1
2
3
4 **Uncoupling the TFIIH Core and Kinase Modules Leads To**
5 **Misregulated RNA Polymerase II CTD Serine 5 Phosphorylation**
6
7

8 Gabriela Giordano[1], Robin Buratowski[1], Célia Jeronimo[2], Christian Poitras[2]
9 François Robert[2,3,4], and Stephen Buratowski[1,]*
10
11 [1]Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology,
12 Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.
13
14 [2]Institut de recherches cliniques de Montréal (IRCM),
15 Montréal, Québec, Canada
16
17 [3]Département de Médecine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada
18
19
20 [4]Division of Experimental Medicine, Medicine, McGill University, Montréal, Québec, Canada
21
22
23 *Corresponding Author:
24 steveb@hms.harvard.edu
25 1-617-432-0696
26
27
28 Classification: Biological Sciences: Biochemistry, Genetics

34   **Abstract**
35

TFIIH is an essential transcription initiation factor for RNA polymerase II (RNApII). This multi-
subunit complex comprises two modules that are physically linked by the subunit Tfb3 (MAT1 in
metazoans). The TFIIH Core Module, with two DNA-dependent ATPases and several additional
subunits, promotes DNA unwinding. The TFIIH Kinase Module phosphorylates Serine 5 of the C-
terminal domain (CTD) of RNApII subunit Rpb1, a modification that coordinates exchange of
initiation and early elongation factors. While it is not obvious why these two disparate
activities are bundled into one factor, the connection may provide temporal coordination
during early initiation. Here we show that Tfb3 can be split into two parts to uncouple the TFIIH
modules. The resulting cells grow slower than normal, but are viable. Chromatin
immunoprecipitation of the split TFIIH shows that the Core Module, but not the Kinase, is
properly recruited to promoters. Instead of the normal promoter-proximal peak, high CTD
Serine 5 phosphorylation is seen throughout transcribed regions. Therefore, coupling the TFIIH
modules is necessary to localize and limit CTD kinase activity to early stages of transcription.
These results are consistent with the idea that the two TFIIH modules began as independent
functional entities that became connected by Tfb3 during early eukaryotic evolution.
51

Significance Statement: The RNA polymerase II initiation factor TFIIH provides two activities
needed for efficient transcription: promoter unwinding and phosphorylation of polymerase
subunit Rpb1 on its C-terminal domain (CTD). This study shows these two functions can be
physically uncoupled. Unexpectedly, when the CTD kinase is no longer recruited to the
promoter, the CTD phosphorylation on Serine 5 is not lost, but instead occurs throughout
transcription. These results suggest that fusion of the two TFIIH activities evolved to better
target CTD Serine 5 phosphorylation to early elongation.

INTRODUCTION

The three nuclear RNA polymerases in eukaryotes share many features, reflecting their evolution from a single precursor (reviewed in (1)). Their multiple subunits are either homologous or identical, and the initiation factors needed to direct all three polymerases to their appropriate target promoters include both the TATA-Binding Protein (TBP) and a TFIIB-like factor. Extending the parallels, RNA polymerases I and III contain intrinsic subunits that resemble the RNA polymerase II (RNApII) initiation factors TFIIE or TFIIF, which help direct the template DNA into the active site cleft.

In contrast to this overall mechanistic conservation, RNApII initiation factor TFIIH has no obvious counterparts in the other polymerase systems. Accordingly, TFIIH performs two functions that are unique to RNApII (2). The first is the use of ATP hydrolysis to accelerate promoter melting, and the second is phosphorylation of a unique C-terminal domain (CTD) on Rpb1, the largest RNApII subunit. These two activities presumably evolved after the divergence of the three RNA polymerases.

The two TFIIH functions map to distinct sub-complexes, sometimes called modules, that are apparent in the TFIIH structure (**Fig. 1A**). Ssl2 (XPB in metazoans) is the DNA-dependent ATPase that pushes double-stranded DNA towards the RNApII active site, leading to strand separation and placement of the template strand in the polymerase active site (3). Ssl2/XPB interacts with DNA downstream of RNApII, held in place by six other subunits (Tfb1, Tfb2, Tfb4, Tfb5, Rad3/XPD, and Ssl1) that make up the "Core" TFIIH subcomplex. TFIIH binding to the pre-initiation complex (PIC) is mediated by interactions of the Core with TFIIE and the Rpb4/7 stalk of RNApII (4-6). It is not obvious why so many TFIIH subunits should be necessary for the promoter melting function. Interestingly, the Rad3 (XPD in metazoans) subunit is also a DNA-dependent ATPase, but it translocates along DNA in the opposite direction to Ssl2/XPB. Surprisingly, Rad3 makes no contact with DNA in PIC structures, and catalytically inactive Rad3 mutants do not affect transcription (7, 8). The apparent explanation for the existence of the "extra" subunits and functions in the TFIIH Core is that it also functions as a DNA nucleotide excision repair (NER) enzyme. In that process, both ATPases unwind DNA to expose a short stretch of the damaged strand for removal (9-11). It therefore seems most likely that the TFIIH Core module originally evolved for DNA repair, and only later was co-opted for RNApII transcription.

The second TFIIH sub-complex carries the CTD phosphorylation activity. The kinase Kin28 (CDK7 in metazoans) and its cyclin partner Ccl1 (Cyclin H) phosphorylate CTD Serine 5. PIC structures show that the Mediator complex helps guide the CTD to the kinase module (4, 5, 12, 13). CTD phosphorylation triggers release of Mediator, as well as subsequent recruitment of mRNA capping enzyme and other factors that function near 5' ends of mRNAs (reviewed in (14)). Like the Core, the Kinase module also has a second function unrelated to transcription. At least in metazoans, CDK7/cyclin H serves as a CDK-activating kinase (CAK), phosphorylating the T-loop of other cyclin-dependent kinases to promote an active conformation (15). This is not a universal function, as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and some other species have a separate CAK enzyme (16-18). Nonetheless, it seems likely that the activity of CDK7 on RNApII evolved from a pre-existing CDK that had either CAK activity or some other function.

The two TFIIH subcomplexes are linked by the Tfb3 subunit (known as MAT1 in metazoans). Tfb3/MAT1 consists of two globular domains and a linker region. The N-terminal

domain is part of the Core module, where it helps incorporate TFIIH into the PIC through direct
interactions with RNApII and TFIIE (13). The Tfb3 C-terminal domain contacts both the kinase
and cyclin subunits, and this interaction promotes an active kinase conformation (19-21). PIC
structures show the linker emerging from the N-terminal domain as a long alpha-helix running
along the interface between the two ATPase subunits, followed by a turn and a short stretch of
helix just N-terminal to a disordered region that connects to the C-terminal region (see
schematic in **Fig. 1A**). A deletion study in yeast showed that Tfb3 tolerates 30 amino acid
deletions throughout the linker, but that the N- and C-terminal domains were essential for
viability (22).
Given its modular structure, we tested whether other CTD kinases could be fused to
Tfb3 to bypass the requirement for Kin28/CDK7. While the results have so far been negative,
we unexpectedly found that cells lacking the C-terminal domain of Tfb3 were actually viable,
albeit very slow growing. Remarkably, growth is significantly rescued when the two parts of
Tfb3 are expressed as separate proteins. In these cells, the TFIIH kinase module is no longer
tethered to the Core TFIIH. ChIP experiments show that the Core Module, but not the Kinase, is
still promoter localized. Surprisingly, phosphorylation of CTD Serine 5 is now seen at higher
than normal levels throughout transcribed regions, indicating that the untethered kinase
accesses RNApII throughout the cell cycle. These results are consistent with the idea that the
two TFIIH modules began as independent entities that only later became fused into a single
complex.
124
125
126

RESULTS

    Tethering other kinase modules to Tfb3 does not bypass the requirement for Kin28.
Given the apparent modularity of TFIIH, we asked whether tethering other kinases to Tfb3
could functionally replace Kin28. Although Kin28 phosphorylates CTD Serine 5 in vivo, other
kinases can phosphorylate this residue in vitro. Two other transcription kinase complexes,
Bur1/Bur2 (the yeast homologs of CDK9/cyclin T) and Ctk1/Ctk2/Ctk3 (yeast CDK12/Cyclin K),
phosphorylate the Rpb1 linker (23) and CTD Serine 2 (24), respectively. However, both are
reported to also have activity on Serine 5, at least in vitro (25-27). Accordingly, we constructed
Tfb3 fusions **(Fig. 1B, Supplemental Table 1)** in which the C-terminal region was replaced with
either Bur2 (the cyclin partner of Bur1) or Ctk3 (the third subunit within the Ctk1/Ctk2
CDK/cyclin complex). We also created a fusion of the Tfb3 N-terminal domain and linker to
Mpk1, a single subunit kinase also reported to have activity on CTD Serine 5, as well as Ser2 and
Tyr1 (28).

    The three fusion constructs were introduced into a yeast strain lacking the chromosomal
copy of *KIN28*. Expression of the fusion proteins was confirmed by immunoblotting
(**Supplemental Fig. S1**). None of the fusions supported viability after plasmid shuffling to
remove *KIN28* (**Fig. 1C**), indicating that tethering the other kinases could not substitute for
Kin28 function. As a control experiment, the Tfb3 fusions were also tested for complementation
of a *TFB3* deletion. Surprisingly, these shuffled strains proved to be viable, albeit so slow
growing that 5-7 days were needed to see colonies **(Fig. 1D)**. Complementation of *tfb3Δ*
indicates that the fusion proteins were incorporated into TFIIH. This result was unexpected
because a *TFB3* allele encoding amino acids 1-275, and therefore lacking the C-terminal
domain, did not support growth, consistent with earlier reports that the C-terminal domain is
essential for Tfb3 function and cell viability (22, 29).

    The N-terminal domain is the only essential part of Tfb3. The unexpected
complementation of *tfb3Δ* by the Tfb3 fusions raised the question of whether the Tfb3 C-
terminal domain was truly essential for viability. Earlier studies may not have waited long
enough to see growth. Also, the restriction fragment deletion used to create the non-
complementing Tfb3(1-275) allele used in **Figure 1D** also removed the 3' untranslated region
and polyA site (29), which could further impair function beyond the loss of the C-terminal
domain. Accordingly, we created a new set of Tfb3 truncations, each lacking either one or two
of the three major Tfb3 domains **(Fig. 2A)**, and used plasmid shuffling to introduce them into a
tfb3Δ strain. Cells lacking the N-terminal domain failed to grow, no matter how long the plates
were incubated **(Fig. 2B)**. In contrast, strains expressing only the N-terminal (N-term) domain,
or the N-terminal and Linker (N-term-Linker) domains, exhibited slow growth. These deletions
grew at rates similar to the fusion proteins tested above, arguing that the fused kinase module
did not provide an additional function or stability relative to a Tfb3 completely lacking any
sequence C-terminal to the Linker region. We therefore conclude that, while important for
normal growth, the C-terminal domain of Tfb3 (which is part of the Kinase Module) is not
essential for viability.

    Expression of the TFIIH Core and Kinase Modules as independent complexes. Recent
structural studies show that the C-terminal domain of Tfb3, or its metazoan homolog MAT1,
stabilizes an active kinase conformation when bound to Kin28/CDK7 and its partner cyclin (19-

21). Therefore, the slow growth of strains lacking the Tfb3 C-terminal domain could be due to
loss of kinase tethering to the Core Module, to loss of Kin28/CDK7 activation, or both.
To test the contribution of the Tfb3 kinase activation function separately from kinase
tethering, parts of Tfb3 were expressed as two separate proteins (**Fig. 2C**). Strains expressing
either the N-term (left panel) or N-term-Linker (right panel) derivatives were transformed with
plasmids expressing the indicated C-terminal (C-term) domain constructs. These strains were
tested for growth by serial dilution spotting, where the size of single colonies serves as a
measure of relative growth rate. Again, strains lacking any C-term domain grew very slowly
(Vector, top row). In the N-term strain (left panel), adding wild-type Tfb3 restored growth to
normal. Co-expression of the separate N-term and C-term domains noticeably improved growth
compared to N-term alone, despite the fact that this strain completely lacks the Linker region.
Co-expression of the Linker-C-term construct rescued growth to an even greater extent,
although not quite to wild-type rates. Therefore, the Linker does contribute to full function of
the Kinase Module.
Unexpectedly, expression of the N-term-Linker construct (**Fig. 2C**, right panel) had a
dominant negative effect, as it caused slow growth even in the presence of WT Tfb3 (right
panel, second row). Nonetheless, some growth improvement was seen by co-expressing C-
term, but only using a high copy plasmid likely to produce more protein. Co-expressing the
Linker-C-term construct gave a similar growth improvement, but at both high and low copy.
Altogether, the co-expression results show that the Tfb3 N-terminal and C-terminal domains
can function independently of covalent physical linkage, and suggest that the Linker region
primarily contributes to function of the Kinase Module.
We considered the possibility that, despite the split Tfb3, the TFIIH Kinase and Core
Modules could remain associated through other subunit contacts. To test this possibility, a
tandem affinity purification (TAP) tag was used to precipitate full-length, C-term, or Linker-C-
term Tfb3 derivatives using IgG beads. While there was some variation in protein levels (**Fig.
3A**, left panel, lanes 1-4), similar amounts of all three TAP fusions were precipitated with IgG
beads (lanes 5-8). The Kinase Module was intact, as subunits Kin28 and Ccl1 interacted with
full-length or Tfb3 C-terminal constructs equally well (**Fig. 3B**). An in vitro phosphorylation assay
using GST-CTD as substrate showed that Kinase Modules from the truncated Tfb3 alleles
retained activities similar to or slightly below that of full-length Tfb3 (**Supplemental Fig. S2**).
In contrast to the Kinase Module, the TFIIH Core Module (monitored by Core subunit
Tfb1) no longer associates strongly with the uncoupled Tfb3 C-term derivatives (**Fig. 4A**,
compare lanes 3 and 4 to full-length Tfb3 in lane 2). The Linker-C-term derivative may retain
some weak interaction with the Core, but the Tfb1 levels were just slightly above background
binding in the untagged strain (lane 1), and far below that seen with full length Tfb3. We
conclude that the split Tfb3 does indeed dissociate the two TFIIH modules.
The basal transcription factor TFIIE directly contacts several Core TFIIH subunits,
including Tfb3, within the RNApII PIC. Furthermore, TFIIE stimulates TFIIH enzymatic activities,
including the kinase (30). To test how the TFIIE-TFIIH association was affected by the split Tfb3,
the TAP-precipitated samples were also immunoblotted for the TFIIE subunits Tfa1 and Tfa2.
Whereas full length Tfb3 efficiently pulls down both TFIIE subunits, the separated C-term or
Linker-C-term derivatives do not **(Fig. 4B)**. This result further indicates that the Kinase Module

no longer associates with the TFIIH Core or the RNApII initiation complex upon splitting Tfb3
into two parts.
Uncoupling the TFIIH modules disrupts proper kinase localization. Given the viability
of the split Tfb3 strains, we wondered if the untethered Kinase Module was still delivered to
promoters, perhaps via interaction with Mediator. Genome-wide chromatin
immunoprecipitation (ChIP-Seq) was used to compare localization of the TFIIH modules in the
wild-type and split Tfb3 strains. In cells expressing full-length Tfb3, the Core TFIIH subunit Tfb1
showed a strong peak near the transcription start site, reflecting promoter localization in the
PIC (**Fig 5A and Supplemental Fig. S3A**, red line**)**. In all three split Tfb3 strains (yellow, blue, and
green), the Tfb1 signal was reduced relative to the full-length, but still clearly promoter-
localized. Perhaps reflecting reduced PIC formation, a similar reduction was seen for RNApII
subunit Rpb1 (**Fig 5D and Supplemental Fig. S3D**).   Interestingly, the Tfb1 signal was closest to
normal in the strain in which the Linker was fused to the N-terminal domain, despite the fact
that this strain grew slower than strains with the Linker on the C-terminal domain or missing
completely (**Fig 2C**). In contrast to Core TFIIH, the Kin28 ChIP signal, normally localized at
promoters in wild-type Tfb3 strains, was completely absent in all the split Tfb3 strains (**Fig 5B**
and **Supplemental Fig. S3B**). Therefore, although Core TFIIH is still properly localized, the
untethered Kinase Module is no longer recruited to promoters.
Untethered kinase module leads to aberrant Ser5P patterns. We expected that loss of
Kinase Module recruitment would affect CTD Serine 5 phosphorylation (Ser5P) negatively, with
possible indirect effects on Ser2P and overall elongation processivity. This prediction was first
tested using immunoblotting of whole cell extracts. Cells lacking any Tfb3 C-term were strongly
reduced for both Ser5P and Ser2P (**Supplemental Fig. S4**, lanes 2 and 3). Similar to the growth
phenotypes, adding back the C-term as a separate protein greatly improved CTD
phosphorylation levels at both sites, albeit not to full wild-type levels (lanes 4 and 5).
ChIP-seq was used to determine the location of Ser5P, normalizing to spiked-in *S. pombe*
chromatin. Surprisingly, the restored Ser5P was abnormally distributed in split Tfb3 cells. Total
RNApII crosslinking (**Fig 5D and Supplemental Figs. S3D and S5A, B**) was reduced in the
mutants, but the levels remained consistent across the gene. This pattern argues against an
elongation processivity defect in the split Tfb3 strains, and contrasts with the 5' to 3' drop seen
upon complete depletion of Kin28 (31) or Bur2, the cyclin for the elongation kinase Bur1/Cdk9
(32). Ser5P normally has a strong peak at promoters, and lower but significant levels
throughout transcribed regions, as can be seen in both individual gene traces (**Supplemental**
Fig. S4A, B, promoters in green boxes) and metagene analysis (**Fig 5C** and **Supplemental Fig.**
S3C, red curves).   In the split Tfb3 strains, the Ser5P promoter peak was missing, but levels of
this modification remained high throughout the downstream transcribed region.
Normalization of Ser5P to total RNApII indicated that downstream Ser5P was actually elevated
in the mutants relative to wild-type (**Fig 5E**).
Quantitation of RNApII-normalized Ser5P at individual genes (**Supplemental Fig. S3E, F**)
showed that this redistribution of Ser5P occurred at virtually all genes. The Ser5P signals at
promoters are reduced by up to 50%, particularly at strongly transcribed genes (i.e. those with
highest Rpb1 signal), with the distribution for the mutant/WT ratio is centered around one for
the weakest genes (**Supplemental Fig. S3E**, left panels). In contrast, the normalized Ser5P
signal in open reading frames (right panels) are nearly all increased by two-fold or more in the

mutants. Despite the changes in CTD phosphorylation, the steady RNApII levels across genes in
the mutants (**Fig 5D**) suggests no defect in elongation processivity. Using the total Rpb1 signals
as a measure of transcription levels, it can be seen that transcription is clearly down overall in
the split Tfb3 strains (**Supplemental Fig. S3F**). The exceptions were seven genes with
reproducibly increased Rpb1 signals. All but one of these were known heat shock response
genes (**Supplemental Fig. S3G**), suggesting these slow growing cells are under stress.
ChIP-seq was also performed for Ser2P. While the overall signals were too low to get
good quality data for metagene analysis, individual gene traces at strongly transcribed genes
suggested that the pattern of Ser2P was relatively normal (**Supplemental Fig. S5B**). In both WT
and split Tfb3 strains, Ser2P was lowest near the promoter and gradually increased further
downstream.
The surprising increase of Ser5P downstream of the promoter suggests that the Tfb3
linkage not only delivers the Kinase Module to the promoter, but may also inhibit its activity
outside of the PIC. Transcription-associated phosphatases normally cause Ser5P to drop soon
after RNApII enters the body of the gene (reviewed in (14, 26, 27)), so the higher downstream
Ser5P signal suggests untethered kinase module may be able to continually access the CTD
during elongation. This could be due to inhibition of TFIIH Core-associated Kinase outside of
the PIC, or suggest that the majority of TFIIH is sequestered at promoters and therefore
unavailable at later stages of transcription.
Disconnected Core TFIIH does not cause an NER defect. The TFIIH Core Module also
functions as a key component of the NER machinery, and Tfb3 has been shown to be important
for this function (29). While many mutations in Core subunits therefore cause sensitivity to
ultraviolet (UV) light, this is not the case for Kinase Module mutants. Recent structures
(reviewed in (11)) show that the Kinase Module would block interactions between the Core
Module and other NER factors. Therefore, TFIIH either enters into the NER complex as free Core
Module, or the Kinase Module must dissociate soon after. We tested the response to UV light in
strains where the split Tfb3 dissociates the Core and Kinase Modules (**Fig. 6**). Two wild-type
Tfb3 strains acted as controls for normal UV response, including one strain lacking the Tfb6
protein that competes with the Core for Ssl2 binding (33), as this background was used for
many experiments here (see **Supplemental Table S2**). None of the split Tfb3 configurations
caused UV sensitivity, indicating that the separated N-term of Tfb3 is sufficient for the Core NER
function. In fact, we noticed that strains with free N-term domain, where the linker is either
absent or connected to the C-terminal domain, may even have slight resistance to UV light,
consistent with a model in which the Kinase Module inhibits the TFIIH NER function.
291

DISCUSSION

We show here that the TFIIH Core and Kinase Modules can be uncoupled in vivo (**Fig. 2**). Although it was previously reported that both the N-term and C-term of Tfb3 are essential for viability in *S. cerevisiae* (22, 29), we found that cells lacking the C-terminal domain are viable but extremely slow growing. Indeed, accurate transcription initiation can be reconstituted in vitro using a Tfb3 truncation consisting of only N-term residues 1-148 (34). While fusion of other kinase modules to the Tfb3 linker did not substitute for the C-term (**Fig. 1**), we found that adding back the Tfb3 C-terminal domain as a separate protein dramatically improved growth (**Fig. 2**). This rescue results from the restored CTD kinase activity of the untethered Tfb3 C-term/Kin28/Ccl1 complex (**Figs. 3, S2, and S4**). Notably, Mouse Embryonic Fibroblasts and cardiomyocytes with a homozygous deletion of *MAT1* were shown to be viable but abnormal (35, 36). In these cells, Core TFIIH remains intact, but levels of the Kinase Module proteins CDK7 and Cyclin H are severely reduced. *MAT1* deletion leads to major defects in CTD phosphorylation and transcription, but the effects are partially compensated by reduced mRNA degradation rates. Therefore, our yeast results splitting the Core and Kinase Modules are likely also applicable to mammalian TFIIH.

Separating the Core and Kinase Modules leads to misregulated CTD phosphorylation. ChIP-Seq showed that Kin28 kinase was no longer recruited to promoters in the split Tfb3 strains, even though Core TFIIH still showed proper localization (**Figs. 5 and S3**). Having uncoupled the Kinase Module from TFIIH, we expected CTD Ser5P to be severely reduced in the split Tfb3 strains. Indeed, Ser5P peaks at promoters were largely gone, but instead the modification was found throughout the downstream transcribed region at levels higher than normal **Figs. 5, S3, and S5**). This pattern suggests the untethered Kinase Module can access the CTD during elongation in a way that the intact TFIIH cannot. A surprising implication is that, in addition to delivering the kinase to the initiation complex, the connected TFIIH Core may help limit CTD phosphorylation to early transcription. We previously showed that CTD kinase activity of free trimer Kinase Module was less sensitive than TFIIH complex to mutations in the activating T-loop (37). Therefore, the Core module may have some inhibitory effect on CTD kinase activity that is relieved when TFIIH is incorporated into the PIC. However, precipitates with full-length Tfb3 did not have less kinase activity than those from split Tfb3 cells (**Supplemental Fig. S2**). An alternative possibility is that, under normal conditions, the majority of Kinase Module is tethered to the Core at promoters and therefore sequestered from phosphorylating downstream RNApII.

Increased downstream Ser5 phosphorylation in the split Tfb3 strain raises an interesting question about normal CTD phosphorylation patterns. The earliest ChIP experiments (38), as well as subsequent ChIP-seq studies, detected some Ser5P throughout transcribed regions. Mass spectrometry of RNApII associated with the mRNA termination factor Rtt103 also detected significant Ser5P in late elongation (39). It has been presumed that this downstream signal represented residual phosphatase-resistant Ser5P acquired at the promoter. However, an alternative model that must now be considered is that free Kin28/Cdk7 kinase trimer continues to carry out de novo phosphorylation throughout the transcription cycle, transiently interacting with elongating RNApII. Free CDK7/Cyclin H/MAT1 trimer exists in metazoans to provide CAK activity, but free yeast Kin28/Ccl1/Tfb3 trimer is also detected in yeast (37). A dynamic equilibrium between kinase and phosphatase activities regulate CTD Serine 2 phosphorylation

during elongation (24), and a similar dynamic may exist for Ser5P well downstream of
promoters.
Communication between the Core and Kinase Modules. The viability of a split Tfb3 strain
completely lacking the linker might suggest this central region serves only to tether the N- and
C-terminal domains. However, available TFIIH structures and our data suggest otherwise. Cells
expressing a Linker-C-term fusion grew better than cells completely lacking the linker. In
contrast, The N-term-Linker fusion protein acts as a dominant negative allele, slowing growth
even in the presence of wild-type Tfb3 (**Fig. 2C**). These results suggest linker has a function
beyond simply tethering the two modules. To address this question, it is informative to
compare the available structures for free TFIIH complex, TFIIH incorporated into the PIC, and
TFIIH as part of the NER machinery (reviewed in (11)). The Core Module has a horseshoe shape,
with the TFIIH ATPases, Ssl2/XPB and Rad3/XPD, at the two ends (see **Fig. 7** schematic). The
spacing between the ATPases changes substantially depending on context. In free TFIIH, the
long alpha-helix in the Tfb3/MAT1 Linker spans from Tfb3 N-term, which is contacting
Rad3/XPD, to the other side of the horseshoe, where it directly contacts Ssl2/XPB (**Fig. 7A**).
Here, the central Linker domain serves to lock together the two TFIIH ATPases in a "closed"
conformation. In the PIC, the Tfb3/MAT1 alpha-helix is disconnected from Ssl2/XPB, producing
an "open" conformation with the Ssl2/XPB rotated outward, its active site bound to the double-
stranded DNA downstream of RNApII (**Fig. 7B**).   TFIIH within the NER complex lacks the Kinase
Module, and in this case the NER factors bring Rad3/XPD and Ssl2/XPB even closer together to
facilitate the interaction of the damaged DNA with both ATPase active sites (11).
A recent report describing two new PIC structures (40) shows that when the +1
nucleosome blocks Ssl2/XPB from downstream DNA, TFIIH is in the closed conformation, with
the Tfb3/MAT1 Linker contacting both ATPases. Positioning the nucleosome further
downstream allows the open TFIIH conformation, resulting in loss of the contact between the
Tfb3/MAT1 Linker and Ssl2/XPB. Notably, much of the Tfb3 long alpha-helix becomes
disordered when disconnected from Ssl2/XPB. Although these two new PIC structures lack
Mediator, and it hasn't been shown that the closed TFIIH PIC is actually a necessary precursor
to the open TFIIH, it seems quite plausible that TFIIH enters the PIC in the closed conformation,
but transitions to the open conformation upon DNA binding in order to proceed with DNA
translocation and promoter melting.
Based on our findings, we speculate that the Tfb3 Linker could provide a mechanism for
temporal coupling of TFIIH kinase and promoter melting functions (**Fig. 7B**). PICs with closed
TFIIH are predicted to be inhibited for ATP hydrolysis by Ssl2/XPB (40), and as described above,
may also be partially inhibited for kinase activity. We propose that movement of the Kinase
Module in the PIC repositions the Tfb3 Linker to release the inhibitory contact with Ssl2/XPB,
freeing the translocase for promoter melting. This movement may involve capture of the
Kinase Module by Mediator, and perhaps even CTD phosphorylation. Supporting the idea that
the Kinase Module is mobile, PICs formed in vitro without Mediator show the Kinase Module
close to the Rpb4/Rpb7 stalk of RNApII (40, 41), far from its position seen when interacting with
Mediator. CTD phosphorylation triggers release of Mediator (42), and in vivo experiments with
TFIIH kinase inhibition suggests this transition occurs very rapidly after PIC formation (31, 43).
Notably, release of the Tfb3 Linker contact also results in the long alpha-helix becoming
disordered (40), which could allow the kinase access to a far larger radius of area. This flexibility

could help the kinase reach both proximal and distal repeats within the CTD, which can
theoretically extend quite far from the RNApII body.
It has long been known that yeast PICs access transcription start sites (TSSs) far further
downstream than metazoan PICs. Enzymatic studies show that for yeast TFIIH, but not its
mammalian homolog, the Kinase Module greatly stimulates the processivity of the Ssl2
translocase activity necessary for downstream TSS scanning (44). This effect is dependent on
the presence of the Kinase Module, but not kinase activity. Interestingly, yeast transcription
reconstituted in vitro with TFIIH lacking Tfb3 and the Kinase Module causes a dramatic
upstream shift in transcription start sites, with the TATA-TSS spacing now resembling that of
metazoans (45).   This effect can be reversed by adding back only the Tfb3 N-term (34), arguing
against a model where the contact between Tfb3 linker and Ssl2 is necessary for downstream
TSS usage. Nevertheless, there is clearly some communication between the Kinase and Core
Modules, and Tfb3 is likely to be a key link.
Evolutionary considerations. We speculate that cells with a complete deletion of the
Tfb3 Linker could resemble an evolutionarily ancient state where TFIIH Kinase and Core existed
as completely distinct enzymes. Initially, the primary role of TFIIH Core was almost certainly
NER, and the Kinase Module precursor probably functioned to phosphorylate CDKs or other
substrates. It is likely each was independently co-opted for RNApII transcription. Supporting this
hypothesis, TFIIH in the early diverged eukaryote *Trypanosome brucei* appears to consist of only
the Core Module, as no Tfb3 or other Kinase Module homologs are found in the purified
enzyme complex or by sequence similarity search (46, 47). The *T. brucei* CTD is quite diverged,
without the typical repeat sequence found in most eukaryotes (48, 49). In the main eukaryotic
lineage, the Tfb3 Linker likely evolved over time to coordinate the timing of CTD
phosphorylation and promoter melting.
In the split Tfb3 strains, fusion of Linker to the C-term domain may improve growth
relative to the complete Linker deletion by partially restoring kinase-translocase coupling. In
contrast, the observed toxicity of the Tfb3 N-term-Linker fusion may result from the Core TFIIH
being locked into the closed conformation. Our ChIP-Seq results suggest an ancestral, free CTD
kinase may have acted throughout elongation. Tethering the kinase to TFIIH would have
targeted high levels of CTD phosphorylation during early transcription, and may also have
opened the door for the CTD Code as we know it today, where distinct phosphorylation
patterns to mark the progression of the transcription cycle.
412
413

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Steve Hahn (FHCRC, Seattle) and John Feaver (Stanford) for providing several Tfb3 strains and plasmids, and to Jason Tanny (McGill Univ., Montreal) for *S. pombe* cells. This work was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR; PJT-162334) to F.R., and by US NIH grants GM046498 and GM056663 to S.B.

METHODS

Plasmid construction and Yeast Strains. Plasmids used in this study, and their construction, are listed in **Supplemental Table S1**. Yeast strains used in this study are listed in **Supplemental Table S2**.

Antibodies and Immunoblotting. The following primary antisera were used: anti-Ccl1 (37), anti-Kin28 (Covance, PRB-250C-500), anti-Tfb1 (50), anti-Tfa1 and anti-Tfa2 (51), anti-Ser2P (3E10), anti-Ser5P (3E8) (gift from Dirk Eick (52)), anti-CTD (8WG16) , anti-Rpb3 monoclonal 1Y26 (BioLegend). For immunoblotting, primary antibodies were used at a 1:1000 dilution. Secondary antibodies coupled to horse radish peroxidase (goat anti-rabbit, goat anti-rat, and goat anti-mouse) were purchased from Sigma and used at the recommended dilution.

Anti-Tfb3 antibodies (37) were affinity purified from sera to reduce cross-reacting signals. Briefly, recombinant Tfb3 protein was expressed from plasmid pSBEThis7-Tfb3 in *E. coli* BL21(DE3). Cells were grown at 37°C to an OD_{600} of 0.4-0.6 in 4 L of selective media. Expression was induced with 0.2mM IPTG and cultures were incubated shaking at 30°C for 4 hrs. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 100 mL lysis buffer (1X PBS, 1% SDS, pH 7.4). After sonication, cell debris was pelleted at 23,970 g for 20 min. The supernatant was filtered and then incubated with nutation at 4°C for 1 hr with Ni-NTA agarose (Gold Biotechnology #H-350-100) that was pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer. Lysate and beads were drained through a column and washed extensively with wash buffer (1X Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS), 0.1% Sarkosyl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 7.4). Bound protein was serially eluted with elution buffer (1X PBS, 0.1% Sarkosyl, pH 7.4) supplemented with increasing imidazole concentrations (1 mL each): 50 mM, 100 mM, 150 mM (2X), 200 mM, 300 mM. Fractions with the highest Tfb3 yield were pooled. Roughly 2 mg of purified Tfb3 was covalently linked to 1 ml AminoLink® Plus Coupling Resin (Thermo Scientific #PI20505) following the manufacturer's protocol for crosslinking at pH 10 with cyanoborohydride solution. Specific anti-Tfb3 antibodies were affinity purified from crude antiserum as described (53). Tfb3-coupled beads were serially washed with 10 bed volumes each of 10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM glycine pH 2.5, 10 mM Tris pH 8.8, and then 100 mM triethylamine pH 11.5. Finally, beads were poured into a small column and washed with 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 until pH reached 7.5. Antiserum was diluted 1:10 with 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 and passed through the column three times. The column was then washed with 20 bed volumes each of 10 mM Tris pH 7.5 and 500 mM NaCl, and then 10 mM Tris pH 7.5. Bound antibodies were serially eluted with small volumes of high (100 mM triethylamine pH 11.5) and low (100 mM glycine pH 2.5) pH, followed by rapid neutralization. Peak fractions were pooled and concentrated using Amicon Ultra 0.5 10K Concentrator, with PBS as the replacement buffer.

Yeast whole cell extracts. Two types of extracts were used for gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting, with similar results. The first is an alkaline lysis method. 1.5 mL of late log phase liquid culture was pelleted at 4,600 g for 90 sec at room temperature. Media was

removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 0.1 M NaOH and incubated at room temperature
for 5 min. Cells were pelleted at 9,300 g for 90 sec at room temperature, supernatant was
removed, and pellet was resuspended in 60 μL lysis buffer (0.06 M Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 5% glycerol,
2% SDS, 4% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.0025% bromophenol blue) (54). Samples were heated at
95°C for 5 min, pelleted at 9,300 g for 1:30 min at room temperature, and supernatant was
collected to run on an SDS-PAGE gel.
For some gels, a non-denaturing whole cell extract was used. First, 1.0-1.5 mL of liquid
culture was pelleted (all centrifugations were at 2,300 g) at 4°C for 2 min and washed with 1 mL
cold distilled water. Pellets were resuspended in 100 μL cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.6,
1 mM EDTA, 300 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, 1 mM DTT, 1 μg/mL leupeptin, 1 μg/mL aprotinin,
1 μg/mL pepstatin A, and 1 μg/mL antipain). The resuspension was mixed with 100 μL 425-600
μm glass beads (Sigma, #G8772-1KG) and vortexed at 4°C for 10 min. Extract was centrifuged
for 10 min at 4°C and supernatant collected. Total protein concentration of extracts was
measured using NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (ThermoScientific).
TAP purification and co-precipitation assay. TAP-tagged Tfb3 derivatives were used for
assaying Kinase Module association and in vitro kinase assays. Yeast strains were grown in 200
mL of selective media at 30°C to an OD_{600} of ~2. Cells were collected by centrifugation, flash
frozen in liquid nitrogen, and lysed using a SPEX SamplePrep Freezer Mill 6875D with small
chambers. Pulverized cells were resuspended by rotation at 4°C in 1 mL of lysis buffer (20 mM
HEPES pH 7.6, 10% glycerol, 200 mM KoAc, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4,
1 μg/mL leupeptin, 1 μg/mL aprotinin, 1 μg/mL pepstatin A, 1 μg/mL antipain, 1 mM PMSF)
until homogeneous. Cell debris was pelleted in a benchtop microfuge at 4,500 g for 25 min, and
supernatant was collected and further cleared in a microfuge at maximum speed for 10 min. All
centrifugations were done at 4°C. The clear layer of lysate was transferred to a new tube, 10 μL
of IgG Sepharose (GE Healthcare, #17096901) pre-equilibrated with lysis buffer was added, and
the suspension was rotated at 4°C for 1 hr. After binding, IgG beads were gently pelleted,
supernatant was removed, and beads were washed 3 times with lysis buffer.
For analysis of bound proteins, 50 μL of 2X SDS-PAGE sample buffer (0.1% bromophenol
blue, 0.2 M DTT, 20% glycerol, 4% SDS, 0.1 M Tris-Cl pH 6.8) were added to the beads and the
sample was heated at 100°C for 5 min. The beads were then pelleted by centrifugation,
supernatant was collected, and samples were analyzed by immunoblotting. Input, flowthrough,
and final samples from the co-immunoprecipitation protocol were run on 10% polyacrylamide
SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes.
Membranes were blocked with 5% powdered milk in Tris Buffered Saline with 0.1% Tween and
then probed with the appropriate primary antibody. Blocking and antibody incubations were
done for either 1 hr at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Following several washes, the
blots were incubated with secondary antibody at a 1:10,000 dilution for 1 hr. Reacting bands
were detected by chemiluminescent detection using the Thermo SuperSignal Plus Pico kit
according to the manufacturer's instructions.
In vitro CTD phosphorylation assay. IgG beads were used to immobilize the Tfb3-TAP
derivatives as described above. After normalizing for the amount of Kin28, beads were
incubated with 100 ng GST-CTD in 1x kinase reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 7.5 mM
MgOAc, 2% Glycerol, 100 mM KOAc, 2 mM DTT, and 25 μM ATP). Samples were taken at 15, 30,

and 60 min, and phosphorylation was assayed by immunoblotting with anti-Ser5P (3E8)
antibody.
ChIP-seq. ChIP experiments were performed from two independent biological replicates
as previously described (55). Yeast cultures were grown in 50 mL of selective medium at 30°C to
an OD_{600} of 0.7-0.9 before crosslinking with 1% formaldehyde (Fisher Scientific, BP531-500) at
room temperature for 30 min and quenched with 125 mM glycine. Crosslinked cells were
collected by centrifugation and washed twice with 1X TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM
NaCl). Cell pellets were then resuspended in 700 μL Lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 140
mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-deoxycholate and protease inhibitor cocktail
(1 mM PMSF, 1 mM benzamidine, 10 μg/mL aprotinin, 1 μg/mL leupeptin, 1 μg/mL pepstatin
A)). About the same number of OD_{600} units was used for all samples. A fixed amount of
crosslinked *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* cells (a gift from Jason Tanny), at 10% the amount of *S.*
cerevisiae cells, was added for normalization as previously described (56). Cells were lysed by
bead beating and the lysate was sonicated with a Model 100 Sonic dismembrator equipped
with a microprobe (Fisher Scientific), 4 x 20 sec at output 7 Watts, with a 1 min break between
sonication cycles. Soluble fragmented chromatin was recovered by centrifugation. 600 μL of the
chromatin sample was taken per immunoprecipitation (IP) and 6 μL (1%) was saved as an Input
sample. The following amounts of antibody per IP were used: anti-RNApII Rpb1 8WG16 (2 μg),
anti-Ser5P 3E8 (5 μL), anti-Tfb1 (4 μL) and anti-Kin28 (4 μL). All antibodies have been validated
for ChIP. Anti-Ser5P 3E8, anti-Tfb1, and anti-Kin28 antibodies were coupled to Dynabeads
coated with Protein G (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10004D) and anti-RNApII Rpb1 8WG16
antibody was coupled to Dynabeads coated with Pan Mouse IgG antibodies (Thermo Fisher
Scientific, 11042). 50 μL of the appropriate Dynabeads pre-coupled with the indicated antibody
were added to the chromatin sample and incubated overnight at 4°C. Beads were washed twice
with Lysis buffer, twice with Lysis buffer 500 (Lysis buffer + 360 mM NaCl), twice with Wash
buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% NP40, 0.5% Na-deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA)
and once with TE (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Input and immunoprecipitated
chromatin were eluted and reverse-crosslinked with 50 μL TE/SDS (TE + 1% SDS) by incubating
overnight at 65°C. Samples were treated with RNase A (345 μL TE, 3 μL 10 mg/mL RNAse A
(Sigma-Aldrich, R6513), 2 μL 20 mg/mL Glycogen (Roche, 10901393001)) at 37°C for 2 hr and
subsequently subjected to Proteinase K (15 μL 10% SDS, 7.5 μL 20 mg/mL Proteinase K (Thermo
Fisher Scientific, 25530049)) digestion at 37°C for 2 hr. Samples were extracted twice with
phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), followed by precipitation with 200 mM NaCl and
70% ethanol. Precipitated DNA was resuspended in 50 μL of TE before being used in ChIP-seq
libraries.
All ChIP and Input samples were subjected to a 1.7X cleanup using KAPA Pure Beads
(Roche, 07983280001) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Samples were eluted with
40 μL of Elution buffer (10 mM Tris pH 8.0). DNA concentration of ChIP and Input samples was
determined by qPCR using a standard curve made with a fragment size control sample. 1-10 ng
of ChIP and Input DNA were used for ChIP-seq library preparation as follows. The ends of DNA
were repaired by incubating in 70 μL of 1X NEBuffer 2 containing 0.6 units of T4 DNA
polymerase (NEB, M0203S), 2 units of T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB, M0201S), 0.09 nM dNTPs
and 0.045 μg/μL of BSA at 12°C for 30 min. Repaired DNA was then subjected to a 1.7X cleanup
using KAPA Pure Beads before dA tailing as follows. Beads containing the repaired DNA were

resuspended in 50 µL of 1X NEBuffer 2 containing 0.1 mM dATP and 25 units of Klenow
Fragment (3'→5' exo-) (NEB, M0212M), and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. After a 1.8X cleanup
with KAPA Pure Beads, the A-tailed DNA was ligated to index adapters (Illumina Truseq DNA UD
Indexes (20023784)) as follows. The beads were resuspended in 45 µL of 1X Ligase buffer
containing 8 nM of adapter and 2.5 units of T4 DNA ligase (ThermoFisher, 15224041) and
incubated at room temperature for 60 min. The ligated DNA was then subjected to a 1X
cleanup with KAPA Pure Beads, followed by a double size selection (0.52X-1X) leading to
fragments in the 200-600 bp range. Libraries were PCR-amplified with 12-13 cycles using KOD
Hot Start DNA polymerase (Millipore, 71086-3) and cleaned up using 1X KAPA Pure Beads.
Libraries were qualified on Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using High Sensitivity DNA Kit and
quantified by qPCR using NEBNext Library Quant Kit for Illumina (NEB, E7630). Equal molarity of
each library was pooled and subjected to sequencing on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform at the
McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre to generate 100 bp paired-end reads.
ChIP-seq Data analysis. ChIP-seq data was analyzed as previously described (55).
Adapter sequences were removed from paired-end reads for each biological replicate using
Trimmomatic, version 0.36 (57) with parameters "ILLUMINACLIP:adapters.fa:2:30:10:
TRAILING:3 MINLEN:25". Paired-end reads for each biological replicate were independently
aligned to the *S. cerevisiae* (UCSC sacCer3) and *S. pombe* (Downloaded from
https://www.pombase.org/ on December 7th 2022) reference genomes using the short read
aligner Bowtie 2 (version 2.3.4.3) (58). Only reads mapped in proper pairs and primary
alignments were kept in aligned files using Samtools, version 1.9 (59) with parameters "-F 2048
-F 256 -f 2". Duplicate reads were removed from aligned files using Samtools "fixmate" and
"markdup -r". Coverage for each base pair of the *S. cerevisiae* genome was computed using
genomeCoverageBed from BEDTools, version 2.27.1 (60) and normalized using *S. pombe* reads
as follows. The read density at each position of the *S. cerevisiae* genome was multiplied by a
normalization factor N defined as:
571
$N = 1,000,000 * P / R * C$
where:
1,000,000 is an arbitrarily chosen number used for convenience;
R is the total number of reads in the IP sample that mapped to the *S. pombe* genome;
C is the total number of reads in the Input sample that mapped to the *S. cerevisiae*
genome;
P is the total number of reads in the Input sample that mapped to the *S. pombe* genome.
579
The deduplicated BAM files of replicates were merged using Samtools "merge".
Correlation between replicates were generated using deepTools, version 3.5.1 (61) with
parameters "multiBigwigSummary bins --binSize 100" and "plotCorrelation --corMethod
pearson --whatToPlot heatmap --colorMap PiYG --plotNumbers".
The genome coverage files were converted to the bigWig format using the utilities from
UCSC Genome Browser (62).
Statistics regarding the reads (including the number of reads, mapped reads, the
correlation between replicates, etc.) are shown in **Supplemental Table S3**.

The RNApII-adjusted Ser5P coverage file (used in **Fig. 5E** and **Supplemental Fig. S3E**) was
generated as follows, starting from *S. pombe*-normalized coverage files. For each genomic
position, the Ser5P occupancy value of a given Tfb3 mutant was multiplied by the RNApII
occupancy value in WT and divided by the RNApII occupancy value in the mutant.
Aggregate profiles (metagene analyses) were generated using the Versatile Aggregate
Profiler (VAP) (63, 64). The aggregate profiles were generated by aligning data on the
transcription start site (TSS) and the polyadenylation (pA) signal of all verified genes that have a
signal of RNApII >4 and are longer than 1 kb (n=95). The data were averaged over 10 bp bins.
TSS and pA site coordinates were from Pelechano et al. (65).
In **Supplemental Fig. S3E**, the values for "promoters" are the average signal over a 200
bp window centered on the TSS whereas the values for "ORFs" are the average of the signal
between position +200 and the pA site. The region between +100 and +200 was excluded to
avoid signal contamination from promoters for ORFs and vice versa.
All raw and processed ChIP-seq data generated in this study have been deposited in the
NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession
number GSE241436.
UV sensitivity assay: Yeast strains were grown in liquid media selective for the *TFB3*
plasmids, and overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} = 0.005 and 0.0005 for plating. For
each test plate, 100 μL of diluted cells were spread on selective media. Plated cells were then
exposed to one of four doses of UV radiation: 0, 30, 60, or 90 J/m^2. UV intensity was calibrated
using a UVX Radiometer UVP and dosage calculated by varying time of exposure to a short-
wave UV transilluminator. After irradiation, plates were grown at 30°C for 2 days in the dark to
avoid photoreactivation repair, and then at room temperature for 5 days. Individual colonies
were counted and fraction survivals were calculated and plotted.

REFERENCES

1. A. Vannini, P. Cramer, Conservation between the RNA Polymerase I, II, and III Transcription Initiation Machineries. *Molecular Cell* **45**, 439-446 (2012).
2. M. C. Thomas, C.-M. Chiang, The general transcription machinery and general cofactors. *Critical reviews in biochemistry and molecular biology* **41**, 105-178 (2006).
3. S. Grünberg, L. Warfield, S. Hahn, Architecture of the RNA polymerase II preinitiation complex and mechanism of ATP-dependent promoter opening. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **19**, 788-796 (2012).
4. S. Schilbach *et al.*, Structures of transcription pre-initiation complex with TFIIH and Mediator. *Nature* **551**, 204-209 (2017).
5. P. J. Robinson *et al.*, Structure of a Complete Mediator-RNA Polymerase II Pre-Initiation Complex. *Cell* **166**, 1411-1414.e1416 (2016).
6. Y. He *et al.*, Near-atomic resolution visualization of human transcription promoter opening. *Nature* **533**, 359-365 (2016).
7. P. Sung, D. Higgins, L. Prakash, S. Prakash, Mutation of lysine-48 to arginine in the yeast RAD3 protein abolishes its ATPase and DNA helicase activities but not the ability to bind ATP. *EMBO J* **7**, 3263-3269 (1988).
8. G. S. Winkler *et al.*, TFIIH with inactive XPD helicase functions in transcription initiation but is defective in DNA repair. *J Biol Chem* **275**, 4258-4266 (2000).
9. T. van Eeuwen *et al.*, Cryo-EM structure of TFIIH/Rad4-Rad23-Rad33 in damaged DNA opening in nucleotide excision repair. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3338 (2021).
10. J. Kim *et al.*, Lesion recognition by XPC, TFIIH and XPA in DNA excision repair. *Nature* **617**, 170-175 (2023).
11. J. Yu *et al.*, Dynamic conformational switching underlies TFIIH function in transcription and DNA repair and impacts genetic diseases. *Nat Commun* **14**, 2758 (2023).
12. S. Schilbach, H. Wang, C. Dienemann, P. Cramer, Yeast PIC-Mediator structure with RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2220542120 (2023).
13. A. C. Schier, D. J. Taatjes, Everything at once: cryo-EM yields remarkable insights into human RNA polymerase II transcription. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **28**, 540-543 (2021).
14. S. Buratowski, Progression through the RNA Polymerase II CTD Cycle. *Molecular Cell* **36**, 541-546 (2009).
15. R. P. Fisher, D. O. Morgan, A novel cyclin associates with MO15/CDK7 to form the CDK-activating kinase. *Cell* **78**, 713-724 (1994).
16. M. J. Cismowski, G. M. Laff, M. J. Solomon, S. I. Reed, KIN28 encodes a C-terminal domain kinase that controls mRNA transcription in Saccharomyces cerevisiae but lacks cyclin-dependent kinase-activating kinase (CAK) activity. *Mol Cell Biol* **15**, 2983-2992 (1995).
17. F. H. Espinoza, A. Farrell, H. Erdjument-Bromage, P. Tempst, D. O. Morgan, A cyclin-dependent kinase-activating kinase (CAK) in budding yeast unrelated to vertebrate CAK. *Science* **273**, 1714-1717 (1996).
18. P. Kaldis, A. Sutton, M. J. Solomon, The Cdk-activating kinase (CAK) from budding yeast. *Cell* **86**, 553-564 (1996).

19.   S. Peissert, A. Schlosser, R. Kendel, J. Kuper, C. Kisker, Structural basis for CDK7
activation by MAT1 and Cyclin H. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 26739-26748 (2020).

20.   B. J. Greber *et al.*, The cryoelectron microscopy structure of the human CDK-activating
kinase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 22849-22857 (2020).

21.   T. van Eeuwen *et al.*, Structure of TFIIK for phosphorylation of CTD of RNA polymerase II.
Sci Adv **7** (2021).

22.   L. Warfield, J. Luo, J. Ranish, S. Hahn, Function of Conserved Topological Regions within
the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Basal Transcription Factor TFIIH. *Molecular and Cellular*
Biology **36**, 2464-2475 (2016).

23.   Y. Chun *et al.*, Selective Kinase Inhibition Shows That Bur1 (Cdk9) Phosphorylates the
Rpb1 Linker In Vivo. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **39**, e00602-00618 (2019).

24.   E. J. Cho, M. S. Kobor, M. Kim, J. Greenblatt, S. Buratowski, Opposing effects of Ctk1
kinase and Fcp1 phosphatase at Ser 2 of the RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain.
Genes and Development **15**, 3319-3329 (2001).

25.   K. Glover-Cutter *et al.*, TFIIH-associated Cdk7 kinase functions in phosphorylation of C-
terminal domain Ser7 residues, promoter-proximal pausing, and termination by RNA
polymerase II. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **29**, 5455-5464 (2009).

26.   J. L. Corden, RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain: Tethering transcription to transcript
and template. *Chemical reviews* **113**, 8423-8455 (2013).

27.   D. Eick, M. Geyer, The RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) code. *Chemical*
reviews **113**, 8456-8490 (2013).

28.   N. Yurko *et al.*, MPK1/SLT2 Links Multiple Stress Responses with Gene Expression in
Budding Yeast by Phosphorylating Tyr1 of the RNAP II CTD. *Molecular Cell* **68**, 913-
925.e913 (2017).

29.   W. J. Feaver *et al.*, Subunit interactions in yeast transcription/repair factor TFIIH.
Requirement for Tfb3 subunit in nucleotide excision repair. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry **275**, 5941-5946 (2000).

30.   T. Watanabe *et al.*, The carboxy terminus of the small subunit of TFIIE regulates the
transition from transcription initiation to elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Molecular*
and Cellular Biology **23**, 2914-2926 (2003).

31.   K. H. Wong, Y. Jin, K. Struhl, TFIIH Phosphorylation of the Pol II CTD Stimulates Mediator
Dissociation from the Preinitiation Complex and Promoter Escape. *Molecular Cell* **54**,
601-612 (2014).

32.   M. C. Keogh, V. Podolny, S. Buratowski, Bur1 kinase is required for efficient transcription
elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **23**, 7005-7018 (2003).

33.   K. Murakami *et al.*, Tfb6, a previously unidentified subunit of the general transcription
factor TFIIH, facilitates dissociation of Ssl2 helicase after transcription initiation.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **109**,
4816-4821 (2012).

34.   C. Yang *et al.*, Structural visualization of de novo transcription initiation by
Saccharomyces cerevisiae RNA polymerase II. *Mol Cell* **82**, 660-676 e669 (2022).

35.   K. Helenius *et al.*, Requirement of TFIIH kinase subunit Mat1 for RNA Pol II C-terminal
domain Ser5 phosphorylation, transcription and mRNA turnover. *Nucleic Acids Research*
39, 5025-5035 (2011).

36. M. Sano *et al.*, Menage-a-trois 1 is critical for the transcriptional function of
PPARgamma coactivator 1. *Cell Metab* **5**, 129-142 (2007).

37. M. C. Keogh, E.-J. Cho, V. Podolny, S. Buratowski, Kin28 is found within TFIIH and a
Kin28-Ccl1-Tfb3 trimer complex with differential sensitivities to T-loop phosphorylation.
Molecular and Cellular Biology **22**, 1288-1297 (2002).

38. P. Komarnitsky, E. J. Cho, S. Buratowski, Different phosphorylated forms of RNA
polymerase II and associated mRNA processing factors during transcription. *Genes and*
Development **14**, 2452-2460 (2000).

39. H. Suh *et al.*, Direct Analysis of Phosphorylation Sites on the Rpb1 C-Terminal Domain of
RNA Polymerase II. *Molecular Cell* **61**, 297-304 (2016).

40. J. Abril-Garrido *et al.*, Structural basis of transcription reduction by a promoter-proximal
+1 nucleosome. *Mol Cell* **83**, 1798-1809 e1797 (2023).

41. X. Chen *et al.*, Structural insights into preinitiation complex assembly on core promoters.
Science **372** (2021).

42. T. M. M. Søgaard, J. Q. Svejstrup, Hyperphosphorylation of the C-terminal repeat
domain of RNA polymerase II facilitates dissociation of its complex with mediator.
Journal of Biological Chemistry **282**, 14113-14120 (2007).

43. C. Jeronimo, F. Robert, Kin28 regulates the transient association of Mediator with core
promoters. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **21**, 449-455 (2014).

44. E. J. Tomko *et al.*, The Role of XPB/Ssl2 dsDNA Translocase Processivity in Transcription
Start-site Scanning. *J Mol Biol* **433**, 166813 (2021).

45. K. Murakami *et al.*, Uncoupling Promoter Opening from Start-Site Scanning. *Mol Cell* **59**,
133-138 (2015).

46. J. H. Lee, H. S. Jung, A. Günzl, Transcriptionally active TFIIH of the early-diverged
eukaryote Trypanosoma brucei harbors two novel core subunits but not a cyclin-
activating kinase complex. *Nucleic Acids Research* **37**, 3811-3820 (2009).

47. J. H. Lee *et al.*, A TFIIH-Associated Mediator Head Is a Basal Factor of Small Nuclear
Spliced Leader RNA Gene Transcription in Early-Diverged Trypanosomes. *Molecular and*
Cellular Biology **30**, 5502-5513 (2010).

48. N. Badjatia, D. L. Ambrosio, J. H. Lee, A. Gunzl, Trypanosome cdc2-related kinase 9
controls spliced leader RNA cap4 methylation and phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II
subunit RPB1. *Mol Cell Biol* **33**, 1965-1975 (2013).

49. A. Das, V. Bellofatto, The non-canonical CTD of RNAP-II is essential for productive RNA
synthesis in Trypanosoma brucei. *PLoS One* **4**, e6959 (2009).

50. P. Matsui, J. DePaulo, S. Buratowski, An interaction between the Tfb1 and Ssl1 subunits
of yeast TFIIH correlates with DNA repair activity. *Nucleic Acids Research* **23**, 767-772
(1995).

51. N. H. Kuldell, S. Buratowski, Genetic analysis of the large subunit of yeast transcription
factor IIE reveals two regions with distinct functions. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **17**,
5288-5298 (1997).

52. R. D. Chapman *et al.*, Transcribing RNA polymerase II is phosphorylated at CTD residue
serine-7. *Science (New York, NY)* **318**, 1780-1782 (2007).

53. E. Harlow, D. P. Lane, *Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual* (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
Press, Inc., 1988).

54.   V. V. Kushnirov, Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. *Yeast* **16**, 857-860
(2000).
55.   C. Jeronimo *et al.*, FACT is recruited to the +1 nucleosome of transcribed genes and
spreads in a Chd1-dependent manner. *Mol Cell* 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.07.010 (2021).
56.   C. Jeronimo, C. Poitras, F. Robert, Histone Recycling by FACT and Spt6 during
Transcription Prevents the Scrambling of Histone Modifications. *Cell reports* **28**, 1206-
1218.e1208 (2019).
57.   A. M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).
58.   B. Langmead, C. Trapnell, M. Pop, S. L. Salzberg, Ultrafast and memory-efficient
alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome biology* **10**, R25
(2009).
59.   H. Li *et al.*, The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*
England) **25**, 2078-2079 (2009).
60.   A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842 (2010).
61.   F. Ramirez *et al.*, deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data
analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W160-165 (2016).
62.   J. Casper *et al.*, The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**,
D762-D769 (2018).
63.   M. Brunelle *et al.*, Aggregate and Heatmap Representations of Genome-Wide
Localization Data Using VAP, a Versatile Aggregate Profiler. *Methods Mol Biol* **1334**, 273-
298 (2015).
64.   C. Coulombe *et al.*, VAP: a versatile aggregate profiler for efficient genome-wide data
representation and discovery. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, W485-493 (2014).
65.   V. Pelechano, W. Wei, L. M. Steinmetz, Extensive transcriptional heterogeneity revealed
by isoform profiling. *Nature* **497**, 127-131 (2013).
775
776

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Tfb3 N-term-Linker fusions to other kinase modules can replace Tfb3, but not Kin28.
(A) Schematic of TFIIH structure within the PIC. The domain structure of Tfb3 (green) is shown, connecting the TFIIH Kinase (cyan) and Core (blue) Modules. **(B)** Schematic of Tfb3 fusions to other kinase modules. (**C**) The *kin28Δ* strain YSB744 was transformed with pRS425 (Vector), pRS415-*KIN28*, pRS425-*TFB3-BUR2*, pRS425-*TFB3-CTK3*, or pRS425-*TFB3-MPK1*. Transformants were tested for the ability to replace a *KIN28/URA3* construct by plasmid shuffling. Cells were streaked on -LEU-TRP (center) or -LEU-TRP+5-FOA (right) plates for 3 days at 30℃. **(D)** pRS315 (Vector), pRS425-*TFB3*, pRS315/TFB3Δ2 (aa 1-275) (29), pRS425-*TFB3-BUR2*, pRS425-*TFB3-CTK3*, and pRS425-*TFB3-MPK1* were transformed into *tfb3Δ* strain SHY907 (22), replacing the plasmid-expressed wild-type gene by plasmid shuffling. Streaks shown were grown on –LEU for 4 days (center) or on -LEU+5-FOA plates (right) for 9 days.

Fig. 2. Tfb3 can be split into two functional parts.
(A) Schematic of Tfb3 deletion constructs. Note that all constructs retained amino acids 1-11 at the N-terminus for ease of cloning and to avoid differential sensitivity to N-end rule degradation. **(B)** Plasmid shuffling was used to test pRS424 (Vector), pRS424-*TFB3* (WT *TFB3*), pRS424-*TFB3(Δ145-321)* (N-term), and pRS424-*TFB3* (Δ252-321) (N-term-Linker) for the ability to support growth of *tfb3Δ* shuffling strain SHY907/YF2456 (22). Streaks shown were grown on -TRP+5-FOA plates for 5 days at 30℃ **(C)** Spot assay for growth. Tfb3 N-term (YSB3704) and N-term-Linker (YSB3722) strains were transformed with pRS425 (Vector), pSH1542 (WT *TFB3*), pRS315-TFB3(1-11, 238-Stop)-Flag1-TAP (C-term low copy), pRS425-TFB3(1-11, 238-Stop) (C-term high copy), pRS425-TFB3(1-11, 139-Stop), or pLH366 (Linker-C-term high copy). Ten-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto –LEU-TRP plates for 3 days. For comparison to normal growth, an isogenic strain carrying WT *TFB3* (YSB3788) was spotted in parallel (top strip).

Fig. 3. The TFIIH Kinase Module associates with the untethered Tfb3 C-terminal domain. (A) Whole cell extracts were made from YSB3788 (*TFB3* untagged), YSB3723 (*TFB3*-TAP + N-term), YSB3732 (N-term-Linker + C-term-TAP), and YSB3728 (N-term + Linker-C-term-TAP). Both Input extracts (lanes 1-4) and IgG-Agarose precipitated fractions (lanes 5-8) were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotting for Tfb3. **(B)** To probe for other Kinase Module subunits, IgG precipitates were probed with anti-Ccl1 and anti-Kin28 antibodies. In all panels, asterisks mark TAP-tagged Tfb3 derivatives (which also react with secondary antibody due to the protein A component).

Fig. 4. Splitting Tfb3 uncouples the TFIIH Kinase Module from the Core Module and the PIC.
The same IgG-Agarose precipitates analyzed in **Fig. 3** were also probed with the following antibodies against: **(A)** TFIIH Core Module subunit Tfb1 (note background binding of Tfb1 to beads as seen in lane 1, and non-specific band ~50 kDa), and **(B)** TFIIE subunits Tfa1 and Tfa2 (note non-specific band ~60 kDa), which serves as a marker for the RNApII PIC. In all panels, asterisks mark TAP-tagged Tfb3 derivatives reacting with secondary antibody due to the protein A component.

Figure 5. **Loss of proper Kinase Module and Ser5P promoter localization in split Tfb3 cells**.
Crosslinked chromatin was prepared from strains expressing full length Tfb3 (YSB3788), the
separated N-term-Linker and C-term derivatives (YSB3731), the N-term and Linker-C-term
derivatives (YSB3727), or N-term and C-term with no Linker (YSB3725). Samples were
immunoprecipitated and processed for sequencing of crosslinked DNA as described in
Methods. Two biological replicates for each antibody were used to generate metagene profiles
of **(A)** TFIIH Core subunit Tfb1, **(B)** TFIIH Kinase subunit Kin28, **(C)** Rpb1 CTD Ser5P, **(D)** RNApII
subunit Rpb1, and **(E)** Ser5P normalized to total Rpb1. The analysis used only those nuclear
genes with an average RNApII occupancy greater than 4 reads per million (rpm) and longer than
1 kb (n=95). Individual replicates are shown in **Supplemental Fig. S3**. To account for the
differing lengths of genes, each graph shows 1 kb centered on the transcription start site (TSS)
to the left of the dividing line, and 1 kb centered on the polyadenylation site (pA) to the right.
832
Figure 6. **Split Tfb3 strains are not hyper-sensitive to UV light**. Yeast strains carrying the
indicated Tfb3 configurations were plated at various densities and exposed to the indicated
dosages of UV light. Surviving colonies were counted after several days. The graph shows the
averaged values from multiple replicates, and error bars represent standard deviation. Note
that there are two strain backgrounds. The *tfb1-101* strain (YSB250, black) is a positive control
for an NER-defective strain sensitive to UV light, and its isogenic WT control is YSB207 (yellow).
The other five isogenic strains are in a *tfb6Δ* background, lacking the Tfb6 protein that
competes with the TFIIH for Ssl2 binding (33): WT/tfb6Δ (YSB3788, green), N-term (YSB3724,
red), N-term-Linker (YSB3729, blue), N-term + Linker-C-term (YSB3727, orange), and N-term-
Linker + C-term (YSB3731, slate).
843
Figure 7. Model for linkage between the TFIIH Kinase and Core Module functions. A. In free
TFIIH, the Tfb3 linker contacts the Ssl2/XPB subunit to create a "closed" conformation. In this
configuration, the translocase, and possibly the kinase, may be inhibited. **B.** Upon TFIIH
incorporation as the final component of the PIC, the Kinase Module engages with both
Mediator (not pictured) and the CTD. These interactions may help release the Tfb3/MAT1 linker
from Ssl2/XPB, allowing the translocase to access downstream DNA to promote ATP hydrolysis
and promoter melting.
851
852
853

Fig. 1

A.

B.

C.

kin28Δ +

Pre-FOA | Post-FOA

D.

tfb3Δ +

Pre-FOA | Post-FOA

Fig. 2

A.

B.

C.

Fig. 3

A.

kDa

Lanes labeled: *TFB3 untagged*, *TFB3-TAP + N-term*, *N-term-Linker + C-term-TAP*, *N-term + Linker-C-term-TAP* (Input), then *TFB3 untagged*, *TFB3-TAP + N-term*, *N-term-Linker + C-term-TAP*, *N-term + Linker-C-term-TAP* (IgG bound)

72 · 55 · 43 · 34 · 26 · 22

→ Tfb3-TAP (60 kD)
→ Linker-C-term-TAP (52 kD)
→ Tfb3 (38 kD)
→ C-term-TAP (33 kD)
→ N-term-Linker (29 kD)
→ N-term (17 kD)

M   1   2   3   4   5   6   7   8

Input   **IgG bound**

Anti-Tfb3

B.

kDa

Lanes labeled: *TFB3 untagged*, *TFB3-TAP + N-term*, *N-term-Linker + C-term-TAP*, *N-term + Linker-C-term-TAP*

72 · 55 · 43 · 34

]Ccl1

→ Kin28

M   1   2   3   4

**TFIIH Kinase Module
Anti-Kin28 and Anti-Ccl1**

Fig. 4

A.

**TFIIH Core Module
Anti-Tfb1**

B.

**TFIIE
Anti-Tfa1 and Anti-Tfa2**

Fig 5

Fig. 6

Legend:
- *tfb1-101* (UV sensitive)
- *TFB3* WT
- *TFB3/tfb6Δ* WT
- *N-term*
- *N-term-Linker*
- *N-term + Linker-C-term*
- *N-term + C-term*

Y-axis: Fraction Survival

X-axis: UV Dose J/m²

A.

Free "closed" TFIIH

Inhibited or sequestered Kinase?

Kin28
Ccl1
C

Tfb3

Tfb3 Linker helix bridges Core Module ATPases

Linker

N

Rad3

Ssl2

Inhibited Translocase

B.

TFIIH within PIC

Kin28
Ccl1
C

Movement of Kinase Module (via Mediator binding or CTD phosphorylation) coupled to release of Linker from Ssl2/XPB?

Tfb3

Linker

CTD

Rad3

Ssl2

RNAPII

Active Translocase