Title page

Authors

Shohei Shibata[1], Takeshi Furuichi[1]

Title

Comparative analysis of intragroup intermale relationships: A study of wild bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) in Wamba, Democratic Republic of Congo and chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) in Kalinzu Forest Reserve, Uganda

Affiliations and Address

[1] Wildlife Research Center, Kyoto University, Inuyama, Aichi 484-8506, Japan

Correspondence

Name: Shohei Shibata

ORCID: 0000-0002-3605-2502

Address: Kyoto University Wildlife Research Center, 41-2, Kanrin, Inuyama, Aichi 484-8506, Japan

Email: shibata.shohei.d12@kyoto-u.jp

Abstract

Although chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) share a multi-male/multi-female societal organization and form male-philopatric groups, disparities in terms of male aggression and stability of temporary parties exist among them. However, existing research has mainly focused on the high social status, prolonged receptivity, and characteristic sexual behaviors of female bonobos, leaving the behaviors of male bonobos understudied. Moreover, prior comparative studies suffer from methodological inconsistencies. This study addresses these gaps by employing a uniform observation method to explore party attendance and aggressive interactions among male bonobos in Wamba and male chimpanzees in Kalinzu. Unlike male chimpanzees that exhibit dispersion in the absence of receptive females in the group, male bonobos showed a lesser degree of such dispersion. Although the overall frequency of aggressive interactions per observation unit did not significantly differ between the two species, the nature of these interactions varied. Notably, severe aggressive behaviors such as physical confrontations among adult males were absent in bonobos, with most aggression occurring between the sons of the two highest-ranking females. Additionally, in bonobos, females actively engaged in polyadic aggressive behavior as aggressors, while all instances of coalitionary aggression in chimpanzees originated from male aggressors. These findings underscore the substantial impact of female behaviors on the observed distinctions in male aggressive interactions between the two species.

Keywords

Pan paniscus, *Pan troglodytes*, aggression, fission–fusion dynamics, party size, dominance rank, Wamba, Kalinzu Forest Reserve

Introduction

Factors concerning intermale mating competition primarily influence the sociosexual behaviors of primate males. These include the number of receptive females, mating seasonality, operational sex ratio, dominance rank among males in a group, and relationships with other groups or out-group males. Among chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*), the dominance hierarchy is relatively linear, and aggressive behaviors from dominant individuals to subordinate individuals are commonly observed in many dyads (Nishida and Hosaka 1996; Newton-Fisher 2004). The aggression among males seems to be related to male reproductive strategies because the presence of receptive females increases male aggression (Muller and Wrangham 2004). The aggressive behaviors are sometimes very violent and result in the killing of adult males of the same group (Fawcett and Muhumuza 2000; Watts 2004; Kaburu et al. 2013; Wilson et al. 2014). On the other hand, some male members of a group form affiliative coalition, which may help them monopolize mating opportunities against other male members (Watts 1998; Duffy et al. 2007; Mitani 2009; Schülke et al. 2010).

Bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) form male-philopatric groups that are very similar to those of chimpanzees (Kano 1982; Gerloff et al. 1999; Hashimoto et al. 2008; Ishizuka et al. 2019). Therefore, we can expect to see similar behaviors in males, including

severe aggression against other males in the group, an increase in the aggressive interactions in the presence of receptive females, coalitions among males for the monopolization of receptive females. However, these behaviors are seldom observed or are observed with less aggression among males within a group (Kano 1992; Furuichi and Ihobe 1994; Furuichi 1997; Surbeck et al. 2012; Samuni et al. 2022), and it raises the question of why bonobos and chimpanzees show substantial differences in their aggression and behavior patterns. Moreover, a significant question arises regarding the prevalence of reproductive skew toward high-ranking males in bonobos compared to chimpanzees, even though the intermale relationships in bonobos appear to be less despotic (Surbeck et al. 2017b; Ishizuka et al. 2018; Surbeck et al. 2019; Yokoyama and Furuichi 2022; Ishizuka 2023).

While answering this question requires observations of males from both species, studies focusing on behavioral interactions among male bonobos have been relatively scarce (Kuroda 1980; Ihobe 1992; Furuichi and Ihobe 1994; Surbeck et al. 2017a; Cheng et al. 2022). This scarcity is likely attributed to the less conspicuous nature of male bonobos behaviors compared to those of chimpanzees. Additionally, the heightened conspicuousness of female behavioral traits in bonobos may contribute to the focus on the latter (Parish 1994; White and Wood 2007; Furuichi 2011, 2023). Furthermore, most

previous studies comparing the behavior of wild chimpanzees and bonobos did not rely on data obtained through uniform observation methods. Therefore, to clarify whether there are significant differences in within-group male relationships between chimpanzees and bonobos, we conducted studies using the same observation methods under natural conditions of male bonobos in Wamba, Democratic Republic of the Congo and male chimpanzees in Kalinzu Forest Reserve, Uganda.

The patterns of association and behavior of male bonobos have been considered to be strongly affected by prolonged sexual receptivity of females and the constant presence of multiple receptive females in parties (Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Hashimoto et al. 2022), moderation of mating competition by the presence of multiple receptive females (Kano 1992; Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Furuichi 2023), long-lasting mother–son relationships (Furuichi 1989; Kano 1992; Furuichi 2011), and strong support from dominant mothers in mating competition and acquisition of alpha status (Surbeck et al. 2010; Furuichi 2011; Ishizuka et al. 2018; Furuichi 2019; Surbeck et al. 2019; Shibata and Furuichi 2023). Based on these previous reports, we hypothesized that in bonobos, unlike chimpanzees, the prolonged sexual receptivity of females and the support from high-ranking mothers strongly influence mating competition among males so that the importance of intermale aggressive interactions is

relatively lower, and the frequency and intensity of male aggression are reduced. In this study, we tested four predictions derived from our hypothesis.

1. Male bonobos are more likely to find a higher number of receptive females in parties to which they attend than do male chimpanzees.
2. In bonobos, instances of aggressive interactions among males are less frequent or involve less physical contact than in chimpanzees.
3. Aggressive interactions between males over access to receptive females are less frequent in bonobos than in chimpanzees.
4. In bonobos, aggressive interactions between males more commonly involve their mothers compared to the patterns observed in chimpanzees.

Methods

Study sites and subjects

Bonobos in Wamba

Field assistants and author S.S. observed wild bonobos of the E1 group in Wamba in the northern sector of the Luo Scientific Reserve in DR Congo, where long-term research has been conducted since 1973 (Kano 1992; Furuichi et al. 2012). The bonobos were observed for 37 days from September 19 to December 29, 2019. All the

individuals in the E1 group were identified and habituated before the beginning of this study. At the time of this research, the group comprised approximately 40 individuals, including eight adult males aged over 14 years, three adolescent males aged 8–14 years, 13 adult females, a few immigrant adolescent females, and many juveniles and infants. The age classes of subject males were categorized according to Hashimoto (1997). The study subjects were eight adult and three adolescent males. The individual names, dominance rank, estimated birth year, and their mothers are shown in Table 1. The dominance rank of males was calculated using David's score (David 1987) based on dyadic aggressive interactions. The individuals were divided into one of the following four categories, namely the alpha male (1), high ranking (2–3), middle ranking (4–7), and low ranking (8–11).

Chimpanzees in Kalinzu

Field assistants and author S.S. observed the wild chimpanzees of the M group in the Kalinzu Forest Reserve, Uganda, where long-term research has been conducted since 1992 (Hashimoto 1995; Hashimoto and Furuichi 2006). The chimpanzees were observed for 112 days from February 3 to April 18, from June 23 to September 1, 2018, and from March 11 to May 24 2019. During this study, the group consisted of approximately 100 individuals, including 15 adult males aged over 15 years (Goodall

1986), 29 adult females, a few adolescent males and females, and many juveniles and infants. All adult males of the M group were identified and habituated by the beginning of the study period. The study subjects of the current study comprised 10 adult males. The individual names, dominance ranks, and estimated birth years of each adult male are shown in Table 2. The dominance rank of males was calculated using David's score (David 1987) based on dyadic aggressive and submissive interactions. The individuals were divided into one of the following four categories, namely the alpha male (1), high ranking (2–4), middle ranking (5–7), and low ranking (8–10) (Table 2).

Data collection

Bonobos in Wamba were followed from approximately 0600 h from their previous night's sleep site. Chimpanzees in Kalinzu were followed daily from approximately 0700 h. We conducted observations using the same methods for both bonobos and chimpanzees. We used the focal sampling method (Altmann 1974), in which a focal animal was followed for as long as possible. We followed the first male we found in the morning unless we had followed that individual on the previous day. When we lost sight of the focal individual, we continued to observe if the individual was found again within 30 min. However, if we could not find the individual for 30

min, we stopped the focal observation for that day.

Party composition and observation unit

Every 60 min during the focal following, we recorded the party composition that the focal animal belonged to using the 1-h party method (Hashimoto et al. 2001; Mulavwa et al. 2008). We recorded individuals within the visible range at the beginning of each hour and included new individuals that joined the party until the end of the hour. Each hour-long observation was considered a unit for analysis and referred to as one observational hour unit (OHU) when focal animals were observed for > 30 min within that hour. To exclude the potential for overestimating data on behaviors that were easily observed, we excluded all observation data for days with < 3 OHUs (11 OHUs for bonobos and 22 OHUs for chimpanzees). In total, 217 OHUs were analyzed for all the subject bonobos with a mean of 19.7 ± 5.0 SD OHUs (range: 11–30) for each individual (Table 1). The mean duration of OHU was 53.5 min (range: 30–60). For all the chimpanzee subjects, 677 OHUs were analyzed with a mean of 67.7 ± 11.1 SD OHUs (range: 53–90) for each individual (Table 2). The mean duration of OHU was 52.1 min (range: 30–60).

Aggressive interactions

We recorded all the observed intragroup aggressive interactions in each OHU using *ad libitum* sampling, including cases where the focal animal was not involved. We defined "aggressive interactions" as involving at least one aggressive behavior toward one or more individuals: aggressive physical contact such as kicking, beating, and biting; chasing; charging; directed aggressive displaying (including branch dragging and provocative behaviors for bonobos); non-vocal threatening. Undirected aggressive displays, namely jumping in chimpanzees and branch dragging in bonobos, were only recorded when other individuals responded by screaming or fleeing after that behavior. Although we could not record some aggressive interactions due to bad visibility in the bush or on the high canopy, all recorded aggressive interactions that involved subject males were included in the analyses. The visibility was not substantially different between the two study sites; therefore, it did not bias comparisons between bonobos and chimpanzees in this study.

Presence of females with maximum swelling and comparison of party attendance by adult males

The swelling status of the sexual skin of each female in each party was

recorded as one of the following two categories: non-swelling and maximum swelling (firmness 3 defined by (Furuichi 1987), hereinafter MS).

We defined all OHUs on days when we observed at least one female with MS as “OHU under the presence of females with MS.” In bonobos, females resume receptivity in the early stage of postpartum infertility; therefore, usually multiple females show MS and sexual receptivity (Kano 1992; Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Hashimoto et al. 2022). In the current study, females with MS were observed in 35 of 37 (97%) observation days, and 197 of 217 (90.8%) OHUs were defined as “OHU under the presence of females with MS.” Therefore, we included all 217 OHUs in bonobos as a single dataset in the analysis of the party attendance by adult males. In chimpanzees, females with MS were observed in 62 of 112 (55.4%) observation days; 376 out of 677 (55.5%) OHUs were defined as “OHU under the presence of females with MS,” and the remaining 301 OHUs were defined as “OHU under the absence of females with MS.” We compared the number of adult males observed in a party in three different group conditions, namely bonobos, chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS, and chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS (Fig. 1). To determine whether the datasets of the three conditions followed the same distribution, we first normalized each dataset and then conducted the Kolmogorov–Smirnov two-

sample tests for three combinations by using the “ks.test()” function. We used R (version 4.2.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, http://www.r-project.org [accessed on 13 December 2022]) for all statistical analyses.

Intragroup aggressive interactions among males

We recorded 92 aggressive interactions among male bonobos. Among these, 76 cases were dyadic aggressive interactions between males, comprising 43 cases in 12 dyads between adult males and 33 cases in 10 dyads between adult and adolescent males. The remaining 16 cases were aggression toward males from multiple individuals (including at least one male as an aggressor), comprising 14 cases toward adult males and two cases toward adolescent males. For chimpanzees, we recorded 201 aggressive interactions among adult males, including 177 dyadic aggressive interactions in 65 dyads and 24 polyadic interactions. Polyadic interactions include both cases of coalitions and cases when there were multiple victims. We divided aggressive interactions into two conditions for chimpanzees based on the presence/absence of females with MS in the group. The aggressive interactions were divided into four categories according to their intensity, namely aggressive physical contact, chasing, directed aggressive display, and others including non-vocal threatening. All the

aggressive interactions among all the subject bonobos (including adolescents) were summarized in the aggressive interaction matrix.

We calculated the frequencies of aggressive interactions in two different ways. First, we calculated the frequency of overall aggressive interactions per OHU by dividing the number of overall aggressive interactions by the number of OHUs. Secondly, we calculated the frequencies of aggressive interactions per male in an OHU by dividing the total number of males involved in aggressive interactions (including both aggressors and receivers) by the total number of males observed during each OHU. To examine the difference in the frequency of aggressive interactions between each group/condition, we conducted a chi-square test with a Bonferroni-corrected *post hoc* test using the "pairwise.prop.test()" function.

Results

Availability of receptive females and grouping patterns of adult males

A large difference between bonobos and chimpanzees in the availability of receptive females with MS for males was observed. In bonobos, 1.22 ± 1.15 (range 0–4) females with MS were observed in each OHU. On the other hand, in chimpanzees, 0.47 ± 0.68 (range 0–3) females with MS were observed in each OHU.

Figure 1 shows the number of adult males observed in each OHU. In chimpanzees, the number of males in parties differed considerably between days when females with MS were observed (Fig. 1b) and days when they were not observed (Fig. 1c). In the absence of females with MS, the modal value of the number of males was one, indicating that the males were highly dispersed. For bonobos, we drew only one pooled figure because females with MS were absent only in two days (Fig. 1a). The tendency of a high degree of dispersion was not found in bonobos. The party size distribution differed significantly in all three pairs (Kolmogorov–Smirnov two sample tests, chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS vs. chimpanzees under the presence of them, D = 0.125, p = 0.011; bonobos vs. chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS, D = 0.163, p = 0.003; bonobos vs. chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS, D = 0.145, p = 0.006). However, the shape of the distribution for male bonobos and the one for male chipanzees under the presence of females with MS were similar with modal number of males of three to four (37.5–40.0% of adult male members) for bonobos and six to seven (40.0–46.7%) for chimpanzees, suggesting that both male bonobos and chimpanzees show similar tendency of party attendance when females with MS are present in the group.

Aggressive interactions among male bonobos and chimpanzees

Table 3 shows the number of dyadic aggressive interactions expressed and received in each dyad among 11 adult and adolescent male bonobos. Dyadic aggressive interactions were observed in 22 out of 55 dyads. Of the 76 dyadic aggressive interactions, 37 cases were directed at two middle and low-ranking males, Jiro and Jo, and 30 out of the 37 were from one of the three high-ranking males (Kitaro, Nobita, and Kiyota). In the 16 cases of polyadic aggressive interactions involving more than two individuals (including 14 cases among adult males and two cases involving adolescent males), the aggressee was a single male in all these cases and 15 cases were directed at Jiro or Jo. Kitaro, Nobita, and Kiyota are the sons of the afpha female Kiku, and Jiro and Jo are the sons of the second-ranking female Jacky (Table 1). During this observation period, the sons of Jacky were challenging the high status of the sons of Kiku by provoking them, and aggressive interactions among them frequently involved their mothers and brothers (Shibata, personal observation).

Table 4 shows the numbers of dyadic aggressive interactions expressed and received in each dyad among 10 adult male chimpanzees. Dyadic aggressive interactions were observed in 32 out of 45 dyads. All the individuals except the alpha male were attacked multiple times by higher-ranking males. Of the 100 aggressive interactions, 47 were expressed by the alpha male, Goku.

Comparison of the type and frequency of adult male aggressive interactions between bonobos and chimpanzees

Table 5 shows the number of OHUs observed, the mean number of adult males in the party, the total number of adult males observed in each OHU, and the number of aggressive interactions among adult male bonobos of the E1 group and adult male chimpanzees of the M group.

Among bonobos, > 50% of aggressive interactions were dyadic directed displays. Chasing behaviors were observed with a similar frequency, but more than half of them were polyadic aggressive interactions (shown in parentheses in Table 5). Aggressive physical contact among adult males was not observed during this study.

Among chimpanzees, chasing was the most frequent aggressive interaction and accounted for approximately 60% of the total aggressive interactions. Aggressive physical contact accounted for 15% of all aggressive interactions, and > 70% of these occurred under the presence of females with MS.

In bonobos, all 14 observed polyadic aggressive interactions among adult males involved chasing behaviors, with multiple individuals pursuing one individual, and at least one adult male participating as an aggressor. Three or four individuals joined as aggressors in eight of the 14 cases, and the aggressee of these interactions was

a single male, Jiro in 13 of 14 cases. Kitaro or/and Nobita joined in 12 of these 13 cases of aggression toward Jiro. Females joined as aggressors in six of the 14 cases. Although Kiku joined as an aggressor with Nobita in only one case, probably because she had a newborn baby during this study period, Nao, an old female ally of Kiku, joined in three cases to attack Jiro.

Out of all 24 polyadic aggressive interactions among chimpanzees, 10 cases were coalitionary aggression comprising nine chasing behaviors and one directed aggressive display from two males. All of these coalitionary aggressions occurred under the presence of females with MS, except for one case of chasing behavior. Female chimpanzees did not join any of the coalitionary aggression as aggressors. Chimpanzees also showed 14 aggressive interactions from one male toward multiple individuals. These interactions include six cases of chasing directed at multiple individuals and eight cases of aggressive displays after which other multiple males responded by screaming or fleeing. Such aggressive interactions from one individual to multiple individuals were not observed in bonobos.

The frequency of overall aggressive interactions per OHU in bonobos (0.263) was lower than that of chimpanzees (0.297) but the difference was not statistically significant (chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 0.778$, df = 1, $p = 0.378$). When data on chimpanzees

was categorized into two groups based on the presence/absence of females with MS, the frequency of aggressive interactions followed the order: chimpanzees under the presence of females MS (0.404) > bonobos (0.263) > chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS (0.163). These differences were statistically significant (chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 48.41$, df = 2, $p < 0.001$). The results of the Bonferroni-corrected *post hoc* tests showed that the differences were significant in all three pairs: bonobos vs. chimpanzees with females with MS ($p < 0.01$), bonobos vs. chimpanzees without females with MS ($p < 0.001$), chimpanzees with females with MS vs. chimpanzees without females with MS ($p < 0.001$) (Table 6).

However, when the difference in the mean number of males in the party was considered, these differences between the species/conditions changed. The frequency of aggressive interactions per male in bonobos (0.162) was higher than that of chimpanzees (0.112), and the difference between the species was statistically significant (chi-square test: $\chi2 = 4.821$, df = 1, $p = 0.028$). It seemed to be because there was a larger number of males in OHU but aggressive interactions specifically occurred among some higher-ranking males in chimpanzees. When data on chimpanzees were categorized based on the presence/absence of females with MS, the relationships in the frequencies of aggressive interactions per male was in the order: bonobos (0.162) >

chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS (0.136) > chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS (0.072), and the differences were statistically significant (chi-square test: $\chi 2 = 20.471$, df = 2, $p < 0.001$). The results of the Bonferroni-corrected *post hoc* tests showed that the differences were significant in bonobos vs. chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS ($p < 0.001$) and chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS vs. chimpanzees under the absence of them ($p < 0.001$), but not in bonobos vs. chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS (= 0.410) (Table 6).

Discussion

In this study, we have focused on the difference in the frequency and intensity of male aggression between chimpanzees and bonobos. We hypothesized that the patterns of association and behaviors of male bonobos are strongly affected by the prolonged sexual receptivity of females and the constant presence of multiple receptive females (females with MS) in the group (Kano 1992; Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Hashimoto et al. 2022; Furuichi 2023), and the long-lasting mother–son relationships and strong support from mothers for mating competition and acquisition of the alpha status (Furuichi 1989; Kano 1992; Surbeck et al. 2010; Furuichi 2011; Ishizuka et al. 2018; Furuichi 2019; Surbeck et al. 2019; Shibata and Furuichi 2023). We examined the four predictions derived from this hypothesis.

The results of the current study support the first prediction that male bonobos find a higher number of receptive females in their parties than male chimpanzees do. Chimpanzee and bonobos males found 0.47 ± 0.68 and 1.22 ± 1.15 receptive females in each OHU, respectively, probably because a large proportion of females in the group are receptive showing MS due to the higher proportion of the receptive period of females in bonobos, especially caused by the resumption of MS in the early stage of postpartum amenorrhea (Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Hashimoto et al. 2022).

While at least one female with MS was found in 94.6% of all observation days in bonobos, they were found in only 55.4 % of observation days in chimpanzees. On the days when females with MS were not observed in the group, the modal value of the number of male chimpanzees in parties was one, indicating that the males were highly dispersed. Low-ranking males were substantially more likely to range alone or in parties without other males than high- and middle-ranking males in the absence of receptive females, which might help the low-ranking males to reduce the probability of being attacked by other males (Shibata et al. 2022). However, on the days when females with MS were observed, nearly half of the male chimpanzees were observed in the same party, similar to male bonobos in pooled data. In such days when females with MS were observed in the group, no significant relationship between solitary ranging and male

rank was observed in chimpanzees, suggesting active participation by even low-ranking males in the party (Shibata et al. 2022). Despite a significant difference in the distribution of the number of adult males in the party between bonobos and chimpanzees, both species seem to show a similar tendency to attend mixed-sex parties when females with MS were present. This result outcome underscores the significant impact of the presence (in chimpanzees) or absence (in bonobos) of days without females with MS on the social dynamics among males.

The analysis of aggressive interactions among adult males did not fully support the second prediction, which posited that the frequency of aggressive interactions is lower in bonobos than in chimpanzees (Tables 5 and 6). In particular, while the frequency of aggressive interactions per OHU showed no significant difference between bonobos and chimpanzees, the frequency of aggressive interactions per OHU per male was significantly higher in bonobos than in chimpanzees. When comparing the frequency of aggressive interactions in bonobos with those in chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS, the frequency per OHU was significantly lower in bonobos than in chimpanzees but there was no significant difference in the frequency per OHU per male (Tables 5 and 6). In the days when females with MS were not observed in chimpanzees, both the frequency of aggressive interactions per OHU and

per OHU per male were significantly lower than those in pooled data of bonobos and those in chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS (Tables 5 and 6), probably because aggressive interactions did not occur over access to MS females, and low-ranking males frequently range alone or in parties without other males to avoid aggression of higher-ranking males under such circumstances (Shibata et al. 2022).

Conversely, prediction 2 was supported when examining the type of aggression. In bonobo males, aggressive interactions were primarily characterized by chasing or aggressive displays, and notably, unlike in chimpanzees, no instance of aggressive physical contact was observed during this study. This may explain why lower-ranking bonobo males do not need to leave the party to avoid attacks by dominant males. Although a single male was targeted in all polyadic aggressive interactions in bonobos, one male targeted multiple males in 14 of 24 cases of polyadic aggressive interactions in chimpanzees. These interactions occurred while other males were resting or grooming in proximity, and the alpha male was the aggressor in nine of these cases. This behavior aligns with what has been previously termed as “separating intervention,” in other studies, wherein alpha males prevent other males from forming affiliative relations and coalitions (de Waal 1982; Nishida and Hosaka 1996). This underscores the intricacies of

male competition among chimpanzees and the substantial impact of aggressive behaviors from dominant individuals.

The results of this study support the third prediction, indicating that aggressive interactions between males over access to receptive females are less frequent in bonobos than in chimpanzees. Previous research on chimpanzees has documented an increase in male aggression in the presence of receptive females (Muller and Wrangham 2004). Similarly, in the current study, we observed a rise in the frequency of more severe aggressive interactions, such as aggressive physical contact and chasing behavior, among chimpanzees when females with MS were present. Notably, nine of 10 cases of coalitionary aggressive interactions in chimpanzees occurred under the presence of females with MS. These results suggest that aggressive interactions among male chimpanzees intensify under the presence of receptive females, and males strategically form coalitionary attacks for mating competition. These results suggest that aggressive interactions among male chimpanzees intensify under the presence of receptive females, and males strategically form coalitionary attacks for mating competition. In contrast, a previous study at Wamba reported a relatively low frequency of aggressive behavior that interferes with the mating of subordinates in bonobos (27 of 515 cases of copulations (Kano 1996); 2 of 36 cases of agonistic interactions (Furuichi 1997)).

Similarly, in this study, out of 73 cases of aggressive interactions in clear contexts, only seven cases were observed over access to females with MS (Shibata, unpublished data).

The findings of the current study also corroborate the fourth prediction, indicating that aggressive interactions between males involve their mothers more frequently in bonobos than in chimpanzees. In chimpanzees, aggressive interactions occurred in 32 out of 45 dyads of adult males, with all males except the alpha male being aggressed multiple times by higher-ranking males. However, females did not participate in any of the aggressive interactions among males. On the contrary, in bonobos, dyadic aggressive interactions were observed in only 22 out of 55 dyads of adult and adolescent males. Notably, dyadic aggression was directed toward two specific males in as many as 37 out of 76 cases. During this study period, the two sons of the second-ranking female Jacky (Jo and Jiro) frequently challenged the sons of Kiku (Kitaro, Nobita, and Kiyota) and faced counter-attacks. Jiro, in particular, was targeted in 13 out of 14 polyadic aggressive interactions, and Kitaro or/and Nobita joined in 12 of these 13 cases of aggression toward Jiro, highlighting the intensity of competition for the alpha male position. These observations suggest that a significant proportion of aggressive interactions among bonobo males involved competition for the alpha male position. In all 45 cases of aggressive interactions between the sons of Kiku and the

sons of Jacky, Kiku or her female ally, Nao, joined in four cases to attack Jiro. In the PE group neighboring the E1 group, the mother of one of the males participated in approximately 6% of aggressive interactions between males (Tokuyama, personal communication). Another study at LuiKotale reported that mothers joined approximately 22% of aggressive interactions over access to receptive females (Surbeck et al. 2010). The current study, along with these preceding reports, indicates that bonobo females participate in aggressive interactions more frequently than female chimpanzees do, intervening in mating competitions or competitions for alpha-male status involving their sons.

It should be noted that although a part of the second prediction was not supported due to the equal or even higher frequency of aggressive interactions among male bonobos compared to male chimpanzees, the frequency of aggressive interactions among male bonobos substantially decreases when interactions among these specific males are excluded.

In conclusion, the scrutiny of the four predictions aligns with our hypothesis that the prolonged sexual receptivity of females exert a significant influence on male mating competition in bonobos. As documented in previous studies, the operational sex ratio (proportion of adult males to sexually receptive females) in bonobos is notably

reduced due to the early resumption of sexual swelling and receptivity during the infertile nursing period (Kano 1992; Furuichi and Hashimoto 2002; Hashimoto et al. 2022; Furuichi 2023). This study reveals that this reduction increases the presence of females with MS in the group, along with the number of such females that male bonobos encounter in the parties they attend. This heightened opportunity for mating appears to contribute to less frequent aggressive interactions, particularly those related to access to receptive females, and a decrease in the severity of aggressive behaviors among males.

Additionally, our findings highlight that a significant portion of aggressive interactions among male bonobos revolves around struggles for the alpha-male position and that female bonobos sometimes attend to aggressive interactions to support their sons. The alpha female, Kiku, was observed to participate in these interactions only once during this study, likely influenced by her caring for a newborn infant. However, Kiku's female ally, Nao, consistently joined in aggressive interactions to support Kiku's sons. Examining the historical alpha status dynamics in the E1 group over nearly the past 40 years (1983–2020) emphasizes the substantial impact of the mother's status among females and their intervention in conflicts on struggles for alpha status among male bonobos (Furuichi 1997, 2011; Shibata and Furuichi 2023). This seems to be a

reason why relatively young males (late adolescent or young adult) with mothers in their prime age tend to acquire the alpha male status in bonobos (Furuichi 1997, 2019; Shibata and Furuichi 2023)

In contrast, in chimpanzees, age emerges as a pivotal factor in acquiring alpha status among males. Hasegawa and Kutsukake (2015), based on data from three long-term study sites, reported that male chimpanzees typically reach their highest potential to become alpha at an age of approximately 25 years, except in cases where the alpha status is achieved through coalition formation. Eastern chimpanzees in Mahale acquire alpha status at the age of 19 to 37 years (Nishida 2008). In Gombe, middle-aged males reach their highest rank, dominating most, if not all, younger and older males. While cases have been reported of males with supportive elder brothers becoming alpha, and mothers occasionally support their offspring in fights, the influence of such maternal support on the rank of adult males remains unclear (Bygott 1979).

The variations in the impact of maternal support observed between the two species may stem from differences in mother–son relationships. In chimpanzees, males significantly decrease their association with mothers when mothers resume cyclic receptivity, eventually severing their relationship to associate with male adults (Pusey 1983; Hayaki 1988). Conversely, male bonobos maintain close associations with their

mothers into adulthood, remaining in the same party, providing ample opportunities for maternal support (Furuichi 1989; Kano 1992; Surbeck et al. 2010; Schubert et al. 2013; Hashimoto and Furuichi 2015). However, it remains unclear whether the prolonged mother–son associations enhance the influence of mothers on intermale competition or if the evolution of female behaviors to support their sons leads to such enduring mother–son associations.

As highlighted in the Introduction, a question in male reproductive competition is the more pronounced reproductive skew toward high-ranking males in bonobos compared to chimpanzees, despite seemingly less despotic intermale relationships (Surbeck et al. 2017b; Ishizuka et al. 2018; Surbeck et al. 2019). Particularly in the E1 group, 10 out of 11 infants with confirmed paternity were sired by alpha males at that time, with nine cases by Nobita and one by Kitaro, both sons of the alpha female Kiku (Ishizuka et al. 2018; Ishizuka 2023). As explained above, one of the reasons for the high reproductive skew in bonobos might be the direct support of high-ranking mothers in the mating competition to support their high-ranking sons. Although aggressive interactions among males do not occur frequently, the presence of high-ranking mothers or their aggressive intervention may suppress the mating behaviors of their sons' rivals (Surbeck et al. 2010; Furuichi 2023). Another reason might be the characteristic spatial

distribution of bonobos within the mixed-sex party. Unlike female chimpanzees, female bonobos gather and stay at the center of the party, and males tend to remain peripheral (Kuroda 1979; Furuichi 1989; Hashimoto and Furuichi 2015). However, due to the strong mother-son association, sons of high-ranking females tend to stay in the gathering of females with their mothers and have more opportunities or easier access to receptive females even if they do not suppress mating behaviors of lower-ranking males by aggressive interactions (Surbeck et al. 2012; Yokoyama and Furuichi 2022; Furuichi 2023). Although these possibilities are yet to be confirmed in future studies, such high influences of support by high-ranking females seem to diminish the significance of direct aggressive interactions among males and reduce the severity of aggressive behaviors. Additionally, female behaviors for supporting their sons might have evolved in bonobos for their own reproductive success, aiming to increase the number of their grandchildren (Furuichi 1997; Surbeck et al. 2019; Furuichi 2023).

The present study faces a limitation stemming from the truncated observation period for bonobos, a consequence of the COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast to the comprehensive eight-month study duration for chimpanzees covering a majority of the year, the investigation into bonobos spanned a condensed four-month interval, specifically from September to December. This temporal discrepancy raises concerns,

particularly if male behavioral patterns are influenced by seasonality, particularly in relation to fruit abundance. Regarding study group E1, Mulavwa et al. (2008) demonstrated a significant impact of fruit abundance on party size, employing the same 1-h party method as employed in this study. However, the influence proved marginal, with approximately nine independent individuals in a party during the lowest fruiting season and around 11 individuals during the highest fruiting season. Notably, a study at Kokolopori, a nearby site to Wamba, reported an increase in aggression and cortisol levels in males with rising fruit abundance (Cheng et al. 2021). Conversely, studies on a neighboring group at Wamba, PE, indicated that intergroup encounters were most frequent during the early peak of fruit abundance, coinciding with a reduction in aggression between males within the group (Sakamaki et al. 2018; Tokuyama et al. 2019). While these studies suggest a potential reduction in aggressive interactions during the high fruiting season, no statistical evidence supports this conclusion. Furthermore, there is a dearth of research investigating the influence of fruiting seasonality on the types of male aggressive behaviors. Fortunately, the four-month study period aligned with the transition from the highest to the lowest fruiting season at Wamba (Mulavwa et al. 2008). Consequently, while acknowledging the need for longer-

term investigations, we contend that the current results are not significantly biased by the relatively brief observation period.

It is crucial also to note that the behavioral tendencies observed in this study cannot be universally generalized across populations of bonobos and chimpanzees. Intermale relationships are likely influenced by various factors beyond fruiting seasonality, including group size, the personalities of high-ranking males and females, and differences between chimpanzee subspecies. Notably, intermale relationships in bonobos at Wamba vary across years depending on the presence or absence of competing mother–son pairs and their respective personalities. Hence, additional studies involving multiple groups of bonobos and chimpanzees across various years are essential for a comprehensive understanding of behavioral tendencies common to each species and the variations in intermale relationships within male-philopatric societies of the *Pan* species.

Acknowledgments

We thank the National Forestry Authority of Uganda, the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology, and the Ministry of Scientific Research of the Democratic Republic of the Congo for their permission to conduct this research. We thank the local assistants for their help with field observations. We thank Nahoko Tokuyama, Tetsuya Sakamaki, Kazuya Toda, Chie Hashimoto, Hiroyuki Takemoto, Daisuke Shimizu, Akito Toge, and Shintaro Ishizuka for their help during the field research and their valuable discussions. This research was financially supported by the Leading Program in Primatology and Wildlife Science of the Kyoto University, the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows, and JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (to TF, 16H02753, 25304019, and 26257408). We also appreciate the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on this paper.

Author contributions

SS designed this study, collected and analyzed behavioral data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. TF supervised SS in designing this study. Both authors revised the manuscript and gave the final approval for publication.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the National Forestry Authority of Uganda and the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology.

References

Altmann J (1974) Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. Behaviour 49:227-266. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1163/156853974X00534

Bygott JD (1979) Agonistic behavior, dominance, and social structure in wild chimpanzees of the Gombe National Park. In: Hamburg DA, McCown ER (eds) The great apes. Benjamin/Cummings, California, pp 405-428

Cheng L, Lucchesi S, Mundry R, Samuni L, Deschner T, Surbeck M (2021) Variation in aggression rates and urinary cortisol levels indicates intergroup competition in wild bonobos. Horm Behav 128:104914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yhbeh.2020.104914

Cheng L, Samuni L, Lucchesi S, Deschner T, Surbeck M (2022) Love thy neighbour: behavioural and endocrine correlates of male strategies during intergroup encounters in bonobos. Anim Behav 187:319-330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2022.02.014

David HA (1987) Ranking from unbalanced paired-comparison data. Biometrika 74:432-436. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/74.2.432

de Waal FBM (1982) Chimpanzee politics: power and sex among apes. Jonathan Cape,London

Duffy KG, Wrangham RW, Silk JB (2007) Male chimpanzees exchange political support for mating opportunities. Curr Biol 17:R586-R587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2007.06.001

Fawcett K, Muhumuza G (2000) Death of a wild chimpanzee community member: possible outcome of intense sexual competition. American Journal of primatology 51:243-247. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2345(200008)51:4<243::AID-AJP3>3.0.CO;2-P

Furuichi T (1987) Sexual swelling receptivity and grouping of wild pygmy chimpanzee females at Wamba, Zaire. Primates 28:309-318. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1007/BF02381014

Furuichi T (1989) Social interactions and the life history of female *Pan paniscus* in Wamba, Zaire. Int J Primatol 10:173-197. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02735199

Furuichi T (1997) Agonistic interactions and matrifocal dominance rank of wild bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) at Wamba. Int J Primatol 18:855-875. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026327627943

Furuichi T (2011) Female contributions to the peaceful nature of bonobo society. Evol Anthropol 20:131-142. https://doi.org/10.1002/Evan.20308

Furuichi T (2019) Bonobo and chimpanzee: the lessons of social coexistence. Primatology Monographs. Springer

Furuichi T (2023) Prolonged sexual receptivity in females and its impact on the evolution of bonobos. In: Furuichi T, Idani G, Kimura D, Ihobe H, Hashimoto C (eds) Bonobos and people at Wamba: 50 years of research. Springer, Singapore, pp 545-564

Furuichi T, Hashimoto C (2002) Why female bonobos have a lower copulation rate during estrus than chimpanzees. In: Boesch C, Hohmann G, Marchant LF (eds) Behavioural diversity in chimpanzees and bonobos. Cambridge University Press, New York, pp 156-167

Furuichi T, Idani G, Ihobe H, Hashimoto C, Tashiro Y, Sakamaki T, Mulavwa MN, Yangozene K, Kuroda S (2012) Long-term studies on wild bonobos at Wamba, Luo Scientific Reserve, D. R. Congo: towards the understanding of female life history in a male-philopatric species. In: Kappeler PM, Watts DP (eds) Long-term field studies of primates. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp 413-433

Furuichi T, Ihobe H (1994) Variation in male relationships in bonobos and chimpanzees. Behaviour 130:211-228. https://doi.org/10.1163/156853994X00532

Gerloff U, Hartung B, Fruth B, Hohmann G, Tautz D (1999) Intracommunity relationships, dispersal pattern and paternity success in a wild living community of bonobos (Pan paniscus) determined from DNA analysis of faecal samples. Proc R Soc Lond B 266:1189-1195. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1999.0762

Goodall J (1986) The chimpanzees of Gombe: patterns of behavior. Harvard University Press,Cambridge

Hasegawa M, Kutsukake N (2015) Bayesian competitiveness estimation predicts dominance turnover among wild male chimpanzees. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 69:89-99. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-014-1821-9

Hashimoto C (1995) Population census of the chimpanzees in the Kalinzu Forest, Uganda: comparison between methods with nest counts. Primates 36:477-488. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382870

Hashimoto C (1997) Context and development of sexual behavior of wild bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) at Wamba, Zaire. Int J Primatol 18:1-21. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026384922066

Hashimoto C, Furuichi T (2006) Comparison of behavioral sequence of copulation between chimpanzees and bonobos. Primates 47:51-55. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-005-0144-x

Hashimoto C, Furuichi T (2015) Sex differences in ranging and association patterns in chimpanzees in comparison with bonobos. In: Furuichi T, Yamagiwa J, Aureli F (eds) Dispersing primate females: life history and social strategies in male-philopatric species. Springer Japan, Tokyo, pp 105-126

Hashimoto C, Furuichi T, Tashiro Y (2001) What factors affect the size of chimpanzee parties in the Kalinzu Forest, Uganda? examination of fruit abundance and number of estrous females. Int J Primatol 22:947-959. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012061504420

Hashimoto C, Ryu H, Mouri K, Shimizu K, Sakamaki T, Furuichi T (2022) Physical, behavioral, and hormonal changes in the resumption of sexual receptivity during postpartum infertility in female bonobos at Wamba. Primates 63:109-121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-021-00968-w

Hashimoto C, Tashiro Y, Hibino E, Mulavwa M, Yangozene K, Furuichi T, Idani G, Takenaka O (2008) Longitudinal structure of a unit-group of bonobos: Male philopatry and possible fusion of unit-groups. In: Furuichi T, Thompson J (eds) The bonobos: behavior, ecology and conservation. Springer, New York, pp 107-119

Hayaki H (1988) Association partners of young chimpanzees in the Mahale Mountains National Park Tanzania. Primates 29:147-161. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381119

Ihobe H (1992) Male-male relationships among wild bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) at Wamba, Republic of Zaire. Primates 33:163-179. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02382747

Ishizuka S (2023) Within-and between-group kin structure of wild bonobos in comparison to other African great apes. In: Furuichi T, Idani G, Kimura D, Ihobe H, Hashimoto C (eds) Bonobos and people at Wamba: 50 years of research. Springer, Singapore, pp 93-111

Ishizuka S, Kawamoto Y, Sakamaki T, Tokuyama N, Toda K, Okamura H, Furuichi T (2018) Paternity and kin structure among neighbouring groups in wild bonobos at Wamba. Roy Soc Open Sci 5:171006. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.3980784

Ishizuka S, Toda K, Furuichi T (2019) Genetic analysis of migration pattern of female bonobos (Pan paniscus) among three neighboring groups. International Journal of Primatology:1-14

Kaburu S, Inoue S, Newton-Fisher N (2013) Death of the alpha: within-community lethal violence among chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains National Park. American Journal of Primatology 75:789-797. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22135

Kano T (1982) The social group of pygmy chimpanzees (*Pan paniscus*) of Wamba. Primates 23:171-188. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02381159

Kano T (1992) The Last Ape: Pygmy Chimpanzee Behavior and Ecology. Stanford University Press,Stanford, California

Kano T (1996) Male rank order and copulation rate in a unit-group of bonobos at Wamba, Zaire. In: McGrew WC, Marchant LF, Nishida T (eds) Great ape societies. Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, pp 135-145

Kuroda S (1979) Grouping of the pygmy chimpanzees. Primates 20:161-183. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02373371

Kuroda S (1980) Social behavior of the pygmy chimpanzees. Primates 21:181-197. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02374032

Mitani J (2009) Cooperation and competition in chimpanzees: current understanding and future challenges. Evol Anthropol 18:215-227. https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.20229

Mulavwa M, Furuichi T, Yangozene K, Yamba-Yamba M, Motema-Salo B, Idani G, Ihobe H, Hashimoto C, Tashiro Y, Mwanza N (2008) Seasonal changes in fruit production and party size of bonobos at Wamba. In: Furuichi T, Thompson J (eds) The bonobos: behavior, ecology, and conservation. Springer, New York, pp 121-134

Muller MN, Wrangham RW (2004) Dominance, aggression and testosterone in wild chimpanzees: a test of the ' challenge hypothesis '. Animal Behaviour 67:113-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.03.013

Nishida T (2008) Chimpanzee no sekai (the society of chimpanzees) (in Japanese). Toho Shuppan,Osaka, Japan

Nishida T, Hosaka K (1996) Coalition strategies among adult male chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains, Tanzania. In: McGrew W, Marchant L, Nishida T (eds) Great ape societies. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 114-134

Parish AR (1994) Sex and food control in the uncommon chimpanzee: how bonobo females overcome a phylogenetic legacy of male dominance. Ethol Sociobiol 15:157-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/0162-3095(94)90038-8

Pusey AE (1983) Mother-offspring relationships in chimpanzees after weaning. Anim Behav 31:363-377. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(83)80055-4

Sakamaki T, Ryu H, Toda K, Tokuyama N, Furuichi T (2018) Increased frequency of intergroup encounters in wild bonobos (*Pan paniscus*) around the yearly peak in fruit abundance at Wamba. Int J Primatol 39:685-704. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-018-0058-2

Samuni L, Langergraber KE, Surbeck MH (2022) Characterization of Pan social systems reveals in-group/out-group distinction and out-group tolerance in bonobos. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 119:e2201122119

Schubert G, Vigilant L, Boesch C, Klenke R, Langergraber K, Mundry R, Surbeck M, Hohmann G (2013) Co–residence between males and their mothers and grandmothers is more frequent in bonobos than chimpanzees. PLoS One 8:e83870. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083870

Schülke O, Bhagavatula J, Vigilant L, Ostner J (2010) Social bonds enhance reproductive success in male macaques. Curr Biol 20:2207-2210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2010.10.058

Shibata S, Furuichi T (2023) Intermale relationships in wild bonobos at Wamba. In: Furuichi T, Idani G, Kimura D, Ihobe H, Hashimoto C (eds) Bonobos and people at Wamba: 50 years of research. Springer, Singapore, pp 285-300

Shibata S, Furuichi T, Hashimoto C (2022) Adaptive Party Choice of Low-Ranking Males in Fission–Fusion Dynamics of Chimpanzees in Kalinzu Forest Reserve, Uganda. Animals 12:2240. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12172240

Surbeck M, Beschner T, Shubert G, Weltring A, Hohmann G (2012) Mate competition, testosterone and intersexual relationships in bonobos, *Pan paniscus*. Anim Behav 83:659-669. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.12.010

Surbeck M, Boesch C, Girard-Buttoz C, Crockford C, Hohmann G, Wittig RM (2017a) Comparison of male conflict behavior in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) and bonobos (*Pan paniscus*), with specific regard to coalition and post-conflict behavior. Am J Primatol 79:e22641. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22641

Surbeck M, Boesch C, Thompson ME, Furuichi T, Fruth B, Hohmann G, Ishizuka S, Machanda Z, Muller MN, Pusey A, Sakamaki T, Tokuyama N, K. W, Wrangham R, Wroblewski E, Zuberbuhler K, Vigilant L, langergraber K (2019) Males with a mother living in their group have higher paternity success in bonobos but not chimpanzees. Curr Biol 29:R341-R357. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.040

Surbeck M, Langergraber KE, Fruth B, Vigilant L, Hohmann G (2017b) Male reproductive skew is higher in bonobos than chimpanzees. Current Biology 27:R640-R641. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.05.039

Surbeck M, Mundry R, Hohmann G (2010) Mothers matter! Maternal support, dominance status and mating success in male bonobos (*Pan paniscus*). Proc R Soc B 278:590-598. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1572

Tokuyama N, Sakamaki T, Furuichi T (2019) Inter-group aggressive interaction patterns indicate male mate defense and female cooperation across bonobo groups at Wamba, Democratic Republic of the Congo. Am J Phys Anthropol 170:535-550. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23929

Watts DP (1998) Coalitionary mate guarding by male chimpanzees at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 44:43-55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650050513

Watts DP (2004) Intracommunity coalitionary killing of an adult male chimpanzee at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. Int J Primatol 25:507-521. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:IJOP.0000023573.56625.59

White FJ, Wood KD (2007) Female feeding priority in bonobos, *Pan paniscus*, and the question of female dominance. Am J Primatol 69:837-850. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.20387

Wilson ML, Boesch C, Fruth B, Furuichi T, Gilby IC, Hashimoto C, Hobaiter CL, Hohmann G, Itoh N, Koops K, Lloyd JN, Matsuzawa T, Mitani JC, Mjungu DC, Morgan D, Muller MN, Mundry R, Nakamura M, Pruetz J, Pusey AE, Riedel J, Sanz C, Schel AM, Simmons N, Waller M, Watts DP, White F, Wittig RM, Zuberbuhler K, Wrangham RW (2014) Lethal aggression in *Pan* is better explained by adaptive strategies than human impacts. Nature 513:414-417. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13727

Yokoyama T, Furuichi T (2022) Why bonobos show a high reproductive skew towards high-ranking males: analyses for association and mating patterns concerning female sexual states. Primates 63:483-494. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-022-01004-1



Figure 1. The number of OHUs in which the party included the respective number of adult males.

a) Adult male bonobos (N = 217). b) Adult male chimpanzees under the presence of females with MS (N = 376). c) Adult male chimpanzees under the absence of females with MS (N = 301).

Table 1. Subject males, dominance rank, birth year, and the number of observation hour units (OHU) of bonobos of the E1 group.

Name (abbreviation)	Dominance rank	Birth year	Mother	OHU
Kitaro (KT)	Alpha	2004	Kiku	30
Nobita (NB)	High	1988	Kiku	18
Kiyota* (KY)	High	2009	Kiku	20
Loboko (LB)	Middle	1994**	-	11
Jiro (JR)	Middle	2002	Jackey	24
Dai (DI)	Middle	1975	-	23
Ten (TN)	Middle	1974	-	20
Tawashi (TW)	Low	1970	-	16
Gauche (GC)	Low	1988**	-	18
Hachiro* (HC)	Low	2009	Hoshi	16
Jo* (JO)	Low	2007	Jackey	21

* Adolescent male

** Year estimated

Table 2. Subject males, dominance rank, birth year, and the number of observation hour units (OHU) of chimpanzees of the M group.

Name (abbreviation)	Dominance rank	Birth year	OHU
Goku (GK)	Alpha	1993*	90
Ponta (PO)	High	1995*	53
Ichiro (IC)	High	1980s*	76
Buru (BR)	High	1970s*	65
Prince (PR)	Middle	1997*	59
Taiki (TK)	Middle	1999	77
Deo (DO)	Middle	1970s*	62
Pietan (PT)	Low	2001	65
Black (BL)	Low	1998*	72
Jo (JO)	Low	2000*	58

* Year estimated

Table 3. Aggressive interaction matrix with numbers of aggression expressed and received among 11 adult and adolescent male bonobos of the E1 group.

Aggressor \ Receiver	KT	NB	KY*	LB	JR	DI	TW	TN	GC	HC*	JO*
KT	-	2	0	0	5	1	0	0	3	0	5
NB	0	-	0	0	7	0	0	0	5	1	6
KY*	0	0	-	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
LB	0	0	0	-	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
JR	0	4	0	0	-	1	0	0	4	0	0
DI	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	4	1	0	1
TW	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
TN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	1	0
GC	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	-	0	5
HC*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	-	0
JO*	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	-

* Adolescent male

Table 4. Aggressive interaction matrix with numbers of aggression expressed and received among 10 adult chimpanzees of the M group.

Aggressor \ Receiver	GK	PO	BR	IC	PR	TK	DO	PT	BL	JO
GK	-	3	4	9	3	6	5	6	2	9
PO	0	-	0	0	5	2	0	3	2	2
BR	0	0	-	0	3	0	0	1	1	0
IC	0	0	0	-	5	2	1	1	0	2
PR	0	0	1	2	-	1	2	0	0	0
TK	0	0	0	0	1	-	3	0	1	1
DO	0	0	0	2	1	0	-	0	0	0
PT	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	-	0	0
BL	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	-	1
JO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-

Table 5. Type and frequency of aggressive interactions among adult males compared between bonobos of the E1 group and chimpanzees of the M group

Groups	Total number of OHUs observed	Mean number of males in the party	Total number of males observed	Number of male-male aggressive interactions*					Total number of males involved in aggressive interactions	Frequency of aggressive interactions per OHU	Frequency of aggressive interaction per OHU per male
				Physical contact	Chasing	Directed display	Others	Total			
Bonobos	217	3.60 ± 1.80	782	0 (0)	25 (14)	31 (0)	1 (0)	57 (14)	127	0.263	0.162
Chimpanzees	677	5.67 ± 3.09	3840	30 (0)	126 (15)	42 (9)	3 (0)	201 (24)	429	0.297	0.112
Chimpanzees (under the presence of females with MS)	376	6.35 ± 2.98	2389	23 (0)	99 (13)	29 (6)	1 (0)	152 (19)	325	0.404	0.136
Chimpanzees (under the absence of females with MS)	301	4.82 ± 3.03	1451	7 (0)	27 (2)	13 (3)	2 (0)	49 (5)	104	0.163	0.072

Numbers in parentheses represent the number of polyadic aggressive interactions.

* The 35 agonistic interactions involving adolescent males in bonobos are not included in this table.

Table 6. The results of the Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests.

Group comparison	Adjusted p-value	
	Aggression per OHU	Aggression per OHU per male
Bonobos vs. Chimpanzees	NS	<0.05
Bonobos vs. Chimpanzees (under the presence of females with MS)	<0.01	NS
Bonobos vs. Chimpanzees (under the absence of females with MS)	<0.001	<0.001
Chimpanzees (under the presence of females with MS) vs. Chimpanzees (under the absence of females with MS)	<0.001	<0.001