1

Sde Proteins Coordinate Ubiquitin Utilization and Phosphoribosylation to Promote

Establishment and Maintenance of the *Legionella* Replication Vacuole

Running Title: Sde-mediated deubiquitination and ubiquitin phosphoribosylation.

Key terms: *Legionella*, macrophages, autophagy, ubiquitination, deubiquitination, intracellular

replication

Figs: 1-7

Supplemental figs: S1-S5

Supplemental Tables S1, S2 and S3

Kristin M. Kotewicz[1,2#], Mengyun Zhang[1,3#], Seongok Kim[1#], Meghan S. Martin[5], Atish Roy

Chowdhury[1], Albert Tai[4], Rebecca A. Scheck[5], and Ralph R. Isberg[1,4]

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work

[1] Department of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine, 150
Harrison Ave., Boston, MA 02111, USA
[2] Current address: Gastrointestinal Unit and Center for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel
Disease, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114
[3] Current address: Global Health Drug Discovery Institute, Haidian, Beijing, China
[4] Department of Developmental, Molecular and Chemical Biology, Tufts University School of
Medicine, 150 Harrison Ave., Boston, MA 02111, USA
[5] Department of Chemistry, Tufts University, 62 Talbot Ave, Medford, MA, 02155, USA
31
32

33   **Abstract**

34     The *Legionella pneumophila* Sde family of translocated proteins promotes host tubular

endoplasmic reticulum (ER) rearrangements that are tightly linked to phosphoribosyl-ubiquitin

(pR-Ub) modification of Reticulon 4 (Rtn4). Sde proteins have two additional activities of

unclear relevance to the infection process: K63 linkage-specific deubiquitination and

phosphoribosyl modification of polyubiquitin (pR-Ub). We show here that the deubiquitination

activity (DUB) stimulates ER rearrangements while pR-Ub protects the replication vacuole from

cytosolic surveillance by autophagy. Loss of DUB activity was tightly linked to lowered pR-Ub

modification of Rtn4, consistent with the DUB activity fueling the production of pR-Ub-Rtn4. In

parallel, phosphoribosyl modification of polyUb, in a region of the protein known as the

isoleucine patch, prevented binding by the autophagy adapter p62. An inability of Sde mutants to

modify polyUb resulted in immediate p62 association, a critical precursor to autophagic attack.

The ability of Sde WT to block p62 association decayed quickly after bacterial infection, as

predicted by the presence of previously characterized *L. pneumophila* effectors that inactivate

Sde and remove polyUb. In sum, these results show that the accessory Sde activities act to

stimulate ER rearrangements and protect from host innate immune sensing in a temporal fashion.

Introduction

Legionella pneumophila is a facultative intracellular bacterium that is the causative agent

of Legionnaire's disease, a pneumonia of high lethality that primarily occurs in the

immunocompromised and individuals with depressed lung function[1, 2]. Disease is usually

associated with aspiration of droplets from contaminated water sources harboring amoebae that

act as the environmental intracellular reservoir to support growth of the bacterium[3]. Once

deposited within the lungs, productive infection involves growth of *L. pneumophila* within

alveolar macrophages followed by attempted neutrophil clearing, which accumulates during

productive pneumonic disease[4]. The ability of the bacterium to grow within macrophages is

tightly linked to an environmental lifestyle that involves growth within amoebae, as establishing

a niche within amoebal species provides the selective pressure for acquisition of genes that

promote intracellular growth[5, 6]. Therefore, human disease promoted by the bacterium is a

consequence of targeting host cell functions that are largely conserved from amoebae to man.

Intracellular growth of *L. pneumophila* requires construction of the *Legionella-*

containing vacuole (LCV), which is derived from host membranes and is tightly associated with

host endoplasmic reticulum and the early secretory apparatus[7, 8]. The LCV avoids interaction

with the endocytic pathway including the highly antimicrobial lysosome[9]. Biogenesis of the

bacterial compartment requires the function of the Icm/Dot type IV secretion system that acts as

a syringe to deposit translocated bacterial effector proteins into the surrounding membrane as

well as into the cytosol[10, 11]. Every *Legionella* species member encodes at least 300 of these

effectors, which are remarkably poorly conserved, with only seven proteins found in all

species[12, 13]. The biochemical activities of a large number of these proteins have been identified,

many of which perform posttranslational modifications (PTMs) on host proteins, conferring

activation, inhibition, altered regulation or acquisition of new functions on the target proteins[14].

The most notable consequences of these activities are to maintain the integrity of the LCV[15],

promote association with proteins involved in endoplasmic reticulum dynamics[16], inactivate host

components that drive association with the endocytic compartment [17], and inhibit protein

synthesis[18].

One set of Icm/Dot system translocated proteins that drives a unique morphological

transformation of the LCV is the Sde family (SidE, SdeA, SdeB and SdeC)[19, 20, 21, 22]. The

importance of this family is emphasized by the discovery that at least five other *L. pneumophila*

translocated substrates regulate the dynamics of this family[23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. As is true of many

Icm/Dot substrates, each member is a composite of three enzymatic activities located on separate

domains (Fig. 1A)[29, 30, 31]. A mono-ADPribosyltransferase (mART) domain drives NAD-

dependent ADPribosylation of diverse ubiquitin species at Arginine 42 (ADPr-Ub)[20, 22]. In turn, a

phosphodiesterase-like domain (PDE) can use ADPr-Ub to either promote phosphoribosyl

linkage of Ub (pR-Ub) to substrates, or hydrolysis to generate free phosphoribosylated-Ub[21, 22].

The DUB domain is an accessory activity that preferentially deubiquitinates Lys63-linked

polyubiquitin chains[32]. A number of potential mammalian substrates have been shown to be pR-

Ub modified by Sde members on serine residues that are located in relatively unstructured

regions of proteins[29, 30]. Work with model substrates indicates that tyrosine residues may also be

targeted[33]. Although the number of Sde substrates is likely to be quite large given the structural

preference for pR-Ub modification, modifications of endoplasmic reticulum protein Rtn4[22] and

Golgi-associated GRASP family members appear most closely tied to events involved in LCV

biogenesis[27, 34]. In the absence of DUB activity, there is accumulation of polyUb about the

replication vacuole, with unknown consequences on replication vacuole formation or

intracellular growth[32].

Immediately after *L. pneumophila* is internalized by macrophages, pR-Ub modification of

Rtn4 drives replication vacuole association with endoplasmic reticulum tubules. This modification

results in highly detergent-resistant structures that appear to wall off the LCV from the host cell

cytosol[22], in a process that contributes to the protection of the LCV from attack by early endosomal

components that degrade the vacuole[35]. Simultaneously, there is accumulation of

polyubiquitinated targets in the vicinity of the LCV[36, 37, 38, 39, 40], which appear to be targets of the

Sde DUB domain[32, 41]. Phosphoribosyl modification of polyUb by Sde proteins stabilizes these

structures, by blocking wholesale reversal of polyubiquitination by both bacterial and host

DUBs[42]. Accumulation of polyUb potentially provides a target for the host cell autophagy pathway

that could drive degradation of the LCV[43, 44, 45]. *L. pneumophila* appears to have several systems

for blocking autophagic recognition of the LCV, including degradation of central components of

the autophagy machinery by the Icm/Dot effector RavZ[46], degradation of syntaxin 17 by effector

Lpg1137[47], reduction of sphingosine levels by LpSpl[48] as well as the described

phosphoribosylation[49].

In this study, we report the role of the DUB and phosphoribosylation accessory activities

of Sde family members. We show that the DUB domain surprisingly increases the efficiency of

Rtn4 mobilization in the vicinity of the LCV, while ADPribosylation or phosphoribosylation of

Ub interferes with autophagy by blocking binding of polyUb to an autophagy adaptor, primarily

by phosphoribosylation of target Ub chains in the LCV vicinity. Similar results have been

obtained in the simultaneously submitted manuscript by Wan, *et al.*[50]

125   **Results**

127   **The Sde DUB activity is required for efficient Rtn4 rearrangements in response to *L.***

128   ***pneumophila*.**

129   To determine if there is a link between the Sde family K63 deubiquitinase (DUB) domain

(Fig. 1A) and biogenesis of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), the kinetics of

polyubiquitin (polyUb) association and Rtn4 rearrangements in the vicinity of the LCVs were

analyzed. A previous study had shown that the absence of the DUB domain resulted in a 2-fold

increase in polyUb in the vicinity of the LCV[32]. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs)

were challenged with *L. pneumophila* derivatives lacking either the complete complement of *sde*

family members (*sidE*, *sdeABC*) or missing *sdeABC* (Δ*sdeC-A*), which removes all proteins

known to control Rtn4 dynamics[19]. After 5 min centrifugation onto BMDMs, followed by

fixation and probing with a polyUb-specific antibody (FK1), there was no evidence of polyUb in

the vicinity of the LCV of a WT strain containing empty vector (Fig. 1B; 0 minutes post

infection (MPI)). In contrast, challenge with *L. pneumophila* missing all *sde* family members

(Δ*sde*) resulted in over 30% of the LCVs displaying polyUb association. By 10 MPI, ~15% of

the vacuoles containing WT strain positively stained with polyUb, approximately 4X lower than

the Δ*sde* strain at this timepoint, consistent with previous observations (Fig. 1B; [32]). At 0 MPI,

Δ*sde* mutants were efficiently complemented by all three members of the Sde family known to

have biological activity (SdeA, SdeB and SdeC; Fig. 1B), although complementation of Δ*sdeC-A*

mutants retaining SidE was weaker (Fig. 1C). Surprisingly, for all complemented strains,

polyUb quickly rose to the levels seen in the absence of Sde family function (Fig. 1B,C; 30

MPI). The presence or absence of empty vector had no effect on the behavior of the WT strain

(Supplemental Fig. S1).

149   The ability to prevent polyUb association with the LCV at the earliest timepoint was

dependent on the activity of the DUB domain, as the SdeC-C118S active site mutation was

unable to block polyUb association at the earliest timepoint (Fig. 1C; 0 MPI). We conclude that

inhibition of the polyUb association with the LCV occurs transiently and is dependent on the Sde

deubiquitinase activity. The fact that the inhibition is transient is consistent with the LCV-

associated polyUb chains becoming resistant to the action of the DUB domain by 30 minutes

post-infection (MPI).

The biological significance of having a K63 deubiquitinase activity is unclear, especially

because the ART activity of Sde proteins directly blocks deubiquitination, arguing that the DUB

may play some role other than reducing LCV polyubiquitination [22, 42]. Alternatively, the DUB

activity could modulate the dynamics of Rtn4 rearrangements associated with the LCV[22]. To test

this model, BMDMs were challenged with *L. pneumophila* derivatives having defective DUB

activity followed by probing for Rtn4 detergent-resistant structures in the LCV vicinity (Figs.

1D,E) [22]. Surprisingly, the inactive DUB mutant (C118S) reduced Rtn4 colocalization with the

LCV. In strains expressing either SdeA, SdeB or SdeC as the sole demonstrated drivers of Rtn4

rearrangement, the C118S mutation reduced detergent-resistant Rtn4 association with the LCV

by approximately 50% (Figs. 1D,E). Plasmid-borne SdeA showed lowered complementation

levels (Figs. 1D,E), but SdeA also drove detergent-resistant Rtn4 structures that were not LCV-

associated, indicating that SdeA overproduction may result in leakage of the protein from the

LCV, as has been documented previously[19].

Consistent with data in Fig. 1E, Rtn4 association with the LCV was robust in strains

expressing SdeB or SdeC as the sole plasmid-expressed isoforms (Figs. 1I,J) and

indistinguishable from the WT strain (Fig. 1F). In contrast, loss of *sdeC-A* prevented Rtn4

association with the LCV, as did elimination of the T4SS (Figs. 1G, H). The presence of the

C118S mutation resulted in two populations, with a mixture of robust Rtn4-colocalization with

LCVs or RTN4 staining below the level of detection (Fig. 1K). Similar results were seen with the

DUB D80A catalytic mutant, whereas a control Cys mutant C293A showed high levels of

colocalization (Supplemental Fig. S2). These results are consistent with the DUB domain playing

a role in LCV biogenesis at the level of controlling Rtn4 dynamics.

To test if the Sde DUB activity plays a direct role in promoting Rtn4 rearrangements, we

determined if the catalytic domain contributed to the rate of Sde-dependent phosphoribosyl-

ubiquitin (pR-Ub) modification of Rtn4. In a purified system, pR-Ub modification of Rtn4 is

rapid and robust with the presence of excess mono-Ub[22, 33]. In contrast, infection provides

multiple potential sources of Ub, including K63-linked polyUb, which the DUB activity could

target to provide substrate for pR-Ub modification. To test this hypothesis, HEK293T cells

transfected with HA-Ub were challenged by *L. pneumophila* harboring either WT or DUB-

defective (C118S) derivatives as the only active Sde family members. At 10 or 180 MPI, cells

were extracted and subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-Rtn4, followed by

immunoprobing with anti-HA to reveal Rtn4 ubiquitination (Fig. 1L). Detectable mono- and di-

modification of the Rtn4B and Rtn4B2 isoforms were observed as early as 10 MPI with

continued increase at 180 MPI in response to the WT strain (Fig. 1L, WT). In contrast, challenge

with Δ*sde* mutants showed HA-Ub modification that was indistinguishable from mock-infected

controls (Fig. 1M; vector vs. Mock). Challenge with Δ*sde* mutants harboring single SdeA or

SdeB isoforms each showed rescue of the HA-Ub modification defect, and their rescue was

largely eliminated by the presence of the C118S catalytic mutation (Fig. 1M; compare p*sdeA* and

p*sdeB* to the respective C118S derivatives). Therefore, the absence of Sde DUB activity results

in a strong reduction in both detergent-resistant Rtn4 and Ub modification of Rtn4, strongly

arguing that Sde-mediated deubiquitination of K63-linked polyUb plays a role in LCV dynamics,

possibly providing a pool of mono-Ub substrate for the ART domain.

ADPribosylation of ubiquitin by Sde results in hyper-ubiquitination of the LCV.

The presence of robust polyUb association with the LCV in the absence of the DUB

domain indicates that pR-modification probably stabilizes polyUb (Fig. 1). This is inconsistent

with the previous model that pR modification of Ub plays a role in blocking polyubiquitination

during LCV formation[21]. Rather, it seems likely that pR-modification and ADP-ribosylation act

to block both bacterial DUBs as well as a variety of host-encoded K63- and K48-specific DUBs

(Fig. 2A)[42].   This was verified by incubating poly Ub$_{3-7}$ (Ub chain lengths 3-7) with WT SdeC

and active site mutants prior to incubation with either a low linkage-specificity DUB (USP2) or

K63- and K48-specific DUBs of eukaryotic origin. Both WT enzyme (promoting pR

modification) and the PDE(-) mutant (promoting ADPr modification) uniformly blocked DUB

activity on either K48- or K63-linked Ub$_{3-7,}$ similar to what was observed previously (Fig. 2A)[42].

As observed previously, the absence of the ART domain resulted in quantitative hydrolysis of

the K63 polyUB chain by the SdeC DUB domain, leaving monomeric Ub that was poorly

resolved after probing with a poly-UB specific antibody (Fig. 2A, ART⁻) [22]. As predicted, this

hydrolysis in the absence of ART activity was less efficient with the K48-linked substrate[22, 42].

The fact that ADPr modification blocked DUB activity allowed us to interrogate the

consequences of Ub modification without confounding Rtn4 rearrangements promoted by the

PDE domain.

To analyze *L. pneumophila* derivatives altered in Sde family function, BMDM

monolayers were challenged for 20 min, and changes in polyUb in the LCV vicinity were

analyzed by probing fixed samples with a polyUb-specific antibody (Fig. 2B). Neither *sdeC*$_{C118S}$

(DUB⁻) nor *sdeC*$_{E859A}$ (ART⁻) mutants showed significant increases in polyUb fluorescence

intensity/vacuole if the analysis were restricted to LCVs having associated polyUb (Fig. 2B). In

contrast, challenge with the *L. pneumophila* PDE⁻ mutant resulted in dense accumulation of

LCV-associated polyubiquitination in spite of the fact that Rtn4 rearrangements were blocked

(Fig. 2B). This dense association was maintained over time (Fig. 2C). Most notable was the

presence of unusual polyUb-modified structures after challenge with the PDE⁻ mutant. While the

Δ*sde* and ART⁻ mutants showed polyUb staining about individual LCVs (Figs. 2E,G), the PDE⁻

mutant showed polyUb structures that wrapped around the LCV and extended into serpentine

structures across the interior of the BMDM (Fig. 2H). We occasionally observed dense structures

in response to challenge with the DUB⁻ mutant as well (Fig. 2F), but the frequency was little

changed from WT (Figs. 2B,C). We conclude that Sde-mediated modification of polyUb chains

stabilizes LCV-associated Ub from the attack by both bacterial- and host derived DUBs. The

observed deregulation of associated polyubiquitination in the absence of PDE activity indicates

that direct modification of Ub is sufficient to stabilize polyUb. Furthermore, there is no evidence

that pR- or ADPr-Ub modification plays a role in blocking polyubiquitination.

Sde family members exclusively modify Arg42 residues throughout poly-Ub chains.

The data showing that either pR- or ADPr-Ub modification stabilizes poly-Ub chains is

consistent with Sde family members blocking recognition of poly-Ub by proteins that bind

polyubiquitin chains. Previous work has shown that the Arg42 residue on mono-Ub is modified

by either SdeA and SdeC[20, 21, 22], indicating that phosphoribosylation of this residue is likely to

be the critical event that blocks recognition by other proteins. However, no evidence has been

provided that poly-Ub is quantitatively modified on the Arg42 residue, leaving the possibility

that the blockade witnessed in our experiments may be due to alteration at other sites internal to

poly-Ub. To demonstrate that internal Ub monomers within poly-Ub chains are quantitatively

modified at Arg42, K63-linked Ub_4 tetramers were incubated with SdeC-WT protein in the

presence of NAD and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS; Fig. 3A;

Materials and Methods)[22]. In the absence of SdeC, unmodified Ub_4 protein was observed with a

deconvoluted molecular mass of 34,206.75 AMU. Incubation of SdeC with Ub_4 at a ratio of

1:750 (SdeC: Ub_4) for 1 hr resulted in 96.5% of the Ub_4 population increasing in mass by 848.21

AMU, corresponding to four modifications with mass=212.05 AMU, as predicted by four pR

additions. These modified and unmodified Ub_4 preparations were digested with trypsin, and

subjected to LC-MS/MS to determine if the pR modifications were found exclusively on Arg42

(Fig. 3B), as they were for monomeric Ub^{22}. An ion unique to the SdeC-treated preparation was

identified at m/z=627.6392, z=3, as predicted for the 10-residue tryptic peptide containing the

pR-modified Arg42 residue and as shown by extracted ion chromatogram (EIC). In contrast, no

such peptide was present in the untreated samples (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, peptides containing

the other Arg residues in Ub, Arg54 and Arg72, were indistinguishable in the SdeC-treated and

untreated control, arguing against their modification in poly-Ub. A two amino acid tryptic

fragment containing Arg74 was too small to be resolved in either sample.

The 10-residue fragment from the Sde-treated sample was subjected to b- and y-ion

analysis after LC-MS/MS to demonstrate that Arg42 was the modified residue (Fig. 3C).

Modification of R42 by pR predicts that y ions beginning with y_7 and successively larger peptide

ions should have an increase in mass of 212.05 AMU, while y_6 and shorter peptides should show

no such increase. These ions were identified with high resolution, as were many other y ions

leading up to, and beyond R42, yielding *m/z* ratios expected of pR modification at this site and

not at other residues. Analysis of b ion data supported the y ion identifications. Additionally, no

ions corresponding to the mass of unmodified R42 could be identified in SdeC-treated samples.

Therefore, modification of poly-Ub occurs with the identical specificity of mono-Ub and

similarly results in quantitative addition of pR to R42 using limiting amounts of enzyme relative

to substrate.

Sde-mediated modification of polyUb blocks autophagy adaptor SQSTM1/p62 binding via

UBA domain.

The Ub Arg42 residue is a key target for modulating ubiquitin dynamics. Autophagy

adaptors such as p62 link ubiquitinated compartments to LC3-decorated phagophores via

ubiquitin associated (UBA) domains that recognize a hydrophobic patch on the Ub surface[51, 52].

Integral to this patch are the residues I44, V70 and L71, directly proximal to R42, the target

residue of ADPr modification by Sde (Fig. 4A, blue arrows). Structural analysis has shown that

R42 can directly participate in the UBA interface (Fig. 4B) and is likely involved in p62

recognition based on NMR analysis[53, 54]. We therefore tested if modification of the Ub at Arg42

residue blocks recognition by the p62 autophagy adaptor.

His-p62-Ni-NTA resin was challenged with K48- or K63-linked Ub_{3-7} mixed polymers

that had been pretreated with SdeC WT or its catalytic mutant derivatives (Materials and

Methods). The unbound fraction was collected (FT; flow through), and the p62-associated Ub_{3-7}

(Eluate) was detected after co-elution with imidazole, followed by SDS-PAGE fractionation and

immunoprobing with anti-Ub (Fig. 4C). In the absence of SdeC, both K48- and K63-linked Ub_{3-7}

were efficiently co-eluted with p62 (Fig. 4D; no SdeC preincubation). In contrast, pretreatment

with the SdeC WT, DUB^- (C118S) or PDE^- (H416A) derivatives all reduced K63- or K48-linked

Ub_{3-7} binding to p62 (Fig. 4D; E lanes). This indicates that either pR- or ADPr-modification of

polyUb blocks p62 recognition. In the case of the K48 derivative, the loss of the ART domain

restored Ub_{3-7}-p62 coelution, however it caused depolymerization of K48-linked Ub_{3-7} into

monomeric Ub, making the effects of this mutant difficult to evaluate in this assay (Fig. 4D;

E859A).

To determine the effects of Ub Arg42 modification in a more quantitative assay, binding

affinities to Ub_4 were determined using surface plasmon resonance (SPR) in the presence of a

DUB inhibitor (Ub-propargylamide, Ub-PA) to prevent degradation of the Ub_4 polymer

(Supplemental Fig. S3). K63-linked Ub_4 was modified by SdeC WT or its catalytic mutant

derivatives in the presence of Ub-PA (Materials and Methods), the modified K63-linked Ub_4 was

introduced onto flow chips with immobilized p62, and binding was measured by SPR over time

(Fig. 4E). In the absence of SdeC, Ub_4 bound His-p62 with apparent $K_D = 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$ to 6.2×10^{-8}

M, indicating that binding affinity was at least as efficient as previous SPR studies using diUb as

a substrate ($K_D = 9.3 \times 10^{-8} M$ [55]) (Fig. 4F). Binding of p62 to mono-Ub was very poor, consistent

with DUB activity interfering with recognition of p62, with binding constants that could not be

reliably determined by SPR (Fig. 4G, Fig. S3). Ub_4 pretreated with SdeC, either in the presence of

Ub-PA or having the C118S DUB mutation, resulted in blockade of p62 binding, consistent with

phosphoribosylation blocking recognition of Ub by UBA domains (Figs. 4H,I). ADPr

modification of Ub at Arg42 was sufficient to block binding, as the $SdeC_{H416A}$ PDE^- mutant

blocked binding as efficiently as WT (Fig. 4J). In all cases in which modification blocked p62

recognition, the binding constants could not be reliably determined by SPR. Elimination of the

ART activity restored Ub$_4$ binding to p62, with a similar binding affinity to untreated, consistent

with PA blocking the DUB activity (Fig. 4K; $K_D = 1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ M). These results strongly argue

that modification of the Ub at Arg42 residue by SdeC disrupts recognition by p62.

Sde-mediated modification of polyUb chains prevents association of p62 with the LCV.

To determine if Sde proteins enzymatically camouflage the replication vacuole from

recognition by p62, BMDMs were challenged with *L. pneumophila sde* variants and probed for

p62 localization in the vicinity of the LCV. A variety of p62 morphological variants were

observed, including LCV-associated puncta and LCVs enveloped by p62 (Supplemental Fig. S4).

After bacterial contact (5 min centrifugation) and immediate fixation, LCVs harboring *L.*

pneumophila WT showed no envelopment of p62 (Fig. 5A). In contrast, a large fraction of the

vacuoles after challenge with the *L. pneumophila* Δ*sde* strain were enveloped by p62 (Fig. 5B).

As time progressed, a variety of morphologies became apparent, such as the alignment of puncta

proximal to the LCV. As puncta associated with the LCV were difficult to distinguish from those

in the general vicinity, we limited quantification to identifying vacuoles with enveloping p62

structures and determining total p62 intensity associated with individual vacuoles.

Immediately after bacterial contact with BMDMs, both the WT and the T4SS$^-$ strains were

devoid of p62 staining (Fig. 5C). In contrast, approximately 30% of the *L. pneumophila* Δ*sde*

bacteria were found to be enveloped by p62 (Fig. 5C). Colocalization was largely lost when either

sdeA, sdeB, or sdeC expressed on plasmids was introduced into the Δ*sde* strain. Quantification of

p62 signal associated with the LCV showed that there were two populations of bacteria after

challenge with the Δ*sde* strain, with a population showing p62 recruitment levels that were similar

to WT and a second population with 8-10X higher fluorescence intensity (Fig. 5D). In contrast,

the WT and Δ*sde* strains harboring Sde isoforms *in trans* showed indistinguishable low levels of

p62 recruitment (Fig. 5D).

As the infection proceeded, colocalization of p62 with the Δ*sde* strain continued to

increase (Fig. 5E, >50% at 20 MPI) with the population of LCVs strongly skewing toward

hyperaccumulation of p62 (Fig. 5F). Concurrently, a second phenomenon became apparent in

strains harboring plasmids that produced excess Sde isoforms. There was clear complementation

of the Δ*sde* defect (Fig. 5E), but accumulation of p62 in the vicinity of the LCV at 20 MPI was

observed that distinguished these constructs from the WT strain (Fig. 5E). Accumulation could

also be observed when the fluorescence intensity of individual LCVs was determined, with a

population emerging with increased p62 density (Fig. 5F). This phenomenon appeared to be a

premature version of what occurs with the WT strain, as 20% of the LCVs harboring the WT

showed accumulation of p62 by 60 MPI, with evidence of an emerging population showing

increased p62 density in the LCV vicinity (Figs. 5G, H). There was robust accumulation of p62

about individual LCVs harboring WT strain at this timepoint that appeared indistinguishable

from individual LCVs harboring the Δ*sde* strain (Fig. 5I). Furthermore, at 180MPI, accumulation

of p62 around the LCV in the Δ*sde* strain was indistinguishable from p62 accumulation around

WT LCVs (Figs. 5J, K). When the percentage of LCVs associated with p62 was plotted as a

function of time post-infection (Fig. 5L), there was early accumulation of p62 that was blocked

by the Sde family (0-20 MPI). This was followed by decay of p62 accumulation after infection

of the Δ*sde* strain, while the WT showed increasing p62 between 20 and 60 MPI, resulting in a

convergence of the phenotypes (180 MPI). The loss of Sde protection of the LCV is consistent

with reversal of its activity by known metaeffectors SidJ and DupA/B [24, 25, 26, 56].

To determine the role of the individual Sde activities in preventing p62 accumulation,

point mutations in each of the three catalytic domains were analyzed at 0 MPI after macrophage

challenge, when the Sde family exerts its most striking effects. Mutations in *sdeB* were introduced

into the Δ*sde* strain, as this isoform showed the most effective complementation (Fig. 5C), and

these mutants were then used to challenge macrophages. Point mutations in the catalytic sites of

the DUB or PDE domains, as well as a double mutant missing the catalytic activity in both of

these domains, still retained the ability to block p62 colocalization after association (Figs. 6A-C).

In contrast, a mutation in the ART domain caused a large increase in p62 recruitment to LCVs,

indicating that ADP ribosylation of Ub Arg42 by the ART domain blocks p62 recognition of the

LCVs (Fig. 6A). The presence or absence of empty vector in the WT strain had little effect on this

result (Supplemental Fig. S5). It should be noted that there appeared to be some residual

interference of p62 in the single ART mutant, but this was eliminated by simultaneously

introducing a DUB mutation, indicating that the DUB domain may play a minor role in lowering

p62 recognition of the LCV (Fig. 6A). As seen with the Δ*sde* strain, the ART mutants resulted in

a clear subpopulation showing approximately 10-fold increase in p62 accumulations (Figs. 6B,C).

These results support the biochemical data that either ADPribosylation or phosphoribosylation of

Ub at Arg42 is sufficient to block p62 recognition. It also argues against models that propose pR-

linked Ub modification of a peripheral factor plays a role[57], as ADPribosylation of Ub was

sufficient to interfere with p62 recruitment.

Discussion.

The *L. pneumophila* Sde family drives phosphoribosyl-linked ubiquitination (pR-Ub) of

targets, most spectacularly leading to largescale rearrangements of the tubular ER protein

reticulon 4 (Rtn4)[22]. In addition, there are two accessory activities of Sde proteins that have

unclear biological roles. The Sde deubiquitinase (DUB) domain preferentially deubiquitinates

Lys63-linked polyubiquitin chains (Fig. 1)[32], while the other is the hydrolytic product of

ADPribosylated ubiquitin (ADPr-Ub), resulting in phosphoribosylated ubiquitin (pR-Ub)[22, 58].

The phosphoribosylation occurs at the Ub Arg42 residue, strategically placed in a hydrophobic

patch that provides a recognition surface for ubiquitin binding proteins that drive autophagy (Fig.

3C; Fig. 4A,B)[53, 59]. The presence of Sde family members is linked to a lowered association of

Ub-recognizing autophagy adaptors to the LCVs[49], consistent with phosphoribosylation

promoting maintenance of the LCV in the face of cytosolic antimicrobial attacks.

In this manuscript, we showed that inhibition of polyUb formation about the replication

vacuole was transiently controlled by the Sde DUB domain and limited to the earliest times after

infection (Fig. 1). This early degradation of polyUb by the DUB domain fueled phosphoribosyl-

linked ubiquitination because pR-Ub modification of Rtn4 slowed greatly in the absence of DUB

activity and was accompanied by a reduction in Rtn4 accumulation about the replication vacuole

(Fig. 1D-M). Therefore, it appears that there is K63-polyubiquitination of the LCV about the

nascent replication vacuoles[39, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64] that provides the substrate for the Sde DUB activity,

generating monoubiquitin fuel for the Sde mART domain (Fig. 7B,C). This is then directly

transferred by the PDE domain to generate pR-Ub modified Rtn4, allowing a direct pathway from

vacuolar polyUb to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) rearrangements (Fig. 7D).

The association of polyUb with the replication vacuole should be a suicide signal for *L.*

pneumophila, as ubiquitination marks intracellular compartments for degradation by the

autophagy pathway[45, 65]. Autophagy results from binding of adaptor molecules (such as p62) to

Ub-modfied compartments which, in turn, bridge to autophagophores decorated by LC3/Atg8[66]. It

is well established that after *L. pneumophila* forms the LCV, autophagy is blocked via the action

of the Icm/Dot substrate RavZ, which irreversibly hydrolyzes and deconjugates LC3/Atg8 from

the nascent autophagophore, blocking binding to autophagy adaptors[46]. In the absence of RavZ,

the LCV is still resistant to autophagy, indicating that the vacuole is masked in a fashion that

prevents recognition by the LC3-decorated autophagophore[46, 49]. We show here that modifying

the Arg42 residue of polyUb with either mono-ADPribose or phosphoribose physically blocks

binding to the autophagy adaptor p62 (Fig. 4), and similar results have been obtained by Wan and

coworkers[50]. Surface plasmon resonance experiments showed no detectable p62 binding in

presence of high concentrations of polyUb modified by either WT (pR modification) or the

H416A derivative (ADPr modification; Fig. 4F-K). Consistent with the data using purified

proteins, both modifications blocked p62 association with the LCV (Fig. 6) and stabilized polyUb

about the replication vacuole (Fig. 2). In fact, the ADPr modification resulted in

hyperaccumulation of polyUb about the replication vacuole (Fig. 2B,C), consistent with enhanced

resistance to both DUBs and autophagy adaptor recognition.

That all the proposed functions of phosphoribosylation can be fulfilled without hydrolysis

of the ADPr modification questions the purpose of the pR modification. It seems likely that

allowing vacuole-associated polyUb to accumulate ADPr modifications would result in covalent

linkage of Sde targets directly to the replication vacuole, even if this is a low likelihood event [22,

27, 34]. In addition, the ART and PDE domains work independently and consecutively, allowing

ADPr modification to persist on polyubiquitin chains, raising the possibility that unwanted

proteins would be immobilized about the replication vacuole. This problem is particularly

exacerbated by the fact that Sde-promoted pR-Ub linkage preferentially occurs on unstructured

regions of proteins, which could drive promiscuous linkage of poorly folded proteins about the

vacuole. Therefore, hydrolysis of ADPr-Ub at Arg42 acts as a timing device, requiring target to

be immediately accessible to Sde and ADPr-Ub prior to decay to pR-Ub.

It has been previously reported that pR-Ub modification of USP14, a host cell

deubiquitinase, is a central strategy for preventing p62 association with the *Legionella* replication

vacuole[57]. The authors proposed that modification of USP14 disrupted its direct interaction with

p62, preventing association of the LCV with the autophagy adaptor[57]. The data we presented here

argue against USP14 modification being a major mechanism for autophagy avoidance, and that

modification of LCV-associated Ub is likely the primary strategy used by Sde proteins to protect

against autophagy. First, pR-modification of Ub blocked p62 association (Fig. 4), and, given the

expected stoichiometry of Ub relative to USP14, it is likely that this direct blockade is the primary

contributor to autophagy avoidance. Secondly, ADPr-modification of poly-Ub was sufficient to

avoid autophagy (Figs. 2B, 5A), arguing that pr-Ub modifications of any peripheral targets such

as USP14 are unlikely to contribute to autophagy avoidance. Finally, we showed that there was a

minor, but detectable, contribution of the DUB domain to autophagy avoidance (Fig. 5A),

consistent with host DUBs making similar enzymatic contributions, rather than playing a role in

direct binding to the p62 target.

Our data on the transient nature of p62 blockade illustrates an important biological

principal underlying Sde family function (Fig 4L). There is little, if any, association of p62 with

the *L. pneumophila* WT replication vacuole during the first 20 minutes of macrophage infection.

In contrast, challenge with the Δ*sde* strain results in p62 association with the LCV at early

timepoints (Figs. 4E,L). This huge difference largely disappears by 1 hour post infection, as there

appears to be increased accumulation of p62 about the WT LCV, with coordinate decreased p62

accumulation about the Δ*sde* LCV (Fig. 4L). By 3 hr post infection, the Δ*sde* mutant and WT look

indistinguishable, with each showing about 80% of the LCVs devoid of p62 localization.

Therefore, function of Sde decays rapidly during the first hour after infection, with a new activity

appearing in both strain backgrounds that interferes with p62 association.

The decay in protection from p62 association is predicted by the function of proteins that

modulate Sde family activity. Icm/Dot translocated proteins SidJ and SdjA glutamylate Sde

proteins, blocking their ability to ADPribosylate ubiquitin[23, 24, 25, 26]. As expression of the *sde*

genes appears to be downregulated after contact with mammalian cells[19, 67], this modification

effectively removes the pool of enzyme capable of ADPribosylating Ub. The fact that over time

there is some increase in the level of p62 association with the WT LCV indicates that there is

accumulation of polyubiquitin in the LCV vicinity during the first hour after infection that cannot

be modified by the inactivated Sde enzymes. In this regard, it should be noted that pR-Ub

modification of target proteins is also reversed by the linkage-specific deubiquitinases DupA and

DupB, consistent with a general decay in Sde function as well as loss of memory of its activity

during the first hour after infection[27, 28]. Therefore, Sde functions as critical cog in the

morphogenesis of the LCV during the earliest stages of replication vacuole establishment.

Efficient intracellular growth requires decay in Sde function, as SidJ mutants that result in

extended Sde family activity show slowed intracellular growth[68].

In summation, the Sde family is involved in critical early steps that are associated with

homeostatic regulation of replication vacuole morphogenesis. Accessory activities that modulate

polyubiquitin dynamics about the replication vacuole are tightly linked to phosphoribosyl-linked

ubiquitination of substrate (Fig. 7). We argue that the DUB domain provides fuel for pR-Ub

modification, while pR modification of polyubiquitination associated with the LCV prevents

attack by an important arm of host cell cytosolic defense. Finally, the transient nature of Sde

function during replication vacuole formation is an intrinsic feature of this system, as we witness

decay in the ability to block the autophagic response. The transient nature of providing fuel for

Sde function is also supported by this work, as phosphoribosyl modification of polyUb is

predicted to block DUB function (Fig. 2) and reduce the amount of fuel to support Sde-mediated

ubiquitination of substrates. The biological importance of limiting Sde function to the earliest

stages of replication compartment biogenesis requires further investigation.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial culture and media

L. pneumophila derivatives used in these studies were streptomycin-resistant restriction-

defective thymidine auxotrophs derived from a clinical isolate of *Legionella pneumophila*, strain

Philadelphia-1 (Lp01)[11, 69, 70]. *L. pneumophila* strains were propagated on charcoal–N-(2-

acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid (ACES)–yeast extract plates, with or without thymidine

as needed (CYE/T) and in ACES-yeast extract broth (AYE/T)[7, 11, 71]. Plasmids were introduced

as described[22].

For the infection of mammalian cells, *L. pneumophila* strains were grown overnight to

post-exponential phase (OD_{600} 3.5-4.5) to a predominantly motile state as described previously[72].

Legionella pneumophila derivatives are described in Supplemental Table S1, *Escherichia coli*

derivatives are described in Supplemental Table S2 and oligonucleotides used in this study are

listed in Supplemental Table S3.

Eukaryotic cell culture

Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were isolated from femurs and tibias of

female 6-10week old A/J mice[7] and frozen in fetal bovine serum (FBS) with 10% dimethyl

sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were plated the day before infection in RPMI 1640 (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% FBS and 2 mM glutamine at 37°C with 5% CO_2. HEK293T cells,

obtained from ATCC, were cultivated in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated (FBS, Invitrogen) (vol/vol), 100U/ml of penicillin-

streptomycin (Gibco) at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

Polyubiquitin colocalization with LCV

BMDMs were plated on glass coverslips at 1-2 x 10^5/well in 24-well plates. Cells were

challenged at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 1, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min and

incubated for the indicated time points. The infection mixtures were then fixed in PBS

containing 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized in PBS containing 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100

for 30 min, probed with mouse anti-polyUb (Enzo Life Biosciences, Cat# BML-PW8805-0500,

1:250) and rabbit anti- *L. pneumophila* followed by secondary probing with goat anti-rabbit

Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen, Cat# 111-585-144, 1:500) and donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488

(Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# A-11012, 1:500). Hoescht 33342 (Life Technologies,

Cat#62249 1:10,000) was used to label nuclei.

Immunofluorescence of Rtn4-LCV colocalization

BMDMs were plated on glass coverslips at 1-2 x 10^5/well in 24-well plates. Cells were

infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 1, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min and incubated

for 1 hr. The infection mixtures were then fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde,

permeabilized in PBS containing 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 for 30 min, probed rabbit anti-RTN4

(Lifespan Biosciences, Cat# LS-B6516, 1:500) and rat anti- *L. pneumophila*[73] followed by

secondary probing with goat anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# 111-

545-003, 1:500) and donkey anti-rat Alexa Fluor 594 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# 712-585-

153, 1:500). Hoescht 33342 (Life Technologies, Cat#62249 1:10,000) was used to label nuclei.

Rtn4 Immunoprecipitations

For transfections preceding Rtn4 Immunoprecipitations (IP), HEK293T cells were plated

in DMEM medium containing 10% fetal calf serum at a density of 4 x 10^6 cells in a tissue

culture-treated 10cm dish. The next day, 12μg of pMT123 DNA (pHA::ubiquitin) was

transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000, following manufacturer's procedure (Life

Sciences). The mammalian HA::ubiquitin expression plasmid pMT123 was a kind gift from D.

Bohmann and S. Lippard[60, 74]. The next day, transfection medium was removed and replaced

with DMEM with 10μM MG132 (Calbiochem) at ~30-60min prior to challenge with *L.*

pneumophila at an MOI=10 for the indicated times, following conditions previously described [75,

[76]. Post infection, cells were lifted and washed in PBS, centrifuged at 4°C at 200 x g, and then

cell pellets were immediately frozen on liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until used[22].

For immunoprecipitations, anti-Rtn4 resin was generated by disuccinimidyl suberate

crosslinking with anti-Rtn4 (Nogo N-18, Santa Cruz sc-11027) to Protein A/G Plus™ resin at

2μg/μl of packed resin as described in manufacturer protocol (Pierce crosslink IP kit). Samples

were lysed in 1% Triton X-100 (20 mM Tris [pH 7], 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$) containing

protease inhibitor (Roche, Cat# 11697498001) with end-over-end rotation for 20 min at 4°C.

Cleared cell lysates were obtained by centrifugation at ≥14,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, diluted

with an equal volume of detergent-free buffer, and mixed with washed resin (50% slurry). The

mixture was incubated at 4°C via end-over-end rotation for ≥ 4 hr, then washed ≥ 5X in the

buffer containing 0.1% Triton X-100 at 4°C.

For elution, Rtn4 resin in spin columns was incubated with 0.1M glycine (pH = 2.8) with

0.2% Triton X-100 for 5min, centrifuged, then eluate was reapplied to resin for additional 5 min

incubation at room temperature, centrifuged and neutralized with 0.5M Tris (pH=10.55)[22]. Eluate

bound to resin was resuspended with reducing SDS sample buffer, boiled, then fractionated by

SDS-PAGE coupled with immunoblot analyses.

Protein purification

549   Full-length *sdeC* genes were amplified by PCR and cloned into the bacterial expression

550   vector pQE-80L (Qiagen) with *BamHI* and *SacI* restriction sites to generate a 6xHis-SdeC-

551   StrepII fusion construct (Supplemental Table S1). Purification was as previously described[22].

552   Purified aliquots were stored at -80°C in 10% glycerol to minimize or eliminate freeze thaw

553   cycle degradation.

Deubiquitinase assay in presence of Sde modification

His-tagged recombinant SdeC and its derivatives were bound to Ni-NTA (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Cat# 88221) resin at 1 μg enzyme per 25μl of packed resin in 1X ART buffer (20mM

Tris 10mM NaCl, pH7.4) for 1 hr at 4°C with end-over-end rotation. The beads were washed 3X

with 1X ART buffer to remove non-adsorbed enzyme with microcentrifuge spin filters spun at

3000 x g at room temperature. After the final wash, each 25μl of pelleted resin was incubated

with 2μg of recombinant human K63-linked (R&D systems, Cat# UC-320) or K48-linked (R&D

Systems, Cat# UC-220) poly-ubiquitin and 500μM ε-NAD in 300 μl of 1X ART buffer for 2 hrs

with end-over-end gentle rotation at 37°C. After incubation, the SdeC or its derivatives-bound

resin were removed with microcentrifuge spin column filters, and the polyUb reaction mixture

was collected. This polyUb fraction was then incubated with either 1) 100 nM human

recombinant PolyHistidine-otubain 1(R&D systems, Cat# E-522B), 2) 50 nM recombinant

USP2 catalytic domain (R&D systems, Cat# E-504), 3) 50 nM recombinant PolyHistidine-

CYLD (R&D systems, Cat# E-556), or 50nM recombinant SdeC DUB (1-192) at 37°C in 1X

ART buffer for 2 hr. A fraction of the reaction was removed, terminated by the addition of

reducing sample buffer, and then heated to 50-55°C for 20-30min to avoid Ub chain aggregation.

Relative DUB activity was determined by immunoblot probing of SDS- SDS-PAGE fractionated

proteins using Image Studio software (LI-COR Biosciences) after 2 hr incubation by comparing

the efficiency of cleavage of modified Ub relative to unmodified.

Immunoblotting

Immunoblots were performed as described [77]. Samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE

and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membrane was blocked in 50 mM Tris-

buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST, pH 8.0) containing 4% nonfat milk for 1 hr at room

temperature and probed with primary antibodies: 1) anti-HA-probe (Santa Cruz Biotechnology,

Cat# sc-7392, 1:500), 2) anti-Ub (FK1; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Cat# sc-8017) or anti-actin

(Sigma-Aldrich, Cat# A2066, 1:1,000) in TBST containing 2% BSA at 4°C overnight. After

washing 3X with TBST, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibodies: donkey

IRDye 680RD anti-mouse (LI-COR Biosciences, Cat# 926-68072, 1:20,000), Goat IRDye

680RD anti-rabbit (LI-COR Biosciences, Cat# 926-68071, 1:20,000), and/or donkey

IRDye800CW anti-mouse (LI-COR Biosciences, Cat# 926-32212, 1:20,000), goat IRDye

800CW α-rabbit (LI-COR Biosciences, Cat# 926-32211, 1:20,000) for 45 min at room

temperature. Capture and analysis were performed using Li-Cor Odyssey CLX scanner and

Image Studio software (LI-COR Biosciences).

Bead-binding assay for adaptors association with polyUb post Sde-mediated modification

of Ub

His-tagged recombinant full-length SdeC derivatives were adsorbed to Ni-NTA (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Cat# 88221) resin at 1μg enzyme per 25 μl of packed resin in 1X ART buffer

for 1 hr at 4°C with end-over-end rotation. The SdeC adsorbed resin was washed 3X with 1X

ART buffer to remove nonadsorbed enzyme with microcentrifuge spin filters centrifuged at 3000

x g at room temperature. After the final SdeC resin wash, each 25 μl pelleted resin was

resuspended with 4μg of recombinant human homotypic-linked polyubiquitin chains Ub_{3-7} (K63

or K48, 4μg/600μl reaction volume, Boston Biochem, R&D) and 500μM ε-NAD in 1X ART

buffer for 2 hrs with gentle end-over-end rotation at 37°C. During incubation of SdeC and

polyUb chains, 1μg recombinant PolyHis-p62 was adsorbed to 5 μl pre-equilibrated packed Ni-

NTA resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 88221) via 1 hr, end-over-end rotation at 4°C in low

adhesion 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. Polyubiquitin chains were then separated from SdeC by

collection of SdeC resin in a microcentrifuge column spin filter and then the polyUb fraction was

incubated with recombinant PolyHis-p62 on Ni-NTA resin for 1 hr at room temperature with

end-over-end rotation. The resin was washed 3-5X with 50mM Tris 0.1% BSA (vol/vol) pH7.5

at room temperature, then resin having adsorbed proteins and bound polyUb chains were eluted

from the Ni-NTA beads with 250 mM imidazole diluted in 50 mM Tris 0.1% BSA (vol/vol)

pH7.5. Samples were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblot.

Preparation of Sde-modified ubiquitin derivatives.

For modification of Ub, 100 μg of K63-linked Ub tetramer (R&D Systems, Cat# UCB-

310 or South Bay Bio, SBB-UP0073) or monomeric Ub (R&D Systems, Cat# U-100H) were

incubated together with 100 μM β-NAD and 20 nM SdeC variants (WT, C118S/DUB$^-$,

E859A/ART$^-$ and H416A/PDE$^-$) in 250 μl of 1X ART buffer at 37°C for 1 h. For DUB inhibitor

pretreatment, 1 μM ubiquitin-propargylamide (R&D Systems, Cat# U-214) was incubated with

100 nM SdeC variants at room temperature for 30 min before adding to Ub$_4$ (final concentration,

20 nM SdeC in 1X ART buffer). To remove the SdeC, 10 μl of a 50% slurry of Ni-NTA agarose

resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 88221) was washed three times with 1X ART buffer, then

added to the Ub-SdeC reaction for another 1hr with end-over-end rotation at 37°C. For removal

of SdeC enzyme, the samples were centrifuged at 1000 x g for 2 min in a microcentrifuge spin

filter column. The supernatant was then desalted using a NAP-5 column (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Cat# 45-000-151) and lyophilized with a Labconco Freezone12. Samples were

resuspended in Ultrapure water to a final protein concentration of 1 mg/ml.

LC-MS analysis of modified Ub$_4$.

Reversed-phase chromatography and mass spectrometry was performed on an Agilent

1260 Infinity LC system in line with an Agilent 6530 Q-TOF. Intact Ub$_4$ samples were diluted in

water and injected onto a Zorbax 300 SB-C8 Rapid Resolution HD 1.8 μm column (2.1 x 100

mm, Agilent) and were eluted with a water:acetonitrile gradient mobile phase with 0.1% formic

acid (0.400 mL/min; 95% - 20% over 26 min). The mass spectrometer was utilized in positive

mode with a dual electrospray ionization (ESI) source. MS spectra were acquired using the

following settings: ESI capillary voltage, 4500 V; fragmentor, 250 V; gas temperature, 325°C;

gas rate, 12.5 L/min; nebulizer, 50 psig. Data was acquired at rate of 5 spectra per second and

scan range of 100 – 3000 m/z.

For trypsin digestion, Ub_4 samples were incubated at 95 degrees in 1:1 water:t-butanol

for 35 min, then allowed to cool to room temperature. DTT was added to a concentration of 3

mM, trypsin was added in a ratio of 25:1 Ub_4:trypsin and incubated overnight. The reaction was

then diluted in dH_2O for mass spectrometry. Following tryptic digestion, peptide fragments were

injected onto an AdvanceBio Peptide 2.7 µm column (2.1 x 150 mm, Agilent) and were eluted

with a water:acetonitrile gradient mobile phase with 0.1% formic acid (0.400 ml/min; 95% - 5%

over 19 min). MS spectra were acquired using the following settings: ESI capillary voltage, 4000

V; fragmenter, 150 V; gas temperature, 325°C; gas rate, 12 L/min; nebulizer, 40 psig. Data were

acquired at a rate = 5 spectra per second and scan range of 300 – 3000 m/z. MS/MS spectra were

acquired using the following settings: ESI capillary voltage, 4000 V; fragmentor, 150 V; gas

temperature, 325°C; gas rate 12 l/min; nebulizer, 40 psig. MS/MS was acquired at 2 spectra per

second at a mass range of 100 – 3000 m/z, with stringency set to a medium isolation width. After

identification, precursor ions were subjected to iterative rounds of collision-induced dissociation

in the collision chamber and subsequent mass identification. A ramped collision energy was used

with a slope of 3.6 and offset of -4.8 as well as a slope of 3 and offset of 2.

Following acquisition, analysis was completed using multiple programs. Agilent

MassHunter Qualitative Analysis (v. 7.00) was used to generate mass spectra, and extracted ion

chromatograms (EIC). Agilent MassHunter Bioconfirm (v. B.010.00) was used to identify and

match tryptic fragments of the Ub_4 and pR modified Ub_4 proteins, and identify b and y ion

fragmentation from combined MS/MS spectra.

SPR analysis of p62 binding to ubiquitin.

The binding kinetics between p62 and modified Ub_4 was determined by surface plasmon

resonance (SPR) using a Biacore T200 system, with a runner buffer of HEPES Buffered Saline

(HBS; 10 mM HEPES (pH =7.4), 150 mM NaCl) containing 30 μM of EDTA. 6xHis-tagged p62

was captured onto a Series S NTA sensor chip following manufacturer's recommendations

(Cytiva, Cat# 28994951). Briefly, 0.5 mM $NiCl_2$ solution was injected at a flow rate of 10 μl/min

for 60 seconds onto the experimental flow cell, followed by the injection of His-p62 (200 nM) at

5 ul/min for 420 seconds. Ub_4 at various concentrations, that had been previously treated with

SdeC derivatives, was injected into the flow cells at a rate of 30 μl/min for 180 seconds,

followed by a 300 seconds dissociation phase. Regeneration of the surface was performed by two

injections of 350 mM EDTA (30 ul/min, 60 seconds) followed by an injection of 6M guanidine

hydrochloride with 50 mM NaCl (30 ul/min, 30 seconds). The binding kinetics were determined

with Biacore Evaluation software (v3) using double referenced sensorgrams and 1:1 binding for

the fitting model.

Immunofluorescence of p62 at the LCV

BMDMs were plated on glass coverslips at $1\text{-}2 \times 10^5$/well in 24-well plates. Cells were

challenged at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) = 2, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min, and

incubated for the indicated time points. For 180 min infections, the cells were washed 3X in PBS

at 60 min post-infection to remove non-internalized bacteria, then fresh culture media was added.

At the infection conclusion, all cells were washed 3X in PBS, fixed in PBS containing 4%

(wt/vol) paraformaldehyde, followed by permeabilization with ice-cold 100% methanol for 20

seconds. Coverslips were then washed 3X in PBS and blocked in PBS containing 2-4% BSA for

at least 30 min. Cells were then stained in PBS with rat anti-*L. pneumophila* and rabbit anti-

p62/SQSTM (Abcam, Cat# 109012, 1:400) for 1 hr and detected with donkey anti-rat Alexa

Fluor 594 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# 712-585-153, 1:500) and goat anti-rabbit Alexa

Fluor 488 (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Cat# 111-545-003, 1:500). Hoechst 33342 was used to

label DNA.

Image analysis

Representative images were taken using Zeiss Axiovert or Nikon inverted phase

fluorescence microscopes, and image enhanced in Photoshop™ (Adobe), using linear

corrections. For quantification of total polyUb intensity, Volocity software (PerkinElmer) was

used. ROIs (regions of interest, 14 x 12 μm; oval) were set around LCVs, and pixel intensities

were measured and subtracted by background intensity. For quantification of total p62 intensity,

we set ROIs (0.3 x 0.42 inches; oval) around LCVs. Mean p62 intensity/pixel was measured in

each ROI and subtracted by background intensity/pixel using Fiji image analysis software[78].
692

693   **Acknowledgements.** K.K. was supported by NIH training grant T32GM007310. This

694   work was supported by NIAID grants R01AI113211 and R01AI146245 to R.I and NIGMS grant

695   R01GM132422 to RAS. Panels 3A and 3B were used under terms of Creative Commons. We

696   thank Drs Kevin Manera for review of the manuscript and Dr. Yuxin Mao for communication of

697   results before publication.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The Sde DUB domain is required for efficient phosphoribose-ubiquitination of Rtn4.

(**A**) Domain structure of Sde family proteins. Catalytically inactive point mutations are shown in red. (**B, C**) Percent poly-Ub positive LCVs of *L. pneumophila* strains at noted timepoints post-infection. (**D, E**) Quantification of Rtn4-positive LCVs. BMDMs were challenged with indicated strains for the noted infection times, followed by fixation, permeabilization with 1% Triton X-100 and probing as described in Materials and Methods. 0 MPI was immediately after 5 min centrifugation of bacteria onto BMDM. Noted strains are wild type, Lp02; Δ*sde*, Δ*sidE*Δ*sdeC*Δ*sdeB-A*; Δ*sdeC-A*, Δ*lpg2153-2157* (KK034); Vector or pV, pJB908att-empty (Supplemental Table S1). At least 150 LCVs per experiment were evaluated and data were pooled from 3 biological replicates (mean ± SEM; one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison (**B, C**) and Student's two tailed t-test (**D, E**); ns (non-significant), *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p < 0.0001). (**F-K**) A representative micrograph of Rtn4 recruitment, scale bar 5 μm. Macrophages were challenged with either WT containing pV or noted mutant strains for 1 hr, fixed, permeabilized and probed with anti-*L. pneumophila* (Alexa Fluor 594 secondary, red), anti-Rtn4 (Alexa Fluor 488 secondary, green), and Hoechst (nucleus, blue). (**L**) Cartoon of strategy to identify pR-Ub modified Rtn4. (**M**) Immunoblot image of anti-Rtn4 immunoprecipitates by immunoprobing with anti-HA. To right of immunoblots are Rtn4 isoforms and modification status. HEK293T cells were challenged with noted *L. pneumophila* strains for either 10 or 180 mins. Modified Rtn4 substrates were immunoprecipitated using crosslinked anti-Rtn4, fractionated on 7.5% SDS-PAGE, and probed with anti-HA. Lanes: WT, Lp02; vector, Δ*sde*; p*sdeA*, Δ*sde* expressing SdeA; p*sdeA C118S*, Δ*sde* expressing SdeA DUB mutant; p*sdeB*, Δ*sde* expressing SdeB; p*sdeB C118S*, Δ*sde* expressing SdeB DUB mutant; MOCK, uninfected.

Figure 2. The ART domain blocks DUB activity and promotes hyper-polyubiquitination of

the LCV.

(**A**). SdeC WT or its catalytic mutant derivatives (1μg) were adsorbed to Ni-NTA resin for 1 hr

at 4°C, then incubated with either K63 or K48-linked polyUb$_{3-7}$ (2μg) for 2 hrs at 37°C. SdeC

resin was removed and polyUb$_{3-7}$ was then incubated with: human recombinant Polyhistidine-

otubain 1, isoform 1 (100 nM); recombinant USP2 catalytic domain (50 nM); recombinant

Polyhistidine-CYLD (50 nM); or recombinant SdeC DUB CD 1-192 (50 nM) at 37°C for 2 hrs.

Cleavage was monitored by immunoblotting with anti-Ub (FK1). (**B**) Quantification of polyUb

intensity associated with individual LCVs of the noted strains at 20 MPI. **(C)** PolyUb intensity of

LCVs harboring Δ*sde* expressing SdeC PDE^{-} (H416A) mutant at 20 or 60 MPI. Data are shown

in median ± 25%ile; Mann-Whitney test; ns (non-significant), **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p <

0.0001. The dotted lines represent background level of polyUb intensity about LCVs. **(D-H)**

Examples of polyUb-positive LCVs. BMDMs were challenged by 5 min centrifugation at an

MOI of 1, incubated with noted *L. pneumophila* strains, fixed, permeabilized with 1% Triton

X100, and probed with anti-polyUb (Alexa Fluor 488 secondary, green), anti-*L. pneumophila*

(Alexa Fluor 594 secondary, red), and Hoechst (blue). Strains used: WT, Lp02; *Δsde*, *ΔsidE*

ΔsdeC ΔsdeB-A; Δsde psdeC$_{WT}$, Δ*sde* expressing SdeC WT; *Δsde psdeC$_{C118S}$*, Δ*sde* expressing

SdeC DUB mutant; *Δsde psdeC$_{E859A}$*, Δ*sde* expressing SdeC ART mutant; *Δsde psdeC$_{H416A}$*, Δ*sde*

expressing SdeC PDE mutant. Scale bar: 5μm.

Figure 3. Phosphoribosyl modification of Ub$_4$ occurs at Arg42.

(**A**). SdeC(DUB^{-}) incubation with Ub$_4$ results in a mass increase of 848.21 AMU. Samples were

subjected to LC-MS analysis and the deconvoluted masses of the peaks for each sample are

displayed. Top: untreated Ub4. Bottom: Ub4 incubated with SdeC(DUB⁻) and NAD. **(B)**. Sde

modification of Ub4 occurs exclusively on Arg42. Representative extracted ion chromatograms

(EICs) are shown for the pR-modified R42 tryptic fragment, as well as tryptic fragments

containing R54 and R72. The unmodified R42 fragment could not be found in SdeC-treated

samples**. (C).** MS/MS analysis of the pR-modified tryptic fragment. Observed diagnostic ions

that confirm specificity to R42 are shown in bold. Blue: b ions. Red: y ions.

Figure 4. Sde-mediated modification of polyUb prevents its recognition by p62.

(A) Space filling model of Ub. R42 residue (blue letter) and I44 residue are indicated with blue

arrows (from reference[53, 59]).**(B)** Interface residues between UBA domain and Ub, showing

possible involvement of Ub R42 in interacting with UBA domain (from reference[53, 59]). **(C, D)**

Ub modification at R42 blocks p62 binding (Materials and Methods). Ni-NTA-p62 was

incubated with Ub3-7 that had been treated with SdeC WT or its catalytic mutant derivatives and

allowed to bind (In). Unbound Ub3-7 was removed (FT), the beads were washed, and then eluted

with 250mM imidazole (E: elution). The p62-bound poly-Ub in the eluate was fractionated by

SDS-PAGE followed by probing with anti-Ub. Relative loading: In, input (2.5%); FT, flow-

through (2.5%); E, eluate (25%). **(E)** Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) quantitation of p62

binding to Ub derivatives. Ub1 or Ub4 was incubated with SdeC WT or its derivatives

immobilized on Ni-NTA resin, the supernatant containing modified Ub derivatives was

incubated with 6xHis-tagged p62 bound to a Ni-NTA chip, and binding affinity was measured by

plasmon resonance. **(F, G)** Sensorgrams of Ub4 or Ub1 binding to p62. Binding of Ub4 to p62

yields a $K_D = 0.97 \times 10^{-7}$ M. **(H-K)** Sensorgrams of Ub4, modified as noted in panels (red balls:

phosphoribosyl addition; green balls: ADP-ribosyl addition). Reactions were formed in the

presence of Ub-propargylamide (DUB inhibitor).

Figure 5. Transient blockade of p62 recruitment to the LCV in the presence of Sde family

members.

(A-B) Examples of punctate (A) or enveloped (B) p62 morphology localized around LCVs after

challenge of BMDMs with noted *L. pneumophila* strains. The scale bar represents 10 μm. **(C, E,**

G, J) The Percentage of circumferential p62 associated with LCVs at 0 (immediate bacterial

contact; C) or 20 (E) or 60 (G) or 180 (J) MPI. 100 LCVs per replicate were counted in 3

biological replicates (mean ± SEM; one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison; ns

(non-significant), **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p < 0.0001). **(D, F, H, K)** Quantification of mean

p62 intensity/pixel around LCVs at 0 (D) or 20 (F) or 60 (H) or 180 (K) MPI. At least 70

vacuoles per replicate were quantified and data were pooled from 3 biological replicates (mean ±

SEM; one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison (D, F, H) and Student's two tailed

t-test (K); ns (non-significant), *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p < 0.0001). (**I**) A representative

micrograph of p62 association with LCVs at 180 MPI, scale bar 10 μm. (**L**) Kinetics of blockade

against p62 recruitment. All strains described in Supplemental Table S1 (pV: pJB908att-empty).

Data acquired from panels C, E, G and J, and shown as mean ± SEM.

Figure 6. ART activity is sufficient to block p62 recruitment to the replication vacuole.

(A) Quantification of individual LCVs enveloped by p62 at 0 MPI. BMDMs were challenged

with the noted *Legionella* strains. (**B**) Quantitation of mean p62 intensity/pixel associated with

individual LCVs. Images of individual vacuoles were grabbed and pixel intensities of p62

staining about regions of interest were determined (Materials and Methods). 210 LCVs were

counted. Data are shown as means ± SEM (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple

comparison; ns (non-significant), *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ****p < 0.0001). **(C)** Examples of

recruited p62 to LCVs harboring Δ*sde* expressing SdeC catalytic mutant derivatives. All strains

described in Supplemental Table S1 (pV: pJB908att-empty). Scale bar: 10 µm.

Figure 7. Sde accessory activities drive Rtn4 rearrangements and block autophagic

recognition.

The DUB activity provides fuel for pR-Ub modification of Rtn4, driving rapid ER-associated

rearrangements. **(A)** Bacteria are internalized, Sde family proteins are translocated. **(B)**

Polyubiquitination occurs about the replication vacuole. **(C)** Digestion of polyUb provides Ub

fuel for ART/PDE domain to catalyze pR-Ub conjugation to substrates such as Rtn4. **(D)** Rtn4-

pR-Ub modified protein accumulates about the replication vacuole polyUb is modified by

phosphoribosylation. The pR-modification of the polyUb at R42 residue stabilizes

polyubiquitination about LCVs, simultaneously preventing degradation by host cell-derived

DUBs and blocking recognition by the autophagic machinery.

Supplemental Materials Legends.

Supplemental Table S1. *Legionella pneumophila* strains used in this study.

Supplemental Table S2. *Escherichia coli* strains used in this study

Supplemental Table S3. Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Supplemental Figures

Supplemental Fig. S1. The presence of empty vector has no effect on relative Rtn4

recruitment. (Linked to Fig. 1).

Representative image of Rtn4 recruitment around the LCV by WT and Δsde mutant (scale bar= 5

μm). BMDMs were challenged at MOI =1 with WT, Δsde, WT/pJB908:att-empty, and

Δsde/pJB908:att-empty for 1 hr, fixed, permeabilized, and probed with anti-L. pneumophila

(Alexa Fluor 594 secondary, red), anti-Rtn4 (Alexa Fluor 488 secondary, green), and Hoechst

(nucleus, blue). (B) Quantification of Rtn4-positive LCVs. Images were captured and over 250

LCVs were analyzed per coverslip, and data were pooled from three independently performed

experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistics performed by one-way ANOVA

with Dunnett's multiple comparisons; ns= non-significant, $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$, $***p<0.001$,

$****p < 0.0001$).

Supplemental Fig. S2. Sde DUB domain is required for efficient phosphoribose-

ubiquitination of Rtn4. (Linked to Fig. 1).

Representative images of Rtn4 recruitment, scale bar 5 μm. BMDMs were challenged with

L.pneumophila strains for 1 hr, fixed, permeabilized and probed as described in Fig. 1. Strains

used are WT, Lp02; Δ*sdeC-A*, Δ*lpg2153-2157* (KK034); Δ*sdeC-A* p*sdeC*, Δ*sdeC-A* expressing

SdeC; Δ*sdeC-A* p*sdeC*$_{C118S}$, Δ*sdeC-A* expressing SdeC DUB mutant (C118S); Δ*sdeC-A*

p*sdeC*$_{D80A}$, Δ*sdeC-A* expressing SdeC DUB mutant (D80A); Δ*sdeC-A* p*sdeC*$_{C293A}$, Δ*sdeC-A*

expressing SdeC DUB mutant (C293A).

Supplemental Fig. S3. The presence of a DUB inhibitor allows analysis of binding to p62.

(linked to Fig. 3)

A. SdeC variants were incubated with tetra-Ub in the presence or absence of DUB inhibitor Ub-

PA and analyzed for binding to p62 using SPR analysis. B-E analysis of p62 binding after SdeC

treatment of Ub4 in presence or absence of Ub-PA.

Supplemental Fig. S4. Multiple morphological forms of p62 are associated with the LCV

(Linked to Fig. 4).

Data shown are from the identical coverslips as displayed in Fig. 4C, with quantification of

enveloped LCVs shown in Fig. 4C overlayed with quantification of punctae. Punctae are

determined based on morphology displayed in Fig. 4A and acquired at same time as acquired for

Fig. 4C. Punctae association was indistinguishable for WT, T4SS- and Δsde strains.

Supplemental Fig. S5. The presence of empty vector has no effect on relative p62

recruitment. (Linked to Figs. 5,6).

A representative image of p62/SQSTM1 recruitment around the LCV (scale bar= 5 μm).

BMDMs were challenged at MOI =2 for 20 minutes, fixed, permeabilized, and probed with anti-

L. pneumophila (Alexa Fluor 594 secondary, red), anti-p62 (Alexa Fluor 488 secondary, green),

and Hoechst (nucleus,blue). (B) Quantification of LCVs enveloped with p62/SQSTM1. Images

were captured and over 150 LCVs were analyzed per coverslip, and data were pooled from three

independently performed experiments. Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Statistic**s**

performed by one way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons; ns= non-significant,

*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$,****$p < 0.0001$).

865
866

Data Availability Statement.

Data and images that support the findings of this study are available on request from the

corresponding author RRI. Mass Spectrometry data are available from RAS on request from

RRI.

Statement on Samples

All measurements were taken from distinct samples, with the exception of Panel 5L, which is a

summary of other panels in Fig. 5, as noted in Figure Legend.

References

1.   Cunha, B.A., Wu, G. & Raza, M. Clinical Diagnosis of Legionnaire's Disease: Six
Characteristic Clinical Predictors. *Am J Med* **128**, e21-22 (2015).

2.   Cunha, B.A., Burillo, A. & Bouza, E. Legionnaires' disease. *Lancet* **387**, 376-385
(2016).

3.   Safdar, N., Crnich, C.J. & Maki, D.G. The pathogenesis of ventilator-associated
pneumonia: its relevance to developing effective strategies for prevention. *Respir*
Care **50**, 725-739; discussion 739-741 (2005).

4.   Horwitz, M.A. & Silverstein, S.C. Interaction of the Legionnaires' disease bacterium
(*Legionella pneumophila*) with human phagocytes. I. *L. pneumophila* resists killing by
polymorphonuclear leukocytes, antibody, and complement. *J Exp Med* **153**, 386-397
(1981).

5.   Rowbotham, T.J. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from clinical specimens via
amoebae, and the interaction of those and other isolates with amoebae. *J Clin Pathol*
36, 978-986 (1983).

6.   Abu Kwaik, Y. The phagosome containing *Legionella pneumophila* within the
protozoan *Hartmannella vermiformis* is surrounded by the rough endoplasmic
reticulum. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **62**, 2022-2028 (1996).

7.   Swanson, M.S. & Isberg, R.R. Association of *Legionella pneumophila* with the
macrophage endoplasmic reticulum. *Infection and immunity* **63**, 3609-3620 (1995).

8.   Kagan, J.C. & Roy, C.R. *Legionella* phagosomes intercept vesicular traffic from
endoplasmic reticulum exit sites. *Nat Cell Biol* **4**, 945-954 (2002).

9.   Horwitz, M.A. Characterization of avirulent mutant *Legionella pneumophila* that
survive but do not multiply within human monocytes. *J Exp Med* **166**, 1310-1328
(1987).

10.   Marra, A., Blander, S.J., Horwitz, M.A. & Shuman, H.A. Identification of a *Legionella*
pneumophila locus required for intracellular multiplication in human macrophages.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **89**, 9607-9611 (1992).

11.   Berger, K.H. & Isberg, R.R. Two distinct defects in intracellular growth
complemented by a single genetic locus in *Legionella pneumophila*. *Molecular*
microbiology **7**, 7-19 (1993).

12.   Burstein, D. *et al.* Genomic analysis of 38 *Legionella* species identifies large and
924   diverse effector repertoires. *Nat Genet* **48**, 167-175 (2016).
925

926   13.   Gomez-Valero, L. *et al.* More than 18,000 effectors in the *Legionella* genus genome
927   provide multiple, independent combinations for replication in human cells. *Proc*
928   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 2265-2273 (2019).
929

930   14.   Qiu, J. & Luo, Z.Q. *Legionella* and *Coxiella* effectors: strength in diversity and activity.
931   *Nat Rev Microbiol* **15**, 591-605 (2017).
932

933   15.   Creasey, E.A. & Isberg, R.R. The protein SdhA maintains the integrity of the
934   *Legionella*-containing vacuole. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 3481-3486 (2012).
935

936   16.   Steiner, B., Weber, S. & Hilbi, H. Formation of the *Legionella*-containing vacuole:
937   phosphoinositide conversion, GTPase modulation and ER dynamics. *Int J Med*
938   *Microbiol* **308**, 49-57 (2018).
939

940   17.   Gaspar, A.H. & Machner, M.P. VipD is a Rab5-activated phospholipase A1 that
941   protects *Legionella pneumophila* from endosomal fusion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
942   **111**, 4560-4565 (2014).
943

944   18.   Belyi, Y. *et al. Legionella pneumophila* glucosyltransferase inhibits host elongation
945   factor 1A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 16953-16958 (2006).
946

947   19.   Jeong, K.C., Sexton, J.A. & Vogel, J.P. Spatiotemporal regulation of a *Legionella*
948   *pneumophila* T4SS substrate by the metaeffector SidJ. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, e1004695
949   (2015).
950

951   20.   Qiu, J. *et al.* Ubiquitination independent of E1 and E2 enzymes by bacterial effectors.
952   *Nature* **533**, 120-124 (2016).
953

954   21.   Bhogaraju, S. *et al.* Phosphoribosylation of Ubiquitin Promotes Serine Ubiquitination
955   and Impairs Conventional Ubiquitination. *Cell* **167**, 1636-1649 e1613 (2016).
956

957   22.   Kotewicz, K.M. *et al.* A Single *Legionella* Effector Catalyzes a Multistep Ubiquitination
958   Pathway to Rearrange Tubular Endoplasmic Reticulum for Replication. *Cell Host*
959   *Microbe* **21**, 169-181 (2017).
960

961   23.   Song, L. *et al.* The *Legionella* Effector SdjA Is a Bifunctional Enzyme That Distinctly
962   Regulates Phosphoribosyl Ubiquitination. *mBio* **12**, e0231621 (2021).
963

964   24.   Sulpizio, A. *et al.* Protein polyglutamylation catalyzed by the bacterial calmodulin-
965   dependent pseudokinase SidJ. *Elife* **8** (2019).
966

967   25.   Bhogaraju, S. *et al.* Inhibition of bacterial ubiquitin ligases by SidJ-calmodulin
968   catalysed glutamylation. *Nature* **572**, 382-386 (2019).

970   26.   Black, M.H. *et al.* Bacterial pseudokinase catalyzes protein polyglutamylation to
971   inhibit the SidE-family ubiquitin ligases. *Science* **364**, 787-792 (2019).
972

973   27.   Wan, M. *et al.* Deubiquitination of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitin conjugates by
974   phosphodiesterase-domain-containing *Legionella* effectors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
975   **116**, 23518-23526 (2019).
976

977   28.   Shin, D. *et al.* Regulation of Phosphoribosyl-Linked Serine Ubiquitination by
978   Deubiquitinases DupA and DupB. *Mol Cell* **77**, 164-179 e166 (2020).
979

980   29.   Akturk, A. *et al.* Mechanism of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitination mediated by a single
981   *Legionella* effector. *Nature* **557**, 729-733 (2018).
982

983   30.   Kalayil, S. *et al.* Insights into catalysis and function of phosphoribosyl-linked serine
984   ubiquitination. *Nature* **557**, 734-738 (2018).
985

986   31.   Dong, Y. *et al.* Structural basis of ubiquitin modification by the *Legionella* effector
987   SdeA. *Nature* **557**, 674-678 (2018).
988

989   32.   Sheedlo, M.J. *et al.* Structural basis of substrate recognition by a bacterial
990   deubiquitinase important for dynamics of phagosome ubiquitination. *Proc Natl Acad*
991   *Sci U S A* **112**, 15090-15095 (2015).
992

993   33.   Zhang, M. *et al.* Members of the *Legionella pneumophila* Sde family target tyrosine
994   residues for phosphoribosyl-linked ubiquitination. *RSC Chem Biol* **2**, 1509-1519
995   (2021).
996

997   34.   Liu, Y. *et al.* Serine-ubiquitination regulates Golgi morphology and the secretory
998   pathway upon *Legionella* infection. *Cell Death Differ* **28**, 2957-2969 (2021).
999

1000   35.   Kim, S. & Isberg, R.R. The Sde phosphoribosyl-linked ubiquitin transferases protect
1001   the *Legionella pneumophila* vacuole from degradation by the host. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
1002   *U S A* **120**, e2303942120 (2023).
1003

1004   36.   Bruckert, W.M. & Abu Kwaik, Y. Lysine11-Linked Polyubiquitination of the AnkB F-
1005   Box Effector of *Legionella pneumophila*. *Infect Immun* **84**, 99-107 (2016).
1006

1007   37.   Ivanov, S.S. & Roy, C.R. Modulation of ubiquitin dynamics and suppression of DALIS
1008   formation by the *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm system. *Cell Microbiol* **11**, 261-
1009   278 (2009).
1010

1011   38.   Horenkamp, F.A. *et al. Legionella pneumophila* subversion of host vesicular
1012   transport by SidC effector proteins. *Traffic* **15**, 488-499 (2014).
1013

1014 39. Hsu, F. *et al.* The *Legionella* effector SidC defines a unique family of ubiquitin ligases
1015   important for bacterial phagosomal remodeling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**,
1016   10538-10543 (2014).
1017

1018 40. Dorer, M.S., Kirton, D., Bader, J.S. & Isberg, R.R. RNA interference analysis of
1019   *Legionella* in Drosophila cells: exploitation of early secretory apparatus dynamics.
1020   *PLoS Pathog* **2**, e34 (2006).
1021

1022 41. Tomaskovic, I., Gonzalez, A. & Dikic, I. Ubiquitin and *Legionella*: From bench to
1023   bedside. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* (2022).
1024

1025 42. Puvar, K. *et al.* Ubiquitin Chains Modified by the Bacterial Ligase SdeA Are Protected
1026   from Deubiquitinase Hydrolysis. *Biochemistry* **56**, 4762-4766 (2017).
1027

1028 43. Boyle, K.B. & Randow, F. The role of 'eat-me' signals and autophagy cargo receptors
1029   in innate immunity. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **16**, 339-348 (2013).
1030

1031 44. von Muhlinen, N. *et al.* An essential role for the ATG8 ortholog LC3C in antibacterial
1032   autophagy. *Autophagy* **9**, 784-786 (2013).
1033

1034 45. Mitchell, G. & Isberg, R.R. Innate Immunity to Intracellular Pathogens: Balancing
1035   Microbial Elimination and Inflammation. *Cell Host Microbe* **22**, 166-175 (2017).
1036

1037 46. Choy, A. *et al.* The *Legionella* effector RavZ inhibits host autophagy through
1038   irreversible Atg8 deconjugation. *Science* **338**, 1072-1076 (2012).
1039

1040 47. Arasaki, K. & Tagaya, M. *Legionella* blocks autophagy by cleaving STX17 (syntaxin
1041   17). *Autophagy* **13**, 2008-2009 (2017).
1042

1043 48. Rolando, M. *et al. Legionella pneumophila* S1P-lyase targets host sphingolipid
1044   metabolism and restrains autophagy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 1901-1906
1045   (2016).
1046

1047 49. Omotade, T.O. & Roy, C.R. *Legionella pneumophila* Excludes Autophagy Adaptors
1048   from the Ubiquitin-Labeled Vacuole in Which It Resides. *Infection and immunity* **88**
1049   (2020).
1050

1051 50. Wan, M. *et al.* Phosphoribosyl modification of poly-ubiquitin chains at the
1052   *Legionella*-containing vacuole prohibiting autophagy adaptor recognition. *Submitted*
1053   (2023).
1054

1055 51. Isogai, S. *et al.* Crystal structure of the ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain of p62
1056   and its interaction with ubiquitin. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 31864-31874 (2011).
1057

1058 52. Johansen, T. & Lamark, T. Selective autophagy mediated by autophagic adapter
1059   proteins. *Autophagy* **7**, 279-296 (2011).

1060
1061 53. Long, J. *et al.* Ubiquitin recognition by the ubiquitin-associated domain of p62
1062   involves a novel conformational switch. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 5427-5440 (2008).
1063
1064 54. Long, J. *et al.* Dimerisation of the UBA domain of p62 inhibits ubiquitin binding and
1065   regulates NF-kappaB signalling. *J Mol Biol* **396**, 178-194 (2010).
1066
1067 55. Tan, X.L. *et al.* Sortase-mediated chemical protein synthesis reveals the bidentate
1068   binding of bisphosphorylated p62 with K63 diubiquitin. *Chem Sci* **8**, 6881-6887
1069   (2017).
1070
1071 56. Gan, N. *et al.* Regulation of phosphoribosyl ubiquitination by a calmodulin-
1072   dependent glutamylase. *Nature* **572**, 387-391 (2019).
1073
1074 57. Ge, J. *et al.* Phosphoribosyl-linked serine ubiquitination of USP14 by the SidE family
1075   effectors of *Legionella* excludes p62 from the bacterial phagosome. *Cell Rep* **42**,
1076   112817 (2023).
1077
1078 58. Bhogaraju, S. & Dikic, I. Cell biology: Ubiquitination without E1 and E2 enzymes.
1079   *Nature* **533**, 43-44 (2016).
1080
1081 59. Kozlov, G. *et al.* Structural basis of ubiquitin recognition by the ubiquitin-associated
1082   (UBA) domain of the ubiquitin ligase EDD. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 35787-35795 (2007).
1083
1084 60. Ensminger, A.W. & Isberg, R.R. E3 ubiquitin ligase activity and targeting of BAT3 by
1085   multiple *Legionella pneumophila* translocated substrates. *Infection and immunity* **78**,
1086   3905-3919 (2010).
1087
1088 61. Hubber, A., Kubori, T. & Nagai, H. Modulation of the ubiquitination machinery by
1089   *Legionella*. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **376**, 227-247 (2013).
1090
1091 62. Nagai, H. & Kubori, T. Purification and characterization of *Legionella* U-box-type E3
1092   ubiquitin ligase. *Methods Mol Biol* **954**, 347-354 (2013).
1093
1094 63. Liu, S. *et al.* Interplay between bacterial deubiquitinase and ubiquitin E3 ligase
1095   regulates ubiquitin dynamics on *Legionella* phagosomes. *Elife* **9** (2020).
1096
1097 64. Ong, S.Y. *et al.* Genome-wide genetic screen identifies host ubiquitination as
1098   important for *Legionella pneumophila* Dot/Icm effector translocation. *Cell Microbiol*
1099   **23**, e13368 (2021).
1100
1101 65. Goodall, E.A., Kraus, F. & Harper, J.W. Mechanisms underlying ubiquitin-driven
1102   selective mitochondrial and bacterial autophagy. *Mol Cell* **82**, 1501-1513 (2022).
1103
1104 66. Sharma, V., Verma, S., Seranova, E., Sarkar, S. & Kumar, D. Selective Autophagy and
1105   Xenophagy in Infection and Disease. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **6**, 147 (2018).

1106

1107 67. Faucher, S.P., Mueller, C.A. & Shuman, H.A. *Legionella pneumophila* Transcriptome
1108 during Intracellular Multiplication in Human Macrophages. *Front Microbiol* **2**, 60
1109 (2011).
1110

1111 68. Jeong, K.C., Sutherland, M.C. & Vogel, J.P. Novel export control of a *Legionella*
1112 Dot/Icm substrate is mediated by dual, independent signal sequences. *Mol Microbiol*
1113 **96**, 175-188 (2015).
1114

1115 69. Bardill, J.P., Miller, J.L. & Vogel, J.P. IcmS-dependent translocation of SdeA into
1116 macrophages by the *Legionella pneumophila* type IV secretion system. *Molecular*
1117 *microbiology* **56**, 90-103 (2005).
1118

1119 70. O'Connor, T.J., Boyd, D., Dorer, M.S. & Isberg, R.R. Aggravating genetic interactions
1120 allow a solution to redundancy in a bacterial pathogen. *Science* **338**, 1440-1444
1121 (2012).
1122

1123 71. Berger, K.H., Merriam, J.J. & Isberg, R.R. Altered intracellular targeting properties
1124 associated with mutations in the *Legionella pneumophila* dotA gene. *Molecular*
1125 *microbiology* **14**, 809-822 (1994).
1126

1127 72. Byrne, B. & Swanson, M.S. Expression of *Legionella pneumophila* virulence traits in
1128 response to growth conditions. *Infection and immunity* **66**, 3029-3034 (1998).
1129

1130 73. Haenssler, E., Ramabhadran, V., Murphy, C.S., Heidtman, M.I. & Isberg, R.R.
1131 Endoplasmic Reticulum Tubule Protein Reticulon 4 Associates with the *Legionella*
1132 *pneumophila* Vacuole and with Translocated Substrate Ceg9. *Infection and immunity*
1133 **83**, 3479-3489 (2015).
1134

1135 74. Treier, M., Staszewski, L.M. & Bohmann, D. Ubiquitin-dependent c-Jun degradation
1136 in vivo is mediated by the delta domain. *Cell* **78**, 787-798 (1994).
1137

1138 75. Losick, V.P., Haenssler, E., Moy, M.Y. & Isberg, R.R. LnaB: a *Legionella pneumophila*
1139 activator of NF-kappaB. *Cellular microbiology* **12**, 1083-1097 (2010).
1140

1141 76. Lipo, E. *et al.* 5' Untranslated mRNA Regions Allow Bypass of Host Cell Translation
1142 Inhibition by *Legionella pneumophila*. *Infection and immunity* **90**, e0017922 (2022).
1143

1144 77. Derre, I. & Isberg, R.R. LidA, a translocated substrate of the *Legionella pneumophila*
1145 type IV secretion system, interferes with the early secretory pathway. *Infection and*
1146 *immunity* **73**, 4370-4380 (2005).
1147

1148 78. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat*
1149 *Methods* **9**, 676-682 (2012).
1150

1151

A

SdeC Enzyme Preincubation	---		PDE-		WT		ART-		DUB-		---		PDE-		WT		ART-		DUB-	
Ub₃₋₇ Modification	---		ADPr		p-ribose		---		p-ribose		---		ADPr		p-ribose		---		p-ribose	
DUB Rxn (Input/Output)	In	O	In	O	In	O	In	O	I	O	In	O	In	O	In	O	In	O	In	O

USP2 (CD)
Non-specific

Otu-1
K48 preference

CYLD
K63-preference

SdeC 1-192AA
Bacterial DUB
K63-preference

K63-Ub₃₋₇   αUb   K48-Ub₃₋₇

D WT

Poly Ub (green)
L.p. (red)
DNA (blue)

E Δ*sde*

F Δ*sde*+p*sdeC_C118S*

G Δ*sde*+p*sdeC_E859A*

H Δ*sde*+p*sdeC_H416A*

B

PolyUb Intensity at LCV/Bacterium (AU)

**** ; ** ; ***

pSdeC (WT) | pDUB- (C118S) | pART- (E859A) | pPDE- (H416A)

Δ*sde*+p*sdeC*

C

PolyUb Intensity at LCV/Bacterium (AU)

ns

20 MPI | 60 MPI

Δ*sde*+p*sdeC* PDE- (H416A)

A **B** **C** **D**

L. pneumophila

DUB **ART/NP**

K63-Ub ✔ ✔ Ub—Substrate
Ub Conjugation FUEL

Non-K63-Ub ✗ ✔ Autophagic Block/
Ub Camaflouge

= Sde proteins
= K63 Polyubiquitin
= Monoubiquitin
= Polyubiquitin (Non-K63)
= ADPr- or P-ribose-Polyubiquitin