A look into the future: Using a transcriptomic meta-analysis of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems to project the sustainability of arboviral control strategies

7 Sebastián Mejías[1], Natalia E. Jiménez[1*], Carlos Conca[1,2], J. Cristian Salgado[1], Ziomara P. Gerdtzen[1]

[1]Centre for Biotechnology and Bioengineering (CeBiB), Department of Chemical Engineering, Biotechnology

and Materials, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

[2]Center for Mathematical Modeling (CMM) (UMI CNRS 2807), Department of Mathematical Engineering,

University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

*Corresponding author
E-mail: njimenez@ing.uchile.cl

Abstract

Background

An effective strategy for arboviral control consists in transfecting *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes with the intracellular bacteria *Wolbachia pipientis*, which reduces host viral susceptibility and spreads itself into wild populations via reproductive manipulations. However, the prospect of losing the efficacy of this strategy underscores the need for deepening the mechanistic knowledge of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems and identifying relevant *Wolbachia* effects that could decline upon adaptation of *A. aegypti* transfections. A systematic comparison of publicly available *Diptera-Wolbachia* transcriptomic datasets could yield progress in this matter.

Methodology/Principal findings

We derived differentially expressed gene (DEG) sets from previously published *Diptera-Wolbachia* transcriptomic datasets, subjected them to enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology terms, and intersected the results to identify patterns of host gene/function regulation by *Wolbachia*. A putative farnesoic acid methyl transferase (*AAEL004667*) and a flavin-containing monooxygenase (*AAEL000834*) were consistently upregulated in transfected *A. aegypti* and linked to cytoplasmic incompatibility and viral susceptibility, being proposed as novel targets of study. Genes implicated in viral blocking —*GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1*, *DEFC*, *Tf1*, serine-type endopeptidases and endopeptidase inhibitors— were consistently upregulated in transfected *A. aegypti* but not in native infections, indicating that they could lose responsiveness to *Wolbachia* over time and should be considered to keep the efficacy of arboviral control. The commonality of chitinase regulation by *Wolbachia* was identified and proposed as an explanation for the loss of desiccation resistance in transfected *A. aegypti*'s eggs, which is a main obstacle for the introgression of *Wolbachia* in mosquito populations.

Conclusions/Significance

The present work points out relevant gene targets to consider for arboviral control sustainability and provides new hypotheses for deepening the understanding of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems.

Author Summary

Arboviral diseases (e.g. dengue), which are mainly transmitted by the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, impose a global public health crisis. An effective strategy for controlling the spread of these diseases is to artificially infect *A. aegypti* populations with the bacteria *Wolbachia pipientis*, which reduces its capacity to transmit arboviruses. However, future adaptive changes in the novel *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* association could diminish the efficacy of this approach. To prevent this, it is crucial to have a solid biological understanding of *Wolbachia* infections and predictions about specific changes that artificial infections could undergo. By analyzing publicly available biological data from *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes and flies we were able to propose new hypotheses regarding general aspects of *Wolbachia* infection and to identify antiviral effects of *Wolbachia* in *A. aegypti* that could decline over time, thus providing relevant information for keeping sustainability of a key arboviral control strategy.

Introduction

Arboviruses (arthropod-borne viruses) such as Dengue, Zika and Chikungunya (DENV, ZIKV and CHIKV, respectively) impose serious morbidity and mortality burdens worldwide, which have been on the rise over the last two decades [1–8]. Arboviral vectors, mainly *Aedes aegypti* and to a lesser extent *Aedes albopictus*, have spread and are now present in Africa, Europe, Asia, Oceania and the Americas [3,5,9]. This together with the general lack of effective broad-spectrum vaccines and the weaknesses of insecticide-based vector control have driven the development of new strategies against arboviral diseases [2,7,10–12].

One such novel approach is based on artificially infecting (*transfecting*) arboviral vectors with *Wolbachia pipientis*, an intracellular, maternally inherited bacteria naturally found as an endosymbiont in nearly half of insect species [2,13]. *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes can be transfected to yield individuals with arboviral resistance (pathogen or viral blocking) and reproductive advantages with respect to their wildtype [14–16]. These traits have been exploited by releasing transfected mosquitoes that spread *Wolbachia* and lower the risk of disease for humans due to the viral blocking phenotype. Indeed, stable *Wolbachia* introgression in wild mosquito

populations and subsequent arboviral disease burden reduction has been achieved in Indonesia [17], Australia

[18] and Brazil [19], posing it as a promising control approach.

Despite its current success, there are concerns about the long-term efficacy of this strategy, particularly

regarding future phenotypic changes of transfected mosquitoes [10,11,13,20,21]. These issues are sustained by

observation of native *Wolbachia* infections, which are deemed as reflective of the future state of transfections

once a host-*Wolbachia* coadaptation has taken place in wild conditions [13,20]. Native infections tend to show

considerably lower viral blocking, bacterial density, virulence and tropism [13,22–25]. In fact, substantial

phenotypic changes of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems in the wild can occur in the scale of years [26]; even a

complete shift from deficit to enhancement of fecundity by *Wolbachia* has taken place in just two decades [27].

Hence, acquiring mechanistic knowledge of both native and artificial host-*Wolbachia* systems is crucial to

preserve the efficacy and safety of this strategy, to prevent or react to possible future events such as attenuation

of viral blocking [13,21,28].

Multiple transcriptomic studies have compared gene expression in *Wolbachia* infected and uninfected hosts,

showing effects of *Wolbachia* on diverse processes such as reproduction [29,30], redox homeostasis

[13,26,31–34], sensory perception [13,26,29], metabolism [13,26,28,29,31,32], proteolysis [26,31,32,34] and

innate immunity (e.g. the Toll pathway) [26,29–32,34,35]. The wide variety of the reported effects opens an

essential question: are there transcriptomic signatures of *Wolbachia* across biological contexts? To the best of

our knowledge, the only study dedicated to such pattern search was the transcriptomic meta-analysis performed

by Chung et al. (2020) [36], although strictly focusing on the bacterial transcriptome and mainly on filarial

nematodes.

In this study we performed a meta-analysis of *Diptera-Wolbachia* transcriptomic datasets published in the last

two decades to identify patterns in *Wolbachia*'s transcriptomic effects across different contexts. In particular, to

broaden general mechanistic knowledge of *Wolbachia* we searched for transcriptomic effects that are common

across *Diptera* systems that would likely reflect fundamental aspects of their endosymbiosis. To predict future

changes on *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* that are relevant for the sustainability of arboviral control, we focused on

effects that differentiate this transfected system from native infections, as these last ones serve as a proxy for

the coadapted transfected state.

Methods

Overview

Transcriptomic datasets comparing *Wolbachia*-infected/uninfected *Diptera* conditions were retrieved from

public databases and analyzed to obtain differentially expressed gene (DEG) sets. Previously published DEG

sets were used directly only when raw data was unavailable. To develop a generalized transcriptomic profile of

Aedes aegypti-Wolbachia, DEGs that appeared in at least 7 of 8 DEG sets from this system were selected.

Furtherly, to find patterns of *Wolbachia* effects on gene expression across *Diptera* hosts, all DEG sets were

mapped to *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs and intersected. To find common effects of *Wolbachia* on

Diptera host's functions, all DEG sets were subjected to enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) terms and

the five most frequently enriched terms were selected. Methodological details are provided in the following.

Building DEG sets from microarray data analysis

Raw microarray two-channel intensity data was retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus [37] or ArrayExpress

[38] databases. All analyses were performed using R v4.2.3 [39] with the limma v3.54.2 package [40], and

default parameters and standard methods were used unless stated otherwise. To prevent unreliable microarray

spots from affecting normalization steps, weights of outlier and quality flagged spots were set to zero. After

background correction, two-channel data was combined into log-ratio values and within-array normalization

was performed applying the global *loess* method [41] in case of non print-tip based arrays. Then, between-array

normalization was performed and control probes were removed before differential expression analysis,

considering average intensities for duplicated spots. DEGs were defined as those with expression changes

significantly greater than 20% (i.e., those rejecting H_0: $|\beta| < \log(1.2)$ with adjusted *p*-value < 0.05, where β is

the log-ratio of infected/uninfected mean expressions), a threshold that lies within a reasonable range for

finding biologically meaningful effects [42].

Building DEG sets from RNA-Seq data analysis

139 Raw RNA-Seq reads were retrieved from Sequence Read Archive [43] or Gene Expression Omnibus [37]
140 databases. All analyses were based on a reference genome except for *Aedes fluviatilis* data, which required a *de*
141 *novo* transcriptome assembly. RNA-Seq analysis tools were accessed from Galaxy v.23.0 [44] and used with
142 default parameters, unless otherwise stated.

Analysis based on a reference genome

Quality of raw *Drosophila melanogaster* reads was assessed with FastQC v0.11.9 [45] and then Trimmomatic
v0.38 [46] was used to trim adapter and low quality sequences, using a sliding window approach. STAR v2.7.8a
[47] was used to align trimmed reads to the *dm6* reference genome of *D. melanogaster* [48], with the splicing
event information provided by the FlyBase annotation *dmel_r6.32* [49]. Aligned reads were counted at the gene
level using featureCounts v2.0.1 [50] and differential expression analyses were done with DESeq2 v1.34.0 [51]
implementation in R. A differential expression threshold of 20% expression change (adjusted p-value < 0.05)
was established to identify DEGs, as in the microarray data case.

Analysis based on a de novo transcriptome assembly

Raw *Aedes fluviatilis* reads quality was assessed with FastQC. Obtained reads were then subjected to two
separate trimming procedures: one for transcriptome assembly and one for alignment to the assembled
transcriptome, this last one being as described previously (Section 2.3.1). For transcriptome assembly, raw
reads were subjected to additional Trimmomatic operations to trim low-quality outer bases and to discard short
reads or overall low quality reads, so as to improve assembly quality [52]. After verifying trimming results with
FastQC, the *A. fluviatilis* transcriptome was assembled using Trinity v2.9.1 [53], and its completeness was
assessed by searching for *Diptera* benchmarking universal single copy orthologs with BUSCO v5.3.2 [54].
RSEM v1.3.2 [55] was used for read alignment to the assembled transcriptome and to estimate counts at the
gene level, being provided with the gene-to-transcript map generated by Trinity. Estimated gene counts were

then subjected to normalization and differential expression analysis with DESeq2, as described previously

(Section 2.3.1). Obtained DEGs were annotated by searching for open reading frames (ORFs) with

Transdecoder v5.5.0 [56], representing each DEG by its most highly expressed transcript (i.e., the one with

greater mean count across all samples). Given that *A. aegypti* annotation is more curated than the one from *A.*

fluviatilis [13], predicted ORFs were subjected to BLASTp [57] searches with default parameters against *A.*

aegypti protein sequences kept in the VectorBase database release 62 [58], and DEGs inherited the annotation

of their corresponding best BLAST hit.

Functional enrichment analysis

g:GOSt module of g:Profiler version e109_eg56_p17_1d3191d [59] was used to perform enrichment analyses

of Gene Ontology (GO) functional terms, using Fisher's one sided exact test. Up and downregulated gene sets

were analyzed separately, as this has been shown to improve statistical power for finding biologically relevant

functional effects [60] and allows to distinguish preferent directions of regulation. For testing any given

RNA-Seq-derived DEG set, the background gene set was defined as all annotated genes in the corresponding

reference organism, while for each microarray-derived DEG set it was defined as all annotated genes that were

represented in the corresponding array. *p*-values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg method to account

for multiple hypothesis testing.

Orthologous gene mapping

182 In order to allow a direct comparison of *Wolbachia* effects at the gene level for different host species, all DEG

183 sets were mapped to *D. melanogaster* orthologs using the g:Orth module from g:Profiler. For the analysis and

184 discussion of results, obtained g:Profiler orthologous assignments were compared with those of the OrthoDB

185 database [61].

Results and discussion

Obtained DEG sets

A total of 18 DEG sets were obtained from 4 microarray and 7 RNA-Seq datasets published between 2009 and 2023. The collected data comprised transfected systems (*A. aegypti-w*Mel and -wMelPop) and naturally infected systems (*A. fluviatilis-w*Flu, *D. melanogaster-w*Mel and *D. paulistorum-w*Pau), as well as multiple tissues (heads, abdomens, testes, ovaries, muscles and whole bodies). Both female and male host data are considered, although the latter was represented in only 4 DEG sets, corresponding to natural infections. All samples correspond to adults, with ages varying from 1 to 15 days post eclosion [13,28,29,31–35,62], with the exception of larval testes sampled by Zheng et al. (2011) [36]. Table 1 summarizes the origin of all DEG sets together with the identifiers that will be used to refer to them here on.

Table 1. **Summary of analyzed DEG sets.**

Set ID	Infection type	Host species	Strain	Tissue	Sex	Datatype	Accession number	Article (date)
Aa1	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	Array	E-MEXP-2931	Rancès et al. (2012)
Aa2	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*MelPop	Whole	f	Array	E-MEXP-2931	Rancès et al. (2012)
Aa3	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*MelPop	Whole	f	Array	PRJNA118709	Kambris et al. (2009)
Aa4	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*MelPop	Muscle	f	Array	E-MEXP-2907	Ye et al. (2013)
Aa5	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*MelPop	Head	f	Array	E-MEXP-2907	Ye et al. (2013)
Aa6	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA867516	Wimalasiri-Yapa et al. (2023)
Aa7	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA867516	Wimalasiri-Yapa et al. (2023)
Aa8	Transfection	*A. aegypti*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA867516	Wimalasiri-Yapa et al. (2023)
Af	Native	*A. fluviatilis*	*w*Flu	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA320882	Caragata et al. (2017)
Dm1	Native	*D. melanogaster*	*w*Mel	Testes	m	Array	PRJNA147175	Zheng et al. (2012)
Dm2	Native	*D. melanogaster*	*w*Mel	Testes	m	RNASeq	PRJNA639180	Dou et al. (2021)
Dm3	Native	*D. melanogaster*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA682591	Lindsey et al. (2021)
Dm4	Native	*D. melanogaster*	*w*Mel	Whole	f	RNASeq	PRJNA602188	Detcharoen et al. (2021)
Dm5	Native	*D. melanogaster*	*w*Mel	Ovaries	f	RNASeq	PRJNA439370	He et al. (2019)

Dp1	Native	*D. paulistorum*	*w*Pau	Head	m	RNASeq	PRJNA515416	Baião et al. (2019)
Dp2	Native	*D. paulistorum*	*w*Pau	Abdomen	m	RNASeq	PRJNA515416	Baião et al. (2019)
Dp3	Native	*D. paulistorum*	*w*Pau	Head	f	RNASeq	PRJNA515416	Baião et al. (2019)
Dp4	Native	*D. paulistorum*	*w*Pau	Abdomen	f	RNASeq	PRJNA515416	Baião et al. (2019)

E-MEXP prefix corresponds to ArrayExpress accession numbers, while PRJNA prefix corresponds to SRA and

GEO accession numbers. DEG set identifiers were assigned according to host species. Aa: *Aedes aegypti*. Af:

Aedes fluviatilis, Dm: *Drosophila melanogaster*, Dp: *Drosophila paulistorum*, f: female, m: male.

All datasets except for those from Baião et al. (2019) [29] and Wimalasiri-Yapa et al. (2023) [26] were analyzed

de novo, yielding reliable results according to intermediate step quality assessments. Remarkably, for all

RNA-Seq samples the trimming and mapping steps proceeded with >96.4% and >94.8% retained and mapped

reads (or read pairs), respectively. *A. fluviatilis* assembled transcriptome represented 90.3% of *Diptera*'s

benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (85.3% in a complete form), comprising 63,416 contigs with

median size of 550 bp and N50 equal to 2,683. Microarray MA-plots denoted concordance between expected

and calculated mean and log-ratio intensity values for control spots (S1 Fig).

Transcriptomic profile of transfected *A. aegypti*

A generalized transcriptomic profile of transfected female *Aedes aegypti* (Fig 1) was developed based on genes

that were consistently upregulated in at least seven out of the eight DEG sets (Aa1-Aa8) derived from this

organism (complete DEG sets in S1 Table).

Fig 1. Genes that were consistently upregulated by *Wolbachia* transfections in female *A. aegypti*

mosquitoes.

As shown in Fig 1, there were 34 consistently upregulated genes (referred to as CURGs in what follows).

Clip-domain serine proteases, pattern recognition receptors and antimicrobial effectors comprise 19 of these

genes, which are linked to the innate immune mosquito response. Immune priming is considered as one of the

main mechanisms of viral blocking by *Wolbachia*, and concordantly, has received vast attention in the literature

[34,35,63]. Our analysis contributes to this field of knowledge, by identifying a core of innate immune genes

that are invariably upregulated by *Wolbachia* in *A. aegypti* females. More importantly, it also shows the

consistent upregulation of genes that have been overlooked, identifying relevant study targets to deepen the

mechanistic understanding of the *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* endosymbiotic system.

230 Transcriptomic signature of *Aedes aegypti*'s immune priming by *Wolbachia*

Peptidoglycan binding proteins (*PGRPS1*, *GNBPA1*), defensin (*DEFC*), C-type lysozyme (*LYSC11*) and

transferrin (*Tf1*) were CURGs in transfected female *A. aegypti* (Fig 1). All these genes encode hemolymphatic

proteins related to the Toll pathway, which mainly responds to Gram-positive bacteria by activating the

transcription of antimicrobial effector molecules [64]. Concretely, upon binding of peptidoglycan, the pattern

recognition receptors *PGRPS1* and *GNBPA1* elicit a protease cascade, activating the Toll signaling pathway and

thereby the transcription of effectors like the defensin *DEFC* or the lysozyme *LYSC11* [64,65]. Transferrins

such as *Tf1* also have an innate immune function regulated by the Toll pathway, which can be induced to

sequester iron from the hemolymph, limiting its availability for pathogens [66].

Our analysis is consistent with the well accepted fact that *Wolbachia* primes the Toll pathway in *A. aegypti*

[34,35,63,67,68]. However, results show that only elements from the upstream and downstream of the Toll

pathway appear to be consistently upregulated at the transcriptomic level, while many of the genes that perform

the intracellular signal transduction are absent from all DEG sets (S1 Table). This is the case of the signaling

elements *cact* (*AAEL000709*), *MYD* (*AAEL007768*), *Tube* (*AAEL007642*), *Pelle* (*AAEL006571*) and the

transcription factor *rel1B* (*AAEL006930*), all of which were screened for in *A. aegypti*'s microarrays. Our

analysis also suggests that downstream effectors *DEFC*, *LYSC11* and *Tf1* are preferentially induced by

Wolbachia, while other canonical effectors of the mosquito Toll pathway such as cecropins and gambicin are

present in no more than two DEG sets (S1 Table).

As *DEFC* is also inducible by the mosquito immunodeficiency (IMD) pathway, a broadly-conserved NF-κB

immune signaling pathway that regulates a potent antibacterial defense response [69], the possibility of its

upregulation being mediated by this pathway instead of Toll could be considered. However, we did not find any

of the IMD pathway-activating receptors *PGRP-LA*, *PGRP-LE* or *PGRP-LD* to be upregulated in any of the

DEG sets, while the inhibitor receptor *PGRP-LB* was upregulated in Aa2 and Aa5 (S1 Table). The upregulation

of the IMD-pathway related transcription factor *REL2* in three DEG sets (Aa2, Aa5 and Aa8; S1 Table) offers

the only transcriptomic evidence in favor of an activated IMD pathway, however, it does not explain the

consistent upregulation of *DEFC* in most DEG sets, thus reinforcing the idea that the activation of Toll pathway

is the one that is persistent in transfected *A. aegypti*.

Eight genes encoding putative Clip-domain serine proteases (CLIPs) were CURGs in transfected *A. aegypti*

(Fig 1). Insect CLIPs are extracellular proteins that participate in protease signaling cascades upon injury or

infection [70,71]. The consistent upregulation of eight CLIPs points towards a generalized activation of

extracellular protease cascades, which in turn can be linked with two downstream immune responses:

melanization mediated by prophenoloxidase and Toll pathways. Indeed, although the mapping between specific

CLIPs, protease cascades, and targeted downstream processes is still in progress for *A. aegypti* [72–74], the fact

that these two pathways are activated by protease cascades is well established. Furthermore, the CURG

CLIPB15 has been shown to participate in the upstream of the melanization and Toll pathways [73], while

AAEL002301 encodes a prophenoloxidase-activating factor 2-like Clip-domain (InterProScan: IPR041515),

suggesting that it participates in the melanization process. These results are consistent with the evidence

presented above showing that *Wolbachia* induction of the Toll pathway relies transcriptomically on upstream

elements. They are also consistent with the priming of the melanization pathway that has been previously

reported in *Wolbachia* infected hosts [75,76], and which is supported here by the joint overexpression of three

prophenoloxidases *PPO4*, *PPO8* and *PPO10* in four DEG sets (Aa2, Aa4, Aa5 and Aa8; S1 Table).

Another four putative serine-type endopeptidases without CLIP-domain were CURGs in *A. aegypti*:

AAEL002585, *AAEL002610*, *AAEL002624* and *AAEL006136* (Fig 1). Particularly, *AAEL006136*, may have

immune functions, as it is responsive to ZIKV infection in *A. aegypti* [77] and it is a predicted ortholog of

Sp212, which is upregulated after immune challenges and injury in *D. melanogaster* [78]. The remaining three

genes encode putative trypsin domains (InterProScan: IPR001254), which is indicative of them being related to

immune or digestive functions [79].

Four genes codifying C-Type lectins (CTLs) were CURGs in transfected *A. aegypti* (Fig 1). CTLs are proteins

with a carbohydrate binding activity that generally depend on calcium ions, which are implicated in several

aspects of insect innate immunity. CTLs act as pattern recognition receptors, being involved in agglutination,

opsonization, encapsulation and melanization of microorganisms, as well as in the protection of the gut

microbiome from the action of host antimicrobial peptides [80,81]. CTLs have also been implicated in

facilitating infection of viruses and *Plasmodium* parasites in mosquitoes [81,82]. Concretely, *CTL15*, here

identified as a CURG, has both a role in *A. aegypti*'s gut microbiome homeostasis, as well as in facilitating

DENV infection in the same organism [80,82]. Also, *CTL24* was found to promote the infection of *A. aegypti*

with the Japanese Encephalitis Virus [83]. Finally, *CTLMA14* has been identified as relevant for *A. aegypti*'s

resistance to *E. coli*, while promoting DENV replication in the same host [80,82].

The two CURGs *LRIM1* and *AAEL007778* (Fig 1) are predicted to be leucine rich–repeat immune genes, a gene

family that serve as receptors for mosquito innate immunity [84,85]. Particularly, *LRIM1* encodes a secreted

protein that is antagonistic to *Plasmodium berghei* [86,87] and the bacteria *E. coli* in the mosquito *Anopheles*

gambiae [88], as well as highly responsive to the arboviruses ZIKV and CHIKV in *A. aegypti* [85].

In summary, we identified a core of immune genes that are persistently upregulated by *Wolbachia* transfection

in *A. aegypti*. Our results are consistent with *Wolbachia*'s priming of the Toll pathway in *A. aegypti* and show

that, at the transcriptomic level, this phenomena is driven by the upregulation of genes in the upstream of the

pathway: the pattern recognition receptors *PGRPS1* and *GNBPA1*, the CLIP-protease *CLIPB15* and possibly

additional CLIP-proteases involved in extracellular signaling cascades. Our analysis also shows that the

downstream effector genes that are preferentially upregulated by *Wolbachia* transfections are *DEFC*, *LYSC11*

and *Tf1*, which are likely induced by the Toll-pathway and not the IMD pathway. C-type lectins and leucine

rich-repeat immune genes compose the additional CURGs. Given the described roles of these genes in *A.*

aegypti's viral infection and their consistent upregulation by transfection here identified, we propose them as

persistent key elements in the interface of host-*Wolbachia*-virus, with high relevance for unveiling the specifics

of this interaction.

Novel genes that are consistently upregulated by *Wolbachia*

AAEL004667, a gene which codifies for a farnesoic acid O-methyltransferase (FAMeT) domain, was

consistently upregulated by *Wolbachia* in *A. aegypti* (Fig 1). FAMeT catalyzes the conversion of farnesoic acid

to methyl farnesoate, which is a late step in the biosynthesis of insect juvenile hormones [89,90]. In *A. aegypti*,

juvenile hormone III (JHIII) is a key regulator of development and reproduction, and its titer determines the

expression of thousands of genes [91,92]. Although FAMeT may not participate in the preferred branch of JHIII

biosynthesis in *A. aegypti* [93], this does not preclude its upregulation from influencing JHIII dynamics.

FAMeT upregulation could affect JHIII biosynthesis by cooperating with the enzyme from the preferred branch

(juvenile hormone acid methyltransferase or JHAMT), or by deviating the common substrate of these two

enzymes to the alternative production of methyl farnesoate.

Liu et al. (2014) [94] proposed that paternal defects of *Wolbachia*-infected testes associated with cytoplasmic

incompatibility were due to an alteration of JHIII concentration mediated by JHAMT upregulation. This

suggests that an hypothetical effect of FAMeT upregulation in JHIII levels could also lead to cytoplasmic

incompatibility and thus be of direct importance for *Wolbachia*-based arboviral control strategies. Additionally,

It has been reported that methyl farnesoate can partially substitute the functions of JHIII in *A. aegypti* [95] and

possibly serves additional uncharacterized functions [93], so that an upregulation of FAMeT could have deep

consequences for mosquito physiology on this basis. *Wolbachia* interactions with the juvenile hormone

biosynthetic pathway have been previously reported [94]. Particularly, a proteomic assay on transfected *A.*

aegypti ovaries showed downregulation of farnesol dehydrogenase and mevalonate kinase, two enzymes in the

JHIII biosynthetic pathway [96]. However, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first showing

Wolbachia's consistent upregulation of the putative FAMeT codifying *AAEL004667* in *A. aegypti*.

Another consistently upregulated gene was *AAEL000834*, which codifies a flavin-containing monooxygenase

(FMO) (Fig 1). Monooxygenases are oxidoreductases that catalyze the incorporation of an oxygen atom from

molecular oxygen to a compound, while FMOs are monooxygenases that specifically use flavin adenine

dinucleotide (FAD) as a prosthetic group [97,98]. In mammals, FMOs serve to neutralize substances that are

foreign to the normal functioning of the organism (i.e., *xenobiotics*) as well as to catalyze a step in the

biosynthesis of taurine [99,100]. Insect FMOs are less known, although it is believed that they play an

analogous role to mammal FMOs, and they have been shown to provide resistance to certain insecticides

[97,101,102].

Our work identifies FMO (*AAEL000834*) as a relevant target of study for unveiling the fundamental

mechanisms of *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* interaction, pointing out for the first time the persistence of its

upregulation in this endosymbiotic system. Furthermore, the importance that understanding the

Wolbachia-AAEL000834 interaction has for strategies of arboviral disease control is emphasized by the

association of this gene with arboviral susceptibility [101]. Indeed, Kumar et al. (2023) [101] suggest that the

upregulation of *AAEL000834* may have an agonistic effect on CHIKV infection. This is not inconsistent with

the anti-CHIKV blocking provided by *Wolbachia* [24,103], as this agonistic effect could be overcomed by

several other CHIKV-antagonistic effects of *Wolbachia* in its host, such as immune priming and resource

competition.

A putative carboxylesterase (*AAEL008757,* EC 3.1.1.1), was also consistently upregulated by *Wolbachia* (Fig

1). As carboxylesterase is a broad category, it is difficult to associate this transcriptomic effect with a particular

process. Transcriptomic studies in *A. aegypti* have shown that the expression of *AAEL008757* varies according

to several factors, such as age and sex [104], insecticide resistance [105], ZIKV infection [77] and time after

mating [106], implying that it may have multiple physiological roles. Seven additional genes (*AAEL001271*,

AAEL001508, *AAEL003656*, *AAEL007371*, *AAEL008936*, *AAEL012577* and *AAEL014909*) were consistently

upregulated by *Wolbachia* (Fig 1), all of which are essentially uncharacterized. The last two of them are

predicted to encode a DUF4789 domain, the function of which is unknown [79]. We propose that *AAEL008757*

and these additional seven genes should be further characterized, as our analysis suggests that their

upregulation is not a matter of chance but rather they could underlie characteristic endosymbiotic phenomena.

It will be of particular interest to determine the functions performed by the DUF4789 domain, which is codified

by two of these consistently upregulated genes.

Patterns of *Wolbachia* gene-level effects across *Diptera* hosts

Next, we broaden our search by including DEG sets from native infections (Af, Dm1-Dm5, and Dp1-Dp4, from

Table 1). In order to allow a direct comparison across species, all DEG sets were mapped to *D. melanogaster*

orthologs, by which 3,302 unique genes were found contained in or mapped to DEG sets (S2 Table). Most of

these genes (2,250) were exclusive to one DEG set, denoting an overall specificity of *Wolbachia* effects at the

gene level. However, we identified ten genes that showed defined expression patterns across datasets (Table 2).

Pattern A: genes consistently overexpressed on *A. aegypti* transfections, but underexpressed or unchanged on

native infections; pattern B: genes frequently over/underexpressed on native infections but never on

transfections; and pattern C: genes that were overexpressed across both types of infections and in several host

taxa. To facilitate following discussions, we will refer to 1:1 *Drosophila*/*Aedes* ortholog pairs presented in

Table 2, through the name of the *A. aegypti* gene, unless otherwise stated.

Table 2. **Expression patterns of DEGs.**

Drosophila gene	Aedes gene[a]	DEG sets from transfections								DEG sets from native infections									
		Aa1	Aa2	Aa3	Aa4	Aa5	Aa6	Aa7	Aa8	Af	Dm1	Dm2	Dm3	Dm4	Dm5	Dp1	Dp2	Dp3	Dp4
Pattern A																			
GNBP1	GNBPA1		1.5	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.8		1.0								
PGRP-SA	PGRPS1	1.2	2.6	2.3	2.2	2.1	1.1	1.1	1.6		0.6								
Def	DEFC		4.4	4.1	4.2	3.8	1.6	1.6	2.2					0.4					
Sp212	AAEL006136	1.0	2.1	1.2	1.0		1.7	1.6	2.4										
Tsf1	Tf1	2.7	5.5	3.8	3.7	3.3	2.9	3.3	4.6			-0.4				-0.7			
Pattern B																			
Mur18B	AAEL017334									0.4			1.1		1.6		-0.5		
regucalcin	-										1.3	-0.3		0.3		-0.7			
Pattern C																			
CG15043	AAEL013885 AAEL004809		2.9	1.6			1.4	1.1	2.0	0.4					1.4				1.2

Entries contain significant log2 fold changes of expression between *Wolbachia*-infected and uninfected conditions. DEG sets are referred to according to their assigned IDs in Table 1.

[a]Empty cells indicate that no *Aedes* ortholog of the corresponding *Drosophila* gene was found in any *Aedes* DEG set.

Five immune response genes lose responsiveness to *Wolbachia* over time (Pattern A)

Pattern A was displayed by five genes previously found to be CURGs in *A. aegypti* transfections, but that in native infections showed no differential expression (*AAEL006136*), infrequent differential expression (*GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1*, *DEFC*) or even downregulation (*Tf1*) (Table 2). Previous qRT-PCR assays have validated both the upregulation of *DEFC*, *AAEL006136* and *Tf1* in *A. aegypti* transfections [34,107] as well as the weakness of *GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1* and *DEFC* responses and the downregulation of *Tf1* in *D. melanogaster* native infections [34].

Four of the five genes displaying pattern A —*GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1*, *DEFC* and *Tf1*— are implicated in *A.*
aegypti's antiviral responses. As shown in the previous section, the upregulation of the peptidoglycan receptors
GNBPA1 and *PGRPS1* appears to be critical for *Wolbachia*'s activation of the host's Toll pathway, which in turn
has been shown to provide an anti-DENV mechanism to *A. aegypti* [65,108]. Additionally, silencing of *DEFC*
has been shown to enhance DENV infection in *A. aegypti-wAlbB* [68] and the effect of *w*Mel in *DEFC*
expression is strongly modulated by ZIKV/DENV coinfection in *A. aegypti* [109]. Transferrins provide insect
tissues with iron [110], an element that mediates *Wolbachia*'s induction of oxidative stress, which is a key
mechanism for arboviral blocking [68]. Particularly, Zhu et al. (2019) [111] showed that silencing of
transferrins in *A. aegypti* enhanced DENV infection, while oral supplementation with the product of *Tf1* had the
opposite effect. Additional evidence places transferrins at the interface of host, virus and *Wolbachia*, as *Tf1* was
dynamically expressed following ZIKV inoculation [77] and *Wolbachia*'s effect in *Tf1* expression was strongly
modulated by ZIKV/DENV coinfection [109].

Native host-*Wolbachia* systems have already undergone sustained coadaptation and thus can be taken as models
for the future state of current transfections [13,20]. Under this paradigm, we propose that the expression pattern
displayed by *GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1*, *DEFC*, *AAEL006136* and *Tf1* (Table 2) predicts the loss of their upregulation
in the *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* systems currently established for arboviral control. Furthermore, the above
mentioned contributions to antiviral defenses make it reasonable to expect that expression changes of *GNBPA1*,
PGRPS1, *DEFC* and *Tf1* would undermine the viral blocking phenotype conferred by *Wolbachia*, and therefore
lead to an increased risk of human disease in intervened areas. Acknowledging the risk of a weakening of these
immune genes' responses, one crucial question to address is if their lower responsiveness to native infections
follows solely from reduced bacterial titer and tropism or reflect other qualitative differences. Answering this
will help to determine appropriate ways to preserve these antiviral mechanisms over time. Taking all these into
account, we recommend that *Wolbachia*'s upregulation of *GNBPA1*, *PGRPS1*, *DEFC* and *Tf1* in *A. aegypti*
should be kept under study, given the direct contribution of these genes to the arboviral blocking phenotype.

Mur18B and regucalcin are only responsive to native infections (Pattern B)

Differential expression of *Mur18B* and *regucalcin* orthologs was common among native *Wolbachia* infections, but these genes showed no significant effects in *A. aegypti* transfections (Table 2). This lack of regulation stands even when considering, additionally to g:Profiler ortholog assignment, all *A. aegypti*'s orthologs of *Mur18B* and *regucalcin* predicted by OrthoDB [61], which were effectively screened for in the corresponding microarrays. Although we did not find differential expression of *regucalcin* by native *Wolbachia* in *A. fluviatilis*, the analysis of the same dataset by Caragata et al. (2017) showed two differentially expressed transcripts that mapped to *regucalcin* orthologs (AEL001020 and AAEL000757), a discordance we attribute to methodological differences (aggregation of RNA-Seq counts at the gene level rather than the transcript level). Taken together, these results indicate that *Mur18B* and *regucalcin* are regulated by *Wolbachia* in the three natively infected species studied, but not in transfected *A. aegypti*.

Given the observed pattern, one can speculate that the regulation of *Mur18B* and *regucalcin* reflect adaptive host-*Wolbachia* interactions. *regucalcin,* AAEL001020 and AAEL000757 are annotated to the Senescence marker protein-30 family (InterProScan: IPR005511), which activate or inhibit many calcium-dependent enzymes and regulate the intracellular calcium homeostasis, by controlling the activity of calcium channels and pumps [79,112,113]. In turn, *Mur18B* and AAEL017334 are predicted to bind chitin, a carbohydrate that serves critical structural roles in insects [58,114]. We will further link these observations to two ubiquitous functional effects of *Wolbachia*, i.e. calcium ion binding and chitinase activity.

CG15043 is upregulated in native infections and transfections, comprising all host taxa (Pattern C)

As shown in Table 2, *Drosophila*'s *CG15043* or its *Aedes'* orthologs *AAEL013885* and *AAEL004809* were upregulated both in native infections and transfections, comprising hosts from all four studied *Diptera* species. *CG15043*, *AAEL013885* and *AAEL004809* are mainly uncharacterized, however, they are predicted to encode domains of the MBF2 family of transcription activators, PFAM: PF15868 [115]. This is remarkable considering that *Wolbachia* exerts genome-wide transcriptional effects, in fact, our work found 3,302 *Drosophila* genes

459 mapped to DEG sets, which is around one quarter of all *D. melanogaster* protein coding genes [116]. The

460 overexpression of putative MBF2 transcription activators across several different host-*Wolbachia* combinations

461 puts these genes as novel targets for understanding the mechanisms underlying *Wolbachia*'s wide gene

462 regulation capabilities.

Patterns of *Wolbachia* functional effects across *Diptera* hosts

We searched for transcriptomic patterns that may not appear at the level of individual genes but rather of sets of

genes with common functions or localizations. After grouping DEG sets according to direction of regulation,

enrichment analyses of GO terms were performed on each subset with an exploratory FDR q-value cutoff of

0.20. 4,948 GO terms were found to be enriched (S3 Table), most of which (2,738) were present in just one

subset, showing again an overall specificity of *Wolbachia*'s effects across biological conditions. Table 3

presents the enrichment pattern of the five most frequently enriched GO terms, as well as of the additional

terms calcium ion binding and chitinase activity, on which we focused given their relation to *regucalcin* and

Mur18B (previously found as commonly regulated in native infections) and which were also commonly

regulated across DEG sets. In what follows, we refer to a functional term as up/downregulated in a DEG set if it

was enriched in the subset of up/downregulated genes of that DEG set, as presented in Table 3.

486 **Table 3**. **Enriched GO terms across DEG sets.**

GO Term		Aa1	Aa2	Aa3	Aa4	Aa5	Aa6	Aa7	Aa8	Af	Dm1	Dm2	Dm3	Dm4	Dm5	Dp1	Dp2	Dp3	Dp4
					DEG sets from transfections								DEG sets from native infections						
Extracellular region	+	10	24	7	12	17	30	26	55	4	31	37	23	60	4	2	10	5	40
	-		1		2				3	2	31	24	13	7	2	56	70	22	4
Serine-type endopeptidase activity	+	17	38	18	27	33	80	74	114	6	6	23	10	14			2	1	4
	-	1	1	1	3		1		3	5	5	4	4			7	15	5	2
Heme binding	+	2	19		2	5	11	16	37	4	9	4	6	7	1		2		3
	-		1		1	3		1	7	1		5	3	2	1	11	7	11	
Mono-oxygenase activity	+	3	18		2	4	9	13	35	3	10	5	5	7	1		2		
	-				1	4			4			2	4	1	1	9	11	8	
Endopeptidase inhibitor activity	+	2	7	1	4	3	14	11	22		6	6		5					4
	-									1		4	2			4	4	2	
Calcium ion binding	+	1	16	1	4	5	8	6	19	1	2	11	2	11	2		10	2	16
	-	1			3			1	6	3	5	3	2	2		3	2	3	2
Chitinase activity	+					1	1	1	1		2		1				1		1
	-											2		1		1	2	1	

Entries show the number of upregulated (+) or downregulated (-) genes in a given DEG set that were annotated

with a given functional term. Green or red cells indicate significant enrichment of a functional term in a given

subset of up and downregulated genes (respectively), with FDR q-value cutoff of 0.20. The first five rows

correspond to the five most frequently enriched GO terms.

Extracellular region, serine-type endopeptidase and endopeptidase inhibitor

activities

Extracellular region (GO:0005576), serine-type endopeptidase activity (GO:0004252) and endopeptidase

inhibitor activity (GO:0004866) were among the five most frequently enriched GO terms (Table 3). Concerning

A. aegypti, the common upregulation of extracellular region and serine-type endopeptidase activity is consistent

with our transcriptomic profile based on individual CURGs, which included multiple (CLIP and non-CLIP)

serine-type endopeptidases and other extracellular components of the innate immune system. Additionally, the

common upregulation of endopeptidase inhibitor activity revealed by enrichment analyses complements our

generalized transcriptomic portrait of transfected *A. aegypti* and should reflect the activity of serine-protease

inhibitors that constrain the spatial and temporal extension of extracellular protease cascades [70,71].

The regulation of the three previously mentioned GO terms is also common among native infections, although

the direction of the regulation is not strictly positive as in *A. aegypti* transfections. Natively infected *A.*

fluviatilis show upregulation of extracellular region genes, both up and downregulation of serine-type

endopeptidase activity and it does not show regulation of endopeptidase inhibitor activity (set Af; Table 3). The

significance and direction of regulation of these GO terms is variable across DEG sets from *D.*

*melanogaster-w*Mel (sets Dm1 to Dm5; Table 3), although upregulated genes associated with these terms

usually exceed in number to the downregulated ones, denoting an overall tendency towards upregulation.

Finally, *D. paulistorum-w*Pau males show downregulation of the three GO terms (sets Dp1 and Dp2; Table 3),

while females show no clear preferential direction (sets Dp3 and Dp4; Table 3).

Activity of serine-type endopeptidases and endopeptidase inhibitors is linked to viral blocking in transfected *A.*

aegypti, as they mediate extracellular protease cascades which trigger the Toll pathway and the expression of

antiviral effectors, as previously exposed. Moreover, we showed that *Wolbachia*'s priming of the Toll pathway

in *A. aegypti* strongly relies on the upregulation of these upstream events. Therefore, it is necessary to consider

the loss of these effects upon coadaptation of *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* systems. The clearest contrast with *A.*

aegypti trends regarding the regulation of these genes comes from *D. paulistorum*, where downregulation

predominates. However, *D. paulistorum* native infection may be not the best model for coadapted *A.*

aegypti-Wolbachia, as the first one constitutes an extreme case of obligate mutualism where hosts cannot

reproduce without *Wolbachia* [117]. In such obligate relationships, strong selective pressures favor tolerance

over resistance, that is, hosts are strictly selected to limit the negative consequences of *Wolbachia* infection

without limiting the infection itself [118].

Facultative symbioses such as those established by *A. fluviatilis* and *D. melanogaster* should better reflect the

result of coadaptation when selection of tolerance traits is not imperative. As previously discussed, DEG sets

from these systems show an overall tendency towards upregulation of serine-type endopeptidases and

endopeptidase inhibitors, although to a lesser degree than DEG sets from *A. aegypti*, occasionally with up and

downregulation occurring simultaneously. These observations suggest that a partial loss of the upregulation of

these groups of genes is possible. Further evidence on this possibility is provided by DEG sets Aa6, Aa7 and

Aa8, which were derived from three *A. aegypti*-*w*Mel populations introgressed in Australia in 2011, 2013/14

and 2017, respectively [26]. As shown in Table 3, the number of serine-type endopeptidases and endopeptidase

inhibitors were considerably higher in set Aa8 than in Aa6 and Aa7, indicating that these effects decline to

some degree when transfected mosquitoes are exposed to wild conditions.

The importance of *A. aegypti*'s upregulation of serine-type endopeptidases and endopeptidase inhibitors for

arboviral control and the prospect of its future reduction highlights the necessity of monitoring the effects of

Wolbachia on these genes over time and to design strategies to prevent or react to these changes. Also, our

previous discussions imply the importance of preventing the *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* system from acquiring an

obligate character, as this may force the loss of resistance traits such as immune activation. In this regard,

monitoring the appearance of dependence traits in *A. aegypti* (i.e., losses of host functions that become fulfilled

by *Wolbachia*) would be relevant, as they are considered precursors for obligate mutualisms [118].

Heme binding and monooxygenase activity

Two additional GO terms among the most frequently enriched were heme binding (GO:0020037) and

monooxygenase activity (GO:0004497) (Table 3). These terms showed coupled enrichment patterns across

DEG sets, which is due to the fact that both of them share differentially expressed genes, mostly cytochromes

p450 (CYPs), a wide family of heme-dependent monooxygenases (S1 and S2 Tables). Caragata et al. (2017)

[13] pointed out the commonality of the regulation of CYPs across *A. fluviatilis*-*w*Flu and *A. aegypti*

transfections. Here, we extend the comparison to *D. melanogaster* and *D. paulistorum*, highlighting that CYPs

are also commonly regulated in these systems. As shown in Table 3, the regulation of heme binding and

monooxygenase activity in *A. aegypti*, *A. fluviatilis* and *D. melanogaster* is predominantly in the positive

direction, while the opposite trend is observed in *D. paulistorum* (sets Dp1, Dp2 and Dp3; Table 3).

Regulation of CYPs can be linked to a broad-targeting mechanism of viral blocking by *Wolbachia*, which is the

induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS induction by *Wolbachia* has been reported in several *Diptera*

hosts, whereas evidence of this phenomena mediating *Wolbachia*'s viral blocking has been shown for

transfected *A. aegypti* and natively infected *D. melanogaster* and *D. simulans* [68,119,120]. ROS induction by

Wolbachia has been previously ascribed to electron leakage from the bacterial oxidative phosphorylation but

also to the activity of host NADPH oxidases and dual oxidases, as some of these genes were strongly

upregulated in *A. aegypti-w*AlbB [68]. However, these genes were almost absent from the analyzed DEG sets

(S1 and S2 Tables), suggesting that, if there is some general underlying mechanism of ROS induction apart

from *Wolbachia*'s central metabolism, it likely does not depend on these genes. On the other hand, our results

show that a common transcriptomic trait of *Wolbachia*-infected *A. aegypti* and *D. melanogaster* is the

upregulation of CYPs, the activity of which can constitute a major source of ROS in animal cells [121]. This

allows to propose the upregulation of CYPs as a better explanation for the commonality of ROS induction by

Wolbachia in *Diptera* hosts and, potentially, as a key trait for viral blocking.

Calcium ion binding

As shown in Table 3, calcium ion binding (GO:0005509) genes were enriched in DEG sets from all four host

species. Particularly, this molecular function was enriched in DEG sets from transfected *A. aegypti* (sets Aa2,

Aa4) and natively infected *A. fluviatilis* (set Af), *D. melanogaster* (sets Dm2, Dm4 and Dm5) and *D.*

paulistorum (sets Dp2, Dp4). Collectively, these results suggest that the regulation of calcium ion binding genes

is a common phenomena in *Diptera-Wolbachia* associations. Although previous studies have found differential

expression of calcium ion binding genes [13,26,28,29,31–35,62], this is the first work that highlights the

ubiquity of these effects by *Wolbachia*.

It has been previously described that *Wolbachia* obtains its external vacuolar membrane by sequestering it from

the Golgi apparatus and the endoplasmic reticulum, a process that implies a physical interaction and modifies

the composition and organization of these organelles [122,123]. We speculate that the common transcriptomic

alterations of calcium ion binding genes here shown could be reflecting this interaction, and that this causal link

occurs via disruption of intracellular calcium ion gradients. Indeed, both the Golgi apparatus and the

endoplasmic reticulum are intracellular calcium reservoirs, so it is reasonable to expect that their direct

interaction with *Wolbachia* would modify intracellular calcium gradients with respect to uninfected cells.

Furthermore, given the implications of calcium signaling in many critical cellular processes and the toxicity of

calcium when exceeding its normal temporal and spatial boundaries [112,113,124], the shared transcriptomic

regulation of calcium ion binding genes could be well explained as host compensatory responses to disruption

of intracellular calcium gradients. In particular, the common native *Wolbachia*'s effects on *regucalcin*

expression here described could constitute an adaptive host mechanism to restore calcium homeostasis, as

regucalcin is a key regulator of the intracellular calcium distributions, which controls the activity of calcium

channels and pumps, as well as of calcium-dependent enzymes [112,113].

Overall, given the centrality of calcium for biological phenomena, we propose that studying calcium related

host-*Wolbachia* interactions is crucial for developing a solid mechanistic understanding of the endosymbiotic

system.

597 Chitinase activity

Chitin is a polysaccharide composed of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) residues with beta-1,4 O-glycosidic

bonds, which is a fundamental component of the insect exoskeleton, internal linings such as the trachea and

salivary ducts, the peritrophic matrix that covers the midgut epithelium, and the serosal cuticle that covers

mosquito eggs [125–129]. As shown in Table 3, chitinase activity (GO:0004568) was significantly enriched in

DEG sets from transfected *A. aegypti* (sets Aa5-Aa7), native *D. melanogaster*-*w*Mel (sets Dm1, Dm2), and

native *D. paulistorum*-*w*Pau (set Dp2). Also, at least one chitinase was differentially expressed in two

additional DEG sets from *D. melanogaster*-wMel (sets Dm3, Dm4) and in the three remaining DEG sets from

D. paulistorum (sets Dp1, Dp3 and Dp4). Although these last effects were non-significant according to the

enrichment analysis, it must be considered that chitinase activity comprises only 15 genes in *D. melanogaster*

(compared *e.g.* to serine endopeptidase activity, that has 227 genes in the same organism) and, therefore, the

relatively low number in Table 2 might be of biological relevance.

Furthermore, although in *A. aegypti* there is only one gene annotated with the GO term chitinase activity

(AAEL013262), there are several more putative chitinases. Specifically, AAEL002023, AAEL003066 and

AAEL001965 are all assigned to the InterProScan family IPR011583 (Chitinase II) and the Panther family

PTHR11177 (Chitinase), while being annotated with chitin binding activity and combinations of additional GO

terms such as hydrolysis of O-glycosyl compounds and chitin metabolic processes. Assuming that these genes

indeed have chitinase activity, the alteration of this function by *Wolbachia* turns out to be even more common in

Aedes hosts. In fact, we found an orthologous gene of AAEL003066 to be upregulated in *A. fluviatilis*-*w*Flu (set

Af); as well as both AAEL002023 and AAEL013262 in female *A. aegypti*-*w*MelPop heads (set Aa5);

AAEL002023 in female *A. aegypti*-wMelPop muscles (set Aa4); and both AAEL003066 and AAEL01965 in

female *A. aegypti*-wMelPop whole bodies (set Aa2) (S1 Table). Additional literature supports the upregulation

of chitinases by *Wolbachia* transfections. Geoghegan et al. (2017) [130] found upregulation of AAEL013262

and AAEL003066 at the protein level in *A. aegypti*-*w*Mel midguts, while Detcharoen et al. (2021) [33] found

overexpression of a chitinase in *Drosophila nigrosparsa* when transfected with *w*Mel. Together, these

observations indicate that the regulation of chitinases is an ubiquitous trait of host-*Wolbachia* systems, and that

this regulation occurs strictly in a positive direction in the case of transfections.

We found only a few works that gave attention to the *Wolbachia*-chitin interaction. Quek et al. (2022) [131]

showed that *Wolbachia* upregulates chitinase activity in the early stages of the filarial nematode *Brugia malayi*,

and that this effect is necessary for the nematode to undergo exsheathment and escape the midgut barrier of its

mosquito host. Sigle & McGraw (2019) [127] proposed chitin-related processes as a non-canonical point of

interaction between *Wolbachia* and arboviruses. Caragata et al. (2017) [13], which found a putative chitinase to

be upregulated in their *A. fluviatilis*-wFlu dataset, speculated that this regulation could be contributing to

Plasmodium enhancement by disturbing the integrity of the peritrophic matrix. However, to our knowledge, no

previous works have illustrated how generalized *Wolbachia* regulation of host chitinases is. Furthermore, we

believe that this interaction should be explored further, for its implications in critical phenotypes for arboviral

disease control, as depicted in Fig 2 and explained in the following.

Fig 2. Associations proposed in this work between the observed ubiquity of chitinase regulation and

critical phenotypes for vector control. (A) Upregulation of host chitinases by *Wolbachia* may be implicated in

the loss of viability of quiescent eggs in transfected *A. aegypti*, by disrupting the chitinous serosal cuticle that

provides them with desiccation resistance. (B) Chitinase regulation by *Wolbachia* may influence bacterial

density in certain conditions by determining availability of GlcNAc, which is apparently unable to be

synthesized *de novo* by *Wolbachia* despite needing it for lipid II synthesis (necessary for its cellular division).

Scissor icons represent chitinases. Orange stripes and hexagons represent chitin and GlcNAc, respectively.

Circles demarcated with a "W" denote *Wolbachia* cells within the mosquito embryo.

We claim there is a link between the consistent upregulation of chitinases and the loss of quiescent egg viability

in transfected *A. aegypti*, which have been described as the main fitness cost of transfections and an obstacle for

the scalability of *Wolbachia*-based arboviral control [132]. Indeed, mosquito quiescent egg survival strongly

depends on desiccation resistance [132,133], which is both diminished by *Wolbachia* transfection [134] and

strongly correlates with chitin content of the egg's serosal cuticle [126,133]. Thus, it is reasonable to

hypothesize that the chitinase upregulation unveiled in this work, triggered by transfected *Wolbachia,* weakens

the serosal cuticle's chitin, diminishing egg resistance to desiccation. *Wolbachia*-dependent exsheathment of *B.*

malayi microfilariae, which is mediated by chitinase upregulation [131], provides an antecedent of such a

Wolbachia-induced degradation of a host's chitinous external structure.

We propose that chitinase regulation may directly influence *Wolbachia's* titer, which is consistent with the fact

that UDP-GlcNAc, an intermediary of synthesis of lipid II, is required for the coordination of its cellular

division [135,136]. Despite its essentiality, it is not clear whether *Wolbachia* has the capability to synthesize

GlcNAc *de novo* from fructose-6-P as some extracellular bacteria do [137,138], or if it must obtain it from the

host, since it has not been reported in literature how this bacteria acquires GlcNAc [135,136,139]). Supporting

the latter, *de novo* synthesis of GlcNAc in *Wolbachia* is absent in the KEGG database [137]. Furthermore, this

metabolic dependence on host resources would not be an exception, as *Wolbachia* depends on several host

amino acids and various metabolic gaps have been previously identified [140–143]. Therefore, we hypothesize

that *Wolbachia* may induce chitinase activity to free and use host GlcNAc, as is the case for *Sodalis*

glossinidius, the endosymbiont of the Tsetse fly [144].

If sequestration of host GlcNAc is the mechanism by which *Wolbachia* obtains this metabolite, it is reasonable

to suppose that chitinase activity favors *Wolbachia*'s titer under certain conditions, such as nutrient abundance.

This hypothesis is consistent with the expression patterns highlighted in this work (Table 3), particularly strict

upregulation in transfections where *Wolbachia* is present in high titers, and variable direction of regulation in

native infections, characterized by lower titers. As *Wolbachia* titer is positively correlated with critical traits

such as viral blocking and fidelity of maternal transmission [22,23,25], we suggest that studying its dependence

on a specific molecular activity such as chitinase would provide valuable gene targets for preserving the

efficacy of *Wolbachia*-based vector control strategies.

As previously exposed, *Mur18B* or its *Aedes* ortholog *AAEL017334* were differentially expressed in the three

natively infected hosts here studied, but not in transfections, suggesting that their responsiveness to *Wolbachia*

reflects an adaptive mechanism. These are putative chitin binding genes and, particularly, *Drosophila*'s *Mur18B*

is a predicted mucin, i.e., a type of gene that modulates the integrity of extracellular chitinous matrices in

insects [145]. Thereby one can further speculate that common regulation of these genes in native infections

reflects host adaptation to chitinase regulation by *Wolbachia*.

The commonality of *Wolbachia*'s chitinase regulation here observed, plus its putative role in *Plasmodium*

enhancement [13], loss of quiescent egg viability and bacterial titer pose it as a main target for further research,

as these phenotypes are critical for the safety, sustainability and scalability of *Wolbachia*-based arboviral

control.

Conclusions

Keeping the success of *Wolbachia*-based arboviral control requires a deep mechanistic knowledge of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems, as well as anticipating the evolutionary path of transfections. By analyzing publicly available transcriptomic datasets, we were able to derive new hypotheses and targets of study that will help to broaden the understanding of *Diptera-Wolbachia* systems in general and *A. aegypti-Wolbachia* transfections in particular. This approach allowed to pinpoint gene-level and functional effects of *Wolbachia* that are consistent across transfected *A. aegypti* and are implicated in phenotypes critical for arboviral control, but that are likely to be lost after a coadaptation period, hence motivating their further study and monitoring over time.

References

1.   Dong B, Khan L, Smith M, Trevino J, Zhao B, Hamer GL, et al. Spatio-temporal dynamics of three diseases caused by Aedes-borne arboviruses in Mexico. Commun Med. 2022;2: 1–11. doi:10.1038/s43856-022-00192-7

2.   Flores HA, O'Neill SL. Controlling vector-borne diseases by releasing modified mosquitoes. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2018;16: 508–518. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0025-0

3.   Jones R, Kulkarni MA, Davidson TMV, RADAM-LAC Research Team, Talbot B. Arbovirus vectors of epidemiological concern in the Americas: A scoping review of entomological studies on Zika, dengue and chikungunya virus vectors. PloS One. 2020;15: e0220753. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0220753

4.   Mariconti M, Obadia T, Mousson L, Malacrida A, Gasperi G, Failloux A-B, et al. Estimating the risk of arbovirus transmission in Southern Europe using vector competence data. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 17852. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-54395-5

5.   Ogunlade ST, Meehan MT, Adekunle AI, Rojas DP, Adegboye OA, McBryde ES. A Review: Aedes-Borne Arboviral Infections, Controls and Wolbachia-Based Strategies. Vaccines. 2021;9: 32. doi:10.3390/vaccines9010032

6.   Tajudeen YA, Oladunjoye IO, Mustapha MO, Mustapha ST, Ajide-Bamigboye NT. Tackling the global

health threat of arboviruses: An appraisal of the three holistic approaches to health. Health Promot Perspect. 2021;11: 371–381. doi:10.34172/hpp.2021.48

7. Yen P-S, Failloux A-B. A Review: Wolbachia-Based Population Replacement for Mosquito Control Shares Common Points with Genetically Modified Control Approaches. Pathogens. 2020;9: 404. doi:10.3390/pathogens9050404

8. Zeng Z, Zhan J, Chen L, Chen H, Cheng S. Global, regional, and national dengue burden from 1990 to 2017: A systematic analysis based on the global burden of disease study 2017. eClinicalMedicine. 2021;32. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100712

9. Kraemer MUG, Reiner RC, Brady OJ, Messina JP, Gilbert M, Pigott DM, et al. Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4: 854–863. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0376-y

10. Edenborough KM, Flores HA, Simmons CP, Fraser JE. Using Wolbachia to Eliminate Dengue: Will the Virus Fight Back? J Virol. 2021;95: e02203-20. doi:10.1128/JVI.02203-20

11. Ritchie SA, Hurk AF van den, Smout MJ, Staunton KM, Hoffmann AA. Mission Accomplished? We Need a Guide to the 'Post Release' World of Wolbachia for Aedes-borne Disease Control. Trends Parasitol. 2018;34: 217–226. doi:10.1016/j.pt.2017.11.011

12. Sim S, Ng LC, Lindsay SW, Wilson AL. A greener vision for vector control: The example of the Singapore dengue control programme. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020;14: e0008428. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0008428

13. Caragata EP, Pais FS, Baton LA, Silva JBL, Sorgine MHF, Moreira LA. The transcriptome of the mosquito Aedes fluviatilis (Diptera: Culicidae), and transcriptional changes associated with its native Wolbachia infection. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 6. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-3441-4

14. Dainty KR, Hawkey J, Judd LM, Pacidônio EC, Duyvestyn JM, Gonçalves DS, et al. wMel Wolbachia genome remains stable after 7 years in Australian Aedes aegypti field populations. Microb Genomics. 2021;7: 000641. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000641

15. Raquin V, Moro CV, Saucereau Y, Tran F-H, Potier P, Mavingui P. Native Wolbachia from Aedes albopictus Blocks Chikungunya Virus Infection In Cellulo. PLOS ONE. 2015;10: e0125066. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0125066

16. Sigle LT, Jones M, Novelo M, Ford SA, Urakova N, Lymperopoulos K, et al. Assessing Aedes aegypti

candidate genes during viral infection and Wolbachia-mediated pathogen blocking. Insect Mol Biol.

2022;31: 356–368. doi:10.1111/imb.12764

17. Utarini A, Indriani C, Ahmad RA, Tantowijoyo W, Arguni E, Ansari MR, et al. Efficacy of

Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments for the control of dengue. N Engl J Med. 2021;384:

2177–2186. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2030243

18. Ryan PA, Turley AP, Wilson G, Hurst TP, Retzki K, Brown-Kenyon J, et al. Establishment of wMel

Wolbachia in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes and reduction of local dengue transmission in Cairns and

surrounding locations in northern Queensland, Australia. Gates Open Research; 2020.

doi:10.12688/gatesopenres.13061.2

19. Pinto SB, Riback TIS, Sylvestre G, Costa G, Peixoto J, Dias FBS, et al. Effectiveness of

Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments in reducing the incidence of dengue and other Aedes-borne

diseases in Niterói, Brazil: A quasi-experimental study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15: e0009556.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009556

20. Bull JJ, Turelli M. Wolbachia versus dengue: Evolutionary forecasts. Evol Med Public Health.

2013;2013: 197–207. doi:10.1093/emph/eot018

21. Lindsey ARI, Bhattacharya T, Newton ILG, Hardy RW. Conflict in the Intracellular Lives of

Endosymbionts and Viruses: A Mechanistic Look at Wolbachia-Mediated Pathogen-blocking. Viruses.

2018;10: 141. doi:10.3390/v10040141

22. Frentiu FD, Robinson J, Young PR, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL. Wolbachia-Mediated Resistance to

Dengue Virus Infection and Death at the Cellular Level. PLOS ONE. 2010;5: e13398.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013398

23. Lu P, Bian G, Pan X, Xi Z. Wolbachia induces density-dependent inhibition to dengue virus in mosquito

cells. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6: e1754. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001754

24. Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Jeffery JA, Lu G, Pyke AT, Hedges LM, et al. A Wolbachia Symbiont in

Aedes aegypti Limits Infection with Dengue, Chikungunya, and Plasmodium. Cell. 2009;139:

1268–1278. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042

25. Osborne SE, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Brownlie JC, O'Neill SL, Johnson KN. Antiviral Protection and the

Importance of Wolbachia Density and Tissue Tropism in Drosophila simulans. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78: 6922–6929. doi:10.1128/AEM.01727-12

26. Wimalasiri-Yapa BMCR, Huang B, Ross PA, Hoffmann AA, Ritchie SA, Frentiu FD, et al. Differences in gene expression in field populations of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes with varying release histories in northern Australia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023;17: e0011222. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0011222

27. Weeks AR, Turelli M, Harcombe WR, Reynolds KT, Hoffmann AA. From Parasite to Mutualist: Rapid Evolution of Wolbachia in Natural Populations of Drosophila. PLOS Biol. 2007;5: e114. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050114

28. Lindsey ARI, Bhattacharya T, Hardy RW, Newton ILG. Wolbachia and Virus Alter the Host Transcriptome at the Interface of Nucleotide Metabolism Pathways. mBio. 2021;12: e03472-20. doi:10.1128/mBio.03472-20

29. Baião GC, Schneider DI, Miller WJ, Klasson L. The effect of Wolbachia on gene expression in Drosophila paulistorum and its implications for symbiont-induced host speciation. BMC Genomics. 2019;20: 465. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5816-9

30. Zheng Y, Wang J-L, Liu C, Wang C-P, Walker T, Wang Y-F. Differentially expressed profiles in the larval testes of Wolbachia infected and uninfected Drosophila. BMC Genomics. 2011;12: 595. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-12-595

31. Dou W, Miao Y, Xiao J, Huang D. Association of Wolbachia with Gene Expression in Drosophila Testes. Microb Ecol. 2021;82: 805–817. doi:10.1007/s00248-021-01703-0

32. He Z, Zheng Y, Yu W-J, Fang Y, Mao B, Wang Y-F. How do Wolbachia modify the Drosophila ovary? New evidences support the "titration-restitution" model for the mechanisms of Wolbachia-induced CI. BMC Genomics. 2019;20: 608. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5977-6

33. Detcharoen M, Schilling MP, Arthofer W, Schlick-Steiner BC, Steiner FM. Differential gene expression in Drosophila melanogaster and D. nigrosparsa infected with the same Wolbachia strain. Sci Rep. 2021;11: 11336. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-90857-5

34. Rancès E, Ye YH, Woolfit M, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL. The Relative Importance of Innate Immune Priming in Wolbachia-Mediated Dengue Interference. PLOS Pathog. 2012;8: e1002548.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002548

35.   Kambris Z, Cook PE, Phuc HK, Sinkins SP. Immune activation by life-shortening Wolbachia and

reduced filarial competence in mosquitoes. Science. 2009;326: 134–136. doi:10.1126/science.1177531

36.   Chung M, Basting PJ, Patkus RS, Grote A, Luck AN, Ghedin E, et al. A Meta-Analysis of Wolbachia

Transcriptomics Reveals a Stage-Specific Wolbachia Transcriptional Response Shared Across Different

Hosts. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics. 2020;10: 3243–3260. doi:10.1534/g3.120.401534

37.   Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization

array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30: 207–210. doi:10.1093/nar/30.1.207

38.   Parkinson H, Kapushesky M, Shojatalab M, Abeygunawardena N, Coulson R, Farne A, et al.

ArrayExpress—a public database of microarray experiments and gene expression profiles. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2007;35: D747–D750. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl995

39.   R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical

Computing; 2022. Available: https://www.R-project.org/

40.   Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression

analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: e47.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkv007

41.   Smyth GK, Speed T. Normalization of cDNA microarray data. Methods San Diego Calif. 2003;31:

265–273. doi:10.1016/s1046-2023(03)00155-5

42.   McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. Testing significance relative to a fold-change threshold is a TREAT.

Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 765–771. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp053

43.   Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M. The Sequence Read Archive. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011;39:

D19–D21. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq1019

44.   Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Čech M, et al. The Galaxy platform for

accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2018 update. Nucleic Acids Res.

2018;46: W537–W544. doi:10.1093/nar/gky379

45.   Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010. Available:

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc

46.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.

Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

47. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 15–21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

48. dos Santos G, Schroeder AJ, Goodman JL, Strelets VB, Crosby MA, Thurmond J, et al. FlyBase: introduction of the Drosophila melanogaster Release 6 reference genome assembly and large-scale migration of genome annotations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: D690–D697. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1099

49. Gramates LS, Agapite J, Attrill H, Calvi BR, Crosby MA, dos Santos G, et al. FlyBase: a guided tour of highlighted features. Genetics. 2022;220: iyac035. doi:10.1093/genetics/iyac035

50. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 923–930. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

51. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

52. Raghavan V, Kraft L, Mesny F, Rigerte L. A simple guide to de novo transcriptome assembly and annotation. Brief Bioinform. 2022;23: bbab563. doi:10.1093/bib/bbab563

53. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29: 644–652. doi:10.1038/nbt.1883

54. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015;31: 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

55. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2011;12: 323. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-323

56. Tang S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M. Identification of protein coding regions in RNA transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: e78. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv227

57. Johnson M, Zaretskaya I, Raytselis Y, Merezhuk Y, McGinnis S, Madden TL. NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36: W5–W9. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn201

58. Giraldo-Calderón GI, Emrich SJ, MacCallum RM, Maslen G, Dialynas E, Topalis P, et al. VectorBase: an updated bioinformatics resource for invertebrate vectors and other organisms related with human

diseases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43: D707–D713. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1117

59.   Raudvere U, Kolberg L, Kuzmin I, Arak T, Adler P, Peterson H, et al. g:Profiler: a web server for

functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:

W191–W198. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz369

60.   Hong G, Zhang W, Li H, Shen X, Guo Z. Separate enrichment analysis of pathways for up- and

downregulated genes. J R Soc Interface. 2014;11: 20130950. doi:10.1098/rsif.2013.0950

61.   Zdobnov EM, Kuznetsov D, Tegenfeldt F, Manni M, Berkeley M, Kriventseva EV. OrthoDB in 2020:

evolutionary and functional annotations of orthologs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49: D389–D393.

doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa1009

62.   Ye YH, Woolfit M, Huttley GA, Rancès E, Caragata EP, Popovici J, et al. Infection with a Virulent

Strain of Wolbachia Disrupts Genome Wide-Patterns of Cytosine Methylation in the Mosquito Aedes

aegypti. PLOS ONE. 2013;8: e66482. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066482

63.   Pan X, Pike A, Joshi D, Bian G, McFadden MJ, Lu P, et al. The bacterium Wolbachia exploits host

innate immunity to establish a symbiotic relationship with the dengue vector mosquito Aedes aegypti.

ISME J. 2018;12: 277–288. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.174

64.   Christophides GK, Vlachou D, Kafatos FC. Comparative and functional genomics of the innate immune

system in the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Immunol Rev. 2004;198: 127–148.

doi:10.1111/j.0105-2896.2004.0127.x

65.   Xi Z, Ramirez JL, Dimopoulos G. The Aedes aegypti Toll Pathway Controls Dengue Virus Infection.

PLoS Pathog. 2008;4: e1000098. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000098

66.   Iatsenko I, Marra A, Boquete J-P, Peña J, Lemaitre B. Iron sequestration by transferrin 1 mediates

nutritional immunity in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117: 7317–7325.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1914830117

67.   Mao W, Zeng Q, She L, Yuan H, Luo Y, Wang R, et al. Wolbachia Utilizes lncRNAs to Activate the

Anti-Dengue Toll Pathway and Balance Reactive Oxygen Species Stress in Aedes aegypti Through a

Competitive Endogenous RNA Network. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;11. Available:

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2021.823403

68.   Pan X, Zhou G, Wu J, Bian G, Lu P, Raikhel AS, et al. Wolbachia induces reactive oxygen species

(ROS)-dependent activation of the Toll pathway to control dengue virus in the mosquito Aedes aegypti.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109: E23–E31. doi:10.1073/pnas.1116932108

69. Ramirez JL, Muturi EJ, Barletta ABF, Rooney AP. The Aedes aegypti IMD pathway is a critical

component of the mosquito antifungal immune response. Dev Comp Immunol. 2019;95: 1–9.

doi:10.1016/j.dci.2018.12.010

70. Jiang H, Kanost MR. The clip-domain family of serine proteinases in arthropods. Insect Biochem Mol

Biol. 2000;30: 95–105. doi:10.1016/S0965-1748(99)00113-7

71. Kanost MR, Jiang H. Clip-domain serine proteases as immune factors in insect hemolymph. Curr Opin

Insect Sci. 2015;11: 47–55. doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.09.003

72. Ji Y, Lu T, Zou Z, Wang Y. Aedes aegypti CLIPB9 activates prophenoloxidase-3 in the presence of

CLIPA14 after fungal infection. Front Immunol. 2022;13: 927322. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2022.927322

73. Wang H-C, Wang Q-H, Bhowmick B, Li Y-X, Han Q. Functional characterization of two clip domain

serine proteases in innate immune responses of Aedes aegypti. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14: 584.

doi:10.1186/s13071-021-05091-9

74. Zou Z, Shin SW, Alvarez KS, Kokoza V, Raikhel AS. Distinct Melanization Pathways in the Mosquito

Aedes aegypti. Immunity. 2010;32: 41–53. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2009.11.011

75. Thomas P, Kenny N, Eyles D, Moreira LA, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. Infection with the wMel and

wMelPop strains of Wolbachia leads to higher levels of melanization in the hemolymph of Drosophila

melanogaster, Drosophila simulans and Aedes aegypti. Dev Comp Immunol. 2011;35: 360–365.

doi:10.1016/j.dci.2010.11.007

76. Ye YH, Woolfit M, Rancès E, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA. Wolbachia-Associated Bacterial Protection in

the Mosquito Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7: e2362. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002362

77. Etebari K, Hegde S, Saldaña MA, Widen SG, Wood TG, Asgari S, et al. Global Transcriptome Analysis

of Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes in Response to Zika Virus Infection. mSphere. 2017;2: e00456-17.

doi:10.1128/mSphere.00456-17

78. Maia RM, Valente V, Cunha MA, Sousa JF, Araujo DD, Silva WA, et al. Identification of unannotated

exons of low abundance transcripts in Drosophila melanogaster and cloning of a new serine protease

gene upregulated upon injury. BMC Genomics. 2007;8: 249. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-8-249

79.   Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 1236–1240. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

80.   Pang X, Xiao X, Liu Y, Zhang R, Liu J, Liu Q, et al. Mosquito C-type lectins maintain gut microbiome homeostasis. Nat Microbiol. 2016;1: 16023. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.23

81.   Xia X, You M, Rao X-J, Yu X-Q. Insect C-type lectins in innate immunity. Dev Comp Immunol. 2018;83: 70–79. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2017.11.020

82.   Adelman ZN, Myles KM. The C-Type Lectin Domain Gene Family in Aedes aegypti and Their Role in Arbovirus Infection. Viruses. 2018;10: 367. doi:10.3390/v10070367

83.   Liu K, Qian Y, Jung Y-S, Zhou B, Cao R, Shen T, et al. mosGCTL-7, a C-Type Lectin Protein, Mediates Japanese Encephalitis Virus Infection in Mosquitoes. J Virol. 2017;91: e01348-16. doi:10.1128/JVI.01348-16

84.   Williams M, Summers BJ, Baxter RHG. Biophysical Analysis of Anopheles gambiae Leucine-Rich Repeat Proteins APL1A1, APL1B and APL1C and Their Interaction with LRIM1. PLoS ONE. 2015;10: e0118911. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118911

85.   Zhao L, Alto BW, Shin D. Transcriptional Profile of Aedes aegypti Leucine-Rich Repeat Proteins in Response to Zika and Chikungunya Viruses. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20: 615. doi:10.3390/ijms20030615

86.   Osta MA, Christophides GK, Kafatos FC. Effects of mosquito genes on Plasmodium development. Science. 2004;303: 2030–2032. doi:10.1126/science.1091789

87.   Povelones M, Waterhouse RM, Kafatos FC, Christophides GK. Leucine-rich repeat protein complex activates mosquito complement in defense against Plasmodium parasites. Science. 2009;324: 258–261. doi:10.1126/science.1171400

88.   Moita LF, Wang-Sattler R, Michel K, Zimmermann T, Blandin S, Levashina EA, et al. In Vivo Identification of Novel Regulators and Conserved Pathways of Phagocytosis in A. gambiae. Immunity. 2005;23: 65–73. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2005.05.006

89.   Burtenshaw SM, Su PP, Zhang JR, Tobe SS, Dayton L, Bendena WG. A putative farnesoic acid O-methyltransferase (FAMeT) orthologue in Drosophila melanogaster (CG10527): Relationship to juvenile hormone biosynthesis? Peptides. 2008;29: 242–251. doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2007.10.030

90.   Yagi KJ, Konz KG, Stay B, Tobe SS. Production and utilization of farnesoic acid in the juvenile

hormone biosynthetic pathway by corpora allata of larval Diploptera punctata. Gen Comp Endocrinol.

1991;81: 284–294. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(91)90013-V

91.   Noriega FG. Juvenile Hormone Biosynthesis in Insects: What Is New, What Do We Know, and What

Questions Remain? Int Sch Res Not. 2014;2014: 967361. doi:10.1155/2014/967361

92.   Zou Z, Saha TT, Roy S, Shin SW, Backman TWH, Girke T, et al. Juvenile hormone and its receptor,

methoprene-tolerant, control the dynamics of mosquito gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013;110:

E2173–E2181. doi:10.1073/pnas.1305293110

93.   Van Ekert E, Heylen K, Rougé P, Powell CA, Shatters RG, Smagghe G, et al. Aedes aegypti juvenile

hormone acid methyl transferase, the ultimate enzyme in the biosynthetic pathway of juvenile hormone

III, exhibits substrate control. J Insect Physiol. 2014;64: 62–73. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2014.03.001

94.   Liu C, Wang J-L, Zheng Y, Xiong E-J, Li J-J, Yuan L-L, et al. Wolbachia-induced paternal defect in

Drosophila is likely by interaction with the juvenile hormone pathway. Insect Biochem Mol Biol.

2014;49: 49–58. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.03.014

95.   Nouzova M, Edwards MJ, Michalkova V, Ramirez CE, Ruiz M, Areiza M, et al. Epoxidation of juvenile

hormone was a key innovation improving insect reproductive fitness. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2021;118:

e2109381118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2109381118

96.   Ramos LFC, Martins M, Murillo JR, Domont GB, de Oliveira DMP, Nogueira FCS, et al. Interspecies

Isobaric Labeling-Based Quantitative Proteomics Reveals Protein Changes in the Ovary of Aedes

aegypti Coinfected With ZIKV and Wolbachia. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12. Available:

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2022.900608

97.   Sehlmeyer S, Wang L, Langel D, Heckel DG, Mohagheghi H, Petschenka G, et al. Flavin-Dependent

Monooxygenases as a Detoxification Mechanism in Insects: New Insights from the Arctiids

(Lepidoptera). PLOS ONE. 2010;5: e10435. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010435

98.   Torres Pazmiño DE, Winkler M, Glieder A, Fraaije MW. Monooxygenases as biocatalysts:

Classification, mechanistic aspects and biotechnological applications. J Biotechnol. 2010;146: 9–24.

doi:10.1016/j.jbiotec.2010.01.021

99.   Bailleul G, Yang G, Nicoll CR, Mattevi A, Fraaije MW, Mascotti ML. Evolution of enzyme

functionality in the flavin-containing monooxygenases. Nat Commun. 2023;14: 1042.

968   doi:10.1038/s41467-023-36756-x

969 100. Veeravalli S, Phillips IR, Freire RT, Varshavi D, Everett JR, Shephard EA. Flavin-Containing

970   Monooxygenase 1 Catalyzes the Production of Taurine from Hypotaurine. Drug Metab Dispos. 2020;48:

971   378–385. doi:10.1124/dmd.119.089995

972 101. Kumar A, Shrinet J, Sunil S. Chikungunya virus infection in Aedes aegypti is modulated by L-cysteine,

973   taurine, hypotaurine and glutathione metabolism. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023;17: e0011280.

974   doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0011280

975 102. Mallott M, Hamm S, Troczka BJ, Randall E, Pym A, Grant C, et al. A flavin-dependent monooxgenase

976   confers resistance to chlorantraniliprole in the diamondback moth, Plutella xylostella. Insect Biochem

977   Mol Biol. 2019;115: 103247. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.103247

978 103. Tan CH, Wong PJ, LI MI, Yang H, Ng LC, O'Neill SL. wMel limits zika and chikungunya virus

979   infection in a Singapore Wolbachia-introgressed Ae. aegypti strain, wMel-Sg. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.

980   2017;11: e0005496. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005496

981 104. Tallon AK, Hill SR, Ignell R. Sex and age modulate antennal chemosensory-related genes linked to the

982   onset of host seeking in the yellow-fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 43.

983   doi:10.1038/s41598-018-36550-6

984 105. Marcombe S, Poupardin R, Darriet F, Reynaud S, Bonnet J, Strode C, et al. Exploring the molecular

985   basis of insecticide resistance in the dengue vector Aedes aegypti: a case study in Martinique Island

986   (French West Indies). BMC Genomics. 2009;10: 494. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-494

987 106. Alfonso-Parra C, Ahmed-Braimah YH, Degner EC, Avila FW, Villarreal SM, Pleiss JA, et al.

988   Mating-Induced Transcriptome Changes in the Reproductive Tract of Female Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl

989   Trop Dis. 2016;10: e0004451. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004451

990 107. Caragata EP, Rezende FO, Simões TC, Moreira LA. Diet-Induced Nutritional Stress and Pathogen

991   Interference in Wolbachia-Infected Aedes aegypti. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10: e0005158.

992   doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005158

993 108. Ramirez JL, Dimopoulos G. The Toll immune signaling pathway control conserved anti-dengue

994   defenses across diverse Ae. aegypti strains and against multiple dengue virus serotypes. Dev Comp

995   Immunol. 2010;34: 625–629. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2010.01.006

109. Caragata EP, Rocha MN, Pereira TN, Mansur SB, Dutra HLC, Moreira LA. Pathogen blocking in Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti is not affected by Zika and dengue virus co-infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007443. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007443

110. Xiao G, Liu Z-H, Zhao M, Wang H-L, Zhou B. Transferrin 1 Functions in Iron Trafficking and Genetically Interacts with Ferritin in Drosophila melanogaster. Cell Rep. 2019;26: 748-758.e5. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.12.053

111. Zhu Y, Tong L, Nie K, Wiwatanaratanabutr I, Sun P, Li Q, et al. Host serum iron modulates dengue virus acquisition by mosquitoes. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4: 2405–2415. doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0555-x

112. Laurentino SS, Correia S, Cavaco JE, Oliveira PF, Sousa M de, Barros A, et al. Regucalcin, a calcium-binding protein with a role in male reproduction? Mol Hum Reprod. 2012;18: 161–170. doi:10.1093/molehr/gar075

113. Yamaguchi M. Role of Regucalcin in Maintaining Cell Homeostasis and Function (Review). Int J Mol Med. 2005;15: 371–89. doi:10.3892/ijmm.15.3.371

114. Syed ZA, Härd T, Uv A, Dijk-Härd IF van. A Potential Role for Drosophila Mucins in Development and Physiology. PLOS ONE. 2008;3: e3041. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003041

115. Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, et al. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42: D222–D230. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1223

116. Adams MD, Celniker SE, Holt RA, Evans CA, Gocayne JD, Amanatides PG, et al. The Genome Sequence of Drosophila melanogaster. Science. 2000;287: 2185–2195. doi:10.1126/science.287.5461.2185

117. Miller WJ, Ehrman L, Schneider D. Infectious Speciation Revisited: Impact of Symbiont-Depletion on Female Fitness and Mating Behavior of Drosophila paulistorum. PLOS Pathog. 2010;6: e1001214. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001214

118. Zug R, Hammerstein P. Bad guys turned nice? A critical assessment of Wolbachia mutualisms in arthropod hosts. Biol Rev. 2015;90: 89–111. doi:10.1111/brv.12098

119. Bian G, Zhou G, Lu P, Xi Z. Replacing a native Wolbachia with a novel strain results in an increase in endosymbiont load and resistance to dengue virus in a mosquito vector. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7: e2250. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002250

120. Wong ZS, Brownlie JC, Johnson KN. Oxidative Stress Correlates with Wolbachia-Mediated Antiviral Protection in Wolbachia-Drosophila Associations. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81: 3001–3005. doi:10.1128/AEM.03847-14

121. He L, He T, Farrar S, Ji L, Liu T, Ma X. Antioxidants Maintain Cellular Redox Homeostasis by Elimination of Reactive Oxygen Species. Cell Physiol Biochem Int J Exp Cell Physiol Biochem Pharmacol. 2017;44: 532–553. doi:10.1159/000485089

122. Cho K-O, Kim G-W, Lee O-K. Wolbachia bacteria reside in host Golgi-related vesicles whose position is regulated by polarity proteins. PloS One. 2011;6: e22703. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022703

123. Fattouh N, Cazevieille C, Landmann F. Wolbachia endosymbionts subvert the endoplasmic reticulum to acquire host membranes without triggering ER stress. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007218. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007218

124. Berridge MJ, Lipp P, Bootman MD. The versatility and universality of calcium signalling. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2000;1: 11–21. doi:10.1038/35036035

125. Cohen E. Chitin synthesis and degradation as targets for pesticide action. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol. 1993;22: 245–261. doi:10.1002/arch.940220118

126. Farnesi LC, Menna-Barreto RFS, Martins AJ, Valle D, Rezende GL. Physical features and chitin content of eggs from the mosquito vectors Aedes aegypti, Anopheles aquasalis and Culex quinquefasciatus: Connection with distinct levels of resistance to desiccation. J Insect Physiol. 2015;83: 43–52. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2015.10.006

127. Sigle LT, McGraw EA. Expanding the canon: Non-classical mosquito genes at the interface of arboviral infection. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2019;109: 72–80. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2019.04.004

128. Udaya Prakash NA, Jayanthi M, Sabarinathan R, Kangueane P, Mathew L, Sekar K. Evolution, Homology Conservation, and Identification of Unique Sequence Signatures in GH19 Family Chitinases. J Mol Evol. 2010;70: 466–478. doi:10.1007/s00239-010-9345-z

129. Zhang X, Zhang J, Park Y, Zhu KY. Identification and characterization of two chitin synthase genes in African malaria mosquito, Anopheles gambiae. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2012;42: 674–682. doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.05.005

130. Geoghegan V, Stainton K, Rainey SM, Ant TH, Dowle AA, Larson T, et al. Perturbed cholesterol and

vesicular trafficking associated with dengue blocking in Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti cells. Nat Commun. 2017;8: 526. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00610-8

131.   Quek S, Cook DAN, Wu Y, Marriott AE, Steven A, Johnston KL, et al. Wolbachia depletion blocks transmission of lymphatic filariasis by preventing chitinase-dependent parasite exsheathment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119: e2120003119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2120003119

132.   Allman MJ, Fraser JE, Ritchie SA, Joubert DA, Simmons CP, Flores HA. Wolbachia's Deleterious Impact on Aedes aegypti Egg Development: The Potential Role of Nutritional Parasitism. Insects. 2020;11: 735. doi:10.3390/insects11110735

133.   Rezende GL, Martins AJ, Gentile C, Farnesi LC, Pelajo-Machado M, Peixoto AA, et al. Embryonic desiccation resistance in Aedes aegypti: presumptive role of the chitinized Serosal Cuticle. BMC Dev Biol. 2008;8: 82. doi:10.1186/1471-213X-8-82

134.   Farnesi LC, Belinato TA, Gesto JSM, Martins AJ, Bruno RV, Moreira LA. Embryonic development and egg viability of wMel-infected Aedes aegypti. Parasit Vectors. 2019;12: 211. doi:10.1186/s13071-019-3474-z

135.   Henrichfreise B, Schiefer A, Schneider T, Nzukou E, Poellinger C, Hoffmann T-J, et al. Functional conservation of the lipid II biosynthesis pathway in the cell wall-less bacteria Chlamydia and Wolbachia: why is lipid II needed? Mol Microbiol. 2009;73: 913–923. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.06815.x

136.   Vollmer J, Schiefer A, Schneider T, Jülicher K, Johnston KL, Taylor MJ, et al. Requirement of lipid II biosynthesis for cell division in cell wall-less Wolbachia, endobacteria of arthropods and filarial nematodes. Int J Med Microbiol. 2013;303: 140–149. doi:10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.01.002

137.   Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Ishiguro-Watanabe M. KEGG for taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51: D587–D592. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac963

138.   Sacoman JL, Hollingsworth RI. Synthesis and evaluation of an N-acetylglucosamine biosynthesis inhibitor. Carbohydr Res. 2011;346: 2294–2299. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2011.07.004

139.   Wilmes M, Meier K, Schiefer A, Josten M, Otten CF, Klöckner A, et al. AmiD Is a Novel Peptidoglycan Amidase in Wolbachia Endosymbionts of Drosophila melanogaster. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2017;7: 353. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00353

140. Caragata EP, Rancès E, O'Neill SL, McGraw EA. Competition for amino acids between Wolbachia and the mosquito host, Aedes aegypti. Microb Ecol. 2014;67: 205–218. doi:10.1007/s00248-013-0339-4

141. Jiménez NE, Gerdtzen ZP, Olivera-Nappa Á, Salgado JC, Conca C. A systems biology approach for studying Wolbachia metabolism reveals points of interaction with its host in the context of arboviral infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007678. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007678

142. Jiménez NE, Gerdtzen ZP, Olivera-Nappa Á, Salgado JC, Conca C. Novel Symbiotic Genome-Scale Model Reveals Wolbachia's Arboviral Pathogen Blocking Mechanism in Aedes aegypti. mBio. 2021;12: e01563-21. doi:10.1128/mBio.01563-21

143. White PM, Serbus LR, Debec A, Codina A, Bray W, Guichet A, et al. Reliance of Wolbachia on High Rates of Host Proteolysis Revealed by a Genome-Wide RNAi Screen of Drosophila Cells. Genetics. 2017;205: 1473–1488. doi:10.1534/genetics.116.198903

144. Dale C, Welburn SC. The endosymbionts of tsetse flies: manipulating host-parasite interactions. Int J Parasitol. 2001;31: 628–631. doi:10.1016/s0020-7519(01)00151-5

145. Dias RO, Cardoso C, Pimentel AC, Damasceno TF, Ferreira C, Terra WR. The roles of mucus-forming mucins, peritrophins and peritrophins with mucin domains in the insect midgut. Insect Mol Biol. 2018;27: 46–60. doi:10.1111/imb.12340

Supporting information

S1 Fig. MA-plots of microarray datasets. Scatter plots of M vs A for each microarray dataset, where M is the base-2 logarithm of the red/green intensity ratio of a spot, and A its average intensity. Control spots for M or A were identified according to platform descriptions and colored. Magenta: negative 3-fold change control. Blue: negative 10-fold change control. Orange: positive 3-fold change control. Red: positive 10-fold change control. Yellow: non-differentially expressed control. Purple: bright control. Gray: dark control.

S1 Table. Complete DEG sets.

S2 Table. DEG sets mapped to *D. melanogaster* orthologs. Log2 fold changes of DEGs mapped to *D.*

1107 *melanogaster* orthologs.

1108

S3 Table. Enrichment pattern of GO terms. Number of DEGs annotated to each GO term in each

upregulated (+) or downregulated (-) gene subset, and significance of the corresponding enrichment test.

'Frequency' denotes the number of upregulated or downregulated gene subsets that were enriched with a given

GO term. 'Term size' denotes the size of a given GO term in *A. aegypti*.

***: padj < 0.05

**: padj < 0.10

*: padj < 0.20

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

Serine proteases

- Clip-domain serine proteases (*CLIPA1, CLIPB1, CLIPB15, CLIPB28, CLIPB33, CLIPB37, CLIPB46, AAEL002301*)
- Other putative serine proteases (*AAEL002585, AAEL002610, AAEL002624, AAEL006136*)

Pattern recognition receptors

- Peptidoglycan binding (*PGRPS1, GNBPA1*)
- C-Type lectins (*CTL15, CTL24, CTLGA5, CTLMA14*)
- Leucin-rich proteins (*LRIM1, AAEL007778*)

Antimicrobial effectors

- Defensin (*DEFC*)
- Transferrin (*Tf1*)
- C-Type lysozyme (*LYSC11*)

Other genes

- Farnesoic acid O-methyl transferase (*AAEL004667*)
- Flavin-containing monooxygenase (*AAEL000834*)
- Carboxylic ester hydrolase (*AAEL008757*)
- Uncharacterized (*AAEL001271, AAEL001508, AAEL003656, AAEL007371, AAEL008936, AAEL012577, AAEL014909, AAEL010139*)

Figure 1

Water

Embryo

w w w

Quiescent mosquito egg

(B)

Host chitin

GlcNAc

Lipid II

Wolbachia

Dividing *Wolbachia*

Figure 2