A ubiquitin-specific, proximity-based labeling approach for the identification of ubiquitin

ligase substrates

Urbi Mukhopadyay[1,*], Sophie Levantovsky[2,*], Sarah Gharbi[1], Frank Stein[3], Christian Behrends[2,4],

Sagar Bhogaraju[1,4]

Key words: ubiquitin, E3 ligase, substrate identification, BirA, RING, U box,

[1]European Molecular Biology Laboratory, 71 avenue des Martyrs, 38042, Grenoble, France

[2]Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology, Medical Faculty, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität

München, Munich, Germany

[3] Proteomics Core Facility, European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

[4]Correspondence: Christian Behrends, Christian.Behrends@mail03.med.uni-muenchen.de;

Sagar Bhogaraju, bhogaraju@embl.fr

Abstract:

Ubiquitination of proteins is central to protein homeostasis and other cellular processes including DNA repair, vesicular transport, cell-division etc. The process of ubiquitination is conserved from yeast to humans and is carried out by the sequential action of three enzymes: E1, E2 and E3. There are an estimated >600 E3 ligases in humans that execute ubiquitination of specific target proteins in a spatio-temporal manner to elicit desired signaling effects. Here, we developed a simple, proximity-based labeling method to selectively biotinylate substrates of a given ubiquitin ligase. Our method exploits the proximity and the relative orientation of the E3-ligase catalytic domain with respect to ubiquitin observed in the enzymatic intermediate-state structures of E3-E2~Ub. By fusing the biotin ligase BirA and an Avi tag variant to the candidate E3 ligase and ubiquitin, respectively, we were able to specifically enrich the *bona fide* substrates and potential new substrates of the ligase using a one-step Streptavidin pulldown under denaturing conditions. As proof-of-principle, we applied our method, which we named Ub-POD, to the RING E3 ligase Rad18. Rad18 ubiquitinates DNA-sliding clamp PCNA upon UV-induced DNA damage. We identified PCNA and several other critical players in the DNA damage repair pathway in a single Ub-POD experiment. We further discovered an unintended but important application of Ub-POD, where we were able to pin down the cellular localization of Rad18-mediated ubiquitination to the damaged DNA nuclear puncta through Streptavidin immunofluorescence. We also applied our method to TRAF6, another RING ubiquitin ligase involved in TNF signaling, successfully identifying known and potentially new substrates. Finally, we adapted our method to the U-box-type E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP to demonstrate that we can identify substrates of two major classes of mammalian ubiquitin ligases. We anticipate that our method and principle could be widely adapted to all classes of ubiquitin ligases to identify substrates and localize the cellular site(s) of ubiquitination.

Introduction:

Similar to phosphorylation, ubiquitination of proteins has been found to have a role in virtually every cellular process in which it was investigated(*1*).Ubiquitination of substrates is achieved by three enzymes, E1, E2 and E3, acting in a cascade reaction and involves the covalent attachment of the terminal carboxyl group of Ubiquitin (Ub), a 76 amino acid long polypeptide, to substrate lysine through an isopeptide bond. The human genome encodes two E1's or Ub-activating enzymes, Uba1 and Uba6, that first adenylate the terminal carboxyl group of Ub using an ATP molecule, followed by the formation of a thioester linkage between Ub C-terminus and the catalytic cysteine of E1. There are ~40 E2's or Ub-conjugating enzymes which bind to E1 and catalyze a

transthioesterification reaction, resulting in an E2~Ub thioester conjugate. It is estimated that

humans encode for >600 E3 ubiquitin ligases which confer specificity and functionality to the Ub

cascade by targeted ubiquitination of thousands of cellular substrates(*1*). E3's bind the E2~Ub

conjugate and the substrate to facilitate the transfer of Ub to target lysines of the substrate. The

Really interesting new gene (RING) family of E3 ligases comprise the majority of E3's in the cell,

with an estimated ~600 members(*2*). RING E3 ligases contain a conserved Zn^{2+}-binding RING

domain and other auxiliary domains that bind E2~Ub and substrates, respectively. The RING

domain spans ~70 residues and adopts a conserved alpha/beta topology. In RING E3-catalyzed

ubiquitination, Ub is transferred directly from the catalytic cysteine of E2 to the substrate lysine

residues. U-box E3 ligases also contain a RING-like catalytic domain that lacks the Zn^{2+}-binding

site and operate as facilitators of ubiquitination, akin to the RING E3 ligases(*3*). Homologous to

E6-AP carboxyl terminus (HECT) family comprises another major class of E3 ligase, with ~20

members in the human genome. HECT E3 ligases contain a conserved bi-lobal HECT domain

at the C-terminus of the protein. The C-lobe of the HECT domain contains an invariant cysteine

that accepts Ub from E2~Ub, before transferring the Ub to substrates. RBR (RING-between-

RING) ligases possess two RING domains, one of which contains a catalytic cysteine that

becomes transiently thioester linked to the Ub, prior to substrate ubiquitination(*4*).

Since E3 ligases are the concluding and decisive actors of all Ub signaling events; substrate

identification of a given Ub ligase is critical for gaining insights into its cellular and physiological

role. Traditional approaches of identifying protein-protein interactions, such as yeast two-hybrid

and immuno-precipitation coupled proteomics, have provided valuable resources of potential

substrates and the cellular pathways a given E3 ligase engages with(*5*). However, in most cases,

E3-substrate interactions are transient and difficult to capture by these conventional methods.

Many alternate methods have been developed to identify E3 ligase substrates and are reviewed

here(*6, 7*) in detail. A few such notable approaches are introduced here to provide context for the

substrate identification method developed by us. One of the most powerful methods for the

identification of E3 ligase substrates uses an antibody that specifically recognizes a diGly remnant

that is characteristic of tryptic digested ubiquitinated substrates(*8*). Using quantitative comparison

of diGly peptides from cells expressing wildtype (wt) or activity deficient mutant version of E3

ligases, one can identify the substrates and also the target lysines that are modified. Global

protein stability (GPS) profiling uses the degradation profiles of the potential substrates fused to

EGFP, coupled with the genetic perturbation of the E3 ligase to identify substrates(*9, 10*). Another

approach, UBAITS uses recombinant fusing of Ub to the E3 ligase of interest to covalently trap

the ligase and its cognate substrates, as well as other interacting proteins(*11*). TULIP and TULIP2

methodologies build on the UBAITS principle and use HIS-tagged Ub-E3 ligase fusion, allowing

purification of the E3 ligase-substrate adduct in denaturing conditions(*12, 13*). E2-dID uses biotin-

labeled Ub-E2 conjugates, plus wildtype or activity deficient mutant E3 ligase of interest, added

to the whole cell lysate *in vitro* to identify potential substrates(*14*). An orthogonal ubiquitin transfer

(OUT) approach has also been developed using engineered E1, E2 enzymes and E3 ligase of

interest that do not cross-react with the endogenous ubiquitin system, thus aiming to specifically

target the substrates of the engineered ubiquitin ligase of interest(*15, 16*). Other notable methods

include using a microarray of purified human proteins in an *in vitro* assay(*17*) and a NEDDylator

approach, which uses Nedd8-E2 enzyme fused to the E3 ligase of interest(*18*). While no particular

method provides a silver bullet solution for the identification of E3 ligase substrates, each method

has been proven successful with a specific or a set of ligases and together these methods provide

an important toolbox in ubiquitin biology research.

Here we developed an approach to selectively and robustly label the substrates of a given E3

ubiquitin ligase directly in cells. Our approach relies on the conserved but transient intermediate

complexes that occur between E2~Ub and the E3 ligase in the case of RING E3 ligases and

E3~Ub that occurs during HECT E3 ligase-catalyzed ubiquitination. Based on the structural

information of E2~Ub-RING E3(*19, 20*) complexes, we tagged the ligases of our interest with the

E.coli biotin ligase BirA enzyme and ubiquitin with an acceptor peptide (AP) tag. We co-

transfected these constructs in cells, resulting in the proximity & orientation-dependent tagging of

Ub (Ub-POD) during the BirA-E3-mediated ubiquitination, leading to biotin-labeled substrates. We

first tested this strategy using two unrelated human RING E3 ligases, Rad18 and TRAF6, which

are involved in UV-induced trans-lesion DNA synthesis and TNF signaling, respectively. We could

also localize the ubiquitination mediated by Rad18 precisely in cells using Ub-POD complemented

with Straptavidin immunofluorescence. Next, we successfully employed Ub-POD to a U-box

domain containing ligase, CHIP/STUB1 which is involved in the protein quality control machinery

by recognizing misfolded proteins and targeting them for degradation. Finally, we delineate the

principles and parameters of Ub-POD to facilitate other ubiquitin researchers to adopt Ub-POD

and identify the substrates of their favorite ligases.

Results:

Concept of Ub-POD: a proximity and orientation-based labeling approach for identifying

E3 Ub ligase substrates

During Ub transfer to the target proteins, RING E3 ligases bind both substrate and E2~ubiquitin

(E2~Ub) thioester conjugate, and mediate a direct transfer of ubiquitin from the E2 to the

substrate(*21, 22*). Crystal structures of E2~Ub-RING E3 complexes have shown that RING E3s

first activate the E2~Ub thioester complex by forming a transient-intermediate complex between

E2~Ub and the RING E3 and subsequently orient the E2~Ub thioester complex with respect to

the substrate(*19*). In the UbcH5A~Ub-RNF4 complex structure reported by Plechanova *et al.*,

2012, we noted that the N terminus of the catalytic RING domain and N terminus of Ub point

towards the same orientation into solvent and come in close proximity to each other (~21 Å)

(Supplementary Figure 1A). Similarly, analysis of the HECT NEDD4L~Ub structures(*23, 24*) has

revealed that the C-terminus of the HECT ligase, which harbors the catalytic HECT domain, and

the N-terminus of Ub orient towards each other into the solvent (Supplementary Figure 1B). These

observations prompted us to develop a precise ubiquitin-specific proximity based labeling

approach to identify the substrates of an E3 ubiquitin ligase. Proximity labeling approaches such

as BioID, TurboID and AirID have been used before to identify transient protein-protein

interactions(*25–27*). These methods depend on a mutated form of BirA or other engineered biotin

ligases fused to the protein of interest (POI) to release highly reactive AMP-Biotin into the

surrounding solvent and label the "neighboring" macromolecules with biotin. To develop a

proximity-based method specifically for the ubiquitination substrates, we tagged the catalytic end

of an E3 ubiquitin ligase using the wildtype *Escherichia coli (E.coli)* biotin ligase enzyme (BirA)

and the N-terminus of Ub with BirA's "acceptor peptide" (AP)(*28*) substrate (Figure1A). The

proximity and the orientation alignment between the E3 catalytic domain and the N-terminus of

Ub in the catalytic intermediate complex will enable BirA to catalyze site specific biotinylation of

AP linked to Ub. Subsequently, the biotinylated AP-Ub is transferred onto the target proteins,

allowing them to be efficiently isolated using Streptavidin immunoprecipitation (IP) and identified

through mass spectrometry (Figure1A-B). Unlike BioID, which uses BirA*, wildtype BirA does not

ligate biotin non-specifically to all the spatially proximal proteins but only attaches biotin to the

AP-Ub that is in close proximity to E3.

Employing Ub-POD to identify substrates of the RING E3 ligase Rad18

We first tested Ub-POD for substrate identification of the RING E3 ligase Rad18. Upon UV

induced bulky DNA lesions, Rad18, in a complex with the ubiquitin conjugating enzyme Rad6,

mediates monoubiquitination of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), thereby triggering trans-

lesion synthesis of DNA(*29*). Since Rad18 contains the catalytic RING domain at the N-terminus,

we tagged the N-terminus of Rad18 with BirA to generate HA-BirA-Rad18 which we transiently

expressed along with AP-Ub in HEK-293 cells in the presence of biotin. After 24 hours, cells were

either exposed to UV or left untreated, followed by continued biotin treatment for 6 hours (Figure

1C, left panel, described in detail in the methods section). Cells were collected, lysed and the

whole cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting with anti-

Streptavidin antibody. More biotinylation occurred in cells treated with UV relative to the non-

treated cells (Figure 1C, right panel), indicating that Ub-POD can monitor the activity of cellular

Rad18 retrospectively after lysis. Importantly, introduction of mutants (Rad18 C64D) disrupting

the interface of the Rad18-Rad6 complex resulted in loss of biotinylation, indicating that the BirA

tag on its own does not notably biotinylate AP-Ub other than in the context of catalysis-induced

proximity between BirA-Rad18 and AP-Ub (Figure 1C, right panel). Prompted by the positive

outcome of the immunoblotting experiment, we next employed proteomics to identify these

biotinylated proteins, which are the possible ubiquitination substrates of Rad18. We followed

similar experimental conditions as above and the biotinylated proteins were immunoprecipitated

(Iped) using Streptavidin beads under denaturing conditions from both UV treated and untreated

cells in triplicates (see methods). Quantitative mass spectrometry analysis of the Iped fraction

was performed using TMTplex labeling. We identified PCNA as the most enriched candidate

(Figure 1D, Supplementary table 1) in the UV-treated condition, providing us with a proof of

principle that the Ub-POD method can be employed to identify the substrates of a RING ubiquitin

ligase. Intriguingly, Melanoma associated antigen 4 (MAGEA4) was found enriched in the UV

untreated condition (Figure 1D, Supplementary table 1). MAGEA4 has been recently identified as

the stabilizer of Rad18 and was also shown to be ubiquitinated by Rad18 in *in vitro* ubiquitination

experiments(*30*). Our data indicates that Rad18 likely ubiquitinates MAGEA4 when cells are not

exposed to UV but preferentially ubiquitinates PCNA post UV-exposure of the cells. We further

sought to improve the specificity and sensitivity of substrate identification using Ub-POD to

increase the number of identified proteins and to improve the enrichment of substrates.

A variant of AP tag improves the sensitivity of the Ub-POD method

Due to a relatively high intrinsic affinity of AP for BirA, protein-protein interaction (PPI)

independent biotinylation may occur in cells, giving rise to background biotinylation of free AP-Ub

that is not primed for ubiquitination by the BirA tagged Ub ligase. Fernandez Suarez *et al.,* 2008

tested multiple variants of the AP sequences for their ability to specifically get biotinylated strictly

dependent on the proximity to the BirA labeled protein(*31*). Some of these variants included the

deletion of up to three amino acids from one or both ends of AP to decrease its interaction surface

area with BirA. Based on this, we prepared two variants of AP-Ub; (-2)AP-Ub in which two N-

terminal residues from the AP were removed and AP(-3) Ub in which C-terminal 3 residues of the

AP were removed (Figure 2A). In order to identify whether these truncated AP-Ub constructs can

205 increase the specificity and sensitivity of substrate detection, we overexpressed wildtype as well

206 as truncated AP-Ub constructs in HEK-293 cells, along with HA-BirA-Rad18, followed by biotin

207 treatment and UV exposure (Figure 2B). Streptavidin immunoblotting of the cell lysates showed

208 that in the presence of UV exposure, (-2) AP-Ub showed more biotinylation compared to wildtype

209 AP-Ub. AP(-3) Ub failed to show any notable biotinylation of possible targets (Figure 2B).

210 Therefore, we chose (-2)AP-Ub and used HA-BirA/(-2)AP-Ub as a control in our next proteomics

211 experiment. Upon incorporating these new measures, the overall number of identified hits

212 increased in the proteomics analysis compared to the experiment performed with AP-Ub (Figure

213 2C, 1D, Supplementary table 2). The gene ontology (GO) annotation analysis of the Ub-POD

214 Rad18 hits highlighted base excision repair, nucleotide excision repair and DNA replication

215 processes, which are highly relevant to the role of Rad18 in UV-induced DNA damage repair

216 (Figure 2C). Among the identified hits, DNA polymerase epsilon catalytic subunit (POLE) was of

217 particular interest to us, as this is a predicted substrate of Rad18 with a high confidence score in

218 Ubibrowser(*5*, *32*). POLE is crucial for optimal leading-strand DNA synthesis and POLE-mediated

219 leading-strand replication is dependent on the sliding-clamp processivity factor PCNA(*33*).

220 However, monoubiquitination of PCNA by Rad18 upon replication-stress results in the switching

221 of replicative polymerases (POLE and POLD) with trans-lesion synthesis polymerases. A previous

222 diGLY proteomics analysis aiming to identify DNA damage-induced ubiquitination events in

223 human cells revealed that ubiquitination of POLE is upregulated upon UV exposure of cells(*34*).

224 Identification of POLE as a hit in the Ub-POD experiment (Figure 2C) indicates that POLE might

225 be a substrate of Rad18.

226 The process of ubiquitination is reversible through the action of the deubiquitinase (DUB) family

227 of enzymes(*35*, *36*). This could potentially lead to the recycling of the biotinylated (-2)AP-Ub

228 employed in the Ub-POD experiment, potentially jeopardizing the specificity and efficiency of

229 substrate identification. To understand the impact of Ub recycling on the Ub-POD method, we

230 used a nonselective, broad spectrum DUB inhibitor PR-619 (2,6-diaminopyradine-3,5-

231 bis(thiocynate)) that efficiently silences four of the five DUB families(*37*). We treated the HA-BirA-

232 Rad18/ (-2)AP-Ub transfected cells with 10 uM of PR619, 2 hours post UV exposure. Proteomics

233 analysis showed that PR619 treatment increases the efficiency of PCNA identification compared

234 to non-treated cells (Figure 2D, Supplementary table 3). PR619 treatment also increased the

235 overall number of proteins identified as hits and GO annotation analysis showed that most of the

236 identified hits are related to the process of damaged DNA binding (Figure 2D). We identified

237 several proteins which are predicted to be RAD18 substrates by the repositories such as

238 Ubibrowser(*5*), BioGRID(*38*), Reactome(*39*) and these candidates have been highlighted in

supplementary table 3. Our data indicates that a pan DUB inhibitor treatment further improves

substrate identification by Ub-POD.

Identifying the substrate(s) of a give ubiquitin ligase is crucial to delineate its function. Additionally,

visualizing where in the cell the ubiquitination of substrates is taking place is also important as it

can add cellular context to understanding the function of the ligase. A Ub-POD experiment

complemented by Streptavidin immunofluorescence can in principle reveal the cellular

localization of ubiquitinated substrate. Rad18-mediated PCNA monoubiquitination and the

following polymerase switching event take place at the UV-irradiation induced Rad18 nuclear

foci(*40*). To test if Ub-POD can visualize PCNA ubiquitination at the nuclear damaged DNA foci,

we performed double immunostaining with Streptavidin (to detect the site of the biotinylation

event) and HA antibody (to detect Rad18). Remarkably, the Streptavidin signal was observed at

the nuclear puncta only in HA-BirA-Rad18 expressing cells and not in HA-BirA expressing cells

(Figure 2E-F). Moreover, Streptavidin and HA showed co-localization at these nuclear puncta

(Figure 2G), indicating that Ub-POD can indeed visualize the cellular localization of a given E3

ligase-mediated ubiquitination reaction.

Employing Ub-POD on the RING E3 ligase, TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6)

We next sought to benchmark our approach with the well-studied RING E3 ligase, TRAF6 which

mediates K63 ubiquitin linkage types in concert with the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme

heterodimer UBE2N-UBE2V1. TRAF6 bridges tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor activation via

exogenous agents or endogenous mediators and subsequent activation of transcriptional

responses via NF-κB and MAPK pathways(*41, 42*).

We transiently co-transfected HEK-293 cells with (-2)AP-Ub and N-terminally tagged HA-BirA-

TRAF6 or HA-BirA for 24 h, and subsequently treated the cells with biotin for 15 min. To stimulate

TRAF6 dimerization and thereby activation, cells were additionally treated with TNF-α (Figure

3A). Analysis via immunoblotting confirmed the capability of HA-BirA-TRAF6 to biotinylate

potential substrate proteins in the presence of biotin, in contrast to expression of HA-BirA alone

(Figure 3B). Interestingly, downstream phosphorylation of NF-κB was already induced by HA-

BirA-TRAF6 overexpression alone, without TNF-α treatment (Figure 3B). Consistently,

biotinylation levels in HA-BirA-TRAF6 expressing cells are similar with or without TNF-α

treatment, indicating at least for the purpose of this Ub-POD experiment, HA-BirA-TRAF6

overexpression alone could be used to identify TRAF6 substrates.

We proceeded with these samples to the Streptavidin pulldown followed by on-beads tryptic

digestion and MS analysis. Across the different conditions, quadruplicate samples showed high

Pearson correlation (r ≥ 0.928). In total, we identified 2,145 proteins of which 1,331 proteins

passed our filtering criteria (See methods). 503 proteins were significantly enriched in HA-BirA-

TRAF6 expressing cells compared to HA-BirA control expressing cells. 231 of these proteins

reached the threshold of FDR <0.05 and fold change >1 (Figure 3C). GO annotation analysis of

proteins reaching the threshold FDR<0.05 and FC >1 (231 proteins) unveiled shared protein

motifs, such as E3 ligase domains (RING and HECT) and ubiquitin-interacting motifs (UIM).

Interestingly, positively regulated hits showed specific enrichment of proteins involved in immune

response pathways against bacterial and viral infection, including Toll-like receptor and NF-κB

signaling pathway, amongst others, which gives us confidence in the robustness of our approach

even in non-immune cell types such as HEK-293 cells (*42*, *43*) (Figure 3C, right panel).

TRAF6 is the strongest regulated hit due to its autoubiquitination in the active and oligomerized

state. Furthermore, our approach enabled the specific enrichment of bona fide TRAF6 substrates

(IKBKG, IRAK1, MAP3K7, HK2)(*42–45*) (Figure 3C, left panel, Supplementary table 4).

Interestingly, we also enriched for the deubiquitinating enzyme complex (BRISC), which is specific

for lysine 63-linked ubiquitin hydrolysis(*46*). Furthermore, strongly regulated hits are VHS-domain

containing and Ub-binding proteins located at endosomes (STAM, HGS, TOM1), which are

involved in receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)-mediated endocytosis(*47*).

Similar results were obtained by statistical analysis of HA-BirA-TRAF6 treated with TNF-α versus

HA-BirA control, supporting the hypothesis that additional TNF-α stimulation does not result in an

increase in biotinylation of candidate substrates (Supplementary Figure 2A, Supplementary table

5). Comparing TNF-α treated versus mock treated HA-BirA-TRAF6 expressing cells does not

enrich for known TRAF6 targets and no significant hits reach significance with false-discovery

rate <0.05 (Supplementary Figure 2B, Supplementary table 6).

Employing Ub-POD to identify substrates of U-box domain containing E3 Ubiquitin ligase,

CHIP/STUB1

Next, we asked if Ub-POD can be applied to a U-box domain containing Ub ligase. The U-box

domain adopts the same fold as the RING domain but lacks the Zn^{2+} coordination residues which

are replaced by a tight hydrogen bonding network, contributing to the integrity of the catalytic

domain structure(*48*, *49*). CHIP (carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein) which is also

called STUB1 (STIP1 homology and U box-containing protein 1) is a U-box domain containing Ub

ligase that functions as a key regulator of the protein quality control machinery. CHIP binds the

molecular chaperones Hsc70, Hsp70 and HSP90 through its N terminal tetratricopeptide repeat

(TPR) domain and facilitates the polyubiquitination of misfolded client proteins via its C-terminal

catalytic U-box(*50–52*). We employed Ub-POD on the CHIP ligase for benchmarking the

approach for a U-box E3 ligase and to possibly identify new substrates of CHIP. In line with the

concept of Ub-POD, we prepared a CHIP construct where BirA is tagged to the C-terminus of

CHIP (CHIP-BirA-HA), since the catalytic U-box domain of CHIP is located at the extreme C-

terminus of the protein (Figure 4A). We also designed another construct in which we inserted a

GSGS linker between the catalytic U-box domain of CHIP and BirA (CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA)

(Figure 4A), under the assumption that providing more degrees of freedom for BirA improves BirA-

mediated site specific biotinylation of (-2)AP-Ub and thus leads to more efficient target protein

biotinylation. CHIP-BirA-HA or CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA were transiently expressed in HEK-293

cells, along with (-2)AP-Ub. As CHIP directs target proteins for proteasomal degradation, we

treated cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 to preserve the substrates for the duration of

the experiment. Cells not treated with MG132 were taken as controls in our western blotting

experiments. Streptavidin immunoblotting showed that in presence of MG132, both CHIP-BirA-

HA and CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA had enrichment of ubiquitinated substrates compared to the

MG132 untreated counterparts (Figure 4B). Interestingly, CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA showed more

biotinylation compared to CHIP-BirA-HA, suggesting that the linker improves efficiency of

biotinylation of potential substrates, at least in the case of CHIP (Figure 4B). Since the structure

of CHIP in complex with E2 is known(*53*), we designed a mutant of CHIP (I235DF237D) that is

deficient in binding to E2 as a negative control (Figure 4A-B). As expected, the biotinylation is

notably lower in the condition where CHIP (I235DF237D)-BirA-HA is transfected compared to

wildtype CHIP-BirA-HA. We chose CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA for the identification of potential

substrates through proteomics. We did not induce proteotoxicity in this experiment, since we

sought to identify substrates that get ubiquitinated by CHIP under basal conditions. Hypoxia

inducible transcription factor, HIF1A, a very well-known substrate of CHIP(*54*), was specifically

identified in conditions where CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA is expressed (Figure 4C, supplementary table

7). In addition, CHIP is reported to tag proteasomal subunits with polyubiquitin chains and target

them to aggresomes(*55*). In agreement with this, several proteasomal subunit proteins were also

identified as hits in the proteomics analysis (supplementary table 7). Since CHIP is involved in

several different cellular processes apart from protein quality control(*56*), we wondered whether

we could identify additional substrates of CHIP by temporally controlling the exposure of biotin to

CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA expressing cells. Therefore, instead of exposing the cells to biotin

immediately after transfection, we added biotin to cells 24h after the transfection, along with

MG132 (Supplementary Figure 3A). Interestingly, two other known substrates of CHIP, SQSTM1

(also known as p62)(*57*) and SOD2(*58*) were identified (Supplementary Figure 3B,

Supplementary table 8) with this short biotin exposure. This change in substrate identification

profile depending on the duration of biotin pulse could be due to the different half-lives of various

substrates of the CHIP Ub ligase. GO annotation analysis of hits identified in both biotin treatment

regimes, however, highlighted similar processes relevant to known functions of CHIP, such as

ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis, pathways of neurodegeneration, and protein processing in the

endoplasmic reticulum (Figure 4C; Supplementary Figure 3B, lower panel). Applying Ub-POD to

CHIP demonstrated that a flexible linker between BirA and the candidate Ub ligase can improve

the identification of substrates and that biotin exposure times may need to be optimized for a

given Ub ligase to identify different sets of substrates.

As observed for Rad18 (Figure 2D), PR619 treatment improved the efficiency of substrate

identification for CHIP. CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA transfected cells were treated with 10 µM of PR619,

2 hours post MG132 treatment (10 µM) . Proteomics analysis showed that compared to MG132

treatment alone (Figure 4C), MG132 and PR619 co-treatment not only increased the overall

number of identified targets and the fold change of identified hits (Figure 4D), but also resulted in

the identification of more known substrates of CHIP, such as CTNNB1(*15*) and HSPA4(*59*)

(Supplementary table 9,10).

Next, we compared the putative CHIP substrates identified by Ub-POD to the ones previously

identified through an orthogonal ubiquitin transfer (OUT) screen(*15*). Among the 311 potential

CHIP substrates identified by Ub-POD, 30 proteins overlapped with the 226 putative CHIP

substrates found in the OUT screen (Supplementary Figure 3C; Supplementary table 11). Of

these 30 overlapping proteins, only SQSTM1/p62 and CTNNB1 were already known substrates

of CHIP. However, two other well-known substrates of CHIP, SOD2 and HIF1, which were

identified by Ub-POD, were not found in the OUT screen for CHIP.

Moreover, Annexin 5 (ANXA5), a member of the annexin superfamily of proteins, was identified

by Ub-POD (in different biotin exposure regimes) as well as by OUT screen. Annexins are a family

of cytosolic proteins that translocate to the plasma membrane in a calcium-dependent manner

and thus regulates actin cytoskeleton dynamics(*60*). Intriguingly, a previous report found that

ANXA5 is upregulated in CHIP KO cortical neurons compared to CHIP expressing neurons(*61*).

Based on this, we hypothesize that ANXA5 is a possible substrate of CHIP ligase.

Discussion

Here, we developed a new approach to effectively label the substrates of a given ubiquitin

ligase with biotin directly in cells and identify them using quantitative mass spectrometry. It is

worth mentioning that our approach has important differences from the traditional proximity

labeling approaches such as BioID and TurboID, which have been successfully employed in a
number of studies to identify interacting partners of a protein of interest (POI)(*25*, *26*). The BioID
method involves the expression of the protein of interest tagged with a mutant of BirA, called BirA*
or BioID, which bears the mutation R118G in the active site of the enzyme. BirA* releases highly
reactive biotin-AMP conjugate into solvent which can label any protein within the spherical radius
of ~10nm, thus providing a clear picture of the interactors and the environment of the POI.
However, BioID does not differentiated between the direct interactor and any other protein within
the vicinity of the POI. In the context of E3 ligases, BioID would also not be able to differentiate
between the substrate of the ligase and an interactor of the ligase. We introduced two essential
tweaks into the principle of BioID to make it selective for ubiquitin (Ub). Firstly, we fused the
wildtype BirA enzyme, which can only efficiently biotinylate the lysine present in the acceptor
peptide (AP) or Avi tag, to the E3 ligase of interest. Secondly, we attached a variant of AP tag ((-
2)AP) to the N-terminus of Ub to be selectively biotinylated by wildtype BirA in a proximity-
dependent manner in the course of substrate ubiquitination (Figure 1A-B). Ub-POD also works
based on the location of the catalytic domain in the E3 ligase, since according to the catalytic
intermediate complex structures(*19*, *23*, *24*, *62*) (Supplementary Figure 1A, 1B), fusion of BirA to
the opposite end of the protein relative to the catalytic domain likely places it too far from the (-
2)AP-Ub~E2. For efficient biotinylation, we fused BirA to the N-terminus of Rad18, which
possesses a RING domain at the N-terminus, and to the C-terminus of CHIP, which contains U-
box domain at the C-terminus. We expect that these tagging principles are widely applicable to
other E3 ligases as well. Intriguingly, we also observed that the linker between the BirA and the
E3 ligase also plays an important role in determining the efficiency of the Ub-POD. By increasing
the linker length in the case of CHIP, we were able to increase the biotinylation (Figure 4).
Furthermore, we discovered that both the timing of biotin addition to the cells relative to the
expression of the BirA tagged ligase and the duration of biotin exposure can also be important
parameters that can be varied, depending on the E3 ligase of interest (as is the case of CHIP,
Figure 4, Supplementary figure 3), to allow the detection of different sets of substrates for a given
E3 ligase.

The primary condition for the success of Ub-POD for a given ubiquitin ligase is that the
ligase-mediated substrate ubiquitination should occur and be preserved until isolation in the cell
line of choice and under the conditions employed. Use of appropriate triggers, such as UV for
Rad18, should be considered for activating ligases. For preserving the ubiquitination and to
prevent Ub recycling, the use of MG132 and/or PR619 or other similar agents should be
considered. For substrate identification through proteomics, we primarily expressed HA-BirA

alone without the candidate ligase fusion as a control. The successful use of HA-BirA as a control
for multiple ligases here also implies that it could serve as an efficient control, in principle, for
other RING or U-Box E3 ligases. Where known, point mutants of ligases lacking the catalytic
activity can also be used as controls. To summarize these general principles of Ub-POD, we
prepared a simple flow chart that should guide future Ub-POD experiments targeting various E3
ligases (Figure 5).

Several available methods can also be employed to identify the substrates of ubiquitin
ligases(*6*, *7*). Although the gold standard methods, such as diGly and GPS profiling (specific for
degradative ubiquitination), are very effective in the identification of ligase substrates, they are
resource intensive and require acquired expertise to employ(*8–10*). Simpler methods similar to
Ub-POD that trap the substrate of ubiquitin ligases also exist, such as UBAIT or TULIP, which
depend on the fusion of Ub to the ligase to covalently trap the ligase-substrate complex(*11*, *13*).
These methods depend on the ability of the ligase to use the Ub-ligase fusion, which is quite bulky
compared to Ub alone, in the Ub-cascade reaction. Any laboratory with access to basic tissue
culture and a mass spectrometry facility would be able to employ Ub-POD. Moreover, the (-2) AP-
tag on Ub is very small compared to the Ub-ligase fusion employed in UBAIT and related
approaches. Ub-POD also enables the isolation of potential substrates in harsh denaturing
conditions which extracts proteins residing in typically insoluble cellular fractions and also limits
the number of false positive hits. Finally, Ub-POD provides a unique opportunity to visualize the
cellular localization of ubiquitination by a ligase of interest, offering a peek into the cellular function
of the ligase.

In the current study, we demonstrated the use of Ub-POD on two classes of E3 ligases, RING
and U-box. RING-type E3 ligases comprise the majority of ubiquitin ligases in humans and using
the principles described in this discussion and Figure 5, it could be possible to apply Ub-POD for
substrate identification of all of ~600 RING E3 ligases. Although not part of this study, we predict
that Ub-POD can be employed for HECT or RBR E3 ligases, which also operate on principles of
complexing with Ub before the transfer of Ub to the substrate. In the case of HECT or RBR E3
ligases, the catalytic cysteine that forms a covalent thioester intermediate with Ub could be
mutated to alanine to serve as an ideal control for the proteomics experiment. Ubiquitin-like
molecules (UBLs) such as SUMO, NEDD8, FAT10, ISG15 and UFM1 are also conjugated to
proteins in a similar fashion to Ub, using a near-identical cascade of enzymatic reactions(*63*). By
tagging the respective ligases with BirA and UBL with (-2)AP tag, Ub-POD can in principle be
adapted to other UBLs as well. In light of the demonstrated applications and possible other
applications discussed here, Ub-POD likely will play an important role in future ubiquitin research.

Figure legends

Figure 1: Employing Ub-POD to identify substrates of RING E3 ligase Rad18

A) Structural model of ubiquitin conjugating enzyme-E2 (yellow), ubiquitin ligase-E3 (RING)

(green) and ubiquitin (red) in complex. Placing AP tag at the N terminus of Ub and BirA at the

catalytic end of RING-E3 ligase bring them in proximity (21Å) to each other.

B) Schematic representation of the Ub-POD method.

C) (left panel) Rad18-Ub-POD experimental details with constructs used and inducer treatment

regimes; (right panel) HEK-293 cells were transfected with either wt-HA-BirA-Rad18 or HA-BirA-

Rad18(C64D), alongwith AP-Ub construct and further exposed to UV (10 miliJoules/cm2) as

shown in figure 1C left panel. UV untreated cells were taken as control. Harvested cell lysates

were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

D) Volcano plot showing comparison of identified proteins between streptavidin IPed samples (n=

3 biological replicates) of wt-HA-BirA-Rad18 expressing HEK-293 cells in absence or presence

of UV exposure. Hits [p value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.6] have been colored in red and known Rad18

substrates have been marked.

Figure 2: A variant of AP-tag increases the efficiency of UbPOD

A) (upper panel) Amino acid substitutions of different AP-tag variants compared to wt AP-tag;

(lower panel) Experimental detail of optimization of different AP-tag variants.

B) HA-BirA-Rad18 transfected HEK-293 cells were co-transfected with different AP tag variants.

Only AP-tag variants overexpressed HEK-293 cells were taken as controls. Both counterparts

were treated as shown in 2A, lower panel. Cell lysates prepared after indicated treatments were

subjected to SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

C) (left panel) (-2)-AP-Ub transfected HEK-293 cells were co transfected with either HA-BirA or

HA-BirA-Rad18 (in presence of 100uM biotin). After UV exposure and further biotin treatment,

streptavidin IP was done with the harvested cell lysates followed by proteomics analysis (n=3

biological replicates). Volcano plot shows the log2 fold change of altered biotinylated proteins in

the HA-BirA-Rad18[+UV] / HA-BirA[+UV] counterparts. Proteins with p value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.6

were considered as hits (red). Known as well as putative potential substrates of Rad18 has been

marked; (right panel) GO-annotation analysis was done with the identified hits. Dot size and dot

color shows the number of proteins and FDR values respectively.

D) (left panel) HEK-293 cells were transfected as described in 2C, PR619 (10uM) treatment was

done after 2 hours of UV exposure to the HA-BirA-Rad18 counterpart. Similarly, HA-BirA

transfected cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO). Proteomics analysis was done with the

streptividin-IPed samples (n=3 biological replicates) and comparison of the identified proteins in

two conditions have been represented as volcano plot [p value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.6 have been

considered as cutoffs for hits (red)] ; (right panel) GO annotation analysis has been done with the

identified hits as mentioned before (dot size and dot color shows the number of proteins and FDR

values respectively).

E) HEK-293 cells were treated as shown in the figure followed by fixation. Double immunostaining

was done with anti-HA (green) and anti-streptavidin (red) antibodies. DAPI was used to stain

nucleus (blue). Scale bar 12μm.

F) Quantification of the experiment shown in Figure 2E. Percentage of transfected cells with UV-

irradiation induced foci were counted as described in the methods for both HA-BirA and HA-BirA-

Rad18 and plotted ([****]p<0.0001) (n= 6 different fields).

G) Pearson correlation coefficient was measured to depict the colocalization between red and

green channels for HA-BirA and HA-BirA-Rad18 counterparts and represented as a bar graph ([****]

p<0.0001) (n=20 cells analysed for each condition from different fields).

Figure 3: Employing Ub-POD on the RING E3 ligase TRAF6

A) Experimental plan of Ub-POD-TRAF6

B) HEK-293 cells transiently overexpressing HA-BirA or HA-BirA-TRAF6 were subjected to Biotin

(100 μM) and TNFα treatment (25 ng/ml) for 15 min followed by RIPA lysis and analysis via

immunoblotting.

C) (left panel) Volcano plot depicting altered biotinylated proteins after enrichment via streptavidin

pulldown from HA-BirA-TRAF6 or HA-BirA expressing HEK-293 cells. Known and potential

TRAF6 substrates are highlighted. Significantly altered proteins are labeled in dark (FDR<0.05,

log2FC > I1I) and light color (FDR<0.05, 0 > I log2FC I < I1I) (two-sided t-test, n = 4 independent

experiments); (right panel) GO terms of proteins found to be significantly enriched in HA-BirA-

TRAF6 versus HA-BirA with FC ≥1. Dot size correlates to number of proteins, dot color to term

enrichment (FDR-value).

Figure 4: Employing Ub-POD to identify substrates of U-box ligase CHIP

A) CHIP-Ub-POD experimental plan

B) -(2) AP-Ub transfected HEK-293 cells were co-transfected with HA-BirA/ CHIP-BirA-HA/ CHIP-

GSGS-BirA-HA/ CHIP (I235DF237D)-BirA-HA in presence of biotin. Next day, cells were treated

with MG132 (10uM) and biotin (100uM). After 6 hours, cells were lysed followed by SDS-PAGE

and immunoblotting.

C) (left panel) HEK-293 cells were transfected with either HA-BirA or CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA,

alongwith -(2) AP-Ub, and treated with MG132 as shown in figure 4A. Streptavidin IP was done

with the harvested cell lysates. Comparison of identified biotinylated proteins from streptavidin

IPed samples of CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA/ HA-BirA has been represented as volcano plot (n=3

biological replicates; p value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.6 have been colored red and considered as hits);

(right panel) GO annotation analysis shows the highlighted biological processes related to

identified hits in 4C left panel (dot size= no. of genes; dot color=FDR value).

D) HEK-293 cells were transfected with HA-BirA or CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA as described in figure

4C. Next day, after 2 hours of MG132 (10uM) and biotin (100uM) treatment, the CHIP-GSGS-

BirA-HA and HA-BirA counterparts were further treated with PR619 (10uM) and vehicle (DMSO),

respectively, and incubated for 4 more hours. Streptavidin IP was done with the harvested cell

lysates followed by proteomics analysis. log2 fold change of identified proteins in CHIP-GSGS-

BirA-HA/HA-BirA has been represented as volcano plot (n=3 biological replicates; p value < 0.05,

log2FC > 0.6 have been colored red and considered as hits); (right panel) Identified GO-

annotation terms related to identified hits in the proteomics analysis has been represented (dot

size= no. of genes; dot colour=FDR value).

Supplementary Figure 1:

A) Structural model of RING E3 ligase (green) in complex with ubiquitin conjugating enzyme E2

(yellow) and ubiquitin (red). The distance between N terminus of Ub and catalytic RING domain

has been shown.

B) Structural model of HECT-E3-ligase (green) in complex with Ub (purple) showing the distance

between the catalytic HECT domain of HECT-E3 ligase and N terminus of Ub.

Supplementary Figure 2:

A) Volcano plot of biotinylated proteins after enrichment via streptavidin pulldown in HA-BirA-

TRAF6 expressing HEK-293 cells treated with 25 ng/ml TNFα for 15 min versus mock-treated

HA-BirA expressing HEK-293 cells. Known and potential TRAF6 substrates are highlighted.

Significantly altered proteins are labeled in dark (FDR<0.05, log2FC > I1I) and light color

(FDR<0.05, 0 > I log2FC I < I1I) (two-sided t-test, n = 4 independent experiments).

B) Volcano plot depicting differentially enriched biotinylated proteins between TNFα (25 ng/ml, 15

min) and mock treated HA-BirA-TRAF6 expressing HEK-293 cells, respectively. Known and

potential TRAF6 substrates are labeled in black, proteins with p-value<0.05, log2FC >1 are

highlighted. Dark colors highlight protein groups with p-value, log2FC > I1I and light colors with

p-value<0.05, 0 > I log2FC I < I1I (two-sided t-test, n = 4 independent experiments).

Supplementary figure 3:

A) Experimental plan of shorter biotin treatment regime tested for CHIP-UbPOD.

B) (upper panel) HEK-293 cells were treated as described in the plan in supplementary figure 3A.

Volcano plot shows the altered biotinylated proteins from CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA/ HA-BirA

counterparts (n=3 biological replicates; p value < 0.05, log2FC > 0.6 are the cutoffs for hits (red));

(lower panel) Highlighted GO-annotation terms for the enriched proteins in CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA

counterpart compared to HA-BirA control have been shown (dot size= no. of genes; dot color=

FDR value).

C) Venn diagram showing overlap of identified proteins in proteomics analysis of CHIP-Ub-POD

[Figure 4B (-DUB inhibitor), 4C (+DUB inhibitor) and supplementary figure 3B (shorter biotin

exposure)] with CHIP-OUT screen (*15*).

Supplementary tables:

Table S1: Proteomics for HA-BirA-Rad18 [+UV]/HA-BirA-Rad18 [-UV], Figure 1D.

Table S2: Proteomics for HA-BirA-Rad18 [+UV] / HA-BirA [+UV] , Figure 2C.

Table S3: Proteomics for HA-BirA-Rad18 [+UV+PR619] / HA-BirA [+UV] , Figure 2D.

Table S4: Proteomics for BirA-TRAF6_BirA , Figure 3C.

Table S5: Proteomics for BirA-TRAF6+TNFa_BirA , Figure S2A.

Table S6: Proteomics for BirA-TRAF6+TNFa_BirA-TRAF6 , Figure S2B.

Table S7: Proteomics for CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA [+MG132]/ HA-BirA [+MG132] , Figure 4C.

Table S8: Proteomics for CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA[+MG132]/HA-BirA[+MG132] Shorter (6h) biotin

exposure , Figure S3B.

Table S9: Proteomics for CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA [+MG132+PR619]/ HA-BirA [+MG132] , Figure

4C.

Table S10: Comparison of Log2fold change of putative CHIP substrates in absence or presence

of PR619.

Table S11: Common CHIP substrates identified by CHIP-Ub-POD and CHIP-OUT (15).

References:

1. R. J. Deshaies, C. A. P. Joazeiro, RING domain E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Annu Rev Biochem*.
78, 399–434 (2009).

2. W. Li, M. H. Bengtson, A. Ulbrich, A. Matsuda, V. A. Reddy, A. Orth, S. K. Chanda, S.
Batalov, C. A. P. Joazeiro, Genome-Wide and Functional Annotation of Human E3
Ubiquitin Ligases Identifies MULAN, a Mitochondrial E3 that Regulates the Organelle's
Dynamics and Signaling. *PLOS ONE*. **3**, e1487 (2008).

3. S. Hatakeyama, M. Yada, M. Matsumoto, N. Ishida, K. I. Nakayama, U box proteins as a
new family of ubiquitin-protein ligases. *J Biol Chem*. **276**, 33111–33120 (2001).

4. F. E. Morreale, H. Walden, Types of Ubiquitin Ligases. *Cell*. **165**, 248-248.e1 (2016).

5. X. Wang, Y. Li, M. He, X. Kong, P. Jiang, X. Liu, L. Diao, X. Zhang, H. Li, X. Ling, S. Xia, Z.
Liu, Y. Liu, C.-P. Cui, Y. Wang, L. Tang, L. Zhang, F. He, D. Li, UbiBrowser 2.0: a
comprehensive resource for proteome-wide known and predicted ubiquitin
ligase/deubiquitinase-substrate interactions in eukaryotic species. *Nucleic Acids Res*. **50**,
D719–D728 (2022).

6. M. Iconomou, D. N. Saunders, Systematic approaches to identify E3 ligase substrates.
Biochem J. **473**, 4083–4101 (2016).

7. B. Zhao, Y. C. Tsai, B. Jin, B. Wang, Y. Wang, H. Zhou, T. Carpenter, A. M. Weissman, J.
Yin, Protein Engineering in the Ubiquitin System: Tools for Discovery and Beyond.
Pharmacol Rev. **72**, 380–413 (2020).

8. W. Kim, E. J. Bennett, E. L. Huttlin, A. Guo, J. Li, A. Possemato, M. E. Sowa, R. Rad, J.
Rush, M. J. Comb, J. W. Harper, S. P. Gygi, Systematic and quantitative assessment of
the ubiquitin-modified proteome. *Mol Cell*. **44**, 325–340 (2011).

9. H.-C. S. Yen, S. J. Elledge, Identification of SCF ubiquitin ligase substrates by global protein
stability profiling. *Science*. **322**, 923–929 (2008).

10. H.-C. S. Yen, Q. Xu, D. M. Chou, Z. Zhao, S. J. Elledge, Global protein stability profiling in
mammalian cells. *Science*. **322**, 918–923 (2008).

11. H. F. O'Connor, N. Lyon, J. W. Leung, P. Agarwal, C. D. Swaim, K. M. Miller, J. M.
Huibregtse, Ubiquitin-Activated Interaction Traps (UBAITs) identify E3 ligase binding
partners. *EMBO reports*. **16**, 1699–1712 (2015).

12. R. Kumar, R. González-Prieto, Z. Xiao, M. Verlaan-de Vries, A. C. O. Vertegaal, The STUbL
RNF4 regulates protein group SUMOylation by targeting the SUMO conjugation
machinery. *Nat Commun*. **8**, 1809 (2017).

13. D. Salas-Lloret, G. Agabitini, R. González-Prieto, TULIP2: An Improved Method for the
Identification of Ubiquitin E3-Specific Targets. *Frontiers in Chemistry*. **7** (2019) (available at
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem.2019.00802).

14. G. Bakos, L. Yu, I. A. Gak, T. I. Roumeliotis, D. Liakopoulos, J. S. Choudhary, J. Mansfeld,
An E2-ubiquitin thioester-driven approach to identify substrates modified with ubiquitin and
ubiquitin-like molecules. *Nat Commun*. **9**, 4776 (2018).

15. K. Bhuripanyo, Y. Wang, X. Liu, L. Zhou, R. Liu, D. Duong, B. Zhao, Y. Bi, H. Zhou, G.
Chen, N. T. Seyfried, W. J. Chazin, H. Kiyokawa, J. Yin, Identifying the substrate proteins
of U-box E3s E4B and CHIP by orthogonal ubiquitin transfer. *Sci Adv*. **4**, e1701393 (2018).

16. Y. Wang, X. Liu, L. Zhou, D. Duong, K. Bhuripanyo, B. Zhao, H. Zhou, R. Liu, Y. Bi, H.
Kiyokawa, J. Yin, Identifying the ubiquitination targets of E6AP by orthogonal ubiquitin
transfer. *Nat Commun*. **8**, 2232 (2017).

17. R. Gupta, B. Kus, C. Fladd, J. Wasmuth, R. Tonikian, S. Sidhu, N. J. Krogan, J. Parkinson,
D. Rotin, Ubiquitination screen using protein microarrays for comprehensive identification
of Rsp5 substrates in yeast. *Mol Syst Biol*. **3**, 116 (2007).

18. M. Zhuang, S. Guan, H. Wang, A. L. Burlingame, J. A. Wells, Substrates of IAP ubiquitin
ligases identified with a designed orthogonal E3 ligase, the NEDDylator. *Mol Cell*. **49**, 273–
282 (2013).

19. A. Plechanovová, E. G. Jaffray, M. H. Tatham, J. H. Naismith, R. T. Hay, Structure of a
RING E3 ligase and ubiquitin-loaded E2 primed for catalysis. *Nature*. **489**, 115–120 (2012).

20. E. Branigan, A. Plechanovová, E. G. Jaffray, J. H. Naismith, R. T. Hay, Structural basis for
the RING-catalyzed synthesis of K63-linked ubiquitin chains. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. **22**, 597–
602 (2015).

21. K. L. Lorick, J. P. Jensen, S. Fang, A. M. Ong, S. Hatakeyama, A. M. Weissman, RING
fingers mediate ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2)-dependent ubiquitination. *Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A*. **96**, 11364–11369 (1999).

22. P. D. Mace, K. Linke, R. Feltham, F.-R. Schumacher, C. A. Smith, D. L. Vaux, J. Silke, C. L.
Day, Structures of the cIAP2 RING domain reveal conformational changes associated with
ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2) recruitment. *J Biol Chem*. **283**, 31633–31640 (2008).

23. H. B. Kamadurai, Y. Qiu, A. Deng, J. S. Harrison, C. MacDonald, M. Actis, P. Rodrigues, D.
J. Miller, J. Souphron, S. M. Lewis, I. Kurinov, N. Fujii, M. Hammel, R. Piper, B. Kuhlman,
B. A. Schulman, Mechanism of ubiquitin ligation and lysine prioritization by a HECT E3.
eLife. **2**, e00828 (2013).

24. E. Maspero, E. Valentini, S. Mari, V. Cecatiello, P. Soffientini, S. Pasqualato, S. Polo,
Structure of a ubiquitin-loaded HECT ligase reveals the molecular basis for catalytic
priming. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. **20**, 696–701 (2013).

25. K. F. Cho, T. C. Branon, N. D. Udeshi, S. A. Myers, S. A. Carr, A. Y. Ting, Proximity labeling
in mammalian cells with TurboID and split-TurboID. *Nat Protoc*. **15**, 3971–3999 (2020).

26. K. J. Roux, D. I. Kim, M. Raida, B. Burke, A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein
identifies proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol*. **196**, 801–810
(2012).

27. K. Kido, S. Yamanaka, S. Nakano, K. Motani, S. Shinohara, A. Nozawa, H. Kosako, S. Ito,
T. Sawasaki, AirID, a novel proximity biotinylation enzyme, for analysis of protein–protein
interactions. *eLife*. **9**, e54983 (2020).

28. D. Beckett, E. Kovaleva, P. J. Schatz, A minimal peptide substrate in biotin holoenzyme
synthetase-catalyzed biotinylation. *Protein Sci.* **8**, 921–929 (1999).

29. C. Hoege, B. Pfander, G.-L. Moldovan, G. Pyrowolakis, S. Jentsch, RAD6-dependent DNA
repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. *Nature.* **419**, 135–141
(2002).

30. Y. Gao, E. Mutter-Rottmayer, A. M. Greenwalt, D. Goldfarb, F. Yan, Y. Yang, R. C.
Martinez-Chacin, K. H. Pearce, S. Tateishi, M. B. Major, C. Vaziri, A neomorphic cancer
cell-specific role of MAGE-A4 in trans-lesion synthesis. *Nat Commun.* **7**, 12105 (2016).

31. M. Fernández-Suárez, T. S. Chen, A. Y. Ting, Protein−Protein Interaction Detection in Vitro
and in Cells by Proximity Biotinylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 9251–9253 (2008).

32. Y. Li, P. Xie, L. Lu, J. Wang, L. Diao, Z. Liu, F. Guo, Y. He, Y. Liu, Q. Huang, H. Liang, D. Li,
F. He, An integrated bioinformatics platform for investigating the human E3 ubiquitin
ligase-substrate interaction network. *Nat Commun.* **8**, 347 (2017).

33. Y. Baris, M. R. G. Taylor, V. Aria, J. T. P. Yeeles, Fast and efficient DNA replication with
purified human proteins. *Nature.* **606**, 204–210 (2022).

34. L. K. Povlsen, P. Beli, S. A. Wagner, S. L. Poulsen, K. B. Sylvestersen, J. W. Poulsen, M. L.
Nielsen, S. Bekker-Jensen, N. Mailand, C. Choudhary, Systems-wide analysis of
ubiquitylation dynamics reveals a key role for PAF15 ubiquitylation in DNA-damage
bypass. *Nat Cell Biol.* **14**, 1089–1098 (2012).

35. S. M. B. Nijman, M. P. A. Luna-Vargas, A. Velds, T. R. Brummelkamp, A. M. G. Dirac, T. K.
Sixma, R. Bernards, A genomic and functional inventory of deubiquitinating enzymes. *Cell.*
123, 773–786 (2005).

36. M. Hu, P. Li, L. Song, P. D. Jeffrey, T. A. Chenova, K. D. Wilkinson, R. E. Cohen, Y. Shi,
Structure and mechanisms of the proteasome-associated deubiquitinating enzyme USP14.
EMBO J. **24**, 3747–3756 (2005).

37. M. Altun, H. B. Kramer, L. I. Willems, J. L. McDermott, C. A. Leach, S. J. Goldenberg, K. G.
S. Kumar, R. Konietzny, R. Fischer, E. Kogan, M. M. Mackeen, J. McGouran, S. V.
Khoronenkova, J. L. Parsons, G. L. Dianov, B. Nicholson, B. M. Kessler, Activity-based
chemical proteomics accelerates inhibitor development for deubiquitylating enzymes.
Chem Biol. **18**, 1401–1412 (2011).

38. R. Oughtred, J. Rust, C. Chang, B. Breitkreutz, C. Stark, A. Willems, L. Boucher, G. Leung,
N. Kolas, F. Zhang, S. Dolma, J. Coulombe-Huntington, A. Chatr-aryamontri, K. Dolinski,
M. Tyers, The BioGRID database: A comprehensive biomedical resource of curated
protein, genetic, and chemical interactions. *Protein Sci.* **30**, 187–200 (2021).

39. A. Fabregat, K. Sidiropoulos, G. Viteri, O. Forner, P. Marin-Garcia, V. Arnau, P.
D'Eustachio, L. Stein, H. Hermjakob, Reactome pathway analysis: a high-performance in-
memory approach. *BMC Bioinformatics.* **18**, 142 (2017).

40. K. Watanabe, S. Tateishi, M. Kawasuji, T. Tsurimoto, H. Inoue, M. Yamaizumi, Rad18
guides poleta to replication stalling sites through physical interaction and PCNA
monoubiquitination. *EMBO J*. **23**, 3886–3896 (2004).

41. T. Dainichi, R. Matsumoto, A. Mostafa, K. Kabashima, Immune Control by TRAF6-Mediated
Pathways of Epithelial Cells in the EIME (Epithelial Immune Microenvironment). *Front*
Immunol. **10**, 1107 (2019).

42. L. Deng, C. Wang, E. Spencer, L. Yang, A. Braun, J. You, C. Slaughter, C. Pickart, Z. J.
Chen, Activation of the IkappaB kinase complex by TRAF6 requires a dimeric ubiquitin-
conjugating enzyme complex and a unique polyubiquitin chain. *Cell*. **103**, 351–361 (2000).

43. B. Lamothe, A. Besse, A. D. Campos, W. K. Webster, H. Wu, B. G. Darnay, Site-specific
Lys-63-linked tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 auto-ubiquitination is a
critical determinant of I kappa B kinase activation. *J Biol Chem*. **282**, 4102–4112 (2007).

44. Z.-P. Xia, L. Sun, X. Chen, G. Pineda, X. Jiang, A. Adhikari, W. Zeng, Z. J. Chen, Direct
activation of protein kinases by unanchored polyubiquitin chains. *Nature*. **461**, 114–119
(2009).

45. D. B. Conze, C.-J. Wu, J. A. Thomas, A. Landstrom, J. D. Ashwell, Lys63-Linked
Polyubiquitination of IRAK-1 Is Required for Interleukin-1 Receptor- and Toll-Like
Receptor-Mediated NF-κB Activation. *Mol Cell Biol*. **28**, 3538–3547 (2008).

46. K. Yan, L. Li, X. Wang, R. Hong, Y. Zhang, H. Yang, M. Lin, S. Zhang, Q. He, D. Zheng, J.
Tang, Y. Yin, G. Shao, The deubiquitinating enzyme complex BRISC is required for proper
mitotic spindle assembly in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol*. **210**, 209–224 (2015).

47. H. Chanut-Delalande, A. C. Jung, M. M. Baer, L. Lin, F. Payre, M. Affolter, The Hrs/Stam
Complex Acts as a Positive and Negative Regulator of RTK Signaling during Drosophila
Development. *PLOS ONE*. **5**, e10245 (2010).

48. L. Aravind, E. V. Koonin, The U box is a modified RING finger - a common domain in
ubiquitination. *Curr Biol*. **10**, R132-134 (2000).

49. M. D. Ohi, C. W. Vander Kooi, J. A. Rosenberg, W. J. Chazin, K. L. Gould, Structural
insights into the U-box, a domain associated with multi-ubiquitination. *Nat Struct Biol*. **10**,
250–255 (2003).

50. C. A. Ballinger, P. Connell, Y. Wu, Z. Hu, L. J. Thompson, L. Y. Yin, C. Patterson,
Identification of CHIP, a novel tetratricopeptide repeat-containing protein that interacts with
heat shock proteins and negatively regulates chaperone functions. *Mol Cell Biol*. **19**, 4535–
4545 (1999).

51. P. Connell, C. A. Ballinger, J. Jiang, Y. Wu, L. J. Thompson, J. Höhfeld, C. Patterson, The
co-chaperone CHIP regulates protein triage decisions mediated by heat-shock proteins.
Nat Cell Biol. **3**, 93–96 (2001).

52. S. Murata, Y. Minami, M. Minami, T. Chiba, K. Tanaka, CHIP is a chaperone-dependent E3
ligase that ubiquitylates unfolded protein. *EMBO Rep*. **2**, 1133–1138 (2001).

53. M. Zhang, M. Windheim, S. M. Roe, M. Peggie, P. Cohen, C. Prodromou, L. H. Pearl, Chaperoned Ubiquitylation—Crystal Structures of the CHIP U Box E3 Ubiquitin Ligase and a CHIP-Ubc13-Uev1a Complex. *Molecular Cell*. **20**, 525–538 (2005).

54. J. V. Ferreira, H. Fôfo, E. Bejarano, C. F. Bento, J. S. Ramalho, H. Girão, P. Pereira, STUB1/CHIP is required for HIF1A degradation by chaperone-mediated autophagy. *Autophagy*. **9**, 1349–1366 (2013).

55. W. H. Choi, Y. Yun, S. Park, J. H. Jeon, J. Lee, J. H. Lee, S.-A. Yang, N.-K. Kim, C. H. Jung, Y. T. Kwon, D. Han, S. M. Lim, M. J. Lee, Aggresomal sequestration and STUB1-mediated ubiquitylation during mammalian proteaphagy of inhibited proteasomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **117**, 19190–19200 (2020).

56. T. Wang, W. Wang, Q. Wang, R. Xie, A. Landay, D. Chen, The E3 ubiquitin ligase CHIP in normal cell function and in disease conditions. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. **1460**, 3–10 (2020).

57. W. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Shi, T. Xiang, J. Xie, E3 ubiquitin ligase STUB1 affects the mTORC1 pathway through p62 and participates in regulating the differentiation of follicular helper T cells in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Immunol*. **255**, 109736 (2023).

58. S. Zemanovic, M. V. Ivanov, L. V. Ivanova, A. Bhatnagar, T. Michalkiewicz, R.-J. Teng, S. Kumar, R. Rathore, K. A. Pritchard, G. G. Konduri, A. J. Afolayan, Dynamic Phosphorylation of the C Terminus of Hsp70 Regulates the Mitochondrial Import of SOD2 and Redox Balance. *Cell Rep*. **25**, 2605-2616.e7 (2018).

59. S.-B. Qian, L. Waldron, N. Choudhary, R. E. Klevit, W. J. Chazin, C. Patterson, Engineering a ubiquitin ligase reveals conformational flexibility required for ubiquitin transfer. *J Biol Chem*. **284**, 26797–26802 (2009).

60. E. Tzima, P. J. Trotter, M. A. Orchard, J. H. Walker, Annexin V relocates to the platelet cytoskeleton upon activation and binds to a specific isoform of actin. *Eur J Biochem*. **267**, 4720–4730 (2000).

61. C. Dias, E. Nita, J. Faktor, A. C. Tynan, L. Hernychova, B. Vojtesek, J. Nylandsted, T. R. Hupp, T. Kunath, K. L. Ball, CHIP-dependent regulation of the actin cytoskeleton is linked to neuronal cell membrane integrity. *iScience*. **24**, 102878 (2021).

62. B. C. Lechtenberg, A. Rajput, R. Sanishvili, M. K. Dobaczewska, C. F. Ware, P. D. Mace, S. J. Riedl, Structure of a HOIP/E2~ubiquitin complex reveals RBR E3 ligase mechanism and regulation. *Nature*. **529**, 546–550 (2016).

63. L. Cappadocia, C. D. Lima, Ubiquitin-like Protein Conjugation: Structures, Chemistry, and Mechanism. *Chem. Rev.* **118**, 889–918 (2018).

64. C. S. Hughes, S. Foehr, D. A. Garfield, E. E. Furlong, L. M. Steinmetz, J. Krijgsveld, Ultrasensitive proteome analysis using paramagnetic bead technology. *Mol Syst Biol*. **10**, 757 (2014).

65. C. S. Hughes, S. Moggridge, T. Müller, P. H. Sorensen, G. B. Morin, J. Krijgsveld, Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. *Nat Protoc*. **14**, 68–85 (2019).

66. T. Werner, G. Sweetman, M. F. Savitski, T. Mathieson, M. Bantscheff, M. M. Savitski, Ion
coalescence of neutron encoded TMT 10-plex reporter ions. *Anal Chem*. **86**, 3594–3601
(2014).

67. M. Reichel, Y. Liao, M. Rettel, C. Ragan, M. Evers, A.-M. Alleaume, R. Horos, M. W.
Hentze, T. Preiss, A. A. Millar, In Planta Determination of the mRNA-Binding Proteome of
Arabidopsis Etiolated Seedlings. *Plant Cell*. **28**, 2435–2452 (2016).

68. H. Franken, T. Mathieson, D. Childs, G. M. A. Sweetman, T. Werner, I. Tögel, C. Doce, S.
Gade, M. Bantscheff, G. Drewes, F. B. M. Reinhard, W. Huber, M. M. Savitski, Thermal
proteome profiling for unbiased identification of direct and indirect drug targets using
multiplexed quantitative mass spectrometry. *Nat Protoc*. **10**, 1567–1593 (2015).

69. S. X. Ge, D. Jung, R. Yao, ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and
plants. *Bioinformatics*. **36**, 2628–2629 (2020).

Acknowledgements:

We thank the EMBL Mass spectrometry core facility, especially Mandy Rettel for the data acquisition and analysis, Martin Pelosse for training and maintenance of the EMBL eukaryotic expression facility, This work used the platforms of the Grenoble Instruct-ERIC center (ISBG; UAR 3518 CNRS-CEA-UGA-EMBL) within the Grenoble Partnership for Structural Biology (PSB), supported by FRISBI (ANR-10-INBS-0005-02) and GRAL, financed within the University Grenoble Alpes graduate school (Écoles Universitaires de Recherche) CBH-EUR-GS (ANR-17-EURE-0003). SB was supported by a grant from the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-21-CE11-0013). UM was supported by EMBO Postdoctoral Fellowship (EMBO-ALTF-185-2021). CB was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) within the frameworks of the Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (EXC 2145 SyNergy – ID 390857198 (C.B.) and the Collaborative Research Center (CRC) 1177 (ID 259130777).

Author Contributions: UM performed Ub-POD experiments with Rad18 and CHIP. SL performed Ub-POD experiments with TRAF6. SG assisted in initial Ub-POD experiments. FS analyzed the mass spectrometry data. CB conceptualized Ub-POD on TRAF6 and supervised SL. SB conceptualized the study and supervised UM. SL, CB, UM, and SB wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests:

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials and methods:

Cell culture

Human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line 293 [HEK-293] (ATCC CRL-1573) was cultured in

Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% (*v/v*) heat-inactivated Fetal

Bovine Serum (FBS; Gibco™) within humidified 5% CO_2 incubator at 37°C. The cell line used in

this study was free from mycoplasma contamination based on PCR detection.

Reagents and antibodies

All reagents used in this study are listed in Table 1 and reconstituted according to manufacturers'

instructions. Polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies used for this study are listed in Table 2 and

were used according to the manufacturers' recommended dilutions.

Reagent	Manufacturer	Catalog	Concentration and time of treatment
MG132	Calbiochem	474787	10uM for 6 hour
PR619	Tocris (Biotechne)	4482	10uM for 4 hour
Biotin	Sigma	B4639	100uM for 6hour; 100uM for~30hr

814   Table 1: List of reagents

Antibody	Catalog no.	Manufacturer
Streptavidin	926-32230 926-68079	Licor
HA	3724-S	Cell signaling
Tubulin	NB100-690SS	Bio-Techne Ltd.
Rad18	NB100-61063	Bio-Techne Ltd.
PCNA	sc-56	SantaCruz
Biotin	31852	Pierce
Phospho NF-kB	3031	Cell signalling
Calnexin	ab22595	abcam

Table 2: List of antibodies

Cloning

In order to tag the catalytic end of the candidate ligases, two vectors containing wild type E.coli
biotin ligase enzyme BirA tagged with HA [HA-BirA for tagging candidate ligases with N terminal
catalytic domain and BirA-HA for tagging candidate ligases with C terminal catalytic domain] were
made, in which the candidate E3 ligases can be cloned based on the position of the catalytic
domain. Full-length RAD18, CHIP and TRAF6 was incorporated into required BirA vector by
sequence and ligation independent cloning. A GSGS linker was placed in between the catalytic
end of candidate ligases and BirA by site directed mutagenesis (SDM). Mutant ligase constructs
(either catalytic cysteine mutants or E2-E3 interaction interface mutants) were made also by SDM.
Full length Ubiquitin was tagged at its N terminus with an avi tag (AP-Ub). To make truncated AP-
Ub constructs (-2)AP-Ub and AP(-3)-Ub, 2 and 3 amino acids from N terminus and C terminus of
the Avi tag were truncated, respectively. The list of constructs used in this study are presented in
the table 3

HA-BirA
BirA-HA
HA-BirA-Rad18
HA-BirA-Rad18-C64D
CHIP-BirA-HA
CHIP-GSGS-BirA-HA
CHIP(I235DF237A)-BirA-HA
HA-BirA-TRAF6
AP-Ub
(-2)AP-Ub
AP(-3)-Ub

Table 3:List of constructs

Transient transfection

All plasmids were transfected in HEK-293 cells with Polyethyleneimine (PEI) (Invitrogen)
according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, the cells were seeded the day before
transfection. Next day, equal amount of Avi-tagged Ub and BirA-tagged candidate ligase
constructs were mixed with PEI in a reduced serum medium, the transfection mix was incubated
at room temperature for at least 20 minutes before adding dropwise to the cells. The transfected
cells were incubated overnight at 37°C, 5% CO_2.

Biotin labeling and induction of target protein ubiquitination

Different strategies were taken to induce target protein ubiquitination by the candidate ligases.

For Rad18, UV exposure was used as an inducer of DNA damage. For CHIP, the proteasome

inhibitor, MG132 was used as an inducer. For TRAF6, TNF α treatment was done.

We took two different biotin labelling regimes: a) For shorter biotin exposure, biotin treatment

(Supplementary figure 3A, 3B) was done during the inducer (MG132) treatment, 24 hours after

transfection. Briefly, the transfection media was removed and replaced with medium

supplemented with 100 uM biotin (dissolved in DMEM) and 10uM MG132 and incubated at 37°C,

5% CO_2 incubator for 6 hours. b) For all other experiments, biotin treatment was done once during

transfection, as well as again during inducer (MG132/TNF α) treatment. Briefly, 24 hours after

seeding the cells, the media was removed and replaced with media supplemented with 100 uM

biotin followed by transfection. After 24 hours of transfection, the media was removed and

replaced with biotin (100uM) as well as MG132 (10uM) /TNF α (25ng/ml) supplemented complete

media and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO_2 incubator for times as indicated in figures.

UV exposure

For Rad18, UV exposure was used as an inducer. After 24 hours of transfection, the cells were

washed in 1X PBS and exposed to UV (10 miliJoules/cm2) in a Stratalinker UV crosslinker oven

(Stratagene).After UV exposure, PBS was replaced with biotin (100uM) supplemented complete

media and the cells were further incubated for 6 hours of at 37°C, 5% CO_2 incubator

PR619 treatment

For treatment of PR619, the cells were first treated with the inducer, in presence of biotin. After 2

hours, 10uM PR619 was added to the media. Equal volume of DMSO was added in mock-treated

cells. After 4 hours the cells were harvested.

Immunoblotting

After required treatments, the harvested cells were lysed in 1X cell lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1%SDS, 250u/ul benzonase, 1mM EGTA, 50mM NEM,

protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Aldrich)] for 30 minutes at 4 °C. After pelleting the debris, the

protein concentrations in the supernatant were measured using a BCA assay (ThermoFisher

Scientific). The cell lysates were run on 4-20% Tris glycine gradient gels (Biorad) by SDS-PAGE

followed by transfer to polyvinylidenedifluoride (PVDF) membranes. After the transfer, the

membranes were incubated in blocking buffer (5% BSA in 1X PBS) for 30 min at room temperature

followed by overnight incubation with the antibodies listed in table 2 at 4 °C. Next day, the

membranes were incubated with fluorescent tagged secondary antibodies for 45 minutes at RT

followed by imaging on a fluorescence scanner imaging system (Biorad).

Streptavidin immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting

After required treatments, the harvested cells were lysed in 1X cell lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl,

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1%SDS, 250u/ul benzonase, 1mM EGTA, 50mM NEM,

protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma Aldrich)] for 30 minutes at 4 °C. After pelleting the debris, the

protein concentrations in the supernatant were measured using a BCA assay (ThermoFisher

Scientific). For Streptavidin immunoprecipitation, the Streptavidin agarose beads (Thermo) were

washed twice with the 1X cell lysis buffer and incubated with 1mg of lysed cell extracts for 2 h at

4°C subjected to end-to-end rotation. The beads were washed twice in wash buffer 1 (50 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1%SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 10mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM NEM)

and twice more with wash buffer 2 (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1%SDS, 1% Triton

X-100, 10mM DTT, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM NEM). The final wash was done in wash buffer 3 (50 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 50mM NEM). All the washing steps were done for 5 min on a

rotation wheel. Proteins were eluted by boiling with 2X gel loading dye. 10% of the elute was kept

for immunoblotting and rest was kept for proteomics analysis. Input lysates were also boiled with

2X gel loading dye. 20ug of input cell lysates and 10ul of immunoprecipitated elutes were run on

4-20% Tris glycine gradient gels (Biorad). For detecting protein biotinylation, the proteins were

transferred on a low fluorescence PVDF membrane followed by incubation with fluorescent

tagged Streptavidin antibody (1:20,000 dilution in 1X PBS containing 5% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20,

0.1%SDS) for 45 min at RT. The membranes were washed three times, each for 5 min, with 1X

PBS containing 0.2% Tween-20, and then once more with 1X PBS. The membranes were scanned

on a fluorescence scanner imaging system (Biorad).

Immunostaining, confocal microscopy and statistical analysis

HEK-293 cells were seeded on 11 mm coverslips coated with poly D lysine in a 6 well plate. The

cells were co-transfected with HA-BirA/HA-BirA-Rad18 and (-2)AP-Ub constructs in presence of

biotin (100uM). After 16 hours, the cells were exposed to 10 mJ/cm^2 of UV and further incubated

for 6 hours in biotin (100uM) containing complete media. The cells were then washed 5 times with

1X PBS and fixed with 4% PFA for 20 minutes at RT. After washing 3 times with 1X PBS, cells

were blocked and permeabilized in solution 1 (5% BSA, 0.3% Triton X 100 in 1X PBS) for 3 hours

at RT. After washing once with 1X PBS, the cells were incubated overnight with anti HA tag

primary antibody (1:800) in solution 2 (3% BSA, 0.1% Triton X 100 and 1X PBS). Next day cells

were washed 3 times with solution 2 and 2 times with 1X PBS and further incubated with
Streptavidin conjugated to Irdye 680 (1:200) and Alexa488 conjugated anti- rabbit Secondary
antibody (1:200) for 1 hour in the dark in a humidified 37°C incubator. The cells were then washed
5 times with solution 2 and once with 1X PBS before mounting with ProLong antifade mountant
with DAPI. Imaging was done in a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope (63X oil-immersion
objective) keeping all the parameters same throughout the imaging process.

For statistical analysis, total no. of transfected cells and the no. of transfected cells with UV-
irradiation induced Rad18 foci were counted from 6 different fields for each condition. Percentage
difference of transfected cells with UV-irradiation induced Rad18 foci between HA-BirA- Rad18
and HA-BirA counterparts were calculated using Graphpad prism 9. Statistical significance of data
was calculated by an unpaired T test and is marked as **** for $p<0.0001$. To determine
colocalization between Streptavidin (red) and HA-tag (green) images were analysed in FIJI (coloc
tool). Results are indicative of 20 cells counted from 10 different images; error bars indicate
standard deviation ($p<0.0001$, calculated by unpaired T test).

Mass spectrometry and data analysis
Reduction of disulphide bridges in cysteine containing proteins was performed with dithiothreitol
(56°C, 30 min, 10 mM in 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.5). Reduced cysteines were alkylated with 2-
chloroacetamide (room temperature, in the dark, 30 min, 20 mM in 50 mM HEPES, pH 8.5).
Samples were prepared using the SP3 protocol(*63*, *64*) and trypsin (sequencing grade, Promega)
was added in an enzyme to protein ratio 1:50 for overnight digestion at 37°C. Next day, peptide
recovery was done in HEPES buffer by collecting supernatant on magnet and combining with
second elution wash of beads with HEPES buffer. Peptides were labelled with TMT10plex(*65*)
Isobaric Label Reagent (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples
were combined for the TMT10plex and for further sample clean up an OASIS® HLB µElution
Plate (Waters) was used.
Offline high pH reverse phase fractionation was carried out on an Agilent 1200 Infinity high-
performance liquid chromatography system, equipped with a Gemini C18 column (3 µm, 110 Å,
100 x 1.0 mm, Phenomenex)(*66*).
An UltiMate 3000 RSLC nano LC system (Dionex) fitted with a trapping cartridge (µ-Precolumn
C18 PepMap 100, 5µm, 300 µm i.d. x 5 mm, 100 Å) and an analytical column (nanoEase™ M/Z
HSS T3 column 75 µm x 250 mm C18, 1.8 µm, 100 Å, Waters) was used. Trapping was carried
out with a constant flow of trapping solution (0.05% trifluoroacetic acid in water) at 30 µL/min onto
the trapping column for 6 minutes. Subsequently, peptides were eluted via the analytical column

running solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water, 3% DMSO) with a constant flow of 0.3 µL/min, with

increasing percentage of solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile, 3% DMSO) from 2% to 8%

in 6 min, then 8% to 28% for a further 66 min, in another 4 min. from 28% to 38%, followed by an

increase of B from 38-80% for 3min. and a re-equilibration back to 2% B for 5min. The outlet of

the analytical column was coupled directly to an Orbitrap Fusion™ Lumos™ Tribrid™ Mass

Spectrometer (Thermo) using the Nanospray Flex™ ion source in positive ion mode. The peptides

were introduced into the Orbitrap Fusion Lumos via a Pico-Tip Emitter 360 µm OD x 20 µm ID;

10 µm tip (New Objective or CoAnn Technologies) and an applied spray voltage of 2.4 kV. The

capillary temperature was set at 275°C. Full mass scan was acquired with mass range 375-1500

m/z in profile mode in the orbitrap with resolution of 120000. The filling time was set at maximum

of 50 ms with a limitation of 4x105 ions. Data dependent acquisition (DDA) was performed with

the resolution of the Orbitrap set to 30000, with a fill time of 94 ms and a limitation of 1x105 ions.

A normalized collision energy of 38 was applied. MS2 data was acquired in profile mode.

IsobarQuant(*67*) , Mascot (v2.2.07) and MS Fragger were used to process the acquired data. For

MS Fragger, all raw files were converted to mzmL format using MSConvert from Proteowizard59,

using peak picking from the vendor algorithm. For IsobarQuant and Mascot, the acquired data

was searched against Uniprot Homo sapiens proteome (UP000005640) containing common

contaminants and reversed sequences. For MS Fragger, files were searched using MSFragger

v3.7 (PMID: 28394336) in Fragpipe v19.1 against the Swissprot Homo sapiens (UP000005640)

database (20,594 entries) containing common contaminants and reversed sequences. The

following modifications were included into the search parameters: Carbamidomethyl (C) and

TMT10 (K) (fixed modification), Acetyl (Protein N-term), Oxidation (M) and TMT10 (N-term)

(variable modifications). For the full scan (MS1) a mass error tolerance of 10 ppm and for MS/MS

(MS2) spectra of 0.02 Da was set. Further parameters were set: Trypsin as protease with an

allowance of maximum two missed cleavages: a minimum peptide length of seven amino acids;

at least two unique peptides were required for a protein identification. The false discovery rate on

peptide and protein level was set to 0.01. GO annotation analysis of the identified hits were

performed in ShinyGO(*68*) .

Statistical analysis

All experiments were independently reproduced at least two times with similar results obtained.

No data were excluded from analysis. Statistical analysis for confocal images was performed by

blinding the investigators to group allocation. Data are presented as the mean ± s.d. for bar

graphs. P values were calculated using unpaired Student's t-test using graphpad prism v.9.

Methods for the TRAF6 Ub-POD

Biotin, PR-619 and TNFα treatment and harvest for TRAF6 E3 ligase

To induce biotinylation, transfection media was removed and replaced by DMEM supplemented with 100 µM Biotin, 10 µM PR-619 and 25 ng/ml TNFα. Cells were treated at 37 °C, 5% CO_2 for 15 min. Mock controls were treated with respective amount of dissolving agent (DMSO).

Immunoblotting

For total lysates, cells were lysed in RIPA-buffer (50mM Tris, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton-X, 50 µM PR-619, 1× protease inhibitors (Roche) and 1× PhosStop (Roche)) for 30 min on ice. After clearance by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, protein concentrations were adjusted using BCA assay. Afterwards, lysates were boiled in sample buffer (200mM Tris-HCL, 6% SDS, 20% Glycerol, 0.1 g/ml DTT and 0.01mg Bromophenol Blue) for 5 min at 95 °C. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% milk or 3% BSA in TBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T) respectively. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C in blocking buffer, washed three times with TBS-T, incubated with secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies (1:10,000) for 1 h at room temperature, washed again with TBS-T and immediately analyzed by enhanced chemiluminescence.

Streptavidin pulldown and sample processing for mass spectrometry

After respective treatment, cells were washed 2 times with ice-cold DPBS, scraped in PBS and pellets either processed immediately or stored at -80°C. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer for 30 min on ice. After clearance by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, protein concentrations were adjusted using BCA assay. Cleared and adjusted supernatants were incubated overnight on an overhead rotator with preequilibrated streptavidin agarose (Sigma). Next day, beads were washed 2 times with RIPA buffer, 4 times with freshly prepared 3 M Urea buffer (Urea in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) and suspended in a defined volume of 3 M Urea buffer. Samples were reduced with 5 mM TCEP (Sigma) at 55 °C for 30 min, alkylated with 10 mM IAA (Sigma) at room temperature for 20 min and quenched with 20 mM DTT (Sigma). Samples were washed two times with freshly prepared 2 M Urea buffer (Urea in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate), suspended in 50 µl of 2 M Urea buffer and digested with 1 µg trypsin per sample at 37 °C overnight. Peptides were collected by pooling the supernatant with two 50 µl 2 M Urea buffer washes, immediately acidified with 1% trifluoroacetic acid and concentrated by

1010 vacuum centrifugation. Digested peptides were desalted on custom-made C18 stage tips and
1011 reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid.

1012

MS data collection and analysis

Peptides were separated using an Easy-nLC1200 liquid chromatograph (Thermo Scientific)
followed by peptide detection on a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific).
Samples were separated on a 75 µm× 15 cm custom-made fused silica capillary packed with
C18AQ resin (Reprosil-PUR 120, 1.9 µm, Dr. Maisch) with a 35 min acetonitrile gradient in 0.1%
formic acid at a flow rate of 400 nl/min (5–38% ACN gradient for 23 min, 38–60% ACN gradient
for 3 min, 60–95% ACN gradient for 2 min). Peptides were ionized using a Nanospray Flex Ion
Source (Thermo Scientific). Peptides were identified in fullMS / ddMS² (Top15) mode, dynamic
exclusion was enabled for 20 s and identifications with an unassigned charge or charges of one
or >8 were rejected. MS1 resolution was set to 60,000 with a scan range of 300–1650 m/z, MS2
resolution to 15,000. Data collection was controlled by Tune/Xcalibur (Thermo Scientific). Raw
data were analyzed using MaxQuant's (version 1.6.0.1) Andromeda search engine in reversed
decoy mode based on a human reference proteome (Uniprot-FASTA, UP000005640,
downloaded June 2023) with an FDR of 0.01 at both peptide and protein levels. Digestion
parameters were set to specific digestion with trypsin with a maximum number of 2 missed
cleavage sites and a minimum peptide length of 7. Oxidation of methionine and amino-terminal
acetylation were set as variable and carbamidomethylation of cysteine as fixed modifications. The
tolerance window was set to 20 ppm (first search) and to 4.5 ppm (main search). Label-free
quantification was set to a minimum ratio count of 2, re-quantification and match-between runs
was selected and at least 4 biological replicates per condition were analyzed. Resulting protein
group files were processed using Perseus (version 1.6.14.0). Briefly, common contaminants,
reverse and site-specific identifications as well as proteins identified with a peptide count and
MS/MS count <2 were excluded. Protein groups considered for statistical testing had further to
be identified in at least 3 out of 4 replicates in at least one condition. DAVID (version 2021) was
used for functional enrichment analysis.

1038

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Ub-POD parameters/recommendations

1) Tagging BirA
> For RING and Ubox ligases tag BirA at the catalytic end
> For HECT and RBR ligases tag BirA at the C terminus

2) Inducers
> Overexpression of the candidate ligase
> For ligases which carry out degradative ubiquitination, use proteasome inhibitors
> Use ligase-specific inducers such as UV exposure for Rad18 where applicable.
> Use DUB inhibitor, PR619 for preventing Ub recycling and preserving the substrate ubiquitination
> Use of specific cell lines where the ligase is known to be active

3) Controls for the proteomics
> HA-BirA can be used as a control for any E3 ligase.
> E3-E2 interaction interface mutant for RING and U-box/ and catalytic cysteine to alanine for HECT and RBR ligases
> No inducer treatment can also act as a control

4) Linker between BirA and the ligase
> Structural models of E3-E2~Ub can be analyzed to assess whether a linker is needed
> Generation of constructs with and without a GSGS linker are recommended for initial trials
> Longer linkers may be needed for HECT ligases
> Linker length needs to optimized for adapting Ub-POD to UBLs

5) Different biotin treatment regimes
> Exposing cells to Biotin throughout the Ub-POD experiment is recommended
> Shorter biotin exposure regimes (adding biotin only during treatment with the inducer) could be tested

Figure 5