Title Page:

Spatial and temporal pattern of structure-function coupling

of human brain connectome with development

Guozheng Feng[1,2,3], Yiwen Wang[1,2,3], Weijie Huang[1,2,3], Haojie Chen[1,2,3], Jian Cheng[4],

Ni Shu[1,2,3,*]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning & IDG/McGovern

Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China;

[2]BABRI Centre, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China;

[3]Beijing Key Laboratory of Brain Imaging and Connectomics, Beijing Normal

University, Beijing, China;

[4]School of Computer Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing, China;

[*]**Corresponding To:**

Ni Shu, PhD, State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning &

IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing

100875, China; Tel: +86 10 58806154; Fax: +86 10 58806154; E-mail:

nshu@bnu.edu.cn.

Abstract

Brain structural circuitry shapes a richly patterned functional synchronization, supporting for complex cognitive and behavioural abilities. However, how coupling of structural connectome (SC) and functional connectome (FC) develops and its relationships with cognitive functions and transcriptomic architecture remain unclear. We used multimodal magnetic resonance imaging data from 439 participants aged 5.7 to 21.9 years to predict functional connectivity by incorporating intracortical and extracortical structural connectivity, characterizing SC-FC coupling. Our findings revealed that SC-FC coupling was strongest in the visual and somatomotor networks, consistent with evolutionary expansion, myelin content, and functional principal gradient. As development progressed, SC-FC coupling exhibited heterogeneous alterations dominated by an increase in cortical regions, broadly distributed across the somatomotor, frontoparietal, dorsal attention, and default mode networks. Moreover, we discovered that SC-FC coupling significantly predicted individual variability in general intelligence, mainly influencing frontoparietal and default mode networks. Finally, our results demonstrated that the heterogeneous development of SC-FC coupling is positively associated with genes in oligodendrocyte-related pathways and negatively associated with astrocyte-related genes. This study offers insight into the maturational principles of SC-FC coupling in typical development and developmental disorders.

Keywords: structure-function coupling, brain connectome, development, cognitive function, gene transcriptome

Introduction

In neural circuitry, long-range (extracortical) interconnections among local (intracortical) microcircuits shape and constrain the large-scale functional organization of neural activity across the cortex[1-5]. The coupling of structural connectome (SC) and functional connectome (FC) varies greatly across different cortical regions reflecting anatomical and functional hierarchies[1,6-9] as well as individual differences in cognitive function[8,9], and is regulated by genes[6,8]. Despite its fundamental importance, our understanding of the development of SC-FC coupling is currently limited. Specifically, the alterations in SC-FC coupling during development, its association with cognitive functions, and the underlying spatial transcriptomic mechanisms remain largely unknown.

Network modelling of the brain enables the characterization of complex information interactions at a system level and provides natural correspondences between structure and function in the cortex[7,10]. Advances in diffusion MRI (dMRI) and tractography techniques have allowed the *in vivo* mapping of the white matter (WM) connectome (WMC), which depicts extracortical excitatory projections between regions[11]. The T1- to T2-weighted (T1w/T2w) ratio of MRI has been proposed as a means of quantifying microstructure profile covariance (MPC), which reflects intracortical microcircuit differentiation in molecular, cellular, and laminar differentiation[6,12-15]. Resting state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) can be used to derive the FC, which captures the synchronization of neural activity[16]. A variety of statistical[6,8,9], communication[1,7], and biophysical[5,17] models have been proposed to study the SC-FC coupling. The communication model is particularly useful because it not only depicts indirect information transmission but also takes into account biodynamic information within acceptable computational complexity[7,18]. However, most studies have relied on WMC-derived extracortical communications as SC to predict FC, while ignoring the intracortical microcircuits, the MPC. In the present study, we propose that incorporating both intracortical and extracortical SC provides a more comprehensive perspective for characterizing the development of SC-FC coupling.

Previous studies in adults have revealed that the SC-FC coupling is strongest in sensory cortex regions and weakest in association cortex regions, following the general functional and cytoarchitectonic hierarchies of cortical organization[1]. This organization may occur due to structural constraints, wherein cortical areas with lower myelination and weaker WM connectivity tend to

have more dynamic and complex functional connectivity[1,8]. Large-scale association networks

emerged over evolution by breaking away from the rigid developmental programming found in

lower order sensory systems[19], facilitating regional and individual specialization[1,20]. In terms of

developmental changes in SC-FC coupling, a statistical model-based study[9] identified positive age-

related changes in some regions, while fewer regions exhibited negative changes. Furthermore, there

is evidence that SC-FC coupling is linked to cognitive functions in healthy children[21], adults[8,22] and

patients[23], suggesting that it may be a critical brain indicator that encodes individual cognitive

differences. Nonetheless, a more comprehensive investigation is needed to understand the precise

pattern of SC-FC coupling over development and its association with cognitive functions.

Cortical SC-FC coupling is highly heritable[8] and related to heritable connectivity profiles[6],

suggesting that the development of coupling may be genetically regulated. The Allen Human Brain

Atlas (AHBA)[24] is a valuable resource for identifying genes that co-vary with brain imaging

phenotypes and for exploring potential functional pathways and cellular processes via enrichment

analyses[25-27]. For instance, a myeloarchitectural study showed that enhanced myelin thickness in

mid-to-deeper layers is specifically associated with the gene expression of oligodendrocytes[28].

Another functional study found that the expression levels of genes involved in calcium ion-regulated

exocytosis and synaptic transmission are associated with the development of a differentiation

gradient[29]. However, the transcriptomic architecture underlying the development of SC-FC coupling

remains largely unknown.

In this study, we analysed data obtained from the Lifespan Human Connectome Project

Development (HCP-D)[30], which enrolled healthy participants ranging in age from 5.7 to 21.9 years.

Our main objective was to investigate the SC-FC coupling of brain connectome and characterize its

developmental landscapes. Specifically, we aimed to determine whether the SC-FC coupling

encodes individual differences in cognition during development. Finally, we explored the genetic

and cellular mechanisms underlying the development of SC-FC coupling of brain connectome. To

assess the reproducibility of our findings, sensitivity and replication analyses were performed with

a different tractography algorithm and a split-half independent validation method.

Results

We selected 439 participants (5.7 – 21.9 years of age, xx females) in the HCP-D dataset who met

our inclusion criteria: available high-quality T1/T2, dMRI, and rs-fMRI data that met the quality

control thresholds. For each participant, we generated multiple connectomes using 210 cortical

regions from the Human Brainnetome Atlas (BNA)[31], which comprised MPC, WMC, and FC.

Intracortical connectivity was represented by MPC. According to the WMC, twenty-seven weighted

communication models[7] were calculated to characterize geometric, topological, or dynamic

connectivity properties. Further details on these models can be found in Text S1. After analysis, we

found that communicability[32], mean first passage times of random walkers[33], and flow graphs

(timescales=1) provided the optimal combination of extracortical connectivity properties ($p<0.05$,

1,000 spin test permutations, Table S1).

Spatial pattern of cortical SC-FC coupling. We used SCs (MPC and three WMC

communication models) to predict FC per node based on a multilinear model[1] (Figure 1), and

quantified the nodewise SC-FC coupling as an adjusted coefficient of determination r^2. We

observed that the grouped SC-FC coupling varied across cortical regions (mean adjusted $r^2 = 0.14$

$\pm$ 0.08, adjusted r^2 range = [0.03, 0.45], Figure 2A), and regions with significant coupling were

located in the middle frontal gyrus, precentral gyrus, paracentral lobule, superior temporal gyrus,

superior parietal lobule, postcentral gyrus, cingulate gyrus, and occipital lobe ($p<0.05$, 1,000 spin

test permutations, Figure 2B). Similar heterogeneous patterns of coupling were observed when

categorizing cortical regions into seven functional subnetworks[34] (visual, somatomotor, dorsal

attention, ventral attention, limbic, frontoparietal and default mode networks). In the visual,

somatomotor, default mode and ventral attention networks, SC significantly predict FC variance

($p<0.05$, 1,000 spin test permutations, Figure 2C). The visual and somatomotor networks had higher

coupling values than the other networks ($p<0.05$, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, Figure 2C). We further

investigated the alignment between SC-FC coupling and three fundamental properties of brain

organization: evolution expansion[35], myelin content[36], and functional principal gradient[37]. Our

findings reveal a negative association between regional distribution of SC-FC coupling and

evolution expansion (Spearman's r=-0.52, $p<0.001$, 1,000 spin test permutations, Figure 2D), as

well as with the functional principal gradient (Spearman's r=-0.46, $p<0.001$, 1,000 spin test

permutations, Figure 2F). Conversely, nodes exhibiting higher SC-FC coupling tended to exhibit

higher myelin content (Spearman's r=0.49, p<0.001, 1,000 spin test permutations, Figure 2E).

Additionally, we applied Haufe's inversion transform[38] to yield predictor weights of various

SCs, where higher or lower values indicate stronger positive or negative correlations with FC. Our

results demonstrated that different SCs had preferential contributions to FC variance across cortical

regions to explain FC variance (p<0.05, FDR corrected, Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, Figure 2G).

Specifically, in the MPC, regions with positive correlation were the orbital gyrus, precentral gyrus,

right middle temporal gyrus and temporoparietal junction, while regions with negative correlations

were the left superior frontal gyrus, inferior parietal lobule and bilateral cingulate gyrus. Regarding

WMC communication models, the communicability and flow graphs tended to stronger higher

positive correlations in the visual, limbic and default mode networks, whereas the mean first passage

time had stronger negative correlations in the somatomotor, limbic and frontoparietal networks.

Age-related changes in SC-FC coupling with development. To track changes in SC-FC

coupling during development, we used a general linear model to assess the effect of age on nodal

SC-FC coupling, while controlling for sex, intracranial volume, and in-scanner head motion. Our

results revealed that the whole-cortex average coupling increased during development (β_{age}=1.05E-

03, F=3.76, p=1.93E-04, r=0.20, p=3.20E-05, Figure 3A). Regionally, the SC-FC coupling of most

cortical regions increased with age (p<0.05, FDR corrected, Figure 3B), particularly that in the

frontal lobe, middle temporal gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, parietal lobe, cingulate gyrus and

lateral occipital cortex. Conversely, cortical regions with significantly decreased SC-FC coupling

(p<0.05, FDR corrected, Figure 3B) were located in left orbital gyrus, left precentral gyrus, right

superior and inferior temporal gyrus, left fusiform gyrus, left superior parietal lobule, left postcentral

gyrus, insular gyrus, and cingulate gyrus. Regarding functional subnetworks, SC-FC coupling

increased disproportionately with age (Figure 3C), wherein the somatomotor (β_{age}=2.39E-03,

F=4.73, p=3.10E-06, r=0.25, p=1.67E-07, Figure 3E), dorsal attention (β_{age}=1.40E-03, F=4.63,

p=4.86E-06, r=0.24, p=2.91E-07, Figure 3F), frontoparietal (β_{age}=2.11E-03, F=6.46, p=2.80E-10,

r=0.33, p=1.64E-12, Figure 3I) and default mode (β_{age}=9.71E-04, F=2.90, p=3.94E-03, r=0.15,

p=1.19E-03, Figure 3J) networks significantly increased with age and exhibited greater increased.

No significant correlations were found between developmental changes in SC-FC coupling and the

fundamental properties of cortical organization. Additionally, weights of different SCs varied with

age, showing that MPC weight was positively correlated with age and that the weights of WMC communication models were stable (Figure S1-S4).

SC-FC coupling predicts individual differences in cognitive functions. As we found that SC-FC coupling can encode brain maturation, we next evaluated the implications of coupling for individual cognition using Elastic-Net algorithm[11]. After controlling for sex, intracranial volume and in-scanner head motion, we found the SC-FC coupling significantly predicted individual differences in fluid intelligence, crystal intelligence and general intelligence (Pearson's r=0.3~0.4, p<0.001, FDR corrected, Figure 4A), suggesting that increased SC-FC coupling during development is associated with higher intelligence. Furthermore, even after controlling for age, SC-FC coupling remained a significant predictor of general intelligence (Pearson's r=0.11, p=0.01, FDR corrected, Figure 4A). The predictive performances for other cognitive subscores are shown in Figure S5. To identify the regions with the greatest contributions to individual differences in age-adjusted general intelligence, we utilized Haufe's inversion transform[38] to extract predictor weights across various regions. Our analysis revealed that SC-FC coupling within the prefrontal lobe, temporal lobe and lateral occipital lobe was the most predictive of individual differences in general intelligence (Figure 4B). In addition, we found that the frontoparietal and default mode networks were significantly predicted the general intelligence (p<0.01, 1,000 spin test permutations, Figure 4C).

Transcriptomic and cellular architectures of SC-FC coupling development. We employed partial least square (PLS) analysis[39] to establish a link between the spatial pattern of SC-FC coupling development and gene transcriptomic profiles (Figure 5A) obtained from the AHBA using a recommended pipeline[40]. The gene expression score of the first PLS component (PLS1) explained the most spatial variance, at 22.26%. After correcting for spatial autocorrelation[41], we found a positive correlation (Pearson's r=0.41, p=0.006, 10,000 spin test permutations, Figure 5B) between the PLS1 score of genes and the spatial pattern of SC-FC coupling development. In addition, we identified potential transcriptomic architectures using a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of biological processes and pathway[42], analysing the significant positive and negative genes in PLS1. The positive weight genes (364 genes) were prominently enriched for "myelination", "monoatomic cation transport", "supramolecular fiber organization", etc (p<0.05, FDR corrected, Figure 5C). The

negative correlation genes (456 genes) were relatively weakly enriched in "cellular macromolecule biosynthetic process" and other pathways ($p<0.05$, FDR corrected, Figure 5C).

To further investigate cell-specific expression patterns associated with SC-FC coupling development, the selected genes in the AHBA were agglomerated into seven canonical cell classes[43-48]: astrocytes, endothelial cells, excitatory neurons, inhibitory neurons, microglia, oligodendrocytes and oligodendrocyte precursors (OPCs). Our findings showed that the genes with positive weights were significantly expressed in oligodendrocytes (75 genes, $p<0.001$, permutation test, Figure 5D). The genes with negative weights were expressed in astrocytes (43 genes, $p<0.001$, permutation test, Figure 5D). Additionally, genes enriched in positive pathways were intensively overexpressed in oligodendrocytes, while genes enriched in three negative pathways were expressed in astrocytes, inhibitory neurons and microglia ($p<0.05$, permutation test, Figure S6).

Reproducibility analyses. *Different parcellation templates.* To evaluate the robustness of our findings to different parcellation templates, using the multimodal parcellation from the Human Connectome Project (HCPMMP)[49], we repeated the analyses of the cortical patterns of SC-FC coupling and correlation of age with SC-FC coupling. We observed a similar distribution in SC-FC coupling in which visual and somatomotor networks had higher coupling values than other networks (Figure S7A). The SC-FC coupling of most cortical regions increased with age (Figure S7B), and the significant regions were similar to those in the main findings (Figure S7C, $p<0.05$, FDR corrected).

Different tractography strategies. To evaluate the sensitivity of our results to tractography strategies, we reconstructed fibres using deterministic tractography with a ball-and-stick model and generated a fibre number-weighted network for each participant. This same pipeline was employed for subsequent SC-FC coupling, prediction, and gene analyses. These two tractography strategies yielded similar findings, as indicated by significant correlations in the mean SC-FC coupling ($r=0.85$, $p<0.001$, spin test, Figure S8A), the correlation of between age and SC-FC coupling ($r=0.79$, $p<0.001$, spin test, Figure S8B), predictive weights on the general intelligence ($r=0.85$, $p<0.001$, spin test, Figure S8C), and gene weights ($r=0.80$, $p<0.001$, Figure S8D).

Split-half validation. To assess the reproducibility of our findings, we performed a split-half independent validation using the whole dataset (WD). Specifically, we randomly partitioned WD

211   into two independent subsets (S1 and S2), and this process was repeated 1,000 times to mitigate any

212   potential bias due to data partitioning. We then quantified SC-FC coupling, correlation between age

213   and SC-FC coupling, and gene weights in S1 and S2 using the same procedures. Remarkably, we

214   observed high levels of agreement among the datasets (S1, S2, and the WD) as demonstrated in

215   Figure S9.

Discussion

In the present study, we characterized alterations of SC-FC coupling of brain connectome during

development by combining intracortical and extracortical SC to predict FC based on the HCP-D

dataset. We observed that SC-FC coupling was stronger in the visual and somatomotor networks

than in other networks, and followed fundamental properties of cortical organization. With

development, SC-FC coupling exhibited heterogeneous changes in cortical regions, with significant

increases in the somatomotor, frontoparietal, dorsal attention and default mode networks.

Furthermore, we found that SC-FC coupling can predict individual differences in general

intelligence, mainly with the frontoparietal and default mode networks contributing higher weights.

Finally, we demonstrated that the spatial heterogeneity of changes in SC-FC coupling with age was

associated with transcriptomic architectures, with genes with positive weights enriched in

oligodendrocyte-related pathways and genes with negative weights expressed in astrocytes.

Together, these findings characterized the spatial and temporal pattern of SC-FC coupling of brain

connectome during development and the heterogeneity in the development of SC-FC coupling is

associated with individual differences in intelligence and transcriptomic architecture.

Intracortical microcircuits are interconnected through extracortical WM connections, which

give rise to richly patterned functional networks[1,3]. Despite extensive research on this topic, the

relationship between SC and FC remains unclear. Although many studies have attempted to directly

correlate FC with the WMC, this correspondence is far from perfect due to the presence of

polysynaptic (indirect) structural connections and circuit-level modulation of neural signals[2,9,16,50].

Biological models can realistically generate these complex structural interconnections, but they

have significant temporal and spatial complexity when solving for model parameters[51-54].

Communication models using the WMC integrate the advantages of different communication

strategies and are easy to construct[18]. As there are numerous communication models, we identified

an optimal combination consisting of three decentralized communication models based on

predictive significance: communicability, mean first passage times of random walkers and flow

graphs. We excluded a centralized model (shortest paths), which was not biologically plausible since

it requires global knowledge of the shortest path structure[7,55,56]. In our study, we excluded the

Euclidean distance and geodesic distance because spatial autocorrelation is inhibited. This study

provides a complementary perspective (in addition to the role of WMC in shaping FC) that

emphasizes the importance of intrinsic properties within intracortical circuit in shaping the large-

scale functional organization of the human cortex. MPC can link intracortical circuits variance at

specific cortical depths from a graph-theoretical perspective, enabling reflection of intracortical

microcircuit differentiation at molecular, cellular, and laminar levels[6,12-15]. Coupling models that

incorporate these microarchitectural properties yield more accurate predictions of FC from SC[3,57].

SC–FC coupling may reflect anatomical and functional hierarchies. SC-FC coupling in

association areas, which have lower structural connectivity, was lower than that in sensory areas.

This configuration effectively releases the association cortex from strong structural constraints

imposed by early activity cascades, promoting higher cognitive functions that transcend simple

sensori-motor exchanges[19]. A macroscale functional principal gradient[37,58] in the human brain has

been shown to align with anatomical hierarchies. Our study revealed a similar pattern, where SC-

FC coupling was positively associated with evolutionary expansion and myelin content, and

negatively associated with functional principal gradient during development. These findings are

consistent with previous studies on WMC-FC coupling[9] and MPC-FC coupling[6]. Notably, we also

found that the coupling pattern differed from that in adults, as illustrated by the moderate coupling

of the sensorimotor network in the adult population[8]. SC-FC coupling is dynamic and changes

throughout the lifespan[7], particularly during adolescence[6,9], suggesting that perfect SC-FC coupling

may be unlikely. Moreover, our results suggested that regional preferential contributions across

different SCs lead to variations in the underlying communication process. Interestingly, the two

extremes of regions in terms of MPC correlations corresponded to the two anchor points of the

gradient[28]. The preferential regions in WM communication models were consistent with the adult

results[7].

In addition, we observed developmental changes in SC-FC coupling dominated by a positive

increase in cortical regions[9], broadly distributed across somatomotor, frontoparietal, dorsal attention,

and default mode networks[9]. In a lifespan study, the global SC-FC coupling alterations with age

were driven by reduced coupling in the sensorimotor network[7]. This finding is consistent across age

ranges, indicating that sensorimotor coupling changes appear throughout development and ageing.

Furthermore, we investigated the relationships of coupling alterations with evolutionary expansion

and functional principal gradient but found no significant correlations, in contrast to a previous

study[9]. These discrepancies likely arise from differences in coupling methods, highlighting the

complementarity of our methods with existing findings.

The neural circuits in the human brain support a wide repertoire of human behaviour[59]. Our

study demonstrates that the degree of SC-FC coupling in cortical regions can significantly predict

cognitive scores across various domains, suggesting that it serves as a sensitive indicator of brain

maturity. Moreover, even after controlling for age effects, SC-FC coupling significantly predicted

general intelligence, suggesting that it can partly explain individual differences in intelligence, as

shown in previous studies[8]. In another study[9], positive correlations between executive function and

SC-FC coupling were mainly observed in the rostro-lateral frontal and medial occipital regions,

whereas negative associations were found in only the right primary motor cortex. While SC-FC

coupling was not found to predict age-adjusted executive function in our study, we observed that

the frontoparietal network and the default mode network specifically contributed higher positive

prediction weights for general intelligence, whereas the somatomotor network had negative

prediction weights[8]. The maturation of the frontoparietal network and default mode network

continues into early adulthood, providing an extended window for the activity-dependent

reconstruction of distributed neural circuits in the cross-modal association cortex[19]. As we observed

increasing coupling in these networks, this may have contributed to the improvements in general

intelligence, highlighting the flexible and integrated role of these networks.

Classic twin studies have reported that the heritability of coupling differs among cortical

regions, with higher heritability in the visual network than in other cortical networks[8]. An inverse

correlation between the pattern of SC-FC coupling and heritable connectivity profiles has been

reported[6]. This led us to hypothesize that the development of SC-FC coupling may be influenced by

the expression patterns of the genetic transcriptome across various cell types with different spatial

distributions. Our findings suggest that the spatial development of SC-FC coupling is associated

with underlying transcriptome structure. Specifically, genes positively associated with the

development of SC-FC coupling were enriched in oligodendrocyte-related pathways.

Oligodendrocytes, specialized glial cells in the central nervous system, play a crucial role in

myelination by producing myelin sheaths that enable saltatory conduction and provide metabolic

support to axons[60]. Defects in myelination have been linked to developmental disorders[61]. This

seems to indicate that significant alterations in SC-FC coupling during development may reflect

neural plasticity, such as activity-dependent myelination of axons connecting functionally coupled

regions[62,63]. Conversely, we found that genes negatively correlated with SC-FC coupling were

enriched in two specific gene pathways within astrocytes, inhibitory neurons and microglia. Both

astrocytes and microglia have been implicated in synaptic pruning, a critical developmental process

for the formation of fully functional neuronal circuits that eliminates weak and inappropriate

synapses[64-66]. Importantly, the precise establishment of synapses is crucial for establishing the

intercellular connectivity patterns of GABAergic neurons[67]. These findings suggest that the subtle

alterations observed in SC-FC coupling are closely associated with the refinement of mature neural

circuits.

Several methodological issues must be addressed. First, we implemented a conservative quality

control procedure to address head motion, which unavoidably resulted in the loss of some valuable

data. Given the confounding influence of head motion in fMRI studies, especially those involving

developing populations, we applied censoring of high-motion frames and included motion as a

covariate in the GLM analysis and cognitive prediction to minimize its effects[7,59,68,69]. Second,

although we observed SC-FC coupling across development by integrating intra- and extracortical

SC to predict FC, it is worth noting that combining deep learning models[2], biophysical models[5,17],

or dynamic coupling[3,13] perspectives may provide complementary insights. Third, we focused solely

on cortico-cortical pathways, excluding subcortical nuclei from analysis. This decision stemmed

from the difficulty of reconstructing the surface of subcortical regions[70] and characterizing their

connections using MPC technique, as well as the challenge of accurately resolving the connections

of small structures within subcortical regions using whole-brain diffusion imaging and tractography

techniques[71,72]. Fourth, it is important to acknowledge that changes in gene expression levels during

development may introduce bias in the results, although a prior study[73] suggested that the relative

spatial patterns of gene expressions remain stable after birth. Finally, validation of sensitivity across

independent datasets is a crucial step in ensuring the reliability of our results. To address this, we

employed an alternative split-half validation strategy and the results supported the reliability of the

current findings. However, future verification of current findings on independent datasets are still

needed.

Conclusions

Overall, this study sheds light on the development of SC-FC coupling in the brain and its

relationship to cognitive function and gene expression patterns. The results improve our

understanding of the fundamental principles of brain development and provide a basis for future

research in this area. Further investigations are needed to fully explore the clinical implications of

SC-FC coupling. Ultimately, this study has the potential to inform the development of novel

interventions for a range of developmental disorders.

Materials and Methods

Participants. We selected 439 participants (207 males, mean age = 14.8 ± 4.2 years, age range =

[5.7, 21.9]) from the HCP-D Release 2.0 data (https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/hcp-

lifespan-development) after conducting rigorous checks for data completeness and quality control.

The HCP-D dataset comprised 652 healthy participants who underwent multimodal MRI scans and

cognitive assessments, and the detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria for this cohort have been

described in[30]. All participants or their parents (for participants under the age of 18 years) provided

written informed consent and assent. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of

Washington University in St. Louis.

Imaging acquisition. The MRI data were obtained with a Siemens 3T Prisma with a 32-channel

phased array head coil, and detailed imaging parameters are available in[74]. High-resolution T1w

images were acquired using a 3D multiecho MPRAGE sequence (0.8 mm isotropic voxels,

repetition time (TR)/inversion time (TI) = 2500/1000 ms, echo time (TE) = 1.8/3.6/5.4/7.2 ms, flip

angle = 8°, up to 30 reacquired TRs). The structural T2w images were collected with a variable-flip-angle turbo-spin–echo 3D SPACE sequence (0.8 mm isotropic voxels, TR/TE = 3200/564 ms, up to 25 reacquired TRs). The dMRI scans included four consecutive runs with a 2D 4× multiband spin–echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (1.5 mm isotropic voxels, 185 diffusion directions with b = 1500/3000 s/mm^2 and 28 b = 0 s/mm^2 volumes, TR = 3.23 s, flip angle = 78°). The rs-fMR images were acquired using a 2D 8× multiband gradient-recalled echo EPI sequence (2.0 mm isotropic voxels, TR/TE = 800/37 ms, flip angle = 52°). Each rs-fMRI scan duration was 26 minutes (four runs of 6.5 minutes) for participants over 8 years old and 21 minutes (six runs of 3.5 minutes) for participants who were 5~7 years old.

Imaging preprocessing. All structural, diffusion and functional images underwent minimal preprocessing[70]. We specifically processed dMRI data referring to the publicly available code from https://github.com/Washington-University/HCPpipelines since the HCP-D has not released preprocessed dMRI results. Briefly, structural T1w and T2w images went through gradient distortion correction, alignment, bias field correction, registration to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space, white matter and pial surface reconstruction, segment structures, and surface registration and downsampling to 32k_fs_LR mesh. A T1w/T2w ratio image, which indicates intracortical myelin, was produced for each participant[36]. Regarding fMRI data, the preprocessing pipeline included spatial distortion correction, motion correction, EPI distortion correction, registration to MNI space, intensity normalization, mapping volume time series to 32k_fs_LR mesh, and smoothing using a 2 mm average surface vertex. Following our previous methodological evaluation study[11], the dMRI procedures consisted of intensity normalization of the mean b0 image, correction of EPI distortion and eddy current, motion correction, gradient nonlinearity correction, and linear registration to T1w space.

Network computation. *Microstructure profile covariance (MPC).* The MPC can capture cytoarchitectural similarity between cortical areas[12]. We first reconstructed 14 cortical surfaces from the white matter to the pial surface using a robust equivolumetric model[12,75]. Then, the T1w/T2w ratio image was used to sample intracortical myelin intensities at these surfaces. We aggregated the intensity profiles of vertices into 210 cortical regions according to the BNA[31]. Finally, we computed pairwise partial correlations between regional intensity profiles, while controlling for the average

intensity profile. After removing negative correlations, we used Fisher's r-to-z-transformation to

generate an individual MPC.

White matter connectome (WMC). Following our previous methodological evaluation study[11],

the ball-and-stick model estimated from the bedpostx command-line in the FDT toolbox of FSL

(https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FDT) was used to estimate fibre orientations (three fibres

modelled per voxel)[76-79]. The BNA atlas was applied to individual volume space by inverse

transformation derived from preprocessed steps. Next, probabilistic tractography[78,80] was

implemented in the FDT toolbox to estimate the probability of connectivity between two regions by

sampling 5,000 fibres for each voxel within each region, correcting for distance, dividing the total

fibres number in source region, and calculating the average bidirectional probability[11]. Notably, the

connections in subcortical areas were removed. A consistency-based thresholding approach (weight

of the coefficient of variation at the 75th percentile) was used to remove spurious connections, and

retain consistently reconstructed connections across subjects[9,81]. Finally, twenty-seven

communication models[7] were subsequently derived from the weighted probabilistic network,

including shortest path length (gamma values = {0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4}), communicability[32], cosine

similarity, search information (weight-to-cost transformations = {0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4})[82], path

transitivity (weight-to-cost transformations = {0.12, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4})[55], matching index[83], greedy

navigation[84], mean first passage times of random walkers[33], and flow graphs (timescales = {1, 2.5,

5, 10})[85]; for more details see Text S1.

Functional network (FC). To further clean the functional signal, we performed frame censoring,

regressed out nuisance variables (including white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, global signal, and 12

motion parameters), and temporal bandpass filtering (0.01~0.1 Hz). Specifically, we identified

censored frames with motion greater than 0.15[7] based on the Movement_RelativeRMS.txt file. We

flagged one frame before and two frames after each censored frame, along with any uncensored

segments of fewer than five contiguous frames, as censored frames as well[69]. We discarded fMRI

runs with more than half of the frames flagged as censored frames, and excluded participants with

fewer than 300 frames (less than 4 minutes). After censoring, regression of nuisance variables, and

temporal bandpass filtering, we averaged the time series of vertices into 210 cortical regions

according to the BNA[31]. We then computed pairwise Pearson's correlations between regional time

series, and applied Fisher's r-to-z-transformation to the resulting correlations to generate individual

FC.

SC-FC coupling. A multilinear model[1] was constructed to examine the relationship of individual

nodewise SC profiles and FC profiles. For a given node, the predictive variable was nodal SC $\mathbf{S} =$

$\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_i, \cdots, s_n\}$, $s_i \in \mathrm{R}^m$ where s_i is the connectional profile of the ith modal, n is the

number of structural modal, and m is the node number. The nodal functional profile $\boldsymbol{f}$ is the

dependent variable.

$$\boldsymbol{f} = b_0 + b_1 s_1 + b_2 s_2 + \cdots + b_i s_i + \cdots + b_n s_n \qquad (4)$$

421   where the intercept b_0 and regression coefficients b_i are estimated model parameters. For each

422   participant, goodness of fit per node represents the nodal coupling between SC and FC, quantified

423   as the adjusted coefficient of determination[7]

$$R^2_{adjusted} = 1 - \frac{(1 - R^2)(N_c - 1)}{N_c - N_p - 1} \qquad (4)$$

where R^2 is the unadjusted coefficient of determination, N_c is the number of connection

excluding self-connections, and N_p is the number of predictors.

In the present study, WMC communication models that represented diverse geometric,

topological, or dynamic factors, were used to explain nodal FC variation. Notably, too many

predictors will result in overfitting and blindly increase the explained variance. To address this issue

and identify distinct communication mechanisms, we controlled for redundant predictors.

Specifically, we used all twenty-seven communication models to predict FC at the node level for

each participant. We applied Haufe's inversion transform[38] to obtain predictor weights for each

model, with higher or lower values indicating stronger positive or negative correlations with FC.

Next, we investigated the enrichment of the highest weights per region through a spin test[86] with

1,000 permutations to assess whether the number of highest weights across communication models

was significantly larger than that in a random discovery.

The significant communication models were used to represent WMC communication

properties and to predict functional profiles in conjunction with MPC as structural profiles

(predictors). To test the significance of the resulting adjusted R^2 values and system-specific of

coupling, we generated a null predictive model using a spin test[86] with 1,000 spatially-constrained

repetitions. We also used Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric one-way analysis of variance (Kruskal-

Wallis ANOVA) to compare coupling differences between systems. To investigate the contributions

of various structural predictors, we applied Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA to test the predictive weights

derived by Haufe's inversion transform, identifying optimal predictors across regions. We corrected

for multiple comparisons using FDR correction. Additionally, we used a general linear model to

explore age-related developmental patterns of SC-FC coupling, while controlling for sex,

intracranial volume, and in-scanner head motion. Similarly, the system-specific significance of

coupling alteration was calculated based on the 1,000 repetitions of the spin test.

We examined the associations of SC-FC coupling and its developmental pattern with evolution

expansion[35], myelin content[36], and functional principal gradient[37]. Spearman's correlation analyses

were used to quantify the strength of correlations, with significance corrected for spatial

autocorrelation with 1,000 repetitions of the spin test.

Prediction of cognitive function. Based on our predictive evaluation work[11], the Elastic-Net

algorithm was applied to predict cognitive performance using nodal SC-FC coupling, which tends

to yield robust prediction performance across various dimensions of cognitive tasks. The objective

function is as follows:

$$L\big(\mathbf{Y}, f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})\big) = \sum_{i=0}^{n}(y_i - f(x_i))^2 + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{m}(\beta|w_j| + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \beta)\left\|w_j\right\|^2) \qquad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ represents an observation set (e.g., SC-FC coupling) with a sample size

of n, and $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a label set (e.g., cognitive measure). The model solves the fitting

coefficient $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m)$ under the minimization objective function $L\big(\mathbf{Y}, f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})\big)$. The

L1 regular term $|\cdot|$ and L2 regular term $\left\|\cdot\right\|^2$ constraint the fitting coefficient to ensure model

generalization ability. α represents regularization strength, controlling the compression loss scale,

and β denotes a trade-off parameter between the L1 and L2 terms.

We employed a nested fivefold cross validation (CV) framework comprising an external CV

and an internal CV[11]. In the external CV, observations were randomly partitioned into five folds,

with four of them included in the training set used to develop the model and the remaining fold used

as a testing set to assess the predictive accuracy of the model. This process was repeated 100 times,

and the final model performance was evaluated by averaging the predictive accuracy across the 100

models. In the internal CV, the hyperparameter spaces were first defined as $\alpha \in \{x | x = 2^n, n \in$

$\mathbf{Z}, n \in [-10,5]\}$ and $\beta \in \{x|x = 0.1n, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in [0,10]\}$. Then, the training set was further

divided into five folds. Four folds composed the internal training set, which was used to generate

models by successively applying 16×11 hyperparametric combinations, and the remaining fold was

defined as the validation set and used to find the optimal combination. Subsequently, we retrained

the model on the training set using the optimal hyperparametric combination and assessed its

predictive performance on the testing set by performing Pearson's correlation analyses of the

relationship between the predicted and labelled values.

Prior to applying the nested fivefold cross-validation framework to each behaviour measure,

we regressed out covariates including sex, intracranial volume, and in-scanner head motion from

the behaviour measure[59,69]. Specifically, we estimated the regression coefficients of the covariates

using the training set and applied them to the testing set. This regression procedure was repeated for

each fold. Additionally, we conducted control analyses using age-adjusted behavioral measures to

investigate the effect of age on the predictive performance of SC-FC coupling.

To evaluate whether our model performed better than at chance on each behaviour measure,

we performed 1,000 permutation tests by randomly shuffling the behaviour measure across

participants, generating a null model of predicted performance using the same procedures. We then

used the corrected resampled t test to determine statistical significance[87,88]. We corrected for

multiple comparisons using FDR correction. For model interpretability, we applied Haufe's

inversion transform[38] to obtain predicted weights for various brain regions. The significance of the

weights for each system was assessed by comparing them to those generated by a spin test[86] with

1,000 repetitions.

Association between alterations of SC-FC coupling and gene expression. We preprocessed the

anatomic and genomic information of the Allen Human Brain Atlas (AHBA) dataset following a

recommended pipeline[40]. Specifically, we used FreeSurfer

(https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/fswiki/) to generate preprocessed structural data for each donor

and projected the BNA template onto native fsaverage space using official scripts

(http://www.brainnetome.org/resource/). Finally, we produced an averaged gene expression profile

for 10,027 genes covering 105 left cortical regions.

PLS analysis[39] was performed to mine the linear association between the spatial development

pattern of SC-FC coupling and gene expression profiles. We used absolute values of the correlation between age and SC-FC coupling in 105 left cortical regions as predicted variables and the gene expression profiles of the corresponding regions as predictor variables. Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated to determine the association between the PLS score and the absolute correlation value between age and SC-FC coupling. To correct for spatial autocorrelation, we compared the empirically observed value to spatially constrained null models generated by 10,000 spin permutations[86]. We then transformed the gene weight on PLS1 into a z score by dividing the standard deviation of the corresponding weights estimated from bootstrapping, and ranked all genes accordingly. We identified significant genes at a threshold of $p < 0.05$ and classified them as having positive or negative gene weights. To understand the functional significance of these genes, we performed gene functional enrichment analysis (GO analysis of biological processes and pathways) using Metascape[42]. We focused on the selected genes with positive or negative weights and retained enrichment pathways with an FDR-corrected < 0.05.

To investigate the cell type-specific expression of the selected genes, we assigned them to 58 cell types derived from five studies[43-47] focusing on single-cell research using the human postnatal cortex. To avoid potential bias in cell-type assignment, we grouped these cell types into seven canonical classes: astrocytes, endothelial cells, excitatory neurons, inhibitory neurons, microglia, oligodendrocytes, and OPCs[48,89]. We generated a null model by performing 10,000 random resamplings of genes within each cell type. We then tested the significance of our results against this null model. Additionally, we subjected the genes associated with each enriched term to the same analysis to explore the specificity of the cell type.

Reproducibility analyses. To evaluate the robustness of our findings under different parcellation templates, using the multimodal parcellation from the Human Connectome Project (HCPMMP)[49], we repeated the network computation of MPC, SCs (WMC, communicability[32], mean first passage times of random walkers[33], and flow graphs (timescales=1)) and FC following the same procedures. Then, we used the multilinear model to examine the association of individual nodewise SC profiles and FC profiles. Finally, a general linear model was used to explore age-related developmental patterns of SC-FC coupling, while controlling for sex, intracranial volume, and in-scanner head motion. We corrected for multiple comparisons using FDR correlation.

To evaluate the sensitivity of our results to deterministic tractography, we used the Camino

toolbox (http://camino.cs.ucl.ac.uk/) to reconstruct fibres with a ball-and-stick model estimated

from bedpostx results[79] and to generate a fibre number-weighted network using the BNA atlas. We

then calculated the communication properties of the WMC using measures such as communicability,

mean first passage times of random walkers, and flow graphs (timescales=1). The same pipeline

was used for subsequent SC-FC coupling, prediction, and gene analysis. To assess the consistency

of our results between deterministic tractography and probabilistic tractography, we performed

Pearson's correlation analyses with significance corrected for spatial autocorrelation through 1,000

repetitions of the spin test.

To evaluate the generalizability of our findings, we adopted a split-half cross-validation

strategy by randomly partitioning the whole dataset (WD) into two independent subsets (S1 and S2).

This process was repeated 1,000 times to minimize bias due to data partitioning. We then used the

same procedures to quantify SC-FC coupling, the correlation between age and SC-FC coupling and

gene weights in both S1 and S2. Finally, we assessed the consistency of results by calculating

Pearson's correlation coefficients of the relationships between S1 and WD, S2 and WD, and S1 and

S2.

Figure legends

Figure 1. SC-FC coupling framework. The framework used to quantify nodal SC-FC coupling in

the human brain. MPC was used to map networks of intracortical microstructural similarity for each

cortical node. The WMC represents the extracortical excitatory projection structure, and

communication models were then constructed to represent the complex process of communication.

A multilinear model was constructed to examine the association of individual nodewise SC (MPC

and communication models) profiles with FC profiles.

Figure 2. Cortical SC-FC coupling in young individuals. (A) Spatial pattern of SC-FC coupling. (B) Spatial patterns with significant predictions ($p<0.05$, spin test). (C) SC-FC coupling comparisons among functional networks. The error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. (D-F) SC-FC coupling aligns with evolution expansion, myelin content and functional principal gradient. (G) Preferential contributions of cortical regions across different structural connections. Note: ***: $p<0.001$; **: $p<0.01$; *: $p<0.05$; *n.s.*: $p>0.05$. VIS, visual network; SM, somatomotor network; DA, dorsal attention network; VA, ventral attention network; LIM, limbic network; FP, frontoparietal network; DM, default mode network.

Figure 3. Aged-related changes in SC-FC coupling. (A) Increases in whole-brain coupling with age. (B) Correlation of age with SC-FC coupling across significant regions ($p<0.05$, FDR corrected). (C) Comparisons of age-related changes in SC-FC coupling among functional networks. The boxes show the median and interquartile range (IQR; 25–75%), and the whiskers depict 1.5× IQR from the first or third quartile. (D-J) Correlation of age with SC-FC coupling across the VIS, SM, DA, VA, LIM, FP and DM. VIS, visual network; SM, somatomotor network; DA, dorsal attention network; VA, ventral attention network; LIM, limbic network; FP, frontoparietal network; DM, default mode network.

573 **Figure 4. Encoding individual differences in intelligence using regional SC-FC coupling.** (A)

574 Predictive accuracy of fluid, crystallized, and general intelligence composite scores. (B)

575 Distribution of brain regions contributing to the general intelligence composite score. (C) Predictive

576 contribution of functional networks. The boxes show the median and interquartile range (IQR; 25–

577 75%), and the whiskers depict the 1.5× IQR from the first or third quartile.

Figure 5. Association between developmental changes in SC-FC coupling and gene transcriptional profiles. (A) The map of developmental changes (absolute value of correlation coefficients) in SC-FC coupling across 105 left brain regions (left panel), and the normalized gene transcriptional profiles containing 10,027 genes in 105 left brain regions (right panel). (B) The correlation between developmental changes in SC-FC coupling and the first PLS component from the PLS regression analysis. (C) Enriched terms of significant genes. (D) Cell type-specific expression of significant genes. Note, p_{spin}: spin test; p_{fdr}: FDR corrected.

Data availability

The HCP-D 2.0 release data that support the findings of this study are publicly available at https://www.humanconnectome.org/study/hcp-lifespan-development.

Code availability

R4.1.2 software (https://www.r-project.org/) was used to construct the general linear model. MATLAB scripts used for preprocessing of the AHBA dataset can be found at https://github.com/BMHLab/AHBAprocessing. Python scripts used to perform PLS regression can be found at https://scikit-learn.org/. The minimal preprocessing pipelines can be accessed at https://github.com/Washington-University/HCPpipelines. Finally, additional scripts for MRI data processing and experiments are available from the authors upon request.

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

G.F. performed acquisition and analysis of data, contributed new analytic tools, drafted and revised the paper. Y.W., W.H., H.C. and J.C. performed acquisition and analysis of data. N.S. contributed to the design of the work, performed analysis of data, and revised the paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the volunteers for their participation in the study and anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the STI2030-Major Projects (2021ZD0200500, 2022ZD0213300), National Natural Science Foundation of China (32271145, 81871425), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2017XTCX04), Open Research Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning (CNLZD2101). Data in this publication were provide (in part) by the Human Connectome Project-Development (HCP-D), which is supported by the National Institute Of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number U01MH109589 and by funds provided by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University in St. Louis.

Financial Disclosures

There are no conflicts of interest including any financial, personal, or other relationships with people or organizations for any of the authors related to the work described in the article.

References

1. Vazquez-Rodriguez, B., *et al.* Gradients of structure-function tethering across neocortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 21219-21227 (2019).

2. Sarwar, T., Tian, Y., Yeo, B.T.T., Ramamohanarao, K. & Zalesky, A. Structure-function coupling in the human connectome: A machine learning approach. *Neuroimage* **226**, 117609 (2020).

3. Demirtas, M., *et al.* Hierarchical Heterogeneity across Human Cortex Shapes Large-Scale Neural Dynamics. *Neuron* **101**, 1181-1194 e1113 (2019).

4. Deco, G., Jirsa, V.K. & McIntosh, A.R. Emerging concepts for the dynamical organization of resting-state activity in the brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **12**, 43-56 (2011).

5. Breakspear, M. Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 340-352 (2017).

6. Valk, S.L., *et al.* Genetic and phylogenetic uncoupling of structure and function in human transmodal cortex. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2341 (2022).

7. Zamani Esfahlani, F., Faskowitz, J., Slack, J., Misic, B. & Betzel, R.F. Local structure-function relationships in human brain networks across the lifespan. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2053 (2022).

8. Gu, Z., Jamison, K.W., Sabuncu, M.R. & Kuceyeski, A. Heritability and interindividual variability of regional structure-function coupling. *Nat Commun* **12**, 4894 (2021).

9. Baum, G.L., *et al.* Development of structure-function coupling in human brain networks during youth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 771-778 (2020).

10. Bassett, D.S. & Sporns, O. Network neuroscience. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 353-364 (2017).

11. Feng, G., *et al.* Methodological evaluation of individual cognitive prediction based on the brain white matter structural connectome. *Hum Brain Mapp* **43**, 3775-3791 (2022).

12. Paquola, C., *et al.* Microstructural and functional gradients are increasingly dissociated in transmodal cortices. *PLoS Biol* **17**, e3000284 (2019).

13. Liu, Z.Q., *et al.* Time-resolved structure-function coupling in brain networks. *Commun Biol* **5**, 532 (2022).

14. Paquola, C. & Hong, S.J. The Potential of Myelin-Sensitive Imaging: Redefining Spatiotemporal Patterns of Myeloarchitecture. *Biol Psychiatry* **93**, 442-454 (2023).

15. Park, B.Y., *et al.* Adolescent development of multiscale structural wiring and functional interactions in the human connectome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, e2116673119 (2022).

16. Honey, C.J., *et al.* Predicting human resting-state functional connectivity from structural connectivity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 2035-2040 (2009).

17. Sanz-Leon, P., Knock, S.A., Spiegler, A. & Jirsa, V.K. Mathematical framework for large-scale brain network modeling in The Virtual Brain. *Neuroimage* **111**, 385-430 (2015).

18. Avena-Koenigsberger, A., Misic, B. & Sporns, O. Communication dynamics in complex brain networks. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **19**, 17-33 (2017).

19. Buckner, R.L. & Krienen, F.M. The evolution of distributed association networks in the human brain. *Trends Cogn Sci* **17**, 648-665 (2013).

20. Preti, M.G. & Van De Ville, D. Decoupling of brain function from structure reveals regional behavioral specialization in humans. *Nat Commun* **10**, 4747 (2019).

21. Chan, S.Y., *et al.* Structure-function coupling within the reward network in preschool children predicts executive functioning in later childhood. *Developmental cognitive*

neuroscience **55**, 101107 (2022).

22.   Medaglia, J.D.*, et al.* Functional Alignment with Anatomical Networks is Associated with
Cognitive Flexibility. *Nat Hum Behav* **2**, 156-164 (2018).

23.   Kuceyeski, A.F., Jamison, K.W., Owen, J.P., Raj, A. & Mukherjee, P. Longitudinal increases
in structural connectome segregation and functional connectome integration are
associated with better recovery after mild TBI. *Hum Brain Mapp* **40**, 4441-4456 (2019).

24.   Hawrylycz, M.J.*, et al.* An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain
transcriptome. *Nature* **489**, 391-399 (2012).

25.   Whitaker, K.J.*, et al.* Adolescence is associated with genomically patterned consolidation
of the hubs of the human brain connectome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 9105-9110
(2016).

26.   Arnatkeviciute, A.*, et al.* Genetic influences on hub connectivity of the human connectome.
Nat Commun **12**, 4237 (2021).

27.   Fornito, A., Arnatkeviciute, A. & Fulcher, B.D. Bridging the Gap between Connectome and
Transcriptome. *Trends Cogn Sci* **23**, 34-50 (2019).

28.   Paquola, C.*, et al.* Shifts in myeloarchitecture characterise adolescent development of
cortical gradients. *Elife* **8**(2019).

29.   Xia, Y.*, et al.* Development of functional connectome gradients during childhood and
adolescence. *Science Bulletin* **67**, 1049-1061 (2022).

30.   Somerville, L.H.*, et al.* The Lifespan Human Connectome Project in Development: A large-
scale study of brain connectivity development in 5-21 year olds. *Neuroimage* **183**, 456-
468 (2018).

31.   Fan, L.*, et al.* The Human Brainnetome Atlas: A New Brain Atlas Based on Connectional
Architecture. *Cereb Cortex* **26**, 3508-3526 (2016).

32.   Crofts, J.J. & Higham, D.J. A weighted communicability measure applied to complex brain
networks. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface* **6**, 411-414 (2009).

33.   Noh, J.D. & Rieger, H. Random walks on complex networks. *Physical review letters* **92**,
118701 (2004).

34.   Yeo, B.T.*, et al.* The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic
functional connectivity. *J Neurophysiol* **106**, 1125-1165 (2011).

35.   Hill, J.*, et al.* Similar patterns of cortical expansion during human development and
evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 13135-13140 (2010).

36.   Glasser, M.F. & Van Essen, D.C. Mapping human cortical areas in vivo based on myelin
content as revealed by T1- and T2-weighted MRI. *J Neurosci* **31**, 11597-11616 (2011).

37.   Margulies, D.S.*, et al.* Situating the default-mode network along a principal gradient of
macroscale cortical organization. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 12574-12579 (2016).

38.   Haufe, S.*, et al.* On the interpretation of weight vectors of linear models in multivariate
neuroimaging. *Neuroimage* **87**, 96-110 (2014).

39.   Krishnan, A., Williams, L.J., McIntosh, A.R. & Abdi, H. Partial Least Squares (PLS) methods
for neuroimaging: a tutorial and review. *Neuroimage* **56**, 455-475 (2011).

40.   Arnatkeviciute, A., Fulcher, B.D. & Fornito, A. A practical guide to linking brain-wide gene
expression and neuroimaging data. *Neuroimage* **189**, 353-367 (2019).

41.   Vos de Wael, R.*, et al.* BrainSpace: a toolbox for the analysis of macroscale gradients in
neuroimaging and connectomics datasets. *Commun Biol* **3**, 103 (2020).

42. Zhou, Y., *et al.* Metascape provides a biologist-oriented resource for the analysis of
systems-level datasets. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1523 (2019).

43. Zhang, Y., *et al.* Purification and Characterization of Progenitor and Mature Human
Astrocytes Reveals Transcriptional and Functional Differences with Mouse. *Neuron* **89**, 37-
53 (2016).

44. Lake, B.B., *et al.* Integrative single-cell analysis of transcriptional and epigenetic states in
the human adult brain. *Nature biotechnology* **36**, 70-80 (2018).

45. Habib, N., *et al.* Massively parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq with DroNc-seq. *Nat Methods*
14, 955-958 (2017).

46. Darmanis, S., *et al.* A survey of human brain transcriptome diversity at the single cell level.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**, 7285-7290 (2015).

47. Li, M., *et al.* Integrative functional genomic analysis of human brain development and
neuropsychiatric risks. *Science* **362**(2018).

48. Seidlitz, J., *et al.* Transcriptomic and cellular decoding of regional brain vulnerability to
neurogenetic disorders. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3358 (2020).

49. Glasser, M.F., *et al.* A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature* **536**, 171-
178 (2016).

50. Damoiseaux, J.S. & Greicius, M.D. Greater than the sum of its parts: a review of studies
combining structural connectivity and resting-state functional connectivity. *Brain Struct*
Funct **213**, 525-533 (2009).

51. Wang, P., *et al.* Inversion of a large-scale circuit model reveals a cortical hierarchy in the
dynamic resting human brain. *Sci Adv* **5**, eaat7854 (2019).

52. Woolrich, M.W. & Stephan, K.E. Biophysical network models and the human connectome.
Neuroimage **80**, 330-338 (2013).

53. Messé, A., Rudrauf, D., Giron, A. & Marrelec, G. Predicting functional connectivity from
structural connectivity via computational models using MRI: an extensive comparison
study. *Neuroimage* **111**, 65-75 (2015).

54. Honey, C.J., Kötter, R., Breakspear, M. & Sporns, O. Network structure of cerebral cortex
shapes functional connectivity on multiple time scales. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**,
10240-10245 (2007).

55. Goñi, J., *et al.* Resting-brain functional connectivity predicted by analytic measures of
network communication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 833-838 (2014).

56. Avena-Koenigsberger, A., *et al.* A spectrum of routing strategies for brain networks. *PLoS*
Comput Biol **15**, e1006833 (2019).

57. Deco, G., *et al.* Dynamical consequences of regional heterogeneity in the brain's
transcriptional landscape. *Sci Adv* **7**(2021).

58. Huntenburg, J.M., Bazin, P.L. & Margulies, D.S. Large-Scale Gradients in Human Cortical
Organization. *Trends Cogn Sci* **22**, 21-31 (2018).

59. Chen, J., *et al.* Shared and unique brain network features predict cognitive, personality,
and mental health scores in the ABCD study. *Nat Commun* **13**, 2217 (2022).

60. Simons, M. & Nave, K.A. Oligodendrocytes: Myelination and Axonal Support. *Cold Spring*
Harbor perspectives in biology **8**, a020479 (2015).

61. Berry, K., Wang, J. & Lu, Q.R. Epigenetic regulation of oligodendrocyte myelination in
developmental disorders and neurodegenerative diseases. *F1000Research* **9**(2020).

62.   Gibson, E.M._, et al._ Neuronal activity promotes oligodendrogenesis and adaptive
myelination in the mammalian brain. _Science_ **344**, 1252304 (2014).

63.   Mount, C.W. & Monje, M. Wrapped to Adapt: Experience-Dependent Myelination.
Neuron **95**, 743-756 (2017).

64.   Kurshan, P.T. & Shen, K. Synaptogenic pathways. _Curr Opin Neurobiol_ **57**, 156-162 (2019).

65.   Van Horn, M.R. & Ruthazer, E.S. Glial regulation of synapse maturation and stabilization
in the developing nervous system. _Curr Opin Neurobiol_ **54**, 113-119 (2019).

66.   Faust, T.E., Gunner, G. & Schafer, D.P. Mechanisms governing activity-dependent synaptic
pruning in the developing mammalian CNS. _Nat Rev Neurosci_ **22**, 657-673 (2021).

67.   Favuzzi, E._, et al._ Distinct molecular programs regulate synapse specificity in cortical
inhibitory circuits. _Science_ **363**, 413-417 (2019).

68.   Ciric, R._, et al._ Benchmarking of participant-level confound regression strategies for the
control of motion artifact in studies of functional connectivity. _Neuroimage_ **154**, 174-187
(2017).

69.   Li, J._, et al._ Cross-ethnicity/race generalization failure of behavioral prediction from
resting-state functional connectivity. _Sci Adv_ **8**, eabj1812 (2022).

70.   Glasser, M.F._, et al._ The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome
Project. _Neuroimage_ **80**, 105-124 (2013).

71.   Thomas, C._, et al._ Anatomical accuracy of brain connections derived from diffusion MRI
tractography is inherently limited. _Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A_ **111**, 16574-16579 (2014).

72.   Reveley, C._, et al._ Superficial white matter fiber systems impede detection of long-range
cortical connections in diffusion MR tractography. _Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A_ **112**, E2820-
2828 (2015).

73.   Kang, H.J._, et al._ Spatio-temporal transcriptome of the human brain. _Nature_ **478**, 483-489
(2011).

74.   Harms, M.P._, et al._ Extending the Human Connectome Project across ages: Imaging
protocols for the Lifespan Development and Aging projects. _Neuroimage_ **183**, 972-984
(2018).

75.   Waehnert, M.D._, et al._ Anatomically motivated modeling of cortical laminae. _Neuroimage_
93 Pt 2, 210-220 (2014).

76.   Behrens, T.E._, et al._ Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted
MR imaging. _Magn Reson Med_ **50**, 1077-1088 (2003).

77.   Jbabdi, S., Sotiropoulos, S.N., Savio, A.M., Grana, M. & Behrens, T.E. Model-based analysis
of multishell diffusion MR data for tractography: how to get over fitting problems. _Magn_
Reson Med **68**, 1846-1855 (2012).

78.   Behrens, T.E., Berg, H.J., Jbabdi, S., Rushworth, M.F. & Woolrich, M.W. Probabilistic
diffusion tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? _Neuroimage_
34, 144-155 (2007).

79.   Hernandez, M._, et al._ Accelerating fibre orientation estimation from diffusion weighted
magnetic resonance imaging using GPUs. _PLoS One_ **8**, e61892 (2013).

80.   Hernandez-Fernandez, M._, et al._ Using GPUs to accelerate computational diffusion MRI:
From microstructure estimation to tractography and connectomes. _Neuroimage_ **188**,
598-615 (2019).

81.   Roberts, J.A., Perry, A., Roberts, G., Mitchell, P.B. & Breakspear, M. Consistency-based

thresholding of the human connectome. *Neuroimage* **145**, 118-129 (2017).

82.   Rosvall, M., Grönlund, A., Minnhagen, P. & Sneppen, K. Searchability of networks. *Physical*
review. E, Statistical, nonlinear, and soft matter physics **72**, 046117 (2005).

83.   Hilgetag, C.C., Burns, G.A., O'Neill, M.A., Scannell, J.W. & Young, M.P. Anatomical
connectivity defines the organization of clusters of cortical areas in the macaque monkey
and the cat. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **355**, 91-110 (2000).

84.   Seguin, C., van den Heuvel, M.P. & Zalesky, A. Navigation of brain networks. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **115**, 6297-6302 (2018).

85.   Lambiotte, R*., et al.* Flow graphs: interweaving dynamics and structure. *Physical review. E,*
Statistical, nonlinear, and soft matter physics **84**, 017102 (2011).

86.   Alexander-Bloch, A.F*., et al.* On testing for spatial correspondence between maps of
human brain structure and function. *Neuroimage* **178**, 540-551 (2018).

87.   Bouckaert, R.R. & Frank, E. In Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining 3–12.
Springer (2004).

88.   Nadeau, C. & Bengio, Y. Inference for the Generalization Error. *Mach. Learn* **52**, 239–281
(2003).

89.   Li, J*., et al.* Transcriptomic and macroscopic architectures of intersubject functional
variability in human brain white-matter. *Commun Biol* **4**, 1417 (2021).