HIF1A contributes to the survival of aneuploid and mosaic pre-implantation embryos

Estefania Sanchez-Vasquez[1], Marianne E. Bronner[1] and Magdalena Zernicka-Goetz[1,2*]

[1]Division of Biology 139-74, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.
[2]Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
*Lead and correspondence: magdaz@caltech.edu

Running title
HIF1A role in aneuploid and mosaic embryos

Key words
Embryogenesis/ Aneuploidy/ Mosaic embryos/ DNA repair/ Hypoxia

ABSTRACT

Human fertility is suboptimal, partly due to error-prone divisions in early cleavage-stages that result in aneuploidy. Most human pre-implantation are mosaics of euploid and aneuploid cells, however, mosaic embryos with a low proportion of aneuploid cells have a similar likelihood of developing to term as fully euploid embryos. How embryos manage aneuploidy during development is poorly understood. This knowledge is crucial for improving fertility treatments and reducing developmental defects. To explore these mechanisms, we established a new mouse model of chromosome mosaicism to study the fate of aneuploid cells during pre-implantation development. We previously used the Mps1 inhibitor reversine to generate aneuploidy in embryos. Here, we found that treatment with the more specific Mps1 inhibitor AZ3146 induced chromosome segregation defects in pre-implantation embryos, similar to reversine. However, AZ3146-treated embryos showed a higher developmental potential than reversine-treated embryos. Unlike reversine-treated embryos, AZ3146-treated embryos exhibited transient upregulation of Hypoxia Inducible-Factor-1A (HIF1A) and lacked p53 upregulation. Pre-implantation embryos develop in a hypoxic environment *in vivo*, and hypoxia exposure *in vitro* reduced DNA damage in response to Mps1 inhibition and increased the proportion of euploid cells in the mosaic epiblast. Inhibiting HIF1A in mosaic embryos also decreased the proportion of aneuploid cells in mosaic embryos. Our work illuminates potential strategies to improve the developmental potential of mosaic embryos.

INTRODUCTION

Humans exhibit suboptimal fertility relative to other mammals (Palmerola et al., 2022a). Only ~30% of human conceptions progress to live birth (Capalbo et al., 2021). Intriguingly, the first zygotic cleavage-stage divisions in human embryos are error prone, generally resulting in aneuploidy, in which cells gain or lose chromosomes (Allais & FitzHarris, 2022; Currie et al., 2022; Palmerola et al., 2022b; Pauerova et al., 2020; Vanneste et al., 2009). A high incidence of aneuploidy in the early cleavage divisions is thought to underlie low human fecundity and most developmental defects (Palmerola et al., 2022a). Both *in vivo* and *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) often give rise to mosaic embryos of diploid and aneuploid cells. Although it is predicted

that ~60% of pre-implantation IVF embryos exhibit diploid-aneuploid mosaicism (Capalbo et al., 2021), our

understanding of the embryo's ability to cope with such abnormalities is limited.

The incidence of aneuploidy declines at later stages of development (Shahbazi et al., 2020), but the

mechanism underlying this decline is poorly understood. Human mosaic embryos can develop to term

(Capalbo et al., 2021; Greco et al., 2015; Starostik et al., 2020). Specifically, implantation of low- and

medium-grade mosaic embryos, defined as having 20-30% and 30-50% aneuploid extra-embryonic

trophectoderm cells in a biopsy, has been reported to be as likely to result in the birth of healthy babies as

implantation of fully euploid embryos (Capalbo et al., 2021). Given that some mosaic embryos are able to

develop to term, it is important to uncover the mechanisms that confer their viability.

Mouse models of chromosome mosaicism enable analyses that are not allowed with human embryos for

ethical reasons. Mouse and human pre-implantation development are very similar to each other: both

undertake cleavage divisions, compaction, blastocyst cavity formation and hatching, albeit with slightly

different timings in the two species (Mole et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2021). During pre-implantation

development, cells on the outside of the embryo form the extra-embryonic trophectoderm (TE) whereas

cells on the inside form the pluripotent inner cell mass (ICM). Subsequently, cells of the ICM are segregated

into the embryonic epiblast (EPI) and extra-embryonic primitive endoderm (PE). The TE will form the

placenta, the PE will form the yolk sac and the EPI will form the fetus (Zhu & Zernicka-Goetz, 2020) .

Recently, our group developed the first mouse model of chromosome mosaicism, based on the generation

of aneuploidies induced by a drug reversine (Bolton et al., 2016; Singla et al., 2020). Reversine is a pan-

Aurora kinase inhibitor that also antagonizes the A3 adenosine receptor and inhibits mitotic kinase

monopolar spindle 1 (MPS1) (D'Alise et al., 2008; Santaguida et al., 2010). Our analysis revealed that

reversine-treated mosaic embryos are aneuploid and overexpress p53 (Bolton et al., 2016). Importantly,

reversine-treated cells in mosaic embryos are gradually eliminated from the EPI, particularly around the

time of blastocyst implantation. As with human mosaic embryos (Capalbo et al., 2021), we found that murine

mosaic embryos with at least 50% of euploid cells had a similar developmental potential as fully euploid

embryos (Bolton et al., 2016; Singla et al., 2020).

As reversine affects p53 and may compromise cellular fitness (D'Alise et al., 2008; Santaguida et al., 2010),

we sought to generate a complementary aneuploid model. To this end, we used the more specific Mps1

inhibitor, AZ3146 (Hewitt et al., 2010). Although AZ3146 and reversine both interfere with the spindle

assembly checkpoint (Hewitt et al., 2010), they bind to Mps1 in a different manner (Lan & Cleveland, 2010).

In mouse embryos, AZ3146 treatment was shown to double the occurrence of micronuclei, a marker of

chromosome segregation defects, but without negatively affecting cellular fitness (Vazquez-Diez et al.,

2019). In the present study, we used AZ3146- and reversine-treated pre-implantation mouse embryos to
dissect the mechanisms underlying aneuploid cell elimination and survival.
80
RESULTS
AZ3146 treatment induces chromosome segregation defects in pre-implantation mouse embryos.
To generate distinct models of aneuploidy, we treated 4- to 8-cell stage mouse embryos with AZ3146 (20
µM) (Vazquez-Diez et al., 2019), as well as with reversine (0.5 µM) (Bolton et al., 2016) as a positive control,
or DMSO (vehicle) as a negative control (Fig. 1A). We evaluated how the different Mps1 inhibitors affect
chromosome segregation by detecting nuclei and kinetochores in 8-cell embryos and counted
chromosomes *in situ* (Pauerova et al., 2020). Micronuclei were identified as small DAPI-stained
chromosomes that were clearly distinct from the nuclei (Fig. S1A). We examined 32 DMSO-treated control
embryos and observed only 22 cells with micronuclei out of a total of 256 cells (Fig. S1B). In contrast, we
observed 82 cells with micronuclei in a total of 144 individual cells from 18 reversine-treated embryos, and
182 cells in a total of 304 individual cells from 38 AZ3146-treated embryos (Fig. S1A). After normalization,
the average of aneuploidy in DMSO-treated 8-cell embryos was 12.5%, compared to 75% in the reversine-
treated embryos and 62.5% in the AZ3146-treated embryos (Fig. S1B). We also detected non-dividing
nuclei as rounded circles with distinct DAPI intensities in embryos treated with reversine and AZ3146.
Specifically, we observed 28 non-dividing cells (19%) in reversine-treated embryos and 24 non-dividing
cells (7.9%) in AZ3146-treated blastomeres, but none in DMSO-treated blastomeres (Fig. S1B). The
increased frequencies of micronuclei and non-dividing cells in response to Mps1 inhibition are consistent
with elevated aneuploidy and chromosomal instability (Daughtry and Chavez, 2016; Vazquez-Diez et al.,
2016), as we showed previously for reversine-treated embryos (Bolton et al., 2016).
100
Given these distinct responses to reversine and AZ3146, we examined how these treatments impact the
lineages in the blastocyst. To this end, we treated embryos with DMSO, reversine or AZ3146 from the 4-
to 8-cell stage, and then washed and cultured them until the late blastocyst stage (E4.5). We assessed
lineages by immunofluorescence (IF) to detect the TE marker CDX2, the EPI marker NANOG, and the PE
marker SOX17. We found that all lineages segregated normally, and that blastocyst morphology was similar
in all three conditions (Fig. 1B). We quantified the number of cells in the blastocysts and found that DMSO-
treated controls had a median of 93 cells in total, whereas reversine- and AZ3146-treated embryos had a
median of only 79 and 82 cells, respectively (***P<0.0004, *P<0.05, Mann–Whitney U-test, n=28 embryos
per treatment collected from three independent experiments) (Fig. 1C). DMSO-treated blastocysts
contained a median of 15 EPI, 11 PE, and 67 TE cells, whereas reversine-treated blastocysts contained 12
EPI, 8 PE and 59 TE cells, and AZ3146-treated blastocysts had 11 EPI, 7 PE and 64 TE cells (Fig. 1C).
These data suggest that reversine treatment compromises the development of all three lineages, as
observed previously (Bolton et al., 2016), whereas AZ3146 mainly compromises ICM (EPI and PE)
development.

To compare the developmental potential of blastocysts that had been treated with AZ3146 or reversine, we
transferred them into opposite uterine horns of the same mouse and counted decidua, which reflect
successful implantation, as well as viable embryos at E9.5. Although two (8%) reversine-treated blastocysts
developed decidua (Fig. S1C), none gave rise to viable E9.5 embryos. In contrast, seven (30%) AZ3146-
treated blastocysts developed decidua and five (21%) generated viable E9.5 embryos (Fig. S1D). Thus,
AZ3146-treated embryos appear to have a higher developmental potential than reversine-treated embryos.
122

Considering that cellular fitness and aneuploid stress are related to DNA damage and DNA repair, we first
sought to understand if these parameters were affected in our drug treatments. We used IF to quantify the
levels of the DNA repair marker PARP1 and of the DNA damage marker, phosphorylated H2A.X (γH2A.X)
at the 8-cell and morula stages (Fig. 1D). We observed elevated γH2A.X levels in reversine- and AZ3146-
treated 8-cell embryos compared to controls (Fig. 1E), which eventually returned to normal in AZ3146-
treated blastocysts, but not in reversine-treated blastocysts (Fig. S2A).

PARP1 was specifically enriched in the EPI lineage in normal blastocysts, as assessed by IF and re-
analysis of published scRNA-seq data (Deng et al., 2014) (Fig. S2B). Intriguingly, PARP1 levels were
reduced at the 8-cell and morula stages in reversine-treated embryos compared to controls and to AZ3146-
treated embryos (Fig. 1 D-E). Moreover, late morula stage embryos treated with the PARP inhibitor Olaparib
(10 μM, Hou et al., 2022; Prasad et al., 2017) developed into smaller blastocysts with only 78 total cells,
reflecting a relatively low number of ICM cells, only 8 EPI and 4 PE cells in DMSO-treated embryos (Fig.
S2C-E). Treatment with reversine, but not AZ3146, further reduced the number of cells in the EPI lineage
of Olaparib-treated embryos (Fig. S2E). Overall, these data suggest that PARP1 is required for proper
development of the ICM, and that its reduced levels after reversine treatment may negatively impact
development.

Reversine and AZ3146 activate distinct stress response pathways in pre-implantation embryos.
Chromosome mis-segregation and aneuploidy are associated with different cellular stress pathways (Zhu
et al., 2018). For instance, p53 is frequently activated following DNA damage, which can limit proliferation
and trigger apoptosis (Abuetabh et al., 2022; Soto et al., 2017; Thompson & Compton, 2010). The p38
mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) can also be activated following DNA damage (Thompson and
Compton, 2010). In aneuploid cells, p38 promotes apoptosis by inhibiting the transcription factor Hif-1α
(Simoes-Sousa et al., 2018), which otherwise promotes cell survival, proliferation, and metabolic changes
in aneuploid cells and in response to hypoxia in different contexts (Hu et al., 2003; Simoes-Sousa et al.,
2018).

To investigate how treatment with reversine or AZ3146 affect *p53* and *Hif1a* expression in mouse embryos,

we performed RT-qPCR at the morula and blastocyst stages. We normalized to *Ppia* (Peptidylprolyl

Isomerase A) mRNA, which is a stable reference gene in diploid and polyploid embryos (Gu et al., 2014).

Reversine-treated embryos displayed a significant increase in *p53* transcript levels at the morula (7-fold)

and blastocyst (1.3-fold) stages compared with DMSO-treated embryos (**P<0.01, *P<0.05, Welch's t-test)

(Fig. 2A), as we showed previously (Singla et al., 2020). In addition, reversine-treated embryos showed

reduced expression of *Hif1a* at the blastocyst stage, which would be consistent with p38 activation. In

contrast, AZ3146-treated embryos did not show upregulation of *p53* at either the morula or blastocyst stage

(Fig. 2A). Moreover, AZ3146-treated embryos showed a transient increase in *Hif1a* mRNA levels (3-fold)

at the morula stage compared to DMSO- and reversine-treated embryos (Fig. 2A), which returned to normal

levels at the blastocyst stage. HIF1A protein was present in DMSO- and reversine-treated embryos and

elevated at the morula and blastocyst stages in AZ3146-treated embryos (****P<0.0001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05,

Mann–Whitney U-test) (Fig. 2B). HIF1A appeared to be mostly nuclear in morula, but mostly cytoplasmic

in blastocysts, under all three conditions (Fig. 2B). Overall, these data suggest that treatment with reversine,

but not AZ3146, induces multiple stress pathways in pre-implantation embryos. These differences may

contribute to the increased developmental potential of embryos treated with AZ3146 versus reversine.

HIF1A activity is required for proper blastocyst formation after Mps1 inhibition.

It was previously shown that Hif1$\alpha^{-/-}$ embryos undergo developmental arrest and lethality by E11 (Iyer et

al., 1998). To assess the role of HIF1A in the embryo's response to reversine and AZ3146, we used a

pharmacological approach to inhibit its function. Briefly, we tested two different small molecules that have

been shown to inhibit HIF1A activity, PX-478 (Lee & Kim, 2011; Zhao et al., 2015) and IDF-11774 (Ban et

al., 2017). We treated control zygotes with DMSO, PX-478 (2 µM), or IDF-11774 (20 µM) until the blastocyst

stage (Fig. S3A). Unlike PX-478, IDF-11774 treatment did not significantly affect the total number of cells

in the TE or the whole blastocyst compared to the control (****P<0.0001, Mann–Whitney U-test) (Fig. S3B).

Therefore, we used IDF-11774 to inhibit HIF1A in subsequent experiments.

Next, we treated embryos with DMSO, reversine, or AZ3146 from the 4- to 8-cell stage, washed them, and

then inhibited HIF1A with IDF-11774 from the 8-cell to blastocyst stage (Fig. 2C). Inhibition of HIF1A did

not abolish cavitation but dramatically lowered the number of TE and especially PE cells in AZ3146-treated

embryos compared to DMSO-treated controls (Fig. 2D) and compared to AZ3146-treated embryos not

exposed to IDF-11884 (Fig. 1C). Inhibition of HIF1A also lowered the number of cells in reversine-treated

embryos compared to controls, but the effect was smaller (Fig. 2D). Overall, our data suggest that elevated

HIF1A activity from the 8-cell stage onwards is particularly important to promote the survival of aneuploid

TE and PE cells after AZ3146 treatment.

Taken together, our data suggest that AZ3146 and reversine have distinct effects on the pre-implantation
embryo. Compared to reversine-treated embryos, AZ3146-treated embryos appear to have increased
developmental potential, lack upregulation of p53, and show transient upregulation of *Hif1a*. Moreover,
AZ3146-treated embryos have a greater dependence on HIF1A activity to form the TE and PE.
191
Hypoxia exposure attenuates DNA damage and blastomere defects in response to Mps1 inhibition.
Pre-implantation development occurs in a hypoxic environment, and many clinics culture human embryos
under hypoxic conditions (5%) (Houghton, 2021). It was reported that physiologic oxygen concentrations
can improve the yield and quality of mammalian blastocysts (Ciray et al., 2009; Nguyen et al., 2020) and
increase the nuclear translocation of HIF1A in mouse blastocysts (Choi et al., 2021) To investigate how
hypoxia affects the development of euploid and aneuploid mouse embryos, we repeated our experiments
performed under normoxia (air, 20% O_2/5% CO_2; control) (Fig. 1A) in hypoxic (5% O_2/5% CO_2) conditions
(Fig. 3A). We cultured embryos from the 2-cell stage until the blastocyst stage, treating them with DMSO,
reversine, or AZ3146 from the 4-8 cell stage.
201
First, we examined lineage specification by quantifying the cell numbers in all three lineages at the
blastocyst stage. We found that DMSO-treated blastocysts cultured under hypoxia had a median of 74
cells, representing 14 EPI cells, 6 PE cells and 54 TE cells (Fig. 3B-C). These data suggest that, under our
conditions, the cell number in the pre-implantation mouse blastocyst is reduced when cultured in hypoxia
compared to normoxia (Fig. 3C, 1C), particularly in the TE and PE. Notably, reversine and AZ3146
treatment did not further reduce cell numbers for embryos cultured under hypoxia, except for in the PE (Fig.
3C).
209
To investigate how hypoxia exposure affects DNA damage and repair in mouse embryos, we again
performed IF for γH2A.X and PARP1 in 8-cell embryos and morula. We found that hypoxia exposure
lowered the levels of γH2A.X under all conditions, and minimized the differences between DMSO, reversine
and AZ3146 treatments. In addition, PARP1 levels were also similar under all conditions, and relatively low
in morula (Fig. 3D-E). Overall, these data suggest that hypoxia exposure lowers the accumulation of DNA
damage under all conditions.
216
Hypoxia increases the proportion of euploid cells in the epiblast of mosaic blastocysts.
Despite the high incidence of mosaicism in human pre-implantation embryos, the fate of aneuploid cells in
mosaic embryos is incompletely understood. We previously used reversine to generate a mouse model of
pre-implantation chromosome mosaicism and found that aneuploid (Reversine-treated) cells are eliminated
in the EPI of mosaic embryos via apoptosis, starting from the mature blastocyst stage (Bolton et al., 2016).
Whether this response reflects reversine treatment specifically, or aneuploidy more generally, is not known.
Moreover, how hypoxia versus normoxia affects the outcomes is also not clear.

To address these questions, we created aggregation chimeras at the 8-cell stage that contained a 1:1 ratio

of AZ3146-treated and control blastomeres (DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras), which is expected to reflect low-

grade mosaicism, or of AZ3146-treated and reversine-treated blastomeres (reversine/AZ3146 chimeras),

which is expected to reflect medium-grade mosaicism (Fig. 4A). We cultured these chimeric embryos in

normoxia and hypoxia, and followed the fate of individual blastomeres by using transgenic mouse lines with

the membrane markers mTmG (Muzumdar et al., 2007) and E-cadherin (Christodoulou et al., 2019).

DMSO/AZ3146 and reversine/AZ3146 blastocysts displayed proper lineage allocation, embryo morphology

and cavitation in both normoxia and hypoxia (Fig. 4B-C). In addition, DMSO/AZ3146 and reversine/AZ3146

embryos had comparable total cell numbers in their blastocysts, and blastocysts grown in hypoxia were

again smaller than those grown in normoxia (Fig. 4D-E). Intriguingly, despite having fewer total cells,

DMSO/AZ3146 blastocysts had more EPI cells when they were cultured in hypoxia compared to normoxia

(Fig. 4D-E).

We quantified the proportion of AZ3146 cells in each lineage for each chimera. In DMSO/AZ3146-treated

blastocysts, we found that 46.75% of the TE and 42.88% of the EPI originated from AZ3146-treated

blastomeres, compared to only 28.57% of the PE (Fig. 4F). In reversine/AZ3146 chimeras, 63% of the TE

and 78.4% of the EPI originated from AZ3146-treated cells, compared to only 40% of the PE (Fig. 4F).

Thus, under normoxia, DMSO cells appear to outcompete AZ3146-treated cells, which in turn outcompete

Reversine-treated cells in the TE and EPI. AZ3146-treated cells appeared to be at a competitive

disadvantage in the PE in both contexts. Culturing DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras in hypoxia considerable

increased the representation of AZ3146-treated blastomeres in the TE (49.06%) and PE (50%) but,

strikingly, reduced their representation in the EPI (33.3%) (Fig. 4G). These altered frequencies reflect a

higher number of DMSO-treated cells in the EPI and a lower number in the PE (Fig. 4E). Hypoxia exposure

of reversine/AZ3146 embryos lowered the contribution of AZ3146-treated blastomeres to the TE (55.94%)

and EPI (50%) but not the PE (46.43%) (Fig. 4G). We found that there was no correlation between the

proportion of AZ3146-treated cells in the TE and the EPI in DMSO/AZ3146 and reversine/AZ3146 chimeras,

under hypoxia or normoxia, and that hypoxia seemed to have a greater impact on the proportion of AZ3146

cells in reversine/AZ3146 chimeras (Fig. S4). Overall, these data suggest that hypoxia has lineage-specific

effects on competitions between aneuploid and euploid cells and increases the contribution of euploid cells

to the EPI.

Blastomeres in the 4-cell stage embryo display a lineage bias (Goolam et al., 2016). We considered that

mosaicism generated before the 4-cell stage might influence lineage allocation. To test this possibility, we

treated zygotes with reversine or AZ3146 during the first cleavage division. Importantly, reversine treatment

at the zygote stage seems to strongly affect the morphology of the blastocysts (Fig. S5A). Consistent with

this change in morphology, reversine-treatment reduced the number of cells in all lineages, particularly in

the TE and PE (Fig. S5B). In contrast, AZ3146 treatment did not affect morphology or cell number in any

of the lineages (Fig. S5A-B) (n>27 embryos per treatment, cumulative of three independent experiments,

****P<0.0001, *P<0.0044, Mann–Whitney U-test). To evaluate how early generation of aneuploidies in the

embryos affect cell competition, we generated DMSO/AZ3146, Reversine/DMSO, and Reversine/AZ3146/

aggregation chimeras at the 2-cell stage and cultured them under normoxia until the blastocyst stage (Fig.

S5C). We found that all three 2-cell stage aggregation chimeras developed into blastocysts with proper

lineage segregation and the presence of a cavity (Fig. S5D). Interestingly, the reversine-treated cells were

extruded from the blastocyst in 54% of the reversine/DMSO chimeras and in 33% of the AZ3146/reversine

chimeras, whereas no cell extrusion was observed in the DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras. Quantification of the

proportion of AZ3146-treated cells in 2-cell stage derived chimeras (Fig. S5E) showed similar results as 8-

cell stage derived chimeras (Fig. 4F-G). In DMSO/AZ3146-treated blastocysts, we found that 49.28% of

the TE, 39% of the EPI and 33.33% of the PE originated from AZ3146-treated blastomeres (Fig. S5E). In

reversine/AZ3146 chimeras, 68.24% of the TE, 68.83% of the EPI, and 66.67% of the PE originated from

AZ3146-treated cells (Fig. S5E). In DMSO/reversine chimeras, 22.27% of the TE, 26.98% of the PE, and

31.7% of the EPI originated from reversine-treated cells. Overall, these results suggest that aneuploidy

generated at different stages similarly affect the proportion of aneuploid cells in the blastocysts.

HIF1A inhibition reduces the frequency of aneuploid cells in DMSO/AZ3146 mosaic embryos.

Our data suggest that hypoxia affects aneuploid-euploid cell competition in mosaic embryos (Fig. 4). To

further assess the effect of HIF1A and, considering most human embryos are culture under hypoxic

conditions, we decided to evaluate the effect of inhibiting HIF1A in mosaic embryos cultured in hypoxic

conditions. We assembled DMSO/AZ3146 and Reversine/AZ3146 8-cell stage chimeras, treated them with

the HIF1A inhibitor IDF-11774 (Fig. 5A, S6A-B), and then assessed lineage allocation in blastocysts.

Treatment of DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras with IDF11774 does not affect lineage segregation in the blastocyst

but reduces the cavity diameter (Fig. 5B-C). Additionally, diminish the contribution of AZ3146-treated cells

to the TE, but DMSO-treated cells seem to compensate for this loss in the PE and EPI (Fig. 5D, Fig. S6C).

Treating Reversine/AZ3146 chimeras with IDF11774 compromised blastocyst morphology and cavitation

(Fig. 5E-F) and considerable increased the number of PE and EPI cells (Fig. 5G), without altering the

frequency of AZ3146-treated cells (Fig. S6D). Taken together, our data suggest that HIF1A promotes the

survival of AZ3146-treated cells in DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras and suggest that inhibiting HIF1A could

increase the proportion of karyotypically normal cells in mosaic embryos.

DISCUSSION

Aneuploidy is a common event during pre-implantation development (Allais & FitzHarris, 2022; Currie et

al., 2022; Palmerola et al., 2022b). Here, we describe different strategies to generate aneuploidy in the

early embryo. Our results suggest that: 1) the Mps1 inhibitor, AZ3146, generates aneuploid embryos with

enhanced DNA repair and reduced stress responses when compared to reversine-treated embryos. 2)

Lineage specific responses to aneuploidy are present during blastocyst development, as we showed
previously (Bolton et al., 2016; Singla et al., 2020). 3) HIF1A contributes to the survival of TE and PE cells
in AZ3146-treated embryos whereas PARP1 is particularly required in EPI cells in both reversine and
AZ3146-treated embryos. 4) Hypoxia enhances DNA repair and affects aneuploid-euploid cell competition
in mosaic embryos.

Reversine-treated mouse embryos upregulate the mRNA levels of senescent markers p53 and p21 (Singla
et al., 2020) and fail to give rise to viable embryos (Bolton et al., 2016). Our results further indicate that
reversine downregulates PARP1, as previously observed in glioma (Hirakata et al., 2021). Thus, reversine
treatment may compromise embryo development due to a combined increase in DNA damage and
decrease in DNA repair. In contrast, embryos treated with AZ3146 do not reduce PARP1 levels and have
elevated HIF1A, which appears to improve survival of TE and PE cells and developmental potential.

DNA repair pathways are enhanced under hypoxic environments in somatic cells (Marti et al., 2021;
Nakamura et al., 2022; Pietrzak et al., 2018). Similarly, our data show that aneuploid embryos cultured in
hypoxia had lower levels of DNA damage at the morula stage, in agreement with previous studies reporting
lower levels of γH2A.X in mouse blastocysts recovered from the uterus and in blastocysts cultured in
hypoxia compared to blastocysts cultured in normoxia (Houghton, 2021; Meuter et al., 2014). Interestingly,
recent studies suggest that hypoxia favors HIF1A's association with PARP1 in cancer cells, increasing DNA
repair (Marti et al., 2021; Nakamura et al., 2022; Pietrzak et al., 2018). In the future, a deeper understanding
of the regulation of DNA repair in pre-implantation stages would allow us to understand the molecular
response of embryos to aneuploid stress.

Confined placental mosaicism is commonly observed in human pregnancies, and refers to instances where
the placenta is aneuploid but the fetus is euploid (McCoy, 2017). We previously found that aneuploid TE
cells have an increased cell cycle length and senescence, whereas aneuploid ICM cells have an increased
frequency of apoptosis, in reversine-treated embryos (Singla et al., 2020). Therefore, abnormal but viable
TE cells could lead to confined placental mosaicism. Our results further suggest that aneuploid cells
contributed mostly to the TE, followed by the EPI, are especially depleted from the PE in AZ3146-treated
embryos under normoxia. Intriguingly, under hypoxia aneuploid cells were depleted from the EPI and
increase in the PE. Overall, our data suggest that hypoxia may affect cell competition in a lineage-specific
manner in the pre-implantation embryo, supporting an increased representation of euploid cells in the EPI
relative to the PE and TE.

Finally, our results confirm that the proportion of aneuploid cells in the EPI and TE do not correlate,
consistent with aneuploid survival in the TE but elimination from the ICM. These results are not surprising

considering the low aneuploidy concordance rates between TE and ICM in human mosaic aneuploid
embryos (30–40%) (Dahdouh & Garcia-Velasco, 2021).
336
Since low- and medium- grade mosaic human embryos have similar developmental potential as fully
euploid embryos (Capalbo et al., 2021; Greco et al., 2015), understanding the molecular pathways involved
in the responses to aneuploidy may have translational implications. Given that the extent and proportion of
aneuploid cells in mosaic embryos impact survival (Capalbo et al., 2021), we propose that a reduction in
aneuploid cells in the blastocyst will favor a successful pregnancy. Here, we downregulated HIF1A as a
strategy for reducing aneuploid cells number and found that treatment with IDF-11774 increased the
proportion of euploid cells in mosaic embryos. In sum, our study reveals distinct environmental factors that
affect the extent and proportion of aneuploid cells within mosaic embryos.
345

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Pre-implantation embryo culture
Mice were maintained according to national and international guidelines. Four- to six-week-old B6SJLF1/J
female mice were injected with 7.5 IU PMSG followed by 7.5 IU hCG 48 h later, to induce superovulation.
The females were then mated with B6CBAF1/J males or were indicated, with E-cadherin GFP or mTmG
transgenic males. Pre-implantation mouse embryos were recovered 24 h and 40 h after the hCG injection
to obtain zygotes and 2-cell stage embryos, respectively in M2 medium (Sigma, M7167). We incubate the
embryos in KSOM until 4-cell stage for the aneuploid treatment, around 53 h post hCG. When recovering
zygotes, cumulus cells were removed with 0.3% hyaluronidase (Sigma, H4272) in M2. Embryos then were
cultured in regular KSOM (Sigma. MR-106) at 37°C under 5% CO_2/air (Normoxia) or with pre-mixed 5%
CO_2/ 5% O_2 balance with nitrogen, biologic atmosphere batch (Airgas #Z03NI9022000033)(Hypoxia).
B6SJLF1/J females and B6CBAF1/J males were obtained from JAX laboratories. Females were received
at 3-4 weeks of age and were maintained in the CALTECH animal facility, where they were housed with 5
same-sex littermates on a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle with food and water *ad libitum*. The temperature in the
facility was controlled and maintained at 21 °C. All experimental procedures involving the use of live
animals, or their tissues were performed in accordance with the NIH guidelines and approved by the
Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and the Institutional Biosafety Committee at the
California Institute of Technology (Caltech, protocol number 1772). Reversine (Sigma, R3904), AZ3146
(Sigma, SML1427), PX-478 (Selleckchem, S7612), IDF-11774 (Selleckchem, S8771) and olaparib
(Selleckchem, S1060) were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma, D2650) before use to specific concentration. They
were respectively used at following final concentrations: 0.5 μM, 20 μM, 2 μM, 20 μM and 10 μM. Control
embryos were incubated in the equivalent DMSO concentration. Drugs were dissolved in regular KSOM to
the concentration of use. DMSO concentration in media should never pass 0.4%.
369

Immunofluorescence

Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA (Thermo Scientific, AA47340) for 20 min at room temperature (RT).

Following by three washes with 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma, P1379) dissolved in PBS (PBST). The embryos

were then permeabilized with 0.3%, Triton X-100 (Sigma, X100) in PBS. Washes were then performed

three times in PBST before embryos were transferred to blocking solution (3% BSA in PBS) for at least 3h

at RT. Incubation with primary antibodies was performed in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. Next day,

washes were performed three times in PBST before incubation with Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, 1:500) in blocking solution for 2 h. Washes were performed three times before

incubation with DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5 min. Washes after DAPI were perform two times in

PBST before the final incubation in M2. Embryos were then mounted in M2 micro-drops on 35 mm glass

bottom dish (MATTEK, P35G-1.5-14-C). Confocal imaging was carried out using Leica SP8, 40x objective,

1 µM Z-step. Image files were viewed and analyzed using ImageJ and IMARIS 9.9 software.

<u>Primary antibodies used:</u> mouse anti-CDX2 (Biogenex, 1:500), rabbit anti-NANOG (1:500; Abcam), goat

anti-SOX17 (1:300; R&D Systems), rabbit anti-HIF1A (1:300, Novusbio), mouse anti-PARP1 (1:500,

Proteintech) and rabbit anti- Phospho- Histone H2AX (1:500, R&D Systems).

In situ chromosome counting

For determination of aneuploidy, treated 8-cell stage embryos were synchronized in metaphase by 10h

treatment with 0.03 µg/mL colcemid (Cayman, 15364) diluted in KSOM. Following by 1h treatment with 10

µM Mg132 (Selleckchem, S2619) in KSOM. Finally, 1 h treatment with 5 µM dimethylenastron

(MedChemExpress, HY-19944) and 10 µM Mg132 in KSOM. Synchronized embryos were then fix in 2%

PFA for 20 min, permeabilized with PBST for 15 min and blocked 3h at RT before incubation with human

anti-centromere protein antibody (1:300, Antibodiesinc 15-234) overnight at 4°C. Next day, three washes

with PBST were performed before 2 h incubation with goat anti-Human secondary antibody, Alexa Fluor

647 (1:400, Invitrogen A-21445) in blocking solution. Washes were performed three times before 20 min

incubation with DAPI (1:500) and Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin (1:300, Invitrogen A12379). Washes then were

performed three times before clearing overnight with AF1 plus (Citifluor, AF1/DAPI-15). Embryos were then

mounted in AF1 plus micro-drops on 35 mm glass bottom. Confocal imaging was carried out using Leica

SP8, 60x objective, 0.5 µM Z-step. Image files were viewed and analyzed using ImageJ and IMARIS 9.9

software.

Embryo transfers and post implantation recovery and biopsy

Embryo transfer were performed as described previously (Bermejo-Alvarez et al., 2014). CD1 females and

vasectomizes CD1 males were obtained from Charles River. Reversine and AZ3146-treated blastocyst

were transfer into 2.5-days pseudopregnant CD1 females. Around 16 AZ3146-treated embryos were

transfer in the right uterine horn, whereas around 16 reversine-treated embryos were transfer in the left

uterine horn of the same female as control. To evaluate implantation and embryo survival potency, uterine

horns were recovered 5 days after surgery. Deciduas were dissected and post implantation embryos at

stage E9.5 were recovered into PBST on ice. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA at RT for 1 h, followed by
washes through PBST before imaging. Images were taken using an Olympus LS stereo microscope with a
10x objective. Uterine transfers were performed in accordance with the NIH guidelines and approved by
the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) and the Institutional Biosafety Committee at the
California Institute of Technology (CALTECH).
413

qRT-PCR
Around 14 to 17 morulas and blastocysts were collected for quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase
chain reaction (qRT-PCR). Total RNA from morulas was obtained using the NucleoSpin RNA Plus XS kit
(Takara, 740990.10). Whereas total RNA from blastocyst was extracted using the Arcturus PicoPure RNA
Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher, KIT0204). qRT-PCR was performed using the *Power* SYBR Green RNA-to-
CT 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, 4389986) in a StepOne Plus Real-time PCR machine (Applied
Biosystems). The following program was used: 30 min 48 °C (reverse-transcription) followed by 10 min 95
°C followed by 45 cycles of 15 s 95 °C (denaturing) and 1 min 60 °C (annealing and extension). The ddCT
method was used to determine relative levels of mRNA expression, with Ppia as an endogenous control
(Gu et al., 2014). Primers were obtained from IDT.

Name	Sequence
p53_Fw	GTCACAGCACATGACGGAGG
p53_Rv	TCTTCCAGATGCTCGGGATAC
Hif1a_Fw	CCTGCACTGAATCAAGAGGTTGC
Hif1a_Rv	CCATCAGAAGGACTTGCTGGCT
Ppia_Fw	GAGCTCTGAGCACTGGAGAGA
Ppia_Rv	CCACCCTGGCACATGAAT

424
Generation of chimeric embryos
Chimeric embryos were generated following a previous publish protocol (Eakin & Hadjantonakis, 2006).
Briefly, wild-type, E-cadherin GFP or mTmG 8-cell stage embryos were transfer to micro-drops of M2 after
aneuploid treatment. Zona pellucida was removed by treatment with acidic Tyrode's solution (Sigma,
T1788). The embryos were then incubated in Ca2+/Mg2+-free M2 (made in house) for 5 min and then
disaggregated into individual blastomeres by gentle mouth pipetting. Mosaic chimeras were form by
aggregation of four and four (1:1) of each treatment. Low mosaicism DMSO/AZ3146-treated blastomeres
(1:1). Whereas medium mosaicism Reversine/AZ3146-treated blastomeres (1:1). Culture of the chimeras
were performed under normoxic and hypoxia condition in KSOM for 48 h to reach blastocyst stage.

Statistical analysis
The statistical tests used are indicated in the corresponding figure legends. Calculations were carried out
in Microsoft Excel and data analysis and visualization in Prism 9 software. All graphs show mean values,
error bars: s.e.m.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

E.S.V designed and conducted the experiments; analyzed and interpreted the data. E.S.V and M.Z.G conceived the project. M.E.B and M.Z.G supervised the study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by M.Z.G' National Institutes of Health R01 (R01HD101489) grant. E.S.V. is supported by a Pew Latin America fellowship. We thank the Life Science Editors and the Life Science Editors Foundation for invaluable comments and suggestions on the manuscript, and Ariane Helou for copy editing. Data analysis was performed in the Biological Imaging Facility, with the support of the Caltech Beckman Institute and the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Abuetabh, Y., Wu, H. H., Chai, C., Al Yousef, H., Persad, S., Sergi, C. M., & Leng, R. (2022). DNA damage response revisited: the p53 family and its regulators provide endless cancer therapy opportunities. *Exp Mol Med*, *54*(10), 1658-1669. https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-022-00863-4

Allais, A., & FitzHarris, G. (2022). Absence of a robust mitotic timer mechanism in early preimplantation mouse embryos leads to chromosome instability. *Development*, *149*(13). https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.200391

Ban, H. S., Kim, B. K., Lee, H., Kim, H. M., Harmalkar, D., Nam, M., Park, S. K., Lee, K., Park, J. T., Kim, I., Lee, K., Hwang, G. S., & Won, M. (2017). The novel hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha inhibitor IDF-11774 regulates cancer metabolism, thereby suppressing tumor growth. *Cell Death Dis*, *8*(6), e2843. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.235

Bermejo-Alvarez, P., Park, K. E., & Telugu, B. P. (2014). Utero-tubal embryo transfer and vasectomy in the mouse model. *J Vis Exp*(84), e51214. https://doi.org/10.3791/51214

Bolton, H., Graham, S. J. L., Van der Aa, N., Kumar, P., Theunis, K., Fernandez Gallardo, E., Voet, T., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2016). Mouse model of chromosome mosaicism reveals lineage-specific depletion of aneuploid cells and normal developmental potential. *Nat Commun*, *7*, 11165. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11165

Capalbo, A., Poli, M., Rienzi, L., Girardi, L., Patassini, C., Fabiani, M., Cimadomo, D., Benini, F., Farcomeni, A., Cuzzi, J., Rubio, C., Albani, E., Sacchi, L., Vaiarelli, A., Figliuzzi, M., Findikli, N., Coban, O., Boynukalin, F. K., Vogel, I., . . . Simon, C. (2021). Mosaic human preimplantation embryos and their developmental potential in a prospective, non-selection clinical trial. *Am J Hum Genet*, *108*(12), 2238-2247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.11.002

Choi, J., Kim, W., Yoon, H., Lee, J., & Jun, J. H. (2021). Dynamic Oxygen Conditions Promote the Translocation of HIF-1alpha to the Nucleus in Mouse Blastocysts. *Biomed Res Int*, *2021*, 5050527. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5050527

Christodoulou, N., Weberling, A., Strathdee, D., Anderson, K. I., Timpson, P., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2019). Morphogenesis of extra-embryonic tissues directs the remodelling of the mouse embryo at implantation. *Nat Commun*, *10*(1), 3557. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11482-5

Ciray, H. N., Aksoy, T., Yaramanci, K., Karayaka, I., & Bahceci, M. (2009). In vitro culture under physiologic oxygen concentration improves blastocyst yield and quality: a prospective randomized survey on sibling oocytes. *Fertil Steril*, *91*(4 Suppl), 1459-1461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.07.1707

Currie, C. E., Ford, E., Benham Whyte, L., Taylor, D. M., Mihalas, B. P., Erent, M., Marston, A. L.,
Hartshorne, G. M., & McAinsh, A. D. (2022). The first mitotic division of human embryos is highly
error prone. *Nat Commun*, *13*(1), 6755. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34294-6
D'Alise, A. M., Amabile, G., Iovino, M., Di Giorgio, F. P., Bartiromo, M., Sessa, F., Villa, F., Musacchio, A.,
& Cortese, R. (2008). Reversine, a novel Aurora kinases inhibitor, inhibits colony formation of
human acute myeloid leukemia cells. *Mol Cancer Ther*, *7*(5), 1140-1149.
https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-07-2051
Dahdouh, E. M., & Garcia-Velasco, J. A. (2021). Embryos with mosaic results: busting the myth! *Reprod*
Biomed Online, *43*(1), 1-2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbmo.2021.04.015
Eakin, G. S., & Hadjantonakis, A. K. (2006). Production of chimeras by aggregation of embryonic stem
cells with diploid or tetraploid mouse embryos. *Nat Protoc*, *1*(3), 1145-1153.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.173
Goolam, M., Scialdone, A., Graham, S. J. L., Macaulay, I. C., Jedrusik, A., Hupalowska, A., Voet, T.,
Marioni, J. C., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2016). Heterogeneity in Oct4 and Sox2 Targets Biases Cell
Fate in 4-Cell Mouse Embryos. *Cell*, *165*(1), 61-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.047
Greco, E., Minasi, M. G., & Fiorentino, F. (2015). Healthy Babies after Intrauterine Transfer of Mosaic
Aneuploid Blastocysts. *N Engl J Med*, *373*(21), 2089-2090.
https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1500421
Gu, Y., Shen, X., Zhou, D., Wang, Z., Zhang, N., Shan, Z., Jin, L., & Lei, L. (2014). Selection and
expression profiles of reference genes in mouse preimplantation embryos of different ploidies at
various developmental stages. *PLoS One*, *9*(6), e98956.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098956
Hewitt, L., Tighe, A., Santaguida, S., White, A. M., Jones, C. D., Musacchio, A., Green, S., & Taylor, S. S.
(2010). Sustained Mps1 activity is required in mitosis to recruit O-Mad2 to the Mad1-C-Mad2 core
complex. *J Cell Biol*, *190*(1), 25-34. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201002133
Hirakata, C., Lima, K., De Almeida, B. O., De Miranda, L. B. L., Florencio, K. G. D., Furtado, L. C., Costa-
Lotufo, L. V., & Machado-Neto, J. A. (2021). Targeting glioma cells by antineoplastic activity of
reversine. *Oncol Lett*, *22*(2), 610. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2021.12871
Houghton, F. D. (2021). HYPOXIA AND REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH: Hypoxic regulation of
preimplantation embryos: lessons from human embryonic stem cells. *Reproduction*, *161*(1), F41-
F51. https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-20-0322
Hu, C. J., Wang, L. Y., Chodosh, L. A., Keith, B., & Simon, M. C. (2003). Differential roles of hypoxia-
inducible factor 1alpha (HIF-1alpha) and HIF-2alpha in hypoxic gene regulation. *Mol Cell Biol*,
23(24), 9361-9374. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.23.24.9361-9374.2003
Iyer, N. V., Kotch, L. E., Agani, F., Leung, S. W., Laughner, E., Wenger, R. H., Gassmann, M., Gearhart,
J. D., Lawler, A. M., Yu, A. Y., & Semenza, G. L. (1998). Cellular and developmental control of
O2 homeostasis by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha. *Genes Dev*, *12*(2), 149-162.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.2.149
Lan, W., & Cleveland, D. W. (2010). A chemical tool box defines mitotic and interphase roles for Mps1
kinase. *J Cell Biol*, *190*(1), 21-24. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201006080
Lee, K., & Kim, H. M. (2011). A novel approach to cancer therapy using PX-478 as a HIF-1alpha inhibitor.
Arch Pharm Res, *34*(10), 1583-1585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-011-1021-3
Marti, J. M., Garcia-Diaz, A., Delgado-Bellido, D., O'Valle, F., Gonzalez-Flores, A., Carlevaris, O.,
Rodriguez-Vargas, J. M., Ame, J. C., Dantzer, F., King, G. L., Dziedzic, K., Berra, E., de Alava,
E., Amaral, A. T., Hammond, E. M., & Oliver, F. J. (2021). Selective modulation by PARP-1 of
HIF-1alpha-recruitment to chromatin during hypoxia is required for tumor adaptation to hypoxic
conditions. *Redox Biol*, *41*, 101885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2021.101885
McCoy, R. C. (2017). Mosaicism in Preimplantation Human Embryos: When Chromosomal Abnormalities
Are the Norm. *Trends Genet*, *33*(7), 448-463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2017.04.001
Meuter, A., Rogmann, L. M., Winterhoff, B. J., Tchkonia, T., Kirkland, J. L., & Morbeck, D. E. (2014).
Markers of cellular senescence are elevated in murine blastocysts cultured in vitro: molecular
consequences of culture in atmospheric oxygen. *J Assist Reprod Genet*, *31*(10), 1259-1267.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10815-014-0299-8
Mole, M. A., Weberling, A., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2020). Comparative analysis of human and mouse
development: From zygote to pre-gastrulation. *Curr Top Dev Biol*, *136*, 113-138.
https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.ctdb.2019.10.002

Muzumdar, M. D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L., & Luo, L. (2007). A global double-fluorescent Cre
reporter mouse. *Genesis*, *45*(9), 593-605. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.20335
Nakamura, H., Sekine, H., Kato, H., Masai, H., Gradin, K., & Poellinger, L. (2022). Hypoxia-inducible
factor-1alpha and poly [ADP ribose] polymerase 1 cooperatively regulate Notch3 expression
under hypoxia via a noncanonical mechanism. *J Biol Chem*, *298*(7), 102137.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102137
Nguyen, A. Q., Bardua, I., Greene, B., Wrenzycki, C., Wagner, U., & Ziller, V. (2020). Mouse embryos
exposed to oxygen concentrations that mimic changes in the oviduct and uterus show
improvement in blastocyst rate, blastocyst size, and accelerated cell division. *Reprod Biol*, *20*(2),
147-153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.repbio.2020.03.011
Palmerola, K. L., Amrane, S., De Los Angeles, A., Xu, S., Wang, N., de Pinho, J., Zuccaro, M. V.,
Taglialatela, A., Massey, D. J., Turocy, J., Robles, A., Subbiah, A., Prosser, B., Lobo, R., Ciccia,
A., Koren, A., Baslan, T., & Egli, D. (2022a). Replication stress impairs chromosome segregation
and preimplantation development in human embryos. *Cell*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.028
Palmerola, K. L., Amrane, S., De Los Angeles, A., Xu, S., Wang, N., de Pinho, J., Zuccaro, M. V.,
Taglialatela, A., Massey, D. J., Turocy, J., Robles, A., Subbiah, A., Prosser, B., Lobo, R., Ciccia,
A., Koren, A., Baslan, T., & Egli, D. (2022b). Replication stress impairs chromosome segregation
and preimplantation development in human embryos. *Cell*, *185*(16), 2988-3007 e2920.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.06.028
Pauerova, T., Radonova, L., Kovacovicova, K., Novakova, L., Skultety, M., & Anger, M. (2020).
Aneuploidy during the onset of mouse embryo development. *Reproduction*, *160*(5), 773-782.
https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-20-0086
Pietrzak, J., Spickett, C. M., Ploszaj, T., Virag, L., & Robaszkiewicz, A. (2018). PARP1 promoter links cell
cycle progression with adaptation to oxidative environment. *Redox Biol*, *18*, 1-5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2018.05.017
Santaguida, S., Tighe, A., D'Alise, A. M., Taylor, S. S., & Musacchio, A. (2010). Dissecting the role of
MPS1 in chromosome biorientation and the spindle checkpoint through the small molecule
inhibitor reversine. *J Cell Biol*, *190*(1), 73-87. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201001036
Shahbazi, M. N., Wang, T., Tao, X., Weatherbee, B. A. T., Sun, L., Zhan, Y., Keller, L., Smith, G. D.,
Pellicer, A., Scott, R. T., Jr., Seli, E., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2020). Developmental potential of
aneuploid human embryos cultured beyond implantation. *Nat Commun*, *11*(1), 3987.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17764-7
Simoes-Sousa, S., Littler, S., Thompson, S. L., Minshall, P., Whalley, H., Bakker, B., Belkot, K., Moralli,
D., Bronder, D., Tighe, A., Spierings, D. C. J., Bah, N., Graham, J., Nelson, L., Green, C. M.,
Foijer, F., Townsend, P. A., & Taylor, S. S. (2018). The p38alpha Stress Kinase Suppresses
Aneuploidy Tolerance by Inhibiting Hif-1alpha. *Cell Rep*, *25*(3), 749-760 e746.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.09.060
Singla, S., Iwamoto-Stohl, L. K., Zhu, M., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2020). Autophagy-mediated apoptosis
eliminates aneuploid cells in a mouse model of chromosome mosaicism. *Nat Commun*, *11*(1),
2958. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16796-3
Soto, M., Raaijmakers, J. A., Bakker, B., Spierings, D. C. J., Lansdorp, P. M., Foijer, F., & Medema, R. H.
(2017). p53 Prohibits Propagation of Chromosome Segregation Errors that Produce Structural
Aneuploidies. *Cell Rep*, *19*(12), 2423-2431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.055
Starostik, M. R., Sosina, O. A., & McCoy, R. C. (2020). Single-cell analysis of human embryos reveals
diverse patterns of aneuploidy and mosaicism. *Genome Res*, *30*(6), 814-825.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.262774.120
Thompson, S. L., & Compton, D. A. (2010). Proliferation of aneuploid human cells is limited by a p53-
dependent mechanism. *J Cell Biol*, *188*(3), 369-381. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200905057
Vanneste, E., Voet, T., Le Caignec, C., Ampe, M., Konings, P., Melotte, C., Debrock, S., Amyere, M.,
Vikkula, M., Schuit, F., Fryns, J. P., Verbeke, G., D'Hooghe, T., Moreau, Y., & Vermeesch, J. R.
(2009). Chromosome instability is common in human cleavage-stage embryos. *Nat Med*, *15*(5),
577-583. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.1924
Vazquez-Diez, C., Paim, L. M. G., & FitzHarris, G. (2019). Cell-Size-Independent Spindle Checkpoint
Failure Underlies Chromosome Segregation Error in Mouse Embryos. *Curr Biol*, *29*(5), 865-873
e863. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.12.042

Zhao, T., Ren, H., Jia, L., Chen, J., Xin, W., Yan, F., Li, J., Wang, X., Gao, S., Qian, D., Huang, C., &
Hao, J. (2015). Inhibition of HIF-1alpha by PX-478 enhances the anti-tumor effect of gemcitabine
by inducing immunogenic cell death in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget*, *6*(4),
2250-2262. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.2948
Zhu, J., Tsai, H. J., Gordon, M. R., & Li, R. (2018). Cellular Stress Associated with Aneuploidy. *Dev Cell*,
44(4), 420-431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2018.02.002
Zhu, M., Shahbazi, M., Martin, A., Zhang, C., Sozen, B., Borsos, M., Mandelbaum, R. S., Paulson, R. J.,
Mole, M. A., Esbert, M., Titus, S., Scott, R. T., Campbell, A., Fishel, S., Gradinaru, V., Zhao, H.,
Wu, K., Chen, Z. J., Seli, E., . . . Zernicka Goetz, M. (2021). Human embryo polarization requires
PLC signaling to mediate trophectoderm specification. *Elife*, *10*.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.65068
Zhu, M., & Zernicka-Goetz, M. (2020). Principles of Self-Organization of the Mammalian Embryo. *Cell*,
183(6), 1467-1478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.003

FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1| **Lineage analysis of aneuploid embryos generated by selective Mps1 inhibitors AZ3146 and**

reversine.

(A) Graphic representation of 4-cell embryos treated with DMSO (control) or Mps1 inhibitors reversine (0.5

µM) and AZ3146 (20 µM) to inactivate the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) and induce chromosome

segregation errors. After washing, embryos were cultured to the mature blastocyst stage (E4.5) and

analyzed for lineage specification. (B) Immunofluorescence imaging of well-known lineage markers CDX2

(TE), NANOG (EPI) and SOX17 (PE) reveals that overall embryonic morphology and cavitation is not

affected by Mps1 inhibition. (C) Number of cells in each lineage was quantified to evaluate the effect of

drug treatment on blastocyst development. Importantly, both reversine and AZ3146-treatments reduce the

number of cells in the ICM, marked by NANOG (EPI) and SOX17 (PE). Whereas the TE, marked by CDX2,

is reduced only in the reversine-treated embryos. (n=28 embryos per treatment, cumulative of three

independent experiments) (***P<0.0002, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent

s.e.m). (D) Analysis of DNA damage and DNA repair based on immunofluorescence against γH2A.X

(phosphorylated form of H2A.X, white) and PARP1 (red), respectively. (E) Intensity analysis shows that

reversine and AZ3146 increase DNA damage at the 8-cell stage through morula stage compared with

DMSO-treated embryos. Importantly, reversine appears to downregulate PARP1 expression at the 8-cell

stage, which extends to morula stage embryos (***P<0.0004, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, t-test, error bars represent

s.e.m).

Figure 2 | **AZ3146-treated embryos elevate HIF1A activity to support formation of the TE and PE.**

A) qPCR analysis of *p53* and *Hif1a* mRNA expression at morula and blastocyst stages reveals that *p53* is

upregulated in reversine-treated embryos and that *Hif1a* is upregulated in AZ3146-treated embryos at

morula stages; 3 biological replicates and 2 technical replicates per experiment with each replicate having

a minimum of 16 embryos (**P<0.01, *P<0.05, Welch's t-test). B) Immunofluorescence against HIF1A

(black) shows an increase in nuclear intensity in AZ3146-treated embryos at morula stages. At blastocyst

stage, nuclear and cytoplasmic HIF1A are increased in AZ3146-treated embryos; normalization was based

on DAPI staining (magenta). (****P<0.0001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent

s.e.m) (C) Graphic representation of 4-cell embryos treated with DMSO and aneuploid drugs. Downregulation of HIF1A was achieved by treatment with IDF-11774 immediately after wash of the aneuploid drugs. Immunofluorescence analysis of lineage specification in blastocyst cultured with the HIF1A inhibitor IDF-11774 using antibodies against CDX2 (TE), NANOG (EPI) and SOX17 (PE). Importantly, IDF-11774 appears to affect cavitation of some AZ3146-treated embryos. (D) Lineage analysis at the blastocyst stage shows that TE and PE specification are affected by IDF-11774 treatment. Number of cells in each lineage was quantified to evaluate the effect on blastocyst development. (****$P<0.0001$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m. n=20 embryos per treatment collected from 3 independent experiments).

Figure 3 | **Hypoxia exposure reduces DNA damage and affects lineage proportions in the aneuploid blastocyst.**

(A) Graphic representation of the hypoxia experiments. 2-cell embryos were cultured until the blastocyst stage in hypoxia conditions (5% oxygen). As before, 4-cell stage embryos were treated with DMSO or Mps1 inhibitors reversine and AZ3146 until the 8-cell stage. After washing, embryos were cultured to the mature blastocyst stage (E4.5) and analyzed for lineage specification. (B) Immunofluorescence imaging of well-known lineage markers CDX2 (TE), NANOG (EPI) and SOX17 (PE) reveals that overall embryonic morphology and cavitation is not affected by Mps1 inhibition or hypoxia. (C) Lineage analysis at blastocyst stage. Number of cells in each lineage was used to evaluate the effects in blastocyst development. (****$P<0.0001$, *$P<0.05$, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m, n=18 embryos per treatment collected from 3 independent experiments). (D) Immunofluorescence against PARP1 (red) and γH2A.X (white) in blastocyst after drug treatments. (E) Intensity analysis shows that, under hypoxia, at 8-cell stage, DNA damage is increased after exposure to reversine but not AZ3146. PARP1 expression is altered only at the 8-cell stage in reversine-treated embryos (***$P<0.0004$, **$P<0.01$, *$P<0.05$, t-test, error bars represent s.e.m. n=25 embryos per treatment collected from 3 independent experiments).

Figure 4 | **Hypoxia affects cell competition between diploid and aneuploid cells during pre-implantation development.** (A) Graphic representation of the cell competition experiments. Embryos were treated at the 4-cell stage with DMSO or Mps1 inhibitors reversine and AZ3146. After washing, 8-cell stage embryos were disaggregated, and re-aggregated to form chimeras containing a 1:1 ratio of DMSO/AZ314-treated blastomeres and Reversine/AZ314-treated blastomeres. Following aggregation, chimeras were cultured to the mature blastocyst stage (E4.5) and analyzed for lineage specification. For identification of the treatment, we use transgenics lines with membrane markers. Immunofluorescence for CDX2, NANOG, and SOX17 was performed to test lineage specification and allocation during (B) normoxia and (C) hypoxia. Lineage allocation quantification was based on the above markers. Importantly, D) in normoxia, both chimeras have the same number of cells in all the lineages except the EPI. In addition, AZ3146-treated blastomeres outcompete reversine-treated blastomeres in medium-grade mosaics in the TE and the EPI.

E) Under hypoxia, both chimeras have similar number of cells in all the lineages. Yet, AZ3146-blastomeres
do not outcompete reversine-treated blastomeres. Quantification of the contribution of AZ3146-treated
blastomeres to the chimeras showed that, (F) under normoxia, compare with DMSO-treated cells, no
preferential allocation of aneuploid cells occurs in the TE. In contrast, AZ3146-treated blastomeres
increased their contribution when compare with reversine- treated blastomeres, but only significantly on the
EPI and the PE. (G) During hypoxia, DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras did not change their behavior. Confirming a
preferential allocation of diploid cells to the EPI. In Reversine/AZ3146 chimeras, AZ3146-treated
blastomeres contribute similarly to reversine-blastomeres to the TE and PE but significantly increase
contribution to the EPI. These results indicate that hypoxia favors the survival of reversine-induced
aneuploid cells (****P<0.00001, **P<0.01, *P<0.05, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m, n>7
embryos per treatment collected from 3 independent experiments).
687
Figure 5| **HIF1A inhibition increases the proportion of euploid cells in mosaic embryos.** (A) Schematic
of HIF1A inhibition by IDF-11774 in 8-cell stage aggregation chimeras cultured in hypoxia.
Immunofluorescence for CDX2, NANOG, and SOX17 was performed to test lineage specification and
allocation. (B) HIF1A inhibition in low-grade mosaicism does not affect overall morphology but affects (C)
cavitation. In contrast, (E) HIF1A inhibition in medium-grade mosaicism affects morphology, and (F)
cavitation of the embryos. Lineage allocation quantification after IDF-1174 reveals a significant reduction
of total cell number (D) in low-grade mosaicism as well as a reduction in cell number in the TE but not in
the EPI and PE. In contrast, total cell number is not affected (G) in medium-grade mosaicism. However,
IDF11-774 treatment appears to increase the cell number of the PE. These results show that HIF1A
inhibition in hypoxic conditions differentially affect each type of mosaic embryos. (**P<0.005, *P<0.05,
Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m., n>8 embryos per treatment collected from 3
independent experiments).
700
Figure S.1| **AZ3146-treated embryos are aneuploid and can develop to post-implantation stages.**
(A) *In situ* chromosome counting of 8-cell stage embryos after drug treatments. Representative images of
individual embryos in the different treatments. DNA (white) is visualized by DAPI staining and kinetochores
(red) by CREST antibody. PB (polar body), MN (micronuclei). Each chromosome presents 2 CREST dots.
The large number is the cell ID and the smaller number indicates the number of chromosomes, "n". Diploid
blastomeres present 40 pairs of CREST dots. Phalloidin (cyan) was used for cell segmentation. (B)
Quantification of the incidence of aneuploid events, designated as those with more than 2 chromosomes
(MN>2chr), less than 2 chromosomes (MN<2chr), without kinetochores (MN-CREST) and non-dividing
nucleus (ND cell). We observed an increase in MN following reversine and AZ3146 treatment. Importantly,
reversine-treated cells have a higher number of ND cells and MN-CREST staining. (n=256 cell DMSO
treatment, n=304 cells AZ3146 treatment, n=144 cells reversine treatment, ****P<0.00001, *P<0.05, Mann–
Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m). (C) Embryo transfer experiments show higher implantation

events (% decidua formation) in AZ3146-treated embryos. The left uterine horn was used for transfer of
reversine-treated blastocyst and the right uterine horn for AZ3146-treated blastocyst. (n=7 embryos
transplanted per uterine horn, repeated experiments two times) (D) Only AZ3146-treated blastocyst can
develop post-gastrulation E9.5 fetuses (% embryo formation).

Figure S.2| **PARP1 is required for ICM development**.
(A) Immunofluorescence against PARP1 (red) and γH2A.X (white) in blastocyst after drug treatments.
Notice accumulation of DNA damage in reversine-treated blastocyst. (B) Immunofluorescence against
PARP1 (red), NANOG (white, epiblast) and CDX2 (green, trophectoderm). Analysis of PARP1 intensity
shows an increase in the EPI lineage (****$P<0.00001$, ***$P<0.0006$, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars
represent s.e.m, n>21 embryos per treatment collected from 3 independent experiments). To confirm these
observation, scRNA-seq (Deng et. al 2014) shows enrichment of *Parp1* mRNA specifically in the EPI. (C)
Graphic representation of 4-cell embryos treated with DMSO and aneuploid drugs. Downregulation of
PARP1 was achieved by treatment with Olaparib from morula to blastocyst stage. (D) Effects of the
inhibition of PARP1 function were assessed by immunofluorescence against CDX2 (TE), NANOG (EPI)
and SOX17 (PE) in the different treatments. Importantly, Olaparib treatment does not affect blastocyst
morphology. (E) Lineage analysis at blastocyst stage shows a specific effect on the survival of epiblast and
PE cells in reversine-treated embryos. Ratio of cells in each lineage in the blastocyst is normalized based
on DMSO treatment. (*$P<0.05$, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m n=15 embryos per
treatment collected from 3 independent experiments).

Figure S.3| **Pharmacological inhibitors of HIF1A have distinct effects on mouse pre-implantation**
embryos. (A) Inhibition of HIF1A from zygotes to blastocyst stage with PX-478 or IDF-11774.
Immunofluorescence against CDX2 (TE) and DAPI (magenta) was used to assess blastocyst development.
Importantly, IDF-11774 does not compromise pre-implantation development, whereas PX-478
compromised the survival and overall morphology of the blastocyst. (B) Analysis of the number of
trophectoderm cells (CDX2) and total number (DAPI) shows a deleterious effect of PX-478 during blastocyst
development (****$P<0.0001$, Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m, n>12 embryos per
treatment collected from 3 independent experiments).

Figure S.4| **Correlation plot of AZ3146-treated cells in the epiblast and trophectoderm.** Scatter plot of
AZ3146-treated cells in epiblast and trophectoderm in DMSO/AZ3146 and Reversine/AZ3146 mosaic
blastocysts cultured under normoxic and hypoxic conditions.

Figure S.5| **Aneuploidies generated before the 2-cell stage does not affect lineage specification in**
mosaic embryos. (A) Immunofluorescence for CDX2, NANOG, and SOX17 was performed in blastocysts
after zygote treatment with Mps1 inhibitors. Importantly, reversine treatment at zygote stages affects

morphology of blastocyst. (B) Lineage analysis of blastocyst shows no effect in AZ3146-treated embryos.

Whereas reversine treatment reduces the cell number to almost half. Ratio of cells in each lineage in the

blastocyst is normalized based on DMSO treatments. (n>27 embryos per treatment collected from 3

independent experiments, ****P<0.0001, *P<0.0044 , Mann–Whitney U-test, error bars represent s.e.m).

(C) Aggregation chimeras at 2-cell stage were created by using transgenics lines with membrane markers:

mTmG (green) and E-cadherin (white). Subsequently, (D) immunofluorescence for CDX2, NANOG, and

SOX17 was performed to quantify lineage allocation. Our results show no effect on embryo morphology in

our chimeras. However, reversine/DMSO (D/R) chimeras seems to have increase events of cell extrusion

and reversine/AZ3146 chimeras are smaller in number of cells compare with DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras. (E)

Data show the proportion of AZ3146-treated cells in DMSO/AZ3146 mosaic blastocysts (grey) and in

reversine/AZ3146 mosaic blastocysts (blue), as well as the proportion of reversine-treated cells in

DMSO/reversine mosaic blastocysts (red).

Figure S.6| **IDF-11774-mediated inhibition of HIF1A increases the proportion of euploid cells in**

mosaic embryos. Brightfield images of low- and medium-mosaic chimeras grown in (A) DMSO and (B)

IDF-11774. Quantification of the contribution of AZ3146-treated blastomeres to the chimeras showed that,

(C) in DMSO/AZ3146 mosaics, IDF-11774 treatment reduces the proportion of AZ3146-treated

blastomeres, whereas (D) in reversine/AZ3146 mosaics, IDF-11774 treatment slightly increases the

proportion of AZ3146-treated blastomeres. These results may indicate that HIF1A inhibition impairs the

less fit population in chimeric embryos.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

Aggregation chimera modeling low-medium level mosaic embryo

~2h recovery

IDF-11774 treatment

~46h culture

B DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras (Low mosaicism)

DMSO cultured   IDF-11774 cultured

Half embryo

CDX2 SOX17 NANOG

50 µm   50 µm   50 µm   50 µm

2 stacks

50 µm   50 µm   50 µm   50 µm

E Reversine/AZ3146 chimeras (Medium mosaicism)

DMSO cultured   IDF-11774 cultured

Half embryo

CDX2 SOX17 NANOG

50 µm   50 µm   50 µm   50 µm

2 stacks

50 µm   50 µm   50 µm   50 µm

C
Cavity diameter (um)
DMSO-treated
IDF-11774 treated
**

D
Number of cells in blastocyst
DMSO-treated cells
AZ3146-treated cells
8 15
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — Total *
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — CDX2 *
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — NANOG ns
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — SOX17 ns

F
Cavity diameter (um)
DMSO-treated
IDF-11774 treated
**

G
Number of cells in blastocyst
Reversine-treated cells
AZ3146-treated cells
8 11
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — Total ns
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — CDX2 ns
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — NANOG ns
DMSO treated / IDF-11774 treated — SOX17 *

Figure S. 1

Figure S.2

Figure S. 3

A Treatment 84h

DIC + DAPI   DAPI CDX2

DMSO

IDF-11774

PX-478

B

DAPI+   TE (CDX2+)

Number of cells

Figure S. 4

A

Correlation of AZ3146+ cells between trophectoderm and epiblast lineages

Figure S. 5

Figure S. 6

A **DMSO-treatment**

DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras (Low mosaicism)

Reversine/AZ3146 chimeras (Medium mosaicism)

100 µm

100 µm

B **IDF11774-treatment**

DMSO/AZ3146 chimeras (Low mosaicism)

Reversine/AZ3146 chimeras (Medium mosaicism)

100 µm

100 µm

C Low mosaicism

% of AZ3146 cells

DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774

Total   CDX2   NANOG   SOX17

D Medium mosaicism

% of AZ3146 cells

DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774   DMSO   IDF-11774

Total   CDX2   NANOG   SOX17