Syntaxin 17 recruitment to mature autophagosomes is temporally regulated by

PI4P accumulation

Authors: Saori Shinoda[1,4], Yuji Sakai[1,2], Takahide Matsui[3], Masaaki Uematsu[1,5], Ikuko

Koyama-Honda[1], Jun-ichi Sakamaki[1], Hayashi Yamamoto[1,3], Noboru Mizushima[1,*]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Graduated School of Medicine,

The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

[2] Department of Biosystems Science, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto

University, Kyoto, Japan

[3] Department of Molecular Oncology, Institute for Advanced Medical Sciences, Nippon

Medical School, Tokyo, Japan

[4] Present address: Faculty of Life Sciences, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto, Japan

[5] Present address: Weill Institute for Cell and Molecular Biology, Cornell University,

New York, USA

* Correspondence to: N. Mizushima (e-mail: nmizu@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Abstract:

During macroautophagy, cytoplasmic constituents are engulfed by autophagosomes.

Lysosomes fuse with closed autophagosomes but not with unclosed intermediate

structures. This is achieved in part by the late recruitment of the autophagosomal

SNARE syntaxin 17 (STX17) to mature autophagosomes. However, how STX17

recognizes autophagosome maturation is not known. Here, we show that this temporally

regulated recruitment of STX17 depends on the positively charged C-terminal region of

STX17. Consistent with this finding, mature autophagosomes are more negatively

charged compared with unclosed intermediate structures. This electrostatic maturation

of autophagosomes is likely driven by the accumulation of phosphatidylinositol 4-

phosphate (PI4P) in the autophagosomal membrane. Accordingly, dephosphorylation of

autophagosomal PI4P prevents the association of STX17 to autophagosomes.

Furthermore, molecular dynamics simulations support PI4P-dependent membrane

insertion of the transmembrane helices of STX17. Based on these findings, we propose

a model in which STX17 recruitment to mature autophagosomes is temporally regulated

by a PI4P-driven change in the surface charge of autophagosomes.

Introduction

Macroautophagy (hereafter, autophagy) is a highly conserved process of intracellular degradation (*Mizushima and Levine*, 2020; *Soreng et al.*, 2018). Membrane cisternae (called isolation membranes or phagophores) elongate, bend, and engulf cytoplasmic components. Closure of the rim of the cup-shaped structures results in the formation of autophagosomes. Subsequently, the autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes to degrade their enclosed contents. Autophagosome–lysosome fusion is strictly regulated; lysosomes fuse with only fully closed autophagosomes. If lysosomes were to fuse with intermediate unclosed structures and their inner membrane is degraded, then harmful lysosomal enzymes would leak out into the cytosol. This temporal regulation of autophagosome–lysosome fusion is achieved in mammals by two mechanisms. One is the regulated translocation of the autophagosomal SNARE syntaxin 17 (STX17), which is recruited immediately before or after the closure of autophagosomes (*Itakura et al.*, 2012; *Takáts et al.*, 2013; *Tsuboyama et al.*, 2016). The other is the activation of YKT6, a conserved autophagosomal SNARE (*Matsui et al.*, 2018), which is kept inactivated by ULK1 (a homolog of yeast Atg1)-mediated phosphorylation until the completion of autophagosome formation (ULK1/Atg1 is dissociated at this time) (*Barz et al.*, 2020; *Gao et al.*, 2020; *Sanchez-Martin et al.*, 2023). Then, STX17 interacts with SNAP29 and the lysosomal SNARE protein VAMP7 or VAMP8, and YKT6 interacts with SNAP29 and the lysosomal STX7 to mediate the fusion between autophagosomes and lysosomes.

However, the molecular mechanism underlying the late recruitment of STX17 is not known. A previous study reported that LC3/GABARAP family proteins and immunity-related GTPase M (IRGM) are involved in autophagosomal recruitment of STX17 (*Kumar et al.*, 2018a), whereas other studies have shown that LC3/GABARAP family proteins are not required (*Nguyen et al.*, 2016; *Tsuboyama et al.*, 2016). It has also been recently reported that phosphorylation of STX17 and binding to filamin A are important for STX17 recruitment (*Wang et al.*, 2023). Although these factors may help STX17 recruitment, these mechanisms do not explain the strict temporal regulation of STX17 recruitment.

Accordingly, we hypothesized that there exists a yet unknown change in some

autophagosomal properties during maturation that is recognized by STX17. In this

study, we reveal that STX17 recruitment to autophagosomes requires positively charged

amino acids in the C-terminal region of STX17. Consistently, autophagosomes become

more negatively charged when they acquire STX17. Furthermore, we show that

phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P), a negatively charged phospholipid,

accumulates during autophagosome maturation and is required for STX17 recruitment.

Based on these findings, we propose a model in which STX17 recruitment to mature

autophagosomes is temporally regulated by the electrostatic change of autophagosomes.

Results

Autophagosomal localization of STX17 requires a positively charged C-terminal region

STX17 has an N-terminal Habc domain, followed by a SNARE domain, two tandem transmembrane helices, and a short C-terminal region, with both N- and C-terminal ends facing the cytosol (Figure 1A) (*Itakura et al.*, 2012). A short construct containing only the transmembrane helices and the C-terminal region (STX17TM), which behaves similarly to full-length STX17 (*Itakura et al.*, 2012), colocalized with ring-shaped autophagosomes labeled with the general autophagic membrane marker microtubule-associated protein light chain 3B (LC3B) (Figure 1B and C). STX17 is a tail-anchored protein, and the C-terminal region of tail-anchored proteins is generally important for specific membrane targeting (*Rao et al.*, 2016; *Yagita et al.*, 2013). Therefore, we determined the role of the C-terminal region of STX17. In the following experiments, we used the STX17TM construct in order to avoid detecting an indirect effect of SNARE domain-mediated translocation. Deletion of the C-terminal cytosolic region from STX17TM (TMΔC) resulted in a diffuse cytosolic pattern (Figure 1C), indicating that not only the transmembrane helices but also the C-terminal region of STX17 are required for its proper autophagosomal localization. Although the role of STX17 in autophagosome–lysosome fusion is conserved in both *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dm) (*Takáts et al.*, 2013) and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Ce) (*Guo et al.*, 2014), the amino acid sequence of the C-terminal region is not conserved in these organisms (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A). Nevertheless, when expressed in mammalian cells, DmSTX17TM and CeSTX17TM were recruited to autophagosomes, although less efficiently (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B), suggesting that recruitment of STX17 does not depend on the specific amino acid sequence in the C-terminal region.

In general, cationic amino acids in the C-terminal region of tail-anchored proteins are important for targeting membranes (*Borgese et al.*, 2001; *Horie et al.*, 2002; *Yagita et al.*, 2013). STX17 has cationic amino acids in its C-terminal region, and the replacement of lysine and arginine with alanine impaired autophagosomal localization in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A and D, Figure 1—figure supplement 1C).

Moreover, the replacement of the C-terminal region with artificial sequences consisting

of five residues of positively charged lysine or arginine, but not negatively charged

aspartic acid or uncharged alanine, restored the localization of STX17 to

autophagosomes (Figure 1E, Figure 1—figure supplement 1D). These data suggest that

autophagosomal localization of STX17 requires positively charged residues, but not

sequence-specific structures, in its C-terminal region.

Autophagosomes become negatively charged during maturation

Given the importance of positively charged residues in the C-terminal region, we

hypothesized that STX17 favors negatively charged membranes. To evaluate this

hypothesis, we first conducted an *in vitro* membrane binding assay using liposomes with

different compositions of phospholipids. STX17 was efficiently recruited to liposomes

containing anionic phospholipids such as phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidylinositol

3-phosphate (PI3P) or PI4P (Figure 2A). These data suggest that STX17 can be

recruited to negatively charged membranes independent of the specific lipid species.

Next, we tested whether autophagosomal membranes are indeed negatively

charged. To monitor membrane charge *in vivo*, we used membrane surface charge

biosensors (Figure 2B) (*Simon et al.*, 2016; *Yeung et al.*, 2006). These surface charge

probes have a diverse range of positively charged peptides and a farnesylated anchor at

their C terminus, with GFP fused to their N terminus. The name of each probe is

indicated by the number of positively charged amino acids (lysines) followed by that of

negatively charged amino acids (glutamines). The probe for the most negatively charged

membrane (9K0Q) labeled the plasma membrane, which is known to have a highly

negative charge (*Li et al.*, 2014), but not autophagosomes (Figure 2C and D, Figure

2—figure supplement 1A). However, the probes for intermediate (5K4Q and 3K6Q) and

weakly (1K8Q) negative charges labeled not only the plasma membrane but also LC3B-

and STX17-positive autophagosomes (Figure 2C and D, Figure 2—figure supplement

1A). The recruitment kinetics differed between the intermediate and weakly negative

charge probes. The 1K8Q probe was recruited to not only STX17-positive spherical

autophagosomes but also STX17-negative, LC3B-positive elliptic structures that should

correspond to unclosed autophagosomes (*Tsuboyama et al.*, 2016) (Figure 2E). In

contrast, the intermediate charge probes (3K6Q and 5K4Q) were recruited to spherical

LC3B-positive autophagosomes at almost the same time as STX17 (Figure 2F, Figure

2—figure supplement 1B). Structures positive for ATG5, a marker for unclosed

autophagosomes, were labeled with 1K8Q but not with 3K6Q or 5K4Q (Figure 2F,

Figure 2—figure supplement 1C). These results, which are summarized in Figure 2G,

suggest that mature autophagosomes are more negatively charged compared with

unclosed intermediate structures.

The accumulation of PI4P in mature autophagosomes coincides with STX17

recruitment

We next explored the mechanism that generates the negative charges of autophagosomal

membranes. As the change in the membrane charge was rapid and robust, we reasoned

that it could be caused by a change in lipid composition or modification. We screened

negatively charged lipids that are enriched in autophagosomal membranes using various

phospholipid probes (*Platre and Jaillais*, 2016). Among them, we found that the probes

for PI4P (GFP-fused PH domain of CERT; GFP–CERT(PHD) and phosphatidylinositol

3,5-bisphosphate ($PI(3,5)P_2$) (GFP–TRPML1(PHD)) colocalized with STX17-positive

ring-shaped structures (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). Since $PI(3,5)P_2$ was primarily

present in lysosomes (Figure 3—figure supplement 1B) (*Dong et al.*, 2010), $PI(3,5)P_2$

enrichment likely occurs after fusion with lysosomes. Consistently, other PI4P probes,

including FAPP(PHD), OSBP(PHD), and P4M-SidMx2, colocalized with STX17TM

(Figure 3—figure supplement 2A and B) (*Hammond et al.*, 2014; *Platre and Jaillais*,

2016).

162   PI4P is an important lipid component of the Golgi complex and the plasma

membrane as well as a major regulator of conserved eukaryotic cellular processes

(*Balla*, 2013; *Platre et al.*, 2018; *Schink et al.*, 2016). In the context of autophagy, it was

previously reported that GABARAP-dependent PI4P production by PI4K2A (PI4KIIα)

is required for autophagosome–lysosome fusion (*Wang et al.*, 2015), and that PI4KB

(PI4KIIIβ) is detected in ATG9A vesicles and early autophagic structures and is

furthermore required for autophagosome formation (*Judith et al.*, 2019). Consistently,

we detected faint signals of PI4K2A and PI4KB on LC3B-positive structures (Figure

3—figure supplement 1C). However, the dynamics of PI4P production during

autophagosome maturation has not been systematically determined.

The PI4P probes (CERT(PHD), FAPP(PHD), OSBP(PHD), and P4M-SidMx2)

colocalized with STX17 but not with ATG5 (Figure 3A, Figure 3—figure supplement

2A and B), suggesting that PI4P accumulates in mature autophagosomes. We then

investigated the dynamics of PI4P enrichment by time-lapse microscopy. The signal of

the CERT(PHD) probe appeared on LC3B-positive membranes only after the

disappearance of the unclosed autophagosome markers ATG5 and WIPI2B (Figure 3B

and C) and almost at the same time as STX17 localized (Figure 3D). This was followed

by the appearance of LysoTracker signals (note that LysoTracker signals have been

previously observed to appear ring-shaped until inner membrane degradation

(*Tsuboyama et al.*, 2016)) (Figure 3—figure supplement 2C). To confirm that PI4P

accumulation was independent of autophagosome–lysosome fusion, we evaluated the

colocalization among the PI4P probe, LC3B, and LAMP1 in STX17- and YKT6-

double-knockdown cells, in which unfused autophagosomes accumulated (*Matsui et al.*,

2018). The punctate structures of CERT(PHD) were still colocalized with LC3B-

positive and LAMP1-negative structures in these double-knockdown cells (Figure 3—

figure supplement 2D). These results suggest that PI4P accumulates in mature

autophagosomal membranes independent of lysosome fusion and that the kinetics of the

accumulation of STX17, the PI4P probes, and the negative charge probes are associated.

STX17 recruitment to autophagosomes depends on PI4P

To determine whether PI4P is required for the recruitment of STX17 to

autophagosomes, we first tried to dephosphorylate PI4P by ectopic expression of SAC1,

a PI4P-phosphatase, on autophagosomes (*Manford et al.*, 2010). To this end, we fused

the phosphatase domain of yeast Sac1 (Sac1PD) to the N terminus of LC3B and

expressed them in different cell lines, using several methods, including lipofection and

retrovirus- and adenovirus-mediated transfection. Although Sac1PD–LC3B localized to

autophagosomes, it did not reduce either the level of autophagosomal PI4P or STX17

recruitment (unpublished observation). High expression of Sac1PD–LC3B inhibited

autophagosome formation (unpublished observation). Mammals possess four PI 4-

kinases. We next tried to deplete each or combinations of these PI 4-kinases by using

siRNA or an auxin-inducible degron system (*Yesbolatova et al.*, 2020) or inhibit them

using PI 4-kinase inhibitors, including PI-273 (*Li et al.*, 2017), BF738735 (*van der*

Schaar et al., 2013), and NC03 (*Sengupta et al.*, 2019), but ultimately failed to reduce

the autophagosomal PI4P levels and STX17 recruitment (unpublished observation).

Although the precise reason for this failure was not known, these approaches were

insufficient to deplete autophagosomal PI4P *in vivo*.

Therefore, we instead used an *in vitro* system to determine whether PI4P is

important for STX17 recruitment to autophagosomes (Figure 4A). Mature

autophagosomes prior to fusion with lysosomes were isolated from *STX17* knockout

(KO) cells (Figure 4B, Fraction #1) (*Matsui et al.*, 2018). Recombinant Sac1PD, its

phosphatase-dead mutant (C392S), and mGFP–STX17TM were generated using insect

cells (Figure 4C). Autophagosomes were first incubated with or without Sac1PD or

Sac1PD (C392S) for 30 min and then further incubated with mGFP–STX17TM for

another 30 min. Recombinant mGFP–STX17TM was associated with autophagosomes,

but it was significantly impaired by treatment with Sac1PD. Phosphatase-dead Sac1PD

(C392S) showed no effect (Figure 4D). These data suggest that PI4P is important for

autophagosomal recruitment of STX17.

We further determined the effect of PI4P on the dynamics of STX17TM on a

membrane by performing a molecular dynamics simulation. We used all-atom models

for STX17TM and the highly mobile membrane-mimetic (HMMM) model for the lipid

bilayer (*Ohkubo et al.*, 2012). The structure of STX17TM was predicted by trRosetta

(*Du et al.*, 2021), yielding five different models, all of which were used in the

simulation. The initial configuration was prepared such that the center of mass of

STX17TM was located 3 nm above the membrane surface, after which the movement of

STX17TM was tracked. In independent simulations using four out of the five predicted

STX17TM structures, STX17TM was inserted into the membrane with PI4P

(PC:PE:PI4P = 70:20:10) within a short time scale of 100 ns (Figure 5A, B, Video 1).

The two transmembrane helices were inserted into the membrane, while the charged C-

terminal region remained bound to the membrane surface (Video 1). In contrast, STX17

diffused freely in the solution and was not inserted into the membrane without PI4P

(PC:PE = 70:30) in simulations of all five structures (Figure 5C, D, Video 2). These

results suggest that STX17TM can be readily inserted into PI4P-containing membranes.

Discussion

Based on the results of this study, we propose a model in which temporally regulated autophagosome–lysosome fusion involves a dynamic change in electrostatic status during autophagosome maturation; the recruitment of STX17 to mature autophagosomes is primarily mediated by the electrostatic interaction between the positively charged C-terminal region of STX17 and negatively charged autophagosomal membranes (Figure 5E). Because STX17 does not localize to all negatively charged membranes (e.g., the plasma membrane), the transmembrane helices appear to also regulate the specificity of target membranes. This is consistent with the "coincidence detector" mechanism in which both a cationic motif and a nearby membrane-anchored moiety are important for membrane targeting (*Carlton and Cullen*, 2005; *Yeung and Grinstein*, 2007).

A striking finding of this study is that autophagosomes become more negatively charged during maturation, most likely through an increase in the PI4P content of their membranes, although we do not exclude the possible involvement of other negatively charged molecules. While PI3P is important for autophagosome formation, we do not think that PI3P contributes to the increase in negative charge. It was reported that PI3P is dephosphorylated to PI during autophagosome formation or maturation (*Allen et al.*, 2020; *Cebollero et al.*, 2012; *Taguchi-Atarashi et al.*, 2010), and that the PI3P effectors DFCP1 and WIPI family proteins are not detected on mature autophagosomes (*Koyama-Honda et al.*, 2013). In fact, we did not detect WIPI2B and the PI3P reporter GFP–2×FYVE on PI4P-enriched structures (Figure 3C) and STX17-positive structures (Figure 3—figure supplement 1A), respectively. Considering the change in autophagosomal membrane charge, the amount of PI4P produced during autophagosome maturation is likely to be higher than that of PI3P in the early stages. Surface charge, which is now recognized as one of the key physical properties of cellular membranes, regulates various biological processes (*Yeung and Grinstein*, 2007). For example, the negatively charged plasma membrane, where anionic phospholipids such as PI(4,5)P$_2$ and PS are enriched, recruits positively charged proteins, including K-Ras and Rac1 (*Bigay and Antonny*, 2012; *Heo et al.*, 2006; *Li et al.*, 2014; *Platre et al.*,

2018; *Yeung et al.*, 2008; *Yeung et al.*, 2006), some of which are released from the

membrane when the negative charge of the surface is reduced during phagocytosis

(*Yeung et al.*, 2006). However, little has been reported on the role of electrostatic

properties of other organelles, including autophagosomes.

PI4P is involved in many cellular processes, including autophagosome

formation and maturation. It was reported that PI4KB regulates the early stage of

autophagosome formation through interaction with ATG9A (*Judith et al.*, 2019). It was

also reported that PI4K2A is recruited to autophagosomes by binding to the ATG8

family proteins GABARAP and GABARAPL1 and produces PI4P in the

autophagosomal membranes (*Wang et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, the knockdown of

PI4K2A resulted in the accumulation of abnormally enlarged autophagosomes,

presumably owing to a defect in autophagosome–lysosome fusion (*Wang et al.*, 2015).

Indeed, a freeze-fracture replica labeling study showed that autophagosomal membranes

contain PI4P in the cytoplasmic leaflets of the outer and inner membranes (*Kurokawa et

al.*, 2019). Very recently, it was reported that the knockdown of PI4KIIα in *Drosophila*

blocked autophagic flux at a late step of autophagy in the fat body (*Liu et al.*, 2023).

Although these studies are consistent with our finding that PI4P production induces

STX17 recruitment for fusion with lysosomes, our repeated attempts to deplete PI4P

genetically (i.e., by depletion of PI 4-kinases or attachment of Sac1 to autophagosomes)

or pharmacologically did not deplete autophagosomal PI4P or inhibit STX17

recruitment. Liu et al. also showed that the knockdown of PI4KIIα in *Drosophila* did

not inhibit STX17 recruitment. This may be because PI 4-kinases function redundantly.

Generally, PI4P can be structurally recognized by a series of PI4P-binding

domain-containing proteins (*Lemmon*, 2008; *Moravcevic et al.*, 2012). However, recent

studies also suggest that PI4P can generate a negatively charged electrostatic field

(*Hammond et al.*, 2012; *Simon et al.*, 2016). In such cases, positively charged proteins

can be recruited independent of specific amino acid motifs. Examples of the role of

PI4P in electrostatic signature include the recruitment of the polar auxin transport

regulator PINOID and other signaling molecules to the cell plate in plants (*Simon et al.*,

2016); the recruitment of D6 protein kinase (*Barbosa et al.*, 2016), NPH3 (*Reuter et al.*,

2021), and the exocyst complex (*Synek et al.*, 2021) to the plasma membrane in plants;

the recruitment of Lgl and Dlg proteins, which function in cell polarity and

tumorigenesis, to the plasma membrane in *Drosophila* (*Dong et al.*, 2015; *Lu et al.*,

2021); and the recruitment of NLRP3 to the disassembled trans-Golgi network during

inflammasome activation in mammals (*Chen and Chen*, 2018). In the present study, we

showed that autophagosomal PI4P also serves as a regulator of surface charge. Given

that the function of elongating unclosed autophagosomes (i.e., sequestration) and

mature closed autophagosomes (i.e., fusion with lysosomes) differs despite using

seemingly the same membrane, electrostatic maturation would be an efficient way for

the function to switch.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids and antibodies

First, cDNAs encoding human STX17 (*Itakura et al.*, 2012), *D. melanogaster* STX17

(NM_079202), *C. elegans* STX17 (NM_059941), rat LC3B (*Hara et al.*, 2008), and

mouse ATG5 (NM_053069) were inserted into pMRXIP (harboring a puromycin-

resistant marker) (*Kitamura et al.*, 2003; *Saitoh et al.*, 2002), pMRXIZ (harboring a

zeocin-resistant marker) (*Morita et al.*, 2018), and pMRXIB (harboring a blasticidin-

resistant marker) (*Morita et al.*, 2018) together with enhanced GFP or mRuby3 (codon-

optimized, Addgene #74252). STX17 fragments and their point mutations were

generated by a standard PCR method or PCR-mediated site-directed mutagenesis.

Membrane surface charge probes were constructed by annealing with the

following oligonucleotides (purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific), after which they

were inserted into pMRXIP plasmid with enhanced GFP:

GFP–9K0Q

(GGCTCGGGATCCGGGAATTCATCCAAAGATGGAAAAAAAAGAAGAAGAA

AAGTAAAACCAAATGCGTGATTATGTAACTCGAGAGCGGCCGCT,

AGCGGCCGCTCTCGAGTTACATAATCACGCATTTGGTTTTACTTTTCTTCTTCT

TTTTTTTTCCATCTTTGGATGAATTCCCGGATCCCGAGCC); GFP–5K4Q

(GGCTCGGGATCCGGGAATTCAAGCAAAGACGGCCAGCAGCAACAAAAGAA

GTCTAAGACCAAGTGTGTAATCATGTAACTCGAGAGCGGCCGCT,

AGCGGCCGCTCTCGAGTTACATGATTACACACTTGGTCTTAGACTTCTTTTGT

TGCTGCTGGCCGTCTTTGCTTGAATTCCCGGATCCCGAGCC); GFP–3K6Q

(GGCTCGGGATCCGGGAATTCATCCAAGGACGGACAACAGCAGCAACAACA

GAGTAAAACTAAATGCGTGATAATGTAACTCGAGAGCGGCCGCT,

AGCGGCCGCTCTCGAGTTACATTATCACGCATTTAGTTTTACTCTGTTGTTGC

TGCTGTTGTCCGTCCTTGGATGAATTCCCGGATCCCGAGCC); GFP–1K8Q

(GGCTCGGGATCCGGGAATTCATCCCAGGACGGTCAGCAGCAACAACAGCA

ATCACAAACTAAATGTGTAATAATGTAACTCGAGAGCGGCCGCT,

AGCGGCCGCTCTCGAGTTACATTATTACACATTTAGTTTGTGATTGCTGTTGTT

GCTGCTGACCGTCCTGGGATGAATTCCCGGATCCCGAGCC). GFP-0K9Q was

generated by PCR-mediated site-directed mutagenesis.

To generate lipid probes, cDNAs encoding human 2×Spo20(PABD)

(NM_005633; amino acids 422–551) (*Kassas et al.*, 2017), CERT(PHD)

(NM_001130105; amino acids 1–116) (*Hanada*, 2006), FAPP (NM_001807; amino

acids 1–101) (*Balla et al.*, 2005), OSBP (NM_002556; amino acids 87–185) (*Levine*

and Munro, 1998), 2×ING2(PlantHD) (NM_001564; amino acids 190–280) (*Gozani et*

al., 2003), 2×TAPP1(PHD) (NM_001001974; amino acids 184–304) (*Dowler et al.*,

2000), 2×TRPML1(PHD) (NM_020533; amino acids 1–69) (*Dong et al.*, 2010), Btk

(NM_000061; amino acids 1–177) (*Baraldi et al.*, 1999), and rat PLCd1 (NM_017035;

amino acids 1–175) (*Varnai and Balla*, 1998) were inserted into pMRXIP. GFP–

Evectin-2 was provided by Hiroyuki Arai; GFP–PKD C1ab was provided by Tamas

Balla; and mRFP–2×FYVE was provided by Harald Stenmark. GFP–P4M-SidMx2

(51472; Addgene) was amplified by PCR and subcloned into pMRXIP.

For the *in vitro* autophagosome recruitment assay, DNA fragments encoding

GST–HRV3C-tagged yeast Sac1 (phosphatase domain; 2–517 amino acids)

(NM_001179777) or its C392S mutant and mGFP (monomeric enhanced GFP with

A206K mutation)–STX17TM were inserted downstream of the polyhedrin promoter of

the pFastBac Dual Expression vector (10712024; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For immunoblotting, mouse monoclonal anti-TOMM20 (sc-11415; Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, Inc.), rabbit polyclonal anti-LAMP1 (ab24170; Abcam), anti-p62

(PM045; MBL), and anti-LC3B (*Kabeya et al.*, 2000) antibodies were used as primary

antibodies. HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG and HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (111-

035-003, 111-035-144; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) antibodies were used as

secondary antibodies.

For immunostaining, rabbit polyclonal anti-LAMP1 (ab24170; Abcam) was

used as a primary antibody, while Alexa Fluor 660-anti-rabbit IgG (A-21074; Molecular

Probes) was used as secondary antibodies.

Cell culture

Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), U2OS cells, HeLa cells, and human embryonic

kidney (HEK) 293T cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium

(DMEM) (D6546; Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)

(S1820500; Biowest) and 2 mM L-glutamine (25030081; Gibco) in a 5% CO_2 incubator.

For the starvation treatment, cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS) and incubated in amino acid-free DMEM (04833575; Fujifilm Wako Pure

Chemical Corp.) without FBS. The *STX17* KO HeLa cells used in the present study

were as previously described(*Matsui et al.*, 2018).

Retroviral infections and generation of stable cell lines

HEK293T cells were transiently transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (11668019;

Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Fugene HD (VPE2311; Promega) with retrovirus vectors,

pCG-gag-pol, and pCG-VSV-G (provided by Teruhiko Yasui). After cells were cultured

for 2–3 days, the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45-μm syringe filter

unit (SLHV033RB; EMD Millipore). The obtained cells were cultured with retrovirus

and 8 μg/ml polybrane (H9268; Sigma-Aldrich). Uninfected cells were eliminated by

puromycin (P8833; Sigma-Aldrich), blasticidin (02218713; Fujifilm Wako Pure

Chemical Corp.), geneticin (10131; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and zeocin (R25005;

Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Fluorescence microscopy

Live-cell fluorescence imaging was performed using a Delta Vision Elite widefield

fluorescence microscope (GE Healthcare Life Science) equipped with a 100× PlanAPO

oil-immersion objective lens (Olympus, NA1.40) and a cooled-CCD camera

(Photometrics, CoolSNAP HQ2). Cells stably expressing GFP and mRuby3 were grown

on a glass-bottom dish (617870; Greiner bio-one, or 11004006; IWAKI). To observe

lysosomes, 50 nM Lysotracker Red DND99 (L7528; Thermo Fisher Scientific) or

LysoTracker Deep Red (L12492; Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the medium.

To observe HaloTag–LC3B, cells were observed in the presence of 200 nM SaraFluor

650T HaloTag ligand (GoryoChemical, A308-02). During live-cell imaging, the culture

dish was mounted in a chamber (INUB-ONI-F2; TOKAI HIT) to maintain the culture

conditions (37°C, 5% CO_2). Images were acquired at 30-s intervals. Time series of 16-

bit images were converted into RGB Tiff images using ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S.,

ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997–2018). GFP intensity of autophagosomal structures was determined using ImageJ. Additionally, mRuby3–LC3 or Atg5-positive structures, including punctate and cup-shape structures (premature autophagosomes) and ring-like structures (mature autophagosomes) were extracted by the Analyze Particle function after binarization using the Auto Threshold v1.17 plugin (Method, Max Entropy). The GFP intensity of each particle was measured by the Measure ImageJ plugin, and the background signal (i.e., average GFP intensity of the entire cell) was subtracted.

The GFP–STX17TM intensity of mature autophagosomes or liposomes was determined using ImageJ. The center of ring-like structures in the red channel (LC3B or liposome signals) was first selected using the multi-point tool. The region of interest (ROI) was then defined by drawing a 10-μm-diameter circle around the previously determined center and capturing thered channel (LC3B or liposome signals). The GFP–STX17TM intensity was measured by the Radial Profile Plot ImageJ plugin, and the background signal of the surrounding cytosol area was subtracted.

Preparation of recombinant proteins

In vitro transcription and translation in the rabbit reticulocyte lysate system were performed according to a published method with minor modifications (*Sharma et al.*, 2010). For *in vitro* mRNA synthesis, DNA templates containing the SP6 RNA polymerase promoter site upstream of the sequence to be transcribed were generated by a standard PCR method and then incubated for 1 h at 37°C using the mMACHINE SP6 Transcription Kit (AM1340; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For *in vitro* protein synthesis, transcripts were incubated with rabbit reticulocyte lysates (L4960; Promega) for 30 min at 37°C.

Recombinant yeast Sac1 (amino acids 2–517) and mGFP–STX17TM (amino acids 229–302) proteins were prepared using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system (10359016; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Bacmid DNAs were prepared using DH10Bac *E. coli* cells (10361012; Thermo Fisher Scientific), after which High Five insect cells (BTI-TN-5B1-4; B85502; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were transfected with the bacmid DNAs using Cellfectin II (10362100; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Baculoviruses were generated and amplified in the High Five cells. After a 96-h viral

infection, the cells were suspended in 2 ml of ice-cold homogenization buffer (20 mM

HEPES-KOH, pH 7.2, 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, and protease inhibitor cocktail).

Cells were then disrupted by ultrasonication using a UD-201 ultrasonic disruptor

(TOMY). After centrifugation at $16,500 \times g$ for 10 min, the supernatants were incubated

with Glutathione Sepharose 4B (17075601; GE Healthcare) for 3 h at 4°C with gentle

rotation. The sepharose resins were washed three times with homogenization buffer and

then treated with HRV3C protease (206-18151; Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical Corp.) for

12 h at 16°C. The eluted proteins were stored at −80°C. To confirm recombinant

expression, purified samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by CBB

staining using CBB Stain One Super (11642-31; Nacalai Tesque).

Preparation of phospholipid vesicles

Liposomes were prepared as follows. First, 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine

(DOPC) (850375 C; Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-

phosphoethanolamine (DOPE) (850725 C; Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-

glycero-3-phospho-L-serine (DOPS) (840035P; Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-

glycero-3-phospho-(1′-myo-inositol) (18:1 PI) (850149P; Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-

dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-myo-inositol-3′-phosphate) (18:1 PI3P) (850150P;

Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-myo-inositol-4′-phosphate)

(18:1 PI4P) (850151P; Avanti Polar Lipids), and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1′-

myo-inositol-3′,4′-bisphosphate) (18:1 PI(3,4)P$_2$) (850153P; Avanti Polar Lipids)

dissolved in chloroform to 1 μM final concentrations were mixed in a glass tube at the

indicated ratios in the figure legends. Then, 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-

phosphoethanolamine-N-[biotinyl(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG(2000)

Biotin) (880129C; Avanti Polar Lipids) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-

phosphoethanolamine-N-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl) (18:1 Liss Phod PE)

(810150C; Avanti Polar Lipids) were added to the mixtures to label the liposomes. The

chloroform was evaporated under argon gas and then completely dried in a vacuum

desiccator overnight. The lipid film was hydrated in KHM buffer (20 mM HEPES-

NaOH [pH 7.4], 110 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM MgCl$_2$) at a concentration of 1 mM

and incubated for 16 h at 30°C.

Purification of mature autophagosomes

STX17 KO HeLa cells stably expressing mRuby3–LC3B were harvested from two 10-

cm dishes and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The cell pellets were collected after

centrifugation at $700 \times g$ for 5 min and resuspended in 1 ml of ice-cold homogenization

buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH7.2, 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, and protease

inhibitor cocktail). Cells were then disrupted by passage through a 25-gauge needle. The

homogenized cells were centrifuged at $3,000 \times g$ for 10 min to remove cell debris and

undisrupted cells. The supernatant was diluted with an equal volume of 50% OptiPrep

(1893; Cosmo Bio) with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor (11873580001; Roche).

Discontinuous OptiPrep gradients were generated in MLS-50 tubes (344057; Beckman

Coulter) by overlaying each of the following OptiPrep solutions in homogenization

buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH [pH 7.4], 250 mM sucrose, 1 mM EDTA): 1.25 ml of the

diluted supernatant in 25% OptiPrep, 0.25 ml in 20%, 0.75 ml in 15%, 0.75 ml in 10%,

2.0 ml in 5%, and 0.25 ml in 0%. The gradients were centrifuged at $150,000 \times g$ in

MLS-50 rotors (Beckman Instruments) for 3 h, and subsequently, 10 fractions (0.5 ml

each) were collected from the top. Proteins in each fraction were isolated by TCA

precipitation. The samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immobilon-

P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (IPVH00010; EMD Millipore). Immunoblotting

analysis was performed with the indicated antibodies. Immobilon Western

Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (P90715; EMD Millipore) was used to visualize the

signals, which were detected on an IQ800 biomolecular imager (Cytiva). Contrast and

brightness adjustments were performed using Photoshop 2022 (Adobe).

***In vitro* recruitment assay**

For the liposome binding assay, the prepared liposomes were mixed with recombinant

proteins produced by rabbit reticulocyte lysate for 15 min at 30°C. A 0.2-mm-thick

chamber was created by attaching two glass cover slips (Matunami glass) together with

double-sided tape applied along the long edges. NeutrAvidin Protein (31000; Thermo

Fisher Scientific) was added to the chamber for 15 min at room temperature before

being washed out with KHM buffer. The liposome–recombinant protein mixture was

then transferred to the NeutrAvidin Protein-coated chamber. Images were captured

immediately after using a confocal microscope (FV3000; Olympus) equipped with a

60× PlanAPO oil-immersion objective lens (Olympus, NA1.42).

For the autophagosome recruitment assay, the LC3-positive, LAMP1-negative

autophagosomal fraction was incubated with 0.5 µg of Sac1 proteins from insect cells

for 30 min at 37°C. Then, mGFP–STX17TM was added to the mixture, which was then

incubated again for 30 min at 37°C. The mixtures were transferred to a glass-bottom

dish (617870; Greiner bio-one), and images were captured using a fluorescence

microscope (BZ-810; Keyence) equipped with a 60× oil-immersion objective lens

(Nikon, NA1.40).

RNA interference

Stealth RNAi oligonucleotides were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The

following sequences were used: human YKT6 siRNA antisense, 5′-

GGTGTGGTCATTGCTGACAATGAAT -3′; human YKT6 siRNA antisense sense, 5′-

ATTCATTGTCAGCAATGACCACACC -3′ (*Matsui et al.*, 2018). The siRNA

oligonucleotides for human STX17 (HSS123732 antisense, 5′-

AATTAAGTCCGCTTCTAAGGTTTCC -3′; HSS123732 sense, 5'-

GGAAACCTTAGAAGCGGACTTAATT -3′) were previously reported (*Itakura et al.*,

2012). The stealth RNAi oligonucleotides were transfected into cells using

Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (13778150; Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Three days after transfection, cells were used for analysis.

Immunostaining

Cells grown on coverslips were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde

phosphate buffer solution (0915485; Nacalai Tesque) for 10 min at room temperature.

Fixed cells were permeabilized with 50 µg/ml digitonin (D141; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS

for 5 min, blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 10 min, and then incubated with primary

antibodies for 16 h at 4°C. After being washed three times with PBS, cells were

incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. The coverslips were

observed using a confocal laser microscope (FV3000; Olympus) equipped with a 60×

PlanAPO oil-immersion objective lens (Olympus, NA1.42).

Sequence alignment

Amino acid sequences of STX17 from the following species were obtained from the

NCBI protein database: *Homo sapiens* (NP_060389.2), *Mus musculus* (NP_080619.2),

Danio rerio (NP_001007450.1), *Ciona intestinalis* (NP_492342.1), *Drosophila*

melanogaster (NP_523926.1), and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (NP_492342.1). The

sequences were aligned using clustal W (https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw) as

implemented in Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis X (*Kumar et al.*, 2018b).

Molecular dynamics simulation

We used the all-atom model for SXT17TM utilizing initial structures predicted by

trRosetta, a method based on deep learning (*Du et al.*, 2021). Because five different

three-dimensional structural models were predicted (TM score > 0.7), each was used in

the simulations. The lipid bilayer was modeled using the HMMM model, which was

developed to study protein–membrane interactions more efficiently by replacing the

membrane lipids with short-chain lipid and organic solvents to facilitate lateral diffusion

(*Ohkubo et al.*, 2012). The lipid headgroups in the HMMM model were identical to

those in the all-atom model and faithfully represented the membrane surface. The lipid

membrane consisted of 300 lipid molecules in each leaflet. The membranes with and

without PI4P were examined for lipid compositions of POPC:POPE:POPI4P (POPI14)

= 70:20:10 or 70:30:0, respectively. The initial configuration was prepared so that the

center of mass of STX17TM was located 3 nm above the membrane surface and the

first principal axis of the atomic configuration was tilted 45 degrees from the z axis. The

protein and lipids were solvated in a 10 nm × 10 nm × 30 nm box with the TIP3P water

model and 0.15 M KCl ions. The initial configurations were built by the Membrane

Builder module in the CHARMM-GUI server (*Jo et al.*, 2008). All molecular dynamics

simulations were performed using GENESIS (*Jung et al.*, 2015). The CHARMM36

force-field was used to describe the interactions in the system (*Huang et al.*, 2017).

Energy minimization was performed for 1000 steps by the steepest descent algorithm

and then by the conjugate gradient algorithm. Then, a 250 ps NVT simulation was

performed at 303.15 K for solvent equilibration, followed by a 1.6 ns NPT equilibration

to 1 atm using the Langevin thermostat/barostat (*Quigley and Probert*, 2004). The

production simulations were performed for 100 ns with a time-step of 2.5 fs and the

Langevin thermostat/barostat. Long-range electrostatic interactions were simulated

using the particle-mesh Ewald method (*Darden et al.*, 1993; *Essmann et al.*, 1995). The

short-range electrostatic and van der Waals interactions both used a cutoff of 12 Å. All

bonds were constrained by the SHAKE/RATTLE algorithm (*Andersen*, 1983; *Ryckaert*

et al., 1977).

Statistical analysis

Comparisons between data from two groups were evaluated using Welch's *t*-test, and

comparisons of data among multiple groups were performed using one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA) followed by the Dunnett's, Sidak's, or Tukey's multiple comparison

tests as implemented in GraphPad Prism 8 and 9 (GraphPad Software). Data

distributions were assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested.

Acknowledgments

We thank Shoji Yamaoka for providing pMRX-IP vector, Teruhito Yasui for the pCG-

gag-pol and pCG-VSV-G vectors, Hiroyuki Arai for *C. elegans* cDNA and GFP–

Evectin-2, Masayuki Miura for *D. melanogaster* cDNA, Tamas Balla for GFP–PKD

C1ab, Harald Stenmark for mRFP–2xFYVE, Yuji Sugita and Ai Niitsu for helpful

discussions on the molecular dynamics calculations, and Koki Kamiya and Shoji

Takeuchi for helping the liposome experiments. The numerical computations were

performed with the RIKEN supercomputer system (HOKUSAI). We thank Eisuke

Itakura for technical advice on the *in vitro* experiments. We thank the members of the

Mizushima Laboratory for helpful discussions, especially Maya Shirakawa for technical

assistance with the experiments. This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for

Specially Promoted Research (22H04919 to N.M.), for Transformative Research Areas

(A) (21H05256 to H.Y.), for Scientific Research (C) (23K05715 to Y.S.), and for JSPS

Fellows (17J02747 to S.S.) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS)

and by the Exploratory Research for Advanced Technology (ERATO) research funding
program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) (JPMJER1702 to N.M.).
589
590

Author Contributions
S.S., H.Y., and N.M. designed the project and analyzed the data. S.S. performed most
experimental work and analyzed data. Y.S. designed, performed, and analyzed the
molecular dynamics simulation. S.S., T.M., M.U., and H.Y. performed *in vitro*
reconstitution experiments. S.S., I.K.H., J.S., and H.Y. performed *in vivo* PI4P depletion
analysis. S.S., Y.S., H.Y., and N.M. wrote the manuscript. H.Y. and N.M. supervised the
project. All authors commented on the manuscript.
598

599 **Competing Interest**
600 Noboru Mizushima: a Reviewing editor, *eLife*. The other authors declare that no
601 competing interests exist.
602

References

References

Allen, E.A., C. Amato, T.M. Fortier, P. Velentzas, W. Wood, and E.H. Baehrecke. 2020.
A conserved myotubularin-related phosphatase regulates autophagy by
maintaining autophagic flux. *J. Cell Biol.* **219**:e201909073. doi:
10.1083/jcb.201909073, PMID: 32915229

Andersen, H.C. 1983. Rattle: A "velocity" version of the shake algorithm for molecular
dynamics calculations. *J. Comput. Phys.* **52**:24-34. doi: 10.1016/0021-
9991(83)90014-1, PMID:

Balla, A., G. Tuymetova, A. Tsiomenko, P. Varnai, and T. Balla. 2005. A plasma
membrane pool of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate is generated by
phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase type-III alpha: studies with the PH domains of the
oxysterol binding protein and FAPP1. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **16**:1282-1295. doi:
10.1091/mbc.e04-07-0578, PMID: 15635101

Balla, T. 2013. Phosphoinositides: tiny lipids with giant impact on cell regulation.
Physiol. Rev. **93**:1019-1137. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00028.2012, PMID: 23899561

Baraldi, E., K. Djinovic Carugo, M. Hyvonen, P.L. Surdo, A.M. Riley, B.V. Potter, R.
O'Brien, J.E. Ladbury, and M. Saraste. 1999. Structure of the PH domain from
Bruton's tyrosine kinase in complex with inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate.
Structure. **7**:449-460. doi: 10.1016/s0969-2126(99)80057-4, PMID: 10196129

Barbosa, I.C., H. Shikata, M. Zourelidou, M. Heilmann, I. Heilmann, and C.
Schwechheimer. 2016. Phospholipid composition and a polybasic motif
determine D6 PROTEIN KINASE polar association with the plasma membrane
and tropic responses. *Development.* **143**:4687-4700. doi: 10.1242/dev.137117,
PMID: 27836964

Barz, S., F. Kriegenburg, A. Henning, A. Bhattacharya, H. Mancilla, P. Sanchez-Martin,
and C. Kraft. 2020. Atg1 kinase regulates autophagosome-vacuole fusion by
controlling SNARE bundling. *EMBO Rep.* **21**:e51869. doi:
10.15252/embr.202051869, PMID: 33274589

Bigay, J., and B. Antonny. 2012. Curvature, lipid packing, and electrostatics of
membrane organelles: defining cellular territories in determining specificity.
Dev. Cell. **23**:886-895. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2012.10.009, PMID: 23153485

Borgese, N., I. Gazzoni, M. Barberi, S. Colombo, and E. Pedrazzini. 2001. Targeting of
a tail-anchored protein to endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial outer
membrane by independent but competing pathways. *Mol. Biol. Cell.* **12**:2482-
2496. doi: 10.1091/mbc.12.8.2482, PMID: 11514630

Carlton, J.G., and P.J. Cullen. 2005. Coincidence detection in phosphoinositide
signaling. *Trends Cell Biol.* **15**:540-547. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2005.08.005, PMID:
16139503

Cebollero, E., A. van der Vaart, M. Zhao, E. Rieter, D.J. Klionsky, J.B. Helms, and F.
Reggiori. 2012. Phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate clearance plays a key role in
autophagosome completion. *Curr. Biol.* **22**:1545-1553. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2012.06.029, PMID: 22771041

Chen, J., and Z.J. Chen. 2018. PtdIns4P on dispersed trans-Golgi network mediates
NLRP3 inflammasome activation. *Nature.* **564**:71-76. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-
0761-3, PMID: 30487600

Darden, T., D. York, and L. Pedersen. 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: An $N \cdot \log(N)$ method
for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **98**:10089-10092. doi:
10.1063/1.464397, PMID:

Dong, W., X. Zhang, W. Liu, Y.J. Chen, J. Huang, E. Austin, A.M. Celotto, W.Z. Jiang,
M.J. Palladino, Y. Jiang, G.R. Hammond, and Y. Hong. 2015. A conserved
polybasic domain mediates plasma membrane targeting of Lgl and its regulation
by hypoxia. *J. Cell Biol.* **211**:273-286. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201503067, PMID:
26483556

Dong, X.P., D. Shen, X. Wang, T. Dawson, X. Li, Q. Zhang, X. Cheng, Y. Zhang, L.S.
Weisman, M. Delling, and H. Xu. 2010. PI(3,5)P_2 controls membrane trafficking
by direct activation of mucolipin Ca^{2+} release channels in the endolysosome.
Nat. Commun. **1**:38. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1037, PMID: 20802798

Dowler, S., R.A. Currie, D.G. Campbell, M. Deak, G. Kular, C.P. Downes, and D.R.
Alessi. 2000. Identification of pleckstrin-homology-domain-containing proteins
with novel phosphoinositide-binding specificities. *Biochem. J.* **351**:19-31. doi:
10.1042/0264-6021:3510019, PMID: 11001876

Du, Z., H. Su, W. Wang, L. Ye, H. Wei, Z. Peng, I. Anishchenko, D. Baker, and J. Yang.
2021. The trRosetta server for fast and accurate protein structure prediction. *Nat.*
Protoc. **16**:5634-5651. doi: 10.1038/s41596-021-00628-9, PMID: 34759384

Essmann, U., L. Perera, M.L. Berkowitz, T. Darden, H. Lee, and L.G. Pedersen. 1995. A
smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**:8577-8593. doi:
10.1063/1.470117, PMID:

Gao, J., R. Kurre, J. Rose, S. Walter, F. Frohlich, J. Piehler, F. Reggiori, and C.
Ungermann. 2020. Function of the SNARE Ykt6 on autophagosomes requires
the Dsl1 complex and the Atg1 kinase complex. *EMBO Rep.* **21**:e50733. doi:
10.15252/embr.202050733, PMID: 33025734

Gozani, O., P. Karuman, D.R. Jones, D. Ivanov, J. Cha, A.A. Lugovskoy, C.L. Baird, H.
Zhu, S.J. Field, S.L. Lessnick, J. Villasenor, B. Mehrotra, J. Chen, V.R. Rao, J.S.
Brugge, C.G. Ferguson, B. Payrastre, D.G. Myszka, L.C. Cantley, G. Wagner, N.
Divecha, G.D. Prestwich, and J. Yuan. 2003. The PHD finger of the chromatin-
associated protein ING2 functions as a nuclear phosphoinositide receptor. *Cell*.
114:99-111. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00480-x, PMID: 12859901

Guo, B., Q. Liang, L. Li, Z. Hu, F. Wu, P. Zhang, Y. Ma, B. Zhao, A.L. Kovacs, Z.
Zhang, D. Feng, S. Chen, and H. Zhang. 2014. O-GlcNAc-modification of
SNAP-29 regulates autophagosome maturation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **16**:1215-1226.
doi: 10.1038/ncb3066, PMID: 25419848

Hammond, G.R., M.J. Fischer, K.E. Anderson, J. Holdich, A. Koteci, T. Balla, and R.F.
Irvine. 2012. PI4P and PI(4,5)P$_2$ are essential but independent lipid determinants
of membrane identity. *Science*. **337**:727-730. doi: 10.1126/science.1222483,
PMID: 22722250

Hammond, G.R., M.P. Machner, and T. Balla. 2014. A novel probe for
phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate reveals multiple pools beyond the Golgi. *J.
Cell Biol.* **205**:113-126. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201312072, PMID: 24711504

Hanada, K. 2006. Discovery of the molecular machinery CERT for endoplasmic
reticulum-to-Golgi trafficking of ceramide. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **286**:23-31. doi:
10.1007/s11010-005-9044-z, PMID: 16601923

Hara, T., A. Takamura, C. Kishi, S. Iemura, T. Natsume, J.L. Guan, and N. Mizushima.
2008. FIP200, a ULK-interacting protein, is required for autophagosome
formation in mammalian cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **181**:497-510. doi:
10.1083/jcb.200712064, PMID: 18443221

Heo, W.D., T. Inoue, W.S. Park, M.L. Kim, B.O. Park, T.J. Wandless, and T. Meyer.
2006. PI(3,4,5)P3 and PI(4,5)P2 lipids target proteins with polybasic clusters to
the plasma membrane. *Science*. **314**:1458-1461. doi: 10.1126/science.1134389,
PMID: 17095657

Horie, C., H. Suzuki, M. Sakaguchi, and K. Mihara. 2002. Characterization of signal
that directs C-tail-anchored proteins to mammalian mitochondrial outer
membrane. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. **13**:1615-1625. doi: 10.1091/mbc.01-12-0570,
PMID: 12006657

Huang, J., S. Rauscher, G. Nawrocki, T. Ran, M. Feig, B.L. de Groot, H. Grubmuller,
and A.D. MacKerell, Jr. 2017. CHARMM36m: an improved force field for
folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nat. Methods*. **14**:71-73. doi:
10.1038/nmeth.4067, PMID: 27819658

Itakura, E., C. Kishi-Itakura, and N. Mizushima. 2012. The hairpin-type tail-anchored
SNARE syntaxin 17 targets to autophagosomes for fusion with
endosomes/lysosomes. *Cell*. **151**:1256-1269. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.11.001,
PMID: 23217709
Jo, S., T. Kim, V.G. Iyer, and W. Im. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user
interface for CHARMM. *J. Comput. Chem.* **29**:1859-1865. doi:
10.1002/jcc.20945, PMID: 18351591
Judith, D., H.B.J. Jefferies, S. Boeing, D. Frith, A.P. Snijders, and S.A. Tooze. 2019.
ATG9A shapes the forming autophagosome through Arfaptin 2 and
phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase IIIβ. *J. Cell Biol.* **218**:1634-1652. doi:
10.1083/jcb.201901115, PMID: 30917996
Jung, J., T. Mori, C. Kobayashi, Y. Matsunaga, T. Yoda, M. Feig, and Y. Sugita. 2015.
GENESIS: a hybrid-parallel and multi-scale molecular dynamics simulator with
enhanced sampling algorithms for biomolecular and cellular simulations. *Wiley*
Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. **5**:310-323. doi: 10.1002/wcms.1220, PMID:
26753008
Kabeya, Y., N. Mizushima, T. Ueno, A. Yamamoto, T. Kirisako, T. Noda, E. Kominami,
Y. Ohsumi, and T. Yoshimori. 2000. LC3, a mammalian homologue of yeast
Apg8p, is localized in autophagosome membranes after processing. *EMBO J.*
19:5720-5728. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19.21.5720, PMID: 11060023
Kassas, N., E. Tanguy, T. Thahouly, L. Fouillen, D. Heintz, S. Chasserot-Golaz, M.F.
Bader, N.J. Grant, and N. Vitale. 2017. Comparative characterization of
phosphatidic acid sensors and their localization during frustrated phagocytosis.
J. Biol. Chem. **292**:4266-4279. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.742346, PMID:
28115519
Kitamura, T., Y. Koshino, F. Shibata, T. Oki, H. Nakajima, T. Nosaka, and H. Kumagai.
2003. Retrovirus-mediated gene transfer and expression cloning: powerful tools
in functional genomics. *Exp. Hematol.* **31**:1007-1014. doi: S0301472X03002601
[pii], PMID: 14585362
Koyama-Honda, I., E. Itakura, T.K. Fujiwara, and N. Mizushima. 2013. Temporal
analysis of recruitment of mammalian ATG proteins to the autophagosome
formation site. *Autophagy*. **9**:1491-1499. doi: 10.4161/auto.25529, PMID:
23884233
Kumar, S., A. Jain, F. Farzam, J. Jia, Y. Gu, S.W. Choi, M.H. Mudd, A. Claude-Taupin,
M.J. Wester, K.A. Lidke, T.E. Rusten, and V. Deretic. 2018a. Mechanism of
Stx17 recruitment to autophagosomes via IRGM and mammalian Atg8 proteins.

J. Cell Biol. **217**:997-1013. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201708039, PMID: 29420192

Kumar, S., G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz, and K. Tamura. 2018b. MEGA X: Molecular

evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*

35:1547-1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096, PMID: 29722887

Kurokawa, Y., A. Yoshida, E. Fujii, K. Tomioku, H. Hayashi, K. Tanabe, and A. Fujita.

2019. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate on Rab7-positive autophagosomes

revealed by the freeze-fracture replica labeling. *Traffic*. **20**:82-95. doi:

10.1111/tra.12623, PMID: 30426618

Lemmon, M.A. 2008. Membrane recognition by phospholipid-binding domains. *Nat.*

Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. **9**:99-111. doi: 10.1038/nrm2328, PMID: 18216767

Levine, T.P., and S. Munro. 1998. The pleckstrin homology domain of oxysterol-

binding protein recognises a determinant specific to Golgi membranes. *Curr.*

Biol. **8**:729-739. doi: 10.1016/s0960-9822(98)70296-9, PMID: 9651677

Li, J., Z. Gao, D. Zhao, L. Zhang, X. Qiao, Y. Zhao, H. Ding, P. Zhang, J. Lu, J. Liu, H.

Jiang, C. Luo, and C. Chen. 2017. PI-273, a Substrate-Competitive, Specific

Small-Molecule Inhibitor of PI4KIIalpha, Inhibits the Growth of Breast Cancer

Cells. *Cancer Res.* **77**:6253-6266. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0484,

PMID: 28827373

Li, L., X. Shi, X. Guo, H. Li, and C. Xu. 2014. Ionic protein-lipid interaction at the

plasma membrane: what can the charge do? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **39**:130-140.

doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2014.01.002, PMID: 24534649

Liu, H., W. Shao, W. Liu, W. Shang, J.P. Liu, L. Wang, and C. Tong. 2023. PtdIns4P

exchange at endoplasmic reticulum-autolysosome contacts is essential for

autophagy and neuronal homeostasis. *Autophagy*:online ahead of print. doi:

10.1080/15548627.2023.2222556, PMID: 37289040

Lu, J., W. Dong, Y. Tao, and Y. Hong. 2021. Electrostatic plasma membrane targeting

contributes to Dlg function in cell polarity and tumorigenesis. *Development*.

148:dev196956. doi: 10.1242/dev.196956, PMID: 33688074

Manford, A., T. Xia, A.K. Saxena, C. Stefan, F. Hu, S.D. Emr, and Y. Mao. 2010.

Crystal structure of the yeast Sac1: implications for its phosphoinositide

phosphatase function. *EMBO J.* **29**:1489-1498. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2010.57,

PMID: 20389282

Matsui, T., P. Jiang, S. Nakano, Y. Sakamaki, H. Yamamoto, and N. Mizushima. 2018.

Autophagosomal YKT6 is required for fusion with lysosomes independently of

syntaxin 17. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**:2633-2645. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201712058, PMID:

29789439

Mizushima, N., and B. Levine. 2020. Autophagy in human diseases. *N. Engl. J. Med.*
383:1564-1576. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2022774, PMID: 33053285

Moravcevic, K., C.L. Oxley, and M.A. Lemmon. 2012. Conditional peripheral
membrane proteins: facing up to limited specificity. *Structure*. **20**:15-27. doi:
10.1016/j.str.2011.11.012, PMID: 22193136

Morita, K., Y. Hama, T. Izume, N. Tamura, T. Ueno, Y. Yamashita, Y. Sakamaki, K.
Mimura, H. Morishita, W. Shihoya, O. Nureki, H. Mano, and N. Mizushima.
2018. Genome-wide CRISPR screen identifies TMEM41B as a gene required
for autophagosome formation. *J. Cell Biol.* **217**:3817-3828. doi:
10.1083/jcb.201804132, PMID: 30093494

Nguyen, T.N., B.S. Padman, J. Usher, V. Oorschot, G. Ramm, and M. Lazarou. 2016.
Atg8 family LC3/GABARAP proteins are crucial for autophagosome-lysosome
fusion but not autophagosome formation during PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and
starvation. *J. Cell Biol.* **215**:857-874. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201607039, PMID:
27864321

Ohkubo, Y.Z., T.V. Pogorelov, M.J. Arcario, G.A. Christensen, and E. Tajkhorshid.
2012. Accelerating membrane insertion of peripheral proteins with a novel
membrane mimetic model. *Biophys. J.* **102**:2130-2139. doi:
10.1016/j.bpj.2012.03.015, PMID: 22824277

Platre, M.P., and Y. Jaillais. 2016. Guidelines for the Use of Protein Domains in Acidic
Phospholipid Imaging. *Methods Mol. Biol*. **1376**:175-194. doi: 10.1007/978-1-
4939-3170-5_15, PMID: 26552684

Platre, M.P., L.C. Noack, M. Doumane, V. Bayle, M.L.A. Simon, L. Maneta-Peyret, L.
Fouillen, T. Stanislas, L. Armengot, P. Pejchar, M.C. Caillaud, M. Potocky, A.
Copic, P. Moreau, and Y. Jaillais. 2018. A combinatorial lipid code shapes the
electrostatic landscape of plant endomembranes. *Dev. Cell*. **45**:465-480 e411.
doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2018.04.011, PMID: 29754803

Quigley, D., and M.I. Probert. 2004. Langevin dynamics in constant pressure extended
systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **120**:11432-11441. doi: 10.1063/1.1755657, PMID:
15268177

Rao, M., V. Okreglak, U.S. Chio, H. Cho, P. Walter, and S.O. Shan. 2016. Multiple
selection filters ensure accurate tail-anchored membrane protein targeting. *eLife*.
5:e21301. doi: 10.7554/eLife.21301, PMID: 27925580

Reuter, L., T. Schmidt, P. Manishankar, C. Throm, J. Keicher, A. Bock, I. Droste-Borel,
and C. Oecking. 2021. Light-triggered and phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3
association with NON-PHOTOTROPIC HYPOCOTYL 3 is required for

hypocotyl phototropism. *Nat. Commun.* **12**:6128. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-
26332-6, PMID: 34675219

Ryckaert, J.-P., G. Ciccotti, and H.J.C. Berendsen. 1977. Numerical integration of the
cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints: molecular dynamics
of n-alkanes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **23**:327-341. doi: 10.1016/0021-9991(77)90098-
5, PMID:

Saitoh, T., H. Nakano, N. Yamamoto, and S. Yamaoka. 2002. Lymphotoxin-β receptor
mediates NEMO-independent NF-κB activation. *FEBS Lett.* **532**:45-51. doi:
10.1016/s0014-5793(02)03622-0, PMID: 12459460

Sanchez-Martin, P., F. Kriegenburg, L. Alves, J. Adam, J. Elsaesser, R. Babic, H.
Mancilla, M. Licheva, G. Tascher, C. Munch, S. Eimer, and C. Kraft. 2023.
ULK1-mediated phosphorylation regulates the conserved role of YKT6 in
autophagy. *J. Cell Sci.* **136**:jcs260546. doi: 10.1242/jcs.260546, PMID:
36644903

Schink, K.O., K.W. Tan, and H. Stenmark. 2016. Phosphoinositides in Control of
Membrane Dynamics. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **32**:143-171. doi:
10.1146/annurev-cellbio-111315-125349, PMID: 27576122

Sengupta, N., M. Jovic, E. Barnaeva, D.W. Kim, X. Hu, N. Southall, M. Dejmek, I.
Mejdrova, R. Nencka, A. Baumlova, D. Chalupska, E. Boura, M. Ferrer, J.
Marugan, and T. Balla. 2019. A large scale high-throughput screen identifies
chemical inhibitors of phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase type II alpha. *J. Lipid Res.*
60:683-693. doi: 10.1194/jlr.D090159, PMID: 30626625

Sharma, A., M. Mariappan, S. Appathurai, and R.S. Hegde. 2010. In vitro dissection of
protein translocation into the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. *Methods Mol.*
Biol. **619**:339-363. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-412-8_20, PMID: 20419420

Simon, M.L., M.P. Platre, M.M. Marques-Bueno, L. Armengot, T. Stanislas, V. Bayle,
M.C. Caillaud, and Y. Jaillais. 2016. A PtdIns(4)P-driven electrostatic field
controls cell membrane identity and signalling in plants. *Nat. Plants.* **2**:16089.
doi: 10.1038/nplants.2016.89, PMID: 27322096

Soreng, K., T.P. Neufeld, and A. Simonsen. 2018. Membrane trafficking in autophagy.
Int. Rev. Cell. Mol. Biol. **336**:1-92. doi: 10.1016/bs.ircmb.2017.07.001, PMID:
29413888

Synek, L., R. Pleskot, J. Sekeres, N. Serrano, N. Vukasinovic, J. Ortmannova, M.
Klejchova, P. Pejchar, K. Batystova, M. Gutkowska, E. Jankova-Drdova, V.
Markovic, T. Pecenkova, J. Santrucek, V. Zarsky, and M. Potocky. 2021. Plasma
membrane phospholipid signature recruits the plant exocyst complex via the

EXO70A1 subunit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**:e2105287118. doi:
10.1073/pnas.2105287118, PMID: 34470819

Taguchi-Atarashi, N., M. Hamasaki, K. Matsunaga, H. Omori, N.T. Ktistakis, T.
Yoshimori, and T. Noda. 2010. Modulation of local PtdIns3P levels by the PI
phosphatase MTMR3 regulates constitutive autophagy. *Traffic*. **11**:468-478. doi:
10.1111/j.1600-0854.2010.01034.x, PMID: 20059746

Takáts, S., P. Nagy, A. Varga, K. Pircs, M. Kárpáti, K. Varga, A.L. Kovács, K. Hegedűs,
and G. Juhász. 2013. Autophagosomal Syntaxin17-dependent lysosomal
degradation maintains neuronal function in *Drosophila*. *J. Cell Biol.* **201**:531-
539. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201211160, PMID: 23671310

Tsuboyama, K., I. Koyama-Honda, Y. Sakamaki, M. Koike, H. Morishita, and N.
Mizushima. 2016. The ATG conjugation systems are important for degradation
of the inner autophagosomal membrane. *Science*. **354**:1036-1041. doi:
10.1126/science.aaf6136, PMID: 27885029

van der Schaar, H.M., P. Leyssen, H.J. Thibaut, A. de Palma, L. van der Linden, K.H.
Lanke, C. Lacroix, E. Verbeken, K. Conrath, A.M. Macleod, D.R. Mitchell, N.J.
Palmer, H. van de Poel, M. Andrews, J. Neyts, and F.J. van Kuppeveld. 2013. A
novel, broad-spectrum inhibitor of enterovirus replication that targets host cell
factor phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase IIIbeta. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*
57:4971-4981. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01175-13, PMID: 23896472

Varnai, P., and T. Balla. 1998. Visualization of phosphoinositides that bind pleckstrin
homology domains: calcium- and agonist-induced dynamic changes and
relationship to myo-[3H]inositol-labeled phosphoinositide pools. *J. Cell Biol.*
143:501-510. doi: 10.1083/jcb.143.2.501, PMID: 9786958

Wang, H., H.Q. Sun, X. Zhu, L. Zhang, J. Albanesi, B. Levine, and H. Yin. 2015.
GABARAPs regulate PI4P-dependent autophagosome:lysosome fusion. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **112**:7015-7020. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1507263112, PMID:
26038556

Wang, Y., H. Que, C. Li, Z. Wu, F. Jian, Y. Zhao, H. Tang, Y. Chen, S. Gao, C.C.L.
Wong, Y. Li, C. Zhao, and Y. Rong. 2023. ULK phosphorylation of STX17
controls autophagosome maturation via FLNA. *J. Cell Biol.* **222**:e202211025.
doi: 10.1083/jcb.202211025, PMID: 37389864

Yagita, Y., T. Hiromasa, and Y. Fujiki. 2013. Tail-anchored PEX26 targets peroxisomes
via a PEX19-dependent and TRC40-independent class I pathway. *J. Cell Biol.*
200:651-666. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201211077, PMID: 23460677

Yesbolatova, A., Y. Saito, N. Kitamoto, H. Makino-Itou, R. Ajima, R. Nakano, H.

Nakaoka, K. Fukui, K. Gamo, Y. Tominari, H. Takeuchi, Y. Saga, K.I. Hayashi,

and M.T. Kanemaki. 2020. The auxin-inducible degron 2 technology provides

sharp degradation control in yeast, mammalian cells, and mice. *Nat. Commun.*

11:5701. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19532-z, PMID: 33177522

Yeung, T., G.E. Gilbert, J. Shi, J. Silvius, A. Kapus, and S. Grinstein. 2008. Membrane

phosphatidylserine regulates surface charge and protein localization. *Science.*

319:210-213. doi: 10.1126/science.1152066, PMID: 18187657

Yeung, T., and S. Grinstein. 2007. Lipid signaling and the modulation of surface charge

during phagocytosis. *Immunol. Rev.* **219**:17-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-

065X.2007.00546.x, PMID: 17850479

Yeung, T., M. Terebiznik, L. Yu, J. Silvius, W.M. Abidi, M. Philips, T. Levine, A.

Kapus, and S. Grinstein. 2006. Receptor activation alters inner surface potential

during phagocytosis. *Science.* **313**:347-351. doi: 10.1126/science.1129551,

PMID: 16857939

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. The recruitment of STX17 to autophagosomes is dependent on its positively

 charged C-terminal region.

 (A) Schematic representation of the structures of STX17 and its C-terminal variants.

 The positively (orange) and negatively (blue) charged residues are shown. Alanine

 substitutions are shown in green. TMH, transmembrane helix; CTR, C-terminal region.

 (B) Schematic representation of the localization of ATG5, LC3B, and STX17 during

 autophagosome formation and maturation.

 (C–E) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing mRuby3-LC3B and

 GFP–STX17TM (containing the two transmembrane helices and the C-terminal region)

 or its mutants were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm (main

 images) and 1 μm (insets). Quantification of GFP–STX17TM intensity of mRuby3–

 LC3B-positive ring-like structures ($n > 30$) are shown in the graphs. Solid horizontal

 lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles),

 and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed

 by Welch's *t*-test (C) or one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison

 test (D and E).

 Figure 2. Autophagosomes become negatively charged during maturation.

 (A) GFP–STX17TM translated *in vitro* was incubated with rhodamine-labeled

 liposomes containing the indicated concentrations of phospholipids: 70%

 phosphatidylcholine (PC), 20% phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and 10% of either PE,

 phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P), or

 phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P). Bars indicate 1 μm. GFP intensities of

 liposomes are quantified and shown as in Figure 1C ($n > 30$).

 (B) Amino acid sequences of GFP-tagged membrane surface charge probes. The

 positively charged residues are shown in orange. The farnesylation motif is indicated in

 green.

 (C and D) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing one of the GFP-

 tagged charge probes and mRuby3-STX17TM (C) or mRuby3–LC3B (D) were cultured

in starvation medium for 1 h. GFP intensities of mRuby3–STX17TM-positive (C) or

mRuby3–LC3B-positive (D) ring-like structures were quantified ($n > 70$).

(E and F) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing the GFP-tagged 1K8Q (E) or

5K4Q (F) charge probes and mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–LC3B cultured in

starvation medium. Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

(G) Summary of electrostatic dynamics of autophagosome formation.

Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to

75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were

statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison test

(A, C, and D).

Figure 3. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) is enriched in the autophagosomal

membrane during maturation.

(A) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and

mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–ATG5 were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h.

Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets). GFP intensities of mRuby3-

positive structures ($n > 60$) were quantified. Solid horizontal lines indicate medians,

boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate

the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by Welch's t-test.

(B–D) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and mRuby3–

ATG5 (B), WIPI2B–mRuby3 (C), or mRuby3–STX17TM and HaloTag7–LC3B

(visualized with SaraFluor 650T HaloTag ligand) (D) cultured in starvation medium.

Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

Figure 4. STX17 recruitment to autophagosomes depends on phosphatidylinositol 4-

phosphate (PI4P) *in vitro*.

(A) Schematic representation of the *in vitro* autophagosome recruitment assay. Isolated

autophagosomes were mixed with mGFP–STX17TM and either recombinant Sac1-

phosphatase domain (Sac1PD) or its phosphatase-dead mutant (C392S).

(B) Isolation of mature autophagosomes prior to their fusion with lysosomes.

Homogenates of *STX17* knockout HeLa cells stably expressing mRuby3–LC3B cultured

in starvation medium at 1 h were separated by the OptiPrep membrane flotation method.

The autophagosome-containing fraction (#1: LC3-positive and LAMP1-negative) was

collected. The positions of mRuby3–LC3B (black arrowhead) and endogenous LC3B

(white arrowhead) are indicated.

(C) Purification of recombinant yeast Sac1 (phosphatase domain, PD) and its

phosphatase-dead (C392S) mutant and mGFP–STX17TM from High Five cells.

(D) *In vitro* autophagosome association assay. Isolated autophagosomes were mixed

with recombinant Sac1 (WT or C392S) for 30 min and then with mGFP–STX17TM for

another 30 min. Representative images are shown. Bars indicate 2.5 μm. STX17-

positivity rates were determined across three independent experiments (two of the three

experiments were performed in a blind manner, and 80 autophagosomes were counted

in each experiment). Solid horizontal lines indicate means. Differences were statistically

analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulations of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P-

dependent STX17TM insertion into membranes.

(A and C) An example of a time series of simulated results of STX17TM insertion into a

membrane consisting of 70% phosphatidylcholine (PC), 20% phosphatidylethanolamine

(PE), and 10% PI4P (POPI14) (A) or 70% PC and 30% PE (C). STX17TM is shown in

blue. Phosphorus in POPC, POPE, and PI4P are indicated by yellow, cyan, and red,

respectively. Short-tailed lipids are represented as green sticks. The time evolution

series of (A) is shown in Video 1.

(B and D) Time evolution of the *z*-coordinate of the center of mass (z_{cm}) of the

transmembrane helices of STX17TM in the case of membranes with (B) and without

(D) PI4P. Five independent simulation results are represented by solid lines of different

colors. The gray dashed lines indicate the locations of the lipid heads. The time

evolution series of (B) is shown in Video 2.

(E) Model of the PI4P-driven electrostatic maturation of the autophagosome and STX17

recruitment.

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Recruitment of STX17 depends on the abundance of

cationic amino acids in the C-terminal region but not on its specific amino acid

sequence.

(A) Multiple sequence alignment of STX17 proteins from *Homo sapiens* (Hs), *Mus*

musculus, *Danio rerio*, *Ciona intestinalis*, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dm), and

Caenorhabditis elegans (Ce). Identical residues between more than two species are

indicated with gray boxes. Domains of STX17 are indicated with different colors: green,

the Habc domain; magenta, the SNARE domain; blue, transmembrane helix (TMH);

orange, a linker between the TMDs; and purple, the C-terminal region.

(B) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing mRuby3–LC3B and either

GFP-tagged HsSTX17TM, DsSTX17TM, or CeSTX17TM were cultured in starvation

medium for 1 h. GFP–STX17TM intensities of mRuby3–LC3B-positive ring-like

structures were quantified ($n > 30$). Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes

indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th

to 95th percentiles.

(C) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged alanine replacement mutants (shown

in Figure 1A) and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h.

Quantification results are shown in Figure 1D. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1

μm (insets).

(D) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge replacement mutants (shown

in Figure 1E) and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h.

Quantification results are shown in Figure 1E. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1

μm (insets).

1021

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Autophagosomes become negatively charged during

maturation.

(A) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge

probes and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10

μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

(B) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–3K6Q and mRuby3–

STX17TM or mRuby3–LC3B cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are

indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

(C) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge

probes and mRuby3–ATG5 cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are

indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

1033

Figure 3—figure supplement 1. Localization of phospholipids in mature

autophagosomes.

(A) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing the indicated GFP-tagged

phospholipid probe and mRuby3–STX17TM were cultured in starvation medium for 1

h. The following phospholipid probes were used: phosphatidic acid (PA),

Spo20(PABD); PS, Evectin-2; diacylglycerol (DAG), PKD C1ab; PI3P, 2×FYVE; PI4P,

CERT(PHD); PI5P, ING2(PlantHD); PI(3,4)P_2, TAPP1(PHD); PI(4,5)P_2, PLCd1(PHD);

PI(3,5)P_2, TRPML1(PHD); and PIP$_3$, Btk(PHD). Bars indicate 10 μm (main images)

and 1 μm (insets).

(B) MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) or TRPML1(PHD) were cultured in

starvation medium containing LysoTracker Deep Red for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm

(main images) and 1 μm (insets).

(C) MEFs stably expressing GFP–PI4KB or GFP–PI4K2A were cultured in starvation

medium for 1 h. Bars, 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

1048

Figure 3—figure supplement 2. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) is enriched in

mature autophagosomes before fusion with lysosomes.

(A and B) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing the indicated GFP-

tagged indicated PI4P probe, FAPP(PHD), OSBP(PHD) or P4M-SidMx2, and mRuby3–

STX17TM or mRuby3–ATG5 were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate

10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets) (A). GFP intensities of mRuby3-positive

structures ($n > 50$) were quantified (B). Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes

indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th

to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by Welch's *t*-test.

(C) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) cultured in

starvation medium containing LysoTracker Deep Red. Bars indicate 1 μm.

(D) U2OS cells stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and mRuby3–LC3B were

transfected with siSTX17 and siYKT6. After 3 days, cells were cultured in starvation

medium for 1 h, and immunostained with anti-LAMP1 antibodies. Bars indicate 10 μm

(main images) and 1 μm (insets).

1064

1065 **Video 1.** Molecular dynamics simulations of STX17TM insertion into a

1066 phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P)-containing membrane.

1067 STX17TM is shown in blue. Phosphorus in POPC (70%), POPE (20%), and PI4P (10%)

1068 are indicated by yellow, cyan, and red spheres, respectively. Short-tailed lipids are

1069 represented by green sticks. This video corresponds to Figure 5A.

1070

1071 **Video 2.** Molecular dynamics simulations of STX17TM insertion into a membrane

1072 without phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P).

1073 STX17TM is shown in blue. Phosphorus in POPC (70%) and POPE (30%) are indicated

1074 in yellow and cyan, respectively. Short-tailed lipids are represented by green sticks. This

1075 video corresponds to Figure 5B.

Figure 1

Figure 1. The recruitment of STX17 to autophagosomes is dependent on its positively charged C-terminal region

(A) Schematic representation of the structures of STX17 and its C-terminal variants. The positively (orange) and negatively (blue) charged residues are shown. Alanine substitutions are shown in green. TMH, transmembrane helix; CTR, C-terminal region.

(B) Schematic representation of the localization of ATG5, LC3B, and STX17 during autophagosome formation and maturation.

(C–E) Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) stably expressing mRuby3-LC3B and GFP–STX17TM (containing the two transmembrane helices and the C-terminal region) or its mutants were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets). Quantification of GFP–STX17TM intensity of mRuby3–LC3B-positive ring-like structures (n > 30) are shown in the graphs. Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by Welch's *t*-test (C) or one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test (D and E).

Figure 1−figure supplement 1

Figure 1—figure supplement 1. Recruitment of STX17 depends on the abundance of cationic amino acids in the C-terminal region but not on its specific amino acid sequence

(A) Multiple sequence alignment of STX17 proteins from *Homo sapiens* (Hs), *Mus musculus*, *Danio rerio*, *Ciona intestinalis*, *Drosophila melanogaster* (Dm), and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Ce). Identical residues between more than two species are indicated with gray boxes. Domains of STX17 are indicated with different colors: green, the Habc domain; magenta, the SNARE domain; blue, transmembrane helix (TMH); orange, a linker between the TMDs; and purple, the C-terminal region.

(B) MEFs stably expressing mRuby3–LC3B and either GFP-tagged HsSTX17TM, DsSTX17TM, or CeSTX17TM were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. GFP–STX17TM intensities of mRuby3–LC3B-positive ring-like structures were quantified (n > 30). Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles.

(C) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged alanine replacement mutants (shown in Figure 1A) and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Quantification results are shown in Figure 1D. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

(D) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge replacement mutants (shown in Figure 1E) and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Quantification results are shown in Figure 1E. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

Figure 2

Figure 2. Autophagosomes become negatively charged during maturation

(A) GFP–STX17TM translated *in vitro* was incubated with rhodamine-labeled liposomes containing the indicated concentrations of phospholipids: 70% phosphatidylcholine (PC), 20% phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and 10% of either PE, phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate (PI3P), or phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P). Bars indicate 1 μm. GFP intensities of liposomes are quantified and shown as in Figure 1C (n > 30).

(B) Amino acid sequences of GFP-tagged membrane surface charge probes. The positively charged residues are shown in orange. The farnesylation motif is indicated in green.

(C and D) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge probes and mRuby3-STX17TM (C) or mRuby3–LC3B (D) were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. GFP intensities of mRuby3–STX17TM-positive (C) or mRuby3–LC3B-positive (D) ring-like structures were quantified (n > 70).

(E and F) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing the GFP-tagged 1K8Q (E) or 5K4Q (F) charge probes and mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–LC3B cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

(G) Summary of electrostatic dynamics of autophagosome formation.

Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Sidak's multiple comparison test (A, C, and D).

Figure 2−figure supplement 1

Figure 2—figure supplement 1. Autophagosomes become negatively charged during maturation

(A) MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge probes and mRuby3–LC3B were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

(B) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–3K6Q and mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–LC3B cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

(C) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing one of the GFP-tagged charge probes and mRuby3–ATG5 cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 μm.

Figure 3

Figure 3. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) is enriched in the autophagosomal membrane during maturation
(A) MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–ATG5 were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 µm (main images) and 1 µm (insets). GFP intensities of mRuby3-positive structures (n > 60) were quantified. Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by Welch's *t*-test.
(B–D) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and mRuby3–ATG5 (B), WIPI2B–mRuby3 (C), or mRuby3–STX17TM and HaloTag7–LC3B (visualized with SaraFluor 650T HaloTag ligand) (D) cultured in starvation medium. Autophagosomes are indicated by arrows. Bars indicate 1 µm.

Figure 3−figure supplement 1

Figure 3—figure supplement 1. Localization of phospholipids in mature autophagosomes
(A) MEFs stably expressing the indicated GFP-tagged phospholipid probe and mRuby3–STX17TM were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. The following phospholipid probes were used: phosphatidic acid (PA), Spo20(PABD); PS, Evectin-2; diacylglycerol (DAG), PKD C1ab; PI3P, 2×FYVE; PI4P, CERT(PHD); PI5P, ING2(PlantHD); PI(3,4)P2, TAPP1(PHD); PI(4,5)P2, PLCd1(PHD); PI(3,5)P2, TRPML1(PHD); and PIP3, Btk(PHD). Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).
(B) MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) or TRPML1(PHD) were cultured in starvation medium containing LysoTracker Deep Red for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).
(C) MEFs stably expressing GFP–PI4KB or GFP–PI4K2A were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars, 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

Figure 3−figure supplement 2

Figure 3—figure supplement 2. Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) is enriched in mature autophagosomes before fusion with lysosomes
(A and B) MEFs stably expressing the indicated GFP-tagged indicated PI4P probe, FAPP(PHD), OSBP(PHD) or P4M-SidMx2, and mRuby3–STX17TM or mRuby3–ATG5 were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets) (A). GFP intensities of mRuby3-positive structures (n > 50) were quantified (B). Solid horizontal lines indicate medians, boxes indicate the interquartile ranges (25th to 75th percentiles), and whiskers indicate the 5th to 95th percentiles. Differences were statistically analyzed by Welch's *t*-test.
(C) Time-lapse analysis of MEFs stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) cultured in starvation medium containing LysoTracker Deep Red. Bars indicate 1 μm.
(D) U2OS cells stably expressing GFP–CERT(PHD) and mRuby3–LC3B were transfected with siSTX17 and siYKT6. After 3 days, cells were cultured in starvation medium for 1 h, and immunostained with anti-LAMP1 antibodies. Bars indicate 10 μm (main images) and 1 μm (insets).

Figure 4

Figure 4. STX17 recruitment to autophagosomes depends on phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) *in vitro*

(A) Schematic representation of the *in vitro* autophagosome recruitment assay. Isolated autophagosomes were mixed with mGFP–STX17TM and either recombinant Sac1-phosphatase domain (Sac1PD) or its phosphatase-dead mutant (C392S).

(B) Isolation of mature autophagosomes prior to their fusion with lysosomes. Homogenates of *STX17* knockout HeLa cells stably expressing mRuby3–LC3B cultured in starvation medium at 1 h were separated by the OptiPrep membrane flotation method. The autophagosome-containing fraction (#1: LC3-positive and LAMP1-negative) was collected. The positions of mRuby3–LC3B (black arrowhead) and endogenous LC3B (white arrowhead) are indicated.

(C) Purification of recombinant yeast Sac1 (phosphatase domain, PD) and its phosphatase-dead (C392S) mutant and mGFP–STX17TM from High Five cells.

(D) *In vitro* autophagosome association assay. Isolated autophagosomes were mixed with recombinant Sac1 (WT or C392S) for 30 min and then with mGFP–STX17TM for another 30 min. Representative images are shown. Bars indicate 2.5 µm. STX17-positivity rates were determined across three independent experiments (two of the three experiments were performed in a blind manner, and 80 autophagosomes were counted in each experiment). Solid horizontal lines indicate means. Differences were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test.

Figure 5

Figure 5. Molecular dynamics simulations of phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P-dependent STX17TM insertion into membranes
(A and C) An example of a time series of simulated results of STX17TM insertion into a membrane consisting of 70% phosphatidylcholine (PC), 20% phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and 10% PI4P (POPI14) (A) or 70% PC and 30% PE (C). STX17TM is shown in blue. Phosphorus in POPC, POPE, and PI4P are indicated by yellow, cyan, and red, respectively. Short-tailed lipids are represented as green sticks. The time evolution series of (A) is shown in Video 1.
(B and D) Time evolution of the z-coordinate of the center of mass (z_{cm}) of the transmembrane helices of STX17TM in the case of membranes with (B) and without (D) PI4P. Five independent simulation results are represented by solid lines of different colors. The gray dashed lines indicate the locations of the lipid heads. The time evolution series of (B) is shown in Video 2.
(E) Model of the PI4P-driven electrostatic maturation of the autophagosome and STX17 recruitment.