PKA regulation of neuronal function requires the dissociation of

catalytic subunits from regulatory subunits

Wei-Hong Xiong, Maozhen Qin, and Haining Zhong

Vollum Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR 97239, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to H.Z. (email: zhong@ohsu.edu).

Haining Zhong, Ph.D.

Vollum Institute, Oregon Health & Science University

3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, L474

Portland, Oregon 97239

U.S.A.

(503) 494-5089 / zhong@ohsu.edu

Abstract

Protein kinase A (PKA) plays essential roles in diverse cellular functions. However, the

spatiotemporal dynamics of endogenous PKA upon activation remain debated. The classical

model predicts that PKA catalytic subunits dissociate from regulatory subunits in the

presence of cAMP, whereas a second model proposes that catalytic subunits remain

associated with regulatory subunits following physiological activation. Here we report that

different PKA subtypes, as defined by the regulatory subunit, exhibit distinct subcellular

localization at rest in CA1 neurons of cultured hippocampal slices. Nevertheless, when all

tested PKA subtypes are activated by norepinephrine, presumably via the β-adrenergic

receptor, catalytic subunits translocate to dendritic spines but regulatory subunits remain

unmoved. These differential spatial dynamics between the subunits indicate that at least a

significant fraction of PKA dissociates. Furthermore, PKA-dependent regulation of synaptic

plasticity and transmission can be supported only by wildtype, dissociable PKA, but not by

inseparable PKA. These results indicate that endogenous PKA regulatory and catalytic

subunits dissociate to achieve PKA function in neurons.

Introduction

Cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent kinase, or protein kinase A (PKA),

regulates diverse critical functions in nearly all mammalian cells, including neurons. PKA is

a tetrameric protein consisting of two regulatory subunits (PKA-Rs) and two catalytic

subunits (PKA-Cs) (Francis and Corbin, 1994; Johnson et al., 2001). In the inactive state,

each PKA-R binds to and inhibits a PKA-C. Binding of cAMP to PKA-R activates PKA-C.

However, there are different proposals on the molecular events that follow activation.

For decades, PKA-C is thought to dissociate from PKA-R upon cAMP binding (Beavo

et al., 1974; Francis and Corbin, 1994; Gold, 2019; Johnson et al., 2001; Reimann et al.,

1971). Freed PKA-C molecules then move to phosphorylate their substrates. However,

several studies (reviewed in Gold, 2019), including two notable recent publications (Smith

et al., 2017, 2013), propose an alternative model, in which physiological concentrations of

cAMP can activate PKA-C but do not result in its dissociation from PKA-R. Testing these two

models will not only elucidate the biophysical mechanism of PKA activation, but also have

distinct implications in how PKA may achieve its specificity, which is thought to rely on

spatial compartmentalization (Wong and Scott, 2004).

We have previously found that the majority of type IIβ PKA, as defined by PKA-R, is

anchored to microtubules in the dendritic shaft of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons

where PKA-RIIβ is bound to the abundant microtubule associated protein MAP-2 (Zhong et

al., 2009). Upon activation of the β-adrenergic receptor with norepinephrine, a fraction of

PKA-C dissociated from PKA-RIIβ (Tillo et al., 2017). The freed PKA-C redistributed into

dendritic spines, whereas PKA-RIIβ remained anchored at the dendritic shaft (Tillo et al.,

2017; Xiong et al., 2021). These results are consistent with the classical PKA activation

model. However, recent studies suggest that PKA-Rs other than PKA-RIIβ may be the more

abundant isoforms in CA1 neurons (Church et al., 2021; Ilouz et al., 2017; Weisenhaus et al.,

2010). It remains untested whether these PKA isoforms dissociate upon physiologically

relevant stimulations in neurons.

Here, we examined whether PKA-C dissociates from all major PKA-R isoforms in

CA1 neurons. The rescue of function following knockdown of PKA-C was compared

between wildtype dissociable PKA and an inseparable PKA variant in which PKA-C is

covalently linked to PKA-R. The results support the classical model of PKA activation via

dissociation.

Results

Recent studies have suggested that PKA-RIIα and PKA-RIβ may be the prevalent

isoforms in hippocampal CA1 neurons. Therefore, we co-expressed C-terminally

monomeric EGFP tagged PKA-C (PKA-C-mEGFP) (Tillo et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2009) and a

cytosolic marker (mCherry) with either PKA-RIIα or PKA-RIβ in CA1 neurons of

organotypic hippocampal slice cultures (Figs. 1A and 1B, upper left panels). At rest, PKA-C-

mEGFP exhibited a distribution that was dependent on the co-expressed PKA-R: when co-

expressed with PKA-RIIα, PKA-C was enriched in dendritic shafts; when co-expressed with

PKA-RIβ, PKA-C was more evenly distributed (quantified using the spine enrichment

indexes, or SEI, see Methods) (Fig. 1C). This distribution was independent of the expression

level (rest conditions in Figure 1–figure supplement 1) and largely resembled that of the

corresponding PKA-R, as visualized using expressed PKA-R-mEGFP in separate

experiments (Figs. 1A-1C).

Notably, upon application of norepinephrine (10 µM), PKA-C of both subtypes

translocated to dendritic spines, but the subcellular localization of PKA-Rs remained

unchanged (Figs. 1D & 1E). A 5x lowered norepinephrine concentration (2 µM) also

resulted in similar dynamics of PKA-C (Figure 1–figure supplement 2), indicating that the

PKA-C translocation happens in a wide range of neuromodulator concentrations. This

differential re-distribution between PKA-C and PKA-R was more prominent following

activation with forskolin (25 µM) and IBMX (50 µM). The translocation of PKA-C was

independent of the expression level and the effect remained when extrapolating to the

zero-overexpression level using a linear fit (Figure 1–figure supplement 1). These results

can only be explained if at least a fraction of PKA-C dissociated from both PKA-RIIα and

PKA-RIβ when a physiological stimulant was used. The differential re-distribution between

PKA-C and PKA-R was also observed when PKA-RIα was used (Figure 1–figure supplement

3), although it was less implicated in hippocampal neurons. Together with our earlier

results regarding PKA-C/PKA-RIIβ, we conclude that PKA-C dissociates from PKA-R with

physiologically relevant stimuli.

Next, we asked whether PKA regulation of neuronal function is dependent on the

dissociation of PKA-C from PKA-R. A key experiment supporting the non-dissociating PKA

activation model was that PKA regulation of cell growth could be sustained by a construct

in which PKA-C was fused to PKA-RIIα in one polypeptide chain via a flexible linker (named

R-C; Fig. 2A) (Smith et al., 2017). We therefore asked whether this R-C construct could

support PKA regulation of neuronal function. When R-C-mEGFP was expressed in CA1

neurons, this construct exhibited a distribution highly similar to that of RIIα (Figs. 2B and

2C). The tendency of this construct to translocate to the spine was largely diminished

compared to PKA-C-mEGFP co-expressed with wildtype, unlinked PKA-RIIα (Fig. 2D),

indicating that the catalytic subunit in R-C was indeed inseparable from the regulatory

subunit.

To evaluate the function of R-C, a previously established shRNA construct was used

to selectively knock down PKA-Cα in CA1 neurons in cultured hippocampal slices (Tillo et

al., 2017). Given that PKA activation is required for the late phase of long-term potentiation

(L-LTP) (Abel et al., 1997), we examined the structural LTP of individual dendritic spines of

CA1 neurons elicited by focal two-photon glutamate uncaging (Fig. 3) (Matsuzaki et al.,

2004). The shRNA knockdown of PKA-C resulted in attenuated LTP at 90 min after

induction (Figs. 3A–3C). This attenuation was not observed when a control shRNA against

LacZ was expressed (Fig. 3C). The attenuated structural LTP was rescued by co-expression

of shRNA-resistant wild-type PKA-C-mEGFP together with PKA-RIIα (Figs. 3A–3C).

However, the R-C construct in which PKA-C was also resistant to shRNA knockdown but

could not leave PKA-RIIα failed to rescue the phenotype.

PKA activity has also been shown to regulate synaptic transmission. We therefore

examined evoked AMPA and NMDA receptor (AMPAR and NMDAR, respectively) currents

in paired, transfected and adjacent untransfected CA1 neurons in cultured hippocampal

slices. As shown previously (Tillo et al., 2017; Xiong et al., 2021), neurons expressing the

shRNA construct against PKA-C exhibited significantly lower AMPAR currents (Fig. 4A), but

not NMDAR currents (Fig. 4B). As a result, the AMPAR/NMDAR current ratio was also

reduced (Fig. 4C). The deficits were rescued by co-expression of shRNA-resistant, wild-type

dissociable PKA-C-mEGFP and PKA-RIIα (Fig. 4). However, the inseparable R-C construct

failed to rescue the phenotype. Taken together, the R-C construct did not support normal

PKA-dependent synaptic function.

Discussion

Our results indicate that at least a fraction of PKA-C molecules dissociate from all

tested PKA-R isoforms, including Iβ, IIα and previously tested IIβ, when activated by

physiological stimuli. Given that PKA activity increases by two orders of magnitude when

dissociated from PKA-R (Miyamoto et al., 1969), even a small fraction of PKA-C dissociation

will result in a marked increase of PKA kinase activity. These results corroborate the

observations in intact non-neuronal cells that used FRET imaging and biochemical

measurements, respectively (Walker-Gray et al., 2017; Zaccolo et al., 2000). Furthermore,

PKA-C that is covalently linked to PKA-RIIα cannot functionally replace wildtype PKA for

normal neuronal transmission or plasticity. Although this R-C construct has been shown to

functionally replace endogenous PKA in terms of supporting the growth of a heterologous

cell line (Smith et al., 2017), it cannot support all necessary PKA functions. Overall, we

conclude that PKA-C dissociation from PKA-R is essential for PKA regulation of neuronal

function. Additionally, PKA specificity is mediated by spatial compartmentalization (Wong

and Scott, 2004). This is likely mediated by mechanism downstream of PKA dissociation,

such as membrane tethering of freed PKA-C or its buffering by extra PKA-Rs (Gaffarogullari

et al., 2011; Tillo et al., 2017; Walker-Gray et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2015).

In addition, this study shows that PKA distribution in neuronal dendrites at the

resting state is subtype dependent. PKA-RIIα is enriched in dendritic shaft in a way similar

to PKA-RIIβ, likely via its interaction with the abundant microtubule binding protein MAP2

(Tillo et al., 2017; Vallee et al., 1981). Note that this observation does not exclude the

importance a small fraction of PKA being anchored to synaptic sites via other PKA binding

proteins. In contrast, PKA-RIα and PKA-RIβ are more evenly distributed between spine and

dendrites. These observations establish a spatial organizational base for understanding

subtype-specific PKA function in neurons.

This study also demonstrates that PKA is essential for long-term structural LTP of

individual spines. PKA has been shown to facilitate the induction of LTP (i.e.,

metaplasticity) (Thomas et al., 1996; Zhong et al., 2009), and is required for the

maintenance of L-LTP (Abel et al., 1997), as assayed using electrophysiological recording of

postsynaptic currents. However, it has been suggested that the structural and synaptic

current changes may not be causally linked (Kopec et al., 2006). Our results fill the gap to

show that PKA is also required for maintaining the late phase of structural LTP.

Key Resources Table				
Reagent type (species) or resource	**Designation**	**Source or reference**	**Identifiers**	**Additional information**
strain, strain background (Rattus norvegicus, Sprague Dawley)	Sprague Dawley rat	Charles River	Strain Code 001; RRID: RGD_734476	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-Cα-mEGFP (plasmid)	Addgene	# 45524	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIα-mEGFP (plasmid)	Addgene	# 45525	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIβ-mEGFP (plasmid)	Addgene	# 45526	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIIα-mEGFP (plasmid)	Addgene	# 45527	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIα (plasmid)	This paper		

recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIβ (plasmid)	This paper		
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIIα (plasmid)	Addgene	#168492	
recombinant DNA reagent	PKA-RIIα-PKA-Cα-mEGFP (plasmid)	This paper		
recombinant DNA reagent	shPKA against PKA-Cα with DsRed co-expression (plasmid)	This paper		The shRNA was developed in Tillo et al, 2017
recombinant DNA reagent	shPKA against LacZ with DsRed co-expression (plasmid)	This paper		
recombinant DNA reagent	mCherry2 (plasmid)	Addgene	#54517	
chemical compound, drug	norepinephrine	Tocris	5169	
chemical compound, drug	forskolin	LC Labs	F-9926	

chemical compound, drug	IBMX	Sigma-Aldrich	I7018	
chemical compound, drug	MNI-glutamate	Tocris	1490	
chemical compound, drug	TTX	Tocris	1069	
chemical compound, drug	2-chloroadenosine	Sigma-Aldrich	C5134	
chemical compound, drug	GABAzine (SR 95531)	Tocris	1262	
software, algorithm	MATLAB	MathWorks	RRID: SCR_001622; https://www.mathworks.com/	
software, algorithm	SI_View	Github / Zhong Lab	https://github.com/HZhongLab/SI_View	

Plasmid constructs

Constructs were made using standard mutagenesis and subcloning methods. All previously

unpublished constructs and their sequences will be submitted to Addgene. In the R-C

construct, mouse PKA-RIIα and PKA-Cα were fused via a linker with residues

WDPGSGSLEAGCKNFFPRSFTSCGSLEGGSAAA that were previously used (Smith et al.,

2017).

Organotypic hippocampal slice cultures and transfections

Cultured rat hippocampal slices were prepared from P6 – P8 (typically P7) pups, as

described previously (Stoppini et al., 1991; Zhong et al., 2009). Animal experiments were

performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the

National Institutes of Health, and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee (IACUC) of the Oregon Health & Science University (#IP00002274). cDNA

constructs were transfected after 1.5–3 weeks *in vitro* via the biolistic gene transfer

method using the Helios gene gun and 1.6 μm gold beads (Fig. 1) or, where long-term

expression (∼ 1 week) was required, with single-cell electroporation (Fig. 2) (Otmakhov

and Lisman, 2012).

Two-photon imaging and two-photon glutamate uncaging

A custom built two-photon microscope based on an Olympus BW51WI microscope body

was used. Laser beams from two different Ti:Sapphire lasers (Maitai, Newport) were

aligned to allow for simultaneous two-photon excitation and photoactivation. Laser

intensities were controlled by Pockels cells (Conoptics). Imaging and photoactivation were

controlled by ScanImage (Vidrio Tech) (Pologruto et al., 2003). Slices were perfused during

imaging in gassed artificial cerebral spinal fluid (ACSF) containing (mM) 127 NaCl, 25

NaHCO$_3$, 25 D-glucose, 2.5 KCl, 4 MgCl$_2$, 4 CaCl$_2$, and 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$ with 0.5 μM

tetrodotoxin (TTX). mEGFP fluorescence (green) was unmixed from that of the cytosolic

marker (mCherry or DsRed Express) using a dichroic (Chroma 565DCXR) and band-pass

filters (Chroma HQ510/70 for green and Semrock FF01-630/92 for red).

For single-spine structural LTP experiments, 2.25 mM MNI-caged-L-glutamate

(Tocris) was added to ACSF containing 4 mM calcium, 0.05 mM magnesium, 1 μM TTX and

4 μM 2-chloroadenosine, as previously described (Harvey et al., 2008a). To trigger

structural plasticity, 30 pulses of 4-ms 16-mW (at back focal plane) 720-nm laser light

were delivered to the spine head at 0.5 Hz.

Image analysis was performed using custom software written in MATLAB called

SI_View (https://github.com/HZhongLab/SI_View) (Ma et al., 2022). Using the software,

regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn to isolate spines or their immediately

adjacent dendritic shaft. Only the spines well isolated from the dendrite laterally

throughout the entire experiments were included. Spine enrichment index was calculated

as:

195            $$SEI = \log_2[(F_{green}/F_{red})_{spine}/(F_{green}/F_{red})_{shaft}]$$

in which F is the average fluorescence intensity in an ROI.

The expression level was estimated by the maximal intensity from thick apical

dendrite near the soma (typically 50-100 μm) similar to previously described (Harvey et

al., 2008b; Tillo et al., 2017). To minimize the influence of noise, a line of 9 pixels (pixel size

~0.04 μm) thick was manually drawn transecting the dendrite through the visually

identified, brightest region. The line profile was further smoothened by 5 pixels along the

line and baseline subtracted before determining the maximal value. To combine

measurements from different hardware configuration (e.g., different microscopes), the data

from each configuration and experiment were internally corrected for laser stimulation

intensity and then normalized to the average of all data under the same condition.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier

(Molecular Devices). Electrophysiological signals were filtered at 2 kHz and digitized and

acquired at 20 kHz using custom software written in MATLAB. Slices were perfused with

artificial cerebrospinal fluid containing 4 mM Ca and 4 mM Mg. The internal solution

contained (in mM) 132 Cs-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 10 Na-phosphocreatine, 4 $MgCl_2$, 4 Na2-

ATP, 0.4 Na-GTP, 3 Na-ascorbate, 3 QX314, and 0.2 EGTA with an osmolarity of 295

mOsmol/kg. The junction potential was calculated to be -17 mV using a built-in function in

the Clampfit software (Molecular Devices). Several less abundant anions (phosphocreatine,

ATP, GTP and ascorbate) were omitted in the calculation due to lack of data in the program.

The Cl reversal potential was -75 mV.

To reduce recurrent activities, cultured hippocampal slices were cut on both sides of

CA1 and 4 μM 2-chloroadenosine (Sigma) was present in all recording experiments. 10 μM

GABAzine (SR 95531, Tocris) was also included to suppress GABA currents. For electrical

stimulation, a bipolar, θ-glass stimulating electrode (Warner Instruments) was positioned

in the stratum radiatum 100–150 μm lateral to the recorded neuron. For all recordings, a

transfected neuron and an untransfected neuron located within 50 μm of each other were

sequentially recorded without repositioning the stimulation electrode. Measurements were

carried out on averaged traces from approximately 20 trials under each condition. For

AMPAR currents, the cells were held at -60 mV (before correcting for the junction

potential) and the current was measured as the baseline-subtracted peak current within a

window of 2–50 ms after electric stimulation. For NMDAR currents, the average currents at

140 to 160 ms after stimulation were used when the cells were held at +55 mV (before

correcting for the junction potential)

Data analysis, presentation, and statistics

Quantification and statistical tests were performed using custom software written in

MATLAB. Averaged data are presented as mean ± s.e.m., unless noted otherwise. p values

were obtained from one-way ANOVA tests, unless noted otherwise. In all figures, *: $p \leq 0.05$

and is considered statistically significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple tests, **: p

≤ 0.01, and ***: $p \leq 0.001$.

Acknowledgements

We thank all members of the Mao and Zhong laboratories at the Vollum Institute for

constructive discussions. We thank Drs John Williams and Michael Muniak for critical

comments and edits on the manuscript. This work was supported by two NIH BRAIN

Initiative awards to H.Z. (U01NS094247 and R01NS127013).

Author contributions

H.Z. conceived the project. W.X. and H.Z. designed the experiments. W.X. and H.Z.

performed the experiments and data analyses. M.Q. produced critical reagents used in the

experiments. H.Z. wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Abel T, Nguyen P V., Barad M, Deuel TAS, Kandel ER, Bourtchouladze R. 1997. Genetic

demonstration of a role for PKA in the late phase of LTP and in hippocampus-based

long-term memory. *Cell* **88**:615–626. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81904-2

Beavo JA, Bechtel PJ, Krebs EG. 1974. Activation of protein kinase by physiological

concentrations of cyclic AMP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. doi:10.1073/pnas.71.9.3580

Church TW, Tewatia P, Hannan S, Antunes J, Eriksson O, Smart TG, Kotaleski JH, Gold MG.

2021. Akap79 enables Calcineurin to directly suppress protein Kinase a activity. *Elife*

10. doi:10.7554/eLife.68164

Francis SH, Corbin JD. 1994. Structure and Function of Cyclic Nucleotide-Dependent

Protein Kinases. *Annu Rev Physiol*. doi:10.1146/annurev.ph.56.030194.001321

Gaffarogullari EC, Masterson LR, Metcalfe EE, Traaseth NJ, Balatri E, Musa MM, Mullen D,

Distefano MD, Veglia G. 2011. A myristoyl/phosphoserine switch controls cAMP-

dependent protein kinase association to membranes. *J Mol Biol*.

doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2011.06.034

Gold MG. 2019. Swimming regulations for protein kinase A catalytic subunit. *Biochem Soc*

Trans. doi:10.1042/BST20190230

Harvey CD, Yasuda R, Zhong H, Svoboda K. 2008a. The spread of Ras activity triggered by

activation of a single dendritic spine. *Science (80-)* **321**:136–140.

doi:10.1126/science.1159675

Harvey CD, Yasuda R, Zhong H, Svoboda K. 2008b. The spread of Ras activity triggered by

activation of a single dendritic spine. *Science (80-)* **321**:136–140.

doi:10.1126/science.1159675

Ilouz R, Lev-Ram V, Bushong EA, Stiles TL, Friedmann-Morvinski D, Douglas C, Goldberg G,

Ellisman MH, Taylor SS. 2017. Isoform-specific subcellular localization and function of

protein kinase a identified by mosaic imaging of mouse brain. *Elife* **6**.

doi:10.7554/eLife.17681

Johnson DA, Akamine P, Radzio-Andzelm E, Madhusudan, Taylor SS. 2001. Dynamics of

cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Chem Rev*. doi:10.1021/cr000226k

Kopec CD, Li B, Wei W, Boehm J, Malinow R. 2006. Glutamate receptor exocytosis and spine

enlargement during chemically induced long-term potentiation. *J Neurosci* **26**:2000–

2009. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3918-05.2006

Ma L, Day-Cooney J, Benavides OJ, Muniak MA, Qin M, Ding JB, Mao T, Zhong H. 2022.

Locomotion activates PKA through dopamine and adenosine in striatal neurons.

Nature **611**:762–768. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05407-4

Matsuzaki M, Honkura N, Ellis-Davies GCR, Kasai H. 2004. Structural basis of long-term

potentiation in single dendritic spines. *Nature* **429**:761–766.

doi:10.1038/nature02617

Miyamoto E, Kuo JF, Greengard P. 1969. Cyclic nucleotide-dependent protein kinases. 3.

Purification and properties of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate-dependent protein

kinase from bovine brain. *J Biol Chem* **244**:6395–6402.

Otmakhov N, Lisman J. 2012. Measuring CaMKII concentration in dendritic spines. *J*

Neurosci Methods. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2011.09.022

Pologruto TA, Sabatini BL, Svoboda K. 2003. ScanImage: Flexible software for operating

laser scanning microscopes. *Biomed Eng Online* **2**:13. doi:10.1186/1475-925X-2-13

Reimann EM, Brostrom CO, Corbin JD, King CA, Krebs EG. 1971. Separation of regulatory

and catalytic subunits of the cyclic 3ʹ, 5ʹ-adenosine monophosphate-dependent

protein kinase(s) of rabbit skeletal muscle. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **42**.

doi:10.1016/0006-291X(71)90086-6

Smith FD, Esseltine JL, Nygren PJ, Veesler D, Byrne DP, Vonderach M, Strashnov I, Eyers CE,

Eyers PA, Langeberg LK, Scott JD. 2017. Local protein kinase A action proceeds

through intact holoenzymes. *Science (80-)* **356**. doi:10.1126/science.aaj1669

Smith FD, Reichow SL, Esseltine JL, Shi D, Langeberg LK, Scott JD, Gonen T. 2013. Intrinsic

disorder within an AKAP-protein kinase A complex guides local substrate

phosphorylation. *Elife* **2013**. doi:10.7554/eLife.01319.001

Stoppini L, Buchs PA, Muller D. 1991. A simple method for organotypic cultures of nervous

tissue. *J Neurosci Methods*. doi:10.1016/0165-0270(91)90128-M

Thomas MJ, Moody TD, Makhinson M, O'Dell TJ. 1996. Activity-dependent β-adrenergic

modulation of low frequency stimulation induced LTP in the hippocampal CA1 region.

Neuron **17**:475–482. doi:10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80179-8

Tillo SE, Xiong WH, Takahashi M, Miao S, Andrade AL, Fortin DA, Yang G, Qin M, Smoody BF,

Stork PJS, Zhong H. 2017. Liberated PKA Catalytic Subunits Associate with the

Membrane via Myristoylation to Preferentially Phosphorylate Membrane Substrates.

Cell Rep **19**:617–629. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.070

Vallee RB, DiBartolomeis MJ, Theurkauf WE. 1981. A protein kinase bound to the projection

portion of MAP 2 (microtubule-associated protein 2). *J Cell Biol* **90**.

doi:10.1083/jcb.90.3.568

Walker-Gray R, Stengel F, Gold MG. 2017. Mechanisms for restraining cAMP-dependent

protein kinase revealed by subunit quantitation and cross-linking approaches. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A **114**:10414–10419. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701782114

Weisenhaus M, Allen ML, Yang L, Lu Y, Nichols CB, Su T, Hell JW, Mcknight GS. 2010.

Mutations in AKAP5 disrupt dendritic signaling complexes and lead to

electrophysiological and behavioral phenotypes in mice. *PLoS One* **5**.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010325

Wong W, Scott JD. 2004. AKAP signalling complexes: Focal points in space and time. *Nat*

Rev Mol Cell Biol. doi:10.1038/nrm1527

Xiong WH, Qin M, Zhong H. 2021. Myristoylation alone is sufficient for PKA catalytic

subunits to associate with the plasma membrane to regulate neuronal functions. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A **118**. doi:10.1073/pnas.2021658118

Zaccolo M, De Giorgi F, Cho CY, Feng L, Knapp T, Negulescu PA, Taylor SS, Tsien RY, Pozzan

T. 2000. A genetically encoded, flourescent indicator for cyclic AMP in living cells. *Nat*

Cell Biol **2**:25–29. doi:10.1038/71345

Zhang P, Ye F, Bastidas AC, Kornev AP, Wu J, Ginsberg MH, Taylor SS. 2015. An Isoform-

Specific Myristylation Switch Targets Type II PKA Holoenzymes to Membranes.

Structure. doi:10.1016/j.str.2015.07.007

Zhong H, Sia GM, Sato TR, Gray NW, Mao T, Khuchua Z, Huganir RL, Svoboda K. 2009.

Subcellular Dynamics of Type II PKA in Neurons. *Neuron* **62**:363–374.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.013

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. PKA-C but not PKA-R redistributes to spines upon activation. (A, B)

Representative two-photon images of PKA-C-mEGFP co-expressed with PKA-RIIα or PKA-

RIβ at rest, or in the presence of norepinephrine (NE) or forskolin and IBMX (F + I).

mCherry (magenta) was co-expressed to reveal the neuronal morphology. (C–E)

Quantification and comparison of the spine enrichment index at the resting state (C) and

upon activation (D & E). As in panel C from left to right, n (spines/neurons) = 53/11, 34/7,

33/6, and 36/7.

Figure 2. Characterization of the inseparable R-C. (A) Schematic of wildtype PKA versus R-

C. In both cases PKA-C was C-terminally tagged by mEGFP. (B–C) Representative images

(B), quantifications of resting distribution (C), and the distribution change upon

stimulation by forskolin and IBMX (D) of R-C compared to PKA-RIIα-mEGFP and co-

expressed PKA-C-mEGFP/PKA-RIIα. RIIα and RIIα+C data are from Fig. 1C. n

(spines/neurons) = 48/10.

Figure 3. PKA regulation of synaptic plasticity cannot be sustained by an inseparable PKA

variant. (A–C) Representative image (A), time course (B), and the degree of potentiation (C)

at the indicated timepoints in panel B of single-spine LTP experiments as triggered by focal

glutamate uncaging at the marked spines (gray dot). In panel B, both stimulated spines

(solid circles) and non-stimulated control spines (open circles) are shown. As in panel C

from left to right, n (spines, each from a different neuron) = 8, 7, 17, 11, 9.

Figure 4. AMPA receptor-mediated synaptic transmission requires wildtype dissociable

PKA. (A–C) Representative traces (red) normalized to the paired control (blue) (insets) and

scatter plots of paired AMPA (A) and NMDA (B) receptor currents and AMPA/NMDA

receptor current ratios (C) from neighboring untransfected CA1 neurons and those

transfected with shRNA against PKA-C and the indicated shRNA-resistant rescue

constructs. Statistical p values were obtained using a sign test (MATLAB). From left to right,

n (neuron pairs) = 13, 11, 11, and 15.

Figure 1–figure supplement 1. Spine enrichment indexes and the movement of PKA-C

into spines upon activation are not dependent on the protein expression level. (A–B)

Averaged spine enrichment indexes within individual neurons of PKA-C co-expressed with

RIIα (A) and RIβ (B), and their linear fit at rest or under the indicated stimulation.

Figure 1–figure supplement 2. PKA-C translocation can be driven by norepinephrine at

low concentrations. (A, B) Representative two-photon images (A) and the collective trace of

PKA-C-mEGFP co-expressed with PKA-RIIα at rest, or in the presence of 2 μM

norepinephrine (NE) or forskolin and IBMX (F + I). DsRed Express (magenta) was co-

expressed to reveal the neuronal morphology. n (spines/neurons) = 16/4.

Figure 1–figure supplement 3. PKA-C and PKA-RIα differentially re-distributed upon

activation. The collective trace of PKA-C-mEGFP co-expressed with PKA-RIα (orange) and

PKA-RIα-mEGFP (light blue) at rest, or in the presence of 10 μM norepinephrine (NE) or

forskolin and IBMX (F + I). DsRed Express was co-expressed to reveal the neuronal

morphology. n (spines/neurons) = 20/5 for PKA-C and 16/4 for RIα.