved processes.

2.1 Sample collection

Human milk samples, collection, and storage are listed in **Tables 1** and **2**. All samples

were aliquoted and frozen before processing and every effort was taken to minimize

freeze thaws. Raw human milk (RHM) or pasteurized donor human milk (PDHM)

collection was performed under OHSU IRB #17968. PDHM was obtained from the

Northwest Mother's Milk Bank (Beaverton, OR, USA) or Prolacta (City of Industry, CA,

USA).

In vivo, digested milk samples (digesta) were collected from infants in the

Doernbecher Children's Hospital NICU at OHSU under IRB #17968. Infant inclusion

criteria were: infants already admitted to the NICU, greater than 26 weeks post-

menstrual age, presence of an indwelling nasogastric or orogastric feeding tube, and

tolerating full enteral feeding volumes. Infants were excluded from the study if they had

anatomic or functional gastrointestinal disorders that would affect digestion, were

medically unstable, or were non-viable. Digested milk samples were collected from three

infants (**Table 2**). Feeds were delivered via a gastric tube over 30 min or less. For

samples (a) and (b), a post-pyloric collection tube (PPT) was placed into the duodenum

or proximal jejunum before feeding. Samples were collected via gravity flow as the

digesta passed the PPT port and dripped into sterile vials, placed on ice. The samples

were aliquoted and stored at −80 °C. Sample (c) was collected from a fresh, sterile

ostomy bag as the digesta exited the stoma. Stoma output was only allowed to

accumulate in the bag long enough to reach a feasibly collected volume.

2.2 Isolation of extracellular vesicles from human milk and neonatal digesta

Samples were quickly thawed in a 37 °C water bath and used immediately (44, 45). A

step-by-step illustration is depicted in **Figure 1**. One milliliter of RHM, PDHM, or digesta

was used for each isolation. Samples were centrifuged at 6,000 x g for 10 min at 4 °C to

remove cream and large cellular debris (46). The supernatant was carefully collected

and centrifuged again at 2,000 x g for 20 min at 4 °C to further remove any fat globules

and/or smaller cellular debris. This supernatant was then passed through Whatman^{TM}

Grade 1 filter paper (diameter 42.5 mm) using a glass funnel before isoelectric casein

precipitation.

Acetic acid (1 and 10 N) was used to adjust the pH of the supernatant (initial pH

6.8 - 7.4) to 4.6 using a portable pH meter (Southern Labware, Fisher Scientific,

Hampton, NH, USA). Acetic acid was added to samples ≤1 µL at a time, and samples

were briefly mixed after each acid addition. Once the pH was adjusted to 4.6, samples

were incubated at room temperature for 10 min before final centrifugation at 5,000 x g

for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was carefully collected and was passed through

Whatman^{TM} Grade 1 filter paper (diameter 42.5 mm) using a glass funnel, a 0.45 µm

syringe filter, and a 0.22 μm syringe filter to remove casein. The residual fluid yielded

clarified HM or digesta.

Density gradient ultracentrifugation using a SW Type 55 Ti swinging bucket rotor

in LM-70 ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) was achieved using

OptiPrep^{TM} iodixanol solution (60% iodixanol, w/v) following the bottom-up technique

(47). A 45% (w/v) bottom layer was made by diluting OptiPrep™ solution with clarified

HM or digesta sample (2.25 mL OptiPrep™ + 0.75 mL sample = 3 mL) into a 5 mL open-

top thin wall ultra-clear tube (Beckman Coulter). A discontinuous gradient was made with

40% (w/v), 30% (w/v), 20% (w/v) and 10% (w/v) solutions of iodixanol (diluted with

sterile, 0.1 μm-filtered phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) carefully layered on top of the

prior density, drop by drop. The ultracentrifugation was carried out at 169,522 $\times$ g

(37,400 rpm) for 24 hours at 4 ºC with zero acceleration and deceleration. After

ultracentrifugation, 500 μL fractions were carefully collected into protein LoBind tubes

(Fisher) from top to bottom using a P1000 pipette without disrupting the layers. The

fractions were used the same day for analysis or functional studies or else stored at 4

ºC. We submitted all relevant data of our experiments to the EV-TRACK knowledgebase

(EV-TRACK ID: EV230590) (48).

2.3 Cell Lines

SW480 and MCF7 cells (western blot positive controls) were cultured in DMEM high

glucose medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10%

fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cytiva HyClone™, Marlborough, MA, USA) and 1%

penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco), and grown at 37 ºC in a humidified incubator with 5%

CO_2. Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay-sodium dodecyl sulfate (RIPA-

SDS) and protein concentration was quantified using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) reagent

kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) following manufacturer instructions ahead of

western blotting.

2.4 Validation of extracellular vesicle isolation

2.4.1 Micro BCA for protein concentration

Protein concentrations from fraction 3 of fed human milk and digesta were determined

using a Micro BCA kit (Pierce, Thermo Fisher), following manufacturer instructions.

2.4.2 Western blotting

For validation, EV preparations and cellular controls were lysed in 6X Laemmli buffer

(375 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 9% SDS w/v, 50% Glycerol v/v, 0.03% Bromophenol blue w/v,

9% DTT w/v), incubated at 37 ºC for 30 min, and loaded onto 4 to 12% Bis-Tris mini

protein gels (NuPAGE™, Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA, 1.5 mm). PageRuler Plus™

Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher, 10 – 250 kDa) was resolved as a molecular

weight reference. Human cell lines, MCF7 (breast cancer) (ATCC) and SW480

(colorectal cancer) (ATCC) lysates were also resolved as cellular controls. The ATCC

authenticates all human cell lines through short tandem repeat analysis. All cell lines

were confirmed to be mycoplasma negative every 3 weeks (MycoAlert™ Mycoplasma

Detection Kit, Lonza, Bend, OR, USA). Fifteen micrograms of total whole cell lysates

(WCL) and 20 µL of EV lysate isolated from 1 mL starting volume were resolved by gel

electrophoresis. The samples were stacked for 30 min at 60V and resolved for 60 min at

150V in 1X NuPAGE™ MOPS SDS running buffer (Invitrogen) and transferred onto

0.45µm PVDF membrane (Immobilon, Millipore/Merck) via a wet transfer system with 1X

NuPAGE™ transfer buffer (Invitrogen) at 100 V for 60 min. Membranes were blocked

with LI-COR PBS blocking buffer (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE) at room temperature for 60 min.

MISEV 2018 guidelines (13) were used to characterize the presence of EV-

specific proteins via the following primary antibodies: 1) anti-transmembrane protein

markers: anti-CD9 (rabbit, Abcam, Cambridge, UK, ab236630 1:1000); anti-CD63

(rabbit, System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA, EXOAB-CD63A-1, 1:1000); anti-CD81

(mouse, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, sc-166029, 1:1000), and 2)

cytosolic protein markers: anti-TSG101 (mouse, BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ,

USA, 612697, 1:1000) and anti-FLOT1 (rabbit, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, CT,

USA, 3253S, 1:10,000-1:15,000). Lactating mammary gland protein butyrophilin

(BTN1A1) anti-BTN1A1 (mouse, OriGene Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA,

TA501529S 1:3000). Anti-ITGB1, (rabbit, Cell Signaling Technology, 34971T, 1:1000)

was used to identify microvesicle contamination (49). Anti-GM130 (rabbit, Novus

Biologicals, Centennial, CO, USA, NBP2-53420, 1:1000) was used to identify cis-Golgi

matrix protein as a marker of cellular contamination (13). Anti-LALBA (rabbit, Abcam,

ab178431, 1:1000) and anti-CSN2 (rabbit, Abcam, ab205301, 1:5000) assessed non-

HMEV components from lactalbumin and casein, respectively. All primary antibodies

were diluted in 0.5X LI-COR PBS blocking buffer (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE,

USA) diluted with 1X PBS containing 0.2% tween-20.

The membranes were incubated with goat anti-rabbit labeled with IRDye 700

(1:20 000, v/v, LI-COR) and/or goat anti-mouse antibody labeled with IRDye 800

(1:20,000, v/v, LI-COR) for 60 min before visualization on LICOR Odyssey. All

secondary antibodies were diluted in 0.5X LI-COR PBS blocking buffer diluted with 1X

PBS containing 0.2% tween-20 and 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS).

2.4.3 Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis

All samples were diluted in 10% particle-free PBS (Genesee Scientific, Morrisville, NC,

USA) to a final volume of 1 mL. Samples were diluted to achieve 50 – 250

particles/frame) before injection (50). Samples were analyzed using a ZetaView® Twin

(Particle Metrix, Ammersee, Germany). Each sample was run in triplicate by scanning 11

cell positions under the following settings: Focus: autofocus; Frame rate: 30; Camera

sensitivity for all samples: 70 for scatter, 87 for Di8; Shutter: 100; Scattering Intensity:

detected automatically; Cell temperature: 25 ⁰C. The videos were analyzed with the

built-in ZetaView® Software 8.05.12 SP2 with specific analysis parameters: Maximum

area: 1000, Minimum area 10, Minimum brightness: 20. Hardware: embedded laser: 488

and 520 nm. Di-8-ANEPPS, final concentration 2 µM, (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was

used to stain HMEVs as described (51); camera: CMOS. EV concentration (EV

particles/mL) was calculated by accounting for dilution factors.

The characteristics of fractions 2 and 3 were further validated by treating Di8-

stained samples with 0.1% Triton-X100 (final concentration), 5 mM EDTA (final

concentration), 50 µg/mL proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, final concentration), or

0.1% Triton-X100 + 50 µg/mL proteinase K. Samples were incubated for 5-10 minutes at

room temperature and particle counts were measured on the Zetaview® using the same

parameters as above. Milk sample (c) was used for the experiment.

2.4.4 Resistive Pulse Sensing (RPS)

HMEV and dEV samples were diluted 1:20 in 1% Tween-20 (v/v) in 0.2µm filtered PBS

and run in C-400 cartridges with mold ID 108H on a Spectradyne nCS1™ instrument

(Spectradyne, Signal Hill, CA, USA). Four thousand events were collected and analyzed

for each sample. Analysis was performed using the accompanying nCS1™ Data Viewer

(Version 2.5.0.325). Size and concentration measurements were calibrated based on

150 nm beads. Concentrations were adjusted using Microsoft Excel™ and graphs were

made in GraphPad Prism® 10 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

2.4.5 Morphological characterization of extracellular vesicles by negative

transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Electron microscopy was performed at the Multiscale Microscopy Core, an Oregon

Health & Science University Shared Resource facility. Briefly, EV preparations were

fixed in s 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution (Thermo Scientific). Five microliters of

fixed EVs were deposited onto glow discharged (60 secs 15 mA, negative mode) carbon

formvar 400 Mesh copper grids (Ted Pella 01822-F) for 3 min, rinsed 30 sec in water,

wicked on Whatman filter paper 1, stained for 60 sec in filtered 1.33% (w/v) uranyl

acetate in water, wicked and air dried. Samples were imaged at 120 kV on a FEI

Tecnai™ Spirit TEM system. Images were acquired using the AMT software interface on

a NanoSprint™12S-B CMOS camera system.

2.4.6 Super-resolution microscopy

EV surface proteins were observed and quantified using the EV Profiler Kit (EV-MAN

1.0, ONI, Oxford, United Kingdom) and direct stochastic optical reconstruction

microscopy (dSTORM). EV samples were affinity captured on microfluidic chips using

CD9/CD81/CD63 antibodies provided in the kit. HCT116 and MCF7 EVs were used as

controls. Immobilized EVs were fixed with F1 solution (provided in the kit) for 10 minutes

before labeling with CD9-CF488 (excitation (ex)/emission (em): 490/515 nm) and CD63-

CF568 (ex/ em: 562/583 nm) antibodies provided in the kit. Labeled EVs were again

fixed with F1 for 10 minutes. EV samples were imaged on the Nanoimager S Mark II

microscope (ONI) with 100X oil-immersion objective, and labeled proteins were imaged

sequentially at 35 and 50% power for the 561 and 488 nm lasers, respectively, at 1,000

frames per channel with the angle of illumination set to 52.5°. dSTORM-imaging buffer

was freshly prepared and added just before image acquisition. The system was

calibrated using the bead slide manual assembly (ONI) before use. Data were

processed on NimOS software (version 1.19, ONI). Subpopulation analyses of EVs that

express one or two markers were analyzed using ONI's online platform CODI

(https://alto.codi.bio). We used a density-based clustering analysis with drift correction

and filtering to evaluate each vesicle. At least 5 localizations were required to constitute

a vesicle and at least 3 localizations of one protein were required to consider the

localizations a real signal.

2.5 Enteroid cultures

2.5.1 Neonatal human enteroid generation and culture

Tissue collected for enteroid generation was approved under OHSU IRB # 21952.

Enteroids were generated from small intestinal tissue isolated from the ileum of a 2-

month-old participant born at 39 weeks undergoing surgery for ileal atresia. After

collection, tissue was washed in sterile PBS with 1% penicillin/streptomycin and frozen

in freezing media (10% FBS; 10% DMSO; Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium/F12).

Tissue was rapidly thawed in a 37 ºC water bath and then washed three times in sterile

PBS with 10% FBS. Luminal pinch biopsies were collected. The biopsies were minced in

sterile PBS with 10% FBS using sterile scissors and spun at 300 x g for 3 min at 4 ºC.

The pellet was resuspended in 500 µl of "working solution": Liberase™ TH 0.1 WU/mL

(Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA); DNAse1 50 U/mL (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA); Hank's

balanced salt solution (HBSS) and incubated in a Thermomixer at 800rpm for 10 min at

37 ºC. During the incubation, a 100 µm strainer was washed with 8 mL of 4% bovine

serum albumin (BSA) in HBSS to prevent cells from sticking to the filter. The tissue was

pelleted at 300 x g for 3 min at 4 ºC and the supernatant was passed through the

prepared 100 µm strainer. The remaining tissue fragments were resuspended in another

500 µl of working solution and mixed in the Thermomixer® at 800 rpm for 10 min at 37

ºC. After incubation, the sample was passed through a P1000 pipettor tip 10 times and

spun down at 300 x g for 3 min at 4 ºC. The supernatant was filtered through the same

100 µm strainer and the tissue pellet was resuspended in 500 µl of working solution and

mixed in the Thermomixer at 800 rpm for 10 min at 37 ºC. The remaining tissue was

passed through a P200 pipettor tip on the end of a P1000 tip 10 times. Once the tissue

was small enough, the slurry was filtered through the same 100 µm strainer. The filtered

cells were pelleted at 500 x g for 5 min at 4 ºC. The pellet was resuspended in 500 µl of

4% BSA in HBSS and counted using Trypan Blue staining (Gibco) and a Countess cell

counter (Invitrogen). The cells were seeded at a density of up to 10^5 live cells per 30 µl

Matrigel (Corning, Corning, NY, USA) dome (80% Matrigel). After the Matrigel solidified

at 37 ºC, Human Intesticult™ (StemCell Technologies, Cambridge, MA, USA) with

Primocin® (20 µl of a 500X stock, InvivoGen, San Diego, CA, USA) was overlaid.

Primocin® was discontinued after the second passage and the enteroids were

supplemented with Anti/Anti (Gibco). Enteroids were maintained and expanded in

Matrigel patties in the "basal out" orientation.

2.5.2 Apical-out enteroids

To access the apical/luminal surface of the human enteroids, we reversed enteroid

polarity using the technique described previously (52, 53). Briefly, 1 mL of ice-cold cell

recovery solution (Corning) was added directly to the exposed Matrigel dome to break

up the Matrigel patty. The enteroid/Matrigel mixture was transferred to a 15 mL conical

tube and rotated at 4 ºC for 1 hr. The enteroids were then pelleted at 300 x g for 3 min at

4 ºC and washed once with advanced DMEM/F-12 basal media (Gibco). The enteroid

pellet was resuspended in the desired volume of IntestiCult complete medium (Stemcell

Technologies) and cultures were maintained in a 24-well ultra-low-attachment plate for

48 hours at 37 ºC in a 5% CO_2 incubator.

2.6 RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

To isolate RNA from enteroids, the media was removed and cell recovery solution was

added to remove Matrigel. The enteroids were pelleted, washed with PBS, and pelleted

again. RNA was isolated using GeneJET™ RNA Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific) or

RNAqueous™-Micro Total RNA Isolation Kit (Invitrogen). High-capacity cDNA Reverse

Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems™, Thermo Fisher) was used to synthesize cDNA.

The following primer-probe sets were used: leucine-rich repeat containing G

protein (*LGR5*, Hs00969422_m1), a marker of proliferation Ki-67 (*Ki67*,

Hs00606991_m1), proliferating cell nuclear antigen (*PCNA*, Hs00696862_m1),

polycomb complex protein BMI1 proto-oncogene (*BMI1*, Hs00180411_m1), DEF6

guanine nucleotide exchange factor (*DEF6*, Hs00427001_m1), intracellular adhesion

molecule 2 (*ICAM2*, Hs00609563), bestrophin 4 (*BEST4*, Hs00396114_m1), sucrase-

isomaltase (*SI*, Hs00356112_m1), chromogranin A (*CHGA*, Hs00900370_m1), mucin 2

(*MUC2*, Hs00159374_m1).

2.7 EV labeling with CMTPX

338 Five hundred microliters dEVs were stained with 4 µL 1 mM CMTPX dye (Invitrogen) in a

339 37º C water bath for 1 hour in the dark. The excess dye was removed using Amicon®

340 Ultra-0.5 100kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), and dEVs

341 were concentrated to the desired volume for uptake experiments.

2.8 Uptake of dEVs by human neonatal enteroids

CMTPX-labeled dEVs (40 µL) were added to apical-out enteroids and incubated for 1.5

hours in the following conditions: at 37 ⁰C with or without 200 µM Dynasore (Abcam), or

at 4 ⁰C. After dEV treatment, enteroids were pelleted and washed one time with 1X PBS

and fixed in 4% (w/v) PFA (Fisher Scientific) for 15 min at room temperature. Fixed

enteroids were pelleted and then incubated with 0.1% Triton-X for 15 min at room

temperature, pelleted, and incubated with 165 nM Phalloidin (Fisher Scientific) for 40

min, followed by 600 nM DAPI (Thermo Fisher) for 10 min at RT in the dark. Enteroids

were pelleted and mounted using Molecular Probes Prolong™ Gold Antifade Mountant

(Thermo Fisher) and sealed with CoverGrip Coverslip sealant (Biotium, Fremont, CA,

USA).

2.9 Confocal microscopy and image processing

dEV uptake was observed using a Zeiss LSM980 confocal laser microscope with a 20X,

0.8 NA objective (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH), and lasers set at 405 nm (DAPI), 488

(Phalloidin), and 555 nm (CMTPX) wavelengths. Images were acquired using 4X line

averaging using GaAsP PMT and multi-alkali PMT detectors. Z stacks were taken

through the whole enteroid (36.33 - 77.6µm). Images were processed using ZEN™ v2.5

Blue software (Carl Zeiss Microimaging GmbH). All images were acquired and

processed using identical intensity settings unless noted. CMTPX uptake was quantified

using ImageJ and images taken with a Keyence BZ-X800 (Keyence, Itasca, IL, USA)

using a 2X objective, 0.1 NA.

2.10 Immunostaining

The image with immunostaining for BTN1A1 is from the Human Protein Atlas (54, 55).

Lactating human mammary gland tissue was stained for BTN1A1 using Atlas Antibodies

(Bromma, Sweden, Cat#HPA011126, RRID: AB_1845491; 0.1275 mg/mL; Rabbit pAb)

(55).

2.11 Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism® 10. Diagrams are presented either as mean

± SEM and symbols represent biological replicates, either individual participant samples

or enteroid passages. For the dEV uptake experiment, symbols represent individual

enteroids from a single experiment.

3. RESULTS

This study utilized raw and pasteurized human milk, as well as matched milk digesta

(neonatal intestinal contents) samples to examine whether HMEVs reach the neonatal

intestine in vivo to be absorbed by IECs.

3.1 A pipeline to isolate HMEVs and dEVs from small sample volumes

Human milk (HM) is a complex and heterogeneous biological fluid containing, numerous

proteins (including highly abundant casein and immunoglobulins), sugars, emulsified fat

globules, small molecules, electrolytes, and EVs (1). Neonatal digesta is also a

heterogenous biofluid containing a mixture of fed HM, stomach, and digestive

secretions.

Isolating EVs from heterogeneous biofluids is challenging, especially when

starting volumes are limited and relatively high purity is desired. We adapted the

published protocol from Mukhopadhya et al (46) for 1 mL sample volumes followed by

bottom-up, rate zone iodixanol density gradient centrifugation to isolate largely pure EVs

from HM and neonatal digesta samples (**Figure 1A and B**).

3.2 Pipeline effectively isolates raw and pasteurized HMEVs

We validated our pipeline using raw human milk (RHM) and pasteurized donor human

milk (PDHM), respectively (**Table 1**). RHM milk sample #1 and PDHM from the NW

Mother's Milk Bank Batch A were used for **Figure 2.** RHM-derived EVs were enriched in

fractions (F)2 and 3, and PDHM-derived EVs were enriched in fraction 3 (**Figure 2**).

Negative transmission electron microscopy (TEM) illustrated the enrichment of classic

cup-shaped-EV structures ~ 100 – 200 nm in F2 -F3 of RHM and F3 from PDHM

(**Figure 2A**). Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) using the lipid membrane dye Di-8-

ANEPPS showed that F2-3 RHM and F3 PDHM were enriched for ~ 200 nm-sized

particles consistent with TEM data (**Figure 2B**). Based on NTA measurements of F2 and

F3 for RHM and F3 of PDHM, HMEV size was not affected by pasteurization, (207.06 ±

7.86 nm and 209.68 ± 18.93 nm, n=3 RHM and PDHM, respectively).

Western blotting of EV proteins followed the MISEV2018 guidelines (13) to

confirm EV enrichment and purity based on the presence and absence of indicated

marker proteins. F2 and F3 isolated from RHM (**Figure 2C**) and F3 isolated from PDHM

(**Figure 2D)** were enriched for transmembrane proteins CD9, CD63, and CD81.

Cytosolic proteins TSG101 and FLOT1 were recovered within RHM EVs, but absent

from the pasteurized samples. Our samples lack microvesicle protein ITGB1 (49).

Importantly, all of our samples lack the Golgi protein GM130 and are depleted of the

whey protein LALBA and highly abundant milk protein CSN2 (**Figure 2C & D**).

Additionally, the RHM and PDHM samples also contain BTN1A1, a protein that is

prevalent in milk fat globules (56, 57), but also potentially present in HMEVs, as

demonstrated by proteomics (58) (**Figure 2C & D**). The western blots support the TEM

and NTA data showing EV markers in F2-3 of RHM and only F3 of PDHM. Notably,

these data suggest that pasteurization may deplete cytosolic proteins, such as TSG101

and FLOT1.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that our pipeline effectively and consistently

isolates EVs from small volumes of RHM and PDHM.

3.3 Di8-ANEPPS detects majority Triton-X-solublizable particles in fraction 3

To examine the level of non-membranous particle contamination (protein

aggregates or casein micelles) in our isolated fractions, we tested the sensitivity of F2

and F3 to Triton-X100, EDTA, and proteinase K (**Figure 3**). We found that F2 and F3

contain the majority (>50%) of Triton-X solublizable particles, which are consistent with

EVs (59), as seen by the reduction in particle counts with the addition of Triton-X.

Importantly, each fraction contained some particles that were sensitive to EDTA or

proteinase K, which were detected by Di8 and therefore also counted in our particle

measurements. This means that the actual HMEV number detected by NTA can be

augmented by non-membranous particles. Based on this experiment, F2 seems to

contain more non-membranous particles versus F3.

3.4 EVs numbers decrease within the neonatal small intestine

Neonatal human digesta was collected by gravity after gastric feeding from oro- or

nasoduodenal/jejunal sampling tubes or ostomy bags from three neonates in the OHSU

NICU (**Figure 4A**; **Table 2**). Infants were fed RHM and digesta was isolated from the

proximal or mid-small intestine (**Figure 4B**).

We isolated and validated the dEVs identically to the HMEVs described above.

Negative TEM shows the greatest consistent, enrichment of cup-shaped EV structures in

F3 (**Figure 4C, Supplemental Figure 1**). This is further supported by western blotting

showing enrichment of EV markers CD9 and/or CD81 in F3 of digesta samples (a) and

(b) (**Figure 4D**). No EV markers were detected by western blotting in digesta sample (c),

although they are present in the fed-milk sample (**Figure 4E**). Western blotting followed

the MISEV2018 guidelines (13) to confirm EV enrichment and purity based on the

presence or absence of indicated marker proteins. All the samples lacked ITGB1,

GM130, and CSN2 (**Figures 4D & 4E**). The bands in the CSN2 blots marked by ** are

residual CD9 signals as the blots were re-probed for CSN2. We detected BTN1A1

prominently in digesta sample (a), faintly in digesta sample (b), and in fed milk from

sample (c), suggesting that BTN1A1 may be present within some dEVs or that isolates

contain milk fat globule membrane components. Notably, BTN1A1 is only expressed in

the lactating mammary gland (**Figure 4F**) and nowhere else along the digestive tract,

including the salivary gland, pancreas, gallbladder, or liver (55), and was previously

found within HMEVs by mass spectrometry (58).

We further confirmed the EV identity of our samples using super-resolution

microscopy (**Figure 4G**). Particles were captured with CD9/CD81/CD63 and

subsequently labeled with CD9 and CD63 antibodies. We detected single-labeled EVs in

all samples and dual-labeled EVs in all but sample (c) digesta (**Figures 4G and 4H**).

Based on these data and the minimal impact of EDTA or proteinase K on F3 EVs

(**Figure 3**), we focused on F3 for the quantitative measurements - protein concentration

(**Table 3**), NTA using Di-8-ANEPPS lipid membrane dye (**Figure 4I**), and resistive pulse

sensing (RPS) (**Figure 4J**). The dEV protein concentrations were ~2-fold lower and

particle counts by NTA were ~3-8-fold lower than the isolated HMEVs from the input milk

samples (**Table 3; Figure 4I**). The dEV particle counts measured by RPS were lower for

samples (b) and (c), but not for sample (a) (**Figure 4J**).

Collectively, these data indicate that dEVs can be isolated from neonatal

digestive fluid and that dEV amounts are generally less than the input milk. Since there

is no validated marker unique to HMEVs, we cannot confirm that these isolated EVs are

from human milk alone, therefore we term them digesta EVs (dEVs).

3.5 Neonatal human enteroids take up dEVs

We established that dEVs can be isolated from infant digesta. Next, we asked

whether neonatal IECs take up dEVs. To test this, we developed a neonatal human

enteroid model. Patient-derived enteroids, enteroid-derived monolayers, or gut-on-a-chip

systems are emerging models for studying neonatal intestinal physiology and

pathophysiology (60-64). Our model is derived from intestinal epithelial stem cells

isolated from a 2-month-old participant undergoing surgery for ileal atresia.

Our neonatal enteroids were propagated in the basal-out orientation where they

grow in Matrigel and the basolateral cell surface faces the culture media (**Figure 5A**).

For these studies, we used the apical-out enteroid model where the enteroids are

cultured in suspension in the absence of a basement membrane (52, 60, 63). This leads

to polarity reversal, shown by phalloidin staining (green) on the outer surface of the

enteroid (**Figure 5A**). The apical-out orientation allows for direct access to the apical cell

surface, making the culture media effectively equivalent to the intestinal lumen and

allowing for manipulation of luminal exposures, such as dEVs. We first analyzed gene

expression for IEC-type specific markers, demonstrating that our apical-out enteroids

significantly downregulate markers of proliferation *Ki67* and *LGR5* and upregulate more

differentiated markers, such as *CHGA* relative to basal-out enteroids (**Figure 5B**), which

are generally more proliferative (52). Notably, our neonatal enteroids lack Paneth cells

(*DEF6*) at baseline, consistent with published findings (65), and down-regulate *BEST4*

mRNA. This suggests that our apical-out enteroids represent a more differentiated and

less proliferative intestinal epithelium.

Once established and validated, we asked whether neonatal enteroids readily

take up dEVs. Since our dEVs are isolated from participant samples, we are unable to

label and track using fusion proteins, or fluorescent tags (32, 66). Instead, we developed

a novel method for labeling the dEVs using CMTPX dye (**Figure 5C**). This dye is

nonfluorescent initially but readily permeates the EV lipid bilayer where it is then

converted to a fluorescent derivative by glutathione-S transferase (GST) and retained

within the EV. This is important for demonstrating the specificity of EV uptake. We

observed the highest level of CMTPX staining in fraction 3 (F3) consistent with TEM,

NTA, and western blot data showing the highest number of HMEVs or dEVs in F3

(**Figures 2, 3, and 4**).

All images were acquired using identical microscope settings. Representative

images are shown in (**Figure 5E**). dEV uptake was quantified using ImageJ (**Figure 5F**).

At 37 ºC we observe robust uptake of CMTPX-labeled dEVs by neonatal human

enteroids as indicated by a strong red signal. The specificity of the labeling and the

uptake were confirmed using a dynamin-2 inhibitor (Dynasore) to block clathrin and

caveolin-dependent endocytosis and by performing experiments at 4 ºC to block all

forms of energy-dependent endocytosis (32, 66-69). Collectively, our results indicate that

neonatal human enteroids rapidly take up dEVs primarily via energy-mediated endocytic

processes, while a smaller proportion of dEVs are taken up in the presence of dynamin-

2 inhibition. Incubation at 4 ºC inhibits nearly all uptake. Further, the data indicate that

our labeling is specific and that our samples contain low to negligible levels of

unincorporated CMTPX, as the free dye would show up as labeling via diffusion in the

Dynasore + 37 ºC or 4 ºC samples.

4. DISCUSSION

HMEVs are emerging therapeutics and drug delivery vehicles (70-77). Cell

culture and animal model studies indicate that undigested, unmodified HMEVs may

affect intestinal epithelial biology (15, 19, 23), and limit damage from necrotizing

enterocolitis (16, 17, 20-22). Further studies indicate that HMEVs survive in vitro

simulated digestion (15, 24, 25), may transit through an animal's digestive tract to

transfer cargo or affect other organs, including the brain (15, 28), and/or enhance

cognitive performance (29). However, whether these nano-sized information carriers

survive digestion to reach the human intestine remained an open question. To address

this knowledge gap, we obtained in vivo digested milk samples and optimized an

isolation protocol capable of isolating HMEVs from small sample volumes.

Isolating EVs from human milk is challenging due to protein complexes, such as

casein micelles, immunoglobulins, and lipoproteins that can entrap HMEVs, mask less

abundant proteins, and interfere with downstream analyses, such as western blotting or

mass spectrometry (78). Protein complexes can also mimic the appearance and size of

EVs in NTA or RPS. To circumvent these pitfalls, it is common to perform HMEV

isolations on large starting volumes, which allow for multiple processing steps to enrich

for EVs and remove other bioactive factors that may complicate data interpretation (15,

17, 18, 46, 79, 80). This can be prohibitive if sample volumes are limited (≤ 2mL). In

such cases, some published studies use precipitation-based methods, such as

ExoQuick® and ExoEasy® (81-83). Although these methods are efficient, they can result

in co-isolation of non-EV proteins (84-87). Additionally, EV fractions obtained from

ExoQuick® may contain traces of biopolymers that can interfere with mass spectrometry

(88).

Herein we optimized an isolation pipeline developed for large volumes of milk

(46) for use with a 1 mL starting volume of human milk or digesta. This method utilizes a

combination of acetic acid precipitation and density gradient ultracentrifugation to

achieve relatively high yield and relatively high purity HMEVs. We validated our

methodology using RHM and PDHM. Our RHM samples were consistently enriched for a

combination of tetraspanins CD9, CD63, and CD81 and intravesicular markers FLOT1

and TSG101 (13) and de-enriched for microvesicle marker ITGB1 (49), Golgi marker

GM130 (13), and milk-specific proteins CSN2 and LALBA (89). CD63 shows up as

heterogeneous in size in our HMEV samples. This is potentially due to the heavy

glycosylation of milk proteins (90). Notably, samples were also enriched for BTN1A1.

BTN1A1 is exclusively expressed in lactating mammary glands (55) and prevalent in

milk fat globules (56, 57). It was previously detected in HMEVs by mass spectroscopy

(58), suggesting its potential as a HMEV marker. To demonstrate that BTN1A1 is a

marker of HMEVs super-resolution microscopy or immunogold-labeled TEM is required.

To further validate our isolation pipeline, we compared raw HMEVs with those

isolated from PDHM. Pasteurization most commonly heats milk to 63° C for 30 minutes

to reduce bacterial load (pathogenic and nonpathogenic) and is currently the standard

method of human milk processing to ensure safety (91). Pasteurization, however,

damages, destroys, or alters many bioactive factors found in milk (92). This is consistent

with our findings. We observed that pasteurization resulted in a depletion of tetraspanin

proteins and cytoplasmic EV protein markers (FLOT1, TSG101). This is consistent with

the published work of others showing that pasteurization reduces CD63 protein within

human (93) and bovine milk EVs (94). However, there may be some variation between

species or with processing methods as one study found TSG101 in pasteurized bovine

milk (95). Notably, our raw and pasteurized samples are not matched from the same

parental source, limiting our ability to draw firm conclusions about the effects of

pasteurization on EV size, number, or cargo. Previously published reports indicate that

size and number are unchanged by pasteurization (93). Our data add to a growing body

of evidence demonstrating that pasteurization could damage or destroy some

populations of HMEVs (93, 94, 96). Additional research is needed to fully understand the

effects of milk processing on HMEVs.

Our isolation pipeline also effectively isolated EVs from human milk-fed neonatal

digesta (dEVs), enabling us to demonstrate that EVs are present in the intestine of

human milk-fed neonates. These EVs could be derived from the fed human milk,

secreted by cells within the digestive tract, or a combination of the two. In vitro-simulated

digestion studies suggest that HMEVs can survive low pH and the presence of some

digestive enzymes (15, 24, 25), supporting the hypothesis that some of our dEVs may

be from human milk. To define the precise source of the dEVs we need specific markers

that are exclusively present within the lactating mammary gland, such as BTN1A1, or the

neonatal intestinal epithelium. This study does not directly define BTN1A1 as an HMEV

marker; therefore, we cannot determine the precise origin of our dEVs. Nonetheless, our

data indicate that a lactating mammary gland-specific protein is present in some dEV

samples isolated from milk-fed infants meaning that either HMEVs or other human milk

components are co-isolating with the dEVs from these participants. The absence of

BTN1A1 in digesta sample (c) may be due to the distal sampling location (jejunostomy)

relative to samples (a) and (b). Across the three samples examined, the level of dEV

protein decreased by a factor of ~2 between fed human milk and the intestinal digesta.

The number of dEVs decreased by 3-8-fold relative to HMEVs as measured by NTA.

RPS measurements show equivalent or greater decreases in particle number for

samples (b) and (c), while sample (a) measured similarly to the input milk sample. This

could be due to non-membranous particles in the digesta inflating the measurement or a

falsely low RPS measurement for the fed milk sample. Taken together, across protein

concentration and multiple methods of particle measurement, this suggests that dEVs

are likely reduced within the intestinal digesta compared to the fed milk sample.

The reduction in dEVs relative to HMEVs could be due to several factors: 1)

sample dilution with digestive secretions, 2) EV destruction during transit and digestion,

3) cellular uptake, or 4) a combination. Previous work from the Dallas lab indicates that

intestinal secretions result in 1.15-1.5-fold dilution of digesta in the intestine relative to

the input milk as determined by measuring the concentration of indigestible PEG-28 in

fed-human milk and infant digesta using mass spectrometry (97). This suggests that the

observed decrease in dEVs could be partly due to dilution from digestive fluids.

However, the reduction that we observe in protein concentration or particle number is

greater than what would be expected with dilution alone (**Table 3**), indicating that

HMEVs are either rapidly destroyed or absorbed by the digestive tract. Our uptake data

indicate relatively rapid dEV uptake, suggesting that uptake may also contribute to

reduced dEV numbers within the neonatal small intestine. Notably, if neonatal intestinal

EVs are present in our samples, these would only augment the signal and further

suggest that HMEVs are reduced within the digesta. Definitive EV biomarkers are

needed to determine the origin(s) of the dEVs. Further research is also needed to fully

address differences between HMEV and dEV numbers, including normalization to an

indigestible substance such as PEG-28 to account for the effects of dilution.

608   To better understand the specificity of the Di8 labeling in our human milk

samples, we tested the effects of Triton-X, EDTA, and proteinase K on our NTA

measurements. These results reveal that Di8-labeling is an imperfect method of

measuring HMEVs. Although the majority of the particles measured were Triton-X

soublizable, the quantification was altered by the addition of EDTA or proteinase K to

the samples. As a result, the NTA measurements from our samples are not exact counts

of EVs, but rather an approximation of the particle concentration including HMEVs and

some non-membranous particles. The number of non-membranous particles is most

readily appreciated when comparing the NTA data in (**Figure 4I**) with the RPS data

(**Figure 4J**), as well as in **Figure 3** comparing scatter versus Di8 labeling in F2 and F3.

F2 especially contains many non-membranous particles, some of which are still detected

by Di8 and altered with Triton-X, EDTA, or proteinase K. Each method of EV

quantification has limitations (98), however, having performed several different methods,

protein concentration and particle measurements via NTA and RPS, the collective data

suggest that dEVs are generally reduced in number relative to the HMEVs found within

the matched milk sample.

Once we isolated dEVs, we next asked whether they were taken up by non-

transformed, primary neonatal IECs. To do this, we used a neonatal enteroid (intestinal

organoid) model for dEV uptake. These enteroids grow rapidly and express expected

markers of proliferation in the basal-out state. We utilized published protocols (52, 63) to

induce polarity reversal in the enteroids allowing direct access to the apical surface from

the culture media. We confirmed the apical-out orientation with phalloidin-staining and

gene expression showing a significant down-regulation in proliferative markers,

consistent with published studies (52). Notably, markers of absorptive (*SI*) and secretory

lineages (*CHGA* and *MUC2*) were elevated, but only differences in *CHGA* were

statistically significant, suggesting some variability in the cell lineages present within the

apical-out enteroids.

We then used CMTPX dye to track dEVs ex vivo. Nonfluorescent CMTPX freely

diffuses into HMEV double-layered membranes. Once inside, it undergoes a chemical

transformation mediated by GST (99) rendering it fluorescent and trapping it within the

EV. This allows for extra-EV CMTPX to be washed away using chromatography column

treatment. Therefore, CMTPX specifically labels isolated EVs and avoids non-specific

labeling of cellular or organelle membranes often seen with lipophilic dyes, such as

PKH26 (red), PKH27 (green), and C5-maleimide-Alexa633 (99, 100). We observed

minimal labeling when endocytosis was inhibited chemically and when all uptake

mechanisms were limited by cold temperatures, suggesting that our labeling method is

specific and indicates minimal signal from passive dye diffusion. This indicates that

CMTPX may be a superior non-genetic method for labeling and tracking EVs.

Our data suggest that dEVs are rapidly taken up by neonatal enteroids in a

process that depends in part on dynamin-mediated endocytosis. This is consistent with

the work of others demonstrating that bovine milk EVs are transported via endocytosis

into endothelial cells (67) or transformed Caco2 or IEC6 cells (69). In our study, uptake

was further significantly decreased by incubation at 4°C, suggesting that other

mechanisms may also be involved in dEV uptake within the intestine. These could

include lipid raft/caveolae-mediated endocytosis (101), phagocytosis, and/or

micropinocytosis (102). Importantly, our studies establish a relevant system for

performing future functional studies and build on published work in immortalized cell

lines (24, 25) to show that non-transformed neonatal enteroids readily absorb dEVs.

Additional studies are needed to demonstrate that dEV uptake results in functional

changes in enteroid physiology or gene expression.

658   Collectively, this study demonstrates that dEVs can be isolated from infant

intestinal contents post-human milk feeding. These dEVs can then be rapidly absorbed

ex vivo by neonatal IECs in a process that is partially dependent on dynamin-mediated

endocytosis. This study provides a modified protocol for use with small sample volumes

and lays the foundation for future, in-depth work investigating biomarkers of human milk

and digesta EVs, the effects of donor human milk processing and gastrointestinal

digestion on HMEV structure and contents, and how dEVs interact with and are taken up

by the neonatal intestinal epithelium.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Human milk (HM) and neonatal digesta extracellular vesicle (dEV)

isolation pipeline. (A) Samples were quickly thawed in a 37 ºC water bath and used

immediately (44, 45). One milliliter of whole HM was used for each isolation. Samples

were centrifuged at 6,000 x g for 10 min at 4 ºC to remove cream and large cell debris

(46). The supernatant was carefully collected and centrifuged again at 2,000 x g for 20

min at 4 ºC to further remove any fat globules and/or smaller cell debris. This

supernatant was then passed through Whatman™ Grade 1 filter paper (diameter

42.5mm) using a glass funnel before isoelectric casein precipitation. Acetic acid (1 and

10 N) was used to adjust the pH of the supernatant to 4.6 using a portable pH meter.

pH-adjusted samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Casein was

pelleted by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 30 min at 4 ºC. The supernatant was collected

and passed sequentially through Whatman™ Grade 1 filter paper (diameter 42.5 mm)

using a glass funnel, a 0.45 µm syringe filter, and a 0.22 µm syringe filter for casein

removal. (B) Bottom-up density gradient ultracentrifugation was performed using

iodixanol gradient (47). A 45% (w/v) bottom layer contained OptiPrep™ solution with

clear HM or digesta (2.25 mL OptiPrep™ + 0.75 mL sample = 3 mL) into a 5 mL open-

top thin wall ultra-clear tube. The discontinuous gradient with 40% (w/v), 30% (w/v), 20%

(w/v), and 10% (w/v) solutions of iodixanol (diluted with sterile, 0.1 µm-filtered PBS) were

carefully layered on top, drop by drop. Samples were spun in an ultracentrifuge at

37,400 rpm for 24 hrs at 4 ºC with zero acceleration and deceleration. After

ultracentrifugation, 500 µL fractions were carefully collected into individual Protein

LoBind™ (Eppendorf) tubes from top to bottom using a P1000 pipettor without disrupting

the layers. The fractions were used immediately for downstream analysis.

Figure 2. Pipeline effectively isolates human milk extracellular vesicles (HMEVs)

from raw (RHM) and pasteurized donor human milk (PDHM). All data shown are from

the same RHM or PDHM sample isolated using the pipeline in Figure 1. (A)

Transmission electron micrographs of fractions (F) 2 and 3 from RHM and PDHM.

Images represent data collected across n=3 biological replicates obtained from three

different donors and three different donor human milk sources (**Table 1**). Scale bar =

100 nm. (B) Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) was performed on RHM and PDHM

F2-5. F1 was below the level of detection. Data represent the average of triplicate runs

for each fraction and data is smoothed using GraphPad Prism® v10. Size data

represents the average median size across triplicate runs. (C) Western blotting

assessed the protein profile of isolated HMEVs. Fifteen micrograms of SW480

(colorectal cancer cells), MCF7 (breast cancer cells) whole cell lysates (WCL), and

whole milk were loaded as positive controls. Twenty microliters of unconcentrated

fractions (F) 1-5 of RHM (C) and PDHM (D) were run on a 4-12% Bis-Tris gel,

transferred to a PVDF membrane, and probed according to MISEV 2018 guidelines,

including controls for common proteins found in human milk (BTN1A1, LALBA, CSN2).

Each blot represents triplicate experiments performed on three biological replicates of

RHM and PDHM. Data shown are from multiple blots run using the same samples.

Figure 3. Di8 ANEPPS detects the majority of Triton-X- solublizable EVs in fraction

3 from human milk. Fractions 2 (A, C) and 3 (B, D) were isolated from human milk

sample (c), concentrated using Amicon® concentration column (10kDa MWCO), and

labeled with Di8-ANEPPS. Aliquots of the labeled sample were then incubated with

either 0.1% Triton-X100 (final concentration), 5mM EDTA (final concentration), 50 µg/mL

proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, final concentration), or 0.1% Triton-X100 + 50

µg/mL proteinase K before particle counts were measured by nanoparticle tracking

analysis (NTA). (A & B) show the Di8 particle measurements for comparison with

scatter, while (C & D) show measurements detected with the fluorescence reading

alone. Data represent the average of triplicate runs for fractions 2 and 3 of a single milk

sample. Data are smoothed using GraphPad Prism® v10.

Figure 4. Pipeline effectively isolates extracellular vesicles from neonatal human

digesta (dEVs). (A) Neonatal human digesta was collected from infants in the NICU

after obtaining parental informed consent. Inclusion criteria included infants already

admitted to the NICU, greater than 26 weeks corrected gestational age with an

indwelling nasogastric or orogastric feeding tube who were tolerating full enteral feeding

volumes. Infants were excluded from the study if they had anatomic or functional

gastrointestinal disorders, were medically unstable, were non-viable, or had disorders

that would be expected to affect normal digestion. Before feeding, a nasal tube was

placed into the proximal small intestine. Feeds were delivered via a gastric tube over 30

min or less. (B) Data are from three participants with sampling tubes placed along the

duodenum (a, b) or from an ostomy (c), as shown. (C) Transmission electron

micrographs (TEM) of fractions (F)1 – 5 of neonatal digesta collected from participants

(a) and (b). Scale bar = 100 nm. (D) Western blotting assessed the protein profile of

isolated dEVs in the participants (a), and (b) or (E) the digesta and milk samples from

participant (c). Fifteen micrograms of SW480 (colorectal cancer cells), MCF7 (breast

cancer cells) whole cell lysates (WCL) and/or whole milk were loaded as positive

controls. Each digesta fraction was concentrated over an Amicon® concentration column

(100kDa MWCO) to allow for loading of the entire fraction volume in the gel; therefore,

the data shown represent all the proteins in the fraction from a 1 mL digesta sample.

Samples were loaded on a 4-12% Bis-Tris gel, transferred to a PVDF membrane, and

probed according to MISEV 2018 guidelines, including controls for common proteins

found in human milk (BTN1A1, LALBA, CSN2). LALBA and CSN2 were not detected. To

preserve the samples, membranes were reprobed for some proteins. ** indicates

residual CD9 signal from re-probing the membrane for CSN2. CSN2 was not detected in

EV samples, see whole milk positive control in participants' (b) and (c) blots for

comparison. ITGβ1 was detected by re-probing the membrane after GM130. Both

antibodies are rabbit, resulting in the smear in control WCL lanes for ITGβ1. The isolated

fractions were all negative. (F) Immunostaining for BTN1A1 in lactating mammary gland.

Image provided courtesy of Human Protein Atlas (54, 55). (G) Representative images of

direct stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (dSTORM). Human milk and digesta

EV samples were affinity captured on microfluidic chips using CD9/CD81/CD63

antibodies and labeled with CD9-CF488 (excitation (ex)/emission (em): 490/515 nm) and

CD63-CF568 (ex/ em: 562/583 nm) antibodies for imaging on the Nanoimager S Mark II

microscope (ONI) with 100X oil-immersion objective and freshly prepared dSTORM-

imaging buffer. Data were processed on NimOS software (version 1.19, ONI).

Subpopulation analyses of EVs that express one or two markers were analyzed using

ONI's online platform CODI (https://alto.codi.bio). We used a density-based clustering

analysis with drift correction and filtering to evaluate each vesicle. At least 5 localizations

were required to constitute a vesicle and at least 3 localizations of one protein were

required to consider the localizations a real signal. Data were compiled in Microsoft

Excel™ and (H) the localizations within each sample were summarized using GraphPad

Prism® v10. (I) Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) was performed on matched fed-

human milk and neonatal digesta F3 isolated from participants (a), (b), and (c) and

stained with Di8-ANEPPS. Data represent the average of triplicate runs for each sample

and the data is smoothed using GraphPad Prism® v10. (J) Resistive pulse sensing

measurements were performed on matched fed-human milk and neonatal digesta F3

isolated from participants (a), (b), and (c). EV samples were diluted 1:20 in 1% Tween-

20 (v/v) in 0.2µm filtered PBS and run in C-400 cartridges with mold ID 108H on a

Spectradyne nCS1™ instrument. Four thousand events were collected and analyzed for

each sample and analyzed using the accompanying nCS1™ Data Viewer (Version

2.5.0.325). Size and concentration measurements were calibrated based on 150 nm

beads. Concentrations were adjusted using Microsoft Excel™ and graphs were made in

GraphPad Prism® v10.

Figure 5. Neonatal human enteroids take up neonatal digesta extracellular

vesicles (dEVs) primarily by dynamin-mediated endocytosis. (A) Neonatal human

enteroids were cultured in Matrigel basement membrane where the basal cell surface

faces the media (basal-out) before removing the basement membrane to induce polarity

reversal to the "apical-out" orientation for all experiments. DAPI (blue) stains nuclei and

phalloidin (green) stains F-actin. Scale bar = 20 μm. (B) Polarity reversal and the apical-

out orientation down-regulated expression of *LGR5* and *KI67* relative to basal-out

controls. *BEST4* was down-regulated, whereas *CHGA* was increased across passages.

Each dot represents a separate passage (biological replicate). Gene expression values

are the average of triplicates for each gene within each biological replicate and are

normalized to the housekeeping gene *RPLP0*. Data are expressed at Log2-fold change

and error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). *$p<0.05$ was considered

statistically significant. (C) dEVs were isolated from samples (a) and (b) and stained with

10 μM CMTPX dye in a 37 °C water bath for 1 hour in the dark. (D) The excess dye was

removed using Amicon® Ultra-0.5 10 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters and samples were

concentrated to the desired volume for uptake experiments. Apical-out enteroids were

treated with CMTPX-stained EVs for 90 minutes at 37 °C in the presence or absence of

the endocytosis inhibitor Dynasore or at 4 °C. The "No EV" control consisted of PBS

incubated with CMTPX dye and filtered through an Amicon® filter. (E) EV uptake was

assessed by confocal microscopy on fixed whole-mount enteroids. DAPI (blue) marks

nuclei. Phalloidin (Cy2-green) marks F-actin. CMTPX staining is shown in Cy3-red.

Scale bar = 20 μm. Data represent a single experiment using a pool of dEVs from

participants (a) and (b). Images are representative of enteroids imaged across 4 slides

for each treatment group. Images were acquired and processed using identical

microscope settings. Notably, the Cy2 intensity for the phalloidin staining in the xz.yz

projection image for the 4°C treatment was increased 3-fold to enable visualization of the

cell surface. All other channel intensities are matched. (F) CMTPX-labeled dEV uptake

was quantified using images captured with a 2X objective on a Keyence BZ-X800

microscope. Staining intensity within each enteroid was determined using ImageJ and

normalized to the enteroid area. Data represent individual enteroids across 4 slides in

each treatment group from a single experiment. The inset shows box and whisker plots

of the same data. Data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunn's test for multiple

comparisons. *p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Sarah F. Andres, Brian Scottoline, Randall Armstrong

Sample acquisition and participant data management: Brian Scottoline, Amy Olyaei

Molly Aloia

Methodology: Madeline Kuhn, Randall J. Armstrong, Sarah F. Andres

Data acquisition: Claire Yung, Madeline Kuhn, Sarah F. Andres, Randall Armstrong

Funding acquisition: Sarah F. Andres, Brian Scottoline

Writing: Sarah F. Andres, Claire Yung, Madeline Kuhn, Brian Scottoline

Review & editing: Sarah F. Andres, Brian Scottoline, Randall Armstrong

Approved manuscript: all authors

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SFA is supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant K01DK129401 and grants from the Collins Medical Trust, Medical Research Foundation of Oregon, and OHSU Exploratory Research Seed Grant. BS is supported by the Gerber Foundation #21-9161, a grant from Evolve BioSystems, and NIH grant R01HD097367. The authors would like to thank Dr. Yang Zhang for her help optimizing the HMEV isolation protocol and uptake studies and Drs. Pepper Schedin and Reuben Hoffmann for sharing the MCF7 cell line. The authors kindly acknowledge expert technical assistance from: Steven Adamou, Erin Stempinski, and Dr. Claudia Lopez from the OHSU Multiscale Microscopy Core for their technical expertise and assistance with the negative staining and electron microscopy; Brian Jenkins, Dr. Felice Kelly, and Dr. Stefanie Kaech Petrie from the OHSU Advanced Light Microscopy Shared Resource for their expert technical expertise and assistance with confocal microscopy; Dr. Julie Saugstad, Dr. Trevor McFarland, and Sarah Catherine Baker for their help with the resistive pulse sensing

836   data acquisition and analysis; Vanessa Lambatan for help with super-resolution imaging

837   and analysis.

838   **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

839   The authors report no conflict of interest.

841   **Table 1. Raw and pasteurized human milk sample demographics.**

Raw Human Milk	#1	#2	#3
Age	36	25	37
Pregnancy	3rd	3rd	6th
Delivery	3rd	3rd	1st
Mode of delivery	cesarean	vaginal	cesarean
Weeks post-delivery	20	4	18
Collection Method	Hospital-grade electric pump		
Pre-skimmed	No	No	No
Storage	Frozen	Frozen	Frozen
Newborn			
Sex	female	male	female
Birth weight (g)	885	710	723
Gestational age (wks)	27 3/7	24 3/7	23 5/7
Pasteurized Human Donor Milk Source	**Prolact HM®**	**NW Mothers Milk Bank Batch A**	**NW Mothers Milk Bank Batch B**
Pasteurization	Vat	Holder	Holder
Storage	Frozen	Frozen	Frozen

844 **Table 2. Matched human milk and digesta sample demographics.**

Raw Human Milk	Milk (a)	Milk (b)	Milk (c)
Age	30	36	29
Pregnancy	3rd	1st	1st
Delivery	3rd	1st	1st
Mode of delivery	cesarian	cesarian	cesarian
Weeks post-delivery	7	11	6
Collection Method	Hospital-grade electric pump		
Pre-skimmed	No	No	Yes
Storage	Frozen	Frozen	Frozen
Digesta (d)	**d(a)**	**d(c)**	**d(c)**
Feed	Raw HM	Raw HM	Raw HM
Sex	Male	Male	Male
Gestational age (wks)	44 4/7	44 1/7	40 3/7
Collection Location	2nd duo	4th duo	jejunum
Collection Method	PPT	PPT	Ostomy
Pre-skimmed	No	No	Yes
Sample Storage	Frozen	Frozen	Frozen

845 PPT = post-pyloric collection tube

848 **Table 3. Matched milk and digesta F3 protein concentrations.**

	Protein (ng/uL)		
	sample (a)	**sample (b)**	**sample (c)**
Intestinal Region	**Proximal**	**Proximal**	**Middle**
Fed Milk	82.83	215.77	86.47
Digesta	41.64	100.79	45.30
Fold-change	1.99	2.14	1.91

REFERENCES

1.   Andres SF, Scottoline B, Good M. Shaping infant development from the inside out: Bioactive factors in human milk. Semin Perinatol. 2023;47(1):151690. Epub 2022/12/30. doi: 10.1016/j.semperi.2022.151690. PubMed PMID: 36581527; PMCID: PMC9974576.

2.   Barlow B, Santulli TV, Heird WC, Pitt J, Blanc WA, Schullinger JN. An experimental study of acute neonatal enterocolitis--the importance of breast milk. J Pediatr Surg. 1974;9(5):587-95. Epub 1974/10/01. doi: 10.1016/0022-3468(74)90093-1. PubMed PMID: 4138917.

3.   Boyd CA, Quigley MA, Brocklehurst P. Donor breast milk versus infant formula for preterm infants: systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2007;92(3):F169-75. Epub 2006/03/25. doi: 10.1136/adc.2005.089490. PubMed PMID: 16556615; PMCID: PMC2675323.

4.   Chowning R, Radmacher P, Lewis S, Serke L, Pettit N, Adamkin DH. A retrospective analysis of the effect of human milk on prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis and postnatal growth. J Perinatol. 2016;36(3):221-4. Epub 2015/12/04. doi: 10.1038/jp.2015.179. PubMed PMID: 26633147.

5.   Cortez J, Makker K, Kraemer DF, Neu J, Sharma R, Hudak ML. Maternal milk feedings reduce sepsis, necrotizing enterocolitis and improve outcomes of premature infants. J Perinatol. 2018;38(1):71-4. Epub 2017/10/20. doi: 10.1038/jp.2017.149. PubMed PMID: 29048409.

6.   Good M, Sodhi CP, Egan CE, Afrazi A, Jia H, Yamaguchi Y, Lu P, Branca MF, Ma C, Prindle T, Jr., Mielo S, Pompa A, Hodzic Z, Ozolek JA, Hackam DJ. Breast milk protects against the development of necrotizing enterocolitis through inhibition of Toll-like receptor 4 in the intestinal epithelium via activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. Mucosal Immunol. 2015;8(5):1166-79. Epub 2015/04/23. doi: 10.1038/mi.2015.30. PubMed PMID: 25899687; PMCID: PMC4540669.

7.   Hair AB, Peluso AM, Hawthorne KM, Perez J, Smith DP, Khan JY, O'Donnell A, Powers RJ, Lee ML, Abrams SA. Beyond Necrotizing Enterocolitis Prevention: Improving Outcomes with an Exclusive Human Milk-Based Diet. Breastfeed Med. 2016;11(2):70-4. Epub 2016/01/21. doi: 10.1089/bfm.2015.0134. PubMed PMID: 26789484; PMCID: PMC4782036 receive any compensation for this study. M.L.L. is an employee of Prolacta Bioscience. No competing financial interests exist for the remaining authors.

8.   Meister AL, Doheny KK, Travagli RA. Necrotizing enterocolitis: It's not all in the gut. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2020;245(2):85-95. Epub 2019/12/08. doi: 10.1177/1535370219891971. PubMed PMID: 31810384; PMCID: PMC7016421.

9.   Nino DF, Sodhi CP, Hackam DJ. Necrotizing enterocolitis: new insights into pathogenesis and mechanisms. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;13(10):590-600. Epub 2016/08/19. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.119. PubMed PMID: 27534694; PMCID: PMC5124124.

10.   Quigley M, Embleton ND, McGuire W. Formula versus donor breast milk for feeding preterm or low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.

2018;6:CD002971. Epub 2018/06/22. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002971.pub4.
PubMed PMID: 29926476; PMCID: PMC6513381.
11. Belfort MB, Inder TE. Human Milk and Preterm Infant Brain Development:
A Narrative Review. Clin Ther. 2022;44(4):612-21. Epub 2022/03/22. doi:
10.1016/j.clinthera.2022.02.011. PubMed PMID: 35307209; PMCID:
PMC9133155.
12. Meek JY, Noble L, Section on B. Policy Statement: Breastfeeding and the
Use of Human Milk. Pediatrics. 2022;150(1). Epub 2022/08/04. doi:
10.1542/peds.2022-057988. PubMed PMID: 35921640.
13. Thery C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD,
Andriantsitohaina R, Antoniou A, Arab T, Archer F, Atkin-Smith GK, Ayre DC,
Bach JM, Bachurski D, Baharvand H, Balaj L, Baldacchino S, Bauer NN, Baxter
AA, Bebawy M, Beckham C, Bedina Zavec A, Benmoussa A, Berardi AC,
Bergese P, Bielska E, Blenkiron C, Bobis-Wozowicz S, Boilard E, Boireau W,
Bongiovanni A, Borras FE, Bosch S, Boulanger CM, Breakefield X, Breglio AM,
Brennan MA, Brigstock DR, Brisson A, Broekman ML, Bromberg JF, Bryl-
Gorecka P, Buch S, Buck AH, Burger D, Busatto S, Buschmann D, Bussolati B,
Buzas EI, Byrd JB, Camussi G, Carter DR, Caruso S, Chamley LW, Chang YT,
Chen C, Chen S, Cheng L, Chin AR, Clayton A, Clerici SP, Cocks A, Cocucci E,
Coffey RJ, Cordeiro-da-Silva A, Couch Y, Coumans FA, Coyle B, Crescitelli R,
Criado MF, D'Souza-Schorey C, Das S, Datta Chaudhuri A, de Candia P, De
Santana EF, De Wever O, Del Portillo HA, Demaret T, Deville S, Devitt A,
Dhondt B, Di Vizio D, Dieterich LC, Dolo V, Dominguez Rubio AP, Dominici M,
Dourado MR, Driedonks TA, Duarte FV, Duncan HM, Eichenberger RM, Ekstrom
K, El Andaloussi S, Elie-Caille C, Erdbrugger U, Falcon-Perez JM, Fatima F, Fish
JE, Flores-Bellver M, Forsonits A, Frelet-Barrand A, Fricke F, Fuhrmann G,
Gabrielsson S, Gamez-Valero A, Gardiner C, Gartner K, Gaudin R, Gho YS,
Giebel B, Gilbert C, Gimona M, Giusti I, Goberdhan DC, Gorgens A, Gorski SM,
Greening DW, Gross JC, Gualerzi A, Gupta GN, Gustafson D, Handberg A,
Haraszti RA, Harrison P, Hegyesi H, Hendrix A, Hill AF, Hochberg FH, Hoffmann
KF, Holder B, Holthofer H, Hosseinkhani B, Hu G, Huang Y, Huber V, Hunt S,
Ibrahim AG, Ikezu T, Inal JM, Isin M, Ivanova A, Jackson HK, Jacobsen S, Jay
SM, Jayachandran M, Jenster G, Jiang L, Johnson SM, Jones JC, Jong A,
Jovanovic-Talisman T, Jung S, Kalluri R, Kano SI, Kaur S, Kawamura Y, Keller
ET, Khamari D, Khomyakova E, Khvorova A, Kierulf P, Kim KP, Kislinger T,
Klingeborn M, Klinke DJ, 2nd, Kornek M, Kosanovic MM, Kovacs AF, Kramer-
Albers EM, Krasemann S, Krause M, Kurochkin IV, Kusuma GD, Kuypers S,
Laitinen S, Langevin SM, Languino LR, Lannigan J, Lasser C, Laurent LC,
Lavieu G, Lazaro-Ibanez E, Le Lay S, Lee MS, Lee YXF, Lemos DS, Lenassi M,
Leszczynska A, Li IT, Liao K, Libregts SF, Ligeti E, Lim R, Lim SK, Line A,
Linnemannstons K, Llorente A, Lombard CA, Lorenowicz MJ, Lorincz AM, Lotvall
J, Lovett J, Lowry MC, Loyer X, Lu Q, Lukomska B, Lunavat TR, Maas SL, Malhi
H, Marcilla A, Mariani J, Mariscal J, Martens-Uzunova ES, Martin-Jaular L,
Martinez MC, Martins VR, Mathieu M, Mathivanan S, Maugeri M, McGinnis LK,
McVey MJ, Meckes DG, Jr., Meehan KL, Mertens I, Minciacchi VR, Moller A,
Moller Jorgensen M, Morales-Kastresana A, Morhayim J, Mullier F, Muraca M,

Musante L, Mussack V, Muth DC, Myburgh KH, Najrana T, Nawaz M, Nazarenko
I, Nejsum P, Neri C, Neri T, Nieuwland R, Nimrichter L, Nolan JP, Nolte-'t Hoen
EN, Noren Hooten N, O'Driscoll L, O'Grady T, O'Loghlen A, Ochiya T, Olivier M,
Ortiz A, Ortiz LA, Osteikoetxea X, Ostergaard O, Ostrowski M, Park J, Pegtel
DM, Peinado H, Perut F, Pfaffl MW, Phinney DG, Pieters BC, Pink RC, Pisetsky
DS, Pogge von Strandmann E, Polakovicova I, Poon IK, Powell BH, Prada I,
Pulliam L, Quesenberry P, Radeghieri A, Raffai RL, Raimondo S, Rak J, Ramirez
MI, Raposo G, Rayyan MS, Regev-Rudzki N, Ricklefs FL, Robbins PD, Roberts
DD, Rodrigues SC, Rohde E, Rome S, Rouschop KM, Rughetti A, Russell AE,
Saa P, Sahoo S, Salas-Huenuleo E, Sanchez C, Saugstad JA, Saul MJ,
Schiffelers RM, Schneider R, Schoyen TH, Scott A, Shahaj E, Sharma S,
Shatnyeva O, Shekari F, Shelke GV, Shetty AK, Shiba K, Siljander PR, Silva AM,
Skowronek A, Snyder OL, 2nd, Soares RP, Sodar BW, Soekmadji C, Sotillo J,
Stahl PD, Stoorvogel W, Stott SL, Strasser EF, Swift S, Tahara H, Tewari M,
Timms K, Tiwari S, Tixeira R, Tkach M, Toh WS, Tomasini R, Torrecilhas AC,
Tosar JP, Toxavidis V, Urbanelli L, Vader P, van Balkom BW, van der Grein SG,
Van Deun J, van Herwijnen MJ, Van Keuren-Jensen K, van Niel G, van Royen
ME, van Wijnen AJ, Vasconcelos MH, Vechetti IJ, Jr., Veit TD, Vella LJ, Velot E,
Verweij FJ, Vestad B, Vinas JL, Visnovitz T, Vukman KV, Wahlgren J, Watson
DC, Wauben MH, Weaver A, Webber JP, Weber V, Wehman AM, Weiss DJ,
Welsh JA, Wendt S, Wheelock AM, Wiener Z, Witte L, Wolfram J, Xagorari A,
Xander P, Xu J, Yan X, Yanez-Mo M, Yin H, Yuana Y, Zappulli V, Zarubova J,
Zekas V, Zhang JY, Zhao Z, Zheng L, Zheutlin AR, Zickler AM, Zimmermann P,
Zivkovic AM, Zocco D, Zuba-Surma EK. Minimal information for studies of
extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the
International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014
guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1):1535750. Epub 2019/01/15. doi:
10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750. PubMed PMID: 30637094; PMCID:
PMC6322352.
14.   Maghraby MK, Li B, Chi L, Ling C, Benmoussa A, Provost P, Postmus AC,
Abdi A, Pierro A, Bourdon C, Bandsma RHJ. Extracellular vesicles isolated from
milk can improve gut barrier dysfunction induced by malnutrition. Sci Rep.
2021;11(1):7635. Epub 2021/04/09. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86920-w. PubMed
PMID: 33828139; PMCID: PMC8026962.
15.   Tong L, Zhang S, Liu Q, Huang C, Hao H, Tan MS, Yu X, Lou CKL,
Huang R, Zhang Z, Liu T, Gong P, Ng CH, Muthiah M, Pastorin G, Wacker MG,
Chen X, Storm G, Lee CN, Zhang L, Yi H, Wang JW. Milk-derived extracellular
vesicles protect intestinal barrier integrity in the gut-liver axis. Sci Adv.
2023;9(15):eade5041. Epub 2023/04/13. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.ade5041. PubMed
PMID: 37043568; PMCID: PMC10096581.
16.   Pisano C, Galley J, Elbahrawy M, Wang Y, Farrell A, Brigstock D, Besner
GE. Human Breast Milk-Derived Extracellular Vesicles in the Protection Against
Experimental Necrotizing Enterocolitis. J Pediatr Surg. 2020;55(1):54-8. Epub
2019/11/07. doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2019.09.052. PubMed PMID: 31685268;
PMCID: PMC6989376.

17.   Miyake H, Lee C, Chusilp S, Bhalla M, Li B, Pitino M, Seo S, O'Connor
DL, Pierro A. Human breast milk exosomes attenuate intestinal damage. Pediatr
Surg Int. 2020;36(2):155-63. Epub 2019/11/13. doi: 10.1007/s00383-019-04599-
7. PubMed PMID: 31713717.
18.   Zonneveld MI, van Herwijnen MJC, Fernandez-Gutierrez MM, Giovanazzi
A, de Groot AM, Kleinjan M, van Capel TMM, Sijts A, Taams LS, Garssen J, de
Jong EC, Kleerebezem M, Nolte-'t Hoen ENM, Redegeld FA, Wauben MHM.
Human milk extracellular vesicles target nodes in interconnected signalling
pathways that enhance oral epithelial barrier function and dampen immune
responses. J Extracell Vesicles. 2021;10(5):e12071. Epub 2021/03/19. doi:
10.1002/jev2.12071. PubMed PMID: 33732416; PMCID: PMC7944547.
19.   Wang L, Gao R, Li B, Alganabi M, He W, Shen C, Zhu H, Pierro A. Human
breast milk-derived exosomes protect against intestinal ischemia and reperfusion
injury in neonatal rats. J Pediatr Surg. 2022;57(7):1264-8. Epub 2022/04/06. doi:
10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2022.02.029. PubMed PMID: 35379491.
20.   Dong P, Zhang Y, Yan DY, Wang Y, Xu X, Zhao YC, Xiao TT. Protective
Effects of Human Milk-Derived Exosomes on Intestinal Stem Cells Damaged by
Oxidative Stress. Cell Transplant. 2020;29:963689720912690. Epub 2020/03/21.
doi: 10.1177/0963689720912690. PubMed PMID: 32193954; PMCID:
PMC7444213.
21.   He S, Liu G, Zhu X. Human breast milk-derived exosomes may help
maintain intestinal epithelial barrier integrity. Pediatr Res. 2021;90(2):366-72.
Epub 2021/03/19. doi: 10.1038/s41390-021-01449-y. PubMed PMID: 33731816.
22.   Gao R, Zhang R, Qian T, Peng X, He W, Zheng S, Cao Y, Pierro A, Shen
C. A comparison of exosomes derived from different periods breast milk on
protecting against intestinal organoid injury. Pediatr Surg Int. 2019;35(12):1363-
8. Epub 2019/10/03. doi: 10.1007/s00383-019-04562-6. PubMed PMID:
31576466.
23.   Martin C, Patel M, Williams S, Arora H, Brawner K, Sims B. Human breast
milk-derived exosomes attenuate cell death in intestinal epithelial cells. Innate
Immun. 2018;24(5):278-84. Epub 2018/07/12. doi: 10.1177/1753425918785715.
PubMed PMID: 29991305; PMCID: PMC6830917.
24.   Kahn S, Liao Y, Du X, Xu W, Li J, Lonnerdal B. Exosomal MicroRNAs in
Milk from Mothers Delivering Preterm Infants Survive in Vitro Digestion and Are
Taken Up by Human Intestinal Cells. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2018;62(11):e1701050.
Epub 2018/04/13. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201701050. PubMed PMID: 29644801.
25.   Liao Y, Du X, Li J, Lonnerdal B. Human milk exosomes and their
microRNAs survive digestion in vitro and are taken up by human intestinal cells.
Mol Nutr Food Res. 2017;61(11). Epub 2017/07/09. doi:
10.1002/mnfr.201700082. PubMed PMID: 28688106.
26.   Kosaka N, Izumi H, Sekine K, Ochiya T. microRNA as a new immune-
regulatory agent in breast milk. Silence. 2010;1(1):7. Epub 2010/03/17. doi:
10.1186/1758-907X-1-7. PubMed PMID: 20226005; PMCID: PMC2847997.
27.   Sadri M, Shu J, Kachman SD, Cui J, Zempleni J. Milk exosomes and
miRNA cross the placenta and promote embryo survival in mice. Reproduction.

2020;160(4):501-9. Epub 2020/07/06. doi: 10.1530/REP-19-0521. PubMed
PMID: 32621589.
28.   Manca S, Upadhyaya B, Mutai E, Desaulniers AT, Cederberg RA, White
BR, Zempleni J. Milk exosomes are bioavailable and distinct microRNA cargos
have unique tissue distribution patterns. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):11321. Epub
2018/07/29. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29780-1. PubMed PMID: 30054561;
PMCID: PMC6063888 Agriculture (NIFA), the National Institutes of Health, the
Gerber Foundation, the United States Department of Agriculture/Egg Nutrition
Center, a grant from the Office of the President of the University of Nebraska, the
Nebraska Agricultural Research Division (Hatch Act) and a United States
Department of Agriculture multistate group. He has received compensation as a
member of the scientific advisory board of PureTech Health, Inc. and has a
licensing agreement with the company. He also has consulted for PureTech
Health and received compensation. He has submitted a provisional patent
application titled Extracellular Vesicles and Methods of Using (62/471,572) to the
U.S. Patents and Trademarks Office. Dr White's work has been supported by the
Nebraska Agricultural Research Division. The authors declare no potential
conflict of interest.
29.   Zhou F, Ebea P, Mutai E, Wang H, Sukreet S, Navazesh S, Dogan H, Li
W, Cui J, Ji P, Ramirez DMO, Zempleni J. Small Extracellular Vesicles in Milk
Cross the Blood-Brain Barrier in Murine Cerebral Cortex Endothelial Cells and
Promote Dendritic Complexity in the Hippocampus and Brain Function in
C57BL/6J Mice. Front Nutr. 2022;9:838543. Epub 2022/05/24. doi:
10.3389/fnut.2022.838543. PubMed PMID: 35600828; PMCID: PMC9121399.
30.   Gonnella PA, Neutra MR. Membrane-bound and fluid-phase
macromolecules enter separate prelysosomal compartments in absorptive cells
of suckling rat ileum. J Cell Biol. 1984;99(3):909-17. Epub 1984/09/01. doi:
10.1083/jcb.99.3.909. PubMed PMID: 6470044; PMCID: PMC2113398.
31.   Wilson JM, Whitney JA, Neutra MR. Identification of an endosomal
antigen specific to absorptive cells of suckling rat ileum. J Cell Biol.
1987;105(2):691-703. Epub 1987/08/01. doi: 10.1083/jcb.105.2.691. PubMed
PMID: 3305521; PMCID: PMC2114780.
32.   Bonsergent E, Grisard E, Buchrieser J, Schwartz O, Thery C, Lavieu G.
Quantitative characterization of extracellular vesicle uptake and content delivery
within mammalian cells. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1864. Epub 2021/03/27. doi:
10.1038/s41467-021-22126-y. PubMed PMID: 33767144; PMCID: PMC7994380.
33.   Mulcahy LA, Pink RC, Carter DR. Routes and mechanisms of extracellular
vesicle uptake. J Extracell Vesicles. 2014;3. Epub 2014/08/22. doi:
10.3402/jev.v3.24641. PubMed PMID: 25143819; PMCID: PMC4122821.
34.   Betker JL, Angle BM, Graner MW, Anchordoquy TJ. The Potential of
Exosomes From Cow Milk for Oral Delivery. J Pharm Sci. 2019;108(4):1496-505.
Epub 2018/11/24. doi: 10.1016/j.xphs.2018.11.022. PubMed PMID: 30468828;
PMCID: PMC6788294.
35.   Roerig J, Schiller L, Kalwa H, Hause G, Vissiennon C, Hacker MC, Wolk
C, Schulz-Siegmund M. A focus on critical aspects of uptake and transport of
milk-derived extracellular vesicles across the Caco-2 intestinal barrier model. Eur

J Pharm Biopharm. 2021;166:61-74. Epub 2021/06/03. doi:
10.1016/j.ejpb.2021.05.026. PubMed PMID: 34077790.
36. Miller ME, Michaylira CZ, Simmons JG, Ney DM, Dahly EM, Heath JK,
Lund PK. Suppressor of cytokine signaling-2: a growth hormone-inducible
inhibitor of intestinal epithelial cell proliferation. Gastroenterology.
2004;127(2):570-81. Epub 2004/08/10. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2004.05.016.
PubMed PMID: 15300589.
37. Andres SF, Simmons JG, Mah AT, Santoro MA, Van Landeghem L, Lund
PK. Insulin receptor isoform switching in intestinal stem cells, progenitors,
differentiated lineages and tumors: evidence that IR-B limits proliferation. J Cell
Sci. 2013;126(Pt 24):5645-56. Epub 2013/10/16. doi: 10.1242/jcs.132985.
PubMed PMID: 24127567; PMCID: PMC3860310.
38. Chantret I, Barbat A, Dussaulx E, Brattain MG, Zweibaum A. Epithelial
polarity, villin expression, and enterocytic differentiation of cultured human colon
carcinoma cells: a survey of twenty cell lines. Cancer Res. 1988;48(7):1936-42.
Epub 1988/04/01. PubMed PMID: 3349466.
39. Pinto M, Robineleon S, Appay MD, Kedinger M, Triadou N, Dussaulx E,
Lacroix B, Simonassmann P, Haffen K, Fogh J, Zweibaum A. Enterocyte-Like
Differentiation and Polarization of the Human-Colon Carcinoma Cell-Line Caco-2
in Culture. Biol Cell. 1983;47(3):323-30. PubMed PMID: ISI:A1983QX96200008.
40. Perreault N, Beaulieu JF. Use of the dissociating enzyme thermolysin to
generate viable human normal intestinal epithelial cell cultures. Exp Cell Res.
1996;224(2):354-64. Epub 1996/05/01. doi: 10.1006/excr.1996.0145. PubMed
PMID: 8612712.
41. Mahe MM, Aihara E, Schumacher MA, Zavros Y, Montrose MH, Helmrath
MA, Sato T, Shroyer NF. Establishment of Gastrointestinal Epithelial Organoids.
Curr Protoc Mouse Biol. 2013;3(4):217-40. Epub 2014/08/12. doi:
10.1002/9780470942390.mo130179. PubMed PMID: 25105065; PMCID:
PMC4120977.
42. Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE,
van Es JH, Abo A, Kujala P, Peters PJ, Clevers H. Single Lgr5 stem cells build
crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature.
2009;459(7244):262-5. Epub 2009/03/31. doi: 10.1038/nature07935. PubMed
PMID: 19329995.
43. Lechuga S, Braga-Neto MB, Naydenov NG, Rieder F, Ivanov AI.
Understanding disruption of the gut barrier during inflammation: Should we
abandon traditional epithelial cell lines and switch to intestinal organoids? Front
Immunol. 2023;14:1108289. Epub 2023/03/07. doi:
10.3389/fimmu.2023.1108289. PubMed PMID: 36875103; PMCID:
PMC9983034.
44. Kenigsberg S, Wyse BA, Librach CL, da Silveira JC. Protocol for Exosome
Isolation from Small Volume of Ovarian Follicular Fluid: Evaluation of
Ultracentrifugation and Commercial Kits. Methods Mol Biol. 2017;1660:321-41.
Epub 2017/08/23. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7253-1_26. PubMed PMID:
28828668.

45.   Trummer A, De Rop C, Tiede A, Ganser A, Eisert R. Recovery and
composition of microparticles after snap-freezing depends on thawing
temperature. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis. 2009;20(1):52-6. Epub 2009/01/01. doi:
10.1097/MBC.0b013e32831be9c5. PubMed PMID: 20523165.
46.   Mukhopadhya A, Santoro J, O'Driscoll L. Extracellular vesicle separation
from milk and infant milk formula using acid precipitation and ultracentrifugation.
STAR Protoc. 2021;2(4):100821. Epub 2021/09/28. doi:
10.1016/j.xpro.2021.100821. PubMed PMID: 34568843; PMCID: PMC8449126.
47.   Greening DW, Xu R, Ji H, Tauro BJ, Simpson RJ. A protocol for exosome
isolation and characterization: evaluation of ultracentrifugation, density-gradient
separation, and immunoaffinity capture methods. Methods Mol Biol.
2015;1295:179-209. Epub 2015/03/31. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2550-6_15.
PubMed PMID: 25820723.
48.   Consortium E-T, Van Deun J, Mestdagh P, Agostinis P, Akay O, Anand S,
Anckaert J, Martinez ZA, Baetens T, Beghein E, Bertier L, Berx G, Boere J,
Boukouris S, Bremer M, Buschmann D, Byrd JB, Casert C, Cheng L, Cmoch A,
Daveloose D, De Smedt E, Demirsoy S, Depoorter V, Dhondt B, Driedonks TA,
Dudek A, Elsharawy A, Floris I, Foers AD, Gartner K, Garg AD, Geeurickx E,
Gettemans J, Ghazavi F, Giebel B, Kormelink TG, Hancock G, Helsmoortel H,
Hill AF, Hyenne V, Kalra H, Kim D, Kowal J, Kraemer S, Leidinger P, Leonelli C,
Liang Y, Lippens L, Liu S, Lo Cicero A, Martin S, Mathivanan S, Mathiyalagan P,
Matusek T, Milani G, Monguio-Tortajada M, Mus LM, Muth DC, Nemeth A, Nolte-
't Hoen EN, O'Driscoll L, Palmulli R, Pfaffl MW, Primdal-Bengtson B, Romano E,
Rousseau Q, Sahoo S, Sampaio N, Samuel M, Scicluna B, Soen B, Steels A,
Swinnen JV, Takatalo M, Thaminy S, Thery C, Tulkens J, Van Audenhove I, van
der Grein S, Van Goethem A, van Herwijnen MJ, Van Niel G, Van Roy N, Van
Vliet AR, Vandamme N, Vanhauwaert S, Vergauwen G, Verweij F, Wallaert A,
Wauben M, Witwer KW, Zonneveld MI, De Wever O, Vandesompele J, Hendrix
A. EV-TRACK: transparent reporting and centralizing knowledge in extracellular
vesicle research. Nat Methods. 2017;14(3):228-32. Epub 2017/03/01. doi:
10.1038/nmeth.4185. PubMed PMID: 28245209.
49.   Lotvall J, Hill AF, Hochberg F, Buzas EI, Di Vizio D, Gardiner C, Gho YS,
Kurochkin IV, Mathivanan S, Quesenberry P, Sahoo S, Tahara H, Wauben MH,
Witwer KW, Thery C. Minimal experimental requirements for definition of
extracellular vesicles and their functions: a position statement from the
International Society for Extracellular Vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles.
2014;3:26913. Epub 2014/12/30. doi: 10.3402/jev.v3.26913. PubMed PMID:
25536934; PMCID: PMC4275645.
50.   Mehdiani A, Maier A, Pinto A, Barth M, Akhyari P, Lichtenberg A. An
innovative method for exosome quantification and size measurement. J Vis Exp.
2015(95):50974. Epub 2015/02/05. doi: 10.3791/50974. PubMed PMID:
25650897; PMCID: PMC4354536.
51.   Kuhn M, Zhang Y, Favate J, Morita M, Blucher A, Das S, Liang S, Preet R,
Parham LR, Williams KN, Molugu S, Armstrong RJ, Zhang W, Yang J, Hamilton
KE, Dixon DA, Mills G, Morgan TK, Shah P, Andres SF. IMP1/IGF2BP1 in
human colorectal cancer extracellular vesicles. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver

Physiol. 2022;323(6):G571-G85. Epub 2022/10/05. doi:
10.1152/ajpgi.00121.2022. PubMed PMID: 36194131; PMCID: PMC9678429.
52. Co JY, Margalef-Catala M, Li X, Mah AT, Kuo CJ, Monack DM, Amieva
MR. Controlling Epithelial Polarity: A Human Enteroid Model for Host-Pathogen
Interactions. Cell Rep. 2019;26(9):2509-20 e4. Epub 2019/02/28. doi:
10.1016/j.celrep.2019.01.108. PubMed PMID: 30811997; PMCID: PMC6391775.
53. Stroulios G, Stahl M, Elstone F, Chang W, Louis S, Eaves A, Simmini S,
Conder RK. Culture Methods to Study Apical-Specific Interactions using
Intestinal Organoid Models. J Vis Exp. 2021(169). Epub 2021/04/13. doi:
10.3791/62330. PubMed PMID: 33843928.
54. Uhlen M, Bjorling E, Agaton C, Szigyarto CA, Amini B, Andersen E,
Andersson AC, Angelidou P, Asplund A, Asplund C, Berglund L, Bergstrom K,
Brumer H, Cerjan D, Ekstrom M, Elobeid A, Eriksson C, Fagerberg L, Falk R, Fall
J, Forsberg M, Bjorklund MG, Gumbel K, Halimi A, Hallin I, Hamsten C, Hansson
M, Hedhammar M, Hercules G, Kampf C, Larsson K, Lindskog M, Lodewyckx W,
Lund J, Lundeberg J, Magnusson K, Malm E, Nilsson P, Odling J, Oksvold P,
Olsson I, Oster E, Ottosson J, Paavilainen L, Persson A, Rimini R, Rockberg J,
Runeson M, Sivertsson A, Skollermo A, Steen J, Stenvall M, Sterky F, Stromberg
S, Sundberg M, Tegel H, Tourle S, Wahlund E, Walden A, Wan J, Wernerus H,
Westberg J, Wester K, Wrethagen U, Xu LL, Hober S, Ponten F. A human
protein atlas for normal and cancer tissues based on antibody proteomics. Mol
Cell Proteomics. 2005;4(12):1920-32. Epub 2005/08/30. doi:
10.1074/mcp.M500279-MCP200. PubMed PMID: 16127175.
55. Lactating Breast/BTN1A1/Tissue staining available from
v23.proteinatalas.org [Internet]. Human Protein Atlas. Available from:
https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000124557-
BTN1A1/tissue/lactating+breast.
56. Lee H, Padhi E, Hasegawa Y, Larke J, Parenti M, Wang A, Hernell O,
Lonnerdal B, Slupsky C. Compositional Dynamics of the Milk Fat Globule and Its
Role in Infant Development. Front Pediatr. 2018;6:313. Epub 2018/11/22. doi:
10.3389/fped.2018.00313. PubMed PMID: 30460213; PMCID: PMC6232911.
57. Robenek H, Hofnagel O, Buers I, Lorkowski S, Schnoor M, Robenek MJ,
Heid H, Troyer D, Severs NJ. Butyrophilin controls milk fat globule secretion.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(27):10385-90. Epub 2006/06/28. doi:
10.1073/pnas.0600795103. PubMed PMID: 16801554; PMCID: PMC1502467.
58. van Herwijnen MJ, Zonneveld MI, Goerdayal S, Nolte-'t Hoen EN,
Garssen J, Stahl B, Maarten Altelaar AF, Redegeld FA, Wauben MH.
Comprehensive Proteomic Analysis of Human Milk-derived Extracellular Vesicles
Unveils a Novel Functional Proteome Distinct from Other Milk Components. Mol
Cell Proteomics. 2016;15(11):3412-23. Epub 2016/11/03. doi:
10.1074/mcp.M116.060426. PubMed PMID: 27601599; PMCID: PMC5098039.
59. Osteikoetxea X, Sodar B, Nemeth A, Szabo-Taylor K, Paloczi K, Vukman
KV, Tamasi V, Balogh A, Kittel A, Pallinger E, Buzas EI. Differential detergent
sensitivity of extracellular vesicle subpopulations. Org Biomol Chem.
2015;13(38):9775-82. Epub 2015/08/13. doi: 10.1039/c5ob01451d. PubMed
PMID: 26264754.

60.   Burge K, Wilson A, Chaaban H. In Vitro Apical-Out Enteroid Model of
Necrotizing Enterocolitis. J Vis Exp. 2022(184). Epub 2022/06/28. doi:
10.3791/64003. PubMed PMID: 35758712; PMCID: PMC9682421.
61.   Costello CM, Willsey GG, Richards AF, Kim J, Pizzuto MS, Jaconi S,
Benigni F, Corti D, Mantis NJ, March JC. Transcytosis of IgA Attenuates
Salmonella Invasion in Human Enteroids and Intestinal Organoids. Infect Immun.
2022;90(6):e0004122. Epub 2022/05/18. doi: 10.1128/iai.00041-22. PubMed
PMID: 35579465; PMCID: PMC9202428.
62.   Lanik WE, Luke CJ, Nolan LS, Gong Q, Frazer LC, Rimer JM, Gale SE,
Luc R, Bidani SS, Sibbald CA, Lewis AN, Mihi B, Agrawal P, Goree M, Maestas
M, Hu E, Peters DG, Good M. Microfluidic device facilitates in vitro modeling of
human neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis-on-a-chip. JCI Insight. 2023;8(8). Epub
2023/03/08. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.146496. PubMed PMID: 36881475; PMCID:
PMC10243823.
63.   Liebe H, Schlegel C, Cai X, Golubkova A, Loerke C, Leiva T, Hunter CJ.
Apical-Out Enteroids as an Innovative Model for Necrotizing Enterocolitis. J Surg
Res. 2023;283:1106-16. Epub 2023/03/15. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2022.11.048.
PubMed PMID: 36915002; PMCID: PMC10014931.
64.   Wilson A, Burge K, Eckert J, Chaaban H. Effect of Hyaluronic Acid 35 kDa
on an In Vitro Model of Preterm Small Intestinal Injury and Healing using
Enteroid-derived Monolayers. J Vis Exp. 2022(185). Epub 2022/08/10. doi:
10.3791/63758. PubMed PMID: 35943893; PMCID: PMC9680908.
65.   He GW, Lin L, DeMartino J, Zheng X, Staliarova N, Dayton T, Begthel H,
van de Wetering WJ, Bodewes E, van Zon J, Tans S, Lopez-Iglesias C, Peters
PJ, Wu W, Kotlarz D, Klein C, Margaritis T, Holstege F, Clevers H. Optimized
human intestinal organoid model reveals interleukin-22-dependency of paneth
cell formation. Cell Stem Cell. 2022;29(9):1333-45 e6. Epub 2022/08/25. doi:
10.1016/j.stem.2022.08.002. PubMed PMID: 36002022; PMCID: PMC9438971.
66.   Toribio V, Morales S, Lopez-Martin S, Cardenes B, Cabanas C, Yanez-Mo
M. Development of a quantitative method to measure EV uptake. Sci Rep.
2019;9(1):10522. Epub 2019/07/22. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-47023-9. PubMed
PMID: 31324885; PMCID: PMC6642168.
67.   Kusuma RJ, Manca S, Friemel T, Sukreet S, Nguyen C, Zempleni J.
Human vascular endothelial cells transport foreign exosomes from cow's milk by
endocytosis. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol. 2016;310(10):C800-7. Epub 2016/03/18.
doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00169.2015. PubMed PMID: 26984735; PMCID:
PMC4895447.
68.   Weigel PH, Oka JA. Temperature dependence of endocytosis mediated
by the asialoglycoprotein receptor in isolated rat hepatocytes. Evidence for two
potentially rate-limiting steps. J Biol Chem. 1981;256(6):2615-7. Epub
1981/03/25. PubMed PMID: 6259136.
69.   Wolf T, Baier SR, Zempleni J. The Intestinal Transport of Bovine Milk
Exosomes Is Mediated by Endocytosis in Human Colon Carcinoma Caco-2 Cells
and Rat Small Intestinal IEC-6 Cells. J Nutr. 2015;145(10):2201-6. Epub
2015/08/14. doi: 10.3945/jn.115.218586. PubMed PMID: 26269243; PMCID:
PMC4580964.

70.   Abels ER, Breakefield XO. Introduction to Extracellular Vesicles:
Biogenesis, RNA Cargo Selection, Content, Release, and Uptake. Cell Mol
Neurobiol. 2016;36(3):301-12. Epub 2016/04/08. doi: 10.1007/s10571-016-0366-
z. PubMed PMID: 27053351; PMCID: PMC5546313.
71.   Colombo M, Raposo G, Thery C. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular
interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. Annu Rev Cell Dev
Biol. 2014;30:255-89. Epub 2014/10/08. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-
122326. PubMed PMID: 25288114.
72.   Elliott RO, He M. Unlocking the Power of Exosomes for Crossing
Biological Barriers in Drug Delivery. Pharmaceutics. 2021;13(1). Epub
2021/01/23. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics13010122. PubMed PMID: 33477972;
PMCID: PMC7835896.
73.   S ELA, Mager I, Breakefield XO, Wood MJ. Extracellular vesicles: biology
and emerging therapeutic opportunities. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2013;12(5):347-
57. Epub 2013/04/16. doi: 10.1038/nrd3978. PubMed PMID: 23584393.
74.   Simeone P, Bologna G, Lanuti P, Pierdomenico L, Guagnano MT,
Pieragostino D, Del Boccio P, Vergara D, Marchisio M, Miscia S, Mariani-
Costantini R. Extracellular Vesicles as Signaling Mediators and Disease
Biomarkers across Biological Barriers. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(7). Epub
2020/04/09. doi: 10.3390/ijms21072514. PubMed PMID: 32260425; PMCID:
PMC7178048.
75.   van Niel G, D'Angelo G, Raposo G. Shedding light on the cell biology of
extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2018;19(4):213-28. Epub
2018/01/18. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.125. PubMed PMID: 29339798.
76.   Zhong Y, Wang X, Zhao X, Shen J, Wu X, Gao P, Yang P, Chen J, An W.
Multifunctional Milk-Derived Small Extracellular Vesicles and Their Biomedical
Applications. Pharmaceutics. 2023;15(5). Epub 2023/05/27. doi:
10.3390/pharmaceutics15051418. PubMed PMID: 37242660; PMCID:
PMC10223436.
77.   Munir J, Ngu A, Wang H, Ramirez DMO, Zempleni J. Review: Milk Small
Extracellular Vesicles for Use in the Delivery of Therapeutics. Pharm Res.
2023;40(4):909-15. Epub 2022/10/06. doi: 10.1007/s11095-022-03404-w.
PubMed PMID: 36198923.
78.   Witwer KW, Buzas EI, Bemis LT, Bora A, Lasser C, Lotvall J, Nolte-'t
Hoen EN, Piper MG, Sivaraman S, Skog J, Thery C, Wauben MH, Hochberg F.
Standardization of sample collection, isolation and analysis methods in
extracellular vesicle research. J Extracell Vesicles. 2013;2. Epub 2013/09/07.
doi: 10.3402/jev.v2i0.20360. PubMed PMID: 24009894; PMCID: PMC3760646.
79.   Chen W, Chen X, Qian Y, Wang X, Zhou Y, Yan X, Yu B, Yao S, Yu Z,
Zhu J, Han S. Lipidomic Profiling of Human Milk Derived Exosomes and Their
Emerging Roles in the Prevention of Necrotizing Enterocolitis. Mol Nutr Food
Res. 2021;65(10):e2000845. Epub 2021/03/15. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.202000845.
PubMed PMID: 33715285.
80.   Yan X, Liu L, Yao S, Chen Y, Yu Q, Jiang C, Chen W, Chen X, Han S.
LncRNA and mRNA profiles of human milk-derived exosomes and their possible
roles in protecting against necrotizing enterocolitis. Food Funct.

2022;13(24):12953-65. Epub 2022/12/01. doi: 10.1039/d2fo01866g. PubMed
PMID: 36448375.
81. Bickmore DC, Miklavcic JJ. Characterization of Extracellular Vesicles
Isolated From Human Milk Using a Precipitation-Based Method. Front Nutr.
2020;7:22. Epub 2020/04/02. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2020.00022. PubMed PMID:
32232046; PMCID: PMC7082312.
82. Kupsco A, Prada D, Valvi D, Hu L, Petersen MS, Coull B, Grandjean P,
Weihe P, Baccarelli AA. Human milk extracellular vesicle miRNA expression and
associations with maternal characteristics in a population-based cohort from the
Faroe Islands. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):5840. Epub 2021/03/14. doi:
10.1038/s41598-021-84809-2. PubMed PMID: 33712635; PMCID: PMC7970999.
83. Soares Martins T, Catita J, Martins Rosa I, O ABdCES, Henriques AG.
Exosome isolation from distinct biofluids using precipitation and column-based
approaches. PLoS One. 2018;13(6):e0198820. Epub 2018/06/12. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0198820. PubMed PMID: 29889903; PMCID:
PMC5995457 PLOS ONE policies, on sharing data and materials.
84. Lobb RJ, Becker M, Wen SW, Wong CS, Wiegmans AP, Leimgruber A,
Moller A. Optimized exosome isolation protocol for cell culture supernatant and
human plasma. J Extracell Vesicles. 2015;4:27031. Epub 2015/07/22. doi:
10.3402/jev.v4.27031. PubMed PMID: 26194179; PMCID: PMC4507751.
85. Tan XH, Fang D, Xu YD, Nan TG, Song WP, Gu YY, Gu SJ, Yuan YM,
Xin ZC, Zhou LQ, Guan RL, Li XS. Skimmed Bovine Milk-Derived Extracellular
Vesicles Isolated via "Salting-Out": Characterizations and Potential Functions as
Nanocarriers. Front Nutr. 2021;8:769223. Epub 2021/11/16. doi:
10.3389/fnut.2021.769223. PubMed PMID: 34778348; PMCID: PMC8582325.
86. Wijenayake S, Eisha S, Tawhidi Z, Pitino MA, Steele MA, Fleming AS,
McGowan PO. Comparison of methods for pre-processing, exosome isolation,
and RNA extraction in unpasteurized bovine and human milk. PLoS One.
2021;16(9):e0257633. Epub 2021/10/01. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0257633.
PubMed PMID: 34591894; PMCID: PMC8483318.
87. Zlotogorski-Hurvitz A, Dayan D, Chaushu G, Korvala J, Salo T, Sormunen
R, Vered M. Human saliva-derived exosomes: comparing methods of isolation. J
Histochem Cytochem. 2015;63(3):181-9. Epub 2014/12/05. doi:
10.1369/0022155414564219. PubMed PMID: 25473095; PMCID: PMC4340734.
88. Taylor DD, Shah S. Methods of isolating extracellular vesicles impact
down-stream analyses of their cargoes. Methods. 2015;87:3-10. Epub
2015/03/15. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2015.02.019. PubMed PMID: 25766927.
89. Leiferman A, Shu J, Upadhyaya B, Cui J, Zempleni J. Storage of
Extracellular Vesicles in Human Milk, and MicroRNA Profiles in Human Milk
Exosomes and Infant Formulas. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2019;69(2):235-8.
Epub 2019/06/07. doi: 10.1097/MPG.0000000000002363. PubMed PMID:
31169664; PMCID: PMC6658346.
90. Holm M, Saraswat M, Joenvaara S, Seppo A, Looney RJ, Tohmola T,
Renkonen J, Renkonen R, Jarvinen KM. Quantitative glycoproteomics of human
milk and association with atopic disease. PLoS One. 2022;17(5):e0267967. Epub

2022/05/14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267967. PubMed PMID: 35559953; PMCID: PMC9106177.

91.   Wesolowska A, Sinkiewicz-Darol E, Barbarska O, Bernatowicz-Lojko U, Borszewska-Kornacka MK, van Goudoever JB. Innovative Techniques of Processing Human Milk to Preserve Key Components. Nutrients. 2019;11(5). Epub 2019/05/30. doi: 10.3390/nu11051169. PubMed PMID: 31137691; PMCID: PMC6566440.

92.   Peila C, Moro GE, Bertino E, Cavallarin L, Giribaldi M, Giuliani F, Cresi F, Coscia A. The Effect of Holder Pasteurization on Nutrients and Biologically-Active Components in Donor Human Milk: A Review. Nutrients. 2016;8(8). Epub 2016/08/05. doi: 10.3390/nu8080477. PubMed PMID: 27490567; PMCID: PMC4997390.

93.   Torrez Lamberti MF, Parker LA, Gonzalez CF, Lorca GL. Pasteurization of human milk affects the miRNA cargo of EVs decreasing its immunomodulatory activity. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):10057. Epub 2023/06/22. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-37310-x. PubMed PMID: 37344543; PMCID: PMC10284810.

94.   Kleinjan M, van Herwijnen MJ, Libregts SF, van Neerven RJ, Feitsma AL, Wauben MH. Regular Industrial Processing of Bovine Milk Impacts the Integrity and Molecular Composition of Extracellular Vesicles. J Nutr. 2021;151(6):1416-25. Epub 2021/03/27. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxab031. PubMed PMID: 33768229.

95.   Benmoussa A, Ly S, Shan ST, Laugier J, Boilard E, Gilbert C, Provost P. A subset of extracellular vesicles carries the bulk of microRNAs in commercial dairy cow's milk. J Extracell Vesicles. 2017;6(1):1401897. Epub 2018/06/16. doi: 10.1080/20013078.2017.1401897. PubMed PMID: 29904572; PMCID: PMC5994974.

96.   Smyczynska U, Bartlomiejczyk MA, Stanczak MM, Sztromwasser P, Wesolowska A, Barbarska O, Pawlikowska E, Fendler W. Impact of processing method on donated human breast milk microRNA content. PLoS One. 2020;15(7):e0236126. Epub 2020/07/16. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236126. PubMed PMID: 32667939; PMCID: PMC7363072.

97.   Kim BJ, Lueangsakulthai J, Sah BNP, Scottoline B, Dallas DC. Quantitative Analysis of Antibody Survival across the Infant Digestive Tract Using Mass Spectrometry with Parallel Reaction Monitoring. Foods. 2020;9(6). Epub 2020/06/13. doi: 10.3390/foods9060759. PubMed PMID: 32526824; PMCID: PMC7353590.

98.   De Sousa KP, Rossi I, Abdullahi M, Ramirez MI, Stratton D, Inal JM. Isolation and characterization of extracellular vesicles and future directions in diagnosis and therapy. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol. 2023;15(1):e1835. Epub 2022/07/29. doi: 10.1002/wnan.1835. PubMed PMID: 35898167; PMCID: PMC10078256.

99.   Fafian-Labora JA, Rodriguez-Navarro JA, O'Loghlen A. Small Extracellular Vesicles Have GST Activity and Ameliorate Senescence-Related Tissue Damage. Cell Metab. 2020;32(1):71-86 e5. Epub 2020/06/24. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.06.004. PubMed PMID: 32574561; PMCID: PMC7342013.

100.   Takov K, Yellon DM, Davidson SM. Confounding factors in vesicle uptake studies using fluorescent lipophilic membrane dyes. J Extracell Vesicles.

2017;6(1):1388731. Epub 2017/12/01. doi: 10.1080/20013078.2017.1388731.
PubMed PMID: 29184625; PMCID: PMC5699187.
101.   Ros-Baro A, Lopez-Iglesias C, Peiro S, Bellido D, Palacin M, Zorzano A,
Camps M. Lipid rafts are required for GLUT4 internalization in adipose cells. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(21):12050-5. Epub 2001/10/11. doi:
10.1073/pnas.211341698. PubMed PMID: 11593015; PMCID: PMC59765.
102.   Mettlen M, Platek A, Van Der Smissen P, Carpentier S, Amyere M,
Lanzetti L, de Diesbach P, Tyteca D, Courtoy PJ. Src triggers circular ruffling and
macropinocytosis at the apical surface of polarized MDCK cells. Traffic.
2006;7(5):589-603. Epub 2006/04/29. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2006.00412.x.
PubMed PMID: 16643281.
1409

Extracellular vesicles are present in human milk-fed infant digesta and are absorbed by neonatal intestinal epithelial cells

Raw milk

Pasteurized milk

Infant digesta

Neonatal Enteroid

(A)

4°C; 10 min @ 6,000 g → 4°C; 20 min @ 2,000 g → acetic acid RT; 10 min → 4.6 → 4°C; 30 min @ 5,000 g → 0.45 μm → 0.22 μm

Clear milk / digesta

(B)

% iodixanol <--> density (g/mL)

10% --- 1.053
20% --- 1.107
30% --- 1.160
40% --- 1.213
45% --- 1.240

2.25 mL 60% iodixanol + 0.75 mL clear milk / digesta

density-gradient ultracentrifugation
4°C; 37,400 RPM; 24 hr

Raw | Pasteurized Milk   Digesta

F1 F2 F3 F4 F5

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3.

Figure 4

(A) Basal-out   Apical-out   20μm

(B)

Log2(Fold Change)

- Active stem cells
- Proliferation markers
- Reserve stem cells
- Paneth cells
- M cells
- BEST4 Cells
- Enterocytes
- Enteroendocrine Cells
- Goblet cells

LGR5, KI67, PCNA, BMI1, DEF6, ICAM2, BEST4, SI, CHGA, MUC2

(C) Neonatal Digesta EVs → CMTPX dye 37°C; 1 hr → GST within EV converts CMTPX to fluorescent derivative and traps it in the EV → Amicon filter → apical-out neonatal human enteroid → 37°C / 4°C ⊣ Endocytosis

(D) F1 F2 | F2 F3 | F3 F4

(E) DAPI | Cy2-Phalloidin | Cy3 | Overlay | Overlay

No EVs

CMTPX-Digesta EVs: 37°C / Dynasore / 4°C

(F) Mean ROI Intensity (normalized to area)

dEV | dEV+Dynasore | dEV
37°C | 4°C

Inset: ROI Intensity (normalized to area)
dEV | dEV+Dynasore | dEV
37°C | 4°C
*

Figure 5