Costs of antibiotic resistance genes depend on host strain and environment and can influence

community composition.

Huei-Yi Lai[1] and Tim F. Cooper[1]

[1] School of Natural Sciences, Massey University, Auckland 0634, New Zealand

Running title: Resistance gene costs

Keywords: Antibiotic, antibiotic resistance genes, fitness, beta lactamase, plasmids

Abstract

The prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) is a major contributor to bacterial antibiotic

resistance. ARGs are strongly selected in environments containing corresponding antibiotics, but it is

less clear how ARGs are maintained in environments where antibiotic selection might be weak or

sporadic. In particular, few studies have directly estimated the effect of ARGs on host fitness in the

absence of direct selection. To the extent that ARGs impose costs, it is not clear if these are fixed or

might depend on the host strain, perhaps marking some ARG-host combinations as low-cost

reservoirs that can act to maintain ARGs in the absence of antibiotic selection. We quantified the

fitness effects of six ARGs in each of 12 diverse *Escherichia* spp. strains in two environments. Only

one ARG *(bla*$_{TEM-116}$, encoding resistance to some β-lactam antibiotics) imposed an overall cost.

However, all but one ARG imposed a cost in at least one host strain, reflecting a significant ARG

cost-by-strain interaction effect. A simulation model predicts that these interactions cause the

ecological success of ARGs to depend on available host strains, and, to a lesser extent, for successful

host strains to depend on the ARGs present in a community. Together, these results indicate the need

to consider the potential of reservoir strains for maintenance of ARGs.

Introduction

Widespread use of antibiotics has led to selection for antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which has been identified as a major global health concern (1). Resistance can derive from spontaneous mutations that generally reduce affinity or access of antibiotics to cellular targets and from antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) that typically act to detoxify or export antibiotics from cells (2). The link between antibiotic exposure and selection of resistance is clear: ARGs tend to rise in frequency shortly after widespread use of the cognate antibiotics and ARGs of recent origin are associated with host bacteria that can cause infection in humans or domestic animals (3–6). Even exposure to low levels of antibiotics can confer a significant advantage to resistant cells, potentially allowing selection in a wide range of environments(7).

The benefit conferred by ARGs to host cells during antibiotic exposure is, however, only one factor determining their overall success (8–11). Of 22 ARGs for which a recent origin could be inferred, most were from species with some human or domestic animal association (5). This link supports the idea that direct selection for resistance is important for the success of ARGs, many of these species also transit through environments where antibiotic concentrations are low (12). For other ARGs, no originating host species can be inferred, consistent with them originating in poorly characterized environmental species (5). These findings underlie the view that costs associated with encoding ARGs will play an important role in determining the overall success of those genes (10, 13, 14).

Costs of antibiotic resistance have been examined in some detail for spontaneously occurring resistance alleles (15). In one experiment, costs associated with rifampicin resistance were sufficient to drive selection for frequent reversion to sensitivity in a mouse infection model (16). In other cases, costs drive the selection of secondary mutations that compensate for the costs of resistance allowing the resistance phenotype to persist with relaxed antibiotic-driven selection (reviewed in (13, 15). Spontaneous resistance often occurs through mutations in essential genes encoding products targeted by the relevant antibiotic (17). Changes to these products will generally alter their structure in some way that reduces the strength of antibiotic interaction and are likely to have some deleterious effect on the original function (14). By contrast, less work has been done examining effects to host cells of expressing introduced ARGs.

Studies have shown fitness costs to hosts that carry ARG-encoding plasmids but estimates of the effect of ARGs themselves are often indirect. A meta-analysis found that the costs associated with plasmids generally increase with the number of encoded ARGs (14). Specific examples include

analysis of a *tetAR* operon, encoding tetracycline resistance, that was estimated to impose a cost of

around 1% in rich medium (18, 19). Similarly, β-lactamases, a large class of resistance genes that

confer resistance to one or more of the β-lactam antibiotics, have been shown to decrease host fitness,

though different variants have different effects in different hosts (20–23). In at least some cases,

however, ARGs, including *tetAR*, not only do not confer a cost but can provide a benefit to host cells

(24–26).

A factor that makes it especially important to understand the potential for costs of ARGs is their

association with horizontally mobile elements that allow them to be transmitted between different

hosts (reviewed in (12)). Studies of mutation fitness effects, including some conferring antibiotic

resistance, often find significant differences depending on the host background (27–32). For example,

although not isolating the effect of the ARG itself, a plasmid encoding the bla_{OXA-48} resistance gene

conferred an overall cost across a range of host strains but with a range between ~20% cost and ~20%

benefit (33). Simulations predict that this high variation can increase plasmid, and, therefore, ARG,

persistence because hosts in which it has low or no cost can serve as reservoirs during periods when

the plasmid is not directly selected (33).

We cloned ARGs into a control plasmid and estimated their fitness effect in the absence of a cognate

antibiotic. We estimated effects of six ARGs conferring resistance to four different classes of

antibiotic in 12 genetically diverse *Escherichia* spp.. We found that costs were diverse across ARGs

and strains, and that they interact so that different strains have the potential to act as no-cost

reservoirs for different ARGs. Simulations predict that the magnitude of these effects is sufficient to

cause both the presence of different ARGs to influence which strains can persist in a community and

the availability of strains to determine which ARGs can be maintained. Our study reveals the

importance of variation in fitness effects of ARGs in bacterial populations on the prevalence of ARGs

in the absence of antibiotics.

Materials and Methods

Selection of candidate ARGs. The ARGs used in this study were chosen based on their prevalence in

accessible *E. coli* genomes and their availability in our laboratory (34). The 2022 release of the

CARD Prevalence, Genomes and Variants dataset gives the prevalence of our chosen ARGs among

sequenced *E. coli* genomes as: *aadA* (ARG type = 15.3%; specific ARG allele = 1.73%), *cat* (5.3%,

4.1%), *dfrA5* (19.4%, 1.8%), $bla_{TEM-116}$ (22.7%, 0.07%), $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ (17.1%, 5.83%) and bla_{SHV12}

(0.59%, 0.04%).

Construction of ARG-plasmids and bacterial strains. The focal ARGs were originally cloned into

pUA66, which contains a promoterless *gfp* (35). However, we found leaky expression of GFP in this

vector (data not shown). To avoid any influence of GFP expression on fitness measurements, we

removed the GFP by amplifying the pUA66 backbone (using pUA_minusFP_F:

5'atgtccagacctgcaggcatg; pUA_minusFP_R: 5'ggatccatcgaggtgaagacg) and circularizing the product

with a bridging oligonucleotide (5'cgtcttcacctcgatggatccatgtccagacctgcaggcatg) using the

NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly (NEB) kit. The resulting plasmid, pmFP, retains the kanamycin

resistance gene originally present on pUA66. The six ARGs were amplified from template sources as

listed in Table S1 and separately added into the pmFP vector (amplified using primers: pUA66_F:

aataggcgtatcacgagg and pUA66_EcoRI_R: gaattcatggtttcttagacgtcgg) using NEBuilder® HiFi DNA

Assembly. All PCR reactions used Q5® High-Fidelity polymerase (NEB) unless otherwise specified.

When sequencing cloned ARGs we found that the $bla_{TEM-116}$ gene in pTarget, which was used as a

template, has a non-synonymous mutation (Q274R) relative to the reference $bla_{TEM-116}$ sequence

(NCBI accession: U36911). To distinguish between these genes we identify the variant used here as

$bla_{TEM-116}$*.

The six constructed pmFP::ARG plasmids, and the reference pmFP backbone vector, were each used

to transform one *E. albertii* and 11 genetically divergent *E. coli* strains (Fig. S1) followed the method

outlined in ref. 36. After transformation, cells were recovered at 37 °C for one hour before being

plated on lysogeny broth (LB) supplemented with 50 μg/ml kanamycin to select plasmid containing

cells. One strain, H305, was resistant to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim, antibiotics.

Examining an annotated sequence of this strain we found that it contains bla_{TEM} and *cat* genes,

conferring resistance to B-lactams and chloramphenicol, respectively. Omitting H305 makes no

qualitative difference to the interpretation of any analysis we present, though we note that H305 was

one of four strains in which no ARG conferred a significant cost. We leave it in the presented

analyses because already resistant strains can clearly serve as hosts to ARG-encoding plasmids in

natural communities and because it is reasonable to think that additional copies of a given resistance

gene can impose some additional fitness effect.

Fitness competitions. To measure the fitness effect of each ARG, we performed a series of

competition assays. The fitness effect of an ARG was estimated as the fitness difference between

cells carrying an ARG plasmid (W_{ARG}) and cells carrying the control pmFP plasmid (W_{Vec}). The

fitness of ARG and vector plasmid-carrying cells was estimated separately by competition with

reference cells carrying a GFP expressing plasmid (pUA66-$_{PrpsL}$GFP).

Briefly, cells carrying an ARG plasmid, pmFP, or pUA66-PrpsLGFP, were inoculated in 200 μL of

Davis-Mignioli broth supplemented with 250 μg/ml glucose (DM250) and 50 μg/ml kanamycin from

frozen stocks and cultured at 37ºC overnight. The overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in 200 μL of

fresh DM250 and incubated for a 24-hour growth cycle. Cultures were grown over two additional

daily growth cycles with 1:100 dilution between each cycle to condition them to the antibiotic-free

medium, then used to set up competition assays. Five microliters of culture of each competitor –

reference cells with pUA66-PrpsLGFP and either cells with an ARG plasmid or with the control

plasmid – were mixed in 40 μL of fresh DM250. Ten microliters of each cell mixture was added to

190 μL of fresh DM250 and incubated for 24 hours. The remaining 40 μL of each cell mix was added

to phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and placed on ice or fixed with formaldehyde before being

assayed by flow cytometry to determine the proportion of reference (GFP+) and ARG or control

plasmid-containing cells (Day 0). Overnight cultures of the cell mixtures were diluted 20-fold in PBS

and assayed by flow cytometry (Day 1). This protocol was repeated to obtain ARG effect estimates in

a competition environment supplemented with kanamycin, to which all plasmids confer resistance to.

The fitness effect of an ARG was estimated as W_{ARG} / W_{Vec}, where $W_{ARG} = \ln(ARG_{Day1} \times 100\ /$

$ARG_{Day0}) / \ln(GFP_{Day1} \times 100\ /\ GFP_{Day0})$ and $W_{Vec} = \ln(Vec_{Day1}\ /\ Vec_{Day0}) / \ln(GFP_{Day1}\ /\ GFP_{Day0})$.

ARG_{Day1}, ARG_{Day0}, Vec_{Day1} and Vec_{Day0} are the proportion of flow cytometry events without a GFP

signal, and GFP_{Day1} and GFP_{Day0} are the proportion of events with a GFP signal. Day 1 proportions

are multiplied by 100 to account for growth occurring during the competition. We note that a number

of flow cytometry runs resulted in total event counts or counts of one competitor that were

substantially different to mean estimates over all replicates of any given competition type. We

consider that the most likely reason for this is that the natural isolate strains used here are subject to

clumping that introduces variability in passage of cells through the cytometer flow cell. To reduce the

effect of this variation we excluded competitions where the starting frequency of either competitor

was estimated as more than 15% different than the target of 50%. This step removed a total of 911 of

4563 competitions. We emphasize that this filtering was performed without regard to the fitness

estimated considering the change in frequency over the course of the competition. We also omitted a

further 16 fitness estimates of one host strain collected in one experimental block. These estimates

were more than 50% higher than a set of 205 estimates collected for the same strain in other

experimental blocks, likely indicating that they were compromised by some experimental error.

In the antibiotic-free assay environment it is possible that plasmid loss could occur, which could

influence fitness estimates through production of relatively higher fitness plasmid free sub-

populations. To estimate the influence of plasmid-losing cells during the competition assay,

monocultures of cells with the pUA66-$_{PrpsL}$GFP plasmid were grown following the competition

protocol and plated on non-selective media to allow identification of the proportion of plasmid

containing cells. We found plasmid-containing cells remained at a frequency of 0.996 ($\pm$ 0.004

95%CI, n = 4) after three days of transfer. If we conservatively take this fraction to represent the

fraction of cells incorrectly identified as being the ARG-plasmid containing competitor instead of

cells that lost the reference pUA66-$_{PrpsL}$GFP plasmid during a single day of competition, this would

represent a fitness inflation of the ARG of only <0.1% over the true value. Moreover, plasmid-loss

cannot easily explain differences in costs of different ARGs in the same host. Finally, we repeated

fitness estimates in an environment supplemented with kanamycin, which ensures that only plasmid-

containing cells are present. The overall magnitude of fitness effects in this environment were similar

to those in a kanamycin-free environment, suggesting that plasmid loss is not influencing fitness

estimates in the conditions used in our experiment.

Determination of copy number of the bla$_{TEM-1}$ *plasmid.* The copy number of plasmids encoding the

bla$_{TEM-116}$* ARG was measured by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using the comparative Ct ($\Delta\Delta$Ct)

method (37). Plasmid carrying cells were cultured overnight in DM250 supplemented with 50 μg/ml

kanamycin and DNA isolated using a Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega).

Amplification of target genes was carried out using a SYBR Green based qPCR mix consisting of

Q5® High-Fidelity 2× Master Mix (NEB), SYBR Green, and relevant primers (at a final

concentration of 0.25 μM). Between one and two ng of template DNA was used per 10 μl reaction.

Reactions were performed using a Thermo Scientific PikoReal Real-Time PCR System with default

settings. Primers were designed using PrimerQuest (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.) to amplify

the chromosomal *dxs* gene, in order to determine the absolute Ct value of the bacterial chromosome

(Ct$_{ch}$; xs_qpcr_F1:cgagaaactggcgatcctta; dxs_qpcr_R1: cttcatcaagcggtttcaca), and the pUA66 plasmid

encoded *aph(3')-IIa* gene, to determine the Ct value of the plasmid (Ct$_p$; pUA_Kan_qpcr_F1:

ctcgtcaagaaggcgatagaag; pUA_Kan_qpcr_R1:cgttggctacccgtgatatt). The relative copy number of the

bla$_{TEM-116}$* plasmid to the chromosome (ΔCt) was calculated as $2^{-(Ct_p - Ct_{ch})}$. The ΔCt of different

bacterial strains was then normalized to the lab strain, REL606, to obtain the $\Delta\Delta$Ct value.

Statistics, phylogeny construction and phylogenetic signal testing. The R statistical computing

platform was used for all analysis and visualization (38). The flowCore package (39) was used to

analyze flow cytometry data and the lme4 and lmerTest (40, 41) packages were used to run and

evaluate mixed models. Environment, ARG and host strain were included as random effects in these

models as noted in the text. Core and accessory genomes of a collection of 96 environmental *E. coli*

isolates were determined and used previously to build phylogenies (42). These phylogenies were used

to test for a phylogenetic signal of ARG effects using Pagel's lambda and Blomberg's K metrics as

implemented in the phylosig function of the phytools package 1.0-3 (43).

Simulation of host-ARG communities. Competitive fitness assays allow fitness of derived strains to be

measured and compared to a corresponding ancestral strain. It is not possible to extend this approach

to compare the fitness of our distinct progenitor strains because competition between these strains

may be affected by complex interactions, for example mediated by colicins or phage, that lead to non-

transitivity in their relative fitness. To estimate fitness of all starting and evolved strains on a

comparable scale, we analyzed growth curves of progenitor strains to estimate their fitness relative to

one another as determined only by differences in resource use (44). The fitness of each strain-ARG

combination was determined by adding the estimated baseline strain fitness and the relative fitness

effect of each ARG measured in that strain. These estimates were used to parameterize an individual

based model that simulated strain-ARG dynamics in a community with ARG effects drawn form a

normal distribution with mean and standard deviation taken from experimental estimates. Simulations

were initiated with equal numbers of each strain-ARG combination and a population of size 10^4

propagated using a Wright-Fisher model for 200 generations. Strains and ARGs remaining above a

threshold frequency of 0.01 in at least 20% of 10 replicate simulations were considered to have

persisted in the community. Simulations were repeated separately omitting each strain and each ARG

from the starting community.

Results

ARGs can impose costs and benefits in the absence of direct selection. We separately cloned six

ARGs belonging to four distinct mechanistic classes into a low-copy number plasmid vector (Table

1). The fitness effects of each ARG in the absence of cognate antibiotics was estimated in each of 12

divergent *Escherichia* spp. host strains (Fig. 1). We found significant differences in the mean effect

of the different ARGs ($\chi^2 = 40.4$, P < 0.001). Of the six tested ARGs, only two conferred a significant

overall change in fitness measured across all host strains: *bla*$_{TEM116*}$ conferred a 2.8% (±1.0% 95CI)

cost and *bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$ conferred a 1.5% (±1.1% 95CI) benefit (Dunnett's test: *bla*$_{TEM116*}$ P < 0.01;

bla$_{CTX15-M-15}$ P = 0.04). Two other ARGs, *cat* and *dfrA5,* conferred marginally non-significant costs

(Dunnett's test: *cat,* cost = 1.6%, P = 0.06; *dfrA5* cost = 1.6%, P = 0.06). Together these results

clearly indicate that ARGs can confer significant effects on fitness in the absence of direct selection

for their resistance phenotypes. Moreover, the three β-lactamases had significantly different effects

on host fitness, demonstrating that effects can differ even between ARGs with similar resistance

profiles ($\chi^2 = 37.4$, P < 0.001).

Fitness effects of ARGs depend on the host strain. The results presented above consider the overall

effect of each tested ARG over 12 host strains. To determine if effects differed between strains, we

extended our model to include an ARG effect-by-strain interaction term. This extended model

provided a significantly improved fit compared to the previous model, indicating a dependence of

ARG effects on host genotype ($\chi^2 = 102.6$, P<0.001). We found that all ARGs conferred a significant

fitness effect in at least one strain (Dunnett's test P < 0.05: *aadA* - 4 strains; *bla*$_{CTX-M-15}$ - 2 strains,

bla$_{SHV12}$ - 1 strain, *bla*$_{TEM116*}$ - 3 strains; *cat* - 3 strains; *dfr*A5 - 2 strain2) (Fig. 2). In 11 of these 15

cases effects were negative. Of the 12 tested strains, all except H305, M605, and B354 had a

significant change in fitness caused by at least one ARG (Dunnett's test P < 0.05). Mean fitness

difference between different ARG-strain combinations was substantially larger than mean differences

between ARG effects, indicating a potential for some strains to act as reservoirs for ARGs in

antibiotic-free environments (ARG-Strain combinations mean difference = 3.1% (2.4 – 3.9% 95CI);

ARG mean difference = 1.6% (0.4 – 3.5% 95CI)). Indeed, four of the six tested ARGs were estimated

as having a neutral or beneficial effect in at least one host strain.

Fitness effects of ARGs depend on the environment. Fitness estimates reported above were carried out

in a minimal medium environment in the absence of any antibiotic. The focal ARGs were cloned into

a vector that also included an additional ARG gene (*aph3'-II*, encoding resistance to kanamycin)

giving us the opportunity to assess how the presence of an antibiotic might influence the effect of our

non-selected ARGs. We note that kanamycin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic with some similarity to

spectinomycin and streptomycin, substrates for the *aadA* ARG, but there is no detectable cross-

activity (45). When we measured the fitness effects of each ARG-strain combination in the same

environment as used previously, but supplemented with kanamycin, we again found a significant

interaction between ARG and host strain in determining fitness ($\chi^2 = 59.26$, P<0.001). The fitness of

all strains except for M605 was significantly affected by at least one ARG and all ARGs except *cat*

and *bla*$_{SHV12}$ significantly affected fitness of at least one strain. There was a significant effect of

environment comparing fitness estimates made in the minimal medium and kanamycin supplemented

environments ($\chi^2 = 84.2$, P<0.001). Evidently, a general pattern of ARG fitness effects depending on

the strain background is common across environments, although the exact nature of that dependence

is different.

Fitness effects of ARGs are not explained by plasmid copy number. A possible explanation for
different effects of ARGs across host strains is that the plasmid they are encoded on has a different
copy number in different strains. Different copy number can affect expression levels of plasmid-
borne genes and, thus, any phenotypic effect of gene products. One well known example is the effect
of bla_{TEM1} copy number on the degree of ampicillin resistance (46). We used qPCR to determine the
copy number of the $bla_{TEM116*}$ plasmid across our set of 12 host strains. This ARG showed the highest
variation in fitness cost so represents a good test of the contribution of copy number differences to
differences in fitness effects. We found that the relationship between $bla_{TEM116*}$ copy number and
fitness cost was positive, but non-significant, in both the minimal medium and kanamycin-
supplemented environments, indicating that differences in plasmid copy number is unlikely to
represent a general mechanism of strain-specific ARG fitness effects (minimal: r = 0.28, P = 0.36;
kanamycin-supplemented: r = 0.26, P = 0.41).

ARG effects are not predicted by evolutionary relationships between host strains. Variation in ARG
effects across host strains depends on the genotype of those strains. To test if the dependence of ARG
effect on host strain can be predicted through knowledge of the genetic relationship of strains we
tested for a phylogenetic signal in the effect of each ARG. We estimated Pagel's λ, a measure of the
phylogenetic signal in a response variable, considering the fitness effect of each ARG across
phylogenies derived from the core and accessory genomes of our host strains (47). In no case was any
significant signal found. An alternative measure of phylogenetic signal, Blomberg's K (48), gave a
qualitatively consistent result (Table S2). With only 12 host strains, the power of individual tests is
not high. However, the lack of signal given that our host strains cover a wide range of *E. coli* species
genetic diversity and that tests were repeated for each of our six ARGs, indicate, at least, that that
fitness effects of ARGs are not well predicted by the overall genetic similarity of diverse host strains.

Dependence of ARG fitness effect on host strain predicts interdependence between strain and ARG
success. The above results demonstrate variation between ARGs in their mean effect on host fitness
and dependence on the host strain for the effect of any single ARG. These factors combine to cause
the success of host strains and ARGs to be codependent: the overall fitness of each ARG depends on
available host strains and the overall fitness of each host strain depends on which ARGs it contains. A
consequence of this dependence is a potential for some strains to act as low-cost reservoirs of ARGs,
allowing these ARGs to persist when they would not otherwise be able to. It is also possible that the
presence of some ARGs will affect the relative fitness of some strains allowing them to be maintained
in a community when they would otherwise be outcompeted.

As a first step to examine implications of host-ARG fitness codependence we simulated 200
generations of growth of a community started with equal numbers of all 72 host-ARGs combinations
considered in our study. At the end of the simulation strains and ARGs remaining above a threshold
frequency in at least 20% of replicate simulated communities were counted as having persisted (see
Materials and Methods for details). We found that the final community typically contained of two
strains, B354 and H504, and a single ARG, bla_{SHV1} (Fig. 3A and B, top right squares). This reflects
that these strain-ARG combinations had the highest fitness of any that we measured (Fig. 2 combined
with baseline strain fitness estimates, see Materials and Methods for details). To determine the effect
of strain dependent ARG costs on this result, we repeated simulations separately omitting each strain
and ARG. In some cases, quite different final communities were produced (Fig. 3). For example,
omission of the H504 strain from the starting community led to the B354 strain dominating. In this
strain as many as five of the six focal ARGs remained present at the end of the simulation period,
reflecting the relatively small and consistent effects of ARGs in the B354 host strain.

Discussion

We quantified the effect conferred by six different ARGs in each of 12 host strains. Selection for
genes depends on the net effect of positive selection for the function they encode and costs to the host
cell of expressing that function (49, 50). ARGs clearly benefit through protection of host cells in the
presence of antibiotics but many ARGs have been isolated from environments where antibiotics are
not present at high concentrations and where costs might be influential in determining their fate (10,
12–14). We found that costs of carrying ARGs were common (Figs 1 & 2). All tested ARGs except
bla_{SHV12} conferred a cost in at least one host strain. These costs differed over host strains, but all of
the 12 hosts had reduced fitness conferred by at least one ARG in at least one of the two assay
environments. Conversely, all ARGs were neutral or beneficial in at least one host strain, indicating
the potential for specific strains to provide a 'selective refuge' for ARGs in the absence of antibiotic
selection.

Within the set of six ARGs we considered, three—$bla_{TEM116*}$, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ and bla_{SHV12}—were β-
lactamases. We expected the similar function of the encoded gene products to lead to similar host
dependent effects, but in fact these genes showed a significant host strain interaction effect (51).
Indeed, one, $bla_{TEM116*}$, conferred the highest cost of the genes we considered while another, $bla_{CTX-M-15}$,
15, conferred the highest benefit (Fig. 1). While we do not focus on the basis of costs, we note that
previous work on the effects of β-lactamases have proposed several mechanisms that may be relevant
to our findings. β-lactamases need to be transported to the periplasmic region of cells to function and

some signal peptides that direct this secretion have been associated with a fitness cost (20). β-

lactamases also have similarity with the penicillin binding proteins (PBPs) that process peptidoglycan

components of cell walls. Residual activity of β-lactamases for PBP substrates might interfere with

the dynamic process of peptidoglycan processing required to accommodate cell growth (21, 22). The

host strains we used are genetically distinct, likely leading to different metabolic, physiological, and

regulatory backgrounds on which effects of ARGs are tested. Whatever the mechanism of ARG costs,

differences among our host strains evidently provide opportunity for them to play out differently.

Co-existence theory has been developed to understand the role of specific interactions in determining

broader community dynamics (52–54). This theory has recently been applied to consider ARG

success given the availability of a range of environmental niches in which host strains might be

differently affected (11). One key finding was that ARGs that reduce host fitness in fewer

environmental niches will generally be more successful than those that impose costs generally over

most environments. Because ARGs are frequently encoded by horizontally mobile elements that can

transfer between hosts, different host strains can be thought of as distinct niches with transfer

facilitating fluctuating selection. From the perspective of the ARG, strains in which they confer low

costs represent refuges allowing them to minimize niche overlap with competitors. The more of these

kind of strains are available to an ARG, the more successful an ARG will be. We have not yet

experimentally tested this prediction, but, even in the absence of any horizontal transfer, our

simulations indicate that measured ARG fitness effects are of a magnitude where strains acting as a

refuge for a particular ARG can influence the outcome of competition (Fig. 3). We also note that

ARG-strain interactions depended on the assay environment, a finding consistent with other studies

measuring effects of antibiotic resistance (16, 55–58) . This dependence increases the chance that

refuge strains will be available for any given ARG but may also select for transfer between strains if

costs change following environmental shifts.

To our knowledge the influence of ARG refuge strains has not been experimentally examined. There

are, however, some observations that are consistent with the idea that different costs of ARGs across

host strains are relevant for determining community dynamics. In one study trimethoprim use was

decreased by 85% for two years in one Swedish county (59). This change was correlated with a small

overall decrease in frequency of the corresponding *dfrA* resistance gene that masked larger changes

within different *E. coli* subpopulations. Trimethoprim resistance was both unevenly distributed across

subpopulations at the beginning of the intervention and changed differently over subpopulations

during the intervention, decreasing from being present in 67% to 41% of isolates from one

subpopulations, but increasing from 17% to 31% in another (59).The authors note that explanations

other than strain-specific differential fitness costs are possible, including clonal expansions of

sequence types within subpopulations may influence ARG frequencies, though the frequent

horizontal transfer of resistance genes means that they are unlikely to be the sole explanation.

One complication of predictions based on co-existence theory is that costs of ARGs can evolve.

Indeed, costs of resistance phenotypes, especially those due to spontaneous mutations, are often

reduced during growth in antibiotic-free environments (reviewed in (13, 15). Several studies have

also demonstrated changes in the fitness effect of ARGs due to chromosomal mutations (30, 60, 61).

A consequence of evolved changes in ARG costs is that ARG effects will not only differ across host

stains and environments, but that they will also differ through time as host strains adapt and

compensate for any costs they confer. How this effect might influence long-term ARG fitness will be

an important area for future study.

Investigation of the influence of ARGs on microbial communities has been dominated by

consideration of their effects in the presence of antibiotics. It was generally thought that ARGs would

impose costs that would select against them in environments without this selection pressure, but it

now seems that this assumption was optimistic (62). While many ARGs can impose costs, a

dependence on environment and host strain may create refuges that keep ARG frequencies high even

in the absence of direct selection.

References

1. Collaborators AR, Murray CJ, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Aguilar GR, Gray A, Han C, Bisignano C, Rao P, Wool E, Johnson SC, Browne AJ, Chipeta MG, Fell F, Hackett S, Haines-Woodhouse G, Hamadani BHK, Kumaran EAP, McManigal B, Agarwal R, Akech S, Albertson S, Amuasi J, Andrews J, Aravkin A, Ashley E, Bailey F, Baker S, Basnyat B, Bekker A, Bender R, Bethou A, Bielicki J, Boonkasidecha S, Bukosia J, Carvalheiro C, Castañeda-Orjuela C, Chansamouth V, Chaurasia S, Chiurchiù S, Chowdhury F, Cook AJ, Cooper B, Cressey TR, Criollo-Mora E, Cunningham M, Darboe S, Day NPJ, Luca MD, Dokova K, Dramowski A, Dunachie SJ, Eckmanns T, Eibach D, Emami A, Feasey N, Fisher-Pearson N, Forrest K, Garrett D, Gastmeier P, Giref AZ, Greer RC, Gupta V, Haller S, Haselbeck A, Hay SI, Holm M, Hopkins S, Iregbu KC, Jacobs J, Jarovsky D, Javanmardi F, Khorana M, Kissoon N, Kobeissi E, Kostyanev T, Krapp F, Krumkamp R, Kumar A, Kyu HH, Lim C, Limmathurotsakul D, Loftus MJ, Lunn M, Ma J, Mturi N, Munera-Huertas T, Musicha P, Mussi-Pinhata MM, Nakamura T, Nanavati R, Nangia S, Newton P, Ngoun C, Novotney A, Nwakanma D, Obiero CW, Olivas-Martinez A, Olliaro P, Ooko E, Ortiz-Brizuela E, Peleg AY, Perrone C, Plakkal N, Ponce-de-Leon A, Raad M, Ramdin T, Riddell A, Roberts T, Robotham JV, Roca A, Rudd KE, Russell N, Schnall J, Scott JAG, Shivamallappa M, Sifuentes-Osornio J, Steenkeste N, Stewardson AJ, Stoeva T, Tasak N, Thaiprakong A, Thwaites G, Turner C, Turner P, Doorn HR van, Velaphi S, Vongpradith A, Vu H, Walsh T, Waner S, Wangrangsimakul T, Wozniak T, Zheng P, Sartorius B, Lopez AD, Stergachis A, Moore C, Dolecek C, Naghavi M. 2022. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. Lancet 399:629–655.

2. Darby EM, Trampari E, Siasat P, Gaya MS, Alav I, Webber MA, Blair JMA. 2022. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. Nat Rev Microbiol 21:1–16.

3. Rahman S, Kesselheim AS, Hollis A. 2023. Persistence of resistance: a panel data analysis of the effect of antibiotic usage on the prevalence of resistance. J Antibiot 76:270–278.

4. Olesen SW, Barnett ML, MacFadden DR, Brownstein JS, Hernández-Díaz S, Lipsitch M, Grad YH. 2018. The distribution of antibiotic use and its association with antibiotic resistance. eLife 7:e39435.

5. Ebmeyer S, Kristiansson E, Larsson DGJ. 2021. A framework for identifying the recent origins of mobile antibiotic resistance genes. Commun Biology 4:8.

6. Poku E, Cooper K, Cantrell A, Harnan S, Sin MA, Zanuzdana A, Hoffmann A. 2023. Systematic review of time lag between antibiotic use and rise of resistant pathogens among hospitalized adults in Europe. JAC-Antimicrob Resist 5:dlad001.

7. Gullberg E, Cao S, Berg OG, Ilbäck C, Sandegren L, Hughes D, Andersson DI. 2011. Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations. Plos Pathog 7:e1002158.

8. Huijben S, Chan BHK, Nelson WA, Read AF. 2018. The impact of within-host ecology on the fitness of a drug resistant parasite. Evol Medicine Public Heal 2018 1:127-137.

9. Sundqvist M. 2014. Reversibility of antibiotic resistance. Upsala J Med Sci 119:142–148.

10. Andersson D, Hughes D. 2010. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to reverse resistance? Nat Rev Microbiol 8:260 271.

11. Letten AD, Hall AR, Levine JM. 2021. Using ecological coexistence theory to understand antibiotic resistance and microbial competition. Nat Ecol Evol 5:431–441.

12. Larsson DGJ, Flach C-F. 2021. Antibiotic resistance in the environment. Nat Rev Microbiol 20:1–13.

13. Hernando-Amado S, Sanz-García F, Blanco P, Martínez JL. 2017. Fitness costs associated with the acquisition of antibiotic resistance. Essays Biochem 61:37–48.

14. Vogwill T, MacLean RC. 2014. The genetic basis of the fitness costs of antimicrobial resistance: a meta-analysis approach. Evol Appl 8:284 295.

15. Hall AR, Angst DC, Schiessl KT, Ackermann M. 2015. Costs of antibiotic resistance – separating trait effects and
selective effects. Evol Appl 8:261–272.

16. Björkman J, Nagaev I, Berg OG, Hughes D, Andersson DI. 2000. Effects of environment on compensatory mutations
to ameliorate costs of antibiotic resistance. Science 287:1479–1482.

17. Alekshun MN, Levy SB. 2007. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. Cell 128:1037–1050.

18. Gelder LD, Ponciano JM, Abdo Z, Joyce P, Forney LJ, Top EM. 2004. Combining mathematical models and
statistical methods to understand and predict the dynamics of antibiotic-sensitive mutants in a population of resistant
bacteria during experimental evolution. Genetics 168:1131–1144.

19. Nguyen TN, Phan QG, Duong LP, Bertrand KP, Lenski RE. 1989. Effects of carriage and expression of the Tn*10*
tetracycline-resistance operon on the fitness of *Escherichia coli* K12. Mol Biol Evol 6:213–25.

20. Rajer F, Sandegren L. 2022. The role of antibiotic resistance genes in the fitness cost of multiresistance plasmids.
Mbio 13:e03552-21.

21. Fernández A, Pérez A, Ayala JA, Mallo S, Rumbo-Feal S, Tomás M, Poza M, Bou G. 2012. Expression of OXA-type
and SFO-1 β-lactamases induces changes in peptidoglycan composition and affects bacterial fitness. Antimicrob Agents
Chem 56:1877–1884.

22. Colquhoun JM, Farokhyfar M, Hutcheson AR, Anderson A, Bethel CR, Bonomo RA, Clarke AJ, Rather PN. 2021.
OXA-23 β-Lactamase overexpression in *Acinetobacter baumannii* drives physiological changes resulting in new genetic
vulnerabilities. Mbio 12:e03137-21.

23. Marciano DC, Karkouti OY, Palzkill T. 2007. Afitness cost associated with the antibiotic resistance enzyme SME-1 β-
lactamase. Genetics 176:2381–2392.

24. Enne VI, Delsol AA, Davis GR, Hayward SL, Roe JM, Bennett PM. 2005. Assessment of the fitness impacts on
Escherichia coli of acquisition of antibiotic resistance genes encoded by different types of genetic element. J Antimicrob
Chemoth 56:544–551.

25. Kassen R, Bataillon T. 2006. Distribution of fitness effects among beneficial mutations before selection in
experimental populations of bacteria. Nat Genet 38:484 488.

26. Lenski RE, Simpson SC, Nguyen TT. 1994. Genetic analysis of a plasmid-encoded, host genotype-specific
enhancement of bacterial fitness. J Bacteriol 176:3140–3147.

27. Knopp M, Andersson DI. 2018. Predictable phenotypes of antibiotic resistance mutations. Mbio 9:552 14.

28. Peng F, Widmann S, Wünsche A, Duan K, Donovan KA, Dobson RCJ, Lenski RE, Cooper TF. 2018. Effects of
beneficial mutations in *pykF* gene vary over time and across replicate populations in a long-term experiment with
bacteria. Mol Biol Evol 35:202 210.

29. Trindade S, Sousa A, Xavier KB, Dionisio F, Ferreira MG, Gordo I. 2009. Positive epistasis drives the acquisition of
multidrug resistance. Plos Genet 5:e1000578.

30. Silva RF, Mendonça SCM, Carvalho LM, Reis AM, Gordo I, Trindade S, Dionisio F. 2011. Pervasive sign epistasis
between conjugative plasmids and drug-resistance chromosomal mutations 7:e1002181.

31. Sousa JM de, Balbontín R, Durão P, Gordo I. 2017. Multidrug-resistant bacteria compensate for the epistasis between
resistances. Plos Biol 15:e2001741 24.

32. Rozen DE, McGee L, Levin BR, Klugman KP. 2007. Fitness costs of fluoroquinolone resistance in *Streptococcus*
pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chem 51:412–416.

33. Valle AA, León-Sampedro R, Rodríguez-Beltrán J, DelaFuente J, Hernández-García M, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Cantón R,
Peña-Miller R, Millán AS. 2021. Variability of plasmid fitness effects contributes to plasmid persistence in bacterial
communities. Nat Commun 12:2653.

34. Alcock BP, Raphenya AR, Lau TTY, Tsang KK, Bouchard M, Edalatmand A, Huynh W, Nguyen A-LV, Cheng AA,
Liu S, Min SY, Miroshnichenko A, Tran H-K, Werfalli RE, Nasir JA, Oloni M, Speicher DJ, Florescu A, Singh B, Faltyn
M, Hernandez-Koutoucheva A, Sharma AN, Bordeleau E, Pawlowski AC, Zubyk HL, Dooley D, Griffiths E, Maguire F,
Winsor GL, Beiko RG, Brinkman FSL, Hsiao WWL, Domselaar GV, McArthur AG. 2020. CARD 2020: antibiotic
resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Res 48:D517–D525.

35. Zaslaver A, Bren A, Ronen M, Itzkovitz S, Kikoin I, Shavit S, Liebermeister W, Surette MG, Alon U. 2006. A
comprehensive library of fluorescent transcriptional reporters for *Escherichia coli*. Nat Methods 3:623 628.

36. Lessard JC. 2013. Transformation of *E. coli* via electroporation. Methods Enzym 529:321–327.

37. Lee C, Kim J, Shin SG, Hwang S. 2006. Absolute and relative qPCR quantification of plasmid copy number in
Escherichia coli. J Biotechnol 123:273–280.

38. Team RC. 2021. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

39. Ellis B, Haaland P, Hahne F, Le Meur N, Gopalakrishnan N, Spidlen J, Jiang M, Finak G. 2022. flowCore: Basic
structures for flow cytometry data. R package version 2.8.0.

40. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. J Stat Softw 67. 1-48.

41. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in linear mixed effects models. J Stat
Softw 82. 1-26.

42. Wang Y, Arenas CD, Stoebel DM, Flynn K, Knapp E, Dillon MM, Wünsche A, Hatcher PJ, Moore FB-G, Cooper
VS, Cooper TF. 2016. Benefit of transferred mutations is better predicted by the fitness of recipients than by their
ecological or genetic relatedness. Proc National Acad Sci 113:5047 5052.

43. Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in
Ecology and Evolution 3:217–223.

44. Ram Y, Dellus-Gur E, Bibi M, Karkare K, Obolski U, Feldman MW, Cooper TF, Berman J, Hadany L. 2019.
Predicting microbial growth in a mixed culture from growth curve data. Proc National Acad Sci 116:14698–14707.

45. Dhar R, Bergmiller T, Wagner A. 2014. Increased gene dosage plays a predominant role in the initial stages of
duplicate TEM-1 beta lactamase genes. Evolution 68:1775–1791.

46. Papadovasilaki M, Oberthür D, Gessmann R, Sarrou I, Betzel C, Scoulica E, Petratos K. 2015. Biophysical and
enzymatic properties of aminoglycoside adenylyltransferase AadA6 from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Biochem Biophysics
Reports 4:152–157.

47. Pagel M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877 884.

48. Blomberg SP, Garland T, Ives AR. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are
more labile. Evolution 57:717 745.

49. Dekel E, Alon U. 2005. Optimality and evolutionary tuning of the expression level of a protein. Nature 436:588 592.

50. Eames M, Kortemme T. 2012. Cost-benefit tradeoffs in engineered *lac* operons. Science 336:911 915.

51. Tooke CL, Hinchliffe P, Bragginton EC, Colenso CK, Hirvonen VHA, Takebayashi Y, Spencer J. 2019. β-Lactamases
and β-Lactamase Inhibitors in the 21st Century. J Mol Biol 431:3472–3500.

52. HilleRisLambers J, Adler PB, Harpole WS, Levine JM, Mayfield MM. 2012. Rethinking community assembly
through the lens of coexistence theory. Ecol Evol Syst 43:227–248.

53. Barabás G, D'Andrea R, Stump SM. 2018. Chesson's coexistence theory. Ecol Monogr 88:277–303.

54. Ellner SP, Snyder RE, Adler PB, Hooker G. 2019. An expanded modern coexistence theory for empirical applications.
Ecol Lett 22:3–18.

55. Petersen A, Aarestrup FM, Olsen JE. 2009. The in vitro fitness cost of antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli*
varies with the growth conditions. FEMS Microbiol Lett 299:53–59.

56. Paulander W, Maisnier-Patin S, Andersson DI. 2009. The fitness cost of streptomycin resistance depends on *rpsL*
mutation, carbon source and RpoS (σS). Genetics 183:539–546.

57. Qi Q, Preston GM, MacLean RC. 2014. Linking system-wide impacts of RNA polymerase mutations to the fitness
cost of rifampin resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. mBio 5:e01562-14.

58. Trindade S, Sousa A, Gordo I. 2012. Antibiotic resistance and stress in the light of Fisher's model. Evolution 66:3815
3824.

59. Sundqvist M, Granholm S, Naseer U, Rydén P, Brolund A, Sundsfjord A, Kahlmeter G, Johansson A. 2014. Within-
population distribution of trimethoprim resistance in *Escherichia coli* before and after a community-wide intervention on
trimethoprim use. Antimicrob Agents Ch 58:7492–7500.

60. Turner PE, Cooper VS, Lenski RE. 1998. Tradeoff between horizontal and vertical modes of transmission in bacterial
plasmids. Evolution 52:315–329.

61. Hellweger FL. 2013. *Escherichia coli* adapts to tetracycline resistance plasmid (pBR322) by mutating endogenous
potassium transport: in silico hypothesis testing. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 83:622–631.

62. Andersson DI, Nicoloff H, Hjort K. 2019. Mechanisms and clinical relevance of bacterial heteroresistance. Nat Rev
Microbiol 17:479–496.

499
500
501

502 **Table 1. ARGs used in this study.**

Gene	Enzyme type	Conferring resistance to:	Mechanism
aadA	Aminoglycoside adenyltransferase	Aminoglycosides such as spectinomycin and streptomycin	Drug-modification
*bla*_{TEM-116}*	Beta lactamase	β-lactam such as ampicillin	Drug-modification
*bla*_{SHV12}	Beta lactamase	β-lactam such as ampicillin and cefotaxime	Drug-modification
*bla*_{CTX-M-15}	Beta lactamase	β-lactam such as ampicillin and cefotaxime	Drug-modification
cat	Chloramphenicol acetyl transferase	Chloramphenicol	Drug-modification
dfrA5	Dihydrofolate reductase	Trimethoprim	Target replacement

Figure 1. Fitness effect of each ARG across 12 *Escherichia* spp. host strains. Boxes indicate median (line), 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers indicate 1.5× the inter-quartile range. Outliers are indicated as individual points. The horizontal dashed line indicates no fitness effect. The fitness effect of each ARG effect was estimated in each of the 12 host strains. Estimates over these strains are pooled in this analysis ($n \geq 5$ for each host-ARG combination).

Figure 2. Fitness effect of ARGs in each host strain. Boxes indicate median (line), 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers indicate 1.5× the inter-quartile range. Outliers are indicated as individual points. The horizontal dashed line indicates no fitness effect. n ≥ 5 for each host-ARG combination.

514 **Figure 3 Host strain and ARG persistence during community growth in the absence of direct ARG selection.**
515 Communities consisting of all 72 host strain-ARG combinations and with omission of one strain and/or ARG as indicated
516 were simulated over 200 generations with fitness effects estimated as described in Materials and Methods. Coloured bars
517 indicate the ARGs (panel A) and host strains (panel B) remaining at greater than 1% in at least two of ten replicate
518 communities simulated in each condition.